Tangent map intermittency as an approximate analysis of intermittency in a high dimensional fully stochastic dynamical system: The Tangled Nature model

Alvaro Diaz-Ruelas*

Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, Ciudad de México, 04510, Mexico

Henrik Jeldtoft Jensen[†] Centre for Complexity Science and Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, SW7 2AZ, UK

Duccio Piovani[‡]

Centre for Complexity Science and Department of Mathematics, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, SW7 2AZ, UK Present address Centre for Advanced Spatial Analysis. University College London, W1T 4TJ, London, UK

Alberto Robledo[§]

Instituto de Física y Centro de Ciencias de la Complejidad,

Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Ciudad Universitaria, Ciudad de México, 04510, México

It is well known that low-dimensional nonlinear deterministic maps close to a tangent bifurcation exhibit intermittency and this circumstance has been exploited, e.g. by Procaccia and Schuster [Phys. Rev. A 28, 1210 (1983)], to develop a general theory of 1/f spectra. This suggests it is interesting to study the extent to which the behavior of a high-dimensional stochastic system can be described by such tangent maps. The Tangled Nature (TaNa) Model of evolutionary ecology is an ideal candidate for such a study, a significant model as it is capable of reproducing a broad range of the phenomenology of macroevolution and ecosystems. The TaNa model exhibits strong intermittency reminiscent of Punctuated Equilibrium and, like the fossil record of mass extinction, the intermittency in the model is found to be non-stationary, a feature typical of many complex systems. We derive a mean-field version for the evolution of the likelihood function controlling the reproduction of species and find a local map close to tangency. This mean-field map, by our own local approximation, is able to describe qualitatively only one episode of the intermittent dynamics of the full TaNa model. To complement this result we construct a complete nonlinear dynamical system model consisting of successive tangent bifurcations that generates time evolution patterns resembling those of the full TaNa model in macroscopic scales. The switch from one tangent bifurcation to the next in the sequences produced in this model is stochastic in nature, based on criteria obtained from the local mean-field approximation, and capable of imitating the changing set of types of species and total population in the TaNa model. The model combines full deterministic dynamics with instantaneous parameter random jumps at stochastically drawn times. In spite of the limitations of our approach, that entails a drastic collapse of degrees of freedom, the description of a high-dimensional model system in terms of a low-dimensional one appears to be illuminating.

PACS numbers: 05.45.Ac, 87.23.Cc, 87.23.Kg

LEAD PARAGRAPH

Intermittent dynamics in the form of long periods of little change separated by relatively short time intervals of hectic activity is observed in many complex systems. Examples include snoring, mass extinctions, financial crashes and brain activity. Such systems contain large numbers of components and very often involve stochastic processes. It was more than 40 years ago suggested by Procaccia and Schuster that important aspects of such intermittent dynamics can be captured by a simple essentially deterministic equation. It is not in general straight forward to connect in detail the complex system to the mathematics considered by Procaccia and Schuster. We consider a multi-component model of evolutionary ecology and derive how the single component equation of the type considered by Procaccia and Schuster is related to the parameters of the stochastic many component dynamics. The single component description enables us to describe aspects of the intermittent extinction dynamics that so far has eluded mathematical analysis. We also demonstrate that the single component mathematics is able to qualitatively mimic the evolution and extinction dynamics of consecutive ecologies generated by the full many component model. We think that our results expands the applicability of the analysis put forward by Procaccia and Schuster and thereby help connect the methodology

developed for deterministic and typically low dimensional dynamics to the stochastic dynamics of complex systems.

INTRODUCTION

High-dimensional complex systems, such as turbulence, relaxing glasses, biological evolution, the financial market or brain dynamics, exhibit intermittent dynamics [1, 2]. While intermittency in basic one-dimensional non-linear maps at the so-called tangent bifurcation [2] has received significant attention *e.g.* because of their universal aspects [3] and has been suggested as a universal mechanism for 1/f noise [4]. In fact the paradigmatic Pomeau-Manneville map [5] was derived to represent intermittency in weakly turbulent fluid dynamics. The relevance of such maps to high-dimensional stochastic systems depends on whether a robust macroscopic degree of freedom emerges, which is able to capture the dominant dynamics.

A case in point is the Tangled Nature (TaNa) model [1] of evolutionary ecology, since it displays intermittent evolution at the macroscopic level while microscopically individuals reproduce, mutate and die at essentially constant rates [6, 7]. Numerical simulations of the model show that the total population N(t) as a function of time t (in the scale of generations) consists of quasi-stable, steady, periods that alternate with interludes of hectic transitions, during which N(t) exhibits large amplitude fluctuations [6, 7]. The populations of species behave accordingly, during the quasi-stable periods they predominantly retain their identity, but at the transitions some species vanish, others arise, while the rest survive [6, 7].

