' 7

STRUCTURE AND EVOLUTION OF PLANETARY NEBULAE

by

Gary John Robinson BSc., ARCS, FRAS

September 1981

A thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of London and for the Diploma of the Imperial College.

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$.

 σ is a second contribution of

Astronomy Group, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College, London SW7 2BZ.

Abstract

The physical characteristics of Planetary Nebulae are reviewed, followed by a survey and discussion of the published nebular and central star classification schemes.

New [bill] line profiles of 35 nebulae are presented, obtained with a high resolution Fabry-Perot interferometer, and are compared with a compilation of published optical data on line splittings. New electronographic data in the [bill] and HI lines, obtained with a " Spectracon " image tube , are also presented. These are used to interpret the line profiles with the aid of a simple model to yield mean expansion velocities and linear radii for these nebulae. Published Fabry-Perot line profile data on other Planetaries is also interpreted with the model. Possible correlations between expansion velocity, linear radius, morphological type and central star spectral type of a total of 81 objects are examined. Two relatively distinct sequences of nebulae appear : a " High-velocity " sequence (which seems to encompass the two sequences of Smith, 1973) characterised by mainly type III / IV morphology and OVI sequence or continuum spectral type central stars ; and a " Low-velocity " sequence characterised by 0 type central stars. Despite the considerable scatter the data are fitted reasonably well by two roughly linear sequences of low (0.2 M₀) and high (0.5 M₀) stellar radiation pressure-driven **model nebulae of Ferch and Salpeter (1975) .**

A detailed investigation of NGC 7027 is undertaken, in which new and published data are used to model this nebula with a hollow prolate spheroid shell of varying density. The radio appearance, recombination line and free-free spectra predicted by this model closely match the available data. The radio data are used to derive an accurate astrophysical distance to NGC 7027 of 1.33 Kpc.

2

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 \sim 100 μ

I would like to express my thanks to Dr. Ken Reay for his continuous supervision and interest in this work. I am also extremely grateful for the assistance of many past and present members of the Astronomy Group at Imperial College.

From October 1976 to October 1979 I was in grateful receipt of a post-graduate grant from the Science Research Council, U.K.

I would like to dedicate this work to my wife Sharon which, without her constant encouragement, would not have been completed so soon.

Contents

I OVERVIEW

 \mathcal{L}_{max} , and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 ~ 10

 \mathcal{L}_{eff}

4

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 \bar{z}

 $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\frac{d\omega}{d\omega}$

II OBSERVATIONS $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}}),\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}_{\mathcal{L}})$ and

 \sim .

3 Line Profiles

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

4 Photographs

III INTERPRETATION

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\phi}$

ų,

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \, \mathrm{d} x \, \mathrm{d$

 $\hat{\mathbf{v}}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} . Since the second contract the second contract of the second contract of \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

Chapter 1

1.1 Definition and Characteristics

The term " Planetary Nebula " is generally thought to have originated from the description of a certain class of nebulae as " Greenish discs, similar to the planets Uranus and Neptune " by William Herschel in 1785. In fact, Darquier in 1781 had already discovered in the constellation of Lyra the so-called " Ring Nebula ", which he **described as Looking like a faded planet The earliest recorded, but not identified, Planetary Nebula is NGC 6853 - the " Dumbell Nebula " to which Messier assigned the number 27 in his famous comet-seekers' catalogue of " Objects to Ignore 11 , published in 1764. This work also contains three other Planetaries.**

Planetary Nebulae are HII regions which spectroscopically exhibit many of the characteristics of the larger diffuse HII regions. However, they differ from the diffuse HII regions in many important aspects :-

- **(i) they are much smaller, being typically a few tenths of parsecs in diameter,**
- **(ii) a very hot centrally situated exciting star, sometimes a member of a binary system, is associated with them,**
- **(iii) they usually have some degree of symmetry, either axial or bi-axial.**
- **(iv) they appear to be expanding in an ordered manner at** $typical speeds of 30 km s⁻¹, which is several times$ **greater than the local speed of sound.**
	- **(v) their galactic positions and kinematic distribution are typical of disk population stars, whereas diffuse HII regions are associated with several young hot 0 or early B type spiral-arm stars,**
- (vi) their nebular masses are about 0.1 M_a, compared with **1 0 3 M ^q for diffuse HII regions.**

It is widely believed that Planetaries are the ejected outer envelopes of the stars which are to be found at their centres. Diffuse HII regions on the other hand, are thought to be the birth-places of stars, and so are not so necessarily intimately linked to the stars making **them shine.**

Since a substantial proportion of all stars pass through the Planetary Nebula stage it is important to realise that Planetaries play a major r\$le in the chemical processing and evolution of the Galaxy (Tinsley 1978).

1.2 Ionization Structure

Broad-band colour photographs of Planetary Nebulae provide one of the most striking methods of demonstrating the phenomenum known as the " stratification of radiation ". This is due to the variation **in degree of ionization through a nebula. For example [bill] is observed to be located nearer the central exciting star than [oil] , which in turn is closer than [oi] . The dominant visible emission from 0 + + ions** falls in the green, that of 0^+ in the blue, whilst that of 0^0 lies in **the red. Therefore, the coloured zones that make up the image of a nebula indicate the relative locations of the ionization states of a particular element.**

The physical mechanism for the ionization structure of nebulae was first investigated by Stromgren (1939), and is briefly outlined here :

Consider a uniformly dense sphere of hydrogen surrounding a hot star. Radiation from the star will ionize neutral atoms if its frequency is equal to or greater than the Lyman limit, and in doing so will be absorbed. At progressively greater distances from the star less Lyman continuum radiation is available for photo-ionization until (if a sufficient amount of hydrogen is present) a distance is reached where equal number densities of ionized and neutral atoms exist due to the balancing of the photo-ionizing and recombination rates. The thickness of the HI/HII transition boundary is approximately equal to the mean free-path of a Lyman-alpha photon. For a typical Planetary this is about one percent of the nebular diameter, and so the boundary is very sharp. When the amount of matter present is insufficient to absorb all of the Lyman continuum radiation from the star an outer region of neutral hydrogen may not exist - in this case the nebula is said to be " density bounded The opposite case is referred to as being radiation bounded .

A straightforward relation exists between the number of Lyman continuum photons Q emitted by the star per second and the number density n_H of a purely hydrogen nebula :-

$$
Q = \frac{4}{3}\pi R_s^3 \cdot n_H^2 \cdot \alpha_B
$$

where $\alpha_{\rm B}$ is the hydrogen recombination coefficient to excited levels and **R g - the " Stromgren radius " - is the radius within which virtually all of the hydrogen is ionized.**

The presence of other elements, in particular helium and oxygen, results in competition for the stellar photons by the different elements. However, due to the nature of photo-ionization cross-sections, the elements only absorb strongly in certain regions of the spectrum. For example, hydrogen absorbs most strongly at A912& (the Lyman limit), whereas the peak occurs for Hel at A504X . Therefore photons with wavelengths between A504& and A912S are capable of ionizing hydrogen only, while below A504& photons are capable of ionizing helium as well. The Stromgren radii for hydrogen and helium depend upon the stellar spectrum and the helium abundance.

Figure 1.1 , which is adapted from Osterbrock (1974), shows the ionization structure of a model Planetary Nebula composed of H, He and 0 with a black-body central star of 10^5 K. He⁺⁺ is only present in **and 0 with a black-body central star of 10 K . He is only present in** (54.4 eV) ionization potential of He⁺. The close similarity of the He⁺⁺ and 0^{++} zones is a result of their approximately equal ionization **potentials, 54.4 and 54.9 eV respectively.**

An additional ionization mechanism is one arising from chargeexchange reactions. These occur at interfaces between 0⁰ and 0^t regions for example. The reaction in this case is :-

$$
0^{o}({}^{3}P) + H^{+} \rightleftharpoons 0^{+}({}^{4}S) + H^{o}({}^{2}S)
$$

The cross-section for this process is large because of the attractive polarization force between the neutral oxygen atom and the proton and also the ionization potentials of 0° and H° are similar. Charge-exchange reactions are only important in Planetary Nebulae at the outer edges of their O⁺ zones, but do not alter the HI/HII balance significantly owing **to the low oxygen abundances.**

Figure 1.1

Ionization structure of a model nebula surrounding a 10^5 **K star**

1.3 Nebular Radiation

1.3.1 Recombination Line Emission

When a proton and an electron recombine the electron will cascade down the energy levels radiating a variety of photons. If the recombination is directly to the ground state then a Lyman continuum photon will result which may photo-ionize another neutral hydrogen atom. Transitions from bound states to the ground state generate the Lyman series. This is capable of exciting other atoms if they are in the ground state which, if they emit Lyman photons, provides a mechanism for scattering Lyman radiation. Eventually however, photons of non-Lyman series will be produced which will escape from the nebula because the majority of the neutral atoms exist in the ground state. The net effect of a recombination is the creation of a Lyman a photon and at least one other non-Lyman series photon. If a Lyman a photon is created it cannot be degraded into two or more line photons. Instead it either escapes from the nebula by making sufficient scatterings, is red-shifted away from absorption lines by velocity gradients within the nebula, is degraded by the two-photon process, or is absorbed by dust.

Nebulae that, are optically thin to Lyman lines are referred to as case A nebulae, whilst the converse is referred to as case B . The relative strengths of the lines for the hydrogen series have been calculated for both cases in the following limits :

- **(i) low electron density (Pengelly 1964)**
- **(ii) collisional transitions between degenerate states are faster than radiative transitions (Seaton 1959)**

Line strengths vary by up to a factor of two between these extremes and so Pengelly and Seaton (1964) have calculated line strengths for the intermediate case.

For the Balmer series, for example, the set of line ratios is referred to as the " Balmer decrement ", in which the intensity of Hß **is defined to be 100. The Balmer decrement is a function of electron temperature and so may be used to estimate the amount of interstellar extinction if the electron temperature can be found by other methods.**

1.3.2 Forbidden Line Emission

The origin of the green N_1 and N_2 lines at λ 5007 α and λ 4959 α **and certain other lines in HII regions was unknown for many years and was attributed to a hitherto unknown element christened " Nebulium " in a similar fashion to the mystery of the origin of lines later found to be due to helium. Bowen (1928) showed that inelastic collisions between electrons and ions sometimes result in an ion being left in a low-lying meta-stable state. At the low electron densities characteristic of HII** regions (10^4 cm^{-3}) potentially de-exciting collisions are so infrequent that the excited ion has a non-negligible probability of decaying via an electric quadrupole or magnetic dipole transition - both of which are **an electric quadrupole or magnetic dipole transition - both of which are normally forbidden " under laboratory conditions. Lifetimes of these . 11** those of allowed transitions are a factor 10^8 smaller. **those of allowed transitions are a factor 10 smaller.**

Figure 1.2 shows sections of the Grotrian energy level **:or 0 , 0 and S 10 1 important lines are indicated.** diagrams for O⁺⁺, O⁺ and S⁺ ions. Some of the transitions for the more

When account is taken of collisional de-excitation by free electrons the ratio of nebular to auroral lines of, for example 0^{++} , **is found to be a sensitive function of electron temperature, but less dependent upon electron density (Osterbrock 1974) :-**

$$
\frac{I(\lambda 4959 + \lambda 5007)}{I(\lambda 4363)} = \frac{8.32 \exp({}^{3.29} \; 10^4 / T_e)}{1.0 + 4.5 \; 10^{-4} \cdot N_e / T_e^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$
(1.1)

On the other hand, doublet ratios tend to be less dependent upon electron temperature than electron density because they arise from the same upper level. A commonly used doublet is that arising from 0 + :-

$$
\frac{I(\lambda 3727)}{I(\lambda 3729)} = \frac{1.5 + 4.41 \, 10^{-4} \cdot N_e (10^4 / T_e)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1.0 + 1.26 \, 10^{-3} \cdot N_e (10^4 / T_e)^{\frac{1}{2}}}
$$
(1.2)

Since doublet lines tend to be fairly close in terms of wavelength their intensity ratios are relatively independent of interstellar reddening.

Tlie great strength of many of the forbidden lines results from

Figure 1.2

Grotrian diagrams for 0^{++} , 0^+ and S⁺ ions

 $\frac{1}{2}$

the lack of free electrons with sufficient kinetic energy to excite ions to higher energy'levels from where they can emit permitted lines. For this reason forbidden lines are one of the major cooling mechanisms in gaseous nebulae. An extra cause of the strength of the Nebulium lines in high excitation nebulae arises from a fluorescent resonance effect (Bowen 1928) . In this mechanism Hell Lyman-alpha radiation at X303.78& resonantly excites 0 + + ions from the 2p^ state to the 3d^{3 O}P₂ state, the energy difference being equivalent to radiation of wavelength X303. 80\$.

Some Infra-red forbidden lines have been detected fairly recently, notable examples being : [Nelll] X12.8ym, [siv] Xl0.5ym and [Arlll] X9.0ym (Rank et al 1970, Holtz et al 1971, Gillett et al 1972 and Geballe and Rank 1973) . Due to the exponential nature of the Boltzmann factor exp) the intensities of these lines are insensitive to electron temperature, since hv<< $k_B T_e$ **.**

1.3.3 Molecular Line Emission

The spectra of molecules are in general very complex. However they originate from a combination of three basic mechanisms : electronic, vibration and rotation. The relative strengths of these are in the approximate ratio 10^4 : 10^2 : 1. Electronic transitions tend to lie in **approximate ratio 10 : 10 : 1 . Electronic transitions tend to lie in the Ultra-violet or visible region of the spectrum because of the relatively large energy differences involved. A model commonly used in** the radial component of the inter-atomic potential is approximated by the quadratic potential well of a Quantum Harmonic Oscillator. The energy levels of such a model would normally be equally spaced and degenerate with respect to the quantised rotational angular momentum. But, as the molecule in general is rotating there is a dependence of vibration frequency upon angular momentum due to the centrifugal forces **vibration frequency upon angular momentum due to the centrifugal forces involved. Transitions between such energy levels result in a spectrum** which has a banded structure. In homo-nuclear molecules such as H₂ there **is no intrinsic dipole moment and so the electric quadrupole transitions tend to be fairly weak.**

Molecular line emission from Planetaries has only fairly recently been detected. For example, Mufson et al (1975) have observed 1 2 C 0 from NGC 7027, IC 418 and NGC 6543, whilst Treffers et al (1976) have detected H₂ from NGC 7027. Even more recently Beckwith et al (1980) **have scanned NGC 7027 along North-South and East-West traverses through** its' centre at the $1 - 0$ S(1) λ 2.122 μ m line of H_2 . They explain their **results in terms of a shock excited HI shell located at the outer edge of the nebula.**

1.3.4 Free-Bound Continuous Emission

The intensity step in the observed continuum of nebulae at A3650\$ is due to the radiation from electrons combining with protons which fall directly into the n = 2 state. The intensity ratio of the spectrum on either side of the step is called the " Balmer jump " and is a function of electron temperature and density. Similar steps occur at the thresholds of other atomic energy levels, and the intensity variation for most of these goes as the -2.5 power of the frequency on * the high frequency side of each threshold.

1.3.5 Free-Free Continuous Emission

Free electrons passing near ions in a nebula undergo accelerations and hence radiate a continuous spectrum known as " freefree " or " thermal Bremsstrahlung " radiation. At densities $(\sim 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ and temperatures $(\sim]10^4$ **temperatures (^10 K) typical of gaseous nebulae the peak of this emission lies in the radio region at 1 to 10 GHz, where the optical depth is unity. Below the peak frequency the spcctrum is of a black-body** $\sqrt{2}$ form, whereas above the peak frequency it is essentially constant, apart from a weak $v^{-0.1}$ dependence upon the free-free Gaunt factor. Scheuer (1960) gives a detailed review of the theory of free-free **Scheuer (1960) gives a detailed review of the theory of,free-free radiation. A more comprehensive treatment of the theory appears in chapter six, where it is used in the analysis of the radio structure and spectrum of NGC 7027.**

1.3.6 2-Photon Continuous Emission

2²S to 1²S transitions in hydrogen are normally forbidden by the angular momentum selection rule. However, Spitzer and Greenstein (1951) and independently Ya Kipper (1950) have proposed a mechanism whereby a hydrogen atom finding itself in this situation can make a downward transition via a short-lived " virtual " intermediate level. In doing so two photons are created, the wavelengths of which may take any value subject to the conservation of energy :-

$$
\Delta E(2^2S - 1^2S) = h\nu_1 + h\nu_2
$$

The spectrum of this process rises from zero at zero frequency and is symmetric about the maximum which occurs when the two photons have the same frequency.

The transition rate for this mechanism is 8.2 s^{-1} and is only important at electron densities below about 10^3 cm^{-3} . This is because at densities above this value collisions with protons are more likely to transfer the excited atom into the 2P state, from where an allowed downward transition can occur.

1.3.7 Infra-Red Excess Continuous Emission

The Infra-red flux from Planetaries is often observed to be far greater than that which would be expected from free-free radiation. For instance, in NGC 7027 the Infra-red flux is about 100 times greater than the extrapolated radio spectrum would predict (see chapter six).

The excess is thought to arise from thermal radiation by dust grains which are well mixed with the hot gas in the nebular shell and are heated by direct Ultra-violet radiation from the central star and scattered Lyman a line photons. Dust therefore provides an additional mechanism for the destruction of Lyman a radiation.

The observed proportionality of the wide-band λ 400*Im* flux **with 2cm radio continuum flux for several HII regions suggests that the whole of the Infra-red excess originates from the absorption of diffuse Lymana photons, because these are both proportional to the number of recombinations (Osterbrock 1974). However, model calculations indicate that the majority of the excess arises from the absorption of stellar radiation directly (Ferch and Salpeter 1975).**

The shape of the Infra-red excess spectrum is typical of a Black-body at a temperature of a few hundred degrees Kelvin but which is modified by a λ^{-1} efficiency factor.

1.4 Nebular Expansions and Distances

1.4.1 Expansion Velocities

At one time it was thought that the twisted and bowed forms of the emission lines from Planetaries arose from their rotation (Campbell and Moore 1918). The objection to this interpretation was that although it could account for the tilt of the lines it could not explain their splitting. In fact, the angular momentum of a nebula according to the rotation hypothesis would require the progenitor star to revolve at relativistic speeds I Eventually it was realized that Planetaries are expanding radially, and measurements were made of the magnitude of the line splittings for many nebulae in the light of several ions. The most important of these investigations was that of Wilson (1950) who found that line splittings were typically 40 Km s⁻¹ in terms of Doppler shifts **when averaged over the HI, [oill] and [Nil] lines. He also noticed that the splitting in an individual nebula tended to increase with decreasing ionization potential, as did the image size. From this he deduced that the more highly ionized species exist near the central star and that the velocity of expansion of a nebula increases with increasing distance from the central star.**

Weedman (1968), using similar techniques to those of Wilson, has found that the relation between the velocity and radius at any point in a nebula is a linear one such that the velocity extrapolates to zero at a position between the star and the inner edge of the nebula. This latter point implies that a nebula undergoes significant acceleration after its' ejection from the parent star.

1.4.2 Angular Expansions

Various attempts have been made to measure the rates of change of angular dimensions of nearby Planetaries using photographs taken many years apart on long focal length telescopes. For example, Liller and Liller (1968) report on preliminary results obtained on three relatively close nebulae : NGC 2392, NGC 6818 and NGC 7662. They employed a 40 year long baseline interval. The difficulties encountered with this sort of work are :-

- **(i) A lack of sufficiently sharp features,**
- **(ii) The angular expansions are at most very small, typically 0.5" per century, which necessitates the use of long baselines.**
- **(iii) The use of long baseline intervals may result in the 1st and 2nd epoch plates having different spectral properties and, less importantly, slightly different plate scales arising from emulsion shrinkage,**
- **(iv) The nebular ionization structure may change between the exposures and lead to an incorrect interpretation of the measurements as a real expansion.**

Expansion rates measured by the Lillers are : +0.72*0.06 "/cy for NGC 2392 and +0.26^{+0.09} "/cy for NGC 7662, whilst the data for NGC 6818 are **too ambiguous to infer meaningful results.**

1.4.3 Distances

One of the most crucial parameters in the description of a Planetary Nebula is its 1 Helio-centric distance. An astronomically determined distance may be calculated for a nebula if its' expansion velocity and angular expansion rate are known. However, to do this one must assume a model for the nebula since one does not have a priori knowledge of the true three-dimensional structure. In the case of NGC 2392, for example, it has been suggested that this nebula is a prolate shell which is viewed along its' major axis (Weedman 1968). Now Liller and Liller assume spherical symmetry in their analysis, and if one adopts Weedmans linear velocity-radius relationship, then their derived distance is an underestimate. This might explain why the distances to NGC 2392 found using other methods are generally larger.

Other astronomical methods which have been applied in the estimation of nebular distances include :-

- **(i) Trigonometric parallaxes measured values tend to be very small, typically of the order of or less than the errors of observation (Van Maanen 1934).**
- **(ii) Statistical parallaxes Cudworth (1974) has measured the proper motions of many Planetaries and calculated their distances. This method may be suspect because of the noncircular Galactic orbits of the Planetary sub-system,**
- **(iii) Statistical sizes and magnitudes several attempts have been made to find an empirical relationship between, for example, the absolute magnitude and linear radius of a nebula (Camm 1938). Such methods invariably result in a high degree of error.**
- **Civ) Association with other objects at least one Planetary is known to be physically bound to a globular cluster (K 348 in M15). Planetaries in the Magellanic Clouds have been** used to calibrate other distance scales (Seaton 1966).
	- **(v) Binary systems the spectroscopic parallax of the cooler companion may yield a distance. This method is useful in the case where the hotter star is not visible (Shao and Liller 1968).**

Astronomical techniques for finding distances are :-

- **(i) Shklovskiis 1 (1956) method the basic equation used in this process, which is also the starting point for many** similar schemes, relates the mass M, luminosity L and volume V of a nebula : $M \propto \sqrt{LV}$. From this one can derive an expression for the nebular radius : $R \propto M^{2/5} s^{-1/5}$, **where S is the nebular surface brightness. Shklovskii assumes that M is a constant for all nebula and at all stages in the evolution of a nebula, except when a nebula** becomes optically thick - in which case the distance is **an upper limit. A more refined version (Seaton 1966), employed extensively by Cahn and Kaler (1971) for both optically thin and thick nebulae, used Planetaries in the Magellanic Clouds for the distance scale calibration.** Liller (1978) notes that $\langle M \rangle$ generally lies between 0.14 **and 0.47 M^q which means that the possible scales may vary by a factor of 1.62.**
- **(ii) Sobolevs* (1958) method if the electron density in a nebula can be found by a distance-independent method, eg. forbidden line ratios, then the observed flux at say H which is a function of density and distance gives the distance, after correction for interstellar reddening,**
- **(iii) Balmer decrement This technique uses the theoretical Balmer decrement of a nebula and a Galactic interstellar absorption model.**
- **(iv) Helll method (Gurzadyan 1969) some Planetaries are** + + . + + **optically thick beyond their He zones and so their He Stromgren radii may be calculated if the radius and temperature of their central stars are known.**

Acker (1978), using a large number of individually determined distances, has re-calibrated thirteen separate distances catalogues which use many of the techniques outlined above. Her list should serve as the most reliable and consistent source of distances for optically thin and thick Planetaries.

1.5 Central Stars

1.5.1 Hertzsprung-Russell Diagram

The position of the central stars of Planetary Nebulae in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram is shown in figure 1.3. O'Dell (1963) and Harman and Seaton (1964) have shown that the central stars evolve in the direction indicated, using the observation that the nebular radii increase in the same sense. The lifetime of a typical nebula is given by the maximum observed radius divided by an average expansion velocity and is about 35,000 years. This means that the central-stars must undergo very rapid evolution in comparison with other stages in their —2 lives, decay which time their radii decrease from 1 $\frac{1}{10}$ to 10 $\frac{1}{10}$, **o o whilst their temperatures rise from 5000 K to around 20,000 K or more.**

Central stars tend to have photo-visual magnitudes $m_{\text{av}} \sim 0.0$ **and fall into the disk population . Their mean distance from the galactic plane indicates that their masses range from 1.0 to 1.3 M . o**

Estimates of the effective temperature of a central star may be made by a variety of techniques

- **(i) Ultra-violet colour this employs the directly observed continuous spectrum, especially at UV wavelengths. For 0 - type stars the spectrum approximates quite well to that of a black-body (Pottasch et al 1977).**
- **(ii) Zanstra (1931) this method uses the measured flux in a nebular line (such as those from HI, Hel or Hell depending upon the excitation level of the nebula) to calculate the HI Lyman continuum flux from the star. If the nebula is optically thin to this radiation then the Hell Lyman continuum is used instead (Harman and Seaton 1964) . The ratio of either of these stellar UV fluxes to the stellar flux observed at an optical wavelength yields a long baseline black-body temperature. More refined calculations employ model stellar atmospheres,**
- **(iii) Stoy (1933) this method is essentially similar to that of Zanstra, except that it has the advantage that only nebular line ratios are used. It has been generally used only upon**

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{C})$, we can be

 \mathbf{z}

 \mathcal{L}^{\pm}

low-excitation nebulae. Physically, the method is to find what temperature the central star should have in order that the heating and cooling rates of the nebula are equal at the measured electron temperature and He/H ratio. Kaler (1976) has shown that there is a strong correlation between the stellar temperature found by this method and the N^ to H8 flux ratio. This is because the majority of the nebular cooling is due to forbidden line emission, of which the N^ line ([Olll]A5007&) is the strongest,

(iv) Certain features in the spectrum of a central star, eg. the OVI emission lines, can be used to estimate the effective temperature (Heap 1975) .

Agreement amongst these methods appears to revolve around the presence or absence of Hell emission in the nebula. When it is not observed the HI and Hel Zanstra temperatures tend to agree with the UV colour temperature. However, when it is present the temperatures derived using Hell lines are found to differ substantially from those found using other methods, especially the HI and Hel Zanstra temperatures. Temperatures derived from the Stoy and UV colour methods are generally found to agree. No satisfactory explanation has so far been put forward to account for the Hell discrepancy (Lutz 1978) although Harman and Seaton (1964) have argued that it may arise from the incomplete absorption of HI Lyman continuum photons by the nebula , in which case the Hell temperature would seem to be the most representative of the radiation field of the star.

28

1.5.2 Progenitors

The proximity of the central stars of small, and therefore young, Planetaries to the end of the horizontal giant branch in the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram has led many people to propose that Red Giants are the fore-bearers of Planetary Nebulae (Shklovsky 1956, Abel and Goldreich 1966). This picture is attractive because it is easier to account for the low dispersion of nebular expansion velocities in terms of the small escape velocities of Red Giants (typically 30 Km s than with the higher escape velocities of a few hundred Km s⁻¹ of the **remnant central stars.**

A theory which seeks to explain the formation of a Planetary . Nebula has to account for the rapid transition of the progenitor to the observed central star, which is generally hydrogen deficient. Since the nebulae tend to have typical disk population abundances this might suggest that the formation process involves the separation of the unprocessed outer layers of a star from the helium rich core. Several possible mechanisms have been put forward over the years, which differ in their primary ejection methods :-

- **(i) Unstable thermal relaxations (Rose 1966, Smith and Rose 1972).**
- **(ii) Ionization equilibrium instabilities (Lucy 1967).**
- **(iii) Radiation pressure (Finzi and Woolf 1971, Faulkner 1970).**

Although these three mechanisms are not mutually exclusive the first one seems the most likely, especially as it can account for multiple shells.

