

Mosunov, Andrei A and Pashkova, Irina and Sidorova, Maria and Pronozin, Artem and Lantushenko, Anastasia and Prylutskyy, Yuriy I and Parkinson, John A and Evstigneev, Maxim P (2017) Determination of equilibrium constant of C60 fullerene binding with drug molecules. Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 19 (9). pp. 6777-6784. ISSN 1463-9076 , http://dx.doi.org/10.1039/c6cp07140f

This version is available at https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/59787/

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: <a href="mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk">strathprints@strath.ac.uk</a>

The Strathprints institutional repository (https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output.

# Determination of equilibrium constant of C60 fullerene binding with drug molecules

Andrei A. Mosunov<sup>1</sup>, Irina S. Pashkova<sup>1</sup>, Maria Sidorova<sup>1</sup>, Artem Pronozin<sup>1</sup>, Anastasia O. Lantushenko<sup>1</sup>, Yuriy I. Prylutskyy<sup>2</sup>, John A. Parkinson<sup>3\*</sup>, Maxim P. Evstigneev<sup>1,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, Sevastopol State University, Universitetskaya str., 33, 299053 Sevastopol, Crimea

<sup>2</sup>Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv, Volodymyrska Str., 64, 01601 Kyiv, Ukraine

<sup>3</sup>WestCHEM Department of Pure and Applied Chemistry, University of Strathclyde, 295 Cathedral Street, Glasgow G1 1XL, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> Department of Biology and Chemistry, Belgorod State University, Belgorod 308015, Russia

\* Authors to whom correspondence should be addressed.

#### Abstract

We report a new analytical method that allows the determination of the magnitude of the equilibrium constant of complexation,  $K_h$ , of small molecules to  $C_{60}$  fullerene in aqueous solution. The developed method is based on the up-scaled model of  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand complexation and contains the full set of equations needed to fit titration datasets arising from different experimental methods (UV-Vis spectroscopy, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, diffusion ordered NMR spectroscopy, DLS). The up-scaled model takes into consideration the specificity of  $C_{60}$  fullerene aggregation in aqueous solution and allows the highly dispersed nature of  $C_{60}$  fullerene cluster distribution to be accounted for. It also takes into consideration the complexity of fullerene-ligand dynamic equilibrium in solution, formed by various types of self- and hetero-complexes. These features make the suggested method superior to standard Langmuir-type analysis, the approach used to date for obtaining quantitative information on ligand binding with different nanoparticles.

### Introduction

 $C_{60}$  fullerene is now one of the most interesting and well-known allotropic forms of carbon particularly through its association with a large range of applications at the interface of biology, chemistry and physics [1-4]. A particular issue associated with  $C_{60}$  fullerene is its ability to non-covalently bind various biologically active compounds (ligands) associated with the extended  $\pi$ -electron system of the  $C_{60}$  fullerene surface. This arises as a consequence of strong  $\pi$ -stacking interactions with other small molecules in aqueous solution [5]. The effect of complexation had mainly been investigated in terms of the formation of supramolecular  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand ordered structures [6,7], host-guest phenomena [8,9] or  $C_{60}$  fullerene binding with micelles [10,11]. However, during the past five years the complexation of pristine  $C_{60}$  fullerene with small drug molecules in aqueous solution has become a major focus of scientific investigation. In part, this is due to recent successes in combining co-administered  $C_{60}$  fullerene with antitumor drugs, resulting in improvement of medico-biological effects both *in vivo* and *in vitro* [12-15].

The dynamic equilibrium in solution, containing the mixture of  $C_{60}$  fullerenes and ligand molecules able to non-covalently bind with fullerene, in its simplest case may be quantified using two principal physico-chemical parameters, viz. the equilibrium microscopic binding constant,  $K_h$ , characterizing the reaction of ligand-fullerene complexation, and the equilibrium microscopic aggregation constant,  $K_F$ , characterizing the fullerene aggregation. Although the

dynamic equilibrium properties of  $C_{60}$  fullerene aqueous solutions appear to be complex and involve two stages of  $C_{60}$  fullerene cluster formation, viz. fast (diffusion-limited) and slow (reaction-limited) modes [16,17], it is considered that within short experiment times (i.e. the fast mode), the  $C_{60}$  fullerene aqueous solution may be considered to exist in an equilibrium state. A few attempts to measure  $K_h$  in non-aqueous systems are available in the literature (see [5] for a recent review). However, to the best of our knowledge the first experimental determination of  $K_h$ for various ligands in water was reported in [18,19] using light absorption spectrophotometry. Two major difficulties were noted, namely:

- (i) the existence of 'ligand-induced  $C_{60}$  fullerene aggregation' resulting in dramatic changes to the titration curve. This effect cannot currently be accounted for resulting in the undesired consequence of being forced to operate with significantly reduced working concentration ranges;
- (ii) the very approximate evaluation of the  $C_{60}$  fullerene aggregation, considering just a limited number of small  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters.

