TISSUE CULTURE OF COCCIDIA

by

Catriona Urquhart, B.Sc.

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of the University of London.

Imperial College Department of Zoology and Applied Entomology Silwood Park Ascot Berkshire

January 1980

Abstract

Sporozoites of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> were grown in cell cultures of host and non-host tissues. Poor development in hon-host cultures may have been due to the obligatory maintenance at 37° C or to absence of the necessary depth of tissue. Second generation schizonts and gametocytes occurred regularly in chick kidney and embryonic chick kidney and liver cells. Other cells supported only first generation schizonts. Complete development with oocysts was seen on one occasion only in chick kidney cells. Morphology and sizes of these stages were compared with results obtained by other workers. No development occurred when merozoites of <u>E. necatrix</u> were inoculated onto host

Fewer cells were invaded by sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> when invasion took place in the presence of new born calf, donor horse serum or protease inhibitors. Inhibition was partially removed by acid or heat treatment of the serum. Chicken serum, normal and inactivated had little effect.

Cells in the S phase of the host cell's cycle were preferentially invaded by sporozoites. Host cells containing developing parasites were more rounded, and showed loss of cell - cell contact and changes in the cell - substrate adhesion patterns. In coculture with parasitised cells the non-parasitised cells mimicked these alterations and showed enhanced ³H-thymidine uptake compared with non-parasitised cells in monoculture. Parasitised cultures showed enhanced agglutinability with Concanavalin A which was eliminated by pre-fixation. Cell surface glycoproteins were labelled with ³H-l-fucose: the loss of radioactivity from cell preparations and the gain in radioactivity by the supernatants from parasitised and non-parasitised cultures was compared. An increase in radioactivity in the supernatant over parasitised cells was attributed largely to the sloughing off of cell fragments from the cells and this "contamination" obscured the possible release of glycopeptides from the cell coat. Differences in loss of radioactivity from parasitised cells and non-parasitised cells was first observed 2 days post inoculation with sporozoites. iii

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank my supervisor, Dr. Elizabeth U. Canning, for her help and encouragement throughout the course of my studies.

I wish to acknowledge the support of the Science Research Council and May and Baker Ltd., who provided the C.A.S.E. award which funded this study. In particular I wish to thank Dr. Lucas, (May and Baker, Ongar) for arranging facilities for work at May and Baker's Research Department, Dagenham; Dr. E. Michael (May and Baker, Upminster) for his help and advice with my work and for providing oocyst cultures; and Dr. S. Squires (May and Baker, Dagenham) for many useful discussions . during my stay in his laboratory.

I wish to thank,

Dr. D.J. Galley (Imperial College) for advice and help with radiotracer work.

Dr. V.S. Latter (Burroughs Wellcome, Beckenham) for advice on basic techniques for handling <u>E. tenella in vitro</u>. Judy Mills (Institute of Cancer Research, Sutton) for help with irradiating cells.

T.M. Preston (University College, London) for help and advice with the reflection interference studies.

Dr. M. Shirley (Houghton Poultry Research Station, Huntingdon) for advice on basic techniques for handling <u>E. tenella in vitro</u> Dr. R.E. Sinden (Imperial College) for many useful discussions Dr. P. Whur (Marie Curie Memorial Foundation, Research Department, Oxted) for his continued support and interest during the course of this study. Dr. R. Williams (Burroughs Wellcome, Berkhampstead) for providing me with a regular supply of oocysts.

v.

Dr. S. Young (Imperial College) for help and advice with statistics.

I am grateful to Joan Shepherd for her calm and efficient typing of this thesis.

Finally, my thanks are due to my friends at the Field Station who have helped in so many ways during the course of this study and during the production of my thesis. <u>Contents</u>

		Page
Abstract		ii
Acknowledgements		iv
Contents		vi
List of Figures		xi
List of Tables		xvi
Section 1 :	Introduction	-1
1.1 :	Normal Life-Cycle of Eimeria	1
1.2 :	Tissue Culture of Eimeria	3
1.2.1 :	Development of Chicken Coccidia in tissue	
	culture	4
i:	Sporozoite inoculum	4
ii:	Merozoite inoculum	8
1.2.2 :	Development of Eimeria species from	
	non-avian hosts in tissue culture	8
1.2.3 :	Other in vitro systems for the growth of	
-	Eimeria	11
1.2.4 :	The life-cycle and development of Eimeria	
	species in vitro	11
i:	Asexual generations	11
ii:	Sexual generation	12
iii:	Third generation schizogony	12
1.2.5 :	The morphology of the asexual generations	
	of E. tenella in vitro	13
1.2.6 :	The morphology of the asexual generations	. – – ,
	of other Eimeria species in vitro	٦4
1.2.7 :	Comparison of in vitro and in vivo	
	development:	15
· i:	Timing of life-cycles	15
 ii:	Relative sizes of developmental stages	16
1.2.8:	The presence of an activating factor in	10
20200	cell culture	18
1.	Sporozoites	18
 ii:	Merozoites	18
1.2.9 :	Conditions of culture	19
1. ·	Temperature	10
 		10
	The of coll	רכ רכ
	Modium	21
1 2 10.	Uses of the in vitre system of parasite	<u>ح</u> ت
T.55.10.	development	22
13.	Inverter of Colle	22
エ•ノ ・ 1 ス 1 ・	Meyoment of spongroitos	29
	The pricel complex of metile stores	27
⊥• <i>)•≥</i> • ••	Ine apical complex of motile stages	29
· · ·	Pole in invesion	25
1 Z Z .	Note in invotion Machanism of antre of Finance	20 27
: رورو⊥ • •	Mochanical ontw	/ <i>ב</i> ו
ب ب ب ب ب	Incommutat entry	27 ว2
• ± ± ÷	Invagination of the heat coll membrane	20 20
ין בי ר יין בי ר	Invagination of onthe hustbar Changes	20
エ・フ・4 :	Mechanism of entry by other sporozoa	29

Page

Section 1.3.5	Chemical nature and mode of action of	
	the rhoptry-microneme secretion	30
-	Enzymatic	30
i	Polycationic polypeptides	32
ii:	Differential expansion of the plasma	
	membrane bilayer and incorporation of	· ·
	parasite products	33
1.3.6	: The role of phagocytosis in Sporozoan	
	invasion	33
1.3.7	: Merozoite and microgamete motility and	
	invasion	34
1.4	: Host-Cell Changes	35
1.4.1	: Cytopathological alterations	35
1.4.2	Damage to host-cell in vivo	36
1.4.3	Ultrastructural changes	36
]	Cytoplasmic alterations	36
13	Parasitophorous vacuolar membrane	
	alterations	37
11	Host-cell plasma membrane alterations	39
11	Alterations to host-cell nuclei	40
7	Division of infected cells and tissue	41
1.5	: Aim of Present Study	42
Section 2	Materials and Methods	hz
2-1	: Parasites	モノ
2.2	Prenaration of Snorozoites	キン
2.3	: Preparation of Merozoites	4) 111
2.4	: Tissue Culture	-т-т 4-Б
2.4.1	: Sterilization procedure	т) 45
2.4.2	: Trypsinisation of tissue for primary	τJ
	cultures	45
j	Primary cultures of CK cells	45
ii	Primary cultures of other chick	.,
	embryonic tissues	47
iii	Macrophage cultures from embryonic	77
	chickens	47
iv	Macrophage cultures from the mouse	• 7
	peritoneum	48
2.4.3	: Growth and maintenance of mammalian cells	48
i	Growth and maintenance of cell lines	48
ii	Preparation of neutrophils	48
2.4.4	: Irradiation of chicken kidney cells	50
2.4.5	: Infection of cells with parasites	50
2.4.6	: Growth of cells in coculture	51
2,5	: Invasion of Sporozoites into Cells	51
2.5.1	: Treatment of serum	51
i	: Heat-inactivated serum	51
ii	: Acid-treated serum	51
2.5.2	: Chemical compounds	52
2.6	: Staining Techniques and Examination of	/-
	Cover-Slips	52
2.7	: Radioactive Compounds and their Incorporation	
·	into Cells	54
2.7.1	: Radioactive compounds	54
	-	-

vii.

viii.

Page

	2.7.2 :	Preparation of samples for autoradio- graphy	54
	i:	Growth and pulsing of cells	54
	ii:	Coating and developing of autoradiographs	55
	2.7.3 :	Preparation of samples for scintillation	
		counting	55
	2.8 :	Scanning Electron Microscopy	56
	29	Conceneralin A (Con A) Agglutination Tests	57
	210 .	Deflection Interference Microscopy	58
	2.10 :	Reflection interference microscopy	
Section	3:	Results	59
	3.1 :	Development of Eimeria in Tissue Culture	59
	3.1.1 :	Development of E. tenella in various media	
	<i>y</i> • <u></u> <u></u> <u></u> <u></u>	systems	59
	3.1.2 :	Development of E. tenella from a	
	,	sporozoite inoculum in non-host cells	64.
	֥	Bovine kidney cells (MDBK)	64
		Uner commised compiners colls (Holp)	6L
	، ۲.۲ . ۲.۲		67
	111:	Bladder epithelial cells	67
	iv:	McCoy cells	67
	3.1.3 :	Development of E. tenella, from a	(7
	_	sporozoite inoculum, in host cells	67
	i:	Chick embryonic spleen	-72
	ii:	Chick embryonic lung	72
	iii:	Chick embryonic intestine	72
	iv:	Chick embryonic macrophages	72
	v:	Chick embryonic liver	72
	vi:	Chick kidney (embryonic and adult)	76
	3.1.4 :	Development of E. tenella in irradiated	
	-	CK cells	93
	3.1.5 :	Development of three strains of E. tenella	
		in CK cells cultures	95
	3.1.6 :	Development of E. necatrix in CK cells	
		from a sporozoite inoculum	96
	3.1.7 :	Development of E. necatrix in cell culture	·
•	<i>y</i> , ·	from a merozoite inoculum	96
	3.2 :	Invasion of E. tenella Sporozoites into	
	J•2 ·	CK Cells	100
	321.	General observations	100
	7 2 2 2 4	The offect of serum on E tenells	100
	J.C.C.	chemographic intracion into (K cells	100
		New horm colf comm	100
	1:	New Dorn call Serum	105
	11:	Donor norse serum	105
	111:	Chicken serum	105
	3.2.3 :	The effect of protease inhibitors on	
		invasion of E. tenella sporozoites into	0
		CK cells	108
	i:	Soybean trypsin inhibitor	108
	ii:	p-tosyl-l-arginine methyl ester HCl	111
	iii:	Ovomucoid	111
	iv:	$N-\alpha-p-tosyl-l-lysine chloromethyl$	
		ketone HCl	111
	. v:	Bovine serum albumin	111
	3.2.4 :	The effect of pre-incubation of sporo-	
	-	zoites in serum and protease inhibitors	
		on invasion	113

				Page
	3.2.5	:	The effect of hyaluronidase on	-
			invasion of sporozoites into CK cells	116
	3.2.6	:	Invasion into synchronised CK cells	118
	i	:	Assessment of synchrony	118
	ii	:	Invasion of synchronised CK cells	122
	3.2.7:		Invasion of irradiated cells by E. tenella	
			sporozoites	124
	3.3	:	Host Cell Changes	125
	3.3.1	:	Morphological changes	125
	3.3.2	:	Adhesion changes	133
	i	:	Changes associated with the	
			development of the parasite	133
	ii	:	Changes associated with the invasion	-22
			of the parasite	א ר
	3.3.3	:	Untake of H-thymidine by parasitised and	T 10
	/-/-/	•	non-parasitised cells in mono- and co-	
			culture	150
	3.3.4	•	Agglutinability with concensualin A	1)0
	J •J•I	•	(oond)	7 5/2
	7 7 5		(COMA)	174
	2.2.2	•	Toss of tabelled glycoproteins from the	
			surface of cultured cells following	760
			parasitisation with E. tenella.	T00
C. at days	1.	_	D'	
Section	4 -	•	Discussion	100
	4•⊥ 1. • •		Tissue Gulture	T00
	Ч ⊕ ⊥ ⊕⊥	:	Development of E. tenella in non-host	
			cells from a sporozoite inoculum	166
	4.1.2	:	Development of E. tenella in host cells	- 60
			from a sporozoite inoculum	168
	4.1.3	:	Growth in irradiated CK cells	169
	4.1.4	:	Growth of drug-resistant strains of	
	•		E. tenella in vitro	170
	4.1.5	:	Morphology of schizonts and gamonts of	
			E. tenella	172
	4.1.6	:	Sizes of intracellular stages	.174
	4.1.7	:	Development of E. necatrix in CK cells	
	•		from a sporozoite inoculum	174
	4.1.8	:	Development of E. necatrix from second	
			generation merozoites obtained from the	
			chicken	175
	4.2	:	Invasion into Cells	176
	4.2.1	: 1	Invasion into synchronised cells	176
	4.2.2	:	Mechanism of invasion into cells	177
	4.3	:	Host Cell Changes	180
	4.3.1	:	Morphological and adhesive changes	180
	4.3.2	:	Enhanced agglutinability with	-
		-	Concanavalin A	185
	4-3-3		Release of glyco^proteins	187
	4.3.4	:	Enhanced untake of H-thymidine	1 89
	4 3 5	•	Implications of host-cell changes for the	107
	·•/•/	•	in vivo development of E tenella	າດົວ
	436	•	The possible nature of the factor(s) which	TAC
		•	caused the alterations to the host collis	
			morphology adhesion and collegion and	
			ite implications in the study of coll	
			remijation	100
			r charactou	т7¢

ix.

		Page
:	General Conclusions	193
		195
	:	: General Conclusions

· · ·

•

.

•

•

•

· · · ·

.

List of Figures

Figure	<u> </u>	age
3.1.1 :	The growth of <u>E. tenella</u> at seven days p.i. under a variety of conditions	62
3.1.2:	The appearance of MDBK cells after 6 days growth at 41°C	66
3.1.3 : 3.1.4 : a)	Intracellular sporozoite in MDBK cells 1 day p.i./41°C HeLa cells grown for 3 days at: 41°C 37°C	66 68
3.1.5 :	Bladder epithelial cells containing intracellular	60
3.1.6 :	Multiple invasion of McCoy cells 1 day p.i./41°C	69 70
J•1•7 :	having multi-lobed nuclei	70
3.1.8 :	Trophozoite of <u>E. tenella</u> in chick embryonic spleen cells, 4 days $p_{\bullet i}$.	73
3.1.9 :	Immature schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> in chick embryonic lung cells at 2 days p.i.	73
3.1.10:	Mature first generation schizont of E. tenella with separated merozoites at 4 days printing in chick	
3,1,11.	embryonic intestine cells	74
3 1 12.	growing in chick embryonic macrophages, 3 days p.i.	74
	embryonic liver cells	
, a)	Immature macrogamete (mac) with a possible delayed second generation schizont (sch)	
b) 3.1.13:	Immature microgametocyte Third generation schizonts, 6 days p.i., growing in chick embryonic liver cells	75
a) b)	Immature schizont	00
3.1.14:	The transformation of intracellular sporozoites of	
a)	Shortening and broadening of sporozoite	79
c)	Uninucleate trophozoite	79 80
3.1.15:	Immature first generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> growing in CK cells 3 days p.i.	81
3.1.16:	First generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> , growing in CK cells, showing merozoites arranged in a rosette	
3.1.17:	formation around a residual mass Mature (mat) first generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> 2 days p.i., showing the merozoites arranged haphagard]	81
3.1.18.	immature (imm) first generation schizont also present	82°
3.1.19:	of E_{\bullet} tenella all at various stages of development Second generation trophozoites of E_{\bullet} tenella	82
<i>y y</i> -	preferentially invade and develop in areas where the CK cells are growing more than one cell deep	84
3.1.20:	Immature second generation schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> , 4 days p.i., growing in CK cells	84

xi.

Figure	<u> </u>	Page
3.1.21:	The local invasion of CK cells by first generation merozoites produces small mature second generation schizonts, developing in closely spaced groups	85
3.1.22:	Mature second generation schizont with rosette formation of merozoites, 6 days p.i. (unincorporated nuclei are marked by arrows)	85
3.1.23:	Mature second generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> with haphazard arrangement of merozoites. 7 days p.i.	86
3.1.24:	Mature second generation schizonts of \underline{E}_{\bullet} tenella with the cytoplasm divided into several separate groups of nuclei (blastophore schizont)	
a) b)		87
3.1.25:	Mature second generation schizont of E. tenella - fusion of two parasitophorous vacuoles has produced a	•
6	large schizont	88
3.1.26:	Immature macrogamete 7 days p.i.	88
J•⊥•27: 3.1.28:	Immature microgametocyte o days pol.	- 90 -
	has divided into several smaller parts	90
3.1.29:	Mature microgametocyte	91
3.1.30:	The association of macrogametes and microgametocytes growing in CK cells	91
3.1.31:	Third generation schizonts of E. tenella growing in CK	
,	cells 7 days p.i.	
a) h	Immature schizont	00
נס ג ז ג כי ג	Mature Schizont	92 01
3.1.33:	Occusts of E. tenella (Kf strain) growing in CK cells.	77
	8 days p.i.	97
3.1.34:	Intracellular schizont (a) and free merozoites (b) of <u>E. necatrix</u> used to inoculate on to mouse macrophage cultures (Nomarski interference microscopy)	98
3.1.35:	Merozoites of E. necatrix 24 hours after inoculation on	•
7 7 7 .	to CK cultures (41°C)	99
2•2•⊥ :	24 hours	101
3.2.2 :	Invasion of CK Cells by <u>Letenella</u> sporozoites in the	102
3.2.3 :	Invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites in the	102
<i>y</i> = - <i>y</i>	presence of inactivated New Born Calf Serum	104
3.2.4 :	Invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites in the	106
3.2.5 :	Invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites in the	100
	presence of Chicken Serum	107
3.2.6 :	Invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites in the	
z ĵ ^[] .	presence of inactivated Chicken Serum	109
2.2.7:	the presence of Soupean Trupsin Inhibitor	סנו
3.2.8 :	Invasion of CK cells by sporozoites of E-tenella in	U
<i>y</i> •	the presence of p-tosyl-l-arginine methyl ester	110
3.2.9:	Invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites in the	
	presence of ovomucoid	112

xii.

Figure			Page
3.2.10:		Invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of $N-\alpha$ -tosyl-l-lysyl chloromethyl ketone	112
3•5•11:		Invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of Bovine Serum Albumin	114
3.2.12:	a)	Effect of pre-incubation with NBCS on the invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites	
3.2.13:	a)	Incubation - 4 hours/4°C Effect of pre-incubation with protease inhibitors on the invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites No incubation	115
z 0 14.	ъ)	Incubation - 4 hours/4°C	117
3.2.15:		Invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of hyaluronidase	119
<i>y</i> • <i>y</i> •	a)	invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites No incubation	
2 2 76.	ъ)	Incubation - 4 hours/4°C	120
J.2.10:		to release from serum starvation	121
3.2.17:		Invasion of synchronised CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites	
	a)	sporozoites added as cells released from serum starvation	
	ъ)	sporozoites added 9 hours after cells were released from serum starvation	123
3•3•1 :	a) b)	The appearance of CK cells, 1 day p.i. Control, non-parasitised cells are still confluent Experimental, parasitised cultures have developed gaps	
3•3•2 : 3•3•3 : 3•3•4 : 3•3•5 :		In the monolayer SEM of confluent monolayer of non-parasitised CK cells SEM of parasitised CK cells, 1 day p.i. SEM of control, non-parasitised CK cells, 3 days p.i. SEM of parasitised CK cells, 3 days p.i. Cells have rounded up and in many cases remain connected with each other only by means of cytoplasmic bridges (CB) and to the substrate by means of long retraction fibres (RF)	126 127 127 128
	a) b) c)		129 129 130
3.3.6 :	a)	SEM of single cells at 1 day p.i. Non-parasitised CK cells	
3.3.7 :	ъ)́	Parasitised CK cells	132
0		parasitised cells for 3 days	134
3•3•8 : 3•3•9 :		RIM of non-parasitised CK cells (inM199) Parasitised CK cells as viewed with the phase contrast microscope, 3 days pair (Intracellular sporozoite	135
3.3.10:		marked with an arrow) RIM of the same area of parasitised cells as shown in	137
7 7 7 7 7		Figure 3.3.4, (in M199)	137
5•5•11: 3•3•12:		RIM of non-parasitised CK cells (in M199) RIM of the same area of non-parasitised CK cells as shown in Figure 3.3.11 just after the beginning of	139
		perfusion of the culture with 0.25M sucrose	139

Figure		Page
3.3.13:	RIM of the same area of non-parasitised CK cells as shown in Figures 3.3.11 and 3.3.12 following several minutes of perfusion with 0.25M sucrose	140
3.3.14: 3.3.15:	RIM of the same area of non-parasitised CK cells as shown in Figures 3.3.11 and 3.3.13 following the replacement of 0.25M sucrose with M199 Parasitised CK cells as viewed with the phase contrast	140
	microscope, 3 days p.i. (Intracellular sporozoite marked with an arrow)	141
3.3.16:	RIM of the same area as shown in Figure 3.3.15 (M199), the double arrows indicate the areas devoid of contacts	141
3.3.17:	RIM of the same area as shown in Figure 3.3.16 following perfusion with 0.25M sucrose	143
3.3.18:	Parasitised, single, cell as viewed with the phase contrast microscope, (sporozoite marked with an arrow)	144
3.3.19:	RIM of the same CK cell as shown in Figure 3.3.18 (in M199)	144
3.3.20:	RIM of the cell shown in Figure 3.3.19 following perfusion with 0.25M sucrose	
) RIM at the beginning of perfusion	145
3.3.21:	A schizont infected cell as viewed with the phase	,
3.3.22:	contrast microscope. (Schizont marked by an arrow) RIM of the schizont infected cell shown in Figure 3.3.21 (in M199). (A - schizont; B - area devoid of	146
	contacts; C and D - areas with a few, disorganised,	146
3.3.23:	RIM of the schizont infected cell, shown in Figure	740
3.3.24:	3.3.22, following 0.25M sucrose RIM of non-parasitised CK cells following coculture for	147
3.3.25:	3 days with parasitised cells (in M199) CEF cultures a few minutes after the addition of	147
	E.tenella sporozoites, viewed with the phase contrast microscope. (Intracellular sporozoite marked with an	140
3.3.26: 3.3.27:	RIM of the same area as shown in Figure 3.3.25 (in M199) Human neutrophils with invading <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites, as seen with the phase contrast microscope	149
	Double arrow: uninfected neutrophils	
3.3.28:	Single arrow: infected neutrophil RTM of the same neutrophils as seen in Figure 3-3-27	191
3.3.29:	(in M199) Uptake of ³ H-thymidine: effect on host cell response	151
5	when non-parasitised cells are cocultured with parasitised cells	153
3.3.30:	Ratio of L/NL nuclei in two non-parasitised cell populations	155
3.3.31:	Ratio of L/NL nuclei for two cell types in a mixed culture	155
3.3.32:	Ratio of P/NP in labelled and non-labelled cells	156
フ•フ•フ>ラン・ ス ス z山・	cells after 30 minutes incubation with Con A	159
J=J=J=J ⁺ •	after 30 minutes incubation with Con A	159

xiv.

