- Caprotti F and Gong Z (2017) Social sustainability and residents' experiences in a new 1
- 2 Chinese eco-city. Forthcoming in Habitat International
- 3

7

9

4 Note: this is the pre-published, accepted version of the paper. The full paper is available on

5 the website of Habitat International: https://www.journals.elsevier.com/habitat-

6 international/

8 Social sustainability and residents' experiences in a new Chinese eco-city

10 Abstract

11 The article argues for a "humanizing" research agenda on newly-built forms of eco-urbanism, such as eco-cities. Taking the example of the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-City, China, the 12 article focuses on urban social sustainability with a specific focus on the lived experiences of 13 14 new residents of the newly-built eco-city. Drawing on Jane Jacobs' work on the spaces of the 15 city, the article's focus on residents' experiences underlines the key importance of social sustainability when analysing new flagship urban projects, and highlights the need to

16

- 17 consider the relational networks of lived experiences of the city as well as the visions and
- techno-social designs of planners, policymakers and corporate actors in the development of 18
- 19 eco-city projects. 20

21 **1. Introduction**

22

23 In this article, we make the case for "humanizing" newly-built urban mega-projects such as 24 eco-cities by focusing on urban social sustainability, through the lens of the experiences of 25 new residents in newly-built cities. We focus on Tianjin eco-city, China, as our unit of analysis for several reasons. Firstly, it is the largest newly-built eco-city to date. Secondly, it 26 27 is actually operational (as opposed to myriad other projects that exist in blueprint form only, or which have stalled). Thirdly, and perhaps most importantly, Tianjin eco-city has also been 28 partly populated, opening up the opportunity for assessing new residents' experiences. We 29 30 base our conceptual framework in the context of debates over social sustainability (Dempsey 31 et al., 2011, Vallance et al., 2011, Woodcraft, 2015), and argue that there is a need to focus on the way(s) in which socially sustainable urban environments are constructed, in new urban 32 spaces, through relational networks comprised by interactions between residents, buildings, 33 34 facilities and specific (e.g. domestic) spaces. In focusing on the spaces of urban social 35 sustainability we draw on Jane Jacobs' seminal work on, and critique of, the modern city (Jacobs, 1961). Jacobs' work is useful here because of its focus on moving past the plans, 36 blueprints and rational urban visions proposed by master planners, engineers and architects, 37 38 and towards valuing the role of the rather more messy relationality found in the everyday 39 city. It is nevertheless important to remain conscious of our positionality as researchers, and of the difficulties implicit in applying a concept such as social sustainability to a very specific 40 urban and national context in Tianjin. We attempt to tackle these issues by focusing on 41 linking more abstract notions of social sustainability to the experience of urban space, letting 42 43 discourses around social sustainability emerge from residents' narratives of their experience 44 of the eco-city.

45

Recent critiques have highlighted the ways in which urban development trajectories are often 46

- predicated on visualisations of antecedent urban models that are mainly rooted in a European 47
- 48 and American urban context (Bunnell, 2015, Robinson, 2013). With regards to new urban
- projects in China, studies have highlighted the prominence of international partnerships in the 49
- 50 construction of new cities (de Jong et al., 2013a), as well as the importation of urban planning

1 and design models from other national traditions such as Singapore (Pow, 2014, Lim and Horesh, 2016) or Sweden (Hult, 2013, 2016). At the same time, an emerging body of 2 3 literature analyses the prominence of Asian urban models in influencing the construction of 4 new cities in China and beyond (Percival and Waley, 2012, Pow, 2014) as well as broader 5 urban change processes (Waley, 2016). However, at the same time as Asian urbanism is being seen through less "EuroAmerican" perspectives (Bunnell, 2015), there have been calls 6 7 to recognise the importance of international planning models in the trend for the construction 8 of new urban areas in Asia, the Gulf, and elsewhere (de Jong et al., 2013b, Rapoport, 2015a, 2015b). This is the case, for example, with South Korean smart and sustainable urban 9 development projects (Shwavri, 2013, Mullins and Shwavri, 2016), as well as Japan's eco-10 11 city collaborations with other Asian countries (Low, 2013). In addition, and as Joss and Molella (2013) have shown with regards to the currently stalled Caofeidian eco-city project 12 in Hebei province, China, new eco-urban projects can exhibit significant tensions related to 13 14 their positioning within a national and international planning and economic development 15 landscape. In addition, it is important to site analysis of eco-city projects within broader urban development trends that encompass urban decline as well as emergence, as He et al. 16 17 (2017) point out with reference to shrinking cities in mining and extractive resource areas in China. Thus, our analysis of Tianjin eco-city is conscious of the Chinese and Singaporean 18 context within which the new city was envisioned and built, as well as the wider, global 19 20 circulation of planning and engineering knowledge and human capital that characterises flagship urban developments worldwide. It is in this context that Jacobs' work becomes 21 useful: after all, Jacobs herself was writing at a time when New York seemed to be 22 23 influenced, in part at least, by non-American planning models, as seen by her trenchant 24 critique of Le Corbusier.

25

26 2. Methodology

27

28 The article is based on interviews, participant observation, and documentary research. Fifteen interviews were carried out with residents of the eco-city. Interviews were carried out in 29 30 Mandarin in June and July 2014 on the eco-city site. Seven male respondents and eight female respondents were interviewed, of which five were in the 20-40 age range and 10 were 31 32 in the 40-60 age bracket. All respondents lived on the eco-city site at the time the interviews took place. Three of the interviewees worked for real estate corporations with operations in 33 the eco-city, and one respondent worked for a community organisation within the eco-city. 34 Most of the interviewees lived in Tianjin city, or in Tanggu district, before moving to the 35 36 eco-city site. However, two residents had moved from further afield (Jiangsu and Liaoning provinces). Of the older residents interviewed for the purpose of the research presented here, 37 the reasons for moving to the eco-city included retirement, and the opportunity of looking 38 after grandchildren while parents worked in Tianjin or Beijing. 39

40

41 The interview sample was constructed using a snowballing approach: a worker at a

42 community centre within the eco-city was used as a gatekeeper for recruiting residents for

43 participants in the research presented here. It is difficult to assess the sample's

44 representativeness, as there is little available data on the current demographic composition of

the eco-city. Nonetheless, one sample characteristic worthy of note is the fact that the

46 majority of the sample was aged 40 or above. This is interesting in that the eco-city's own

47 branding and marketing seems aimed at "young" professionals and families. However, what

- 48 could explain the bias towards the over-40s in our sample is the temporal dimension: it is
- difficult to access employed residents as interview participants during the day. This partly
 justified the use of the gatekeeper, who was asked to provide us with a broadly representative

1 sample of interviewees based on her experience both as a resident of the eco-city, and as a

2 worker in direct contact with eco-city residents.

3

Participant observation was carried out over the course of several site visits between 2012
and 2014. It included visits around the time the city received its first residents, as well as in
2014 when a larger number of residents had moved in. Documentary research, aimed at
discourse analysis, focused on policy and corporate documents relating to the eco-city project
from its inception to 2014. Sources for these documents included provincial authorities and
the eco-city consortium, as well as reports and documents from the major real estate
development corporations involved in the project.