It is also worth adding that the two difficulties mentioned do not exhaust the problems by which the investigator may be challenged when addressing the quantification of fullerene-ligand interactions. The ligand adsorption into the fullerene clusters apparently results in very complex molecular equilibria comprising various cluster-ligand complexes, which is difficult to evaluate strictly even for relatively simple ligand-ligand hetero-associations (see [20] for a review). It should thus be recognized that the existing approaches do not allow for the evaluation of fullerene-ligand interaction at wholly satisfactory level, and the method suggested in [18,19], although yielding the first measurement of  $K_h$  in aqueous solution, gives very approximate values of the equilibrium complexation constants.

In follow-up investigations, the complexation of  $C_{60}$  fullerene with doxorubicin [21, 22] and cisplatin [23] showed that the problems encountered when working with  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand systems run much deeper than first realized. It was recognized that the range of classical physico-chemical methods typically utilized for determining binding constants for different molecules, such as <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, UV-Vis spectroscopy and isothermal titration calorimetry, appears to be generally useless for quantifying the  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand equilibrium. On the other hand, diffusion properties of the interacting molecules had been shown to act as a good measure of the interaction, which has provided a clue for the present study.

In summary, it may be concluded that the existing elaboration of the methodology for determining  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand binding constants enables very approximate magnitudes of  $K_h$  to be obtained, originating from the lack of accurate complexation models or any clear understanding of what experimental method is best suited for studying such a system. In the

present work we report the development of analytical approaches which enable  $K_h$  to be measured for any C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complexation in aqueous solution, which accounts for the specificity of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene cluster formation.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The highly stable purified  $C_{60}$  fullerene aqueous colloid solution ( $C_{60}FAS$ , concentration 0.15 mg/ml) was prepared according to the method reviewed in [24] and based on the technology of transferring  $C_{60}$  molecules from toluene to an aqueous phase (heavy water) with the help of ultrasonic treatment. Full characterization of the morphology of the resultant  $C_{60}FAS$  is given in [24, 25].

Doxorubicin, ethidium bromide and Hoechst33256 (Sigma, Germany, Fig.1) were dissolved in  $C_{60}FAS$  at concentrations of 2 mM. Titration of the prepared solution was accomplished from a stock solution of drug prepared at the same concentration of 2 mM in heavy water. This procedure was used in order to maintain the concentration of drug and vary the  $C_{60}$  fullerene concentration.

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were acquired at a magnetic field strength of 14.1 T using a Bruker Avance III NMR spectrometer operating at a <sup>1</sup>H resonance frequency of 600.13 MHz and working under TopSpin version 2.1 (Bruker Biospin, Rheinstetten, Germany).

1D <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were acquired over a frequency width of 12.3 kHz (20.55 ppm) centered at a frequency offset equivalent to 6.175 ppm into 65536 data points during an acquisition time aq=2.66 s with a relaxation delay d1=2 s for each of 32 transients. All measurements have been performed under the fast exchange regimen on the NMR chemical shift timescale at *T*=298 K. Chemical shifts were measured relative to an internal reference standard of tetramethylammonium bromide (TMA) and recalculated with respect to sodium 2,2 dimethyl 2-silapentane-5-sulphonate (DSS) according to  $\delta_{DSS} = \delta_{TMA} + 3.178$  (ppm).

Diffusion measurements (Diffusion-Ordered NMR Spectroscopy, DOSY) were conducted using a bipolar gradient pulse program (Bruker pulse program ledbpgppr2s) in which presaturation was used to suppress residual solvent signal during the recycle delay. Typically 32 gradient increments were used by which the gradient strength, *g*, was varied linearly in the range  $2 \le g \le 95\%$  of full gradient strength (54 G/cm with a rectangular gradient) using a sine-shaped gradient profile. Typically the gradient pulse duration was set to 1 ms and the diffusion period to 200 ms. Diffusion data were processed under TopSpin (version 2.1, Bruker Biospin) using the T1/T2 analysis module in order to fit the data to the standard expression of diffusion coefficient as a function of gradient strength.

#### **Results and Discussion**

As discussed in the introductory section the principal difficulty of determining the magnitude of the equilibrium constant of ligand complexation with  $C_{60}$  is the need to take into consideration the specific non-linear effects in C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complexes and strong C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation. The latter results in highly dispersed solutions with formally indefinite stoichiometry m:n  $(m,n \rightarrow \infty)$  of the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complexes. Such systems are typically analyzed in terms of the ligand's adsorption into C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters using Langmuir and related analyses (see [5] for review) or using one-dimensional hetero-association models (see [20] for review). However, the standard adsorption models do not seem to be appropriate for such highly dispersed systems as C<sub>60</sub> fullerene in aqueous solution. For this reason the development of a complexation model that takes into account the specificity of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation is required. The most detailed analysis of complexation accounting for fractal and continuous C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregations was accomplished in [18]. However, the severe limitation to the number of C<sub>60</sub> molecules immobilized in a cluster was introduced. It does not allow large  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters with dimensions ~100 nm and greater seen in probe microscopy images and dynamic light scattering spectra (DLS) to be taken into consideration [16-18,24,25]. We faced a virtually identical problem in [26] when trying to quantify the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation in aqueous solution in terms of equilibrium aggregation constant  $K_F$ . The so-called 'up-scaled aggregation model' was suggested, considering  $C_{60}FAS$  as a solution resembling that of micelles whose dimensions are discretely increased layer on layer with increasing solute concentration. The fundamental assumptions behind this model are the spherical shape of the clusters being formed as a result of aggregation and the non-specific nature of monomer binding. As a consequence, based on DLS titration data the value  $K_F = 56000 \text{ M}^{-1}$  was obtained which is in a good agreement with the existing theoretical estimations and the results of energetic analyses. In the present work the basic idea behind the 'up-scaled aggregation model' was used for the development of the 'up-scaled C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand' complexation model.

