



## Strathprints Institutional Repository

**Wilson, Robert J. and Heath, Michael and Speirs, Douglas (2016) Spatial modelling of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus helgolandicus* : parameter differences explain differences in biogeography. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 3. pp. 1-15. , <http://dx.doi.org/10.3389/fmars.2016.00157>**

This version is available at <http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/57683/>

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<http://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to Strathprints administrator: [strathprints@strath.ac.uk](mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk)

---

# Spatial modelling of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus helgolandicus*: parameter differences explain differences in biogeography

Robert J. Wilson<sup>1,\*</sup>, Michael R. Heath<sup>1</sup> and Douglas C. Speirs<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland

Correspondence\*:

Robert Wilson

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, Scotland, robert.wilson@strath.ac.uk

## 2 ABSTRACT

3 The North Atlantic copepods *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus* are moving north  
4 in response to rising temperatures. Understanding the drivers of their relative geographic  
5 distributions is required in order to anticipate future changes. To explore this, we created a  
6 new spatially explicit stage-structured model of their populations throughout the North Atlantic.  
7 Recent advances in understanding *Calanus* biology, including U-shaped relationships between  
8 growth and fecundity and temperature, and a new model of diapause duration are incorporated in  
9 the model. Equations were identical for both species, but some parameters were species-specific.  
10 The model was parameterized using Continuous Plankton Recorder Survey data and tested  
11 using time series of abundance and fecundity. The geographic distributions of both species  
12 were reproduced by assuming that only known interspecific differences and a difference in the  
13 temperature influence on mortality exist. We show that differences in diapause capability are not  
14 necessary to explain why *C. helgolandicus* is restricted to the continental shelf. Smaller body size  
15 and higher overwinter temperatures likely make true diapause implausible for *C. helgolandicus*.  
16 Known differences were incapable of explaining why only *C. helgolandicus* exists southwest of  
17 the British Isles. Further, the fecundity of *C. helgolandicus* in the English Channel is much lower  
18 than we predict. We hypothesize that food quality is a key influence on the population dynamics  
19 of these species. The modelling framework presented can potentially be extended to further  
20 *Calanus* species.

21 **Keywords:** copepods<sub>1</sub>, zooplankton<sub>2</sub>, modelling<sub>3</sub>, biogeography<sub>4</sub>, diapause<sub>5</sub>

22 Word count: 8,991.

## 1 INTRODUCTION

23 Zooplankton communities are now reorganizing throughout the North Atlantic (Chust et al., 2013;  
24 Beaugrand et al., 2009). Rising temperatures are causing species to expand at the northern edge of

25 their distribution, while they are retreating at the southern edge (Beaugrand, 2012). As a consequence,  
26 communities are changing and many species are being replaced by their southern congenics (Beaugrand  
27 et al., 2002).

28 Changes in communities dominated by the calanoid copepods *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus*  
29 are among the most well-studied (Wilson et al., 2015). *C. finmarchicus* is an oceanic species that is found  
30 from the Gulf of Maine to the North Sea (Melle et al., 2014). In contrast, *C. helgolandicus* is a shelf species  
31 that lives from the North Sea to the Mediterranean Sea (Bonnet et al., 2005). Both species are now moving  
32 north, which has caused *C. helgolandicus* to replace *C. finmarchicus* as the dominant calanoid copepod  
33 in the North Sea (Reid et al., 2003). Future temperature rises will likely cause this to be repeated further  
34 north (Villarino et al., 2015). We must therefore understand differences in the impacts of climate change on  
35 congeneric zooplankton species, so that we can anticipate changes in communities and their consequences.

36 A key test of our understanding of the interspecific differences in demography of these species is whether  
37 we can simulate their population dynamics in such a way that the relative geographic distributions of both  
38 species are a result of the differences in biology. An inability to do this can highlight important knowledge  
39 gaps that must be filled to make projections of the impact of climate change on *Calanus* communities more  
40 biologically credible.

41 In this spirit, we tested the ability of known interspecific differences to explain the geographic distributions  
42 of both species by creating a new unified model. We created a stage-structured model which represents  
43 each life stage of *C. finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus*, and that represents body size by dividing each  
44 stage into a set of size classes. This work is based on the previous model of *C. finmarchicus* in the North  
45 Atlantic of Speirs et al. (2005, 2006). Continuous Plankton Recorder survey data was used to parameterize  
46 the model and simulated annual cycles of abundance and fecundity were compared with empirical time  
47 series in a number of North Atlantic locations.

48 Recently, an increasing number of researchers have taken a trait-based approach to understanding  
49 zooplankton communities (Litchman et al., 2013; Barton et al., 2013). Key traits such as body size,  
50 development rate and fecundity are identified, and the functional role of species in ecosystems is thus  
51 thought to be a function of their positions within trait-space. A trait-based approach has previously been  
52 used to model copepod communities in Cape Cod Bay, Massachusetts (Record et al., 2010). We used this  
53 approach to understand the biogeography of two species, under the assumption that where species lie in  
54 trait-space is the fundamental determinant of relative biogeography.

55 Our underlying philosophy is that the equations describing the population dynamics of both species  
56 should be identical, but with potential differences in parameters. This constraint will arguably result  
57 in suboptimal models for each species when viewed separately. However, it enables us to more clearly  
58 understand the biological differences that drive the large-scale differences in distribution. Fundamentally,  
59 this work is based on the assumption that if knowledge of key interspecific differences is sufficient, then  
60 known interspecific differences are all that is needed for a model to reproduce the geographic distributions  
61 of both species. The only known difference between the species that could influence population dynamics  
62 is the response of ingestion rate, and thus growth, development and fecundity, to temperature (Wilson et al.,  
63 2015). We therefore begin with the hypothesis that this difference alone can explain most of the differences  
64 in geographic distribution.

## 2 MODEL

### 65 2.1 Model background and framework

66 We present an extension of the previous work by Speirs et al. (2005, 2006), who modelled the population  
67 dynamics of *C. finmarchicus* over the entire North Atlantic. This extension took two key forms. First,  
68 we incorporated recent developments in our understanding of *Calanus* biology. Second, we modified the  
69 model of Speirs et al. (2006) so that it could represent the population dynamics of both *C. finmarchicus*  
70 and *C. helgolandicus*. Full mathematical details of the model, along with relevant parameters, are given in  
71 Appendix 1. Here we will summarize the modelling framework of Speirs et al. and then the extensions to it.

72 The model of Speirs et al. was discrete in time and space. It covered the entire North Atlantic, ranging  
73 from 30 to 80°N and 80°W to 90°E. The population of *C. finmarchicus* was distributed over a regular grid  
74 of cells of size 0.5°longitude by 0.25°latitude. They had two update processes. First, the population of  
75 each cell was updated to account for development, reproduction and mortality. After these updates, the  
76 population is redistributed between cells to account for physical population transport. A separate physical  
77 model was used to create the flow-field and temperature drivers for the relevant biological and physical  
78 update. The annual cycle of food in each cell was estimated by deriving phytoplankton carbon fields from  
79 satellite sea-colour observations. 1997 was used as the target year for simulations because this was the  
80 year when the Trans-Atlantic Study of *Calanus* (TASC) collected a large number of time series of *C.*  
81 *finmarchicus* abundance in the North Atlantic. The framework of Speirs et al. was as follows. Surface  
82 developers are made up of eggs (E), naupliar stages (N1 to N6), and copepodite stages (C1 to C5). Finally,  
83 there are diapausers (C5d) and adults (C6).

84 *Calanus* development follows the equiproportional rule, that is relative stage duration is independent  
85 of temperature (Campbell et al., 2001). Development from egg to adult can therefore be divided into a  
86 fixed number of steps, with each having identical time duration under identical environmental conditions  
87 (Gurney et al., 2001). In total, there were 57 development steps, which cover the 13 stages of *Calanus*  
88 development.

89 This framework allows the entire population to be updated simultaneously, and for the entire population to  
90 be simulated with high computational efficiency (Speirs et al., 2006). However, modelling the populations  
91 of *C. finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus* required one modification.

92 We began with the hypothesis that differences in the response of growth and development to temperature  
93 are sufficient to explain the geographic distributions of both species. In other words, all equations and  
94 parameters would be the same, except for those related to growth and development. This could not be  
95 satisfactorily achieved in the original framework. Large-scale patterns of fecundity are not only the result  
96 of the effects of environmental conditions, but also of body size. Further, the ability of animals to diapause  
97 is strongly influenced by size (Wilson et al., 2016). We therefore incorporated body size into the framework.  
98 Large-scale patterns of fecundity and diapause duration could therefore be represented as the combined  
99 effects of body size and the environment, and did not require the introduction of interspecific differences.  
100 The geographic domain used by Speirs et al. covers all regions of high *C. helgolandicus* abundance (Bonnet  
101 et al., 2005), and was therefore maintained.

## 102 2.2 Biological processes: a new view of *Calanus* biology

103 The following biological processes are represented in our model: development, egg production, diapause  
104 and mortality. In each case, we modified the model of Speirs et al. to account for recent developments in  
105 the understanding of *Calanus* biology.

106 A recent review of the differences between the two species found that the only known relevant difference  
107 was the influence of temperature on ingestion, and thus growth, development and fecundity (Wilson et al.,  
108 2015). We therefore constrained the model by making a number of assumptions about the differences  
109 between the species based on this review. These assumptions were as follows:

- 110 • There is a dome-shaped response of ingestion rate to temperature for both species, with  
111 ingestion rate higher for *C. finmarchicus* than *C. helgolandicus* below a temperature of 13 °C.
- 112 • An emergent property of this is that there are dome-shaped relationships between growth and  
113 egg production rate and temperature, and a U-shaped relationship between development time  
114 and temperature for both species.
- 115 • Under identical conditions, both species will grow to the same size.
- 116 • There are no differences in the ability to accumulate lipids or diapause.

117 Further, we take the following assumptions and simplifications about the biology and ecology of both  
118 species.

- 119 • There are no interactions between the two species.
- 120 • The species do not hybridize. However, hybridization has been observed among other *Calanus*  
121 species (Gabrielsen et al., 2012; Parent et al., 2011, 2012).
- 122 • The relationships between traits and the environment do not vary in time or space.

123 The key modelled relationships between body size, development time, egg production rate and diapause  
124 duration with temperature are shown in Fig. 1.

125 There are no apparent interspecific differences in body size, and large-scale geographic patterns of body  
126 size are largely driven by temperature (Wilson et al., 2015). We therefore modeled body size under the  
127 simplified assumption that it is determined by temperature experienced at birth for all development classes  
128 (Fig. 1(a)). This assumption is derived from the fact that egg size is determined by temperature (Campbell  
129 et al., 2001) and that the existence of an exo-skeleton likely greatly constrains size over all development  
130 classes. The temperature-prosome length relationship of Campbell et al. (2001) was used with a multiplier,  
131 which was fitted based on the relationship between predicted and observed female prosome length. Prosome  
132 length reduces linearly with increasing temperature. This approach contrasts with Speirs et al., which did  
133 not represent size.

134 Egg-adult development time was assumed to be influenced purely by temperature and food concentration.  
135 The relationship between egg-adult development time and temperature under food-saturated conditions is  
136 assumed to follow that derived by the model of Wilson et al. (2015). Development time saturates at high  
137 food levels, and we use the relationship between food concentration and development time of Campbell  
138 et al. (2001). There is a U-shaped response of development time to temperature (Fig. 1(b)), which contrasts  
139 with the monotonically decreasing form used by Speirs et al. The computational approach is that of Gurney  
140 et al. (2001) and uses dynamic time-step constraints. This is the same approach as in Speirs et al. (2005,

141 2006) and it is effective in minimizing numerical diffusion (Gurney et al., 2001; Record and Pershing,  
142 2008).

