



Pawlik, Elzbieta and Ijomah, Winifred and Corney, Jonathan (2016) Lean remanufacturing. In: The Routledge Companion to Lean Management. Routledge Companions in Business, Management and Accounting. Routledge, Milton Park, Abingdon, Oxon, pp. 179-188. ISBN 9781138920590, http://dx.doi.org/10.4324/9781315686899

This version is available at <a href="https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/57618/">https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/57618/</a>

**Strathprints** is designed to allow users to access the research output of the University of Strathclyde. Unless otherwise explicitly stated on the manuscript, Copyright © and Moral Rights for the papers on this site are retained by the individual authors and/or other copyright owners. Please check the manuscript for details of any other licences that may have been applied. You may not engage in further distribution of the material for any profitmaking activities or any commercial gain. You may freely distribute both the url (<a href="https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/">https://strathprints.strath.ac.uk/</a>) and the content of this paper for research or private study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge.

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the Strathprints administrator: <a href="mailto:strathprints@strath.ac.uk">strathprints@strath.ac.uk</a>

### Chapter 16

## **Lean Remanufacturing**

Elzbieta Pawlik, Winifred Ijomah, Jonathan Corney, University of Strathclyde, Glascow, Scotland, UK

### Introduction

"How do I apply lean methods in my remanufacturing organization?" is a question many executives and managers ask themselves. Since the literature on using lean tools in production environments is usually focused on original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), its application in commercial remanufacturing is often unreported. This chapter fills a gap in the literature with a brief overview of how remanufacturers can translate manufacturing-oriented lean tools and principles into their processes. The authors also discuss the challenges and opportunities that are peculiar to lean remanufacturing operations.

# What is remanufacturing?

Continued strains on the planet's resources, limited sites for product disposal and the introduction of new environmental legislation have resulted in a growing interest in material and product recovery options. One of the most promising and cost-effective options for establishing a low-carbon, circular economy is remanufacturing, which can bring back end-oflife products to an as-good-as-new condition in terms of quality, performance and warranty (Ijomah et al., 2007). Usually, the process starts from the initial cleaning of used products (called cores), which are often dirty, to allow accurate assessment of their condition (Ijomah et al., 1999). Then, cores are disassembled so that individual components are obtained, cleaned and carefully inspected to verify that they meet the required quality standards. Very often inspection is not a separate operation but rather carried out during the disassembly step. Those that do not meet expectations can be reprocessed via remanufacturing. Remanufacture of the components includes all activities that would bring worn parts to at least the original OEM specification (for example, surface grinding, welding, etc.). If this is not possible due to technological issues, economic reasons or safety restrictions, the substandard components are put towards other product recovery options – i.e. recycling – and are replaced with new parts. When all required components are collected (including remanufactured parts and new components), the product can be reassembled. The entire product must then pass a final test to ensure that quality is at least equal to a newly manufactured, equivalent product. Figure 1 represents the remanufacturing process.

## FIGURE 1 APPROX HERE.

Figure 1. Generic remanufacturing process chart (Ijomah, 2002).

The remanufacturing process differs from conventional manufacturing. Thus, remanufacturers face different challenges from those experienced by conventional manufacturers. As such, Guide Jr (2000) distinguishes the major challenges that influence and complicate production planning and control activities within the remanufacturing industry. These are explained below.

## Uncertainty in the timing and the quantity of returns

The product returns are highly uncertain in terms of time and quantity of available cores for remanufacturing, which is mainly caused by the uncertain nature of the life of the products. The fact that the numbers and delivery times of returned cores cannot be controlled by remanufacturers forces them to keep a higher level of inventory to protect against the variability in supply and demand.

## Need to balance returns with demand

To avoid excessive inventory, which generates costs, while simultaneously having sufficient stock to meet customer expectations, remanufacturers have to balance returns and demand rate. It requires extra effort that includes not only core acquisition (which includes identifying the potential source of cores, establishing preferences, etc.), but also coordination in the purchasing of replacement parts that are dependent on the expected volume and condition of cores. Moreover, all of the production decisions regarding resource planning also depend on core acquisition and timing.

