

Obeid, Mohammad A. and Gebril, Ayman M. and Tate, Rothwelle J. and Mullen, Alexander B. and Ferro, Valerie A. (2017) Comparison of the physical characteristics of monodisperse non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NISV) prepared using different manufacturing methods. International Journal of Pharmaceutics. pp. 1-23. ISSN 0378-5173 , http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2017.02.007

This version is available at https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/59706/

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: <a href="mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk">strathprints@strath.ac.uk</a>

The Strathprints institutional repository (https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk) is a digital archive of University of Strathclyde research outputs. It has been developed to disseminate open access research outputs, expose data about those outputs, and enable the management and persistent access to Strathclyde's intellectual output.

1	Comparison of the Physical Characteristics of Monodisperse Non-Ionic Surfactant
2	Vesicles (NISV) Prepared Using Different Manufacturing Methods
3	
4	Mohammad A. Obeid <sup>1, 2</sup> , Ayman M. Gebril <sup>1</sup> , Rothwelle J. Tate <sup>1</sup> , Alexander B.
5	Mullen <sup>1</sup> , Valerie A. Ferro <sup>1*</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde,
7	161 Cathedral Street, G4 0RE Glasgow, United Kingdom.
8	<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Pharmacy, Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan.
9	*Corresponding author. E-mail Address: <u>v.a.ferro@strath.ac.uk</u> . Tel:+44 (0)141 548 3724
10	
11	Abbreviations
12	NISV: Non-ionic surfactant vesicles; TFH: Thin-film hydration; PBS: Phosphate buffered
13	saline; MPG: Monopalmitin glycerol; Chol: Cholesterol, DCP: Dicetyl phosphate; AFM:
14	Atomic force microscope; PDI: Polydispersity index; ZP: Zeta potential; FRR: flow rate
15	ratios; TFR: total flow rates.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

24 Abstract

Non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NISV) are synthetic membrane vesicles formed by self-25 assembly of a non-ionic surfactant, often in a mixture with cholesterol and a charged 26 27 chemical species. Different methods can be used to manufacture NISV, with the majority of these requiring bulk mixing of two phases. This mixing process is time-consuming and 28 leads to the preparation of large and highly dispersed vesicles, which affects the 29 consistency of the final product and could hinder subsequent regulatory approval. In this 30 study, we have compared the physical characteristics of NISV prepared using two 31 conventional methods (thin-film hydration method and heating method) with a recently 32 introduced microfluidic method. The resulting particles from these methods were assessed 33 for their physical characteristics and in vitro cytotoxicity. Through microfluidics, nano-34 sized NISV were prepared in seconds, through rapid and controlled mixing of two miscible 35 phases (lipids dissolved in alcohol and an aqueous medium) in a microchannel, without the 36 need of a size reduction step, as required for the conventional methods. Stability studies 37 over two months showed the particles were stable regardless of the method of preparation 38 and there were no differences in terms of EC50 on A375 and A2780 cell lines. However, 39 this work demonstrates the flexibility and ease of applying lab-on-chip microfluidics for 40 the preparation of NISV that could be used to significantly improve formulation research 41 and development, by enabling the rapid manufacture of a consistent end-product, under 42 controlled conditions. 43

Key words: Non-ionic surfactant vesicles, microfluidics, thin-film hydration, heating
method, drug delivery, cytotoxicity.

46

#### 47 **1. Introduction**

Non-ionic surfactant vesicles (NISV) or "niosomes", are synthetic bilayer vesicles 48 typically formed by the self-assembly of non-ionic surfactants [1], cholesterol and the 49 addition of a charged species. The self-assembly of non-ionic surfactants into bilayer 50 vesicles, first reported in the 1980s by a group of cosmetic researchers from L'Oréal 51 industries [2], have since been applied extensively as drug delivery systems. NISV exhibit 52 more advantages over liposomes, in terms of cost and stability, and constituent surfactants 53 have a wider range of chemistries that can be selected to provide greater potential for 54 innovation related to vesicle composition [1, 3]. Surfactants commonly employed include 55 polyoxyethylene fatty acid esters (Tweens), sorbitan fatty acid esters (Spans), alkyl ethers, 56 and alkyl glyceryl ethers (Brijs) [4], while other additives include cholesterol, which affects 57 the mechanical strength and permeability of the bilayer structure [5, 6], and charged 58 molecules such as dicetyl phosphate (negative) and stearylamine (positive) [3], which 59 prevent particle aggregation through electrostatic repulsion mechanisms. 60

