

Two-Pass Rate Control for Improved Quality of Experience in UHDTV Delivery

Zupancic, I; Naccari, M; Mrak, M; Izquierdo, E

© 2017 IEEE. Personal use of this material is permitted. Permission from IEEE must be obtained for all other uses, in any current or future media, including reprinting/republishing this material for advertising or promotional purposes, creating new collective works, for resale or redistribution to servers or lists, or reuse of any copyrighted component of this work in other works.

For additional information about this publication click this link. http://qmro.qmul.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/123456789/18844

Information about this research object was correct at the time of download; we occasionally make corrections to records, please therefore check the published record when citing. For more information contact scholarlycommunications@qmul.ac.uk

Two-Pass Rate Control for Improved Quality of Experience in UHDTV Delivery

Ivan Zupancic, Student Member, IEEE, Matteo Naccari, Member, IEEE, Marta Mrak, Senior Member, IEEE, and Ebroul Izquierdo, Senior Member, IEEE

Abstract-Rate control plays an important role in any video 5 coding application and it was extensively studied in the context 6 of previous video coding standards. However, the current state-of-7 the-art high efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard introduces 8 9 many flexible tools making previous rate-distortion models used in rate control insufficiently accurate. Recently, a few rate control 10 methods have been developed for HEVC that introduce many 11 useful features, such as a robust correspondence between the rate 12 and Lagrange multiplier λ . Nonetheless, previous rate control 13 algorithms for HEVC do not address typical content in television 14 applications that consists of frequent scene changes. Furthermore, 15 the new ultra high definition television (UHDTV) format, which 16 is expected to become widespread in the future, demands for 17 even higher compression efficiency. To overcome these issues, a 18 two-pass rate control method is proposed in this paper, targeting 19 20 the encoding of UHDTV content. In the first pass, a fast encoder with limited set of coding tools is used during pre-encoding step 21 to obtain the data used for rate allocation and model parameter 22 23 initialization, which will then be used during the second pass. To avoid multiple encoding steps when deriving this information, 24 a variable quantization parameter framework is proposed. 25 26 Experimental results show that the proposed rate control method outperforms the well-known HEVC rate control method. When 27 compared with variable bit-rate encoding mode, the proposed 28 29 two-pass rate control method achieves on average 2.9% BD-rate 30 losses. That is significantly better than the state-of-the-art HEVC rate control method, which achieves an average 8.8% BD-rate loss. The proposed method also provides a more consistent quality 33 fluctuation with time, measured with standard deviation of frame PSNR values, required for high Quality of Experience. 34

Index Terms—HEVC, quality of experience, rate control, UHD video, video streaming.

I. INTRODUCTION

U LTRA high definition television (UHDTV) is the new format which is expected to deliver a greater impact, more presence and immersion than the current high definition television (HDTV). UHDTV is not just about more pixels but it has the potential to deliver wider color gamut, high dynamic

Manuscript received March 7, 2016; revised September 2, 2016; accepted November 14, 2016. Date of publication; date of current version. Part of the work described in this paper has been conducted within the project COGNITUS. This work was supported by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Grant 687605. The guest editor coordinating the review of this paper and approving it for publication was Prof. Alexander Raake.

I. Zupancic and E. Izquierdo are with the School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London, London E1 4NS, U.K. (e-mail: i.zupancic@qmul.ac.uk; ebroul.izquierdo@qmul.ac.uk).

M. Naccari and M. Mrak are with the British Broadcasting Corporation, London W12 7SB, U.K. (e-mail: matteo.naccari@bbc.co.uk; marta.mrak@bbc. co.uk).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/JSTSP.2016.2634458

range and high frame rate; in other words, it will ultimately 43 provide users with better pixels. The parameters for UHDTV 44 are specified in the ITU Recommendation BT.2020 [1] where 45 two spatial resolutions are standardized: 3840×2160 luma 46 samples/frame and 7680×4320 luma samples/frame, both of 47 which are integer multiples of the 1920×1080 (HDTV) pic-48 ture size. Temporal resolutions for UHDTV can go up to 120 49 frames per second (fps) with progressive scanning only. It also 50 allows 10- and 12-bit color depth, while the colorimetry sys-51 tem is wider than the one specified in Recommendation ITU-R 52 BT.709 [2] for HDTV content, and covers 75.8% of the CIE 53 1931 color space. The chrominance sampling ratios included in 54 BT.2020 are 4:2:0, 4:2:2 and 4:4:4. 55

1

Based on BT.2020, which defines the parameters of UHDTV 56 services from the signal perspective, other organizations such 57 as Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB) and European Broad-58 casting Union (EBU) have been working towards the defini-59 tion of the parameters needed by applications which make 60 use of UHDTV content. DVB has recently ratified the pa-61 rameters for the delivery of UHDTV ("UHD-1 phase 1") ser-62 vices (they are published as version 2.1.1 of ETSI TS 101 63 154 [3]): spatial resolution of 3840×2160 , maximum bit-64 depth of 10 bits, temporal resolution up to 60 fps, and BT.709 65 colorimetry. 66

Even with the simplest form of UHDTV content, which only 67 increases the number of pixels compared to HDTV, the volume 68 of data associated with UHDTV content is at least four times that 69 for HDTV content. Therefore, in order to reduce the UHDTV 70 burden on the distribution networks, improved compression 71 techniques should be employed when delivering UHDTV ser-72 vices. As an answer to these needs, the ITU-T Video Coding 73 Experts Group (VCEG) and the ISO/IEC Moving Picture Ex-74 perts Group (MPEG) have finalized the Version 1 of H.265/high 75 efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard [4] in January 76 2013. HEVC is the state-of-the-art in video compression and 77 can provide the same perceived video quality as its predecessor 78 H.264/advanced video coding (AVC) [5] at half of the bit-rate 79 [6]. For UHDTV content, the MPEG final verification tests have 80 shown an average bit-rate reduction of up to 60% [7]. 81

Even though improved compression technology is key in en-82 abling the delivery of UHDTV content, it is also equally im-83 portant to distribute the available bit-budget so that the impact 84 of video coding artifacts is minimized. This is particularly true 85 for UHDTV services given the high expectations of audiences. 86 This paper considers as its application scenario the delivery of 87 nearly live UHDTV video over streaming platforms, such as 88 BBC iPlayer, using the HEVC standard. Accordingly, a given 89

3

Q2 36

37

38

39

40

41

amount of latency (e.g. few seconds) in the playout is tolerated 90 as well as some bit-rate fluctuations around the target value 91 with time (e.g. up to 30% above the target rate within a pe-92 93 riod of 3 seconds). This application scenario can be extended to any practical video coding application under constant bit-rate 94 (CBR) constraints. A major requirement is that coding artifacts 95 such as blocking, blurring, and contouring should be minimized. 96 Moreover, the video quality should stay constant over the time, 97 especially when an intra coded frame is inserted because of a 98 99 scene change.

Rate control guarantees that the available bit-budget is dis-100 tributed so that the video quality is maximized. A rate control 101 method aims to optimize the visual quality given the limited 102 bandwidth constraints. Generally speaking, rate control can be 103 divided into two main steps. The first one allocates the right 104 105 amount of bits to each level of the coding process, i.e. structure of pictures (SOP), frame, macroblock or coding unit (CU) in 106 HEVC. In the second step, the allocated rate is used to derive 107 the amount of compression to be applied over a given part of 108 the video sequence. 109

110 Rate control can be performed in single- or multi-pass fashion. Single-pass rate control methods allocate the available rate 111 and tune the encoding based on some a priori knowledge on the 112 sequence statistics or data collected over previously encoded 113 114 frames. Contrarily, multi-pass controllers encode a given video segment multiple times, where the results of one step are then 115 used in the subsequent ones. Single-pass rate control is usually 116 employed in applications with real time or very low latency re-117 quirements, such as live broadcasting or production. Conversely, 118 multi-pass rate control is usually employed in near real-time ap-119 120 plications with continuous scene changes, such as on-demand services, where additional computational complexity can be tol-121 122 erated

This paper proposes a two-pass rate control method for 123 streaming of UHDTV content using Version 1 of the HEVC 124 standard. In the first pass, the algorithm performs a pre-encoding 125 analysis, where a light complexity encoder is used to compress 126 the number of frames associated with one intra period, and 127 then collect information such as bit-rate distribution over dif-128 ferent frames. This information is then used to fit and update 129 the models used to decide the quantization steps to be used over 130 different frames and image areas, while performing the actual 131 compression in the second pass. The proposed method achieves 132 improved performance compared to existing approaches, espe-133 cially at the beginning of each scene. The latency introduced by 134 the proposed rate control method is minimal and mainly asso-135 ciated with the pre-processing stage. The proposed rate control 136 method does not imply any additional constraint on the size of 137 the coded picture buffer (CPB). In fact, once the pre-analysis 138 stage is concluded, the actual encoding can start and bit allo-139 cation can be adjusted (e.g. on a frame basis) to meet the CPB 140 size constraints specified by HEVC for a particular level and 141 tier. Overall, the main contributions brought by the paper can be 142 summarized as follows: 143

 Use of a low complexity pre-encoding step which provides an accurate estimate of the bit-rate profile spent on different frames.