1.5.3 Evolution of the Central Stars and Nebulae

Weedmans 1 observation (1968) that the outer parts of a nebula expand faster than would be predicted by a proportional velocity-radius relationship indicates acceleration of the nebula following its' ejection from the progenitor. Indeed, the model nebulae of Mathews (1966) rely on an outward acceleration to account for the presence of a central cavity. Whether this acceleration is provided by radiation or corpuscular pressure (or both) is uncertain, but the very wide OVI emission lines seen in some central stars indicates very active stellar winds (Smith and Aller 1969).

Most stars are observed to rotate and so one might expect that if the outer envelope of a large star such as a Red Giant or a Mira variable were to be thrown off it would assume an oblate form. However, Wilson (1958) and Weedman (1968) believe that many moderately evolved nebulae are intrinsically prolate in shape. How therefore are we to explain this transition? Most explanations appear to make use of differential radiation or gas pressure. For example, Kirkpatrick (1976) has shown that an initially slightly oblate shell can develop into a significantly prolate shell purely under the influence of pressure within it.

The very fact that the central star is spinning may also help to account for the formation of a prolate shell :-

Making the assumption that the progenitor is a star of large radius then it will have an escape velocity V_{seco} of approximately 30 Km s⁻ esc **It is relatively straightforward to show using a simple ballistic approach that the ratio of the terminal velocities of the ejected shell in the equatorial and polar regions is given by :-**

$$
\frac{V(eq)}{V(p1)} = 1 + \frac{V^2}{V^2}
$$

where V_o is the initial velocity imparted to the envelope by the ejection mechanism, and $e \sim \omega^2 R_{\star}^3/GM_{\star}$ is the eccentricity of the rotating star at the moment of ejection. V(eq) and V(pl) are observed to be of the order **the moment of ejection. V(eq) and V(pl) are observed to be of the order**

30 Km s ^ and so V^q will be typically J2 times greater. Since e ^ 0.05 for Red Giants this means that a simple ballistic picture would predict very modest oblate, not prolate, shells. However, when account is taken of the conclusion of Weedman (1968) that significant acceleration of the nebula occurs after ejection then we have to modify our analysis by including the effects of stellar radiation pressure and internal Lyman a radiation pressure. The latter has the dynamical effect described by Kirkpatrick of changing the oblate shell into a prolate one. The role of stellar rotation in modulating the radiation field has in the past been virtually ignored. However, it must play an important part in the shaping and general ionization structure of a nebula by. the amplification of Kirkpatricks' mechanism since the flux from the polar regions of a star will be greater than that from the equatorial regions for these reasons :-

- **(i) a rotating star subtends a larger solid angle when viewed from above its 1 poles by a factor 1 + e .**
- **(ii) the effective stellar temperature is higher at the poles owing to the higher surface gravity. Von Zeipels T theorem (1924) states that the local gravity is proportional to the fourth power of the local temperature,**
- **(iii) less material will be ejected from the polar regions due to the higher gravitational forces.**

The Ultra-violet flux from the polar regions will therefore be greater than that from the equatorial regions by a factor 1 + 2e . Although $e \sim 0.05$ for a Red Giant this factor will increase as the star **contracts and spins up. The net result will be more extensive ionization of the regions above the stars' poles compared with elsewhere. Also, one might expect the velocity at these points to be greater.**

Eventually the nebula will move too far away from the star to be accelerated further and any subsequent changes in form will be due to differential velocity fields and the weakening radiation flux from the star. After about 35,000 years the stellar radiation is too dilute to ionize the tenuous nebula and finally all that is seen is the hot remnant star.

31

1.5.4 Later Evolutionary Stages of the Central Stars

Harman and Seatons' (1964) evolutionary track of the older central stars points towards the White Dwarf region of the Hertzsprung-Russell diagram (figure 1.3). This suggests that a fraction of White Dwarfs are old Planetary Nebulae nuclei which are cooling down, since their masses (typically less than 1 M^) are insufficient to initiate the Carbon burning cycle.

Further evidence that the central stars evolve into White Dwarfs is afforded by Weidemann (1968) who has shown that the birth rate of White Dwarfs in the solar neighbourhood is 1.6 to 5.0 10^{-3} **rate of White Dwarfs in the solar neighbourhood is 1.6 to 5.0 10** $\frac{3}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ **Kpc yr whilst the formation rate of Planetary Nebulae according to** $\int \frac{1}{2}$ \int $\frac{1}{2}$ \int $\frac{1}{2}$

Chapter 2

2.1 Discoveries and Catalogues

Up until about 1860 all recorded Planetary Nebulae had been discovered visually, using telescopes. The Planetaries in the catalogues of Charles Messier (1771-84) and Sir William Herschel (1786-1802) tend therefore to be medium sized and of fairly high surface brightness.

In the 1860's Huggins (1864) observed the nebulae with a visual spectroscope and found that Planetaries, and HII regions in general, had line spectra. This meant that they could easily be distinguished from star clusters and external galaxies which have predominantly continuous spectra, and led to the discovery of Planetaries of smaller angular dimensions. At about the same time, Dreyer (1888) was compiling the " New General Catalogue of Nebulae and Star Clusters " (the NGC catalogue), and so virtually all of the Planetaries listed in this work are extended objects. In contrast, the two later supplements- the Index catalogues (published in 1894 and 1908)- contain many of the spectroscopically discovered smaller nebulae.

The next major advance was made with the combination of the photographic plate and objective prism, with which Minkowski (1946,47,48) discovered about 200 Planetaries. Henize (1961,64) extended this survey to include the Southern Hemisphere and discovered a further 150 nebulae.

The complementary technique to objective prism observations is direct photography. By careful scrutiny of the Palomar Sky Survey plates and prints several workers, in particular Abell (1955), have found many more large, low surface brightness objects.

All Planetary Nebulae discovered up to 1965 appear in the "Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae ", compiled by Perek and Kohoutek (1967). Post P-K catalogue discoveries, and a list of misclassified objects, appear in the proceedings of the 76th IAU Symposium (Kohoutek 1978).

Long exposures of known objects have led to the discovery of very faint outer shells around many Planetaries, and in a few cases two outer shells have been observed (Kaler 1974, Millikan 1974).

The following sections outline the various classification schemes proposed for Planetaries and discuss the ability of the different empirical and theoretical models in explaining their appearance.

 \sim \star

 $\hat{\omega}$

2.2 Classification Schemes

One of the major occupations of astronomers is to place the objects they observe in pigeon-holes, according to a consistent scheme. The reason for this is usually to reduce the number of variables or parameters which have to be explained. Planetary Nebulae are no exception to this treatment, and over the years there have appeared many nebular classification schemes. Figure 2.1 is a schematic flow-chart which shows the various parameters, and their inter-connections, with which Planetary Nebulae and their central stars can be described or defined.

The simplest, and at first sight, least useful scheme is one in which all Planetary Nebulae are lumped together into one class. However, even this exercise encounters problems, since soma small nebulae originally thought to be Planetaries are now classed as compact HII regions (Kohoutek 1978) .

By going to the opposite extreme one can place each Planetary in its' own class. This is equally of no real practical use as one is in general attempting to explain :-

- **Ci) the evolution of a " typical " or " average " nebula,**
- **(ii) The differences between nebulae in terms of chemical composition, age, excitation level, mass, galactic location etc**

A ll of the practical schemes put forward so far fall between these two extremes and as a rule split into morphological/spectral and spectral descriptions of the nebulae and central stars, respectively. A 11 good 11 classification scheme may be defined as one in which each and e every object falls into only one class and can be placed there objectively, ie. by independent observers. It should also take into account both the morphology and spectrum of the nebula , and preferably the spectrum of its' central star.

The morphological description of a nebula depends strongly upon the. wavelength region it is photographed in because of the stratification effect. For instance, NGC 7293 looks quite different in the light of [Nil] compared to its' appearance at H a (Capriotti 1978). The observed form of a

Schematic flow-chart showing the various characteristics of Planetary Nebulae.

 Δ , and Δ , and Δ

96

÷
nebula is also a function of the depth of exposure, owing to non-linear photographic effects, and its' orientation with respect to the line of sight. A consistent scheme should account for the latter effect in that the overall class of an object should be independent of viewing angle. However, a sub-class could be used to describe this feature.

Spectral classifications conveniently split into descriptions of the nebula and central star (see figure 2.1). Classification of the nebular spectrum is more difficult than that of the central star because' here again one runs into problems with the stratified nature of the nebula. This is a result of the fact that most nebular spectra -are obtained with slit spectrographs or small aperture spectrophotometers which accept only selected portions of the nebula. Consequently, it is sometimes difficult to place a nebular spectrum in a definite class.

The following sections discuss in greater detail how Planetary Nebulae and their central stars are classified.

2.2.1 Central Star Spectra

The classic stellar classification schemes such as the. Harvard system have been applied to the central stars of Planetary Nebulae. Over the years there has evolved a sub-set of eight classes into which most potentially classifiable centrals stars fall. In order of number present in each class (shown in brackets) these are (Lutz 1978) :-

- **(i) Continuous (21) no emission or absorption lines are seen even at the highest available spectral resolution,**
- **(ii) Ofp Of (9+1) exhibit spectral features similar to Population 1 Of stars. Only one Of central star is known (in NGC 2392). CIII is present in Ofp stars,**
- **(iii) OVI (10) very high excitation stars, similar to Ofp type stars but have strong OVI emission lines at AA3811-34\$.**
- **(iv) 0 (9) absorption lines characteristic of normal 0 stars.**
- **(v) Peculiar (8) apparent central stars have spectral types between A and K and are too cool to account for the level of excitation of their associated nebulae,**
- **(vi) WR (7) mainly of type WC, or between WC and WN . One possible WN type central star is known,,**
- **(vii) WR+0 WR+Of (6) exhibit spectral characteristics of both WR and 0 or WR and Of type stars,**
- **(viii) O-subdwarves (6) these show broad absorption lines, typical of a hot, high surface gravity star.**

Seven other central stars do show emission lines but do not appear to fall into these categories.

The reason that so few central stars have been satisfactorily classified is because central stars are often only of tenth magnitude and are generally fainter than their surrounding nebulae. This means that it is difficult to separate stellar emission lines from those of the nebula. Differences in resolving power may also explain why an individual object has been assigned several different spectral types by independent observers (see the catalogue of Perek and Kohoutek (1968) for examples).

A significant number of central stars have been discovered to have changed in spectral type. A notable example is FG Sge, the central

star of He 1-5. This star has been observed to have steadily increased in brightness from 1955 to 1967 (Herbig and Boyarchuk 1968) with a corresponding change in spectral type of B4 to A5 .

2.2.2 Nebular Spectra

One of the first schemes in which the spectra of Planetaries were classified was that proposed for gaseous nebulae by Cannon (1916), which appeared in the Henry Draper catalogue. The defining criterion she chose was the strength of the Hell A4686& line, and because this line is dominant in the spectra of 0 type stars she placed the spectra of the gaseous nebulae near to spectral class 0 . This scheme was eventually found to be inadequate (Wright 1918) and consequently Payne (1928) separated the gaseous nebulae and 0 type stars and arranged the former into ten divisions. This scheme also employed as the principal criterion the strength of the Hell X4686& line, which was strongest in the PI nebulae and disappeared at the high end of the scale (P10), where the spectra resembled those of the reflection nebulae.

Page (1942), in a photographic study of the continuous emission from Planetary Nebulae, devised a nebular excitation scale of one to eight. For excitation classes one to four inclusive he used as his criterion the strength of Hell A4686& relative to H6» whereas for the remaining classes he used the strength of [oil] A3727& relative to [oill] A4959&. Where spectra showed characteristics of both high and low nebular excitation, he appended " pec " (for peculiar) to the excitation number.

An extended version of Pages' scheme was suggested by Aller (1956) in which six criteria are used to arrange the spectra of nebulae within ten excitation classes (Table 2.1). Class 1 is used to denote spectra devoid of [bill] emission lines. This particular scheme is the one most commonly used today.

 \sim

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

i

 \sim

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$. The set of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 \sim

Allers 1 (1956) nebular excitation level classification scheme.

 $\mathfrak{t}1$

 \mathcal{L}

 \mathbb{C}

 \sim

2.2.3 Nebular Morphology

The overwhelming variety of shapes which Planetary Nebulae possess might appear to make their morphological classification in terms of a relatively small number of classes an almost impossible task. Consequently, the early schemes tended to be totally descriptive and often used half a dozen or so separate classes. Table 2.2 lists the published **major morphological classification schemes and summarises their particular definitions. Figure 2.3 performs a similar task in diagrammatic form for a few of these schemes.**

The uniqueness of many of the classes in for example the schemes of Curtis (1918) or Gurzadyan (1969) is poor, as can be seen by noting • that from the eleven principal classes of Gurzadyan it is possible to find forty four different nebular types, albeit the majority of these being redundant. Curtis himself pointed out that in his scheme it is possible for one object to fall into two classes. Stoy (1933) also criticises Curtis' scheme by saying that an object may fall into as many as five classes, and that too much attention is paid to extraneous detail. Stoys' own scheme is the only one in table 2.2 in which an attempt is made to include a spectral description of the nebulae. However, because it is such a heterogeneous system it has never come into widespread use.

Greig (1971,72) has described a system, based on the symmetry of the nebulae, which divides Planetaries into two major classes (B and C) and two smaller classes (A and. E). " B " nebulae have two bright peaks and tend to be low excitation objects whereas " C " nebulae have a single bright peak and are generally higher excitation objects. Greig suggests that the " B" and " C " nebulae are two physically distinct classes of Planetary Nebulae , based on their different galactic spatial and kinematical distributions. More precisely, he identifies " B " nebulae with intermediate population I stars and " C " nebulae with intermediate population II or old disk stars. It is perhaps pertinent to note that Kaler (1970) has found a correlation between elemental abundances and galactic location of Planetary Nebulae, indicating that there are two types of nebulae.

Table 2.2

Morphological classification schemes.

Curtis (1918)

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$

Stoy (1933)

Vorontsov-Velyaminov (1948)

 \cdot

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathbb{I}

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Table 2.2

Evans and Thackeray (1950)

 $\ddot{}$

Westerlund and Henize (1967)

Hromov and Kohoutek (1968)

Main structure :

Peripheral structure :

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Table 2.2

Gurzadyan (1969)

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

Greig (1971)

Symmetry classes :

 $\hat{\mathbf{A}}$

 \sim

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Morphological classification schemes.

 \sim

a) Evans and Thackeray (1950) **b**) Hromov and Kohoutek (1968)

 \mathbf{v}

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\sigma_{\rm{max}}=0.01$ and $\sigma_{\rm{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 \sim

 \bullet

c) Gurzadyan (1969) d) Greig (1971)

 $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{r}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

2.3 Nebular Models

One of the objects of classifying Planetary Nebulae is to try to find a common unifying feature of the nebulae which may be explained by one parameter, or at least a very small number of parameters. In the past various schemes have tended to use one spatial model for each individual class, rather than one model for all classes. In this respect Hromov (1962) has stated that since Planetaries appear to form a physically related family of astronomical objects their spatial forms must be governed by the same set of dynamical forces and so they must have the same intrinsic form. Consequently, the diversity of observed shapes must be'the result of the projection onto the plane of the sky of this one form.

Figure 2.2 shows some of the possible approaches that have been or can be employed in determining the intrinsic form of a Planetary. In more detail these are

- **(i) Empirical generally uses a simple model to explain the form of the majority of Planetaries, or uses a more detailed model to account for the structure of a few nebulae,**
- **(ii) Derived by assuming some form of symmetry it is possible to derive the spatial distribution of radiating matter in an individual nebula,**
- **(iii) Theoretical these tend to divide into explanations of**
	- **a) The general ionization structure of a non-evolving spherically symmetric nebula.,**
	- **b) The overall structure of a spherically symmetric evolving nebula.**
	- **c) The evolution of the general shape of a simple cylindrically symmetric model nebula.**

Each of these approaches is discussed, in turn, in more detail, in the following sections.

Schematic flow-chart showing the different ways of modelling Planetary Nebulae.

 $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{R}^d$

SO.

2.3.1 Empirical

Considerable discussion has ranged over the years as to whether Planetary Nebulae are inherently toroidal or spheroidal in form. The main argument for the toroidal interpretation is based on the observation that in many objects, for example NGC 6720, the ring to centre intensity ratio is too high to be accounted for by a closed shell configuration such as a prolate or an oblate spheroid (Minkowski and Osterbrock 1960, Curtis 1918). Conversely, the case against toroidal forms is a statistical one, whereby it is argued (Greig 1971) that if Planetary Nebulae are toroids then too many are observed face on, that is they resemble annulf, than can be **explained by a random ditribution of their axes of rotational symmetry.**

NGC 6720 may be used as an example to highlight the conflicting interpretations used in explaining the observed two-dimensional structures of the images of Planetary Nebulae.

Osterbrock (1950) and Minkowski and Osterbrock (1960) have interpreted NGC 6720 as an inclined toroid.

Hua and Louise (1970) have used as their model a flat ring , tilted at 45° to the line of sight. Surrounding this is a thin spherical shell to account for the faint outer envelope.

Atherton et al (1978) have carried out an extensive study of the [OIIl] velocity field in NGC 6720 and find that

- **(i) The mean position of the line profiles does not alter along either the apparent major or minor axes.**
- **(ii) The maximum line splitting occurs at the centre of the nebula and falls monotonically to zero at the edges.**

Using additional electronographic data on a range of ions they conclude that NGC 6720 can be described by an oblate spheroidal shell.

Gulak (1958), using a technique outlined in a later section, has found that NGC 6720 can be interpreted as a cylindrically symmetric object with its' axis lying in the plane of the sky along the major axis. In other words, it resembles a prolate spheroid.

A recent study by Phillips and Reay (1980) of NGC 6720 and NGC 2474/75 may possibly help to resolve the controversy concerning intrinsic nebular forms. They point out that whilst the image of a nebula in the hydrogen lines can be quite adequately described by a closed shell model, it is the low centre to ring intensity ratios of the low excitation forbidden forbidden lines that indicate a toroidal nature. One possible explanation is that the low excitation ionic radiation arises in sheets of gas and so can not be described by a simple smooth distribution of radiating material.

52

2.3.2 Derived

The two methods of finding the distribution of emitting matter within a nebula, integro-differential solutions and matrix inversion, both rely upon the solution of Abels' Integral, an outline of which follows.

Consider figure 2.3. This shows a spherically symmetric nebula bounded by an outer surface of radius R, outside of which the volume emissivity E(r) is zero. The observed intensity I(x) at a projected radius x is simply the integral of E(r) along the line of sight, assuming that the nebula is optically thin at the given wavelength of observation. This integral equation is similar to Abels' Integral.

$$
I(x) = 2 \int_{\frac{1}{x}}^{\frac{R}{2}} \frac{r \cdot E(r)}{\int_{r}^{2} -x^{2}} dr
$$
 (2.1)

The general solution of this equation involves the differentiation of $I(x)$ with respect to $x :=$

$$
E(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{r}^{R} \frac{1}{\sqrt{x^2 - r^2}} \cdot \frac{dI(x)}{dx} dx
$$
 (2.2)

Vorontsov-Velyaminov (1948) has given a discrete version of the solution of equation 2.1

$$
E(r) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\sqrt{R^2 - x^2}}{R} \left[\frac{dI(x)}{dx} \right]_R + \frac{r}{\pi} \sum_{x=r}^{R} \sqrt{x^2 - r^2} \cdot \frac{d}{dx} \left(\frac{I(x)}{x} \cdot \frac{dI(x)}{dx} \right)
$$
(2.3)

He used this solution in deriving the emissivity distribution in the fairly symmetric nebula NGC 6572.

In practice when either equation 2.2 or equation 2.3 is applied to real data it is found that very high signal to noise ratios are required. This is because the differentiation of the signal (I(x)) tends to amplify any noise present.

The corresponding equation for the matrix inversion technique is realised by converting equation 2.1 into a discrete equation

 $\bar{\Delta}$

Spherically symmetric model used in the derivation of Abels' Integral Equation. Refer to text for explanation of symbols.

$$
L(x_i) = 2 \sum_{j=i}^{k} \frac{r_i \cdot E(r_i) \cdot \Delta}{r_i^2 - x_i^2}
$$

k is defined as : $R = x$, and $\Delta = r$... $-r$. $x = x$... Written as a matrix **k j+1 j i+l l equation this is**

 $I = T E$ (2.4)

I and E are now column matrices of length k and T is a, square matrix composed of purely geometric factors, which are zero below the leading diagonal. Clearly the solution of equation 2.4 involves the inversion of T, and when this is carried out once it is unnecessary to repeat the procedure, unless the x^'s and r.'s are changed. This method also suffers from the problem of amplified noise since it involves the differencing of similar data values. Wilson and Aller (1951) have used this technique in + + + + + + + **a study of the spatial distribution of H , He, N , 0 , 0 , Ne and S m the low excitation nebula IC 418. Although this nebulae is not symmetric it is very nearly so, and in their analysis Wilson and Aller computed a mean radial distribution for each of the ions.**

By invoking cylindrical symmetry Gulak has taken the solution of Abels' Integral one step further by using it to solve for the volume emissivity distribution E(r,z) along chords at right angles to the axis of symmetry. This requires that the axis of cylindrical symmetry lies in the plane of the sky. Gulak also assumes that this axis also points along the apparent major axis of the nebula. With these assumptions he has found E(r,z) in NGC 6720, 7009 and 7662 (Gulak 1958). However, the second assumption appears to rely upon an apriori knowledge that these objects are prolate in form. Therefore, it may be interesting to repeat these analyses for the case where the axis of cylindrical symmetry lies along the minor axis.

Another method for finding a cylindrically symmetric emissivity distribution, without actually directly solving equation 2.1, is to use an iterative approach. Essentially this involves adopting an initial form for E(r,z), computing the corresponding intensity variation over the nebula and looking for the best match to the observed intensity distribution by a process of iteration. The advantage of this technique over the preceeding

ones is although it takes more computer time it is less susceptible to the effects of noise. Additionally, by tilting the axis of symmetry relative to the plane of the sky it is possible to obtain a better fit to the data. Unfortunately, when the tilt becomes too great an infinite number of solutions E(r,z) result. This is because the solutions for the intensity variations over the object become uncoupled from each other.

2.3.3 Theoretical

The aim of calculating the theoretical ionization structure of a model nebula is to produce a self-consistent picture which can account for the observed spectra of the nebula and central star in terms of elemental abundances and density and temperature distributions. In the past the majority of these models have been spherically symmetric. One of the main failures of such models has been the inability to produce the correct intensity levels of lines from singly ionized elements in highexcitation nebulae. This has led to the proposal of models which contain neutral globules. Unfortunately, Hummer and Seaton (1973) have shown that the shielding effect of these globules is inadequate to produce high enough intensities from the gas behind them. Certainly such globules do" in fact exist, because they are visible in photographs of the nearest Planetary Nebula NGC 7293. The eventual explanation for the current failure of the models may lie in the spectrum of the central stars or in an unconsidered physical process, such as charge exchange reactions or shock effects.

Evolutionary models of spherically symmetric nebulae have been computed by Weedman (1968) and Sofia and Hunter (1968). The principal conclusions to be drawn from these investigations are :-

- **(i) An outwardly directed force is necessary to maintain the central holes observed in real nebulae,**
- **(ii) Photo-ionization effects dominate over expansion cooling of the nebulae. According to Sofia and Hunter this may explain the discrepancy in electron temperature found between static ionization models and observation,**
- **(iii) A monotonic, roughly linear, velocity radius relation results from most of the models, which agrees with the conclusions of Wilson (1950) and Weedman (1968).**
	- **(iv) The evolution of the central star seems to have little effect upon the overall structure of the nebula, although it should be borne in mind that this applies to spherically symmetric models and may not be true in general.**

Because of the extra dimension involved two-dimensional static or kinematic model nebulae have not been investigated. However, by ignoring

the detailed ionization structure of a nebula several people have looked at ballistic type models. Louise (1974) has considered what would happen if the outer layers of a rotating extended star were to be suddenly ejected. His results show that such nebulae would assume oblate forms. A more detailed investigation, along similar lines, was undertaken by Phillips and Reay (1977). They also found that the overall form of the models were oblate, although some assumed toroidal shapes. Under the influence of radiation pressure directed from the central star however . the parts of the shell situated above the stellar poles can " blow out " owing to the lower material density at these points and their smaller distance from the star. On the other hand, Kirkpatrick (1976) has shown that an initially slightly oblate nebula can evolve into a significantly prolate shell solely under the influence of internal pressure. Clearly, to reconcile these two opposite models a more realistic picture must be developed which takes into account both gravitational and radiation pressure forces.A great deal of work still remains to be done in this area of nebular structure.

58

Chapter 3

3.1 Published Data

In their investigations of the spectra of Planetary Nebulae with a prism spectrograph Campbell and Moore (1918) noticed that many of the emission lines, especially the so-called bright " Nebullium " lines, were distorted. In the majority of cases these distortions were of a tilted and / or bowed nature. To account for this phenomenum they proposed that the nebulae were rotating shells - a three-dimensional analogue of the rings of Saturn. This theory supposed that the shells **were quasi-stable configurations, except that at the polar regions it was necessary to have infalling material since there was no centrifugal force capable of supporting the shell at these points. This picture was found difficult to reconcile with observation and eventually it was realised that the nebulae were not rotating but were in fact radially expanding (Perrine 1929).**

Many years elapsed before any further significant work on the kinematics of Planetaries was carried out, although the origin of the 11 Nebullium 11 lines was finally attributed to normally forbidden transitions in 0 + + (Bowen 1928). Wilson (1950) after World War II undertook a major investigation of the nebular spectra of many bright Planetaries with a Coude grating spectrograph, and this work still forms the basis of many kinematic studies.

Grating and prism spectrographs are limited to doing useful work only upon the brighter nebulae, and so for the fainter larger objects it is essential to use an instrument which can accept large input solid angles efficiently. Such an instrument is the Fabry-Perot interferometer, which is especially well suited to the study of extended emission line sources because of its high Luminosity-Resolution product (Jacquinot 1954). The inherent problem of multiple wavelength pass-bands in this device may be overcome by the addition of a narrow pass-band interference filter , or even another interferometer. Although no major investigation of the kinematics of Planetaries using a Fabry-Perot interferometer has been done to date the work of Bohuski and Smith (1974-) must be mentioned. In this study they employed a single pressure-scanned Fabry-Perot interferometer combined with an interference filter to record

[OIIl] A5007\$ line profiles at several positions on a handful of wellevolved Planetaries, the purpose of which was to investigate the possible linear expansion velocity - radius relationship indicated by Smith (1969) for medium sized nebulae.

