

1 **Title page**

2

3 **Determinants of bone outcomes in adolescent athletes at baseline: the PRO-BONE**  
4 **study.**

5

6 Dimitris Vlachopoulos<sup>1\*</sup>, Esther Ubago-Guisado<sup>2</sup>, Alan R. Barker<sup>1</sup>, Brad S. Metcalf<sup>1</sup>, Ioannis  
7 G. Fatouros<sup>3</sup>, Alexandra Avloniti<sup>4</sup>, Karen M. Knapp<sup>5</sup>, Luis A. Moreno<sup>6</sup>, Craig A. Williams<sup>1</sup>,  
8 Luis Gracia-Marco<sup>1,6</sup>

9

10 <sup>1</sup>Children's Health and Exercise Research Centre, Sport and Health Sciences, University of  
11 Exeter, United Kingdom.

12 <sup>2</sup>University of Castilla-La Mancha, Toledo, Spain.

13 <sup>3</sup>Department of Kinesiology, Institute for Research and Technology, Physical Education and  
14 Sport Sciences, University of Thessaly, Trikala, Greece.

15 <sup>4</sup>Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Democritus University of Thrace,  
16 Komotini, Greece.

17 <sup>5</sup>University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, United Kingdom.

18 <sup>6</sup>Growth, Exercise, Nutrition and Development Research Group, University of Zaragoza,  
19 Zaragoza, Spain.

20

21 \*Address for correspondence: Dimitris Vlachopoulos, Children's Health and Exercise  
22 Research Centre, Sport and Health Sciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences,  
23 University of Exeter, St. Luke's Campus, Exeter, EX1 2LU, United Kingdom. Telephone:  
24 +44(0)1392264721, Fax: +44(0)1392724726, Email: [dv231@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:dv231@exeter.ac.uk)

25 **Abstract**

26 **Purpose:** The determinants of areal bone mineral density (aBMD) and hip geometry  
27 estimates in adolescent athletes are poorly understood. This study aimed to identify the  
28 determinants of aBMD and hip geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes. **Methods:**  
29 One hundred twenty one males (13.1±0.1 years) were measured: 41 swimmers, 37  
30 footballers, 29 cyclists and 14 controls. Dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) measured  
31 aBMD at lumbar spine, femoral neck (FN) and total body. Hip structural analysis evaluated  
32 hip geometry estimates at the FN. Multiple linear regression examined the contribution of the  
33 sports practised, stature, lean and fat mass, serum calcium and vitamin D, moderate to  
34 vigorous physical activity (MVPA), vertical jump and cardiorespiratory fitness (CRF) with  
35 aBMD and hip geometry estimates. **Results:** Region specific lean mass was the strongest  
36 positive predictor of aBMD ( $\beta = 0.614 - 0.931$ ) and football participation was the next  
37 strongest predictor ( $\beta = 0.304 - 0.579$ ). Stature ( $\beta = 0.235 - 0.380$ ), fat mass ( $\beta = 0.189$ ),  
38 serum calcium ( $\beta = 0.103$ ), serum vitamin D ( $\beta = 0.104 - 0.139$ ) and vertical jump ( $\beta = 0.146$   
39  $- 0.203$ ) were associated with aBMD across various specific sites. All hip geometry estimates  
40 were associated with lean mass ( $\beta = 0.370 - 0.568$ ) and stature ( $\beta = 0.338 - 0.430$ ). Football  
41 participation was associated with hip cross-sectional area ( $\beta = 0.322$ ) and MVPA ( $\beta = 0.140 -$   
42  $0.142$ ). CRF ( $\beta = 0.183 - 0.207$ ) was associated with section modulus and cross-sectional  
43 moment of inertia. **Conclusions:** Region specific lean mass is the strongest determinant of  
44 aBMD and hip geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes. Football participation and  
45 stature were important determinants for aBMD and hip geometry estimates while the  
46 contribution of the other predictors was site specific.

47

48 **Keywords** BODY COMPOSITION; BONE MASS; EXERCISE, LEAN MASS,  
49 PREDICTORS, SPORT PARTICIPATION.

50 **Trial registration** ISRCTN17982776

## 51 **Introduction**

52           During growth and maturation changes in bone density and geometry occur in order  
53 to withstand the forces applied through external loading of the skeleton (9). Peak bone mass  
54 is achieved by early adulthood and is largely determined by non-modifiable genetic factors  
55 (4). However, modifiable factors, such as nutrition (24) and physical activity (11), are also  
56 known to alter peak bone mass. Exercise can significantly enhance areal bone mineral density  
57 (aBMD) and strength at loaded sites in children but not in adults (25). Optimal bone  
58 development can be achieved with adequate status of key nutrients, such as calcium and  
59 vitamin D, and may attenuate exercise-induced adaptations of aBMD (15). The type of sport  
60 practised can affect the skeletal development differently depending on training characteristics  
61 (35). Participation in weight bearing sports, such as football, is associated with greater areal  
62 bone mineral density than non-weight bearing sports, such as swimming and cycling (8, 38).  
63 However, it is poorly understood why the differences exist between different athletic groups  
64 and there is limited understanding of the determinants of aBMD and hip geometry in  
65 adolescent male athletes.

66           Total body lean mass has a positive association with aBMD in the growing skeleton  
67 (10) but controversy currently exists surrounding the association between fat mass and aBMD  
68 (6). There is no evidence distinguishing the site specific effects of lean mass and fat mass on  
69 aBMD in adolescent athletes and there are inconsistencies in the use of confounders to adjust  
70 bone parameters in non-athletic groups. Data on non-athletic prepubescent females indicate  
71 that leg lean mass is the most important predictor of bone mineral content at the leg and  
72 femoral neck sites (3). Although a positive association between fat mass and aBMD has been  
73 reported in non-athletic adolescents males and females (31), this is explained by an increase  
74 in lean mass (12). To date, there is no evidence explaining the effects of lean and fat mass on  
75 bone outcomes in adolescent athletes, which is of great interest due to the importance of body

76 composition in athletic groups. Cardiorespiratory (CRF) and muscular fitness (vertical jump)  
77 have also been found to be positively associated with bone outcomes in non-athletic  
78 adolescents (1, 13), but their contribution on bone parameters in adolescent athletes is poorly  
79 understood.

