### Accepted Manuscript

Genome sequences of 12 isolates of the EU1 lineage of Phytophthora ramorum, a fungus-like pathogen that causes extensive damage and mortality to a wide range of trees and other plants



Judith Turner, Paul O'Neill, Murray Grant, Rick A. Mumford, Richard Thwaites, David J. Studholme

PII:	S2213-5960(17)30024-7
DOI:	doi: 10.1016/j.gdata.2017.02.006
Reference:	GDATA 642
To appear in:	Genomics Data
Received date:	26 January 2017
Accepted date:	5 February 2017

Please cite this article as: Judith Turner, Paul O'Neill, Murray Grant, Rick A. Mumford, Richard Thwaites, David J. Studholme, Genome sequences of 12 isolates of the EU1 lineage of Phytophthora ramorum, a fungus-like pathogen that causes extensive damage and mortality to a wide range of trees and other plants. The address for the corresponding author was captured as affiliation for all authors. Please check if appropriate. Gdata(2017), doi: 10.1016/j.gdata.2017.02.006

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

### Data in Brief

Title: Genome sequences of 12 isolates of the EU1 lineage of *Phytophthora ramorum*, a fungus-like pathogen that causes extensive damage and mortality to a wide range of trees and other plants.

### Authors:

Judith Turner (1),

Paul O'Neill (2),

Murray Grant (2,3),

Rick A. Mumford (1),

Richard Thwaites (1),

David J. Studholme (2)

### Affiliations:

(1) Fera Science Ltd (Fera), National Agri-Food Innovation Campus, Sand Hutton, York, YO41 1LZ, United Kingdom.

(2) Biosciences, University of Exeter, Stocker Road, Exeter, EX4 4QD, United Kingdom.

(3) Present address: Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry, CV4 7AL, United Kingdom.

#### Abstract

Here we present genome sequences for twelve isolates of the invasive pathogen *Phytophthora ramorum* EU1. The assembled genome sequences and raw sequence data are available via BioProject accession number PRJNA177509. These data will be useful in developing molecular tools for specific detection and identification of this pathogen.

Organism/cell line/tissue	Twelve isolates of the EU2 lineage of Phytophthora ramorum
Sex	Not applicable
Sequencer or array type	Illumina HiSeq 2000 and Illumina GA II
Data format	Analysed; i.e. raw data filtered and assembled
Experimental factors	Genomic sequences of pure microbial cultures
Experimental features	Genomic sequences of pure microbial cultures
Consent	Not applicable; data are available without restriction
Sample source location	All isolates were collected in the United Kingdom

### **Specifications** [standardized info for the reader]

Direct link to deposited data [provide URL below] https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/bioproject/177509

### Experimental Design, Materials and Methods

[complete description of the Experimental design and methods used to acquire the genomic data and where applicable, in the analysis. Include any relevant figures/tables.]

Fungus-like pathogens belonging to the oomycete genus *Phytophthora* pose significant threats to a wide range of plants (1). Recent studies have generated whole-genome sequence data for *Phytophthora* species that cause disease in trees (2–6). *Phytophthora* ramorum is an exotic pathogen whose geographical origin is unknown. In North America, *P. ramorum* is responsible for Sudden Oak Death while in Europe it causes Sudden Larch Death and Ramorum Blight (7–10). Four distinct lineages are known, which have been isolated from each other for hundreds of millennia (11–13). For more than a decade, a reference genome sequence was available (14) for NA1, the lineage that has established itself in the wild (*i.e.* outside of the nursery trade) in North America. No genome sequence was available for lineage EU1, the first lineage to be discovered in Europe and which has subsequently been detected in North America (15)(16).

We previously reported genome sequences (3) for one of the two lineages found in Europe, namely EU2. Here we present the first genome sequences for lineage EU1 isolates, which were collected from several host species in several counties of England (see Table 1). The availability of genome sequences from multiple lineages will help to address the question of what are the genetic differences that underlie observed phenotypic differences (17) among the lineages as well

evolutionary relationships among lineages and the possibility of identifying lineage-specific molecular markers. Availability of sequence data from multiple isolates within a single lineage may further offer insights into the recent evolutionary events following colonization of a new geographical range and new host populations (18). In the absence of sexual recombination in these diploid pathogens, one mechanism for rapid adaptation may be aneuploidy and/or loss of heterozygosity (LOH) (19–23).

