

Strathprints Institutional Repository

Zhu, Xing-Long and Yu, Tong-Pu and Sheng, Zheng-Ming and Yin, Yan and Turcu, Ion Cristian Edmond and Pukhov, Alexander (2016) Dense GeV electron–positron pairs generated by lasers in near-critical-density plasmas. Nature Communications, 7. ISSN 2041-1723 (In Press) , http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/ncomms13686

This version is available at http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/59019/

Strathprints is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<u>http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</u>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: strathprints@strath.ac.uk

Dense GeV electron-positron pairs generated by lasers in near-critical-density plasmas

3 Authors:

4 Xing-Long Zhu¹, Tong-Pu Yu^{1,2,★}, Zheng-Ming Sheng^{2,3}, Yan Yin^{1, ★★}, Ion Cristian Edmond Turcu^{4,5,6},

5 and Alexander Pukhov⁷

6 Affiliations:

- ⁷ ¹College of Science, National University of Defense Technology, Changsha 410073, China
- ⁸ ² IFSA Collaborative Innovation Center, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China
- 9 Key Laboratory for Laser Plasmas (MoE) and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Shanghai Jiao Tong
- 10 University, Shanghai 200240, China
- ³ SUPA, Department of Physics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow G4 0NG, UK
- ⁴ School of Electronic Science and Engineering, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210023, China
- ⁵ National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, ELI-NP, Str. Reactorului, nr. 30, P.O.Box MG-6,
 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania
- ⁶Central Laser Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0QX, UK
- ⁷ Institut für Theoretische Physik I, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, 40225 Düsseldorf, Germany
- 17 *e-mail: tongpu@nudt.edu.cn
- 18 **e-mail: yyin@nudt.edu.cn
- 19

Pair production can be triggered by high intensity lasers via the Breit-Wheeler process. However, the 20 straightforward laser-laser colliding for copious numbers of pair creation requires light intensities 21 several orders of magnitude higher than possible with the ongoing laser facilities. Despite the 22 23 numerous proposed approaches, creating high-energy-density pair plasmas in laboratories is still challenging. Here we present an all-optical scheme for overdense pair production by two 24 counter-propagating lasers irradiating near-critical-density plasmas at only $\sim 10^{22}$ W cm⁻². In this 25 scheme, bright γ -rays are generated by radiation-trapped electrons oscillating in the laser fields. The 26 27 dense γ -photons then collide with the focused counter-propagating lasers to initiate the multi-photon Breit-Wheeler process. Particle-in-cell simulations indicate that one may generate a high-yield 28 (1.05×10¹¹) overdense (4×10²² cm⁻³) GeV positron beam using 10 PW scale lasers. Such a bright pair 29 source has many practical applications and could be basis for future compact high luminosity 30 31 electron-positron colliders.

32 Pair production is one of the fundamental quantum electrodynamics (QED) effects, which is potentially interesting for a variety of applications¹⁻³, such as fundamental nuclear and particle physics, laboratory 33 astrophysics and plasma physics, radiography for material science and medical applications. For example, 34 GeV and even TeV positron beams are required for studying highly energetic astrophysical phenomena in 35 laboratories and realizing electron-positron $(e^{-}e^{+})$ collider for high energy particle physics^{3, 4}. Schwinger has 36 predicted the critical electric field⁵ $E_s \approx 1.32 \times 10^{18} \,\mathrm{V \, m^{-1}}$ for spontaneous creation of pairs out of 37 vacuum by a laser beam. This field corresponds to a light intensity roughly 10²⁹ W cm⁻², which is seven 38 orders of magnitude higher than attainable in current laboratories⁴. It has also predicted that pairs can be 39 produced via the Trident and Bethe-Heitler (BH) processes^{6,7} from lasers interaction with high-Z targets. So 40 41 far, the major way of producing positrons with lasers in experiments relies upon the BH process, which is based on the decay of bremsstrahlung y-rays from electrons in high-Z targets. It is shown that energetic 42 positrons could be obtained by direct laser-solid interactions⁸⁻¹⁰ or by laser-driven electrons colliding with 43 solid targets¹¹⁻¹⁴. However, the positrons obtained have a low density of $\sim 10^{16-17}$ cm⁻³ with a laser energy 44 conversion efficiency to positrons around $\sim 0.02\%$ only^{15, 16}. There is a need to significantly enhance the 45 positron yield, density, and energy, as well as the laser energy conversion for the aforementioned 46 47 applications.

Under extremely high laser intensities, the laser-matter interaction enters the near-QED regime and the 48 following two critical processes are involved: (1) high energy photons emission by relativistic electrons 49 quivering in ultra-intense laser fields¹⁷ ($e^- + n\gamma_{laser} \rightarrow \gamma_{photon} + e^-$, where γ_{laser} represents a laser 50 photon); and (2) pairs creation by real photon-photon annihilation, i.e., the multi-photon Breit-Wheeler (BW) 51 process¹⁸ ($\gamma_{photon} + m\gamma_{laser} \rightarrow e^- + e^+$). The first process is essentially the nonlinear Compton scattering 52 of laser photons by relativistic electrons, while the second generally occurs under extreme laser conditions 53 by photons colliding with the electromagnetic waves, e.g., the laser fields. The first such an experiment was 54 carried out by using the conventional paradigm at SLAC¹⁹. It is demonstrated that a 46 GeV linac-accelerated 55 electron beam colliding with a 10¹⁸ W cm⁻² laser is able to produce a few pairs (106±14), which shows a 56 relatively weak QED effect. 57