11

12 **3. Tianjin eco-city: from blueprint to lived space**

13

14 Tianjin eco-city is one of the largest eco-city projects currently under construction. It is a 15 relatively new project: the site for a new, national eco-city was selected by the Chinese government in late 2007, and construction started in 2008. At a governmental level, the eco-16 17 city is a collaborative project jointly owned by the Chinese and Singaporean governments: its official name is the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-City (SSTEC). Both governments own fifty 18 percent of the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-City Investment and Development Corporation 19 20 (SSTECIDC), the consortium organisation charged with the task of developing the eco-city. Partner organisations in the development of the eco-city include the Keppel Group, a 21 Singaporean conglomerate, and property developers from China, Taiwan, Japan and 22 23 Malaysia. The design process for the eco-city was complex and included input from the consortium members, as well as from other governmental bodies. These included Singapore's 24 25 Building and Construction Authority, its National Environment Agency (NEA), and its Housing and Development Board (HDB). The project master plan was designed by the China 26 27 Academy of Urban Planning and Design, the Tianjin Urban Planning and Design Institute, 28 and a Singapore planning team led by the city state's Urban Redevelopment Authority (URA). The overall planning approach was largely centralized and top-down, and involved 29 30 little citizen consultation. However, more recently "community leaders" who are new residents of the eco-city have been included in some future planning-focused events (such as 31 32 visits to Singapore), although the extent to which these events represent true consultation (over and above the need to "train" community leaders in the consortium's goals and vision 33 34 for the project) is an open question.

35

36 The site chosen for the construction of Tianjin eco-city (figure 1) was the Tianjin Binhai New Area special economic zone, near the city of Binhai, around 40 kilometres from Tianjin 37 proper. The site was on a former wetland area that had been used for industrial purposes, 38 including the storage of contaminants in effluent ponds. The area was decontaminated as part 39 of the eco-city project, so that the site could be repurposed for urban habitation. This was 40 discursively presented as the successful reclamation of land previously seen as "waste" land 41 (Caprotti, 2015). However, the selection and development of an area not previously zoned for 42 agricultural or urban uses can also be contextualised in the broader landscape of land tenure 43 in China. Chien (2013) has highlighted how this system (based on the implementation of 44 45 limits on the conversion of agricultural to urban zoning at the level of a province) effectively incentivises municipal governments to convert land which does not fall into either the urban 46 47 or agricultural category into new cities. Tianjin eco-city was built on a similarly converted and reclaimed area of land. 48

49

50 Figure 1 about here: Location of Tianjin eco-city (source: Authors)

1

2 Tianjin eco-city has received an increasing amount of attention from both policymakers and

- 3 scholars. The World Bank authored a report on it in 2009 (Chen et al., 2009), and the Bank's
- 4 Global Environment Facility granted SSTEC a US\$6 million development grant in 2010.
- 5 Scholars from a range of disciplines have investigated the eco-city from a wide variety of
- angles. Much of the scholarly attention to date has focused on the project's specific aspects. 6
- 7 This has ranged from analyses of the eco-city's green building standards (Ye et al., 2015), its
- 8 Key Performance Indicators (Zhou, 2014), policy transfer between Singapore and China
- (Chien et al., 2015, Low et al., 2009), to the role of the eco-city in China's urban and 9
- economic transition (Hu et al., 2016). 10
- 11

There is also an emergent scholarly strand pointing to the need to critically engage with 12 13 Tianjin eco-city and its visions, policies, and blind spots. In part, this critical strand is based 14 in wider critiques which have highlighted how, in both the global (de Jong et al., 2015) and 15 Chinese (Shao, 2015, Xu and Chung, 2014, Yu, 2014) contexts, terms such as "eco-city", "low-carbon city" and "sustainable city" are being used by an increasing range of actors and 16 17 stakeholders (Fu and Zhang, 2017), at the same time as their definition remains vague. In particular, Sharifi (2016) highlights how prominent eco-urban planning models (from Garden 18 Cities to contemporary eco-cities) exhibit a strong focus on physical urban spaces, to the 19 20 detriment of social spaces and the pluralist planning potential of new urban spaces. More specifically, concerns have been raised as to the placement of Chinese eco-urban projects 21 within a wider landscape of urban boosterism and green urban entrepreneurialism (Pow and 22 23 Neo, 2013, Wu, 2012). Critical focus is also being increasingly placed on the question of how 24 to interrogate Tianjin eco-city, and other eco-urban projects, not only in terms of their KPIs, 25 stated aims, and masterplans, but also with regards to their lived, material realities when these projects are actually built. As Rapoport (2015a) has argued, there is a need to move from 26 27 analyses of blueprints, to analysis of lived spaces. This helps critical analysis to focus past the 28 Photoshopped visions of eco-utopian urban futures produced by consultants and planners (Rapoport, 2015b) and on the material spaces constructed and experienced within these cities. 29 30 As Wong and Liu (2016) have argued, city branding and flagship urbanism in transitional China have had the effect of generating asymmetrical power geometries that have affected 31 32 and in some cases deepened inequalities, especially with regards to rural-urban migrants (although recent policy developments are going some way towards mitigating these 33 inequalities). Recent work has therefore focused on the social and economic problems that 34 emerge with the envisioning of "sustainable" urban plans, and on how to adequately analyse 35 36 and tackle these (Freeman and Yearworth, 2017). In this lens, scholars have started to engage with the "lived" aspects of Tianjin eco-city (Caprotti et al., 2015, Flynn et al., 2016), 37 including the materialities of the production and construction of the eco-city, and the 38 attendant inequalities resulting from this (Caprotti, 2014a, 2014b, 2015). This focus does 39 40 much to rebalance the overly technical, planning and policy-oriented range of analyses of the 41 eco-city towards a recognition of the city as lived, relational space. As Hu et al. (2015: 6) 42 have argued: 43 44 "In the strong top-down approach that has been adopted in the development of SSTEC, policies and law

- 45 enforcement are the major drivers of meeting quantitative efficiency targets in the building of the eco-city while
- 46 the public's requirements and acceptance of the project and its cultural embeddedness have been the last factor

47 to be considered."

48

49 The success or failure of any eco-city project must be seen in this light, and not simply as a

set of characteristics that can be categorised and analysed through what could be termed 50

1 "metrics at a distance". It is at this juncture that we focus our analysis of the experiences of2 new residents of the eco-city.