143 Fecundity was related to temperature, food concentration and body size. We assumed that egg production  
144 and growth are equivalent (McLaren and Leonard, 1995). Egg laying females have stopped growing and  
145 we therefore assume that carbon previously directed to growth will be used to make eggs. The growth rate  
146 equation of Wilson et al. (2015) forms the basis of our egg production rate (EPR) model for both species,  
147 with the food saturation component taken from Hirche et al. (1997). EPR therefore has a dome-shaped  
148 response to temperature (Fig. 1(c)). Further, EPR has a saturating response to food concentration and we use  
149 a conventional allometric relationship between EPR and carbon weight, i.e.  $EPR \sim \text{carbon weight}^{0.75}$ . This  
150 contrasts with Speirs et al., who represented EPR as a monotonically increasing function of temperature,  
151 but using the same food response as we have assumed. We assume that 50% of adults are female.

152 A recent modelling study, which synthesized empirical findings, showed that maximum potential diapause  
153 duration is largely determined by prosome length and overwintering temperature (Wilson et al., 2016).  
154 We therefore modelled diapause duration using the maximum potential diapause duration equation from  
155 that study (Fig. 1(d)). Diapause duration declines at higher temperature because of increased metabolic  
156 rates, and is shorter at smaller prosome lengths because of lower relative lipid levels and higher relative  
157 metabolic costs. We assumed that a fraction of the C5 population enters diapause at the end of the C5 stage.  
158 This fraction is dependent on growth rate, with it increasing at lower growth rates, so that more animals  
159 diapause when development conditions are poor. In the model, animals exit diapause at the end of their  
160 potential diapause duration. This differs from Speirs et al., who assumed that diapause exit was triggered  
161 by a photoperiod cue.

162 Mortality is modelled using a stage-dependent background rate, alongside a starvation and density  
163 dependent term. Field studies indicate that mortality in both species is stage-dependent (Eiane et al., 2002;  
164 Ohman et al., 2004; Hirst et al., 2007). These estimates of stage-dependent mortality include all sources of  
165 mortality. However, we need to distinguish between different sources of mortality to properly represent  
166 population dynamics. We therefore used a fraction of the stage-specific mortality rates calculated by Eiane  
167 et al. (2002) as the background mortality rate, with additional temperature, starvation and density dependent  
168 terms. Starvation dependent mortality was modelled in the same way for both species by assuming that it  
169 relates to growth rate; with starvation mortality only occurring below a threshold growth rate and increasing  
170 as growth rate decreases. Background mortality is temperature dependent, with mortality increasing with  
171 temperature and the relationship taking the form  $\text{mortality} \sim (T/8)^z$ . Density dependent mortality is  
172 assumed to be proportional to total biomass. Mortality was represented the same way as in Speirs et al.,  
173 with the exception of starvation-dependence. Speirs et al. represented this purely as a function of food  
174 concentration. However, the differences in ingestion rate between the two species (Møller et al., 2012) show  
175 that *C. helgolandicus* is likely to face much greater starvation levels at temperatures below approximately  
176 11 °C. We therefore viewed growth rate as a better indicator of starvation than food concentration.

## 177 2.3 Environmental drivers

178 Seasonal cycles in food concentration, temperature and oceanic circulation drive the model. The only data  
179 with sufficient spatial and temporal coverage of food concentration are satellite estimates of sea surface  
180 colour. SeaWIFS satellite estimates of chlorophyll were therefore used to derive food fields.

181 Insufficient observations are available for 1997. We therefore used a climatological 8 day mean of  
182 chlorophyll concentration from 1998-2000. There is a poor relationship between time series derived from  
183 SeaWIFS and field estimates of chlorophyll (Speirs et al., 2005; Clarke et al., 2006). We used the estimates



184 of Clarke et al. (2006), who developed a statistical methodology, where thin plate regression splines  
185 modelled local estimates of chlorophyll concentration in relation to SeaWiFS estimates, bathymetry and  
186 time of year. Field estimates of chlorophyll concentration in the top 5 m were used, assuming they reflect  
187 chlorophyll concentration throughout the vertical distribution of *Calanus*. However, it is possible that this  
188 does not fully capture deep-water chlorophyll concentrations. Phytoplankton abundance was calculated  
189 assuming that 1 mg m<sup>-3</sup> of Chl *a* is equivalent to 40 mg Cm<sup>-3</sup> (the approximate median of the values  
190 reported by Parsons et al. (1984). Estimates of food extend to regions covered by sea ice, where we masked  
191 food levels to zero. This mask was derived from 1997 satellite percentage ice cover from the Defence  
192 Meteorological Satellite Program's (DMSP) spatial sensor microwave/imager (SSM/I) (Comiso, 1997).  
193 The approach taken to food was the same as in Speirs et al.

194 Temperature and velocity fields come from the Nucleus for European Modelling of the Ocean (NEMO)  
195 Ocean General Circulation Model (OCGM) (version 3.2) (Madec, 2012). The forcings and model  
196 implementation are described in Yool et al. (2011). NEMO is resolved at 64 vertical levels, and it  
197 resolves the primitive equations on a C-type Arawkawa grid. Ocean surface forcing comes from the DFS4.1  
198 fields produced by the European DRAKKAR collaboration. This differs from Speirs et al., who used the  
199 OCCAM model to derive temperatures and flow fields. Computation of the NEMO model was performed  
200 using the free Java tool Ichthyop version 3.2 (Lett et al., 2008).

201 We assumed that surface developers experience the temperatures and velocities which occur at a depth of  
202 20 m. Diapause depth varies in space. We therefore derived a map of diapause from the data reported by  
203 Heath et al. (2004). A loess smooth was used to estimate the median diapause depth in regions close to  
204 where Heath et al. (2004) reported data. Where the smoothed estimate exceeded bathymetry, we used a  
205 depth 10 metres shallower than the bathymetry at a location. In other regions we assumed that if bathymetry  
206 was greater than 800 m that diapause depth was 800 m. For locations where bathymetry was shallower  
207 than 800 m we used the predictions of a general additive model which related median diapause depth with  
208 bathymetry using the data of Heath et al. (2004). Transport updates occurred every seven days. At the start  
209 of each time step, 100 seeds were placed at the centre of each model cell. Particle trajectories over a 7-day  
210 period were then calculated, and transition matrices were calculated to show the proportion of particles  
211 which move to each nearby cell. The approach outlined above was in agreement with Speirs et al.

## 212 2.4 Data sources

### 213 The Continuous Plankton Recorder Survey

214 The Continuous Plankton Recorder Survey (CPR) is made up of data collected by devices attached to  
215 ships which traverse commercial shipping lanes. It is designed for towing depths of 10 m at the operating  
216 speeds of vessels (Batten et al., 2003). Water enters the CPR through a 1.27 cm<sup>2</sup> opening and is filtered by  
217 a 270 μm silk mesh. Abundance estimates are semi-quantitative, with each observation being placed in  
218 one of 12 distinct abundance categories (Rae, 1952). CPR provides reliable temporal and spatial measures  
219 (Batten et al., 2003; Hélaouët et al., 2016) of abundance. We used CPR data from 1958-2002.

### 220 Time series

221 The EU TASC project collected time series of *C. finmarchicus* copepodite abundance in 1997 at three  
222 locations (Planque and Batten, 2000). Data was collected at Ocean Weather Ship Mike (OWS M) (66°N,  
223 2°E) from 24 February to 17 December 1997 (Heath et al., 2000; Hirche et al., 2001) using a 180 μm  
224 mesh opening and closing multinet. Concentrations of copepodite stages (m<sup>-3</sup>) were converted to stage  
225 abundances (m<sup>-2</sup>) at 0-100 and 100-1600 m. During autumn and winter the population largely resided in

226 the deep layer. We assume that deep animals were diapausing at that time. Per-capita egg production rates  
227 were also recorded at this station (Niehoff et al., 1999).

228 Data was collected at 2 locations near the Westmann Islands (63°27.25'N, 20°00.00'W, depth 100 m,  
229 and 63°22.20'N, 19°54.85'W, depth 200 m) (Gislason and Astthorsson, 2000). This site was visited 29  
230 times, with *C. finmarchicus* being collected by vertically integrating hauls from 5 m above the seabed to the  
231 surface with a 200  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh, 56 cm Bongo net. In addition, data was collected from Murchison (61°30.00'  
232 N, 01°40.00' E, depth 160 m) on 29 occasions, using a 200  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh with a 30 cm Bongo net from a depth  
233 of 150 m to the surface.

234 We include data from Ocean Weather Ship India (OWS I) (59°N, 19°E), which was collected between  
235 1971 and 1975 (Irigoien, 1999). This time series is used because we lack data for a truly oceanic location  
236 in 1997. Sampling occurred at approximately weekly intervals from 1971 to 1975 using oblique hauls of a  
237 Longhurst-Hardy plankton recorder (280  $\mu\text{m}$  mesh). Stage-resolved copepod samples were then collected  
238 from a depth of 500 m to the surface, with a resolution of 10 m. We used data from the top 100 m.

239 The US GLOBEC program started in 1995 (Durbin et al., 2000), and includes extensive zooplankton  
240 sampling in the Gulf of Maine and Georges Bank. *C. finmarchicus* densities ( $\text{m}^{-3}$ ) were estimated during  
241 the first half of the year at varying depths using a 1  $\text{m}^2$  MOCNESS fitted with 0.15 mm mesh nets. Estimates  
242 of density ( $\text{m}^{-2}$ ) were calculated for the top 100 m and from 100 m to the sea floor by considering regions  
243 where bathymetry exceeded 200 m.

244 *C. helgolandicus* abundance data has been collected of Stonehaven, Scotland (56°57.8' N, 2°6.2'W) since  
245 1997. Sampling uses fine mesh nets, which collect an integrated sample of zooplankton throughout the  
246 water column (Bresnan et al., 2015). Integrated abundance data is provided for C5, female and male stages.

247 Station L4 in the English Channel (50°15'N, 4°13'W) is one of the longest standing zooplankton time  
248 series in European waters (Harris, 2010), with monitoring beginning in 1988. Seabed depth is 51 m, while  
249 observations typically range between 40 and 45 times each year (Harris, 2010). This time series contains  
250 information on the abundance of male, female and total copepodites, and egg production rate (Irigoien  
251 et al., 2000).

## 252 2.5 Parameter derivation and sensitivity experiments

253 Our underlying goal was to reproduce the biogeography of both species displayed by the CPR. We  
254 therefore carried out an extensive set of simulations to assess how well different parameter sets could  
255 reproduce the geographic distributions of both species.

256 As discussed in section 2.2, laboratory and field data were used to derive the following traits: development  
257 time, growth, fecundity, diapause duration, background mortality and body size. The remaining free, i.e.  
258 unknown, parameters related to the equations for diapause entry and starvation and biomass dependent  
259 mortality. We initially sought a single parameter set for mortality and diapause entry that would result in  
260 credible predictions of geographic distributions for both species. However, a large number of exploratory  
261 runs showed that this was not possible. We therefore sought parameter sets that reproduce the geographic  
262 distributions of both species while minimizing the differences between the model parameters of both  
263 species. A suite of runs showed that this was only achievable by assuming that mortality responded  
264 differently to temperature in both species.

265 Model parameters were derived by simultaneously altering the terms for mortality and diapause entry for  
266 both species and recording each parameterization's fit to CPR abundance data. First, CPR data was split



267 into cells of dimension 2°E and 1°N, and we then removed cells without a CPR abundance record for each  
268 month of the year. Annual mean abundance was then calculated by averaging the mean abundance of the  
269 mean monthly abundance for C5 and adults in each cell.

270 This resulted in 333 cells for model comparisons. Each CPR abundance record represents approximately  
271 3 m<sup>3</sup> of filtered seawater (Richardson et al., 2006). Therefore, CPR data must be divided by 3 to get  
272 estimates of abundance per m<sup>3</sup>. This must then be multiplied by a further conversion factor of 20 (Speirs  
273 et al., 2006) to provide estimates of abundance (m<sup>-2</sup>) over the top 100 m of the water column.

274 Simulations began by seeding a large number of eggs over the entire North Atlantic and in the eastern  
275 North Atlantic for *C. finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus* respectively. The model was then run to a  
276 quasi-stable state and we then calculated the correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) between predicted annual surface  
277 abundance (m<sup>-2</sup>) and CPR abundance (m<sup>-2</sup>).