An alternative use of the Fabry-Perot interferometer is as a constant pass-band filter which is placed immediately in front of a twodimensional detector, such as a photographic plate. The resulting picture will be composed of interference fringes superimposed upon the image of • the nebula which are distorted by internal motions within the nebula. In this way line profiles at many positions across the object can be recorded simultaneously. A variation of this technique is used in the 11 Insect-eye " interferometer (Meaburn 1976) in which a hexagonal array, of small lenses divides up the image of the nebulae into many individual ring patterns which are recorded via a Westinghouse image tube on IllaJ photographic plate. Each ring pattern is then scanned with a microdens i tome ter and averaged to produce a line profile of the part of the nebula taken in by the corresponding " Insect-eye " lens. This instrument is therefore in effect a simultaneous multi-aperture interferometer.

Table 3.1 is a compilation of all kinematics observations published to date and is listed in terms of ionic species rather than wavelengths. Results obtained with slit spectrographs tend to be averages over several lines for an individual ion, whereas data obtained with a Fabry-Perot interferometer is invariably of a single line such as the N, line of 0^{++} , or [NII] λ 6584 λ .

60

 $\sim 10^7$

 α

 \blacksquare

 $\ddot{}$

Published nebular line splittings and widt

61

 α , α

 $\sim 10^7$

 \sim

 62

÷

 \sim

.

 $\mathcal{S}^{\mathcal{S}}$

 $\langle \bullet \rangle$

 \sim

 \bar{z} \mathcal{L}

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathcal{A}

 $\ddot{}$

Source Key

A : Acker (1975) AH : Atherton et el (1978) BRS : Bohuaki et al (1970) BS : Bohuaki and Smith (1974) BSU : Bohuaki et al (1970) CCL *t* Carranza et al (1968) D : Doplta (1978) DA : Dunks (1971) UK : Doroahenko and Kolotllov (1973) Dol/Do2 : Doroahenko (1971/73) EM : Elliot and Meaburn (1977) EH : Flannery and Herblg (1973) GMM : Goudla et al (1978) HL : Hua and Louise (1970) IIP t Hlcka et al (1976) J1/J2 : Johnson (1976/77) KA : Kaler and Aller (1974) L : Liller (1965) Lo : Lozinskaya (1973) LUL t Llller et al (1966) H : Meaburn (1971) Mu : Munch (1968) 0 : Oaterbrock (1970) OMU : Oaterbrock et al (1966) SG : Smith and Gull (1975) T1/T2/T3 : Taylor (1974/77/79) U1/W2/W3 t Ullaon (1946/50/58) We : Webster (1978) UO : Wilson and O'Dell (1962)

Symbol Key

 α , β ,

e : e-folding width. m : Multi-position observation. u : Unspecified ion. w : Full-width at half-maximum. ---: Line splitting or width not given. 0:.: Line splitting not resolved.

 \mathbf{I}

 \mathbf{r}

 \bullet

 \sim

3.2 New Observations

The aim of this thesis is to investigate the structure and evolution of Planetary Nebulae and so a variety of different sized objects were selected to be observed according to accessibility and reasonable surface brightness. Since Bohuski and Smith (1974) had already looked at some of the more evolved nebulae the objects selected for the new program tend to have smaller linear dimensions, and this is reflected in the list of nebulae observed (Table 3.2).

In common with the majority of the studies shown in table 3.1 the [OIIl] X5007X emission line was chosen for the observations because it is generally the brightest nebular line and has only a quarter of the thermal half-width of HI lines. Moreover, Wilsons'(1950) results show that the line splitting in a density bounded nebula are very nearly the same in the light of HI, [OIIl] and [Nelll]. This, together with the fact that HI and [OIIlJ photographs of such objects appear the same, indicates that the distributions of H^+ and 0^{++} are co-spatial. Therefore, observations of just the [OIII] λ 5007⁸ line from density bounded objects should provide a means of mapping the velocity fields of the HII regions **should provide a means of mapping the velocity fields of the HII regions within these nebulae.**

Spectral observations of NGC 6210, NGC 7027 and NGC 2392 using an image tube grating spectrograph were secured in May 1978 at the Cassegrain focus of the 36" Yapp Equatorial Reflector, Royal Greenwich Observatory, Herstmonceux. Figure 3.1 shows the [OIIl] X5007& line profile of NGC 6210 obtained with an East-West slit orientation. The double peak has a separation of approximately 40 Km s^{-1} and there is a suggestion of **two additional components on either side of the main double profile which** are separated by about 70 Km s⁻¹. Results obtained on NGC 7027 appear in **chapter six.**

The principal kinematic program was carried out in August and September 1978 at the Cassegrain focus of the 60" Tenerife Flux Collector, using a Fabry-Perot interferometer. These results and an outline of how they were aquired are presented in the following sections.

 $\ddot{}$.

 \mathbb{I}

Line profile of NGC 6210, obtained with an image tube grating spectrograph.

3.3 The Instrument

The Fabry-Perot interferometer used in recording [oill] line profiles of the program objects (Table 3.2) was developed in the Imperial College Astronomy Group by P.D. Atherton and is described in detail elsewhere (Atherton 1978) . However, it is useful the discuss the salient features of the instrument :-

Figure 3.2 shows in diagrammatic form the important features which characterise the heart of the instrument - the plates. Each of the quartz optical quality plates has deposited upon it a multi-layer dielectric enhanced reflection coating. Surrounding these coatings are five 1 cm diameter gold capacitor pads, which are vacuum deposited upon quartz pillars. The two plates are held apart facing each other by three piezo-electric transducers in such a way that the effective distance between the reflecting surfaces is 650 ym , whilst the separation of the opposing capacitor pads is only 50 ym . Parallelism of the plates is maintained by sensing differences in capacitance between the capacitors situated at opposite ends of the X and Y axes. Any difference is amplified and is used to alter the voltages applied to the transducers via a servoloop (Hicks et al 1974). The plate separation (Z) is referred to an external constant gap capacitor, and are scanned by introducing a calibrated offset voltage into the Z channel servo-loop.

The advantages of this technique over other methods, such as tilting or pressure scanning are :-

- **(i) very rapid scanning is possible,**
- **(ii) The instrumental profile retains a constant, symmetric form.**

Any inaccuracies in the system are usually due to drift in the reference capacitor, which arise from temperature and humidity variations.

Because HII emission line sources tend to have very weak continua and widely separated emission lines a single narrow pass-band interference filter is generally sufficient to suppress lines outside the region of interest. For the 650 μ m gap the free spectral range is about **2 \$ at 5000\$, which corresponds to a Doppler velocity range of 115 Km s *.**

66

 \mathbf{a} , and \mathbf{a} , and \mathbf{a} , and \mathbf{a}

Schematic representation of Fabry-Perot plates, showing capacitor pads (x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2) and piezo-electric transducers $(p_1, p_2, p_3) \cdot$

 $\ddot{}$

The interference filter used has a full-width at half-maximum of 5α , and **the detector used was an RCA Ga As photo-multiplier tube which, when** cooled to dry ice temperatures, has a dark count of about $5 s⁻¹$.

 \sim ϵ

3.4 Instrument Control and Data Collection

For the purposes of instrument control and data collection a Data General NOVA mini-computer was used, running under a BASIC program with. Assembler subroutines to control the instrument via CAMAC (Vine 1978) The control program, written by M . Wells, enabled the instrument to scan through any range up to a maximum of about two free spectral ranges. Two scan modes were available, both having a maximum capacity of 256 spectral elements or bins which corresponds to approximately 1.3 inter-order spacings. The first mode stepped the interferometer slowly, integrating at each bin for about 300 ms . Scans could be displayed-in real-time on a small Visual Display Unit (VDU) and, upon completion could be added to an accumulated array - depending on the scan quality. As an aid to this**procedure, the VDU could display the completed current scan and the accumulated scan simultaneously. Sky conditions and guiding errors were found to alter significantly within the duration of a typical scan using this mode and consequently the second mode was preferred. In this mode the interferometer was rapidly scanned at about 50 ms per bin and an ongoing integrated array was built up until a satisfactory signal to noise ratio was achieved, whereupon the scan was terminated at the end of the scan cycle currently in progress by means of a CAMAC pushbutton interrupt facility.**

Output of the data arrays for both modes was on punched paper tape in Interdata ASCII format. A header preceded each data array, and contained, such information as scan identification, number of points in the scan, start of scan position, integration time per bin and calibration information etc..

3.5 Data Reduction

Calibration of the data was carried out using the X5183.28& line from a hollow cathode magnesium lamp, and was generally performed before and after observations of each object. The calibration provided an accurate record, in spectral bin number, of the inter-order spacing, finesse and a reference position for the zero-shifted X5007& line.

Reduction of the data was undertaken on the CDC 6000 series computers at Imperial College using an interactive FORTRAN program and a TEKTRONIX graphics terminal. However, before any processing could be commenced it was necessary to translate the Data General ASCII characters on the paper tapes into CDC compatible ASCII characters. For this purpose a standard Imperial College Computer Centre library routine was used, with which data sets of approximately 50 or so scans were translated as a single batch job. The translated data was placed on disc for ease of access, and once there could be processed by the program - a listing of which appears in the appendix. The function of the reduction program was to shift,add and calibrate individual scans of each object using the information in the header which preceeded each scan. The line profiles were plotted on the terminal screen as a function of heliocentric radial velocity. The motion of the Earth around the Sun was corrected for using a simple program ran on a programmable Hewlet-Packard HP65 electronic calculator.

Various methods of smoothing the data were investigated, such as convolution with top hat, Airy and Sine functions. Eventually it was decided that the resulting gain in signal to noise ratio was minimal, and therefore the data which is shown in figure 3.3 is essentially raw, except where the ends of the scans have been folded over. Occasionally, mains fluctuations caused spikes to appear in the data, and these have been removed where they were obvious.

The wavelength accuracy of the data is mainly limited by the stability of the instrument between calibration scans and is typically of the order of 3 Km s^{-1} .

Output of the processed line profiles was carried out using the micro-film facility of the University of London Computer Centre and copies **of these micro-films have been used to produce figure 3.3.**

Table 3.2 lists the measured mean line positions,full-widths at half-maxima and where appropriate the peak splitting of the program objects. The inherent ambiguity in ascribing a particular Fabry-Perot order to a Doppler-shifted line profile was resolved by recourse to previous measurements of radial velocity, given in Perek and Kohoutek (1968). For only one nebula, K 3-62, was this procedure impossible, and so the uncertainty in helio-centric radial velocity for this object is an integral multiple of the inter-order spacing (115 km s^{-1}) .

Figure 3.3(1-4)

 $\ddot{}$

Figure 3.3(5-8)

Figure 3.3(9-12)

 \overline{z}

Figure 3.3(13-16)

Figure $3.3(17-20)$

 \sim

 $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C})$

Figure 3.3(21-24)

 \sim

÷

Figure 3.3(25-28)

 \approx

 $\ddot{\cdot}$

 \mathbb{D}

Figure 3.3(33-35)

Figure Number	Name	$P-K$ Number	Entrance	Mean Aperture Diameter $-\frac{1}{2}$ (arcseconds) $-\frac{1}{2}$	Helio-centric Velocity	Full-width at Half-maximum $--(K_{\rm m.s}^{-1})-$	Splitting
3.2(1)		NGC 1535 206-40 ⁰ 1	5	18 ²	-3	49	25
(2)		6439 $11+5$ ^o 1	18	5.5	-97	49	
(3)		6572 $34+11^{\circ}$ 1	18	14.4	-11	32	
(4)		$\begin{array}{cccc}\n6741 & 33-2^5 \\ 6751 & 29-5^0 \\ 6778 & 34-6^0 \\ \end{array}$	18	6	39	47	
(5)			18	21	-41	$\mathcal{L}3$	45
(6)			18	16	89	47	
(7)		6781 $41 - 2$ ^o 1	18	108	4	44	25
(8)		6818 $25 - 17^{\circ}1$	$18\,$	18.2	-16	67	42^{1}
(9)		6833 82+11 ^o 1	18	$\overline{\mathbf{c}}$	-112	28	
(10)		6857 70+ 1°_{-2}	18	34	-42	$1\,4$	
(11)			$18\,$	$4\,$, $4\,$	S	46	
(12)		$5379 57-8016881 74+201$	$^{\rm 18}$	3.1	-15	37	
(13)		6891 $54-12^0$	10	14	39	16	$\overline{7}$
(14)		7008 93+5 $^{\circ}$ 2	18	82	-77	23	
(15)		7027 $84-3^{\circ}1$ 7048 $88-1^{\circ}1$	$\bar{5}$	$12\,$	$\overline{7}$	41	18
(16)			$18\,$	59	-46	46	20
(17)		7354 $107+2^5$ 1	10	20	-39	60	47
(18)	ΙC	289 $138+2^{\circ}$	18	35	-19	63	39
(19)		$351 159 - 15^01$	18	$\overline{7}$	-11	41	
(20)		1747 $130+1^{\circ}$	18	13	-73	60	
(21)		2003 161-14 ⁵ 1	5	6.6	-17	49	
(22)		4997 58-10 ⁰ 1	$18\,$	1.5	-68	31	
(23)		5117 89-5 ⁰ 1	18	$\overline{2}$	-29	37	
(24)		5217 $100-5^{\overline{0}}1$	$18\,$	5	-101	43	
(25)	HЪ	$4.3+2^{0}1$	18	6	-63	$+6$	
(26)		$67+1^{\circ}1$	18	6.6	8	40	
(27)		$12 111 - 2$	$18\,$	10	-3	33	
(28)	Hu	$1 - 1$ $119 - 6$ ^o 1	10	${\sf s}$	-51	30	
(29)		$2 - 1$ $51 + 9^01$	18	$\boldsymbol{6}$	12	21	
(30)		K 3-62 95+0 ^o 1	18	ζ_1	-53	33	
(31)	M	$1 - 2$ 133-8 ⁰ 1	18	≤ 1	-10	33	
(32)		$1-4$ 147-2 ^o ₋₁	18	4	-33	35	
(33)	Vv	$1 - 1$ 118-8 ⁰ ₁	$18\,$	≤ 1	-50	21	
(34)		$1 - 2$ 53+24 ^o	18	4.6	-102	33	
(35)		$2 - 3$ 107-13 ⁻¹	18	4.5	-48	33	

Table 3.2

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2}d\mu\,d\mu\,.$

 $\sim 10^{-11}$

 $\ddot{}$

Measured parameters of the line profiles presented in Eigure 3.3

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

3.6 The Line Profiles

Some of the more interesting line profiles are discussed below.

NGC 1535

As the entrance aperture is a significant fraction of the nebular diameter the value of the line splitting should be taken as a lower limit. Even so, there still remains a discrepancy between the value shown in table 3.2 and Wilsons' (1950) value. The shoulders either side of the main double peak may be due to the outer envelope.

NGC 6778

This object is very similar to NGC 7026 in appearance. Two components are present in the line profile. The wide wings are consistent with the extensive envelope seen in [oill] electronographic contour maps presented in the next chapter.

NGC 6781

The observed line splitting should be a very good estimate of the true expansion velocity as the entrance aperture used subtends an angle much less than the nebular diameter.

NGC 6857

Although the optical and radio appearances indicate that this object is a Planetary Nebula (Seyfert 1947) it has been suggested that it is really a compact HII region within the extensive HI region SH2-100 (Chopinet and Lortet-Zuckermann 1976). The narrowness of the line profile and extensive Ha halo observed in electronographic contour maps agree with this conj ecture.

NGC 6891

The lack of splitting of the central peak is consistent with the size of aperture used and narrow line width observed by Stoy (1939). The wings may possibly arise from the large (>60 arcsec. diameter) outer envelope.

NGC 7008

This is a peculiar looking nebula, which is generally ring-shaped with a bright region in the north. The line profile is triangular and is not split, even though the aperture is much smaller than the nebula.

NGC 7027

A more detailed study of this nebula is presented in chapter six.

NGC 7048

This is a large bipolar nebula which, although having a split line profile, has a very low expansion velocity.

The Stellar and Integrated Objects

The integrated line profiles of the stellar nebulae and those subtending less than about 5 arcsec. in diameter exhibit a remarkable range of shapes and widths. Johnson (1977), in a study of different stellar nebulae, remarked that his observations of integrated line profiles showed them to deviate little from Gaussian forms. In contrast, the results pre presented here, which have been obtained at nearly four times the resolution, show profiles which do depart significantly from Gaussians. For example, Vy 2-3 has an extremely steep sided profile whereas the profile of Vy 1-2 is very triangular.

A substantial proportion (50%) of the integrated objects shown in table 3.2 eg. NGC 6439, NGC 6741 and IC 1747 show evidence of having flat tops, which may indicate that they are hollow shells (Beals 1931).

The interpretation of these line profiles is left until chapter five, where along with other published data, they are used to investigate the kinematics and evolution of Planetary Nebulae as a whole.

Chapter 4

4.1 Photography of Planetary Nebulae

The observations presented in this chapter were obtained primarily aid in the interpretation of the kinematic data presented in the previous chapter, for example - the estimation of shell thicknesses. The interpretation **of both sets of data is left until chapter five.**

An important parameter in the interpretation of Planetary Nebulae is their linear size, which is usually obtained from the knowledge of their distances and angular dimensions. Traditionally, the angular sizes of nebulae have been measured by eye from the extreme limits of their images on, for example Palomar Sky Survey plates or prints. Two effects occur in this process which determine the actual value derived. Firstly, the edges of some nebulae are not sharply defined and so the im image size will tend to increase with depth of exposure. Non-linearities in the photographic emulsion will also affect the variation of photographic density at theedge of the image. In addition, Baum (1968) has remarked that the measured dimensions of a nebula tend to increase with the advent of improved detection techniques. Secondly, the size and usually the entire appearance of a nebula will depend upon the spectral region it is observed in owing to the stratification effect. Capriotti (1978) cites NGC 7293 as an example, in which the images at Ha and [Nil] A6584? appear quite different - even though both lines lie in the red region of the spectrum.

Aller (1956) has described the use of a slitless spectrograph to record monochromatic pictures of nebulae in the light of many spectral lines simultaneously. Such spectra perhaps provide one of the best ways of demonstrating the stratification effect, examples of which may be found in Wright (1918) . When quantitative analyses of slitle'ss spectra are attempted several problems are encountered, which are inherent to the technique :-

- **(i) The images of large objects often overlap, even at very high dispersion.**
- **(ii) Doppler broadening, especially of the HI lines, smears the images in the direction parallel to the dispersion,**
- **(iii) The image scale along the dispersion axis differs from that along the orthogonal axis.**
	- **(iv) The smeared spectrum of the central star, if present, will overlie the images of the nebula.**

84

In spite of these drawbacks many isophotal contour maps have been produced of several nebulae, in which problem (iii) has been corrected for (Aller 1956).

The availability of commercial narrow-band interference filters capable of isolating single emission lines has solved the problems met with slitless spectrography. However, if ordinary photographic plates are employed as the recording medium then there still remains the problems of non-linearities, such as reciprocity failure. This latter effect is especially severe when one is attempting to record faint emission lines or objects of low surface brightness. In these cases it is'essential to use an efficient detection technique. Such a process, which is particularly well suited to the photography of Planetary Nebulae, is electronography. The advantages of electronography are that it is extremely linear (better than 2%), has virtually no threshold and has a very large dynamic range (typically 10)- This means that density calibrations are unnecessary, and high and low surface brightness regions may be recorded simultaneously. Digitisation of the electronograph using a microdensitometer results in a precise record of the two-dimensional distribution of intensity across a nebula. This information may be used for a variety of purposes :-

- **(i) To provide a simple method for presenting the data at various wavelengths in a selection of visual formats, eg. contours,**
- **(ii) The fitting of, or comparison with, morphological models,**
- **(iii) The derivation of emissivity distributions,**
	- **(iv) The ratioing of two exposures to determine :**
		- **a) Differential extinction across a nebula.**
		- **b) Line-of-sight average density across a nebula.**
		- **c) Line-of-sight average temperature across a nebula.**
		- **(v) The calibration of photoelectric data.**
	- **(vi) The measurement of angular expansions.**

The following sections describe a project in which a catalogue of emission line contour maps of many Planetary Nebulae at various wavelengths was initiated, the purpose of which is to provide a data source for many of the analyses outlined above. Preliminary results are presented, the majority of which correspond to the nebulae selected for the kinematical investigation of the preceeding chapter.

4.2 Electronographic Observations at Kottomia

In September/October 1979 a program of observations of Planetary Nebulae using the 74" reflecting telescope located at the Kottomia Observatory, Egypt was initiated. The purpose of this was to create a catalogue of Planetary Nebulae emission line contour maps. An S20 photocathode Spectracon electronographic camera was the instrument employed, and the data were recorded on G5 nuclear track emulsion. Details of the Spectracon and G5 emulsion may be found in McGee et al (1972).

Prior to this investigation several individual, reasonably bright objects had been observed electronographically at various wavelengths (Walker 1972,74, Atherton et al 1978, Reay and Worswick 1978). Using ordinary photographic techniques, Feibelman has presented isophotic contour maps of over a dozen nebulae (Feibelman 1970,71a,b).

One of the objects of this investigation was to accurately define the dimensions of many of the so called " stellar " Planetary Nebulae, which subtend less than two arcseconds in diameter. Since these nebulae tend to have roughly Gaussian type intensity distributions conventional photographic measurements of thier angular sizes depend strongly upon the depth of exposure.

As the brightest lines from Planetaries are usually the N_1 and N_2 lines (\lceil OIII] $\lambda \lambda$ 5007,4959 λ) these were chosen as the principal lines for **the project. Ha and occasionally HB were also recorded for the majority of the nebulae. For density bounded nebulae the [bill] and HI images often** appear similar, which implies that the three-dimensional distributions of **H and 0 are also similar. Hence, by only photographing such nebulae in the light of [OIII] one may hope to simultaneously record the intensity distribution of HI also. Since the N^ and N ² lines were recorded together with a nominally 50\$ wide filter an occasional problem arose when the continuous emission from the central star came through more intensely than the nebular lines. For this reason a narrower (10\$) filter, centred on the \5007\$ line, was sometimes used. The Ha and HB filters were also 10\$ wide.**

Table 4.1 lists some of the objects observed which are common to this program and the kinematic investigation reported upon in the previous chapter. Table 4.1 also gives the various exposure details and measured image dimensions.

Table 4.1

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 ~ 10

 \bar{z}

 \bar{z}

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

Measurements of electronographic data.

87

 \mathbf{r}

 \mathcal{L}^{\pm}

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

4.3 Data Reduction

The plate scale at the Newtonian focus of the 74" telescope is 22.5 arcsec./ram. Therefore, for a typical seeing disc diameter of 2 arcssec. the image size of a star is approximately 10 ym. This is nicely matched to the resolution of the Spectracon/G5 combination, which at single loop focus, is about 80 line pairs/mm.

All the electronographic data were scanned with a 17 ym. square aperture on a 20 pm. square grid by a PDS microdensitometer, situated at the Royal Greenwich Observatory, Herstmonceux. A FORTH-interactive program (written by I.G. van Breda) , running on a PDP 11/34 minicomputer, was used to scan and record the data digitally on 9-track magnetic tape at 1600 -bpi. The program also had a facility whereby rapid visual inspection of a completed scan could be made, using a TEKTRONIX graphics terminal.

Because the detailed data analysis was carried out on the CDC 6000 computers at Imperial College it was necessary to translate the 16 bits/word data tape into a 60 bits/word format. For this purpose an existing 7-track FORTRAN conversion program was upgraded to include a 9-track facility. A listing of this program, PDSTRAN, appears in the appendix. The process of translation enabled the addition to each scan of additional information, such as exposure duration, filter, scan parameters etc. It is in this latter format that the data is kept for archival and processing purposes, the original tape being re-used for scanning other data.

At first, existing contouring routines (written in the Imperial College Astronomy Group) were used to process and contour the data. However, since these programs ran as batch jobs the turnaround time of the analysis was found to be unacceptably long. Another drawback of these programs was the maximum array size they could deal with , typically 13200 points. This necessitated the averaging or " blocking up " of large data arrays, which led to unacceptable over-smoothing of the data. Consequently it was felt advisable in view of the great quantities of data to be reduced to write a new data reduction package capable of handling very large data arrays interactively. The program SIMCONT, a listing of which appears in the appendix, is this interactive data processing routine. The essence of SIMCONT is that it only works upon two lines of data at a time, instead of

the whole data array. A subroutine for smoothing the data prior to its' contouring is provided which can remove noise " spikes " and/or block up . Linearly or logarithmically spaced contours are drawn on the screen of a TEKTRONIX graphics terminal, and at the same time information is written to disc which can be used to generate a micro-film copy of the screen contents at the University of London Computer Centre.

Copies of the contour maps produced by SIMCONT are reproduced as figure 4.1, corresponding to the nebulae listed in table 4.1. The contours are equi-spaced between the surrounding background level and the peak intensity of each object, except the lowest contour which is set at 1% above the background.

Figure 4.1(la,b)

LINE: | TO: 200 COLUMN: | TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED LINE: | TO:, 200 COLUMN: | TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR: 3 DATA IS SMOOTHED

 66

 $\frac{9}{1}$

82

Figure 4.1(4a,b)

LINE: I TOs 200 COLUMN! I TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR! 3 DATA IS SMOOTHEO LlNEi I TOi 200 COLUMN: I TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR: 3 DATA IS SMOOTHED

Figure $4.1(5a,b)$

 \bullet

LINE: L. TO: 100 COLUMN: 1. TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 3. DATA IS SMUUTHED LINE: L. TO: 100 COLUMN: L. TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 3. DATA IS SMOOTHED

 $\ddot{}$

 \mathfrak{z}_4

 $\frac{1}{2}$.

 $\sim 10^{-4}$.