80 Geometric properties of the hip, such as cross-sectional area (CSA), obtained by using  
81 hip structural analysis (HSA) software, can provide further insight into the determinants of  
82 bone hip geometry estimates (19). During growth, bones adapt their geometry due to  
83 increases in stature, lean and fat mass (3) and geometric parameters of the femur neck (FN)  
84 are closely adapted to lean mass (27). The primary predictor of bone hip geometry in non-  
85 athletic boys and girls is muscle CSA, accounting for 10 – 16 % of the variance (22), while  
86 other factors such as moderate to vigorous physical activity (MVPA) can have a site specific  
87 influence on bone geometry (23).

88 As highlighted above, numerous factors have been shown to be related to bone  
89 outcomes in non-athletic adolescents, but the determinants of aBMD and hip geometry in  
90 adolescent male athletes have yet to be comprehensively investigated. Therefore, this study  
91 aims to provide novel insight into the contribution of the independent predictors of sports  
92 participation (football, swimming and cycling), stature, region specific lean and fat mass,  
93 serum calcium and vitamin D, MVPA, muscular fitness and CRF (all adjusted by each other)  
94 on aBMD and hip geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes. It is hypothesized that  
95 football participation, lean mass and stature would be the most important determinants of  
96 aBMD and hip geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes. It is proposed that other  
97 modifiable factors (e.g. nutrition, MVPA and fitness) would have a small but significant  
98 contribution on bone outcomes.

## 99 **Methods**

100 *Study design and participants*

101 Participants comprised 121 adolescent males (41 swimmers, 37 footballers, 29  
102 cyclists and 14 controls) participating in the PRO-BONE (effect of a PROgram of short bouts  
103 of exercise on BONE health in adolescents involved in different sports) longitudinal study  
104 (39). The data in the current study are taken from the baseline data of the PRO-BONE study  
105 and was completed between autumn and winter 2014/15. The inclusion and exclusion criteria  
106 were: 1) males 12–14 years old, engaged ( $\geq 3$  h/week) in osteogenic (football) and/or non-  
107 osteogenic (swimming and cycling) sports for the last 3 years or more; 2) active males 12–14  
108 years old who were not engaged in football, cycling and swimming ( $\geq 3$  h/week) in the last 3  
109 or more years but who were physically active (control group); 3) not taking part in another  
110 clinical trial; 4) not having an acute infection lasting until  $< 1$  week before inclusion; 5) to be  
111 free of any medical history of diseases or medications affecting bone metabolism; 6) to be  
112 white Caucasian.

113 Participants were recruited from athletic clubs and schools across the South West of  
114 England. Written informed consent and assent forms were signed from parents and  
115 participants accordingly and all participants completed the first visit at the research centre as  
116 part of the study. The methods and procedures of the study have been checked and approved  
117 by: 1) the Ethics Review Sector of Directorate-General of Research (European Commission,  
118 ref. number 618496); 2) the Sport and Health Sciences Ethics Committee (University of  
119 Exeter, ref. number 2014/766) and 3) the National Research Ethics Service Committee  
120 (NRES Committee South West – Cornwall & Plymouth, ref. number 14/SW/0060).

121 *Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry*

122 A dual energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scanner (GE Lunar Prodigy Healthcare  
123 Corp., Madison, WI, USA) was used to measure aBMD ( $\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ ), fat mass (g) and lean mass

124 (g) at specific regions of the body. Four scans were performed to obtain data for the lumbar  
125 spine (LS, L1-L4), bilateral proximal femora (the mean of both was used for the current  
126 analysis) and the total body. The total body scan was then used to obtain data for specific  
127 regions such as: arms, legs and total body less head (TBLH). All DXA scans and subsequent  
128 in-software analyses were completed by the same researcher, using the same DXA scanner  
129 and the GE encore software (2006, version 14.10.022).

### 130 *Hip structural analysis*

131 Hip geometry estimates at the FN were determined using HSA software which  
132 analyses the distribution of bone mineral mass in a line of pixels across the bone axis. The hip  
133 geometry estimates of the bone were obtained and the following variables used: 1) the cross  
134 sectional area (CSA, mm<sup>2</sup>), which is the total bone surface area of the hip excluding the soft  
135 tissue area and the trabecular bone; 2) the cross-sectional moment of inertia (CSMI, mm<sup>4</sup>),  
136 which is an index of structural rigidity and reflects the distribution of mass in the centre of a  
137 structural element; and 3) section modulus (Z, mm<sup>3</sup>), which is an indicator of maximum  
138 bending strength in a cross section. The short term precision percentage coefficient of  
139 variation of these variables has been reported to be between 2.4 % and 10.1 % (19).

### 140 *Anthropometry, physical activity and nutritional markers*

141 Stature (cm) and body mass (kg) were measured using a stadiometer (Harpenden,  
142 Holtain Ltd, Crymych, UK) and an electronic scale (Seca 877, Seca Ltd, Birmingham, UK),  
143 respectively. Body mass index was calculated as body mass (kg) divided by the stature (m)  
144 squared. Sexual maturation was self-reported using adapted drawings of the five stages  
145 (Tanner) of pubic hair (34).

146 Physical activity was measured for seven consecutive days using validated wrist  
147 accelerometers (GENEActiv, GENEActiv, UK) (7). Participants were instructed to place the  
148 accelerometer on their non-dominant wrist and data was collected at 100 Hz. Data were  
149 analysed using 1 s epoch to establish time spent in MVPA using a cut-off point of  $\geq 1140$   
150 counts per minute previously validated in youth (28).

151 Total serum levels of calcium and 25 hydroxyvitamin D [25(OH)D] were analysed.  
152 Serum samples were analysed by using ELISA kits (Abbexa Ltd., Cambridge, UK) for  
153 25(OH)D and had a test range of 3 - 80  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$  and a sensitivity of 1.2  $\mu\text{g}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$  (inter and  
154 intra-assay CVs: 5.7 % and 9.5 % respectively). Total serum levels of calcium was measured  
155 using direct colorimetric assay (Cayman Chemical Company, MI, U.S.A.) and had linear  
156 assay range of 0.25-10  $\text{mg}\cdot\text{mL}^{-1}$  (inter and intra-assay CVs: 8.1 % and 12.8 % respectively).

### 157 *Physical fitness*

158 The fitness tests used in the present investigation have been shown to be reliable and  
159 valid in youth (26). A counter movement vertical jump test was used to provide an estimate  
160 of lower limb muscular power. The jumps were performed on a jump mat (Probotics Inc.,  
161 Huntsville, USA) which calculates jump height based on flight time. Each participant  
162 performed three maximal vertical jumps and the highest jump was used for the analysis.