Table 1. Isolates and raw sequence data. All samples were collected by The Plant Health and Seeds Inspectorate except for CC12475 and CC14654, which were collected by Fera.

Isolate	Year	Source	County	BioSample	SRA	Read 1 (bp)	Read 2 (bp)	Read pairs	Platform
CC12475	2007	Soil	Cornwall	SAMN01797768	SRX202256	73	73	10,929,957	HiSeq 2000
CC14654	2009	Leaf detritus	Cornwall	SAMN01797770	SRX202259	73	73	32,069,494	HiSeq 2000
CC2184	2004	<i>Taxus</i> sp.	Cornwall	SAMN01797769	SRX202257	73	73	26,720,144	HiSeq 2000
CC1008	2002	Rhododendron sp.	West Sussex	SAMN05823577	SRX2190141	100	80	35,377,462	HiSeq 2000
CC1033	2002	Viburnum sp.	Dorset	SAMN05823579	SRX2190142	100	80	29,037,290	HiSeq 2000
CC1048	2002	Viburnum sp.	Gloucestershire	SAMN01797771	SRX202261	73	73	19,206,505	HiSeq 2000
CC2168	2009	<i>Camellia</i> sp.	Cornwall	SAMN05823583	SRX2190143	100	80	31,267,535	HiSeq 2000
CC2176	2009	Pieris sp.	Cornwall	SAMN05823584	SRX2190144	100	80	32,285,291	HiSeq 2000
CC2186	2009	Rhododendron sp.	Devon	SAMN05823586	SRX2190145	100	80	22,816,927	HiSeq 2000
CC2187	2009	Rhododendron sp.	Cornwall	SAMN05823587	SRX2190146	100	80	28,439,345	HiSeq 2000
CC2275	2004	Laurus nobilis	Cornwall	SAMN01797766	SRX202258	100	100	94,913,722	GA IIx
CC1011	2002	Rhododendron sp.	Cheshire	SAMN01797767	SRX202260	100	100	191,582,259	GA IIx

Paired-reads were generated from genomic sequence libraries, following the manufacturer's instructions, on the Illumina HiSeq 2000 or Illumina GA IIx massively parallel sequencing platforms. Numbers of reads, lengths and database accession numbers for the raw reads are listed in Table 1.

We filtered low-quality data and contaminating adaptor sequences using TrimGalore (24), which wraps the Cutadapt tool (25). The full TrimGalore command line was "trim\_galore -q 30 - paired read1.fq read2.fq". We then assembled the filtered reads using SPAdes 3.9.0 (26) with the following command line: "spades.py --careful -t 8 --pel-1 read1-filtered.fq --pel-2 read1-filtered.fq -o output-directory". During submission of the assemblies to GenBank (27), we removed sequences identified by the NCBI curators as contamination from vectors, mitochondria, bacteria *etc.* Assembly statistics are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2 Assembly statistics.

Isolate	GenBank accession	Total length	Genomic	Number	Number of	Contig	Scaffold
	number	(bp)	coverage	of contigs	scaffolds	N <sub>50</sub> (bp)	N <sub>50</sub> (bp)

CC1008	MLJA0000000	39,143,618	53.4 x	5099	4625	20,824	24,587
CC1033	MLJB00000000	39,265,443	41.4 x	5112	4666	21,410	25,459
CC1048	MLJC00000000	39,184,277	28.3 x	4887	4562	22,104	25,016
CC12475	MLIX0000000	38,872,797	17.0 x	4749	4449	22,493	24,333
CC14654	MLIY0000000	38,990,779	47.0 x	5403	4802	18,355	23,539
CC2168	MLJD0000000	39,189,198	47.6 x	5208	4707	19,710	24,940
CC2176	MLJE00000000	39,297,625	46.6 x	5050	4635	20,983	24,953
CC2184	MLIZ0000000	39,039,515	39.6 x	5237	4706	19,158	24,300
CC2186	MLJF0000000	40,428,501	32.9 x	6372	5378	19,249	23,332
CC2187	MLJG0000000	39,213,677	42.0 x	5054	4612	21,714	25,338
CC2275	AMZZ00000000	38,865,974	162.0 x	5507	2445	17,358	23,300
CC1011	MRWH0000000	40,766,767	310.2 x	6,920	5,959	15,332	21,758

We assessed the completeness of the genome assemblies using BUSCO (Benchmarking Universal Single-Copy Orthologs) (28), which checks for the presence of single-copy orthologous genes commonly conserved across eukaryotes. BUSCO denotes each gene as "complete single copy", "complete duplicated", "fragmented", or "missing" in the assembly. Table 2 shows the percentage of these 429 genes that are "complete single copy" in each genome assembly. The levels of completeness (83.22 to 84.15) are comparable to those of six recently published *Phytophthora* genomes (5), which had up to 82.8% completeness, as assessed by the same method.

