The VgrG Proteins Are "à la Carte" Delivery Systems for Bacterial Type VI Effectors^{*}

Received for publication, March 10, 2014, and in revised form, April 30, 2014 Published, JBC Papers in Press, May 2, 2014, DOI 10.1074/jbc.M114.563429

Abderrahman Hachani¹, Luke P. Allsopp², Yewande Oduko, and Alain Filloux³

From the MRC Centre for Molecular Bacteriology and Infection, Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, United Kingdom

Background: The type VI secretion system (T6SS) is involved in bacterial warfare.

Results: Novel H1-T6SS-dependent toxins from *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* are identified using an *in vitro* bacterial killing assay. **Conclusion:** The newly identified toxins showed a requirement for specific VgrG proteins.

Significance: VgrG proteins may represent an independent form of T6SS-dependent secretion, resembling the contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) system.

The bacterial type VI secretion system (T6SS) is a supra-molecular complex akin to bacteriophage tails, with VgrG proteins acting as a puncturing device. The Pseudomonas aeruginosa H1-T6SS has been extensively characterized. It is involved in bacterial killing and in the delivery of three toxins, Tse1-3. Here, we demonstrate the independent contribution of the three H1-T6SS co-regulated vgrG genes, vgrG1abc, to bacterial killing. A putative toxin is encoded in the vicinity of each vgrGgene, supporting the concept of specific VgrG/toxin couples. In this respect, VgrG1c is involved in the delivery of an Rhs protein, RhsP1. The RhsP1 C terminus carries a toxic activity, from which the producing bacterium is protected by a cognate immunity. Similarly, VgrG1a-dependent toxicity is associated with the PA0093 gene encoding a two-domain protein with a putative toxin domain (Toxin_61) at the C terminus. Finally, VgrG1b-dependent killing is detectable upon complementation of a triple vgrG1abc mutant. The VgrG1b-dependent killing is mediated by PA0099, which presents the characteristics of the superfamily nuclease 2 toxin members. Overall, these data develop the concept that VgrGs are indispensable components for the specific delivery of effectors. Several additional vgrG genes are encoded on the P. aeruginosa genome and are not linked genetically to other T6SS genes. A closer inspection of these clusters reveals that they also encode putative toxins. Overall, these associations further support the notion of an original form of secretion system, in which VgrG acts as the carrier.

The type VI secretion system (T6SS)⁴ is present in most Gram-negative bacteria and is used to outcompete other

microorganisms (1, 2). This machinery is mechanistically akin to the bacteriophage tail-like injection device (3), but the tail tube is composed of the bacterial Hcp protein (4). The puncturing device capping the Hcp tube has been identified as a member of the VgrG family of proteins. These proteins contain two conserved domains that share similarity with T4 phage bacteriophage proteins, namely the gp27 and the gp5 proteins, corresponding to the N- and C-terminal parts of VgrG, respectively (5). The gp5-like domain displays a rigid β -stranded helical structure and is shaped as a needle-like component. In a few cases it has been shown that the VgrG C terminus, which is considered as a spike, could further be sharpened by the addition of a protein carrying a PAAR domain, which adopts a rigid conical shape (6). The Hcp tube has been proposed to fit within a contractile sheath-like structure, which is composed of the TssB and TssC proteins (7–10). The contraction of the sheath results in the propelling of the tube and puncturing device across cell membranes (11-13). After contraction, the ClpV AAA ATPase disassembles the sheath components by binding the TssC proteins, and a new cycle of T6SS assembly can be restarted (12, 14).

In Pseudomonas aeruginosa, one of the three known T6SSs, H1-T6SS, is well characterized and is an archetypical example of a bacterial toxin delivery machine (4). Expression of the H1-T6SS cluster is regulated by a signaling cascade which involves the Gac/Rsm pathway (15-17). The RetS sensor counteracts the GacS sensor kinase (18). Thus, in a P. aeruginosa retS mutant, the Gac pathway remains active and leads to a constitutively active and functional H1-T6SS. All core components of the T6SS are encoded by the H1-T6SS cluster, which also contains a few additional accessory genes (19-21). Two vgrG genes, namely vgrG1a and vgrG1b, belong to this cluster (22). Although located on a different region of the chromosome, an additional gene, vgrG1c, is co-regulated with the H1-T6SS genes and thus induced in a *retS* background (22). Importantly, three gene couples have also been shown to be controlled by the RetS signaling pathways and encode toxin/immunity pairs involved in H1-T6SS-dependent bacterial killing (23-25). Tse1 and Tse3 have been characterized biochemically as amidases and are involved in the degradation of peptidoglycan. Tsi1 and Tsi3 are periplasmic immunity proteins and protect the cells



^{*} This work was supported by Medical Research Council Program Grant MR/K001930/1 and the BBSRC Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council Grant BB/I019871/1.

^{*} Author's Choice—Final version full access.

¹ Supported by Wellcome Trust Grant WT091939.

² Supported by Marie Curie Fellowship PIIF-GA-2012-328261 T6SS-PSEUDO-EFFECTOR.

³ To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: 44-20-7594-9651; Fax: 44-20-7594-3069; E-mail: a.filloux@imperial.ac.uk.

⁴ The abbreviations used are: T6SS, type VI secretion system; CDI, contact-dependent growth inhibition; aa, amino acids; CT, C-terminal; PAAR, prolinealanine-alanine-repeat protein.

from the deleterious effects of the cognate toxins. This family of toxins is broadly conserved in other T6SS-positive organisms such as *Serratia marcescens* (26, 27). The function of Tse2 remains elusive but is likely targeted to the cytoplasm where it exerts a bacteriostatic activity that could be counteracted by the Tsi2 protein (23). All three toxins are injected into neighboring cells, competitors, or siblings in an H1-T6SS-dependent manner. These toxins are very potent and allow *P. aeruginosa* to outcompete a broad range of other Gram-negative bacteria (28). Interestingly, whereas a *retS* mutant randomly attacks neighboring cells, a wild-type strain might only respond via a Tit-for-Tat mechanism (29).

Although the mechanism of assembly/contraction of the T6SS is beginning to be well documented (12), the precise mechanisms by which the toxins are delivered into the target cells remain elusive. The Hcp tube displays an internal diameter of 40 Å and could very well accommodate transiting unfolded effectors (4, 30). Recent data have demonstrated the presence of Tse2 protein within a hexameric Hcp ring (31). It was thus proposed that Hcp could act as a chaperone for T6SS effectors and not only as a component of the tail tube. These two functions are not exclusive as a stack of Hcp rings containing Tse2 could be fitted within the T6SS sheath, thus forming a pile of rings (or tube) that could be propelled by the contraction of the sheath. An alternative hypothesis came from the description of VgrG proteins displaying C-terminal extension with a catalytic activity, as exemplified by the VgrG3 protein of Vibrio cholerae (32, 33). This protein possesses a domain extension to its gp5 region that bears a peptidoglycan hydrolase activity. In this case the VgrG protein can be considered as the carrier located at the tip of the T6SS. Based on this observation, it is also reasonable to propose that genuine T6SS effectors could go for a "piggyback ride" by interacting with the tip of non-evolved VgrGs. A sophisticated concept has been proposed in which adaptors such as the PAAR proteins connect the T6SS toxin/effector to the tip of the VgrG proteins (6).

In the present study we lend support to this hypothesis by showing that the three VgrG proteins co-expressed with the H1-T6SS individually contribute to the toxicity exerted by a *retS* strain against *Escherichia coli* targets (34). This toxicity is observable in a background devoid of the characterized Tse1–3 toxins, thus revealing a broader repertoire of T6SS toxins.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Bacterial Strains and Growth Conditions—Bacterial strains used in this study are described in Table 1. *P. aeruginosa* strains were grown in Tryptone soy broth or LB supplemented with antibiotics where appropriate (streptomycin 2000 μ g/ml, tetracycline 200 μ g/ml) at 37 °C with agitation. *E. coli* strains were grown in LB broth supplemented with antibiotics where appropriate (streptomycin, 50 μ g/ml; ampicillin, 50–100 μ g/ml; kanamycin, 50 μ g/ml; chloramphenicol, 34 μ g/ml; tetracycline, 15 μ g/ml).

DNA Manipulation—DNA purification was performed using the PureLink Genomic DNA minikit (Invitrogen). Isolation of plasmid DNA was carried out using the QIAprep spin miniprep kit (Qiagen). Restriction endonucleases were used according to the manufacturer's specifications (New England Biolabs or Roche Applied Science). Oligonucleotides used are shown in Table 2 and were purchased from Sigma. The genes or DNA fragments used for the construction of deletion mutants were amplified with KOD Hot Start DNA Polymerase (Novagen) as described by the manufacturer with the inclusion of Betaine (Sigma). Colony PCR was performed with standard Taq polymerase (New England Biolabs). DNA sequencing was performed by GATC Biotech.

