

1 **WCK 4234, a novel diazabicyclooctane potentiating carbapenems against Enterobacteriaceae,**
2 ***Pseudomonas* and *Acinetobacter* with class A, C and D β -lactamases**

3

4 **Shazad MUSHTAQ,¹ Anna VICKERS,¹ Neil WOODFORD,¹ David M LIVERMORE^{1,2*}**

5 ¹*Antimicrobial Resistance and Healthcare Associated Infections (AMRHA) Reference Unit, National*
6 *Infection Service, Public Health England, 61 Colindale Avenue, London UK NW9 5EQ;* ²*University of*
7 *East Anglia, Norwich Research Park Norwich, Norfolk, UK NR4 7TJ.*

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 **Running head:** Diazabicyclooctane WCK 4234 *versus* class A, C and D β -lactamases

17

18 ***Corresponding author:**

19 David M Livermore
20 Professor of Medical Microbiology
21 Floor 2, Bob Champion Research & Educational Building,
22 James Watson Road,
23 University of East Anglia,
24 Norwich Research Park,
25 NORWICH, NR4 7UQ
26
27 Tel 01603-597-568
28 d.livermore@uea.ac.uk

29

30 **Background.** Several diazabicyclooctanes (DBOs) are under development as inhibitors of Class A and
31 C β -lactamases. Inhibition of OXA (Class D) carbapenemases is variable, with those of *Acinetobacter*
32 spp. remaining notably resistant. We describe a novel DBO, WCK 4234 (Wockhardt), with distinctive
33 activity against OXA carbapenemases. **Methods.** MICs of imipenem and meropenem were
34 determined by CLSI agar dilution with WCK 4234 added at 4 or 8 mg/L. Test organisms were clinical
35 Enterobacteriaceae, *Acinetobacter baumannii* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* with carbapenemases
36 or carbapenem resistance via porin loss plus AmpC or ESBL activity. AmpC mutants were also tested.
37 **Results.** WCK 4234, which lacked direct antibacterial activity, strongly potentiated imipenem and
38 meropenem against Enterobacteriaceae with OXA-48/181, KPC enzymes, or with combinations of
39 impermeability and AmpC or ESBL activity, with MICs reduced to ≤ 2 mg/L in almost all cases.
40 Carbapenems likewise were potentiated against *P. aeruginosa* (n=2) with OXA-181 enzyme, with
41 MICs reduced from 64-128 mg/L to 2-8 mg/L and against *A. baumannii* with OXA carbapenemases,
42 particularly OXA-23 or hyperproduced OXA-51, with MICs reduced to ≤ 2 mg/L for 9/10
43 acinetobacters with OXA-23 enzyme. Carbapenems were not potentiated against
44 Enterobacteriaceae or non-fermenters with metallo- β -lactamases. **Conclusion.** WCK 4234
45 distinctively overcame resistance mediated by OXA-type carbapenemases, including in *A. baumannii*.
46 It behaved similarly to other DBOs against strains with KPC carbapenemases or combinations of
47 impermeability and ESBL or AmpC activity.

48

49 **Introduction**

50 β -Lactam- β -lactamase inhibitor combinations offer one of the best prospects for overcoming the
51 diversity of potent β -lactamases now challenging patient management. Interest centres on
52 diazabicyclooctanes (DBOs) and boronates.¹

53 The first DBO to be commercialised, avibactam, is licensed in combination with ceftazidime
54 and under Phase II trials with aztreonam.² A second analogue, relebactam, is in phase III trials
55 combined with imipenem-cilastatin.¹ Two further analogue – zidebactam (combined with cefepime)³
56 and OP0595/RG6080⁴– are in earlier-stage clinical development. All these DBOs inhibit class A and C
57 β -lactamases, including ESBLs, KPC and AmpC types, whereas none inhibits metallo- (Class B) β -
58 lactamases (MBL), though these may be out-flanked by using aztreonam, which is stable to MBLs, as
59 the partner β -lactam,⁵ or where the DBO itself has antibacterial activity, as with RG6080 (which is
60 active *versus* Enterobacteriaceae) or zidebactam (which is active against *P. aeruginosa* as well as
61 Enterobacteriaceae).^{3,4} Class D carbapenemases are variably overcome by DBOs. Avibactam
62 inhibits OXA-48-like enzymes⁶ and is combined with ceftazidime and aztreonam,^{6,7} which anyway are
63 stable; zidebactam does not inhibit these β -lactamases, but is combined with cefepime, which
64 likewise is stable;⁸ relebactam does not inhibit and does not potentiate imipenem against
65 Enterobacteriaceae with OXA-48-like β -lactamases.⁹ None of the published analogues protects
66 against the OXA-23, -40, -51 and -58 type carbapenemases that cause most carbapenem resistance
67 in *Acinetobacter* spp.

68 We describe here a novel DBO, WCK 4234 which is being developed for combination with
69 meropenem as WCK 5999 (figure 1), with distinctive activity against Class D β -lactamases, including
70 those of *Acinetobacter* spp., as well as class A and C types.