- 2) Content adaptive initialization of parameters for the ratequantization step model, based on the data collected during the pre-encoding step.
 147
 148
 149
- Automatic derivation of initial quantization step for each 150 sequence based on a simplified encoding method which 151 uses multiple quantization steps within a frame.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. An 153 overview of the existing rate control methods with the emphasis 154 on the state-of-the-art methods designed for HEVC is presented 155 in Section II. The proposed two-pass rate control method is 156 described in detail in Section III, while Section IV presents a 157 comprehensive experimental validation of the proposed algo-158 rithm. Finally, Section V concludes the paper and points out 159 some future work related to the proposed method. 160

II. OVERVIEW OF THE RELATED BACKGROUND 161

As stated in the Introduction, rate control is one of the essential tools for any practical video codec and consists of two main steps: rate allocation at different granularity levels (e.g. SOP, frame, and block level) and derivation of coding parameters for a given target rate. Over the years, literature has mainly focused on the second step by proposing different models to express the relationship between coding rate and parameters.

This section provides an overview of the existing rate control 169 methods and is organized into four subsections where the first 170 three review the literature associated with models for coding 171 parameters derivation, multi-pass algorithms, and algorithms 172 devoted to improve the perceived video quality. Finally, the 173 fourth subsection focuses on the efficient method based on 174 $R - \lambda$ model, which serves as a basis for our novel two-pass 175 rate control method. 176

A. Modeling the Coding Rate and Parameters Relationship 177

One of the first attempts to model the relationship between 178 coding rate and quantization parameter (QP) dates back to the 179 MPEG-2 Video standard with the rate control method imple-180 mented in the Test Model 5 (TM5) reference implementation 181 [8]. In this rate control method, the QP value for each mac-182 roblock is calculated adaptively based on target bit-allocation 183 and predicted macroblock spatial activity. The Video Model 184 (VM8) used during the development of the MPEG-4 Part 2 185 (Visual) standard uses a more accurate model based on a sec-186 ond order rate-distortion (RD) relationship [9]. The reference 187 implementation of the AVC standard (Joint Model, JM) uses 188 a rate control method based on a quadratic rate-quantization 189 (R-Q) relationship [10], which relies on the assumption that the 190 residual information follows a Laplacian distribution [11]. The 191 mean absolute difference (MAD) for the residuals is used to es-192 timate the complexity of basic coding units and corresponding 193 QP. Later on, Kamaci et al. [12] showed that a Cauchy distribu-194 tion is more suitable than Laplacian to represent the residuals, 195 and proposed a frame-level rate control method based on these 196 findings. 197

Based on the well known quadratic R-Q model, Choi *et al.* 198 proposed a rate control method [13] which was used in early 199 versions of HEVC reference software (HM) implementation 200

[14]. However, due to the flexible quadtree partitioning used in 201 HEVC, this R-Q model is not sufficiently accurate to quantify 202 the relationship between rate and quantization step. Lee and 203 204 Kim [15] improved this quadratic R-Q model by proposing a new relationship for inter coding in HEVC based on a mixture of 205 multiple Laplacian distributions. Still targeting the HEVC stan-206 dard, Lee et al. [16] proposed instead a frame-level rate control 207 based on different rate allocation models for the bits spent on 208 texture (e.g. residuals) and non-texture (e.g. motion vectors) 209 210 data. In this approach, multiple Laplacian distributions are used to model the rate of texture bits, while the rate for non-textured 211 bits is modeled with a linear relationship. All these methods 212 based on the R-Q model assume that quantization parameter is 213 a crucial factor in determining the bit-rate. However, that condi-214 tion holds only when other coding parameters (e.g. the coding 215 mode) are fixed. Given the RD optimization operated in HM, 216 along with the flexible quadtree partitioning specified in HEVC, 217 this assumption is not necessarily true, as already pointed 218 219 out [17].

Another group of rate control methods tries to build a rela-221 tionship between the rate and percentage of zeros in quantized transform coefficients ρ . He *et al.* [18] proposed a ρ -domain 222 model and associated rate control. Based on the estimated RD 223 curves, a rate-shape-smoothing algorithm is proposed to smooth 224 225 the rate distribution and ensure a consistent picture quality. A quadratic ρ -domain rate model was proposed by Wang *et al.* [19] 226 and used in a hierarchical bit-allocation scheme for rate control 227 in an HEVC codec. The proposed algorithm uses a linear rela-228 tionship in ρ -domain between the bits associated with texture 229 and the number of non-zero transformed coefficients. The num-230 231 ber of non-zero transformed coefficients is then modeled as a quadratic function of quantization step. Rate control algorithms 232 based on the ρ -domain relationship work well in fixed transform 233 size coding schemes. Therefore, in video coding standards such 234 as HEVC which specify variable sizes for transform blocks, the 235 relationship between ρ and rate is not sufficiently accurate. 236

The relation between Lagrange multiplier λ and coding rate 237 was firstly analyzed by Li et al. [17]. They proposed hyperbolic 238 239 $R-\lambda$ model which shows a higher correlation when compared with the aforementioned R-Q models. The R- λ model was 240 utilized in the state-of-the-art HEVC rate control method, where 241 the bit-budget is allocated using three different levels of gran-242 ularity. This rate control method was further improved for intra 243 frames [20] using the sum of absolute transformed differences 244 (SATD) as a complexity measure. SATD for original 8×8 245 blocks is calculated and used to allocate the bit-budget. Based 246 on the R- λ model, two approaches for improved bit-allocation 247 have been recently proposed. Li et al. [21] proposed a method 248 for largest CU (LCU)-level bit-allocation in HEVC rate control. 249 In this approach, the formulation for optimal bit-allocation 250 is established using the Lagrange multiplier, computed by 251 252 minimizing the distortion under the given bit-rate constraints. Then, recursive Taylor expansion method is used to obtain the 253 approximate closed-form solution for the optimal LCU-level 254 bit-allocation formulation. Wang and Ngan [22] proposed a 255 method which uses the distortion of collocated coding tree units 256 (CTUs) in the previous frame to establish a linear relationship 257

between distortion and λ . Based on this distortion model, a 258 different bit-allocation algorithm in λ -domain is applied. 259

B. Multi-Pass Rate Control Methods

Although parallel architectures are becoming ubiquitous, not 261 many multi-pass rate control methods have been proposed in 262 the past. In x264 [23], which is one of the most popular AVC 263 software implementations, five different rate control modes are 264 specified. Apart from a two-pass approach, where the target 265 number of bits is predicted based on the frame complexity from 266 full encoding in the first pass, one-pass approaches with fast 267 complexity estimation scheme are also available. In this case, 268 a fast motion estimation (ME) algorithm is performed over a 269 half-resolution version of the frame and SATD of the residuals 270 is used as a complexity measure. After encoding each frame 271 or macroblock, future QPs are updated to compensate for mis-272 predictions in rate using short- and long-term compensation 273 schemes. In the context of HEVC, Wen et al. [24] proposed a 274 rate control method based on R- λ model with pre-encoding. In 275 the pre-encoding step, the video sequence is encoded using only 276 16×16 coding units. Rate for the CUs of size 64×64 is then es-277 timated using the rate associated with 16×16 CUs. R- λ model 278 parameters, as well as weights for bit-allocation of 64×64 cod-279 ing units, are computed using the data from pre-encoding. They 280 also propose a mechanism for resetting the parameters when a 281 scene change leads the existing model parameters to become 282 obsolete. 283

Another two-pass rate control method for HEVC was pro-284 posed by Wang et al. [25] based on the structural similarity 285 (SSIM) index. Coding statistics are collected during the first 286 pass, which is performed using a constant QP. These statis-287 tics are then used during the second pass for SOP level bit-288 allocation. Furthermore, Laplacian-based rate and perceptual 289 distortion models are established to adaptively derive λ and 290 dynamically allocate bits. Rate control at finer granularity lev-291 els is performed in a perceptually uniform space. It should be 292 noted that in this case the computational complexity associated 293 with the first pass can be quite high. Deng et al. [26] proposed a 294 multi-pass rate control method based on the SATD of the residu-295 als and pre-encoding. Pre-encoding is performed using multiple 296 QP values and a limited set of depths and PU modes to ob-297 tain rate, distortion, and SATD data which is then fitted into the 298 SATD-RD model using the least squares method. Estimated data 299 is then used to set the parameters used in rate control. However, 300 this method may be of limited use in practical applications with 301 low latency requirements, due to the computationally expensive 302 pre-encoding step. 303

C. Rate Control Methods with Region-Based Bit-Allocation 304

In addition to general purpose rate control methods, specific 305 region-based rate control methods have been proposed in the 306 context of different video coding standards. Hu *et al.* [27] proposed a region-based rate control method for AVC. In this approach, inter-frame information is utilized to divide each frame 309 into multiple regions based on their RD behavior. Macroblocks 310 with similar characteristics are classified into the same region 311



Fig. 1. Fitted R- λ curve for *Manege* test sequence. The sequence was encoded using 4 QP values (27, 31, 35, and 37) and obtained rates are denoted with diamonds.