 \mathcal{L}

 96

 $\mathcal{L}_{\rm{max}}$

Figure 4.1(10a,b)

 $\ddot{}$

 86

 $\Big[$ 10"

 \sim

 \sim

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{A}}$

LINE: I TO: SO COLUMN: I TO: 50 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 OATA IS SMOOTHED LINE: I TO: 50 COLUMN: I TO: 50 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED

O O

 $\langle \hat{z} \rangle$

Figure 4.1(13a,b)

LINE i I TO i 100 COLUMN: I TOi 100 BLOCKING FACTOR I 2 DATA IS SMOOTHEO LINE: I TO: 100 COLUMN: 1 TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 OATA IS SMOOTHED

IOI

Figure $4.1(14a,b)$ Nut 6891 NGC 6891 $1.01N₁$ 4959/5007 21/9/79 10 MINS. 6563 21/9/79 \sim PDS- 20 MIC STEP

05

17 MIC. 74 KOTT AS 10

POS-20 MIC STEP

 \cdot

LINE: | TO: 100 COLUMN: 1 TO: 100 BLOCKING FRETOR: 2 DRTA IS SMOOTHED LINE: 1 TO: 100 COLUMN: 1 TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED

17 MIC. 74 KOTT

AS 18

 $C5$

102

 \sim

Figure 4.1(16a,b)

 \bullet

 \bullet

LINE: I TO: 200 COLUMN: I TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 OATH 15 SMOOTHED UNfc: I TO: 200 COLUMN: I TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR: 3 OATH IS SMOOTHED

104

 $\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{I}}$

Figure $4.1(17)$

NGC 7008

 \sim

5 MINS. 4959/5007 27/9/79

POS- 20 MIC STEP

17 MIC. 74 KOTT AS 18 66

LINE: 1 TO: 350 COLUMN: 1 TO: 250 BLOCKING FACTOR: 4 DATA IS SHOOTHED

105

 ~ 100

 \sim

LINE: 1 TO: 100 COLUMN: I TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED LINE: I TO: 100 COLUMN: I TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED

O <T>

Figure 4.1(19a,b)

 \bullet

LINE. I TO: 200 COLUHN: I TO: 200 BLOCKING FACTOR, 5 DATA IS SMOOTHED LINE: | TO: 200 COLUHN. 1 TO. 200 BLOCKING FACTOR, 5 DATA IS SMOOTHED

 \mathbb{Z}^n

POS- 20 MIC STEP

 $10 - 351$

17-810) 74-80TT **AS 18** 05

LINE: 1 10: 100 COLUMN: 1 TO: 100 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED

108

Figure 4.1(21a,b)

O VO

÷

LINE: I TO. 80 COLUMN. I TO. 80 BLOCKING FACTOR. 2 OATA IS SMOOTHEO LINE: I TO. 80 COLUMN. I TO. 80 BLOCKING FACTOR. 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED

110

Figure 4.1(243a,b)

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 \Box

LINE: I TO: 50 COLUMN: I TO: 50 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTnEO UNt : I 10: 50 COLUMN: I TO: 50 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTHED

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

III

TO

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

VT 2-2 5 MINS. 4959/5007 25/9/79

POS- 20 MIC STEP 11 RTC. 74 KOTT AS IB GS

LINE: I TO: 50 COLUMN: I TO: 50 BLOCKING FACTOR: 2 DATA IS SMOOTItEO

U>

Chapter 5

5.1 Introduction

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km s $^{-1}$ m s $^{-1}$

The purpose of this chapter is to use the published data listed in table 3.1 and the new results presented in chapter three to investigate the evolution and kinematical characteristics of Planetary Nebulae. This requires the comparison and matching of line profile data obtained with different instruments, in particular grating spectrographs and Fabry-Perot interferometers.

Information is present in the line profile of a nebula which can be used to investigate the velocity field within its' nebular shell (Beals 1931, Bappu and Menzel 1954). However, to look at the evolution of Planetary Nebulae as a whole one has to " smooth over " this detailed structure and generate a parameter which describes a mean velocity for an individual nebula.

Similarly, photographs and contour maps provide a wealth of detail about the complex nature of nebular forms. Again this information has to be " smoothed over " to yield a parameter which describes the linear size of a nebula. This involves a knowledge of the mean angular radius and distance of each nebula.

The following sections describe the method used in correlating data obtained with different instruments to produce the parameters introduced above,viz. the mean expansion velocities and radii. Finally, the relation between these parameters in terms of central star type, nebular morphological type and other functions is discussed.

5.2 The Interpretation of Line Profiles

A consequence of using Fabry-Perot interferometers in the observation of the line profiles from Planetary Nebulae is that the value of the observed line splitting is found to decrease as the entrance aperture increases. This effect is discussed by Bohuski and Smith (1974), who conclude that when the aperture subtends an angle greater than 25% of the mean nebular diameter the observed line splitting should be taken as a lower limit to the expansion velocity. Since a significant proportion of the new observations presented in chapter three were made with entrance apertures of the order of or greater than the nebular diameters we have attempted to estimate the true expansion velocity for each nebula using a simple model.

The model used is optically thin, has a radial emissivity variation proportional to (radius)^{- α} and is bounded by two spherical surfaces of radii R and R(1+6). To facilitate the analysis we have also adopted a proportional relation between the bulk velocity and radial distance at any point within the shell. This assumption is based on the conclusions of Weedman (1968). **the shell. This assumption is based on the conclusions of Weedman (1968).**

Three cases are dealt with in the following sections

- **(i) Entrance aperture much less than the nebular diameter,**
- **(ii) Entrance aperture greater than the nebular diameter,**
- **(iii) Entrance aperture subtending a significant fraction of the nebular diameter.**

5.2.1 Small Entrance Apertures

When the entrance aperture of diameter ϕ is less than about $R/2$ **a particularly simple expression for the line splitting results from the model. In the limit of an infinitely small aperture, compared with the nebular diameter, the observed line splitting AV may be defined as the difference between the centre of gravities of the red and blue components of the line profile. For 6<0.25 this expression is (to first order) :-**

$$
V(\phi = 0) = 2 V_R(1 + \delta/2)
$$

where V_R is the bulk velocity (in Km.s ⁻) at radius R.

Tha next step involves looking at the effect of finite aperture diameters on ΔV . This was performed for values of $\alpha = 0, 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2,$ **3 and 4 . These values of a result in algebraic expressions for AV(<J>), which when written as first order equations in the shell thickness 6 assume the same format :-**

$$
\Delta V(\phi) = 2 V_R (1 + \delta/2) \left(1 - \frac{(1-\delta)}{4} \left(\frac{\phi}{2R} \right)^2 \right)
$$
 (5.1)

The independence of $\Delta V(\phi)$ on the particular value of α suggests, **to this degree of approximation, that it is insensitive to the exact nature of the emissivity distribution through the shell.**

For a typical value for 6 of 0.2 the error in measurement of line splitting due to the use of an entrance aperture subtending 25% of the mean nebular diameter is approximately 3%, which agrees with the result found by Bohuski and Smith mentioned earlier.

5.2.2 Integrating Apertures

When the entrance aperture is larger than the nebula line splitting is generally not observed, and so one has "to define the mean expansion velocity otherwise. The simple analytical model was used to derive algebraic expressions for the line profiles for various values of α . As in the **preceeding section these equations, when written as third order equations in 6, resulted in the same expression for the full-width at half-maximum :-**

$$
W_{\infty} = 2 V_{R}(1 + \delta/2 + (1-\alpha)\delta^{2}/8)
$$
 (5.2)

which, is valid for 6 0.25 and a>0.

The corresponding expression for the peak splitting using an infinitely small aperture is :-

$$
W_o = 2 V_R (1 + \delta/2 - \alpha \delta^2/12)
$$
 (5.3)

To first order in δ or when $\alpha \sim 3$ equations 5.2 and 5.3 are the **same. Hence we arrive at the interesting conclusion that the line splitting observed in an object using a small aperture is approximately the same as the full-width at half-maximum of its' integrated intrinsic profile.**

The effects of instrumental and thermal broadening will, to the degree of approximation involved, only apply to the intrinsic profile. To find the intrinsic profile width we assume that it is approximately Gaussian in form, hence :-

$$
w^{2}(\text{obs}) = w_{\infty}^{2} + w^{2}(\text{Dopp}) + w^{2}(\text{inst})
$$
 (5.4)

4 At typical nebular temperatures of 10 K the thermal Doppler width $W(\text{Dopp}) \sim 5.4 \text{ Km.s}^{-1}$ for the $[OIII]$ lines. The instrumental profile of a **Fabry-Perot interferometer is an Airy function, which for our purposes is well represented by a Gaussian of full-width at half-maximum W(inst) = 3 Km.s Broadening is therefore only significant in the observed line profiles** when their full-width at half-maxima exceed about 15 $Km.s⁻¹$.

5.2.3 Intermediate Apertures

When the entrance aperture does not encompass the nebula but does subtend greater than 25% of its diameter we have to resort to the use of computer generated line profiles, again using the simple model. Figure 5.1 is an example of a set of such computed line profiles, which were calculated for the following aperture sizes :-

a) 1.2R b) 0.75R c) 0.5R d) 0.25R and e) effectively 0.0R

The values for α and δ were 4.0 and 0.2 respectively, and the profiles were **broadened with a temperature corresponding to a Doppler velocity of** $\frac{1}{2}$ **V_R.**

An interesting point to note is that these calculated profiles show the approximate equality indicated in the last section between the totally resolved profile splitting and the integrated profile full-width at ha If-maximum.

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \sim

Line profiles from the simple model. See text for details.

5.3 Application of the Model to NGC 1535

The result of applying the simple model to real nebulae is illustrated in figure 5.2 which shows the [OIIl] A5007& line splittings and full-width at half-maxima observed in NGC 1535 using the new data and published data. The 18 arcsec. aperture observation made in Tenerife is not reported here. A triangle marks the line splitting measured by Wilson (1950), where we have assumed an effective entrance aperture for his slit spectrograph of 2 arcsec. Points above 40 Km.s⁻¹ show the peak splittings **from the new data and that of Johnson (1976), whilst the lower points show** the full-widths at half-maxima from the latter two sets of data - regardless **of whether line splitting is present or not.**

The line in figure 5.2 corresponds to equation 5.1, in which the parameters R and are measured as 5.5 arcsec. and 0.25 respectively from the electronographic data presented in chapter four.

With the exception of the new 5 arcsec. aperture result the line splittings in figure 5.2 follow the parabolic fall-off with increasing aperture size indicated by equation 5.1. Johnson measures the full-width at half-maximum of his 60 arcsec. result as 43 Km.s⁻¹. With a thermal Doppler width of 5.4 Km.s⁻¹ and his instrumental width of 13 Km.s⁻¹ equation 5.4 **impl ies that the full—width at half—maximum of the intrinsic profile is approximately 40.6 Km.s This figure is in excellent agreement with the value of 40.3 Km.s** $^{-1}$ predicted by extrapolation to an infinitely small **aperture of the peak splitting measurements, and supports our use of the simple model in the interpretation of line profiles.**

As Planetary Nebulae do not in general admit to being representable by spherically symmetric models a rough investigation using prolate spheroidal models was also undertaken. The model adopted in this study was an infinitely thin-shelled prolate spheroid of axial ratios : e:e:p, where p > e . Again assuming a proportional velocity - radius relation one finds that the observed line splitting using an infinitely small aperture varies as :-

$$
\Delta V \sim \Delta V_p (1 - \epsilon \cos^2 \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$

and the mean nebular radius R varies as :-

 $R \sim e(1 - \epsilon sin^2\theta)^{\frac{1}{4}}$

Figure 6.17

Measured line splitting and widths in NGC 1535. Key : Triangle - Wilson (1950) Crosses - Johnson (1976)

```
Circles - New data 
See text for further explanation.
```
121

In both of these expressions 9 is the angle between the major axis and the sky, ΔV_p is the peak splitting which would be observed if the major axis of **the prolate spheroid were to be aligned along the line of sight and e is defined as :-**

$$
\varepsilon = 1 - e^2 / \frac{2}{p^2}
$$

Owing to the complex and diverse forms of Planetary Nebulae a • more detailed study of the effects of non-spherical geometry on line profiles was not carried out. Instead, only the simpler spherically symmetric model was used to deduce true expansion velocities from the Fabry-Perot data. However, the effect of non-spherical geometry will lead to a statistical spread in the values of the observed expansion velocities and mean radii, and this effect is discussed in the next section. Although one might expect that nebular orientation will change the magnitudes of W ^q and W ^ , their approximate equality as expressed by the similarity of equations 5.2 and 5.3 will still hold.

5.4 Application of the Model to Other Nebulae

The results of applying the simple model described in section 5.3 to other nebulae are presented in two tables: Table 5.1 covers the nebular observations presented in chapter three, whilst table 5.2 lists the nebulae with previously published expansion velocities (from table 3.1). Linear radii are derived from the distances of Acker (1978) and angular diameters from Perek and Kohoutek (1967). The expansion velocities in both tables are preferentially taken from slit spectrographic data as . these are less susceptible to the broadening effects of large entrance apertures.

Table 5.1

 $\bar{\tau}$.

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$

Predicted expansion velocities, adopted expansion velocities and linear radii of those nebulae which have new observations presented in chapter three.

 \bar{z}

Table 5.2

 $\tilde{u}^{\dagger}=\tilde{u}$

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$, and $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{A})$

[OIII] expansion velocities (taken from Wilson 1950, except where noted) of nebulae not listed in table 5.1 but which do appear in table 3.1

 \mathbb{R}^2

Key to table 5.2 :

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}})$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

(1 **Wilson 1965, taken from Smith (1971,73)**

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}^{(1)}$

- (2 **Inferred expansion velocity using model.**
- **(3 Reay (1981)**
- **(4 Bohuski and Smith (1974)**
- **(5 Unspecified ion.**
- **(6 Not a Planetary Nebula; not plotted in figures 5.3a,b,**
- **(7 Munch (1968)**
- (8 **Osterbrock et. al. (1966)**
- **(9 HI and fOIIl] velocities assumed identical.**
- (10 **Bohuski et. al. (1970)**
- (11 **Flannery and Herbig (1973)**
- (12 **Smith and Gull (1975)**

appears to encompass the two classes of nebulae suggested by Smith (1971,73) on the basis of magnitude differences between nebulae and their stars, whereas the latter sequence contains many of the larger older nebulae observed by Bohuski and Smith (1974). With this model the concept of " kinematic age " is meaningless.

No obvious correlation between morphological type and V_{exp} or R_{n} **appears to exist on the basis of figure 5.3a, although there is a tendency** for the large nebulae of both the " High velocity " and " Low velocity " **sequences to be of class III or above (i.e. more ring shaped).**

Theory predicts a roughly linear relationship between expansion velocity and linear radius for Planetary Nebulae 1 (e.g. Mathews 1966, Hunter and Sofia 1971). Since the data shown in figure 5.3a would appear to indicate that two separate classes of Planetary Nebulae exist we have plotted for comparison in figure 5.3a two theoretical curves for the models 1 and 4 of Ferch and Salpeter (1975) which relate the radius of maximum shell density $r_{\scriptsize m}^{}$ to the bulk velocity U_m at that point for shells containing **dust which are accelerated by radiation pressure from the central star. -1 Model 1 has a shell mass of 0.15 M and an initial velocity of 10 Km.s ,** whilst model 4 has a mass of 0.5 M_p and an initial velocity, proportional to radius, of between 5 and 12.5 Km.s⁻¹

The solid lines in figure 5.3a refer to the assumption that $r_{\rm m}$ = R_n and U_m = V_{exp}. Model 1 seems to follow the " High velocity " sequence **reasonably well and the adjustment of the initial parameters could well improve the correspondence between model 4 and the " Low velocity " sequence (e.g. an increase in shell mass would flatten out the velocity-radius curve).**

For the model curves reproduced in figure 5.3a as solid lines the radius R ⁿ is taken to be equal to the radius of maximum shell density. Whilst the expansion velocity V_{exp} is probably appropriate to this position in the **shell because emission measure is proportional to the density squared, the nebular radius R ⁿ may be better represented by the maximum shell radius (Bohuski and Smith 1974). Figure 1 of Ferch and Salpeter (1975) suggests** that the maximum shell radius can be two or more times greater than r_r and **m** so the model curves are replotted in figure 5.3a with $R_n = 2 \times r_m$ as dotted **lines.**

Distribution of nebular angular diameters in present study (thick line), compared with those from Perek and Kohoutek (1967).

5.5 The Expansion Velocity-Linear Radius Relation

Figure 5.3a ia a plot of foill] expansion velocity (v exp) versus linear radius (R_n) for the nebulae listed in tables 5.1 and 5.2.

The data points are represented by the corresponding nebular morphological classes (Vorontsov Velyaminov 1948) which are taken from the catalogue of Perek and Kohoutek (1967). Unclassified nebulae are denoted "U", although the majority of these objects would appear to be of irregular form. Underlined symbols indicate the prescence of an outer envelope(s). The numbers along the top and down the right hand side refer to the kinematic nebular ag& J e in years - defined as R /V *n* exp

In spite of the considerable scatter the data points appear to' fall along two lines (figure 5.3a) suggesting that two distinct, approximately linear, expansion velocity-linear radius relationships may exist. At present it has been impossible to identify any selection effect which might account for the distribution of data points in figure 5.3a. In fact, the distribution of nebular angular diameters for the present sample matches quite closely with the distribution for all nebulae in the catalogue of Perek and Kohoutek (1967) (figure 5.4). Any selection effect in the present sample must therefore also be present in this catalogue.

A number of schemes of Planetary Nebulae evolution can be proposed to account for the distribution of data points in figure 5.3a:-

The simplest, and possibly least likely, is one in which Planetary Nebulae are formed with a wide range of initial expansion velocities, which are maintained at roughly the same value over a significant fraction of the nebular lifetime. Figure 5.3a would then suggest that two distinct epochs of nebular creation exist, with few being formed between kinematic times of 5000 and 9000 years ago. This is unlikely however in view of our current understanding of stellar evolution, in particular the link between Red Giants and White Dwarfs that Planetary Nebulae probably represent.

An alternative view is that data represents evolutionary tracks of two distinct classes of Planetary Nebulae: a " High velocity " sequence which essentially begins life with an envelope expansion velocity \sim 10 Km.s⁻, and a " Low velocity " sequence which starts life at \sim 5 Km.s^{$^{-1}$} and **accelerates to lower velocities than the other sequence. The former sequence**

Agreement between the model curves and the data in figure 5.3a is reasonably good suggesting that radiation pressure driven models are capable of accounting for the observations and that the larger nebulae of the " Low velocity " sequence are of relatively high mass. Only about 20% of the shell mass will be observable (Ferch and Salpeter 1975), consistent with most observational estimates that shell masses are $\leq 0.2M_{\odot}$.

5.6 Central Stars

Correlations between central star spectral type and V_{exp} and R_n **may help to clarify the interpretation of figure 5.3a. Figure 5.3b is the same as figure 5.3a except that the points are plotted as central star spectral types, where these are available. It can be seen that nebulae with OVI sequence central stars (Smith and Aller 1969) and continuum type stars account for the majority of the " High velocity " sequence nebulae, whereas the " Low velocity " sequence contains only 0 type stars.**

Closer examination of those objects for which'stellar temperatures are available (Pottasch et al 1978, Pottasch 1980) reveals two effects

- **(i) A trend of increasing effective stellar temperature and decreasing stellar radius with increasing kinematic age. This observation may be accounted for by the evolution of central stars to higher temperatures and smaller radii (O'Dell 1963, Paczynski 1971) - confirming that central stars of the "Low velocity " sequence are generally older than those of the 11 High velocity 11 sequence.**
- **(ii) A tendency for the effective stellar temperature to increase** with V_{exp} and R_n along the two sequences of figures 5.3a,b. **This is possibly a combination of two effects :**
	- **a) The radius of the 0 + + zone is a function of stellar temperature, depending as it does on the number of ionising photons available from the star.**
	- **b) Hotter central stars may impart higher expansion velocities to the nebular shells during and after their ejection. Nebulae with OVI sequence central stars lend support to this hypothesis since they fall in the highest expansion velocity group of figure 5.3b, are of extremely high excitation (Smith and Aller 1969) and are known to have wide emission lines indicative of strong stellar winds, which together with the concomitant high radiation fluxes, are thought to drive the expansion of the nebular shells.**

rOIII] expansion velocity versus linear radius for the nebulae listed in tables 5.1 and 5.2. Refer to text for explanation of axes and symbols. Lines indicate predictions of model nebulae.

As figure 5.3a but with data plotted as central star spectral type.

5.7 Conclusions

o

The scatter of the data points in Figures 5.3a, b is quite considerable. In fact, a scatter of \sim 0.3 V_{exp} and \sim 0.15 R_n is to be exp ii
late_nabul**er_abell expected from the random orientation of prolate nebular shells of axial ratio 1:1.5 (section 5.3). Further errors in R will result from errors n in distance determination (Acker 1978) - which may well amount to a factor of two - and in the determination of angular radii.**

In spite of this, however, there appear to be systematic trends in the data. Two distinct expansion velocity - linear radius relationships seem to exist. The " High-velocity " sequence contains nebulae with predominantly OVI and continuum type central stars, whilst ' the " Low-velocity " sequence consists of the larger, older nebulae with **mainly 0 type central stars.**

The radiation pressure driven models of Ferch and Salpeter (1975) show reasonable agreement with the data, suggesting that the " High-velocity " sequence corresponds to nebulae with total shell mass Ay 0.15 M^q , and the " Low-velocity " sequence is composed of nebulae with shell masses \sim 0.5 M₂. Only about 20% of this latter_shell mass **o** will be observable (Ferch and Salpeter 1975) - consistent with most **observational estimates that nebular shell masses are typically of the** order of 0.2 M₂.

There is some observational evidence that a trend of increasing stellar temperature and decreasing radius supports the view that the nebulae of the "Low-velocity " sequence are older than those of the **" High-velocity 11 sequence, and that progression along these sequences** to higher R_n values represents an age progression.

Chapter 6

6.1 Introduction

NGC 7027 has, to paraphrase many authors, " an exceedingly rich and varied optical spectrum 11 . It is one of the brightest and therefore most comprehensively studied Planetary Nebulae, and for this reason it was chosen as the prototype for a detailed spatio-kinematic analysis.

It is interesting to note that whilst NGC 7027 is often taken to be the archetype of the Planetaries, Allen (1975) questions its authenticity, mainly on the grounds of its irregular optical form and the lack of an observable central star. Recent high resolution radio observations however have revealed a bipolar structure characteristic of Planetary Nebulae. This chapter presents additional evidence which enforces the belief that NGC 7027 is a young bona-fide Planetary.

Sections 2 to 6 deal with optical observations of NGC 7027, and include some new results. Narrow-band filter electronography with Spectracon image tubes is used to map the variation of external extinction across the face of the nebula and locate a possible candidate for the central star. Observations with a Fabry-Perot interferometer and an image tube grating spectrograph reveal the distribution of internal obscuring matter. Data obtained with the Fabry-Perot interferometer are used to investigate velocity fields in the nebula, which is then interpreted in terms of a simple tilted prolate spheroidal model.

Sections 7 to 13 contain reviews of current radio free-free and radio recombination line theory, which is then used with radio and optical observations to propose a consistent model for the HII region of NGC 7027. A distance of 1.33 Kpc to NGC 7027 is predicted.

6.2 External Extinction

At optical wavelengths NGC 7027 presents an amorphous appearance, and therefore has often been classified as " irregular " (Perek and Kohoutek 1967,Greig 1971). However, at radio wavelengths the nebula exhibits a striking degree of symmetry about two orthogonal axes (Harris and Scott 1976, Scott 1973).

Radio free-free, forbidden line and recombination line emissivities are all proportional to the square of the electron density. Therefore, the reason for the irregular optical appearance must be due to differential extinction by material lying along the line of sight.

To investigate this absorption effect a digitised Ha electronograph (shown as a contour map in figure 6.1) was divided point by point by a digitised version of the 5 GHz radio map of Scott (also shown as a contour map in figure 6.2) on a one arcsecond grid. The result is shown in figure 6.3, where contours of equal extinction are spaced by 0.25 m. Due to the dissimilar instrumental profiles - the **optical profile is Gaussian, whereas that of the radio has negative lobes - figure 6.3 gives only a rough indication of the distribution of extinction across the face of the nebula. However, the overall impression of a ring or belt of obscuring matter possibly surrounding the nebula is apparent. In this respect NGC 7027 resembles the Bipolar Nebulae, which are thought to be HII regions with circumnebular dust rings.**

Figure 6.1

 $\ddot{\phi}$

É,

 $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}$, where \mathcal{A}

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

NGC 7027 - HI λ 6563 \hat{X}

Figure 6.2

NGC $7027 - 5$ GHz Continuum

 \sim

 $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$

 $\sim 10^{-10}$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$, where $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

Contour interval = 0.25 m

NGC 7027 - Relative extinction at H α

6.3 Possible Central Star

Wide-band optical photographs of NGC 7027 show little, if any, sign of the central star. However, it may be possible to locate it by using narrow-band interference filters situated well away from nebular emission lines to enhance the stellar continuum. Since the central star is very faint relative to the nebula continuum a differential technique is probably the best approach.

The method used was to photograph the nebula in the continuum at A4788& and A6703?, and in the light of a dominant nebular emission line, A6563& (Ha). Significant differences between the continuum and the emission line exposures were then looked for.

A distortion of the contours to the East of the bright knot in the A4788& exposure is clearly visible (figure 6.4) which is not present at Ha (figure 6.1). As a more quantitative check the A4788& and Ha electronographs were digitally ratioed to produce figure 6.5a. The distortion now appears as a fairly symmetric feature with a peak height approximately 20% above the surroundings, which are taken to be at unit height.

Confirmation that this feature is a stellar image was made by plotting the intensity variation along East-West slices taken through the feature in the A4788& and Ha contour maps (figure 6.5b). The difference between the two plots results in a Gaussian profile of fullwidth at half-maximum 1.1 arcseconds. This width is very nearly the same as that measured of a field star which was observed on the same evening.

Whether this star is really the central star or a fortuitously situated foreground star is uncertain, and so the following additional tests could be carried out :-

(i) do the star and nebula have the same proper motions ? (ii) do the star and nebula have the same radial velocities ? (iii) is the star hot enough to excite the nebula ? (iv) is the star at the centre of the radio image ?

Figure 6.4

NGC 7027 - A4788& Continuum

140

L,

a) NGC 7027 - λ 4788Å/ λ 6563Å ratio showing candidate central star

b) NGC 7027 - Comparison of RA scans through candidate central star

Test (i) is difficult owing to the lack, of sufficiently sharp features in the nebula and the faintness of the star and time required.

To see if tests (ii) and (iii) are feasible trial observations of the spectrum of NGC 7027 were secured in May 1979 at the Royal Greenwich Observatory, using an image tube grating spectrograph. Unfortunately, bad weather rendered the results unusable. However, instruments aboard the International Ultra-violet Explorer (IUE) satellite may be capable of measuring the stellar spectrum.

Test (iv) was carried out in two stages. First, accurate coordinates of field stars common to the A4788& exposure and Palomar Sky Survey red plates were calculated using Smithsonian Astronomical Observatory reference stars. The coordinate measurements were made on the Coradograph X-Y measuring machine situated at the Royal Greenwich Observatory. The second stage involved using these field star coordinates (defined at the 1950 epoch) to match the A4788& contour map to the 5 GHz radio map, the coordinates of which are known very accurately. The final error in this process is approximately 0.5 arcseconds, and the candidate star is found to lie at the centre of the radio image to within this.

As test (iii) was not successful one could recourse to using electronographs taken at different continuum wavelengths to give a rough indication of the spectral type of the star. Unfortunately, the only other continuum exposure available, at A6703&, is too noisy to be of any use. In principle though it should be possible to record the stars' spectrum by carefully positioning the entrance slit of a spectrograph through the stars' known coordinates and subtracting the nebular spectrum which would be interpolated from the spectrum on either side of the star.

Zanstras' method combined with the A4788& observation can be used to calculate what the effective central star temperature should be to yield the observed nebular H6 flux.