Here we study the incidence of intermittency, as displayed close to the tangent bifurcation in low-dimensional nonlinear maps, in the macroscopic behavior of the TaNa model. We make two intents. The first one is to approximate the evolution equations of the model, via determination of mean-field lowest-order local terms to obtain a map near tangency that reproduces the prototypical quasi-stable episode. The second is to model, phenomenologically, the sequences of consecutive quasi-stable and hectic periods via a nonlinear dynamical model that makes use of the families of tangent bifurcations that occur in one-dimensional quadratic maps. We arrive at the following general picture. The dynamics of the original TaNa model is fully stochastic and fluctuations are very important in steering its progress. The one-dimensional mean-field local map near tangency, we derive, is deterministic and has the limitation, without further development, that only one quasi-stable event can be generated at a time. But this map offers criteria for the duration of the quasi-stable episodes in terms of the TaNa model parameters. Based on these criteria we introduce a stochastic element in the construction of an otherwise deterministic nonlinear dynamical model. This model reproduces qualitatively the sequences of quasi-stable periods with varying types and numbers of species as in the TaNa model. We comment on the class of nonlinear dynamical systems with this kind of stochastic element. We reach the conclusion that in spite of the approximations incurred and assumptions made our study facilitates interesting insights that hint to a radical reduction of degrees of freedom under certain circumstances.

THE TANGLED NATURE MODEL

The Tangled Nature model is a model of evolutionary ecology, which studies the macro-dynamics emerging from the dynamics of individual organisms or agents, co-evolving together and subject to a web of mutual interactions. The model is an attempt to identify possible simple mechanisms behind the myriad of complicated interactions, feedback loops, contingencies, etc., as one moves from the short time reproductive dynamics at the level of individuals, to the long time systems level behaviour. The strategy is to keep the model sufficiently simple to enable analysis, and to pinpoint the details or assumptions in the model that are responsible for the specific behaviour at the systems level. One major concern of the model has been to understand how the smooth continuous pace of the reproductive dynamics at the level of individuals, can lead to intermittent or punctuated dynamics at the level of high taxonomic structures. The model was introduced in [6, 7] and since then, the model framework has been used by several authors see *e.g.* [8–12]. A summary of some of the models features and predictions can be found in [1].

Description of the model

The dynamical entities of the TaNa model consist of agents represented by a sequence of binary variables with fixed length L [13]. We denote by $n(\mathbf{S}^a, t)$ the number of agents of type $\mathbf{S}^a = (S_1^a, S_2^a, ..., S_L^a)$ (here $S_i^a \in \{-1, 1\}$)at time tand the total population is $N(t) = \sum_{a=1}^{2^L} n(\mathbf{S}^a, t)$. A time step is defined as a succession of one annihilation and of one reproduction attempt. Annihilation consists of choosing an agent at random with uniform probability and remove the agent with probability p_{kill} , taken to be constant in time and independent on the type. Reproduction: choose with uniform probability an agent, S^a , at random and duplicate the agent (and remove the mother) with probability

$$p_{kill}(\mathbf{S}^a, t) = \frac{\exp\left(H(\mathbf{S}^a, t)\right)}{1 + \exp\left(H(\mathbf{S}^a, t)\right)},\tag{1}$$

which depends on the occupancy distribution of all the types at time t through the weight function

$$H(\mathbf{S}^{a},t) = \frac{k}{N(t)} \sum_{b} J(\mathbf{S}^{a},\mathbf{S}^{b}) n(\mathbf{S}^{b},t) - \mu N(t).$$
⁽²⁾

In Eq. (2), the first term couples the agent \mathbf{S}^a to one of type \mathbf{S}^b by introducing the interaction strength $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{S}^a, \mathbf{S}^b)$, whose values are randomly distributed in the interval [-1, +1]. For simplification and to emphasize interactions we here assume: $\mathbf{J}(\mathbf{S}^a, \mathbf{S}^a) = 0$. The parameter k scales the interactions strength and μ can be thought of as the carrying capacity of the environment. An increase (decrease) in μ corresponds to harsher (more favourable) external conditions.

Mutations occur in the following way: For each of the two copies \mathbf{S}^{a_1} and \mathbf{S}^{a_2} , a single mutation changes the sign of one of the genes: $S_i^{a_1} \to -S_i^{a_1}$, $S_i^{a_2} \to -S_i^{a_2}$ with probability p_{mut} . We define a generation to consist of $N(t)/p_{kill}$ time steps, *i.e.* the average time needed to kill all the individuals at time t. These microscopic rules generate intermittent macro dynamics[7] as shown in Fig. 1. The long quiescent epochs are called quasi Evolutionary Stable Strategies (qESS), since they do remind one of John Maynard Smith's notion of Evolutionary Stable Strategies introduced in his game theoretic description of evolution [14].



Figure 1. Left Panel: Total population as a function of time (in generations) for a single realization of the TaNa model. The punctuated dynamics is clearly visible: quasi-stable periods alternate with periods of hectic transitions, during which N(t) exhibits large amplitude fluctuations. Right panel: The average of the weight function H and the reproduction probability. The parameters are L = 10, $p_{kill} = 0.4$, $p_{mut} = 0.02$, $\mu = 0.007$, k = 40 the red line indicates p_{kill} .

The weight function H will fluctuate about the value given by the stable dynamical fixed point condition $p_{off}(H) = p_{kill}$. This suggests that the mean field value of H may indeed evolve in an intermittent way that may be captured by a tangent map. We will therefore derive the mean field map for $\langle H \rangle$.