The general formulation of any complexation model must contain the set of equations enabling experimental titration data to be fitted by means of adjusting the set of model parameters, of which  $K_h$  is the principal search parameter (see [20,27] for review). These equations are (i) the set of mass balance equations, and (ii) the set of equations linking the experimentally observable parameter with the parameters of dynamic equilibrium in aqueous solution (concentrations, equilibrium complexation constants). As set out below, these equations will be derived for the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complexation, and attested to by experimental data.

### Derivation of the mass balance equations of the up-scaled model.

According to the up-scaled model of  $C_{60}$  fullerene aggregation [26] the formation of  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters is considered as simultaneous binding of *R* monomeric  $C_{60}$  molecules (termed 'conglomerate') to the  $C_{60}$  fullerene core micelles containing *M*  $C_{60}$  fullerenes, with macroscopic binding constant, *K<sub>R</sub>*. Such binding results in formation of a  $C_{60}$  fullerene layer on the micelle which causes growth of  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters and eventually leads to the setup of their equilibrium distribution. The titration of the  $C_{60}$  fullerene aqueous solution with the ligand is considered in terms of dilution of the initial  $C_{60}$  fullerene concentration,  $C_0$  ( $C_0$ =0.14 mM), by a dilution factor, *r*. Consequently, the initial concentrations of the micelles, *C<sub>M0</sub>*, and conglomerates, *C<sub>R0</sub>*, are diluted by *r*.

Let us consider the complexation of the ligand with  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters as the binding of conglomerate containing *D* ligands with macroscopic complexation constant,  $K_{H}$ . Hence, the growth of  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters is determined by the attachment of  $C_{60}$  molecule and ligand layers (Fig.2). In such an approach, the searchable microscopic complexation constant may be defined as  $K_h = \frac{K_H}{D}$ . It follows that the full system of mass balance equations in the threecomponent system 'C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters,  $C_M$ ' – 'ligand conglomerates,  $C_D$ ' – 'C<sub>60</sub> fullerene conglomerates,  $C_R$ ' can be written as:

$$\begin{cases} C_{0} = MC_{M0} + RC_{R0} \\ \frac{C_{M0}}{r} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C_{M1} (K_{R}C_{R1})^{i} (K_{H}C_{D1})^{j} \\ \frac{C_{R0}}{r} = C_{R1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} iC_{M1} (K_{R}C_{R1})^{i} (K_{H}C_{D1})^{j} \\ C_{D0} = C_{D1}D + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \cdot D \cdot C_{M1} (K_{R}C_{R1})^{i} (K_{H}C_{D1})^{j} \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $C_{D1}$  is the concentration of ligand conglomerates non-complexed with C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters;  $C_{D0}$  is the total ligand concentration;  $C_{R1}$  and  $C_{M1}$  are the concentrations of non-complexed C<sub>60</sub> fullerene conglomerates and C<sub>60</sub> fullerene core micelles, respectively; indexes *i* and *j* denote the number of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene and ligand conglomerates, respectively, bound with the micelles containing  $M C_{60}$  molecules.

Let us further execute the procedure of up-scaling by analogy with that performed in [26] by multiplying each term in the first three expressions of eqs.(1) by M. The fourth equation is multiplied by D. The parameters inside the brackets,  $(K_R C_{R1})^i$ , are multiplied and divided by M. The parameters inside the brackets,  $(K_H C_{D1})^i$ , are multiplied and divided by D. The

corresponding transformation of eqs.(1) yields

$$\begin{cases} C_{0} = C'_{M0} + B \cdot C'_{R0} \\ \frac{C'_{M0}}{r} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} C'_{M1} (K'_{R}C'_{R1})^{i} (K_{h}C'_{D1})^{j} \\ \frac{C'_{R0}}{r} = C'_{R1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} iC'_{M1} (K'_{R}C'_{R1})^{i} (K_{h}C'_{D1})^{j} \\ C_{D0} = C'_{D1} + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} j \cdot H \cdot C'_{M1} (K'_{R}C'_{R1})^{i} (K_{h}C'_{D1})^{j} \end{cases}$$
(2)

where

$$B = \frac{R}{M}, \ K'_{R} = \frac{K_{R}}{M}, \ H = \frac{D}{M}, \ C'_{M0} = C_{M0} \cdot M, \ C'_{R0} = C_{R0} \cdot M, \ C'_{M1} = C_{M1} \cdot M, \ C'_{R1} = C_{R1} \cdot M,$$
$$C'_{D1} = C_{D1} \cdot D, \ K_{h} = \frac{K_{H}}{D}.$$

