Hellenic Journal of Cardiology (2016) 57, 223-237



Provided by University of Hertfordshire Research Archive

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: http://www.journals.elsevier.com/ hellenic-journal-of-cardiology/

REVIEW ARTICLE



Health-Related Quality of Life after Coronary Revascularization: A systematic review with meta-analysis

Maria G. Takousi ^{a,*}, Stefanie Schmeer ^a, Irene Manaras ^b, Christoforos D. Olympios ^c, Georgios Makos ^d, Nicholas A. Troop ^a

^a Department of Psychology, Health & Human Sciences Research Institute, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, UK

^b School of Health Sciences, Metropolitan College, Athens, Greece

^c Department of Cardiology, Thriasio Hospital, Elefsina, Greece

^d Department of Cardiothoracic surgery, Metropolitan Hospital, N. Faliro, Greece

Received 2 June 2015; accepted 11 May 2016 Available online 23 August 2016

| KEYWORDS HRQoL; Coronary Revascularization; PCI; CABG; Systematic Review | Abstract Objective: To conduct a systematic review and meta-analysis to summarize evidence and determine the impact of coronary revascularization (CR) on cardiac patients' Health-Related Quality of Life (HRQoL), highlighting factors that may affect this outcome in patients. Methods: A systematic search of Medline (Pubmed), EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Sciverse (Science Direct and Scopus) and PsycInfo was conducted to identify studies published from January 2000 to December 2012. Data were analyzed using MIX 2.0 Pro and SPSS 20. Results: Thirty-four longitudinal studies met the inclusion criteria; these studies included 15,992 patients, of whom 8,027 had undergone PCI, 6,348 had undergone CABG and 1,617 had received medication treatment. Moderate long-term effect sizes were revealed for both CR procedures. Both percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) and coronary artery bypass |
|--|---|
| | CR procedures. Both percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) and coronary artery bypass graft surgery (CABG) had significantly greater effects on HRQoL than did medication; however, the CR procedures did not differ significantly from each other. Moderators included the type of instrument used to assess HRQoL and the study quality. Benefits related to physical functioning were greater than those related to psychosocial functioning in patients treated with CABG. |

* Corresponding author. Maria Takousi, Department of Psychology, Health & Human Sciences Research Institute, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK. Tel.: +44 306937392080; fax: +44 302109418687.

E-mail address: m.takousi@herts.ac.uk (M.G. Takousi).

Peer review under responsibility of Hellenic Cardiological Society.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.hjc.2016.05.003

1109-9666/© 2016 Hellenic Cardiological Society. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Conclusions: Empirical research highlights the positive effect of CR on patient HRQoL. Researchers should carefully select the instrument they use to measure HRQoL, as this may affect the results and thus conclusions. More RCTs and between-group studies employing pre-post designs should be conducted before clear conclusions can be drawn.

© 2016 Hellenic Cardiological Society. Publishing services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/ 4.0/).

1. Introduction

The field of coronary heart disease has advanced considerably in the last decade. Through the use of interventional (percutaneous coronary interventions; PCI) and surgical (coronary artery bypass graft surgery; CABG) procedures, symptom relief and survival rates have increased globally.¹

The comparative effectiveness of CABG versus PCI in patients who are eligible for either procedure is poorly understood,² although a recent review suggested that older patients and patients with diabetes treated with CABG had increased survival rates relative to patients treated with PCI.³

In addition to survival and symptom relief, healthrelated quality of life (HRQoL) among patients with cardiovascular disease is a critical issue.⁴ Based on the World Health Organization's definition, health is not only a biomedical but also a biopsychosocial issue.⁵ Although no consensus definition exists, HRQoL includes physical, psychological and social well-being.^{6,7} Enhancing coronary patients' HRQoL should be a component of the priorities of a medical team.

Few systematic reviews over the past ten years have examined the effect of coronary interventions on HRQoL. Jokinen and colleagues⁸ reviewed 21 randomized control trials (RCT) evaluating CABG and concluded that postsurgical patients experienced improved HRQoL regardless of which procedure they had undergone (On- vs Off-Pump CABG), especially when the procedure was performed by experienced surgeons. Furthermore, the results of a RCT conducted by Jokinen and colleagues (2010) suggested that preoperative HRQoL predicted posttreatment HRQoL, with long-lasting beneficial effects and HRQoL increasing to a level comparable to that of the general population posttreatment. Noyez et al. (2011)⁹ reviewed 29 articles addressing the effects of cardiac surgery. They concluded that many studies had presented only postoperative QoL data, which limited the derivation of conclusions concerning the beneficial effect of heart surgery on QoL. They also highlighted the need for good clinical trials with longer follow-up periods (periods greater than one year). Sun et al. (2012)¹⁰ and Cormack et al. (2012)¹¹ reviewed 13 RCTs and 28 longitudinal studies, respectively, that had investigated CABG. Both reviews concluded that CABG patients may experience a decline in cognitive functioning during the weeks immediately following treatment but that this decline reversed at twelve months postsurgery. Finally, Blankenship et al.¹² reviewed 25 studies and concluded that the effect of PCI on QoL was greater than that of medical (drug) treatment; however, this benefit decreased over time. Moreover, the effect of PCI on QoL during the month immediately following treatment was greater than that of CABG; however, in the long-term, both procedures lead to similar improvements in QoL. Blankenship et al.¹² also suggested that patients of all ages benefited equally regardless of which revascularization procedure was performed, although they found that women reported lower HRQoL than men following PCI. Unemployment status, smoking and medical comorbidities were associated with lower QoL following PCI.¹²

Soo Hoo et al. $(2014)^{13}$ reviewed 18 studies of PCI and supported Blankenship et al.'s $(2013)^{12}$ conclusion that all individuals, regardless of age, reported improvements in HRQoL and that age, therefore, could not be considered as a moderator, especially when other comorbidities were taken into consideration.

Abah and colleagues (2015)¹⁴ reviewed 44 mostly retrospective studies on the influence of heart surgery (CABG, PCI, Valve) on HRQoL on older patients. The results showed that the majority of patients demonstrated improvement, while 8-19% demonstrated a decline, in HRQoL following heart surgery.

Although these reviews may provide insights into the effect of CR on HRQoL in cardiac patients, a number of issues need to be addressed prior to making recommendations for clinical practice. For instance, Sun et al. (2012)¹⁰ and Cormack et al. (2012)¹¹ focused only on one aspect of HRQoL, cognitive functioning; thus, a clear conclusion about the effect of CR on other aspects of HRQoL cannot be drawn. Blankenship et al.'s (2012)¹² study, while of good quality, was solely a literature review and lacked rigorous systematic methodology (e.g., many studies included were of low guality and/or provided only posttreatment data for the effect of CR on HRQoL); thus, conclusions from this study may be biased. Noyez et al.'s (2011)⁹ and Abah et al.'s (2015)¹⁴ work focused on surgical treatment of various heart problems (e.g., aortic or mitral valve surgery) instead of only on treatment of coronary artery disease. Hence, further investigations are needed to establish clear conclusions regarding the effect of CR on HRQoL. Finally, no prior reviews have provided effect sizes for the association between CR on HRQoL, as they did not use meta-analytic techniques to illustrate the extent of the effect of CR and its moderators. Thus, a systematic review and metaanalysis was needed update the literature and, due to the nature of these methodologies, simultaneously provide a more complete understanding of the impact of CR and its potential moderators on HRQoL.