Derivation of Mean Field map for H

To establish a map for the mean field approximation to the weight function H, we need to analyse each of the microscopic stochastic processes that can lead to a change in H. These are reproduction, with or without mutation and death. And we will make use of the fact that if a quantity, say X, undergoes the change to $X \mapsto X + \Delta$ with probability p and remains unchanged $X \mapsto X$ with probability 1 - p, then in the mean field approximation we have $\langle X \rangle \mapsto \langle X \rangle + p\Delta$. We use a short hand notation in which we label individuals and types as i, j, k... and accordingly the interaction between two types i and j as J_{ij} .

Reproduction with no mutation. We need to estimate the average change to the likelihood function, H_i for type i given that an individual of type j_0 reproduces without mutating. The change in H_i is given by

$$H_{i} \mapsto \frac{k}{N+1} \left[\sum_{j \neq j_{0}} J_{i,j} n_{j} + J_{i,j_{0}}(n_{j_{0}} + 1) \right] - \mu(N+1)$$

$$= \frac{k}{N+1} \left(\sum_{j} J_{i,j} n_{j} - \mu N \right) + \left(\frac{k}{N+1} J_{i,j_{0}} - \mu \right)$$

$$= H_{i} + \Delta_{R,0m}^{i}(j_{0})$$
(3)

We replaced N + 1 by N in the first term and introduced the change

$$\Delta_{R,0m}^{i}(j_0) = \frac{k}{N+1} J_{i,j_0} - \mu, \tag{4}$$

which will occur with probability

$$p_{R,0m}^{i}(j_{0}) = \frac{n_{j_{0}}}{N} p_{off}(j_{0}) (P_{mut}^{(0)})^{2},$$
(5)

where $P_{mut}^{(0)} = (1 - p_{mut})^L$ is the probability of no mutations occurring, and its counted twice, once for each offspring. Averaging over all possible types (of which there are $\Omega = 2^L$) we obtain

$$\bar{\Delta}_{R,0m} = \langle \Delta_{R,0m}^{i}(j_{0}) \rangle = \frac{1}{\Omega} \sum_{j_{0}} \left(\frac{k}{N} J_{ij_{0}} - \mu \right) \frac{n_{j_{0}}}{N} p_{off}(j_{0}) (P_{mut}^{(0)})^{2L} \mapsto \left(\frac{k\bar{J}}{N} - \mu \right) \langle p_{off} \rangle_{ext} (1 - p_{mut})^{2L},$$
(6)

where we have introduced \bar{J} , which denotes the strengths $J_{i,j}$ averaged over pairs of interacting extant types and similarly $\langle p_{off} \rangle_{ext}$ denotes the offspring probability average over extant types.

Reproduction with 1 mutation Next we consider the average change to the likelihood function, H_i for type *i* given that an individual of type j_0 reproduces with one copy mutating and ending in q_o and the other not mutating. The change in H_i is given by

$$H_{i} \mapsto \frac{k}{N+1} \left[\sum_{j \neq q_{0}} J_{i,j} n_{j} + J_{i,q_{0}}(n_{q_{0}} + 1) \right] - \mu(N+1)$$

$$= \frac{k}{N+1} \left(\sum_{j} J_{i,j} n_{j} - \mu N \right) + \left(\frac{k}{N+1} J_{i,q_{0}} - \mu \right)$$

$$= H_{i} + \Delta_{R,m}^{i}(q_{0}).$$
(7)

Again we have replaced N + 1 by N in the first term and introduced the change

$$\Delta_{R,m}^{i}(q_0) = \frac{k}{N+1} J_{i,q_0} - \mu, \tag{8}$$

which will occur with probability

$$p_{R,m}^{i}(j_{0}) = \frac{n_{j_{0}}}{N} p_{off}(j_{0}) p_{j_{o} \to q_{o}},$$
(9)

where

$$p_{j_o \to q_o} = p_{mut}^{d_{j_o q_o}} (1 - p_{mut})^{L - d_{j_o q_o}}, \tag{10}$$

and $d_{j_oq_o}$ is the hamming distance between the sequences j_o and q_o . This means that

$$\bar{\Delta}_{R,1m}^{i} = \sum_{j_{o}q_{o}} \left(\frac{k}{N+1} J_{i,q_{o}} - \mu\right) \frac{n_{j_{o}}}{N} p_{j}^{off} p_{mut}^{d_{j_{o}q_{o}}} (1 - p_{mut})^{L - d_{j_{o}q_{o}}}.$$
(11)

By limiting our approximation to the nearest neighbours, and proceeding like in the previous case, we obtain

$$\bar{\Delta}_{R,1m} = L p_{mut}^{(o)} p_{mut}^{(1)} \left(\frac{k\tilde{J}}{N} - \mu\right) \langle p_{off} \rangle_{ext}, \qquad (12)$$

where L is the number of first neighbours and $P_{mut}^{(1)} = p_{mut}(1-p_{mut})^{(L-1)}$ denotes the probability that exactly one L genes mutate. Notice the difference between \bar{J} introduced in Eq. (6) and the averaged quantity \tilde{J} introduced in this equation. The two differs by being averages over different sets of types. Here \tilde{J} is averaged over interaction strengths J_{ij} connecting already occupied type and types hit by a new mutation, *i.e.* types located in the perimeter of the cluster of extant reproducing sites. In contrast \bar{J} is the average of the interaction strength between extant types. We will expect that typically $\tilde{J} < \bar{J}$ because adaptation has favoured mutualistic interactions amongst the extant types. However, an accurate estimate of the two quantities from first principle is of course very difficult.