58 State-of-art laser systems²⁰ are capable of delivering a laser pulse with intensity up to 2×10^{22} W cm⁻².

The next-generation multi-PW lasers (e.g., the XCELS and ELI facilities²¹) are expected to reach $\sim 10^{24}$ W 59 cm⁻² and beyond. This opens the door for studying light-matter interactions as well as QED effects in 60 unexplored domains^{1, 4, 22, 23}. Diverse schemes have been proposed for energetic $e^{-}e^{+}$ pairs production via the 61 BW process using ultra-relativistic lasers²⁴⁻³². It is shown that using multiple colliding lasers²⁶ for pair 62 cascades in vacuum can reduce the required laser intensity down to $\sim 10^{26}$ W cm⁻². This intensity is 63 significantly smaller than the Schwinger value. An alternative scheme^{27, 28} relies on the energetic electrons 64 from a laser-driven gas jet or thin solid target by using either two counter-propagating lasers or a single laser. 65 The positron beam produced is very bright and energetic. However, the required laser intensity is as high as 66 $\sim 10^{24}$ W cm⁻², still two orders of magnitude higher than that of the available lasers. Another challenge is the 67 target transparence²⁸ to the incident super intense lasers, which leads to the low efficiency of the BW process. 68 By comparison, the laser-hohlraum scheme²⁹ invokes the single-photon BW process with a much lower laser 69 intensity but achieves a positron yield at the 10^5 level only. More recently, it is proposed to combine the laser 70 wakefield acceleration (LWFA³³) with the positron generation by colliding the accelerated electron beam 71 with a counter-propagating laser pulse^{30, 31}. The resulting positron yield can be up to $\sim 10^9$ (predicted by 72 Blackburn *et al.*³⁰), with a maximum density less than 10^{20} cm⁻³ (simulations by Lobet *et al.*³¹). This 73 74 configuration allows for a compact linac, while the extraction and application of the produced positrons depend on additional laser and beam facilities, which is of significant importance for particle physics 75 experiments, e.g., a linear e^{-e^+} collider. To date, an all-optical collider based on laser-plasma interactions for 76 high energy physics has yet to be realized. 77

For prolific pair creation via the BW process, high energy and density γ photons are essential. The latter 78 can be obtained by nonlinear Compton scattering^{34, 35}, bremsstrahlung radiation of electrons in a solid target²⁹ 79 or synchrotron radiation of electrons in a laser beam reflected from a thick foil²⁸. Instead of using a solid or 80 gas plasmas, here we present an efficient non-conventional scheme to generate extremely dense γ photons 81 and copious numbers of $e^{-}e^{+}$ pairs by focusing two counter-propagating lasers at currently affordable laser 82 intensity ~10²² W cm⁻² onto two near-critical-density (NCD) plasmas. The proposed scheme requires two 83 steps. First, bright γ photons are produced by radiation reaction trapped electrons in both NCD plasmas; 84 second, the dense γ photons emitted from one NCD plasma collide with the focused counter-propagating 85

laser in the other to initiate the multiple-photon BW process. We have carried out full three-dimensional (3D) particle-in-cell (PIC) simulations with collective QED effects incorporated. We demonstrate that the positron yield obtained is up to 1.05×10^{11} , which is 10^6 -fold more than that obtained from the laser-hohlraum scheme²⁹ and is two orders of magnitude larger than those by using the LWFA-accelerated electrons^{30, 31}. The peak positron density is as high as 4×10^{22} cm⁻³ with a cut-off energy of several GeV. This overdense e^-e^+ pair plasma source may find many practical applications and could serve as a compact linear collider with high luminosity.



93

Figure 1 | **Extremely dense electron-positron pair production from near-critical-density plasmas.** Two counter-propagating ultra-intense laser pulses are focused from two directions onto the near-critical-density (NCD) plasmas filled inside two cones (purple). The quivering electrons in the ultra-intense laser fields experience large radiation reaction forces by emitting photons so that a large number of electrons are trapped in the laser fields. These trapped electrons perform extreme oscillations in the transverse direction and emit bright γ rays (red- and blue-yellow) around the laser axis. Finally, copious numbers of e^-e^+ pairs are created via the multi-photon Breit-Wheeler process.

100 Results

101 **Overview of the scheme.** When an electron absorbs multiple laser photons in the nonlinear Compton 102 scattering process, it can radiate a high energy photon. The radiated photons propagate through the laser 103 fields and interact with the laser waves to produce e^{-e^+} pairs via the multi-photon BW process. The

probabilities of *p*-photon emission and positron creation are determined by two relativistic and gauge 104 invariant parameters³⁶ (see Methods): $\eta = \gamma_e |\mathbf{E}_{\perp} + \mathbf{\beta} \times c\mathbf{B}|/E_s$ and $\chi = (\hbar\omega/2m_ec^2)|\mathbf{E}_{\perp} + \mathbf{\hat{k}} \times c\mathbf{B}|/E_s$, 105 where \mathbf{E}_{\perp} is the local electric field perpendicular to the electron velocity $\boldsymbol{\beta}$, $E_s = m_e^2 c^3 / e\hbar$ is the 106 Schwinger electric field, and $\hbar k(\hbar \omega)$ is the emitted photon momentum (energy). When a laser propagates 107 parallel with an electron beam, it leads to $\eta \cong 0$, which is undesirable for high energy γ photon emission 108 and positron production; If the laser counter-propagates with the energetic electron beam, there is $\eta \approx 1$, 109 which has been extensively investigated in past years^{19, 27, 30, 31}. Here we propose to use two lasers and two 110 electron beams in an all-optical configuration realized simply by a pair of counter-propagating laser pulses in 111 112 NCD plasmas. This enables one to have two sets of laser-electron beam colliding with $\eta_1 \cong 1$ and $\eta_2 \cong 1$ 113 simultaneously (equivalent to a real η larger than 1), which could significantly enhance the γ photon emission and the pair production via the BW process. 114

115 Radiation reaction effect and radiation trapping of electrons. In extreme laser fields, the radiation damping force³⁷⁻³⁹ exerting on electrons could be expressed as $\mathbf{f}_d = -(2e^4/3m_e^2c^4)\gamma_e^2\beta\{(\mathbf{E} + \mathbf{\beta} \times \mathbf{B})^2 - (2e^4/3m_e^2c^4)\gamma_e^2\beta\}$ 116 $(\mathbf{E} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta})^2$, where e is the charge unit, m_e is the electron mass, and $\boldsymbol{\beta}$ is the normalized electron velocity by 117 the light speed in vacuum c, **B** and **E** are the magnetic and electric fields. Here, we keep only the main 118 term proportional to γ_e^2 in the strong relativistic case. It is shown that the damping force \mathbf{f}_d becomes 119 significant enough to compensate for the Lorenz force $\mathbf{f}_L = q(\mathbf{E} + \boldsymbol{\beta} \times \mathbf{B})$, under laser intensity >10²² W 120 cm⁻², and it has to be taken into account in modeling laser-plasma interaction. As a result, the electron motion 121 122 is profoundly altered. Instead of being scattered off transversely, electrons are trapped inside the laser field and perform extreme oscillations in the laser polarization direction. This is the radiation trapping effect^{40, 41}, 123 which could lead to efficient synchrotron-like γ ray emission. However, the simple test electron model⁴⁰ 124 125 suggests a threshold laser amplitude required to enter this regime, i.e.,

126
$$a_{th}' \sim \sqrt[3]{\frac{3}{2\pi^2} \frac{\lambda_0}{r_e} r_0},$$
 (1)

127 where r_0 is the laser focal spot radius normalized by the wavelength λ_0 and $r_e = e^2/m_e c^2$ is the classical 128 electron radius. It is shown that the threshold is dependent on the laser focal size. In order to excite the 129 multi-photon BW process with synchrotron-like γ rays, the threshold laser amplitude should meet $a'_{th} \sim 650$, 130 which is currently inaccessible. Therefore, in our scheme we first employ two cone-targets to focus the lasers. 131 Instead of using a gas plasma or solid, we choose NCD plasmas filled inside the cones to increase the laser 132 energy absorption and conversion so that more background electrons are provided and accelerated to enhance 133 the γ rays emission and positrons production.