The main aim of the present systematic review was to determine the impact of CR on HRQoL and identify factors

that may influence this outcome. The secondary aim was to develop recommendations for both future research and clinical practice. The objectives of this study were as follows: a) to estimate the size of the overall effect of coronary revascularization on HRQoL in patients, b) to detect any differences in the effects of two types of CR (PCI and CABG) on HRQoL, and c) to identify factors moderating HRQoL following coronary revascularization.

2. Methods

The methodology used in the present study followed the Centre for Reviews and Dissemination¹⁵ guidance for systematic reviews and the Cochrane Collaboration¹⁶ and Field and Gillett's¹⁷ suggestions for systematic reviews with meta-analysis.

2.1. Systematic literature search

The literature search was conducted from December 2012 to January 2013 using five online databases: Medline (Pubmed), EMBASE, Cochrane Library, Sciverse (Science Direct and Scopus) and PsycInfo. Keywords used in all searches were "quality of life (QoL) or health-related quality of life (HRQoL) or health status and heart surgery or coronary bypass or CABG or PCI or PTCA or coronary angioplasty or coronary percutaneous interventions not adolescent not children". Terms were searched for in the titles, abstracts and keywords. The search included studies published in the 13-year period from January 2000 to December 2012. In addition, "snowball" techniques¹⁸ were used; through this technique, reference lists of primary studies and reviews were searched manually to detect studies that may fulfil inclusion criteria. Abstracts written in English were also considered, even if the research paper was written in another language. When an abstract seemed relevant to the topic under investigation and the paper was published in a language other than English, Greek, French, German, and Spanish, the authors were contacted to determine whether a full English version or results section were available. Authors were also contacted when their papers seemed eligible for inclusion but not all information needed for the analysis was presented.

Studies were included if they fulfilled the following criteria: (1) participants should be \geq 19 years of age; (2) HRQoL was the target outcome; (3) study design was randomized, non-randomized or observational; (4) at least two interval times were reported, including pretreatment (baseline) and postoperation; (5) data were collected after 1996; (6) published in a peer-reviewed journal; and (7) published in various languages at which the present authors were proficient (i.e., English, Greek, French, Spanish, and German).

A cut-off point of 1996 was selected to reduce heterogeneity in outcomes resulting from "old" medical procedures not commonly used today. According to the cardiology literature, in the last fifteen years, new methods have been developed for both interventional and surgical coronary revascularization.^{19,20}

Studies were excluded when (1) they used a questionnaire that did not measure all subdomains of HRQoL (based

2.2. Screening identified studies

A total of 1123 citations were identified in the initial searches. Details about the exclusion procedure are shown in the flow diagram below (Figure 1).

In total, 34 papers fulfilled the criteria for inclusion in this review. Of these, 29 were written in English, 2 in German, 2 in Spanish and 1 in Greek.

2.3. Data extraction

a OoL instrument.

Studies were coded for the following: year of publication; country; type of CR; study design; sample size at baseline and follow-up(s); mean age; sex distribution; smoking status; body mass index (BMI); diagnosis of hypertension; diagnosis of diabetes (I or II); intervention duration; number of follow-up assessments; QoL measurement tool; data collection method (e.g., face-to-face, email, or phone); pre- and post-intervention QoL score (raw mean score for



Figure 1 Flow diagram for selection of studies.

each subscale at baseline and last follow up and their standard deviations or mean difference, confidence intervals (CI), standard error (SE), and p values); effect size (when provided); and the authors' main findings. An SPSS data sheet was created for each included study to facilitate d conversions and avoid hand calculation errors.

2.4. Studies' quality assessment

Studies were assessed for quality using a combination of the Downs and Black²¹ checklist for methodological guality (examining reporting, external validity, and bias); Novez et al.'s⁹ requirements for a 'good' study; and the Critical Appraisal Skills Programme²² instrument for assessing the overall quality of cohort studies. Ten total criteria were applied: (Does the study address a clearly defined issue? Are patient characteristics clearly described? Were the main outcome measures used accurate (valid, reliable and disease-specific)? Were confounding factors taken into consideration? Have the characteristics of patients lost to follow-up been described? Were losses of patients to follow-up taken into account? Is the procedure described? Were the statistical tests used to assess the main outcomes appropriate? Are the main findings of the study clearly described? Was the follow-up period sufficiently long (i.e., > 12 months)?). Studies were scored 0 when a criterion was not met, 0.5 when a criterion was partially met and 1 when a criterion was totally met. Scores could, therefore, range from 0 to 10.

2.5. Analysis

Standardized mean differences (SMDs) were generated to estimate effect sizes (ESs) for each study in accordance with recommended guidelines.^{17,23} For within group analysis of pre-and post-intervention HRQoL scores, d indicated the difference between the mean pre- and post-test scores divided by the pre-test standard deviation. For between group comparisons, d indicated the average change in one group before and after the intervention minus the mean change of the other group divided by the pre-intervention pooled standard deviation.²⁴⁻²⁶ ESs were corrected for biases due to small sample size using Hedges' g index.²⁶ Similar to Cohens' d, Hedges' g pools standard deviations assuming equal population variances. However, unlike d, gfactors n-1 instead of n into the pooling, and therefore provides a better estimation, especially when sample size is small. The overall effect size was estimated both with fixed-effects models (assuming one true effect and any variation is due to random error) and random-effects models (assuming that there is not one true effect due to variations among studies as each study represents a "unique" population). The random-effects model was selected for subgroup analyses due to the assumption that individual studies estimated different effects. Homogeneity analyses of ES variances were conducted using Q, I^2 and τ^2 statistics. The Q index represented the weighted sum of the squared differences between the studies' means and fixed-effect estimations; however, it could be influenced by the number of studies included. When Q was significant, heterogeneity was assumed. I^2 measured the heterogeneity based on the Q index taking into account sample sizes. l^2 values of 0-40 indicated no important heterogeneity, while a value of approximately 50 indicated medium and approximately 75 indicated considerable heterogeneity. τ^2 assessed the between study variances without being influenced by the number of studies included in the analyses. When $\tau^2 > 1$, then substantial heterogeneity is assumed. Potential publication biases were examined using *Begg's z* (rank correlation between standardized effect size and the variance of these effects) and *Egger's t* indices²⁷ (*Egger's t* is similar to *Begg's z* but uses the values of effects sizes and their variances rather than their ranks). Sensitivity analyses was conducted by excluding outliers and poorer quality studies.²⁸ Analyses were performed using MIX 2.0 Pro,^{29,30} Excel (MS office Excel, 2007) and SPSS 20.³¹

3. Results

Of the 34 studies identified, 8 evaluated the effects of only PCI, 20 evaluated the effects of only CABG and 6 evaluated the effects of both PCI and CABG on HRQoL. Therefore, 14 studies examined PCI, and 26 studies examined CABG. The majority of studies (k = 26) had a moderate to good quality, ranging from 5 to 7.5 points, while a few studies (k = 8) had a low quality, ranging from 3.5 to 4.5 points.