61 NISV have been used to deliver hydrophilic drugs that are encapsulated in the interior aqueous compartment or adsorbed on the bilayer surface, and hydrophobic drugs that are 62 localised within the lipid bilayer of the NISV [7]. NISV have also been used to improve 63 solubility and subsequently bioavailability of poorly soluble drugs, as exemplified by 64 aciclovir and griseofulvin [8, 9]. Moreover, these particles can also improve the stability 65 of peptide drugs, e.g. they have been shown to protect encapsulated insulin in the 66 gastrointestinal tract from degradation by proteolytic enzymes and exhibit good stability in 67 the presence of bile acid salts such as sodium deoxycholate [10]. Other applications of 68 NISV have been in the area of transdermal delivery of different drugs such as oestradiol, 69

enoxacin and minoxidil [11-13] and in gene delivery of topical DNA vaccines [14, 15]. In
recent years, NISV have also been used as carriers for contrast agents for clinical imaging
applications in medical diagnostic tools [16].

Various conventional bulk methods have been used in the preparation of NISV (e.g. thin-73 film hydration, reversed phase evaporation, and heating methods), which utilise mixing of 74 two liquid phases on a bench scale at elevated temperature, in order to facilitate 75 spontaneous self-assembly of the lipid components into bilayer vesicles [1, 17, 18]. The 76 hydration of a thin lipid film (Bangham method) is a simple and widely used process, in 77 which a mixture of lipids are dispersed in an organic solvent (such as chloroform) followed 78 by evaporation of the solvent using a rotary evaporator to form a dry lipid film on the flask 79 wall. NISV are then spontaneously self-assembled by hydrating the lipid film with an 80 aqueous buffer at a temperature above the phase transition temperature of lipids [19]. 81 Hydrophilic drugs can be encapsulated in the formed vesicles by adding the drug in the 82 aqueous buffer when hydrating the lipid film, while hydrophobic drugs can be dissolved 83 84 with the lipid components before forming the lipid film [20]. Another method reported by Mozafari et al. is the heating method [21], in which NISV can be prepared without the use 85 of organic solvents, where the various components are hydrated in aqueous media at room 86 temperature followed by heating at 120°C with mechanical stirring [22]. However, the 87 methods described above, result in the production of large particles, with high 88 polydispersity, as a result of inadequate control of chemical and mechanical environments. 89 These methods necessitate the use of post-production size-altering steps, such as extrusion 90 91 or sonication, in order to obtain smaller and more homogeneous vesicle dispersions [1, 18].

92 The ability to control vesicle size and polydispersity is a crucial factor in the success of any manufacturing method as the particle size of the delivery system influences in vivo 93 performance [23]. Microfluidic mixing is a recently developed method used to prepare 94 95 liposomes, which results in the production of small vesicles with efficient encapsulation of a therapeutic agent [24]. In microfluidics, lipids are dissolved in an organic phase and the 96 aqueous phase is introduced from different inlets into a precisely defined microchannel that 97 allows for fast mixing between the two phases at high flow rates and at a temperature above 98 the phase transition of the lipids. By controlling flow rate ratios (FRR) between the aqueous 99 and organic phase and total flow rates (TFR) of both phases, homogeneous small vesicles 100 can be prepared in a single step [23, 25]. 101

The main objective of this work was to compare the characteristics of NISV prepared by 102 these different manufacturing methods. Previous work from our lab has successfully 103 investigated the development of NISV for vaccine delivery composed of monopalmitin 104 glycerol (MPG), cholesterol (Chol) and dicetyl phosphate (DCP) at a molar ratio of 5:4:1 105 106 of MPG:Chol:DCP [26] so this was used to prepare the NISV. Moreover, in previous work, we have demonstrated that the type of the aqueous media can significantly affect vesicle 107 characteristics prepared by microfluidics [27], so we chose phosphate buffered saline 108 109 (PBS) to prepare the NISV in all the methods of preparation. The prepared particles were then compared for their physical characteristics, stability over time and in vitro 110 cytotoxicity. 111

112

113

## 115 Materials and methods

#### 116 **2.1. Materials**

117 MPG was purchased from Larodan Fine Chemicals AB (Sweden). Chol, DCP, PBS tablets,

resazurin powder, serum-free and antibiotic-free Roswell Park Memorial Institute medium

119 (RPMI 1640), L-glutamine, penicillin–streptomycin, and foetal bovine serum (FBS) were

- 120 purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (UK) (all at cell culture grade). The human cell lines skin
- 121 malignant melanoma (A375) and ovarian carcinoma (A2780) were purchased from the
- 122 American Type Culture Collection (ATCC<sup>®</sup>).