Reproduction with 2 mutations. Next we consider the average change to the likelihood function, H_i for type *i* given that an individual of type j_0 reproduces with both copies mutating and ending in q_o and q_1 . The change in H_i is given by

$$H_{i} \mapsto \frac{k}{N+1} \left[\sum_{j \neq q_{0}, q_{1}, j_{o}} J_{i,j} n_{j} + J_{i,q_{0}}(n_{q_{0}} + 1) + J_{i,q_{1}}(n_{q_{1}} + 1) + J_{i,q_{1}}(n_{q_{1}} - 1) \right] - \mu(N+1)$$

$$= \frac{k}{N+1} \left(\sum_{j} J_{i,j} n_{j} - \mu N \right) + \left(\frac{k}{N+1} (J_{i,q_{0}} + J_{i,q_{1}} - J_{i,j_{o}}) - \mu \right)$$

$$= H_{i} + \Delta_{R,2m}^{i}(q_{0}), \qquad (13)$$

where we have consider the fact that the number of individuals of the parent decreases in case of 2 mutations. Again we have replaced N + 1 by N in the first term and introduced the change

$$\Delta_{R,2m}^{i}(q_0) = \frac{k}{N+1} (J_{i,q_0} + J_{i,q_1} - J_{i,j_o}) - \mu, \tag{14}$$

which will occur with probability

$$p_{R,m}^{i}(j_{0}) = \frac{n_{j_{0}}}{N} p_{off}(j_{0}) p_{j_{o} \to q_{o}} p_{j_{o} \to q_{1}}.$$
(15)

And once again limiting our approximation to the nearest neighbour mutations we obtain

$$\bar{\Delta}_{R,2m} = L^2 (P_{mut}^{(1)})^2 \left(\frac{k\tilde{J}}{N} - \mu\right) \langle p_{off} \rangle_{ext}.$$
(16)

Notice the difference between \bar{J} introduced in Eq. (6) and the averaged quantity \tilde{J} introduced in this equation. The two differs by being averages over different sets of types. Here \tilde{J} is averaged over interaction strengths J_{ij} connecting already occupied type and types hit by a new mutation, *i.e.* types located in the perimeter of the cluster of extant reproducing sites. In contrast \bar{J} is the average of the interaction strength between extant types. We will expect that typically $\tilde{J} < \bar{J}$ because adaptation has favoured mutualistic interactions amongst the extant types. This is in fact verified by our simulations, see Fig. 3 below.

Killing event on site j_0 leads to

$$H_{i} \mapsto \frac{k}{N-1} \left[\sum_{j \neq j_{0}} J_{i,j} n_{j} + J_{i,0_{0}}(n_{j_{0}} - 1) \right] - \mu(N-1)$$

$$= \frac{k}{N-1} \left(\sum_{j} J_{i,j} n_{j} - \mu N \right) - \left(\frac{k}{N} J_{i,j_{0}} - \mu \right)$$

$$= H_{i} - \Delta_{R,0m}^{i}(j_{0}).$$
(17)

This change occurs with probability $(n_{j_0}/N)p_{kill}$.

Combining this result with the weighted results in Eqs. (6), (12) and (16) we obtain the following map, which in mean field describes how $\langle H \rangle$ changes as an effect of the microscopic reproduction and killing events

$$\langle H \rangle \mapsto \langle H \rangle + A \langle p_{off} \rangle_{ext} - B p_{kill},$$
 (18)

where the coefficients are given by

$$A = \left(\frac{k\bar{J}}{N} - \mu\right) (P_{mut}^{(0)})^2 + \left(\frac{k\tilde{J}}{N} - \mu\right) (P_{mut}^{(0)} + Lp_{mut}^{(1)}) Lp_{mut}^{(1)}$$
(19)

$$B = \frac{k\bar{J}}{N} - \mu \tag{20}$$

We have derived a map for the evolution of $\langle H \rangle$. We now need to close the map, *i.e.* we need a way to express the H^i dependency of $\langle p_{off} \rangle_{ext}$ in terms of $\langle H \rangle$. We could assume

$$\langle p_{off}(H^i) \rangle_{ext} \mapsto p_{off}(\langle H \rangle_{ext}).$$
 (21)

This procedure gives us the following map for x_n (which we use as shorthand for the iterates of $\langle H \rangle_{ext}$)

$$x_{n+1} = x_n + Ap_{off}(x_n) - Bp_{kill}.$$
(22)

The map has a fixed point a x^* given by $p_{off}(x^*) = Bp_{kill}/A$. The map is stable if A < 0 and B < 0. For AB < 0 the map is either attractive (repulsive) to the left of x^* and repulsive (attractive) to the right hand of x^* . For A < 0 and $B < 0 x^*$ is repulsive in both directions. The conclusion is that the dramatic mean field approximation suggested in Eq. (21), which corresponds to the replacement $\langle H^n \rangle_{ext} \mapsto \langle H \rangle_{ext}^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, wipes out the intermittency. To establish a mean field description of the intermittency we instead expand $p_{off}(H^i)$ in Eq. (18) to second order about x^* and replaces only $\langle H^2 \rangle_{ext}$ by $\langle H \rangle_{ext}^2$. This leads to a tangent map and we study the intermittency of this map in the next section.