Evaluation of the sums in eqs.(2) gives

$$\begin{cases} C_{0} = C'_{M0} + B \cdot C'_{R0} \\ \frac{C'_{M0}}{r} = \frac{C'_{M1}}{\left(1 - K'_{R}C'_{R1}\right)\left(1 - K_{h}C'_{D1}\right)} \\ \frac{C'_{R0}}{r} = C'_{R1} + \frac{C'_{M1}K'_{R}C'_{R1}}{\left(1 - K'_{R}C'_{R1}\right)^{2}\left(1 - K_{h}C'_{D1}\right)} \\ C_{D0} = C'_{D1} + \frac{C'_{M1}HK_{h}C'_{D1}}{\left(1 - K'_{R}C'_{R1}\right)\left(1 - K_{h}C'_{D1}\right)^{2}} \end{cases}$$
(3)

Re-arranging the first and second equations in eqs.(3) with respect to  $C'_{R0}$  and  $C'_{M1}$  yields

$$\begin{cases} \frac{C_0 - C'_{M0}}{rB} = C'_{R1} + \frac{K_F B C'_{R1} C'_{M0}}{r(1 - K_F B C'_{R1})} \\ C_{D0} = C'_{D1} + \frac{K_h H C'_{D1} C'_{M0}}{r(1 - K_h C'_{D1})} \end{cases}$$
(4)

Further solution of eqs.(4) results in the full set of monomer concentrations

$$\begin{cases} C_{R1}' = \frac{r + C_{M0}' B K_F + (C_0 - C_{M0}') K_F - \sqrt{\left[r + C_{M0}' B K_F + (C_0 - C_{M0}') K_F\right]^2 - 4r K_F (C_0 - C_{M0}')}{2r B K_F} \\ C_{D1}' = \frac{r + C_{M0}' H K_h + C_{D0} K_h r - \sqrt{\left[r + C_{M0}' H K_h + C_{D0} K_h r\right]^2 - 4r^2 K_h C_{D0}}}{2r K_h} \\ C_{M1}' = \frac{C_{M0}'}{r} (1 - K_F B C_{R1}') (1 - K_h C_{D1}') \end{cases}$$
(5)

where  $K_F$ =56000 M<sup>-1</sup>, *B*=0.914,  $C_0$ =0.14 mM,  $C'_{M0}$  = 0.009 mM are known from previous studies of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation by means of the up-scaled model. Here, two quantities remain as

unknowns, viz. H and  $K_h$ , which may act as adjustable parameters during the fitting of experimental data. As long as H was introduced in eq.(2) as a ratio of ligand number in ligand conglomerates, D, to fullerene number in micelles, M, this parameter may thus be associated with stoichiometry of the fullerene-ligand binding reaction.

The specificity of the first expression in eqs.(5) is that it is identical to the same equation derived in [26] with respect to the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation in the absence of the ligand. This is quite expected as in the complexation model developed, the binding of the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene conglomerates (R) does not depend on the binding of the ligand conglomerates (D), i.e. the process of complexation does not affect the process of aggregation.

Eqs.(5) represent the central point of the up-scaled model of  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand complexation. Further analysis will be devoted to obtaining equations describing the experimental observable for various experimental methods which can be utilized for the measurement of the complexation constant.

#### Application to UV-Vis spectrophotometry.

The observed absorption of the ligand in the visible range of the electromagnetic spectrum (where the overlap of the  $C_{60}$  fullerene and the ligand spectra is minimal) in UV-Vis experiments is written as

$$A = \left(\varepsilon_m - \varepsilon_h\right)C'_{D1} + \varepsilon_h C_{D0} \tag{6}$$

where the extinction coefficient in the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complex,  $\varepsilon_h$ , goes as the third adjustable parameter in the up-scaled model, i.e. [ $K_h$ , H,  $\varepsilon_h$ ];  $\varepsilon_m$  is the extinction coefficient of the ligand in a monomer state, commonly known from the literature or it may be measured at infinite ligand dilution.

# Application to <sup>1</sup>H NMR experiment.

The observed <sup>1</sup>H NMR proton chemical shift must include the possibility of ligand selfassociation at high concentrations typically used in NMR (~mM). In the most general case of indefinite self-association with equilibrium constant  $K_D$  [28] the chemical shift measured for the  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand complexation is given as

$$\delta = \frac{C'_{D1}}{C_{D0} \left(1 - K_D C'_{D1}\right)} \left[ 2\delta_m - 2\delta_d + \frac{2\delta_d - \delta_m}{1 - K_D C'_{D1}} \right] + \delta_h \left[ 1 - \frac{C'_{D1}}{C_{D0} \left(1 - K_D C'_{D1}\right)^2} \right]$$
(7)

where the chemical shift in the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complex,  $\delta_h$ , goes as the third adjustable parameter in the up-scaled model, i.e. [ $K_h$ , H,  $\delta_h$ ];  $\delta_m$  is the ligand proton chemical shift in monomer state, commonly known from the literature or it may be measured at infinite ligand dilution. The first term in eq.(7) stands for the ligand self-association, and the second term represents the  $C_{60}$  fullerene-ligand binding.