Assuming that the nebula is optically thick to Lyman series radiation (Seatons' case B) we have (Osterbrock 1974)

$$
\frac{L_{Hg}^{*}}{Q} = h_{v_{Hg}} \frac{\alpha_{Hg}^{eff} (H_{r}^{o}T_{e}) \pi F_{Hg}^{*}}{\alpha_{B} (H_{r}^{o}T_{e}) \pi F_{Hg}^{neb}}
$$

142

 $\mathbf{L}_{\text{HB}}^{\star}$ is the stellar luminosity at H β

Q is the number of photons per second emitted by the star which are capable of photo-ionizing H°

 $\alpha_{\rm H\,B}^{\tt eff}$ is the effective recombination coefficient for H3 emission and $\alpha_{\text{B}}^{\text{}}$ is the total recombination coefficient to excited levels of H^O **O**

The ratio of stellar to nebular flux at H_B may be expressed as :-

 $\gamma = \frac{1}{2}$

<code>Miller and Mathews (1972) give a value of 8.0 10^{-15} Hz^{-1} for</code> the ratio $\mathbf{F}^{\text{neb}}_{\text{1,200}}$: $\mathbf{F}^{\text{neb}}_{\text{100}}$. Model atmosphere calculations by Hummer and **Mihalas (1970) indicate that the stellar HB and A4788& fluxes are the same, and so we require only the ratio of stellar to nebular A4788& fluxes. The observed value of this quantity is given by the ratio of the respective " volumes " of the star and nebula as measured from the A4788& data :-**

$$
\frac{I_{4788}^*}{I_{4788}^{\rm neb}} = 1.98 \, 10^{-3}
$$

This ratio has to be corrected for the extinction of the star by the **nebula. In section 6.4 it will be shown that the nearside portion of the nebular shell attenuates the emission from the far side by 0.34 m at A5007&. The flux at the same wavelength from the star will also be reduced by this amount. From Osterbrock (1974) we note that for typical interstellar reddening curves the extinction at A5007& is approximately the same as that at A4788&. The intrinsic stellar to nebular flux ratio** at λ 4788 \hat{X} is thus increased by $10^{0.4 \times 0.34}$ to 2.71 10^{-3} .

eff Interesting and \mathbf{F} is approximately 1.0 : 8.7 (Miller and **Mathews 1972) and so :-**

$$
\frac{L_{HB}^*}{Q} = 8.8 10^{-29} \text{ ergs Hz}^{-1}
$$

Comparison with the model stellar atmospheres of Hummer and Mihalas suggests an effective stellar temperature in excess of 200,000 K - which is entirely adequate to account for the very high degree of nebular excitation seen in NGC 7027.

 $\bar{\Delta}$

6.4 Internal Extinction

Following the discovery by Gillett et al (1967) of a large Infra-red excess from NGC 7027 in the 2 to 14 micron region, Becklin et al (1973) mapped the nebula at 10 microns (figure 6.6). The similarity of the 10 micron and 5 GHz radio maps led them to conclude that the spatial distribution of the Infra-red and free-free emission regions are similar. This they say indicates that the hot dust giving rise to the Infra-red excess is not responsible for the patchy extinction seen at visible wavelengths. The dissimilarity of their 2.2 and 10 micron rightascension scans through the centre of the nebula also implies an external source of obscuration, and so one may deduce that the sizes of the particles causing this extinction are less than about 10 microns. The absence of any observable Infra-red emission from this external dust component would indicate that it has a very low temperature.

What extinction the hot dust particles do cause is demonstrated by the work of Hicks et al (1976). Using a capacitance-sensed servocontrolled Fabry-Perot interferometer they have observed the [OIIl] A5007& emission line profile at several positions on NGC 7027. Their results show a general weakening of the red side of the line profiles indicative of internal extinction by dust. At a point corresponding to the centre of the 5 GHz radio image they calculate that the dust in the near portion of the nebular shell attenuates the emission from the rear of the shell by 0.34 m . Two possible interpretations may account for this result : either the obscuring matter is distributed throughout the nebula or it is located internal to the shell. The second alternative may be discounted by the fact that the line profiles observed well away from the centre of the nebula also exhibit a weakening of the red side. Also, as mentioned before, the close similarity of the 10 micron and 5 GHz radio maps indicates a co-spatial dust and gas distribution. In fact it is difficult to envisage a mechanism whereby dust could exist in the harsh environment close to a very hot star without the additional presence of ionized gas to shield it.

 $\overline{}$

 $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$ and $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$ are $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$. In the $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{z})$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Figure 6.7

Apertures of Fabry-Perot interferometer and grating spectrograph superimposed upon 5 GHz radio contour map

6.5 [bill] A5007\$ Line Profiles

A more detailed investigation than that of Hicks et al (1976), made by Atherton et al (1979) also shows the effect of internal absorption by dust mixed with ionized gas. This work was undertaken with two instruments : a Fabry-Perot interferometer and an image tube grating spectrograph.

The Fabry-Perot observations were made at the Coude focus of the 88" Mauna Kea Telescope, Hawaii using entrance apertures of 1.6, 3.3 and 5.0 arcsecond diameter at a resolution of 67,000. The sizes and positions of these apertures are shown in figure 6.7 superimposed upon the 5 GHz radio map of Scott (1973), whilst the line profiles are reproduced in figure 6.8.

Spectrographic observations were made with the Unit Spectrograph at the Cassegraine focus of the 36" Yapp Equatorial reflector situated at the Royal Greenwich Observatory, Herstmonceux. Spectra taken at intervals of 3 arcseconds in declination were recorded with an East-West oriented 100 micron wide slit at a reciprocal dispersion of 10 α **mm⁻¹ on G5 nuclear track emulsion via a Spectracon image tube. Details of the Spectracon image tube and nuclear track emulsion may be found in McGee et al (1972). Reduction of the data in the format shown in figure 6.9 required the relative alignment of the spectra in the East-West direction. This was accomplished by using the known declination of each spectral slice relative to the bright optical knot to match each one to a direct A5007\$ electronographic contour map (figure 6.10). The line profiles were then produced on a Joyce-Loebl microdensitometer operated in the direct recording mode by scanning the aligned spectra along the dispersion axis at intervals of 2.25 arcseconds in right ascension with a 10 micron square aperture. The effective aperture of the scans presented in figure 6.9 is a square of side 1.5 arcseconds.**

147

 \sim

 $\bar{\beta}$.

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A})$ and

NGC 7027 - Fabry-Perot [oill] A5007& line profiles o - approximate centre of radio image.

NGC 7027 - Grating spectrograph [oill] A5007& line profiles o - approximate centre of radio image.

-P> VO

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

 \sim

J.

NGC 7027 - [OIII] 250078

6.6 Interpretation of the Line Profiles

The similarity of the Ha and A5007\$ contour maps (figures 6.1 and 6.10) indicates that the spatial distributions of H^+ and 0^{++} are **and 6.10) indicates that the spatial distributions of H and 0 are identical. Wilson (1950) has found that the spectral line splitting observed at the centres of many Planetaries is very nearly the same for H I, [OIII], and [Nelll] . Therefore, one can consider the 0 ions to be " tracers " of the velocity fields in the HII regions of these nebulae. The two useful features of the [OIIl] A5007\$ line are that it is often . the brightest line in the spectrum of gaseous nebulae and since oxygen has an atomic weight of 16 it has one quarter of the thermal width of hydrogen lines.**

Weedman (1968) assumes that the oval nature of many Planetaries is due to the projection onto the sky of a prolate spheroidal shell, whereas Curtis (1918) interprets their forms as being due to intrinsically oblate shells. Slit spectra of Planetaries often show a tilt of their emission lines. This observation can sometimes provide a method of deciding between the two alternatives because for a prolate shape the line tilt will be maximum when the spectrograph slit is aligned along the apparent major axis, and zero when it is aligned along the minor axis. The converse holds for oblate shapes. These conclusions are only valid if the velocity field is symmetric about the axis of cylindrical symmetry. Unfortunately, if the tilt is found to be zero along both both axes then either the nebula is static or the axis of cylindrical symmetry lies in the plane of the sky. In the latter case it is virtually impossible to determine whether the nebula is prolate or oblate. However, if it can be assumed that there really is an axis of symmetry one may find the distribution of emitting material, in cylindrical coordinates, by the matrix-inversion technique used by Gulak (1958), or by an integrodifferential solution of Abels' equation (chapter two) . Since the axis of symmetry can in principle be along either the major or minor axis, two solutions will be possible. The comparison of these may help to resolve the prolate/oblate ambiguity.

Figures 7a and 7b of Atherton et al (1979), which show the variation in radial velocity of the [OIIl] A5007\$ line profile components along the major and minor axes of NGC 7027, are cast into a different

format in figures 6.11a and 6.11b in which only the mean line radial velocities are plotted. These plots show that the mean radial velocity along the major axis changes at a rate of 1.05 Km s⁻¹arcsec⁻¹ in going **from South-East to North-West. The corresponding change along the minor** axis is much smaller : 0.17 Km s⁻¹arcsec⁻¹, going from North-East to **South-West.**

From the foregoing discussion we may interpret these results as suggesting that NGC 7027 may be represented by a prolate spheroidal shell, with the North-Western end of its' major axis tilted away from the Earth.

Figure 6.12 is a section along the axis of symmetry of a thin shelled prolate spheroidal model nebula, tilted at an angle 9 to the plane of the sky. The dotted line at an angle 9' is the locus of the centre of gravity of the line profile which would be observed if one assumes that the velocity at any point in the shell is proportional to that points' distance from the centre of the model, and that the volume emissivity is constant throughout the shell.

We can derive a relation between 9 and 9' in terms of the ' lengths of the semi-major axis P and the semi-minor axis E :-

$$
\tan \theta' = \frac{(P^2 - E^2)\tan\theta}{P^2 + E^2\tan^2\theta}
$$
 (6.1)

The Fabry-Perot data provides a value for the maximum line splitting $2V_{\text{q}} = 33.0$ Km s⁻¹ which is observed at the centre of the radio **image, and the rate of change of radial velocity along the major axis** $g = 1.05$ Km s⁻¹arcsec⁻¹. The projected length p of the major axis is **measured from the unpublished 15 GHz map of Scott (figure 6.13) and is 3.81 arcseconds, and E is measured to be 2.8 arcseconds.**

The expression for p in terms of 9, P and E is :-

$$
p = (E^{2} \sin^{2} \theta + P^{2} \cos^{2} \theta)^{\frac{1}{2}}
$$
 (6.2)

e, which is shown in figure 6.12 is defined as :-

 $\sim 10^{11}$

 $\alpha_{\rm{max}}$ and

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

a) NGC 7027 - Radial velocity along major axis

b) NGC 7027 - Radial velocity along minor axis

153

Cross-section through thin-shelled prolate spheroidal model

 $\ddot{}$.

NGC 7027 - 15 GHz Continuum

$$
e = \left(\frac{\sin^2 \theta}{p^2} + \frac{\cos^2 \theta}{E^2}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{E \times P}{p}
$$
 (6.3)

k is defined as the proportionality constant in the relation between velocity V and distance from the centre of the model r :-

Contract Contract

$$
V = k \times r \tag{6.4}
$$

 \sim \sim

Upon solving equations 6.2 to 6.4 and substituting numerical values for the variables we find that :-

$$
P = p \left(1 - \frac{p^2 E^2 g^2}{(p^2 - E^2) v_0^2} \right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = 4.48 \text{ arcseconds}
$$

$$
\theta = \cos^{-1} \left(\frac{p^2 - E^2}{p^2 - E^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 42^{\circ}4
$$

and $k = \frac{p \times V_0}{p \times E} = 5.01 \text{ Km s}^{-1} \text{arcsec}^{-1}$.

If we now relax the assumption that the emissivity is constant throughout the shell but instead falls as $r^{-2\alpha}$, where $\alpha > 0$, then from figure 6.12 it is apparent that e^* will decrease as α increases. Equivalently, for a fixed θ' we see that θ will increase as α inc **Equivalently, for a fixed 9 we see that 6 will increase as a increases,** be taken as a lower limit.

It is interesting to note that the axial ratio P : E = 1.6 1.0 is very similar to the ratio 1.5 : 1.0 used by Weedman (1968) in his interpretation of the structure of other Planetaries.

This particular interpretation of the Fabry-Perot data should be compared with that of Atherton (1979), who finds that $\theta = 15\degree$ O and $k = 7.3$ Km s⁻¹arcsec⁻¹.

The data also shows that the cylindrical model of NGC 7027 proposed by Scott (1973) is incorrect because it is tilted the wrong way and would imply a constant line splitting along the major axis.

6.7 Thermal Bremsstrahlung Theory

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$

The equation of radiative transfer for the radio continuum is :-

$$
\frac{dI_{\vee}}{dx} = -k'(\nu, x) \times I_{\vee} + j(\nu, x) \tag{6.5}
$$

where : $I_{\textrm{\tiny{U}}}$ is the radiation intensity in W $\textrm{m}^{-2}\textrm{ster}^{-1}\textrm{Hz}^{-1}$ $k'(\nu,x)$ is the absorption coefficient per unit volume in m^{-1} **and j(v,x) is the corresponding emissivity coefficient**

Since, by Kirchhoffs' Law, k['](v,x) and j(v,x) are related in **he Pl< terms of the Planck black-body function B^(T^) equation 6.5 can be expressed as :-**

$$
\frac{dI_{v}}{d\tau_{v}} = -I_{v} + B_{v}(T_{e}) \qquad ; \text{ with } d\tau_{v} = k'(\nu, x) dx
$$

The solution of this equation, assuming that there is no background radiation source present, is :-

$$
I_{v} = \int_{0}^{\tau_{v}(\max)} B_{v}(T_{e}) \exp(-\tau_{v}) d\tau_{v} \quad ; \text{ with } \tau_{v} = \int_{0}^{x} k'(v, x') dx'
$$

The antenna brightness temperature T^{\prime}_{h} is defined by the **Rayleigh-Jeans Law :-**

$$
I_v = \frac{2k_B v^2}{c^2} T_b
$$

In terms of brightness temperature the solution of equation 6.5 becomes

$$
T_b = \int_0^{\tau_v (max)} T_e(\tau_v) \exp(-\tau_v) d\tau_v
$$
 (6.6)

When the electron tempirature T_a is constant equation 6.6 simplifies :-

$$
T_b = T_e \{1 - \exp(-\tau_v(\max))\}
$$
 (6.7)

The expression for $k'(\nu,x)$ is (Lang 1974) :-

$$
k'(\nu, x) = \frac{0.98N_e(x)}{T_e^{3/2}(x)\nu^2} \Sigma_{i}^{2}N_i(x) \log_e(4.954 10^7 T_e^{3/2}(x)\nu^{-1}) \pi^{-1} (6.8)
$$

which is valid for $N_e \sim 10^4 cm^{-3}$ and $T_e \sim 10^4 K$.

 $\bar{\alpha}$.

From equation 6.8 and the definition of τ_{γ} , it is clear that :-

$$
\lim_{v \to 0} (T_b) = T_e
$$
 ;
$$
\lim_{v \to \infty} (T_b) = T_e^{\tau_v(\max)}
$$

These are the optically thick and optically thin limits, respectively.

6.8 Temperature and Density Variations in NGC 7027

Figures 6.14a and 6.14b show the variation with Ionization Potential IP of the electron density N_p and electron temperature T_p in **NGC 7027, plotted from the data of Kaler et al (1976). The solid lines in the graphs are fits to the data**

 $N_e = 64.0 \text{ IP}^2 \text{ cm}^{-3}$; $T_e = 11,500 + 0.0014 \text{ IP}^{7/2} \text{ K}$

We assume at this point that these variations are physically real, and are not artifacts of the incorrect application of atomic theory. By eliminating IP from these equations we arrive at a simple relation between T_e and N_e

$$
T_{\rm c} = 11,500 + 9.6 \, (\text{N}_{\rm c}/10^4)^{7/4} \tag{6.9}
$$

In section 6.6 it was shown that NGC 7027 may be interpreted as a tilted prolate spheroid, and so we model the nebula accordingly, although in more detail.

b) NGC 7027 - Electron temperature versus Ionization Potential

6.9 The HII Model

The model we have adopted for the HII region of NGC 7027 has an electron density variation :-

$$
N_e(r) = N_e(e_i) (e_i/r)^{\alpha} cm^{-3}
$$
 (6.10)

and is bounded by two co-axial prolate spheroidal surfaces tilted at an angle θ to the plane of the sky. The axes are in the ratio e^i : e^i : p^i **for the inner surface, and e : e : p for the outer surface where : o o** *ro* $P_i \geq e_i$, $P_o \geq e_o$, $P_o \geq P_i$ and $e_o \geq e_i$. $N_e = 0$ at points inside the inner surface and outside the outer surface, and therefore for $\alpha > 0$ the **electron density is a maximum at radius e^.**

Due to their low abundances we will ignore the contribution of elements heavier than helium to the free-free absorption coefficient (equation 6.8). We also assume that : $N(He^{++}) \propto N(He^{+}) \propto N(H^{+}) \propto N_{\rho}$ (equation 6.6). We also assume that : N(He) with) (N(He⁺⁺) and all points throughout the shell. The proportionality of N(He⁺⁺) and **at all points throughout the shell. The proportionality of N(He) and N(He) is clearly absurd. However, from Miller and Mathews (1972) we note** $+$ and He^{$-$} relative to H^{$-$} 0.085 respectively, and so this error will be minor.

Model fitting using the 15 GHz map of Karris and Scott (1976) was accomplished in two stages by running an inter-active least-squares fitting program on the CDC 6500 computer at Imperial College.

The first stage involved finding the geometrical parameters of the surfaces for a range of a . This was done by neglecting the variation of T^ given by equation 6.9 and using the optically thin form of equation 6.7. For half-integral and integral values of a this results in a straightforward algebraic expression for the brightness temperature as a function of the geometrical parameters. Initial values of the geometrical parameters were chosen and values proportional to T^ were computed over a | arcsecond square grid. This array was then convolved with another twodimensional array to account for the instrumental profile, and the final 2 array was used to calculate the least-squares residual x > relative to the digitised 15 GHz data. The procedure was then iterated, after the

geometrical parameters had been simultaneously varied, until the change in χ^2 between successive runs was less than 0.1%. The least value of χ^2 **was achieved for** $\alpha = 1$ **.**

The second stage involved taking the best fit model, replacing the explicit variation of T_{ρ} and relaxing the assumtion of optical **thinness. The geometrical parameters were then " tweaked up " to produce a better fit. The final parameters appear in table 6.1.**

By integrating over the model we can find the predicted total 2 flux density in terms of $^{\circ}e^{(e)}$. $^{\circ}$ **Kpc**, where $^{\circ}$ **Kpc** is the distance to the

$$
1.072 \text{ N}_e^2(e_i) \cdot d_{\text{Kpc}} = 172.0 \text{ 10}^8 \text{ cm}^{-6} \text{Kpc}
$$
 (6.11)

where the numerical factor on the left hand side arises from the where the numerical factor on the left hand side arises from the
contribution to the free-free absorption coefficient by He^{**} and He^{*}.

At a distance of 1.34 Kpc (Cahn and Kaler 1971) we find :-

$$
N_e(e_i) = 1.1 10^5 cm^{-3}
$$
 ; $N_e = 7.9 10^4 cm^{-3}$
\n $(N_e)_{rms} = 8.1 10^4 cm^{-3}$; $Mass_{HII} = 0.06 M_o$

The figure of 0.06 M ^q for the total mass of ionized gas agrees well with the value of 0.064 M ^q calculated for the cylindrical model of Scott (1973).

Using the parameters listed in table 6.1 a 5 GHz model map has been produced, and is shown along with the 15 GHz model map in comparison with the 5 and 15 GHz observed maps in figure 6.15.

Table 6.1

 \mathcal{A}

 \sim

 $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\bar{\omega}$.

 $\bar{\beta}$

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\ddot{}$

Geometric Parameters of the 7027 Model

 \bar{z}

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}$

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

 $\epsilon_{\rm{max}}$.

 ω .

NGC 7027 - Comparison of model and observed 5 and 15 GHz radio maps

 $\hat{\mathcal{L}}$

 $\ddot{}$

6.10 Radio Continuum Spectrum of NGC 7027

The HII model derived in the last section may be used to predict the radio continuum spectrum, which can then be compared with observation. Figure 6.16 shows the measured flux densities, which have been mainly taken from the compilation of Higgs (1971), but which also include results by Harris and Scott (1976) and Scott (1973). The optical data is included also, and is taken from Kaler et al (1976). The solid line in figure 6.16 represents the predicted spectrum, after a reddening correction of c = 1.2 has been applied (Osterbrock 1974). The agreement between the observations and the predicted'spectrum is seen to be excellent.

An effect of temperature gradients through the nebula appears as an asymmetry about the minor axis of both the observed and model 5 GHz maps (figure 6.15). That such an effect can occur in a symmetric model can be expained by means of a simple model. Figure 6.17 represents a cross-section through a "Battenburg cake " HII region, which is basically a uniformly dense right cubic cylinder having two pairs of different temperature regions, where T(l) > T(2) (see figure 6.17, in which the axis of symmetry is also shown).

We wish to derive expressions for the brightness temperatures, $T_{\rm k}$ (1) and $T_{\rm k}$ (2), which would be observed along the upper and lower lines **of sight respectively. For this purpose we use equation 6.6 :-**

$$
T_b(1) = \int_{0}^{\tau_v(\max)} T_e(\tau_v) \exp(-\tau_v) d\tau_v
$$

\n
$$
T_b(1) = T(2) \{1 - \exp(-\tau_v(2))\} \exp(-\tau_v(1)) + T(1) \{1 - \exp(-\tau_v(1))\}
$$

where $\tau_{ij}(1)$ and $\tau_{ij}(2)$ are the respective optical depths in the regions of **electron temperature T(l) and T(2). Similarly,for the lower line of sight :-**

$$
T_b(2) = T(1) \{1 - \exp(-\tau_v(1))\} \exp(-\tau_v(2)) + T(2) \{1 - \exp(-\tau_v(2))\}
$$

The difference between these two equation is a measure of the degree of asymmetry :-

Cross-section through " Battenburg cake " HII model

 $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$

$$
T_b(2) - T_b(1) = \{T(2) - T(1)\}\{1 - \exp(-\tau_y(2))\}\{1 - \exp(-\tau_y(1))\}\ (6.12)
$$

Clearly, if the model is isothermal then the asymmetry vanishes, as it also does at sufficiently high frequencies.

The maximum asymmetry observed in NGC 7027 at 5 GHz is about 650 K and this occurs along the major axis at positions where the mean brightness temperature .is about 4500 K. If the electron temperature at these points is approximately 11500 K then the corresponding optical depths are roughly 0.5 at 5 GHz. If we make the assumption that a relation similar to equation 6.12 can be applied to the case of NGC 7027 in the form

 $\Delta T_b = \Delta T_e (1 - \exp(-\tau_v))$

then $\Delta T_a \sim 1660$ K is a rough indication of the range of temperature **present in NGC 7027. This is consistent with the variation of temperature in figure 6.14b.**

At 8 GHz the expected asymmetry is 290 K according to this scheme, whilst at 15 GHz it should be 90 K. From the 8 GHz map of Terzian (1978) we see that the asymmetry is about 200 K, which is consistent with what we expect. At 15 GHz the predicted value is just about equal to the noise level of the map of Harris and Scott (1976). This latter result partly justifies the neglection of the explicit form of the electron temperature variation (equation 6.9) when deriving the parameters of the HII model.

6.11 Radio Recombination, Lines

6.11.1 Theory

The frequency of the transition from level $n₂$ to level $n₁$ in **hydrogen is given by the Rydberg equation :-**

$$
v = \text{Rc}(\text{n}_1^{-2} - \text{n}_2^{-2})
$$
 Hz

where $R = 1.097$ 10^7 m^{-1} is the Rydberg constant.

Transitions between levels with $n_1 \sim n_2 \sim 100$ occur in the radio region and since $\Delta n = n_2 - n_1 \ll n_2$ we can write the Rydberg equation in **the approximate form :-**

$$
\nu = \text{Re} \frac{2\Delta n}{n^3} \qquad \text{Hz} \tag{6.13}
$$

Such transitions are denoted : na, n_B, n_Y etc., where n is the upper level and α , β , γ etc. refer to $\Delta n = 1$, 2, 3 etc.

Under conditions of Local Thermodynamic Equilibrium (LTE), we can express the population distribution of levels by means of Sahas' equation :-

$$
N_{n}^{*} = \frac{n^{2}h^{3}exp(\lambda_{n}/k_{B}T_{e})}{(2\pi m_{p}k_{B}T_{e})^{3/2}} N_{p}N_{e}
$$
 (6.14)

where the symbols have their usual meanings

In practice, collisional excitations and de-excitations by free electrons result in a departure of the population distribution N^ from the LTE value N_n . Conventionally, this departure is expressed in **terms of the departure coefficient b which is a function of N and T : n e e**

$$
N_n = b_n N_n^*
$$

Brocklehurst (1970) has tabulated values of **b_n** for a wide range of $\text{N}_{\mathbf{e}}$ and T_e using a cascade matrix technique. He also gives values for C_n^{\dagger} , **which is defined as :** $C^{\text{r}}_{n} = -\log_{10}(\frac{a_{1} \log_{e} b_{n}}{a})$. As n tends to infinity, **b^ tends to unity, which simply means that as the bound electrons in an atom near the continuum level they approach an LTE distribution, where by definition b =1 . n**

The volume emissivity coefficient for a n to n-An transition is given by

$$
j_1(v) = b_n n_n^{\frac{A_{n,n-\Delta n}}{4\pi}} h v \phi_v
$$

where : $A_{n-\Delta n,n}$ is the Einstein spontaneous emission coefficient and ϕ_{ν} is the line profile function, normalised to unity.

From the Einstein relations and Kirchhoffs' law we may derive an expression for the line absorption coefficient :-

$$
k_1(v) = \frac{c^2}{2v^2} \left(\frac{N_{n-\Delta n}}{\omega_{n-\Delta n}} - \frac{N_n}{\omega_n} \right) \omega_n \frac{A_{n,n\Delta n}}{4\pi}
$$

where ω_{\perp} is the statistical weight of the n'th level. Using Sahas' **equation this can be re-written :-**

$$
k_{1}(\nu) = b_{n} \beta N_{e} N_{m_{e}c} \frac{e^{2} h^{3} n^{2} exp(\lambda_{n}/k_{B}T_{e})}{(2\pi m_{e}k_{B}T_{e})^{3/2}} \{1 - exp(-h\nu/k_{B}T_{e})\}
$$

$$
\times f_{n,n-\Delta n} \phi_{\nu}
$$

where $f_{n,n-\Delta n}$ is the oscillator strength of the transition and β is :-

$$
\beta = \{1 - \frac{b_n}{b_{n-\Delta n}} \exp(-h\nu/k_{B}T_{e})\} \{1 - \exp(-h\nu/k_{B}T_{e})\}
$$

or: $\beta = 1 - \frac{k_{B}T_{e}}{h\nu} \frac{d\log_{e}b_{n}}{d\nu} \cdot \Delta n$

hv dn

Equation 6.5 is the equation of radiative transport for purely continuous radiation. When account is taken of radio transition lines it becomes (Goldberg 1966) :-

$$
\frac{dI_{v}}{d\tau_{v}} = -I_{v} + \frac{k_{1}^{*}(v)b_{n} + k'(v)}{k_{1}^{*}(v)b_{n}\beta + k'(v)} B_{v}(T_{e})
$$
\n(6.15)

it where : $d\tau_{n} = (k^{2}, v) + k^{2}(v)$ dx

 \sim

 \cdot

In terms of brightness temperature the solution of equation 6.15 is :-

$$
T_c + T_1 = \int_{0}^{\tau \sqrt{max}} T_e (k_1^{\star}(\nu)b_n + k'(\nu)) \exp(-\tau \nu) d\tau \nu
$$
 (6.16)

where T ^c and T^ are the continuum and line brightness temperatures respectively.