278 We report two sensitivity experiments. First, we show the geographic distributions of both species when  
279 there are no interspecific differences in free parameters, i.e. only differences in growth, development  
280 and fecundity are assumed. In this case we are using the diapause entry and starvation and temperature  
281 dependent mortality parameters for *C. helgolandicus* for both species.

282 Our initial model of diapause duration used a model of maximum potential diapause duration (Wilson  
283 et al., 2016), which possibly results in diapause durations which are unrealistically long. We therefore  
284 carried out a sensitivity analysis which relates the ability to reproduce the geographic distributions of  
285 both species to the assumptions for diapause duration and temperature dependent mortality. Temperature  
286 dependent mortality is proportional to  $(T/8)^z$  for temperature  $T$  (°C). The parameterization assumed  
287 different values of  $z$  for each species.

### 3 RESULTS

#### 288 3.1 Model results

289 Fig. 2 and Fig. 3 compare the model predictions and CPR estimates of bimonthly abundance for *C.*  
290 *finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus* respectively. Table 1 shows the correlation coefficients between monthly  
291 modelled and CPR abundance for both species. The large-scale geographic pattern of *C. finmarchicus*  
292 abundance was successfully reproduced in comparison with CPR. The correlation coefficient between  
293 simulated mean annual abundance and CPR abundance over the 2°E by 1°N cells is 0.75. Bimonthly  
294 comparisons between *C. finmarchicus* predictions and the CPR abundance are shown in Fig. 2. Importantly,  
295 we reproduced the relatively high abundance of *C. finmarchicus* in the West Atlantic in autumn. In addition,  
296 the model predicts a year round surface population in coastal waters in the West Atlantic, in accordance  
297 with CPR. However, it perhaps over-predicted abundance in November and December.

298 A comparison of bimonthly predictions of *C. helgolandicus* abundance with the CPR abundance is shown  
299 in Fig. 3. The correlation coefficient between predicted mean annual abundance and CPR abundance over  
300 the 2°E by 1°N cells was 0.76. Importantly, *C. helgolandicus* was restricted to the continental shelf. The  
301 autumn bloom of *C. helgolandicus* in the North Sea was also reproduced. However, predicted abundance in  
302 November and December in the region to the south west of the British Isles appears too high.

303 Fig. 4 shows simulated combined abundance for stage C5 and adult *C. finmarchicus* compared with those  
304 from the time series. Predicted peak abundances are within a factor of 2 of those recorded in the time series,  
305 with the exception of the Westmann Islands. OWS I is notable for getting the scale of the first generation  
306 very accurate, but we predicted a much larger second generation than is apparent in the time series. We

307 failed to show the apparent sharp increase in C5 and adult at OWS M before day 100. Additionally, the  
308 second peak in C5 and adult abundance at OWS M appears to be time shifted by approximately 50 d.

309 We compare predictions for *C. helgolandicus* with field time series and time series derived from CPR  
310 in Fig. 5. The timing of the autumn peak of *C. helgolandicus* abundance at Stonehaven was successfully  
311 reproduced. However, we failed to reproduce the small spring bloom. Predicted time and the magnitude of  
312 peak abundance was close to that in the L4 time series. However, abundance appeared to be over-predicted  
313 during winter.

314 Predicted EPR is compared with field time series at OWS M and L4 for *C. finmarchicus* and *C.*  
315 *helgolandicus* respectively in Fig. 6. Predicted *C. helgolandicus* EPR is lower in the first half of the  
316 year of the time series, and is slightly time shifted compared with the time series. Predictions depart  
317 significantly from the times series in the second half of the year, with EPR being significantly higher than  
318 in the time series. The *C. finmarchicus* EPR time series at OWS M is of short duration. We can therefore  
319 only make a limited comparison. However, the predicted EPR is approximately the same as the median  
320 EPR in the time series.

### 321 **3.2 Sensitivity experiments**

322 In the results shown in section 3.1, the only differences between the species are the relationship between  
323 growth, development and fecundity and temperature, and a parameterized difference in the response of  
324 mortality to temperature. Fig. 7 shows the predicted geographic distribution of *C. finmarchicus* when the  
325 temperature-dependent mortality parameter for *C. helgolandicus* was used. The geographic distribution in  
326 the west Atlantic is successfully reproduced. However, the geographic distribution in the east Atlantic is  
327 too southerly, with a large population predicted to exist in the Celtic Sea.

328 Exploratory simulations showed that the *C. helgolandicus* predictions were sensitive to diapause  
329 assumptions. First, the model performed well if *C. helgolandicus* was assumed to remain at the surface  
330 year round and to never diapause. In fact, this simplified model arguably performed better than the original.  
331 The key features of the distribution of *C. helgolandicus* were largely reproduced, with the correlation  
332 coefficient (0.78) of model performance compared with CPR actually improving in comparison with our  
333 original model.

334 Further exploratory simulations showed that the state of populations of *C. helgolandicus* is sensitive to  
335 diapause duration. A sensitivity analysis showed that small changes to diapause or mortality assumptions  
336 can result in *C. helgolandicus* becoming an oceanic species. Fig. 8 shows the correlation coefficient  
337 between predictions and CPR abundance of *C. helgolandicus* under varying assumptions for diapause  
338 duration and the scaling of mortality with temperature. A small reduction in how steeply mortality scales  
339 with temperature results in a reduction in model performance, with *C. helgolandicus* becoming an oceanic  
340 species. Likewise, an increase in diapause duration can result in *C. helgolandicus* becoming an oceanic  
341 species. Notably, the high sensitivity to changes in temperature dependent mortality was not evident  
342 diapause duration is reduced by 60%, which is potentially a more biologically realistic assumption for  
343 diapause duration.

## 4 DISCUSSION

344 This study can be framed by a single question. What differences between *C. finmarchicus* and *C.*  
345 *helgolandicus* explain the relative geographic distributions of these two species? Alternatively, we can ask  
346 how much we need to change *C. finmarchicus*'s traits before it effectively becomes *C. helgolandicus*.

347 In this setting, the model equations can be viewed as describing a generic *Calanus* species, while the  
348 parameters determine where a species lies in trait space. We showed that the geographic distributions of  
349 both species can be reproduced by assuming only two interspecific differences. These were the temperature  
350 response of mortality and the temperature influence on ingestion rate, which in turn influences growth,  
351 development and fecundity. In other words, we can effectively turn *C. finmarchicus* into *C. helgolandicus*  
352 by modifying those two traits. This framework has the potential to be applied to a number of *Calanus*  
353 species, and represents a complimentary approach to that taken by others (e.g. Record et al. (2010, 2013);  
354 Maps et al. (2012)).

355 A key assumption underlying almost all population models of *Calanus* is that growth and egg production  
356 rate increase monotonically with temperature. This is the second study after Maar et al. (2013) to assume  
357 they do not. Instead, we use a dome-shaped relationship between growth and fecundity and temperature.  
358 Similar responses have now been established for a number of zooplankton species (Halsband-Lenk et al.,  
359 2002; Holste and Peck, 2006; Holste et al., 2009; Rhyne et al., 2009; White and Roman, 1992; Koski and  
360 Kuosa, 1999; Pasternak et al., 2013).

361 The relationships between fecundity and development time and temperature were derived from the  
362 experimental ingestion rate data of Møller et al. (2012). A review of the literature shows that we have  
363 little knowledge of the key traits of *C. finmarchicus* such as development, growth and fecundity above  
364 12 °C (Table 2). Further, we are not aware of published evidence of the influence of temperature on *C.*  
365 *helgolandicus*'s fecundity. Clarifications of the relationship between growth and temperature are therefore  
366 a priority of *Calanus* research. Importantly, conventional models of development are problematic in the  
367 context of climate change, where they may falsely predict ever increasing growth rates as temperatures rise.  
368 This is highlighted in the Gulf of Maine, where despite summer surface temperatures now often exceeding  
369 20 °C (Mills et al., 2013) there have recently been record high levels of *C. finmarchicus* abundance (Runge  
370 et al., 2014).

371 Understanding the relative geographic distributions of both species can arguably be answered by asking  
372 why only *C. helgolandicus* exists in the region south west of the British Isles. On the basis of our models of  
373 growth and fecundity, this region is not noticeably favourable to *C. helgolandicus*. However, the population  
374 model's performance is instructive. Simulated abundance of *C. helgolandicus* is much higher in winter at  
375 L4 than in reality, and we significantly over-predicted EPR in the second half of the year compared with  
376 the long-term seasonal pattern (Maud et al., 2015). This is potentially related to food quality. Resolving the  
377 apparent contradictions in understanding of the influence of food quality on fecundity (Maud et al., 2015;  
378 Niehoff et al., 1999; Jønasdóttir et al., 2002) and development time (Diel and Klein Breteler, 1986) may  
379 therefore be the key to fully explaining the relative biogeographies of both species.

380 Measuring mortality in copepods is commonly viewed as an intractable problem (Ohman, 2012), and  
381 therefore models of mortality are inherently uncertain and difficult to validate. This problem is highlighted  
382 by our formulation of starvation mortality, where it was related to growth rate. The formulation was  
383 similar to that used by other modellers (e.g. Tittensor et al. (2003)), however it was ad-hoc and impossible  
384 to validate. Importantly, the modelled biogeography of *C. helgolandicus* was dependent on starvation  
385 mortality, where it plays a key role in reducing post-diapause populations in oceanic regions to a low  
386 enough level to eliminate long-term persistence. However, alternative formulations of mortality could  
387 potentially achieve this. Some zooplankton modellers have used U-shaped relationships between mortality  
388 and temperature (Rajakaruna et al., 2012), which could act as a limit on the north-western distribution  
389 of *C. helgolandicus*. Further, allee effects (Kiørboe, 2006) and the impact of starvation on long-term  
390 fecundity (Niehoff, 2004) could significantly deplete the populations of low-abundance post-diapause *C.*

391 *helgolandicus* populations. Including these mortality effects in our model would result in a more complete  
392 representation of copepod ecology. However, there is little evidence to quantify the relative magnitude of  
393 these sources of mortality. Further advances in understanding copepod mortality (Gentleman et al., 2012;  
394 Ohman, 2012) are therefore likely necessary to justify increasingly complex mortality models. However,  
395 the influence of mortality should be considered if the model is to be applied, particularly in climate change  
396 contexts where changes might be dependent on the specific mortality formulation.

397 There is a spring bloom of *C. helgolandicus* in the North Sea (Bresnan et al., 2015), which we did not  
398 predict. However, the apparent phenology of *C. helgolandicus* in the North Sea is difficult to reconcile  
399 with the known influence of temperature on its development time (Cook et al., 2007; Bonnet et al., 2009).  
400 The first Stonehaven bloom typically occurs before day 130, and temperatures are below 9 °C before then.  
401 Evidence indicates that *C. finmarchicus* either cannot develop from egg to adult (Bonnet et al., 2009) or has  
402 a development time greater than 120 d at these temperatures (Møller et al., 2012). Research is therefore  
403 needed to reconcile development time studies of *C. helgolandicus* and phenology in the North Sea. Further,  
404 additional model runs (not shown) indicated that most of the modelled autumn bloom in the northern  
405 North Sea resulted from animals that are advected into the North Sea from the North. The importance of  
406 advection for North Sea *C. finmarchicus* populations has been previously been studied (Heath et al., 1999),  
407 however the role of advection in influencing year to year North Sea *C. helgolandicus* abundance has not.  
408 It may be possible that *C. helgolandicus* phenology in the North Sea can be explained by the existence  
409 of hybrids of *C. helgolandicus* and *C. finmarchicus*. This is a speculative hypothesis. However, at the  
410 fringes of its northern distribution, *C. finmarchicus* hybridizes with *C. glacialis* (Berchenko and Stupnikova,  
411 2014; Parent et al., 2011; Gabrielsen et al., 2012), and we cannot rule out a similar phenomenon for *C.*  
412 *finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus*.