In total, 15,992 patients were included in these studies (8,027 had undergone PCI, 6,348 had undergone CABG and 1,617 had received medication treatment). Patient demographic and clinical characteristics for each study are summarized in Table 1. Among these patients, mean age was 63.0 years (SD = 4.2) and, on average, samples were 23% female and 32% smokers, with 59% of the patients having hypertension and 24% of the patients having diabetes (see Table 1).

The majority of studies (k = 22) used the SF-36 for measuring HRQoL. Other measurements of Quality of Life used were SAQ (k = 2), NHP (k = 3), Rand-36 (k = 1), WHOQoL (k = 1), MacNew (k = 3), and EQ-5D (k = 1).

Most studies (k = 15) assessed HRQoL up to 1 year after CR.^{32–47} Fourteen studies (k = 14) had a shorter follow-up, ranging from 1 to 6 months.^{48–60} and only five studies (k = 5) had a follow-up period longer than 12 months, ranging from 24 to 96 months.^{61–65}

Table 2 displays additional characteristics, such as number of follow-ups and summaries of the main findings of each study.

3.1. CR Overall Effect on HRQoL

The size of the overall effect on HRQoL after treatment is displayed in Table 3. The relative contribution of each study on the overall effect of coronary revascularization (using random-effects assumptions) on patient HRQoL can been observed in Figure 2. Analyses were conducted using both random- and fixed-effects models, which suggested a moderate to low improvement in HRQoL after all types of treatments, even after controlling for length of follow up. Univariate analysis of variances showed a significant difference between different treatment types [F(2,44)=4.57, p < .05]. Weighted multiple comparisons (Bonferroni post hoc test) revealed that patients who underwent CABG or

| | Study (year) | Country | Sample baselin | size at e [†] | Instrument [‡] | Female % | Mean age | Smoking % | Hypertension % | Diabetes % |
|----|----------------------|------------|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|-----------|----------------|------------|
| | | | PCI | CABG | | | | | | |
| 1 | Benzer (2003) | Austria | 64 | 33 | MAcNew | 35.3 | 64.5 | 44.3 | NR | NR |
| 2 | Cohen (2011) | USA | 903 | 897 | SF-36 | 22.5 | 65.2 | 18.5/21.9 | NR | 38.1/28.9 |
| 3 | Hofer (2006;2005) | Austria | 60 | 121 | MAcNew | 24.1 | 61.8 | 28.3/33.9 | 50/69.4 | 15/19.8 |
| 4 | Lukkarinen (2006) | Finland | 100 | 100 | NHP | 32.5 | 57.2/61.8 | 4 | NR | NR |
| 5 | Škodová (2011) | Slovakia | 37 | 41 | SF-36 | 15.1 | 55.8/59.4 | NR | NR | NR |
| 6 | Zang (2003) | Eur & Can. | 488 | 500 | SAQ | 21 | 61.4 | NR | 45.2 | 14.4 |
| 7 | de Quadros (2011) | Brazil | 110 | | SAQ | 38 | 62.8 | 24 | 90 | 32 |
| 8 | Denvir (2006) | UK | 1346 | | EQ-5D | 32.5 | 60.9 | 38.6 | 32.5 | 11.1 |
| 9 | Melberg (2010) | Norway | 427 | | SF-36 | 20.3 | 58.4 | 29.9 | 23.9 | 6.1 |
| 10 | Li (2010;2012) | China | 287 | | SF-36 | 26.2 | 64 | 31 | 58 | 30 |
| 11 | Viswanathan (2011) | UK | 2935 | | NHP | 29.3 | 58.1 | 26.7 | 30.7 | 6.4 |
| 12 | Weilu (2011) | China | 223 | | SF-36 | 14.3 | 60.6 | 51.6 | 52.9 | 13.9 |
| 13 | Weintraub (2008) | USA & Can. | 969 | | RAND-36 | 15 | 62 | 29 | 67 | 34 |
| 14 | Wong (2007) | Hong Kong | 78 | | SF-36 | 24.6 | 66 | 15.4 | 42.9 | 29.2 |
| 15 | Azzopardi (2009) | Australia | | 87 | SF-36 | 14.6 | 66.6 | 24.2 | 97.9 | 42 |
| 16 | Barolia (2012) | Pakistan | | 65 | WHOQOL-Brief | 15.3 | 59.7 | 20.0 | 72.0 | 51.0 |
| 17 | Colak (2008) | Croatia | | 111 | SF-36 | 12.6 | 61.1 | NR | 87 | 28.2 |
| 18 | Damgaard (2011) | Denmark | | 331 | SF-36 | 11.8 | 59 | 46.5 | 47.6 | 25.3 |
| 19 | Gjeilo (2008;2012) | Norway | | 534 | SF-36 | 22.7 | NR | NR | NR | NR |
| 20 | Houlind (2012) | Denmark | | 900 | SF-36 | 23.0 | 75 | 25.5 | 71.0 | 20.0 |
| 21 | Hunt (2000) | Australia | | 123 | SF-36 | 22 | 64 | NR | NR | NR |
| 22 | Jensen (2006) | Denmark | | 120 | SF-36 | 40 | 76 | 18.3 | 60.8 | 18.3 |
| 23 | Kiebzak (2002) | USA | | 85 | SF-36 | 21.2 | 62 | NR | NR | NR |
| 24 | Krecki, (2010) | Poland | | 55 | SF-36 | 25 | 61.5 | 36 | 96 | 49 |
| 25 | Krannich (2007) | Germany | | 142 | SF-36 | 19.0 | 65.1 | NR | NR | NR |
| 26 | Lie (2009) | Norway | | 185 | SF-36 | 10.3 | 62 | 29 | NR | 16.2 |
| 27 | Martin (2012) | USA | | 495 | SF-12 | 17.1 | 63.9 | 44.0 | 78.0 | 32.0 |
| 28 | Mathisen (2005) | Norway | | 120 | SF-36 | 21.6 | 64.4 | NR | 40 | 14.2 |
| 29 | Merkouris (2009) | Greece | | 63 | MAcNew | 23.8 | 72.9 | 64 | 62 | 35 |
| 30 | Peric (2010; 2006) | Serbia | | 243 | NHP | 20 | 58.7 | 43 | 70 | 19 |
| 31 | Pfaffenberger (2010) | German | | 55 | SF-36 | 14.8 | 64.8 | 35.2 | 66.7 | NR |
| 32 | Pirraglia (2003) | USA | | 590 | SF-36 | 28 | 65.1 | 68.3 | 52.4 | 25.6 |
| 33 | Puskas (2004) | USA | | 200 | SF-36 | 22.5 | 62.3 | 29.4 | 63.8 | 33 |
| 34 | Rothenhäusler (2010) | German | | 147 | SF-36 | 16.5 | 60.8 | NR | NR | NR |

Table 1 Baseline demographic and clinical characteristics of studies included in the review

[†] baseline = pre-revascularization.
[‡] instrument used for ES calculations.