163 Cardiorespiratory fitness was evaluated using the 20 m shuttle run test (21). The test  
164 ended when the participants failed to reach the line on two consecutive occasions. The last  
165 completed shuttle determined the score of the test and the number of shuttles completed was  
166 taken as an indicator of CRF.

### 167 *Statistical analyses*

168 Data were analysed using SPSS IBM statistics (version 21.0 for Windows, Chicago,  
169 IL, USA) and descriptive data are reported as mean and standard deviation (SD). The normal  
170 distribution of the raw variables and of the regression model residuals was checked and  
171 verified using Shapiro-Wilk's test, skewness and kurtosis values, visual check of histograms,  
172 Q-Q and box plots. Collinearity was checked for the variables using the variance inflation  
173 factor (VIF) and tolerance levels. One way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Bonferroni  
174 post hoc comparisons and Chi-Square tests were used to detect between-group mean  
175 differences for the descriptive variables (table 1).

176 Multiple linear regression analyses were used to examine the contribution of sport  
177 participation, stature, lean mass, fat mass, total calcium, 25(OH)D, MVPA, vertical jump and  
178 20 m shuttle run test to bone outcomes. The selection of the predictors was based on their  
179 relationship with bone outcomes (22, 27, 32). To account for the differences between the  
180 sports groups a dummy variable was computed (footballers, swimmers, cyclists and controls)  
181 and controls were selected as the reference group. In a preliminary analysis we found that  
182 Tanner stage was not a significant predictor after adjusting for stature and age and  
183 consequently was not included in the model. All remaining predictors were entered into the  
184 regression models simultaneously. For the multiple linear regressions, the standardised  
185 regression coefficients ( $\beta$ ) are reported and significance was set at alpha level of 0.05. The  
186 squared semi-partial correlation coefficients ( $sr^2$ ) were used to determine the contribution of  
187 each predictor in the overall variance of the model after removing shared contributions with  
188 other predictors.

## 189 **Results**

190 (Table 1 here)

191 *Characteristics of the participants*



192 The raw descriptive characteristics of the participants and the differences between  
193 sports groups are presented in Table 1. Swimmers were significantly older, taller, heavier and  
194 had more lean mass than the footballers and controls, and cyclists were significantly older  
195 than controls. All groups were similar for total serum calcium and 25(OH)D. Swimmers had  
196 significantly higher muscular and CRF than the controls. Footballers spent significantly more  
197 time in MVPA compared to swimmers and controls and had a significantly higher CRF  
198 compared to all the other groups. Cyclists had significantly higher MVPA than swimmers and  
199 significantly higher CRF than controls.

200 The raw unadjusted data showed that swimmers had significantly higher aBMD at the  
201 arms compared to footballers and higher aBMD at all sites except for the legs compared to  
202 controls. Footballers had significantly higher aBMD at TBLH, FN compared to controls and  
203 higher aBMD at TH compared to all groups. Cyclists had significantly higher aBMD at all  
204 sites except LS and legs compared to controls. Swimmers, footballers and cyclists had  
205 significantly enhanced hip geometry estimates compared to controls.

206 (Table 2 here)

#### 207 *Determinants of bone density and hip geometry estimates*

208 Multivariate regression models significantly explained 49.0% - 76.4% (on average,  
209 60.0%) of the variance in the aBMD outcomes (Table 2). Region specific lean mass and  
210 football participation were consistently the strongest significant predictors of aBMD at  
211 TBLH, LS, TH, legs and arms ( $\beta = 0.614 - 0.931$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.031 - 0.161$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). Football  
212 participation (compared to the control group) was positively associated with aBMD at TBLH,  
213 FN, TH and legs ( $\beta = 0.304 - 0.579$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.031 - 0.068$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ). Stature was positively  
214 associated with aBMD at FN and arms ( $\beta = 0.235 - 0.380$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.021$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). Region  
215 specific fat mass was positively associated with aBMD at TBLH ( $\beta = 0.189$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.015$ ,  $P <$

216 0.05). Serum calcium was positively associated with aBMD at the arms ( $\beta = 0.103$ ,  $sr^2 =$   
217  $0.009$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). In addition, serum 25(OH)D was positively associated with aBMD at the  
218 arms and LS ( $\beta = 0.104 - 0.139$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.009$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). Muscular fitness was positively  
219 associated with aBMD at TBLH and LS ( $\beta = 0.146 - 0.203$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.010 - 0.019$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ).  
220 CRF was not associated with aBMD outcomes at any skeletal site after accounting for the  
221 other predictors.

222 (Table 3 here)

223 In the multivariate regression analysis of the hip geometry estimates (Table 3) the  
224 predictors explained 71.7% - 77.8% (on average, 75.7%) of the variance. Region specific lean  
225 mass was the strongest significant predictor and was positively associated with CSA, CSMI  
226 and Z ( $\beta = 0.370 - 0.568$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.017 - 0.039$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ). Football participation (compared to  
227 the control group) was positively associated with CSA ( $\beta = 0.322$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.023$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ).  
228 Stature was positively associated CSA, CSMI and Z ( $\beta = 0.338 - 0.430$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.017 - 0.025$ ,  $P$   
229  $< 0.001$ ). MVPA was positively associated with CSMI and Z ( $\beta = 0.140 - 0.142$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.014$ ,  
230  $P < 0.05$ ). CRF was positively associated with CSMI and Z ( $\beta = 0.183 - 0.207$ ,  $sr^2 = 0.011 -$   
231  $0.014$ ,  $P < 0.05$ ).

## 232 Discussion

233 The present study aimed to identify, for the first time, the determinants of aBMD and  
234 hip geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes involved in football, swimming and  
235 cycling. It has recently been shown that football has a beneficial impact on bone outcomes in  
236 comparison to cycling and swimming in adolescent males (38). However the determinants  
237 responsible for these differences are not known for this population. In support with our  
238 hypothesis, region specific lean mass was the primary explanatory variable on aBMD and hip  
239 geometry estimates at most sites of the skeleton. In addition, we found that only participation

240 in football was a significant predictor of aBMD and hip geometry estimates when contrasted  
241 to the control group. Finally, it was observed that modifiable factors such as nutrition status  
242 (calcium and vitamin D), MVPA and physical fitness (vertical jump and CRF) had a small  
243 but significant contribution to bone density and hip geometry estimates across specific sites  
244 of the skeleton.