The scheme takes advantage of the radiation damping and trapping effect in the near-OED regime^{40,41}. 134 Figure 1 presents the schematic drawing of our basic configuration, where two counter-propagating laser 135 136 pulses interact with the NCD plasmas inside a double cone-targets. In this scheme, high-energy-density γ photons are emitted by the trapped energetic electrons in the NCD plasmas at the laser axis, which are 137 accelerated by the intense laser fields. When the γ -photons collide with the focused counter-propagating laser 138 waves from another direction, $e^{-}e^{+}$ pairs are efficiently produced via the multi-photon BW process. A 139 positron beam produced in one NCD plasma can interact with the electron beam accelerated in the other 140 141 NCD plasma, behaving like a microscopic e^{-e^+} collider.

Here we demonstrate the feasibility of the scheme by using full 3D PIC code EPOCH with QED effects incorporated (see Methods). To benchmark the simulation results, we also perform a series of reference simulations using the QED-PIC code Virtual Laser-Plasma Lab. (VLPL^{38,42}), which can reproduce the main results presented below.

3D PIC simulation results. Figure 2 illustrates the simulation results at $t=36T_0$ ($T_0 \approx 3.3$ fs is the laser cycle), 146 when both lasers overlap in the double-cone junction. It is shown that the laser intensity can be greatly 147 boosted due to the coupling effect of nonlinear plasma effects and tightly focusing of the laser pulse in the 148 cone⁴³⁻⁴⁵. The strengthened laser ponderomotive force accelerates the electrons both radially and forward 149 150 with considerable radiation emitted. When the radiation damping effect is taken into account, electrons 151 undergo a strong backward damping force. This force increases with the time and becomes comparable to the 152 laser ponderomotive force. As a consequence, a large number of electrons are kicked back to the laser fields radially and accumulate near the laser axis, forming a dense electron bunch as shown in Fig. 2a. These 153 electrons are ultra-relativistic with a cut-off energy of ~5 GeV (see Fig. 3a) and are well collimated around 154 the laser axis with a peak density up to $40n_c$ ($n_c = m_e \omega_0^2 / 4\pi e^2$ is the critical density). Additional 155 simulations without the NCD plasmas and cone, respectively, indicate that the reduction of the laser 156

threshold for the electron trapping is ultimately attributed to the nonlinear effect of the laser in the NCD plasmas-filled cone, which demonstrates the advantages of the cone structure over a plasma channel⁴¹. These trapped electrons travel almost along the laser-axis, inducing a strong poloidal self-generated magnetic field^{41, 44}. This results in additional pinching effect on the electrons. Therefore, the electron trapping or pinching near the laser axis originates from the radiation damping force and is remarkably enhanced by the magnetic pinching effect.





Figure 2 | Three-dimensional particle-in-cell simulation results. Density distribution of electrons (a), γ photons (b), and positrons (c) at $t=36T_0$. Both lasers enter the simulation box at $t=0T_0$ and arrive at the open mouths of the double cone-target at $t=5T_0$. Two dense electron bunches are formed around the laser axis in the double-cone due to the radiation trapping effect, with a high energy (~5 GeV) and density (~40 n_c).

163

168 The trapped electrons co-move with the focused laser in the cone and keep oscillating with an amplitude 169 of $\sim 2 \,\mu m$ in the laser field for a long time (see Fig. 2a). During the process, two oxhorn-like electron bunches 170 close to the cone mouths are also formed, resulting from the strong return currents in the cone. These trapped 171 electrons emit a great deal of γ photons. At $t=36T_0$, the photon density is up to 850 n_c (see Fig. 2b) and the 172 cut-off energy is about several GeV (see Fig. 3b). The corresponding average photon energy density is around 10^{18} J m⁻³, which is 10^{7} higher than the threshold for high-energy-density physics⁴⁶. The production of such relativistic γ photons is crucial to studying the plasma dynamics and collective QED effects in laser-matter interactions⁴⁷⁻⁴⁹, which has many applications in diverse frontiers^{1, 22, 24}, especially laboratory astrophysics.

The photon emission is mainly contributed by two processes: (1) The trapped electrons perform 177 oscillations in the laser fields, like betatron oscillations in the bubble regime^{33, 50, 51}; (2) The trapped high 178 179 energy electrons collide head-on with the opposite-propagating lasers, so that energetic photons are emitted by nonlinear Compton backscattering. Here, the first process dominates the radiation over the second 180 because the photon spectrum as seen in Fig. 3b is a typical synchrotron-like spectrum, while the scattered 181 photons in the ultra-high laser field limit via the second process would be only peaked at⁵² $\xi/(1 + \xi)$ 182 $\xi E_{e,max} \approx 1.5 \text{GeV}$. Here, the parameters are $\xi = 4E_e \hbar \omega_0 / (m_e c^2)^2 \approx 0.18$, $E_{e,max} \approx 10 \text{GeV}$ at $t=34 \text{T}_0$, 183 where $\hbar\omega_0 \approx 1.2$ eV is the laser photon energy. However, the second process enhances the high-energy 184 γ -photon emission at later times (see Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Note 1). On the contrary, the 185 photon emission by positrons created is a small fraction, since these positrons have a much smaller flux, 186 187 energy, and density as compared with the trapped electrons in the laser fields.



188

189 Figure 3 | Evolution of the particle energy spectrum and the laser energy conversion efficiency. The energy

spectra of electrons (**a**), γ -photons (**b**), and positrons (**c**) at $t=34T_0$, $36T_0$ and $40T_0$. (**d**) The laser energy conversion to the trapped electrons $\rho_e(\%)$, γ -photons $\rho_{\gamma}(\%)$, and positrons $\rho_e+(0.01\%)$, defined as the energy conversion efficiency ρ_{γ} , as a function of the interaction time *t*.