3 4

4. Lived experiences of the eco-city: from blueprints to relational spaces

5 6 We focus on actually existing urban projects such as Tianjin eco-city as *lived* spaces. This 7 approach is based on an understanding of the city that is relational and social. The eco-city is 8 an often abstract and idealised space of technological visions, technical processes, and policy and financial discourses and mechanisms. We argue that it should also be considered as a 9 space alive with *social* processes. It is the interaction between these different types of spatial 10 11 processes that enable researchers to gain a more holistic and in-depth perspective of the cityas-place (Murdoch, 2005). While plans, metrics and indicator systems may provide a 12 systematic analysis of techno-environmental and economic phenomena and trends within the 13 14 city, urban areas have to be dynamic in order to be alive (Graham and Healey, 1999). Their 15 aliveness in turn determines (at least in part) their success as viable urban projects. The discussion and analysis below frames the contextual treatment of the "lived spaces" of the 16 17 eco-city at the juncture of two bodies of literature: that on sustainable, smart and eco-cities (Joss, 2015), and literature on the social dimensions of urban sustainability (Dempsey et al., 18 2011), including a focus both on urban social sustainability and on insights that can be 19 20 gleaned from Jane Jacobs' (1961) classic work on renewal in the city. These are the building blocks on which our call for what can termed a "humanizing of the city" is built. 21

22 23

24

4.1 Urban social sustainability and community

25 Although the concept of social sustainability has been defined in a range of ways (Vallance et al., 2011), it is key to outline what urban social sustainability means in the context of our 26 27 study of Tianjin eco-city. This is because, as Woodcraft (2012) has argued, it is important to 28 be able to move from theoretical and often abstract debates around the meaning(s) ascribed to social sustainability, to an investigation and operationalization of urban social sustainability 29 30 "in practice". Specifically, it is key to analyse how urban social sustainability is interpreted and represented by different actors and stakeholders within the city (Romano, 2015). In a 31 32 new urban area such as Tianjin eco-city, this means moving past planning and policy discourses and documents and engaging with the lived, relational experiences of the city by 33 its first residents. Our analysis rests on the understanding of urban social sustainability 34 introduced by Dempsey et al. (2012). This is based on a definition of the concept, and of its 35 36 place-specific materialisations, as dependent on the twin factors of social equity on the one hand, and sustainability of community on the other. Social equity refers to "fair distribution 37 of resources and an avoidance of exclusionary practices, allowing all residents to participate 38 fully in society, socially, economically and politically" (Dempsey et al., 2012: 94). In the 39 case of Tianjin eco-city, this signifies assessing the resources (from essential services, to 40 41 public transport, employment opportunities and affordable housing) made available to citizens, as well as the existence of any exclusionary spaces, technologies, policies or 42 43 practices that may impact on the new city. Sustainability of community, on the other hand, refers to the ability of the local urban community to sustain and reproduce itself, and to 44 function at a level acceptable by members of the community (Bramley and Power, 2009. 45 Dempsey et al., 2011, Yiftachel and Hedgcock, 1993). Sustainability of community involves 46 a range of practices and processes, including the level of participation in local institutions, the 47 rapidity of population turnover, trust, pride, identity-formation around the urban community, 48 as well as stability and satisfaction with domestic spaces and with the quality of the local 49 urban environment. Tianjin eco-city is a high-rise urban development in which density is a 50

key factor. It is therefore key to note Bramley et al.'s (2009) analysis of the links between 1 density and social sustainability. In their work, Bramley et al. highlight how some aspects of 2

3 social sustainability, such as neighbourhood attachment, stability, and satisfaction with

4 domestic spaces are negatively related with density (and therefore with specific

- 5 configurations of urban form, such as closely packed high-rises with few green spaces). This
- 6 means that the sustainability (and therefore the success) of social and economic spaces in a
- 7 new urban development such as Tianjin eco-city are closely interrelated with the overall
- 8 planning and design of the city's urban form. However, some factors (such as those related to
- the use of local services, and travel and transport) seem to be positively related to higher 9
- densities, indicating opportunities for urban social sustainability in the eco-city, as long as 10 11 these services are adequately planned. Finally, it is to be noted that Bramley et al.'s work was
- carried out in a UK context, and that their findings would need to be robustly tested in a
- 12 Chinese context. Although this lies the scope of our article, it underlines the need to be
- 13 14 sensitive to specific locations.
- 15

16 Scale is a determinant in assessments of urban social sustainability. This is because it is key 17 to define the area (geographically and in network and relational terms) under investigation. This is increasingly important not only in the case of new cities (which are easier to 18 delimitate) but also where specific and smaller-scale urban interventions are planned or in 19 20 progress (Joss, 2011). Recent urban development projects in a range of geographical settings 21 have included urban social sustainability in their plans in varying degrees of detail. Experiments in integrating social sustainability measures within newly planned urban areas 22 23 have emerged. This has included urban experiments carried out by development corporations in the UK with regards to new housing projects (Woodcraft, 2015). Clearly, the scale of a 24 mega-project such as Tianjin eco-city is far greater than most housing developments in the 25 UK. Nonetheless, the eco-city is composed of several different parcels of land given over to 26 27 specific property developers to build on. Therefore, the social sustainability frameworks 28 discussed in the literature could be seen as applicable on a block-by-block basis in the case of large-scale urban projects such as Tianjin eco-city. Nonetheless, in the context of hyper-rapid 29 30 urban development in China, the lack of integration of social dimensions into the planning of new communities has been highlighted as a key concern, although planning practice in this 31 32 regard is geographically variegated (Chan and Siu, 2015). In the case of Tianjin eco-city, for example, a range of social Key Performance Indicators is used to evaluate the performance of 33 the new city. Although some of these indicators are vague, and although they are less detailed 34 than the economic and environmental indicators used in the eco-city, they point to a need to 35 36 fashion new cities that work not only in economic and investment terms, but as *places* where urban life can happen and flourish. It is in this context that the discussion turns to Jane 37 Jacobs' classic work on urban public space for insights on how to think about new urban 38 spaces in Tianjin eco-city. 39

40

41 4.2 Jacobs and the lived spaces of the city

42

43 In our analysis of Tianjin eco-city, we focus on the experiences of the new city's first residents. In so doing, we draw on the work of of urban scholar Jane Jacobs, and in particular 44

45 on her conceptualisations of *lived* urban spaces, and her analysis of the consequences of

- urban renewal and master planning on the city's social fabric. In her seminal work The Death 46
- 47 and Life of Great American Cities (Jacobs, 1961), Jacobs was not writing about newly-built
- eco-cities. However, she was in large part concerned with analysing the impacts of new 48
- modernist developments in cities like New York: planned along rationalist lines by engineers, 49
- planning elites, and city authorities. Her critique of the lack of attention paid to what can 50

today be termed urban social sustainability begins with a fine analysis of the dehumanising
impact that blueprints for new urban areas can have on the city:

3

"[T]he principles of sorting out – and of bringing order by repression of all plans but the planners' – have been
easily extended to all manner of city functions, until today a land-use master plan for a big city is largely a
matter of proposed placement, often in relation to transportation, of many series of decontaminated sortings."
(Jacobs, 1961: 25).

8

9 This critical emphasis can be applied, with due sensitivity to historical and geographical context, to the new spaces and buildings which form the engineered heart of a new project 10 such as Tianjin eco-city. Nonetheless, we aim to avoid the facile critical pathway of holding 11 12 up the eco-city as a straw man to be brought low. Our concern is rather with recognising, 13 first, that the eco-city is in a process of materialisation. While critiquing this process is important, it is also key to engage with the question of how new city spaces can be 14 15 envisioned so as to be more sensitive to urban social sustainability and to the needs of the 16 urban community. Indeed, Jacobs' key concern was to move past critique and investigate the possibilities of refocusing on the "ordinary city" or the "workaday city", the beating heart of 17 urban life that is often absent from the clean, hygienic and stylised visions put forth in 18 19 planners' visions for new urban centres. Jacobs' critique of Le Corbuserian designs in the mid-20th century could just as easily apply to the master planned and engineered visions of 20 eco-cities today: "Like a great visible ego, it tells of someone's achievement. But as to how 21 the city works, it tells, like the Garden City, nothing but lies" (Jacobs, 1961: 23).