71

72 **Materials and methods**

73 *Isolates*

74 Clinical isolates (n=348) were referred by UK diagnostic laboratories to PHE for investigation of
75 resistance or were collected in during resistance surveys. They were identified using API20E or
76 API20NE strips (bioMerieux, Marcy l'Etoile, France) or by MALDI-ToF mass spectroscopy (Maldi-
77 Biotyper, Bruker, Bremen, Germany), except for *A. baumannii* isolates, which were identified by PCR
78 detection of *bla*_{OXA-51-like}.¹⁰ Carbapenemase genes were identified by PCR or sequencing. The
79 species split among Enterobacteriaceae with different resistance mechanisms is shown in Table 1.
80 We also tested previously-described AmpC inducibility mutant series of Enterobacteriaceae and *P.*
81 *aeruginosa* mutants with different combinations of AmpC inducibility and porin OprD expression.^{11,12}

82

83 *Antibiotics and susceptibility testing*

84 MICs of imipenem (Wockhardt, Aurangabad, India), meropenem (Sequoia Research Products,
85 Pangbourne, UK) and (as a control) ciprofloxacin combined with WCK 4234, (Wockhardt) at 0, 4 or 8
86 mg/L were determined by CLSI agar dilution¹³ using Mueller-Hinton agar from Oxoid (Thermofisher,
87 Basingstoke, UK). Ceftazidime (Sigma-Aldrich, Poole, UK), with and without avibactam 4 mg/L
88 (Wockhardt), was tested in parallel as a comparator, as was ertapenem (Wockhardt). Tests were
89 run once with the control strains advised by CLSI and further AMRHAI internal controls with ESBLs
90 and carbapenemases.

91

92 **Results**

93 *Enterobacteriaceae*

94 Susceptibility phenotypes of the clinical isolates to established β -lactams were as expected. Thus,
95 control Enterobacteriaceae without acquired resistance were susceptible to all three carbapenem
96 analogues, as were isolates with only ESBL or AmpC activity, whereas carbapenem resistance was
97 seen in isolates with KPC, MBL, OXA-48 and -181 enzymes and - particularly to ertapenem - in those
98 with combinations of porin loss and AmpC or ESBL activity (Table 2). Carbapenem MICs were widely
99 scattered for isolates with OXA-48-like (i.e. OXA-48 or 181) carbapenemases whereas isolates with
100 KPC enzymes or MBLs more consistently had high-level resistance. Ceftazidime resistance was
101 universal in all groups except for the controls, which were all susceptible, and those with OXA-48-

102 like enzymes, where ceftazidime MICs were bimodally distributed, probably reflecting the co-
103 production or not of ESBLs. Ciprofloxacin resistance was widespread but variable within groups, as
104 reflected by bimodal MIC distributions.

105 WCK 4234 lacked direct antibacterial activity at up to 128 mg/L and did not potentiate
106 ciprofloxacin (not shown). We therefore consider that its interactions with carbapenems reflected
107 β -lactamase inhibition, not PBP inhibition or permeabilisation. Summary MIC data for the clinical
108 isolates, illustrating these interactions with imipenem and meropenem, are shown in Table 2, with
109 full MIC distributions for isolates with KPC and OXA-48-like enzymes in Table 3.

110 WCK 4234, at 4 or 8 mg/L, caused four-fold or greater reductions in the geometric mean
111 MICs of imipenem and meropenem for (i) Enterobacteriaceae isolates with combinations of high-level
112 AmpC or ESBL activity and impermeability and (ii) Enterobacteriaceae with KPC, OXA-48 and OXA-
113 181 carbapenemases. In all these cases the geometric mean MICs of imipenem and meropenem fell
114 from the intermediate or (generally) resistant range to the susceptible (i.e. ≤ 1 mg/L) and, except for
115 a few isolates with KPC carbapenemases, the top-most MICs remained $\leq 2+4$ mg/L, corresponding to
116 intermediate for the unprotected carbapenems on CLSI criteria (Table 3). This 'target' of four-fold
117 reduction of geometric mean MIC was only narrowly missed for the carbapenem-susceptible AmpC
118 isolates (Table 2), and four- to eight- fold potentiation of imipenem and meropenem was widely
119 seen for the AmpC-inducible and -derepressed Enterobacteriaceae organisms in the isogenic mutant
120 series, though not for the corresponding AmpC-deficient mutants (not shown). These data support
121 the view that AmpC enzymes have a weak protective effect against carbapenems, but only confer
122 resistance if permeability is reduced.¹⁴

123 Little potentiation of carbapenems, in terms of geometric mean MICs, was seen for control
124 Enterobacteriaceae, lacking potent β -lactamases, or for carbapenem-susceptible ESBL or AmpC
125 producers, all of which were anyway susceptible to imipenem and meropenem. Nevertheless, for
126 reasons not understood, WCK 4234, 4 or 8 mg/L, engendered a *c.* two-fold lowering in geometric
127 mean MICs for imipenem, but not meropenem. No potentiation of carbapenems by WCK 4234 was

128 seen for MBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae, which were consistently resistant to carbapenems and
129 their WCK 4234 combinations.