413 Finally, our model highlights the importance of lipid dynamics and deep-water temperatures as influences  
414 on the distribution of *Calanus*. Existing statistical models of *Calanus* biogeography (Helaouët and  
415 Beaugrand, 2007; Chust et al., 2013; Hinder et al., 2013) and projections of future distributions (Reygondeau  
416 and Beaugrand, 2011; Villarino et al., 2015) have only considered surface conditions. However, the  
417 distribution of *C. helgolandicus* appears to be strongly influenced by deep-water temperatures. Conditions  
418 in large parts of the North Atlantic are sufficient to support at least one generation of *C. helgolandicus*,  
419 but high overwintering temperatures result in the inability of a sufficiently large overwintering population  
420 to maintain a persistent population. Recent work showed that projected potential diapause duration of *C.*  
421 *finmarchicus* in the Norwegian Sea under a high emissions scenario was largely unchanged this century,  
422 whereas surface temperature increases significantly (Wilson et al., 2016). Development conditions will  
423 therefore improve significantly for *C. helgolandicus* in the Norwegian Sea, whereas diapause conditions  
424 would remain largely unchanged. There is therefore potential for *C. helgolandicus* to become an oceanic  
425 species as a result of deep-water warming lagging that at the surface. Similarly, these marginal changes in  
426 potential diapause duration may act as a brake on the northward retreat of *C. finmarchicus*. However, the  
427 expected temperature increases across the North Atlantic will reduce lipid levels of animals (Wilson et al.,  
428 2016) and the consequences are poorly understood. The future evolution of lipid dynamics may therefore  
429 be pivotal in determining the fate of *Calanus* communities and will have important consequences for the  
430 fish, seabirds and marine mammals that depend on the lipids provided by copepods (Beaugrand and Kirby,  
431 2010; Frederiksen et al., 2013).

## DISCLOSURE/CONFLICT-OF-INTEREST STATEMENT

432 The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial  
433 relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

434 RJW, MRH and DCS contributed to the design of the model. RJW implemented and analyzed the model  
435 and led the writing of the paper. All authors contributed to the editing and refining of the paper.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

436 We thank Neil Banas for fruitful discussions that helped shape the diapause model in the paper. Andrew  
437 Yool provided output from the NEMO model. Nicholas Record and a second anonymous reviewer provided  
438 helpful critical comments which improved the manuscript. Finally, we thank Ian Thurlbeck for invaluable  
439 IT support.

440 *Funding:* This work received funding from the MASTS pooling initiative (The Marine Alliance for  
441 Science and Technology for Scotland) and their support is gratefully acknowledged. MASTS is funded by  
442 the Scottish Funding Council (grant reference HR09011) and contributing institutions.

## REFERENCES

- 443 Barton, A. D., Pershing, A. J., Litchman, E., Record, N. R., Edwards, K. F., Finkel, Z. V., et al. (2013).  
444 The biogeography of marine plankton traits. *Ecology Letters* 16, 522–34. doi:10.1111/ele.12063
- 445 Batten, S. D., Clark, R., Flinkman, J., Hays, G., John, E., John, A. W. G., et al. (2003). CPR sampling: the  
446 technical background, materials and methods, consistency and comparability. *Progress in Oceanography*  
447 58, 193–215. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2003.08.004
- 448 Beaugrand, G. (2012). Unanticipated biological changes and global warming. *Marine Ecology Progress*  
449 *Series* 445, 293–301. doi:10.3354/meps09493
- 450 Beaugrand, G. and Kirby, R. R. (2010). Climate, plankton and cod. *Global Change Biology* 16, 1268–1280.  
451 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.02063.x
- 452 Beaugrand, G., Luczak, C., and Edwards, M. (2009). Rapid biogeographical plankton shifts in the North  
453 Atlantic Ocean. *Global Change Biology* 15, 1790–1803. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2009.01848.x
- 454 Beaugrand, G., Reid, P. C., Ibañez, F., Lindley, J. A., and Edwards, M. (2002). Reorganization of North  
455 Atlantic marine copepod biodiversity and climate. *Science* 296, 1692–4. doi:10.1126/science.1071329
- 456 Berchenko, I. V. and Stupnikova, A. N. (2014). Morphological peculiarities of *Calanus finmarchicus*  
457 and *Calanus glacialis* in the areas of the co-existence of their populations. *Oceanology* 54, 450–457.  
458 doi:10.1134/S0001437014040031
- 459 Bonnet, D., Harris, R. P., Yebra, L., Guilhaumon, F., Conway, D. V. P., and Hirst, A. G. (2009). Temperature  
460 effects on *Calanus helgolandicus* (Copepoda: Calanoida) development time and egg production. *Journal*  
461 *of Plankton Research* 31, 31–44. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbn099
- 462 Bonnet, D., Richardson, A., Harris, R., Hirst, A., Beaugrand, G., Edwards, M., et al. (2005). An  
463 overview of *Calanus helgolandicus* ecology in European waters. *Progress In Oceanography* 65, 1–53.  
464 doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2005.02.002



- 465 Bresnan, E., Cook, K. B., Hughes, S. L., Hay, S. J., Smith, K., Walsham, P., et al. (2015). Seasonality of  
466 the plankton community at an east and west coast monitoring site in Scottish waters. *Journal of Sea*  
467 *Research* doi:10.1016/j.seares.2015.06.009
- 468 Campbell, R. G., Wagner, M. M., Teegarden, G. J., Boudreau, C. A., and Durbin, E. G. (2001). Growth  
469 and development rates of *Calanus finmarchicus* the copepod reared in the laboratory. *Marine Ecology*  
470 *Progress Series* 221, 161–183. doi:10.3354/meps221161
- 471 Chust, G., Castellani, C., Licandro, P., Ibaibarriaga, L., Sagarminaga, Y., and Irigoien, X. (2013). Are  
472 *Calanus* spp. shifting poleward in the North Atlantic? A habitat modelling approach. *ICES Journal of*  
473 *Marine Science* 71, 241–253. doi:10.1093/icesjms/fst147
- 474 Clarke, E. D., Speirs, D. C., Heath, M., Wood, S. N., Gurney, W. S. C., and Holmes, S. J. (2006). Calibrating  
475 remotely sensed chlorophyll-a data by using penalized regression splines. *Journal of the Royal Statistical*  
476 *Society: Series C (Applied Statistics)* 55, 331–353. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9876.2006.00540.x
- 477 Comiso, J. (1997). *Bootstrap Sea Ice Concentrations from Nimbus-7 SMMR and DMSP SSM/I-SSMIS*  
478 (Boulder: National Snow and Ice Data Center)
- 479 Cook, K. B., Bunker, A., Hay, S., Hirst, A. G., and Speirs, D. C. (2007). Naupliar development times  
480 and survival of the copepods *Calanus helgolandicus* and *Calanus finmarchicus* in relation to food and  
481 temperature. *Journal of Plankton Research* 29, 757–767. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbm056
- 482 Corkett, C. J., McLaren, I. A., and Sevigny, J.-M. (1986). The rearing of the marine calanoid copepods  
483 *Calanus finmarchicus* (Gunnerus), *C. glacialis* Jaschnov and *C. hyperboreus* Krøyer with comment on  
484 the equiproportional rule. *Syllogeus* 58, 539–546
- 485 Diel, S. and Klein Breteler, W. C. M. (1986). Growth and development of *Calanus* spp. (Copepoda) during  
486 spring phytoplankton succession in the North Sea. *Marine Biology* 92, 85–92. doi:10.1007/BF00397574
- 487 Durbin, E. G., Garrahan, P. R., and Casas, M. C. (2000). Abundance and distribution of *Calanus*  
488 *finmarchicus* on the Georges Bank during 1995 and 1996. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 57, 1664–1685.  
489 doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0974
- 490 Eiane, K., Aksnes, D. L., Ohman, M. D., Wood, S., and Martinussen, M. B. (2002). Stage-specific mortality  
491 of *Calanus* spp. under different predation regimes. *Sarsia* 47, 636–645. doi:10.4319/lo.2002.47.3.0636
- 492 Frederiksen, M., Anker-Nilssen, T., Beaugrand, G., and Wanless, S. (2013). Climate, copepods and  
493 seabirds in the boreal Northeast Atlantic - current state and future outlook. *Global Change biology* 19,  
494 364–72. doi:10.1111/gcb.12072
- 495 Gabrielsen, T. M., Merkel, B., Sreide, J. E., Johansson-Karlsson, E., Bailey, A., Vogedes, D., et al. (2012).  
496 Potential misidentifications of two climate indicator species of the marine arctic ecosystem: *Calanus*  
497 *glacialis* and *C. finmarchicus*. *Polar Biology* 35, 1621–1628. doi:10.1007/s00300-012-1202-7
- 498 Gentleman, W. C., Pepin, P., and Doucette, S. (2012). Estimating mortality: Clarifying assumptions and  
499 sources of uncertainty in vertical methods. *Journal of Marine Systems* 105-108, 1–19. doi:10.1016/j.  
500 jmarsys.2012.05.006
- 501 Gislason, A. and Astthorsson, O. S. (2000). Winter distribution, ontogenetic migration, and rates of  
502 egg production of *Calanus finmarchicus* southwest of Iceland. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 57,  
503 1727–1739. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0951
- 504 Gurney, W. S. C., Speirs, D. C., Wood, S. N., Clarke, E. D., and Heath, M. R. (2001). Simulating spatially  
505 and physiologically structured populations. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 70, 881–894. doi:10.1046/j.  
506 0021-8790.2001.00549.x
- 507 Halsband-Lenk, C., Hirche, H.-J., and Carlotti, F. (2002). Temperature impact on reproduction and  
508 development of congener copepod populations. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*  
509 271, 121–153. doi:10.1016/S0022-0981(02)00025-4



- 510 Harris, R. (2000). Feeding, growth, and reproduction in the genus *Calanus*. *ICES Journal of Marine*  
511 *Science* 57, 1708–1726. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0959
- 512 Harris, R. (2010). The L4 time-series: the first 20 years. *Journal of Plankton Research* 32, 577–583.  
513 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbq021
- 514 Heath, M. R., Astthorsson, O. S., Dunn, J., Ellertsen, B., Gaard, E., Gislason, A., et al. (2000). Comparative  
515 analysis of *Calanus finmarchicus* demography at locations around the Northeast Atlantic. *ICES Journal*  
516 *of Marine Science* 57, 1562–1580. doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0950
- 517 Heath, M. R., Backhaus, J. O., Richardson, K., McKenzie, E., Slagstad, D., Beare, D., et al. (1999). Climate  
518 fluctuations and the spring invasion of the North Sea by *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Fisheries Oceanography*  
519 8, 163–176. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2419.1999.00008.x
- 520 Heath, M. R., Boyle, P., Gislason, A., Gurney, W. S. C., Hay, S. J., Head, E. J. H., et al. (2004). Comparative  
521 ecology of over-wintering *Calanus finmarchicus* in the northern North Atlantic, and implications for  
522 life-cycle patterns. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 61, 698–708. doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.03.013
- 523 Helaouët, P. and Beaugrand, G. (2007). Macroecology of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus* in  
524 the North Atlantic Ocean and adjacent seas. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 345, 147–165. doi:10.  
525 3354/meps06775
- 526 Hélaouët, P., Beaugrand, G., and Reygondeau, G. (2016). Reliability of spatial and temporal patterns of  
527 *C. finmarchicus* inferred from the CPR survey. *Journal of Marine Systems* 153, 18–24. doi:10.1016/j.  
528 jmarsys.2015.09.001
- 529 Hinder, S. L., Gravenor, M. B., Edwards, M., Ostle, C., Bodger, O. G., Lee, P. L. M., et al. (2013).  
530 Multi-decadal range changes vs. thermal adaptation for north east Atlantic oceanic copepods in the face  
531 of climate change. *Global Change Biology* 20, 140–146. doi:10.1111/gcb.12387
- 532 Hirche, H.-J. (1983). Overwintering of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus helgolandicus*. *Marine Ecology*  
533 *Progress Series* 11, 281–290
- 534 Hirche, H.-J. (1987). Temperature and plankton II. Effect on respiration and swimming activity in copepods  
535 from the Greenland Sea. *Marine Biology* 95, 347–356. doi:10.1007/BF00428240
- 536 Hirche, H.-J., Brey, T., and Niehoff, B. (2001). A high-frequency time series at Ocean Weather Ship  
537 Station M (Norwegian Sea): population dynamics of *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Marine Ecology Progress*  
538 *Series* 219, 205–219. doi:10.3354/meps219205
- 539 Hirche, H.-J., Meyer, U., and Niehoff, B. (1997). Egg production of *Calanus finmarchicus*: effect of  
540 temperature, food and season. *Marine Biology* 127, 609–620. doi:10.1007/s002270050051
- 541 Hirst, A. G., Bonnet, D., and Harris, R. P. (2007). Seasonal dynamics and mortality rates of *Calanus*  
542 *helgolandicus* over two years at a station in the English Channel. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 340,  
543 189–205. doi:10.3354/meps340189
- 544 Holste, L. and Peck, M. A. (2006). The effects of temperature and salinity on egg production and hatching  
545 success of Baltic *Acartia tonsa* (Copepoda: Calanoida): a laboratory investigation. *Marine Biology* 148,  
546 1061–1070. doi:10.1007/s00227-005-0132-0
- 547 Holste, L., St. John, M. A., and Peck, M. A. (2009). The effects of temperature and salinity on reproductive  
548 success of *Temora longicornis* in the Baltic Sea: a copepod coping with a tough situation. *Marine*  
549 *Biology* 156, 527–540. doi:10.1007/s00227-008-1101-1
- 550 Hygum, B. H., Rey, C., and Hansen, B. W. (2000a). Growth and development rates of *Calanus finmarchicus*  
551 nauplii during a diatom spring bloom. *Marine Biology* 136, 1075–1085. doi:10.1007/s002270000313
- 552 Hygum, B. H., Rey, C., Hansen, B. W., and Tande, K. (2000b). Importance of food quantity to structural  
553 growth rate and neutral lipid reserves accumulated in *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Marine Biology* 136,  
554 1057–1073. doi:10.1007/s002270000292