| ID | Author | QoL Focus | N (prior to treatment) | Study Design | N (and duration) of Follow-Ups | Main findings |
|---------|-------------------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Во | th interventions | | | | | |
| 1 | Benzer, 2003 | PCI vs CABG vs MED | 64 33 109 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 1 (12 m) | Greater improvement in QoL 1 year after CABG and PCI compared to medical therapy were identified. |
| 2 | Cohen, 2011 | PCI vs CABG | 903 897 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 3 (1 m, 6 m, 12 m) | The PCI group scored higher on physical aspects of QoL compared to the CABG group. NS differences between treatment groups at were observed at 12 months. |
| 3 | Hofer, 2006 | PCI vs CABG vs MED | 60 121 96 | Prospective cross- sectional | 2 (1 m, 3 m) | The CR groups demonstrated greater changes in QoL compared to the MED groups. The PCI group experienced a significant increase in QoL 1 month posttreatment, with a slight further increase at 3 months. The CABG group experienced a significant increase at 3 m. Depression and anxiety scores accounted for most of the change in HRQoL (64-69%), whereas treatment accounted for less than 1% of the changes in HRQoL at 3 m post treatment. |
| 4 | Lukkarinen, 2006 | PCI vs CABG vs MED | 100 100 80 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 2 (12 m, 96 m) | PCI & CABG pts had higher QoL after 12 and 96 m compared to MED patients. CABG pts scored significantly better on mobility, energy and pain. PCI pts had significantly better HRQoL in emotional reactions, pain, mobility and energy at 96 m posttreatment. |
| 5 | Škodová, 2011 | PCI vs CABG vs MED | 37 41 28 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 2 (12 m, 24 m) | Significantly better QoL was observed after all types of treatment. The PCI and CABG groups demonstrated significantly greater mean changes in physical QoL aspects than the MED group, while the CABG and MED groups demonstrate significantly greater mean change at mental QoL aspects. |
| 6 | Zang,2003 | PCI vs CABG | 488 500 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 2 (6 m, 12 m) | Both the CABG and PCI groups demonstrated improvement in cardiac-related health status. |
| PC 7 | I interventions de Quadros, 2011 | PCI + pred | 110 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (6 m,12 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement after treatment. Male gender and QoL at baseline were significant predictors of posttreatment QoL level. |

228

| 8 | Denvir, 2006 | PCI High vs low SES | 1346 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (12 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement after treatment. Pts with low SES demonstrated significantly lower mean HRQoL scores at baseline and 12 m posttreatment compared to those with high SES. |
|-----------|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| 9 | Melberg, 2010 | PCI + non surg vs surg hospital | 427 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | Significant improvement of QoL after treatment. Similar improvements observed in both hospital types. |
| 10 | Li, 2012 | PCI vs MED | 287 298 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 1 (6 m) | PCI pts score higher in QOL 6 m posttreatment compared to MED pts. PCI elderly patients, especially those \geq 80 years of age, experienced the greatest benefit in QoL 6 m posttreatment. |
| 11 | Viswanathan, 2011 | PCI with & without prior CABG | 2935 | Prospective, repeated measures | 3 (3 m, 12 m, 24 m) | Pts with previous CABG had less improvement in HRQOL after PCI. At 24 m, patients with prior CABG demonstrated worse physical functioning than was reported at baseline. |
| 12 | Weilu, 2011 | PCI stent effect | 223 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | At 6 m after intervention, HRQoL increased in all 8 domains. Factors negatively associated with 6 m QoL scores were as follows: gender, age and activity were associated with bodily pain; activity was associated with physical functioning; and age and activity were associated with mental health and general health. |
| 13 | Weintraub, 2008 | PCI vs MED | 969 958 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 7 (1 m, 3 m, 6 m, 12 m, 24 m, 36 m) | At 12 m posttreatment, pts that underwent PCI demonstrated greater mean changes in HRQoL compared to those receiving medication. At 36 m after treatment, NS differences between groups existed. |
| 14 | Wong, 2007 | PCI | 78 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (1 m, 3 m) | Increased scores were observed after treatment. NS changes in physical and social functioning were identified. |
| CAE 15 | 3G interventions Azzopardi,2009 | CABG | 87 | Prospective, repeated measures | 3 (6 m, 12 m, 24 m) | Increased scores at 24 m and NS differences between pts and normative Australian individuals were observed. |
| 16 | Barolia, 2011 | CABG + gender & age dif | 65 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (1 m) | Significant improvements in all domains were observed. One m postsurgery, females had significantly lower psychological health, while patients >60 yrs of age had significantly greater social satisfaction. |
| 17 | Colak, 2008 | Cabg & comparison with norm | 111 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (12 m) | Twelve m post treatment, pts demonstrated improvements in all 6 domains of QoL. NS mean changes in all subdomains were observed. (continued on next page) |

| Tal | ible 2 (continued) | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| ID | Author | QoL Focus | N (prior to treatment) | Study Design | N (and duration) of Follow-Ups | Main findings | | | | |
| 18 | Damgaard, 2011 | CABQ vs CABG | 170 161 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 2 (3 m, 11 m) | Significant improvements at 11 m posttreatment and NS differences between the two groups were observed. | | | | |
| 19 | Gjeilo, 2012 | CABG + Gender & age effect | 413 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (6 m, 12 m) | Female pts scored lower compared to male pts at all measured time points. However, the mean changes in most subscales favored women (except the GH and ER scales). Pts \geq 75 years of age demonstrated lower general health and physical, social, role, and emotional functioning compared to younger patients. At 12 m posttreatment, pts had almost equal HRQoL to non-cardiac populations with the exception of role and physical functioning, on which pts \geq 75 years of age scored relatively lower. | | | | |
| 20 | Houlind, 2012 | CABG on vs off | 900 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement in all domains. NS differences between groups were observed. | | | | |
| 21 | Hunt, 2000 | CABG | 123 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (12 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement. NS gender differences were observed. | | | | |
| 22 | Jensen, 2006 | CABG on vs off | 120 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (3 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement in all domains. NS difference between groups were observed. | | | | |
| 23 | Kiebzak, 2002 | CABG | 85 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (12 m) | Improvement at 1 yr and NS changes in role, emotional and general health subscales were observed. | | | | |
| 24 | Krannich, 2007 | CABG | 142 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (10 d, 12 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement in all domains. At 1 yr post CABG, pts demonstrated almost equal HRQoL to the non- cardiac population. | | | | |
| 25 | Krecki, 2010 | CABG vs MED | 55 52 | Prospective, cross- sectional | 1 (12 m) | CABG pts demonstrated significant improvements in all domains, while MED pts demonstrated significant improvements only in pain and limitations due to emotional problems. | | | | |
| 26 | Lie, 2009 | CABG Rehabilitation effects | 185 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (6 w, 6 m) | Improvement in QoL level and NS differences between groups were observed. | | | | |
| 27 | Martin, 2012 | CABG + gender effect | 495 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | Improvement in all domains was observed. Women significantly score lower at baseline and 6 m posttreatment compared to men. | | | | |