130 Ceftazidime-avibactam achieved similarly broad activity to carbapenem-WCK 4234
131 combinations against the Enterobacteriaceae groups. At its CLSI and EUCAST breakpoint of 8+4 mg/,
132 and asides from MBL producers, resistance was confined to 1/35 carbapenem-resistant AmpC
133 strains and 1/31 with a KPC carbapenemase. Geometric mean MICs of ceftazidime-avibactam
134 nevertheless were higher than those of the carbapenem-WCK 4234 combinations for virtually all
135 test groups of Enterobacteriaceae.

136

137 *P. aeruginosa*

138 MICs of carbapenems for AmpC-hyperproducing, OprD-deficient *P. aeruginosa* isolates were
139 reduced four-fold or more by WCK 4234 at 4 or 8 mg/L (Table 2) though the potentiated values
140 often only fell into the intermediate range (4-8 mg/L, on CLSI criteria). Weaker dose-dependent
141 potentiation, with two- or three-fold reductions in geometric mean MICs, stronger for imipenem
142 than meropenem, was seen for all other *P. aeruginosa* groups except MBL producers, which
143 remained highly resistant regardless of WCK 4234. These small generalised MIC reductions for
144 imipenem, seen also with relebactam, accord with the view that inducible or derepressed AmpC
145 ordinarily gives a small degree of protection against imipenem.^{9,15}

146 With only two representatives it is impossible to be definitive about *P. aeruginosa* with
147 OXA-48-like carbapenemases; nevertheless, the imipenem and meropenem MICs for these two
148 organisms, both with OXA-181 enzyme, were reduced from ≥ 64 mg/L to 2-8 mg/L by WCK 4234 at 4
149 or 8 mg/L (Table 3). Potentiation was also seen for AmpC-inducible and –derepressed laboratory
150 strains, including those deficient for porin OprD, but not for AmpC-deficient mutants (Table 4).

151 Avibactam reduced the geometric mean MIC of ceftazidime by four-fold or more for the
152 AmpC-derepressed *P. aeruginosa* groups, with a two-fold effect for cystic fibrosis isolates and little

153 or no effect for other groups. The two OXA-181 isolates were anyway susceptible to ceftazidime at
154 2-4 mg/L, with these values unaltered by avibactam (Table 2).

155

156 *A. baumannii*

157 WCK 4234 achieved strong potentiation of both imipenem and meropenem against isolates with
158 class D carbapenemases, with geometric mean MICs reduced 8- to 40- fold (Table 2). For isolates
159 with OXA-23 – the commonest carbapenemase in *A. baumannii* in much of the world¹⁶ – imipenem
160 and meropenem MICs were reduced to the 2 mg/L CLSI breakpoint, or below, by WCK 4234 at 8
161 mg/L for 9 of 10 cases (Table 3), with this target also achieved by 4 mg/L WCK 4234 in the case of
162 meropenem. Similarly-good potentiation was seen also for isolates with hyper-produced
163 chromosomal OXA-51 enzymes, whereas WCK 4234-potentiated MICs mostly remained in the
164 intermediate and resistant ranges for isolates with OXA-24/40 β -lactamases and, for meropenem
165 only, for those with OXA-58 (Table 3). WCK 4234 had minimal effect on the carbapenem MICs for
166 control *Acinetobacter* isolates and for those with AmpC and metallo carbapenemases. Avibactam
167 did not potentiate ceftazidime against any group of *A. baumannii* isolates (Table 2).

168

169 **Discussion**

170 Carbapenemases present a growing clinical problem, which is compounded by their biochemical and
171 structural diversity and by the geographic localisation of particular types.¹⁷ These factors complicate
172 the design of both hydrolysis-evading molecules and inhibitors. KPC enzymes (Class A) dominate
173 among Enterobacteriaceae in the Americas, China, Israel, Italy and Greece¹⁸ but OXA-48-like
174 enzymes (Class D) are increasingly prominent in much of Europe as well as Africa and the Middle
175 East.¹⁹ NDM types (Class B) predominate in the Indian subcontinent,²⁰ but OXA-181, a sequence
176 variant of OXA-48, is also frequent. Globalisation is eroding these geographic patterns and the UK,
177 as an international crossroads, sees similar, albeit small, proportions of Enterobacteriaceae isolates
178 – principally *E. coli*, *Enterobacter* spp. and *Klebsiella* spp. with NDM, KPC and OXA-48-like