- 555 Ikeda, T., Kanno, Y., Ozaki, K., and Shinada, A. (2001). Metabolic rates of epipelagic marine copepods as  
556 a function of body mass and temperature. *Marine Biology* 139, 587–596. doi:10.1007/s002270100608
- 557 Ingvarsdóttir, A., Houlihan, D. F., Heath, M. R., and Hay, S. J. (1999). Seasonal changes in respiration  
558 rates of copepodite stage V *Calanus finmarchicus* (Gunnerus). *Fisheries Oceanography* 8, 73–83.  
559 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2419.1999.00002.x
- 560 Irigoien, X. (1999). Vertical distribution and population structure of *Calanus finmarchicus* at station India  
561 (59 °N, 19 °W) during the passage of the great salinity anomaly, 1971–1975. *Deep-Sea Research Part I*  
562 47, 1–26. doi:10.1016/S0967-0637(99)00045-X
- 563 Irigoien, X., Head, R. N., Harris, R. P., Cummings, D., and Harbour, D. (2000). Feeding selectivity and  
564 egg production of *Calanus helgolandicus* in the English Channel. *Limnology and Oceanography* 45,  
565 44–54. doi:10.4319/lo.2000.45.1.0044
- 566 Jónasdóttir, S. H., Gudfinnsson, H. G., Gislason, A., and Astthorsson, O. S. (2002). Diet composition and  
567 quality for *Calanus finmarchicus* egg production and hatching success off south-west Iceland. *Marine*  
568 *Biology* 140, 1195–1206. doi:10.1007/s00227-002-0782-0
- 569 Kiørboe, T. (2006). Sex, sex-ratios, and the dynamics of pelagic copepod populations. *Oecologia* 148,  
570 40–50. doi:10.1007/s00442-005-0346-3
- 571 Kjellerup, S., Dünweber, M., Swalethorp, R., Nielsen, T. G., Møller, E. F., Markager, S., et al. (2012).  
572 Effects of a future warmer ocean on the coexisting copepods *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. glacialis* in  
573 Disko Bay, western Greenland. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 447, 87–108. doi:10.3354/meps09551
- 574 Koski, M. and Kuosa, H. (1999). The effect of temperature, food concentration and female size on the egg  
575 production of the planktonic copepod *Acartia biflosa*. *Journal of Plankton Research* 21, 1779–1789.  
576 doi:10.1093/plankt/21.9.1779
- 577 Lett, C., Verley, P., Mullon, C., Parada, C., Brochier, T., Penven, P., et al. (2008). A Lagrangian  
578 tool for modelling ichthyoplankton dynamics. *Environmental Modelling & Software* 23, 1210–1214.  
579 doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2008.02.005
- 580 Litchman, E., Ohman, M. D., and Kiørboe, T. (2013). Trait-based approaches to zooplankton communities.  
581 *Journal of Plankton Research* 35, 473–484. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbt019
- 582 Lynch, D. R., Lewis, C. V. W., and Werner, F. E. (2001). Can Georges Bank larval cod survive on a  
583 calanoid diet? *Deep-Sea Research Part II* 48, 609–630. doi:10.1016/S0967-0645(00)00129-6
- 584 Maar, M., Møller, E. F., Gürkan, Z., Jónasdóttir, S. H., and Nielsen, T. G. (2013). Sensitivity of *Calanus*  
585 spp. copepods to environmental changes in the North Sea using life-stage structured models. *Progress in*  
586 *Oceanography* 111, 24–37. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2012.10.004
- 587 Madec, G. (2012). NEMO ocean engine. *Note du Pole de modélisation, Institut Pierre-Simon Laplace*  
588 *(IPSL), France*
- 589 Maps, F., Pershing, A. J., and Record, N. R. (2012). A generalized approach for simulating growth  
590 and development in diverse marine copepod species. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 69, 370–379.  
591 doi:10.1093/icesjms/fsr182
- 592 Maps, F., Record, N. R., and Pershing, A. J. (2014). A metabolic approach to dormancy in pelagic  
593 copepods helps explaining inter- and intra-specific variability in life-history strategies. *Journal of*  
594 *Plankton Research* 36, 18–30. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbt100
- 595 Maud, J. L., Atkinson, A., Hirst, A. G., Lindeque, P. K., Widdicombe, C. E., Harmer, R. a., et al. (2015).  
596 How does *Calanus helgolandicus* maintain its population in a variable environment? Analysis of a  
597 25-year time series from the English Channel. *Progress in Oceanography* 137, 513–523. doi:10.1016/j.  
598 pocean.2015.04.028

- 599 McLaren, I. A. and Leonard, A. (1995). Assessing the equivalence of growth and egg production of  
600 copepods. *ICES Journal of Marine Science* 52, 397–408. doi:10.1016/1054-3139(95)80055-7
- 601 Melle, W., Runge, J., Head, E., Plourde, S., Castellani, C., Licandro, P., et al. (2014). The North Atlantic  
602 Ocean as habitat for *Calanus finmarchicus*: Environmental factors and life history traits. *Progress in*  
603 *Oceanography* 129, 244–284. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.04.026
- 604 Meyer, B., Irigoien, X., Graeve, M., Head, R. N., and Harris, R. P. (2002). Feeding rates and selectivity  
605 among nauplii, copepodites and adult females of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus helgolandicus*.  
606 *Helgoland Marine Research* 56, 169–176. doi:10.1007/s10152-002-0105-3
- 607 Mills, K., Pershing, A., and Brown, C. (2013). Fisheries management in a changing climate: Lessons  
608 from the 2012 ocean heat wave in the northwest Atlantic. *Oceanography* 26, 191–195. doi:http:  
609 //dx.doi.org/10.5670/oceanog.2013.27
- 610 Møller, E. F., Maar, M., Jónasdóttir, S. H., Gissel Nielsen, T., and Tønnesson, K. (2012). The effect of  
611 changes in temperature and food on the development of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *Calanus helgolandicus*  
612 populations. *Limnology and Oceanography* 57, 211–220. doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.1.0211
- 613 Niehoff, B. (2004). The effect of food limitation on gonad development and egg production of the  
614 planktonic copepod *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology* 307,  
615 237–259. doi:10.1016/j.jembe.2004.02.006
- 616 Niehoff, B., Klenke, U., Hirche, H. J., Irigoien, X., Head, R., and Harris, R. (1999). A high frequency time  
617 series at Weathership M, Norwegian Sea, during the 1997 spring bloom: the reproductive biology of  
618 *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 176, 81–92. doi:10.3354/meps176081
- 619 Ohman, M. D. (2012). Estimation of mortality for stage-structured zooplankton populations: What is to be  
620 done? *Journal of Marine Systems* 93, 4–10. doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2011.05.008
- 621 Ohman, M. D., Eiane, K., Durbin, E. G., Runge, J. A., and Hirche, H. J. (2004). A comparative study of  
622 *Calanus finmarchicus* mortality patterns at five localities in the North Atlantic. *ICES Journal of Marine*  
623 *Science* 61, 687–697. doi:10.1016/j.icesjms.2004.03.016
- 624 Parent, G. J., Plourde, S., and Turgeon, J. (2011). Overlapping size ranges of *Calanus* spp. off the Canadian  
625 Arctic and Atlantic Coasts: impact on species' abundances. *Journal of Plankton Research* 33, 1654–1665.  
626 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbr072
- 627 Parent, G. J., Plourde, S., and Turgeon, J. (2012). Natural hybridization between *Calanus finmarchicus*  
628 and *C. glacialis* (Copepoda) in the Arctic and Northwest Atlantic. *Limnology and Oceanography* 57,  
629 1057–1066. doi:10.4319/lo.2012.57.4.1057
- 630 Parsons, T. R., Takahashi, M., and Hargrave, B. (1984). *Biological oceanographic processes* (Oxford:  
631 Pergamon Press)
- 632 Pasternak, A. F., Arashkevich, E. G., Grothe, U., Nikishina, A. B., and Solovyev, K. A. (2013). Different  
633 effects of increased water temperature on egg production of *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. glacialis*.  
634 *Marine Biology* 53, 547–553. doi:10.1134/S0001437013040085
- 635 Pepin, P. and Head, E. J. H. (2009). Seasonal and depth-dependent variations in the size and lipid contents  
636 of stage 5 copepodites of *Calanus finmarchicus* in the waters of the Newfoundland Shelf and the Labrador  
637 Sea. *Deep-Sea Research I* 56, 989–1002. doi:10.1016/j.dsr.2009.01.005
- 638 Planque, B. and Batten, S. D. (2000). *Calanus finmarchicus* in the North Atlantic: the year of *Calanus* in  
639 the context of interdecadal change. *ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil* 57, 1528–1535.  
640 doi:10.1006/jmsc.2000.0970
- 641 Preziosi, B. M. and Runge, J. A. (2014). The effect of warm temperatures on hatching success of the  
642 marine planktonic copepod, *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Journal of Plankton Research* 36, 1381–1384.  
643 doi:10.1093/plankt/fbu056