### Disassembly of returned products

Returned product has to be disassembled first, before being handed to the next remanufacturing operation. The result of this stage impacts on many activities such as purchasing new components, scheduling and resource planning. It becomes even more difficult when the products have not been designed with disassembly in mind, as components can be damaged or destroyed during disassembly. This leads to less predictable material recovery rates and generates more waste. Moreover, as there is no evidence that existing

automated techniques can be used during disassembly, this also makes this task very labor intensive with highly variable processing times.

# Uncertainty in materials recovered from returned items

The remanufacturers have to acquire the replacements for parts that cannot be reused from cores. The process is further complicated because it is difficult to predict the rate of material recovery before the product is disassembled. For example, two identically returned items may contain very different sets of parts that are either currently in the expected condition or can be returned to it.

# Requirement for reverse logistics network

This challenge addresses the requirements regarding the collection and movement of goods from end users to remanufacturers. A number of decisions have to be made that involve the number and location of take-back centers, the transportation method, etc.

# Complication of material-matching restrictions

Complicated material-matching requirements define the situation whereby some products have their own unique serial and part number, and it is important to reassemble the same components. Moreover, sometimes products remain in the possession of customers who require the same unit to be returned. This complicates resource planning, shop floor control and material management.

### Routing uncertainty and processing time uncertainty

This is a consequence of the different condition of cores. The same components taken from different products might require different processes to be recovered and even different degrees of treatment for these operations. The condition of the components is dependent on both user habits and the repair, remanufacture or reconditioning history. Very often such activities are carried out without adhering to a specification, which results in mistakes, such as wrongly painted surfaces. The consequential effect is more operations and time required to correct the mistakes.

These make the remanufacturing process less stable and less predictable than conventional manufacturing and require high levels of inspection and testing to achieve high quality products. This can lead to higher costs and longer remanufacturing lead-times (Pawlik et al.,

2013). Despite the existing challenges, remanufacturing has experienced rapid development during the past decade.

## Lean remanufacturing

The application of the lean manufacturing approach within a remanufacturing context – termed "lean remanufacturing" - has only recently gained the attention of researchers and practitioners (Pawlik et al., 2013). However, although slim, the reported work does suggest that the combination of remanufacturing and lean principles offers a good opportunity to increase process efficiencies within the remanufacturing industry (Kucner, 2008). A significant component of lean is the concept of value. Therefore, it is important to reconsider the commonly held paradigms of the value-added and non-value-added activities with regard to the remanufacturing context. There is a need to take a bigger-picture view of the value of waste, as what might be considered waste by a customer is actually valuable for the remanufacturing business. Remanufacturing is clearly adding value to the products which were meant to be discarded in terms of life-cycle value. However, it is important to look closely into the inefficiencies that occur during the process. Excess inventory is one of the most significant wastes in remanufacturing. Indeed, most remanufacturers report that they struggle with the excess inventory of cores, work in process (WIP) and remanufactured products. Remanufacturers do not have influence over when a product will be returned to the facility, therefore forcing them to keep a higher level of the inventory against the variability in supply and demand (Guide Jr, 2000). In many instances, the remanufacturers don't examine and refresh their inventories to remove the obsolete products. They want to keep them "just in case". Moreover, because the quality of the components can only be uncovered when the product is disassembled, remanufacturers prefer to do that early, in the remanufacturing process which results in high WIP (Kucner, 2008). In addition, the uncertain quality of the components results in imprecise estimates of the times required to carry out operations. As a strategic buffer against this variability, many remanufacturers maintain significant-level inventories between operations.

It can be seen in remanufacturing that some of the operations do not add value. Indeed, it has been observed that a higher percentage of operations that transform the product (but do not add value for the final customer) occur in remanufacturing than in conventional manufacturing. For example inspection, being a crucial stage for the remanufacturing process (Errington and Childe, 2013), has been identified as adding no value (Kucner, 2008). This is

unfortunate because remanufacturing always requires 100% inspection, in contrast to conventional manufacturing, where sampling methods are often used (Brent and Steinhilper, 2004). Another essential step in the remanufacturing process, disassembly, has been identified as an operation that is not adding value for the final customer and indeed might even be seen as a reduction of the inherent value of used products (Kucner, 2008).