22 23

24 Jacobs' work is replete with observations on urban social sustainability. In our analysis of

25 Tianjin eco-city we deploy the notion of a set of three relational spaces through which the

26 new city's current and potential future social sustainability can be examined. The thread

27 linking these spatial categories is the focus on spaces of the city as socially relational,

- performed and experienced (McFarlane, 2011). Firstly, our analytical focus is on the overall spatial layout of Tianjin eco-city, including the availability and experience of the social and
- 30 other facilities provided in the new urban area. This notion of the perception of urban space is

key to Jacobs' analysis of the city. In Jacobs' account, perceptions of urban space (and of the buildings and services present within this space) leads to a city's public spaces either being

32 successful, or unsuccessful. This extends to the range of services and shops available in the

city: "The greater and more plentiful the range of all legitimate interests [...] that city streets

and their enterprises can satisfy, the better for the streets and for the safety of the city"

36 (Jacobs, 1961: 41). It has to be recognised that an assessment of the perception of city space

by residents of a new urban mega-project such as Tianjin is necessarily preliminary and not

definitive. It is nonetheless an important component of the analysis of a new city's currentand potential future social sustainability.

40

41 Secondly, the article focuses on the eco-city as an economic space of both production and consumption. The aim is to explore the ways in which the eco-city's residents experienced 42 43 their move into a newly-built urban environment, and the obstacles, frictions and positive 44 possibilities present therein. This is part and parcel of the human experience of the city. In a 45 new development such as Tianjin eco-city, economic space becomes a key interface between the private (domestic) experience of the city, and the commercial and consumption-based 46 47 aspects of urban relationality. Jacobs (1961) placed a significant emphasis on the role of economic space and commercial signifiers in a socially sustainable city. She highlighted the 48 49 complex ways in which stores, eating establishments and other commercial venues increase urban security while at the same time attracting yet more relational activity to city streets. 50 51 She also called for a diversity of commercial outlets on city streets, shoring up her wider

- 1 championing of diversity as one of the keys to urban social sustainability. In so doing, Jacobs
- 2 prefigured later work on urban social sustainability (e.g. see Bramley et al. 2009) which
- 3 delved in more detail on the determinants of social sustainability in urban areas. Jacobs'
- 4 analysis tended to depict density in a positive light, in part due to the links between density
- and socio-economic interactions and their effects on the liveability of urban spaces and places
- 6 (Moroni, 2016, Roskamm, 2014). However, it is also important to note here the complexities 7 of investigating the interface between sustainability, economic spaces and urban form:
- , 8

9 "One of the problems with trying to relate urban form to social phenomena is the difficulty of separating causal effects from selection effects, where selection effects are the result of different individuals and groups living in different places. For example, people with mobile careers or lifestyles may tend to live in certain areas because of their housing tenure, access to central amenities, and their affinity to similar people. They may display low place attachment, community engagement, or local social interaction, and high mobility, but this is not causally related to housing types or neighbourhood density." (Bramley et al., 2009: 2129).

14 15

16 Thirdly, the lived domestic spaces of the city are analysed, with a specific focus on the

- (dis)juncture between new "eco" apartments, the eco-city's green marketing claims, and new
 residents' lived experiences of these spaces. It is at this juncture that our analysis branches
- 18 out from some accounts of urban social sustainability, and from Jacob's critique of urban
- 20 planning. Much of the scholarly literature has, thus far, focused on urban social sustainability,
- and the sustainability of community, as something that is relational but is not specifically
- 22 spatialized within discrete spaces. Nonetheless, it is becoming increasingly clear that urban
- domestic spaces, and housing more generally, are crucial to the effective functioning and
- sustainability of urban communities (Bramley and Power, 2009, Chiu, 2004). In the Chinese
- context, housing has also become a key dimension of urban social change in the context of economic development and hyper-rapid urbanization (Chiu, 2002, Yung et al., 2014, Zou,
- 27 2014). At the same time, domestic spaces within housing developments (their layout,
- functioning, availability and cultural roles) are crucial components of existing and new urban
- environments. These include existing iterations of "enclave urbanism" (Breitung, 2012,
- 30 Douglass et al., 2012, Shen and Wu, 2012, Thompson, 2013) as found in the residential
- 31 blocks that constitute Tianjin eco-city.
- 32 33

34 **5. Lived spaces of the eco-city**

35

36 5.1 Perceptions of eco-city space

When conducting interviews, it became clear that the facilities currently included in the city 37 were appreciated and, in most cases, used by the city's new residents. Several interviewees 38 expressed appreciation of the community centres, social spaces, libraries and other facilities 39 provided close to residents' accommodation. For example, during our visits it became clear 40 41 that as well as appreciating physical facilities such as community centres, activities and 42 opportunities for social engagement that took place in community spaces were also highly valued and contributed to a sense of wellbeing in the new city. This is in line with recent 43 44 research pointing to the fact that in dense urban areas, proximity of resources increases social sustainability through increased wellbeing (Kyttä et al., 2016). Several of these activities 45 were aimed at specific demographics: for example, there were painting and calligraphy 46 classes for students on Saturdays, for employed residents on Sundays, and for retirees on 47 Tuesdays and Thursdays. 48

49

Nonetheless, what was also apparent was a sense that their enjoyment of these facilities may
 change or even decrease after the city reaches its target population levels. One of our

3 interviewees, a young mother, encapsulated these concerns:

4 5 "At the moment, I am very happy with these facilities, because you do not see this anywhere but Tianjin Eco-6 City. You can enjoy relaxation within the eco-city without going anywhere else, like adults have places to do 7 exercise and other activities, children have very safe places to play after school, and you do not have to worry 8 about their safety. However, something that I am worried about is that within such a limited community activity 9 space, problems might occur, for example conflicts between children, when more and more people move into 10 the eco-city in the future, because the current permanent residents are a rather small proportion [compared to the 11 eco-city's planned population]."

12

13 This highlights the fact that while the facilities built within Tianjin eco-city were seen to be of a good standard, nonetheless one of the attractions of the new city was the fact that the city 14 15 was relatively *empty*. This cannot be considered a major driver for residents purchasing properties and moving in to the eco-city. Rather, it highlights the potential marginal 16 qualitative benefit accrued from moving to an urban space that is comparatively less 17 crowded, and better resourced, than other Chinese urban areas. Nonetheless, it also highlights 18 one of the potential drawbacks (in terms of social sustainability) of newly planned and built 19 urban areas: it is recognised that sparsely populated urban areas in terms of foot traffic "on 20 21 the street" can become characterless spaces and not social places where interactions can 22 happen and where the city is lived and performed (Jacobs, 1961, Koch and Latham, 2012). This is especially true in the context of Tianjin eco-city, where even though pedestrianised 23 24 spaces exist between residential buildings, and even though park space is included in the 25 project (figure 2), the streets dividing each residential block from each other are wide and non-porous (figure 3). Thus, while overcrowding may be avoided in a new urban project such 26 27 as Tianjin eco-city, further research as the project develops will need to focus on how streets and other spaces become places for urban life to take place – or not, as the case may be. This, 28 29 as much as design and green and smart technologies, will help determine the success or

- 30 failure of Tianjin eco-city as an experimental urban project.
- 31
- 32 Figure 2 about here: the eco-valley park