Figure			Page
3 . 3.35:		Changes in the radioactivity associated with H-l-fucose	
	、	sporozoites	
	a) b)	Radioactivity associated with the cells Radioactivity associated with the supernatants	162
3.3.36:		Loss of radioactivity from CK cells labelled with H-1-fucose following inoculation with sporozoites of	
3.3.37:		$\frac{E.tenella}{Gain of radioactivity by the supernatants of CK}$	163
		cultures labelled with 'H-l-fucose and subsequently inoculated with sporozoites of E.tenella	- 1.65

.

List of Tables

Table		Page
1.1 :	Development of Eimeria spp. from chickens in cell culture from a sporozoite inoculum 5	,6,7
1.2 :	Development of Eimeria spp. from chickens in cell culture from a merozoite inoculum	9
1.3 :	Development of non-avian <u>Eimeria</u> in tissue culture (sporozoite inoculum)	10
1.4 : 1.5 :	Measurements of developmental stages of E.tenella Movement of excysted sporozoites when inoculated on	17
2.7 •	to cell cultures	24
2.2 :	Chemicals used in the inhibition experiments on	49
2.3 :	Radioactive Compounds	55 54
5•I•I:	Media systems used to inoculate and maintain CK cultures parasitised with <u>E.tenella</u>	61
3.1.2:	Growth of E.tenella from sporozoites inoculated on to cells from non-host tissue	65
3.1.3:	The invasion of <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites into HeLa cells growing at various temperatures	64
3-1-4:	Development of E.tenella from sporozoites inoculated on to host cells	71
3.1.5:	Measurements of intracellular stages of E.tenella in CK cells	78
3.1.6:	Labelled nuclei in irradiated and non-irradiated CK cells, 5 days price following a 1 hour pulse with	10
3.1.7:	H-thymidine	93
3 2 1 .	three strains of E.tenella	96
7 2 2 2	storage for 30 hours at 4°C	100
3.3.1:	Agglutinability of CK cells with Con A	124 157
J•J•2:	Agglutinability of parasitised cultures with serial dilutions of Con A	158
3.3.3:	Agglutinability of fixed cells with Con A	160

xvi.

SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION

1:1 Normal Life-Cycle of Eimeria

The sporozoan genus Eimeria contains a large number of species . parasitic in vertebrate animals of all classes throughout the world, although none infects man. A few species have been recorded, some rather doubtfully, from annelids, arthropods and protochordates (Pellerdy 1974). With other genera, including Isospora, Sarcocystis Toxopla na and Besnoitia they form the Suborder Eimeriina, often referred to colloquially as the coccidia. Eimeria species are obligate intramultiplicative stage of their cellular parasites, spending the life cycle in the cells of The transmissive stage is a thick walled oocyst, which in one host. the majority of species enters and leaves the host via the alimentary This stage is capable of surviving long periods outside the host. canal. Most species parasitise the epithelial cells of the intestinal villi but occasionally can be found in deeper tissue. E. steidae develops in the liver of rabbits (Pellerdy 1974) and E. neitzi causes uterine coccidiosis in the impala (McCully, Basson, de Vos and de Vos, 1970).

The infection begins when the sporozoite excysts from the oocyst, the excystation process varying slightly with the host. In ruminants the oocysts probably undergo the first phase of excystation in the rumen (Jackson 1962). Here the micropyle is split, lifted or thinned by the action of carbon dioxide. In avian hosts the oocysts spend little time in the crop and the effect of carbon dioxide is considered to be minimal (Long and Speer 1977). In gallinaceous birds mechanical damage to the oocyst by the gizzard is probably essential for sporocyst release. Sporocysts of <u>Eimeria</u> species have a thickening at the anterior end called the Steida body. When this comes into contact with trypsin and

bile salts in the intestine it is digested, the sporozoites then leaving via the resulting pore. Recently it has been shown that chymotrypsin, a contaminant of commercial trypsin, is the essential enzyme for excystation (Wang and Stotish 1975). The freed sporozoites then invade the intestinal mucosa. The rate of excystation varies considerably between species and could determine the site of development (Long and Speer 1977). The chicken coccidia, <u>E. praecox</u> and <u>E. acervulina</u> both excyst within a few minutes and develop in the upper small intestine. <u>E. tenella</u> develops in the large intestine and sporozoites can take up to 2 hours to excyst.

Multiplication within the cell proceeds by an asexual process called schizogony. The resulting merozoites are released and must re-invade new cells to initiate a second generation. The number of generations varies between species but eventually the merozoites enter cells and instead of developing into schizonts they develop into sexual individuals or gametocytes. The female or macrogamete remains uninucleate. The male or microgametocyte undergoes repeated nuclear division to produce a large number of flagellated, motile microgametes. These are released, swim towards the macrogamete, penetrate the host cell and achieve fertilisation. The resulting zygote encysts whilst still in the host cell. Within this wall the sporozoites are formed although the process of sporulation usually begins outside the host. The nucleus divides meiotically and four sporoblasts are produced. These then encyst within the oocyst as sporocysts, their contents dividing to produce the sporozoites. When this differentiated oocyst is ingested by another individual the life cycle begins again.

Most species in the Eimeriina have similar life-cycles, differing in minor ways such as the number of sporocysts and sporozoites per oocyst, the number of asexual generations and the development site in the host. <u>Eimeria</u> oocysts have 4 sporocysts each with 2 sporozoites, <u>Isospora</u> oocysts have 2 sporocysts each with 4 sporozoites. Some members of this Suborder have more than one host e.g. <u>Sarcocystis</u>.

The rearing of domestic animals under intensive conditions provides an abnormally high number of hosts over a small area - ideal conditions for a parasite to spread. Such heavy infections by <u>Eimeria</u> spp. produces the disease coccidiosis, recognised as of veterinary importance. It is known to occur in many domestic animals such as chickens, turkeys, rabbits, cattle and sheep (Levine 1973). Heavy infection with the more pathogenic species causes death, mainly due to the heavy blood and fluid loss caused by excessive damage to the intestinal wall.

1.2 Tissue Culture of Eimeria

Consideration of the economic consequences of coccidiosis, stimulated study of the parasite, with a view to producing prophylactic drugs. Observations were hampered by the fact that the parasites were intracellular for much of their life-cycle. With the development of cell culture a system became available which allowed the parasite to remain visible throughout its life cycle and had the added advantage that, as the immediate environment could be controlled, the behaviour of the parasite could be studied under a variety of conditions.

1.2.1 Development of Chicken Coccidia in Tissue Culture

i Sporozoite Inoculum

The development in tissue culture of Eimeria species from chickens, from a sporozoite inoculum, is listed in Table 1.1. The sporozoites entered a wide variety of cells, but in some of them they underwent little further development. Completion of the life cycle of E. tenella was first achieved in vitro by Long (1965) when he inoculated sporozoites into the chorioallantoic membrane (CAM) of embryonated chicken eggs. In the same year Patton (1965) reported the development of mature first generation schizonts of E. tenella in cultures of bovine kidney cells and Japanese quail embryo fibroblasts. Doran (1970a) first reported the completion of the life-cycle of E. tenella in cultures of kidney cells derived from adult and embryonic chickens. The oocysts produced were infective to birds not previously in contact with any coccidial infections. Subsequently the production of E. tenella oocysts has been reported in chick kidney cells by several workers: Strout and Ouellette (1970); Klimes, Rootes and Tanielian (1972); and Itagaki, Hirayama, Tsubokura, Otsuki and Taira (1974).

Limited success has been achieved with other coccidia from chickens. There appeared to be a relationship between the degree of development in tissue culture and the site of development in the host, the most complete development occurring with species having all or part of their life-cycle in the large intestine (Ryley and Wilson 1972a). Thus <u>E. brunetti</u>, which develops in the rectum, was grown to mature second generation schizonts in a variety of cells (Table 1.1.); <u>E. necatrix</u>, which has its third schizogony and gametogony in the caeca, grew to mature second generation schizonts in primary cultures of chick kidney (Table 1.1.); E. maxima,

Table 1.1 Development of Eimeria spp. from chickens in cell culture from a sporozoite inoculum

.

.

Extent of development									Т	
Species	Cells ^a	Type of Culture ^b	Intra- cellular Sporozoite	Troph- ozoite	imma- ture schiz	-mat- ure zonts	gametes	oocysts	Reference	
E <u>.acervu-</u> lina	Chick kidney(E)	Primary		l					Strout <u>et al</u> 1965 Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	
	Chick Kidney	Primary	<i>\</i> <i>\</i>			4			Doran 1971a Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974 Naciri-Bontemps 1976	
	Chick Fibroblast(E)	Primary		l					Strout <u>et al</u> 1965 Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	
	Mouse Fibroblasts (L cells)	CL .		1					Strout <u>et al</u> 1965	
	Human Amnion	CL		1					Strout et al 1965	
-	Human Cervical Carcinoma (HeLa)	CL	·	l			•		Strout <u>et</u> <u>al</u> 1965	
	Human Kidney (E)	CL							Doran & Vetterling 1967b	
	Bovine Kidney (E)	Primary and CL							Doran & Vetterling 1967b	
	Ovine Kidney	Primary and CL	✓			· .			Doran & Vetterling 1967b	
	Porcine Kidney	Primary and CL	/						Doran & Vetterling 1967b	
E.brunetti	Chick Kidney	Primary			- 2	2			Ryley & Wilson 1972a Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	
	Chick Fibroblasts (E)	Primary '				2 2			Shibalova 1970 Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	
	Chick Kidney (E)	Primary				1			Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	
	Quail Fibro- blasts (E)	Primary				2			Shibalova 1970	

S

E.necatrix	Chick Kidney	Primary					 	Doran 1971a
	Bovine Kidney (E)	CL			1		Doran & Vetterling 1967b	
		Primary				·		Doran & Vetterling 1967b
	Human Kidney (E)	CL	\checkmark					Doran & Vetterling 1967b
	Ovine Kidney	Primary and CL						Doran & Vetterling 1967b
	Porcine Kidney	Primary			 		-	Doran & Vetterling 1967b
<u>E.tenella</u>	Chick Kidney (E)	Primary				2		Shibalova 1968 Strout & Ouellette 1969 Shibalova 1970 Doran 1970a Strout & Ouellette 1970
	Chick Kidney	Primary						Doran 1970a,1971a,b Klimes <u>et al</u> 1972 Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974
	Chick Fibroblasts (E)	Primary				1 2		Bedrnik 1969a Shibalova 1968,1969 Shibalova <u>et al</u> 1969 Patton 1965
	Quail Fibro- blasts (E)	CL				1		Patton 1965
	Mouse Fibroblasts (L cells)	CL			1			Patton 1965
- <u></u>		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1	- 1				ത

.

.

	Bovine Kidney	Primary			1			Ryley 1968	
		CL (MDBK)			1			Patton 1965	
	Bovine Kidney (E)	CL	·		1			Doran 1969,1970a	
	Bovine Trachea (E)	CL			1			Matsuoka <u>et al</u> 1969	
	Human Fibroblasts	CL		2				Shibalova 1968,1969,1970	
	HeLa	CL			2			Shibalova 1969,1970	
	Monkey Kidney	CL			2			Shibalova 1969	
	Partridge Kidney	Primary				\checkmark		Doran 1971b	
	Pheasant Kidney	Primary					✓	Doran 1971b	
	Turkey Kidney	Primary			2			Doran 1971b	
E.maxima	Chick Kidney	Primary	\checkmark					Doran 1969,1971a	
E.mivati	· .	•	\checkmark						
E.praecox									

.

a : E = Embryonic b : CL = Cell Line

c = number denotes the generation

~

.

<u>E.mivati</u> and <u>E. praecox</u>, all parasites of the small intestine, entered chick kidney cells but developed no further (Table 1.1.). <u>E. acervulina</u>, a parasite of the small intestine, has generally failed to develop beyond the trophozoite stage in cell culture. However, Naciri-Bontemps (1976) reported the development of mature fourth generation schizonts in chick kidney cells. Long (1973) mentioned that this distinction between the abilities of species of <u>Eimeria</u> from chickens to grow in cell culture also applied to the ease with which they infected and grew in the CAM of embryonated eggs.

ii Merozoite Inoculum

Merozoites, obtained from chickens, have also been used to achieve development of <u>Eimeria</u> species in cell cultures. The results of those experiments are shown in Table 1.2. Oocysts of <u>E. tenella</u> have been produced in this manner (Bedrnik 1967b, 1969a,b, 1970; Shibalova 1970). Also, by using second generation merozoites, the life-cycles of <u>E. tenella</u> in cell culture were extended to produce a third (Bedrnik 1967a) fourth (Bedrnik 1967b) and maybe a fifth (Bedrnik 1969b) generation of schizonts. Although <u>E. acervulina</u> showed little or no development when sporozoites were used as an inoculum (Table 1.1.), with fourth generation merozoites obtained from axenic chickens, Naciri-Bontemps (1976) grew cocysts in primary chick kidney cells.

1.2.2 Development of Eimeria Species from Non-Avian Hosts in Tissue Culture

The results of culturing non-avian coccidia <u>in vitro</u>, from a sporozoite inoculum are listed in Table 1.3. All species tested, achieved a considerable degree of development in both host and non-host cells.

				Extent							
Species	Cells ^a	Type of Culture ^b	Source of Merozoites	ND ^d	Troph- ozoite	Schizont ^e imm. mat		$\operatorname{Gam}^{\mathbf{f}}$	Oocyst	Reference Naciri-Bontemps (1976)	
E.acervulina	Chick Kidney	Primary	Host(4) ^C				✓ *		 ✓ 		
E.brunetti	Chick Fibroblasts (E)	Primary	Host(2)					\checkmark		Shibalova 1970	
	Quail Fibroblast (E)	Primary	Host(2)					\checkmark		Shibalova 1970	
E.tenella	Chick Fibroblast (E)	Primary	Host(2)				3° 4/5			Bedrnik 1967a Bedrnik 1967b 1969a 1969b Bedrnik 1969b	
	Chick Caecum & Liver	Primary	Host(2)						1	Itagaki <u>et al 1974</u> Bedrnik 1969b 1970	
	Chick Kidney (E) and Adult	Primary	Host(2)	\checkmark						Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	
	Quail Fibroblast (E)	Primary	Host ?						\checkmark	Shibalova 1970	
	Cervical Carcinoma (HeLa)	CL	Host(2)				3			Bedrnik 1967a 1969b 1970	

Table 1.2 Development of Eimeria species from chickens in cell culture from a merozoite inoculum

١

Key: a: E= Embryonic

b: CL = Cell Line

c: Number denotes generation

d: ND = Intracellular, no development

5

e: imm = immature

mat = mature

f: gam = gametocyte

Species	Cell Type	.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,,,,,			<u></u>	References
	Bovine Kidney CL(MDBK)	Bovine Kidney (E) CL ^a	Bovine Intestine (E) CL	Bovine Trachea (E) (EBTr)	Human Intestine CL(Int 407)	Ovine Kidney (E)	Ground Squirrel Embryo	
CATTLE E.alabamensis	° _{Troph} 2	d Mat.schzt ^l	Mat.schzt ¹	Mat.schzt ¹	Mat.schzt ¹	-	-	Sampson <u>et</u> al: 1971
E.auburnensis	Mat.schzt ¹	-	-	$Mat.schzt^{\perp}$	Troph		-	Clark & Ham- mond 1969
E.bovis	Mat.schzt ⁺	Mat.schzt [⊥]						Hammond & Fayer 1967
	·			Imm.schzt ⁻	Mat.schzt ⁺			Hammond & Fayer 1968
UNITA GROUND SQUIRREL E.bilamellata	Mat.schzt ^l	Mat.schzt ¹	-	$Mat.schzt^1$	Imm.schzt ^l	-	_	Speer,Hammond & Anderson 1970
	7	Mat.schzt ¹	$Mat.schzt^{\perp}$	- 7		-	-	Speer,Hammond & Kelley 1970
E.callosperm- ophili	Mat.schzt [*]	Troph		Mat.schzt ⁺	Mat.schzt ⁺	-	Imm.schzť	Speer,Hammond & Anderson 1970
	-	Mat.schzt ¹	Mat.schzt [*]	- · · · · ·	-	-	-	Speer,Hammond & Kelley 1970
E.larimerensis		Mat.schzt ⁺	Mat.schzt ⁺	-		- 0		Speer,Hammond & Kelley 1970
	Imm.schzt ²		-	-	-	Imm.schzt ²	Imm.schzt	Speer & Hammond 1970
SHEEP E.ninakohlyaki movae	-	Mat.schzt ¹	Mat.schzt ^l		-	- 7	_	Speer,Hammond & Kelley 1970
	Mat.schzt_		-	-	-	$Mat.schzt^{\perp}$	-	Kelley & Hammond 1970
RAT E.neischulzi	-	Mat.schzt ¹	Mat.schzt ^l	-	-	- •	-	Speer,Hammond & Kelley 1970
RABBIT E.magna	Mat.schzt ²	N/D ^g	-		-	-	_	Speer,& Hammond 1971
	a : CL = Cel b : E = Em c : Troph.	ll Line bryonic = Trophozoite	e: f: gont g:	Imm.schzt = Im Number denotes N/D = no devel	mature schizon generation opment	t		10

Table 1.3 Development of non-avian Eimeria in tissue culture (sporozoite inoculum)

d : Mat.schzt = Mature schizont

1.2.3 Other in vitro Systems for the Growth of Eimeria

The entire life-cycle of <u>E. tenella</u> was completed when sporozoites were inoculated onto the chorio-allantoic membrane (CAM) of embryonated eggs (Long 1965, Shibalova 1969a, 1970). No development in this system occurred when the CAM tissue was inoculated with <u>E. acervulina</u> or <u>E. maxima</u> sporozoites although late schizonts of <u>E. necatrix</u> and oocysts of <u>E. mivati</u> and <u>E. brunetti</u> were obtained (Long 1965).

CAM tissue, previously inoculated with <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites, was trypsinised and plated out, after a few days of growth of the parasite had occurred. Using this method Long (1969) was able to produce oocysts. He stated that although the numbers of oocysts produced was low compared with the numbers of gametocytes present, they sporulated at room temperature and produced infection when fed to chickens.

1.2.4 The Life-Cycle and Development of Eimeria spp. in vitro

i Asexual Generations

Once intracellular, the sporozoite becomes enveloped in a membranebound parasitophorous vacuole, and enlarges to form the trophozoite. This transformation is usually achieved by a gradual increase in width following an initial widening of the anterior tip. Subsequent upon this in <u>E. tenella</u>, are two asexual generations or schizogonies, as <u>in vivo</u>. Strout and Oullette (1970) found that the merozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> became spontaneously activated when they had separated from the parent schizont but still remained within the parasitophorous vacuole. They also mentioned that their release did not occur simultaneously; some merozoites escaped by penetrating an extremely elastic membrane, others were released after 2-3 hours of activity, when the membrane was eventually torn or broken down. Most of these merozoites invaded cells within the vicinity of the parent schizont immediately after their release, or degenerated (Strout and Ouellette 1970). Second generation schizonts matured in a similar fashion to the first generation although they were often smaller by virtue of the multiple invasion of cells. (Strout and Ouellette 1970).

Shibalova (1974) reported the asynchronous development of merozoites within schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> growing in tissue culture. Some nuclei in a schizont were involved in the budding of merozoites whilst others were not. Lee and Millard (1971) reported a similar feature with E. praecox in the host.

ii Sexual Generation

The second generation merozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> in cell culture usually develop into the gametocytes. This occurs 6 days after inoculation of chick kidney cells (Doran 1970a; Strout and Ouellette 1969, 1970; and Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974) or at 8 days in embryonic chick cells (Doran 1970a). The macro- and micro-gametocytes are usually observed clustered together with several in the same cell. Itagaki <u>et al</u> (1974) described them as often being within a common parasitophorous vacuole, and suggested that as the merozoites were harboured very close together this common vacuole was probably produced by fusion of neighbouring vacuoles as the gametocytes grew.

Oocysts were produced in chick kidney cells on the sixth (Doran 1970a, 1971b,c,) and seventh (Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974) days post-inoculation of cultures with E. tenella sporozoites.

iii Third Generation Schizogony

At the time that the gametocytes of E. tenella appear small mature

schizonts which contain only a few merozoites can be observed (Doran 1970a; Strout and Ouellette 1970). Because of this timing and their location next to immature and mature second generation schizonts they are believed to represent the third generation. Such a generation has been described <u>in vivo</u> for <u>E. tenella</u> (Tyzzer 1929).

1.2.5 The Morphology of the Asexual Generations of E. tenella in vitro

Long (1969) described four basic types of schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> grown in cells from CAM tissue, cultured a few days following parasitisation. Schizonts resembling the <u>in vivo</u> stages occurred (Types 1 and 4 representing first and second generation schizonts <u>in vivo</u>, respectively). However, there was a preponderance of Types 2 and 3. In these latter schizonts, merozoites were arranged in rosettes around a residual mass. They either occurred as one solid schizont (Type 2) or in groups, producing the smallest schizonts grown (Type 3). Occasionally a parasitophorous vacuole contained numerous, separate masses of material (blastophores), from the periphery of which the merozoites were later seen to form. Types 2 and 3 were smaller and contained fewer merozoites than the conventional schizonts (Types 1 and 4).

Strout and Ouellette (1970) described the first and second generation schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> as exhibiting one of two morphologies. The conventional schizont, which resembled the <u>in vivo</u> situation had merozoites arranged in a rosette shape. The second type they termed blastophore schizonts: in this type the protoplasm was subdivided into distinct spheroidal bodies, with merozoites forming in each. Although both types were typical of first and second generation schizonts, third generation schizonts were always rosette-shaped.

Itagaki <u>et al</u> (1974) described three basic types of schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> found in chick kidney cells. Type I they equated with the <u>in vivo</u> conventional schizont and Type II with the blastophore schizont of Strout and Ouellette (1970). Type III they compared with the sporozoite-shaped schizonts described for other species, <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u>.