| 28 | Mathisen, 2005 | CABG on vs off | 120 | Prospective, cross- | 3 (3 m, 6 m, 12 m) | QoL improved in both groups. NS differences |
|----|---------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|---|
| 29 | Merkouris, 2009 | CABG + age effect | 63 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (4 m, 12 m) | Pts demonstrated a significant improvement in all domains except cognitive. Almost 1/3 of pts reported cognitive decline. At 12 months posttreatment, women scored significantly lower than men. |
| 30 | Peric, 2010 | CABG + gender effect | 243 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | Pts demonstrated improvement after treatment. Women scored worse preoperatively and postoperatively than men. |
| 31 | Pfaffenberger, 2010 | CABG + anxiety, depression effect | 54 | Prospective, repeated measures | 2 (4 w, 3 m) | Pts demonstrated significant improvement after treatment Anxiety was a sig predictor for scores in all QoL subdomains when the MacNew is used as the outcome measure but only the Mental Health domain when the SF-36 was used. Depression was a significant predictor of only mental health. |
| 32 | Pirraglia, 2003 | CABG | 590 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | Pts demonstrated significant improvement posttreatment. |
| 33 | Puskas, 2004 | CABG on vs off | 200 | Prospective, repeated measures | 4 (4w, 6w, 6 m, 12 m) | Pts demonstrate significant improvement posttreatment. NS differences between groups were observed. |
| 34 | Rothenhäusler, 2010 | CABG + depression, PTSD | 147 | Prospective, repeated measures | 1 (6 m) | Pts demonstrated significant improvement posttreatment. Clinical depression and PTSD were associated with lower levels of improvement. |

PTSD = posttraumatic stress disorder.

| Table 3 | Central tendency an | d variability in the | impact of coron | ary treatment on | health-related | quality of life. |
|---------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|
|---------|---------------------|----------------------|-----------------|------------------|----------------|------------------|

| Groups | k | N (pre/last follow-up) | Hedge's g (95% CI) | | Homogeneity of effect sizes | |
|---------------------|----------|------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | Fixed-effects assumptions | Random-effects assumptions | / ^{2†} | $	au^{2\ddagger}$ |
| Prior to sensitivit | y analy | rsis | | | | |
| All studies (CR) | 34 | 14375/12502 | 0.53 (0.51, 0.56) | 0.59 (0.48, 0.69) | 93.02 (91.21, 94.45) | 0.08 |
| PCI groups | 14 | 8027/6857 | 0.43 (0.39, 0.46) | 0.55 (0.40, 0.69) | 93.01 (89.93, 95.15) | 0.06 |
| CABG groups | 26 | 6348/5645 | 0.67 (0.64, 0.71) | 0.60 (0.48, 0.72) | 89.95 (86.59, 92.47) | 0.08 |
| MED groups | 7 | 1617/1039 | 0.25 (0.18, 0.33) | 0.25 (0.18, 0.33) | 0.00 (0.00, 70.81) | 0.00 |
| After exclusions of | of outli | ers | | | | |
| All studies (CR) | 19 | 5431/4656 | 0.54 (0.49, 0.57) | 0.53 (0.47, 0.59) | 29.92 (0.00, 59.85) | 0.01 |
| PCI groups | 6 | 1955/1742 | 0.50 (0.44, 0.57) | 0.48 (0.38, 0.59) | 52.02 (0.00, 79.58) | 0.01 |
| CABG groups | 15 | 3476/2914 | 0.54 (0.49, 0.59) | 0.54 (0.47, 0.60) | 25.42 (0.00, 0.45) | 0.01 |
| MED groups | 3 | 390/290 | 0.32 (0.17,0.43) | 0.32 (0.17,0.43) | 0.00 (0.00, 89.60) | 0.00 |

As l^2 increases from 0, the presence of heterogeneity can be assumed ($l^2 = 25$ defined minor heterogeneity, $l^2 = 50$ defined moderate heterogeneity, and $l^2 = 75$ defined considerable heterogeneity). Presence of heterogeneity represented inconsistencies among individual study results.

As τ^2 increases from 0, the presence of heterogeneity was assumed ($\tau^2 > 1$, considerable heterogeneity is present).

[†] based on precision.

[‡] based on variance.

PCI experienced a significantly greater improvement of HRQoL over patients treated with medicines (MED) while patients treated with PCI and CABG did not differ significantly from each other (CABG > MED, md = 0.35, p < .005; PCI > MED, md = 0.32, p < .05; CABG > PCI, md = 0.03, p = 0.72). Furthermore, when considering only the six studies that included both PCI and CABG patients, the difference in improvement of HRQoL was also nonsignificant (p = 0.12).

Additionally, when the t^2 index was used to detect the level of heterogeneity in CR effect size, all studies seemed to be homogeneous ($t^2 = 0.08$), while when the l^2 estimate was used, a high level of heterogeneity ($l^2 = 93\%$) was identified, suggesting that there were studies that should be excluded as outliers.

In the examination of publications biases, *Begg's* test (z = 0.03, p = 0.98) and *Egger's* test [t = 4.98 (CI = 0.25, 0.61), p = 0.19] results rejected the probability of a significant publication bias.

Sensitivity analyses demonstrated that 15 studies should be excluded as outliers. Table 3 and Figure 3 demonstrate the number of studies retained. Even after their exclusion, the combined effect size on HRQoL remained moderate for both types of coronary revascularization and low for MED. Overall, the mean difference became slightly lower but no essential changes were detected, [F(2,32)=10.42, p<0.001, CABG > MED, md = 0.27, p<0.001; PCI > MED,md = 0.20, p<0.001, CABG > PCI, md = 0.07, p = 0.28).

The forest plots (Figures 2 and 3) provide graphical representations of effects sizes and CIs before and after sensitivity analysis.

3.2. Moderator analysis

Including all 34 studies, three factors were associated with the outcome: type of instrument, time (study duration) and study quality. Multiple linear regression analysis of mean difference weighted by the inverse variance demonstrated that these moderators accounted for 96.5% of the outcome variability. In fact, 13% of the variance was accounted for by study quality [F(1,38) = 6.69, p < 0.05], and 83.6% of the variance could be attributed to type of instrument [F(7,31)]= 109.98, p < 0.0011, while time (study duration) accounted for a small but significant 1% of the variability [F(2,29)]= 4.29, p < 0.05]. Specifically, analysis indicated that these variables had larger effects in lower quality studies (b = -2.69, t(33) = -2.36, p = 0.025), in studies with (b = -0.52,short-term follow-ups t(33) = -2.87p = 0.007) and for disease-specific measures of HRQoL (e.g., a larger effect for the disease-specific measure SAQ (b = 20.83, t(33) = 10.25, p < 0.001) than for the generic SF-36 (b = 11.91, t(33) = 14.66, p < 0.001)).

When analyses were repeated with 19 studies (after excluding outliers and poorer quality studies), the effect of CR on HRQoL was still significant (Table 3). However, study quality and study duration were no longer significant predictors, and the effect of HRQoL measurement type could be not analyzed, as the majority of remaining studies (89%) used the SF-36.

3.3. Subgroup analysis: Psychosocial vs Physical domains

Subgroup analysis was conducted among the studies using the SF-36 to assess HRQoL following CR to detect any differences in the effect of CR on HRQoL within the psychosocial and physical subdomains. In 21 studies (participant n = 5,232), findings revealed that patients reported greater mean changes in the physical than the psychosocial domains (ES = 4.45, 95% CI, 3.93 to 5.20; p < 0.05).