DNA fragments encoding Rhs C-terminal putative toxin and putative immunity constructs were ordered as synthetic DNA constructs from GeneArt (Invitrogen). Constructs were designed using an 18-bp sequence including the Shine-Dalgarno sequence from pBAD (35) followed by an ATG start codon fused to the DNA sequence occurring directly after that encoding for the characteristic Rhs PXXXXDPXGL motif or the sequence of the predicted immunity gene followed by that encoding the V5his tag (from pET-DEST42 (Invitrogen)). The constructs encoding the putative C-terminal toxins and immunities were then subcloned from the GeneArt vectors into pNDM220 and pBAD33, respectively (36). DNA sequencing was performed by GATC Biotech.

Overexpression of Toxin/Immunity Pairs in E. coli—Growth curves were performed in 10-ml flasks. Briefly, overnight cultures of *E. coli* cells carrying plasmids encoding RhsP1-CT/ RhsI1 or RhsP2-CT/RhsI2 were diluted in fresh LB media to an A_{600} of 0.1 with appropriate antibiotics. Cultures were incubated at 37 °C with agitation, and readings were taken hourly. Toxin/immunity expression was then induced with 1 mM isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside or 0.02% arabinose, respectively.

Construction of P. aeruginosa Deletion Mutants-P. aeruginosa deletion mutants were constructed as described previously (37) using the suicide plasmid pKNG101 (38, 39). Briefly, to create PAKAgene-of-interest (GOI), 500-bp DNA fragments of the 5' (up) and 3' (down) ends of the target gene were obtained by PCR using PAK chromosomal DNA as the template with two pairs of oligonucleotides (Up5'/Up3' and Down5'/Down3') (Table 2). The fused up/down fragment was obtained by overlapping PCR, cloned into pCR-BluntII-TOPO (Invitrogen), sequence-confirmed, and subcloned into pKNG101 suicide vector. The plasmid pKNG- ΔGOI was maintained in the *E. coli* strain CC118λpir and mobilized into *P. aeruginosa* PAK using E. coli 1047 carrying the conjugative plasmid pRK2013 (40). Clones in which double recombination events occurred, resulting in the deletion of GOI, were selected on sucrose plates as previously described (37). Deletion of GOI was verified by PCR using external primers and Western blot analysis where appropriate.

Insertion of vgrG Genes at the P. aeruginosa Chromosomal att Site—For complementation of the triple vgrG1abc mutant by vgrG1b and vgrG1c, the DNA sequences including 300 bp upstream of the vgrG1b and vgrG1c genes were amplified and cloned into pCR-BluntII-TOPO. The genes were then excised and subcloned into Mini-CTX-1 (20) to construct Mini-CTXvgrG1b and Mini-CTX-vgrG1c. As for vgrG1a, the gene was amplified and cloned under a plac promoter leading to the construct Mini-CTX-plac-vgrG1a. These plasmids were conjugated by tri-parental mating using pRK2013 into the appropriate P. aeruginosa strains, and the presence of the corresponding





TABLE 1

Strains and plasmids used in this work

Strain or plasmid	Relevant characteristics ^a	Source/Reference
Strain		
F coli		
$Dh5\alpha$	$F = \Phi 80 lac Z \Lambda M 15 \Lambda (lac Z Y A - ar \sigma F) U 169 rec A 1 end A 1 hsd R 17 (rK - mK +) nho A$	Laboratory collection
Dilota	$supE44 \lambda$ -thi-1 gvrA96 relA1	Eaboratory concentori
Top10	$F - mcrA \Delta(mrr-hsdRMS-mcrBC) \Phi 80 lacZ\Delta M15 \Delta lacX74 recA1 araD139 \Delta (ara)$	Laboratory collection
Ľ	leu) 7697 galU galK rpsL (StrR) endA1 nupG	,
CC118(Apir)	Host strain for pKNG101 replication; $\Delta(ara-leu)$ araD $\Delta lacX74$ galE galK-phoA20	Laboratory collection
	thi-1 rpsE rpoB argE (Am) recA1 Rf (λpir)	,
P. aeruginosa		
PAK	Wild-type prototroph	Laboratory collection
$PAK\Delta retS$	retS deletion mutant	(15)
PAK $\Delta retS\Delta vgrG1a$	<i>vgrG1a</i> deletion mutant	(22)
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta vgrG1b$	<i>vgrG1b</i> deletion mutant	(22)
PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1c	<i>vgrG1c</i> deletion mutant	(22)
PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1abc	<i>vgrG1a/vgrG1b/vgrG1c</i> deletion mutant	(22)
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3$	<i>tse1tsi1/ tse2tsi2/tse3tsi3</i> deletion mutant	This work
PAK∆retSvvgrG1abc∆tsei1−3	<i>vgrG1abc/tsei1–3</i> deletion mutant	This work
PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1abc Δ tsei1–3::vgrG1a	<i>vgrG1abc/tsei1–3</i> deletion mutant complemented in <i>trans</i> with <i>vgrG1a</i>	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta tsei1-3::vgrG1b$	<i>vgrG1abc/tsei1–3</i> deletion mutant complemented in <i>trans</i> with <i>vgrG1b</i>	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta tsei1-3::vgrG1c$	<i>vgrG1abc/tsei1–3</i> deletion mutant complemented in <i>trans</i> with <i>vgrG1c</i>	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei13\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta H1::vgrG1c$	<i>vgrG1abc/tsei1–3/</i> H1-T6SS deletion mutant complemented in <i>trans</i> with <i>vgrG1c</i>	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei13\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta rhsP1::vgrG1c$	<i>vgrG1abc/tsei1–3/rhsP1</i> deletion mutant complemented in <i>trans</i> with <i>vgrG1c</i>	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1ab$	<i>vgrG1ab/tsei1–3</i> deletion mutant	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei13\Delta vgrG1ab\Delta rhsP1-CT$	<i>vgrG1ab/tsei1–3/rhsP1-CT</i> deletion mutant	
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1bc$	<i>vgrG1bc/tsei1–3</i> deletion mutant	This work
PAKΔretSΔtsei13ΔvgrG1bcΔPA0093	vgrG1bc/tsei1-3/PA0093 deletion mutant	This work
$PAK\Delta retS\Delta vgrG1a\Delta PA0099$	<i>vgrG1a/vgrG1c/PA0099</i> deletion mutant	This work
Plasmids		
pRK2013	$\operatorname{Tra}^{+}\operatorname{Mob}^{+}$, $\operatorname{K_{m}}^{R}$	Laboratory collection
pCR2.1	TA cloning vector, Ap ^R , K ^R	Invitrogen
pCR-BluntII-TOPO	Blunt cloning vector, Zeo^{R} , K_m^{R}	Invitrogen
pRL662-gfp	Gm ^R , broad host range vector derived from pBBR1MCS-2 expressing Gfp	Erh-Min Lai
		collection
pKNG101	Suicide vector, <i>sacB</i> , Str ^R	(39)
pKNG101-vgrG1a	pKNG101- <i>vgrG1a</i> mutator	(22)
pKNG101- <i>vgrG1b</i>	pKNG101- <i>vgrG1b</i> mutator	(22)
pKNG101-vgrG1c	pKNG101- <i>vgrG1c</i> mutator	(22)
pKNG101-tsei1	pKNG101 <i>-tsei1</i> mutator	This work
pKNG101-tsei2	pKNG101 <i>-tsei2</i> mutator	This work
pKNG101-tsei3	pKNG101- <i>tse</i> 3 mutator	This work
pKNG101-H1-T6SS	pKNG101-H1-T6SS mutator	(34)
pKNG101- <i>rhsP1</i>	pKNG101- <i>rhsP1</i> mutator	This work
pKNG101- <i>rhsP1-CT</i>	pKNG101- <i>rhsP1-CT</i> mutator	This work
pKNG101-PA0093	pKNG101-PA0093 mutator	This work
pKNG101-PA0099	pKNG101-PA0099 mutator	This work
Mini-CTX-1	Plasmid for the integration of genes into the <i>att</i> site of the <i>P. aeruginosa</i>	Laboratory collection
	chromosome, Tc	
Mini-CTX-plac-vgrG1a	Mini-CTX-1 encoding <i>vgrG1a</i> under a <i>plac</i> promoter for integration into the <i>att</i>	This work
	site of the <i>P. aeruginosa</i> chromosome for the purpose of complementation, 1c [*]	
Mini-CIX-vgrG1b	Mini-CIX-I encoding vgrGIb under its native promoter for integration into the <i>att</i>	I his work
	site of the <i>P. aeruginosa</i> chromosome for the purpose of complementation, 1c [*]	
Mini-CIX-vgrGIc	Mini-C1X-1 encoding vgrG1c under its native promoter for integration into the att	I his work
101 (220	site of the <i>P. aeruginosa</i> chromosome for the purpose of complementation, 1 c.	
pNDM220	Low copy number cloning vector containing <i>lacl⁴</i> and the Laci-regulated	(36)
- 04 022	pA1/04/03	(25)
PDAD33	L-arabinose inducible, Cm ⁻ , p15A origin	(33 <i>)</i> This work
PKNSPI-CI	PAD22 expressing rhsP1-C1	1 IIIS WORK
pRiisii pPheD2 CT	pNDM220 expressing rhsD2 CT	1 IIIS WOFK This work
PRIISF2-C1	PAD22 expressing rhsP2-C1	This work
prost2	pdAD55 expressing rns12	1 IIIS WOFK

^a Ap^R, ampicillin resistant; Str^R, Streptomycin resistant; K^R_m, kanamycin resistant; Tc^R, tetracycline resistant; Zeo^R, zeocin-resistant.

vgrG gene was confirmed by both PCR and Western blot analysis where appropriate.