### Application to DLS experiment.

The light scattering intensity recorded in typical DLS experiments is recalculated into the hydrodynamic diameter,  $d_z$ , of light scattering particles, and is determined by the square of molecular weight of the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters which had adsorbed the ligand. According to the up-scaled model these clusters comprise the core fullerene micelle, *i* ligand conglomerates and *j* C<sub>60</sub> fullerene conglomerates attached to it

$$\frac{1}{d_z} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(M + iR + jD\right)^2 f_{ij} \frac{1}{d_{ij}}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(M + iR + jD\right)^2 f_{ij}}$$
(8)

where  $f_{ij} = C'_{M1} (K_F B C'_{R1})^i (K_h C'_{D1})^j$  is the mole fraction of the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand clusters in aqueous solution;  $d_{ij} = d_1 \cdot \sqrt[3]{M + iR + jD} = d_0 \cdot \sqrt[3]{1 + i \cdot B + j \cdot H}$  is the diameter of cluster containing *i* and *j* layers. Here it is assumed that the hydrodynamic dimensions of the ligand and C<sub>60</sub> fullerene are commensurable and equal to  $d_1$ ;  $d_0$  is the diameter of the core micelle equal to 280 nm [26].

Taking into account the 'interaction effect' originating from the long-range interaction of  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters with each other and dramatically affecting their diffusion properties (see [26] for more detail), the following equation should be written

$$d_{z} = \frac{d_{0}}{1 + A' \frac{C'_{M0}}{r}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + i \cdot B + j \cdot H)^{2} f_{ij}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + i \cdot B + j \cdot H)^{5/3} f_{ij}}$$
(9)

where A' is given as the second virial coefficient characterizing the cluster-cluster long-range interaction [26], which may depend on the charge of the ligand. This model contains three adjustable parameters, i.e.  $[K_h, H, A']$ .

## Application to diffusion ordered NMR spectroscopy.

A powerful alternative to DLS is diffusion ordered NMR spectroscopy (DOSY) allowing measurement of the translational diffusion coefficient,  $D_z$ . The up-scaled model for this type of experiment is similar to DLS, although the weighing of the diffusion coefficient (or the hydrodynamic diameter as in DLS)  $(D_{ij} = \frac{kT}{3\pi\eta d_{ij}})$  must be accomplished against the first power

of molecular mass

$$D_{z} = kT \cdot \frac{1 + A' \frac{C'_{M0}}{r}}{3\pi\eta d_{0}} \cdot \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + i \cdot B + j \cdot H)^{2/3} f_{ij}}{\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + i \cdot B + j \cdot H) f_{ij}}$$
(10)

where  $\eta$  is the solvent viscosity; *k* is the Boltzmann constant; *T* is the absolute temperature; *d*<sub>0</sub> is the diameter of the solution component used to acquire NMR magnetization decay, which in case of DOSY is the characteristic ligand dimension; *A'* is the second virial coefficient [26];  $f_{ij} = C'_{M1} (K_F B C'_{R1})^i (K_h C'_{D1})^j$ . This model formally contains three adjustable parameters, i.e.  $[K_h, H, A']$  although *d*<sub>0</sub> could also be taken as an adjustable quantity.

# Data analysis in the up-scaled model.

The set of search binding parameters in the up-scaled model, specific to each experimental method and described above, can be determined by means of fitting the experimental titration curves given as a dependence of the experimental observable on concentration. The fitting may be accomplished by standard computer procedures, implemented in mathematical software such as Matlab, Mathematica or MathCAD, which minimize a sum of square deviations of the experimental and theoretically calculated [eqs.(6),(7),(9),(10)] observable at each concentration point (i.e. the discrepancy). Thus the discrepancy may be used as a measure of goodness of fit. In the present work the fitting procedure was carried out in Matlab.

## *Experimental study of C*<sup>60</sup>*fullerene-drug complexation.*

In order to test the up-scaled model developed in the present work we used the set of aromatic drug molecules representing a good model system to investigate the mechanism of  $C_{60}$  fullerene-drug interaction [12-15,18-22]: doxorubicin (DOX), methylene blue (MB), proflavine (PF), ethidium bromide (EB) and Hoechst33258 (HT) (Fig.1). All of these drugs absorb in the visible range of the electromagnetic spectrum suggesting that UV-Vis spectrophotometry is appropriate for studying the complexation with  $C_{60}$  fullerene (due to minimal spectral overlap between the  $C_{60}$  and drug molecules), whereas the standard DLS method will not be appropriate for such analysis (due to overlap of the wavelengths of the incident laser beam and absorption maximum).

The measurement of the UV-Vis experimental titration curve for DOX, PF and MB drugs was accomplished in [18] and outlined in Fig.3. The curves are differential (i.e. obtained as a difference of the absorptions in  $C_{60}$  fullerene-drug and  $C_{60}$ FAS) and are characterized by initial

decrease of the optical density (due to direct drug binding to  $C_{60}$  molecules), replaced by further increase (due to additional scattering from 'ligand-induced  $C_{60}$  fullerene aggregation', see Fig.4b in [18]). The observed changes reflect two distinct processes, of which the latter is cooperative. Full account of both processes within a single model is difficult. Hence, the titration range was reduced in [18] and the computation of the binding parameters accomplished. In the present work the same reduced dataset was fitted by means of the up-scaled model (eqs.(5)-(6)). The resultant parameters given in Table 1 evidence a good quality of fit and correlation of the parameters derived from the simplified model [18] and from the accurate up-scaled model developed here.