## 123 2.2. Preparation of NISV by the thin-film hydration (TFH) method

NISV were prepared using the thin-film hydration (TFH) method as described elsewhere 124 [28]. Briefly, MPG, Chol and DCP were mixed at a molar ratio of 5:4:1 with a total weight 125 of 22.5 mg (MPG: 9.96mg, Chol: 9.27mg, DCP: 3.27mg). The mixture was placed in a 126 round bottomed flask and dissolved in 9 ml chloroform. Chloroform was then evaporated 127 using a rotary evaporator (Rotavapor R-3, BTECH, Switzerland) operated at 50rpm under 128 129 vacuum at 50°C until complete solvent evaporation and a thin lipid film formed on the flask wall. The thin-film was hydrated with 9 ml of PBS (pH 7.4) at 50°C by rotating the 130 flask at 50 rpm until the lipid film was completely hydrated and a milky suspension was 131 formed with a final concentration of 2.5 mg/ml. 132

# 133 **2.3. Preparation of NISV by the heating method**

NISV were prepared by the heating method as described elsewhere with modifications [22]. Briefly, MPG, Chol and DCP at a molar ratio of 5:4:1 were hydrated at room temperature with PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4). The mixture was then heated to 140°C with continuous stirring for two min to form the NISV with a final concentration of 2.5 mg/ml.

# 138 2.4. NISV particle size reduction

NISV suspensions prepared by the TFH and heating methods were manually extruded 21
times using an Avanti miniextruder containing a 100 nm pore diameter polycarbonate (PC)
membrane (Avanti polar lipids, Alabaster, AL, USA) at 50°C to reduce the particle size
and distribution.

#### 143 **2.5. Preparation of NISV by microfluidics**

NISV were prepared using a NanoAssemblr<sup>TM</sup> (Benchtop, Precision NanoSystems Inc., 144 Vancouver, Canada) as described by Obeid et al. [27]. The mixing of the two phases 145 allowed formation of controlled sized NISV [29]. To prepare NISV at a final concentration 146 of 2.5 mg/ml, MPG, Chol and DCP were dissolved in ethanol to prepare a stock solution 147 of 20 mg/ml for each of the components. Specific volumes from each stock solution were 148 mixed together to prepare the lipid phase of MPG, Chol and DCP in a molar ratio of 5:4:1. 149 The lipid phase was injected into the first inlet and the aqueous phase into the second inlet 150 of the microfluidic micromixer, with the mixing temperature set at  $50^{\circ}$ C. The FRR of 151 152 aqueous phase to lipid phase was set at 3:1 and the TFR was set at 12 ml/min. Dispersions were collected from the outlet stream and immediately diluted with PBS in order to reduce 153 the final ethanol content in the preparation to 6.25% (v/v). 154

# 155 **2.6 Particle size, polydispersity and charge of NISV**

Particle size, poly dispersity index (PDI) and Zeta potential (ZP) were measured with a
Zetasizer Nano-ZS (Malvern Instruments, UK). The measurements were performed for
NISV prepared by each method at 25°C at a 1 in 20 dilution in PBS. All samples were
prepared in triplicate and the Z<sub>Average</sub>, PDI, and ZP reported.

160

### 161 **2.7 Stability studies of NISV prepared by different methods**

NISV prepared by all methods were tested for their stability over a two month period at either 4, 25, 37 or 50°C storage in controlled temperature rooms. Size, PDI, and ZP were measured at 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, and 60 days.

165 **2.8** Morphological analysis of NISV using atomic force microscopy (AFM)

Morphological examination of the NISV was performed by atomic force microscopy 166 (AFM). Five  $\mu$ L of each formulation was deposited onto freshly cleaved mica surfaces 167 (G250-2 Mica sheets 1" x 1" x 0.006"; Agar Scientific Ltd., Essex, UK), and air dried for 168 ~1 h before AFM imaging. The images were obtained by scanning the mica surface in air 169 under ambient conditions using a Dimension FastScan BioAFM (Bruker, CA, USA) 170 operated on Peak Force QNM mode. The AFM measurements were obtained using 171 ScanAsyst-air probes; the spring constant was calibrated by thermal tune (0.52 N m<sup>-1</sup>; 172 Nominal 0.4 N m<sup>-1</sup>) and the deflection sensitivity calibrated using a silica wafer. AFM 173 images were collected by random spot surface sampling (at least three areas). The 174 175 analyses were performed using the Nanoscope Analysis v1.4 (Bruker, USA).