These photons are distributed mainly around the laser axis with a cone angle $\theta_{\gamma} \sim 1/\gamma_e < 1 mrad$ with 193 194 respect to the cone axis in both cones. Later they collide with the focused counter-propagating laser waves from the opposite directions, initiating the multi-photon BW process. Here, the BH process is intrinsically 195 inefficient because of the low-Z NCD plasmas and the thin Al cone thickness⁸⁻¹⁴. Therefore, this process can 196 be reasonably ignored in our simulations. Figure 2c presents the positron density distribution at $t=36T_0$. A 197 maximum positron density of $\sim 4 \times 10^{22}$ cm⁻³ can be obtained with energies up to 1.6 GeV (see Fig. 3c). This 198 peak density is much higher than that reported in the both BW and BH experiments as well as relevant 199 simulations^{8-16, 19, 28-32}. The total positron yield is as high as 1.05×10¹¹, which is more than an order of 200 magnitude larger than that in laser foil interactions²⁸, though our laser intensity is lower by more than an 201 order of magnitude. As compared with the recent LWFA-aided scheme^{30, 31}, both the positron yield and 202 203 density are two orders of magnitude higher.

Figure 3d presents the evolution of the laser energy conversion efficiency to the trapped electrons, 204 γ photons, and positrons left in the simulation box. As the laser energy is soaked up and the electron energy 205 grows, the damping process attenuates the laser wave and the laser energy is transferred to electrons and 206 207 photons, and finally to positrons. At $t=38T_0$, the positron energy approaches a maximum and then decreases 208 by emitting photons in a similar way to electrons in the laser fields. The laser energy conversion efficiencies to the photons and positrons are peaked at 14.9% and 0.14%, respectively. With the same laser parameters, 209 the efficiency of the positron production in our scheme is much higher than that of the LWFA-aided 210 scheme^{30, 31}, making it very competitive as a compact positron source. 211

Parametric influences and robustness of the scheme. The robustness of the scheme is further demonstrated by using different laser intensities and NCD plasmas, as summarized in Fig. 4. Here the laser duration is changed to $8T_0$ to save time, while other parameters are kept the same except for a_0 and n_e . As expected, both the photon emission and positrons creation are enhanced with the increase of the laser intensity. In the following, we compare our simulation results with theoretical predictions.

The quantum corrected instantaneous radiation power by an electron is given by⁵³ $P_{rad} = (4\pi m_e c^3/m_e c^3/m_e$ 217 $(3\lambda_c)\alpha\eta^2 g(\eta) = P_c g(\eta)$, where λ_c is the Compton wavelength, $\alpha = e^2/\hbar c = 1/137$ is the fine-structure 218 constant, $P_C = (4\pi m_e c^3/3\lambda_C)\alpha\eta^2$ is the classical power, and $g(\eta) = (3\sqrt{3}/2\pi\eta^2) \int_0^\infty d\chi F(\eta,\chi)$ with 219 $F(\eta, \chi)$ being the quantum-corrected synchrotron spectrum function as given by Erber³⁶. Figure 4a shows 220 221 the evolution of the radiation power. For comparison, we also give in Fig. 4a the simulation result calculated 222 by collecting all γ photons' energy and then dividing this by the total number of trapped electrons. The radiation time is estimated to be of order of several laser cycles. We see that our simulation results agree well 223 with the theoretical predictions, considering the fact that we neglect the low energy photons (<1 MeV) in the 224 simulations. The numerical scaling of the laser energy conversion efficiency to the γ photons with different 225 226 laser intensities and NCD plasmas is shown in Fig. 4b. By increasing the laser intensity, the laser energy conversion to the γ -photons increases at first and then saturates when the laser field amplitude $a_0 > 800$. 227 228 This can be attributed to the rapid annihilation of the high energy γ -photons via the BW process. Note that the γ -photon emission is significantly limited by the number and energy of the trapped electrons. 229

In the simulations, we also observe a linear increase of the laser energy conversion to the positrons' kinetic energy, as illustrated in Fig. 4c. This tendency is valid for all considered NCD densities and laser intensities with $a_0 > 100$. Qualitatively, the energy conversion efficiency can be approximately written as

233
$$\rho_{e^+} \sim f(a_0, n_e)[a_0(t) - a_{th}], \qquad (2)$$

234 where $f(a_0, n_e)$ is a factor dependent on the laser and NCD plasmas, and is a constant under a given initial condition, $a_0(t) = a_0 g(t)$, and g(t) is the temporal profile of the laser pulse. This implies there exists a 235 threshold laser intensity or field amplitude, i.e., $a_{th} \sim 120$, for efficient pair creation in our configuration 236 (see Fig. 4c). We can understand the underlying physics simply in this way: when such a laser pulse is 237 238 focused in the NCD plasmas filled cone-target, its electric field amplitude can be increased by more than three times (depending on its focusing location in the cone), which has been confirmed by additional 239 simulations using the same cone configuration as above. As a result, one obtains an enhanced laser amplitude, 240 which approximates the equivalent theoretical laser threshold for the electron trapping in our 241 cone-target, $a'_{th}\sqrt[3]{r'_0/r_0} \sim 650\sqrt[3]{0.3}$, assuming a focusing spot radius of $r'_0 \approx 0.3r_0$. 242



Figure 4 | Results of theoretical predictions and numerical simulations. (a) The electron radiation power (red line) and the function $g(\eta)$ (black dashed line) as a function of the parameter η in our scheme. The red asterisks represent the simulation results. The laser energy conversion efficiency to (b) the γ -photons and (c) positrons with different laser intensities and plasma densities. Here, the green dashed line in (b) shows the fitted results. Note that there exists a laser threshold intensity (c), $a_{th} \sim 120$, for efficient positron production in our configuration. (d) The positron yield as a function of the laser intensity, based on the equation (3) and PIC simulations.