Bacterial Competition Assay—Overnight cultures of *P. aeruginosa* strains were mixed individually with equivalent numbers of the prey *E. coli* DH5 α pCR2.1 expressing β -galactosidase (34). After co-incubation on LB agar for 5 h at 37 °C, patches of bacteria were recovered and resuspended in Tryptone soy broth. Samples were serially diluted 0 to 10⁻⁷ and spotted in triplicate on LB plates containing 100 µg/ml 5-bromo-4-chloro-indolyl- β -D-galactopyranoside (X-gal) (Invitrogen). The level of blue color indicates survival of *lacZ*-positive *E. coli*. Alternatively, and for quantitative analysis of prey sur-

vival, *E. coli* DH5 α containing pRL662-*gfp* was used as the prey, and spotting was performed on LB plates. The level of fluorescence indicates survival of *E. coli*. For quantification, the spots from the 10⁻² dilution were resuspended in sterile PBS, diluted to 1:20, and pipetted into a 96-well, black/clear flat bottom plate (BD Bioscience, Falcon). Fluorescence emitted by the surviving prey cells was measured using a Fluostar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech).

Subcellular Fractionation—E. coli strains producing recombinant proteins were collected by centrifugation and resuspended in 10 mM Tris, pH 8.0, supplemented with Complete Protease Inhibitor Mixture (Roche Applied Science) and 1 mM



Oligonucleotide sequence⁴

	8
Deleted gene/oligonucleotide	
tsei1	
Up5'	TGGACGTCACGGCTATAGGAA
$U_{p}^{1}3'$	CCTGGGCAGCAGTTTCATGGGGCGGGTTCT
Down5'	ATGAAACTGCTGCCCAGGGCCAGTTGATTC
Down3'	AGGTCGTTGTTGCCTTTCAC
tsei2	
Un5'	ACCACTGGTCGACGAAGAGGTGGAC
Un3'	TCAGGATGCGTAGGACATGCGTGGACT
Down5'	ATGTCCTACGCATCCTGACGGCCCGTG
Down3'	CTTCAGCAGCGCACCAGCTAC
tsei3	
Up5'	ACCAGACCCTGCAGCAATAC
Up3'	CTGCAACCTGGTCATCGGTCTTCCTCCTTG
Down5'	CCGATGACCAGGTTGCAGTGAGCGCGA
Down3'	CTGGAGGAAGCCGTATTCAG
rhsP1	
Un5'	GCTGAACGGCTTCGGCAGGTAGTC
Up3'	GATCTGCTTAATAGCCACGACACTCCT
Down5'	GTGGCTATTAAGCAGATCGTCAAATGC
Down3'	GTCGAGGGTACGCGAGGACATCAC
PA0093	
Up5'	GTTCCTCACCCGCATGCTCGAAG
Up3'	ATGGATGCGGGATCATGAATGACACCC
Down5'	CGCATCCATGCGTCGGTACTCGGGTCA
Down3'	GCCTTGATTCGCGAGCAACATTCCG
PA0099	
Up5'	AGTCGATTCCTACCTGACCG
Up3′	CTATGGACGGTTGGCCATCTAGTTCGC
Down5'	ATGGCCAACCGTCCATAGGAACTGAAC
Down3'	AGTAGAGCGGCAGGTTGG
Amplified gene/oligonucleotide	
warG1a	
5'	CCCCATCCTCACACACAAAACACCTAACCAACTCACCCCC
3'	CCACCTCTCACCCCTTCCCCCCCCCCCCACIGACCCCCC
ugrG1h	CONDETCIENDECETTEDEEDDEDDEDDENNENT
5'	$CC\lambda TCCCTTCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATCATC$
3'	
varG1c	10401000101000000011040110
5'	CC2TC2CC2TC2TC2CC2CC2CC2CC2CC2CC2CC2CC2C
3'	
0	AAUCTICUCICAACAGTIGATATCGAC

^{*a*} Oligonucleotides are presented in the orientation 5'-3'.

EDTA before cells were lysed by sonication (3 × 30 s, amplitude of 35%) using a Vibra-Cell ultrasonic processor (Sonics). Intact cells were removed by centrifugation at 4000 × g for 5 min at 4 °C. Soluble and membrane fractions were separated by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 1 h at 4 °C. The membrane fraction was resuspended in 15 mM Tris, pH 7.4, supplemented with 1% Triton X-100 (Sigma) for 30 min at 4 °C. Soluble and insoluble membrane proteins were separated by centrifugation at 100,000 × g for 1 h at 4 °C. The proteins contained in the various fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE.

Separation of E. coli Membranes by Density Sucrose Gradient— E. coli strains producing recombinant proteins were harvested by centrifugation $(2000 \times g)$. The pellet of $250 A_{600}$ units equivalent of bacterial cells was resuspended in 1.5 ml of buffer A (10 mM Tris, pH 7.6, 10 µg/ml DNase (Sigma), Complete Protease Inhibitor Mixture (Roche Applied Science), and sucrose 20% (w/w) (Sigma)). The cells were passed through a French press cell disruptor (SIM-AMICO) at 1500 pressure units (p.s.i.) using a 3/8-inch-diameter piston (20K French pressure cell, Thermo). Unbroken cells and possible inclusion bodies were removed by two cycles of centrifugation at 4 °C for 15 min at $1600 \times g$. The resulting supernatant was centrifuged at 4 °C for 1 h at 125,000 × g. The collected crude membrane pellet was resuspended in 0.5 ml of buffer M (10 mM Tris, pH 7.4, complete protease inhibitor mixture (Roche Applied Science), and sucrose 20% (w/w)). The membrane fraction was then added on top of a discontinuous sucrose gradient consisting of 1.5-ml layers ranging from 60% (bottom) to 30% by 5% increments and separated according to the method described previously (41). Fractions of 500 μ l were collected, and the protein content was analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot.

Western Blot Analysis and SDS-PAGE—For SDS-PAGE analysis, cell extracts were loaded onto SDS-polyacrylamide gels, migrated, and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane at 3 mA/cm². After transfer, membranes were blocked overnight in blocking buffer (5% milk powder, 0.1% Tween 20 in Tris-buffered saline, pH 8.0). Polyclonal antibodies against VgrG1a were used at a dilution of 1:1000 (22). Monoclonal anti-V5 antibody (Invitrogen) was used at a dilution of 1:5000. Monoclonal antibodies were used against the β subunit of RNA polymerase (NeoClone) 1:1000. Antibodies against PhoE and SecA were kindly provided by Jan Tommassen (Utrecht University) and used at a dilution of 1:2000 and 1:1000, respectively. Secondary antibodies conjugated to horseradish peroxidase were used at a dilution of 1:5000. Western blots were developed using Super-Signal West Pico Chemiluminescent Substrate (Pierce) and visualized on a LAS3000 Fuji Imager.

Bioinformatic Analysis—DNA sequences were obtained from Pseudomonas Genome Database (42). Amino acid sequence searches were performed using SMART, Interscanpro, Pfam,







FIGURE 1. *P. aeruginosa* H1-T6SS-dependent bacterial killing involves more effectors than the Tse1-3 toxins. *A*, cultures of *P. aeruginosa* strains PAK, PAK $\Delta retS$, PAK $\Delta retS$, PAK $\Delta retS$, H1, and PAK $\Delta retS$, the mixed individually at a 1:1 ratio with *E. coli* DH5 α prey cells expressing β -galactosidase (34). Recovered bacteria were diluted 0 to 10⁻⁷ and spotted on LB plates containing X-gal. The level of blue color indicates survival of *E. coli*. *B*, bacterial killing mediated by the strains PAK $\Delta retS$, PAK $\Delta retS$, DeK $\Delta retS$, deleted for the H1-T6SS genes (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta$ H1), or PAK $\Delta retS$ deleted for the *tse1-3* and *tsi1-3* genes (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta$ tei1-3) against DH5 α expressing the green fluorescent protein. The level of fluorescence indicates survival of *E. coli*. *C*, quantification of the fluorescence shown in *B* as an indicator of bacterial killing. Bacterial spots were resuspended from the plates, and the residual fluorescence, reflecting *E. coli* survival, was measured and reported in arbitrary units (*A.U.*).

BlastP, and PSI-BLAST software programs as implemented on the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) website. Secondary structure was predicted using PSI-PRED software program. Fold recognition was performed using Phyre2 (43) and I-TASSER (44). The TMHMM server was used to predict transmembrane helices in proteins.