312 which is treated as a basic unit for the rate control. Recently, Meddeb et al. [28] proposed a region of interest (ROI) based rate 313 control method for HEVC. They divide a frame in tiles which 314 correspond to regions with different characteristics. Tiles con-315 316 taining ROIs are then encoded using different encoder settings than non-ROI tiles to achieve better visual quality. The main 317 318 issue for this kind of methods is the ROI detection which is always content dependent and when erroneously detected, it can 319 lead to poor video quality in regions which attract the attention 320 of the observer. 321

A method based on perceptual bit-allocation was proposed by 322 Tang et al. [29], where a Canny edge detector was used to dis-323 324 tinguish between randomly-textured, structurally-textured, and smooth regions. The method allocates fewer bits to randomly-325 textured regions, given the property of the human visual system 326 which is less sensitive to perceptual distortions in textured image 327 areas. Another bit-allocation method based on a neurobiologi-328 329 cal model of visual attention was proposed by Lee *et al.* [30], where the model was first used to predict high saliency regions 330 in input frames to generate a saliency map. Based on the hu-331 man foveated retina characteristic, top salient locations in the 332 saliency map were located and used to generate a guidance map. 333 This guidance map was then used to guide the bit allocation pro-334 cess by tuning the QP values. The approach is based on the study 335 [31] which showed that a saliency map model can accurately 336 predict the human gaze. 337

338 D. State-of-the-art HEVC Rate Control Method

It was shown that there exists a robust relation between the rate R (in bits per pixel) and Lagrange multiplier λ which can be expressed with a hyperbolic function [17]:

$$R = a \cdot \lambda^b, \tag{1}$$

where a and b are parameters related to the video source. An 342 example of $R-\lambda$ relationship is shown in Fig. 1. Due to its im-343 proved accuracy and robustness, the rate control method based 344 on the R- λ model defined in (1) has been included in the HM 345 reference implementation since version 9.0, and it was there at 346 the time of writing (Version 16.7). The algorithm can be divided 347 into two parts: bit-allocation, and achievement of target bit-rate 348 utilizing the R- λ model. The bit-allocation part is considered at 349 350 three different levels, namely SOP, frame, and basic unit level.



POC 0 POC 1 POC 2 POC 3 POC 4 POC 5 POC 6 POC 7 POC 8 Display Order

Fig. 2. Random access SOP used in the experiments.

Basic unit in this context is represented by 64×64 CUs, also 351 denoted as CTU in the HEVC standard [4]. When allocating 352 bits at a frame-level, each frame is weighted differently de-353 pending on which hierarchical level in the SOP it belongs to, 354 and assuming a random access SOP configuration as used in 355 [32]. A picture structure that corresponds to the SOP configu-356 ration used is depicted in Fig. 2, where the picture order count 357 (POC) for each picture is shown to highlight the difference be-358 tween display and coding order. The random access SOP also 359 defines how the QP changes on a frame basis. More precisely, 360 let QP_{base} , which is an encoding parameter used to generally 361 control the output bit-rate, be the QP value for intra frames, 362 then $QP_{\text{base}} + 1$ will be used for POC 8 frames, $QP_{\text{base}} + 2$ 363 for POC 4 frames, $QP_{\text{base}} + 3$ for POC 2 and POC 6 frames, 364 and $QP_{\text{base}} + 4$ for POC 1, 3, 5 and 7 frames. Throughout this 365 paper, when the QP structure is set according to the aforemen-366 tioned values, the encoding will be denoted as variable bit-rate 367 (VBR) coding. At basic unit level, the weights to allocate the 368 available bit-budget are calculated dynamically using the pre-369 diction error from a collocated basic unit in the previously coded 370 frames belonging to the same temporal layer. 371

Once the target rate is determined, it is straightforward to 372 determine λ using the inverse of relation (1): 373

$$\lambda = \alpha \cdot R^{\beta},\tag{2}$$

where α and β are model parameters. However, the main prob-374 lem here is how to determine the parameters α and β , which 375 are generally content dependent. Also, in case of random ac-376 cess SOP structure, different temporal layers may have differ-377 ent model parameters, and hence multiple sets of parameters 378 have to be used within the sequence. In the existing approach, 379 the corresponding α and β are continuously updated after en-380 coding one basic unit or one frame. Finally, the QP value is 381 determined as: 382

$$QP = c_1 \cdot \ln \lambda + c_2, \tag{3}$$

where c_1 and c_2 are set to 4.2005 and 13.7122, respectively. 383 Obviously, QP is rounded to the nearest integer value for practical use. Finally, to keep the video quality consistent, both λ 385 and QP should not change significantly with time. Hence, λ and 386 QP value range is bounded with respect to the values used in previously encoded frame and basic unit. 388



Fig. 3. QP values for the first 100 frames of the *Boxing* test sequence which correspond to the rate obtained with QP value 31 for VBR. QP values used by rate control in HM are denoted with dotted grey line, while QP values associated with the random access SOP are depicted with black.

TABLE I Test Material Description

Sequence name	Fps	Туре	Sequence name	Fps	Туре
ParkAndBuildings	50	outdoor	TableCar	50	objects
NingyoPompoms	50	objects	TapeBlackRed	60	sport
ShowDrummer1	60	drama	Hurdles	50	sport
Sedof	60	outdoor	LongJump	50	sport
Petitbato	60	outdoor	Discus	50	sport
Manege	60	outdoor	Somersault	50	sport
ParkDancers	50	outdoor	Boxing	50	sport
CandleSmoke	50	drama	Netball	50	sport

389 Although the rate control method described above shows improved coding performance compared to previous methods pro-390 posed for HM, it was noticed that it is significantly under per-391 forming at the beginning of the sequence, resulting in degraded 392 quality of experience. In particular, very high QP values (up 393 to 51 in some cases) were used for frames at the beginning 394 395 of the sequence, as shown in Fig. 3. This is expected, since the initial α and β values for all frame layers are set to prede-396 termined values of 3.2003 and -1.3670, respectively. That is 397 sub-optimal, as λ and corresponding QP value are not calcu-398 lated using the right model parameters. With model parameters 399 400 α and β getting continuously updated, the model will gradually become more accurate resulting in better visual quality 401 with time. However, in applications with frequent or continu-402 ous scene changes, such as broadcasting, this type of behavior 403 is highly undesirable, as it results in high quality variations of 404 405 the decoded signal. To overcome this, a two-pass rate control method which accurately predicts parameters α and β , and has 406 small latency is proposed in this paper. In the proposed approach, 407 a short period at the beginning of the sequence is encoded using 408 a reduced set of tools to calculate the initial model parameters 409 410 which are used to improve the encoding performance, especially at the beginning of the sequence or after a scene change 411 happens. 412

413 III. PROPOSED TWO-PASS RATE CONTROL

This section presents the proposed two-pass rate control method for compression of UHDTV video content. Besides describing the proposed method, it is also interesting to ana-416 lyze the current limitations for the state-of-the-art HEVC rate 417 control method as well as the theoretical performance that can 418 be achieved in case of unlimited computational resources [33], 419 i.e. when the encoder can perform the pre-encoding step test-420 ing all possible coding modes to derive the actual bit-rate pro-421 file, which is then used in the real encoding step. Through-422 out the whole section, a fast HEVC encoder implementation 423 based on HM Version 12.0 [14] will be considered and de-424 noted as HM-fast. For more details about the HM-fast codec, 425 the reader is referred to [34]. The test material and experi-426 mental conditions are described in the first subsection. Results 427 and findings from the analysis are reported in the second sub-428 section, while the following subsections describe the proposed 429 method. 430

A. Test Material and Coding Conditions

The test set used in this paper is composed of 16 sequences 432 with 8 bits per component, 4:2:0 chroma format, 3840×2160 433 spatial resolution, and frame rate of 50 and 60 fps. The names 434 of these sequences, along with the type of content portrayed 435 are listed in Table I. Each sequence is coded with four QP 436 values. They have been determined by visually inspecting the 437 test set compressed with QP ranging from 22 to 45, to deter-438 mine a good coverage of different visual quality levels: from 439 very good (i.e. coding artifacts unnoticeable) to fairly poor 440 (i.e. coding artifacts visible and annoying). Content denoted 441 as outdoor portrays external scenes. Some of these sequences 442 contain water and complex motion (e.g. PetitBato, Sedof and 443 Manege) or sharp details and camera panning (e.g. ParkAnd-444 Buildings), and large area picturing grass (e.g. ParkAndBuild-445 ings and ParkDancers). Content denoted as drama corresponds 446 to indoor scenes representative of television drama. Content de-447 noted as *objects* represents indoor scene with moving objects. 448 This content is not fully representative of UHDTV material, but 449 given its spatial and temporal features, is challenging from the 450 compression point of view. Finally, content denoted as *sport*, 451 represents various sports content containing indoor and outdoor 452 sequences. 453

All the sequences have been encoded according to the Joint 454 Collaborative Team On Video Coding (JCT-VC) common test 455 conditions (CTC) [32] using the selected QP values and the 456 random access main (RA-Main) configuration, as this is repre-457 sentative of the encoding settings used in broadcasting services. 458 Throughout this paper, compression efficiency and rate inac-459 curacy are used as performance metrics. For compression effi-460 ciency, the metric used is the Bjøntegaard delta-rate (BD-rate) 461 computed according to [35] between the anchor data (i.e. the 462 sequences compressed with JCT-VC CTC) and the sequences 463 compressed according to the described experiments. In this con-464 text, negative BD-rate values will correspond to compression 465 efficiency gains. Given the use of 4:2:0 chroma format, only the 466 BD-rate for the luminance component will be considered. The 467 rate inaccuracy is measured as an absolute percentage deviation 468 from the target rate. Lower value corresponds to higher rate 469 accuracy. 470

TABLE II BD-Rate (BD-R) and Rate Control Inaccuracy (I) for the Three Experiments Described in Section III-B