1.2.6 The Morphology of the Asexual Generations of other Eimeria species in vitro.

Blastophore schizonts have often been recorded from cultures of other species. They have been described for <u>E. bovis</u> (Fayer and Hammond 1967; Hammond and Fayer 1968) <u>E. auburnensis</u> (Kelley and Hammond 1970) and <u>E. crandallis</u> (de Vos, Hammond and Speer 1972). Although blastophore schizonts are not known to occur in natural infections of any avian coccidia they are a normal stage of development of <u>E. bovis</u> (Hammond, Ernst and Miner 1966) and <u>E. auburnensis</u> (Chobotar Hammond and Miner 1969).

The sporozoite-shaped schizonts described for <u>E. tenella</u> by Itagaki <u>et al</u> (1974) were also seen in culture forms of other species, occurring when nuclear division preceded the transformation to a trophozoite or schizont. The number of nuclei before this transformation was 2-5 in <u>E. auburnensis</u> (Clark and Hammond 1969), 2-8 in <u>E. magna</u> (Speer and Hammond 1971), 2-12 in <u>E. callospermophili</u> and 2-8 <u>E. bilamellata</u> (Speer, Hammond and Anderson 1970). Although Itagaki <u>et al</u> (1974) said that the fate of <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoite-shaped schizonts was unknown, details have been provided for other species. Clark and Hammond (1969) described this transformation for <u>E. auburnensis</u>, which took place when a marked bulge appeared at the end/middle of the body of the schizont, the resulting spheroidal schizont developing within seconds.

The behaviour of these schizonts is very interesting. Those of <u>E. callospermophili</u> are motile and able to leave and enter host cells, indicating that they have retained the structures of the sporozoites specialised for movement and penetration (Speer, Hammond and Anderson 1970). This was confirmed by ultrastructural observations on <u>E. callospermophili</u> sporozoite-schizonts (Roberts, Hammond, Anderson and Speer 1970). All the organelles representative of the motile stages were present i.e. conoid, pellicle, subpellicular tubules, rhoptries and micronemes. Similar movement has been observed in this schizont type for <u>E. alabamensis</u> (Sampson, Hammond and Ernst 1971) <u>E. larimerensis</u> (Speer and Hammond 1970; Speer, Davis and Hammond 1971) and <u>E. magna</u> (Speer and Hammond 1971).

1.2.7 Comparison of in vitro with in vivo development

i Timing of Life-Cycles

The timing of the life-cycle of <u>E. tenella in vitro</u> shows more variation than <u>in vivo</u>. In a review of the cultivation of coccidia in cell cultures, Doran (1973) mentioned that <u>E. tenella</u> developed faster at the beginning of its life-cycle <u>in vitro</u> than <u>in vivo</u>. However, development gradually became slower, so that with the appearance of the gametocytes and oocysts the timing in the host and in adult chick kidney cells was similar. In embryonic chick kidney cells and those cells derived from non-host tissue oocysts appeared later than they would in the host. Long (1965) described delayed development in CAM tissue and said that it was caused by an extended schizogony. He later attributed this extended schizogony to a lower incubation temperature (38.6°C) than E. tenella would have encountered in the host (41°C), (Long 1969).

Hammond and Fayer (1968) described <u>E. bovis</u> development as being faster in certain types of cells than in calves. Trophozoites appeared at 3 days instead of 5 days post-inoculation, and mature schizonts appeared at 8 days, instead of 12 days post-inoculation, when grown in bovine tracheal cells.

ii Relative Sizes of Developmental Stages

Table 1.4 illustrates the sizes of various stages recorded for the <u>in vitro</u> development of <u>E. tenella</u>. Generally the first generation schizonts were smaller <u>in vitro</u>, with fewer (but longer) merozoites than <u>in vivo</u>. In the second generation both the schizonts and the merozoites were smaller <u>in vitro</u> than <u>in vivo</u>. The smaller number of merozoites in this second generation was influenced in part by the multiple invasion of the host (Strout and Ouellette 1970).

Differences have been recorded with other <u>Eimeria</u> species. Clark and Hammond (1969) discussed the sizes of schizonts and merozoites of <u>E. auburnensis</u> grown <u>in vitro</u>, noting that although there was a greater variation in size in the <u>in vitro</u> schizonts, the overall size of both schizonts and merozoites was smaller than those found in calves.

Size variations in culture are possibly related to the type of cell used to cultivate the parasite (Doran 1973). For example, schizonts of <u>E. meleagrimitis</u> varied in size according to the cell type in which they were grown (Doran and Vetterling 1968). In embryonic turkey intestine cells the schizonts were very small and contained only 12-28 merozoites. A similar situation occurred with bovine kidney cells up to 72 hours post-inoculation. However, after 72 hours in bovine kidney cells large schizonts began to appear, which reached greater dimensions and contained more merozoites than recorded in the host.

		·							
	•		Reference	References: chickens					
	Patton 1965	Strout and Oucllette 1969	Matsuoka <u>et al</u> 1969	Strout and Ouellette 1970	Doran 1970a	Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974	Tyzzer 1929	Pellerdy 1974	Madden and Vetterling 1978
Mature first generation schizont	_ :	-	28-37 (diameter)	8x11-35x50	37x30	31.4x18.6	24.0x17.3	-	-
First generation merozoite	7-8 (length)	-	3-5 (length)	7 (length) (200)	7 xl-1. 5 (200-250)	3.6x1.5 (20-200)	2.5x1.3 (900)		-
Mature second generation schizont	-	-	-	12x15-60x65	42x19	27 . 3x20.8	43.5x31.0	21-30 (diameter)	21.5-12.5 (diameter)
Second generation merozoite	-	-	-	12-14 (length) (4-200)	12x1.4 (200)	9.3x1.6 (11-150)	16.0	16x2	8.5xl.7 (37-250)
Mature third generation schizont	-	-	-	-	15.0 (diameter)	-	9.0x7.6	9.0x7.6	6.3-11x4.2-7
Third generation merozoites		-	-	10.0 (length)	12.0x1.4 (5-17)	-	6.8x1.0	6.8x1.0	3.3x0.57 (5-17)
Macrogametes	-	22.8x12.6	-	22.8x16.5		12 . 5x9.2		-	
Microgameto- cytes	-	20.0x19.6	-	20.0x17.0	-	19.2x11.5	12.4x8.7		

Table 1:4 Measurements of developmental stages of E. tenella

a = all measurements in microns

b = figures in brackets is the number of merozoites/schizont.

1.2.8 The Presence of an Activating Factor in Cell Culture.

i Sporozoites

Although penetration by sporozoites of Eimeria spp. appears to be non-specific in vitro (Tables 1.1 and 1.3) the situation regarding their further development is quite different. Various cell types differ in their ability to support development of a parasite and conversely parasites differ in their abilities to develop within a cell. Doran and Vetterling (1967b) suggested that the absence of a cellular factor could explain the failure of E. necatrix to develop in certain cells. A similar explanation was proposed for E. bovis (Hammond and Fayer 1968). Doran (1971a) suggested that various species of chicken coccidia probably differed in an inherent mechanism which had to be activated by the host cell before development could begin. Itagaki et al (1974) expanded this idea with the suggestion that the three more pathogenic species (E. brunetti, E. necatrix and E. tenella), which grew more easily in cell culture, possessed similar characteristics which enabled them to begin development and that these differed somewhat from those of the less pathogenic species. They suggested that the relevant traits could include a greater ability to adapt to the in vitro environment.

ii Merozoites

Merozoites, as well as sporozoites, are evidently dependent on the presence of an activating substance for further development (Hammond, Fayer and Miner 1969). Bedrnik (1970) obtained greater development of <u>E. tenella</u> merozoites in culture following "misintroduction" of cells from the caeca of infected chicks. He concluded that these cells contained a cellular growth factor which was not present in similar cells from uninfected birds. Doran (1973) suggested that the evidence for such a factor was small as Bedrnik had failed to take into account i) the confluency of the cell layer, and ii) whether the number of invading sporozoites was proportional to dosage. These are two criteria which must be considered before comparisons of infectivity can be made (Doran 1971c).

Long and Speer (1977) mentioned that the merozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> were more difficult to maintain in a viable condition than sporozoites, regardless of whether they were obtained <u>in vivo</u> or <u>in vitro</u>. Despite gentle handling, and the use of low concentrations of bile salts to stimulate activity, the number invading cells was low. They concluded that bile (or bile salts) were probably only one of several substances required for further in vitro development of coccidian parasites.

However, at least with <u>E. tenella</u>, any involvement <u>in vivo</u> of bile, or bile salts, in further development of merozoites appears unlikely as these substances are not present in the caecum of chickens where merozoites are released and develop.

1.2.9 Conditions of Culture

With the successful completion of the life-cycle of <u>E. tenella</u> from sporozoite to oocyst in culture (Doran 1970a) attempts were made to identify ideal conditions for development.

i Temperature

The optimum temperature for <u>in vitro</u> production of avian coccidial oocysts was found to be 41°C (Doran 1970a), although schizogony was reported to occur between 35-43°C (Strout, Ouellette and Gangi 1969a).

ii Inoculum

Strout, Ouellette and Gangi (1969b) suggested that inoculum size

could affect development. They found that an inoculum of 100,000 sporozoites/ml gave the best results in cell cultures at 96 hours. Doran (1971c) mentioned that a similar size gave the best production of oocysts at 7 days, whereas inocula above 250,000/ml caused a rapid decline in oocyst production.

Patton (1965) reported that débris, such as oocyst and sporocyst walls, in the inoculum could be toxic to cells. Consequently, most workers removed this débris by passing the sporozoite suspension over glass beads prior to inoculation, a method which Wagenbach (1969) had shown to be effective. Alternatively, the uncleaned inoculum could be removed after 24 hours and replaced by fresh medium. With <u>E. meleagrimitis</u>, <u>E. necatrix</u> (Doran and Vetterling 1967a and b) and <u>E. adenoides</u> (Doran 1970b) ineffective removal of débris after inoculation of the cultures resulted in the appearance of dead and dying kidney cells and a noticeable failure of the parasite to develop.

Doran (1971c) found that a cleaned inoculum resulted in fewer intracellular sporozoites at 4 hours, but that the subsequent yield of oocysts at 7 days was greater, than when an uncleaned inoculum had been used. As the condition of the cells at 7 days was the same, regardless of the type of inoculum used, this greater yield of oocysts was attributed to the condition of the sporozoites surviving the passage over the glass beads, rather than to the toxicity of the débris. Fayer and Hammond (1967) mentioned that although the débris sometimes hindered the observation of <u>E. bovis</u> development in culture, it did not appear to have any appreciable toxic effect upon the cells.
iii Type of Cell

Species of <u>Eimeria</u> usually developed best in cells derived from the natural host (Doran 1971b, Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974). Host cells themselves exhibit variation in their ability to support oocyst production, <u>E. tenella</u> oocysts developing better in adult rather than in embryonic kidney cells (Doran 1970a). Most species prefer to develop in islets of epithelial cells, and development in such areas is thought to be necessary for gametogony (Bedrnik 1967b; Strout and Ouellette 1969, 1970; Doran 1970a, 1971c). These islets develop from aggregates of cells in the initial cell suspension; the numbers of aggregates being related to the trypsinisation procedure (Doran 1970a). Doran (1971c) suggested that the depth of tissue provided by these islets was just as critical as their epithelial nature for the development of the parasite. The failure to develop beyond a certain stage <u>in vitro</u> may merely have been due to the deterioration with time in the condition of the cell layer (Doran 1973).

iv Medium

Initially the medium used in cell culture was believed to have no influence on the parasite's development (Patton 1965), but subsequent studies have shown that medium and medium supplements could affect the invasion and development of <u>Eimeria spp in vivo</u>. Doran (1971ccand 1973). demonstrated such an effect during the later part of the life-cycle. Differences between groups grown in various conditions became noticeable only at 4-5 days. However, this could have been due to better conditions for cell growth rather than to a direct effect on parasite development. Thus, favourable conditions could be encouraging the development of the epithelial cells at the expense of the fibroblast population.

Doran and Augustine (1976) compared the oocyst production of

<u>E. tenella</u> in primary chick kidney cells grown under a wide variety of conditions. They achieved greatest yields by changing the medium after inoculation. Cells were established in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HESS) with 10% foetal calf serum and 10% lactalbumin hydrolysate (LAH,2.5% solution in HESS). After inoculation of the sporozoites the medium was changed to one of Basal Medium (Eagle's), Minimum Essential Medium (Eagle's) or Medium 199, with 5% foetal calf serum and 5% LAH. Several other workers have mentioned the need for a separate medium for growth and maintenance of cultures (Doran 1970a, 1971c and Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974). Itagaki <u>et al</u> (1974) demonstrated that the addition of a yeast extract benefited the development of <u>E. tenella</u>, but not <u>E. brunetti</u>, in cell culture.

1.2.10 Uses of the in vitro System of Parasite Development

One potential use for the cell culture is that it provides a method for the screening of drugs in an economical way (Strout and Ouellette 1971, 1973; McDougald and Galloway 1973). Alternatively, this system has been used to i) test the degree and site of activity of known anticoccidials and ii) to locate that part of the life-cycle where such drugs are most effective (Fayer and McLoughlin 1970; Ryley and Wilson 1971, 1972b,c, 1975, 1976a,b). By a suggestion that the hosticell was influencing the production of false negatives or positives, Ryley and Wilson (1976a) called into question the validity and reliability of <u>in vitro</u> anti-coccidial drug screening methods. However, they felt that cell culture had a use in the evaluation of the mode of action of established drugs. Support for the unreliable nature of the <u>in vitro</u> screen comes from work by Latter and Wilson (1979). They demonstrated that the differences in reports concerning the effectiveness of Aprinocid as an anti-coccidial drug in vitro were due to the varying metabolic

abilities of the cells to convert it to an active compound. Chick kidney could not, but chick liver could. <u>In vivo</u> this drug has anti-coccidial properties. They concluded that this discrepancy, which was due entirely to the type of host cell used, was a good reason again to limit the <u>in vitro</u> drug screening method to analysing the effects of known anti-coccidials.

There have been few reports concerning nutrient requirement for the development of Eimeria spp., but the in vitro method could provide a more controllable means of studying this. Sofield and Strout (1974) studied amino acid requirements and found that media deficient in any one of glutamine, isoleucine, methionine, tryptophan or tyrosine prevented the development of the second asexual generation. Ryley and Wilson (1972b) used the cell culture system in a series of growth antagonism studies. They suggested it provided a means of learning more about the basic nutrients required by Eimeria spp., although they admitted that the problem of permeability barriers and host metabolism could not be eliminated. Doran and Augustine (1978), investigating the micronutrient requirements for the development of E. tenella in tissue culture, found that schizogony depended on the presence of several vitamins. However, they stressed that the situation pertaining in vivo could be different, and the actual micronutrient requirement of E. tenella only resolved when the parasite was grown axenically in chemically defined medium.

1.3 Invasion of Cells

The invasion of cells is crucial to the life-cycle of all coccidia. The development of the <u>in vitro</u> system has enabled the invasive process to be studied in a more controlled manner with a view to understanding the mechanisms involved. Most of the work has been concerned with sporozoites.

1.3.1 Movement of Sporozoites

When inoculated onto cell cultures sporozoites are extremely active and begin invading cells within seconds. The movements they perform are characteristic of the various species and are listed in Table 1.5.

TABLE 1.5 Movement of excysted sporozoites when inoculated

Movement	Species	Reference
Flexing	E.alabamensis	Sampson <u>et al</u> 1971
Gliding	E.auburnensis	Clark and Hammond 1969
Gliding and Flexing	E. larimerensis E. bovis	Speer and Hammond 1970 Fayer and Hammond 1967
Gliding, Flexing and Pivoting	E.callospermophili E.bilamellata E.larimerensis E.tenella E.meleagrimitis	Speer and Hammond 1969 Speer, Hammond & Anderson 1970 Speer, Hammond & Anderson 1970 Speer, Davis & Hammond 1971 Fayer 1972 Fayer 1972
Helical	E.acervulina	Doran <u>et al</u> 1962
Gliding and Helical	E.larimerensis	Roberts <u>et al</u> 1971

on to cell cultures

Prior to entry, sporozoites of <u>E. auburnensis</u> (Clark and Hammond 1969), <u>E. tenella</u> and <u>E. meleagrimitis</u> (Fayer 1972) perform gliding movements, whereas those of <u>E. larimerensis</u> about to enter cells undergo gliding and helical movements (Roberts <u>et al</u> 1971). Changes also occur at the anterior tip. Roberts <u>et al</u> (1971) described these changes in detail in <u>E. larimerensis</u>. The sporozoites inserted a slender protuberance into the host cell to a depth of $1-2\mu$. This was repeatedly inserted and retracted at different locations within the immediate vicinity. Usually the protuberance moved laterally for 1-2 seconds and appeared to swell by increasing in width and decreasing in length. Within 3 seconds of these changes the remainder of the sporozoite had penetrated the cell. Speer <u>et al</u> (1971) showed with cine studies that this protuberance was withdrawn before the parasite entered the cell. Fayer (1972), in describing gliding sporozoites of <u>E. meleagrimitis</u> and <u>E. tenella</u>, tat said_h the anterior tip changed from a blunt point to a marked acumination or spinous projection. Penetration occurred when this spinous projection had formed.

Only rarely is host cell cytoplasm seen to escape as sporozoites enter cells. (Roberts <u>et al</u> 1971, Speer <u>et al</u> 1971). It is more frequently observed as they leave (Speer <u>et al</u> 1971), which suggests that this is a much more disruptive process than entry.

1.3.2 The Apical Complex of Motile Stages

i Ultrastructure

Sporozoites and merozoites of <u>Eimeria</u> are characterized by the presence of an apical complex at their anterior end. Many workers have suggested that the organelles comprising this complex are involved in invasion, (Roberts and Hammond 1970; Ryley 1969; Scholtyseck, Mehlhorn and Friedhoff 1970). Basically the complex consists of a conoid, which is a truncated hollow cone of 6-8 spirally arranged fibrils. Anterior to the cone are one or more preconoidal rings. Attached to the posterior ring are the subpellicular microtubules which radiate outwards and extend backwards for almost the entire length of the body. Scholtyseck (1973) mentioned that the number of these differed in the various developmental stages of coccidia; in sporozoites and merozoites of many <u>Eimeria</u> species there were 24. The club-shaped organelles or rhoptries also originate from the conoid and extend back into the body of the sporozoite and merozoite. Lying between the microtubules and the rhoptries are the

micronemes. Detailed ultrastructural studies have been made of this structure (Scholtyseck <u>et al</u> 1970; Scholtyseck and Mehlhorn 1970; McLaren and Paget 1968; Ryley 1969; Roberts and Hammond 1970).

ii Role in Invasion

McLaren and Paget (1968) postulated a reversible extrusible/ retractable role for the conoid in the merozoites of <u>E. tenella</u>. They suggested that it played a part in the entry of cells by merozoites, and that the rhoptries, for which a secretory role was postulated, were also involved. This idea was supported by a micrograph which showed empty rhoptries in a merozoite which was actively penetrating a cell. McLaren and Paget (1968) and Ryley (1969) noticed a pore at the anterior end of the conoid of merozoites and sporozoites, respectively, of <u>E. tenella</u>. This indicated a possible route by which the rhoptry contents were secreted when the conoid was applied to the host cell membrane.

Scholtyseck <u>et al</u> (1970), when describing the change in shape of the anterior tip of sporozoites during penetration, suggested mechanical entry was involved. They postulated that the subpellicular microtubules could push the conoid out by contracting, and that the polar rings might act as a vice preventing the conoid emerging too far. Lysogenous enzymes, produced by the rhoptries, would be squeezed out by the same contractions and possibly aid invasion.

In a later review of Sporozoan ultrastructure, Scholtyseck and Mehlhorn (1970) suggested that the rhoptries were only excretory ductures for substances (possibly proteolytic enzymes) produced in the micronemes. Furthermore the fibrillar wall of the rhoptries was responsible for discharging the contents of this functional system. The enzymes aided mechanical perforation by the conoid and affected the host cell cytoplasm in such a way as to produce an environment more suitable for the parasite.

1.3.3 Mechanism of Entry of Eimeria

Initially mechanical puncturing of the membrane was generally held to be the mode of entry into cells, by both sporozoites and merozoites. Later work disproved this and showed that the membrane was invaginated, rather than ruptured, at the site of entry. Following this the nature of the rhoptry-microneme system was studied in order to find out how this invagination was initiated and/or maintained.

i Mechanical Entry

Fayer and Hammond (1967) described <u>E. bovis</u> sporozoites as entering cells rapidly, which suggested to them that a mechanical puncturing of the membrane was involved. They did not exclude the possible involvement of lytic enzymes. Ryley (1973) described the conoid in sporozoites and merozoites of <u>Eimeria</u> species as acting like a captive bolt or pistol to achieve a puncturing of the membrane. Other species initially thought to enter by a mechanical means were described: <u>E. auburnensis</u> (Clark and Hammond 1969), <u>E. bovis</u> (Fayer and Hammond 1967), <u>E. ninakohlyakimovae</u> (Kelley and Hammond 1970), <u>E. larimerensis</u> (Roberts <u>et al</u> 1971, Roberts and Hammond 1973), <u>E. callospermophili</u> (Roberts, Hammond and Speer 1970) and E. alabamensis (Sampson <u>et al</u> 1971).

Ultrastructural studies appeared to confirm this idea. Roberts <u>et</u> <u>al</u> (1971) showed by electron microscopy that sporozoites of <u>E. larimerensis</u> fixed in the process of entering a cell were constricted at the site of entry and the host cell membrane was seen to have partly invaginated. As it still appeared interrupted at the site of entry they concluded that mechanical penetration occurred, but only after an initial invagination. This invagination provided a portion of the membrane lining the vacuole.

ii Lysing the host cell membrane

Doran and Vetterling (1967b) observed sporozoites of <u>E. acervulina</u> undulating slowly back and forth and pulling at the cytoplasm before they entered. This suggested to them that the sporozoites had lysed the membrane to effect entry.

Müller (1975) showed that <u>E. contorta</u> entered cultured cells rapidly, with a local disruption of the outer membrane occurring during penetration. He felt that the sporozoites actively invaded the cells. The parasitophorous vacuole was formed afterwards by the merging of several small vacuoles apparent around the parasite after invasion.

iii Invagination of the Host Cell Membrane

The evidence available now is overwhelmingly in favour of a nondisruptive invagination of the host cell membrane during penetration. Jensen and Hammond (1974), although initially favouring a phagocytic mode of entry for <u>E. magna</u> into cultured cells, later modified their ideas. In 1975, Jensen and Hammond finally showed, by electron microscopy, that the sporozoites entered by an active process, and the membrane of the host was not broken during invagination. The invagination was sealed off by short pseudopodia after the parasite had worked itself deeply into the cell. This left the sporozoite inside a completely membrane-bound vacuole and explained why host cell cytoplasm was rarely observed to escape during penetration. The host cell membrane lining the vacuole broke down soon after invasion and was replaced by another of host cell origin. Jensen and Edgar (1976), in ultrastructural studies of <u>E. magna</u> invasion, subsequently provided evidence that the rhoptry secretions had effected this breakdown of the membrane. During

invasion, the invaginating host cell membrane became frayed and diffuse where it lay adjacent to the apical tip of the sporozoite. After penetration was complete this disintegration progressed posteriorly until the parasite lay completely free in the cytoplasm of the host cell. Concurrent with these alterations was the appearance of empty or partially empty membrane saccules in the apical region of the sporozoite. These saccules were of the same shape, size and location as the rhoptries. Often discrete packets of osmiophilic material, analogous to the rhoptry contents, were seen aligned in channels which passed through the conoid. They therefore proposed that the empty saccules represented the remnants of the rhoptries which, being contractile, had released their contents during penetration.