Figure 3 about here: empty street space in the eco-city

34

35 5.2 The eco-city as economic space

Tianjin eco-city can be seen both as an urban experiment (Evans and Karvonen, 2014,

Caprotti and Cowley, 2016) and as an attempt to fashion a new and different type of economy

in a new city (Caprotti, 2015). Plans for the eco-city exhibited a strong focus on establishing

- the urban area as the central node in a zone focused on the green economy and on high-tech
- 40 and high value-added industries and services. In part, the eco-city was also economically
- 41 positioned so as to be a potential residential option for those working within Tianjin's
- 42 existing animation industry. One of the challenges faced by the new city is that of
- 43 encouraging economic development in and around the city itself. This will help the eco-city
- 44 achieve its aims of reducing car use as well as providing a more integrated city experience.
- 45
- 46 It is too early to assess the economic impact of the eco-city: corporations and firms'
- 47 locational choices take time to materialise. Therefore, the comments and analysis below are
- 48 based on the snapshot of urban economic experience provided by current residents.
- 49 Nonetheless, it was clear that interviewed residents thought that the eco-city's demographic
- 50 composition (apparently skewed towards retirees and those aged over 40) was in part due to

the contemporary (and potentially temporary) paucity of employment opportunities in the vicinity. As one interviewee argued:

4 "...the local economy is one-sided as industries are very limited in the eco-city, electronics and animation 5 industries are the predominant ones. The employment opportunities that these industries provide do not suffice 6 for all inhabitants, especially those who are not trained in the electronics and animation industries, who will find 7 it difficult to be employed locally. Plus, most industries are only enrolled in the eco-city's economic plan, but 8 their actual offices are still somewhere else. This is also a reason why most permanent residents here are 9 elderly."

10 11 Apart from employment opportunities, the chances for residents to engage in shopping and other consumer activities were, by mid-2014, still limited. While this can, again, be seen as 12 13 temporary and dependent on the city's developmental status, it is also an obstacle (or 14 deterrent) to residents moving to the city. This is because while affordability is a key issue 15 when considering the social sustainability of new urban spaces, at the same time spaces of 16 consumption are key to a city's social sustainability (Colomb, 2007). These spaces are social spaces as much as spaces where products may be viewed and purchased. One of our 17 interviewees, for example, bemoaned the fact that no clothing stores existed within the eco-18 19 city itself, and that for every clothing purchase she had to travel into Tanggu district. A further obstacle was the perceived expensive nature of foodstuffs and other goods actually 20 available in the eco-city. Participant observation highlighted the fact that a standard meal at a 21 small restaurant in the eco-city was up to twice the cost of a similar meal in Tanggu district 22 outside it. Thus, both economic vitality and affordability can be seen as crucial aspects to 23 24 consider when assessing the social sustainability of eco-urban projects such as Tianjin eco-25 city. In light of the points made above, affordability needs to be seen in a broad sense as 26 encompassing housing prices, rents and the availability and cost of credit, while also 27 encompassing issues such as the prices of goods, services and travel and commuting, as well as the opportunity costs and benefits of moving to a newly-built urban area. Finally, and as 28 29 noted by Pow and Neo (2015) in their study of the eco-city, several residents noted how 30 apartments in the eco-city could be seen as investment opportunities in terms of their 31 potential future higher resale value. Respondents mentioned that current apartment prices 32 were likely to rise as the eco-city becomes populated and more economically viable.

33

3

34 5.3 The eco-city as lived domestic space

35 One of the highly advertised and marketed features of Tianjin eco-city is the provision of 36 "green" domestic spaces (apartments in new, high-rise residential buildings) for the new residents of the city. A range of technologies are marketed as central to the aim of making the 37 38 eco-city a green and sustainable urban area not only in terms of economic activities, but also in terms of its buildings and in the consumption practices implicit in domestic living. Many 39 40 of the property developers that have built residential accommodation in the city market the green building standards used in construction, as well as the use of solar water heating, the 41 provision of filtered water, air filtering equipment, the use of energy from renewable sources, 42 43 and the like. This focus (on the eco-city dweller and their domestic space and associated 44 technologies) has been critically investigated and described as the construction of "filtered 45 communities" (Boland, 2007). Furthermore, the focus on technologies (such as water and air filtration) that keep residents safe from environmental pollution has been analysed as 46 exemplifying a subtle discursive and material message that the eco-city is "eco" primarily for 47 48 its residents rather than for the external environment (although these technologies clearly also 49 have the potential of reducing residential units' environmental impacts) (Caprotti et al.,

50 2015). These concerns raise issues around the socio-environmental (in)justices potentially

present in plans for new eco-cities and other urban mega-projects (Chang and Sheppard,
 2013, Neo and Pow, 2015).

3

A further example of the eco-city's outward-facing "green" marketing not corresponding with the experiences of its initial residents is residents' engagement with technologies, such as solar hot water, which promise low-energy and low-cost enjoyment of daily activities such as hot showers. Interviewees' experiences with these technologies were mixed, with some enjoying their use in unproblematic fashion, while others were disappointed at poor

- 9 performance. As one interviewee stated:
- 10

11 "I think Tianjin Eco-City is just a superficial project. The reason why I am saying this is because everything you 12 can see here is almost perfect, you can feel that this really is an eco-city with good social facilities, green areas, 13 prevalence of renewable energy etcetera. However, the solar energy for hot water in my apartment does not 14 work satisfyingly. The eco-city promises that they will use three-star quality standards of renewable energy, but 15 I think they only use the renewable energy that matches basic requirements. Sometimes, the hot water for 16 showering is only enough for one person, sometimes it is completely cold, the most annoying moment is that the 17 shower temperature works well at the beginning and then suddenly turns to cold and it repeats like this. So we 18 have to use electricity while taking a shower and that is not energy efficient. I really want to be environmentally 19 friendly, but sometimes you just don't have the choice to do so."

20

A further topic of friction between marketing hype and eco-city residents' experiences of

22 their domestic spaces was the provision of filtered water, with its promise that water could be

drunk straight from the tap, without the need (common in China) for boiling. The eco-city's

24 marketing materials celebrate the provision of clean water to residents: the provision of

25 filtration technologies can be considered as a visionary improvement in urban living.

However, most interviewees admitted to still using boiled water, or their own filtration

equipment, even though the water supply was meant to be filtered and clean direct from the
tap. One of our interviewees, one of the earliest residents of the eco-city, stated that:

29

30 "As I used to work for the waste water treatment sector, I normally test the domestic water quality by simply 31 putting alum into the water when I am home. I noticed that there was some yellow sediment from the tap water 32 in the eco-city. This is something that I never came across in [my province of origin], and I know that the water 33 quality is not as good as what they have told us. But alum is not toxic, so the water is still usable after filtering 34 out the alum. I normally use it for washing vegetables. For drinking water, I often boil the water first."

35

36 However, residents' previous experiences with tap water in their city of origin were also key

determinants of their perception of water quality in the eco-city. For example, one

interviewee from a north-eastern province stated that even though sediment was visible in tap

39 water in the eco-city, it was less than what they used to witness in tap water in their home

40 city, and that therefore water in Tianjin was a clear improvement. Another interviewee stated

41 that regardless of claims about clean water by eco-city authorities and developers, they still

42 boiled water and used their own filtration equipment as a matter of course.