Assembly	Complete single	Complete	Fragmented	Missing	Total
	сору	duplicated			
EU1 CC2168 (This study)	361 (84.15%)	58	9	59	429
EU1 CC2184 (This study)	361 (84.15%)	62	10	58	429
EU1 CC2187 (This study)	361 (84.15%)	62	10	58	429
EU2 SOD158 (3)	361 (84.15%)	62	11	57	429
EU1 CC2176 (This study)	360 (83.92%)	62	10	59	429
EU2 SOD136 (3)	360 (83.92%)	61	11	58	429
EU1 CC14654 (This study)	359 (83.68%)	58	11	59	429
EU2 996/3 (6)	359 (83.68%)	78	13	57	429
EU2 SOD22 (3)	359 (83.68%)	64	12	58	429
EU1 CC1008 (This study)	358 (83.45%)	62	12	59	429
EU1 CC1033 (This study)	358 (83.45%)	60	12	59	429
EU1 CC2186 (This study)	358 (83.45%)	66	11	60	429
EU2 SOD58 (3)	358 (83.45%)	59	13	58	429
EU1 CC12475 (This study)	357 (83.22%)	62	12	60	429
EU1 CC2275 (This study)	357 (83.22%)	56	12	60	429
EU2 SOD69 (3)	357 (83.22%)	61	14	58	429
EU2 SODL51 (3)	357 (83.22%)	64	13	59	429
EU1 CC1048 (This study)	356 (82.98%)	57	12	61	429
<b>NA1</b> Pr102 (14)	351 (81.82%)	65	16	62	429

Table 3. Completeness of assemblies assessed using BUSCO (28).

Average nucleotide identities (ANI) were calculated, using the *dnadiff* tool in MUMMer (29, 30), between EU1 and previously published assemblies of closely related genomes (3–6, 14). The *Pr* EU1 assembly shared 99.2% ANI with *Pr* NA1 and 98.7% ANI with *Pr* EU2 suggesting a more ancient divergence between EU1 and EU2 than between EU1 and NA1. Between *Pr* EU1 and its sister species *P. lateralis*, there was 91.5% ANI. The *dnadiff* analysis also revealed that 1.5% of the EU1 genome is not alignable against the previously published genomes of EU2 and NA1, suggesting that there is a significant complement of lineage-specific genome content, including genes encoding effector proteins.

Heterozygosity has previously been observed in P. ramorum lineage NA1 (14) and is apparent in the newly presented data here for lineage EU1. We surveyed the distribution of heterozygosity across the genome by aligning sequence reads against the previously published genome sequence assembly of NA1 (14), which we downloaded from the Joint Genome Institute at http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/ramorum1/ramorum1.download.ftp.html. Prior to alignment using BWAmem (31, 32), the reads were first filtered using TrimGalore as described above. The resulting alignment was converted to mpileup format using SAMtools (33). By parsing the mpileup file, it was possible to count the number of sites that were probably homozygous (> 95% consensus among aligned reads) and those that were probably heterozygous (> 45% and < 55% consensus). Figure 1 and Figure 2 show plots of rates of heterozygosity respectively over scaffold 7 and scaffold 24 of the reference genome. On scaffold 7, there are large stretches with little or no heterozygosity in isolates CC2168, CC2176, CC2184, CC2186, CC2275 and CC12475 while the same regions show normal levels of heterozygosity in the other isolates. This suggests that CC2168, CC2176, CC2184, CC2186, CC2275 and CC12475 have undergone LOH in these regions of scaffold 7. The depths of sequencing coverage are normal (see panel B in Figure 1) across the LOH regions, indicating that this is copy-numberneutral LOH rather than hemizygosity. Similarly, isolate CC2184 appears to have undergone copynumber-neutral LOH on scaffold 24 (Figure 2); similar patterns can be observed on several other genomic scaffolds including scaffolds 11, 14, 16 and 33. It is not clear whether these putative LOH events occurred during growth on the host plant or whether they occurred subsequently in the laboratory after collection. However, a recent study of phenotypic and genetic variation in lineage NA1 concluded that partial aneuploidy and copy-neutral LOH were induced by the host. The most unique pattern of LOH among the EU1 isolates was observed for isolate CC2184 from yew (Taxus sp.); it would be interesting to survey additional isolates from this host and check whether they display the same distinctive LOH profile across their genomes.