1.3.4 Mechanism of entry by other Sporozoa

A great deal of the recent advances in the understanding of invagination have come from studies on the invasion of erythrocytes by <u>Plasmodium</u> spp. merozoites. <u>Plasmodium</u> yoelii and <u>P. gallinaceum</u> enter cells by causing an invagination of the membrane (Ladda, Aikawa and Sprinz, 1969). The vacuole forms by the meeting of cytoplasmic constrictions and not from short pseudopodia as in <u>E. magna</u> (Jensen and Hammond 1975) and the host cell membrane remains intact. Ladda <u>et al</u> (1969) stressed the possible role of the rhoptries in secreting a substance to aid invasion, because early in the process of entry they became less dense and rapidly disappeared. Similar results were obtained for <u>P. knowlesi</u> merozoites (Bannister, Butcher, Dennis and Mitchell 1975) and although the participation of rhoptries, micronemes and microspheres were implicated they believed these organelles remained separate throughout the invasive process.

Therefore, it is apparent that there is a basic mechanism of invasion in the Sporozoa, and Bannister (1977) drew attention to two areas of similarity. Firstly, other genera invade by causing invagination e.g. Babesia (Rudzinska 1976), and Toxoplasma (Jones, Yeh and Hirsch 1972; Klainer, Krahenbuhl and Remington 1973). Secondly, in all the above genera the apical complex is in contact with the zone of invagination. Bannister (1977) therefore proposed that the apical complex was producing a membrane-expanding material, as in Plasmodium. Aikawa and Sterling (1974) denied the involvement of rhoptry/microneme secretions in invasion. However, Bannister (1977) felt that the evidence available weighed in favour of rhoptry involvement and cited, amongst other results, the dense material resembling rhoptry contents which Jensen and Hammond (1975) had demonstrated inside the invagination during its formation. Bannister (1977) concluded by suggesting that the remaining contents of the rhoptry/microneme system were discharged after invagination to enlarge the vacuole. Recent results from invasion studies on Isospora canis (Jensen and Edgar 1978) have served to confirm a common mechanism of entry.

1.3.5 <u>Chemical Nature and mode of action of the Rhoptry-microneme secretions</u> i Enzymatic

Garnham, Bird and Baker (1960) suggested, in their description of the rhoptries of <u>Haemamoeba</u> (\equiv <u>Plasmodium</u>) <u>gallinacea</u> sporozoites, that they may be producing a proteolytic enzyme to facilitate entry into the host cell. Subsequent analysis in this, and other Sporozoan genera has been limited. Most work has been done by a group of workers from Sweden on the related organism <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>. Lycke, Lund and Strannegärd(1965) found that two proteases, hyaluronidase and lysozyme, enhanced the penetration of T. gondii merozoites into cells. Lycke and

Norrby (1966) extracted a factor from lysed parasites which enhanced penetration. This penetration-enhancing factor (PEF) was selectively directed against the host cell wall (Norrby and Lycke 1967) and was said to resemble lysosomal enzymes. The kinectics of PEF synthesis was related to host cell penetration (Norrby 1970). Furthermore, using immunofluorescence tests Norrby (1971) showed that the production of PEF was associated with the rhoptries. However, the idea that it may resemble lysosomal enzymes has recently been questioned (Lycke, Carlberg and Norrby 1975), despite their original observations (Lycke et al 1965). This conclusion was drawn from the fact that the amount of lysosomal enzymes tested which was necessary to achieve effects comparable to those of PEF was considerably greater in all cases. However, they still believed that invasion involved an enzymatic process. Hyaluronidase has been shown to be absent in T. gondii (Timofeev 1971), and the involvement of this enzyme in Eimeria invasion has also been questioned (Fayer, Romanowski and Vetterling, 1970). These authors attributed the inhibition of entry of E. adenoides into cells by hyaluronidase to the increased viscosity produced at high concentrations.

Quinine in the inoculation medium prevented the invasion of sporozoites of <u>Eimeria</u> (Fayer 1971), <u>T. gondii</u>, <u>Besnoitia jellisoni</u> and <u>Sarcocystis</u> sp. (Fayer, Melton and Sheffield 1972). No visible cytopathological effects were observed; neither were the sporozoites rendered immotile. The above authors suggested that quinine could have been acting by inhibiting enzymatic action, or by changing the surface of the cultured cells. They concluded by postulating the involvement of. esterolytic enzymes.

ii Polycationic Polypeptides

The concept that the secretions of the rhoptries are not actually proteolytic in nature has gained increasing support over the last few years. Kilejian (1976) isolated a histidine-rich polypeptide from <u>P. lophurae</u> merozoites which was associated with the rhoptries and micronemes. At points of contact between the membrane and large aggregates of the protein, distinct invaginations occurred. De Souza and Souto-Padrón (1978) likewise demonstrated basic proteins in <u>T. gondii</u> using a stain which binds mainly to proteins rich in lysine, arginine and histidine. The properties of this protein did not accord with those of PEF isolated by Lycke <u>et al</u> (1975), and so de Souza and Souto-Padrón (1978) concluded that there was more than one invasive component present in <u>T. gondii</u>.

Although such a protein has not been isolated from any <u>Eimeria</u> species, Jensen and Edgar (1976) suggested that the evidence was in favour of its presence. They supported this by drawing attention to work by Shotton, Elgsaeter and Bronton (1975) on erythrocyte membranes. Shotton <u>et al</u> (1975) demonstrated that polycationic polypeptides produced aggregations of protein constituents in erythrocyte membranes which lead to the appearance of numerous vesicles and blebbing from an incompressible lipid layer. As blebbing and vesicle production along the invaginating membrane accompanied invasion by <u>E. magna</u> they suggested this similar sequence of events indicated the presence of such polypeptides (Jensen and Edgar 1976). Alternatively events similar to those described for <u>P. knowlesi</u> invading merozoites (see following section) could be involved.

iii <u>Differential Expansion of Plasma Membrane</u> <u>Bilayer and Incorporation</u> of Parasite Products

Ladda <u>et al</u> (1969) suggested that the rhoptry secretions produced changes at the surface of the cell. Recently Bannister <u>et al</u> (1975) suggested that the inward expansion of the erythrocyte membrane could be attributed to the differential incorporation in the lipid bilayer of the rhoptry and microneme product.

Disruption of Plasmodium rhoptries and treatment with metallic stains releases a multilamellate material (Bannister, Butcher and Mitchell 1976). Similar effects occur with invading E. magna sporozoites fixed with glutaraldehyde containing ruthenium red (Jensen and Hammond 1975). Bannister (1977) mentioned that although such appearances may reflect the binding of metals to the rhoptry contents rather than to their normal state of organization, the fact that they produced lamellae when released into an aqueous medium suggested that they might possess the ability to form lamellae under other circumstances. Furthermore, McLaren, Bannister, Trigg and Butcher (1979) demonstrated membranous lamellae and vesicles in the vicinity of invading P. knowlesi These were structurally similar to those observed in the . merozoites. sectioned material of Bannister, Butcher and Mitchell (1977). The decrease in numbers of intramembranous particles (IMP) described for membranes of developing parasitophorous vacuoles is consistent with the idea that there is a "flooding" effect as these membranous lamellae are incorporated (McLaren et al 1979).

1.3.6 The Role of Phagocytosis in Sporozoan Invasion

Although phagocytosis has been suggested as a means of entry for <u>Eimeria</u> spp. (Doran and Vetterling 1967b; Strout, Solis, Smith and Dunlop 1965) it has never actually been recorded. Jensen and Edgar (1976)

observed invasion in the presence of antiphagocytic agents. Microtubule disruptors did not affect invasion or motility of the sporozoites. Cytochalasin B, a microfilament disorganizer, lowered the invasion rate by interfering with sporozoite motility. They therefore concluded that entry into cells by the parasite depended more on sporozoite motility than on any action of the cell.

Transmission electron microscopy (Jones <u>et al</u> 1972) and scanning electron microscopy (Klainer <u>et al</u> 1973) showed micropseudopods surrounding <u>T. gondii</u> as it entered cells. As these micropseudopods were apparent in phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells, Jones <u>et al</u> (1972), suggested the secretions of the parasites had induced phagocytosis. Lycke <u>et al</u> (1975), however, proposed that phagocytosis played no part in the invasion of <u>T. gondii</u> because i) <u>T. gondii</u> was equally infective for phagocytic and non-phagocytic cells, ii) toxoplasmas were only engulfed and digested after they had been killed, and iii) neuraminidase (which enhanced phagocytosis in monocytes) had no effect on the infection rate of T. gondii.

1.3.7 Merozoite and Microgamete Motility and Invasion

Long and Speer (1977) suggested that merozoite invasion by <u>Eimeria</u> was very similar to that by sporozoites. They also postulated the involvement of enzymatic action in the release of merozoites from the schizonts. Mature merozoites of <u>E. magna</u> which were still retained in the schizont had large vesicles at the anterior tip, immediately anterior to the conoid. This was the point where the merozoite surface made contact with the vacuolar membrane, suggesting that these vesicles contained enzymes which facilitated their release. Long and Speer (1977) mentioned that several substances were probably required for the activation and release

of these merozoites.

Virtually no studies have been made on the release and invasion of microgametes of <u>Eimeria</u>. <u>E. tenella</u> microgametes, once freed from the host cell in which they matured, move by means of two flagella to seek the macrogamete (Madden and Vetterling 1977). The perforatorium, an organelle at the anterior end, may be concerned in the penetration of the macrogamete (Long and Speer 1977).

1.4 Host Cell Changes

Preliminary work was limited to describing the cytopathological effects of parasite invasion and development. In later work, some advances were made on the ultrastructural and biochemical nature of these alterations. Most advances have been made with <u>Plasmodium</u> spp.

1.4.1 Cytopathological Alterations

With the formation of the parasitophorous vacuole there is hypertrophy of the host cell cytoplasm both <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u>. Intestinal cells infected with <u>E. necatrix</u> showed a profound increase in size (Fernando and Pasternak 1977). <u>In vitro</u>, cells infected with <u>E. meleagrimitis</u> and <u>E. necatrix</u> schizonts became 2-3 times larger (Doran and Vetterling 1967b), and those infected with <u>E. tenella</u> schizonts 8-10 times larger (Patton 1965), than normal.

Vacuolisation of the cytoplasm often accompanies these changes (Bedrnik 1969b; and Scholtyseck 1969). In <u>E. bovis</u> (Fayer and Hammond 1967) and <u>E. ninakohlyakimovae</u> (Kelley and Hammond 1970) the cytoplasm forms a thin layer around the schizont except in the vicinity of the host cell nucleus. Cytoplasmic vacuolisation is indicative of cell degeneration. In some cases (<u>E. auburnensis</u>) it gradually spreads throughout the culture to affect non-infected cells during the later stages of the parasites' development, with finally the whole monolayer degenerating (Clark and Hammond 1969). These authors mentioned that this could be due to the effects of a toxic product of the parasite. Alternatively, deterioration in the condition of the cultures could be caused by the rupture of cells as the merozoites are released (Matsuoka, Callender and Shumard 1969; Sampson <u>et al</u> 1971).

Doran and Vetterling (1968) noted that cells infected with sporozoites or mature schizonts of <u>E.meleagrimitis</u> became partially or completely detached from the surface of cultures. Similar results are seen with E. tenella but not E. brunetti (Itagaki et al 1974).

1.4.2 Damage to Host Cells in vivo

Gross morphological changes occur in the intestines of birds infected with <u>Eimeria</u> (Pellérdy 1974). Scanning electron microscopy has revealed that excessive infection of chickens with <u>Eimeria</u> spp. produces a sloughing off of the epithelial layer, resulting in the extrusion of the underlying lamina propria (Witlock and Ruff 1977). <u>E. brunetti</u> caused complete destruction of the villus and the villar epithelium, whereas <u>E. acervulina</u> caused dramatic 'clubbing' of the villi, and <u>E. mivati</u> infection resulted in only a slight shortening.

1.4.3 Ultrastructural Changes

Work concerned with the ultrastructural changes in host cells has been mainly descriptive.

i Cytoplasmic alterations

Finger-like projections of the host cell cytoplasm extend into the vacuole (Sampson and Hammond 1972; Shibalova 1974). These folds very often become disconnected from the membrane (Hammond, Scholtyseck and Miner 1967) disintegrating to form particulate matter which can be ingested by the parasite (Scholtyseck 1973; Kelley and Hammond 1972). Numerous mitochondria become located in the host cell cytoplasm adjacent to the membrane lining the parasitophorous vacuole (Sampson and Hammond 1972). This same area is also almost devoid of ribosomes. These authors felt that the association of the mitochondria with the membrane indicated energy drainage. <u>Toxoplasma</u> causes a similar "overcoating", with mitochondria and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) accumulating around the parasitophorous vacuole of macrophages within minutes of invasion (Jones and Hirsch 1972). In this case it was suggested to result from a protective mechanism on the part of the host cell; alternatively it may be serving a nutritional role for the parasite.

<u>E. tenella</u> causes an accumulation of rough ER, mitochondria and Golgi vesicles around the parasitophorous vacuole (Shibalova, 1974). This occurs immediately after the formation of the vacuole. The mitochondria could represent a change in cell energy transport, whereas the Golgi vesicles and rough ER were probably involved in the formation of the new membrane. Rough ER is the predominant site of membrane protein and phospholipid synthesis, with the carbohydrates being added in the Golgi vesicles (Quinn 1976).

ii Parasitophorous vacuolar membrane alterations

Ladda <u>et al</u> (1969) reported that during the entry of avian malarial merozoites into erythrocytes the invaginating plasma membrane eventually lined the parasitophorous vacuole. Trager (1972) showed that treatment of the erythrocytes by several methods released the parasite still bound by these two membranes, i.e. its own plasma membrane and the membrane of the parasitophorous vacuole. <u>P. lophurae</u> merozoites released in this way from duck erythrocytes showed no reaction with anti-serum to duck erythrocytes. They did agglutinate with serum from hyperimmune ducks, which had no effect on the duck erythrocytes. This indicated a

change in the plasma membrane once it formed the lining of the parasitophorous vacuole.

With <u>E. magna</u> a complete replacement of the parasitophorous vacuole membrane occurs (Jensen and Edgar, 1976) soon after the vacuole is sealed. No studies have been performed to investigate the resemblances this may have to the former membrane or to the contemporary plasma membrane of the host cell.

Recently, McLaren <u>et al</u> (1979) have extended the work on <u>Plasmodium</u> in this respect. By freeze-fracture studies they showed that the IMP pattern of the new parasitophorous vacuole membrane was unique. Initially it was devoid of any IMPS but their gradual reappearance during the development of the parasite, they thought, represented the intercalation of parasite proteins. Furthermore, the reversed polarity of the IMP at the schizont stage tied in with cytochemical studies. Langreth (1977) had shown that certain enzymes were located on the opposite sides of the membrane from that expected if it was merely an invaginated erythrocyte plasmalemma.

With other sporozoan genera similar changes would appear to be taking place. Jones and Hirsch (1972) described changes to the membrane of the parasitophorous vacuole surrounding <u>T. gondii</u> which were due to secretions of the parasite. These resulted in the inability of the lysosomes to fuse with the vacuolar membrane. However, this was a localized change as only dead parasites in cells containing both dead and live toxoplasmas were subjected to lysosomal attack.

Other protozoal parasites which develop in macrophages avoid lysosomal attack in other ways. Chang and Dwyer (1978) showed that <u>Leishmania donovani</u> amastigotes did not prevent fusion of lysosomes with the parasitophorous vacuole. The amastigotes, however, managed to

maintain their ultrastructural integrity for up to two weeks despite the presence of lysosomal enzymes in the phagosome. Chang and Dwyer (1978) felt that this lent evidence to their previous theory (Chang and Dwyer 1976) that survival was due to the refractory properties of the amastigotes' surfaces to lysosomal degradation, rather than to the release of any enzyme inhibitors. They mentioned further that the parasite's surface had glycoproteins which could bind the lysosomal hydrolases and thereby inactivate them.

Noqueira and Cohn (1976) described how <u>Trypanosoma cruzi</u> trypomastigotes avoided lysosomal attack. The phagosome membrane completely disappeared releasing the parasite into the cytoplasm, where development took place without a surrounding vacuole. The authors suggested that this membrane had been lysed by a factor released by the parasite or by some more direct membrane - membrane interaction.

iii Host Cell Plasma Membrane Alterations

Circumstantial evidence exists that plasma membranes of host cells are altered when infected with <u>Eimeria spp. in vivo</u>. Fernando and Pasternak (1977) described a method for the isolation of <u>E. necatrix</u> infected cells. By using hypotonic buffer they selectively lysed noninfected cells and suggested that modifications to the membranes of infected cells had prevented a similar response. They mentioned unpublished results which suggested that <u>E. tenella</u> produced a similar effect. However, as there was no difference in the response of parasitised and non-parasitised CAM tissue to homogenisation with the buffer they concluded that any membrane modifications were tissue-specific.

Hermann (1969) demonstrated that extracts of erythrocytes infected with <u>P. lophurae</u> or extracts from free parasites increased the osmotic fragility of normal. washed duck erythrocytes. McLaren <u>et al</u> (1979)

suggested a connection between this and the fall in IMP levels as recorded by freeze-fracture.

iv Alterations to Host Cell Nuclei

<u>In vivo</u> nuclear hypertrophy of infected cells has led to incorrect identification of the host cell (Gresham and Cruickshank 1959). As a result of the gross distortion of the host cell's nucleus these authors described the second generation schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> as occurring in macrophages. Bergmann (1970) showed by electron microscopy that these cells were epithelial by origin. Scholtyseck (1953) described these changes <u>in vivo</u> as being noticeable when the sporozoite was small and far from the nucleus.

<u>In vitro</u>, although such changes become more pronounced in cells containing the later stages of the parasites (Fayer and Hammond 1967), they are in evidence much earlier. Most species produce nuclear hypertrophy except some of those infecting the Unita ground squirrel (Speer, Hammond and Anderson 1970). These species cause a decrease in size of the host cell nucleus, with an accompanying development of an irregular outline. These changes are evident within an hour of inoculation with <u>E. callospermophili</u>. In cells infected with <u>E. bilamellata</u> they only become noticeable after the formation of the merozoites, at which time the nucleus is generally 1/3-1/2 the normal size.

Nucleoli enlarge (Fayer and Hammond 1967; Kelley and Hammond 1970; de Vos <u>et al</u> 1972) and the chromatin often becomes rearranged (Fayer and Hammond 1967; Kelley and Hammond 1970).

Nuclear hypertrophy is accompanied by increases in DNA. A sixfold increase occurs with chick: cells infected with second generation schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> (Beyer and Shibalova 1974). Fernando, Pasternak, Barrell and Stockdale (1974) reported that the hypertrophy associated

with <u>E. necatrix</u> (sexual stages) and <u>E. tenella</u> (second generation schizonts) was accompanied by an increased content of DNA, to a 4C equivalent. This was not accompanied by cell division. <u>E. maxima</u>, however, caused no changes to nuclear size and DNA content <u>in vivo</u>. Fernando <u>et al</u> (1974) proposed that these different responses were a reflection of the different properties of the host cells. <u>E. tenella</u> and <u>E. necatrix</u> both infected crypt epithelial cells. As these had remained in an undifferentiated state they were able to accumulate DNA following coccidial infection. <u>E. maxima</u>, however, parasitised the differentiated cells of the villus, which were unable to respond to infection in this manner. Fernando <u>et al</u> (1974) concluded that induction of DNA synthesis occurred in those cells destined under normal conditions to undergo DNA replication. Further evidence for this is provided with a study of <u>E. zuernii</u> from cattle (Pasternak, Fernando, Stockdale and Weber 1977).

The enlargement of nucleoli in cells infected with <u>E. necatrix</u> schizonts is associated with increases in nucleolar RNA (Fernando and Pasternak 1977).

In vitro, infection of cells by <u>E. tenella</u> is followed by unscheduled DNA synthesis, as measured by uptake of ³H-thymidine (Browning, Patton and Lytle, 1976), it is significant at 24 hours after inoculation of cultures. It is independent of both the number and development of the parasite. Unlike the reports <u>in vivo</u> (Fernando <u>et al</u> 1974), Browning <u>et al</u> (1976) showed that the stimulation was transferred to non-parasitised cells which showed an equivalent increase in uptake of ³H-thymidine.

v Division of Infected Cells and Tissue

Long (1970a) suggested that in vitro the division of infected cells

was inhibited. In vivo the division of progenitor cells would also be inhibited to allow development of the parasite to proceed undisturbed. However, Fernando and McCraw (1977) demonstrated that the sloughing off of the infected tissue in vivo was accompanied by an increase in the rate of replacement of epithelial cells. The first generation of schizonts developing in the crypt epithelial cells stimulated these progenitor cells to divide faster. The shortening cell cycle time was attributable to a shortened G_1 or pre-synthetic phase.

1.5 Aim of Present Study

The system of tissue culture of <u>Eimeria</u> species has benefited from more than a decade of fairly intensive study. However, as the preceding review has indicated, very little advance has been made to the knowledge of such basic features as invasion and host cell changes. It is important that a full understanding be reached of the means by which <u>Eimeria</u> i) enters the cells, and ii) alters the host cell structure and functions to further its own needs. Until such time, any attempts to develop drugs to control this important disease will be hampered by the lack of much information concerning the parasite's biology. It was the aim of the present study to establish a good and reliable method for growing the parasite in culture. Using this system, it was hoped that a further understanding of the mechanism of <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoite penetration into cells would be achieved. Finally, a more detailed study would be made of the effects the parasite had on its host cell during its intracellular development.

SECTION 2: MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Parasites

Oocysts of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> were kindly supplied by Dr. R. Williams from Burroughs Wellcome, Berkham ste d, and those of <u>E. necatrix</u> and <u>E. acervulina</u> by Dr. E. Michael from May and Baker Ltd., Ongar. They were received and stored in the sporulated condition at 4°C in a 2.5% solution of potassium dichromate $(K_2Cr_2O_7)$ until required.