43

44 The eco-city's domestic spaces can be seen as part and parcel of new "filtered communities" enabled by the city's green regulations. Nevertheless, the lived reality of residents of these 45 spaces is less one of enjoyment of ecologically modernised living conditions (Spaargaren and 46 47 Mol, 1992), and more one of concern over the diffuse and often invisible risks still present in the new city (Beck, 1992). While many residents commented on their positive enjoyment of 48 49 the city's green spaces and spatial layout, domestic spaces were seen by many as interfaces 50 with environmental inputs (water, air) that could deliver risks and negative externalities 51 directly to residents in their own homes.

52

1 6. Discussion and conclusion

2

3 The above discussion focused on the specific ways in which the first residents of Tianjin eco-4 city engaged with the new urban area to which they had moved. The analysis we have 5 presented a.) takes as a starting point the conceptualisation of urban social sustainability as 6 found in Jacobs' work, and in more recent and more detailed work on the concept; b.) 7 highlights some fruitful further areas for advancing a research agenda on newly-built 8 urbanism. Firstly, the article moves past a focus on plans and blueprints for newly-built eco-9 cities and other new urban forms. In focusing on the lived experiences of the first residents of the eco-city, we highlighted the human dimension found in interactions between residents 10 11 and the materialisation of designers' visions of the new city. We argue that it is at this juncture that useful research can be carried out in interrogating the goals, indicators, top-12 down evaluations and marketing and (quasi)-political justifications for new urban areas that 13 14 often characterise new cities. The focus on engaging with the trend for newly-built urbanism, 15 in China and elsewhere (Castells and Hall, 2014, He, 2010, Ong, 2014) builds on Jacobs' 16 (1961) critical analysis of the deployment and impact on existing cities of modernist 17 blueprints and visions that feature more focus on urban architectures and plan-based layouts 18 than on human interactions and lived spaces. These often vibrant spaces cannot easily be reconciled with the straight lines and stylised visions of architects and planners: "people who 19 20 get marked with the planners' hex signs are pushed about, expropriated and uprooted, much as if they were the subjects of a conquering power" (Jacobs, 1961: 5). Humanizing the city, in 21 turn, enables the focus to shift from plans, technologies, indicators and metrics (which lend 22 23 themselves well to studying environmental and economic sustainability), and towards social 24 sustainability in the city. A focus on urban social sustainability that is engaged with the messiness of lived urban experience will enable researchers to move past a (much needed) 25 focus on *planning* for new cities, to a focus on *living* in these newly-built environments. This 26 27 will, in turn, enable the voices of residents (and, it is hoped, also of the less-visible and 28 marginalised citizens in and around new cities) to emerge in scholarly work on newly-built urbanism and urban mega-projects. In a field that is crowded with the loud and hegemonic 29 30 discourses of governments, planners, urban marketers and urban design and engineering corporations, a focus on humanizing the city through paying attention to its new residents is 31 32 beneficial, and re-connects with Jacob's key focus on micro-spaces of social interaction and 33 emergence (Moroni, 2016) that lead to the formation of *places* in the city.

34

35 Secondly, a focus on humanizing the city and on giving more analytical weight to urban 36 social sustainability enables urban scholars to re-engage with planners and policymakers in their design of newly-built urban areas, whether that be in eco-cities, smart cities, new 37 neighbourhoods, or other new formulations and reproductions of the urban. Concern with 38 urban social sustainability on the part of developers and municipal governments is often less 39 about social equity and community, and more about what Gressgård (Gressgård, 2015), in her 40 study of Malmö's urban development strategy, describes as the enrolment of urban residents 41 into "fantasmatic" visions of urban futures. The risk in this is that urban social sustainability 42 43 becomes, effectively, a measure of residents' conformity with the plans put forth by urban strategists. Jacob's concern with the top-down implementation of modernist visions "onto" 44 45 the existing city are, in this context, ever more relevant. This is because the imposition of "visions" and "plans" from the top down can have the effect of "hollowing out" concerns 46 47 with the "sustainability of community" (Bramley and Power, 2009, Dempsey et al., 2011) that, we argue, should be at the centre of plans for new cities that are seriously committed to 48 being socially sustainable. In this context, Sharifi (2016) has usefully argued for a 49 consideration not simply of the physical spaces of new urban areas, but for a pluralistic 50

approach that considers their social aspects. Sharifi advocates a planning system that has a
 genuine concern with citizens and other stakeholders vis-à-vis the physical environment (see
 also Bramley et al., 2009). As Barker (2005: 98) has argued,

5 "How do we know which urban forms and designs are really best? Environmentalists can be very dogmatic, and 6 very prescriptive for other people's lives. But what makes us think that in this we are that much wiser than those 7 who, in the past, were convinced they, too, had the monopoly of wisdom?"

Humanising the eco-city, and focusing on urban social sustainability, means recapturing the
importance of the social facets of cities that are ostensibly planned in order to be more
environmentally sustainable, and more economically successful. Scholars have highlighted
the multiple ways in which economic imperatives are often dressed up as ecological needs in

- 13 the construction of new urban areas such as eco-cities or smart cities: "what they ultimately
- 14 deliver is an incremental approach to incorporating sustainability principles into urban
- 15 development projects in which economic concerns remain paramount in the interpretation of
- 16 sustainability" (Rapoport, 2016: 85). While visions of the eco-city are undoubtedly wrappers
- 17 for economic drivers in most cases (Rapoport, 2014), there remains an opportunity to re-open
- 18 the envisioning, planning and design process so that it incorporates a plurality of voices
- 19 (Sharifi, 2016) as well a focus on the micro-spaces of the city that Jacobs was so interested 20 in.
- 20 i 21

4

- Finally, a focus on the lived experiences of the residents of new and experimental urban areas 22 23 such as Tianjin eco-city also enables researchers to identify and highlight what is *positive* 24 about the planning, design, implementation and lived engagement with these new projects. 25 Here, again, Jacobs' take on planning is instructive in its commitment to urban planning despite the inhumane use of it that had been made in brutal new neighbourhoods in New 26 27 York, Philadelphia, and farther afield in the 1950s. As discussed above, Tianjin eco-city's new residents were by no means wholly critical of the new city, but consistently pointed to 28 29 both what did not work, and what worked, from their experiential point of view. This leads to 30 a strong case for the necessity of longitudinal, long-range studies of the ways in which new cities and new urban areas develop and are experienced, interpreted and re-interpreted by 31 their new residents. Again, this moves the research agenda past the focus on static visions, 32 33 blueprints, and short-range case studies, and towards a social science approach to the city that 34 is comfortable with its ever-changing and emergent character.
- 35
- 36
- 37 38

40

39 **References**

Barker, P. (2005). Non-Plan revisited: or the real way cities grow. *Journal of Design History*, *12(2)*, 95–110.

- 43 Beck, U. (1992). *Risk society: Towards a new modernity*. London: Sage Publications.
- Boland, A. (2007). The trickle-down effect: ideology and the development of premium water
 networks in China's Cities. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*,
 31(1), 21–40.
- Bramley, G. & Power, S. (2009). Urban form and social sustainability: The role of density
 and housing type. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 36(1), 30–48.