	Experime	ent 1	Experime	ent 2	Experiment 3		
Sequence	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	
ParkAndBuildings	4.2	1.3	4.7	0.0	2.3	0.0	
NingyoPompoms	6.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	3.5	0.0	
ShowDrummer1	23.4	0.0	2.2	0.0	1.2	0.0	
Sedof	3.9	0.0	5.0	0.0	5.0	0.0	
Petitbato	8.8	0.1	2.1	0.1	1.6	0.0	
Manege	1.4	0.0	2.6	0.0	2.0	0.0	
ParkDancers	5.0	1.1	-0.1	0.0	-0.4	0.0	
CandleSmoke	16.2	0.0	4.2	0.0	2.3	0.0	
TableCar	8.2	1.8	2.0	0.0	1.3	0.0	
TapeBlackRed	13.6	0.2	2.3	0.0	0.8	0.0	
Hurdles	8.9	0.1	2.3	0.0	2.3	0.0	
LongJump	5.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	3.9	0.0	
Discus	4.1	0.0	3.1	0.0	3.8	0.0	
Somersault	21.9	0.0	7.5	0.0	1.1	0.0	
Boxing	6.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.3	0.0	
Netball	4.1	0.0	2.4	0.0	1.2	0.0	
Average	8.8	0.3	3.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	

471 B. Performance Analysis for the State-of-the-art HEVC Rate 472 Control Method

This section presents the analysis performed over the state-473 of-the-art HEVC rate control method. Three experiments were 474 conducted. In the first experiment, the coding efficiency of the 475 existing rate control method in HM is measured in terms of 476 477 BD-rate between the coding performance of the HM-fast codec encoded with VBR and HM-fast with rate control and using 478 the bit-rate from VBR as target value. The BD-rate and rate 479 inaccuracy for the above described experiment are shown in 480 Table II as *Experiment 1*. As may be noted, the existing rate 481 control method in HM produces significant coding losses com-482 pared with VBR encoding. For instance, BD-rate losses larger 483 than 20% are reported in some cases. To investigate the possible 484 source of such high encoding losses, Table III shows the BD-rate 485 measured on different intra periods for every tested sequence. 486 As may be noted, the BD-rate penalty is mostly concentrated 487 at the beginning of the sequence (i.e. in the first intra period). 488 Average BD-rate penalty for the first intra period is considerably 489 higher than in the rest of the sequence. This can be explained 490 by the fact that the existing rate control method in HM uses 491 predetermined parameter values for $R-\lambda$ model at the beginning 492 of the sequence, since it has no prior knowledge of the content 493 currently being encoded. The rate inaccuracy for all sequences 494 seems to be sufficiently low. 495

In the second experiment, SOP and frame-level bit-allocation 496 in the HM rate control method were bypassed, and the bit-497 budget was instead derived from the numbers of bits spent on 498 each frame during VBR encoding. To handle the cases of bit un-499 derspending or overspending, a simple rate management scheme 500 was added to redistribute the differential bits to future frames 501 based on their weights associated with the SOP used. The frame 502 503 weights are determined based on the temporal layer in the SOP a given frame belongs to and their values are reported in [17]. 504 This process is repeated after encoding each frame. The aim of 505 this experiment is twofold: on the one hand, the bit-allocation as 506 designed in the rate control method of HM can be tested and its 507 accuracy assessed. On the other hand, also the accuracy of the 508 R- λ model can also be thoroughly investigated. The BD-rates 509 for the luminance component associated with this experiment 510 are shown in Table II and are denoted as *Experiment 2*. It can 511 be observed that replacing the existing SOP and frame-level 512 bit-allocation, with the frame size obtained from VBR encod-513 ing mode, improves the performance significantly. Moreover, 514 the accuracy of achieving the target rate was further improved 515 compared to the existing rate control method in HM. 516

The third experiment aimed to examine the impact of initial-517 izing the model parameters with correct values. As described 518 in SubSection II-D, the initial values of parameters α and β in 519 (2) for all temporal layers in the SOP are set to a predetermined 520 value in the rate control method of HM. In this experiment, the 521 bit-rates and associated λ values, obtained from VBR encoding 522 and using four different QP values, were used to fit the R- λ 523 model from Eq. (2). The fitting is performed differently for each 524 SOP temporal layer and for each sequence. The cost minimized 525 during the fitting is the sum of absolute differences between the 526 QP value predicted by the model and the one used during en-527 coding. The QP derived by the model is obtained by Eq. (3). The 528 reason for minimizing the cost using the QP value is because 529 a poor performance of the rate control method was observed 530 when minimization was applied to λ . In fact, small differences 531 in the λ value may translate into large differences for QP, when 532 λ values are small. The α and β values obtained from the fitting 533 were used to initialize the corresponding parameters for frames 534 of each temporal layer. As in the previous experiment, SOP 535 and frame-level bit-allocation were replaced with the coding 536 rate obtained from VBR encoding. The results of this experi-537 ment are shown in Table II as *Experiment 3*. It can be seen that 538 the encoding performance of modified rate control method has 539 been further improved, with rate inaccuracy achieving almost 540 theoretical minimum, i.e. zero. 541

The results of these experiments show that the existing rate 542 control method in HM can be improved by replacing the SOP 543 and frame-level bit-allocation with the coding rate associated 544 with VBR encoding and initializing the parameters based on 545 fitting the actual rate in the R- λ model. However, in practical 546 applications, this information is not available prior to encoding 547 and in order to obtain it, a full sequence needs to be encoded 548 using at least 3 different QP values, resulting in a massive com-549 putational overhead. The proposed rate control method over-550 comes these complexity issues, as explained in the following 551 subsections. 552

C. Bit-Rate Profile Analyzer for Pre-Encoding Step 553

During pre-encoding, a rate control method encodes a given 554 video segment (e.g. one SOP or one intra period) and uses the 555 coding rate to derive the number of bits spent in each frame. 556 Having this information would allow the rate allocation stage 557 to distribute the bit-budget accordingly, where the higher the 558 rate spent on a frame, the higher the bits allocated to it. This 559

7

TABLE III

BD-RATE (IN PERCENTAGE) PER INTRA PERIOD (IP) DISTRIBUTION. FOR TEST SEQUENCES WITH 50 FPS IP WAS SET TO 48, WHILE FOR 60 FPS SEQUENCES IP WAS SET TO 64. IN CASE THE SEQUENCE HAS LESS THAN 10 IPS, THE VALUES IN CORRESPONDING FIELDS IN THE TABLE ARE MARKED AS N/A

Sequence	$1^{\rm st}$ IP	2^{nd} IP	$3^{\rm rd}$ IP	4^{th} IP	5^{th} IP	6^{th} IP	7^{th} IP	8^{th} IP	9^{th} IP	10 th IP
ParkAndBuildings	6.9	2.7	1.7	3.5	3.8	6.3	7.0	5.2	8.4	6.6
NingyoPompoms	15.5	4.7	5.5	6.6	6.7	4.9	3.4	7.2	4.4	5.6
ShowDrummer1	29.6	9.0	4.5	38.7	29.4	-4.8	-0.9	23.7	N/A	N/A
Sedof	2.9	3.4	3.0	3.5	4.4	4.9	4.4	4.4	3.9	N/A
Petitbato	12.1	4.8	6.2	7.3	11.0	8.3	8.9	10.8	9.7	N/A
Manege	1.4	0.8	0.7	0.9	1.2	1.3	1.8	2.2	2.8	N/A
ParkDancers	4.4	6.6	8.7	6.0	0.7	3.1	14.6	8.8	4.4	1.0
CandleSmoke	32.3	6.2	19.5	7.5	32.3	7.3	22.4	5.7	5.2	5.4
TableCar	5.5	15.0	24.6	-1.8	9.1	0.9	7.9	1.6	2.6	N/A
TapeBlackRed	29.7	4.3	7.0	7.7	7.7	6.9	7.1	5.1	5.7	3.9
Hurdles	9.2	1.7	2.9	3.1	3.3	4.0	2.8	4.2	3.8	12.4
LongJump	5.2	3.3	5.4	3.3	6.4	3.7	11.9	4.7	9.8	2.3
Discus	0.2	5.3	7.9	7.2	5.5	17.9	11.7	N/A	N/A	N/A
Somersault	30.0	13.1	18.6	12.9	7.0	16.0	25.0	10.3	9.6	8.4
Boxing	14.8	4.4	7.8	4.8	4.8	4.6	2.8	2.4	6.8	6.8
Netball	4.3	9.6	4.3	2.1	4.0	2.0	1.2	2.7	4.8	2.7
Average	12.7	5.9	8.0	7.1	8.6	5.5	8.2	6.6	5.8	5.5





 TABLE IV

 PEARSON CORRELATION COEFFICIENT BETWEEN THE CODING RATE FOR

 DIFFERENT SOP TEMPORAL LAYERS SPENT BY HM-FAST AND BOTH SE1

 AND SE2 FOR THE ENTIRE TEST SET

SOP temporal layer	SE1	SE2	
Intra	0.9841	0.9857	
0	0.9578	0.9836	
1	0.9559	0.9860	
2	0.9670	0.9871	
3	0.9604	0.9875	

Fig. 4. Percentage of total encoding time spent on testing different coding unit depths (a); and distribution of prediction tasks when the CU depth is equal to zero (b).