243

250 We finally compare the laser energy conversion efficiency in the simulations with theoretical predictions. Here, the laser electric field can be increased up to $E_f \sim 2.5 \times 10^{15}$ V m⁻¹ due to the enhanced 251 252 pinching and focusing effect of the cone. The corresponding two critical parameters are given by $\eta_f \sim 3$ and $\chi_f \sim 2$, which indicate effective excitation of both processes during the laser-NCD plasmas interaction. If 253 we take $\chi = 0.1$ for example (see Methods), the required photon energy for pair creation is only 26 MeV, 254 255 which is in reasonable agreement with the average energy of the γ -photons in our simulations. Considering $\chi_f \gtrsim 1$ in our case, the characteristic positron energy is given by $\epsilon_{e^+} \sim m_e c^2 (E_\perp / \alpha E_s)^{3/4} \sqrt{m_e c^2 / \hbar \omega_0}$, 256 while the laser energy is $\varepsilon_L = c E_{\perp}^2 r_0^2 \tau_L / 4$. Thus we can estimate the final maximum positron yield by: 257

258
$$N_{e^+,m} \sim \frac{\rho_{e^+} \varepsilon_L}{m_e c^2} \left(\frac{\alpha E_s}{E_\perp}\right)^{3/4} \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_0}{m_e c^2}},\tag{3}$$

which is plotted in Fig. 4d. It is shown that our simulation results validate these theoretical estimations, especially for higher laser intensities. This further demonstrates the robustness of our scheme and validation of the simulations. If we scale our results to the upcoming lasers such as the XCELS²¹, we can estimate the positron yield approaching $\sim 10^{14}$ with peak density of $\sim 10^{25}$ cm⁻³ and energy of tens GeV.

263 Schematic of a possible experimental arrangement. A possible experimental arrangement of the scheme with two 10 PW ELI-NP laser beams is illustrated in Fig. 5. Instead of using a double cone-targets, we can 264 focus the two laser beams on two gas, foam or cluster jets to produce NCD plasmas^{55, 56}. 265 Carbon-Nano-Tube foams⁵⁷ can be also used for NCD plasma generation, which has been extensively 266 applied in laser-plasma interactions. One can vary the gap between the two jets to optimize the *y*-photon 267 268 emission and pair production. The focusing mirrors have small holes on the interaction axis in order to separate the electrons, γ -photons, and positrons, and to diagnose their interaction dynamics on axis. The 269 background radiation can be reduced by burying the gamma detectors into the electron beam-dump²⁴, which 270 is positioned on the axis of the two laser interaction, as schematically shown in Fig. 5. 271

The femtosecond synchronization⁵⁸ of the two femtosecond laser pulses can be obtained because both 272 pulses are split from the same pulse in our configuration (after the laser oscillator), travel nearly identical 273 optical paths (in the laser amplifier chains) and the small temporal differences are compensated at the end. 274 275 Indeed synchronization of \pm 50 fs has already been demonstrated experimentally with the two 0.5 PW laser beams of the Astra-Gemini Laser at STFC in the UK⁵⁸ and the method described can be further improved. 276 Because of the copious numbers of positrons and electrons expected, the measurement of the number and 277 spectrum of electron-positron pairs and of *p*-photons can be done in a single-laser-shot^{12, 24}, i.e., there is no 278 need to accumulate many shots as is typical in particle and nuclear physics experiments. The detectors 279 280 could also be gated to the picosecond time-window of the laser shot in order to further increase the 281 Signal-to-Noise ratio. Various interesting physics processes are likely to occur at the interaction area, including nonlinear Compton scattering, multi-photon BW process, e^{-e^+} collider and $\gamma\gamma$ collider as 282 283 discussed below.



Figure 5 | Schematic diagram of a possible experimental arrangement with strong lasers. Two counter-propagating 10 PW laser beams are focused by off axis parabolic mirrors on two gas or foam or cluster jets with near critical density, generating electron beams (EB), positron beams (PB), and γ -ray beams (GB). The focusing mirrors have small holes in the center in order to extract the electrons (e^-), positrons (e^+), and γ -rays (γ), and to observe their interactions on axis.

290 Discussion

284

Production of high-energy-density pair plasmas within a few tens of laser periods may open up new 291 possibilities of studying astrophysical collective QED phenomena^{1, 22} and high energy particle physics^{3, 4} in 292 laboratories. Our scheme provides an efficient way to produce high energy-density electrons and positrons, 293 γ photons, and potentially other particles through their interactions, resulting in many applications. For 294 example, this configuration is particularly suitable for applications as a non-conventional table-top $e^{-e^{+}}$ 295 296 collider: the positron/electron beams and trapped energetic electron beams are generated in both NCD 297 plasmas; when the electron beams in one NCD plasma collide head-on with the positron beams in the other NCD plasma (see Supplementary Fig. 2, Supplementary Fig. 3 and Supplementary Note 2), a compact e^{-e^+} 298 collider is expected, as indicated in Fig. 5. In the case of the future ELI facility²¹ (assume $I_0 \sim 10^{24}$ W cm⁻²), 299 the total positron number predicted is about 6×10^{13} , with about 3×10^{11} positrons in the energy range 300 301 between 2-2.5 GeV. This number is million times higher than detectable in current laser-plasma experiments so that the signal is strong enough to be detected in a single-laser-shot^{12, 24}. Assuming equal 302 beams and Gaussian profiles in all dimensions with a beam size, conservatively, e.g., $\sigma_x \approx \sigma_v \sim 1 \, \mu m$, it is 303 estimated that the peak geometric luminosity of such a 4~5 GeV center-of-mass (CM) e^{-e^+} collider is as 304 high as 10^{33} cm⁻² s⁻¹, which is comparable with the state-of-art colliders world-wide³. One may even scale 305 the proposed scheme to TeV CM e^{-e^+} collision, which is a unique feature of our scheme as compared with 306 the others^{8-16, 27-32}. 307



with the other γ -photons from the second NCD plasma, which is a second $\gamma\gamma$ collider⁵² (see Supplementary Fig. 1 and Supplementary Note 1), an add-on to the e^-e^+ collider. Compared with the conventional linear colliders³, these new conceptual colliders based on laser-plasma interactions have many advantages, such as pure e^-e^+ collisions, low expense, compact size, and high luminosity, which may enable investigations in far-ranging scientific domains^{4, 22, 59} in future, e.g., testing nonlinear phenomena such as mass-shift, spin-dependent effects, quantum gravity, etc.

In summary, we have presented a scheme on the generation of extremely dense $e^{-}e^{+}$ pairs via the multi-photon BW process at affordable laser intensity ~ 10^{22} W cm⁻² with the upcoming 10s PW lasers. In this scheme, bright γ rays are first produced by radiation-reaction trapped energetic electrons in the NCD plasmas. The photons then collide with the focused counter-propagating lasers to initiate the multi-photon BW process. A high-yield (1.05×10^{11}) overdense (4×10^{22} cm⁻³) GeV positron beam is thus produced with a laser energy conversion efficiency as high as 0.14%. This highly energetic system may serve as a test bed for a variety of nonlinear QED physics and may be applied as a compact electron-position collider.