2.2 Preparation of sporozoites

Oocysts were washed clean of K2Cr207using sterile deionised water and then resuspended in undiluted sodium hypochlorite and placed for 10-15 minutes on ice. Although this procedure is known to alter the outer layer of the oocyst wall (Nyberg and Knapp, 1970), damage to the internal contents of the oocysts is negligible and consequently it provides a safe means of preparing sterile cultures of sporozoites. Sterile deionised water was then added to the tube containing the oocyst suspension in sodium hypochlorite. By adding the water slowly it was possible to prevent mixing of the two solutions and after centrifugation at 2100 r.p.m./10 minutes the oocysts accumulated at the water/hypochlorite interface. The oocysts were removed, washed several times in sterile deionised water and finally resuspended in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) pH7.6, once all traces of the sodium hypochlorite had disappeared. The sporocysts and sporozoites were released from the oocysts by a method similar to Long (1970b). The sporocysts were released by rapid shaking with 0.5mm glass beads on a whirlimix for about 40 seconds. This suspension was washed free from the glass beads or compension in ruping tile (0.25%) stor reperively in property (0.1%), in cubated @ 41% for 40 mind — Theory is here and spun down at 2500 r.p.m. for 5 minutes, washed with PBS (pH7.6) and then passed through a glass bead column prepared according to Wagenbach

(1969) except that it was modified to fit into a 5ml syringe. The resulting filtrate was again washed in PBS (pH7.6) and either resuspended in medium for inoculation of cell cultures, or stored at 4°C until needed, (Millard and Long (1974) having shown that sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> survived in buffer solution at 4°C for 14 days).

2.3 Preparation of merozoites

The procedure for obtaining merozoites of E. necatrix was essentially similar to that described for E. tenella, by Stotish and Wang (1975). Chickens were fed 15,000 oocysts/bird and sacrificed 5 days later. The lower small intestine was removed, cut open longitudinally and the contents discarded. The tissue was washed with phosphate buffered Ringer's solution (pH7.4), containing 100 units of penicillin and 100µg of streptomycin per ml, and cut into 0.5cm² The sections were placed in 10 volumes of an incubation sections. solution containing 120 mM NaCl, 20mM Tris-Cl(pH.7.4), 3mM K2HPO4, 1mM CaCl, and 1mg/ml bovine serum albumin (B.S.A., Sigma Chemicals Ltd). To this solution was added hyaluronidase to a concentration of lmg/ml and the mixture was incubated at 37°C with moderate agitation for 30 minutes. Merozoite release was monitored microscopically; some free merozoites could be observed after 20 minutes incubation. The suspension was filtered through sterile gauze to remove large pieces of tissue and then spun down at 1000 r.p.m. for 5 minutes to remove the incubation medium. The resulting pellet was washed twice with sterile Ringer's buffer (pH7.4) and filtered through a glass bead column, prepared as above, to remove the smaller pieces of tissue. The merozoites were added straight away to the cell cultures.

2.4 Tissue Culture

All tissue culture products were obtained from Flow Laboratories, Scotland, except where indicated.

2.4.1 Sterilisation procedure

Glassware and instruments were cleaned in a 2% Decon 90 solution (Decon Laboratories, Ltd.). Coverslips were washed in two changes of absolute ethanol and air dried. Coverslips for reflection interference microscopy were soaked overnight in a solution of Pyroneg (Diversey, Ltd.), washed several times in tap water, three times in distilled water, once with methanol and then air dried. Sterilisation was carried out in an autoclave by moist heat at 151bs/sq.inch for 20 minutes. Pipettes, coverslips, glass wool, glass beads and scalpel blades were sterilised by dry heat at over 160°C for 2 hours. Tissue culture medium, buffers and enzyme solutions were sterilized by filtration through a sterile 0.22µm filter under positive pressure. A laminar flow cabinet was used for all tissue culture work, which was carried out using aseptic technique.

2.4.2 Trypsinisation of tissue for primary cultures.

2.4.2i Primary cultures of chicken kidney cells

Cultures of chick kidney (CK) cells were obtained by the methods described by Doran (1970a, 1971c). 1-3 week old chickens were sacrificed and opened by a ventral incision. The kidneys were removed and washed in PBS and placed in a Petri dish containing fresh PBS. Excess connective tissue was removed and the kidney tissue separated into 2-3mm² pieces. The pieces were placed in a universal tube containing PBS without calcium and magnesium (PBS without Ca and Mg) and shaken vigorously to remove excess blood cells. The washed tissue was added to the trypsinising solution in an Erlenmeyer flask. This trypsinising solution was 0.25% trypsin (1:250) and 0.02% ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid, disodium salt (EDTA) in PBS without Ca and Mg. This tissue suspension was stirred at 37°C and the supernatants from the first, second and third trypsinisation periods discarded (10,7 and 5 minutes, respectively). The supernatants of the fourth, fifth and sixth trypsinisation periods (each 3 minutes long) were pooled and cold 10% new born calf serum (NBCS) in Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HBSS) was added. The resuspended cells were spun down at 200 r.p.m. for 5 minutes, washed twice in cold 10% NBCS (in HBSS) and resuspended in the growth medium. This growth medium was HBSS supplemented with 10% NBCS, 2% 1-glutamine, 2.5% of a 10% lactalbumin hydrolysate solution (L.H.) (Gibco-Biocult, Ltd.), and penicillin/streptomycin solution to 100 units/ml and 100µg/ml, respectively. The medium contained phenol red indicator and was adjusted to a pH of 7.4 with the addition of a 1M HEPES solution (N-2-hydroxyethyl piperazine-N'-2ethanesulphonic acid) to a final molarity of 20mM. This buffer negated the need to gas cultures with a carbon dioxide/air mixture and in no way hindered the development of the parasite or the growth of the cells. Kidney tissue from 18 day chick embryos was treated in a similar way to adult tissue, except that the first trypsinisation period of 10 minutes. was omitted.

For most experiments cells were seeded on to 16mm diameter glass coverslips placed in the wells of sterile plastic repli dishes (Sterilin, Ltd.) at known concentrations between 2×10^5 and 6×10^5 cells/well, in 2 mls of growth medium. For scanning electron microscopy cells $(2 \times 10^5/well)$ were seeded on to 13mm diameter coverslips. For reflection interference microscopy 32mm diameter, No. 2, glass coverslips were placed in 35mm Petri dishes (Gibco-Biocult, Ltd.) and between 1×10^6 and 1.5 x 10^6 cells, in 4 mls of growth medium, was added to each dish. Cells for the agglutination assay were seeded at a concentration of 2 x 10^6 in 5 mls of growth medium into 35mm tissue culture treated Petri dishes (Gibco-Biocult, Ltd.).

Cultures were placed at 41°C and 24 hours later the growth medium was removed and replaced with fresh growth medium. Changes of medium were made regularly until the cells were ready for inoculation with sporozoites/merozoites, usually 4 or 5 days later.

2.4.211 Primary cultures of other chick embryonic tissues

The procedure was essentially similar to that described for kidney tissue in Section 2.4.2i, except embryonic liver was trypsinised for periods of 5,3,3 and 3 minutes, with supernatant from the 5 minute period being discarded.

Chick embryonic fibroblast (CEF) cultures for reflection interference microscopy were prepared following the method of King, Heaysman and Preston (1979). Explants from 7 day-old chick embryo hearts were cultured in glass Petri dishes in Medium 199 (M199) with 1% foetal calf serum (FCS). After 2 days the cells were removed by trypsinisation and then allowed to settle on to 32mm diameter No. 2 coverslips. The fibroblasts were inoculated 72 hours later with sporozoites.

2.4.2iii Macrophage cultures from embryonic chickens

The spleen from 17-19 day old embryos was removed and cut into cubes approximately 1-2mm². Three or four of these pieces were then transferred to 16mm diameter coverslips held in individual wells of repli dishes (Sterilin, Ltd.). 2 mls of growth medium was added, composed of M199 (Hank's Salts) supplemented with 10% chicken serum, but otherwise as for the growth medium described for chick kidney cells (2.4.2i). After 24 hours the tissue was dislodged and the medium changed.

Medium changes were made at 48 hour intervals thereafter. After 10 days the cultures were usually overrun by fibroblasts.

2.4.2iv Macrophage cultures from the mouse peritoneum

Three days prior to use, a mouse was given 2 mls of a 2% starch solution intra-peritoneally. After three days the mouse was sacrificed and the peritoneal cavity was washed out with 50 units of cold heparin in 5 mls of saline. The suspension was spun down at 600 r.p.m. for 5 minutes and resuspended in cold M199. The pellet was washed twice with cold M199 and resuspended in M199 with 10% NBCS. The cells were counted and plated out at 3×10^6 cells/16mm coverslip. The medium was removed after 2 hours and replaced with fresh growth medium. Cultures were inoculated with merozoites 24 hours later.

2.4.3 Growth and maintenance of mammalian cells.

2.4.3i Growth and maintenance of cell lines

Cell lines were maintained as described in Table 2.1.

2.4.3ii Preparation of neutrophils

Samples of whole, heparinised blood removed from normal donors was spun down on a Ficoll-Hypaque gradient. The layer containing the red blood cells and granulocytes was removed. This pellet was resuspended in 2.5% gelatine in 154mM NaCl and left at 37°C for 30 minutes. After this incubation period most of the red blood cells sank to the bottom and the supernatant was composed mainly of granulocytes (virtually all the granulocytes were neutrophils). This supernatant was spun down at 200g/l minute to produce a neutrophil pellet contaminated by some red blood cells. The pellet was resuspended in 0.83% ammonium chloride (pH7.4) and left to incubate at 37°C for 5 minutes; this procedure lysed all contaminating red blood cells. The suspension was spun down again

Cell Line	Origin	Growth medium ^a	Split ratio	Cell Type	Temp- erature	Passage No•
Embryonic Bovine Trachea (EBTr)	Flow Labs	MEM (Earle's) 10% NBCS 1% NEAA	1:2	Fibroblast	37° C	55+
Bovine Kidney (MDBK)	Flow Labs	MEM (Earle's) 10% FCS 1% NEAA	1:10	Fibroblast	37° C	125+
Canine Kidney (MDCK)	-	DMEM (Earle's) 10% NBCS	1:10	Epithelial	37° C	-
Mammalian (Flow) Intestine (1100)	Flow Labs	BME (Earle's) 10% FCS 1% NEAA	1:3	Fibroblast	37°C	-
Human Cervical Carcinoma (HeLa)	May and Baker (Dagenham)	BME (Hank's) 10% NBCS	1:6/1:10	Fibroblast	37° C	300+
McCoy (irradiated)	Institute of Opthalmology	BME (Hank's) 10% NBCS	1:3	Epithelial	37°C	-
Bladder Epithelial	May and Baker (Dagenham)	BME (Hank's) 10% NBCS	1:6	Epithelial	37° C	45+

Table 2.1 The growth and maintenance of cell lines

a MEM - Minimal Essential Medium

DMEM - Minimal Essential Medium (Dulbecco's Modification)

BME - Basal Medium Eagle

Υ.

NBCS - Newborn Calf Serum

FCS - Foetal Calf Serum

 \mathcal{D}

NEAA - Non-Essential Amino Acids

and the pellet washed once or twice in M199, and finally resuspended in M199 (Earle's Salts) and the suspension of neutrophils $(2 \times 10^{5}/ml)$ was added to 32mm coverslips, for study by reflection interference microscopy. Sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> were added approximately 30 minutes afterwards.

2.4.4 Irradiation of chick kidney cells (CK cells)

CK cells were irradiated with gamma rays from a Cobalt-60 source at 5400 rads over a 12 minute period. Fresh growth medium was added and cultures were left at 41°C for 48 hours before being used in experiments.

2.4.5 Infection of cells with parasites

The growth medium was removed from the cells and replaced with the inoculation medium (unsupplemented HBSS). This contained sporozoites at the concentration of 2×10^5 for 13 and 16mm coverslips, 1.5×10^6 for 32mm coverslips and 2×10^6 for 35mm tissue culture dishes.

For experiments to test the inhibitory action of various compounds against invasion, coverslips were washed three times in unsupplemented HBSS to remove all traces of serum, placed in new wells and then the inoculation medium containing sporozoites and compound to be tested was added. After 24 hours the inoculation medium was removed and replaced with M199 supplemented with 1% heat-inactivated NBCS, 0.25% exhadr of a 10%LH solution, 4% of a 4% solution of yeast/in HBSS, and 1-glutamine and penicillin/streptomycin solutions at the concentrations described in Section 2.4.2i.

2.4.6 Growth of cells in coculture

12-24 hours before the beginning of coculture, the group to be parasitised was inoculated with sporozoites as described in 2.4.5; the controls were given the same medium without sporozoites. At the beginning of coculture, parasitised cultures were washed vigorously in three changes of unsupplemented medium to remove any free sporozoites and these coverslips were then placed on to silicone grease blobs on the bottom of the Petri dishes, non-parasitised cultures were treated in a similar manner. Monoculture dishes contained 4 coverslips all of similar cell types and coculture dishes contained 2 coverslips of each cell type. The silicone grease ensured that over the 5 day period of incubation the coverslips remained physically separated. Examination of stained cultures verified that the non-parasitised cultures from coculture dishes did not contain any developmental stages of the parasite.

2.5 Invasion of sporozoites into cells

2.5.1 Treatment of Serum

2.5.1i Heat-inactivated serum

Serum was inactivated by incubating it in a water bath at 56°C for 30 minutes.

2.5.1ii Acid-treated serum

IN HCl was added until the serum was at pH3.0. The serum was then left for 15-20 minutes. Following this incubation time an equivalent amount of IN NaOH was added to return the pH to its original value, the serum was then filtered to resterilise. A dilution factor was taken into account when using this serum.

2.5.2 Chemical Compounds

The chemicals used are listed in Table 2.2.

2.6 Staining techniques and examination of coverslips

Coverslips were removed from culture, air dried, fixed for at least 2 minutes in methanol and stained in a 20% Giemsa solution in PBS for 40 minutes, rinsed in tap water, air dried and mounted in green euparal.

When comparing the effects of the various media on parasite development, the coverslips were examined with a xLOO objective lens. Beginning at the top, the coverslips were scanned from edge to edge, and the parasites within the field of view were counted. After the completion of one scan, the coverslip was moved up for a distance equivalent to the width of 4 fields of view, and another scan was made across the width of the coverslip. When the opposite edge was reached, the coverslip was again moved up for a distance equivalent to 4 fields of view and another scan made.

This procedure was repeated until the bottom of the coverslip was reached. For all other experiments, five or ten separate groups of 100 cells were counted for the presence of parasitic stages.

In experiments comparing the growth of the various strains of <u>E. tenella</u>, allowances were made for the degree of confluency of the cell layer, so that the values between which comparisons were made, represented the number of parasites present in a hypothetical 100% confluent culture.

The sizes of the individual stages of the intracellular parasites were measured from a micrometer eye piece.

Table 2.2 Chemicals used in the inhibition experiments on

Name	Туре	Company
Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA)	Fraction V Powder	Sigma A 4503
Hyalur o nidase	Type 1-S	Si gma H 3506
Ovomucoid	Type 111-0	Sigma T 2011
Soybean trypsin inhibitor (STI)	Type 1-S	Sigma T 9003
p-Tosyl-l∷arginine methyl ester HCl (TAME)	_ ·	Sigma T 4626
N-X-p-Tosyl-l-lysine chloromethyl ketone HCl (TLCK)	_	Sigma T 7254

sporozoite invasion into CK cells

2.7 Radioactive compounds and measurement of their incorporation into cells

2.7.1 Radioactive compounds

Radiochemicals were obtained from the Radiochemical Centre, Amersham, U.K. and these are shown in Table 2.3, with their specific activities and the catalogue code numbers. Stock compounds were stored at 4°C.

Table 2.3 - Radioactive Compounds

Compound	Specific activity	Catalogue No.	
6- ³ H Thymidine	26 Ci/mMol	TRK 61	
L- 1- ³ H Fucose	6.7 Ci/mMol	TRA 366	

2.7.2 Preparation of samples for auto radiography

2.7.2i Growth and pulsing of cells

Cells were seeded on to glass coverslips in the manner described previously (2.4.2). The growth medium was removed and HBSS containing ³H-thymidine added; cultures were incubated for the times indicated in the individual experiments. Following the incubation period the coverslip cultures were removed, washed twice in PBS and fixed in fresh methanol:acetic acid (3:1) for 5 minutes. This was removed and replaced with fresh fixative in which the cells were left for at least 20 minutes. The coverslips were removed, washed in running tap water overnight, air dried and attached, cell side upwards, to microscope slides with Depex mountant (Gurr, Ltd.) and processed for auto_radiography.

2.7.2ii Coating and developing autoradiographs

Microscope slides, with the coverslips attached, were coated with a layer of gelatine by dipping them in a solution of 1% gelatin and 0.1% chrome alum. This was allowed to dry and then the slides were coated with a uniform layer of L4 nuclear emulsion (Ilford) diluted 1:1 with distilled water and warmed to 43°C. The emulsion was allowed to dry by placing the slides on ceramic tiles held over ice. Dry slides were placed in light tight boxes containing silica gel, sealed with insulating tape, wrapped in black polythene bags and kept at 4°C for 6 days. Trial development of test slides was always performed to prevent under-exposure.

Autoradiographs were developed for $7\frac{1}{2}$ minutes at 23°C in Phen-X (Kodak) diluted 1:1 with distilled water, washed briefly in a 1% acetic acid stop bath, fixed for 4 minutes in Amfix (Ilford) and washed for at least 20 minutes in running tap water. The preparations were then stained in a 20% Giemsa solution for 40 minutes and air dried.

2.7.3 Preparation of samples for scintillation counting

The growth medium was removed and 1 ml of HESS with 5-10% NBCS, 25% L.H. (10% solution in HESS) and L-1-3H-4uccse, at known concentrations between 2 and 5 μ Ci/ml, was added to each well. The cultures were incubated at 41°C for 48 hours after which non-labelled fucose at 1000 times the concentration of the labelled compound was added to each well in 1 ml of HESS. This procedure displaced most unincorporated labelled fucose from the cells. The cultures were incubated for a further 24 hours. Following this 72 hour incubation coverslips were removed, washed three times in unsupplemented medium and placed in fresh wells with the addition of HESS (with or without sporozoites) supplemented with 1% heat-inactivated NBCS. At various

intervals following inoculation of cultures the coverslips were removed, fixed in two changes of fresh methanol/acetic acid (3:1) for 5 minutes each, air dried and then treated with three changes of 10% trichloroacetic acid (T.C.A.) at 4°C for 5 minutes. Following this precipitation treatment they were washed with running tap water over-night, air dried, and placed in scintillation vials. 0.5 mls of Hyamine-IOX was added to each vial and these were kept at 40°C for 24 hours to digest the cellular matter. Acetic acid was added to neutralise the solution followed by 10 mls of modified Bray's Scintillant (Galley D.J. and Foerster L.A. 1976). The vials were kept in the dark for 24 hours, a time which preliminary experiments had shown to be sufficient to allow chemiluminescence to decay. The radioactive content was measured with a Beckman IS-250 Scintillation Counter using the pre-set ²H channel. At the time the coverslips were removed and processed, the corresponding supernatants were removed and an equal volume of cold 10% T.C.A. added. These precipitates were left at 4°C for at least 2 hours and then collected on glass fibre discs (GF/C; Whatman). The precipitate was washed three times with 5% T.C.A., twice with ethanol:ether (3:1), and once with ether. The dried discs were placed in scintillation vials and 10 mls of modified Bray's Scintillant added. They were then treated as described for the coverslip preparations.

2.8 Scanning Electron Microscopy

Cultures for scanning electron microscopy were grown and inoculated in the standard manner (2.4.2 and 2.4.5). All solutions used for processing were filtered. The coverslips were removed, washed briefly in 0.1M Sørensen's Phosphate Buffer and fixed at 4°C for 2 hours in 2.4%
glutaraldehyde in HESS (unsupplemented). After fixation they were washed in 0.15M Sørensen's Phosphate Buffer and then dehydrated through a graded series of acetones 10,20,35,50,70,80,90 (twice), 95 and 100% (twice). They were critical point dried in a Polaron 30000 critical point drying apparatus, and mounted on stainless steel stubs with double-sided sellotape. The stubs were painted around the edges with silver dag (to reduce the charging of the surface in the microscope) and then coated with a layer of gold in a sputter-coater in an atmosphere of argon for 2 minutes. Preparations were examined at an angle of 45° in a Cambridge Stereoscan Microscope.

2.9 Concanavalin A (Con A) agglutination tests

Cultures were washed twice with PBS without Ca and Mg and then incubated in PBS without Ca and Mg at 37°C until the cells began to round up (generally 20-30 minutes). The incubation medium was poured off and the cells were removed by directing 5 mls of ice cold PBS (complete) over the cells from a pipette. Any clumps of cells were dispersed by gently passing up and down a 10 mls syringe fitted with a 19g needle. The resulting single cell suspensions were collected on ice and spun down at 800 r.p.m. at 4°C for 10 minutes and then resuspended at a concentration of between $1-2 \times 10^6$ cells in 2 mls of HBSS containing DNAase at lmg/ml (Sigma Chemicals DN-25) and with or without Concanavalin A (Con A, Sigma Chemicals, Grade IV) at 0.1 - 100µg/ml. The cells were then placed in a water bath at 31°C and shaken at 75 r.p.m. for 30 minutes. Solutions were removed and scored for agglutination; all assessment of agglutination was "done blind". To assess the ability of fixed cells to agglutinate single cell suspensions were held at 4°C in a 2% glutaraldehyde solution in HBSS for 30 minutes. This fixative was removed, the cells washed in unsupplemented HBSS, resuspended in the agglutination medium and treated as above. Agglutination was generally scored on a + to +++++ scale. However in the experiment where fixed cells were compared with normal cells agglutination was scored on the percentage of single cells present, as background aggregation was high.

2.10 Reflection Interference Microscopy

This method was used to investigate the nature of adhesions present between the CK cells and their substrate (Curtis 1964,1973). Normal cells were compared with parasitised cells. Cultures were established and inoculated as described earlier (Section 2.4.2 and 2.4.5) and examined with the reflection interference microscope as described by King <u>et al</u> (1979).

SECTION 3: RESULTS

3.1 Development of <u>Eimeria</u> in tissue culture

3.1.1 Development of E. tenella in various media systems

The growth of <u>E. tenella</u> in CK cells was tested under a variety of conditions in which the medium and medium supplements were used in various combinations for inoculation and maintenance. All cultures were initially grown in HESS with 10% NECS and 2.5% of a 10% lactalbumin hydrolysate (LH) solution. All maintenance media contained 0.25% of this LH solution.