- Bramley, G., Dempsey, N., Power, S., Brown, C. & Watkins, D. (2009). Social sustainability
 and urban form: evidence from five British cities. *Environment and Planning A*,
 41(9), 2125–2142.
- Breitung, W. (2012). Enclave urbanism in China: Attitudes towards gated communities in
 Guangzhou. Urban Geography, 33(2), 278–294.
- Bunnell, T. (2015). Antecedent cities and inter-referencing effects: Learning from and
 extending beyond critiques of neoliberalisation. *Urban Studies*, 52(11), 1983–2000.
- Caprotti, F. (2014a). Critical research on eco-cities? A walk through the Sino-Singapore
 Tianjin Eco-City, China. *Cities*, 36, 10–17.
- Caprotti, F. (2014b). Eco-urbanism and the eco-city, or, denying the right to the city?
 Antipode, 46(5), 1285–1303.
- Caprotti, F. (2015). *Eco-cities and the transition to low carbon economies*. London: Palgrave
 Macmillan.
- Caprotti, F. & Cowley, R. (2016). Interrogating urban experiments. Urban Geography,
 EarlyView doi: 10.1080/02723638.2016.1265870
- Caprotti, F., Springer, C. & Harmer, N. (2015). 'Eco' for whom? Envisioning eco-urbanism
 in the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-city, China. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, *39(3)*, 495–517.
- Castells, M. & Hall, P. (2014). *Technopoles of the world: The making of 21st century industrial complexes*. London: Routledge.
- Chang, I.-C. & Sheppard, E. (2013). China's eco-cities as variegated urban sustainability:
 Dongtan eco-city and Chongming eco-island. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 20(1),
 57-75.
- 24
- Chan, K. S. & Siu, Y. F. P. (2015). Urban governance and social sustainability. *Asian Education and Development Studies*, 4(3), 330–342.
- Baeumler, A., Chen, M., Dastur, A., Zhang, Y., Filewood, R., Al-Jamal, K., Peterson, C.,
 Randale, M. & Pinnoi, N. (2009). *Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-City (SSTEC): A case study of an emerging eco-city in China*. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Chien, S., Zhu, X. & Chen, T. (2015). Self-learning through teaching: Singapore's land
 development policy transfer experience in China. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 33(6), 1639–1656.
- Chien, S.-S. (2013). Chinese eco-cities: A perspective of land-speculation-oriented local
 entrepreneurialism. *China Information*, 27(2), 173–196.
- Chiu, R. L. H. (2002). Social equity in housing in the Hong Kong Special Administrative
 Region: A social sustainability perspective. *Sustainable Development*, 10(3), 155–
 162.

- Chiu, R. L. H. (2004). Socio-cultural sustainability of housing: A conceptual exploration.
 Housing, Theory and Society, *21(2)*, 65–76.
- Colomb, C. (2007). Unpacking New Labour's 'Urban Renaissance' agenda: Towards a
 socially sustainable reurbanization of British cities? *Planning Practice & Research*,
 22(1), 1–24.
- de Jong, M., Joss, S., Schraven, D., Zhan, C., & Weijnen, M. (2015). Sustainable–smart–
 resilient–low carbon–eco–knowledge cities: Making sense of a multitude of concepts
 promoting sustainable urbanization. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 109(December),* 25–38.
- de Jong, M., Wang, D., & Yu, C. (2013a). Exploring the relevance of the eco-city concept in
 China: The case of Shenzhen Sino-Dutch Low Carbon City. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 20(1), 95–113.
- de Jong, M., Yu, C., Chen, X., Wang, D., & Weijnen, M. (2013b). Developing robust
 organizational frameworks for Sino-foreign eco-cities: Comparing Sino-Dutch
 Shenzhen Low Carbon City with other initiatives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,
 57(October), 202–220.
- Dempsey, N., Bramley, G., Power, S., & Brown, C. (2011). The social dimension of
 sustainable development: Defining urban social sustainability. *Sustainable Development*, 19(5), 289–300.
- Dempsey, N., Brown, C., & Bramley, G. (2012). The key to sustainable urban development
 in UK cities? The influence of density on social sustainability. *Progress in Planning*,
 77(3), 89–141.
- Douglass, M., Wissink, B., & van Kempen, R. (2012). Enclave urbanism in China:
 Consequences and interpretations. *Urban Geography*, *33(2)*, 167–182.
- Evans, J., & Karvonen, A. (2014). 'Give me a laboratory and I will lower your carbon
 footprint!' Urban laboratories and the governance of low-carbon futures. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, *38(2)*, 413–430.
- Flynn, A., Yu, L., Feindt, P., & Chen, C. (2016). Eco-cities, governance and sustainable
 lifestyles: The case of the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-City. *Habitat International*, *53(April)*, 78–86.
- Freeman, R., & Yearworth, M. (2017). Climate change and cities: Problem structuring
 methods and critical perspectives on low-carbon districts. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 25(March 2017), 48–64.
- Fu, Y., & Zhang, X. (2017). Trajectory of urban sustainability concepts: A 35-year
 bibliometric analysis. *Cities, 60(February 2017)*, 113–123.
- Graham, S., & Healey, P. (1999). Relational concepts of space and place: Issues for planning
 theory and practice. *European Planning Studies*, 7(5), 623–646.

- Gressgård, R. (2015). The power of (re)attachment in urban strategy: Interrogating the
 framing of social sustainability in Malmö. *Environment and Planning A*, 47(1), 108–
 120.
- He, S. (2010). Newly-built gentrification in central Shanghai: Demographic changes and
 socioeconomic implications. *Population, Space and Place, 16(5),* 345–361.
- He, S. Y., Lee, J., Zhou, T., & Wu, D. (2017). Shrinking cities and resource-based economy:
 The economic restructuring in China's mining cities. *Cities*, 60(February 2017), 75–
 83.
- Hu, M.-C., Wu, C.-Y., & Shih, T. (2016). Creating a new socio-technical regime in China:
 Evidence from the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-City. *Futures*, 70(June), 1–12.
- Hu, M.-C., Wadin, J. L., Lo, H.-C., & Huang, J.-Y. (2016). Transformation toward an eco city: Lessons from three Asian cities. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *12(June)*, 77–
 87.
- Hult, A. (2016). Unpacking the Swedish urban sustainable imaginary: At the World Expo,
 Shanghai, China. In Y. Rydin & L. Tate (Eds.), *Actor networks of planning: Exploring the influence of Actor Network Theory* (pp. 111–126). London: Routledge.
- Hult, A. (2013). Swedish production of sustainable urban imaginaries in China. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 20(1), 77–94.
- 19 Jacobs, J. (1961). *The death and life of great American cities*. New York: Random House.
- Joss, S. (2011). Eco-cities: The mainstreaming of urban sustainability Key characteristics
 and driving factors. *International Journal of Sustainable Development and Planning*,
 6(3), 268–285.
- Joss, S. (2015). Sustainable cities: Governing for urban innovation. London: Palgrave
 Macmillan.
- Joss, S., & Molella, A. P. (2013). The eco-city as urban technology: Perspectives on
 Caofeidian International Eco-City, China. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 20(1), 115 137.
- Koch, R., & Latham, A. (2012). Rethinking urban public space: Accounts from a junction in
 West London. *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 37(4), 515–529.
- Kyttä, M., Broberg, A., Haybatollahi, M., & Schmidt-Thomé, K. (2016). Urban happiness:
 Context-sensitive study of the social sustainability of urban settings. *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design, 43(1),* 34–57.
- 33

34 Lim, K. F. & Horesh, N. (2016). The 'Singapore Fever' in China: Policy mobility and

- 35 mutation. *China Quarterly*, 228(December 2016), 992–1017.
- 36

Low, M. (2013). Eco-cities in Japan: past and future. *Journal of Urban Technology*, 20(1), 7–
 22.