Whole-genome sequence data are now available for multiple isolates of both of the *P. ramorum* lineages found in Europe, that is EU1 (this study) and EU2 (3, 6) as well as for the NA1 lineage found in North America (14). As well as being a resource for biological and evolutionary research on this important invasive species, it also allows the identification of genomic sequences that could be targeted in new molecular tools for detection and identification of the species and lineages. Furthermore, identification of loci that are polymorphic among different isolates within the single lineage offers opportunities to track the spread of the pathogen in time and space at high resolution.

#### Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by a grant funded jointly by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, the Department for Environment, Food and Rural affairs, the Economic and Social Research Council, the Forestry Commission, the Natural Environment Research Council and the Scottish Government, under the Tree Health and Plant Biosecurity Initiative (BB/L012499/1). PAO was supported by a joint studentship from the Fera seedcorn programme and from the Defra Future-proofing Plant Health project (PH0441).

We acknowledge funding for the joint studentship from the Fera seedcorn programme and from the Defra Future-proofing Plant Health project (PH0441)'

#### **Figures**

**Figure 1. Heterozygosity profiles of twelve** *Phytophthora ramorum* **EU1 isolates over scaffold 7**. The previously published *P. ramorum* NA1 genome sequence (14) was downloaded from the Joint Genome Institute at http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/ramorum1/ramorum1.download.ftp.html and used as a reference sequence, against which genomic sequence reads from each of the 12 isolates were aligned with BWA-mem (31, 32). **Panel A**: We used a sliding window of 1000 nucleotides to calculate the rate of heterozygosity. Proportion of single-nucleotide positions at which 45 – 55% of the aligned reads contain the second-most abundant nucleotide was expressed as a percentage; that is the vertical axis represents percentage heterozygosity. **Panel B**: We used a sliding window of 1000 nucleotides to calculate average depth of coverage by aligned reads. The vertical axis represents depth of coverage by aligned reads. The vertical axis represents position on the scaffold and regions of zero heterozygosity are highlighted in yellow.

### Figure 2. Heterozygosity profiles of twelve Phytophthora ramorum EU1 isolates over scaffold 24.

The previously published *P. ramorum* NA1 genome sequence (14) was downloaded from the Joint Genome Institute at http://genome.jgi.doe.gov/ramorum1/ramorum1.download.ftp.html and used as a reference sequence, against which genomic sequence reads from each of the 12 isolates were aligned with BWA-mem (31, 32). **Panel A**: We used a sliding window of 1000 nucleotides to calculate the rate of heterozygosity. Proportion of single-nucleotide positions at which 45 – 55% of the aligned reads contain the second-most abundant nucleotide was expressed as a percentage; that is the vertical axis represents percentage heterozygosity. **Panel B**: We used a sliding window of 1000 nucleotides to calculate average depth of coverage by aligned reads. The vertical axis represents depth of coverage, normalized so that the median depth over the whole genome is one. In both panels, the horizontal axis represents position on the scaffold and regions of zero heterozygosity are highlighted in yellow.

#### References

- Kamoun S, Furzer O, Jones JDG, Judelson HS, Ali GS, Dalio RJD, Roy SG, Schena L, Zambounis A, Panabières F, Cahill D, Ruocco M, Figueiredo A, Chen X-RR, Hulvey J, Stam R, Lamour K, Gijzen M, Tyler BM, Grünwald NJ, Mukhtar MS, Tomé DFA, Tör M, Van den Ackerveken G, McDowell J, Daayf F, Fry WE, Lindqvist-Kreuze H, Meijer HJG, Petre B, Ristaino J, Yoshida K, Birch PRJ, Govers F. 2014. The Top 10 oomycete pathogens in molecular plant pathology. Mol Plant Pathol 16:413–434.
- Studholme DJ, McDougal RL, Sambles C, Hansen E, Hardy G, Grant M, Ganley RJ, Williams NM. 2016. Genome sequences of six Phytophthora species associated with forests in New Zealand. Genomics Data 7:54–56.
- Mata Saez L de la, McCracken AR, Cooke LR, O'Neill P, Grant M, Studholme DJ. 2015. Draft genome sequences of seven isolates of Phytophthora ramorum EU2 from Northern Ireland. Genomics Data 6:191–192.
- 4. Quinn L, O'Neill P a, Harrison J, Paskiewicz KH, McCracken AR, Cooke LR, Grant MR, Studholme DJ. 2013. Genome-wide sequencing of Phytophthora lateralis reveals genetic variation among isolates from Lawson cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana ) in Northern