Table 3.1.1 lists the various combinations of inoculation media and maintenance media which were used. The percentage of gametocytes (mature and immature) and the total number of intracellular stages found on the 7th day p.i. is illustrated in Fig. 3.1.1. The condition of the cells at this time, scored on a scale +(poor growth) to +++++(good growth) is also given in Fig. 3.1.1.

With 4% yeast in the inoculation medium (Systems F and G) the yield of all intracellular stages on day 7 p.i. was low. With 2% yeast in the inoculation medium slightly better results were obtained (Systems A,B and C). However, the best gametocyte development was seen in systems where yeast was omitted from the inoculation medium (Systems D and E). Without yeast not only was the percentage of gametocytes high but also the actual number of gametocytes was greatest.

HBSS, supplemented with 10% NBCS was a satisfactory medium for CK cells. However, when supplemented with 1% NBCS it was not a good growth medium for <u>E. tenella</u> within these cells. MEM + 1% NBCS gave a good production of gametocytes (Fig. 3.1.1; D5). However, it was not a reliable medium for parasite or cell growth and was not chosen as a maintenance medium. M199 + 1% NBCS gave good results in almost all cases Legend for Table 3.1.1.

NBCS - New Born Calf Serum

2%/4% Yeast - 2%/4% of a 4% solution of Yeast in HBSS

M199 - Medium 199 (with Hank's Salts)

BME - Basal Medium Eagle's (with Hank's Salts)

HBSS - Hank's Balanced Salt Solution -

SF - Serumless Medium (Neuman + Tytell) (Gibco-Biocult 163)

L15 - Leibovitz Medium L-15

MEM - Minimal Essential Medium.

SYSTE4	MEDIUM FOR INOCULATION	MEDIUM AFTER INOCULATION
Al 2 3 4	M199 + 2% Yeast	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF
Bl 2 3 4	BME + 2% Yeast	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF
Cl 2 3 4 5	HBSS + 2% Yeast	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF MEM + 1% NBCS
D1 2 3 4 5	BME + 1% NBCS	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF MEM + 1% NBCS
E1 2 3 4 5 6	HBSS + 1% NBCS	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF MEM + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS + 4% Yeast
F1 2 3 4 5 6	BME + 4% Yeast	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF MEM + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS + 4% Yeast
G1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	M199 + 4% Yeast	BME + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS HBSS + 1% NBCS SF MEM + 1% NBCS M199 + 1% NBCS + 4% Yeast L15 BME w/o phenol red + 1% NBCS

Table 3.1.1. Media systems used to incculate and maintain CK cultures

	+++	* *	. +	*	+	+	+	;		*	+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	+ + +	•	•7.	+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++	++++	+	*	++++		*	+ + +			+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++		++	++	+	++	++	:		+	+		+	+ + +	*		Condi of cel	tion Ils
	14	45	1	28	1	-	-	27	4	97	2	-	_ ((9	135	84	87	38	375	5	10 6	5	- 3:	34	9 12	8			-	_		-	-	- 18	34	_	12	20			Total of sta	no. 1ges
of stages at 7days p.i. which are gametocytes 8 8 8 8 8																																										
» —	1	2	- <u>3</u>	-4	1	2 B	3	4	+	1	2	3 4 C	4	5	1	2	- <u> -</u> <u>-</u>	4	5	1		2 :	3_4 E		5 6		1	2	3	-4	5	6	1	-1	3	4	5	6	7	8		

Fig. 3.1.1. The growth of E.tenella at 7 days p.i. under a variety of conditions

62

and was also a good medium for maintenance of cells up to day 7 p.i. $e_{x}mal$ Also the percentage of gametocytes was high. When 4% yeast, was added to M199 it supported even better development of parasites and cell (see results of E2 and E6). In all experiments HBSS with 10% NBCS was selected as the growth medium for cells, HBSS (without supplements) was used as the inoculation medium and M199 with 1% NBCS and 4% yeast extract (of a 4% solution in HBSS) was used for the maintenance of cultures.

3.1.2 Development of E. tenella, from a sporozoite inoculum, in non-host cells

A variety of non-host cells were used to test for the development of <u>E. tenella</u>. Although normally grown at 37°C these cell lines were grown at the higher temperature of 41°C for these experiments. The extent of development is given in Table 3.1.2. The sporozoites were capable of invading several of the cell types on to which they were inoculated. No results could be obtained for Flow 11,000, MDCK and EBTr cells because these cells did not survive the first 24 hours at 41°C, which was when the first preparations were fixed.

3.1.2i Bovine Kidney Cells (MDBK)

These cells grew very well at 41°C producing a contact-inhibited, epithelial monolayer. They maintained a reasonable appearance, even after six days of growth at this temperature (Fig. 3.1.2). Despite this, the parasites did not show any increase in size nor change of shape which would have indicated development beyond the intracellular sporozoite stage (Fig. 3.1.3).

3.1.2ii Human Cervical Carcinoma Cells (HeLa)

Table 3.1.3 shows the results of counting the number of cells parasitised by <u>E.tenella</u> at 24 hours post-inoculation (p.i.). There were three groups distinguished by the temperatures of growth pre- and postinoculation.

	growing at variou	s temperatures	
Group	Temperature pre-inoculation	Temperature post-inoculation	Number of cells parasitised
A	41°C	41°C	8.3 - 1.77
В	37° C	41°C	33 + 4.90
C	37° C	37° C	5.0 - 2.05

Table 3.1.3 The invasion of E. tenella sporozoites into HeLa cells,

Cell	Characteristics	Invasion by sporozoites	Other development	Conditions of cells at 3 days p.i.
Bovine Kidney (MDBK)	Cell Line		-	Good
Bovine Trachea (EBTr)	Cell Line	- `	-	Dead
Human Intestine (Flow 11000)	Cell Line	-	-	Dead
Human Cervical Carcinoma (HeLa)	Cell Line	\checkmark	-	Deteriorating
Canine Kidney (MDCK)	Cell Line	-	-	Dead
Bladder Epithelial	Cell Line	\checkmark	-	Dead
Human Synovial fluid (McCoy)*	Cell Line/Irradiated	\checkmark	-	Deteriorating

Table 3.1.2 Growth of E. tenella from sporozoites inoculated on to cells from non-host tissue

* Originally derived from human synovial fluid but later characterised as mouse fibroblasts, suggesting

take-over by contaminant cells



Figure 3.1.2 The appearance of MDBK cells after 6 days growth at 41°C



Figure 3.1.3

Intracellular sporozoite in MDBK cells 1 day p.i./41°C

66

All groups were invaded by sporozoites, with group B apparently providing a more suitable environment as the number of cells parasitised was more than four times as great as in the other two groups. No further development beyond intracellular sporozoites occurred.

After 3 days of growth at 41°C most cells from these cultures had detached, although the few remaining appeared healthy (Fig 3.1.4a). Cultures growing at 37°C throughout the experiment, appeared fairly confluent at 3 days p.i. (Fig. 3.1.4b).

3.1.2iii Bladder Epithelial Cells

A few intracellular sporozoites were observed inside cells which were grown at either 41°C or 37°C p.i. (Fig. 3.1.5). However, deterioration of the cell layer resulted in most of the cells becoming detached within 48-72 hours, and no further development was observed.

3.1.2iv McCoy cells

Cultures did not grow well at 41°C, but sporozoites entered the cells at this temperature and those grown at the normal temperature of 37°C. It was very common to see mass invasion of cells (Fig. 3.1.6). This culture became composed of an increasing number of large cells, containing lobed nuclei as the days post-inoculation increased (Fig. 3.1.7). This phenomenon was a feature of both parasitised and non-parasitised cells.

3.1.3 Development of E. tenella, from a sporozoite inoculum, in host cells

Primary cultures were established from a variety of embryonic and adult chick tissues. Table 3.1.4 shows the extent of development in all types. Except in embryonic and adult kidney and embryonic liver, there was no development beyond the first generation schizogony.

67

Figure 3.1.4 HeLa cells grown for 3 days at:



68

a) 41°C



ъ) 37°С



Bladder epithelial cells containing intracellular sporozoites 2 days p.i./41°C



Figure 3.1.6 Multiple invasion of McCoy cells 1 day p.i./41°C



McCoy cells after 5 days growth at 37°C with many cells having multi-lobed nuclei

70

Cell Type ^a	Invasion by sporozoites	Most frequent stage reached ^b	Furthest stage reached ^b
Chicken Embryonic Kidney (CEK)	\checkmark	mature gametocytes	oocysts
Chicken Kidney (CK)	\checkmark	mature gametocytes	mature gametocytes
Chicken Embryonic Liver (CEL)	\checkmark	immature schizonts ²	mature gametocytes
Chicken Embryonic Spleen (CES)	\checkmark	intracellular sporozoite	trophozoite ¹
Chicken Embryonic Lung	\checkmark	trophozoite ¹	immature schizont ¹
Chicken Embryonic Macrophages	\checkmark	intracellular sporozoite	immature schizont ¹
Chicken Macrophages	\checkmark		
Chicken Embryonic Intestine	\checkmark	immature schizont ¹	mature schizont ¹

Fable]	3•'	1.4	Develo	pment	of	Ε.	tenella	from	sporozoites	inoculated	on	to	host	cell	Ls
---------	-----	-----	--------	-------	----	----	---------	------	-------------	------------	----	----	------	------	----

a - all primary cultures b - number represents generation

3.1.3i Chick Embryonic Spleen

The sporozoites readily entered these cells but only occasionally developed further. Fig. 3.1.8 illustrates a trophozoite found in a culture of these cells 4 days p.i. The lack of any mature first generation schizonts suggested that this trophozoite was of the first generation and not the second, despite the fact that it was seen 24-48 hours later than expected. Possibly its development had been arrested.

3.1.3ii Chick Embryonic Lung

Many trophozoites were observed in chick embryonic lung cells at 48 hours p.i. Immature first generation schizonts also began appearing at this time but did not develop beyond the six-nucleate stage (Fig. 3.1.9)

3.1.3iii Chick Embryonic Intestine

This tissue supported the development of rare mature first generation schizonts; merozoites of this generation were separated on the fourth day p.i. (Fig. 3.1.10).

3.1.3iv Chick Embryonic Macrophages

Sporozoites readily invaded these cultures, but little further development was observed. In one culture, however, a large immature schizont was found 3 days p.i. (Fig. 3.1.11).

3.1.3v Chick Embryonic Liver

The sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> readily invaded these cells and developed to the second generation schizonts. By day 6 p.i. a few immature gametocytes were observed. (Fig. 3.1.12a and b). The presence of groups of trophozoites and a few small schizonts at this time suggested that many second generation merozoites once released had entered cells to develop into a third generation of schizonts rather than into the



Trophozoite of E. tenella in chick embryonic spleen cells, 4 days p.i.



Figure 3.1.9

Immature schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> in chick embryonic lung cells at 2 days p.i.



Mature first generation schizont of E. tenella with separated merozoites at 4 days p.i. in chick embryonic intestine cells



Figure 3.1.11

Immature first generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> growing in chick embryonic macrophages, 3 days p.i.

Figure 3.1.12 Immature gametocytes, 6 days p.i., growing in chick embryonic liver cells



a) Immature macrogamete (mac) with a possible delayed second generation schizont (sch).



b) Immature microgametocyte

gametocytes. (Figs. 3.1.13 a and b). However, the possibility that they were delayed second generation schizonts cannot be excluded, particularly as the second generation merozoites were sometimes of this size.

3.1.3vi Chick Kidney Cells (Embryonic and Adult)

The sporozoites entered adult and embryonic chick kidney cells which were equally effective in sustaining greater development of the parasite than any other cell type. Measurements of stages of <u>E. tenella</u> growing in CK cells are given in Table 3.1.5. On entering the cells, sporozoites became shorter and broader (Fig. 3.1.14a) passing through pear-shaped stages (Fig. 3.1.14b) to produce rounded, uninucleate trophozoites (Fig. 3.1.14c), at 36-48 hours.

After successive nuclear divisions a multinucleate immature schizont was produced. (Fig. 3.1.15). The nuclei of this and the second generation schizonts were vesicular.

Merozoites were separated from the mature schizonts either by budding from the surface so that they were neatly arranged in a rosette formation round a residual mass (Fig. 3.1.16) or more haphazardly (Fig. 3.1.17). Trophozoites, which had formed after re_invasion of cells by first generation merozoites, were present alongside mature schizonts which had not released their merozoites (Fig. 3.1.16, arrows). Occasionally cells were found containing 2-3 schizonts at different stages of development. Fig. 3.1.18 shows one cell containing a young schizont (centre), a mature multinucleate schizont (top) and one which had separated the merozoites (bottom).

Merozoites of this generation averaged $4.7 \times 1.8 \mu$ m, and there were usually between 50-100 merozoites per schizont. Due to the local release Figure 3.1.13 Third generation schizonts, 6 days p.i., growing in chick embryonic liver cells

7



a) Immature schizont



b) Mature schizont

Stage ^a	No. Sampled	Days p.i.	Size ^b Mean.	Range
Sporozoite	20	2	7.25 x 3.2 ⁺ 0.35 x 0.15	11.8 x 4.7 - 4.7 x 2.4
Trophozoite ¹	20	2	8.10 x 6.5 ⁺ 0.25 x 0.29	9.4 x 8.3 - 7.1 x 5.9
Immature $schizont^1$	20	2	15.7 x 10.9 ⁺ 1.9 x 4.33	41.4 x 23.6 - 8.3 x 7.1
Trophozoite	13	3	$7.7 \times 5.9 \stackrel{+}{-} 0.28 \times 0.39$	9.4 x 5.9 - 7.1 x 4.7
Immature schizont ¹	18	3	22.2 x 13.69 [±] 2.9 x 1.62	48.4 x 36.6 - 8.3 x 7.1
Mature schizont ¹	10	3	44.0 x 21.9 ⁺ 3.12 x 2.0	60.2 x 41.3 - 28.3 x 17.7
Merozoites	10	3	4.7 x 1.8 ⁺ 0.20 x 0.19	5.9 x 2.4 - 3.5 x 1.2
Trophozoites ²	20	4	6.0 x 5.0 ± 0.32 x 0.28	9.4 x 8.3 - 4.7 x 3.5
Immature schizont ²	20	4	13.8 x 10.6 ⁺ 1.2 x 0.84	30.7 x 24.8 - 7.1 x 5.9
Immature schizont ²	20	5.	15.8 x 11.8 ⁺ 1.25 x 0.80	28.3 x 17.7 - 7.1 x 5.9
Mature schizont ²	21	6	35.0 x 25.1 ⁺ 2.7 x 1.4	59.0 x 41.3 - 18.9 x 17.7
Merozoites ²	21	6	11.8 x 1.5 ⁺ 0.39 x 0.10	16.5 x 1.2 - 9.5 x 1.2
Immature Macrogametes	12	7	13.4 x 8.3 [±] 2.23 x 0.88	27.1 x 13.0 - 7.1 x 5.9
Mature Microgametocytes	10	7	43.6 x 25.0 ± 4.9 x 1.6	70.8 x 28.3 - 24.8 x 16.5
Mature schizonts ³	6	7	19.7 x 15.3 [±] 2.39 x 1.5	28.3 x 21.2 - 12.9 x 9.4

Table 3.1.5 Measurements of intracellular stages of E. tenella in CK cells

a = number denotes generation b = measurements in microns

78



a) Shortening and broadening of sporozoite



b) Pear-shaped stage



c) Uninucleate trophozoite



Immature first generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> growing in CK cells 3 days p.i.



Figure 3.1.16

First generation schizont of E. tenella, growing in CK cells, showing merozoites arranged in a rosette formation around a residual mass



Mature (mat) first generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> 2 days p.i., showing the merozoites arranged haphazardly; immature (imm) first generation schizont also present



Figure 3.1.18

A CK cell containing three first generation schizonts of $\underline{E_{\bullet}}$ tenella all at various stages of development

of large numbers of first generation merozoites, large numbers of second generation schizonts were found aggregated in high concentrations in localised areas. There was also a tendency for the first generation merozoites to invade areas of dense cellular growth. Such areas were provided by sheets of cells which were beginning to pile up. These areas were often adjacent to places where deterioration and detachment of cells was occurring (Fig. 3.1.19).

Schizonts developed from these trophozoites in a similar manner to the first generation schizonts. Immature schizonts were most abundant on days 4 and 5 p.i. (Fig. 3.1.20). By day 5 mature schizonts were common. Clumps of infected cells lay close together and contained small schizonts, which separated into bundles of 6-15 merozoites arranged like bunches of bananas (Fig. 3.1.21). Some larger schizonts, which were usually isolated rather than crowded, had merozoites arranged neatly around a residual mass (Fig. 3.1.22). Sometimes a few nuclei remained in the residual mass and were not incorporated into merozoites (Fig. 3.1.22, arrows). In other schizonts merozoites were arranged haphazardly, apparently without residual cytoplasm (Fig. 3.1.23).

Some schizonts divided into separate cytoplasmic masses of varying sizes, containing several nuclei, or into spherical bodies containing one nucleus (Fig. 3.1.24, a and b). These were thought to be blastophores although their growth and further development into merozoites was not observed.

The largest, single schizonts observed at 5 days p.i. contained 100 or more merozoites. Occasionally very large schizonts were found (Fig. 3.1.25) but these probably represented several schizonts which merged after breakdown of adjacent parasitophorous vacuoles.



Second generation trophozoites of E. tenella preferentially invade and develop in areas where the CK cells are growing more than one cell deep



Figure 3.1.20

Immature second generation schizonts of E. tenella, 4 days p.i., growing in CK cells



The local invasion of CK cells by first generation merozoites produces small mature second generation schizonts, developing in closely spaced groups



Figure 3.1.22

Mature second generation schizont with rosette formation of merozoites, 6 days p.i. (unincorporated nuclei are marked by arrows).



Mature second generation schizont of \underline{E} . tenella with haphazard arrangement of merozoites, 7 days p.i.

Figure 3.1.24 Mature second generation schizonts of E. tenella with the cytoplasm divided into several separate groups of nuclei (blastophore schizont)





a)



Mature second generation schizont of <u>E. tenella</u> - fusion of two parasitophorous vacuoles has produced a large schizont



Figure 3.1.26

Immature macrogamete 7 days p.i.

Liberated second generation merozoites (average size 11.8 x 1.5µm) re_invaded cells and developed into gametocytes. The macrogamete could be identified early in its development by the persistance of a single nucleus. The nucleolus was characteristically surrounded by a clear intra-nuclear area (Fig. 3.1.26). Later development of the macrogamete was not observed.

Microgametocytes were more difficult to distinguish from schizonts in the early stages of their development. However, as they grew they could be identified by their nuclei which were compact as opposed to vesicular and became more numerous and more closely packed (Fig 3.1.27). Sometimes cytoplasmic masses resembling the blastophores of schizonts were separated from the microgametocytes. Each mass contained several nuclei (Fig. 3.1.28).

Mature microgametocytes were identified by the presence of flagellated microgametes attached to the periphery, giving a 'wispy' outline to the structure (Fig. 3.1.29). Some exceptionally large microgametocytes (70.8 x 28.3 μ m) could have resulted from the fusion of two or more from adjacent cells after rupture of the parasitophorous vacuoles. No free microgametes were observed.

Although macrogametes and microgametocytes were sometimes observed in close proximity, they were never seen within the same host cell (Fig 3.1.30).

Some second generation merozoites appeared to have developed into a third generation of schizonts after invading new cells, and not into gametocytes. These schizonts were small and contained only 5-10 merozoites, intermediate between those of the first and second generations (Fig. 3.1.31a and b) with respect to size.



Figure 3.1.27 Immature microgametocyte 6 days p.i.



Figure 3.1.28

Immature microgametocyte; the body of the microgametocyte has divided into several smaller parts



91

Figure 3.1.29 Mature microgametocyte



Figure 3.1.30

The association of macrogametes and microgametocytes growing in CK cells

Figure 3.1.31 Third generation schizonts of E. tenella growing in CK cells 7 days p.i.



a) Immature schizont



b) Mature schizont
3.1.4 Development of E. tenella in irradiated CK cells

CK cells irradiated from a Cobalt 60 source and subsequently infected with <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites $(2 \times 10^{5}/\text{ml})$ showed no initial difference in their ability to support the development of the parasite (Fig. 3.1.32). However, by day 4 p.i. the irradiated cells were less able to support the development of the parasite. Both cultures had a healthy appearance. The difference at 4 and 5 days was statistically reliable on a two way analysis of variance (F test, p < 0.05).

In order to ascertain if the failure of the parasites to develop was due to the inability of the irradiated cells to take up 3 H-thymidine (and therefore undergo DNA synthesis), both cultures were pulsed for 1 hour on day 5 p.i. with a solution of 3 H-thymidine at 2µCi/ml. The coverslips were processed for autoradiography and the percentage of labelled nuclei was recorded (Table 3.1.6).

Table 3.1.6 Labelled nuclei in irradiated and non-irradiated CK cells, 5 days p.i., following a 1 hour pulse with ³H-thymidine

Irradiation	% labelled nuclei
5200 rads.	4.5 [±] 1.30
Normal cells, no irradiation	12.7 - 1.9

It is evident from this result that some of the cells in the irradiated population were still able to take up thymidine. However, the level was much lower than in non-irradiated cells, suggesting that overall the population of irradiated cells was less able to undergo DNA synthesis.

Fig. 3. 1. 32. Growth of E.tenella in irradiated CK cells.



3.1.5 Development of three strains of E. tenella in CK cells

Three strains were compared:-

Et(w)	=	Laboratory	strain,	sensitive	to	Pancoxin/
		Amprolium a	and Deco	۲.		

Dec 40 = Resistant to Decox at 40 p.p.m.

The three strains of oocysts were fed to chicks, at 5,000 sporulated oocysts/bird and the number of oocysts appearing in the faeces of each bird was counted from days 5 to 9 p.i. On day 9 the caeca were removed and the oocysts present there were counted and added to the total. Development between the three strains was also compared in CK cultures.

 Kf_2 was responsible for the greatest oocyst output from birds. In tissue culture Kf_2 also appeared better able to grow as on day 7 p.i. 13% of the intracellular stages present were gametocytes, whereas the values for Et (w) and Dec 40 strains were 5% and 8%, respectively (Table 3.1.7). Oocysts of Kf_2 strain were seen in CK cells on 8 days p.i. (Fig. 3.1.33); this was the only occasion when oocysts were positively identified.

Strain	Cell	Chickens		
	Parasitic stag	6		
	Second generation schizonts	gametocytes	others*	Oocyst production (x10 ⁰) day 5-9p.i.
Et(w)	78	5	17	39-3 + 4-97
^{Kf} 2	49	13	38	55.49 - 5.41
Dec 40	69	8	23	43.61 [±] 3.53

Table 3.1.7 Comparison of the infectivity in vitro and in vivo

of three strains of E_{\bullet} tenella

* stages difficult to identify.