176 **2.9 In vitro cytotoxicity studies** 

NISV were assessed for cytotoxicity on two different cell lines (A375 and A2780). Each cell line was seeded in a 96-well plate at a density of  $1 \times 10^4$  per well in RPMI 1640 medium supplemented with 10% (v/v) FBS, 1% (v/v) L-glutamine and 1% (v/v) penicillinstreptomycin and incubated at 37 °C, 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 100% humidity for 24 h. The cells were treated with a range of concentrations of NISV (9.77-1250 µg/ml) prepared by each method. Dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO) was used as a positive kill control and one column per plate contained untreated cells and medium. PBS alone without the particles was also

included to ensure that the media itself used to prepare the particles was not toxic. The plates were then incubated for 24h and then treated with 20  $\mu$ L of resazurin (0.1 mg/ml) to each well and incubated for a further 24 h. The transformation of resazurin into resorufin by the live cells was then detected by measuring the absorbance at 560 – 590 nm using a SpectraMax M5 plate reader (Molecular Devices, Sunnyvale, CA, USA). The cell viability was calculated as a percentage of the absorbance from the treated cells with NISV to the absorbance of the untreated cells.

## 191 2.10 The effects of TFR and FRR on NISV prepared by microfluidics

The effects of TFT and TFR on the characteristics of the NISV prepared by microfluidics were also investigated. The TFR of aqueous buffer and lipid phase was varied from 0.5 ml/min to 12 ml/min and the FRR of the aqueous to lipid phases was varied from 1:1 to 5:1 and the particle size, charge and PDI measured.

#### 196 **2.11 Statistical analysis**

All experiments were performed in triplicate and one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to assess statistical significance. Tukey's multiple comparisons test and a t-test were performed for paired comparisons. The statistical analysis was performed using Minitab software version 17. A value of p< 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. Graphs were produced using OriginPro 2015.

- 202
- 203
- 204
- 205
- 206

**3. Results** 

## 208 3.1 The effect of the manufacturing method on the particles size, PDI and ZP

Table 1 shows the characteristics of NISV, prepared by the TFH and heating methods (before and after extrusion) and those prepared by microfluidics.

Dynamic light scattering revealed that the particle size of the extruded NISV prepared by 211 the TFH method and heating method were small and monodisperse  $(124.7 \pm 0.72 \text{ nm})$  and 212  $152.34 \pm 1.76$  nm, respectively) while the non-extruded particles were large and 213 polydisperse (Table 1). However, particles prepared by microfluidic mixing were small 214 with a narrow particle distribution (165.90  $\pm$  0.92 nm). Microfluidics can prepare small 215 and monodisperse particles in minutes. However, the preparation of these particles with 216 the other methods took hours to get the same results of microfluidics. The PDI values of 217 the extruded NISV prepared by the TFH and heating methods were low  $(0.12 \pm 0.01 \text{ and}$ 218  $0.10 \pm 0.02$  respectivly) and comparable to the PDI value of the particles prepared by 219 microfluidics  $(0.08 \pm 0.02)$  with no significant difference (p>0.05). Moreover, since all the 220 221 particles prepared by the three methods used the same lipid compositions, the ZP values for the extruded particles prepared by the TFH and the heating methods and by 222 microfluidics were the same with no significant difference (p>0.05) (Table 1). 223

224

225

- 226
- 227
- 228

229	Table 1. Comparison of particle characteristics prepared by the TFH method, heating
230	method, and microfluidic mixing in terms of size, PDI and ZP. $n=3 \pm SD$

Method of preparation	Size (nm)	PDI	ZP (mV)
TFH (before extrusion)	$1027.17 \pm 75.79$	$0.83 \pm 0.03$	$-12.30 \pm 3.22$
TFH (after extrusion)	$124.70 \pm 0.72$	$0.12 \pm 0.01$	$-28.70 \pm 1.39$
Heating method (before extrusion)	$3938.00 \pm 95.25$	$0.85 \pm 0.04$	$-14.50 \pm 1.25$
Heating method (after extrusion)	$152.34 \pm 1.76$	$0.10 \pm 0.02$	$-36.67 \pm 3.14$
Microfluidic mixing	$165.90 \pm 0.92$	$0.08 \pm 0.02$	$-31.38 \pm 1.80$
_			

#### 3.2. The effects of the manufacturing method on overall NISV stability

Figure 1 shows the stability in term of particles size of the NISV prepared by the three methods when stored at four different temperatures over two months. Samples were characterised immediately after preparations and again at each time point. The method of preparation was shown to have no effects on the particles stability as the particles prepared by the three methods exhibited nearly identical size distribution as the original samples at all the tested temperatures. 