Ireland. FEMS Microbiol Lett 344:179–185.

- 5. Feau N, Taylor G, Dale AL, Dhillon B, Bilodeau GJ, Birol I, Jones SJM, Hamelin RC. 2016. Genome sequences of six Phytophthora species threatening forest ecosystems. Genomics Data **10**:85–88.
- Sambles C, Schlenzig A, O'Neill P, Grant M, Studholme DJ. 2015. Draft genome sequences of Phytophthora kernoviae and Phytophthora ramorum lineage EU2 from Scotland. Genomics Data 6:193–194.
- 7. Brasier C, Webber J. 2010. Sudden larch death. Nature 466:824–825.
- 8. **Rizzo DM**, **Garbelotto M**, **Davidson JM**, **Slaughter GW**, **Koike ST**. 2002. Phytophthora ramorum as the Cause of Extensive Mortality of Quercus spp. and Lithocarpus densiflorus in California. Plant Dis **86**:205–214.
- 9. Werres S, Marwitz R. 2001. Phytophthora ramorum sp. nov., a new pathogen on Rhododendron and Viburnum. Mycol Res.
- 10. **Parke JL, Linderman RG, Osterbauer NK, Griesbach JA**. 2004. Detection of Phytophthora ramorum Blight in Oregon Nurseries and Completion of Koch's Postulates on Pieris, Rhododendron, Viburnum , and Camellia. Plant Dis **88**:87–87.
- 11. **Grünwald NJ, Garbelotto M, Goss EM, Heungens K, Prospero S**. 2012. Emergence of the sudden oak death pathogen Phytophthora ramorum. Trends Microbiol **20**:131–138.
- 12. **Goss EM, Carbone I, Grünwald NJ**. 2009. Ancient isolation and independent evolution of the three clonal lineages of the exotic sudden oak death pathogen Phytophthora ramorum. Mol Ecol **18**:1161–74.
- 13. **Poucke K Van, Franceschini S.** 2012. Discovery of a fourth evolutionary lineage of Phytophthora ramorum: EU2. Fungal Biol **116**:1178–1191.
- 14. Tyler BM, Tripathy S, Zhang X, Dehal P, Jiang RHY, Aerts A, Arredondo FD, Baxter L, Bensasson D, Beynon JL, Chapman J, Damasceno CMB, Dorrance AE, Dou D, Dickerman AW, Dubchak IL, Garbelotto M, Gijzen M, Gordon SG, Govers F, Grunwald NJ, Huang W, Ivors KL, Jones RW, Kamoun S, Krampis K, Lamour KH, Lee M-K, McDonald WH, Medina M, Meijer HJG, Nordberg EK, Maclean DJ, Ospina-Giraldo MD, Morris PF, Phuntumart V, Putnam NH, Rash S, Rose JKC, Sakihama Y, Salamov AA, Savidor A, Scheuring CF, Smith BM, Sobral BWS, Terry A, Torto-Alalibo TA, Win J, Xu Z, Zhang H, Grigoriev I V, Rokhsar DS, Boore JL. 2006. Phytophthora genome sequences uncover evolutionary origins and mechanisms of pathogenesis. Science 313:1261–1266.
- 15. **Grünwald NJ, Larsen MM, Kamvar Z, Reeser PW, Kanaskie A, Laine J, Wiese R**. 2015. First report of the EU1 clonal lineage of Phytophthora ramorum on tanoak in an OR forest. Plant Dis PDIS-10-15-1169-PDN.
- Kamvar ZN, Larsen MM, Kanaskie AM, Hansen EM, Grünwald NJ. 2015. Spatial and Temporal Analysis of Populations of the Sudden Oak Death Pathogen in Oregon Forests. Phytopathology 105:982–989.
- 17. Elliott M, Sumampong G, Varga A, Shamoun SF, James D, Masri S, Gruenwald NJ. 2011. Phenotypic differences among three clonal lineages of Phytophthora ramorum. For Pathol **41**:7–14.
- 18. **Brasier CM**. 1995. Episodic selection as a force in fungal microevolution, with special reference to clonal speciation and hybrid introgression. Can J Bot **73**:1213–1221.
- Kasuga T, Bui M, Bernhardt E, Swiecki T, Aram K, Cano LM, Webber J, Brasier C, Press C, Grünwald NJ, Rizzo DM, Garbelotto M. 2016. Host-induced aneuploidy and phenotypic diversification in the Sudden Oak Death pathogen Phytophthora ramorum. BMC Genomics 17:385.
- 20. Shrestha SK, Hu J, Trout-Fryxell R, Mudge J, Lamour KH. 2014. SNP markers identify widely distributed clonal lineages of Phytophthora colocasiae in Vietnam, Hawaii, and Hainan Island, China. Mycologia.
- 21. Hu J, Diao Y, Zhou Y, Lin D, Bi Y, Pang Z, Trout Fryxell R, Liu X, Lamour K. 2013. Loss of