#### 245 *Determinants of bone mineral density*

246 The determinants explained an important significant variance of aBMD at different  
247 skeletal sites (49.0 % - 76.4 %, average 64.5 %) with previous findings in non-athletic  
248 population reporting that a similar model of determinants explained 40 % - 83 % of the  
249 variance in BMC in prepubertal girls (3). Region specific lean mass was consistently the  
250 strongest determinant of aBMD at TBLH, LS, legs and arms. A previous study in non-athletic  
251 boys and girls reported that total lean mass was the best predictor of total body and lumbar  
252 spine aBMD, but they did not report the relationship with other sites of the skeleton (6).  
253 Another study in non-athletic children found that total lean mass was the strongest predictor  
254 of the aBMD at total body, and LS (16). However the study did not distinguished the site  
255 specific relationship of lean and bone mass which was considered in the present study. It is of  
256 great interest to understand the region specific relationship of lean mass and aBMD due to the  
257 site specific adaptation of the skeleton during external loading, specifically in athletic  
258 populations (2). It is still not clear to what extent fat mass is associated with aBMD in  
259 adolescents and especially after adjusting for confounding factors. In our study we found that  
260 region specific fat mass only had a positive association with TBLH aBMD, suggesting that  
261 after accounting for other covariates its influence on bone development in athletic male  
262 adolescents is negligible, perhaps due to the strong effect of region specific lean mass. In  
263 addition, an increase in fat mass in adolescent athletes can have a negative effect on their  
264 performance (36).

265 Football participation was positively associated with aBMD at TBLH, FN, TH and  
266 legs. There was no significant contribution of swimming and cycling in contrast to the control  
267 group on aBMD at any sites of the skeleton. The contribution of football on aBMD was  
268 independent of lean mass and is likely to be explained by the intermittent and high-intensity  
269 characteristics of football that can produce high strains on the skeleton and stimulate bone  
270 mineral acquisition (35). The concentric contractions during football generate greater forces  
271 compared to cycling and swimming and this might explain the increased skeletal loading in  
272 this group (33). In addition, our findings show that stature was positively significant  
273 associated with aBMD at the arms and FN. Similarly with our results, previously it was found  
274 that stature had a weak and site specific relationship with BMC at different skeletal sites in  
275 non-athletic children (16). The movement characteristics of the sports practised seems to be  
276 important for bone acquisition and the present study found that football participation is one of  
277 the most important determinants, possibly because it includes high intensity concentric  
278 contractions that can enhance aBMD in adolescents.

279 Both MVPA and nutrition (calcium and vitamin D) are considered to be essential for  
280 optimal bone growth, but their contribution was diminished once other factors (e.g. stature,  
281 lean mass, sports participation) were considered. In the present analysis we found that blood  
282 serum calcium and 25(OH)D had a small contribution on aBMD at the arms and only  
283 25(OH)D contributed to aBMD at LS. Previous findings indicated that dietary calcium and  
284 25(OH)D can have a weak, but significant contribution on specific sites of the skeleton in  
285 adolescents (22). The sites of the skeleton, such as arms, are less loaded through sport and  
286 nutritional factors may have a potential influence. The site specific relationship between  
287 nutrition and bone outcomes can be attributed to the interactions of nutrients in relation to  
288 bone health (17). In relation to the contribution of MVPA and fitness on aBMD, we found  
289 that vertical jump height was the only significant predictor of aBMD at TBLH and LS. These

290 findings show that overall MVPA does not appear to be important once participation in a  
291 particular sport is considered in the regression model. This suggests the characteristics of the  
292 sport practised and the contribution of lean mass mediates the relationship between fitness  
293 and bone outcomes (37).

#### 294 *Determinants of hip geometry estimates*

295         Using the HSA method we showed that the multiple regression model can explain a  
296 large proportion of the variance (71.7 % - 77.8 %, average 75.7 %) in geometrical parameters  
297 (CSA, CSMI, Z) at the narrow neck site of the hip. To the best of our knowledge, this is the  
298 first study examining the determinants of HSA outcomes in adolescent athletes. The strongest  
299 predictor for the geometrical parameters was the region specific lean mass followed by  
300 stature. The contribution of region specific lean mass was consistent for all the geometrical  
301 parameters. The findings of the present study highlight the influence of region specific lean  
302 mass on hip geometry estimates during adolescence which is linked with bone outcomes in  
303 young adulthood (2). Despite the lack of significant association between region specific lean  
304 mass and aBMD at the FN and TH, all the geometrical parameters of the narrow neck of the  
305 femur were significantly associated with region specific lean mass. This may reflect previous  
306 work in children and adolescents showing that HSA can provide more in depth geometrical  
307 evaluation at the hip site compared to BMD outcomes (27). In addition, studies using  
308 peripheral quantitative computed tomography (pQCT) found that muscle cross sectional area  
309 was the strongest predictor of bone strength parameters in early pubertal boys and girls (22).  
310 The latter study highlighted the importance of using site specific lean mass to understand its  
311 contribution to hip geometry estimates. On the other hand, region specific fat mass was not  
312 associated with any geometrical parameters and this is in agreement with findings in non-  
313 athletic adolescent females indicating that fat mass was not associated with CSA (29).

314 Stature was associated with all hip geometry estimates showing that the size of an  
315 adolescent athlete plays an important role in modifying hip geometry estimates. A previous  
316 study reported that femoral length is one of the most important predictors of CSA and Z in  
317 female adolescents (3) highlighting the importance of bone length at the hip. In addition,  
318 football participation was associated with CSA in hip geometry estimates of female  
319 footballers (5). There was no contribution of swimming and cycling on geometrical  
320 parameters which is similar with the findings on aBMD outcomes. The different contribution  
321 of stature and football in geometrical parameters compared to aBMD parameters might be  
322 due to fact that we used stature and not femoral length to control for the size in geometrical  
323 parameters. Also, the estimated geometrical parameters might not be affected from the  
324 external loading that football applies at the narrow neck site and higher forces might be  
325 needed (20).