Figure 1. Size of NISV prepared by the TFH method, heating method, and microfluidic mixing and stored over 60 days at  $4^{\circ}$ C,  $25^{\circ}$ C,  $37^{\circ}$ C and  $50^{\circ}$ C. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

269 **3.3.** Morphological analysis of NISV prepared by different methods

Figure 2 shows the morphology of NISV prepared by the TFH and heating methods after extrusion and by microfluidics. All the particles were spherical in shape regardless of the method of preparation. Some images showed large particle aggregates, which are due to the high concentration of these particles in the tested samples which formed upon drying the sample on the mica surface.



Figure 3 shows the cytotoxicity of the NISV prepared by the three methods on A375 and A2780 cell lines and Table 2 shows the calculated EC50. All three formulations show the same cytotoxicity profile as the difference in the EC50 between the particles on both cell lines was not significant (p>0.05). NISV with a concentration  $\leq 150 \ \mu$ g/ml found to be non-toxic where 100 % cell viability was detected on both cell lines regardless of the method of manufacturing. The buffer alone used in the vesicle preparation was not toxic and the cells were 100% viable (data not shown).





300

Figure 3. Cytotoxicity of the NISV prepared by three methods on A375 and A2780 cell lines. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

302

303

305	Table 2. EC50 values in (µg/ml) of NISV, prepared using three different manufacturing
306	methods, on A375 and A2780 cells. The data represents the mean $\pm$ SD (n=3).

	1		
	EC50 (μg/ml)		
Method of preparation	A375 cell line	A2780 cell line	
TFH method	254.7 ± 11.5	229.9 ± 14.43	
Heating method	258.9 ± 19.53	224.6 ± 28.32	
Microfluidic mixing	$240.1 \pm 13.81$	228.9 ± 5.651	

307

## 308 **3.5.** The effects of TFR and FRR on NISV prepared by microfluidics

Figure 4 shows the changes of the particles size by changing the FRR from 1:1 to 5:1 309 310 (aqueous: lipid phases) and the TFR from 0.5-12 ml/min. As can be seen in Figure 4, as the aqueous/ethanol FRR increased from 1:1 to 5:1, a significant (p<0.05) reduction in 311 NISV size was observed and found to be TFR dependant. At a TFR < 3 ml/min, the 312 313 difference between the particles prepared at FRR of 3:1 and 5:1 was not significant (p>0.05). However, at higher TFR (> 3 ml/min), the difference between these two FRRs 314 315 was significant (p<0.05). For example, at a TFR of 0.5 ml/min, the particle size prepared at FRR of 1:1, 3:1 and 5:1 were  $219.71 \pm 15.69$  nm,  $181.14 \pm 6.65$  nm, and  $183.32 \pm 4.88$ 316 nm, respectively while at a TFR of 12 ml/min, the particle size for NISV was  $177.73 \pm 5.26$ 317 nm at FRR 1:1, 165.90  $\pm$  0.92 at FRR 3:1 and particles prepared at FRR 5:1 was 145.25  $\pm$ 318 4.64 nm. The TFR was shown to have a significant (p < 0.05) effect on particle size where 319 the increase in the TFR from 0.5 ml/min to 9 ml/min resulted in an overall reduction in 320 particle size at all the FRR. However, further increase in the TFR above 9 ml/min was not 321 associated with a significant decrease in particle size at all the FRR (Figure 4). 322





Figure 4. Size changes of NISV prepared at different TFR and FRR of the aqueous and lipid phase. The data represents the mean  $\pm$  SD (n=3).

## 338 **4. Discussion**

The objective of this work was to assess the physicochemical properties of the NISV produced by three different methods. The TFH and heating methods have previously been reported to produce large multilamilar particles that require a post-manufacturing size reduction step [30], as confirmed by this study. Microfluidic mixing on the other hand was shown to produce small sized nanoparticles with low distribution in a single production step [24].

Traditionally, the production of small and monodisperse particles using the TFH and 345 heating methods were limited by the use of the post-manufacturing size reduction step to 346 produce particles of the required size and to reduce the PDI. This has limited the use of 347 these methods to bench scale since there is a much longer industrial scale process required 348 to produce a consistently size end product. However, microfluidic mixing allows the 349 production of controlled particle size with homogenous distribution in a single step without 350 the need for post-manufacturing size reduction (Table 1). This offers the potential to 351 352 facilitate the production of NISV at larger scale. Moreover, the production of these small particles by microfluidics can save time as the total preparation time took minutes while 353 the production of small particles by the other methods required several hours. 354