Heterozygosity Drives Clonal Diversity of Phytophthora capsici in China. PLoS One **8**:e82691.

- 22. Lamour KH, Mudge J, Gobena D, Hurtado-Gonzales OP, Schmutz J, Kuo A, Miller NA, Rice BJ, Raffaele S, Cano LM, Bharti AK, Donahoo RS, Finley S, Huitema E, Hulvey J, Platt D, Salamov A, Savidor A, Sharma R, Stam R, Storey D, Thines M, Win J, Haas BJ, Dinwiddie DL, Jenkins J, Knight JR, Affourtit JP, Han CS, Chertkov O, Lindquist EA, Detter C, Grigoriev I V, Kamoun S, Kingsmore SF. 2012. Genome sequencing and mapping reveal loss of heterozygosity as a mechanism for rapid adaptation in the vegetable pathogen Phytophthora capsici. Mol Plant Microbe Interact 25:1350–60.
- 23. Hulvey J, Young J, Finley L, Lamour K. 2009. Loss of heterozygosity in Phytophthora capsici after N-ethyl-nitrosourea mutagenesis. Mycologia **102**:27–32.
- 24. **Galore T**. 2013. Taking appropriate QC measures for RRBS-type or other -Seq applications with Trim Galore !
- 25. **Martin M**. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. EMBnet.journal **17**:10.
- 26. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D, Gurevich A a, Dvorkin M, Kulikov AS, Lesin VM, Nikolenko SI, Pham S, Prjibelski AD, Pyshkin A V, Sirotkin A V, Vyahhi N, Tesler G, Alekseyev MA, Pevzner PA. 2012. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. J Comput Biol **19**:455–77.
- 27. Benson DA. 2004. GenBank. Nucleic Acids Res 33:D34–D38.
- 28. Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva E V, Zdobnov EM. 2015. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinformatics **31**:3210–2.
- 29. Delcher AL, Phillippy A, Carlton J, Salzberg SL. 2002. Fast algorithms for large-scale genome alignment and comparison. Nucleic Acids Res **30**:2478–83.
- 30. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M, Antonescu C, Salzberg SL. 2004. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. Genome Biol **5**:R12.
- Li H. 2013. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM
  3.
- 32. Li H, Durbin R. 2009. Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. Bioinformatics **25**:1754–60.
- Li H, Handsaker B, Wysoker A, Fennell T, Ruan J, Homer N, Marth G, Abecasis G, Durbin R, Subgroup 1000 Genome Project Data Processing. 2009. The Sequence Alignment/Map format and SAMtools. Bioinformatics 25:2078–2079.