322 Methods

Two critical parameters in strong electromagnetic fields. The probability of photon emission and pair 323 depth³⁶, production of optical 324 can be written in terms а differential $d\tau_{\gamma}/dt = (\sqrt{3}\alpha c\eta)/(\lambda_{c}\gamma_{e})\int_{0}^{\eta/2} d\chi F(\eta,\chi)/\chi$ and $d\tau_{\pm}/dt = (2\pi\alpha c/\lambda_{c})(m_{e}c^{2}/\hbar\omega)\chi T_{\pm}(\chi)$ 325 respectively. Here, η controls the photon emissivity via the quantum-corrected synchrotron function 326 $F(\eta, \chi)$, and χ determines pair creation via the function $T_{\pm}(\chi) \approx 0.16 K_{1/3}^2 (2/3\chi)/\chi$. In our case, the two 327 key parameters equals $\eta \sim 2\gamma_e |\mathbf{E}_{\perp}|/E_s$ and $\chi \sim (\hbar\omega/m_e c^2) |\mathbf{E}_{\perp}|/E_s$, since the terms $\boldsymbol{\beta} \times c\mathbf{B}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{k}} \times c\mathbf{B}$ 328 are parallel to the transverse laser field \mathbf{E}_{\perp} . The Lorentz factor for electrons in the cone is assumed to be 329 $\bar{\gamma}_e \sim a_f = eE_f/m_e c\omega_0$, where $\hbar\omega_0$ is the laser photon energy and E_f is the focused laser transverse 330 electric field. Then, we obtain $\eta_f \sim 2(\hbar\omega_0/m_ec^2)(E_f^2/E_0^2)$, where $E_0 = m_ec\omega_0/e$. The characteristic 331 photon energy can be described classically using the theory of synchrotron radiation as²⁷ 332 $\hbar\omega \sim 0.44\eta_f \bar{\gamma}_e m_e c^2$. Thus the parameter χ is rewritten as $\chi_f \sim 0.22\eta_f^2$. It is shown that, as $\eta \gtrsim 1$ 333 and $\chi \gtrsim 1$, the BW process dominates the positron production and quantum effects intervene significantly. 334

Considering only $\chi \gtrsim 0.1$ in the photon-photon annihilation, the BW process also occurs, though it is relatively inefficient.

Numerical modeling. The open-source PIC code EPOCH^{28, 60} is used to perform the 3D simulations. The code has been equipped with the synchrotron radiation module, the radiation-reaction module, and the pair creation module (BW process), allowing self-consistent modeling of laser-plasmas interactions in the near-QED regime. In the code, the BW process is modeled by a probabilistic Monte Carlo algorithm^{53, 60}, which has been extensively applied recently. For simplicity, the e^-e^+ annihilation is ignored in the code.

In the simulations, two counter-propagating linearly-polarized laser pulses are incident from the left 342 and right boundaries of the box simultaneously, which have the same temporal-spatial profiles, i.e., a 343 transversely Gaussian distribution with $a = a_0 \exp(-r^2/r_0^2)$ and a square temporal profile with a duration 344 of $\tau_L = 12T_0$. Here the laser parameters are, respectively, $a_0 = 150$, $r_0 = 5\lambda_0$, $T_0 = 3.3$ fs, $r^2 = y^2 + z^2$ 345 z^2 , and $\lambda_0 = 1\mu m$, which indicates a laser peak intensity of $I_0 \approx 3 \times 10^{22} \text{ Wcm}^{-2}$. Exposed in such a 346 strong laser field, both electrons and protons can be pushed forward. The simulation box size is $x \times y \times y$ 347 $z = 60\lambda_0 \times 16\lambda_0 \times 16\lambda_0$, sampled by cells of $3000 \times 240 \times 240$ with 27 macro-particles per cell. For 348 simplicity, two symmetric aluminum cones are used to focus the incident laser pulses, both of which have a 349 length of $50\lambda_0$ and a plasma density of $n_0 = 390n_c$. The left and right radius of each cone mouth are 350 $R = 6\mu m$ and $r = 1.5\mu m$, respectively. In order to enhance the laser energy absorption, the double 351 cone-targets are filled with NCD hydrogen plasmas, which has an initial density of $n_e = 3n_c$. These 352 353 parameters are tunable in simulations. For reference, we also compared the simulation results to the case 354 with a Gaussian temporal pulse profile, which shows a comparable positron yield and density (see 355 Supplementary Fig. 4, Supplementary Fig. 5 and Supplementary Note 3). Note that we only count the 356 photons with energy >1 MeV in above simulations.

357 Data availability. The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding358 authors upon request.

359 References

360 1. Di Piazza, A., Müller, C., Hatsagortsyan, K. Z. & Keitel, C. H. Extremely high-intensity laser

- interactions with fundamental quantum systems. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 84, 1177-1288 (2012).
- 362 2. Danielson, J. R., Dubin, D. H. E., Greaves, R. G. & Surko, C. M. Plasma and trap-based techniques for
 363 science with positrons. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 87, 247-306 (2015).
- 364 3. Abramowicz, H. & Caldwell, A. C. HERA collider physics. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 71, 1275-1409 (1999).
- 4. Mourou, G. A., Tajima, T. & Bulanov, S. V. Optics in the relativistic regime. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 78, 309-371
 (2006).
- 367 5. Schwinger, J. On Gauge Invariance and Vacuum Polarization. *Phys. Rev.* 82, 664 (1951).
- 368 6. Bethe, H. & Heitler, W. On the stopping of fast particles and on the creation of positive electrons. *Proc. R.*369 *Soc. Lond. A* 146, 83-112 (1934).
- 370 7. Nakashima, K. i. & Takabe, H. Numerical study of pair creation by ultraintense lasers. *Phys. Plasmas* 9, 1505 (2002).
- 8. Cowan, T. E. *et al.* High energy electrons, nuclear phenomena and heating in petawatt laser-solid
 experiments. *Laser Part. Beams* 17, 773 (1999).
- 9. Chen, H. *et al.* Relativistic Positron Creation Using Ultraintense Short Pulse Lasers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 102, 105001 (2009).
- 10. Liang, E. *et al.* High e+/e- Ratio Dense Pair Creation with 10²¹W·cm⁻² Laser Irradiating Solid Targets. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 13968 (2015).
- 11. Gahn, C. et al. Generating positrons with femtosecond-laser pulses. Appl. Phys. Lett. 77, 2662 (2000).
- 379 12. Sarri, G. *et al.* Table-Top Laser-Based Source of Femtosecond, Collimated, Ultrarelativistic Positron
 380 Beams. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 255002 (2013).
- 13. Sarri, G. *et al.* Generation of neutral and high-density electron-positron pair plasmas in the laboratory.
 Nature Commun. 6, 6747 (2015).
- 14. Xu, T. *et al.* Ultrashort megaelectronvolt positron beam generation based on laser-accelerated electrons.
 Phys. Plasmas 23, 033109 (2016).
- 15. Chen, H. *et al.* Relativistic Quasimonoenergetic Positron Jets from Intense Laser-Solid Interactions.
 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 015003 (2010).
- 16. Müller, C. & Keitel, C. H. Antimatter: Abundant positron production. *Nature Photon.* **3**, 245 (2009).
- 388 17. Di Piazza, A., Hatsagortsyan, K. Z. & Keitel, C. H. Quantum radiation reaction effects in multiphoton