However, the mean difference (md) between the physical and psychosocial domains were significant for CABG but not PCI (Figure 4). Meta-regression analysis for the influence of potential moderators on the differences in CABG effect sizes between the physical and psychosocial dimensions did not reveal any significant results.



Figure 2 Forest plot diagram showing the effect (hg) of CR within each study and the overall effect (k = 34) after synthesis of overall pooled effect. CI = confidence interval. Q = 472.67, p < 0.005, $l^2 = 93.02\%$.

4. Discussion

The present systematic review and meta-analysis identified 34 studies evaluating the effect of CR on improvements in HRQoL to compare the effects of PCI and CABG on and to identify factors moderating improvements in HRQoL following CR.

PCI and CABG had moderate effects on improving HRQoL. Both were significantly better than medication treatment but did not differ from each other. These findings were consistent with those of Blankenship et al. $(2013)^{12}$ and Jokinen et al. (2010),⁸ although these authors examined only PCI and only CABG, respectively. The present study is the first to examine both procedures simultaneously.

Regarding the duration of improved HRQoL, the effect size was slightly greater at 12 months than at 36 or 48 months after CR (data not presented). This evidence is in line with Blankenship et al.'s $(2013)^{12}$ conclusions.

For both CR procedures, type of instrument used to measure HRQoL and study quality were strong moderators of the outcome. A discussion exists in the literature regarding the instruments used in HRQoL research. In the current paper, all studies used valid and reliable measures; however, the majority used generic rather than diseasespecific measures. Studies using a disease-specific instrument reported a greater effect size than studies using generic measures. As there has been no consensus definition of QoL, results produced by various measurements may vary significantly, as each instrument may include common as well as different domains dependent upon the theoretical framework used by the authors.⁶⁶ While generic measures such as the SF-36⁶⁷ are valid and reliable, some items are likely to be less sensitive in detecting treatment effects^{68,69} for specific health conditions⁷⁰ such as a coronary revascularization.⁷¹ For example, in a rehabilitation program, the results of a generic measure led to the conclusion that the program did not improve QoL, while the results of a disease-specific measurement did demonstrate significant improvement.⁷²

Neither age nor sex predicted HRQoL, although fewer women than men had undergone CR in the included studies. These findings are in line with Lansky et al.'s^{73,74} conclusions that the seemingly worse outcomes in women may be associated with higher risk profiles in women undergoing CR and differences in mortality or health outcomes that have been observed in some studies were related to risks factors other than sex. In short, the findings of the present study imply that neither age nor gender need be considered as risk factors for lower HRQoL when treatment decisions for coronary artery disease are made.



Figure 3 Forest plot diagram showing the effect (hg) of CR within each study and the overall effect (k = 19) after excluding outliers. CI = confidence interval. Q = 40.35, p < 0.005, $l^2 = 50.44\%$.

Subgroups analyses showed a greater effect of CR on physical functioning compared to psychosocial functioning regardless of the procedure completed. However, the post intervention mean score was similar for all subdomains of HRQoL, suggesting that the beneficial effects in the psychosocial domain may be due to patients demonstrating greater dysfunction in physical rather than psychosocial functioning prior to CR treatment. This implies that it is vital that researchers consider pre-post scores and not just compare the outcome (post) scores to draw conclusions, a point also highlighted by Noyez et al. (2011).⁹

A large body of literature has been published addressing the debate over the appropriate index for detecting heterogeneity in continuous data. Findings may change dramatically depending on the index chosen. The findings in the present study highlight this difference. When the τ index was used, homogeneity among studies was revealed and type of instrument and female sex appeared to moderate the effect of CR on improvements in HRQoL. However, when the l^2 index was employed, 15 studies were identified as outliers. With a subsequent decrease in heterogeneity after their exclusion, the effects of CABG and PCI on improvement in HRQoL were maintained; however, none of the variables examined had a significant moderating effect. This highlights two basic issues: a) that statisticians should focus on resolving this issue to allow reviewers to detect genuine heterogeneity levels and b) that findings from heterogeneous studies should be considered carefully before any recommendations are made.

Several limitations are present in this study. First, only a few studies examined the severity of angina prior to treatment or provided data for complex coronary lesions. As a result, these important variables were not included in the analysis, raising questions about the precision of the estimates for the effect of CR on HRQoL. Similarly, stressful events, depression, personality traits,⁷⁵ changes in lifestyle, adherence to medical advice and other potentially relevant factors were not considered because these were not routinely measured in the studies reviewed. Second, the majority of studies did not include control groups or alternative treatments. Only 30% of the studies reviewed presented comparisons between types of CR treatment, which may lead reviewers to a misleading conclusions. Studies using between-group designs generally demonstrated lower effect sizes for HROoL changes compared to single group designs, a difference that may be due to the manner in which effect size was estimated.²⁶ Third, although in many cases the type of medication patients used wasn't reported, when it was described, it differed dramatically between treatment groups, suggesting methodological biases that could not be controlled. Fourth, the majority of studies had a follow-up period of less than 12 months, which may not be considered a sufficient period of time to reflect the true long-term effects of a treatment. Finally, the study's overall sample and its potential effect



Figure 4 Forest plot diagram showing the mean difference (md) between physical and psychosocial domains per CR type; the first part repots CABG md (p < 0.01) and the second PCI md (p = 0.17). CI = confidence interval.

on the assessed moderators may not be considered ideal. For instance, sex may be a moderator; however, relatively few women were included in the studies reviewed. Thus, results should be considered cautiously until more studies with more women participants are published.

Measurement of HRQoL should be included in the routine assessment of coronary patients in order to have a complete picture of their condition and augment conclusions about the effectiveness of treatment. Additionally, cardiothoracic surgeons should pay attention to their patients' psychosocial functioning and, if necessary, advise them to seek professional guidance and support to maximize well-being.

Further high-quality research should be developed to enable the scientific community to establish rigorous conclusions about factors that influence CR's effect on patients' HRQoL. Specifically, more RCTs and between-group designs are needed to inform health care professionals about the beneficial aspects of each treatment. More variables should be included in each study to examine additional issues such as medical (e.g., angina), environmental/ behavioral (e.g., adherence to medication, diabetes management, obesity, and alcohol use) and psychological (e.g., stressful life events, emotional distress, and personality) factors and identify relevant predictors. Studies should also measure HRQoL at multiple time points and place a greater emphasis on female recruitment to come to a more concrete conclusion regarding the issue of gender. More studies using disease-specific HRQoL instruments should also be conducted, as the literature suggests disease-specific instruments may allow clearer and more accurate conclusions than those derived from general instruments. Studies should also report all HRQoL subdomains, not just totals, and all relevant indices should be presented (e.g., pre-post mean scores and *p* values) to avoid transformations and calculations by reviewers that might lead to misleading results.¹⁶

This meta-analysis provides empirical evidence of the beneficial impact of coronary procedures on patients' HRQoL. This information may help physicians not only to justify their decision on which procedure to use but also to inform patients about the pros and cons of each procedure in terms of HRQoL. Determination of best practices in research requires careful methodological consideration to maximize study quality and produce trustworthy conclusions. Despite the advancements that have been achieved, considerable challenges and questions remain to be addressed.