179 carbapenemases and a few with VIM and IMP MBLs (PHE, data on file). Also frequent are
180 Enterobacteriaceae with low-level carbapenem resistance via combinations of AmpC or ESBL activity
181 together with impermeability caused by porin loss,²¹ though these seem rarely to be implicated in
182 outbreaks. Different carbapenemases, principally the acquired OXA-23, -24/-40, and -58 types,
183 dominate in *A. baumannii*, though some isolates instead have IS*Aba1*-mediated upregulation of
184 chromosomal OXA-51 and a few have acquired metallo-enzymes.^{22,23} *P. aeruginosa* differs from
185 other species in that most carbapenem resistance does not involve acquired carbapenemases.
186 Rather, it arises via mutational loss of porin OprD, a mechanism that requires continued production
187 of AmpC β -lactamase. Efflux augments resistance to meropenem, not imipenem.²⁴ Minorities of
188 carbapenem-resistant *P. aeruginosa* isolates have acquired carbapenemases, principally MBLs.

189 As outlined in the introduction, all the DBOs under development protect partner β -lactams
190 against AmpC enzymes and class A β -lactamases, including KPC types. OXA-48-like enzymes are
191 less reliably inhibited, but can be circumvented by combining the DBO with an OXA-48-stable
192 β -lactam (e.g ceftazidime, cefepime or aztreonam)^{5,7,8} or where the DBO has direct antibacterial
193 activity, whilst none of the developmental combinations had activity, at accepted breakpoints of the
194 unprotected carbapenems, against *A. baumannii* with OXA carbapenemases. WCK 4234 thus
195 represents a further advance in DBO development, potentiating carbapenems against
196 Enterobacteriaceae with OXA-48 and -181 enzymes, *P. aeruginosa* with OXA-181 and, crucially,
197 *Acinetobacter* spp. with OXA-23, -24/-40, upregulated -51-like, and -58 enzymes.

198 Besides this unique activity against strains with Class D carbapenemases, WCK 4234
199 behaved like other DBOs,^{1,25} in potentiating its partner drugs against Enterobacteriaceae (i) with
200 combinations of AmpC or ESBL activity and impermeability, (ii) *P. aeruginosa* with AmpC and (iii)
201 Enterobacteriaceae with KPC carbapenemases. In most cases the MICs of imipenem and
202 meropenem were reduced below those of ceftazidime-avibactam, though this advantage may be
203 offset by the high breakpoint (8+4 mg/L) assigned to ceftazidime-avibactam. Generalised weak
204 potentiation of imipenem –less so meropenem– was seen for imipenem against *P. aeruginosa*,

205 probably reflecting the fact that chromosomal AmpC in this species, whether inducible or
206 derepressed, gives some protection against this carbapenem.¹⁵ Similar generalised potentiation of
207 imipenem against *P. aeruginosa* is seen with relebactam⁹ and AmpC-inhibiting penems and
208 monobactams.^{26,27}

209 In many cases – exceptions were a few Enterobacteriaceae with combinations of AmpC and
210 impermeability or KPC enzymes, several *P. aeruginosa* groups and *A. baumannii* with OXA-24/40 or,
211 for meropenem only, with OXA-58 enzymes – even the highest MICs of carbapenems-WCK 4234
212 combinations were within the current CLSI and EUCAST breakpoints for the unprotected molecules,
213 suggesting significant potential utility. Except in the case of *A. baumannii* with OXA-58 enzymes,
214 which are uncommon, there was little difference in performance between the imipenem and
215 meropenem combinations, meaning that partner decisions will best be predicated on chemical
216 stability, easy of formulation, dosing flexibility, scope for prolonged infusion and resistance to
217 inactivation renal dehydropeptidase, rather than microbiological spectrum. These factors support
218 meropenem as a partner.

219

220 **Note.**

221 **Acknowledgements.** We are grateful to Drs Mahesh Patel and Sachin Bhagwat of Wockhardt for
222 many helpful discussions and debates. These data were presented, in part, at Microbe 2016, Boston,
223 Ma. USA in June 2016, Session 373.

224 **Funding.** We are grateful to Wockhardt Ltd. for financial support of these studies.

225 **Transparency declarations.**

226 **DML:** Advisory Boards or ad-hoc consultancy for Accelerate, Achaogen, Adenium, Allecra,
227 AstraZeneca, Auspherix, Basilea, BioVersys, Centauri, Discuva, Meiji, Pfizer, Roche, Shionogi,
228 Tetrphase, VenatoRx, Wockhardt, Zambon, Zealand. Paid lectures – AstraZeneca, Cepheid, Merck
229 and Nordic. Relevant shareholdings in– Dechra, GSK, Merck, Perkin Elmer, Pfizer amounting to

230 <10% of portfolio value. **All others:** No personal interests to declare. However, PHE's AMRHAI
231 Reference Unit has received financial support for conference attendance, lectures, research projects
232 or contracted evaluations from numerous sources, including: Achaogen Inc, Allecra Antiinfectives
233 GmbH, Amplex, AstraZeneca UK Ltd, Becton Dickinson Diagnostics, The BSAC, Cepheid, Check-
234 Points B.V., Cubist Pharmaceuticals, Department of Health, Enigma Diagnostics, Food Standards
235 Agency, GlaxoSmithKline Services Ltd, Henry Stewart Talks, IHMA Ltd, Merck Sharpe & Dohme
236 Corp, Meiji Seika Kiasya Ltd, Momentum Biosciences Ltd, Nordic Pharma Ltd, Norgine
237 Pharmaceuticals, Rempex Pharmaceuticals Ltd, Rokitan Ltd, Smith & Nephew UK Ltd, Speedx Ltd.,
238 Trius Therapeutics, VenatoRx and Wockhardt Ltd.