Compared to the literature on conventional manufacturing, there is relatively little in the academic literature relating to the application of lean to remanufacturing. The first reported study of lean remanufacturing was presented by Amezquita and Bras (1996), which focuses on an independent automotive remanufacturer of automobile clutches. This research compared a remanufacturing process that contains traditional craft and mass production practices with lean remanufacturing practices. One major benefit observed was the elimination of the non-value added operations, resulting in enormous cost savings. Indeed, this research shows that the effectiveness of the remanufacturing process can be improved through the development of lean automation techniques. Kucner (2008) claims that lean production tools and techniques can be applied to remanufacturing, however, there is not a single "best" lean solution. Specific solutions must be tailored to particular remanufacturing contexts. He examined four types of remanufacturing process, ranging from high product variability to low product variability. In each of these case studies the implementation of lean methods significantly improved performance, particularly in developing internal process stability, build-in quality and just-in-time production. Fargher Jr (2007) and Pawlik et al. (2013) also confirmed that the application of lean manufacturing within remanufacturing operations can bring significant benefits including a reduction in lead-time, reduced work in process, improved on-time shipments, increased utilization of floor space, improved quality and increased production control (Pawlik et al., 2013). Sundin (2006) used the "rapid plant assessment" tool – a unique assessment tool used to assess plant performance and that helps to identify where the opportunities for improvement are - to conduct case studies in five companies (from different remanufacturing sectors). The results of this work showed that the investigated remanufacturers performed well in categories: "customer satisfaction", "people teamwork", "skill level and motivation", "ability to manage complexity and variability" and "quality system development." He identified also that, in most companies, categories such as "visual management deployment", "product flow", "space use", "material movements", together with "inventory and WIP level" presented below-average or poor performance and needed to be improved to make the company more "lean". With regard to material flow,

Hunter and Black (2007) investigated cellular layout in remanufacturing. They proposed a cellular layout for the recovery of product environment and claim that this solution can help to achieve higher level of productivity and increased quality of remanufactured products. However, to maintain the flow and be able to use cellular layouts in remanufacturing, it is important to supply sufficient volume and frequency of return products (one of the remanufacturing challenges). Other researchers have also noticed existing restrictions and difficulties with the application of established lean tools and methods within the remanufacturing environment. Pawlik et al. (2013) identified that in the automotive sector, the uncertainties involved with incoming cores are a key issue influencing the probability of successful implementation. A similar conclusion was reported by Östlin and Ekholm (2007) regarding a toner cartridge remanufacturer. It was observed that the variable processing time and uncertainties in materials recovered limited the implementation of lean approaches. Moreover, Amezquita and Bras (1996) noticed that because of the stochastic nature of returned products, traditional remanufacturing processes are difficult to standardize.

Although there is relatively little in the academic literature relating to the application of lean philosophies to remanufacturing, practitioners do appear to be exploiting the concepts where possible. Indeed, some of the companies, particularly OEMs, are obligated to introduce lean within their facilities according to corporation policies and procedures.

# **Challenges and opportunities**

The main aim is to focus on challenges and opportunities within the processes and areas where a different view is required compared to conventional manufacturing. A more complete picture is presented, briefly discussing the similarities between different areas. Many people perceive lean as a set of tools and principles for eliminating waste, forgetting that people are at the center of the Toyota Production System house (Liker et al., 2008). Engaging all individuals is crucial in driving continuous improvement. Creating a lean culture in the organization requires strong leadership with managers who understand the lean concept, coupled with the will and capability to move forward. Within a remanufacturing environment it was frequently observed that managers believe the lean concept is applicable only to conventional manufacturing. Consequently, the diverse problems arising in remanufacturing environments (described earlier in this chapter) coupled with a lack of, or at least limited, knowledge of the opportunities for application of lean in their operations discourage managers from beginning lean initiatives. However, this is an unnecessarily negative view. The

following section reviews the challenges and opportunities of applying lean tools in remanufacturing operations.