References

- Jeremias A, Kaul S, Rosengart TK, Gruberg L, Brown DL. The impact of revascularization on mortality in patients with nonacute coronary artery disease. *Am J Med.* 2009;122:152–161.
- Bravata DM, Gienger AL, McDonald KM, et al. Systematic review: the comparative effectiveness of percutaneous coronary

interventions and coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147:703-716.

- Hlatky MA, Boothroyd DB, Bravata DM, et al. Coronary artery bypass surgery compared with percutaneous coronary interventions for multivessel disease: a collaborative analysis of individual patient data from ten randomised trials. *Lancet*. 2009;373:1190–1197.
- 4. Sawatzky JA, Naimark BJ. Coronary artery bypass graft surgery: exploring a broader perspective of risks and outcomes. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2009;24:198–206.
- 5. WHO. Official records of the world health organization. In: *WHO*. 1948. Geneva.
- 6. Bowling A, ed. *Measuring Disease*. New York: Open University Press Inc; 2001.
- Patrick DL, Burke LB, Powers JH, et al. Patient-reported outcomes to support medical product labeling claims: FDA perspective. Value Health. 2007;10(Suppl 2):S125–S137.
- Jokinen JJ, Hippelainen MJ, Turpeinen AK, Pitkanen O, Hartikainen JE. Health-related quality of life after coronary artery bypass grafting: a review of randomized controlled trials. J Card Surg. 2010;25:309–317.
- Noyez L, de Jager MJ, Markou AL. Quality of life after cardiac surgery: underresearched research. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac* Surg. 2011;13:511–514.
- Sun JH, Wu XY, Wang WJ, Jin LL. Cognitive dysfunction after off-pump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass surgery: a meta-analysis. J Int Med Res. 2012;40:852–858.
- 11. Cormack F, Shipolini A, Awad WI, et al. A meta-analysis of cognitive outcome following coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev.* 2012;36:2118–2129.
- 12. Blankenship JC, Marshall JJ, Pinto DS, et al. Effect of percutaneous coronary intervention on quality of life: a consensus statement from the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2013;81: 243–259.
- **13.** Soo Hoo SY, Gallagher R, Elliott D. Systematic review of healthrelated quality of life in older people following percutaneous coronary intervention. *Nurs Health Sci.* 2014;16:415–427.
- Abah U, Dunne M, Cook A, et al. Does quality of life improve in octogenarians following cardiac surgery? A systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2015;5:e006904.
- (CRD) CfRaD. Systematic Reviews_ CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. In: *Dissemination CfRa*. University of York; 2008.
- 16. Collaboration TC. Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions. In: Higgins JPT, Green S, eds. *Version 5.1.0 ed*; 2011. Available www.cochrane-handbook.org.
- 17. Field AP, Gillett R. How to do a meta-analysis. Br J Math Stat Psychol. 2010;63:665-694.
- Greenhalgh T, Peacock R. Effectiveness and efficiency of search methods in systematic reviews of complex evidence: audit of primary sources. *BMJ*. 2005;331:1064–1065.
- 19. Holmes Jr DR, Williams DO. Catheter-based treatment of coronary artery disease: past, present, and future. *Circ Cardiovasc Interv*. 2008;1:60–73.
- 20. Thanikachalam M, Lombardi P, Tehrani HY, Katariya K, Salerno TA. The history and development of direct coronary surgery without cardiopulmonary bypass. *J Card Surg*. 2004;19: 516–519.
- Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1998;52: 377–384.
- 22. CASP. Critical Appraisal Skills UK. 2013.
- 23. Olive ML, Smith BW. Effect size calculations and single subject designs. *Educ Psychol*. 2005;25:313–324.

- 24. Hedges LV, Olkin I. Statistical Methods for Meta-analysis. Orlando: Academic Press; 1985.
- 25. Becker BJ. Synthesizing standardized mean-change measures. Br J Math Stat Psychol. 1988;41:257–278.
- Morris SB, DeShon RP. Combining effect size estimates in metaanalysis with repeated measures and independent-groups designs. *Psychol Methods*. 2002;7:105–125.
- 27. Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in metaanalysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ*. 1997;315: 629–634.
- Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, et al. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. BMJ. 2011;343:d5928.
- Bax L, Yu LM, Ikeda N, Moons KG. A systematic comparison of software dedicated to meta-analysis of causal studies. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2007;7:40.
- Bax L, Yu LM, Ikeda N, Tsuruta H, Moons KG. Development and validation of MIX: comprehensive free software for metaanalysis of causal research data. BMC Med Res Methodol. 2006;6:50.
- 31. IBM. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, Version 20.0. In: 2011 ICR. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp; 2011.
- Benzer W, Hofer S, Oldridge NB. Health-related quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease after different treatments for angina in routine clinical practice. *Herz*. 2003;28:421–428.
- **33.** Hofer S, Benzer W, Schussler G, von Steinbuchel N, Oldridge NB. Health-related quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease treated for angina: validity and reliability of German translations of two specific questionnaires. *Qual Life Res.* 2003;12:199–212.
- 34. Cohen DJ, Van Hout B, Serruys PW, et al. Quality of life after PCI with drug-eluting stents or coronary-artery bypass surgery. N Engl J Med. 2011;364:1016-1026.
- **35.** Zhang Z, Mahoney EM, Stables RH, et al. Disease-specific health status after stent-assisted percutaneous coronary intervention and coronary artery bypass surgery: one-year results from the Stent or Surgery trial. *Circulation*. 2003;108:1694–1700.
- **36.** de Quadros AS, Lima TC, Rodrigues AP, et al. Quality of life and health status after percutaneous coronary intervention in stable angina patients: results from the real-world practice. *Catheter Cardiovasc Interv.* 2011;77:954–960.
- **37.** Denvir MA, Lee AJ, Rysdale J, et al. Influence of socioeconomic status on clinical outcomes and quality of life after percutaneous coronary intervention. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2006;60:1085–1088.
- Colak Z, Segotic I, Uzun S, Mazar M, Ivancan V, Majeric-Kogler V. Health related quality of life following cardiac surgery-correlation with EuroSCORE. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2008;33:72–76.
- **39.** Damgaard S, Lund JT, Lilleør NB, Perko MJ, Madsen JK, Steinbrüchel DA. Comparably improved health-related quality of life after total arterial revascularization versus conventional coronary surgery—Copenhagen arterial revascularization randomized patency and outcome trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2011;39:478–483.
- 40. Gjeilo KH, Wahba A, Klepstad P, Lydersen S, Stenseth R. The role of sex in health-related quality of life after cardiac surgery: a prospective study. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil*. 2008; 15:448–452.
- Hunt JO, Hendrata MV, Myles PS. Quality of life 12 months after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Heart Lung.* 2000;29: 401–411.
- 42. Kiebzak GM, Pierson LM, Campbell M, Cook JW. Use of the SF36 general health status survey to document health-related quality of life in patients with coronary artery disease: effect of disease and response to coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Heart Lung*. 2002;31:207–213.