239 **References**

- 240 1. Drawz SM, Papp-Wallace KM, Bonomo RA. New β -lactamase inhibitors: a therapeutic
241 renaissance in an MDR world. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014;**58**:1835-46.
242
- 243 2. Zasowski EJ, Rybak JM, Rybak MJ. The β -lactams strike back: ceftazidime-avibactam.
244 *Pharmacotherapy* 2015;**35**:755-70.
245
- 246 3. Livermore DM, Mushtaq S, Warner M *et al.* Activity of cefepime-zidebactam against Gram-
247 negative bacteria. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2017 in press (jac-2016-1490).
248
- 249 4. Morinaka A, Tsutsumi Y, Yamada M *et al.* OP0595, a new diazabicyclooctane: mode of
250 action as a serine β -lactamase inhibitor, antibiotic and β -lactam 'enhancer'. *J Antimicrob*
251 *Chemother* 2015;**70**:2779-86.
252
- 253 5. Livermore DM, Mushtaq S, Warner M *et al.* Activities of NXL104 combinations with
254 ceftazidime and aztreonam against carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae.
255 *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2011;**55**:390-4.
256
- 257 6. King AM, King DT, French S *et al.* Structural and kinetic characterization of
258 diazabicyclooctanes as dual Inhibitors of both serine- β -lactamases and penicillin-binding
259 proteins. *ACS Chem Biol* 2016;**11**:864-8.
260
- 261 7. Poirel L, Héritier C, Tolün V, Nordmann P. Emergence of oxacillinase-mediated resistance to
262 imipenem in *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;**48**:15-22.
263
- 264 8. Aktaş Z, Kayacan C, Oncul O. In vitro activity of avibactam (NXL104) in combination with β -
265 lactams against Gram-negative bacteria, including OXA-48 β -lactamase-producing *Klebsiella*
266 *pneumoniae*. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2012;**39**:86-9.
267

- 268 9. Livermore DM, Warner M, Mushtaq S. Activity of MK-7655 combined with imipenem against
269 Enterobacteriaceae and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2013;**68**:2286-
270 90.
- 271
- 272 10. Turton JF, Woodford N, Glover J, *et al.* Identification of *Acinetobacter baumannii* by detection
273 of the blaOXA-51-like carbapenemase gene intrinsic to this species. *J Clin Microbiol*
274 2006;**44**:2974-6
- 275
- 276 11. Mushtaq S, Ge Y, Livermore DM. Doripenem versus *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* invitro:
277 activity against characterized isolates, mutants, and transconjugants and resistance selection
278 potential. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;**48**:3086-92.
- 279
- 280 12. Mushtaq S, Ge Y, Livermore DM. Comparative activities of doripenem versus isolates,
281 mutants, and transconjugants of Enterobacteriaceae and *Acinetobacter* spp. with
282 characterized β -lactamases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2004;**48**:1313-9.
- 283
- 284 13. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. *Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility*
285 *Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically, Tenth Edition: Approved Standard M7-A10*. CLSI,
286 Wayne, PA, USA, 2015.
- 287
- 288 14. Yang YJ, Livermore DM, Williams RJ. Chromosomal β -lactamase expression and antibiotic
289 resistance in *Enterobacter cloacae*. *J Med Microbiol* 1988;**25**:227-33.
- 290
- 291 15. Livermore DM. Interplay of impermeability and chromosomal β -lactamase activity in
292 imipenem-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1992;**36**:2046-
293 8.
- 294
- 295 16. Kamolvit W, Sidjabat HE, Paterson DL. Molecular Epidemiology and Mechanisms of
296 Carbapenem Resistance of *Acinetobacter* spp. in Asia and Oceania. *Microb Drug Resist*
297 2015;**21**:424-34.
- 298
- 299 17. 17.Doi Y, Paterson DL. Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae. *Semin Respir Crit*
300 *Care Med* 2015;**36**:74-84.
- 301
- 302 18. Munoz-Price LS, Poirel L, Bonomo RA Clinical epidemiology of the global expansion of
303 *Klebsiella pneumoniae* carbapenemases. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2013;**13**:785-96.
- 304
- 305 19. Poirel L, Potron A, Nordmann P. OXA-48-like carbapenemases: the phantom menace. *J*
306 *Antimicrob Chemother* 2012;**67**:1597-606
- 307
- 308 20. Johnson AP, Woodford N. Global spread of antibiotic resistance: the example of New Delhi
309 metallo- β -lactamase (NDM)-mediated carbapenem resistance. *J Med Microbiol* 2013;**62**:499-
310 513.
- 311
- 312 21. Doumith M, Ellington MJ, Livermore DM, Woodford N. Molecular mechanisms disrupting
313 porin expression in ertapenem-resistant *Klebsiella* and *Enterobacter* spp. clinical isolates
314 from the UK. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2009;**63**:659-67.