1 Low, S. P., Liu, J. Y., & Wu, P. (2009). Sustainable facilities: Institutional compliance and the Sino-Singapore Tianjin Eco-city Project. Facilities, 27(9/10), 368–386. 2 3 McFarlane, C. (2011). The city as assemblage: Dwelling and urban space. Environment and Planning D: Society and Space, 29(4), 649–671. 4 5 Moroni, S. (2016). Urban density after Jane Jacobs: The crucial role of diversity and emergence. City, Territory and Architecture, 3(13), 1-8. 6 7 Mullins, P. D., & Shwayri, S. T. (2016). Green cities and "IT839": A new paradigm for economic growth in South Korea. Journal of Urban Technology, 23(2), 47-64. 8 9 Murdoch, J. (2005). Post-structuralist geography: A guide to relational space. London: 10 SAGE. Neo, H., & Pow, C.-P. (2015). Eco-cities and the promise of socio-environmental justice. In 11 Bryant, R. L. (Ed.), *The International Handbook of Political Ecology* (pp. 401–414). 12 Cheltenham: Edward Elgar. 13 Ong, L. H. (2014). State-led urbanization in China: Skyscrapers, land revenue and 14 15 'concentrated villages'. The China Quarterly, 217, 162-179. Percival, T., & Waley, P. (2012). Articulating intra-Asian urbanism: The production of 16 satellite cities in Phnom Penh. Urban Studies, 49(13), 2873–2888. 17 Pow, C.-P. (2014). License to travel: Policy assemblage and the 'Singapore model'. City, 18 19 18(3), 287–306. Pow, C.-P., & Neo, H. (2013). Seeing red over green: Contesting urban sustainabilities in 20 21 China. Urban Studies, 50(11), 2256-2274. 22 Pow, C.-P., & Neo, H. (2015). Modelling green urbanism in China. Area, 47(2), 132–140. 23 Rapoport, E. (2016). The boundaries of experimentation in sustainable urbanism. In J. Evans, 24 A. Karvonen, & R. Raven (Eds.), *The experimental city* (pp. 77–87). London: 25 Routledge. 26 Rapoport, E. (2015a). Globalising sustainable urbanism: The role of international 27 masterplanners. Area, 47(2), 110–115. Rapoport, E. (2015b) Sustainable urbanism in the age of Photoshop: Images, experiences and 28 29 the role of learning through inhabiting the international travels of a planning model. Geography Compass, 15(3), 307-324. 30 31 Rapoport, E. (2014). Utopian visions and real estate dreams: The eco-city past, present and 32 future. Geography Compass, 8(2), 137–149. 33 34 Robinson, J. (2013). The urban now: Theorising cities beyond the new. European Journal of 35 Cultural Studies, 16(6), 659-677.

1 2	Romano, G. T. (2015). Social justice and eco-city development in China: Building for whom? <i>L'Europe en Formation</i> , <i>378(4)</i> , 166–182.
3 4 5 6	Roskamm, N. (2014). Taking sides with a man-eating shark: Jane Jacos and the 1960s 'density turn' in urban planning. In D. Schubert (Ed.), <i>Contemporary perspectives on</i> <i>Jane Jacobs: Reassessing the impacts of an urban visionary</i> (pp. 83–94). Farnham: Ashgate.
7 8	Shao, Z. (2015). New Eco-city, low-carbon new city, low-carbon eco-city, sun city. In Shao, Z. (Ed.), <i>The New Urban Area Development</i> (pp. 331–344). Berlin: Springer.
9 10	Sharifi, A. (2016). From Garden City to eco-urbanism: The quest for sustainable neighborhood development. <i>Sustainable Cities and Society, 20(January),</i> 1–16.
11 12 13	Shen, J., & Wu, F. (2012). The development of master-planned communities in Chinese suburbs: A case study of Shanghai's Thames Town. Urban Geography, 33(2), 183– 203.
14 15	Shwayri, S. T. (2013). A model Korean ubiquitous eco-city? The politics of making Songdo. <i>Journal of Urban Technology</i> , 20(1), 39–55.
16 17 18	Spaargaren, G., & Mol, A. P. J. (1992). Sociology, environment, and modernity: Ecological modernization as a theory of social change. <i>Society & Natural Resources</i> , 5(4), 323– 344.
19 20	Thompson, C. (2013). Master-planned estates: Privatization, socio-spatial polarization and community. <i>Geography Compass</i> , 7(1), 85–93.
21 22	Vallance, S., Perkins, H. C., & Dixon, J. E. (2011). What is social sustainability? A clarification of concepts. <i>Geoforum</i> , <i>42(3)</i> , 342–348.
23 24	Waley, P. (2016). Speaking gentrification in the languages of the Global East. <i>Urban Studies</i> , <i>53(3)</i> , 615–625.
25 26	Woodcraft, S. (2012). Social sustainability and new communities: Moving from concept to practice in the UK. <i>Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences, 68,</i> 29–42.
27 28 29	Wong, TC., & Liu, R. (2016). Developmental urbanism, city image branding and the "right to the city" in transitional China. <i>Urban Policy and Research, EarlyView</i> doi:10.1080/08111146.2015.1122587
30 31	Woodcraft, S. (2015). Understanding and measuring social sustainability. <i>Journal of Urban Regeneration & Renewal, 8(2),</i> 133–144.
32	Wu, F. (2012). China's eco-cities. Geoforum, 43(2), 169–171.
33 34	Xu, J., & Chung, C. K. L. (2014). 'Environment' as an evolving concept in China's urban planning system. <i>International Development Planning Review</i> , <i>36(4)</i> , 391–412.
35 36	Ye, L., Cheng, Z., Wang, Q., Lin, H., Lin, C., & Liu, B. (2015). Developments of green building standards in China. <i>Renewable Energy</i> , <i>73(January)</i> , 115–122.

1 2	Yiftachel, O., & Hedgcock, D. (1993). Urban social sustainability: The planning of an Australian city. <i>Cities, 10(2),</i> 139–157.
3 4	Yu, L. (2014). Low carbon eco-city: New approach for Chinese urbanisation. <i>Habitat International</i> , 44, 102–110.
5	Yung, E. H. K., Chan, E. H. W., & Xu, Y. (2014). Sustainable development and the
6	rehabilitation of a historic urban district: Social sustainability in the case of
7	Tianzifang in Shanghai. Sustainable Development, 22(2), 95–112.
8	Zhou, N. (2014). China's development of low-carbon eco-cities and associated indicator
9	systems. Report LBNL-5873E, Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National
10	Laboratory. https://china.lbl.gov/sites/all/files/china_eco-cities_indicator_systems.pdf
11	Zou, Y. (2014). Contradictions in China's affordable housing policy: Goals vs. structure.
12	<i>Habitat International, 41(January),</i> 8–16.
13	