Further analysis was performed with respect to <sup>1</sup>H NMR (Fig.4) and DOSY NMR (Fig.5) titration data for DOX, EB and HT drugs binding with C<sub>60</sub> fullerene. It is seen from Fig.4 that the changes in proton chemical shifts with concentration of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene are relatively small (~0.01...0.04 ppm) as compared with the error of reproducibility of the NMR experiment. This result was also noted in [21] and explained by increased distance between the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene and drug surfaces in the complex thereby minimizing the effective magnetic shielding of the drugs' protons. This indicates that the use of <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration data for aromatic drugs binding to C<sub>60</sub> fullerene is inappropriate. In contrast, the DOSY curves (Fig.5) demonstrate quite strong concentration dependence. Moreover, the trend is different (i.e. decreasing or increasing). Previously the unexpected shape of the diffusion curves was noted for DOX binding with C<sub>60</sub> fullerene [21] and explained by the 'interaction effect' discussed above with respect to the DLS (eq.(9)) and DOSY (eq.(10)) models. The decrease/increase of the curves observed in Fig.5 appears to be also the consequence of the interplay between the 'interaction effect' (leading to increase of  $D_z$ ) and the drug's adsorption into C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters (leading to a decrease of  $D_z$ ). Fitting of the data in Fig.5 by means of eqs.(5),(10) results in the set of binding parameters outlined in Table 2. The discrepancy between the theoretical model and experimental data ( $\sim 10^{-3}$ ) is good enough to indicate the appropriateness of the up-scaled model (corresponding to the goodness of fit  $R^2 \approx 0.95$ ). The magnitudes of  $K_h$  weakly depend on the type of ligand evidencing non-specific adsorption of the drugs into the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters. This is expected because the drugs have similar dimensions and electrostatic charge states (bearing positive charges under neutral solution conditions). Similar conclusions may be drawn with respect to the magnitude of parameter H, which is weakly dependent upon the type of ligand and reflects the ratio of the number of particles in the ligand conglomerates and core micelle (eq.(2)). The ability to get reliable  $K_h$  values for the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-drug systems, demonstrated here, without any severe restrictions to conditions of experiment or model assumptions is the principal value of the developed up-scaled model, which thereby makes it superior to standard Langmuir-type adsorption analyses.

A final note should be given with respect to the magnitude of the virial coefficient,  $A'_{,}$ characterizing the cluster-cluster long-range interaction. In [26] the value of A' for the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation in aqueous solution was obtained as  $A' \approx 2 \cdot 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}$ , whereas in the present work this value for the  $C_{60}$  fullerene-drug complexations became negative (see Table 2). This result may be explained by intrinsic repulsion of negatively charged C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters in aqueous solution (giving a positive A' value in the case of aggregation without the ligand) and slight attraction of the clusters containing positively charged drug molecules (giving a negative A' value in the case of drugs complexing with C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters). These conclusions are supported by the available  $\xi$ -potential measurements for C<sub>60</sub>FAS without the drug and for the mixture of DOX with C<sub>60</sub>FAS [21], i.e. the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene clusters are characterized by deep negative values of  $\xi$ -potential, whereas the addition of positively-charged DOX to C<sub>60</sub>FAS causes a significant shift of  $\xi$ -potential to small positive values. However, the A' parameter should not totally be related to the electrostatic charge of the C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-drug clusters. Large particles in aqueous solution, even being electrically neutral, also interact via long-range van der Waals interactions, formed by summation of the standard  $1/r^6$  attractive London potential [29]. It follows that the up-scaled model developed in the present work, in addition to measurement of  $K_h$ , may also provide unique information on the long-range cluster-cluster interaction.

### Conclusions

In the present work we report a new analytical method enabling the determination of the magnitude of the equilibrium constant of complexation,  $K_h$ , of small molecules binding to C<sub>60</sub> fullerene in aqueous solution. The developed method is based on the up-scaled model of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand complexation and contains the full set of equations needed to fit titration dataset data for different experimental methods (UV-Vis spectroscopy, <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy, diffusion ordered NMR spectroscopy, DLS). The up-scaled model takes into consideration the specificity of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation in aqueous solution allowing the highly dispersed nature of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene cluster distribution to be accounted for. This feature makes the suggested method superior to standard Langmuir-type analysis, a method used to date for obtaining quantitative information on ligand binding with different nanoparticles.