- 644 Rae, K. S. M. (1952). Continuous plankton records: explanation and methods, 1946-1949. *Bulletins of*  
645 *Marine Ecology* 3, 135–155
- 646 Rajakaruna, H., Strasser, C., and Lewis, M. (2012). Identifying non-invasible habitats for marine copepods  
647 using temperature-dependent R 0. *Biological Invasions* 14, 633–647. doi:10.1007/s10530-011-0104-x
- 648 Record, N. R. and Pershing, A. J. (2008). Modeling zooplankton development using the monotonic  
649 upstream scheme for conservation laws. *Limnology and Oceanography: Methods* 6, 364–373. doi:10.  
650 4319/lom.2008.6.364
- 651 Record, N. R., Pershing, A. J., and Maps, F. (2013). Emergent copepod communities in an adaptive  
652 trait-structured model. *Ecological Modelling* 260, 11–24. doi:10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2013.03.018
- 653 Record, N. R., Pershing, A. J., Runge, J. A., Mayo, C. A., Monger, B. C., and Chen, C. (2010). Improving  
654 ecological forecasts of copepod community dynamics using genetic algorithms. *Journal of Marine*  
655 *Systems* 82, 96–110. doi:10.1016/j.jmarsys.2010.04.001
- 656 Reid, P. C., Edwards, M., Beaugrand, G., Skogen, M., and Stevens, D. (2003). Periodic changes in  
657 the zooplankton of the North Sea during the twentieth century linked to oceanic inflow. *Fisheries*  
658 *Oceanography* 12, 260–269. doi:10.1046/j.1365-2419.2003.00252.x
- 659 Rey, C., Carlotti, F., Tande, K., and Hansen, B. H. (1999). Egg and faecal pellet production of *Calanus*  
660 *finmarchicus* females from controlled mesocosms and in situ populations: influence of age and feeding  
661 history. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 188, 133–148. doi:10.3354/meps188133
- 662 Rey-Rassat, C., Irigoien, X., Harris, R., and Carlotti, F. (2002). Energetic cost of gonad development in  
663 *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 238, 301–306. doi:10.  
664 3354/meps238301
- 665 Reygondeau, G. and Beaugrand, G. (2011). Future climate-driven shifts in distribution of *Calanus*  
666 *finmarchicus*. *Global Change Biology* 17, 756–766. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02310.x
- 667 Rhyne, A. L., Ohs, C. L., and Stenn, E. (2009). Effects of temperature on reproduction and survival of  
668 the calanoid copepod *Pseudodiaptomus pelagicus*. *Aquaculture* 292, 53–59. doi:10.1016/j.aquaculture.  
669 2009.03.041
- 670 Richardson, A. J., Walne, A. W., John, A. W. G., Jonas, T. D., Lindley, J. A., Sims, D. W., et al. (2006).  
671 Using Continuous Plankton Recorder data. *Progress in Oceanography* 68, 27–74. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.  
672 2005.09.011
- 673 Runge, J. A., Ji, R., Thompson, C. R. S., Record, N. R., Chen, C., Vandemark, D. C., et al. (2014).  
674 Persistence of *Calanus finmarchicus* in the western Gulf of Maine during recent extreme warming.  
675 *Journal of Plankton Research* 37, 221–232. doi:10.1093/plankt/fbu098
- 676 Runge, J. A. and Plourde, S. (1996). Fecundity characteristics of *Calanus finmarchicus* in coastal waters of  
677 eastern Canada. *Ophelia* 44, 171–187. doi:10.1080/00785326.1995.10429846
- 678 Runge, J. A., Plourde, S., Joly, P., Niehoff, B., and Durbin, E. (2006). Characteristics of egg production of  
679 the planktonic copepod, *Calanus finmarchicus*, on Georges Bank: 1994-1999. *Deep-Sea Research Part*  
680 *II* 53, 2618–2631. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.08.010
- 681 Saumweber, W. J. and Durbin, E. G. (2006). Estimating potential diapause duration in *Calanus finmarchicus*.  
682 *Deep-Sea Research Part II* 53, 2597–2617. doi:10.1016/j.dsr2.2006.08.003
- 683 Speirs, D. C., Gurney, W. S. C., Heath, M. R., Horbelt, W., Wood, S. N., and de Cuevas, B. A. (2006).  
684 Ocean-scale modelling of the distribution, abundance, and seasonal dynamics of the copepod *Calanus*  
685 *finmarchicus*. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 313, 173–192. doi:10.3354/meps313173
- 686 Speirs, D. C., Gurney, W. S. C., Heath, M. R., and Wood, S. N. (2005). Modelling the basin-scale  
687 demography of *Calanus finmarchicus* in the north-east Atlantic. *Fisheries Oceanography* 14, 333–358.  
688 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2419.2005.00339.x

- 689 Tande, K. S. (1988). Aspects of developmental and mortality rates in *Calanus finmarchicus* related to  
690 equiproportional development. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 44, 51–58. doi:10.1155/2012/803637
- 691 Tittensor, D. P., Deyoung, B., and Tang, C. L. (2003). Modelling the distribution, sustainability and diapause  
692 emergence timing of the copepod *Calanus finmarchicus* in the Labrador Sea. *Fisheries Oceanography*  
693 12, 299–316
- 694 Villarino, E., Chust, G., Licandro, P., Butenschön, M., Ibaibarriaga, L., Larrañaga, a., et al. (2015).  
695 Modelling the future biogeography of North Atlantic zooplankton communities in response to climate  
696 change. *Marine Ecology Progress Series* 531, 121–142. doi:10.3354/meps11299
- 697 White, J. R. and Roman, M. R. (1992). Egg production by the calanoid copepod *Acartia tonsa* in the  
698 mesohaline Chesapeake Bay: the importance of food resources and temperature. *Marine Ecology*  
699 *Progress Series* 86, 239–249
- 700 Wilson, R. J., Banas, N. S., Heath, M. R., and Speirs, D. C. (2016). Projected impacts of 21st century climate  
701 change on diapause in *Calanus finmarchicus*. *Global Change Biology* , 1–9doi:10.1111/gcb.13282
- 702 Wilson, R. J., Speirs, D. C., and Heath, M. R. (2015). On the surprising lack of differences between  
703 two congeneric calanoid copepod species, *Calanus finmarchicus* and *C. helgolandicus*. *Progress in*  
704 *Oceanography* 134, 413–431. doi:10.1016/j.pocean.2014.12.008
- 705 Yool, A., Popova, E. E., and Anderson, T. R. (2011). Medusa-1.0: a new intermediate complexity  
706 plankton ecosystem model for the global domain. *Geoscientific Model Development* 4, 381–417.  
707 doi:10.5194/gmd-4-381-2011

## TABLE CAPTIONS

708 Table 1: The correlation coefficient ( $r$ ) between modelled monthly abundance and the mean CPR abundance  
709 in each cell.

710 Table 2: Temperature ranges for measurement of key *C. finmarchicus* traits. \* indicates the reference with  
711 the highest report temperature. References: Ingvarsdóttir et al., 1999; 2. Rey et al., 1999; 3. Harris, 2000; 4.  
712 Campbell et al., 2001 5. Hygum et al., 2000b; 6. Saumweber and Durbin, 2006; 7. Runge and Plourde,  
713 1996; 10. Hirche, 1983; 11. Meyer et al., 2002; 12. Hirche et al., 1997; 13. Hirche, 1987; 14. Møller et al.,  
714 2012; 15. Preziosi and Runge, 2014; 16. Kjellerup et al., 2012; 17. Rey-Rassat et al., 2002; 18. Cook et al.,  
715 2007; 19. Hygum et al., 2000a; 20. Ikeda et al., 2001; 21. Corkett et al., 1986; 22. Tande, 1988; 23. Diel  
716 and Klein Breteler, 1986

## FIGURE CAPTIONS

717 Figure 1: Influence of temperature on Calanus's body size, development and growth in the model. Body  
718 size and diapause duration are assumed to be the same in both species. Development time is based on the  
719 model of Wilson et al. (2015), and the EPR model is derived from that model's growth equation assuming  
720 that female's use carbon for egg production instead of growth. Egg-adult development times assume an  
721 animal is of size 280  $\mu\text{g C}$ .

722 Figure 2: Comparison of bimonthly *C. finmarchicus* abundance as recorded by CPR and by the model.  
723 Density is mean C5 and adult abundance.

724 Figure 3: Comparison of bimonthly *C. helgolandicus* abundance as recorded by CPR and by the model.  
725 Density is mean C5 and adult abundance.

726 Figure 4: Comparison of modelled *C. finmarchicus* abundance for combined states C5 and adult with  
727 time series data. Solid lines represent model output; dashed lines represent smooths of CPR abundance;  
728 points represent time series data. Abundance is depth integrated over the top 100 m of the water column.

729 Figure 5: Comparison of modelled abundance of *C. helgolandicus* for combined states C5 and adult with  
730 time series data. Solid lines represent model output; dashed lines represent smooths of CPR abundance;  
731 points represent time series data. Abundance is depth integrated over the top 100 m of the water column.

732 Figure 6: Predicted EPR for *C. helgolandicus* at L4, English Channel and for *C. finmarchicus* at OWS M  
733 compared with field estimates. Solid lines are modelled EPR; points are field estimates.

734 Figure 7: Mean annual abundance of C5 and adult *C. finmarchicus* under the assumption that temperature  
735 scaling of mortality,  $z = 7$  and  $z = 4.1$ . A higher value of  $z$  means that mortality scales much more steeply  
736 with temperature.

737 Figure 8: Sensitivity of *C. helgolandicus* model to diapause duration. Diapause duration was altered by a  
738 fixed percentage throughout the model domain, and the temperature scaling of mortality was varied. Abrupt  
739 changes in model fit close to the optimum indicates that *C. helgolandicus* switches from being a shelf to an  
740 oceanic species.



Table 1

Month	<i>C. finmarchicus</i>	<i>C. helgolandicus</i>
January	0.52	0.56
February	0.31	0.61
March	0.54	0.32
April	0.50	0.55
May	0.32	0.70
June	0.64	0.65
July	0.67	0.67
August	0.61	0.49
September	0.60	0.55
October	0.47	0.57
November	0.27	0.65
December	0.22	0.69

741

Table 2

Trait	Maximum temperature (°C)	Reference
Growth	12	3,4, 5, 9,19, 23
Development	12	4, 18, 19, 21, 23
Fecundity	13.5	2,3, 7*, 8, 12, 16
Egg hatching success	22	15
Ingestion rate	21	3, 11, 14*
Respiration rates	17.9	1,6, 10, 13*, 20
Costs of gonad formation	8	17

742

## 5 APPENDIX: MODEL SUMMARY

### 743 5.1 State variables

744 The model is adapted from Speirs et al. (2006), which modelled *C. finmarchicus* over the entire North  
 745 Atlantic. The geographic domain covers the North Atlantic from 30 to 80°N and from 80°W to 90°E. This  
 746 domain is further divided into cells of size 0.25°N by 0.5°E. Each cell is represented by a vector address  $\mathbf{x} =$   
 747  $\{N, E\}$ , where N and E represent the latitude and longitude of the centre of each cell. In each model cell, we  
 748 divide the population into 3 groups: surface developers, diapausers, and adults. Surface developers include  
 749 all development stages from egg to the end of the C5 stage. Diapausers are C5 individuals overwintering in  
 750 deep waters. Adults (C6s) are animals in the surface who have completed development and can reproduce.  
 751 Each group is further divided into 10 body size classes. For the surface developers, we define a development  
 752 class  $q$ , which takes a value of 0 for eggs and 1 at the end of C5. This allows us to divide the surface  
 753 developers into a set of  $n$  classes of equal width  $\Delta q$ , and each overwintering body size class into  $m$  classes  
 754 of width  $\delta q$ . Egg to adult development time is dependent on food and temperature. However, the relative  
 755 durations of the inter-molt period remains constant. There is therefore a one-to-one relationship between  
 756 the constant-width classes of the model and the observable physiological stages, shown in Table 1.

757  $C_{i,B,x,t} \equiv$  No. of class  $i$  developers of body size  $B$  in surface cell  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  $t$  (1)

758  $D_{i,B,x,t} \equiv$  No. of class  $j$  diapausers of body size  $B$  in surface cell  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  $t$  (2)

$A_{i,B,x,t} \equiv$  No. of adults of body size  $B$  in surface cell  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  $t$  (3)

**Supplementary Table 1.** Stage classes and mortality parameters

Stage	E	N1	N2	N3	N4	N5	N6	C1	C2	C3	C4	C5
<i>C. finmarchicus</i>												
<b>Surface</b>												
last class	2	5	8	11	14	17	20	25	30	35	41	57
<b>Diapause</b>												
last class	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
<i>C. helgolandicus</i>												
<b>Surface</b>												
last class	1	4	6	11	15	19	27	31	36	42	47	57
$\mu_q^E (d^{-1} \times 100)$	18.2	33.6	33.6	14.9	2.6	2.6	2.6	1.5	0.0	2	2	15
$w_q^C (\mu g)$	0.5	0.33	0.49	1.0	1.5	2.1	2.8	4.2	13	23	64	170

## 759 5.2 Body size

760 Adult prosome length (mm) is assumed to be determined at birth. For computational efficiency purposes  
 761 we have 10 body size classes. First we divide temperature space into 10 equally spaced classes between  
 762 lower and upper ecologically relevant temperature thresholds  $T_{BL}$  and  $T_{BU}$ . Eggs are then placed into the  
 763 relevant temperature class, with body size being determined by the mean temperature in the temperature  
 764 class. If the temperature at birth is below the lower threshold or above the upper threshold we place the  
 765 egg into the first or last temperature class respectively. The relationship between adult length,  $L$  (mm)  
 766 and temperature,  $T$  ( $^{\circ}C$ ) is that reported by Campbell et al. (2001), with a rescaling to account for non  
 767 food-saturated conditions.

$$L = \frac{\alpha_L(m_L T + c_L)}{1000} \quad (4)$$

768 For adults we convert length to body weight,  $w_c^A$  ( $\mu g$  C) using the equation from Runge et al. (2006),

$$w_c^A = 4.39L^3.57 \quad (5)$$

769 We follow Speirs et al. (2006) and use the dry weights,  $w_{B,q}^C$ , of each stage from Lynch et al. (2001) as  
 770 our body weights for each pre-adult stage. However, these numbers are adjusted for the temperature scaling  
 771 of body size above, assuming that the animals caught by Lynch et al. (2001) (weights shown in Table 1)  
 772 developed at a temperature of  $10^{\circ}C$ .