6.11.2 Line Profiles

Several factors determine the line profile factor ϕ_{μ} namely : **thermal broadening, bulk velocity broadening, pressure broadening and the Stark effect. Greim (1967) has shown that the Stark effect is negligible to the pressure broadening by free electrons.**

The classical theory of pressure, or impact, broadening is as follows : Assume that a continuously radiating hydrogen atom is being perturbed by passing free electrons so that the wavetrain suffers an abrupt change in phase during each encounter. If the sections of the wavetrain are uncorrelated in phase then the spectrum of the i'th one, of duration T^ seconds, will be a Sine function centred at the frequency of the unperturbed wavetrain of full—width at zero—crossing points 2/T. Hz. If the collisions are occuring randomly in time then the probability $P(T^1)$ **of an interval T^ elapsing between successive collisions will follow a random walk pattern :-**

 $P(T_i) = exp(-T_i/\tau) d(T/\tau)$

where x is the mean interval between collisions. The combined spectrum of an ensemble of similar atoms will be the average of the individual Sine functions, weighted by P(T.), and is a Lorentzian profile of halfwidth at half-maximum $\delta = (2\pi\tau)^{\frac{1}{2}}I$ Hz.

Brocklehurst and Leeman (1971) have computed δ over a range of **electron densities by averaging over a Maxwellian electron velocity distribution :-**

$$
\delta = \frac{1}{2\pi} \overline{\sigma v} N_e
$$
 Hz

where a is the inelastic collision cross-section. For Hna lines they derive an empirical expression for <5 :-

$$
\delta = 4.7 \text{ (n/100)}^{4.4} \text{(T}_e / 10^4)^{-0.1} \text{ N}_e \text{ Hz}
$$
 (6.17)

The line profile from a Maxwellian velocity distribution is a Gaussian :-

$$
\phi_{v} = \frac{1}{6vr^{2}} \exp \left\{ \left(\left(v - v_{o} \right) / \delta v \right)^{2} \right\} \qquad ; \qquad \frac{\delta v}{v_{o}} = \left(\frac{2k_{B}T_{e}}{m_{p}c^{2}} \right)^{2} \tag{6.18}
$$

where v q is the rest frequency.

 $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$

Broadening by the bulk velocity fields within nebulae is the most difficult mechanism to quantify. However, for our purposes it will be adequate to represent it with a Gaussian profile.

The resultant line profile factor is a Voigt function, which is obtained by the convolution of all of the above effects, including the broadening by the instrument. Allen (1964) gives a formula for the full-width at haIf-maximum AV of a Voigt profile, in terms of Gaussian and Lorentzian components :-

$$
\Delta V = (\Delta V_{I}^{2} + \Delta V_{D}^{2} + \Delta V_{E}^{2} + 0.25 \Delta V_{P}^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} + 0.5 \Delta V_{P}
$$
 (6.19)

where I, D, E and P refer to the instrumental, thermal, expansion and pressure broadening processes respectively. These widths are often expressed as equivalent Doppler velocities, in Km s

6.12 Radio Recombination Line Observations and Their Interpretation

Table 6.2 is an up to date list of all of the Hna data on NGC 7027. Values of the line to continuum brightness temperature ratios are mainly taken from source. However, Brocklehurst and Seaton (1972) point out that radio astronomers often use empirical methods in the removal of rapidly fluctuating baselines from their data. For example, T₁/T_c and AV have been produced by Churchwell et al (1976) after the **subtraction of a quadratic background, which was fitted to the data on either side of the line. They then fitted a Gaussian profile to the residual data to yield the line parameters quoted. This procedure is extremely suspect because at the electron densities observed in NGC 7027 4 5- 3 (10 to 10 cm) one would expect to see significant departures from Gaussian profiles for lines with n > 100 due to pressure broadening.** For instance, when $N_e = 10^4$ cm^{-3} and $T_e = 10^4$ K the ratio of pressure **to thermal broadening widths is 0.38 for the H109a line. Such baseline correction techniques can therefore lead to the removal of the broad wings of a Voigt profile and consequently result in the under-estimation of T^/T^c and AV. In contrast, Chaisson and Malkan (1976) have used a strictly linear baseline correction and so obtain higher values of the line parameters for the HllOa transition than Churchwell et al do for the H109a transition.**

In order to place all of the data on an equal footing, the results of Churchwell et al have been re-interpreted using a linear baseline correction and these values appear in brackets in the table.

The corrected line parameters in table 6.2 are plotted in figures 6.18a, 6.18b and 6.18c. The optical line width, represented by a diamond, is taken from Wilson (1950).

Table 6.2

Line	T_1/T_c		ΔV Km s ⁻¹		Reference
76α.	0.0370	±0.002	47.5	± 3	(1)
76α	0.0300	$*0.004$	41.0	±7	(2)
85α	0.0310	$*0.009$	46.0	± 12	(3)
85α	0.0230	$*0.003$	45.0	± 2	(4)
85α	0.0210	±0.002	52.8	± 5	(5)
90 _α	0.0170	$*0.001$	49.0	±5	(6)
94 ₀	0.0104	40.002	44.0	112	(7)
109 _a	$0.0035(55)$ ± 0.001		65(76).0 ± 12		(6)
110a	0.0096	20.001	71.2	± 13	(1)

Observed Hna Line Ratios and Widths of NGC 7027

 ~ 10

 \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max} and \mathcal{L}_{max}

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\ddot{}$

Reference Key : Symbol used in figure 6.18 :

 \sim μ

 $\bar{\mathbf{r}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 $\hat{\mathbf{r}}$

 \sim

 \mathbb{C}^{\times}

b) NGC 7027 - Hna radio recombination line to continuum ratios

t.

 \sim \sim

c) NGC 7027 - Product of line to continuum ratios and line widths

6.13 Predicted Radio Recombination Lines From the HII Model

The model which has been developed for explaining the radio structure of NGC 7027 may be used to predict radio recombination line parameters. Because N_e and T_e depend upon the radial distance in the model it is necessary to express b_n and β as functions of N_{α} . For this **purpose the results of Brocklehurst (1971) were used to express b and** β as power series in N_a/10⁴. The expansion velocity line width $\Delta V_{_{\rm E}}$ $=$ 25 Km s⁻¹ was taken from Wilson (1950).

When pressure broadening is negligible the line profile is Gaussian with a peak height $\phi_{\alpha} = (\sqrt{\pi} \Delta V)^{-1}$ **, where** ΔV **is given by equation 6.19. To account for the effect of a finite amount of pressure broadening we can amend this expression in terms of the ratio x of Lorentzian to Gaussian component widths**

$$
\phi_0 = \sqrt[4]{\pi} \Delta V (1.0 + 0.39 \times - 0.10 \times^2)^{-1}
$$
 (6.20)

This correction factor is a fit to the tabulated values of profile peak heights and widths in Allen (1964).

Equation 6.16 may be integrated over the volume of the model to obtain the predicted line profiles. In practice four values of were computed for each of the lines listed in table 6.2 at the following positions

(i) At the line centre (i) 5 Km s^{-1} from the line centre (iii) 30 Km s⁻¹ from the line centre **and (iv) At effectively infinite distance from the line centre**

Values (i) and (iv) were used to calculate T,/T , whilst all four values 1 c were used to calculate the line width AV. Table 6.3 summarises the results of these calculations, for various values of the peak density N e (e.) .

The parameter generally used in the comparison of the theory and observation of radio recombination lines is the product of the line

 ~ 10

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{$

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$

 \mathcal{A} is a set of \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{A} is a set of \mathcal{A} , and \mathcal{A}

 ~ 10

 \sim

Predicted Hna Line Ratios and Widths for the 7027 Model

to continuum brightness temperature ratio and the line full-width at half-maximum, expressed in Km s⁻¹. For a line which is unaffected by **pressure broadening this parameter is proportional to the area, and hence energy, under the line.**

A least-squares process was applied to the predicted line parameters in table 6.3 to find the best value of N (e.) which is 5 - 3 e l 1.1±0.05 10 cm . The solid lines in figures 6.18a, 6.18b and 6.18c represent this vale of $N_e(e_i)$ **.**

Knowing independently the values of i^{\prime} **^{** \cdot **a_{Kpc}** and N_e ^{(e}_i)} **we can calculate the distance to NGC 7027 :-**

$$
d_{\text{Kpc}} = \frac{172.0 \, 10^8}{(1.1 \, 10^5)^2 \, 1.072} = 1.33 \, \text{m} \cdot 0.05
$$

Cahn and Kaler (1971) find that the distance is 1.34 Kpc, although when account is taken of their incorrect assumption of hydrogen temperatures this is increased to 1.47. In contrast, Acker (1978), using data from several sources, finds that the distance is 1.09 Kpc.
Appendix

Al Computer Program "SIMCONT' 1

0010 PROGRAM SIMCONT(INPUT=13IB,OUTPUT=131B,TAPE5=INPUT,TAPE6, 0020+ DATA=131B,TAPE2=131B,TAPE1=DATA,TAPE64,TAPE66,TAPE61) 0030C 0040 DIMENSION CONT(30), NORD(14), NTICK(30,50), NNTICK(30) 0050C 0060 COMMON /BLK1/ AA(2,1024) 0070 COMMON /BLK2/ TL, TR, BL, BR, AAC 0080 COMMON /BLK3/ M , N, DX, DY 0090 COMMON /BLK4/ X(8), Y(8), NORDER(14,8) 0100 COMMON /BLK5/ ASDT(8), SCDT(8), DENS(9), DENM(9) 0110C 0120 DATA NORD /126,145,237,348,1358,1367,2456, **0130+ 2478,12578,14678,23568,34567,123457,123468/** 0140 DATA ((NORDER(I,J), J=1, 8), I=1, 14) / **0150+ 3,0,1,6,2,0,0,0, 3,0,4,5,1,0,0,0, 3,0,2,7,3,0,0,0, 0160+ 3,0,3,8,4,0,0,0, 4,0,3,8,5,1,0,0, 4,0,1,6,7,3,0,0, 0170+ 4,0,4,5,6,2,0,0, 4,0,2,7,8,4,0,0, 5,0,2,7,8,5,1,0, 0180+ 5,0,1,6,7,8,4,0, 5,0,3,8,5,6,2,0, 5,0,4,5,6,7,3,0, 0190+ 3,3,4,5,1,2,7,3, 3,3,1,6,2,3,8,4/ 0200C 0210C "SIMCONT" CONTOURS DATA FROM STANDARD FORMAT FILES. IF THE FILE HAS 0220C BEEN PRE-PROCESSED BY "PREVIEW" THEN INFORMATION SUCH AS BACKGROUND 0230C LEVEL/SLOPE AND NOISE LEVEL ARE PICKED UP AUTOMATICALLY. THE DATA 0240C IS INITIALLY ON TAPE #1 AND SELECTED PORTIONS OF IT ARE WRITTEN ON 0250C TAPE #2 BY THE SUB-ROUTINE "WINDOW". CONTOUR LEVELS ARE GENERATED 0260C SUBROUTINE "CONTOUR" ARE MAY BE LINEARALLY OR LOGARITHMICALLY SPACED. 0270C 0280C SINCE "SIMCONT" WORKS ON ONLY TWO LINES AT A TIME VERY LARGE ARRAYS 0290C MAY BE PROCESSED. N-S INVERSION, E-W REVERSAL AND TICK MARKS ON THE 0300C CONTOURS ARE OPTIONAL. 0310C 0320C THE PLOTTING OF THE CONTOURS IS ACCOMPLISHED BY MEANS OF "LOOK-UP" 0330C TABLES TO DETERMINE THE PATH OF A CONTOUR THROUGH A "PIXEL" BOX. 0340C 0350C INITIALISE ICCC PLOT PACKAGE. 0360C 0370 CALL START (2) 0380 CALL SWITCH (9HHARDCPYON) 0390C 0400 10 REWIND 1, 2 0410C 0420C READ HEADER FROM DATA TAPE #1. 0430C 0440 READ (1) IUR,ISC,NL,NPX,ASDT,SCDT,NDM,(DENS(J),DENM(J),J=1,NDM) 0450C 0460C REPORT NUMBER OF LINES, POINTS/LINE, BACKGROUND, RMS NOISE. 0470C 0480 WRITE (6,9010) NL,NPX,DENS(5),DENM(5) 0490C 0500C PROMPT FOR BLOCKING-UP FACTOR, SMOOTH SWITCH (TRUE=1), PLOT AREA. 0510C 0520 WRITE (6,9020) 0530 CALL BUFFEM (-6) 0540 READ (5,*) IBLK,ISMTH,MFST,MLST,NFST,NLST**

0550C 0560C CHECK LIMITS, TRUNCATE SPECIFIED AREA IF NECESSARY. 0570C 0580 MFST = MAXO (1,MFST) 0590 MLST = MINO (NL,MLST) 0600 NFST = MAXO (1,NFST) 0610 NLST = MINO (NPX,NLST) 0620C 0630C COMPUTE M = NUMBER OF LINES, N = COLUMNS. 0640C 0650 M = (MLST - MFST + 1) / IBLK 0660 N = (NLST - NFST + 1) / IBLK 0670C 0680C AMEND HEADER PRIOR TO WRITING SELECTED REGION TO TAPE #2. 0690C 0700 DENS(1) = MFST $\qquad \qquad$ \qquad DENM(1) = NFST 0710 DENS(2) = MLST $$$ DENM(2) = NLST 0720 DENS(3) = FLOAT (IBLK) $\$$ DENM(3) = FLOAT (ISMTH) **0730 NDM = MAXO (3,NDM) 0740C 0750 WRITE (2) IUR,ISC,M,N,ASDT,SCDT,NDM,(DENS(J),DENM(J),J=1,NDM). 0760C 0770 IF (NDM .GE. 5) ISMTH = 2 * ISMTH 0780C 0790C FORM PLOT TAPE #2. 0800C 0810 CALL WINDOW (IBLK, ISMTH,NL,NPX,M,N,MFST,MLST,NFST,NLST, AAMAX) 0820C 0830C REPORT MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF SELECTED REGION. 0840C 0850 WRITE (6,9030) AAMAX 0860 CALL BUFFEM (-6) 0870C 0880C CONTOUR DATA. 0890C 0900 20 REWIND 2 0910C 0920 NCONT = 0 0930C 0940C PROMPT FOR CONTOUR PARAMETERS. 0950C 0960 WRITE (6,9040) 0970 CALL BUFFEM (-6) 0980C 0990 READ (END=20,ERR=20,5,*) MODE,A1,A2,NCONT,ALEAST 1000C 1010 IF (MODE .NE. 1 .OR. MODE .NE. 2) GO TO 20 1020 IF (NCONT .LE. 0) GO TO 20 1030C 1040C CALCULATE CONTOUR HEIGHTS. 1050C 1060 CALL CONTOUR (MODE,Al,A2,NCONT,ALEAST,CONT) 1070C 1080 INS = 0 \$ IEW = 0 \$ ITIC = 1 1090C 1100C IEW=1 FOR E-W REVERSAL, INS=1 FOR N-S INVERSION. 1110C ITIC =-1,0,+1 FOR ASCENDING, NONE, DESCENDING TICK MARKS ON CONTOURS. 1120C 1130C ENSURE THAT CONTOUR HEIGHTS ARE MULTIPLES OF 0.00001. 1140C INITIALISE TICK MARK ARRAY.**

 $\ddot{}$.

1150C 1160 DO 30 L = 1, NCONT **1170 NNTICK(L) = 0 1180 CONT(L) = l.E-5 * AINT (1.E5 * CONT(L) + 0.5) + l.E-5 1190 30 CONTINUE 1200C 1210 CALL NEWPAGE 1220 CALL HEADER 1230C 1240C INTICK IS THE INTERVAL BETWEEN TICK MARKS, SET AT ABOUT ONE/INCH. 1250C 1260 INTICK = 1 + N / 12 1270C 1280C IPLT=0 FOR BOX PLOT MODE, =1 FOR ST. ANDREWS CROSS PLOT MODE. SET TO 1290C 1 FOR SELECTED REGIONS CONTAINING LESS THAN 2500 PIXELS. 1300C 1310 IPLT = 0 1320 IF (M * N .LE. 2500) IPLT = 1 1330C 1340C COMPUTE INVERSION COEFFICIENTS. 1350C** 1360 C1 = IEW * (N - 1) \$ C2 = 1 - 2 * IEW 1370 $C3 = INS * (M - 1)$ \$ $C4 = 1 - 2 * INS$ **1380C 1390C WRITE INVERSION INFORMATION (IF PRESENT) ON TOP RIGHT OF PLOT. 1400C 1410 IF ((INS + IEW) .EQ. 0) GO TO 40 1420 CALL SYMBOL (16.0,12.0,0.15,13HINVERSION :,0.0,13) 1430 IF (IEW .EQ. 1) CALL SYMBOL (18.1,12.0,0.15,3HE/W,0.0,3) 1440 IF (INS .EQ. 1) CALL SYMBOL (18.1+IEW*0.6,12.0,0.15,3HN/S,0.0,3) 1450C 1460C WRITE CONTOUR LEVELS TO RIGHT OF PLOT. 1470C 1480 40 CALL SYMBOL (16.0,11.5,0.15,18HCONTOUR HEIGHT(S):,0.0,18) 1490C 1500 DO 50 L = 1, NCONT 1510 CALL NUMBER (16.0,(11.5-L*0.35),0.15,ABS(CONT(L)),0.0,4) 1520 50 CONTINUE 1530C 1540C DRAW BOX AROUND CONTOURS. 1550C 1560 CALL PLOT (0.0,0.0,-3) 1570 CALL PLOT (0.0,DY*(M-1),-2) 1580 CALL PLOT (DX*(N-1),0.0,-2) 1590 CALL PLOT (0.0,-DY*(M-1),-2) 1600 CALL PLOT (-DX*(N-1),0.0,-2) 1610C 1620C READ FIRST LINE OF DATA FROM TAPE #2 INTO UPPER ARRAY AA(1,). 1630C NB. DATA IS BLOCKED UP INTO 512 WORDS/RECORD. 1640C 1650 LINE = 1 1660 NCBLK - N / 512 \$ KE = N - NCBLK * 512 1670 KS = 0 \$ KF = 0 1680C 1690 IF (NCBLK .EQ. 0) GO TO 70 1700C 1710 DO 60 NB = 1, NCBLK 1720 KF = NB * 512 \$ KS = KF - 511 1730C 1740 READ (2) (AA(1,K),K=KS,KF)**

1750C 1760 60 CONTINUE 1770C 1780 IF (KE .EQ. 0) GO TO 80 1790 70 KS = KF + 1 1800 KF = KF + KE 1810C 1820 READ (2) (AA(1,K) ,K=KS,KF) 1830C 1840 80 IF (ITIC .EQ. 0) GO TO 120 1850C 1860C NTICK HOLDS THE LINE AND COLUMN NUMBERS OF EACH TICK MARK WHICH IS 1870C <= INTICK AWAY FROM THE CURRENT LINE. POINTS IN NTICK > INTICK FROM 1880C THE CURRENT LINE ARE REMOVED BY SHIFTING THE ARRAY LEFTWARDS. 1890C - **1900 DO 110 L = 1, NCONT 1910 IF (NNTICK(L) .EQ. 0) GO TO 110 1920 NN1 » 0 1930C 1940 DO 90 LI = 2, NNTICK(L), 2** 1950 **IF (ABS (LINE-NTICK(L,L1-1))** .LE. INTICK) GO TO **1960 NN1 = NN1 + 2 1970 90 CONTINUE 1980C 1990 IF (NN1 .LE. 0) GO TO 110 2000 NNTICK(L) = NNTICK(L) - NN1 2010C 2020 DO 100 LI = 1, NNTICK (L) 2030 NTICK(L,L1) = NTICK(L,L1+NN1) 2040 110 CONTINUE 2050C 2060 110 CONTINUE 2070C 2080C READ NEXT LINE OF DATA ON TAPE #2 INTO LOWER ARRAY AA(2,). 2090C 2100 120 KS = 0 \$ KF = 0 2110 IF (NCBLK .EQ. 0) GO TO 140 2120C 2130 DO 130 NB = 1, NCBLK 2140 KF = NB * 512 \$ KS = KF - 511 2150C 2160 READ (2) (AA(2,K),K=KS,KF) 2170C 2180 130 CONTINUE 2190C 2200 IF (KE .EQ. 0) GO TO 150 2210 140 KS = KF + 1 2220 KF = KF + KE 2230C 2240 READ (2) (AA(2,K),K=KS,KF) 2250C 2260C LOOP ALONG UPPER AND LOWER DATA POINTS IN AA() CONVERTING CORNERS 2270C OF EACH BOX TO WHOLE NUMBERS. AVERAGE CORNERS TO YIELD CENTRE VALUE. 2280C 2290C AA(1, K)=TL (1) AA(1,K+1)=TR , 2300C (5) (6) 2310C (4) AAC (2) 2320C (8) (7) 2330C AA(2,K)=BL (3) AA(2,K+1)=BR 2340C**

2350C ROUND TL, TR, BL, BR AND AAC TO NEAREST 0.001 SO THAT CONTOURS ONLY 2360C INTERSECT SIDES AND/OR DIAGONALS - NOT THE CORNERS OR CENTRE. 2370C 2380 43 DO 210 K = 1, N-l 2390 TL = l.E-3 * AINT (1.E3 * AA(1,K) + 0.5) 2400 TR = l.E-3 * AINT (1.E3 * AA(1,K+1) + 0.5) $BL = 1.E-3 * AINT (1.E3 * AA(2,K) + 0.5)$ **2420 BR = l.E-3 * AINT (1.E3 * AA(2,K+1) + 0.5) 2430 AAC = 0.25 * (TL + TR + BL + BR) 2440C 2450 AAMAX = AMAX1 (TL,TR,BR,BL, AAC) 2460 AAMIN = AMIN1 (TL,TR,BR,BL,AAC) 2470C 2480C LOOP THROUGH CONTOUR LEVELS AT EACH PIXEL, TESTING FOR INTERSECTIONS. 2490C 2500 DO 200 NC = 1, NCONT 2510 C = CONT(NC) 2520C 2530C GO TO NEXT CONTOUR IF CONTOUR DOESN'T CROSS BOX SIDES. 2540C 2550 IF (C .GE. AAMAX) GO TO 210 2560 IF (C .LE. AAMIN) GO TO 210 2570C 2580C FIND INTERCEPTION POINTS OF CONTOUR WITH BOX SIDES AND DIAGONALS. 2590C NORDER(NTYPE) DEFINES THE ORDER IN WHICH THESE POINTS ARE TO BE 2600C LINKED. 2610C 2620 CALL INTERP (NT,C,LINE,K,C1,C2,C3,C4) 2630C 2640C FIND WHICH PATH CONTOUR TAKES IN BOX. 2650C 2660 DO 160 L - 1, 14 2670 NTYPE = L 2680 IF (NORD(L) .EQ. NT) GO TO 170 2690 160 CONTINUE 2700C 2710C IF ARRIVE HERE THEN ALGORITHM IS FAULTY ! 2720C 2730 STOP 123456 2740C 2750 170 IITIC = 0 2760 IF (IITIC .EQ. 0) GO TO 190 2770C** $NN1 = NNTICK(NC)$ **2790C 2800 DO 180 L = 2, NN1, 2**
 2810 TTEST = LABS 2810 1TEST = IABS (K-NTICK(NC,L))
2820 1TEST = ITEST + IABS (LINE-N' **2820 ITEST = ITEST + IABS (LINE-NTICK(NC,L-1)) 2830 IF (ITEST .LT. INTICK) GO TO 190 2840 180 CONTINUE 2850C 2860 IF (NN1 .GE. 50) GO TO 190 2870C 2880C IF OTHER TICK MARKS ARE > INTICK PIXELS AWAY ENABLE IITIC AND STORE 2890C LOCATION IN ARRAY INTICK. 2900C 2910 NN1 = NN1 + 2 2920 NTICK(NC,NN1-1) = LINE 2930 NTICK(NC,NN1) = K 2940 NNTICK(NC) = NN1**

2950 IITIC - ITIC 2960C 2970C SIGN OF (C-AAC) DEFINES THE DIRECTION OF TICK MARKS ON EACH CONTOUR, 2980C WHERE THE CONTOUR PATH IS TAKEN TO BE CLOCKWISE ABOUT THE CENTRE OF 2990C THE PIXEL. 3000C 3010 IF (C .LT. AAC) IITIC = -IITIC
3020 190 CALL PLOTXY (IPLT, NTYPE, IITIC) 3020 190 CALL PLOTXY (IPLT,NTYPE,IITIC) 3030 200 CONTINUE 3040C 3050 210 CONTINUE 3060C 3070 LINE - LINE + 1 3080C 3090C END IF NEXT LINE IS LAST LINE, ELSE TRANSFER AA(2,) INTO AA(1,) 3100C AND GO BACK TO READ IN ANOTHER LINE. 3110C ÷, **3120 IF (LINE .GE. M) GO TO 240 3130C** 3140 DO 220 K = 1, N 3150 $AA(1,K) = AA(2,K)$ **3160 220 CONTINUE 3170C 3180 GO TO 80 3190C 3200C PROMPT FOR PLOT OPTIONS. 3210C 3220 230 CALL PROMPT(49H HARDCOPY(H),NEW CONTOURS(C),NEW WINDOW(W),END(E),49) 3230C 3240 READ (END=230,ERR-230,5,9050) IOPTION 3250C 3260 IF (IOPTION .EQ. 1HH) CALL HARDCPY 3270 CALL NEWPAGE 3280 IF (IOPTION .EQ. 1HW) GO TO 10 3290 IF (IOPTION .EQ. 1HC) GO TO 250 3300 GO TO 240 3310C 3320C PROCESS PLOT TAPE AND END. 3330C 3340 240 CALL ENPLOT 3350C 3360 STOP 3370C 3380 9010 FORMAT (X,14,7H LINES,,2X, 14,8H COLUMNS/X,12HBACKGROUND: , 3390+ F10.5,2X, 7HNOISE: ,F10.5) 3400 9020 FORMAT (X,43HBLOCKING FACTOR,SMOOTH(=1),FIRST+LAST LINES, 3410+ 19H,FIRST+LAST COLUMNS) 3420 9030 FORMAT (X,13HMAXIMUM WAS: ,F10.5) 3430 9040 FORMAT (X,47HCONTOUR TYPE(1=LIN,2=LOG),MIN,MAX,NUMBER,LOWEST) 3440 9050 FORMAT (Al) 3450C 3460 END 3470C 3480C 3490C 3500 SUBROUTINE INTERP (NT1,C0NT,LINE1,K1,C1,C2,C3,C4) 3510C 3520 COMMON /BLK2/ TL, TR, BL, BR, AAC 3530 COMMON /BLK3/ M , N, DX, DY 3540 COMMON /BLK4/ X(8), Y(8), NORDER(14,8)**