RESULTS

A Broad Repertoire of Toxins Involved in H1-T6SS-dependent Killing—P. aeruginosa H1-T6SS secretes a set of three toxins, namely Tse1–3 (24), and the producing strains are protected from the activity of the Tse by cognate immunity proteins, Tsi1–3. The H1-T6SS and all three toxins/immunity pairs are up-regulated in a *retS* genetic background (23). The use of *E. coli* as target cells in an *in vitro* bacterial killing assay (34) shows a bactericidal activity mediated by a *P. aeruginosa retS* mutant but not by the parental PAK strain (Fig. 1). The deletion of the H1-T6SS cluster in the *retS* background (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta H1$) totally abrogates *E. coli* killing. By contrast, *E. coli* killing is still clearly observable upon simultaneous deletion of the *tse1–3* genes in the *retS* strain (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3$) (Fig. 1). This demonstrates the existence of additional uncharacterized H1-T6SS-dependent toxins.

VgrG Proteins Are Required for Toxin Delivery—The *retS* regulatory pathway positively controls the expression of three *vgrG* genes, two of which are encoded within the H1-T6SS cluster, *vgrG1a* and *vgrG1b*, whereas the third, *vgrG1c*, is distally located (22). Individual *vgrG* gene deletion in the *retS* strain

does not impact the ability of *P. aeruginosa* to kill *E. coli*; however, simultaneous deletion of all three *vgrG* genes totally abrogates killing (PAK Δ *retS\DeltavgrG1abc*) (Fig. 2), just as observed with a H1-T6SS mutant. This observation suggests that if additional H1-T6SS-dependent toxins do exist, they require one of the VgrGs for transport and injection into target cells.

Genetic and Functional Linkage between vgrG1c and a rhs Gene—A plausible hypothesis is that if additional toxins need a VgrG protein for transport, the corresponding genes would be encoded in the vicinity of a vgrG gene. The vgrG1c gene is contiguous to a large gene (PA2684) that encodes a protein of the Rhs family (45), re-named RhsP1 (Fig. 3A). Previous reports indicated that Rhs proteins are involved in contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) and are thus potential bacterial toxins (46). A series of mutants have been engineered to assess the role of RhsP1 in bacterial killing and the possible connection with VgrG1c. To avoid any interference in our assay, these mutants were engineered in the PAK Δ *retS\Deltatsei1-*3 strain. The deletion of the vgrG1abc genes yielded the PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1-3 Δ vgrG1abc strain, lacking all tse and vgrG genes. As expected, this strain is completely innocuous for *E. coli* (Fig. 4A). The vgrG1c gene was then reintroduced at the att site on the chromosome of the PAK Δ *retS\Deltatsei1–3\DeltavgrG1abc* strain using a mini-CTX delivery system (PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1abc::vgrG1c). The presence/absence of VgrG1c in these genetic backgrounds was confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-VgrG polyclonal antibodies (Fig. 4B). Remarkably, E. coli killing was readily restored





FIGURE 2. **VgrG1abc proteins are required for toxicity.** *A*, killing assay assessing the bactericidal activity of the *P. aeruginosa* strain PAK Δ retS, PAK Δ retS lacking either vgrG1a, vgrG1b, or vgrG1c (PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1a, PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1b, or PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1c, respectively), lacking all three vgrGs (PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1abc) and PAK Δ retS lacking the H1-T6SS cluster (PAK Δ retS Δ H1) against DH5 α expressing GFP. The level of fluorescence indicates survival of *E. coli. B*, quantification of the bacterial killing mediated by the vgrG mutants. Bacterial spots were resuspended from *A*, and the relative fluorescence, reflecting *E. coli* survival, was measured and reported in arbitrary units (*A.U.*).



FIGURE 3. **A** *rhs* **gene** is **encoded downstream of** *vgrG1c*. *A*, genetic organization of the *vgrG1c* cluster showing *vgrG1c* (PA2685), *rhsP1* (PA2684), and the non-annotated immunity protein *rhs11* and PA2683. *B*, schematic representation of RhsP1 displaying the N-terminal region, RVXXXXXXXG motif, conserved Rhs core domain, PXXXXDPXGL motif, and the C-terminal domain (*RhsP1-CT*). *C*, TMHMM prediction of Rhs11 highlighting the two putative transmembrane domains.

upon complementation with the *vgrG1c* gene, which suggested that either VgrG1c has an intrinsic killing activity or that it is required for the transport of a toxin, which is not Tse1–3. It should be noted that the VgrG1c-dependent killing is H1-T6SS-dependent as deletion of this T6SS cluster in the PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1abc::vgrG1c strain abrogates *E. coli* killing (Fig. 4A). The lack of T6SS in this background was also assessed by Western blot using an antibody directed against the T6SS core

component HsiB1 (Fig. 4*B*). We then tested whether RhsP1 could be associated with the VgrG1c-dependent killing. The *rhsP1* gene was deleted in the PAK $\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc::vgrG1c$ strain (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta rhsP1::vgrG1c$), which yielded a strain innocuous for *E. coli*. We concluded that RhsP1 carries a bacterial toxin activity and that this protein is delivered to target cells in a VgrG1c-dependent manner.

The C Terminus of RhsP1 Is a Bacterial Toxin Domain-Rhs proteins, such as in Dickeya dadantii, are involved with CDI systems, and the toxic activity is carried in the C-terminal domain (Rhs-CT) (47). This Rhs-CT domain is clearly distinguishable and preceded by a PXXXXDPXGL motif. In case of RhsP1, the motif **P**TLAY**DP**TGL precedes a 149-aa long putative toxin domain (Fig. 3B). Furthermore in the CDI system, the toxin gene is usually organized in tandem with an immunityencoding gene (*cdil*). Although no obvious immunity gene is annotated directly downstream of PA2684 (rhsP1), a small orf overlapping with *rhsP1* could be predicted using ORF finder, which encodes a 76-aa-long protein (Fig. 3A). We named this putative gene rhs11 for rhsP1 immunity. To assess the functionality of RhsP1-CT/RhsI1 as a toxin/immunity couple, constructs encoding His₆-V5-tagged versions were produced and cloned into compatible and independently inducible plasmids, pNDM220 and pBAD33 (35, 36). The production of both proteins was induced either by isopropyl 1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (pNDM220) or arabinose (pBAD33) and confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-V5 antibodies (Fig. 5A). The impact of RhsP1-CT production on E. coli growth was then tested. Upon isopropyl 1-thio-β-D-galactopyranoside induction the toxin is produced, and growth inhibition could be observed by a drastic drop in the A_{600} of the culture 4 h postinduction (Fig. 5B). Remarkably, this drop in A_{600} is no longer observable when arabinose is also added to the culture, suggesting that RhsI1 is able to protect E. coli from the RhsP1-CT toxic effect (Fig. 5B).

The target for the toxic activity mediated by RhsP1 is unknown. RhsI1 is predicted to have two transmembrane





FIGURE 4. RhsP1 is a H1-T6SS bacterial toxin that requires VgrG1c for killing. The strains lacking all three Tse toxins (PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1-3) is used as the background strain with additional deletions (Δ) or insertion at the chromosomal *att* site (::) where indicated. A, quantification of bacterial killing by PAK $\Delta retS$, PAK $\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc$, $\mathsf{PAK}\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc::vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK}\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta H1::vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK}\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK}\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK}\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK}\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK}\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1c, \mathsf{PAK$ $PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta rhsP1::vgrG1c, PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1ab,$ and PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1ab Δ rhsP1-CT against DH5 α expressing GFP. Bacterial spots were resuspended from plate killing assays, and the relative fluorescence was measured. The level of fluorescence indicates survival of E. coli. Complementation of vgrG1c in PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1abc restores E. coli killing. VgrG1c does not restore killing in a H1-T6SS or rhsP1 mutant. PAKΔretSΔtsei1-3ΔvgrG1ab, which carries only the vgrG1c gene, readily kills E. coli (second from the right). This killing is abrogated if the region encoding the C-terminal domain of RhsP1 is deleted (last bar). B, the presence and absence of VgrG1c in the various genetic backgrounds was confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-VgrG polyclonal antibodies (22). The presence and absence of H1-T6SS was confirmed by Western blot analysis using anti-HsiB1 polyclonal antibodies (20). A.U., arbitrary units.

domains, which likely results in the protein forming a hairpin loop in the cytoplasmic membrane (Fig. 3*C*). Subcellular localization experiments and Western blot analysis (Fig. 5*C*) show the presence of RhsI1 in the inner membrane. To further support this observation, the crude membrane fraction was loaded on a sucrose gradient (Fig. 5*D*). RhsI1 is mainly distributed in the fractions containing the inner membrane (from fractions 11 to 17 in Fig. 5*D*) along with the inner membrane-associated SecA protein. Instead, the outer membrane marker is more abundant in fractions 1-9 (Fig. 5*D*). RhsP1-CT appears mainly in the soluble fraction (Fig. 5*C*), suggesting it might be peripherally associated to the membrane upon interaction with the immunity protein.

Finally, we assessed the role of RhsP1-CT in the bacterial killing assay previously described. A strain deleted for *vgrG1a* and *vgrG1b* but in which the native *vgrG1c* gene is still present (PAK Δ *retS\Deltatsei1-3\DeltavgrG1ab*) shows significant toxicity against *E. coli* (Fig. 4*A*). In this background, deletion of the DNA region encoding the RhsP1-CT domain only (PAK Δ *retS\Deltatsei1-3\DeltavgrG1ab\DeltarhsP1-CT) abrogates E. coli* killing ability (Fig. 4*A*), which confirmed the bactericidal activity conferred by the C terminus of RhsP1.