ANALYSIS OF THE MAP IN THE NEIGHBOURHOOD OF TANGENCY

We expand $p_{off}(H)$ in Eq. (18) to second order about $H^* = \ln[p_{kill}/(1-p_{kill})]$,

$$p_{off}(H) = a_0 + a_1(H - H^*) + a_2(H - H^*)^2$$
(23)

where

$$a_0 = p_{kill},$$

$$a_1 = p'_{off}(H^*) = p_{kill}(1 - p_{kill}),$$

$$a_2 = \frac{1}{2}p''_{off}(H^*) = \frac{1}{2}a_1(1 - 2p_{kill})$$

We substitute Eq. (23) into Eq. (22) and obtain the following map for $\Delta = \langle H \rangle - H^*$

$$\Delta_{n+1} = b_0 + b_1 \Delta_n + b_2 \Delta_n^2 \equiv f(\Delta_n), \tag{24}$$

where

$$b_0 = a_0(A - B),$$

 $b_1 = 1 + a_1A,$
 $b_2 = a_2A.$

Let Δ_c be given by $f'(\Delta_c) = 1$ and $\epsilon = f(\Delta_c) - \Delta_c$, *i.e.* at Δ_c the map has a tangent parallel to the identity and the vertical distance to the identity at this point is ϵ and is given by

$$\epsilon = b_0 - \frac{(1-b_1)^2}{4b_2}.$$
(25)



Figure 2. The left panel shows the first 67 iterations of the map in Eq. (24), with initial condition $\Delta_0 = -1.2$. The corresponding trajectory is shown in the right panel. The set of parameter values is the same than that as for Fig. 1, with the corresponding averaged interactions $\bar{J} \approx 0.0587$ and $\tilde{J} \approx -0.000001$, thus yielding the coefficients of the map $b_0 \approx 0.060784$, $b_1 \approx 1.167990$ and $b_2 \approx 0.151191$.

In Figure 2 we show an example of an iteration of the map in Eq. (24) for a set of typical simulation parameters. The number of iterations T needed to pass through the bottleneck between the map and the identity is of order $T = \pi/\sqrt{\epsilon b_2}$ (see *e.g.* [2] Chap. 5). Hence we have

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2 = b_0 b_2 - \frac{1}{4} (1 - b_1)^2 \tag{26}$$

We can simplify this expression by only working to the lowest order in the killing probability and further more we will only include mutation processes considered above, *i.e.* single gene mutations in one or in both offspring. Let us denote by P_0 the probability that no mutation occur, *i.e.* $P_0 = (1 - p_{mut})^{2L}$. Since we neglect all other mutation events than the two kinds just described, we have the approximation

$$1 - P_0 = (P_{mut}^{(0)} + Lp_{mut}^{(1)})Lp_{mut}^{(1)},$$
(27)

in which case Eq. (19) becomes

$$A = \left(\frac{k\bar{J}}{N} - \mu\right)P_0 + \left(\frac{k\tilde{J}}{N} - \mu\right)(1 - P_0).$$
(28)

With these approximations we arrive at

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2 \simeq -\frac{k}{2N}(\bar{J} - \tilde{J})(1 - P_0) \left[\frac{k}{N}(\bar{J} - \tilde{J})P_0 + \frac{k}{N}\tilde{J} - \mu\right] p_{kill}^2.$$
 (29)

We find that $kP_0(\bar{J}-\tilde{J})/N$ is very small and hence that the expression for $(\pi/T)^2$ is well approximated by

$$\left(\frac{\pi}{T}\right)^2 \simeq -\frac{k}{2N}(\bar{J}-\tilde{J})(1-P_0)\left(\frac{k}{N}\tilde{J}-\mu\right)p_{kill}^2.$$
(30)

We conclude that our mean field analysis suggests that the length of the qESS, *i.e.* the metastable quiescent epochs, is set by four mechanisms. Firstly, it is obvious that the rate of mutations $(1 - P_0)$ influences the duration of the qESS: no mutations leads to no transitions and hence lead to $T = \infty$. Secondly, the rate of killing, i.e. the factor



Figure 3. The distribution of factors that enter into the expression Eq. (30) for the duration of the qESS. The \bar{J} and \tilde{J} are the time averaged during a qESS. The data show is sampled over 4000 qESS realisations. The parameters for the simulation is the same as in Fig. 1

 p_{kill}^2 . The rate of killing is related to the rate of offspring production, since due to the environmental coupling term $-\mu N$ in Eq. (2) on average there is a balance between reproduction and killing. Hence if p_{kill} decreases the rate of reproduction decreases and fewer mutations are produced leaving the qESS more stable and T larger. The third mechanism influencing T is the mismatch between the characteristic interaction strength between the set of extant types and mutant types located in the perimeter of the set of extant types, i.e. the factor $(\bar{J} - \tilde{J})$. One may consider this factor as being related to the selective pressure on the qESS state. Namely, if $\bar{J} = \tilde{J}$ there is no selective pressure, since the mutants are entirely like the wild types. The lack of selective pressure drives T to become infinite. Finally the term $k\tilde{J} - \mu$. Again one may see the fact that when $k\tilde{J}/N = \mu$ the duration T becomes infinite as representing the fact that a mutant population for which $\tilde{k}/N = \mu$ is already well tuned to the environmental pressure represented by μ .