pre-encoding step is performed in VBR mode and, ideally, 560 the encoder should test all possible coding modes that would 561 be tested during the actual encoding to obtain a bit-rate pro-562 file which is as accurate as possible. However, by doing so, the 563 amount of complexity involved can be prohibitive, even for ap-564 plications without real time constraints and running on parallel 565 computing architectures. One may be also tempted to re-use 566 the coding modes derived during pre-encoding for actual com-567 pression to speed up the whole process. However, given that 568 those modes where derived for a fixed quantization step, i.e. 569 a fixed Lagrange multiplier, they may be sub-optimal when a 570 different QP is selected by the rate control method. Therefore, 571 the coding modes used during pre-encoding can be only par-572 tially re-used and the aforementioned claim on computational 573 complexity needs to be carefully addressed. 574

In the proposed rate control method, a simplified version 575 of HM-fast is used. To derive this simplified encoder (SE), 576 the workload associated with HM-fast was profiled to identify 577 the most demanding parts in terms of computational complex-578 ity. Fig. 4(a) shows the percentage of encoding time spent on 579 testing different CU depths for all sequences belonging to the 580 test material. It can be seen that the most encoding time is 581 spent while testing CUs at depth 0. Hence, testing of depth 582 0 may be considered as the most important among all the 583 available depths. Fig. 4(b) shows the distribution of predic-584 585 tion tasks for CUs at depth 0 for all sequences belonging to the test set. It can be seen that sub-pel ME is the most time 586 consuming inter-prediction module. That is followed by integer precision ME and bi-prediction. However, it should be 588 noted that some tasks, such as integer precision ME, are critical 589 and cannot be removed without greatly affecting the encoding 590 process. 591

From this profiling, two configurations for the simplified en-592 coder have been defined and hereafter denoted SE1 and SE2. In 593 SE1, the size for each CU is set to 64×64 , sub-pel (i.e. half-594 and quarter-pel) and bi-directional ME are disabled. In SE2, 595 32×32 CUs are also considered, along with half-pel precision 596 ME. Both simplified encoders can significantly reduce the av-597 erage encoder complexity (by almost 75% for the case of *SE1*), 598 for considerable drop in coding efficiency. However, as stated 599 above, the ultimate goal of the pre-encoding stage is to derive 600 the profile on how the coding rate is spent in relative terms, i.e. 601 what is the percentage of bits spent on a given frame over the 602 total rate used. To measure how accurate the profile derived by 603 both SE1 and SE2 is, the Pearson correlation coefficient was 604 measured on a frame basis between the coding rate spent by 605 HM-fast and either SE1 and SE2. Table IV shows these corre-606 lation coefficients for different SOP layers. As may be noted, 607 even in case of SE1, the correlation coefficient is still fairly 608 high. This confirms the validity of using the rate obtained from 609

TABLE V Parameters for Predicting the Rate from Simplified Encoder Model for Different SOP Temporal Layers

	SI	E1	SE2		
SOP temporal layer	k	l	k	l	
Intra	0.3986	1.0576	0.4575	1.0493	
0	1.1785	0.9722	0.9462	0.9970	
1	0.8126	0.9822	0.8535	0.9951	
2	1.2695	0.9421	1.4818	0.9492	
3	1.8709	0.9011	1.4378	0.9495	

simplified encoders to estimate the actual rate in unconstrainedVBR mode.

Even though good correlation values are obtained for both 612 encoders, the rate spent by either the simplified encoders (R_{SE}) 613 is on a different scale with respect to the one spent by HM-614 fast (R_{orig}) . The reason for this resides in the limited num-615 ber of coding modes tested by the simplified encoders which 616 results in increased bit-rate compared with encoder operating 617 618 with the full set of coding tools. To correct the rate values obtained by SE1 and SE2, the following hyperbolic model was 619 used: 620

$$R_{\rm orig} = k \cdot R_{SE}^l,\tag{4}$$

where k and l are model parameters. It should be noted that different parameter values were used for frames at different temporal layers, as shown in Table V. These parameters were derived by performing the least squares fitting on frame data from the test material. This can be formulated as:

$$\underset{k,l}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} \left(R_{\operatorname{orig},i} - k \cdot R_{SE,i}^{l} \right)^{2}, \tag{5}$$

where N is the number of frames from the same SOP tempo-626 ral layer used for fitting. The output of the pre-encoding stage 627 can be successfully used for SOP and frame-level bit-allocation. 628 629 However, in order to initialize the parameters for the $R-\lambda$ model used to derive the QP for each coding block, some additional 630 pre-encoding steps would be required to fit the R- λ curve result-631 ing in increased computational complexity. The next subsection 632 will describe how the proposed rate control method addresses 633 this issue by performing bit-rate profile and model parameters 634 estimation in one pre-encoding step. 635

636 D. Pre-Encoding with Variable QP Within Frame

Subsection III-B demonstrated that initializing the R- λ model 637 parameters on a per sequence and QP basis led to improved 638 coding performance of the rate control. However, in practical 639 640 applications, it is not feasible to encode a sequence with different QP values (e.g. 4 values) in order to fit the $R-\lambda$ model. This 641 642 section describes the proposed variable QP (VQP) framework designed to reduce the computational complexity associated 643 with the pre-encoding phase in rate control. 644

The main idea of VQP framework is to encode different CTUs in a frame with different QP values by performing only one, instead of multiple encodings. Accordingly, different CTUs within



Fig. 5. Variable QP pattern used within a frame for different frame types. Each square represents one CTU. (a) Intra frames. (b) Inter frames.

a frame are encoded with different QP values which are in relation with λ as described in Eq. (3). The rate obtained for those CTUs is collected separately and used to fit the R- λ model defined in Eq. (2) to obtain parameters α and β .

After the parameters α and β are available, the actual encoding can be performed. It should be noted that the described 653 VQP is not an additional step performed during pre-encoding, 654 but it is a framework applied during the bit-rate profile analysis 655 described in Section III-C. Therefore, no additional processing 656 is required by the proposed VQP. 657

Besides using VQP to derive the right R- λ model parameters, 658 it should be noted that it can also be used in the decision on the 659 initial QP value for the first intra frame and the pre-encoding 660 stage. In fact, once the R- λ for the video segment under analysis 661 is available, the target rate value is used to derive the associated 662 λ and QP value using Eqs. (2) and (3), respectively. The QP 663 value derived is then used as the value for the bit-rate profile 664 analysis, as well as for the first intra frame. 665

The main assumption behind the proposed VQP method, is 666 that CTUs sharing the same QP value are representative of the 667 whole statistics associated with the content. To guarantee this, 668 appropriate sampling of the available CUs should be performed. 669 In this paper, two sampling patterns are defined for intra- and 670 inter-coded frames, as depicted in Fig. 5, where each square 671 represents one CTU. Given that the sampling pattern is regular, 672 each QP value will have associated CTUs coming from different 673 image areas. By considering all tested QP values, the derived 674 points on the R- λ model would allow for a more accurate fitting, 675 rather than if the points were derived from CTUs referring to 676 particular image areas (e.g. texture). For intra-coded frames, the 677 four values in Fig. 5(a) are the same as suggested in [32], while 678 in Fig. 5(b) the offset value is set equal to 2. The reason for using 679 two different patterns in intra and inter frames is because R- λ 680 model for intra frames is used to derive the initial QP, so a wider 681 R- λ curve is needed. Therefore, the four QP values as specified 682 in [32] are used. On the other hand, the VQP pattern for inter 683 frames which is used to derive the R- λ model allows statistics 684 to be collected while not interfering significantly with motion 685 estimation and compensation operated by either SE1 and SE2. 686

E. Workflow of the Proposed Two-Pass Rate Control Algorithm 687

This section presents the overall workflow associated with the proposed rate control algorithm. As stated above, there are two Algorithm 1: Processing for the proposed rate control algorithm.

Require: Target bit-rate \overline{R}

- 1: Encode the first frame of the video sequence with the VQP pattern in Fig. 5(a)
- Collect the coding rate R_{QP} and compute the associated λ for each QP value tested in the VQP pattern
- 3: Fit the R- λ curve and set the average rate for the first intra picture \bar{R}_I to $\bar{R}/F \times 6$, where F is the frame rate of a sequence
- 4: Derive the initial QP, QP_{ini} using Eqs. (2) and (3), and \bar{R}_I
- 5: for all intra periods in the sequence do
- 6: Encode the current intra period IP with the simplified encoder (*SE1* or *SE2*), encode the intra frame with fixed QP_{ini} and encode the remaining inter frames with the VQP pattern in Fig. 5(b), where QP is determined based on SOP temporal layer of a frame
- 7: Collect the coding rate R_I for the first intra frame
- 8: Set $r_2 = \frac{R_{IP}}{R_I}$ as the ratio between the number of bits obtained for the intra period and intra frame
- 9: Adjust the rate for the intra frame as $R_I \leftarrow R_I \times r_2$ and recompute QP_{ini} using the R- λ curve derived in Step 3
- 10: For each frame in *IP* adjust the allocated bit-budget according to the bit-rate profile derived from the simplified encoder
- 11: Derive parameters α and β for the model in Eq. (2) from the data associated with the tested QP values in the VQP pattern in Fig. 5(b)
- 12: Run actual encoding using the data for rate control derived in the previous steps

13: end for

main processing steps involved: pre-encoding with the proposed 690 VQP method, and encoding with the results gathered from the 691 first step. The processing operated by the proposed rate con-692 trol method is summarized in the pseudo code of Algorithm 1. 693 Prior to pre-encoding a sequence with VBR mode using VQP 694 framework, the initial QP for the intra frame has to be estimated. 695 Since only the estimated R- λ curve for the intra frame is avail-696 able prior to performing Step 4 of Algorithm 1, the initial QP 697 is estimated using some previously known statistics. However, 698 when the ratio between the number of bits spent on intra frame 699 and total number of bits spent for all frames in intra period is 700 known (i.e. after completing the pre-encoding for a given intra 701 period), the initial QP value used during the second-pass CBR 702 703 encoding is recomputed, as described in Step 9.