315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334

22. Poirel L, Nordmann P. Carbapenem resistance in *Acinetobacter baumannii*: mechanisms and epidemiology. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006;**12**:826-36.
23. Higgins PG, Dammhayn C, Hackel M, Seifert H. Global spread of carbapenem-resistant *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010;**65**:233-8.
24. Livermore DM. Multiple mechanisms of antimicrobial resistance in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: our worst nightmare? *Clin Infect Dis* 2002;**34**:634-40.
25. Bush K. A resurgence of β -lactamase inhibitor combinations effective against multidrug-resistant Gram-negative pathogens. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2015;**46**:483-93.
26. Livermore DM, Chen HY. Potentiation of beta-lactams against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strains by Ro 48-1256, a bridged monobactam inhibitor of AmpC β -lactamases. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 1997;**40**:335-4
27. Zhou XY, Kitzis MD, Gutmann L. Role of cephalosporinase in carbapenem resistance of clinical isolates of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 1993;**37**:1387-9.

Table 1. Species distribution in relation to resistance mechanism among test isolates of Enterobacteriaceae

Mechanism	<i>Enterobacter</i> spp.	<i>E. coli</i>	<i>Klebsiella</i> spp.	Grand Total
AmpC	5	5	6	16
AmpC + Impermeability	13	11	11	35
ESBL	5	6	5	16
ESBL + Impermeability	11	11	10	32
KPC	11	9	11	31
MBL ^a	5	5	5	15
OXA-181		6	5	11
OXA-48	10	5	5	20
Susceptible control	5	5	5	15
Grand Total	65	63	63	191

^a Six isolates with VIM enzymes, 9 with NDM types

Table 2: Summary MIC parameters (mg/L) for WCK 4234 combinations and comparators

	n	IMP	IMP + WCK 4	IMP + WCK 8	MEM	MEM + WCK 4	MEM + WCK 8	CIP	CAZ	CAZ + AVI4	ERT
Enterobacteriaceae											
Controls GM	15	0.26	0.14	0.15	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.43	0.16	0.04
Range		0.12-0.5	0.12-0.25	0.12-0.25	≤0.03	≤0.03	≤0.03	0.008-0.25	0.12-1	0.03-0.5	≤0.06
AmpC Carb S GM	16	0.57	0.19	0.18	0.07	0.02	0.02	BM	86.7	<u>0.37</u>	0.38
Range		0.25-2	0.12-0.5	0.12-0.25	0.06-0.12	≤0.06	≤0.06	0.016->128	64->128	0.12-1	0.12-1
AmpC + Imperm. GM	35	3.2	<u>0.42</u>	<u>0.32</u>	1.5	<u>0.12</u>	<u>0.10</u>	BM	107.2	<u>0.83</u>	15.7
Range		1-32	0.12-2	0.12-2	0.12-16	0.016-2	0.016-2	0.03->128	32->128	≤0.03-32	2-128
ESBL Carβ-S GM	16	0.28	0.19	0.16	0.05	0.03	0.02	BM	43.34	<u>0.23</u>	0.13
Range		0.12-1	0.12-0.5	0.12-0.5	0.03-0.5	≤0.016-0.5	≤0.016-0.06	0.03->128	2->128	≤0.03-1	≤0.016-2
ESBL + Imperm. GM	32	1.3	0.33	<u>0.28</u>	2.0	<u>0.11</u>	<u>0.09</u>	BM	74.5	<u>0.69</u>	12.1
Range		0.25-4	0.12-2	0.12-1	0.25-16	≤0.016-1	≤0.016-0.5	≤0.016->128	2->128	0.12-8	2-64
KPC GM	31	64.0	<u>0.86</u>	<u>0.60</u>	53.5	<u>0.15</u>	<u>0.10</u>	BM	83.7	<u>0.95</u>	97.9
Range		16->128	0.12-4(16) ^a	0.12-8 (32)	8->128	0.03-4(64)	≤0.016-2(64)	≤0.016->128	16->128	0.03-4 (>128)	64->128
OXA-48 GM	20	15.5	<u>0.32</u>	<u>0.27</u>	9.85	<u>0.06</u>	<u>0.06</u>	BM	BM	0.54	38.1
Range		4-128	0.12-1	0.12-0.5	2-128	≤0.016-0.25	≤0.016-0.5	≤0.016->128	1->128	0.25-1	4->128