- **43.** Krannich JH, Lueger S, Weyers P, Elert O. Health-related quality of life two days before, ten days and one year after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Thorac Cardiovasc Surg.* 2007;55:288–292.
- 44. Krecki R, Drozdz J, Szcześniak P, et al. Quality of life in highrisk patients with stable multivessel coronary artery disease treated either medically or with coronary artery bypass graft surgery – 12-month follow-up. *Kardiologia Polska*. 2010;68: 22–30.
- **45.** Mathisen L, Andersen MH, Hol PK, et al. Patient-reported outcome after randomization to on-pump versus off-pump coronary artery surgery. *Ann Thorac Surg.* 2005;79:1584–1589.
- 46. Merkouris A, Apostolakis E, Pistolas D, Papagiannaki V, Diakomopoulou E, Patiraki E. Quality of life after coronary artery bypass graft surgery in the elderly. *Eur J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2009;8:74–81.
- **47.** Puskas JD, Williams WH, Mahoney EM, et al. Off-pump vs conventional coronary artery bypass grafting: early and 1-year graft patency, cost, and quality-of-life outcomes: a randomized trial. *JAMA*. 2004;291:1841–1849.
- **48.** Barolia R, Ali F, Jaffar S. Coronary artery bypass grafting: quality of life of patients in Karachi. *Br J Nurs*. 2012;21: 349–355.
- **49.** Houlind K, Kjeldsen BJ, Madsen SN, et al. On-pump versus offpump coronary artery bypass surgery in elderly patients: results from the Danish on-pump versus off-pump randomization study. *Circulation*. 2012;125:2431–2439.
- 50. Jensen BØ, Hughes P, Rasmussen LS, Pedersen PU, Steinbrüchel DA. Health-related quality of life following offpump versus on-pump coronary artery bypass grafting in elderly moderate to high-risk patients: a randomized trial. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg.* 2006;30:294–299.
- Li R, Yan BP, Dong M, et al. Quality of life after percutaneous coronary intervention in the elderly with acute coronary syndrome. *Int J Cardiol*. 2012;155:90–96.
- 52. Lie I, Arnesen H, Sandvik L, Hamilton G, Bunch EH. Healthrelated quality of life after coronary artery bypass grafting. The impact of a randomised controlled home-based intervention program. *Qual Life Res.* 2009;18:201–207.
- **53.** Martin LM, Holmes SD, Henry LL, et al. Health-related quality of life after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery and the role of gender. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med*. 2012;13:321–327.
- 54. Melberg T, Nordrehaug JE, Nilsen DW. A comparison of the health status after percutaneous coronary intervention at a hospital with and without on-site cardiac surgical backup: a randomized trial in nonemergent patients. *Eur J Cardiovasc Prev Rehabil.* 2010;17:235–243.
- 55. Peric V, Borzanovic M, Stolic R, et al. Quality of life in patients related to gender differences before and after coronary artery bypass surgery. *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg.* 2010;10: 232–238.
- 56. Pfaffenberger N, Doering S, Puffinger P, et al. Health-related quality of life, anxiety and depression before and after coronary artery bypass grafting. Gesundheitsbezogene lebensqualität, angst und depression vor und nach einer aortokoronaren bypass-Operation. 2010;160:44–53.
- Pirraglia PA, Peterson JC, Williams-Russo P, Charlson ME. Assessment of decline in health-related quality of life among angina-free patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *Cardiology*. 2003;99:115–120.
- 58. Rothenhäusler HB, Stepan A, Hetterle R, Trantina-Yates A. The effects of coronary artery bypass graft surgery on health-related quality of life, cognitive performance, and emotional status outcomes: A prospective 6-month follow-up consultation-liaison psychiatry study. Prospektive untersuchung zu den

auswirkungen aortokoronarer bypassoperationen auf die gesundheitsbezogene lebensqualität, kognitive performanz und emotionale befindlichkeit im 6-monats-verlauf: Ergebnisse einer konsiliarpsychiatrischen follow-up-studie. 2010; 78:343–354.

- **59.** Weilu Z, Yong L, Yongping Y, et al. Health-related quality of life in chinese patients with coronary heart disease after percutaneous coronary intervention with stent. *Sci Res Essays*. 2011; 6:1232–1239.
- **60.** Wong MS, Chair SY. Changes in health-related quality of life following percutaneous coronary intervention: a longitudinal study. *Int J Nurs Stud.* 2007;44:1334–1342.
- **61.** Lukkarinen H, Hentinen M. Treatments of coronary artery disease improve quality of life in the long term. *Nurs Res.* 2006; 55:26–33.
- Škodová Z, van Dijk JP, Nagyová I, et al. Psychosocial predictors of change in quality of life in patients after coronary interventions. *Heart Lung.* 2011;40:331–339.
- **63.** Viswanathan GN, Mayurathan G, Hildreth T, Worthley SG, Zaman AG. Health related quality of life after percutaneous coronary revascularisation in patients with previous coronary artery bypass grafts: a two-year follow up study. *Appl Res Qual Life*. 2011;6:311–324.
- Weintraub WS, Spertus JA, Kolm P, et al. Effect of PCI on quality of life in patients with stable coronary disease. N Engl J Med. 2008;359:677–687.
- **65.** Azzopardi S, Lee G. Health-related quality of life 2 years after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2009; 24:232–240.
- 66. Kendel F, ed. Gender and Recovery from Coronary Artery Bypass Surgery: A Psychological Perspective. Germany: Steinkopff Verlag; 2009.
- 67. Ware Jr JE, Sherbourne CD. The MOS 36-item short-form health survey (SF-36). I. Conceptual framework and item selection. *Med Care*. 1992;30:473–483.
- **68.** Guyatt GH, Ferrans CE, Halyard MY, et al. Exploration of the value of health-related quality-of-life information from clinical research and into clinical practice. *Mayo Clin Proc.* 2007;82: 1229–1239.
- **69.** Wiebe S, Guyatt G, Weaver B, Matijevic S, Sidwell C. Comparative responsiveness of generic and specific quality-of-life instruments. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2003;56:52–60.
- **70.** Jordan-Marsh M. The SF-36 quality-of-life instrument: updates and strategies for critical care research. *Crit Care Nurse*. 2002; 22:35–43.
- Schroter S, Lamping DL. Coronary revascularisation outcome questionnaire (CROQ): development and validation of a new, patient based measure of outcome in coronary bypass surgery and angioplasty. *Heart*. 2004;90:1460–1466.
- Guyatt GH, King DR, Feeny DH, Stubbing D, Goldstein RS. Generic and specific measurement of health-related quality of life in a clinical trial of respiratory rehabilitation. J Clin Epidemiol. 1999;52:187–192.
- 73. Lansky AJ, Costa RA, Mooney M, et al. Gender-based outcomes after paclitaxel-eluting stent implantation in patients with coronary artery disease. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2005;45:1180–1185.
- **74.** Lansky AJ, Hochman JS, Ward PA, et al. Percutaneous coronary intervention and adjunctive pharmacotherapy in women: a statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2005;111:940–953.
- **75.** Middel B, El Baz N, Pedersen SS, van Dijk JP, Wynia K, Reijneveld SA. Decline in health-related quality of life 6 months after coronary artery bypass graft surgery: the influence of anxiety, depression, and personality traits. *J Cardiovasc Nurs*. 2014;29:544–554.