The overall processing for the proposed rate control method is also depicted in Fig. 6. The pre-encoding stage introduces a delay which can be minimized using multi-threading with one thread dedicated to pre-encoding, so that only one intra period delay (i.e. approximately 1 second) is introduced. It is worth



Fig. 6. Block diagram of the proposed approach.

pointing out that the delay resulting from pre-encoding of one 709 intra period does not imply the usage of a CPB of the same 710 size of one intra period. In fact, during the actual encoding 711 (Step 12), the size of the CPB can be set according to the 712 constraints specified in the selected level and tier. 713

IV. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

714

This section presents the performance of the proposed two-715 pass rate control method. The test material, coding configura-716 tion, and performance indicators are the same as described in 717 Subsection III-A. All the results presented here will use as ref-718 erence the HM-fast codec run in VBR mode. The target rate 719 values fed as input to the proposed rate control algorithm will 720 be therefore the ones associated with HM-fast run in VBR. All 721 the tests were run on a Linux cluster of Intel Xeon X3450 with 722 2.67 GHz clock frequency and 8 GB of RAM. 723

Table VI shows the experimental results for the proposed 724 two-pass rate control method. When compared to the VBR en-725 coding mode, the proposed rate control method achieves an 726 average BD-rate coding penalty of 2.9% with 14.8% rate inac-727 curacy. This compares favorably with the state-of-the-art HEVC 728 rate control method which provides on average 8.8% BD-rate 729 losses with 0.3% rate inaccuracy. It should be noted that even 730 though the proposed method provides a lower encoder inaccu-731 racy, it still meets the requirements associated with the appli-732 cation scenario considered in the Introduction (i.e. up to 30% 733 bit-rate deviation from the target value within a period of 3 734 seconds). It is also interesting to analyze the trade-off between 735 the two defined simplified encoders used in the pre-encoding 736 stage. Therefore, Table VI also shows the BD-rate and rate in-737 accuracy for SE1 and SE2. As expected, SE2 provides a better 738 performance, namely in terms of coding efficiency penalty, with 739 respect to SE1. When using SE1 during the pre-encoding and 740 replacing the SOP and frame-level bit-allocation with rate pre-741 diction from SE1, the proposed rate control method achieves 742 4.8% BD-rate losses with 15.2% rate inaccuracy. If initial val-743 ues for α and β parameters are set based on the model fitting 744 using the data obtained from pre-encoding, the proposed en-745 coder achieves on average 3.8% BD-rate losses with 15.2% rate 746 inaccuracy. Even better encoding performance can be obtained if 747

TABLE VI EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS IN TERMS OF BD-RATES (BD-R) AND RATE INACCURACY (I). ALL THE TESTS WERE PERFORMED UNDER THE RA-MAIN CONFIGURATION

	HM rate control		SE1 rate c	SE1 rate control		SE2 rate control		l with param. init.	SE2 rate control with param. init	
Sequence	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]
ParkAndBuildings	4.2	1.3	6.6	13.7	5.8	15.6	4.7	13.7	4.4	15.6
NingyoPompoms	6.5	0.0	3.9	2.3	3.3	3.1	4.9	2.3	4.3	3.1
ShowDrummer1	23.4	0.0	10.5	36.0	9.8	33.4	9.5	36.0	8.8	33.4
Sedof	3.9	0.0	7.8	11.2	5.8	11.6	6.3	11.2	5.2	11.6
Petitbato	8.8	0.1	-1.2	13.5	-1.2	14.4	-1.0	13.5	-0.7	14.4
Manege	1.4	0.0	10.3	7.0	5.6	7.4	8.7	7.0	4.2	7.4
ParkDancers	5.0	1.1	1.5	5.2	2.4	5.9	1.0	5.2	2.1	5.9
CandleSmoke	16.2	0.0	2.4	13.5	2.5	15.3	0.9	13.5	0.7	15.3
TableCar	8.2	1.8	0.5	4.1	0.7	4.0	-0.2	4.1	-0.9	4.0
TapeBlackRed	13.6	0.2	4.0	4.8	3.3	4.4	2.8	4.8	2.4	4.4
Hurdles	8.9	0.1	5.6	20.3	2.6	19.6	5.3	20.3	2.4	19.6
LongJump	5.0	0.0	6.0	15.2	5.4	14.5	5.1	15.2	3.8	14.5
Discus	4.1	0.0	8.2	77.1	5.2	67.6	5.8	77.1	3.8	67.6
Somersault	21.9	0.0	5.9	5.3	5.4	4.4	1.6	5.3	1.3	4.4
Boxing	6.5	0.0	2.0	4.0	1.6	7.1	2.7	4.0	2.5	7.1
Netball	4.1	0.0	2.7	9.7	2.5	8.1	2.4	9.7	2.2	8.1
Average	8.8	0.3	4.8	15.2	3.8	14.8	3.8	15.2	2.9	14.8

TABLE VII EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS IN TERMS OF BD-RATES (BD-R) AND RATE INACCURACY (I) FOR THE MODIFIED RATE ALLOCATION PART. ALL THE TESTS WERE PERFORMED UNDER THE RA-MAIN CONFIGURATION

	HM rate control		Modified RC based on SE1		Modified RC	Modified RC based on SE2		SE1 MRC with param. init.		SE2 MRC with param. init.	
Sequence	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	BD-R [%]	I [%]	
ParkAndBuildings	4.2	1.3	6.8	0.3	4.6	0.4	6.5	0.4	5.2	0.4	
NingyoPompoms	6.5	0.0	4.1	0.0	5.1	0.0	3.6	0.0	4.5	0.0	
ShowDrummer	23.4	0.0	11.4	0.1	9.5	0.1	11.1	0.1	9.0	0.1	
Sedof	3.9	0.0	7.7	0.2	5.8	0.2	6.9	0.2	5.0	0.2	
Petitbato	8.8	0.1	-0.4	0.0	-1.2	0.1	0.0	0.0	-1.0	0.1	
Manege	1.4	0.0	11.0	0.0	9.2	0.0	7.2	0.0	5.3	0.0	
ParkDancers	5.0	1.1	2.5	1.3	2.4	1.7	3.2	1.6	3.7	2.3	
CandleSmoke	16.2	0.0	8.4	0.5	6.9	0.4	10.5	0.6	7.9	0.5	
TableCar	8.2	1.8	1.9	1.1	-0.1	1.4	1.8	1.4	1.1	1.5	
TapeBlackRed	13.6	0.2	4.7	0.5	4.2	0.3	4.7	0.6	4.5	0.4	
Hurdles	8.9	0.1	8.6	0.0	9.0	0.0	6.0	0.0	5.8	0.0	
LongJump	5.0	0.0	5.2	0.0	3.8	0.0	5.9	0.0	3.3	0.0	
Discus	4.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.5	0.0	1.0	0.0	
Somersault	21.9	0.0	8.8	0.0	4.4	0.0	9.4	0.0	5.2	0.0	
Boxing	6.5	0.0	2.7	0.0	3.2	0.0	2.3	0.0	3.8	0.0	
Netball	4.1	0.0	2.2	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.1	0.0	2.0	0.0	
Average	8.8	0.3	5.5	0.3	4.4	0.3	5.2	0.3	4.1	0.3	

SE2 is used during the pre-encoding. When replacing the SOP and frame-level bit-allocation with rate prediction from SE2, the proposed rate control method achieves 3.8% BD-rate losses with 14.8% rate inaccuracy. Finally, when initializing the parameters α and β with data obtained from model using information from SE2, 2.9% BD-rate losses can be achieved for 14.8% rate inaccuracy.

To further improve the accuracy of the proposed algorithm, 755 an additional experiment was conducted, whereby the frame-756 level bit allocation was modified as follows. The weight used 757 to determine the bit budget for each frame was computed as 758 the ratio between the coding bits used for that frame and the 759 total bits spent over the entire intra period by the selected sim-760 plified encoder (i.e. SE1 or SE2). The frame weights were then 761 used to allocate the bits at frame level, assuming equal rate 762

distribution among intra periods in the sequence. Table VII 763 shows the associated experimental results. It can be seen that 764 significant accuracy improvements are brought by this new 765 frame-level bit-allocation. When using SE1 during the pre-766 encoding and replacing the SOP and frame-level bit alloca-767 tion with the aforementioned approach, the modified rate con-768 trol method achieves 5.5% BD-rate losses with significantly 769 reduced rate inaccuracy of 0.3%. If the initial values for pa-770 rameters α and β are set based on the model fitting using the 771 data obtained from pre-encoding, the modified encoder would 772 achieve an average 4.4% BD-rate losses with 0.3% rate inaccu-773 racy. When considering *SE2*, the modified rate control method 774 achieves on average 5.2% BD-rate losses with reduced rate 775 inaccuracy of 0.3%. Finally, when also initializing the parame-776 ters α and β with data obtained from model using information 777



Fig. 7. QP values for the first 100 frames of the *Boxing* test sequence which correspond to the rate obtained with QP value 31 for VBR. QP values for the VBR configuration are depicted with black, QP values used by the state-of-the-art HEVC rate control are denoted with dotted grey line, while QP values used by the proposed rate control method are denoted with solid grey line. (a) Rate control based on *SE1*. (b) Rate control based on *SE2*.