	n	IMP	IMP + WCK 4	IMP + WCK 8	MEM	MEM + WCK 4	MEM + WCK 8	CIP	CAZ	CAZ + AVI4	ERT
OXA-181 GM	11	19.0	<u>0.35</u>	<u>0.31</u>	17.0	<u>0.13</u>	<u>0.10</u>	67.8	53.9	<u>1.12</u>	48.0
Range		4-128	0.12-2	≤0.03-2	1-128	≤0.016-0.5	≤0.016-0.5	1-128	0.5-128	0.12-4	4-128
MBL GM	15	67.0	67.0	67.0	70.2	58.4	58.4	BM	122.3	97.1	84.5
Range		16->128	16->128	16>128	8->128	8->128	8->128	≤0.016->128	64->128	16->128	16->128
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>											
Controls GM	20	1.0	0.36	0.26	0.21	0.10	0.08	0.26	1.6	1.2	-
Range		0.25-2	≤0.06-1	0.12-0.5	0.06-2	≤0.016-1	≤0.016-1	0.03-4	0.5-8	0.25-2	-
OprD loss GM	12	19.0	8.0	6.0	4.8	4.0	4.0	0.28	2.4	2.2	-
Range		8-32	4-16	2-8	4-8	2-8	2-8	0.12-2	2-4	1-4	-
AmpC Carβ-S GM	10	1.7	0.71	0.57	0.81	0.46	0.35	BM	55.7	<u>5.3</u>	-
Range		0.5-4	0.25-2	0.12-1	0.12-2	0.06-2	0.03-1	0.12-32	16->128	4-16	-
AmpC + OprD loss GM	10	26.0	<u>6.1</u>	<u>3.7</u>	13.9	<u>3.0</u>	<u>1.7</u>	1.9	84.5	<u>4.0</u>	-
Range		16-32	1-32	0.5-16	8-16 (128)	0.5-8	0.12-8	0.12-32	32-128	2-8	-
Efflux GM	15	2.5	1.6	1.3	3.0	2.6	2.2	0.41	4.8	4.2	-
Range		0.12-32	0.12-16	0.06-16	0.06-32	≤0.016-32	≤0.016-32	0.03-1	0.03-64	0.03-16	-

	n	IMP	IMP + WCK 4	IMP + WCK 8	MEM	MEM + WCK 4	MEM + WCK 8	CIP	CAZ	CAZ + AVI4	ERT
	16										
Cystic fibrosis GM		26.9	9.1	<u>6.4</u>	21.7	8.0	6.4	4.0	83.1	38.1	-
Range		2->128	0.12-128	≤0.06-128	0.25-128	0.12-128	0.03-128	1-32	8->128	4->128	-
OXA ESBLs GM ^b	6	3.2	1.3	1.0	2.2	1.6	1.6	2.2	BM	BM	-
Range		2-32	0.5-8	0.5-8	1-8	1-4	1-4	0.25-16	4->128	2->128	-
OXA-48 GM	2	too few	too few	too few	too few	too few	too few	too few	too few	too few	-
Range		≥128	8-16	4-8	64-128	2-4	2-4	16	2-4	2-4	-
MBL GM	5	73.5	73.5	73.5	42.2	42.2	42.2	BM	64.0	73.5	-
Range		32-128	32-128	32-128	16-128	16-128	16-128	0.25-128	16->128	32->128	
Acinetobacter											
Controls GM	5	0.29	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.22	0.22	0.33	4.00	4.59	3.03
Range		0.12-0.5	0.12-1	0.12-1	0.12-0.5	0.12-0.5	0.12-0.5	0.25-0.5	2-8	2-16	2-4
AmpC	10	1.1	0.54	0.44	1.2	0.81	0.87	BM	73.5	29.9	12.1
Range		0.5-2	0.25-1	0.25-1	0.25-2	0.25-2	0.25-2	0.5-128	8->128	8-64	8-32
OXA-23	10	48.50	<u>2.14</u>	<u>1.62</u>	45.25	<u>1.74</u>	<u>1.15</u>	103.98	128.07	51.98	>128
Range		16-128	0.25-4	0.5-4	32-128	0.5-8	0.5-4	32->128	≥128	8-128	>128

	n	IMP	IMP + WCK 4	IMP + WCK 8	MEM	MEM + WCK 4	MEM + WCK 8	CIP	CAZ	CAZ + AVI4	ERT
OXA-24/40	10	59.7	<u>4.9</u>	<u>2.8</u>	78.8	<u>5.7</u>	<u>3.0</u>	BM	48.5	19.7	128.1
Range		16-128	1-32	0.5-16	32->128	1-32	0.5-16	2->128	8-128	4-32	>128
OXA-51-ISAba1	10	16.0	<u>0.87</u>	<u>0.62</u>	36.8	<u>1.2</u>	<u>1.2</u>	90.5	104.0	34.3	>128
Range		8-32	0.5-4	0.25-2	16->128	0.25-4	0.5-4	4-128	16->128	16->128	≥128
OXA-58	10	39.4	<u>2.1</u>	<u>1.4</u>	19.7	<u>3.0</u>	<u>2.6</u>	68.6	>128	90.56	104.00
Range		32-64	1-4	0.5-4	8-32	0.5-16	0.5-16	16->128	≥128	16->128	64->128
MBL (NDM)	5	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	>128	BM	>128	>128	>128
Range		≥128	≥128	≥128	≥128	≥128	≥128	0.25-128	>128	>128	>128