The morphologies of the intracellular stages of the 3 strains and their general development was similar to that described in Section 3.1.2vii.

3.1.6 Development of E. necatrix in CK cells from a sporozoite inoculum

CK cells were used in these experiments and no development beyond intracellular sporozoites was observed.

3.1.7 Development of E. necatrix in cell culture from a merozoite inoculum

Intracellular schizonts from the intestinal wall (Fig. 3.1.34a) of <u>E. necatrix</u> infected chickens were digested and the preparation of free merozoites (Fig. 3.1.34b) inoculated on to both CK cells and mouse macrophages, at a concentration of 4×10^5 merozoites per coverslip. No intracellular merozoites or further development was observed, despite many merozoites being visible over the surface of the cultures, (Fig. 3.1.35). By day 2 p.i. both uninfected and infected cultures were deteriorating, although they remained free of any apparent contamination.



Figure 3.1.33 Oocysts of E. tenella (Kf₂ strain) growing in CK cells, 8 days p.i.

Figure 3.1.34 Intracellular schizont (a) and free merozoites (b) of <u>E. necatrix</u> used to inoculate on to mouse macrophage cultures (Nomarski interference microscopy)





a)



 $\frac{\text{Figure 3.1.35}}{\text{on to CK cultures (41°C)}} \xrightarrow{\text{Merozoites of E. necatrix 24 hours after inoculation}}$

3.2 Invasion of E. tenella sporozoites into CK cells

3.2.1 General Observations

When sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> were inoculated in serum-free HBSS there was rapid invasion and by thirty minutes after inoculation they could be detected within the cells. There was a steady increase in the number of cells invaded up to a maximum at 10 hours (Fig. 3.2.1). In some experiments it was necessary to store the sporozoites in buffer at 4°C for up to 24 hours before they were used. The infectivity of sporozoites was not lost even after 30 hours storage at 4°C (Table 3.2.1).

Table 3.2.1 The invasion of E. tenella sporozoites into CK cells

after storage for 30 hours at 4°C

% invaded cells	Incubation time at 4°C		
24.77 - 2.13	0 hours		
25.67 + 1.69	30 hours		

3.2.2 The effect of serum on E. tenella sporozoite invasion into CK cells

3.2.2i New Born Calf Serum

Sporozoites $(2 \times 10^5/\text{ml})$ were inoculated on to the cells in HBSS containing 1%,5% and 10% new born calf serum (NBCS) which was either normal or heat-inactivated. The number of invaded cells at 4,12 and 24 hours p.i. is recorded in Fig. 3.2.2. When the inoculation medium was serum free, over 40% of the cells were infected at 4 hours and there was very little increase after this time. With the addition of normal 1% NBCS there was a slight fall in the percentage of cells invaded at 24 hours (38.0 \pm 1.50 compared with 45.53 \pm 2.94 for the control (no serum) cultures). With the higher levels of serum this

Fig.3.2.1. Invasion of CK cells by E.tenella sporozoites over 24 hours.



Fig. <u>3.2.2</u>.

Invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of New-Born Calf Serum.

102



Hours p.i.

difference became increasingly obvious, with the percentage of cells invaded at 24 hours being $6.07 \stackrel{+}{-} 0.74$ with 5% NBCS and $1.07 \stackrel{+}{-} 0.12$ with 10% NBCS. These differences were statistically reliable on a two way analysis of variance (F test, p <0.001).

When the NBCS was heat-inactivated the inhibitory effect observed at the higher concentrations was partially removed. After 24 hours, sporozoites inoculated in 5% heat-inactivated NBCS had invaded 38.4 - 1.90% of the cells compared with 45.3 - 2.94% infected cells in those cultures with a serum-free inoculum. With 10% heat-inactivated NBCS some removal of invasion inhibition also occurred: the number of invaded cells was 25% less than those in serum-free cultures, compared with almost 100% less which had occurred with an inoculum containing normal NBCS. However, a two way analysis of variance established that at all levels of heat-inactivated NBCS invasion was still significantly lower than that observed with serum-free inoculation medium (F test, p <0.001). With respect tottime, a two way analysis of variance indicated that it was of no significance at 0,1,5 or 10% levels of normal NBCS (F test, p > 0.05), but that invasion in the presence of 1,5 or 10% heat-inactivated NBCS was always lower at 4 hours than at 12 and 24 hours p.i. (F test, p <0.01).

NBCS was also inactivated by acid-treatment and the inhibitory effects of this serum on invasion were compared with those of normal and heat-inactivated at 24 hours p.i. (Fig. 3.2.3). Treatment of the NBCS with either acid or heat resulted in a very similar pattern of invasion inhibition, which differed from that of normal NBCS. At the 5% and 10% levels, invasion in the presence of normal NBCS was considerably lower than that recorded for similar levels of acid-treated

Fig 3.2.3. Invasion of CK cells by <u>Etenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of inactivated <u>New-Born Calf Serum.</u>



•....+ normal serum +-----+ heat-inactivated serum

or heat-inactivated NBCS. These differences were statistically reliable on a two way analysis of variance (F test, p <0.001).

3.2.2ii Donor horse serum

Donor horse serum (DHS) was tested at 1% and 10% for its inhibition of the invasion of E. tenella sporozoites $(2 \times 10^{2}/ml)$ into CK cells. Normal, heat-inactivated and acid-treated DHS were all compared and the resulting invasion at 24 hours p.i. under these various conditions is given in Fig. 3.2.4. A 45% fall in the percentage of cells invaded was observed when 1% normal DHS was added to the inoculation medium, and this inhibition was almost complete when 10% normal DHS was used. These results were comparable with the invasion inhibition obtained with normal NBCS (Fig. 3.2.2). Results from the heat-inactivated and acid-treated DHS resembled those from similarly treated NBCS (Fig. 3.2.3) in that they were less inhibitory to invasion than normal serum. However, unlike NBCS where the degree of inhibition was identical with both acid-treated and heat-inactivated serum, similarly treated DHS exhibited a difference. With 10% acidtreated serum the percentage of cells infected was 17.33 - 0.86 and with 10% heat-inactivated it was 10.73 - 0.83 (Student's t test, highly significant, p <0.001).

3.2.2iii Chicken Serum

Fig. 3.2.5 illustrates the results from invasion studies performed with chicken serum (CS) added to the inoculum of 2×10^5 <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites/ml. Normal and heat-inactivated CS at 1,5 and 10% were compared with serum-free inoculation medium. Unlike the situation with NBCS, 10% normal or heat-inactivated CS produced no lowering of the percentage of cells invaded (F test, p >0.05).

Fig. 3.2.4.



Fig. 32.5. Invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of <u>Chicken Serum</u>



Although a slight fall in the percentage of cells invaded occurred at 5% normal CS, the value at 1% was identical with that obtained for serum-free inoculation medium at 24 hours p.i. With heat-inactivated CS the percentage of cells invaded fell at both 5% and 1% (F test, p < 0.001). Invasion in CS and NBCS was identical at the 1% level, but was greater for CS at the 5% and 10% levels.

The percentage of cells invaded for all levels of normal and heatinactivated CS was lower at 4 hours p.i. than at 12 and 24 hours p.i. (F test, p < 0.001). All the above results for CS were statistically reliable according to a two way analysis of variance and p values obtained from the F test are given in the text where appropriate.

Acid-treated CS had an identical effect on the percentage of cells invaded to heat-inactivated and normal CS (Fig. 3.2.6).

3.2.3 The effect of protease inhibitors on invasion of <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites into CK cells

As the treatment of serum by heat or acid restricted its inhibition on invasion, and as such treatment removes protease inhibitors (Aoki and Kawano, 1972; Varani, Orr and Ward 1978) it was possible that protease inhibitors could prevent sporozoite invasion into CK cells. Exogenous protease inhibitors were therefore added to the inoculation medium, without serum, to see if they could mimic the effects of normal NBCS on sporozoite invasion.

3.2.3i Soybean Trypsin Inhibitor

Soybean trypsin inhibitor (STI) was added at concentrations of 0.5, 1.0 and 2.0 mg/ml to the inoculation medium containing the sporozoites, $(2 \times 10^{5}/\text{ml})$. The invasion pattern over 24 hours was similar in all cases (Fig. 3.2.7) but the percentage of cells invaded was



in the presence of Soybean Trypsin Inhibitor(STI)







lower in all cultures where STI was present. Even at the lowest concentration (0.5 mg/ml STI) the fall in invasion was significant (Student's t test, p < 0.025) at 24 hours p.i. and represented a lowering of the percentage of cells infected by over 11%. Inhibition of invasion in the presence of STI was not as complete as with some of the NBCS levels tested (see Fig. 3.2.2).

3.2.3ii p-tosyl-arginine-methyl-ester-HCL

With increasing concentrations of p- tosyl-arginine-methylester-HCl(TAME) in the inoculation medium (containing $2 \ge 10^{5}$ /ml <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites) there was a corresponding decrease in the percentage of cells infected (Fig. 3.2.8). At 24 hours p.i. the percentage of cells infected in TAME-free medium was 15.6 ⁺ 1.50. The addition of 0.1µg/ml TAME was not inhibitory to invasion, but at concentrations of 1µg/ml and above TAME produced a significant decrease in the percentage of infected cells.

3.2.3iii Ovomucoid

At all of the concentrations used ovomucoid was inhibitory to the invasion of CK cells by sporozoites when they were inoculated on to cultures at a concentration of 2 X 10^5 /ml (Fig. 3.2.9). At the lowest concentration of 2µg/ml this inhibition resulted in almost a 50% fall in the percentage of cells invaded. Increasing this concentration by 10^3 produced no significant further fall. The X² test indicated that the difference observed at 2µg/ml ovemucoid was significant (p <0.005).

3.2.3iv n- tosyl-lysine-chloro-ketone-HCl

Sporozoites (2 x 10^{5} /ml) were inoculated onto CK cells in the presence of n- tosyl-lysine-chloro-ketone-HCl (TLCK) at concentrations of 10 and 100 µg/ml. The results obtained at 4 hours p.i. are



10

100 Jug/ml

illustrated in Fig. 3.2.10. Both the concentrations were inhibitory to invasion; $10\mu g/ml$ lowered the percentage of infected cells from 13.60 \pm 1.60 to 5.60 \pm 0.84, a fall of over 40% (significant according to the X² test, p <0.005).

3.2.3v Bovine Serum Albumin

Sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u> (at 2×10^{5} /ml) were inoculated on to CK cells in the presence of bovine serum albumin (B.S.A.). The results (Fig. 3.2.11) with this chemical were rather inconclusive because although there was a reduction in the percentage of infected cells at the highest concentration (2 mg/ml) of BSA used, the response varied somewhat at the intermediate concentrations, with 1.0 mg/ml BSA producing an anomalous result. A subsequent experiment, in which this level of 1.0 mg/ml was tested again, produced a fall in the percentage of infected cells from 18.73 \pm 2.29 to 6.87 \pm 0.86.

3.2.4 The effect of pre-incubation of sporozoites in serum and protease inhibitors on invasion

It was possible that the apparent fall in the number of sporozoites invading cells in the presence of serum and protease inhibitors, could have been due to a decreased viability of the sporozoites in their presence, rather than to a specific effect they were having on the invasion process. To test for any such effects sporozoites $(2 \times 10^{5}/\text{ml})$ were incubated in medium containing one of these compounds for 4 hours at 41°C before being washed free of the compound and added to the cells in fresh, unsupplemented medium.

The results from the pre-incubation of sporozoites in 1% and 10% normal and heat-inactivated NBCS are given in Fig. 3.2.12a and b. Values above the base line indicate a fall in the percentage of infected





Fig. 3.2.12. Effect of pre-incubation with NBCS on the invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites.



cells, and those below indicate an increase in the percentage of infected cells when compared with the value recorded for invasion by sporozoites in unsupplemented medium, without an incubation.

Without incubation, sporozoites were slightly more invasive (+ 4%) in the presence of 1% normal and heat-inactivated NBCS, than in unsupplemented medium (Fig. 3.2.12a). At 10% levels of both normal and heat-inactivated NBCS, there was considerable inhibition to invasion (-87% and -51%. respectively).

Pre-incubation of the sporozoites (Fig. 3.2.12b) in the presence of 10% normal and heat-inactivated NBCS for 4 hours, lowered the invasion still further. However, as incubation in HBSS alone produced a -64% change in the percentage of infected cells, it seemed possible that the high temperature was also largely responsible for the reduction in the percentage of invaded cells after preincubation in the serum; the effects of serum being minor.

Results of pre-incubation of sporozoites with some of the protease inhibitors are shown in Fig. 3.2.13a and b. All three compounds TLCK, TAME and ovomucoid produced a fall in the percentage of infected cells (Fig. 3.2.13a). When incubated for 4 hours at 41°C in the highest of the concentrations the percentage of infected cells fell, (Fig. 3.2.13b). However, in the case of ovomucoid and TAME there was very little difference between the values for these compounds and the reduction seen when sporozoites were incubated in HESS alone. TLCK produced a lowering of percentage of infected cells in excess of this.

3.2.5 The effect of hyaluronidase on invasion of sporozoites into CK cells Sporozoites (2 x 10^{5} /ml) were added to CK cell cultures in medium





containing various levels of hyaluronidase. Concentrations above lmg/ml lowered the percentage of infected cells (Fig. 3.2.14). Pre-incubation with hyaluronidase for 4 hours at 41°C produced a fall in the percentage of infected cultures in excess of that observed for sporozoites incubated in HBSS alone (Fig. 3.2.15a and b). The percentage change in the number of infected cells was calculated as described in 3.2.4.

3.2.6 Invasion into synchronised CK cells

3.2.6i Assessment of synchrony

When cells are placed in low serum, their growth is arrested and they become a synchronous G_0 population. By placing the cells in a fresh supply of 10% serum the cell cycle is reinitiated and the cells leave G_0 and pass into S phase when they take up 3 H-thymidine (Mitchison, 1973).

CK cells were placed in 0.4% NBCS and released 60 hours later by the addition of 10% NBCS. To establish that these cells were in synchrony they were pulsed at intervals following the release from serum starvation with HBSS containing 2μ Ci/ml ³H-thymidine. The radioactive solution was left on the cells for 1 hour and then they were processed for autoradiography as described in 2.7.2i and ii. These pulses were given at intervals over a 27 hour period following the release from block and the results are given in Fig. 3.2.16. A population of asynchronous cells acted as a control. They had been given a frequent supply of fresh HBSS with 10% NBCS and were therefore an actively growing population.

Following the addition of 10% NBCS the serum starved group showed an increase in incorporation of 3 H-thymidine from 48.58 c.p.m. $^{+}$ 1.30

Invasion of CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites in the presence of hyaluronidase.



<u>Fig 3.2.15.</u> <u>Effect of pre-incubation with hyaluronidase</u>¹²⁰ on the invasion of CK cells

<u>by E.tenella</u> sporozoites.



Fig.32.16. ³H-Thymidine uptake by synchronised CK cells in response to release from serum starvation.



o----o asynchronous cells

□---- □ synchronous cells

as they were stimulated to leave G_0 . On entering the S phase (when thymidine is incorporated, Cleaver, 1967) the incorporation reached a peak of 105 c.p.m. $\stackrel{+}{-}$ 3.0, representing an increase of 115%, at 21 hours after the cells had been placed in 10% serum. With the asynchronous population there was a higher initial incorporation level of 63.83 c.p.m. $\stackrel{+}{-}$ 5.4, and only a 51% increase of uptake of 3 H-thymidine to 96.43 c.p.m. $\stackrel{+}{-}$ 11.46 which reached a peak slightly later than the experimentals at 24 hours. The serumstarved group were thus in synchrony and over the first 20 hours following release contained a greater number of cells entering the S phase than did the controls.

3.2.6ii Invasion of synchronised CK cells

Sporozoites $(2 \times 10^5/\text{ml})$ were added simultaneously with the release of the serum-starved cells from block. 10% serum was necessary to initiate the stimulation of serum-starved cells. As 10% normal NBCS was inhibitory to invasion of the sporozoites (Fig. 3.2.2.), heat-inactivated NBCS was used in preference to this in the inoculation and stimulation media. Similar inocula were added to normal, asynchronous cultures. Cultures were fixed at intervals up to 4 hours post-release, and the percentage of infected cells for synchronous and asynchronous cultures was recorded (Fig. 3.2.17a). The difference between the two cultures was not statistically significant (F test, p >0.05), although there was a tendency for the synchronised cell population to be more heavily infected.

At the time of release from the block, a group from both the synchronised and asynchronised cultures was not infected with sporozoites immediately, but was left for 9 hours in medium

Fig. 3. 2.17. Invasion of synchronised CK cells by <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites.



containing 10% heat-inactivated serum. After this interval had elapsed sporozoites were added. The same suspension of sporozoites was used, previous results (Table 3.2.1) having indicated that storage at 4°C for up to 30 hours had no detrimental effect on sporozoite invasion. A small difference was now apparent in the percentage of cells invaded: there was greater invasion in the synchronised population (Fig. 3.2.17b). This data is reliable according to a two way analysis of variance (F test, p < 0.05). The difference between the two populations did not increase over the 4 hour period shown in these results. Cells from the synchronised population would be mainly those entering or about to enter S phase (see Fig. 3.2.16).

3.2.7 Invasion of irradiated cells by E. tenella sporozoites

Cells which had been irradiated with 5,200 rads were inoculated with <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites, $(2 \times 10^{5}/\text{ml})$. The percentage of infected cells at 24 hours p.i. was compared with that of non-irradiated cells (Table 3.2.2). There was little difference between the ability to invade irradiated and non-irradiated CK cells.

Table 3.2.2 Invasion by E. tenella sporozoites into irradiated

CK cells

Irradiation	Percentage of Infected Cells		
5200 rads	24.87 + 2.13		
No irradiation	20.13 + 1.30		

3.3 Host Cell Changes

3.3.1 Morphological Changes

Within one day of inoculation of the parasites on to a monolayer of CK cells the appearance of these cells by light microscopy began to alter. They appeared to be less well adhered to the coverslips, as many floated off into the overlying medium and gaps appeared in the cell layer. Fig. 3.3.1 shows the greater confluency of a non-infected culture (b) than of an infected culture (a).

These morphological changes were subsequently studied with the scanning electron microscope (SEM). In the non-parasitised cultures, the cells were growing as a confluent monolayer. All the cells showed cell to cell contact typical of this type of growth, which resulted in a pavement effect (Fig. 3.3.2). In the parasitised cultures on day 1 p.i. the cells were beginning to move apart from one another, creating gaps in the monolayer. The cells appeared to be in less close contact with the substrate and their edges were rolled back (Fig. 3.3.3).

When these groups were compared after 3 days growth of the parasite the differences were even more noticeable. Fig. 3.3.4 is a micrograph of the control group which showed very little change from the 1 day condition. In parasitised cultures (Fig. 3.3.5) the cells had moved far apart and remained in contact with one another in many places only by long cytoplasmic bridges. In several cases even these had broken down, and individual cells remained attached to the substrate by long retraction fibres. The distal ends of the retraction fibres represented the former adhesion sites of the epithelial cells to the glass. In Fig. 3.3.5c the top right hand cell Figure 3.3.1 The appearance of CK cells, 1 day p.i.



a) Control, non-parasitised cells are still confluent



b) Experimental, parasitised cultures have developed gaps in the monolayer



Figure 3.3.2 SEM of confluent monolayer of non-parasitised CK cells



Figure 3.3.3 SEM of parasitised CK cells, 1 day p.i.



Figure 3.3.4

SEM of control, non-parasitised CK cells, 3 days p.i.
Figure 3.3.5 SEM of parasitised CK cells, 3 days p.i. Cells have rounded up and in many cases remain connected with each other only by means of cytoplasmic bridges (CB) and to the substrate by means of long retraction fibres (RF)





a)



Figure 3.3.5 c) illustrated an extreme case of this condition as it was almost totally rounded up and remained attached solely by means of the retraction fibres. Cells about to enter mitosis are known to round up prior to cell division. However, because mitotic figures were rare in cultures and the elevated cells were common in infected but not uninfected cultures, it was unlikely that they were premitotic cells.

In cultures which had few confluent areas at the beginning of the experiments comparisons were made between the morphologies of single cells from parasitised and non-parasitised cultures. From non-parasitised cultures the single cells were flattened and well spread (Fig. 3.3.6a). From parasitised cultures, one day p.i., the rolled edges were again obvious and the cells appeared to be less well spread with a central cell body extending as long processes, (Fig. 3.3.6b).

The changes in cell morphology in the parasitised cultures were not limited solely to the cells which contained parasites, but affected non-parasitised cells as well. It seemed likely that parasitised cells were releasing a factor into the overlying medium which affected other cells. Alternatively, the factor could be a product of parasite metabolism. To test for the presence of this factor non-parasitised cells were grown in coculture with parasitised cells. This system allowed contact between parasitised and nonparasitised cells only via the medium. These non-parasitised cultures, when examined with the SEM after 3 days in coculture began to show changes similar to those which occurred in parasitised cells. They were difficult to distinguish from the parasitised cells, with breaks in the monolayer and cells with long processes and curled edges

Figure 3.3.6 SEM of single cells at 1 day p.i.



a) Non-parasitised CK cells



b) Parasitised CK cells

(Fig. 3.3.7). In general these changes in cocultured non-parasitised cells were very widespread, although some areas remained where the cells were still in close contact with each other (area A in Fig. 3.3.7). These changes were progressive. The results supported the theory that the parasites or parasitised cells were responsible for producing a factor which was transmissable via the medium.

It was shown that the morphological changes associated with the parasitised cultures were not due to an inoculum effect, as the parasitised cells were washed free of the inoculation medium at 12 hours, in three changes of fresh medium, prior to establishing the coculture. Furthermore the non-parasitised cocultures, which never came into contact with the inoculation medium, also showed morphological changes.

3.3.2 Adhesion Changes

3.3.2i Changes associated with the development of the parasite

The relationship between the cells and their substrate was investigated with the reflection-interference microscope technique (RIM), (Curtis 1964). By using this technique it was possible to study the separation distance between the undersurface of cells and the glass substrate on which they were growing.

CK cells in culture usually grew as discrete areas or sheets of cells, which were derived from the aggregates of the cells initially present in the trypsinised solution (2.4.2i). The RIM images of these areas showed the presence of the 3 types of cell-substrate approaches described by Izzard and Lochner (1976) for avian fibroblasts. These were focal contacts (black streaks), close contacts (grey areas) and regions of greater separation (white areas). Fig. 3.3.8 illustrates



SEM of non-parasitised CK cells cocultured with parasitised cells for 3 days



RIM of non-parasitised CK cells (in M199)

the edge of a group of normal, non-parasitised, CK cells. The focal contacts were areas where the cell lay at a distance of less than 15nm from the substrate (Izzard and Lochner, 1976). They formed a very regular pattern when they occurred at the edge of the cell sheet of CK cells and appeared to be lying parallel to one another and in many places perpendicular to the periphery of the cell sheet. Many areas of focal contacts were also found in the centre of the cell sheet, although the approaches here were usually less close as indicated by the more frequent occurrence of grey and white areas.