0550C 3560C NORDER DEFINES THE 14 POSSIBLE ALTERNATIVE PATHS A CONTOUR MAY TAKE 3570C THROUGH A PIXEL IN TERMS OF THE ORDER OF CROSSING POINTS NT. 3580C X AND Y ARE MEASURED FROM THE LEFT HAND SIDE AND BOTTOM OF THE PLOT 3590C AREA RESPECTIVELY. 3600C $C = CONT$ **3620 LINE = LINE1 \$ K = K1 3630 CEW1 = CI \$ CEW2 = C2 3640 CNS1 = C3 \$ CNS2 = C4 3650 NT = 0 3660C 3670 IF ((C-TL)*(C-TR) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 2 3680 NT = NT * 10 + 1 3690 X(l) - (CEWl + CEW2 * (K - 1 + (C - TL) / (TR - TL))) * DX 3700 Y(1) = (CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE)) * DY 3710C 3720 2 IF ((C-TR)*(C-BR) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 3 3730 NT = NT * 10 + 2** $X(2) = ($ CEW1 + CEW2 * K $)$ * DX **3750** $Y(2) = (CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE - (C - TR) / (BR - TR))) * DY$ **3760C 3770 3 IF ((C-BL)*(C-BR) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 4 3780 NT = NT * 10 + 3 3790** $X(3) = (CEW1 + CEW2 * (K - 1 + (C - BL) / (BR - BL))) * DX$
3800 $Y(3) = (CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE - 1)) * DY$ $Y(3) = ($ CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE - 1)) * DY **38 IOC 3820 4 IF ((C-BL)*(C-TL) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 5 3830 NT = NT * 10 + 4 3840 X(4) - (CEWl + CEW2 * (K - 1)) * DX 3850** $Y(4) = (CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE - (C - TL) / (BL - TL)) * DY$ **3860C 3870 5 IF ((C-TL)*(C-AAC) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 6 3880 NT = NT * 10 + 5 3890 DEL = 0.5 * (C-TL) / (AAC - TL) 3900** $X(5) = (CEW1 + CEW2 * (K - 1 + DEL)) * DX$
3910 $Y(5) = (CNS1 + CMS2 * (M - LINE - DEL)) *$ $Y(5) = ($ CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE - DEL)) * DY **3920C 3930 6 IF ((C-TR)*(C-AAC) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 7 3940 NT = NT * 10 + 6 3950 DEL = 0.5 * (C - TR) / (AAC - TR) 3960 X(6) = (CEWl + CEW2 * (K - DEL)) * DX** $Y(6) = (CNS1 + CNS2 + (M - LINE - DEL)) * DY$ **3980C 3990 7 IF ((C-BR)*(C-AAC) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 8 4000 NT = NT * 10 + 7 4010 DEL = 0.5 ★ (C - BR) / (AAC - BR)**
4020 X(7) = (CEW1 + CEW2 * (K - DEL $X(7) = ($ CEW1 + CEW2 * (K - DEL)) * DX **4030 Y(7) = (CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE -1 + DEL)) * DY 4040C 4050 8 IF ((C-BL)*(C-AAC) .GE. 0.0) GO TO 9 4060 NT = NT * 10 + 8 4070 DEL = 0.5 * (C - BL) / (AAC - BL) 4080 X(8) = (CEWl + CEW2 * (K - 1 + DEL)) * DX 4090 Y(8) = (CNS1 + CNS2 * (M - LINE - 1 + DEL)) * DY 4100C 4110 9 NT1 = NT 4120C 4130 RETURN 4140C**

4150 4160C 4170C-4180C 4190 4200C 4210 4220C 4230 4240 4250C 4260C "ST. ANDREWS CROSS" MODE USES ALL CROSSING POINTS ON LINES 1-8. 4270C 4280 4290C 4300 4310 4320 4330C 4340 4350 4360C 4370 4380C 4390 4400+ 4410C 4420 4430C 4440 4450 44,600 "BOX" MODE USES LINES 1-4. 4470C 4480C 4490 4500C 4510 4520 4530C 4540 4550 4560C 4570 4580C 4590 4600C 4610 4620 4630C 4640 4650C 4660C-4670C 4680 4690C 4700 4710C 4720 4730C 4740 END SUBROUTINE PLOTXY (IPLT,NTYPE,IITIC) COMMON /BLK4/ X(8), Y(8), NORDER(14,8) $N1 = NORDER(NTYPE, 1)$ \div $N2 = NORDER(NTYPE, 2)$ **IF (IPLT .EQ. 0) GO TO 30** $NS = 3$ \$ $NF = NI + 1$ **10 DO 20 N = NS, NF LI = NORDER(NTYPE,N)** $L2 = NORDER(NTYPE, N+1)$ **CALL PLOT (X(L1),Y(L1),3) CALL PLOT (X(L2),Y(L2),2) 20 CONTINUE IF (IITIC .NE. 0 .AND. N2 .EQ. 0) CALL TICK (2+Nl/2,Nl-Nl/2+3, NTYPE,IITIC) IF (N2 .LE. 0) RETURN NS = 6 \$ NF = 7 \$ N2 = 0 GO TO 10 30 NS = 3 \$ NF = N1 + 2 40 LI = NORDER(NTYPE,NS) L2 = NORDER(NTYPE,NF) CALL PLOT (X(L1),Y(L1),3) CALL PLOT (X(L2),Y(L2),2) IF (IITIC .NE. 0 .AND. N2 .EQ. 0) CALL TICK (NS,NF,NTYPE,IITIC) IF (N2 .EQ. 0) RETURN NS = 6 \$ NF = 8 \$ N2 = 0 GO TO 40 END SUBROUTINE HEADER DIMENSION PLDT1(4), PLDT2(4), PLDT3(4) COMMON /BLK3/ M , N , DX, DY DATA PLDT1 /10HLINE: ,10H TO: ,!OHCOLUMN: ,6H TO:/**

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

4750 DATA PLDT2 /lOHBLOCKING F,6HACT0R:/ 4760 DATA PLDT3/1OHDATA IS SM,6H00THED/ 4770C 4780C READ HEADER FROM PLOT TAPE #2. 4790C 4800 READ (2) IUR,ISC,M,N,ASDT,SCDT,NDM,(DENS(J),DENM(J),J=1,NDM) 4810C 4820C DX=DY IS THE PLOT SCALE SUCH THAT MAX(M-1,N-1) IS EQUIVALENT TO 12". 4830C $DX = 12.0 / (AMAXO (M,N) - 1)$ \$ $DY = DX$ **4850C 4860 CALL SYMBOL (0.0,15.0, 0.15,ASDT, 0.0, 80) 4870 CALL SYMBOL (0.0,14.0,0.15,SCDT,0.0,80) 4880C 4890C RETURN IF DATA TAPE IS A COPY OF ORIGINAL ARCHIVE TAPE. 4900C 4910 IF (NDM .LE. 1) RETURN 4920C 4930C DENS(l) « MFST DENS(2) = MLST 4940C DENM(l) = NFST DENM(2) = NLST 4950C DENM(3) - SMOOTH SWITCH 4960C 4970 PLSC = DENS (4) \$ STEP = DENM(4) \$ BLK = DENS(3) 4980C 4990 CALL SYMBOL (0.0,13.0,0.15,PLDT1,0.0,36)** 5010 CALL NUMBER $(2.25, 13.0, 0.05(2), 0.0, -1)$ 5020 CALL NUMBER $(4.2, 13.0, \text{DEM}(1), 0.0, -1)$ 5030 CALL NUMBER (5.55,13.0,DENM(2),0.0,-1) **5030 CALL NUMBER (5.55,13.0,DENM(2),0.0,-1) 5040 CALL SYMBOL (6.45,13.0,0.15,PLDT21,0.0,16) 5050 CALL NUMBER (9.0,13.0,BLK,0.0,-1) 5060C 5070 IF (DENM(3) .GE 1.0) CALL SYMBOL (9.6,13.0,0.15,PLDT3,0.0,16) 5080C 5090 IF (NDM .LE. 3) RETURN 5100C 51IOC DRAW 10 ARCSEC. LONG SCALE BAR. 5120C 5130** 5150 **5150 5160C 5170 5180 5190 5200 5210 5220 5230C 5240 5250C 5260 5270 5280 5290C 5300 5310C** 5320C DENS(5) = BACKGROUND MEAN LEVEL \$ DENM(5) = RMS NOISE.
5330C DENS(6) = BACKGROUND LINE COEFF. \$ DENM(6) = BACKGROUND COLUMN COEFF. 5330C DENS(6) = BACKGROUND LINE COEFF. \$ DENM(6) = BACKGROUND COLUMN COEFF
5340C DENS(7) = BACKGROUND CONSTANT \$ DENM(7) = NO. OF AREAS FITTED TO. 5340C DENS(7) = BACKGROUND CONSTANT $CHT = 10000.0 * DX / (PLSC * STEP * BLK)$ $X0 = DX * (N - 1) + 0.25$ **CALL PLOT (XO,0.0,3) CALL PLOT (X0+0.1,0.0,2) CALL PLOT (X0+0.05,0.0,3) CALL PLOT (XO+O.05,CHT,2) CALL PLOT (X0,CHT,3) CALL PLOT (XO+O.1,CHT,2)** $X0 = X0 + 0.2$ \$ $Y0 = 0.5 * CHT - 0.075$ **CALL SYMBOL (XO,YO,0.15,2H10,0.0,2) CALL SYMBOL (XO+O.35,YO+O.15,0.05,71,90.0,-1) CALL SYMBOL (XO+O.32,YO+O.18,0.05,82,0.0,-1) IF (NDM .LE. 4) RETURN**

5350C 5360 5370 5380 5390 5400 5410 5420C 5430 5440 5450 5460 5470 5480C 5490 5500C 5510 5520C 5530C-5540C 5550 5560C 5570 5580 5590C 5600C PLACE 0.05" LONG TICK MARKS FROM AVERAGE OF POINTS M3, M4 5610C AT RIGHT ANGLES TO MM1, MM2 IN THE DIRECTION GIVEN BY IITIC. 5620C N B. IITIC = +1 FOR DESCENDING CONTOUR LEVELS. 5630C 5640 5650 5660 5670 5680C 5690 5700 5710 5720 5730C 5740 5750 5760 5770 5780 5790C 5800 5810 5820 5830 5840 5850 5860C 5870 5880 5890C 5900 5910C 5920 5930C 5940C-CALL SYMBOL (16.0,15.0,0.15,22HBACKGROUND CORRECTION:,0.0,22) CALL SYMBOL (16.0,14.5,0.15,13HCONSTANT CALL SYMBOL (16.0,14.0,0.15,13HLINE COEFF ,0.0,13) CALL SYMBOL (16.0,13.5,0.15,13HCOLUMN COEFF ,0.0,13) CALL SYMBOL (16.0,13.0,0.15,13HBACKGROUND CALL SYMBOL (16.0,12.5,0.15,13HRMS NOISE CALL NUMBER (18.1,14.5,0.15,DENS(7),0.0,4) CALL NUMBER (18.1,14.0,0.15,DENS(6),0.0,4) CALL NUMBER (18.1,13.5,0.15,DENM(6),0.0,4) CALL NUMBER (18.1,13.0,0.15,DENS(5),0.0,4) CALL NUMBER (18.1,12.5,0.15,DENM(5),0.0,4) RETURN END ,0.0,13) ,0.0,13) ,0.0,13) SUBROUTINE TICK (Ml,M2,NTYPE,IITIC) COMMON /BLK3/ M, N, DX, DY COMMON /BLK4/ X(8), Y(8), NORDER(14,8) MM1 = NORDER(NTYPE,Ml) MM2 = NORDER(NTYPE,M2) MM3 = NORDER(NTYPE,M2-1) MM4 = NORDER(NTYPE,Ml+1) $DELX = X(MM2) - X(MM1)$ $DELY = Y(MM2) - Y(MM1)$ **G = SQRT (DELX**2 + DELY**2) IF (G .LE. 0.0) RETURN DELX = -DELX / G DELY = DELY / G G = DELY** $DELY = DELX * 0.05 * IITIC$ DELX = $G * 0.05 * IITIC$ $X1 = 0.5 * (X(MM3) + X(MM4))$ $Y1 = 0.5 * (Y(MM3) + Y(MM4))$ **X2 = AMAX1 (0.0,X1+DELX)** $X2 = AMIN1$ ($(N-1) * DX, X2$) $Y2 = AMAX1 (0.0, Y1+DELY)$ $Y2 = AMIN1$ ($(M-1) * DY, Y2$) **CALL PLOT (XI,Yl,3) CALL PLOT (X2,Y2,2) RETURN END**

5950C 5960 SUBROUTINE WINDOW (IBLK, ISMTH,NL, NPX,M,N,MFST,MLST,NFST,NLST,AAMAX) 5970C 5980 COMMON /BLK1/ AA(2,1024) 5990 COMMON /BLK5/ ASDT(8), SCDT(8), DENS(9), DENM(9) 6000C 6010 AAMAX =0. 0 \$ RBLK = 1.0 / FLOAT (IBLK2) 6020 NB1 = NPX / 512 \$ NNB1 = NPX - NB1 * 512 6030 NB2 = N / 512 \$ NNB2 = N - NB2 * 512 6040C 6060C 6070C IF BACKGROUND AND NOISE ARE NOT KNOWN (ISMTH>2) USE 6080C APPROXIMATION OF SHOT NOISE (SQRT). 6090C 6095 SMOO =1. 0 6100 IF (ISMTH .GE. 2) SMOO = DENM(5) / SQRT (DENS(5)) 6110 SMOO = 0.5 * SMOO * ISMTH 6120C 6130 IF (MFST .LE. 1) GO TO 20 6140C 6150C READ IN UNUSED LINES. 6160C 6170 DO 10 L = 1, MFST - 1 6180 CALL INOUT (NPX,1,NB1,NNB1,0.0,1,1.0,0,NPX,NB1,NNB1) 6190 10 CONTINUE 6200C 62IOC READ IN LINES, BLOCKING UP AND SMOOTHING. 6220C 6230 20 DO 40 L = 1, M 6240 CALL INOUT (NPX,NFST,NB1,NNB1,SMOO,IBLK,RBLK,1,N,NB2,NNB2) 6250C 6260C FIND MAXIMUM HEIGHT OF PROCESSED LINE. 6270C 6280 DO 30 KK = 1, N 6290 AAMAX = AMAX1 (AAMAX, AA (1, KK)) 6300 30 CONTINUE 6310C 6320 40 CONTINUE 6330C 6340 RETURN 6350C 6360 END 6370C 6380C 6390C 6400 SUBROUTINE INOUT (NPX,NFST,NB1,NNB1,SMOO,IBLK,RBLK,IOUT,N,NB2,NNB2) 6410C 6420 COMMON /BLK1/ AA(2,1024) 6430C 6440C THIS ROUTINE READS IN IBLK LINES, SMOOTHS EACH ONE USING SMOOTH VALUE 6450C (IF ISMTH IS SET) AND BLOCKS UP BY THE FACTOR IBLK. 6460C IF IOUT IS SET THE BLOCKED DATA IS OUTPUT TO TAPE #2. 6470C NB. THE DATA IS BLOCKED UP ON INPUT AND OUTPUT IN 512 WORDS/RECORD. 6480C 6490 DO 10 K = 1, N 6500 AA(1, K) = 0.0 6510 10 CONTINUE 6520C 6530 DO 70 I = 1, IBLK 6540 KS = 0 \$ KF = 0**

6550 6560C 6570 6580 6590 6600C 6610 6620C 6630 6640 6650C 6660 6670 6680C 6690 6700C 6710 6720C 6730 6740C 6750 6760 6770C 6780 6790 6800 6810 6820C 6830 6840 6841C 6842 6850C 6860 6870C 6880 6890 6900C 6910 6920 6930 6940C 07400
6050 **6960C 6970 6980C 6990 7000C 7010 7020 7030C 7040 7050C 7060 7070C 7080 7090C 7100C-**7100C---**7120 IF (NB1 .EQ. 0) GO TO 30 DO 30 II = 1, NB1 KF = II * 512** $KS = KF - 511$ **READ (1) (AA(2,KK),KK=KS,KF) 20 CONTINUE IF (NNB1 .EQ. 0) GO TO 40 30 KS = KF + 1** $KF = KF + NNB1$ **READ (1) (AA(2,KK),KK=KS,KF) 40 IF (SMOO .NE. 0.0) CALL SMOOTH (N,SMOO) IKI = NFST - 1** $DO 60 K = 1, N$ $TOT = 0.0$ **DO 50 IK = 1, IBLK IKI = IKI + 1 TOT = TOT + AA(2,IKI) 50 CONTINUE** $AA(1,K) = AA(1,K) + TOT * RBLK$ **60 CONTINUE 70 CONTINUE IF (IOUT .NE. 1) RETURN KS = 0 \$ KF = 0 IF (NB2 .EQ. 0.0) GO TO 90 DO 80 II = 1, NB2 KF = II * NB2 KS = KF - 511 WRITE (2) (AA(1,KK),KK=KS,KF) 80 CONTINUE IF (NNB2 .EQ. 0) RETURN 90 KS = KF + 1** $KF = KF + NNB2$ **WRITE (2) (AA(1,KK),KK=KS,KF) RETURN END SUBROUTINE SMOOTH (N,SMOO)**

 \sim \sim

 ~ 10

7130C 7140 7150C 7160C THIS ROUTINE ALTERS POINTS > 6 SIGMAS DIFFERENT FROM NEARBY POINTS. 7170C TESTING OF GROUPS OF 1,2,3,4 ADJACENT POINTS IS REPEATED UNTIL 7180C NO DISCREPANT POINTS REMAIN. 7190C 7200 7210C 7220 7230 7240C 7250 7260 7270C 7280 7290 7300C 7310 7320 7330 7340 7350 7360 7370C 7380 7390C 7400 7410 7420 7430C 7440 7450 7460 7470C 7480 7490 7500C 7510 7520C 7530 7540C 7550 7560C 7570 7580C 7590C-7600C 7610 7620C 7630 7640C 7650 7660C 7670C CALCULATE HEIGHTS OF NCONT CONTOURS EQUI-SPACED BETWEEM MIN AND MAX 7680C IF ALEAST IS NOT 0.0 THEN THE FIRST CONTOUR IS ALEAST PERCENT ABOVE 7690C THE MEAN LEVEL AND NCONT IS INCREASED BY ONE. 7700C 7710 7720 COMMON /BLK1/ AA(2,1024) $SIGBG = 2.0 * SMOO$ **DO 50 II = 1, 4 IL = 1 \$ IR = N - II - 1] 10 NCORR = 0** $IFLG = 1$ $DO 40 I = IL. IR$ DEL = ($AA(2,I+II+1) - AA(2,I)$) / ($1.0 + II$) **DO 20 J = 1, II** $TEST = AA(2, I) + DEL * J$ **SIG2 = 3.0 * SIGBG * SQRT (TEST) TOP = AMAX1 (AA(2,I),AA(2,I+II+l)) + SIG2 BOT = AMIN1 (AA(2,I),AA(2,I+II+l)) - SIG2 IF (AA(2,I+J)-TOP)*(AA(2,I+J)-BOT).LT.O.O) GO TO 40 20 CONTINUE DO 30 J = 1, II** $AA(2,I+J) = AA(2,I+J) + DEL * J$ **30 CONTINUE** $NCORR = NCORR + 1$ **IR = I** IF (IFLG $-EQ - 1$) IL = I $IFLG = 0$ **40 CONTINUE IF (NCORR .NE. 0) GO TO 10 50 CONTINUE RETURN END SUBROUTINE CONTOUR (MODE,A1,A2,NCONT,ALEAST,CONT) DIMENSION CONT(30) IF (MODE .EQ. 2) GO TO 20** $DELC = (A2 - A1) / NCONT$ \$ IPC = 0 $CONT(1) = A1 + ALEAST * (A2 - A1)$

 \sim

7730 IF (ALEAST .LE. 0.0) IPC = 1 **7740C** *DO 10* **K = 1, NCONT** 7760 **CONT(K+IPC)** = A1 + (K - 0.5) * DELC **7770 10 CONTINUE 7780C** $NCONT = NCONT + IPC$ **7800C 7810 RETURN 7820C 7830C CALCULATE HEIGHTS OF NCONT CONTOURS, THE LAST AT MAX, FIRST AT ALEAST 7840C PERCENT ABOVE THE MEAN BACKGROUND. 7850C 7860 20 DELTA = (2.0 - ALOGIO (ALEAST)) / (NCONT - 1) 7870C 7880 DO 30 K = 1, NCONT** 7890 **CONT(K)** = Al + (A2 -Al) * $10**$ (-DELTA * (NCONT - K)) 7900 30 CONTINUE **7900 30 CONTINUE 7910C 7920 RETURN 7930C 7940 END**

 ~ 10

 \sim

 $\bar{\Delta}$

 \sim

0010 PROGRAM D0ALL(INPUT=13lB,OUTPUT=131B, TAPE5=INPUT,TAPE6=OUTPUT, 0020+ DATA=131B,TAPE9=DATA,TAPE61=131B,TAPE66=131B,TAPE64=131B) 0030C 0040 DIMENSION NSC(3,15), NSCS(3), NPTS(3), TIME(3), LSTPK(3), NST(3), 0050+ Y(3,250), VEL(250), COUNTT(700), TEXT(3), POS(3), APER(3) 0060C 0070 COMMON /BLKl/ XP(250), YP(250) 0080 COMMON /BLK2/ YTOT(700), MIN, MAX, INC(3), CHISQ(250), YMAX 0090C 0100C "DOALL 11 PROCESSES AND PLOTS FP DATA STORED IN ASCII FORMAT ON DISC 01IOC FILES IN THE STYLE : HEADER ; SEQUENTIAL DATA. 0120C 0130C INITIALISE ICCC PLOT PACKAGE. 0140C 0150 CALL START (2) 0160 CALL PENBGN (2) 0170 CALL SCALEZ (2.0) 0180 CALL SWITCH (9HHARDCPYON) 0190C 0200C ZERO TOTAL INTEGRATION TIME AND COUNT ARRAYS. 0210C 0220 10 DO 20 K = 1, 700 0230 COUNTT(K) = 0.0 0240 $YTOT(K) = 0.0$ **0250 20 CONTINUE 0260C 0270 NPLOT = 1 0280 TOTIME =0. 0** $0290 \t N = 1$ **0300C 0310 CALL BUFFEM (0) 0320 CALL NEWPAGE 0330C 0340C PROMPT FOR NEW SCAN PARAMETERS. 0350C** 0360 30 CALL PROMPT (38HENTER SCAN NO AND NO OF SCANS, PLOT=0, 0, 38)
0370 READ (5.*) IWANT. IWANTS **0370 READ (5,*) IWANT,IWANTS 0380C 0390 IF (IWANT .LE. 0) GO TO 80 0400C 0410C READ DATA FILE UNTIL SELECTED SCAN FOUND; ELSE SIGNAL ERROR. 0420C 0430 REWIND (9) 0440C 0450 40 READ (END=50,9,*) NAME,A,B,C,D,E,F,LSTPK(N),NSCS(N) 0460 READ (9,*) (NSC(N,J),J=1,NSCS(N))** 0470 READ (9,*) NPTS(N), NST(N), INC(N), TIME(N) 0480 READ (9.*) (Y(N.K). K=1. NPTS(N)) **0480 READ (9,*) (Y(N,K),K=1,NPTS(N)) 0490C 0500 IF (IWANT .NE. NSC(N,1)) GO TO 40 0510 IF (IWANTS .NE. NSCS(N)) GO TO 40 0520 IF (INC(N) .NE. INC(l)) GO TO 70 0530C 0540 TOTIME = TOTIME + TIME (N) 0550 GO TO 60 0560C 0570C PROMPT FOR ADDITIONAL SCANS (MAX. OF 3).**

0550C 0590 50 CALL PROMPT (21HSCAN NOT ON THIS FILE,21) 0600C 0610 60 CALL PROMPT (21HANOITHER SCAN=Y,PLOT=P,21) 0620C 0630 READ (5,9010) ANSCAN 0640C 0650 IF (ANSCAN .NE. 1HY) GO TO 80 0660 NPLOT =NPLOT + 1 0670 $N = N + 1$ **0680 GO TO 30 0690C 0700 70 CALL PROMPT (21HINCREMENT DISCREPANCY,21) 0710 GO TO 30 0720C 0730C FIND EXTREME START AND END POINTS OF SELECTED SCANS. 0740C** 0750 80 MIN = $NST(1) + INC(1)$ **0760 IF (IWANT .LE. 0) NPLOT = NPLOT - 1** 0770 MAX = $NST(1) + (NPTS(1) - 3) * INC(1)$ **0780C 0790 DO 90 I = 1, NPLOT 0800 NSTCH = INC(l) * (NST(I) / INC(l)) 0810 IF (((NST(I) - NSTCH)*2) .GE. INC(l)) NSTCH = NSTCH + INC(l) 0820 NST(I) = NSTCH 0830 MIN = MINO (MIN,NST(I))** 0840 **MAX = MAX0** (MAX, (NST(I) + (NPTS(I) - 1) * INC(1))) **0850 90 CONTINUE 0860C 0870 DO 100 I = MIN, MAX, INC(l) 0880 YTOT(I) =0. 0 0890 COUNTT(I) = 0.0 0900 100 CONTINUE 0910C 0920C 0930C ACCUMULATE SCANS. 0940C 0950 DO 120 NN = 1, NPLOT 0960C 0970 DO 110 J = 1, NPTS(NN)** 0980 $JJ = NST(NN) + (J - 1) * INC(1)$ 0990 **YTOT(JJ) = YTOT(JJ) + Y(NN,J) 1000 COUNTT(JJ) = COUNTT(JJ) + TIME(NN) 1010 110 CONTINUE 1020C 1030 120 CONTINUE 1040C 1050C SCALE ACCUMULATED SCANS AND FORM PLOT ARRAYS. 1060C 1070C 1080 YTOT(MIN) = 1000.0 * YTOT(MIN) / COUNTT(MIN) 1090 YMAX = YTOT(MIN) 1100 YMIN = YMAX 11 IOC** 1120 DO 130 I = ($MIN + INC(1)$), MAX , $INC(1)$ **1130 YTOT(I) = 1000.0 * YTOT(I) / COUNTT(I) 1140 YMAX = AMAX1 (YMAX,YTOT(I)) 1150 YMIN = AMIN1 (YMIN,YTOT(I))** 1160 **YP(((I - MIN) / INC(1)) + 1) = YTOT(I) 1170 XP(((I - MIN) / INC(1)) + 1) = FLOAT (I)**