The 5S method is often a starting point for that journey (Petersson et al., 2010). This is a process that allows managers to create a well-organized and functional workplace where there is a place for everything and everything in its place. The primary purpose of the first "S" is to sort the tools and materials within the workplace in order to separate those frequently used from those rarely or never used. However, in remanufacturing where there is a higher variety of products compared to conventional manufacturing, this approach results in a need to keep many different tools in the workplace. Reducing the number of tools can cause waste in motion as a result of frequently needing to pick up tools from the store when required (Pawlik et al., 2013). Uncertainty in the quality of incoming cores causes difficulty in producing consistent results over time. In conventional manufacturing, managers remove as much variation as possible from the process. However, in remanufacturing, managers will need to deal with a certain level of variation. The variety does not, however, render lean tools inapplicable. For example, value stream mapping is a diagrammatic technique which illustrates all the activities required to bring a product from order to delivery. It aids understanding of the inherent complexities involved with the process and highlights waste. Similarly, a current-state map is a team effort that is carried out by the people who are involved in the process to characterize the current conditions. The future-state map introduces the opportunities for improvement recognized in the current-state map and represents a shared vision of a lean future state (Lean Enterprise Institute, 2003). Remanufacturing, strongly affected by variations in products and their quality, is much more complex than conventional manufacturing and, consequently, it is much more difficult to create a map. Depending on a component's condition, different operations are needed. The associated map might therefore be one of several variants available for each product family depending on the condition of cores/components.

Remanufactured products often add their own unique serial and part numbers. To ensure parts will not be mixed during the process, remanufacturers build kits. In these kits are individually separated components that are related to the same unit which are kept together in the same basket. Introducing the standardization of kits appears to be an advantageous opportunity for remanufacturers. Defining standards in terms of the work required to remanufacture as light, medium and heavy, also helps to reduce levels of uncertainty involved with the different

conditions of products/components. Even though it is difficult to cover all aspects relating to existing variations, some sort of standardization can be achieved in the remanufacturing process. All operations, no matter how creative or unpredictable, include a large amount of repetitive activities (Petersson et al., 2010). So, despite the variety encountered in remanufacturing, there is still an amount of repetitive work that presents opportunities for standardization. Even if not all possibilities can be covered, it still contributes to reducing variations in the system. When something is outside the standard, it provides information about the extremes of the process. Standards describe the best currently known way to perform an activity, which means that the workforce shares the knowledge that also contributes to learning. This is particularly important as remanufacturing relies heavily on human experiences compared to conventional manufacturing. According to Graupp and Wrona (2006), five to ten per cent of every work task embodies tackling "tricky parts" which require "know-how" skills gained through years of experience. Within the remanufacturing environment, this percentage might be substantially higher because of the high variability of the condition of cores. Indeed, it has been observed that the inspection process can only be carried out by skilled and experienced employees. In other words, identifying the condition of a component as "good enough" to be remanufactured needs years of experience. The training within industry (TWI) methods is a series of training programs developed during WWII allowing U.S. companies to hire and train huge numbers of new employees to replace those who had gone to war. The TWI methods describe standard work instructions that should consist not only of major steps which are common-sense reminders of what is essential to do the work correctly, safely and conscientiously, but also key points (illustrated by pictures or drawings) and reasons for them (Graupp and Wrona, 2006). They are called key points as they are essential pieces of information that make the work easy to do. Even though TWI methods describe standard work instructions as being effective during the teaching process, in the remanufacturing environment it was noticed that they might be successfully used in daily operations. Skills required in the remanufacturing environment are developed over time by employees, which shows the importance of taking part during the developmental processes of creating standard work instructions. Identifying the so-called "tricks" would perhaps be the most important and difficult task because employees very often don't want to share their knowledge and experiences. In addition, standardized work instructions in remanufacturing environment often also cover acceptability criteria for the components which are used to direct the operators on how to do the job. This can help in the decision-making process, especially for inexperienced employees or when new products are introduced to the

facility.