- 389 Compton scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105**, 220403 (2010).
- 390 18. Breit, G. & Wheeler, J. A. Collision of Two Light Quanta. *Phys. Rev.* 46, 1087 (1934).
- 391 19. Burke, D. L. *et al.* Positron Production in Multiphoton Light-by-Light Scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 79, 1626 (1997).
- 20. Yanovsky, V. *et al.* Ultra-high intensity-300-TW laser at 0.1 Hz repetition rate. *Opt. Express* 16, 2109
 (2008).
- 21. The next generation of laser facilities, such as Exawatt Center for Extreme Light Studies (XCELS) and
 Extreme Light Infrastructure (ELI). Available at http://www.xcels.iapras.ru and http://www.eli-np.ro.
- 397 22. Marklund, M. & Shukla, P. K. Nonlinear collective effects in photon-photon and photon-plasma
 398 interactions. *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 78, 591-640 (2006).
- 23. Ritus, V. I. Quantum effects of the interaction of elementary particles with an intense electromagnetic
 field. *J. Russ. Laser Res.* 6, 497-617 (1985).
- 401 24. Turcu, I. C. E. *et al.*, HPLS-TDR2: High Field Physics and QED Experiments at ELI–NP: Technical
 402 Design Report, *Romanian Reports in Physics*, vol. 68, supplement, S145-S231 (2016).
- 25. Sokolov, I. V., Naumova, N. M., Nees, J. A. & Mourou, G. A. Pair Creation in QED-Strong Pulsed
 Laser Fields Interacting with Electron Beams. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 195005 (2010).
- 26. Bulanov, S. S. *et al.* Multiple Colliding Electromagnetic Pulses: A Way to Lower the Threshold of e⁺e⁻
 Pair Production from Vacuum. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 220404 (2010).
- 407 27. Bell, A. R. & Kirk, J. G. Possibility of Prolific Pair Production with High-Power Lasers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*408 101, 200403 (2008).
- 409 28. Ridgers, C. P. *et al.* Dense Electron-Positron Plasmas and Ultraintense γ rays from Laser-Irradiated
 410 Solids. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108, 165006 (2012).
- 29. Pike, O. J., Mackenroth, F., Hill, E. G. & Rose, S. J. A photon-photon collider in a vacuum hohlraum. *Nature Photon.* 8, 434 (2014).
- 30. Blackburn, T. G., Ridgers, C. P., Kirk, J. G. & Bell, A. R. Quantum Radiation Reaction in Laser–
 Electron-Beam Collisions. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112, 015001 (2014).
- 415 31. Lobet, M., Davoine, X., d'Humières, E. & Gremillet, L. Generation of high-energy electron-positron
- 416 beams in the collision of a laser-accelerated electron beam and a multi-petawatt laser. Preprint at

- 417 http://arxiv.org/abs/1510.02301 (2015).
- 418 32. Chang, H. X. *et al.* Generation of overdense and high-energy electron-positron-pair plasmas by
 419 irradiation of a thin foil with two ultraintense lasers. *Phys. Rev. E* 92, 053107 (2015).
- 33. Pukhov, A. & Meyer-ter-Vehn, J. Laser wake field acceleration: the highly non-linear broken-wave
 regime. *Appl. Phys. B* 74, 355 (2002).
- 422 34. Thomas, A. G. R. et al. Strong Radiation-Damping Effects in a Gamma-Ray Source Generated by the
- Interaction of a High-Intensity Laser with a Wakefield-Accelerated Electron Beam. *Phys. Rev. X* 2, 041004 (2012).
- 35. Bulanov, S. S., Schroeder, C. B., Esarey, E. & Leemans, W. P. Electromagnetic cascade in high-energy
 electron, positron, and photon interactions with intense laser pulses. *Phys. Rev. A* 87,062110 (2013).
- 427 36. Erber, T. High-energy electromagnetic conversion processes in intense magnetic fields. *Rev. Mod. Phys.*428 38, 626-659 (1966).
- 429 37. Landau, L. D. & Lifshitz, E. M. The Classical Theory Of Fields, vol. 2. (*Oxford:*430 *Butterworth-Heinemann*, 1980).
- 38. Yu, T. P. *et al.* Dynamics of laser mass-limited foil interaction at ultra-high laser intensities. *Phys. Plasmas* 21, 053105 (2014).
- 39. Chen, M., Pukhov, A., Yu, T. P. & Sheng, Z. M. Radiation reaction effects on ion acceleration in laser
 foil interaction. *Plasma Phys. Controlled Fusion.* 53, 014004 (2011).
- 435 40. Ji, L. L. *et al.* Radiation-Reaction Trapping of Electrons in Extreme Laser Fields. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112,
 436 145003 (2014).
- 41. Zhu, X. L. *et al.* Enhanced electron trapping and γ ray emission by ultra-intense laser irradiating a
 near-critical-density plasma filled gold cone. *New J. Phys.* 17, 053039 (2015).
- 42. Pukhov, A. Three-dimensional electromagnetic relativistic particle-in-cell code VLPL (Virtual Laser
 Plasma Lab). *J. Plasma Phys.* 61, 425 (1999).
- 441 43. Kodama, R. *et al.* Plasma devices to guide and collimate a high density of MeV electrons. *Nature* 432, 1005 (2004).
- 443 44. Zhu, X. L. *et al.* Ultra-bright, high-energy-density γ-ray emission from a gas-filled gold cone-capillary.
- 444 *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 093109 (2015).