TABLE VIII Standard Deviation of Frame PSNRs for Different Encoders

IABLE IX	
AVERAGE SSIM VALUES FOR THE ANCHOR AND DIFFERENT RA	TI
CONTROL METHODS	

	Standard deviation of frame PSNRs							
Sequence	VBR	RC in HM	RC-SE1	RC-SE2				
Boxing (QP 23)	0.5269	0.7226	0.4821	0.4891				
Boxing (QP 31)	0.5538	0.8956	0.6318	0.5546				
ShowDrummer1 (QP 29)	0.7564	0.8113	0.6761	0.6513				
ShowDrummer1 (QP 36)	0.4791	0.8181	0.6185	0.5488				
Manege (QP 27)	0.5283	0.6940	0.4945	0.5162				
Manege (QP 35)	0.5719	0.7814	0.7014	0.7446				
TableCar (QP 24)	0.6502	0.6882	0.6603	0.6594				
TableCar (QP 30)	0.2705	0.6020	0.3151	0.3349				
Petitbato (QP 25)	0.5693	0.7647	0.4746	0.4711				
Petitbato (QP 35)	0.6367	0.7676	0.6345	0.6264				
Average	0.5543	0.7546	0.5689	0.5596				

Sequence	VBR	HM RC	RC SE1	RC SE2	MRC SE1	MRC SE2
ParkAndBuildings	0.963	0.964	0.994	0.994	0.962	0.994
NingyoPompoms	0.968	0.968	0.997	0.997	0.968	0.997
ShowDrummer1	0.860	0.859	0.977	0.977	0.860	0.978
Sedof	0.901	0.901	0.988	0.988	0.899	0.987
Petitbato	0.840	0.838	0.946	0.946	0.840	0.948
Manege	0.876	0.877	0.974	0.975	0.869	0.976
ParkDancers	0.866	0.868	0.965	0.965	0.867	0.965
CandleSmoke	0.897	0.897	0.985	0.985	0.897	0.984
TableCar	0.862	0.864	0.984	0.984	0.864	0.985
TapeBlackRed	0.969	0.968	0.986	0.986	0.968	0.986
Hurdles	0.950	0.950	0.984	0.984	0.949	0.985
LongJump	0.951	0.950	0.989	0.990	0.950	0.989
Discus	0.942	0.935	0.963	0.966	0.936	0.975
Somersault	0.950	0.950	0.977	0.977	0.950	0.977
Boxing	0.959	0.959	0.998	0.998	0.959	0.997
Netball	0.952	0.952	0.984	0.984	0.951	0.983
Average	0.919	0.919	0.981	0.981	0.918	0.982

from SE2, 4.1% BD-rate losses can be achieved for 0.3% rate inaccuracy.

It should be noted that the complexity of the second pass of the proposed rate control method is not different with respect to the one of the HM rate control method. As illustrated in Fig. 6, pre-encoding stage introduces a small latency required to process the frames related with the first intra period. Using parallel processing would limit the latency to only initial preencoding for the first intra period.

The version of the HM codec used in the experiments does not 787 implement any scene change detector. However, the behaviour 788 of the proposed rate control at the beginning of a sequence is 789 equivalent to what happens after a scene change. In fact, when 790 a scene change happens, the parameters α and β of the R- λ 791 model will be reset to their initial values. Moreover, the internal 792 buffers used to keep track of the QP and λ values for clipping 793 purposes will be also emptied. This resembles to the same initial 794 condition at the beginning of the sequence. 795

As described in Subsection II-D, when using the existing rate control method in HM, QP values at the beginning of the sequence tend to be much higher than in the VBR case, resulting in degraded Quality of Experience. Fig. 7 shows the comparison 799 of QP values used at the beginning of the sequence between the 800 existing and the proposed rate control method. It can be seen 801 that QP values used by the proposed method are considerably 802 lower than those used by the existing method, and generally 803 correlate more with QP values from the VBR encoding mode. 804

Furthermore, since one of the aims of the rate control is to 805 smooth the visual quality fluctuations in time, visual quality 806 can also be quantified as the standard deviation of frame-based 807 PSNR values. Table VIII shows the standard deviation of frame 808 PSNRs for some of the sequences from the test set. It can be 809 seen that the standard deviation of PSNR values obtained for 810 the proposed rate control method based on SE1 and SE2 are 811 significantly lower than the one associated with the HEVC rate 812 control method. Furthermore, the standard deviation of PSNR 813 values obtained for the rate control method based on both SE1 814 and SE2 are very close to the one of unconstrained VBR encod-815 ing mode. 816

Finally, the perceptual SSIM metric was computed for the 817 anchor and the proposed rate control method with different bit 818 allocation schemes. The average SSIM values for test sequences 819 are shown in Table IX. It can be seen that almost all versions of 820 the proposed rate control algorithm achieve considerably higher 821 perceptual quality when compared with the rate control method 822 in HM. This verifies the claim that the proposed rate control 823 methods also improve perceptual quality. 824

V. CONCLUSION

UHDTV is expected to deliver an enhanced visual quality 826 TV services with the improved Quality of Experience com-827 pared to the existing HDTV services. Apart from higher spa-828 tial resolution, UHDTV has a potential to deliver wider color 829 gamut, high dynamic range and high frame rate. To allow for 830 more efficient delivery of such an enormous amount of data, 831 832 the current state-of-the-art HEVC standard has been recently developed and standardized. It greatly outperforms the previ-833 ous video coding standards in terms of compression efficiency. 834 However, when transmitting a video sequence over a limited 835 836 bandwidth network, visual quality fluctuation with time plays a crucial role to provide the high Quality of Experience. Rate 837 control in video coding aims to optimize the bit-distribution 838 to achieve the highest possible video quality for a given band-839 width constraint. However, in many practical applications with 840 841 frequent scene changes, the existing rate control methods perform sub-optimal, resulting in degraded visual quality at the 842 scene beginning. To overcome this issue, a two-pass rate con-843 trol method was proposed in this paper. A simplified encoder 844 was used in the pre-encoding stage to obtain the bit-rate profile 845 for each intra period. A variable QP framework was designed 846 847 to avoid encoding a sequence multiple times for tuning the model parameters. When compared with VBR encoding mode, 848 the proposed two-pass rate control method achieves on aver-849 age lower compression losses, 2.9% BD-rate losses compared 850 to 8.8% BD-rate losses for the state-of-the-art HEVC rate con-851 852 trol method. The proposed method also achieves significantly 853 higher visual quality. Future research on the proposed method may involve integration of the hypothetical reference decoder 854 (HRD) model in the rate allocation process with variable buffer 855 size, as well as the use of perceptual models to distribute the 856 available bit-budget within one picture to further improve the 857 perceived video quality. 858

REFERENCES

- 860 [1] ITU-R, "Parameter values for ultra-high definition television systems 861 for production and international programme exchange," ITU-R, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. Rep. BT.2020, Aug. 2012. 862
- ITU-R, "Parameter values for the HDTV standards for production and 863 864 international programme exchange," ITU-R, Geneva, Switzerland, Tech. 865 Rep. BT.709-6, Jun. 2015.
- ETSI, "Digital video broadcasting (DVB); Specification for the use of 866 [3] 867 video and audio coding in broadcasting applications based on the MPEG-2 transport stream," ETSI, Sophia-Antipolis, France, Tech. Rep. TS 101 868 869 154. Mar. 2015.
- G. Sullivan, J. Ohm, W. Han, and T. Wiegand, "Overview of the high 870 [4] efficiency video coding (HEVC) standard," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 871 872 Video Technol., vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1649-1668, Dec. 2012.