326 All groups of the present study had similar serum levels of calcium and vitamin D and  
327 there was no association found between serum levels of calcium and vitamin D and  
328 geometrical bone outcomes, which is consistent with no contribution of hip related aBMD  
329 outcomes in the present study. In contrast, MVPA was a significant predictor of CSMI and Z  
330 independent of the sport participation suggesting that MVPA might induce changes in  
331 geometrical parameters and not aBMD due to mechanical stimuli applied at the hip site (23).  
332 The association between MVPA and bone outcomes was evident for the geometrical  
333 parameters but not for the aBMD parameters. This may be explained by previous findings  
334 showing that geometrical adaptations can occur before the adaptation of aBMD outcomes due  
335 to the initial respond inside the bone to the change in external strains (14, 40). CRF was a  
336 significant predictor of CSMI and Z after accounting for all the other predicting determinants,  
337 but there was no association with vertical jump. The different associations between fitness  
338 parameters and MVPA with aBMD and the geometrical parameters might be attributed to the

339 sensitivity of the geometrical parameters of the hip to detect changes (19). The bone structure  
340 at the hip and specifically CSA site might be associated with CRF due to the use lower leg  
341 muscle units during the sport specific movements. The training characteristics are dominant  
342 in the present study and our population was at the 75<sup>th</sup> percentile for CRF compared to same  
343 age and ethnicity matched population (30).

#### 344 *Limitations*

345 To our knowledge, this is the first study conducted in adolescent male athletes to  
346 examine the determinants of aBMD and hip geometry estimates. A large list of predictors has  
347 been included and their effects have been adjusted by each other. In addition, the present  
348 study uses region specific lean mass as predictor of aBMD and hip geometry estimates due to  
349 the site specific adaptations of the skeleton during exercise and growth (18). The cross-  
350 sectional analysis of the present study is a limitation and cannot prove cause and effect  
351 between the determinants and bone outcomes studies. In spite of using DXA as a surrogate  
352 estimate of lean mass due to the 2 component model, DXA-derived lean mass has been found  
353 to be highly correlated ( $r = 0.82$ ) with muscle cross sectional area measured by pQCT (27).

#### 354 **Conclusions**

355 The present study has shown, for the first time, the determinants of aBMD and hip  
356 geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes. Region specific lean mass was consistently  
357 the most important determinant of aBMD and hip geometry estimates parameters in  
358 adolescent male athletes. Football participation and stature were found to be important  
359 determinants for the aBMD and HSA parameters, respectively. Calcium and 25(OH)D had a  
360 small site specific contribution only on aBMD. MVPA and CRF positively influenced only  
361 the geometrical parameters and vertical jump was associated with aBMD parameters. Studies  
362 focusing on bone outcomes of young athletes should account for the region specific lean mass

363 differences due to the site-specific adaptations of the skeleton to external loading. Future  
364 practical approaches of sports clubs should include weight-bearing and muscle strengthening  
365 exercises, such as jumps, which can optimise bone outcomes during the important period of  
366 adolescence.

367

## 368 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### 369 **Funding sources**

370 The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union Seventh  
371 Framework Programme ([FP7/2007-2013] under grant agreement n°. PCIG13-GA-2013-618496.

372

### 373 **Authors' Contributions**

374 DV obtained and analysed the data and drafted the manuscript under the supervision of LGM  
375 (principal investigator), ARB and CAW. BSM, KMK, AA, IGF, EUG and LAM reviewed the draft.  
376 All authors have read and approved this work.

377 The authors gratefully acknowledge the adolescents, parents and sport coaches and schools who  
378 helped and participated in this study. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the CHERC  
379 researchers for their continuous support and help when needed.

### 380 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

381 Ethics approval received from the following committees: 1) the Ethics Review Sector of Directorate-  
382 General of Research (European Commission, ref. number 618496); 2) the Sport and Health Sciences  
383 Ethics Committee (University of Exeter, ref. number 2014/766) and 3) the National Research Ethics  
384 Service Committee (NRES Committee South West – Cornwall & Plymouth, ref. number  
385 14/SW/0060).

### 386 **List of abbreviations**

387 BMC: Bone mineral content; aBMD: Areal bone mineral density; BMI: Body mass index; CSA:  
388 Cross-sectional area; CSMI: Cross-sectional moment of inertia; CRF: Cardiorespiratory fitness; DXA:  
389 Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry; HSA: Hip structural Analysis; MVPA: Moderate to vigorous  
390 physical activity; TBLH: Total body less head, Z: section modulus, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

### 391 **Conflicts of interest**

392 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.  
393 The results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication, falsification, or  
394 inappropriate data manipulation, and the present study do not constitute endorsement by ACSM.



- 396 1. Baptista F, Mil-Homens P, Carita AI, Janz K, Sardinha LB. Peak Vertical Jump Power as a  
397 Marker of Bone Health in Children. *Int J Sports Med*. 2016;37(8):653-8.
- 398 2. Baxter-Jones AD, Kontulainen SA, Faulkner RA, Bailey DA. A longitudinal study of the  
399 relationship of physical activity to bone mineral accrual from adolescence to young  
400 adulthood. *Bone*. 2008;43(6):1101-7.
- 401 3. Daly RM, Stenevi-Lundgren S, Linden C, Karlsson MK. Muscle determinants of bone mass,  
402 geometry and strength in prepubertal girls. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2008;40(6):1135-41.
- 403 4. Duren DL, Sherwood RJ, Choh AC et al. Quantitative genetics of cortical bone mass in healthy  
404 10-year-old children from the Fels Longitudinal Study. *Bone*. 2007;40(2):464-70.
- 405 5. El Hage R. Geometric indices of hip bone strength in young female football players. *Journal*  
406 *of Musculoskeletal & Neuronal Interactions*. 2013;13(2):206-12.
- 407 6. El Hage RP, Courteix D, Benhamou CL, Jacob C, Jaffre C. Relative importance of lean and fat  
408 mass on bone mineral density in a group of adolescent girls and boys. *Eur J Appl Physiol*.  
409 2009;105(5):759-64.
- 410 7. Esliger DW, Rowlands AV, Hurst TL, Catt M, Murray P, Eston RG. Validation of the GENE  
411 Accelerometer. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2011;43(6):1085-93.
- 412 8. Ferry B, Duclos M, Burt L et al. Bone geometry and strength adaptations to physical  
413 constraints inherent in different sports: comparison between elite female soccer players and  
414 swimmers. *J Bone Miner Metab*. 2011;29(3):342-51.
- 415 9. Frost HM. Bone's mechanostat: a 2003 update. *Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol*.  
416 2003;275(2):1081-101.
- 417 10. Gracia-Marco L. Physical activity, bone mass and muscle strength in children. *Acta Paediatr*.  
418 2016;105(10):1127-8.
- 419 11. Gracia-Marco L, Moreno LA, Ortega FB et al. Levels of physical activity that predict optimal  
420 bone mass in adolescents: the HELENA study. *Am J Prev Med*. 2011;40(6):599-607.
- 421 12. Gracia-Marco L, Ortega FB, Jimenez-Pavon D et al. Adiposity and bone health in Spanish  
422 adolescents. The HELENA study. *Osteoporos Int*. 2012;23(3):937-47.
- 423 13. Gracia-Marco L, Vicente-Rodriguez G, Casajus JA, Molnar D, Castillo MJ, Moreno LA. Effect of  
424 fitness and physical activity on bone mass in adolescents: the HELENA Study. *Eur J Appl*  
425 *Physiol*. 2011;111(11):2671-80.
- 426 14. Haapasalo H, Kontulainen S, Sievanen H, Kannus P, Jarvinen M, Vuori I. Exercise-induced  
427 bone gain is due to enlargement in bone size without a change in volumetric bone density: A  
428 peripheral quantitative computed tomography study of the upper arms of male tennis  
429 players. *Bone*. 2000;27(3):351-7.
- 430 15. Harvey NC, Cole ZA, Crozier SR et al. Physical activity, calcium intake and childhood bone  
431 mineral: a population-based cross-sectional study. *Osteoporos Int*. 2012;23(1):121-30.
- 432 16. Hrafinkelsson H, Sigurdsson G, Magnusson KT, Johannsson E, Sigurdsson EL. Factors  
433 associated with bone mineral density and content in 7-year-old children. *Bone*.  
434 2010;46(4):1058-62.
- 435 17. Ilich JZ, Kerstetter JE. Nutrition in bone health revisited: a story beyond calcium. *J Am Coll*  
436 *Nutr*. 2000;19(6):715-37.
- 437 18. Ireland A, Maden-Wilkinson T, McPhee J et al. Upper limb muscle-bone asymmetries and  
438 bone adaptation in elite youth tennis players. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2013;45(9):1749-58.
- 439 19. Khoo BC, Beck TJ, Qiao QH et al. In vivo short-term precision of hip structure analysis  
440 variables in comparison with bone mineral density using paired dual-energy X-ray  
441 absorptiometry scans from multi-center clinical trials. *Bone*. 2005;37(1):112-21.
- 442 20. Korhonen MT, Heinonen A, Siekkinen J et al. Bone Density, Structure and Strength, and Their  
443 Determinants in Aging Sprint Athletes. *Med Sci Sport Exer*. 2012;44(12):2340-9.