It is of course interesting to try to relate the prediction for the duration T given by Eq. (30) to the actual qESS intermittency observed in simulations of the Tangled Nature model. To do this we have determined the time average values of \overline{J} and \widetilde{J} during 4000 qESS states and plot the distributions obtained in Fig. 3. In order for Eq. (30) to make sense the sign has of course to be positive. The two terms that could in principle be problematic are $(\overline{J} - \widetilde{J})$ and $(\frac{k\overline{J}}{N} - \mu)$. In Fig. 3 we show the distribution of these terms obtained from a set of 4000 measured qESS. The difference in the average J's is always positive while the second term is alway negative. Given the high stochasticity of the TaNa, this doesn't mean that a specific qESS cannot produce a negative value, but that this is extremely unlikely, and perhaps it wouldn't last long enough for us to notice it. Amongst all the realisations of \overline{J} and \widetilde{J} we recorded only 0.16% corresponds to a negative right hand side in Eq. (30).

Indeed one has to consider -Eq.(30) as a qualitative description of the duration more than a quantitative one. This is the case because of the approximations we were forced to make when deriving the mean field expressions. Moreover, it is difficult to relate the quantitive values for T obtained from Eq. (30) to the durations observed in the simulations because of the always difficult problem of relating mean field time to Monte Carlo simulation time steps.

A CONSECUTIVE TANGENT BIFURCATION MODEL

Based on the analysis in the previous section we now advance a simple nonlinear dynamical model capable of imitating some features of the macroscopic dynamics that can be typically generated by the TaNa model.

It is important to notice that the local tangent map we obtained in Eq. (2) can only generate one qESS episode since it does not provide a reinjection mechanism or alternative continuation of dynamical evolution. Therefore, to reproduce sequences of qESS episodes it is necessary to extend this first result. We shall be guided by Eq. (30) to do this. This equation establishes the factors that affect the duration T of the qESS. These factors are driving forces for the hectic bursts that terminate one qESS and generate a new one. The numbers and types of species in the sequences of qESS in the TaNa model may (and do) change from one qESS to the next. This occurs at the hectic bursts. If this feature is to be reproduced the period of the attractor associated with the tangent bifurcation should have the capability to be changed. Our model considers families of chaotic attractors in the vicinity of tangent bifurcations present in low-dimensional iterated maps that display intermittency, referred to as intermittency of type I [2]. For convenience these families can be taken from those occurring an infinite number of times in unimodal maps, as represented by the quadratic logistic map, $f_{\nu}(x) = 1 - \nu x^2$, $-1 \le x \le 1$, $0 \le \nu \le 2$.



Figure 4. Families of chaotic attractors with interspersed periodic attractor windows for the logistic map with positions in absolute values, for a range of control parameter values ν . The red lines indicate the control parameter values that correspond to the segments appearing in Fig. 6. The periods associated with the vertical red lines are, from left to right, 5, 7, 12, 9, 8, 10, 11 and 3.

Unimodal maps share self-similar families of attractors such as chaotic attractors that consist of 2^k , k = 0, 1, 2, ...,bands. For given k the 2^k-band attractors appear for a given range of the control parameter ν , with the exception of some smaller intervals where periodic attractors reappear. See Fig. 4. These intervals are control parameter windows of regular behaviour that start at a tangent bifurcation at which chaotic dynamics transforms sharply into periodic motion. The dynamics at the chaotic attractors in the vicinity of the left edge, $\nu \lesssim \nu_{\tau}$ of the window of periodic attractors, the location $\nu = \nu_{\tau}$ of the tangent bifurcation, displays intermittency, *i.e.*, at $\nu \leq \nu_{\tau}$ where ν_{τ} is the location of the tangent bifurcation. That is, the map trajectories consist of quasi-periodic motion interrupted by bursts of irregular behaviour. The iteration time duration of the quasi-periodic episodes increases as the tangent bifurcation is approached and the statistical features of these durations have been shown to display characteristics of various types of noise [4]. At the tangent bifurcation the duration of the episodes diverges and the motion becomes periodic. The opening periods τ of the windows follow the Sharkovskii ordering [15]. A single tangent bifurcation of a unimodal map linking a fixed period attractor to a chaotic one is only capable of portraying a fixed set of types of species and a fixed total number of them, *i.e.* no evolutionary change. Whereas a set of such tangent bifurcations visited sequentially according to some rules can describe consecutive quasi-stable episodes with varying numbers of species and total population, as in a evolutionary process. The following procedure, which incorporates the criteria identified above for the duration of the qESS, can be used to generate successive quasi-periodic events of different (quasi)-periods mediated by brief erratic bursts, each event associated with a different periodicity τ_n and of different duration T_n . First choose a control parameter value ν_0 just left of a window of periodicity τ_0 of the logistic map with tangent bifurcation at ν_{τ_0} , $\delta\nu_0 \equiv \nu_0 - \nu_{\tau_0} \lesssim 0$. When the map trajectory with initial condition x_0 comes out of the bottlenecks formed by $f^{(\tau_n)}(x)$ and the identity line (see Fig. 2) (to experience a chaotic burst before it is re-injected close to the bottlenecks) the control parameter of the map is changed and the trajectory continues its evolution in a different environment. This change in control parameter is mediated by a set of stochastic conditions, that when fulfilled another control parameter value ν_1 is generated just left of a window of periodicity τ_1 with $\delta \nu_1 \equiv \nu_1 - \nu_{\tau_1} \leq 0$, and so on for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ Two of these conditions refer to exceedances associated with two random variables δ_1 and δ_2 , generated by a normal and a uniform distributions, respectively. The conditions are $\delta_1 > \Gamma_1$ and $\delta_2 > \Gamma_2$ where Γ_1 and Γ_2 are two prescribed thresholds. Samplings for δ_1 and δ_2 run until the two thresholds are overcome