## 773 5.3 Transport updates

774 We simulate the physical transport of animals from one cell to another by redistributing the contents of  
 775 each cell to a set of destination cells a set of times separated by the transport update interval  $\Delta g$ . Using  
 776 subscript - and + to denote the system state infinitesimally before and after the update, we can write:

$$C_{i,B,x,t}^+ = \sum_{\text{all } y} \Psi_{x,y,t}^S C_{i,B,y,t}^- \quad (6)$$

777

$$D_{i,B,x,t}^+ = \sum_{\text{all } y} \Psi_{x,y,t}^S D_{i,B,y,t}^- \quad (7)$$

778

$$A_{i,B,x,t}^+ = \sum_{\text{all } y} \Psi_{x,y,t}^S A_{i,B,y,t}^- \quad (8)$$

$\Psi_{x,y,t}^S$  and  $\Psi_{x,y,t}^D$  are the transfer distributions, representing the proportion of individuals in the surface and deep layers of cell  $\mathbf{y}$  at time  $t - \Delta t$  that are transported to the same layer of cell  $\mathbf{x}$  by time  $t$ . Thus, using  $L \in [S, D]$ , we define:

$$\Psi_{\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},t}^L \equiv \Pr\{\text{particle at } \mathbf{y} \text{ at time } t - \Delta t \text{ is at } \mathbf{x} \text{ at time } t\}$$

779 This quantity was determined by releasing 100 particles at the centre of each cell and tracking their  
780 positions from  $t - \Delta t$  to  $t$ , assuming that the deterministic part of velocity is given by the NEMO model  
781 (Madec, 2012).

## 782 5.4 Biological updates

783 The state of the surface developer population in cell  $\mathbf{x}$  is updated at a set of times  $\{u_{\mathbf{x}}^C\}$ , such that:

$$\Delta q = \int_{u_{x,i-1}^C}^{u_{x,i}^C} g_{\mathbf{x}}^C(\tau) d\tau$$

784 where  $g_{\mathbf{x}}^C(\tau)$  is the development rate of surface developers in cell  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  $\tau$  (see equation 15). At  
785 the end of each update time, individuals are moved one class to the right. In the case of final stage CV,  
786 individuals are either moved to adult or diapause stage. The egg stage then receives the eggs produced  
787 by surviving adults. Diapause entry is described using a function  $\theta_{i,x,t}$  which returns the fraction of  
788 individuals who transfer to the first diapause class. We let  $E_{\mathbf{x},t}$  denote the per capita egg production from  
789 the previous update to the one taking place at time  $t$  in cell  $\mathbf{x}$ . Further, if  $\xi_{B,x,t}^A$  and  $\xi_{i,B,x,t}^C$  denote the  
790 respective survival of adults and surface developers, then we can write the surviving developers and adults  
791 as:  $S_{i,B,x,t}^C \equiv \xi_{i,B,x,t}^C C_{i,B,x,t}^-$  and  $S_{B,x,t}^A \equiv \xi_{B,x,t}^A A_{B,x,t}^-$ . We therefore have:

$$C_{i,j} = \begin{cases} E_{B,x,t} S_{i-1,x,t}^C & i = 1 \\ (1 - \theta_{i-1,x,t}) S_{i-1,x,t}^C & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

$$D_{0,B,x,t}^+ = D_{0,B,x,t}^+ + \sum_{i=1}^n \theta_{i,x,t} S_{i,B,x,t}^C \quad (10)$$

$$A_{B,x,t}^+ = (1 - \theta_{n,x,t}) S_{B,n,x,t}^C + S_{B,x,t}^A \quad (11)$$

792 The diapausing population of cell  $\mathbf{x}$  is updated, in a similar way, at a set of times ( $u_{B,x}^D$ ) related to each  
793 other such that:

$$\delta q = \int_{u_{B,x,i-1}^D}^{u_{B,x,i}^D} g_{\mathbf{x}}^D(\tau) d\tau \quad (12)$$

794 where  $g_{B,x}^D$  is the development rate of diapausing individuals of body size class B in cell  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  
795  $\tau$ . Our update process requires that all survivors in all classes, but the last, are moved one class to the  
796 right. Diapausers become adults when they have reached the end of the final diapause stage. Let  $\xi_{B,x,t}$   
797 be the survival of individuals in class  $j$  in model cell  $\mathbf{x}$  from the last update to the one at time  $t$ , so that

798  $S_{j,B,\mathbf{x},t}^{+D} \equiv \xi_{j,B,\mathbf{x},t}^D D_{j,B,\mathbf{x},t}^-$  is the number of surviving diapausers just before the update. Diapausers are  
799 therefore updated according to:

$$D_{j,B,\mathbf{x},j}^+ = \begin{cases} 0 & j = 1 \\ S_{j,B,\mathbf{x},t}^D & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

800 and at the same time, adults are updated:

$$A_{0,B,\mathbf{x},t}^+ = A_{0,B,\mathbf{x},t}^- + D_{m,B,\mathbf{x},t}^+ \quad (14)$$

## 801 5.5 Update strategy

802 We have two types of updates: biological and transportation. Transportation updates occur at a set time,  
803 every 7 days. In between this there are a number of biological updates that must occur. This is performed  
804 by updating the biological state of each cell until the next update time is after the next transportation time.  
805 Once the biological updates are complete, we then perform the transport update.

## 806 5.6 Growth and development

807 Development times under food saturated conditions for both species are as calculated by Wilson et al.  
808 (2015).

809 Carbon weight is defined as  $w_c$ , and growth rate under food saturated conditions is defined as follows:

$$\dot{w}_c = w_c^{0.75} \left( \frac{P_5 A E \mu}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{P_3}{T+273.15} - \frac{P_3}{P_1}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{P_4}{P_2} - \frac{P_4}{T+273.15}\right)} - Q_{10}^S (T/10)^\lambda \right) \quad (15)$$

810 First we parameterize our model completely for *C. finmarchicus*, using the development times at 4, 8  
811 and 12°C under food-saturated conditions reported by Campbell et al. (2001). The parameterization of  
812 development to C5 was performed by minimising the least squares of our model fit. Development time for  
813 *C. helgolandicus* was estimated assuming that the only inter-species difference is the response of ingestion  
814 to temperature.

815 Individuals were assumed to molt to the next stage when their carbon weight reaches the respective critical  
816 molting weight. We estimated the relationship between molting weight for C5 individuals and temperature  
817 using published data on length-weight (Hygum et al., 2000b) and temperature-length relationships  
818 (Campbell et al., 2001). C5 molting weight was therefore assumed to relate to temperature using the  
819 equation  $C_m = 2.307 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot (-27.4 * T + 2084)^{3.52}$ , where  $C_m$  is the C5 molting carbon weight ( $\mu\text{g}$ ),  
820 and  $T$  is temperature ( $^\circ\text{C}$ ).

821 Development time to adult under food saturated conditions, DT, was calculated assuming the  
822 equiportionality defined by Campbell et al. (2001). Finally, we define the development rate  $g_{\mathbf{x}}^C(t)$  to  
823 be



$$g_{\mathbf{x}}^C(t) = \frac{1}{DT} \left( 1 - \exp \left[ -\frac{F_{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{F_G} \right] \right) \quad (16)$$

824 where  $F_G$  is the half saturation coefficient from Campbell et al. (2001).

## 825 5.7 Diapause duration

826 Diapause duration is modelled using the maximum potential diapause model of Wilson et al. (2016).  
 827 Here we will summarize that model. We model diapause duration assuming that individuals start diapause  
 828 with length dependent wax ester levels implied by the upper 95th percentile reported by Pepin and Head  
 829 (2009). Diapause is assumed to end when wax ester levels are three times nitrogen weight. This is an  
 830 approximate estimate derived from the limited data for the energetic requirements of molting and gonad  
 831 formation (Rey-Rassat et al., 2002). Respiration rates are assumed to have allometric scaling of 0.75 (Maps  
 832 et al., 2014) and to have a  $Q_{10}^D$  of 2.8 (the mean value from (Hirche, 1983; Saumweber and Durbin, 2006;  
 833 Ingvarsdóttir et al., 1999)).

834 The relationship between prosome length and wax esters available for respiration during diapause,  $WE_d$ ,  
 835 is therefore

$$WE_d = aL^y \quad (17)$$

836 where  $a = 3.66$  and  $y = 4.6$ , and  $L$  is prosome length.

837 Metabolism is assumed to relate strictly to structural (nitrogen) weight, which is assumed that structural  
 838 weight is fixed throughout diapause. This assumption means that respiration rates are constant throughout  
 839 diapause under fixed temperatures, which results in a more elegant model formulation.

840 Respiration rate,  $r$  ( $\mu\text{mol O}_2\text{gN}^{-1}\text{hr}^{-1}$ ) is estimated using the data of Saumweber and Durbin (2006),  
 841 and follows the equation:

$$r = \mu_d w_N^{0.75} Q_{10}^{T/10} \quad (18)$$

842 where  $\mu_d$  is a constant,  $w_N$  is nitrogen weight ( $\mu\text{g}$ ), and  $T$  is temperature in  $^{\circ}\text{C}$ .

843 Nitrogen weight,  $w$  ( $\mu\text{g}$ ), is related to prosome length,  $L$  (mm) using the following equation derived from  
 844 Runge et al. (2006),

$$w_N = \alpha L^{\beta} \quad (19)$$

845 where  $\alpha = 2.014$  and  $\beta = 2.7$ .

846 Using the weight-specific respiration data of Saumweber and Durbin (2006), we get the following  
 847 estimate,  $\mu_d = 280$ .

848 We then convert the oxygen respiration rate into a carbon respiration rate,

$$R = \frac{24 \cdot RQ \cdot 12.011 \cdot r}{10^6} \quad (20)$$

849 where  $R$  is the carbon respiration rate ( $\mu\text{g C}\mu\text{N}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$ ) and RQ is the respiratory quotient.

850 This can be simplified to the form

$$R = \xi w_N^{0.75} Q_{10}^{d \cdot T/10} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\xi = \mu * 24 * RQ * 12.011 * 10^{-6} = 0.06$$

851 Therefore diapause duration is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Duration} &= \frac{aL^y}{\xi w_N^{0.75} \cdot Q_{10}^{d \cdot T/10}} \\ &= \frac{aL^y}{\xi (\alpha L^\beta)^{0.75} \cdot Q_{10}^{d \cdot T/10}} \\ &= \frac{a \cdot L^{y-0.75\beta}}{\xi \alpha^{0.75} Q_{10}^{d \cdot T/10}} \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

852 We then have the final equation which relates diapause duration with body size and temperature,

$$\text{Duration} = \gamma_d L^{\lambda_d} \cdot Q_{10}^{d \cdot -T/10}$$

853 where  $\gamma_d = 36.08$  and  $\lambda = 2.58$ .