VgrG1a Mediates a PA0093-dependent Bacterial Killing-The specific contribution of VgrG1c to the RhsP1-mediated toxicity led us to investigate whether other H1-T6SS-related VgrG proteins are linked to yet uncharacterized toxins. In the strain lacking all three *vgrG* genes, PAK Δ *retS\Deltatsei1–3\DeltavgrG1abc*, the *vgrG1a* gene was reintroduced at the *att* site on the chromosome $(PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 - 3\Delta vgrG1abc::vgrG1a)$, as described previously. It was observed that killing is restored by the introduction of VgrG1a (Fig. 6), similar to what was previously observed with VgrG1c (Fig. 4A). In contrast to VgrG1c, the killing mediated by VgrG1a appears to be independent of RhsP1, as a strain lacking the *rhsP1* gene but expressing vgrG1a (PAK Δ *retS* Δ *tsei1*–3 Δ *vgrG1abc* Δ *rhsP1::vgrG1a*) is able to kill *E. coli* prey cells, which is not the case upon complementation by vgrG1c (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc\Delta rhsP1::vgrG1c$) (Fig. 6). This observation suggests a high degree of specificity for the VgrG proteins toward their cognate toxin partner (Fig. 6). Directly downstream of the *vgrG1a* gene lies a three-gene cluster (PA0092-PA0094). Among these, PA0093 encodes a 430-aa-long PAAR-containing protein (Fig. 7A). Some PAAR proteins (proline-alanine-alanine-arginine-repeat proteins) were proposed to be located at the tip of VgrG proteins (6). Furthermore, whereas the PAAR domain of PA0093 (aa 74-162) is found at the N terminus, the C-terminal region is predicted to carry a putative toxin domain (aa 266–422), named Toxin_61 (Fig. 7B), as defined using the comprehensive polymorphic toxin systems described by Zhang *et al.* (48). This particular domain displays an α - β fold and bears a conserved glutamine residue as well as a recognizable (K/R)STXXPXXDXX(S/T) motif (RSTAAPTDD-FWS). In light of these observations, it is conceivable that PA0093 could use VgrG1a as a carrier. To assess this hypothesis, the gene encoded by PA0093 has been deleted in the strain PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1bc $(PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1 3\Delta v gr G1 b c \Delta PA0093$), which is lacking v gr G1 b and v gr G1 cbut still possesses the vgrG1a gene. Although bacterial killing is still potent when using a strain carrying only VgrG1a, the loss of PA0093 in this background totally abrogates the phenotype (Fig. 7C), thus confirming the functional relationship between VgrG1a and PA0093.







FIGURE 5. **RhsP1 and RhsI1 form a T6SS toxin/immunity pair.** *A*, Western blot analysis using an anti-V5 antibody demonstrating expression of RhsP1-CT and RhsI1. *B*, monitoring growth (A_{600}) in LB medium of *E. coli* Top10 cells harboring pRhsP1-CT and pRhsI1 plasmids. Expression of RhsP1-CT and RhsI1 was induced at the time indicated (see the *arrow*) with either 1 mm isopropyl 1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (expression of RhsP1-CT toxin) or 0.02% arabinose (expression of the RhsI1 immunity). Expression of RhsP1-CT compromised growth (*red curve*). Co-expression of Rhs11 rescue growth (*purple curve*). *C*, fractionation was performed to determine the subcellular localization of RhsP1 and RhsI1 using appropriate controls, *i.e.* RNA polymerase (*RNA Pol*, cytosolic), SecA (inner membrane-associated), and PhoE (outer membrane). Western blot analysis was performed using specific antibodies with the exception of the anti-V5 antibody, which was used to detect V5-tagged RhsP1 and Rhs11. Rhs11 is mostly located in the inner membrane fraction (*IM*), whereas RhsP1 is found in the soluble fraction (*SF*). *WCL*, whole cell lysate; *TM*, total membrane fraction; *OM*, outer membrane fraction. *D*, the crude membrane extract was separated on a density sucrose gradient by ultracentrifugation to determine the membrane localization of Rhs11 in *E. coli* as described previously (41). Collected fractions were analyzed by SDS-PAGE and Western blot using the antibodies described in *C*. The percentage of sucrose in the collected fractions (1–18) is shown on *top*, and the fractions containing either inner membrane (*IM*) or outer membrane (*OM*) proteins are delineated *underneath* the panels.





FIGURE 6. **Contribution of VgrG1a to the T6SS-mediated killing.** Quantification of bacterial killing by the *P. aeruginosa* strains PAK Δ retS, PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1- 3Δ vgrG1abc, PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1- 3Δ vgrG1abc Δ rhsP1, PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1- 3Δ vgrG1abc Δ rhsP1; vgrG1a, PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1- 3Δ vgrG1abc Δ rhsP1; vgrG1abc

The toxic nature of PA0093 suggests that there may be an associated immunity. The two other genes in the PA0093 cluster, PA0092 and PA0094, encode proteins of 94 and 144 residues, respectively. Both proteins have neither predicted transmembrane domains (TMHMM) nor a signal peptide (SignalP 4.1), which suggests a cytosolic localization.

VgrG1b Contributes to Bacterial Killing—According to our results, both VgrG1a and VgrG1c contribute to the delivery of specific effectors resulting in the killing of prey cells. To assess if such role could also be assigned to VgrG1b, the *vgrG1b* gene was reintroduced at the att site in a strain lacking all three vgrG1abc genes (PAK $\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc::vgrG1b$). This strain clearly shows a partial recovery of killing ability (Fig. 8A). We hypothesized that the VgrG1b-dependent killing could be linked to another toxin encoded among the six genes of the cluster (PA0096-PA0101) lying downstream of *vgrG1b* (PA0095) (Fig. 8C). Careful analysis of the gene products from this cluster suggests a clear candidate, PA0099, that encodes a DUF4150-containing protein (aa 18-135) with a C-terminal putative toxin domain, TOX-GHH2 (aa 226-344), which is a GHH signature containing HNH/endonuclease VII superfamily nuclease toxin 2 with a characteristic S(A/G/P)HH signature motif (Fig. 8D). To verify this hypothesis, a double mutant lacking both the vgrG1a and vgrG1c genes (PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1ac) and a triple mutant lacking vgrG1ac and PA0099 were constructed. In the vgrG1ac mutant, vgrG1b is still present, and the



FIGURE 7. **PA0093 is a H1-TGSS effector protein that requires VgrG1a for killing.** *A*, genetic organization of the *vgrG1a* cluster *vgrG1a* (PA0091) in *red*, PA0093 encoding the putative toxin in *blue*, and genes with no clear function in *black*. *B*, A schematic illustration of the domain organization of PA0093 is shown. Indicated are the PAAR region, the predicted toxin domain (Toxin_61), and its associated conserved motif (K/R)STXXPXXD XX(S/T) (**RST**AAPTD**D**FW**S**). *C*, quantification of bacterial killing using PAK Δ retS, PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1abc, PAK Δ retS Δ tsei1–3 Δ vgrG1bc, and PAK Δ retS (similar to what is shown in Fig. 2A) were resuspended, and the relative fluorescence, reflecting *E. coli* survival, was measured and reported in arbitrary units (*A.U.*). The presence of vgrG1a confers the ability to kill *E. coli*. The deletion of PA0093 abolishes this killing and highlights its role as a toxin dependent on VgrG1a.

strain is far more toxic to *E. coli* than the strain lacking all three *vgrG1abc* genes (Fig. 8*B*). However, upon introduction of the PA0099 deletion in the *vgrG1ac* mutant, the killing is totally lost. This observation suggests that the VgrG1b-dependent killing requires PA0099 and confirms the status of this protein as an anti-bacterial effector.

Another Rhs Toxin/Immunity Pair Is Encoded on the PA14 Genome—The assortment of variable VgrG-associated toxins prompted us to investigate other related genomes, including the *P. aeruginosa* strain PA14. It has been previously shown that the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 strain carries additional genes in the vicinity of the H2-T6SS cluster that are absent in PAO1. This set of four genes (Fig. 9A) encodes a Hcp protein (Hcp2), a VgrG protein (VgrG14), a putative protein of unknown func-





FIGURE 8. PA0099 is an effector protein that requires VgrG1b for killing. A, bacterial killing assay using the P. aeruginosa strains $PAK\Delta retS$, $PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc$ and $PAK\Delta retS\Delta tsei1-3\Delta vgrG1abc::vgrG1b$ against DH5 α expressing GFP. Bacterial spots were resuspended from plates (as shown in Fig. 2A), and the relative fluorescence, reflecting E. coli survival, was measured and reported in arbitrary units (A.U.). The presence of VgrG1b contributes to the bacterial killing (third lane). B, quantification of bacterial killing assay using the *P. aeruginosa* strains PAK Δ retS, PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1abc, PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1ac, and PAK Δ retS Δ vgrG1ac Δ PA0099 against DH5 α expressing GFP. Quantification was performed as in panel A. Deletion of PA0099 (fifth lane) abrogates VgrG1b-dependent killing (fourth lane). C, genetic organization of the vgrG1b cluster highlighting vgrG1b (PA0095) in red, PA0099, the putative toxin, in blue, and genes with no clear function are colored black. D, schematic representation of PA0099 displaying the DUF4150 domain, the Tox-GHH2 domain, and the characteristic S(A/G/P)HH motif located within this domain.

tion (PA14_43090), and a Rhs element (PA14_43100) named RhsP2 (Fig. 9*B*) (49) and also carries a C-terminal domain (RhsP2-CT). The toxic activity observed for RhsP1-CT led us to further investigate the potential toxicity exerted by RhsP2-CT. Upon close inspection, a small ORF (435 bp) predicted to encode an associated putative immunity (RhsI2) was identified 9 bp downstream of the stop codon of *rhsP2* (Fig. 9*A*), which was not indicated in the original genome annotation. The genes encoding RhsP2-CT and RhsI2 were cloned separately into compatible plasmids as described for RhsP1. Overexpression of RhsP2-CT severely compromised growth of the producing strain (Fig. 9*C*), but co-expression of RhsI2 could rescue growth. This observation thus confirmed the existence of an additional toxin/immunity pair in selected strains of *P. aeruginosa*.