It is suggested that measurement of the translational diffusion coefficient by means of diffusion-ordered NMR spectroscopy is probably the most suited method for measuring  $K_h$  for C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-ligand systems. Many common methods such as <sup>1</sup>H NMR, isothermal titration calorimetry, UV-Vis and DLS do not sense interaction or involve additional severe restrictions to

the analytical procedure, thus lowering the reliability of analysis. In contrast, the DOSY method senses the translational diffusion coefficient of the drug, which is determined by interplay of two major processes, viz. the ligand adsorption into  $C_{60}$  fullerene clusters and the cluster-cluster long-range interaction. Both of these features have been incorporated into the up-scaled model. In addition, from the value of the second virial coefficient, A', the method provides uniquely quantitative information on the long-range cluster-cluster interaction, exerting correlation with the standard  $\xi$ -potential of nanoparticles measured in aqueous solution.

In conclusion it should be noted that one of the principal difficulties in quantifying the fullerene-ligand binding process is accounting for the non-linear cooperative processes (such as the ligand-induced fullerene aggregation), which were not considered in the present work, but is thought to be possible within the up-scaled model, and will be a matter for further studies.

# Acknowledgements.

This work was supported by Russian Science Fund, project no.17-13-01075.

### References

- 1. Cataldo, F.; Da Ros, T. *Medicinal chemistry and pharmacological potential of fullerenes and carbon nanotubes;* 1 ed.; Springer: Amsterdam, 2008; Vol. 1.
- A. Montellano, T. Da Ros, A. Bianco, and M. Prato, Fullerene C<sub>60</sub> as a multifunctional system for drug and gene delivery, *Nanoscale* 2011, 3, 4035-4041.
- 3. S. V. Prylutska, A. P. Burlaka, Y. I. Prylutskyy, U. Ritter and P. Scharff, Pristine C<sub>60</sub> fullerenes inhibit the rate of tumor growth and metastasis *Exp. Oncol.*, 2011, **33**, 162-164.
- A. Kumar, Fullerenes for biomedical applications J. Environmental Appl. Biores. 2015, 3, 175-191.
- 5. K. Yang and B. Xing, Adsorption of organic compounds by carbon nanomaterials in aqueous phase: Polanyi theory and its application, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, **110**, 5989-6008.
- P. D. W. Boyd and C. A. Reed, Fullerene-Porphyrin Constructs Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 235-242.
- M. Li, S. Ishihara, Q. Ji, M. Akada, J. Hill and K. Ariga, Paradigm shift from selfassembly to commanded assembly of functional materials: recent examples in porphyrin/fullerene supramolecular systems *Sci. Technol. Adv. Mater.*, 2012, 13, 053001-15.
- K.-H. Le Ho, I. Hijazi, L. Rivier, C. Gautier, B. Jousselme, G. de Miguel, C. Romero-Nieto, D. M. Guldi, B. Heinrich, B. Donnio and S. Campidelli, Host-guest complexation of [60]fullerenes and porphyrins enabled by 'Click chemistry' *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 11374-11381.
- 9. N.O. Mchedlov-Petrossyan, Fullerenes in liquid media: an unsettling intrusion into the solution chemistry *Chem. Rev.* 2013, **113**, 5149-5193.
- M. Dallavalle, M. Leonzio, M. Calvaresi and F. Zerbetto, Explaining fullerene dispersion by using micellar solutions *ChemPhysChem* 2014, 15, 2998-3005.
- W. Li, X. Zhu, J. Wang, R. Liang, J. Li, S. Liu, G. Tu and J. Zhu, Encapsulation of pristine fullerene C60 within block copolymer micelles through interfacial instabilities of emulsion droplets *J. Colloid Int. Sci.* 2014, **418**, 81-86.
- R. Injac, M. Perse, M. Cerne, N. Potocnik, N. Radic, B. Govedarica, A. Djordjevic, A. Cerar and B. Strukelj, Protective effects of fullerenol C<sub>60</sub>(OH)<sub>24</sub> against doxorubicin-induced cardiotoxicity and hepatotoxicity in rats with colorectal cancer *Biomaterials* 2009, **30**, 1184-1196.
- 13. S. Prylutska, I. Grynyuk, O. Matyshevska, Y. Prylutskyy, M. Evstigneev, P. Scharff and

U. Ritter.  $C_{60}$  fullerene as synergistic agent in tumor-inhibitory doxorubicin treatment. *Drugs R&D* 2014, **14**, 333-340.