Next, we evaluated the stability of the vesicles over two months, at different storage temperatures following extended incubation by monitoring any changes in the particles size, PDI, and ZP. As can be seen in Figure 1, TFH and heating methods (post extrusion) and microfluidic mixing produced stable particles with respect to size with no significant change at all storage temperatures. Also, there was no significant change in the particles PDI and ZP at all the tested temperatures regardless of the method of preparation (data not

361 shown). Temperature can have an energy input to the system and can sometimes lead to changes in the crystalline structure of the lipids or might cause changes in the ZP and these 362 changes might affect the stability of the particles [31]. Several researchers have reported 363 the instability of the particles when stored at high temperatures. In two different studies, 364 Feritas et al. (1998 and 1999) reported the instability of their solid lipid nanoparticles 365 (SLN) with the introduction of energy to the system. This instability was reported in terms 366 of size increase and reduction of ZP when the particles were stored at 50°C [32, 33]. At 367 4°C, this was generally the most favourable storage condition although some reports 368 indicate the instability of the formed particles when stored at low temperatures [31]. In this 369 study, all three methods exhibited excellent stability at four different temperatures with no 370 significant increase in the average particle size, PDI, and ZP (p>0.05) when stored for two 371 months even at the higher storage temperatures. These data indicate that microfluidics not 372 only enables rapid, robust, and scalable production of NISV, but also supports the stable 373 formation of these vesicles which is necessary for applications requiring prolonged shelf 374 375 life such as in pharmaceutical drug delivery. Although there was some residual ethanol in the formulations prepared by microfluidics, this good vesicles stability suggests that the 376 amount of ethanol sequestered in the NISV bilayer is not significant as high ethanol content 377 will promote rapid degradation of the bilayer structure which is not the case in these 378 formulations. However, this residual ethanol can be removed, if necessary, via 379 conventional batch purification techniques such as evaporation, extraction, or dialysis 380 [34]. 381

Morphological observations of AFM images confirmed the formation of spherical particles
 of NISV prepared by the TFH and heating methods after extrusion and by microfluidics

(Figure 2). These results confirmed that the particles prepared by microfluidics in a single
 step are similar to the extruded particles prepared by more traditional TFH and heating
 methods.

Regarding the effects of the manufacturing methods on particle cytotoxicity, the viability 387 of A375 and A2780 cells were measured after treatment with a range of NISV 388 concentrations (9.76 -1250 µg/ml) prepared by all three methods. Cell metabolic activity 389 measurements by conversion of resazurin showed no difference in cytotoxicity of the NISV 390 prepared by the three methods as assessed by their EC50 values (Table 2). NISV with lipid 391 concentrations below 150 µg/ml were non-toxic with 100% cell viability retained. Any 392 difference in the physical characteristics of the particles such as size or charge would affect 393 their cellular uptake, which would then affect cell viability [27, 35]. Here, since the 394 particles prepared by the three methods have comparable characteristics in terms of size 395 and charge, there was no difference on cell viability regardless of the method of 396 preparation. This reflects the potential to have significant impact on various drug delivery 397 398 applications by improving the manufacturing process of currently available NISV-based drugs. This would be achieved by replacing conventional methods of preparation with 399 microfluidics to obtain the same outcomes, while gaining advantages in terms of rapid 400 401 production of reproducible particles.

For the formation of lipid-based particles through microfluidic mixing, the rate of mixing as well as the ratio of aqueous-to-solvent streams were anticipated to be crucial factors in particle preparation as these factors will affect the ratio of each phase in the mixing process as well as the mixing time between both phases [25, 36]. Therefore, NISV composed of MPG:Chol:DCP (5:4:1 molar ratio) were prepared by microfluidic mixing at different TFR

407 and FRR. The FRR strongly affected the final solvent concentration. At lower FRR (1:1), 408 the final solvent concentration increased, thus boosting the production of larger particles 409 due to particle fusion and lipid exchange while at higher FRR (5:1), the chance of 410 producing large particles was reduced as a result of reduced solvent concentration. Previous 411 work using hydrodynamic flow-focusing techniques for the preparation of NISV using 412 different types of sorbitan esters surfactant have also been reported to increase NISV size 413 with the decrease in FRR, which is in agreement with results in this study [23].

The effect of the TFR on particle size is still debatable. While some researchers have 414 reported that TFR does not have a significant effect [37], others have reported the contrary 415 [38]. In this study, TFR was shown to have an impact on particle size especially at values 416 < 9 ml/min. This means that these two factors (FRR and TFR) should be optimised when 417 NISV are formulated by microfluidic mixing. In our previous work, we have demonstrated 418 that the aqueous media used also has a significant effect on NISV characteristics when 419 prepared by microfluidics [27]. So microfluidic mixing allows the production of NISV with 420 421 a tuned particle size by varying the TFR, FRR, and aqueous media.

