

## Letter to PNAS

Submitted: June 10, 2016

Response to: Boivin, N. L., M. A. Zeder, D. Q. Fuller, A. Crowther, G. Larson, J. M. Erlandson, T. Denham, and M. D. Petraglia. 2016. Ecological consequences of human niche construction: Examining long-term anthropogenic shaping of global species distributions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113:6388–6396.

Title: Evolving the Human Niche

Authors: Erle C. Ellis<sup>1\*</sup>, Peter J. Richerson<sup>2</sup>, Alex Mesoudi<sup>3</sup>, Jens-Christian Svenning<sup>4</sup>, John Odling-Smee<sup>5</sup>, William R. Burnside<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Geography and Environmental Systems, University of Maryland Baltimore County, Baltimore MD 21250, USA

<sup>2</sup> Department of Environmental Science and Policy, University of California, Davis, Davis, CA 95616 USA

<sup>3</sup> Human Biological and Cultural Evolution Group, Department of Biosciences, University of Exeter Cornwall Campus, Penryn, Cornwall, TR10 9FE, UK

<sup>4</sup> Section for Ecoinformatics & Biodiversity, Department of Bioscience, Ny Munkegade 114, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

<sup>5</sup> Mansfield College, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3TF, UK

<sup>6</sup> National Socio-Environmental Synthesis Center (SESYNC), 1 Park Place, Suite 300, Annapolis, MD 21401, USA

\*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 410-350-6843. Email: [ece@umbc.edu](mailto:ece@umbc.edu)

LETTER TEXT (limit 500 words and 10 references)

Boivin et al. (1)'s article profoundly deepens scientific understanding of anthropogenic global ecological change from Pleistocene to present by offering robust new evidence of early human transformation of the biosphere that should influence discussions on Anthropocene formalization (2, 3). As ecologists and evolutionary theorists, we applaud this work. Yet we are also concerned that this paper represents a missed opportunity to bring archaeology, ecology and evolutionary theory closer together.

Boivin et al. (1) characterize the ecological consequences of “human niche construction” without linking this directly with evolutionary processes (4-8). “Evolutionary pressures” and “evolutionary trajectories” are noted, yet ecological inheritances, the basis for niche construction theory (9), are not included nor are cultural inheritances or processes of natural, artificial or cultural selection. While “cultural niche

construction” appears, cultural evolution (6, 7) is neither mentioned nor linked with niche construction, leaving “human niche construction” without its essential evolutionary context, to mean only “human alterations of ecology”- or ecosystem engineering by humans.

A “human niche” cannot be understood without integrating niche construction with cultural evolution and social change. Human alteration of ecology is inherently social: socially learned and socially enacted (4). Long-term changes in the human niche are produced by evolutionary processes acting on both ecological and cultural inheritances (4, 5). Complex cultural packages such as agriculture emerged and spread through long-term processes of cumulative cultural evolution (6, 7), facilitated by such factors as high fidelity social learning, large populations, within-group cooperation and multi-level selection.

The spread of *Homo sapiens* across the continents, the emergence of larger scale societies and human transformation of the biosphere are the consequences of these evolutionary processes (4, 7). Working together across disciplines in a common evolutionary framework based on niche construction theory might yet guide human societies towards a better Anthropocene (10).

#### References Cited

1. Boivin, N. L., Zeder, M. A., Fuller, D. Q., Crowther, A., Larson, G., Erlandson, J. M., Denham, T. & Petraglia, M. D. (2016) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **113**, 6388–6396.
2. Ruddiman, W. F., Ellis, E. C., Kaplan, J. O. & Fuller, D. Q. (2015) *Science* **348**, 38-39.
3. Waters, C. N., Zalasiewicz, J., Summerhayes, C., Barnosky, A. D., Poirier, C., Gałuszka, A., Cearreta, A., Edgeworth, M., Ellis, E. C., Ellis, M., *et al.* (2016) *Science* **351**, aad2622.
4. Ellis, E. C. (2015) *Ecological Monographs* **85**, 287–331.
5. Laland, K. N., Uller, T., Feldman, M. W., Sterelny, K., Müller, G. B., Moczek, A., Jablonka, E. & Odling-Smee, J. (2015) *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* **282**.
6. Mesoudi, A., Whiten, A. & Laland, K. N. (2006) *Behavioral and Brain Sciences* **29**, 329-347.
7. Boyd, R., Richerson, P. J. & Henrich, J. (2011) *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.
8. Odling-Smee, J. & Laland, K. N. (2012) *Biological Theory* **6**, 220-230.
9. Odling-Smee, F. J., Laland, K. N. & Feldman, M. W. (2003) *Niche Construction: The Neglected Process in Evolution* (Princeton University Press).
10. Sarrazin, F. & Lecomte, J. (2016) *Science* **351**, 922-923.