- R. R. Panchuk, S.V. Prylutska, V. V. Chumak, N. R. Skorokhyd, L. V. Lehka, M. P. Evstigneev, Y. I. Prylutskyy, W. Berger, P. Heffeter, P. Scharff, U. Ritter and R.S. Stoika, Application of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene-doxorubicin complex for tumor cell treatment *in vitro* and *in vivo J. Biomed. Nanotechnol.*, 2015, **11**, 1139-1152.
- S. Prylutska, L. Skivka, G. Didenko, Y. Prylutskyy, M. P. Evstigneev, G. Potebnya, R. Panchuk, R. Stoika, U. Ritter and P. Scharff, Complex of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene with doxorubicin as a promising agent in antitumor therapy. *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2015, **10**, 1-7.
- J. Brant, H. Lecoanet and M. R. Wiesner, Aggregation and deposition characteristics of fullerene nanoparticles in aqueous systems *J. Nanopart. Res.* 2005, 7, 545-553.
- 17. K. L. Chen and M. Elimelech, Aggregation and deposition kinetics of fullerene (C<sub>60</sub>) nanoparticles *Langmuir* 2006, **22**, 10994-11001.
- M. P. Evstigneev, A. S. Buchelnikov, D. P. Voronin, Y. V. Rubin, L. F. Belous, Y. I. Prylutskyy, U. Ritter. Complexation of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene with aromatic drugs *ChemPhysChem* 2013, 14, 568-578.
- A. S. Buchelnikov, V. V. Kostyukov, M. P. Yevstigneev and Y. I. Prylutskyy, Mechanism of complexation of the phenothiazine dye methylene blue with fullerene C<sub>60</sub>. *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A* 2013, **87**, 662–667.
- 20. M. P. Evstigneev, Hetero-association of aromatic molecules in aqueous solution. *Int. Rev. Phys. Chem.* 2014, **33**, 229-273.
- Y. I. Prylutskyy, M. P. Evstigneev, I. S. Pashkova, D. Wyrzykowski, A. Woziwodzka, G. Gołuński, J. Piosik, V.V. Cherepanov and U. Ritter, Characterization of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene complexation with antibiotic doxorubicin *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2014, 16, 23164-23172.
- Y. I. Prylutskyy, M. P. Evstigneev, V. V. Cherepanov, O. A. Kyzyma, L. A. Bulavin, N. A. Davidenko and P. Scharff, Structural organization of C<sub>60</sub> fullerene, doxorubicin and their complex in physiological solution as promising antitumor agents *J. Nanopart. Res.* 2015, 17, 45-49.
- Y. I. Prylutskyy, V. V. Cherepanov, M. P. Evstigneev, O. A. Kyzyma, V. I. Petrenko, V. I. Styopkin, L. A. Bulavin, N. A. Davidenko, D. Wyrzykowski, A. Woziwodzka, J. Piosik, R. Kaźmierkiewicz and U. Ritter, Structural self-organization of C<sub>60</sub> and cisplatin in physiological solution *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2015, **17**, 26084-26092.
- Y. I. Prylutskyy, V. I. Petrenko, O. I. Ivankov, O. A. Kyzyma, L. A. Bulavin, O. O. Litsis,
  M. P. Evstigneev, V. V. Cherepanov, A. G. Naumovets and U. Ritter, On the origin of C<sub>60</sub>

fullerene solubility in aqueous solution Langmuir 2014, 30, 3967-3970.

- 25. U. Ritter, Y. I. Prylutskyy, M. P. Evstigneev, N. A. Davidenko, V. V. Cherepanov, A. I. Senenko, O. A. Marchenko and A. G. Naumovets, Structural features of highly stable reproducible C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aqueous colloid solution probed by various techniques. *Fullerenes, Nanotubes, Carbon Nanostruct.* 2015, **23**, 530-534.
- Y. I. Prylutskyy, A. S. Buchelnikov, D. P. Voronin, V. V. Kostjukov, U. Ritter, J. A. Parkinson and M. P. Evstigneev, C<sub>60</sub> fullerene aggregation in aqueous solution. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 15, 9351-9360.
- 27. P. Thordarson, Determining association constants from titration experiments in supramolecular chemistry, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, **40**, 1305-1323.
- R. B. Martin, Comparisons of indefinite self-association models *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 3043-3064.
- 29. J. P. K. Seville, C. D. Willett and P. C. Knight, Inter-particle forces in fluidization: a review *Powder Technol.* 2000, **113**, 261-268.

Table 1. The calculated parameters of the C60 fullerene-drug complexation derived from fitting ofthe reduced UV-Vis titration data

Adjustable parameter	C60-DOX	C60-MB	C60-PF
Н	3	3	2
$K_h, \mathrm{M}^{-1}$	21000 (46000)	8300 (7300)	9500 (12800)
discrepancy	8.2.10-3	6.8·10 <sup>-3</sup>	9.0.10-3

Note: the numbers in brackets represent the binding parameters computed by simplified complexation model in [18]

Table 2. The calculated parameters of the  $C_{60}$  fullerene-drug complexation derived from fitting of the DOSY NMR titration data by means of the up-scaled model

Adjustable parameter	C <sub>60</sub> -DOX	C <sub>60</sub> -EB	С60-НТ
Н	2.75	2.90	1.65
$K_h, \mathrm{M}^{-1}$	500	516	490
A', M <sup>-1</sup>	-16000	-16000	-14500
discrepancy	6.7·10 <sup>-3</sup>	2.8·10 <sup>-3</sup>	1.1.10-3



Figure 1 Structures of the studied drug molecules



Figure 2 Schematic representation of the complexation process in the up-scaled model



Figure 3 Experimental titration curves (reduced dataset, see discussion in the text; reprinted from [18] with permission)







Fig.4 Experimental dependencies of <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts of the drug molecules on fullerene C<sub>60</sub> concentration: (a) Doxorubicin, (b) Ethidium bromide, (c) Hoechst 33258



Fig.5 Experimental dependencies of translational diffusion coefficient of the drug molecules on fullerene C<sub>60</sub> concentration