simultaneously a given number l of times (l = 2 for our results shown below). Then the control parameter value is changed to that of a different window chosen at random. The new value of $\delta \nu_n$ is estimated via $\delta \nu_n \sim (T_n)^{-2}$ (see *e.g.* [2] Chap. 5), where the duration T_n is given by Eq. (30). The two implemented thresholds correspond to critical values of the imbalances referred after Eq. (30),

and

$$\delta_{1} = \frac{\frac{k}{N}\tilde{J} - \mu}{p_{kill}^{2}(1 - P_{0})}$$

$$\delta_{2} = \frac{\frac{k}{2N}(\bar{J} - \tilde{J})}{p_{kill}^{2}(1 - P_{0})}.$$
(31)



Figure 5. Schematic flow diagram of the algorithm under which the consecutive tangent bifurcation model operates. The successive values of $\delta \nu_n$ are estimated via $\delta \nu_n \sim (T_n)^{-2}$. We have used l = 2 for our numerical results. See text for description.

For conciseness and clarity, in Fig. 5 we show as a flow diagram the algorithm followed by the consecutive tangent bifurcation model. The repetition of this prescription leads to the dynamical behavior shown in Fig. 6 that can be compared with that obtained from the TaNa model in Fig. 1. The quasi-periodic episode of period τ_n is identified with the quasi stable co-existence of n species for a time period T_n in the TaNa model and the chaotic burst at its ending leads to some extinctions and new mutated species of the following quasi-stable configuration.

This approach can be considered to be a phenomenological modelling of the original TaNa model. The threshold selections of the periodic windows τ_n at ν_{τ_n} and of the value of the control parameter distance $\delta\nu_n$ from the corresponding tangent bifurcation can be further elaborated, *e.g.* by devising specific rules suggested by ecological principles associated with reproduction, mutation and death, and in this way obtain a closer reproduction of the dynamics of the TaNa model. Interestingly, an average decrement of the variables $\delta\nu_n$ with increasing time *t*, that implies an average increment of the duration of quasi-stable episodes T_n with *t*, observed in the TaNa dynamical properties, signals an approach to the intermittency transition out of chaos. Our modelling by means of the dynamics associated with families of tangent bifurcations implies (in a well-defined manner restricted to deterministic nonlinear dynamics) that the ecological evolution model operates near the onset of chaos, in our case, at nearly vanishing Lyapunov exponent.

The stochastic element of the model resides only in the threshold conditions to switch from the chaotic vicinity of one tangent bifurcation to the chaotic vicinity of another. The dynamical behavior of the model between these instantaneous shift times is the known chaotic deterministic dynamics in the neighborhood of tangent bifurcations of low-dimensional attractors. In the model the time duration between control parameter ν changes corresponds to the duration of the qESS (see Fig. 6).



Figure 6. Left Panel: Iterated time t evolution of a trajectory generated by the consecutive tangent bifurcation model. The figure is composed of segments, each of which corresponds to a fixed value of the control parameter ν_n close to a tangent bifurcation of a given period τ_n . The periods of the segments are consecutively, from left to right, 11, 5, 12, 9,... Positions appear in the figure in absolute values. Iteration times t would correspond to generations in the TaNa model (as in left panel in Fig. 1). Right Panel: Log-Log plot of the distribution of durations T of the quasi stationary episodes near the tangent bifurcations of the phenomenological model. It approximates a power law with exponent -1.87 that resembles the distribution of qESS episodes obtained from simulations of the TaNa model in Ref. [6].

DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

To explore a possible relationship between the dynamical properties of low-dimensional nonlinear systems and the high-dimensional, and often stochastic, dynamics of relevance to complex systems it is necessary to identify a few robust macroscopic degrees of freedom of the latter that capture the salient features of its dynamical evolution. We have performed such an analysis for a particularly high-dimensional and particularly strongly stochastic model, namely, the Tangled Nature model of evolutionary ecology. We find that despite of the dramatic approximations involved in establishing a local one-dimensional map we nevertheless obtain meaningful and interesting statements concerning the duration of the long quiescent epochs of the TaNa model.