Given the above, there is no doubt that the lean philosophy can be implemented within the remanufacturing environment, however, the question that inevitably arises is concerned with the improvement of that application. To be most effective, what is essential is a clear understanding of the underlying differences between lean remanufacturing and lean manufacturing.

#### References

- Amezquita, T. and Bras, B. (1996). Lean remanufacture of an automobile clutch.

  In Proceedings of First International Working Seminar on Reuse, Eindhoven, The Netherlands (p. 6).
- Brent, A. C. and Steinhilper, R. (2004). Opportunities for remanufactured electronic products from developing countries: hypotheses to characterise the perspectives of a global remanufacturing industry. InAFRICON, 2004. 7th AFRICON Conference in Africa (Vol. 2, pp. 891-896). IEEE.
- Errington, M. and Childe, S. (2013). A business process model of inspection in remanufacturing. Journal of Remanufacturing, 3(1), pp.1–22.
- Fargher Jr, J.S.W. (2007). Lean Manufacturing and Remanufacturing Implementation Tools. University of Missouri.
- Graupp, P. and Wrona, R.J. (2006). *The TWI workbook: essential skills of supervisors*, New York: Productivity Press.
- Guide Jr, V.D.R. (2000). Production planning and control for remanufacturing: industry practice and research needs. Journal of Operations Management, 18(4), 467–483. Available at: <a href="doi:10.1016/S0272-6963(00)00034-6">doi:10.1016/S0272-6963(00)00034-6</a>
- Hunter, S.L. and Black, J.T. (2007). Lean Remanufacturing: a Cellular Case Study. Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Systems, 6(2), 129–144.
- Ijomah, W.L. (2002). "A model-based definition of the generic remanufacturing business process" PhD Dissertation: The University of Plymouth, UK.
- Ijomah, W.L., McMahon, C. A., Hammond, G. P., and Newman, S. T. (2007). Development of design for remanufacturing guidelines to support sustainable manufacturing. Robotics

- and Computer-Integrated Manufacturing, 23(6), pp.712–719. Available at: doi:10.1016/j.rcim.2007.02.017
- Ijomah, W.L., Bennett, J.P. and Pearce, J. (1999). Remanufacturing: evidence of environmentally conscious business practice in the UK. In Environmentally Conscious *Design and Inverse Manufacturing, 1999. Proceedings. EcoDesign '99:* First International Symposium On (pp. 192–196). Available at: <a href="https://doi.org/10.1109/ECODIM.1999.747607">10.1109/ECODIM.1999.747607</a>
- Kucner, R.J. (2008). A Socio-technical Study of Lean Manufacturing Deployment in the Remanufacturing Context, University of Michigan.
- Lean Enterprise Institute. (2003). *Lean lexicon : a graphical glossary for lean thinkers*, Brookline, Mass.: Lean Enterprise Institute.
- Liker, J.K. and Hoseus, M. (2008). *Toyota culture: the heart and soul of the Toyota way*, New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Ostlin, J. and Ekholm, H. (2007). Lean Production Principles in Remanufacturing A Case Study at a Toner Cartridge Remanufacturer. In Electronics & the Environment, Proceedings of the 2007 IEEE International Symposium on. IEEE, (pp. 216–221).
- Pawlik, E., Ijomah, W. and Corney, J. (2013). Current State and Future Perspective Research on Lean Remanufacturing Focusing on the Automotive Industry. In C. Emmanouilidis, M. Taisch, & D. Kiritsis, eds. Advances in Production Management Systems.
  Competitive Manufacturing for Innovative Products and Services SE 54. IFIP Advances in Information and Communication Technology. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, (pp. 429–436). Available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-40352-1\_54.
- Petersson, Johansson, O., Broman, M., Blucher, D., and Alsterman, H. (2010). *Lean: turn* deviations into success!, Bromma, Sweeden: Part Development AB.
- Sundin, E. (2006). How can remanufacturing processes become leaner. In CIRP Intl Conference on Life Cycle Engineering, Leuven (Vol. 31, p. 2006).