DISCUSSION

The evolved *V. cholerae* VgrG1, displaying a C-terminal extension bearing an actin cross-linking domain (ACD), has been con-



FIGURE 9. **RhsP2 and Rhs12 form a toxin/immunity pair.** *A*, genetic organization of the *vgrG14* cluster showing *hcp2* (PA14_43070), *vgrG14* (PA14_43080), a gene encoding a protein of unknown function PA14_43090, *rhsP2* (PA14_43100), and a small non-annotated ORF *rhs12* encoding for a putative immunity protein. *B*, schematic representation of RhsP2 displaying the N-terminal region, RVXXXXXX G motif, conserved Rhs core domain, *PXXXXDPXGL* motif, and the C-terminal domain (*RhsP2-CT*). *C*, monitoring growth (A_{600}) in LB medium of *E. coli* Top10 cells harboring pRhsP2-CT and pRhs12. Cultures were induced at the time indicated (see the *arrow*) with either 1 mM isopropyl 1-thio- β -D-galactopyranoside (expression of the RhsP2-CT toxin) or 0.02% arabinose (expression of the Rhs12 immunity). Expression of RhsP2 compromised growth (*red curve*), whereas co-expression of Rhs12 rescues growth (*orange curve*).

sidered for a long time as the archetype substrate injected by the T6SS into eukaryotic cells (50-53). Since then only a few T6SS effectors have been shown to target eukaryotic cells (54). In more recent years the T6SS has been described as a potent weapon for bacterial warfare (2, 55). The discovery of three bacterial toxins delivered by the P. aeruginosa H1-T6SS has sparked this new concept, which is now widespread across Gram-negative bacterial species (56). Families of T6SS bacterial toxins have been studied in detail, such as the cell wall-degrading effectors (25). Two well characterized representatives, Tse1 and Tse3, are secreted by the H1-T6SS (24). Tse1 targets the peptide cross-links of the peptidoglycan, whereas Tse3 attacks the glycan backbone. Tse1 belongs to the family of type VI amidase effector (Tae), whereas Tse3 is a member of the T6SS glycoside hydrolase (Tge) (57). Other widespread toxins, such as the Type VI lipase effectors (Tle) have been shown to target cell membrane. In P. aeruginosa, Tle5 exhibits a phospholipase activity and has been suggested to be secreted by the H2-T6SS (58). Few other toxins, such as the bacteriostatic Tse2 toxin secreted by the H1-T6SS, have yet unknown function but are likely to exert toxicity within the cytoplasm (23). In all cases, each toxin is co-produced with an immunity protein, and the



localization of the immunity matches the site of action of the toxin, *i.e.* cytoplasmic, periplasmic, or membranes. It is quite reasonable to propose that many more toxins could be identified and random approaches involving Tn-seq methodologies have uncovered yet unidentified T6SS immunity genes and subsequently pointed toward their cognate T6SS toxins (33).

In contrast to evolved VgrGs, the mechanism of T6SS-dependent transport of genuine bacterial T6SS toxins is open for discussion. A recent report proposed that Hcp acts as a chaperone for Tse2 (31). This observation suggests a delivery of this toxin to the target cell through the tubular structure of the T6SS (59–61). However, the *V. cholerae* VgrG3 is an evolved VgrG unrelated to Tae or Tge but is involved in the degradation of peptidoglycan in prey cells (32, 33). In this case, it could be proposed that the VgrG3 effector localizes at the tip of the T6SS tubular structure allowing it to reach the surface but would not travel within it. These various transport models have been discussed further in light of the characterization of PAAR components, which could be used as a linker between a non-evolved VgrG and a genuine T6SS toxin (6).

Here we characterized the contribution of three VgrG proteins, VgrG1abc (22), to the bacterial killing associated with the H1-T6SS of *P. aeruginosa* (34). The deletion of the three *vgrG* genes co-regulated with the H1-T6SS fully abrogated toxicity. Investigating the genetic environment of each one of the three vgrG genes revealed putative toxin-encoding genes. The gene located downstream of vgrG1c encodes RhsP1, and its C terminus, RhsP1-CT, contributes to bacterial killing. The toxin is neutralized by a putative immunity coded by a downstream gene, which was not annotated on the P. aeruginosa genome (62). This putative immunity protein has two predicted transmembrane domains and is located in the cytoplasmic membrane, suggesting that the target of RhsP1-CT is membraneassociated. The introduction of VgrG1c in a strain lacking the RhsP1-encoding gene does not restore killing, whereas introduction of VgrG1a in the same background results in bacterial killing. This suggests that in contrast to the Tse1-3-dependent killing, RhsP1 toxicity is specific to VgrG1c, which cannot be substituted with another similar protein. In fact, VgrG1a-dependent killing involves another toxin coded by the PA0093 gene located downstream of the *vgrG1a* gene. The C terminus of PA0093 is predicted (Pfam) to carry a putative toxin domain of unknown function (Toxin 61). Finally, VgrG1b independently contributes to the killing of *E. coli*. This is particularly interesting as VgrG1b secretion has been demonstrated to be H1-T6SS-independent (22). Bioinformatic analysis of the *vgrG1b* gene cluster (PA0096-PA0101) predicted PA0099 as a two-domain protein, with a C terminus belonging to the putative superfamily of nuclease toxins. Two Rhs proteins in D. dadantii, RhsA and RhsB, have been reported to carry a C terminus with a DNase activity (47). It was proposed that these proteins are involved in bacterial competition and requires adjacent vgrG genes to fulfill their function, although T6SS-dependent delivery involving other core T6SS components was not demonstrated.

Collectively, our data suggest that VgrG proteins could be considered as specific carriers for the delivery of cognate

toxins. We identified three specific VgrG/toxin couples, namely VgrG1a/PA0093, VgrG1b/PA0099, and VgrG1c/ RhsP1. It is likely that these combinations are not interchangeable, which is in marked contrast to what was observed for the Tse1-3 effectors. We can then speculate that each individual VgrG recognizes a cognate toxin and allow its delivery to a bacterial target. This mechanism could be related to the one exemplified by VgrG3 of V. cholerae, although in this case VgrG and the bacterial toxin are covalently linked (32, 33). In the case of VgrG1abc, the recognition is most likely indirect and may involve adaptor proteins such as PAAR proteins (6). Yet the putative PA0093 toxin associated with VgrG1a displays a PAAR domain at its N terminus, which may interact with VgrG1a. This configuration offers a combination in which the VgrG adaptor is not independent but connected to the toxin. The organization at the tip of the T6SS can thus be relatively diverse and would provide a modular platform for the positioning of a broad range of T6SS effectors. Nevertheless, VgrG proteins might still recognize more than one T6SS effector. For example, in V. cholerae, VgrG3 presents a built-in toxin at its C terminus but was shown to interact with another T6SS toxin, TseL, which displays a lipase activity.

The strict connection between VgrG and effectors could be a more general feature, and the delivery of these effectors can in some cases be independent of other core T6SS components. The role of VgrG1b in bacterial toxicity, although it has been shown previously to be H1-T6SS independent, supports this idea. The observation that RhsA and RhsB in D. dadantii are VgrG-dependent but have not been shown to be T6SS-dependent is also supportive of such a model. Finally, the existence of a large number of *vgrG* islands, which are not necessarily connected to T6SS core genes, also lends support to an original VgrG-dependent transport. For example, several additional vgrG gene clusters found in the P. aeruginosa genome present recognizable toxin-encoding genes. In the *P. aeruginosa* PA14 genome, PA14_69550 encodes VgrG5. A downstream gene, PA14 69520, encodes a protein of which the C terminus has a high similarity with the E. coli colicin 1a (63), as predicted using the Phyre software (43). The next gene, PA14_69510, encodes a protein with three predicted transmembrane domains, which is an ideal candidate to protect from the activity of this poreforming protein. Another example is the vgrG cluster adjacent to the H2-T6SS cluster in PA14 and is lacking in PAO1 (49, 64, 65). In this case the vgrG14 gene is clustered with a gene encoding RhsP2, whose C terminus displays a proven bacterial toxin activity.