- [5] T. Wiegand, G. Sullivan, G. Bjontegaard, and A. Luthra, "Overview of 873 the H.264/AVC video coding standard," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video 874 Technol., vol. 13, no. 7, pp. 560-576, Jul. 2003. 875
- [6] J. Ohm, G. Sullivan, H. Schwarz, T. K. Tan, and T. Wiegand, "Comparison 876 of the coding efficiency of video coding standards-Including high effi-877 ciency video coding (HEVC)," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., 878 vol. 22, no. 12, pp. 1669-1684, Dec. 2012. 879
- [7] T. K. Tan et al., "Video quality evaluation methodology and verification 880 testing of HEVC compression performance," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 881 Video Technol., vol. 26, no. 1, pp. 76-90, Jan. 2016. 882
- [8] Test Model 5, Feb. 2016. [Online]. Available: http://www.mpeg.org/ 883 MPEG/MSSG/tm5/ 884
- [9] H.-J. Lee, T. Chiang, and Y.-Q. Zhang, "Scalable rate control for MPEG-885 4 video," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 10, no. 6, 886 pp. 878-894, Sep. 2000. 887
- [10] K.-P. Lim, G. Sullivan, and T. Wiegand, "Text description of joint model 888 reference encoding methods and decoding concealment methods," Joint 889 Video Team, Tech. Rep. JVT-N046, Jan. 2005. 890
- [11] T. Chiang and Y.-Q. Zhang, "A new rate control scheme using quadratic 891 rate distortion model," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 7, 892 no. 1, pp. 246-250, Feb. 1997. 893
- [12] N. Kamaci, Y. Altunbasak, and R. Mersereau, "Frame bit allocation for 894 the H.264/AVC video coder via Cauchy-density-based rate and distor-895 tion models," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 15, no. 8, 896 pp. 994-1006, Aug. 2005. 897
- [13] H. Choi, J. Nam, J. Yoo, D. Sim, and I. V. Bajic, "Rate control based on unified RQ model for HEVC," Tech. Rep. JCTVC-H0213, Feb. 2012. 899
- [14] HM Reference Software, Jan. 2016. [Online]. Available: https://hevc. hhi.fraunhofer.de/HM-doc/
- [15] B. Lee and M. Kim, "Modeling rates and distortions based on a mixture of Laplacian distributions for inter-predicted residues in quadtree coding of HEVC," IEEE Signal Process. Lett., vol. 18, no. 10, pp. 571-574, Oct. 2011 905
- B. Lee, M. Kim, and T. Nguyen, "A frame-level rate control scheme based [16] on texture and nontexture rate models for high efficiency video coding," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video Technol., vol. 24, no. 3, pp. 465-479, Mar 2014
- [17] B. Li, H. Li, L. Li, and J. Zhang, "Lambda domain rate control algorithm 910 for high efficiency video coding," IEEE Trans. Image Process., vol. 23, no. 9, pp. 3841-3854, Sep. 2014. 912
- [18] Z. He, Y. K. Kim, and S. Mitra, "Low-delay rate control for DCT video coding via rho-domain source modeling," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. Video 914 Technol., vol. 11, no. 8, pp. 928-940, Aug. 2001.
- [19] S. Wang, S. Ma, S. Wang, D. Zhao, and W. Gao, "Quadratic rho-domain 916 based rate control algorithm for HEVC," in Proc. 2013 IEEE Int. Conf. 917 Acoust., Speech Signal Process., May 2013, pp. 1695-1699. 918
- M. Karczewicz and X. Wang, "Intra frame rate control based on SATD," [20] 919 Tech. Rep. JCTVC-M0257, Apr. 2013. 920
- [21] S. Li, M. Xu, and Z. Wang, "A novel method on optimal bit allocation 921 at LCU level for rate control in HEVC," in Proc. 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. 922 Multimedia Expo, Jun. 2015, pp. 1-6. 923
- [22] M. Wang and K. N. Ngan, "Optimal bit allocation in HEVC for real-time 924 video communications," in Proc. 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., 925 Sep. 2015, pp. 2665-2669. 926
- [23] L. Merritt, "x264: A high performance H.264/AVC encoder," Feb. 2016. 927 [Online]. Available: http://akuvian.org/src/x264/overview_x264_ 928 v8_5.pdf 929
- [24] J. Wen, M. Fang, M. Tang, and K. Wu, "R-lambda model based improved 930 rate control for HEVC with pre-encoding," in Proc. 2015 Data Compres-931 sion Conf., Apr. 2015, pp. 53-62. 932
- [25] S. Wang, A. Rehman, K. Zeng, and Z. Wang, "SSIM-inspired two-933 pass rate control for high efficiency video coding," in Proc. 2015 934 IEEE 17th Int. Workshop Multimedia Signal Process., Oct. 2015, 935 рр. 1-5. 936
- [26] L. Deng, F. Pu, S. Hu, and C.-C. J. Kuo, "HEVC encoder optimization based on a new RD model and pre-enoding," in Proc. 2013 Picture Coding Symp., Dec. 2013.
- [27] H.-M. Hu, B. Li, W. Lin, W. Li, and M.-T. Sun, "Region-based rate control 940 for H.264/AVC for low bit-rate applications," IEEE Trans. Circuits Syst. 941 Video Technol., vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 1564-1576, Nov. 2012 942
- [28] M. Meddeb, M. Cagnazzo, and B. Pesquet-Popescu, "ROI-based rate 943 control using tiles for an HEVC encoded video stream over a lossy 944 network," in Proc. 2015 IEEE Int. Conf. Image Process., Sep. 2015, 945 pp. 1389-1393. 946

825

859

898

03

900 901

902 903

904

906

907 908

909

911

913

915

937

938

- 947 [29] C.-W. Tang, C.-H. Chen, Y.-H. Yu, and C.-J. Tsai, "Visual sensitivity
 948 guided bit allocation for video coding," *IEEE Trans. Multimedia*, vol. 8,
 949 no. 1, pp. 11–18, Feb. 2006.
- 950 [30] Z. Li, S. Qin, and L. Itti, "Visual attention guided bit allocation in video compression," *Image Vision Comput.*, vol. 29, no. 1, pp. 1–14, Jan. 2011.
- [31] L. Itti, "Automatic foveation for video compression using a neurobiological model of visual attention," *IEEE Trans. Image Process.*, vol. 13, no. 10,
 pp. 1304–1318, Oct. 2004.
- [32] F. Bossen, "Common test conditions and software reference configurations," Tech. Rep. JCTVC-L1100, Oct. 2012.
- [33] I. Zupancic, M. Naccari, M. Mrak, and E. Izquierdo, "Studying rate control methods for UHDTV delivery using HEVC," in *Proc. 2016 Int. Symp. ELMAR*, 2016, pp. 47–50.
- [34] M. Naccari, A. Gabriellini, M. Mrak, S. Blasi, I. Zupancic, and E. Izquierdo, "HEVC coding optimisation for ultra high definition television services," in *Proc. 2015 Picture Coding Symp.*, May 2015, pp. 20–24.
- 963 [35] G. Bjøntegaard, "Improvements of the BD-PSNR model," ITU-T
- 964 SG16/Q6, 35th VCEG Meeting, Doc.VCEG-AI11, Jul. 2008.



Marta Mrak (SM'13) received the Dipl.-Ing. and998M.Sc. degrees in electronics engineering from the999University of Zagreb, Croatia, and the Ph.D. degree1000from the Queen Mary University of London, London,1001U.K. She was a Postdoctoral Researcher with the University of Surrey, U.K. and the Queen Mary University of London. She joined the BBC's Research and1004Development Department in 2010, to work on video1005compression research and the H.265/High Efficiency1006Video Coding standardization. She has co-authored1007over 100 papers and co-edited two books. She is an1008

elected Member of IEEE Signal Processing Society technical committee on
Multimedia Signal Processing and IEEE Circuits and Systems Society techni-
tal committee on Multimedia Systems and Applications. She is also acting as an
Area Editor of Signal Processing Image Communication journal. She has been
involved in several projects funded by the European Commission and U.K. re-
search and innovation funds (EPSRC and InnovateUK), and she is coordinating
Horizon 2020 Innovation Action COGNITUS, while also acting as a Supervisor1019
1010
10111018
1019
1010
10111011
10121019
1011
10121012
10131011
1015
10161016
1017



Ivan Zupancic (S'15) received the B.Sc. degree in computing and the M.Sc. degree (*cum laude*) in information and communication technologies from the Faculty of Electronic Engineering and Computing, University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia, in 2011 and 2013, respectively. He is currently working toward the Ph.D. degree with the Multimedia and Vision Research Group, Queen Mary University of London, London, U.K. His research interests include image and video coding.



988

989 990

991

992

993

994 995

996

997

Matteo Naccari (M'08) received the Laurea degree in computer engineering and the Ph.D. degree in electrical engineering and computer science from the Technical University of Milan, Milan, Italy, in 2005 and 2009, respectively. After earning the Ph.D. degree he spent more than two years as a Postdoc at the Instituto de Telecomunicações, Multimedia Signal Processing Group, Lisbon, Portugal. Since September 2011, he joined BBC R&D, London, U.K., as a Senior Research Engineer working in the video compression team. His research interests are mainly focused in the

video coding area where he works or has worked on video transcoding architectures, error resilient video coding, automatic quality monitoring in video content delivery, subjective assessment of video transmitted through noisy channels, integration of human visual system models in video coding architectures and encoding techniques to deliver ultra high definition content in broadcasting applications. He also actively participates to the standardization activities led by the Joint Collaborative Team On Video Coding where he has served as a Co-editor for the specification text of the HEVC Range Extensions. He has authored more than 30 scientific publications for journals, conferences, and book chapters.



Ebroul Izquierdo (M'97–SM'03) received the 1018 M.Sc., C.Eng., and Ph.D. degrees in 1990 and 1993, 1019 respectively. 1020

He is a Chair of Multimedia and Computer Vision and Head of the Multimedia and Vision Group in the School of Electronic Engineering and Computer Science, Queen Mary University of London, London, U.K. He holds several patents in the area of multimedia signal processing, and has authored or co-authored more than 500 technical papers including books and chapters in books.

Prof. Izquierdo is a Fellow of the Institution of Engineering and Technology 1029 and a Member of the British Machine Vision Association. He is a Chartered 1030 Engineer, Member of the Visual Signal Processing and Communications Tech- 1031 nical Committee of the IEEE Circuits and Systems Society and a Member of the 1032 Multimedia Signal Processing Technical Committee of the IEEE. He is an IEEE 1033 Circuits and Systems Society Distinguished Lecturer from 2016 to 2017. He 1034 has been an Associated Editor of the IEEE TRANSACTIONS ON CIRCUITS AND 1035 SYSTEMS FOR VIDEO TECHNOLOGY (2002-2010) and the IEEE TRANSACTIONS 1036 ON MULTIMEDIA (2010-2015). He is a Member of the editorial board of several 1037 other journals in the field. He has been a Member of the Organizing Committee 1038 of several conferences and workshops in the field of image and video pro-1039 cessing including the IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, the 1040 IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech, and Signal Processing, 1041 the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems, the IEEE Visual 1042 Communications and Image Processing Conference, and the IEEE International 1043 Conference on Multimedia and Expo. 1044