We then went on to describe how a simple quadratic map is able to reproduce structures that qualitatively exhibit, with a high degree of similarity, the full high-dimensional stochastic model. We advanced a nonlinear dynamical system model consisting of consecutive one-dimensional chaotic attractors near tangent bifurcations that generate time evolution patterns resembling those of the TaNa model in macroscopic scales. These tangent bifurcations are chosen from the infinite families that occur at the onset the periodic windows in the logistic map according to a threshold prescription based on the previously identified mechanisms that control the duration of the basic quasistable event generated by the local map derived from the TaNa model. These mechanisms involve imbalances between (average) values of parameters with ecological meaning that define the TaNa model. See Eq. (30) and text below it.

The manner into which randomness has been introduced in the consecutive tangent bifurcation model does not generate any unstable behavior in the low-dimensional intermittency. The random changes in the control parameter take place instantaneously according to the algorithm described in the previous section. Otherwise, the dynamics is fully deterministic, and follows known patterns associated with the families of tangent bifurcations in a quadratic map.

The generally unanticipated link we established between the macroscopic dynamics of a highdimensional stochastic model and the intermittent dynamics of low-dimensional systems requires a closer examination. This can be developed, first, by deriving under less sweeping approximations the collapse of degrees of freedom that leads to this correspondence. This would include the derivation from the TaNa model of a more complete, tangent bifurcation model to fit more closely the mutual interactions that define the TaNa model of evolutionary ecology. The occurrence of the connection between high-dimensional and low-dimensional dynamical model systems offers a new path to the study of complex systems. A possible methodology to obtain, or at least to visualize, this reduction is, as attempted here, to derive from the original model via mean-field arguments a nonlinear iterated map representation, such as a coupled map lattice. This is actually the case here for the species or agents n_i of the TaNa model when described by the approximations made on the likelihood function H_i . Such macroscopic collective behavior is known to arise in couple map lattices [16].

AR and AD-R acknowledges support from DGAPA-UNAM-IN103814 and CONACyT-CB-2011-167978 (Mexican Agencies). HJJ was supported by the European project CONGAS (Grant FP7-ICT-2011-8-317672).

- * alvaropdr@fisica.unam.mx
- [†] h.jensen@imperial.ac.uk
- [‡] duccio.piovani@gmail.com
- [§] robledo@fisica.unam.mx
- [1] P. Sibani and H.J. Jensen. Stochastic Dynamics of Complex Systems. Imperial College Press, 2013.
- [2] H.G. Schuster and W. Just. Deterministic Chaos. An Introduction. Wiley-VCH Publishers, 2005.
- [3] B. Hu and J. Rudnick. Exact solutions to the feigenbaum renormalization-group equations for intermittency. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 48:1645, 1982.
- [4] I. Procaccia and H.G.Schuster. Functional renormalization-group theory of universal 1/f noise in dynamical systems. Phys. Rev. A, 28:1210, 1983.
- [5] Y. Pomeau and P. Manneville. Intermittent transition to turbulence in dissipative dynamical systems. Commun. Math. Phys., 74:189–197, 1980.
- [6] K. Christensen, S. A. di Collobiano, M. Hall, and H. J. Jensen. Tangled nature model: A model of evolutionary ecology. J. Theor. Biol., 216:73–84, 2002.
- [7] M. Hall, K. Christensen, S. A. di Collobiano, and H. J. Jensen. Time-dependent extinction rate and species abundance in a tangled-nature model of biological evolution. *Phys. Rev. E*, 66, 2002.
- [8] Per Arne Rikvold and R. K. P. Zia. Punctuated equilibria and 1/f noise in a biological coevolution model with individualbased dynamics. *Phys. Rev. E*, 68, 2003.
- [9] R K P Zia and Per Arne Rikvold. Fluctuations and correlations in an individual-based model of evolution. J. Phys. A, 37:5135–5155, 2004.
- [10] N. Becker and P. Sibani. Evolution and non-equilibrium physics: A study of the tangled nature model. EPL, 105:18005, 2014.
- [11] A.E Nicholson and P. Sibani. Cultural evolution as a nonstationary stochastic process. *Complexity*, page DOI: 10.1002/cplx.21681, 2015.
- [12] P. Vazquez, J.A. del Rio, K.G. Cedano, M. Martinez, and H. J. Jensen. An entangled model for sustainability indicators. PLoS ONE, 10:e0135250, 2015. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0135250.
- [13] P.G. Higgs and B. Derrida. Genetic distance and species formation in evolving populations. J. Mol. Evolution, 35(5):454–465, 1992.
- [14] J. Maynard Smith. Evolution and the Theory of games. Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [15] M. Schroeder. Fractals, Chaos, Power Laws: Minutes from an Infinite Paradise. Freeman, 1991.
- [16] H. Chaté and P. Manneville. Emergence of effective low-dimensional dynamics in the macroscopic behaviour of coupled map lattices. *Europhys. Lett.*, 17:291, 1992.