A VgrG-dependent concept is an interesting development in T6SS research and could lead to distinguish an intermediate secretion strategy, more straightforward and relying exclusively on VgrG. This could be used for large-sized proteins and be similar to the CDI system that has been described in many instances and in which a combination of only two partners is involved (66). CdiA is a very large protein with a C terminus carrying the toxin activity and could thus be very comparable to the Rhs proteins. CdiA is transported by a single transporter of the TpsB/Omp85 family, CdiB. It is tempting to suggest that in some cases VgrG could



act as a CdiB transporter and be the main facilitator in the secretion process, independently of T6SS core components. This remains an attractive hypothesis, but if true, it shall involve a different mechanism, which may explain many of the poorly understood aspects of the T6SS.

Acknowledgments—We thank Jan Tommassen for providing antibodies against SecA and PhoE and Veronique Viarre for providing advice about the subcellular experiment using the sucrose gradient approach.

REFERENCES

- 1. Ho, B. T., Dong, T. G., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2014) A view to a kill: the bacterial type VI secretion system. *Cell Host. Microbe* **15**, 9–21
- Russell, A. B., Peterson, S. B., and Mougous, J. D. (2014) Type VI secretion system effectors: poisons with a purpose. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 12, 137–148
- 3. Leiman, P. G., Arisaka, F., van Raaij, M. J., Kostyuchenko, V. A., Aksyuk, A. A., Kanamaru, S., and Rossmann, M. G. (2010) Morphogenesis of the T4 tail and tail fibers. *Virol. J.* **7**, 355
- Mougous, J. D., Cuff, M. E., Raunser, S., Shen, A., Zhou, M., Gifford, C. A., Goodman, A. L., Joachimiak, G., Ordoñez, C. L., Lory, S., Walz, T., Joachimiak, A., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2006) A virulence locus of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* encodes a protein secretion apparatus. *Science* 312, 1526–1530
- Leiman, P. G., Basler, M., Ramagopal, U. A., Bonanno, J. B., Sauder, J. M., Pukatzki, S., Burley, S. K., Almo, S. C., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2009) Type VI secretion apparatus and phage tail-associated protein complexes share a common evolutionary origin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 4154-4159
- Shneider, M. M., Buth, S. A., Ho, B. T., Basler, M., Mekalanos, J. J., and Leiman, P. G. (2013) PAAR-repeat proteins sharpen and diversify the type VI secretion system spike. *Nature* 500, 350–353
- Basler, M., Pilhofer, M., Henderson, G. P., Jensen, G. J., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2012) Type VI secretion requires a dynamic contractile phage tail-like structure. *Nature* 483, 182–186
- Bönemann, G., Pietrosiuk, A., Diemand, A., Zentgraf, H., and Mogk, A. (2009) Remodelling of VipA/VipB tubules by ClpV-mediated threading is crucial for type VI protein secretion. *EMBO J.* 28, 315–325
- Lossi, N. S., Manoli, E., Förster, A., Dajani, R., Pape, T., Freemont, P., and Filloux, A. (2013) The HsiB1C1 (TssB-TssC) complex of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type VI secretion system forms a bacteriophage tail sheathlike structure. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 7536–7548
- 10. Zhang, X. Y., Brunet, Y. R., Logger, L., Douzi, B., Cambillau, C., Journet, L., and Cascales, E. (2013) Dissection of the TssB-TssC interface during type VI secretion sheath complex formation. *PLoS ONE* **8**, e81074
- 11. Basler, M., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2012) Type 6 secretion dynamics within and between bacterial cells. *Science* **337**, 815
- Kapitein, N., Bönemann, G., Pietrosiuk, A., Seyffer, F., Hausser, I., Locker, J. K., and Mogk, A. (2013) ClpV recycles VipA/VipB tubules and prevents non-productive tubule formation to ensure efficient type VI protein secretion. *Mol. Microbiol.* 87, 1013–1028
- Kapitein, N., and Mogk, A. (2013) Deadly syringes: type VI secretion system activities in pathogenicity and interbacterial competition. *Curr. Opin. Microbiol.* 16, 52–58
- Pietrosiuk, A., Lenherr, E. D., Falk, S., Bönemann, G., Kopp, J., Zentgraf, H., Sinning, I., and Mogk, A. (2011) Molecular basis for the unique role of the AAA+ chaperone ClpV in type VI protein secretion. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 30010–30021
- Goodman, A. L., Kulasekara, B., Rietsch, A., Boyd, D., Smith, R. S., and Lory, S. (2004) A signaling network reciprocally regulates genes associated with acute infection and chronic persistence in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Dev. Cell* 7, 745–754
- Goodman, A. L., Merighi, M., Hyodo, M., Ventre, I., Filloux, A., and Lory, S. (2009) Direct interaction between sensor kinase proteins mediates acute and chronic disease phenotypes in a bacterial pathogen. *Genes Dev.*

23, 249-259

- Moscoso, J. A., Mikkelsen, H., Heeb, S., Williams, P., and Filloux, A. (2011) The *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* sensor RetS switches type III and type VI secretion via c-di-GMP signalling. *Environ. Microbiol.* 13, 3128–3138
- Brencic, A., and Lory, S. (2009) Determination of the regulon and identification of novel mRNA targets of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* RsmA. *Mol. Microbiol.* 72, 612–632
- Casabona, M. G., Silverman, J. M., Sall, K. M., Boyer, F., Couté, Y., Poirel, J., Grunwald, D., Mougous, J. D., Elsen, S., and Attree, I. (2013) An ABC transporter and an outer membrane lipoprotein participate in posttranslational activation of type VI secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Environ. Microbiol.* **15**, 471–486
- Lossi, N. S., Manoli, E., Simpson, P., Jones, C., Hui, K., Dajani, R., Coulthurst, S. J., Freemont, P., and Filloux, A. (2012) The archetype *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* proteins TssB and TagJ form a novel sub-complex in the bacterial Type VI secretion system. *Mol. Microbiol.* 86, 437–456
- Mougous, J. D., Gifford, C. A., Ramsdell, T. L., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2007) Threonine phosphorylation post-translationally regulates protein secretion in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa. Nat. Cell Biol.* 9, 797–803
- Hachani, A., Lossi, N. S., Hamilton, A., Jones, C., Bleves, S., Albesa-Jové, D., and Filloux, A. (2011) Type VI secretion system in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*: secretion and multimerization of VgrG proteins. *J. Biol. Chem.* 286, 12317–12327
- Hood, R. D., Singh, P., Hsu, F., Güvener, T., Carl, M. A., Trinidad, R. R., Silverman, J. M., Ohlson, B. B., Hicks, K. G., Plemel, R. L., Li, M., Schwarz, S., Wang, W. Y., Merz, A. J., Goodlett, D. R., and Mougous, J. D. (2010) A type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* targets a toxin to bacteria. *Cell Host Microbe* 7, 25–37
- Russell, A. B., Hood, R. D., Bui, N. K., LeRoux, M., Vollmer, W., and Mougous, J. D. (2011) Type VI secretion delivers bacteriolytic effectors to target cells. *Nature* 475, 343–347
- Russell, A. B., Singh, P., Brittnacher, M., Bui, N. K., Hood, R. D., Carl, M. A., Agnello, D. M., Schwarz, S., Goodlett, D. R., Vollmer, W., and Mougous, J. D. (2012) A widespread bacterial type VI secretion effector superfamily identified using a heuristic approach. *Cell Host Microbe* 11, 538–549
- English, G., Trunk, K., Rao, V. A., Srikannathasan, V., Hunter, W. N., and Coulthurst, S. J. (2012) New secreted toxins and immunity proteins encoded within the Type VI secretion system gene cluster of *Serratia marcescens. Mol. Microbiol.* 86, 921–936
- Murdoch, S. L., Trunk, K., English, G., Fritsch, M. J., Pourkarimi, E., and Coulthurst, S. J. (2011) The opportunistic pathogen *Serratia marcescens* utilizes type VI secretion to target bacterial competitors. *J. Bacteriol.* 193, 6057–6069
- Schwarz, S., Hood, R. D., and Mougous, J. D. (2010) What is type VI secretion doing in all those bugs? *Trends Microbiol.* 18, 531–537
- Basler, M., Ho, B. T., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2013) Tit-for-tat: type VI secretion system counterattack during bacterial cell-cell interactions. *Cell* 152, 884–894
- Ballister, E. R., Lai, A. H., Zuckermann, R. N., Cheng, Y., and Mougous, J. D. (2008) *In vitro* self-assembly of tailorable nanotubes from a simple protein building block. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **105**, 3733–3738
- Silverman, J. M., Agnello, D. M., Zheng, H., Andrews, B. T., Li, M., Catalano, C. E., Gonen, T., and Mougous, J. D. (2013) Haemolysin coregulated protein is an exported receptor and chaperone of type VI secretion substrates. *Mol. Cell* 51, 584–593
- Brooks, T. M., Unterweger, D., Bachmann, V., Kostiuk, B., and Pukatzki, S. (2013) Lytic activity of the *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion toxin VgrG-3 is inhibited by the antitoxin TsaB. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 7618–7625
- Dong, T. G., Ho, B. T., Yoder-Himes, D. R., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2013) Identification of T6SS-dependent effector and immunity proteins by Tnseq in *Vibrio cholerae. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 110, 2623–2628
- Hachani, A., Lossi, N. S., and Filloux, A. (2013) A visual assay to monitor T6SS-mediated bacterial competition. J. Vis. Exp. 73, e50103
- Guzman, L. M., Belin, D., Carson, M. J., and Beckwith, J. (1995) Tight regulation, modulation, and high-level expression by vectors containing the arabinose PBAD promoter. *J. Bacteriol.* 177, 4121–4130
- 36. Gotfredsen, M., and Gerdes, K. (1998) The *Escherichia coli* relBE genes belong to a new toxin-antitoxin gene family. *Mol. Microbiol.* 29,