- 444 21. Leger LA, Mercier D, Gadoury C, Lambert J. The multistage 20 metre shuttle run test for  
445 aerobic fitness. *J Sports Sci.* 1988;6(2):93-101.
- 446 22. Macdonald H, Kontulainen S, Petit M, Janssen P, McKay H. Bone strength and its  
447 determinants in pre- and early pubertal boys and girls. *Bone.* 2006;39(3):598-608.
- 448 23. Michalopoulou M, Kambas A, Leontsini D et al. Physical activity is associated with bone  
449 geometry of premenarcheal girls in a dose-dependent manner. *Metabolism-Clinical and*  
450 *Experimental.* 2013;62(12):1811-8.
- 451 24. Mouratidou T, Vicente-Rodriguez G, Gracia-Marco L et al. Associations of dietary calcium,  
452 vitamin D, milk intakes, and 25-hydroxyvitamin D with bone mass in Spanish adolescents:  
453 the HELENA study. *J Clin Densitom.* 2013;16(1):110-7.
- 454 25. Nikander R, Sievanen H, Heinonen A, Daly RM, Uusi-Rasi K, Kannus P. Targeted exercise  
455 against osteoporosis: A systematic review and meta-analysis for optimising bone strength  
456 throughout life. *BMC Med.* 2010;8:47.
- 457 26. Ortega FB, Artero EG, Ruiz JR et al. Reliability of health-related physical fitness tests in  
458 European adolescents. The HELENA Study. *Int J Obes (Lond).* 2008;32 Suppl 5:S49-57.
- 459 27. Petit MA, Beck TJ, Shults J, Zemel BS, Foster BJ, Leonard MB. Proximal femur bone geometry  
460 is appropriately adapted to lean mass in overweight children and adolescents. *Bone.*  
461 2005;36(3):568-76.
- 462 28. Phillips LR, Parfitt G, Rowlands AV. Calibration of the GENE accelerometer for assessment  
463 of physical activity intensity in children. *J Sci Med Sport.* 2013;16(2):124-8.
- 464 29. Pollock NK, Laing EM, Baile CA, Hamrick MW, Hall DB, Lewis RD. Is adiposity advantageous  
465 for bone strength? A peripheral quantitative computed tomography study in late adolescent  
466 females. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2007;86(5):1530-8.
- 467 30. Sandercock G, Voss C, Cohen D, Taylor M, Stasinopoulos DM. Centile curves and normative  
468 values for the twenty metre shuttle-run test in English schoolchildren. *J Sports Sci.*  
469 2012;30(7):679-87.
- 470 31. Sayers A, Tobias JH. Fat mass exerts a greater effect on cortical bone mass in girls than boys.  
471 *J Clin Endocrinol Metab.* 2010;95(2):699-706.
- 472 32. Sioen I, Mouratidou T, Kaufman JM et al. Determinants of vitamin D status in young children:  
473 results from the Belgian arm of the IDEFICS (Identification and Prevention of Dietary- and  
474 Lifestyle-Induced Health Effects in Children and Infants) Study. *Public Health Nutr.*  
475 2012;15(6):1093-9.
- 476 33. Soderman K, Bergstrom E, Lorentzon R, Alfredson H. Bone mass and muscle strength in  
477 young female soccer players. *Calcif Tissue Int.* 2000;67(4):297-303.
- 478 34. Tanner JM, Whitehouse RH. Clinical longitudinal standards for height, weight, height  
479 velocity, weight velocity, and stages of puberty. *Arch Dis Child.* 1976;51(3):170-9.
- 480 35. Ubago-Guisado E, Gomez-Cabello A, Sanchez-Sanchez J, Garcia-Unanue J, Gallardo L.  
481 Influence of different sports on bone mass in growing girls. *J Sports Sci.* 2015;33(16):1710-8.
- 482 36. Ubago-Guisado E, Mata E, Sánchez-Sánchez J, Plaza-Carmona M, Martín-García M, Gallardo  
483 L. Influence of different sports on fat mass and lean mass in growing girls. *Journal of Sport*  
484 *and Health Science.* 2015.
- 485 37. Vicente-Rodriguez G, Urzanqui A, Mesana MI et al. Physical fitness effect on bone mass is  
486 mediated by the independent association between lean mass and bone mass through  
487 adolescence: a cross-sectional study. *Journal of Bone and Mineral Metabolism.*  
488 2008;26(3):288-94.
- 489 38. Vlachopoulos D, Barker AR, Williams CA et al. The Impact of Sport Participation on Bone  
490 Mass and Geometry in Adolescent Males. *Med Sci Sports Exerc.* 2016; Publish Ahead of Print.
- 491 39. Vlachopoulos D, Barker AR, Williams CA, Knapp KM, Metcalf BS, Gracia-Marco L. Effect of a  
492 program of short bouts of exercise on bone health in adolescents involved in different  
493 sports: the PRO-BONE study protocol. *BMC Public Health.* 2015;15(1):361.