## 854 5.8 Diapause entry

855 Individuals are assumed to enter diapause at the end of stage C5, and that the fraction,  $\theta_{q,x,t}$ , of the  
856 population entering diapause is related to growth rate. The proportion of animals that stay at the surface,  
857  $F_s$  relates to a reference growth rate  $\dot{w}_{de}$

$$\theta_{q,x,t} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dot{w} < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \dot{w} > \dot{w}_{de} \\ \frac{\dot{w}_c}{\dot{w}_{de}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (23)$$

## 858 5.9 Mortality

859 Let  $u_n$  denote the  $n$ th update time in  $u_x^K$ , where  $K \in [A, C, D]$  denotes the target population. We write:

$$\xi_{q,B,\mathbf{x},u_i} = \exp[-m_{q,B,\mathbf{x},u_i}^K(u_{i-1})] \quad (24)$$

860 We assume that there is simply a constant background mortality rate for diapausers:

$$m_{i,B,\mathbf{x},t}^D = \mu^D \quad (25)$$

861 We assume that mortality for surface developers and adults consists of a temperature dependent  
862 background rate, together with density-dependent and starvation elements. Let  $T_{\mathbf{x}}^S(t)$ ,  $W_{\mathbf{x},t}$ , and  $F_{\mathbf{x},t}$   
863 are surface temperature, biomass of *C. finmarchicus* or *C. helgolandicus*, and food in cell  $\mathbf{x}$  at time  $t$ , then:

$$m_{i,B,\mathbf{x},t}^C = \gamma(T_{\mathbf{x}}^S(t))\mu_i^C(1 + \phi W_{\mathbf{x},t}) + \mu_F \quad (26)$$

$$m_{B,\mathbf{x},t}^A = \gamma(T_{\mathbf{x}}^A(t))\mu_i^C(1 + \phi W_{\mathbf{x},t}) + \mu_F \quad (27)$$

864 with temperature dependence being given by:

$$\gamma(T_{\mathbf{x}}^S(t)) = \gamma_0 + (1 - \gamma_0)(T/T_c)^z \quad (28)$$

865 The parameter  $\lambda_0$  is the fraction of the mortality at some characteristic temperature  $T_c$  that is experienced  
866 at 0°C, and  $z$  determines how quickly mortality increases with temperature.

867 We relate starvation mortality to weight specific growth rate. If weight specific growth rate is above a  
868 threshold, there is no starvation mortality. However, below this threshold, starvation mortality increases  
869 linearly as growth rate decreases.

$$\mu_F(F_{\mathbf{x}}(t), T(t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dot{w} > \dot{w}_c \\ \frac{w_c - w^{-1}\dot{w}}{\mu_c} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (29)$$

870 Total biomass density in cell  $\mathbf{x}$  is given by the sum over all develop classes of the number of individuals  
871 in each class multiplied by the dry weight of each individual plus a similar sum over the adult population,  
872 divided by the surface area of the cell ( $\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}$ ):

$$W_{\mathbf{x},t} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^B w_{i,j}^C C_{i,j,\mathbf{x},t} + \sum_{j=1}^B w_j^A A_{j,\mathbf{x},t} \right] \quad (30)$$

## 873 5.10 Egg production

874 We assume that egg production is equivalent to growth, as defined above. Furthermore, we assume that  
875 the carbon weight of eggs is related to temperature as reported by Campbell et al. (2001). Thus

$$E_{B,x,t} - \beta_{B,x,t}(u_n - u_{n-1}) \quad (31)$$

876 where  $\beta_{B,x,t}$  is the per capita EPR. This is modelled assuming a saturating function of food.

$$E_{B,x,t} = \frac{F_{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{F_h + F_{\mathbf{x}}(t)} \dot{w} \frac{1}{-0.00255T + 0.216} \quad (32)$$

877 This model provides a very close fit with the experimental data of Hirche et al. (1997).

### Supplementary Table 2. Summary of model equations.

Equation	Comment
<b>State variables</b>	
$C_{i,B,x,t} \equiv$ No. of class $i$ developers of body size $B$ in surface cell $\mathbf{x}$ at time $t$	
$D_{i,B,x,t} \equiv$ No. of class $j$ diapausers of body size $B$ in surface cell $\mathbf{x}$ at time $t$	
$A_{i,B,x,t} \equiv$ No. of adults of body size $B$ in surface cell $\mathbf{x}$ at time $t$	
<b>Body size</b>	
$L = \frac{\alpha_L(m_L T + c_L)}{1000}$	$L$ is adult prosome length (mm)
$w_c^A = 4.39 \times L^{3.57}$	We assume that $L$ is determined by temperature at birth $w_c^A$ is carbon weight of adults ( $\mu\text{g C}$ )
<b>Growth and development</b>	
$\dot{w}_c = w_c^{0.75} \left( \frac{F_3 A E \mu}{1 + \exp\left(\frac{F_3}{T+273.15} - \frac{P_3}{P_1}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{F_4}{T+273.15} - \frac{P_4}{P_1}\right)} - Q_{10}^{S(T/10)} \lambda \right)$	$\dot{w}_c$ is carbon growth rate ( $\mu\text{g C h}^{-1}$ )
$C_m = 2.307 \cdot 10^{-10} \cdot (-27.4 * T + 2084)^{3.52}$	$C_m$ is molt weight ( $\mu\text{g C}$ ) assumed for CV in development model
DT = development time (d) under food saturated conditions	
$g_{\mathbf{x}}^C(t) = \frac{1}{\text{DT}} \left( 1 - \exp\left[-\frac{F_{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{F_G}\right] \right)$	$g_{\mathbf{x}}^C$ is development rate ( $\text{d}^{-1}$ ), $F$ is food concentration ( $\text{mg C m}^{-3}$ ) and $F_G$ = half saturation of food ( $\text{mg C m}^{-3}$ )
$\Delta q = \int_{u_{\mathbf{x},i-1}^C}^{u_{\mathbf{x},i}^C} g_{\mathbf{x}}^C(\tau) d\tau$	Update times, $\{u_{\mathbf{x}}^C\}$ satisfy this equation
<b>Fecundity</b>	
$E_{B,x,t} = \frac{F_{\mathbf{x}}(t)}{F_h + F_{\mathbf{x}}(t)} \dot{w} \frac{1}{-0.00255T + 0.216}$	$\beta_{B,x,t}$ is the per capita EPR ( $\text{eggs}^{-1} \text{individual}^{-1} \text{d}^{-1}$ )
<b>Diapause</b>	
Duration = $\gamma_d L^{\lambda_d} \cdot Q_{10}^{d-T/10}$	Diapause duration (d) is related to size and temperature
$\theta_{q,x,t}(F_{\mathbf{x}}(t), T(t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dot{w} < 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \dot{w} > \dot{w}_{de} \\ \frac{\dot{w}_c}{\dot{w}_{de}} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$\theta_{q,x,t}$ is proportion diapausing at the end of C5
<b>Mortality</b>	
$\xi_{q,B,x,u_i} = \exp[-m_{q,B,x,u_i}^K (u_{i-1})]$	$\xi$ is proportion surviving, $m$ is mortality rate
$m_{i,B,x,t}^D = \mu^D$	Simple background mortality rate, $\mu^D$ , is assumed for for diapausers
$m_{i,B,x,t}^C = \gamma(T_{\mathbf{x}}^S(t)) \mu_i^C (1 + \phi W_{\mathbf{x},t}) + \mu_F$	$m_{i,B,x,t}^C$ is mortality rate for developers, $\phi$ is density dependence
$m_{B,x,t}^A = \gamma(T_{\mathbf{x}}^A(t)) \mu_i^C (1 + \phi W_{\mathbf{x},t}) + \mu_F$	$m_{B,x,t}^A$ is mortality rate for adults, $\phi$ is density dependence
$\gamma(T_{\mathbf{x}}^S(t)) = \gamma_0 + (1 - \gamma_0)(T/T_c)^z$	$\gamma$ gives the temperature dependence of mortality
$\mu_S(F_{\mathbf{x}}(t), T(t)) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \dot{w} > \dot{w}_c \\ \frac{\dot{w}_c - \dot{w}^{-1} \dot{w}}{\mu_c} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$	$\mu_S$ is starvation mortality
$W_{\mathbf{x},t} = \frac{1}{\alpha_{\mathbf{x}}} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^B w_{i,j}^C C_{i,j,x,t} + \sum_{j=1}^B w_j^A A_{j,x,t} \right]$	$W_{\mathbf{x},t}$ is biomass ( $\mu\text{g C}$ ) for density dependence. See table 1 for stage biomasses.

### FIGURE CAPTIONS

878 Figure A1: Derivation of synthetic map of median diapause depth. The top-left shows locations where we  
 879 have vertical distribution data for diapausers (Heath et al., 2004). Median diapause depth was related to  
 880 bathymetry using a general additive model (top right). In regions close to where we have median diapause  
 881 depth data we use the results of a loess smooth through the observed median diapause depths. Elsewhere,  
 882 for depths less than 1000 m, we use predictions from the general additive model, and for depths greater  
 883 than 1000 m we assume a median diapause depth of 800 m.

**Supplementary Table 3.** Model parameters. Bracketed value shows *C. helgolandicus* parameter.

Parameter	Symbol	Value	Units	Reference
<b>Surface developers</b>				
Ingestion scaling with temp.	$P_1$	293 (289)	-	Møller et al. (2012)
	$P_2$	284(275)	-	Møller et al. (2012)
	$P_3$	13,282 (14,123)	-	Møller et al. (2012)
	$P_4$	29,725 (39,429)	-	Møller et al. (2012)
	$P_5$	6.05 (12.12)	-	Møller et al. (2012)
Assimilation efficiency	AE	0.488	-	Wilson et al. (2015)
$Q_{10}$ of surface respiration	$Q_{10}^S$	3.19	-	Wilson et al. (2015)
Ingestion scaling	$\mu$	0.0415	-	Wilson et al. (2015)
Respiration scaling	$\lambda$	0.000101	$\mu\text{gC}\mu\text{gC}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$	Wilson et al. (2015)
Development saturation coeff.	$F_g$	29.2	$\text{mg C m}^{-3}$	Campbell et al. (2001)
Nominal mortality	$\mu_q^E$	Table 1	$\text{d}^{-1}$	Eiane et al. (2002)
<b>Starv. and density dependence</b>				
Starv. growth threshold	$\dot{w}_c$	0.0012	$\mu\text{gC}\mu\text{gC}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$	Fitted
Starv. ref. growth	$\mu_c$	0.01		Fitted
Density dependence	$\phi$	$3 \times 10^{-6}$	$\text{d}^{-1}\text{m}^3\mu\text{g}^{-1}$	Fitted
Fraction back. mort. at 0 °C	$\gamma_0$	0.65	-	Speirs et al. (2006)
Characteristic temp.	$T_C$	8	°C	Fitted
Temp. power coeff.	$z$	7 (4.1)	-	Fitted
Stage specific dry weight	$w_q^C$	Table 1	$\mu\text{g}$	Lynch et al. (2001)
<b>Adults</b>				
Fecundity half saturation food	$F_h$	82.02	$\text{mgCm}^{-3}$	Hirche et al. (1997)
<b>Body size</b>				
Temperature-body size coeff.	$\alpha_L$	0.9	-	Fitted
	$m_L$	-39.1	-	Campbell et al. (2001)
	$b_L$	3073	-	Campbell et al. (2001)
Lower temp. threshold	$T_{BL}$	0 (7)	°C	Fitted
Upper temp. threshold	$T_{BL}^U$	15 (20)	°C	Fitted
Adult mortality	$\mu_y^A$	0.01	$\text{d}^{-1}$	Speirs et al. (2006)
<b>Diapausers</b>				
Diapause reference growth	$\dot{w}_{de}$	0.1	$\mu\text{gCgC}^{-1}\text{d}^{-1}$	Fitted
Diapause duration factor	$\gamma_d$	36.08	d	Wilson et al. (2016)
All. scaling of diapause dur.	$\lambda_d$	2.58	-	Wilson et al. (2016)
Diapause temperature scaling	$Q_{10}^d$	2.8	-	Wilson et al. (2016)
Mortality rate	$\mu_D$	0.05	$\text{d}^{-1}$	Speirs et al. (2006)