422

#### 5. Conclusions

In this work, the characteristics of NISV prepared by microfluidics were compared with those prepared by the conventional TFH and heating methods. Microfluidic mixing enabled preparation of small, monodisperse particles in a single step, without the need of a size reduction step as in the case of the other methods. The method of preparation did not have significant effects on particle stability and toxicity. Using microfluidic mixing, a homogenous NISV suspension was prepared with high reproducibility. FRR and TFR between the two phases of the microfluidic mixing are the factors that have significant

430	effects on particle characteristics, which can be optimised in order to produce NISV with
431	a defined size which is important in developing an effective drug delivery system. This
432	work suggests that the use of microfluidic mixing in NISV preparation may facilitate the
433	development and optimisation of these dispersions for nanomedicine applications at both
434	bench and industrial scales.
435	Acknowledgment
436	Mohammad A. Obeid is sponsored by the Jordanian Ministry of Higher Education and
437	Scientific Research and Yarmouk University in Jordan.
438	
439	
440	
441	
442	
443	
444	
445	
446	
447	
448	
449	
450	
451	
452	
453	
454	
455	

#### 456 **References**

- Marianecci, C., et al., Niosomes from 80s to present: the state of the art. Advances in colloid and
  interface science, 2014. 205: p. 187-206.
- 459 2. HANDJANI-VILA, R., et al., Dispersions of lamellar phases of non-ionic lipids in cosmetic
  460 products. International journal of cosmetic Science, 1979. 1(5): p. 303-314.
- 461 3. Moghassemi, S. and A. Hadjizadeh, Nano-niosomes as nanoscale drug delivery systems: an
  462 illustrated review. Journal of Controlled Release, 2014. 185: p. 22-36.
- 463 4. Paecharoenchai, O., et al., Nonionic surfactant vesicles composed of novel spermine-derivative 464 cationic lipids as an effective gene carrier in vitro. AAPS PharmSciTech, 2014. **15**(3): p. 722-730.
- 465 5. Nasseri, B., Effect of cholesterol and temperature on the elastic properties of niosomal membranes.
  466 International journal of pharmaceutics, 2005. 300(1): p. 95-101.
- 467 6. Pozzi, D., et al., Effect of cholesterol on the formation and hydration behavior of solid-supported
  468 niosomal membranes. Langmuir, 2009. 26(4): p. 2268-2273.
- 469 7. Kumar, G.P. and P. Rajeshwarrao, Nonionic surfactant vesicular systems for effective drug delivery—an overview. Acta Pharmaceutica Sinica B, 2011. 1(4): p. 208-219.
- 471 8. Attia, I.A., et al., Influence of a niosomal formulation on the oral bioavailability of acyclovir in rabbits. AAPS PharmSciTech, 2007. 8(4): p. 206-212.
- 473 9. Jadon, P.S., et al., Enhanced oral bioavailability of griseofulvin via niosomes. AAPS PharmSciTech,
  474 2009. 10(4): p. 1186-1192.
- Pardakhty, A., J. Varshosaz, and A. Rouholamini, In vitro study of polyoxyethylene alkyl ether
  niosomes for delivery of insulin. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2007. 328(2): p. 130-141.
- 477 11. Fang, J.-Y., et al., Effect of liposomes and niosomes on skin permeation of enoxacin. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2001. 219(1): p. 61-72.
- 479 12. Fang, J.-Y., et al., In vitro skin permeation of estradiol from various proniosome formulations.
  480 International journal of pharmaceutics, 2001. 215(1): p. 91-99.
- Balakrishnan, P., et al., Formulation and in vitro assessment of minoxidil niosomes for enhanced skin delivery. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2009. 377(1): p. 1-8.
- 483 14. Vyas, S., et al., Non-ionic surfactant based vesicles (niosomes) for non-invasive topical genetic immunization against hepatitis B. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2005. 296(1): p. 80-86.
- Perrie, Y., et al., Surfactant vesicle-mediated delivery of DNA vaccines via the subcutaneous route.
  International journal of pharmaceutics, 2004. 284(1): p. 31-41.
- 487 16. Luciani, A., et al., Glucose-Receptor MR Imaging of Tumors: Study in Mice with PEGylated
  488 Paramagnetic Niosomes 1. Radiology, 2004. 231(1): p. 135-142.
- 489 17. Arunothayanun, P., et al., The effect of processing variables on the physical characteristics of nonionic surfactant vesicles (niosomes) formed from a hexadecyl diglycerol ether. International journal of pharmaceutics, 2000. 201(1): p. 7-14.
- 492 18. Sahin, N.O., Niosomes as nanocarrier systems, in Nanomaterials and nanosystems for biomedical applications. 2007, Springer. p. 67-81.
- 494 19. Bangham, A., M.M. Standish, and J. Watkins, Diffusion of univalent ions across the lamellae of
  495 swollen phospholipids. Journal of molecular biology, 1965. 13(1): p. 238-IN27.
- 496 20. Ranade, V.V. and J.B. Cannon, Drug delivery systems. 2011: CRC press.
- 497 21. Mozafari, M., Method and apparatus for producing carrier complexes. UK Patent No. GB
  498 0404993.8, Int. Appl. No. PCT/GB05/000825 (03/03/2005), 2005. 14.
- 499 22. Mozafari, M.R., et al., Construction of stable anionic liposome-plasmid particles using the heating
  500 method: a preliminary investigation. Cellular and Molecular Biology Letters, 2002. 7(3): p. 923501 928.
- Lo, C.T., et al., Controlled self-assembly of monodisperse niosomes by microfluidic hydrodynamic
   focusing. Langmuir, 2010. 26(11): p. 8559-8566.
- 50424.Belliveau, N.M., et al., Microfluidic synthesis of highly potent limit-size lipid nanoparticles for in<br/>vivo delivery of siRNA. Molecular Therapy—Nucleic Acids, 2012. 1(8): p. e37.
- Solo 25. Carugo, D., et al., Liposome production by microfluidics: potential and limiting factors. Scientific reports, 2016. 6.
- 508 26. Gebril, A.M., et al., Assessment of the antigen-specific antibody response induced by mucosal administration of a GnRH conjugate entrapped in lipid nanoparticles. Nanomedicine:
  510 Nanotechnology, Biology and Medicine, 2014. 10(5): p. 971-979.