#### A Heterozygosity

CC1008	um Mon when when	- nonthan monthline	_ m_m_mMMmh_	hum han when	mit mithingh
2 CC1011	MANNIN MANNA	- man man man man	Mr. Marra Mal Marca	Minhand Millingham	mm WMmm mmm
2 CC1033	a Markaman Manan	_mmm.mmm.	- Manna What	Amen mater maker	m.M.M.
2 2 2 0C1048	manen Man Man		- man mark Marie	Marin Mullimmen	mon Man war
CC2168	, Martin Martin		Marine	Manul month hand	mbm Mhimm
3 3 CC2176			- mall and Mall	Manu Arthur Man	mmhhhhhhm
2 CC2164	IN MUL	xxxx	man Man	Man mother home	which have and
2 CC2186	LA MAY LA	2008	man Marine Million	Manun Millinghunghrink	mother Month and
CC2187	ulman Man Mark Market	-hours man make Maluna		han morning	mar Min me
= cc2795	M	Access	- march Minu	Mar Mar Mar Mar	1 mm White m
2 CC12475	AND A MALL BU	None Market Market Market	- maken Min	man Munturner	man Mun Min
2 CC14654	I was EMMARK ENALE & MARK	Dama Maria and an Incard		NAME IN A DOMESTIC	

#### B Coverage depth

CC1008	MMA At	man man		the second	~HAN Whi	www. www.	Market Mark 18-	man Monriel in Lak	hond Limi
CC1011	MANA MAN	m why have	man particular	al the second		win - manden	American A	what when the work	hen
CC1033	"nma ~~~~	man man man		- Winner		warn miner	mon man pro	month the month to be the	han imme
CC1048	man	marker man	when marked	m. man		warm Haman	murrand m	mand a some m but	hr~
CC2158	, AMAR	-Marina pro-	man posses	- A poly-	n m	Sind Manner	purpose the part	Min W Mineria Miner	non and
CC2175	AMAN	Marin		A Maria	~~~h A	while Marrison	non-night pa	Mun Manmin M much	I what a france
002104	, <u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	Warn 1	and the second			and Manasaker	American A	which which is the	han
CC2186	AMA	m www.		- A province	~ which	and Marrow	run-in-urna pro-	months Annah M Ler	had had
CC2187	hman	- Marina pro-	with the second	~ A Jam + m	~ Minani	John Marine	nor which have	monthe Manner M much	maline
CC2275	nrmnr	WWW P		No man	min in	man Minnown	non-rough the	V Markan Mark	n~
CC12475		WWW Pm	min min	T. Martin	m. Am	Munith In.	month m	Man a man and and	
CC14654	In Association of the second	MARINE AND BARRIER	tones in an	and the state of the state	and the second second		A marked with the		

Fig. 1

#### A Heterozygosity

2 3 CC1088	1 com manual and and a manual and a second a	Mun Mun man man Mun Mun Mun Mun Mun Mun Mun Mun Mun Mu
2 CC1011	and when when the way when the way of the way when the way when the way when the way when the way way when the way	Mamman Manna Maria
CC1033	: com the man and the here the here when the here when the here here here here here here here	man Mullim Mullim Markath
2 CC1048	: come uning and many many har and the man	markan Manutan Manutan
2 CC2168	and the second s	MMMMMmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmmm
CC2178	com my mm	with mark and
	1 1000 EXCH 2000	40000
ссли		
ссли ссли ссли		
CC2184		
сс2194 сс2194 сс2195 сс2197 сс22197		
CC2184		

#### B Coverage depth

series and the the way of the the series of the series of the ·∽∽√∽∽∽<mark>₩₩₩</mark>→∽⊷<mark>⋕</mark>∽₊┥∽╴ - I man from the first the first the second se const internet the second of the second seco com many many parter and the second s + **\* \* \* \* \* \* \* \*** \* \* \* \* \* ~harden and shall be added and the state of week and the second s ccann and all the provide the second she was a second she was -----NORM m ᢁ᠁ᢩᡔ᠁ᡬᠷ᠋ᢂ᠕<mark>ᢂᢣᢂ</mark>᠂ᢓ᠊ᢢᠯᠬᢌ᠕ᢣᡘᠯ᠁ᡧᠰᢌᡀ᠆ᡶᡰ᠁ᠬᢣᢂ᠅᠆ᡀ᠁ᠰ᠅ᡧᢩ<mark>ᢣ᠁</mark>ᡧ<mark>ᢄ</mark>᠂ᢔᡰ᠄ᢣᡗ᠁ᢤ᠋ᢣᠰ᠁ᡧ᠃**ᡣ**ᠺᠰᡫᠵ<mark>ᡧᢂᡰᢙ</mark>᠄᠆ᠮᢄᡧᠵᡬ 

Fig. 2