- 445 45. Yu, T. P. *et al.* High-energy-density electron jet generation from an opening gold cone filled with
 446 near-critical-density plasma. *J. Appl. Phys.* **117**, 023105 (2015).
- 447 46. Davidson, R. C. *et al. Frontiers in High Energy Density Physics: The X-Games of Contemporary*448 *Science.* (National Academies Press, 2003).
- 449 47. Lobet, M. *et al.* Ultrafast Synchrotron-Enhanced Thermalization of Laser-Driven Colliding Pair
 450 Plasmas. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 115, 215003 (2015).
- 45. Nerush, E. N. *et al.* Laser field absorption in self-generated electron-positron pair plasma. *Phys. Rev.*452 *Lett.* 106, 035001 (2011).
- 453 49. Zhang, P., Ridgers, C. P. & Thomas, A. G. R. The effect of nonlinear quantum electrodynamics on
- relativistic transparency and laser absorption in ultra-relativistic plasmas. *New J. Phys.* 17, 043051
 (2015).
- 456 50. Cipiccia, S. *et al.* Gamma-rays from harmonically resonant betatron oscillations in a plasma wake.
 457 *Nature Phys.* 7, 867 (2011).
- 458 51. Yu, T. P. *et al.* Bright Betatronlike X Rays from Radiation Pressure Acceleration of a Mass-Limited Foil
 459 Target. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 045001 (2013).
- 460 52. Telnov, V. Principles of photon colliders. Nucl. Instr. and Meth. in Phys. Res. A 355, 3-18 (1995).
- 461 53. Ridgers, C. P. *et al.* Modelling gamma-ray photon emission and pair production in high-intensity
 462 laser-matter interactions. *J. Comput. Phys.* 260, 273 (2014).
- 463 54. Fedotov, A. M., Narozhny, N. B., Mourou, G. & Korn, G. Limitations on the Attainable Intensity of
 464 High Power Lasers. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105, 080402 (2010).
- 55. Fukuda, Y. *et al.* Energy increase in multi-MeV ion acceleration in the interaction of a short pulse laser
 with a cluster-gas target. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103, 165002 (2009).
- 56. Chen, S. N. *et al.* Density and temperature characterization of lonf-scale length, near-critical density
 controlled plasma produced from ultra-low density plastic foam. *Sci. Rep.* 6, 21495 (2016).
- 469 57. Ma, W. *et al.* Directly synthesized strong, highly conducting, transparent single-walled carbon nanotube
 470 films. *Nano Lett.* 7, 2307 (2007).
- 471 58. Corvan, D. J. et al. Femtosecond-scale synchronization of ultra-intense focused laser beams. Preprint at
- 472 http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.4243 (2014).

- 473 59. Schroeder, C. B. *et al.* Physics considerations for laser-plasma linear colliders. *Phys. Rev. ST Accel.*474 *Beams* 13, 101301 (2010).
- 475 60. Arber, T. D. *et al.* Contemporary particle-in-cell approach to laser-plasma modelling. *Plasma Phys.*476 *Controlled Fusion.* 57, 113001 (2015).

477 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the fruitful discussions with M.Y. Yu, F. Pegoraro, C. Plostinar, and M. Zhong. The work
was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Project Nos. 11622547, 11474360,
11175255, 91230205, and 11374210), the National Basic Research Program of China (Grant No.
2013CBA01504), a Leverhulme Trust Research Project Grant, the U.K. EPSRC (Grant No. EP/N028694/1),
the Science Challenge Program, and the Research Project of NUDT (JQ14-02-02). The EPOCH code was
developed as part of the UK EPSRC grants EP/G056803/1 and EP/G055165/1. All simulations were
performed on TianHe High Performance Computers.

485 Author contributions

486 X.L.Z. and T.P.Y. conceived the scheme and X.L.Z carried out all simulations. T.P.Y. and X.L.Z. performed 487 the data analysis and wrote the manuscript. Z.M.S., Y.Y., and A.P. clarified details of the physics and 488 contributed to the writing of the manuscript. I.C.E.T. proposed the schematics of the experiment and 489 evaluated its feasibility with ELI-NP facilities. All authors discussed the results, commented on the 490 manuscript, and agreed on the contents.

- 491 Additional information
- 492 **Supplementary information** accompanies this paper.
- 493 **Competing financial interests:** The authors declare no competing financial interests.
- 494
- 495
- 496
- 497
- 498
-
- 499

500

501 Figures:

Figure 1 | Extremely dense electron-positron pair production from near-critical-density plasmas. Two counter-propagating ultra-intense laser pulses are focused from two directions onto the near-critical-density (NCD) plasmas filled inside two cones (purple). The quivering electrons in the ultra-intense laser fields experience large radiation reaction forces by emitting photons so that a large number of electrons are trapped in the laser fields. These trapped electrons perform extreme oscillations in the transverse direction and emit bright γ rays (red- and blue-yellow) around the laser axis. Finally, copious numbers of e^-e^+ pairs are created via the multi-photon Breit-Wheeler process.

Figure 2 | **Three-dimensional particle-in-cell simulation results.** Density distribution of electrons (**a**), γ photons (**b**), and positrons (**c**) at *t*=36T₀. Both lasers enter the simulation box at *t*=0T₀ and arrive at the open mouths of the double cone-target at *t*=5T₀. Two dense electron bunches are formed around the laser axis in the double-cone due to the radiation trapping effect, with a high energy (~5 GeV) and density (~40 n_c).

Figure 3 | Evolution of the particle energy spectrum and the laser energy conversion efficiency. The energy spectra of electrons (a), γ -photons (b), and positrons (c) at $t=34T_0$, $36T_0$ and $40T_0$. (d) The laser energy conversion to the trapped electrons $\rho_e(\%)$, γ -photons $\rho_{\gamma}(\%)$, and positrons $\rho_{e^+}(0.01\%)$, defined as the energy conversion efficiency ρ , as a function of the interaction time *t*.

Figure 4 | Results of theoretical predictions and numerical simulations. (a) The electron radiation power (red line) and the function $g(\eta)$ (black dashed line) as a function of the parameter η in our scheme. The red asterisks represent the simulation results. The laser energy conversion efficiency to (b) the γ -photons and (c) positrons with different laser intensities and plasma densities. Here, the green dashed line in (b) shows the fitted results. Note that there exists a laser threshold intensity (c), $a_{th} \sim 120$, for efficient positron production in our configuration. (d) The positron yield as a function of the laser intensity, based on the equation (3) and PIC simulations.

Figure 5 | Schematic diagram of a possible experimental arrangement with strong lasers. Two counter-propagating 10 PW laser beams are focused by off axis parabolic mirrors on two gas or foam or cluster jets with near critical density, generating electron beams (EB), positron beams (PB), and γ -ray beams (GB). The focusing mirrors have small holes in the center in order to extract the electrons (e^-), positrons (e^+), and γ -rays (γ), and to observe their interactions on axis.

- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530