- 511 27. Obeid, M.A., et al., The effects of hydration media on the characteristics of non-ionic surfactant 512 vesicles (NISV) prepared by microfluidics. International Journal of Pharmaceutics, 2016.
- 51328.Mann, J.F., et al., Oral delivery of tetanus toxoid using vesicles containing bile salts (bilosomes)514induces significant systemic and mucosal immunity. Methods, 2006. **38**(2): p. 90-95.
- 515 29. Stroock, A.D., et al., Chaotic mixer for microchannels. Science, 2002. 295(5555): p. 647-651.
- Abdelkader, H., A.W. Alani, and R.G. Alany, Recent advances in non-ionic surfactant vesicles (niosomes): self-assembly, fabrication, characterization, drug delivery applications and limitations.
  Drug delivery, 2014. 21(2): p. 87-100.
- 519 31. Heurtault, B., et al., Physico-chemical stability of colloidal lipid particles. Biomaterials, 2003.
  520 24(23): p. 4283-4300.
- 521 32. Freitas, C. and R.H. Müller, Effect of light and temperature on zeta potential and physical stability
  522 *in solid lipid nanoparticle (SLN*<sup>TM</sup>) dispersions. International journal of pharmaceutics, 1998.
  523 168(2): p. 221-229.
- 524 33. Freitas, C. and R. Müller, Correlation between long-term stability of solid lipid nanoparticles 525 (*SLN*<sup>TM</sup>) and crystallinity of the lipid phase. European Journal of Pharmaceutics and 526 Biopharmaceutics, 1999. **47**(2): p. 125-132.
- 527 34. Hood, R.R. and D.L. DeVoe, High-Throughput Continuous Flow Production of Nanoscale
  528 Liposomes by Microfluidic Vertical Flow Focusing. small, 2015. 11(43): p. 5790-5799.
- 529 35. He, C., et al., Effects of particle size and surface charge on cellular uptake and biodistribution of
  530 polymeric nanoparticles. Biomaterials, 2010. **31**(13): p. 3657-3666.
- 53136.Bottaro, E. and C. Nastruzzi, "Off-the-shelf" microfluidic devices for the production of liposomes532for drug delivery. Materials Science and Engineering: C, 2016. 64: p. 29-33.
- Jahn, A., et al., Microfluidic directed formation of liposomes of controlled size. Langmuir, 2007.
  23(11): p. 6289-6293.
- 535 38. Yu, B., R.J. Lee, and L.J. Lee, Microfluidic methods for production of liposomes. Methods in
  enzymology, 2009. 465: p. 129-141.
- 537

538

- 539
- 540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549