Abbreviations: AVI, avibactam; BM, bimodal distribution, invalidating geometric mean; Carb S, susceptible to carbapenems at CLSI breakpoints; CAZ, ceftazidime; CIP, ciprofloxacin; ERT, ertapenem; GM, geometric mean; IMP, imipenem; MEM, meropenem, WCK 4/WCK 8, WCK 4234 at 4 or 8 mg/L respectively.

^a Single isolate with MIC far outside the general range; ^b Isolates with ESBL variants belonging to the OXA-2 and -10 families

Underlining highlights cases where the geometric mean MIC for an inhibitor combination was at least four-fold lower than for the unprotected β -lactam

Table 3. MIC distributions of carbapenems and their WCK 4234 combinations for groups of isolates with KPC and Class D carbapenemases

	No. isolates with indicated MIC (mg/L)														
	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128
Enterobacteriaceae KPC															
Imipenem											2	9	7	8	5
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L				1	8	6	7	4	3		2				
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L				2	12	6	5	3	1	1		1			
Meropenem										2	3	8	6	6	6
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L		11	6	1	4	3	2	2	1				1		
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L	1	13	5	2	4	3		1					1		
Enterobacteriaceae OXA-48															
Imipenem									6	4	2	4	1	3	
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L				4	6	9	1								
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L				5	8	7									
Meropenem								5	3	2	5	2	2	1	
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L	1	6	7	4	2										
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L	1	7	5	5	1	1									
Enterobacteriaceae OXA-181															
Imipenem									4	1		4	1	1	
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L				3	4	2		2							
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L			1	3	3	2		2							
Meropenem							2		3			2	2	2	

	No. isolates with indicated MIC (mg/L)														
	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L	1	2		3	3	2									
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L	1	2	1	5	1	1									
<i>P. aeruginosa</i> OXA-48															
Imipenem														1	1
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L										1	1				
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L									1	1					
Meropenem													1	1	
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L								1	1						
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L								1	1						
<i>Acinetobacter</i> OXA-23															
Imipenem											1	3	5	1	
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L					1		1	3	5						
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						2		7	1						
Meropenem												6	3	1	
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L						1	2	6		1					
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						1	7	1	1						
<i>Acinetobacter</i> OXA-24/40															
Imipenem											1	2	4	3	
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L							3	1	1	1	3	1			

	No. isolates with indicated MIC (mg/L)														
	0.015	0.03	0.06	0.12	0.25	0.5	1	2	4	8	16	32	64	128	>128
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						2	2		2	3	1				
Meropenem												2	3	3	2
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L							3	1		2	2	2			
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						2	2		2	2	2				
<i>Acinetobacter</i> ISAb1-OXA-51															
Imipenem										4	2	4	4		
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L						6	1	2	1						
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L					1	6	2	1							
Meropenem											4	1	4		1
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L					1	1	4	3	1						
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						1	7	1	1						
<i>Acinetobacter</i> OXA-58															
Imipenem												7	3		
IMP+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L							2	5	3						
IMP+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						1	5	2	2						
Meropenem										2	3	5			
MEM+WCK 4234, 4 mg/L						1	1	1	6		1				
MEM+WCK 4234, 8 mg/L						1	2	1	5		1				

Table 4. MICs of carbapenem-WCK 4234 combinations and comparators against AmpC and OprD mutants of *P. aeruginosa*

	Designation and phenotype	MIC (mg/L)									
		IMI	IMI + WCK 4	IMI + WCK 8	MEM	MEM + WCK 4	MEM + WCK 8	CIP	CAZ	CAZ + AVI4	ERT
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	1405-con	4	1	1	1	0.5	0.25	0.25	128	8	32
	1405 -con OprD ⁻	32	8	8	16	8	8	0.5	128	8	>128
	1405 -def	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.5	8	2	4
	1405 -def OprD ⁻	1	1	1	4	4	4	0.25	2	2	16
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	2297	2	1	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.25	0.25	2	2	4
	2297 -con	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.25	0.125	0.25	64	4	8
	2297 -con OprD ⁻	16	8	4	8	4	4	0.25	128	4	128
	2297 -def	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.25	0.125	0.125	0.25	2	2	2
	2297 -def OprD ⁻	4	2	2	4	2	2	0.25	2	2	32

Strain numbers suffixed –CON, derepressed for AmpC; -DEF, deficient for AmpC; OprD⁻, deficient for porin OprD; un-suffixed numbers inducible for AmpC. Other abbreviations are as in Table 2

Figure 1. Structure of WCK 4234

Figure 1