494 40. Wang QJ, Suominen H, Nicholson PH et al. Influence of physical activity and maturation  
495 status on bone mass and geometry in early pubertal girls. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*.  
496 2005;15(2):100-6.

497

498

**Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of the participants**

Characteristics	All (n=121)	Swimmers (n=41)	Footballers (n=37)	Cyclists (n=29)	Controls (n=14)
Age (yrs)	13.1 (1.0)	13.4 (1.0) <sup>b,dd</sup>	12.8 (0.9)	13.2 (1.0) <sup>d</sup>	12.3 (0.5)
Stature (cm)	159.9 (10.6)	165.5 (9.7) <sup>bb,d</sup>	155.2 (9.3)	160.8 (9.9)	154.5 (9.9)
Pubertal maturation (I/II/III/IV/V) (%)	(18/29/21/30/2)	(13/25/13/46/3)	(24/35/25/16)	(13/28/28/28/3)	(29/21/21/29)
<b>Body composition</b>					
Body mass (kg)	48.7 (10.4)	52.4 (9.0) <sup>bb</sup>	44.3 (7.9)	49.5 (12.3)	48.3 (13.0)
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	18.9 (2.3)	19.0 (1.7)	18.3 (1.4)	18.9 (3.3)	20.0 (3.4)
Lean mass (kg)	37.6 (8.4)	41.6 (9.1) <sup>b,dd</sup>	35.4 (7.2)	37.7 (7.5)	31.7 (5.5)
Fat mass (kg)	8.5 (5.5)	8.3 (3.2)	6.6 (2.4)	8.6 (7.2)	14.1 (8.5) <sup>a,bb,c</sup>
<b>Micronutrient status</b>					
Total Calcium (mg/dl)	9.98 (0.41)	10.01 (0.46)	9.97 (0.4)	9.94 (0.41)	10.0 (0.35)
25 (OH)D (µg/l)	14.13 (1.25)	13.75 (1.19)	14.44 (1.63)	14.38 (0.58)	13.92 (0.94)
<b>Physical activity and fitness</b>					
MVPA (min/day)	101.3 (33.8)	85.9 (30.4)	119.8 (29.7) <sup>aa,d</sup>	107.2 (33.3) <sup>a</sup>	83.2 (26.8)
Vertical jump height (cm)	41.0 (6.7)	42.3 (6.9) <sup>d</sup>	41.4 (6.0) <sup>d</sup>	41.0 (6.8)	35.9 (5.8)
CRF (No of shuttles)	69.3 (24.2)	69.6 (20.3) <sup>dd</sup>	82.9 (17.6) <sup>a,c,dd</sup>	69.6 (21.2) <sup>dd</sup>	31.8 (16.1)
Weekly training hours (h)	7.5 (4.8)	9.5 (5.1) <sup>cc</sup>	10.0 (2.3) <sup>cc</sup>	5.1 (2.1)	-
<b>Bone mineral density (DXA)</b>					
TBLH BMD (g/c m <sup>2</sup> )	0.908 (0.079)	0.918 (0.067) <sup>d</sup>	0.931 (0.071) <sup>dd</sup>	0.905 (0.086) <sup>d</sup>	0.828 (0.071)
Lumbar Spine BMD (g/cm <sup>2</sup> )	0.872 (0.112)	0.892 (0.114) <sup>d</sup>	0.883 (0.095)	0.867 (0.122)	0.791 (0.101)
Femoral Neck BMD (g/c m <sup>2</sup> )	0.9516 (0.110)	0.948 (0.098) <sup>d</sup>	1.001 (0.081) <sup>dd</sup>	0.975 (0.192) <sup>d</sup>	0.832 (0.118)
Total Hip BMD (g/c m <sup>2</sup> )	0.968 (0.119)	0.962 (0.107) <sup>dd</sup>	1.034 (0.085) <sup>a,c,dd</sup>	0.959 (0.116) <sup>dd</sup>	0.830 (0.116)
Legs BMD (g/c m <sup>2</sup> )	1.084 (0.113)	1.091 (0.010)	1.124 (0.106)	1.077 (0.116)	0.975 (0.103)
Arms BMD (g/c m <sup>2</sup> )	0.750 (0.068)	0.784 (0.071) <sup>b,dd</sup>	0.736 (0.047)	0.747 (0.069) <sup>d</sup>	0.690 (0.049)
<b>Bone geometry (HSA)</b>					
CSA (mm <sup>2</sup> )	134.9 (22.7)	137.2 (20.2) <sup>dd</sup>	140.9 (20.4) <sup>dd</sup>	135.9 (22.7) <sup>d</sup>	109.8 (21.0)
Z (mm <sup>3</sup> )	530.9 (126.5)	558.3 (121.4) <sup>dd</sup>	548.1 (116.7) <sup>dd</sup>	530.8 (123.3) <sup>dd</sup>	395.0 (123.4)
CSMI (mm <sup>4</sup> )	8331.5 (2644)	8943.5 (2574) <sup>d</sup>	8471.6 (2607) <sup>d</sup>	8403.1 (2552) <sup>d</sup>	6020.7 (2673)

Values presented as mean ± SD. BMD: Bone mineral density, BMI: Body mass index, CRF: Cardiorespiratory fitness, CSMI: Cross sectional moment of inertia, CSA: Cross sectional area, DXA: Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry, MVPA: Moderate to vigorous physical activity, Z: section modulus, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

Superscript letters denote a higher significant difference with: a (swimmers), b (footballers), c (cyclists), d (controls),  
<sup>a,b,c,d</sup> p<0.05, <sup>aa,bb,cc,dd</sup> p<0.001.