1065-1076

- Vasseur, P., Vallet-Gely, I., Soscia, C., Genin, S., and Filloux, A. (2005) The pel genes of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAK strain are involved at early and late stages of biofilm formation. *Microbiology* 151, 985–997
- Herrero, M., de Lorenzo, V., and Timmis, K. N. (1990) Transposon vectors containing non-antibiotic resistance selection markers for cloning and stable chromosomal insertion of foreign genes in gram-negative bacteria. *J. Bacteriol.* 172, 6557–6567
- Kaniga, K., Delor, I., and Cornelis, G. R. (1991) A wide-host-range suicide vector for improving reverse genetics in gram-negative bacteria: inactivation of the blaA gene of *Yersinia enterocolitica*. *Gene* 109, 137–141
- 40. Figurski, D. H., and Helinski, D. R. (1979) Replication of an origin-containing derivative of plasmid RK2 dependent on a plasmid function provided in *trans. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **76**, 1648–1652
- Viarre, V., Cascales, E., Ball, G., Michel, G. P., Filloux, A., and Voulhoux, R. (2009) HxcQ liposecretin is self-piloted to the outer membrane by its N-terminal lipid anchor. *J. Biol. Chem.* 284, 33815–33823
- Winsor, G. L., Lam, D. K., Fleming, L., Lo, R., Whiteside, M. D., Yu, N. Y., Hancock, R. E., and Brinkman, F. S. (2011) Pseudomonas genome database: improved comparative analysis and population genomics capability for *Pseudomonas* genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **39**, D596–D600
- Kelley, L. A., and Sternberg, M. J. (2009) Protein structure prediction on the Web: a case study using the Phyre server. *Nat. Protoc.* 4, 363–371
- Roy, A., Kucukural, A., and Zhang, Y. (2010) I-TASSER: a unified platform for automated protein structure and function prediction. *Nat. Protoc.* 5, 725–738
- Jackson, A. P., Thomas, G. H., Parkhill, J., and Thomson, N. R. (2009) Evolutionary diversification of an ancient gene family (rhs) through C-terminal displacement. *BMC Genomics* 10, 584
- 46. Poole, S. J., Diner, E. J., Aoki, S. K., Braaten, B. A., t'Kint de Roodenbeke, C., Low, D. A., and Hayes, C. S. (2011) Identification of functional toxin/ immunity genes linked to contact-dependent growth inhibition (CDI) and rearrangement hotspot (Rhs) systems. *PLoS Genet.* 7, e1002217
- Koskiniemi, S., Lamoureux, J. G., Nikolakakis, K. C., t'Kint de Roodenbeke, C., Kaplan, M. D., Low, D. A., and Hayes, C. S. (2013) Rhs proteins from diverse bacteria mediate intercellular competition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A. 110, 7032–7037
- Zhang, D., de Souza, R. F., Anantharaman, V., Iyer, L. M., and Aravind, L. (2012) Polymorphic toxin systems: comprehensive characterization of trafficking modes, processing, mechanisms of action, immunity and ecology using comparative genomics. *Biol. Direct* 7, 18
- Jones, C., Hachani, A., Manoli, E., and Filloux, A. (2014) An rhs gene linked to the second type vi secretion cluster is a feature of the *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PA14. *J. Bacteriol.* **196**, 800 – 810
- Durand, E., Derrez, E., Audoly, G., Spinelli, S., Ortiz-Lombardia, M., Raoult, D., Cascales, E., and Cambillau, C. (2012) Crystal structure of the VgrG1 actin cross-linking domain of the *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion system. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 38190–38199
- Ma, A. T., McAuley, S., Pukatzki, S., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2009) Translocation of a *Vibrio cholerae* type VI secretion effector requires bacterial endocytosis by host cells. *Cell Host Microbe* 5, 234–243

- Ma, A. T., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2010) *In vivo* actin cross-linking induced by Vibrio cholerae type VI secretion system is associated with intestinal inflammation. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 4365–4370
- Pukatzki, S., Ma, A. T., Revel, A. T., Sturtevant, D., and Mekalanos, J. J. (2007) Type VI secretion system translocates a phage tail spike-like protein into target cells where it cross-links actin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 104, 15508–15513
- Suarez, G., Sierra, J. C., Erova, T. E., Sha, J., Horneman, A. J., and Chopra, A. K. (2010) A type VI secretion system effector protein, VgrG1, from *Aeromonas hydrophila* that induces host cell toxicity by ADP ribosylation of actin. *J. Bacteriol.* **192**, 155–168
- Filloux, A. (2013) Microbiology: a weapon for bacterial warfare. *Nature* 500, 284–285
- 56. Coulthurst, S. J. (2013) The Type VI secretion system: a widespread and versatile cell targeting system. *Res. Microbiol.* **164**, 640-654
- 57. Whitney, J. C., Chou, S., Russell, A. B., Biboy, J., Gardiner, T. E., Ferrin, M. A., Brittnacher, M., Vollmer, W., and Mougous, J. D. (2013) Identification, structure, and function of a novel type VI secretion peptidoglycan glycoside hydrolase effector-immunity pair. *J. Biol. Chem.* 288, 26616–26624
- Russell, A. B., LeRoux, M., Hathazi, K., Agnello, D. M., Ishikawa, T., Wiggins, P. A., Wai, S. N., and Mougous, J. D. (2013) Diverse type VI secretion phospholipases are functionally plastic antibacterial effectors. *Nature* 496, 508–512
- Bönemann, G., Pietrosiuk, A., and Mogk, A. (2010) Tubules and donuts: a type VI secretion story. *Mol. Microbiol.* 76, 815–821
- 60. Cascales, E., and Cambillau, C. (2012) Structural biology of type VI secretion systems. *Philos. Trans R Soc. Lond B Biol. Sci.* **367**, 1102–1111
- Filloux, A. (2009) The type VI secretion system: a tubular story. *EMBO J.* 28, 309–310
- Stover, C. K., Pham, X. Q., Erwin, A. L., Mizoguchi, S. D., Warrener, P., Hickey, M. J., Brinkman, F. S., Hufnagle, W. O., Kowalik, D. J., Lagrou, M., Garber, R. L., Goltry, L., Tolentino, E., Westbrock-Wadman, S., Yuan, Y., Brody, L. L., Coulter, S. N., Folger, K. R., Kas, A., Larbig, K., Lim, R., Smith, K., Spencer, D., Wong, G. K., Wu, Z., Paulsen, I. T., Reizer, J., Saier, M. H., Hancock, R. E., Lory, S., and Olson, M. V. (2000) Complete genome sequence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO1, an opportunistic pathogen. *Nature* 406, 959–964
- Wiener, M., Freymann, D., Ghosh, P., and Stroud, R. M. (1997) Crystal structure of colicin Ia. *Nature* 385, 461–464
- Lesic, B., Starkey, M., He, J., Hazan, R., and Rahme, L. G. (2009) Quorum sensing differentially regulates *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* type VI secretion locus I and homologous loci II and III, which are required for pathogenesis. *Microbiology* 155, 2845–2855
- 65. Sana, T. G., Hachani, A., Bucior, I., Soscia, C., Garvis, S., Termine, E., Engel, J., Filloux, A., and Bleves, S. (2012) The second type VI secretion system of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* strain PAO1 is regulated by quorum sensing and Fur and modulates internalization in epithelial cells. *J. Biol. Chem.* 287, 27095–27105
- Ruhe, Z. C., Low, D. A., and Hayes, C. S. (2013) Bacterial contact-dependent growth inhibition. *Trends Microbiol.* 21, 230–237



The VgrG Proteins Are "à la Carte" Delivery Systems for Bacterial Type VI Effectors

Abderrahman Hachani, Luke P. Allsopp, Yewande Oduko and Alain Filloux

J. Biol. Chem. 2014, 289:17872-17884. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.563429 originally published online May 2, 2014

Access the most updated version of this article at doi: 10.1074/jbc.M114.563429

Alerts:

- When this article is cited
- When a correction for this article is posted

Click here to choose from all of JBC's e-mail alerts

This article cites 66 references, 27 of which can be accessed free at http://www.jbc.org/content/289/25/17872.full.html#ref-list-1