1180 130 CONTINUE 1190C 1200C PLOT RAW ACCUMULATED DATA. 1210C 1220 NPTOT = IFIX (FLOAT (MAX - MIN) / FLOAT (INC(l)))) + 1 1230C 1240 CALL NEWPAGE 1250 CALL SCALE (FLOAT(MAX),FLOAT(MIN),INTX,SVALX,VINCX) 1260 CALL SCALE (YMAX,YMIN,INTY,SVALY,VINCY) 1270 CALL DRAW (NPTOT,INTX,INTY+1,SVALX,SVALY,VINCX,VINCY,10,1) 1280 CALL SYMBOL (0.0,11.0,0.5,8HRAW DATA,0.0,8) 1290 CALL BUFFEM (0) 1300C 13IOC PROMPT FOR INTER-ORDER SPACING ROUTINE. 1320C 1330 CALL PROMPT (19HCALC CHISQ=IOS,GO=G,19) 1340C 1350 READ (5,9020) CHECK 1360C 1370 IF (CHECK .NE. 3HIOS) GO TO 160 1380 CALL IOSCALC (NPTSC) 1390C 1400 DO 140 K = 1, NPTSC 1410 CHISQ(K) = CHISQ(K) + SVALY 1420 140 CONTINUE 1430C 1440C ICCC ROUTINES FOR FINDING IOS VALUE USING CROSS-WIRES. 1450C 1460 CALL POINTS (XP,CHISQ,NPTSC) 1470 CALL KXWIRES (XPAGE,YPAGE,NF) 1480 CALL BUFFEM (0) 1490C 1500 XCHI = FLOAT (INTX) * XPAGE * VINCX / 15.0 + SVALX - FLOAT (MIN) 1510C 1520 CALL NUMBER (XPAGE,YPAGE,0.5,XCHI,0.0,-1) 1530C 1540 IF (NF .NE. IHG) GO TO 150 1550C 1560 160 CALL PROMPT (36HIOS VALUE,WAVELENGTH,BLOCKING FACTOR,36) 1570C 1580 READ (5,*) IOS,WAVE,NBLK 1590C 1600 IOS = IOS * (5006.85 / WAVE) 1610 IF (IOS .GT. (NPTOT * INC(l))) IOS = (NPTOT - 1) * INC(l) 1620 $IOST = INC(1) * (IOS / INC(1))$ **1630 IF ((2 * (IOS - IOST)) .GE. INC(l)) IOST = IOST + INC(l) 1640 IOS = IOST** 1650 NIOS = ($MAX - MIN$) / IOS **1660C 1670 DO 180 K = MIN, MAX, INC(l) 1680 TEMP =0. 0 1690C 1700 DO 170 1=1 , NIOS 1710 TEMP = TEMP + YTOT(K+(I-l)*IOS) 1720 170 CONTINUE 1730C 1740 YTOT(K) = TEMP 1750 180 CONTINUE 1760C 1770C FOLD OVER SCAN TO LEAVE APPROX. 1.2 ORDERS.**

 $\ddot{}$

0550C 1790 DO 190 K = MIN, (MAX - IOS), INC(1) 1800 $YTOT(K) = (YTOT(K) + YTOT(K+I))$ **1800 YTOT(K) « (YTOT(K) + YTOT(K+IOS)) / FLOAT (1-ftfIOS) 1810 190 CONTINUE 1820C -1830C PLOT FOLDED DATA. 1840C 1850 CALL SCALE (FLOAT (MIN+IOS),FLOAT(MIN),INTX,SVALX,VINCX) 1860C 1870 NPT = IOS / INC(l) + 1 1880C 1890 CALL NEWPAGE 1900 CALL DRAW (NPT,INTX,INTY+1,SVALX,SVALY,VINCX,VINCY,10,1) 1910 CALL SYMBOL (0.0,11.0,0.5,11HFOLDED DATA,0.0,11) 1920C 1930 200 CALL BUFFEM (0) 1940C 1950C PROMPT FOR VELOCITY AXIS SCALE FACTORS. 1960C 1970 CALL PROMPT (27HENTER CENTRE,VCOG,VEL SCALE,27) 1980C** READ (END=190, ERR=180, 5, *) CMID, CVCOG, CVBYDN **2000C 2010 MID = CMID 2020 VCOG = CVCOG 2030 DVBYDN = CDVBYDN 2040C 2050 210 VELMIN - VCOG 2060 VELMAX = VCOG** 2070 **NBGN** = ($(MID / INC(1)) - (IOS / (2 * INC(1))) * INC(1)$ **2080C 2090 IF (NBGN .LT. MIN) MID = MID + IOS 2100 IF (NBGN .LT. MIN) NBGN = NBGN + IOS 2110C** 2120 DO 220 I = 1, NPT **2130 KK - (I - 1) * INC(1) + NBGN 2140 IF (KK .GT. (MIN + IOS)) KK = KK - IOS** 2150 $\text{XP}(I) = \text{VCG} + \text{DVBYDN} * \text{FLOAT} (\text{INC}(1) * (I-I) + \text{NBGN} - \text{MID})$ **2160 YP(I) = YTOT(KK) 2170 VELMIN = AMIN1 (VELMIN,XP(I)) 2180 VELMAX = AMAX1 (VELMAX,XP(I)) 2190 220 CONTINUE 2200C 2210 CALL SCALE (VELMAX,VELMIN,INTX,SVALX,VINCX) 2220C 2230C PROMPT FOR TITLES. 2240C 2250 230 CALL PROMPT (12HENTER OBJECT,12) 2260C 2270 READ (END=230,ERR=230,5,9030) TEXT 2280C 2290 240 CALL PROMPT (8HPOSITION,8) 2300C 2310 READ (END=240,ERR=240,5,9030) POS 2320C 2330 250 CALL PROMPT (8HAPERTURE,8) 2340C 2350 READ (END=250,ERR=250,5,9030) APER 2360C 2370 260 CALL NEWPAGE**

2380 CALL PLOT (1.0,0.0,-3) 2390 CALL WORDS (2.9,10.3,0.3, 2400+ 53H\$1H\$2ELI0CENTRIC \$1R\$2ADIAL \$1V\$2EL0CITY (\$1K\$2M/SEC),0.0,53) 2410 CALL WORDS (15.6,3.7,0.3,14H\$1C\$20UNTS/SEC,90.0,14) 2420 CALL WORDS (0.5,9.0,0.5,TEXT,0.0,30) 2430 CALL WORDS (5.0,9.0,0.3,POS,0.0,30) • 2440 CALL WORDS (9.5,9.0,0.3,APER,0.0,30) 2450 CALL DRAW (NPT,INTX,INTY,SVALX,SVALY,VINCX,VINCY,1,1) 2460 CALL SYMBOL (0.2,12.6,0.2,28TENERIFFE 60 FLUX COLLECTOR,0.0,28) 2470 CALL SYMBOL (2.6,12.8,0.05,71,90.0,-1) 2480 CALL SYMBOL (12.2,12.6,0.2,13HAUG/SEPT 1978,0.0,13) 2490 CALL SYMBOL (0.2,12.2,0.2,16HBL0CKING FACTOR:,0.0,16) 2500 CALL NUMBER (3.6,12.2,0.2,FLOAT (NBLK),0.0,-1) 2510 CALL SYMBOL (12.2-1.&*NPL0T,12.2,0.2,12HSUM OF SCANS,0.0,12) 2520C 2530 DO 270 N - 1, NPLOT 2540 $XS = 1.6 * N$ **2550 CALL SYMBOL (14.8-1.6*N,12.2,0.2,8H - (),0.0,8) 2560 CALL NUMBER (15.0-1.6*N,12.2,0.2,FLOAT (NSC(N,1)),0.0,-1) 2570 IF (NSCS(N) .LE. 9) XS =XS - 0.2 2580 CALL NUMBER (15.8-XS,12.2,0.2,FLOAT (NSCS(N)),0.0,-1) . 2590 270 CONTINUE 2600C 2610 CALL PLOT (0.0,12.0,-3) 2620 CALL PLOT (15.0,0.0,-2) 2630 CALL PLOT (0.0,1.0,-2) 2640 CALL PLOT (-15.0,0.0,-2) 2650 CALL PLOT (0.0,-1.0,-2) 2660 CALL BUFFEM (0) 2670C 2680C PROMPT FOR CHANGE OF AXES SCALES AND TITLES. 2690C 2700 280 CALL PROMPT (18HALTER SCAN SCALING,18) 2710C 2720 READ (END=280,ERR=280,5,9010) SHIFT 2730C 2740 IF (SHIFT .NE. 1HY) GO TO 310 2750C 2760 290 CALL PROMPT (31HVEL0CITY:START,STEP,NO OF STEPS,31) 2770C 2780 READ (END=290,ERR=290,5,*) CSVALX,CVINCX,CINTX 2790C 2800 SVALX = CSVALX 2810 VINCX = CVINCX 2820 INTX = CINTX 2830C 2840 300 CALL PROMPT (33HCOUNT RATE:START,STEP,NO OF STEPS,33) 2850C 2860 READ (END=300,ERR=300,5,*) CSVALY,CVINCY,CINTY 2870C 2880 SVALY =CSVALY 2890 VINCY = CVINCY 2900 INTY = CINTY 2910C 2920 310 CALL PROMPT (22HCHANGE TITLE (HCPY=CR),22) 2930C 2940 READ (END=320,ERR=310,5,9010) NEWTIT 2950C 2960 IF (NEWTIT .EQ. 1HY) GO TO 230 2970 GO TO 310**

2980C 2990C PROMPT FOR PROCESS PLOT, NEW PLOT. 3000C 3010 320 CALL PROMPT (16HDO YOU WANT HCPY,16) 3020C 3030 READ (END=320,ERR=320,5,9010) HCPY 3040C 3050 IF (HCPY .EQ. 1HY) CALL HARDCPY 3060C 3070 330 CALL PROMPT (8HNEW PLOT,8) 3080C 3090 READ (END=330,ERR=330,5,9010) NEWP 3100C 3110 IF (NEWP .EQ. 1HY) GO TO 10 3120C 3130 STOP 3140C 3150 9010 FORMAT (Al) ~ 3160 9020 FORMAT (A3) 3170 9030 FORMAT (3A10) 3180C 3190C 3200 END 3210C 3220C--**3230C 3240 SUBROUTINE SCALE (VMAX,VMIN,IINTV,SVAL,VINCV) 3250C 3260C ROUTINE TO SCALE AXES TO ANY DATA RANGE - BETTER THAN ICCC'S VERSION ! 3270C 3280 VRANGE = VMAX - VMIN 3290 VSCALE = 10 ** (AINT (ALOGIO (VRANGE))) 3300 INTV = IFIX (VRANGE / VSCALE) 3310 IF (VRANGE .LT. VSCALE) INTV = 1 3320 IF (INTV .GT. 2) GO TO 10 3330C 3340 VSCALE = VSCALE * 0.2 3350 INTV = INTV * 5 3360 10 IF (INTV .GT. 4) GO TO 20 3370C 3380 VSC'LE = VSCALE * 0.5 3390 INTV = INTV * 2 3400C 3410 20 SVAL = AINT (VMIN / VSCALE) * VSCALE 3420 FVAL = AINT (VMAX / VSCALE) * VSCALE 3430 IF (SVAL .LT. VMIN) GO TO 30 3440C 3450 SVAL = SVAL - VSCALE 3460 INTV = INTV + 1 3470C 3480 30 IF (FVAL .GT. VMAX) GO TO 40 3490C 3500 FVAL = FVAL * VSCALE 3510 INTV = INTV + 1 3520 GO TO 30 3530C 3540 40 VINCV = VSCALE 3550 IINTV = IFIX (((FVAL - SVAL) / VSCALE) + 0.5) 3560C 3570 RETURN**

3580C 3590 END 3600C 3610C 3620C 3630 SUBROUTINE DRAW (NPTP,INTX,INTY,SVALX,SVAL;Y,VINCX,VINCY,NSUBX,NSUBY) 3640C 3650 COMMON /BLK1/ XP(250), YP(250) 3660C 3670C ROUTINE TO PLOT DATA AND AXES USING ICCC SOFTWARE. 3680C 3690 AX = 15.0 / FLOAT (INTX) 3700 AY = 10.0 / FLOAT (INTY) 3710 MODEX = -1 3720 MODEY = -1 3730 IF (VINCX .LT. 1.0) MODEX = INT (0.9 - ALOGIO (VINCX)) 3740 IF (VINCY .LT. 1.0) MODEY = INT (0.9 - ALOGIO (VINCY)) 3750C 3760 CALL LINAXS (1,10.0,AX,INTX,SVALX,VINCX,NSUBX,MODEX) 3770 CALL LINAXS (2,15.0,AY,INTY,SVALY,VINCY,NSUBY,MODEY) 3780 CALL POINTS (XP,YP,NPTP) 3790C 3800 RETURN 3810C 3820 END 3830C 3840C 3850C 3860 SUBROUTINE IOSCALC (NNN) 3870C 3880 COMMON /BLK2/ YTOT(700), MIN, MAX, INC(3), CHISQ(250), YMAX 3890C ROUTINE TO CACULATE DEVIATION OF SHIFTED SCAN FROM ORIGINAL. 3900C USED TO CALCULATE INTER-ORDER SPACING. 3910C 3920 NNN = ($MAX - MIN$) / $INC(1) + 1$ **3930 CHIMAX =0. 0 3940C** 3950 DO 20 I = 1, NNN 3960 CHISQ(I) = 0.0 **3970C** 3980 **DO 10 K = (MIN + (I-1) * INC(1)), MAX, INC(1) 3990 IF ((MIN + (1-1) + INC (1)) .GT. MAX) GO TO 10 4000 CHISQ(I) = CHISQ(I) + (YTOT(K) - YTOT(K-(I-l)*INC(l)))**2 4010 10 CONTINUE 4020C 4030 CHISQ(I) = CHISQ(I) / FLOAT (NNN - I + 1) 4040 CHIMAX = AMAX1 (CHIMAX, CHISQ(I)) 4050 20 CONTINUE 4060C 4070 DO 30 K = 1, NNN 4080 CHISQ(K) = CHISQ(K) * YMAX / CHIMAX 4090 30 CONTINUE 4100C 4110 RETURN 4120C 4130 END**

 $\sim 10^{11}$ km

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\ddot{}$

References

Abel G., 1955, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 67, 258 Abel G. & Goldreich P., 1966, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 78, 232 Acker A., 1975, Astron. & Astrophys., 40, 415 Acker A., 1978, Astron. & Astrophys. Supp., 33, 319 Allen C.W., 1964, Astrophysical Quantities (London:Athlone Press) Allen D.A., 1975, Infrared - The New Astronomy (Reid) Aller L.H., 1956, Gaseous Nebulae (New York:Chapman & Hall) Atherton P.D., 1979, Ph.D. Thesis, University of London Atherton P.D., Hicks T.R., Reay N.K., Worswick S.P. & Smith W.H., 1978, Astron. & Astrophys., 66, 297 Atherton P.D., Hicks T.R., Reay N.K., Robinson G.J. & Worswick S.P., 1979, Astrophy. J., 232, 786 Bappu K.V. & Menzel D.H., Astrophys. J., 119, 508 Baum W.A., 1968, Astron. Techs., Ed. Hiltner W.A. (Chicago:Univ. of Chicago Press) Beals C.S., 1931, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 91, 966 Becklin E.E., Neugebauer G. & Wynn-Williams C.G., 1973, Astrophys. Letts., 15, 87

- **Beckwith S., Neugebauer G., Beckwith E.E., Matthews K. & Persson S.E., 1980, Astron. J., 85, No 7, 886**
- **Bignell R.C., 1974, Astrophys. J., 193, 687**
- **Bohuski T . & Smith M.G., 1974, Astrophys. J., 193, 197**
- **Bohuski T., Rubin R.H. & Smith M.G., 1970, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 82, 913**
- **Bohuski T., Smith M.G. & Weedman D.W., 1970, Astrophys. J., 162, 27 Bowen I.S., 1928, Astrophys. J., 67, 1 Brocklehurst M., 1971, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 148, 417**

Brocklehurst M . & Leeman S., 1971, Astrophys. Letts., 9, 35

Brocklehurst M . & Seaton M.J., 1972, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 157, 179 Cahn J.H. & Kaler J.B., 1971, Ast'rophys. J. Supp., 189, 319 CammG.L. , 1938, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 99, 71 Campbell W.W. & Moore J.H., 1918, Pub. Lick Obs., No 13 Cannon A.J., 1916, Harvard Annals, 76 Capriotti E.R., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Carranza G., Courtes G. & Louise R., 1968, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 34 (Holland:Reidel)

Chaisson E.J. & Malkan M.A., 1976, Astrophys. J., 210,'108

- **Chopinet M . & Lortet-Zuckermann M.C., 1976, Astron. & Astrophys. Supp., 25, 179**
- **Churchwell E., Terzian Y. & Walmsley M., 1976, Astron. & Astrophys., 48, 331**

Cudworth K.M., 1974, Astron. J., 79, 1384

Curtis H.D., 1918, Pub. Lick Obs., No 13

Danks A.C., 1971, Astron. & Astrophys., 14, 480

Dopita M.A., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel)

Doroshenko V.T., 1971, Sov. Astron., 15, 358

Doroshenko V.T., 1973, Astron. Zhur., 50, 501

Doroshenko V.T. & Kolotilov E.A., 1973, Astron. Zhur., 50, 1186

Dreyer J.L.E., 1888, A New General Catalogue of Nebulae and Clusters

of Stars, Mem. R . Astron. Soc., 49, Part 1 Elliot K.H. & Meaburn J., 1977, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 181, 499 Evans D.S. & Thackeray A.D., 1950, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 110, 429 Faulkner D.J., 1970, Astrophys. J., 162, 513 Feibelman W.A., 1970, J. R . Astron. Soc. Canada, 64, 305 Feibelman W.A., 1971a, J. R . Astron. Soc. Canada, 65, 25 Feibelman W.A., 1971b, J. R . Astron. Soc. Canada, 65, 251 Ferch B.L. & Salpeter E.E., 1975, Astrophys. J., 202, 195

Finzi A . & Woolf R.A., 1971, Astron. & Astrophys., 11, 418 Flannery B.P. & Herbig G.H., 1973, Astrophys. J., 183, 491 Geballe T.R. & Rank D.M., 1973, Astrophys. Letts., 182, L113 Gillett F.C., Low F.J., & Stein W.A., 1967, Astrophys. J., 149, L97 Gillett F.C., Merrill K.M. & Stein W.A., 1972, Astrophys. J., 172, 367 Goad L.E. & Chaisson E.J., 1973, Mem. Soc. R. des Sci. de Liege No 5 Goldberg L., 1966, Astrophys. J., 144, 1225 Goudis C., McMullan D., Meaburn J., Tebbut N.J. & Terrett D.L., 1978,

Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 182, 13 **Greig W.E., 1971, Astron. & Astrophys., 10, 161 Greig W.E., 1972, Astron. & Astrophys., 18, 70 Greim H.R., 1967, Astrophys. J., 148, 547 Gulak I.U., 1958, Soviet Astron. AJ, No 1, 802 Gurzadyan G.A., 1969, Planetary Nebulae (New York:Gordon & Breach) Harman R.J. & Seaton M.J., 1964, Astrophys. J., 140, 824 Harris S. & Scott P.F., 1976, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 175, 371 Heap S.R., 1975, Astrophys. J., 196, 195 Henize K.G., 1961, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 73, 159 Herbig G.H. & Boyarchuk A.A., 1968, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 34 (Holland:Reidel)**

Hicks T.R., Reay N.K. & Scaddan R.J., 1974, J. Phys. E (Sci. Ins.), 7, 27 Hicks T.R., Phillips J.P. & Reay N.K., 1976, Mon. Not. R . Astron.

Soc., 147, 339

Higgs L.A., 1971, Catalogue of Radio Obs. of Planetary Nebulae and Related Optical Data, Pub. Astrophys. Board, NRCC, Vol 1, No 1 Higgs L.A., 1972, Mem. Soc. R . des Sci. de Liege, No 5 Holtz J.Z., Geballe T.R. & Rank D.M., 1971, Astrophys. J., 164, L29 Hromov L., 1962, Astron. Zhurn., 39, 468 Hromov L. & Kohoutek L., 1968a, Bull. Astron. Soc. Czech., 19, No 1, 1

Hromov L. & Kohoutek L., 1968b, Bull. Astron. Soc. Czech., 19, No 2, 81 Hromov L. & Kohoutek L., 1968c, Bull. Astron. Soc. Czech., 19, No 2, 90 Hua C.T. & Louise R., 1970, Astron. & Astrophys., 9, 448 Huggins W., 1864, Proc. R. Soc. London, 13, 492 Hummer D.G. & Mihalas D., 1970, JILA Rep., No 10 Hummer D.G. & Seaton M.J., 1973, Mem. Soc. R. Liege, No 6 Hunter J.H. & Sofia S., 1971, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 154, 393 Jacquinot P., 1954, J. Opt. Soc. Amer., 44, No 10, 761 Johnson H.M., 1976, Astrophys. J., 208, 127 Johnson H.M., 1977, Astrophys. J., 216, 776 Kaler J.B., 1970, Astron. J., 160, 887 Kaler J.B., 1974, Astron. J., 79, 594 Kaler J.B., 1976, Astrophys. J., 220, 887 Kaler J.B. & Aller L.H., 1974, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 86, 635 Kaler J.B., Aller L.H. & Czyzak S.J., 1976, Astrophys. J. Supp., 31, 163 Kirkpatrick R.C., 1976, Astrophys. Letts., 17, 7 Kohoutek L., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Lang K.R., 1974, Astrophysical Formulae (Berlin:Springer Verlag) Liller L.H., 1965, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 77, 25 Liller L.H., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Liller L.H. & Liller M.H., 1968, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 34 (Holland:Reidel) Liller M.H., Welther B.L. & Liller L.H., 1966, Astrophys. J., 144, 280 Louise R., 1974, Astron. & Astrophys., 30, 189 Lozinskaya T.A., 1973, Sov. Astron., 16, 945 Lucy L.B., 1967, Astron. J., 72, 813

Lutz J.H., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Mathews W.G., 1966, Astrophys. J., 143, 173

Meaburn J., 1971, Astron. & Astrophys., 13, 478

Meaburn J., 1976, Detection and Spectrophotometry of Faint Light (Holland:Reidel)

McGee J.D., Bacik H., Coleman C.I. & Morgan B.L., 1972, AEEP, 33A, 13 Millikan A.G., 1974, Astron. J., 79, 1259 Miller J.S. & Mathews W.G., 1972, Astrophys. J., 172, 593 Minkowski R., 1946, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 58, 305 Minkowski R., 1947, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 59, 257 Minkowski R., 1948, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 60, 386 Minkowski R . & Osterbrock D.E., 1960, Astrophys. J., 131, 537 Mufson S.L., Lyon J. & Marionni P.A., 1975, Astrophys. Letts., 201, L85 Munch G., 1968, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 34 (Holland:Reidel) O'Dell C.R., 1963, Astrophys. J., 138, 67 Osterbrock D.E., 1950, Astrophys. J., 131 541 Osterbrock D.E., 1970, Astrophys. J., 159, 823 Osterbrock D.E., 1974, Astrophysics of Gaseous Nebulae (San Fransisco: Freeman) Osterbrock D.E., Miller J.S. & Weedman D.W., 1966, Astrophys. J., 145, 697 Paczynski B., 1971, Acta Astron., 21, 417 Page T.L., 1942, Astrophys. J., 96, 78 Payne C., 1928, Harvard Bull., 855 Perek L. & Kohoutek L., 1967, Catalogue of Galactic Planetary Nebulae (Prague:Academia) Perrine C.D., 1929, Astron. Nach., 237, 89 Pengelly R.M., 1964, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 127, 145 Pengelly R.M. & Seaton M.J., 1964, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 127, 165 Phillips J.P. & Reay N.K., 1977, Astron. & Astrophys, 59, 91

Phillips J.P. & Reay N.K., 1980, Astrophys. Letts., 21, 47

Pottasch S.R., Wu C-C., Wessilius P.R. & Van Duinen R.J., 1977,

Astron. & Astrophys., 54, 435

Pottasch S.R., 1980, Astron. & Astrophys., 94, L13 Proisy P.E., 1974, Astron. & Astrophys., 35, 71 Rank D.M., Holtz J.Z., Geballe T.R. & Townes C.H., 1970, Astrophys. 161, L185 Reay N.K., 1981, Private Communication Reay N.K. & Worswick S.P., 1979, Astron. & Astrophys., , 31 Rose W.K., 1966, Astrophys. J., 146, 838 Rubin R.H. & Palmer P., 1971, Astrophys. Letts., 8, 79 Scheuer P.A.G., 1960, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 120, 231 Scott P.F., 1973, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 161, 35 Scott P.F., 1978, Private Communication Seaton M.J., 1959, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 119, 90 Seaton M.J., 1966, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 132, 113 Seyfert C.K., 1947, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 59, 35 i Shao C.Y. & Liller W.L., 1968, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No. 34

(Holland:Reidel)

Shlovskii I.S., 1956, Astron Zh., 33, 315 Smith H.C., 1971, Astron. J., 76, 193 Smith H.C., 1973, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 164, 321 Smith L.F. & Aller L.H., 1969, Astrophys. J., 157, 1245 Smith M.G. & Gull T.R., 1975, Astron. & Astrophys., 44, 223 Smith R.L. & Rose W.K., 1972, Astrophys. J., 176, 395 Sobolev V.V., 1958, Voprosy Kosmogonii, 6, 112 Sofia S. & Hunter J.H., 1968, Astrophys. J., 152, 405 Spitzer L. & Greenstein J.L., 1951, Astrophys. J., 114, 407 Stoy R.S., 1933, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 93, 588 Stoy R.S., 1939, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 51, 233 Stromgren B., 1939, Astrophys. J., 89, 529 Taylor K., 1974, Astron. & Astrophys., 30, 45

Taylor K., 1977, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 181, 475 Taylor K., 1979, Mon. Not. R . Astron. Soc., 189, 511 Terzian Y., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Terzian Y. & Balick B., 1972, Astrophys. Letts., 10, 41 Tinsley B.M., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Treffers R.R., Fink U., Larson H.P. & Gautier T.N. Ill, 1976,

Astrophys. J., 209, 793

Van Maanen A., 1934, Astron. J., 44, 9

Vine N.L., 1978, Applications of CAMAC to Astronomy, ESO/SRC Conf.

Procs. Eds. Cullum M.J. & Stephens C.L.

Vityasev V.V., 1969, Astrofizika, 5, 83

Von Zeipel H., 1924, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 84, 665

Vorontsov-Velyaminov B.A., 1948, Gasnebel und Neue Sterne (Berlin:

Verlag Kultur und Fortschritt) Walker M.F., 1972, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 159, 379 Walker M.F., 1974, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc., 169, 199 **Webster B.L., 1978, Planetary Nebulae, IAU Symp. No 76 (Holland:Reidel) Weedman D.W., 1968, Astrophys. J., 153, 49 Weidemann V., 1968, Ann. Rev. Astron. & Astrophys.,** *6,* **351 Westerlund B.E. & Henize K.G., 1967, Astrophys. Supp., 14, 154 Wilson O.C., 1946, Pub. Astron. Soc. Pacific, 58, 210 Wilson O.C., 1948, Astrophys. J., 108, 201 Wilson O.C., 1950, Astrophys. J., Ill, 279 Wilson O.C., 1958, Rev. Mod. Phys., 30, 1025 Wilson O.C. & Aller L.H., 1951, Astrophys. J., 114, 412 Wilson O.C. & O'Dell C.R., 1963, Astrophys. J., 139, 511 Wright H.H., 1918, Pub. Lick Obs., No 13 Ya Kipper A., 1950, Dev. of Sov. Sci. in the Estonian SSR, 1940-1950 Zanstra H., 1931, Pub. Dom. Astron. Obs. Victoria, 4, 209**