**Table 2. Multiple regression models for aBMD variables in adolescent male athletes**

Predictors		$\beta$	$sr^2$	P	Predictors		$\beta$	$sr^2$	P
		STD	values	values			STD	values	values
<b>TBLH aBMD (R<sup>2</sup>=0.75)</b>	<b>Footballers</b>	<b>.374</b>	<b>.031</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>	<b>Total Hip aBMD (R<sup>2</sup>=0.53)</b>	<b>Footballers</b>	<b>.549</b>	<b>.068</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>
	Swimmers	.077	.002	.404		Swimmers	.161	.007	.211
	Cyclists	.139	.006	.114		Cyclists	.212	.014	.080
	Stature	.056	.000	.662		Stature	.216	.007	.215
	<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.617</b>	<b>.045</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>		Lean mass	.226	.006	.238
	<b>Fat mass</b>	<b>.189</b>	<b>.015</b>	<b>.013</b>		Fat mass	-.020	.000	.857
	Calcium	.082	.006	.125		Calcium	.109	.010	.137
	25(OH)D	.083	.006	.120		25(OH)D	.035	.001	.628
	MVPA	-.003	.000	.955		MVPA	.026	.000	.741
	<b>Vertical jump</b>	<b>.146</b>	<b>.010</b>	<b>.043</b>		Vertical jump	.133	.008	.192
CRF	.136	.006	.115	CRF	.102	.003	.382		
<b>Lumbar Spine aBMD (R<sup>2</sup>=0.59)</b>	Footballers	.094	.002	.475	<b>Legs aBMD (R<sup>2</sup>=0.75)</b>	<b>Footballers</b>	<b>.304</b>	<b>.034</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>
	Swimmers	-.061	.001	.603		Swimmers	.024	.002	.689
	Cyclists	-.008	.000	.945		Cyclists	-.061	.003	.347
	Stature	-.090	.001	.582		Stature	.068	.001	.596
	<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.703</b>	<b>.058</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>		<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.614</b>	<b>.046</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>
	Fat mass	-.014	.000	.885		Fat mass	.147	.008	.067
	Calcium	.084	.006	.222		Calcium	.055	.003	.305
	<b>25(OH)D</b>	<b>.139</b>	<b>.016</b>	<b>.043</b>		25(OH)D	.067	.004	.212
	MVPA	.007	.000	.927		MVPA	-.021	.000	.711
	<b>Vertical jump</b>	<b>.203</b>	<b>.019</b>	<b>.028</b>		Vertical jump	.107	.005	.153
CRF	.000	.000	1.000	CRF	.153	.008	.076		
<b>Femur Neck aBMD (R<sup>2</sup>=0.49)</b>	<b>Footballers</b>	<b>.486</b>	<b>.053</b>	<b>.001</b>	<b>Arms aBMD (R<sup>2</sup>=0.76)</b>	Footballers	.140	.004	.161
	Swimmers	.131	.005	.326		Swimmers	.170	.008	.058
	Cyclists	.208	.013	.099		Cyclists	.158	.008	.066
	<b>Stature</b>	<b>.380</b>	<b>.021</b>	<b>.038</b>		<b>Stature</b>	<b>.235</b>	<b>.013</b>	<b>.016</b>
	Lean mass	.052	.000	.792		<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.931</b>	<b>.161</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>
	Fat mass	.074	.002	.515		Fat mass	.132	.007	.069
	Calcium	.077	.005	.311		<b>Calcium</b>	<b>.103</b>	<b>.009</b>	<b>.049</b>
	25(OH)D	.015	.000	.847		<b>25(OH)D</b>	<b>.104</b>	<b>.009</b>	<b>.045</b>
	MVPA	.039	.001	.635		MVPA	-.037	.001	.503
	Vertical jump	.184	.015	.083		Vertical jump	.058	.002	.404
CRF	.154	.008	.208	CRF	.066	.002	.406		

$\beta$ : standardised regression coefficient, aBMD: Areal bone mineral density, CRF: Cardiorespiratory fitness, MVPA: Moderate to vigorous physical activity,  $sr^2$ : Squared semi-partial correlation coefficients, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D.

**Table 3. Multiple regression models for bone geometry estimates in adolescent male athletes**

	Predictors	$\beta$	$sr^2$	P		Predictors	$\beta$	$sr^2$	P
		STD	values	values	Z		STD	values	values
<b>CSA</b> ( $R^2=0.72$ )	<b>Footballers</b>	<b>.322</b>	<b>.023</b>	<b>.004</b>	<b>(<math>R^2=0.78</math>)</b>	Footballers	.157	.005	.109
	Swimmers	.068	.001	.495		Swimmers	.019	.000	.831
	Cyclists	.123	.005	.190		Cyclists	.005	.000	.951
	<b>Stature</b>	<b>.394</b>	<b>.023</b>	<b>.004</b>		<b>Stature</b>	<b>.417</b>	<b>.025</b>	<b>.001</b>
	<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.370</b>	<b>.017</b>	<b>.014</b>		<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.430</b>	<b>.023</b>	<b>.001</b>
	Fat mass	-.010	.000	.905		Fat mass	-.040	.001	.592
	Calcium	.025	.001	.657		Calcium	.016	.000	.755
	25(OH)D	-.038	.001	.498		25(OH)D	-.054	.003	.281
	MVPA	.103	.008	.093		<b>MVPA</b>	<b>.142</b>	<b>.014</b>	<b>.010</b>
	Vertical jump	.029	.000	.713		Vertical jump	-.024	.000	.734
	CRF	.178	.010	.051		<b>CRF</b>	<b>.207</b>	<b>.014</b>	<b>.012</b>
<b>CSMI</b> ( $R^2=0.78$ )	Footballers	.064	.001	.506					
	Swimmers	-.030	.000	.732					
	Cyclists	-.038	.000	.645					
	<b>Stature</b>	<b>.338</b>	<b>.017</b>	<b>.005</b>					
	<b>Lean mass</b>	<b>.568</b>	<b>.039</b>	<b>&lt;.001</b>					
	Fat mass	-.087	.003	.245					
	Calcium	-.011	.000	.820					
	25(OH)D	-.048	.002	.334					
	<b>MVPA</b>	<b>.140</b>	<b>.014</b>	<b>.011</b>					
	Vertical jump	-.090	.003	.199					
	<b>CRF</b>	<b>.183</b>	<b>.011</b>	<b>.024</b>					

$\beta$ : standardised regression coefficient, aBMD: Areal bone mineral density, CRF: Cardiorespiratory fitness, MVPA: Moderate to vigorous physical activity,  $sr^2$ : Squared semi-partial correlation coefficients, 25(OH)D: 25-hydroxyvitamin D.