Parasitised CK cells 3 days p.i. were compared with the nonparasitised cultures of a comparable age (7 days) described above. Intracellular sporozoites and schizonts could be identified by phase contrast and the corresponding RIM image obtained without having to move the specimen. Fig. 3.3.9 shows intracellular sporozoites (arrowed) in CK cells by phase contrast illumination. Fig. 3.3.10 is the corresponding RIM image for this same area. Although dark areas were evident, they did not have the ordered arrangement of the nonparasitised group but tended to occur as haphazard areas across the sheet. The lack of image in the top left hand region (A).) of the micrograph is indicative of an area of the lower surface of the sheet which was too far away from the substrate to give an interference pattern.

One of the factors involved in the formation and maintenance of adhesions is the long range physical forces. If cells are exposed to a fall in electrolyte concentration then these long range repulsive forces extend from the cell and the cell-substrate separation distance would increase (King <u>et al</u> 1979). If other adhesion factors, e.g.



Parasitised CK cells as viewed with the phase contrast microscope, 3 days p.i. (Intracellular sporozoite marked with an arrow).



Figure 3.3.10

RIM of the same area of parasitised cells as shown in Figure 3.3.9. (in M199)

chemical, which help to maintain a close contact between the cell and its substrate, have been lost then this increase in cell-substrate distance could ultimately result in the complete removal of the cell from its substrate.

Fig. 3.3.11 shows a cell sheet of non-parasitised CK cells with M199 in the perfusion chamber. Again the characteristic pattern of focal contacts is evident with interspersed grey areas and the white areas occupying mainly the centre of the sheet.

When the culture was perfused with a non-electrolyte solution of 0.25M sucrose (the sucrose maintained isotonicity), the undersurface of the cell sheet began to move away or lift off from the substrate as evidenced by the increasing numbers of white areas. Fig. 3.3.12 shows the appearance of the RIM image of the same cell sheet shown in Fig. 3.3.11, a few seconds following perfusion with the sucrose solution, and Fig. 3.3.13 is its RIM image at the end of perfusion. In the latter case the white areas were extensive and emphasised the focal contacts remaining at the periphery of the sheet. This sequence of events was reversible. The RIM image after flushing out the chamber with fresh M199 (Fig. 3.3.14) was comparable to the initial RIM image shown in Fig. 3.3.11.

Figs. 3.3.15 and 3.3.16 are corresponding phase contrast and RIM micrographs of another area containing a sporozoite (single arrow). Again the areas of focal contact were haphazardly arranged along the periphery of the sheet, and one edge (double arrows) was completely devoid of any focal contacts. The internal region of the cell sheet produced a white RIM image.

When this culture was perfused with the sucrose solution the RIM image showed white images or gave no image except for a few isolated



Figure 3.3.11 RIM of non-parasitised CK cells (in M199)



RIM of the same area of non-parasitised CK cells as shown in Figure 3.3.11 just after the beginning of perfusion of the culture with 0.25M sucrose



RIM of the same area of non-parasitised CK cells as shown in Figure 3.3.11 and Figure 3.3.12 following several minutes of perfusion with 0.25M sucrose



Figure 3.3.14

RIM of the same area of non-parasitised CK cells as shown in Figures 3.3.11 - 3.3.13 following the replacement of 0.25M sucrose with M199



Parasitised CK cells as viewed with the phase contrast microscope, 3 days p.i. (Intracellular sporozoite marked with an arrow)



Figure 3.3.16

RIM of the same area as shown in Figure 3.3.15 (M199), the double arrows indicate the areas devoid of contacts

patches along the edges (Fig. 3.3.17), indicating that the entire sheet had moved away from the substrate except at these edges.

A single parasitised cell (Fig. 3.3.18) gave the same response to changes in electrolyte concentration as had the larger groups of cells. The RIM image of this cell is shown in Fig. 3.3.19. Focal contacts were found along the cytoplasmic processes and close contacts occupied the centre and edges of the cell body. When perfused with sucrose the central areas of the grey images changed to a white image and then eventually produced no image at all (Figs. 3.3.20a and b, respectively). The few focal contacts persisted throughout the perfusion process.

A schizont-infected cell (Fig. 3.3.21) caused an almost complete destruction of the RIM image (Fig. 3.3.22). This was not common to all schizont-infected cells. The cell in which the schizont was growing (A) produced almost no RIM image with a small black area at one edge, and concentric white, grey, white images occupying the rest of the cell. The surrounding cells showed intermediate conditions between this extreme loss of contact and that described before in parasitised cells. For example the cell edge (B) lying above and adjacent to the schizont-infected cell was also devoid of any image, whereas the cells in position C and D still produced a few dark images.

After the perfusion of 0.25M sucrose solution, the schizontinfected cell became almost completely separated from the substrate: much of the cell produced no image at all by RIM. Surrounding cells lost most of their focal contacts and assumed a general grey image. This was 'highlighted' with many small areas of white images (Fig. 3.3.23). Re-establishment of the previous RIM image when the



RIM of the same area as shown in Figure 3.3.16 following perfusion with 0.25M sucrose



Parasitised, single, cell as viewed with the phase contrast microscope, (sporozoite marked with an arrow)



Figure 3.3.19

RIM of the same CK cell as shown in Figure 3.3.18 (in M199)

Figure 3.3.20 RIM of the cell shown in Figure 3.3.19 following perfusion with 0.25M sucrose



a) RIM at the beginning of perfusion



b) RIM at the end of perfusion



A schizont infected cell as viewed with the phase contrast microscope (Schizont marked by an arrow)



Figure 3.3.22

RIM of the schizont infected cell shown in Figure 3.3.21 (in M199). (A- schizont; B- area devoid of contacts; C and D- areas with a few, disorganised, focal contacts)



RIM of the schizont infected cell, shown in Figure 3.3.22, following 0.25M sucrose



Figure 3.3.24

RIM of non-parasitised CK cells following coculture for 3 days with parasitised cells (in M199)

culture was perfused again with M199 was not complete.

Many cells in a parasitised culture showed contact changes regardless of whether they were infected. It was therefore of interest to ascertain if there was a factor transmissable in the medium which could bring about these alterations. Non-parasitised cells were grown in coculture with parasitised cells for 72 hours and then examined with the RIM. The images produced by cocultured non-parasitised cells were identical to those produced by monocultured non-parasitised cells (Fig. 3.3.24). The focal contacts were arranged in an ordered fashion along the periphery and several were found scattered in the central region of the epithelial sheet.

3.3.211 Changes associated with the invasion of the parasite

CEF cultures were used to investigate alterations to the adhesion pattern of cells which occurred during invasion by E. tenella sporozoites. These cells were chosen because the sporozoites entered and left them in greater numbers and more rapidly than they did the CK cells in the same conditions. Furthermore a great deal of work has been done on the RIM patterns of CEF cells at many stages of their growth and so any changes to the RIM image caused by invading sporozoites could be more accurately assessed. Fig. 3.3.25 is of sporozoites invading three day old secondary CEF cultures. Intracellular sporozoites are marked by arrows. Fig. 3.3.26 is the corresponding RIM image produced by these cells. Focal contacts were present mainly along the edges of the cells. The 'close contact' pattern, producing a grey image, was associated with most of the remaining cell area. This pattern has been described previously as typical of such fibroblasts (King et al 1979) and so the invasion of



CEF cultures a few minutes after the addition of <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites, viewed with the phase contrast microscope. (Intracellular sporozoite marked with an arrow)



Figure 3.3.26

RIM of the same area as shown in Figure 3.3.25 (in M199)

sporozoites into cells did not appear to cause a change in their adhesions.

Sporozoites were inoculated on to newly attached cultures of human neutrophils. Most neutrophils remained uninvaded but a few intracellular sporozoites were observed, (Fig. 3.3.27). Compared with neighbouring uninfected neutrophils (double arrows) the infected neutrophil (single arrow) assumed a more rounded shape. When this group of cells was observed with the RIM the images were markedly different (Fig. 3.3.28). Uninfected neutrophils gave a characteristic area of focal contact which marked the cell body. Neutrophils leave tracks on the substrate as they move and these produce a grey image in the RIM. The infected neutrophil differed from the normal in that the cell body showed no focal contacts and there were no associated tracks. The cell had ceased to move once invaded.

3.3.3 Uptake of ³H-thymidine by parasitised and non-parasitised cells in mono- and co-culture

Evidence from SEM studies of CK cultures (Section 3.3.1) had indicated the presence of a substance or substances in the overlying medium of parasitised cultures which could affect the morphology of non-parasitised cells in parasitised cultures or in co-cultures. A more quantifiable system was chosen to ascertain if the factor(s) involved have more widespread effects. Coccidia are known to stimulate their host cells to take up excess thymidine <u>in vivo</u> and <u>in vitro</u> (Browning <u>et al</u> 1976). This response is not complicated in experimental investigations because of the inability of the parasites themselves to take up an exogenous supply of thymidine (Ouellette, Strout and McDougald 1973). The ability of the parasites, directly or



Human neutrophils with invading $\underline{E. \text{ tenella}}$ sporozoites, as seen with the phase contrast microscope. Double arrow: uninfected neutrophils Single arrow: infected neutrophil



Figure 3.3.28

RIM of the same neutrophils as seen in Figure 3.3.27 (in M199)

through their host cells, to stimulate additional uptake of thymidine by non-parasitised cells was investigated using the technique of coculture previously described (2.4.6 and 3.3.1). Cultures were pulsed at various intervals for 1 hour with a solution of HESS containing ³H-thymidine at 2 μ Ci/ml, and the coverslips processed for autoradiography as described in section 2.7.2. Thymidine uptake was measured by counting the percentage of labelled nuclei and the results for the three types of cultures are given in Fig. 3.3.29. Autoradiography was used to analyse the uptake as it provided an answer to whether any observed stimulation was a qualitative or quantitative effect; such information could not have been obtained from scintillation counts.

The background level of incorporation was low, with just over 3% of the nuclei being labelled. This fell unaccountably in the parasitised culture at 12 hours p.i. However, after 12 hours parasitised and non-parasitised monocultures showed increased labelling, reaching a maximum level at 20 hours p.i. The parasitised cultures showed a percentage labelling of the nuclei of 8.35 ± 0.40, and the non-parasitised 4.73 ± 0.36 . When the non-parasitised cells were placed in coculture they showed a percentage labelling of their nuclei of $7.47 \stackrel{+}{-} 0.39$, intermediate between these two values, (significant, p <0.001, Student's t test). This uptake reached a peak at 20 hours p.i., or 8 hours from the beginning of coculture. Most parasites had invaded by twelve hours following inoculation (Fig. 3.2.1) and this, together with the fact that parasitised cultures were washed free of all non-invaded sporozoites at 12 hours, indicated that the stimulation of thymidine uptake in parasitised and cocultured nonparasitised cultures was an effect of the invaded and not the free

Fig. 3. 3. 29. Uptake of ³H Thymidine: effect on host cell response when non-parasitised cells are cocultured with parasitised cells.



parasites.

At 48 hours the number of labelled nuclei began to decline in the parasitised cultures. This decline became noticeable at an earlier stage in both the non-parasitised cultures (at 36 hours p.i.) and by 48 hours p.i. both these had returned to the background level.

The ratio of labelled to non-labelled nuclei was compared between non-parasitised cells growing in coculture and those cells from the parasitised culture (hereafter referred to as the mixed culture) which were in fact non-parasitised (Fig. 3.3.30). The results indicated there was no real difference between the thymidine uptake of either of the populations, suggesting that non-parasitised cells growing amongst the parasitised cells in a mixed culture were receiving no more stimulation than those in touch with the parasitised cells only via the medium.

However, the ratio of labelled to non-labelled cells was greater in the parasitised cells than the non-parasitised cells from the mixed culture (Fig. 3.3.31). Consequently when the ratios of parasitised to non-parasitised cells were compared (Fig. 3.3.32) there was a big difference between that of the non-labelled and labelled cells from this culture. These differences were only evident after 24 hours p.i., 4 hours later than the peak of ³H-thymidine uptake.

3.3.4 Agglutinability with Concanavalin A (Con A)

The lectin Con A was used in agglutination assays of cells from parasitised and non-parasitised cultures. In a preliminary experiment Con A was used at 100µg/ml and the two cultures were assessed for agglutination on a scale of + to +++++. This was performed 2 days after inoculation of parasites on to the cultures, and results are



Fig. 3. 3. 32. Ratio of P/NP in labelled and non-labelled cells.



shown in Table 3.3.1. DNAase was added to all groups as DNA leakage which may occur during the suspension process can cause cells to stick, thereby obscuring true agglutination (Schnebli, 1976).

Table	3.3.1	Agglutinability	of	CK	cells	with	Con	Α

Con A 100µg/ml	DNAase lmg/ml	Parasites	Agglutination Score
\checkmark	\checkmark	- -	++
	\checkmark		++
\checkmark	\checkmark	1	++++
	V	\checkmark	. +

Although the background score was ++, the presence of parasites in CK cells for 2 days increased the agglutination score to ++++. As the score for cells without Con A was also ++ it suggested that this was a value for the background aggregation of the cells and therefore did not represent any real agglutination.

Agglutinability of the parasitised cultures was then assessed with serial dilutions of Con A from 100 μ g/ml to 0.1 μ g/ml, all solutions contained DNAase at lmg/ml. The results are shown in Table 3.3.2.

Concentration of Con A (µg/ml)	Parasites	Agglutination
100		++
100	✓	+++++
10	✓	++·
1	✓	0
0.1	✓	+
-		+++

Table 3.3.2 Agglutinability of parasitised cultures with serial

dilutions of Con A

Background aggregation in these cultures one day p.i. was +++. However, with Con A at 100μ g/ml the agglutinability was +++++ which was higher therefore than the background. At concentrations below 100μ g/ml the parasitised cells did not agglutinate.with Con A. Fig. 3.3.33 and 3.3.34 show the appearance of non-parasitised and parasitised cultures, respectively, following a 30 minute incubation with Con A at 100μ g/ml. The parasitised cultures are noticeably more clumped than the non-parasitised cultures which are composed mainly of single cells.

A comparison was made of the agglutination with Con A of parasitised and non-parasitised cells, which had been fixed prior to the agglutination assay, in a 2% glutaraldehyde solution for 30 minutes at 4°C. The results given in Table 3.3.3.were obtained by counting the number of single cells in every 100. This method was used because the high background made the scoring of clumps by eye rather risky.



The appearance of a suspension of non-parasitised CK cells after 30 minutes incubation with Con A $\,$



Figure 3.3.34

The appearance of a suspension of parasitised CK cells after 30 minutes incubation with Con ${\rm A}$

Group	Con A 100µg/ml	DNA- ase/mg/ml	Parasite	2% glutaraldehyde	% single cells
A	1	1	-	_	58 . 4 [±] 3.96
·B	-	1	~		65.0 ± 2.75
С	1	1	\checkmark	-	41.7 ± 3.49
D	-	1	\checkmark	-	61.3 + 2.52
E	1	1	-	\checkmark	53.6 ± 3.93
F	-	1	-		71.1 - 1.63
G	1	1	✓	✓	50 . 1 ⁺ 3.50
Н	- ·	1	\checkmark	1	74.1 - 2.97

Table 3.3.3 Agglutinability of fixed cells with Con A

The data from this experiment were analysed using a three way analysis of variance. This statistical test indicated that the presence of parasites and Con A caused a significant decrease in the number of single cells at the end of the incubation period (F test, p < 0.05) when compared with the non-parasitised/Con A group. However, the fixation of parasitised cells with glutaraldehyde caused a significant decrease in agglutinability (F test, p < 0.05) of these cells with Con A, and there was no longer a significant difference between the agglutinability of parasitised and non-parasitised cells (p > 0.05).

3.3.5 Loss of labelled glycoproteins from the surface of cultured cells following parasitisation with E. tenella

Glycoproteins were labelled by growing cells in medium containing 3 H-l-fucose over a period of 72 hours. Changes in the surface glycoproteins of the cells were followed by analysing alterations in the amount of radioactivity associated with the cultures, and by monitoring the appearance of radioactivity in the medium overlying the cells. In the following record of the results the former is referred to as the coverslip preparation and the latter as the supernatant preparation. Initially the changes were monitored over the first 48 hours following inoculation of the cultures with sporozoites. This inoculation was coincident with the removal of the cultures from the radiolabelled solution to the non-labelled solution. The results are shown graphically for the coverslip and supernatant preparations in Fig. 3.3.35. In both groups there was a substantial loss in the radioactivity associated with the coverslip preparations in the first 24 hours. It amounted to a fall in radioactivity of approximately 64%. After 24 hours the fall in radioactivity levelled off in both cultures (Fig. 3.3.35a). With the supernatant preparations, the associated radioactivity rose slowly over the first 24 hours p.i. the increase being the same in both parasitised and non-parasitised cultures. By 48 hours p.i. there was more 3 H-l-fucose in the supernatant of parasitised cultures (Fig. 3.3.35b). Although the increase in radioactivity seen in the supernatants was not as great as the loss from the coverslips, the two results cannot be directly compared as the method of preparation of coverslips and supernatants was not identical and could have resulted in differing amounts of autoquenching.

The greater gain of radioactivity in the supernatant of parasitised cultures could have been due to either i) parasitised cells releasing more glycopeptides into the medium, possibly as a result of proteolytic activity or ii) the loss of whole cells or cell fragments.

The experiment was repeated over a 5 day period, p.i. Loss of radioactivity from the coverslips (Fig. 3.3.36) followed a similar

Fig.3.3.35 Changes in the radioactivity, associated with ³H-l-fucose, following the inoculation of CK cells with <u>E.tenella</u> sporozoites.



Fig. 3.3.36. Loss of radioactivity from CK cells labelled with ³H-l-fucose following inoculation with sporozoites of <u>Etenella</u>.



biphasic pattern to that observed before (Fig. 3.3.35a). There was a great loss over the first 24 hours p.i., and then a slow decline in radioactivity over the following 4 days. The pattern of loss was identical in both cultures.

The difference in radioactivity in the supernatants became increasingly greater over the 5 day period, so that by day 5 p.i. there was nearly twice as much radioactivity associated with the supernatant from parasitised cultures compared with that from nonparasitised cultures (Fig. 3.3.37). However, spinning down the supernatant before the TCA was added removed nearly all radioactivity from the supernatants of both cultures. This suggested that it had been associated with cell membrane fragments, which were present in greater numbers in the supernatants from parasitised cultures, and was not due to small glycopeptide chains released into the supernatants from the cell surface.
Fig. 3. 3. 37.

Gain of radioactivity by the supernatants of <u>C K cultures labelled with</u> ³H-l-fucose and <u>subsequently inoculated with sporozoites of</u> <u>E tenella</u>.



SECTION 4: DISCUSSION

4.1 <u>Tissue Culture</u>

4.1.1 Development of <u>E. tenella</u> in non-host cells from a sporozoite inoculum

Development of <u>E. tenella</u> in non-host cells was poor with no development occurring after invasion (Table 3.1.2), and in some cell lines no intracellular sporozoites were detected. Mammalian cell lines were routinely cultured at 37° C and were unable to adapt to the optimal temperature for <u>E. tenella</u> development (41°C). All cell lines except MDEK grew badly at 41°C and many cells were detached after 48 hours at this temperature in experimental and control cultures. These conditions probably account for the failure of the parasite to develop in Flow 11,000, McCoy, MDCK and bladder epithelial cells. The loss of cells in these cultures could have obscured actual sporozoite invasion and is a possible explanation for the lack of intracellular sporozoite at 24 hours p.i. in some cell lines.

Previous attempts to grow <u>E. tenella</u> in mammalian cell lines have met with limited success. Matsuoka <u>et al</u>(1969) used EBTr cells grown at 41°C and reported that the cells remained in good condition and supported the growth of mature second generation schizonts. The, authors mentioned that cell lines in the 35-45th passages were best for parasite development and that after the 50th passage the cells began to deteriorate and cell proliferation slowed down. In the present study, cells from the 55th passage and after were used, which could explain why they were 1) less adaptable to temperature change and 2) less able to support E. tenella development. Shibalova (1970) reported development of mature first generation schizonts in HeLa cells. No mention was made in her report of the temperature at which the cells were grown. However, this cell line failed to grow at 41°C in my experiments and although intra cellular sporozoites were observed, no further development took place.

Patton (1965) found that MDBK cells grown at 41°C supported development of mature first generation schizonts of E. tenella. In the present investigation sporozoites, which had penetrated the cells failed to develop further although the cells themselves remained in good condition. It has been suggested that in CK cultures E. tenella prefers to develop in islets of epithelial cells and that such areas are necessary for gametogony, (Bedrnik 1967b; Doran 1970a,1971c, Strout and Ouellette, 1970). Doran (1971c) later suggested that it was not the epithelial nature of these islets that was the important factor but rather the depth of tissue which they could provide. This concept is supported in the present work where parasites, particularly of the second generation, showed a preference for thick layers of cells (Fig. 3.1.19). The fact that MDBK and other cell lines are "trained" to grow as contact-inhibited monolayers may explain the failure of these cells to provide a satisfactory site for development of E. tenella. It would be interesting to see if the growth of the parasite can take place in the transformed counterparts of these cell lines as these exhibit overgrowth of cells.

The inability of most cell lines to adapt to an increase in temperature, together with their monolayer type of growth, suggests that they are not a satisfactory means of growing and studying <u>E. tenella</u> in vitro.

4.1.2 Development of E. tenella in host cells from a sporozoite inoculum

The greatest development of <u>E. tenella</u> was achieved in kidney cells, derived from either adult or embryonic tissue. Embryonic liver supported development to the mature second generation schizonts, but seemed to lack the necessary requirements for gametogony.

Development in cells derived from other types of embryonic tissue met with varied success though no stages past mature first generation schizonts were observed. No reports of the use of embryonic intestine, lung and spleen for the culture of <u>E. tenella</u> have previously been published, although mature first generation schizonts of <u>E. meleagrimitis</u> were obtained in turkey embryonic intestinal cells, (Doran and Vetterling, 1968).

Occysts were found in only one culture of CEK cells, and were derived from a drug-resistant strain (Fig. 3.1.33). In previous reports of occyst production in CEK or CK cells the macrogametes and microgametocytes have always been described as growing in profusion and very close together. The degree of intimacy varied with reports. Thus Doran (1970a) described them as growing very close together, Klimes <u>et al</u> (1972) described multiple nests of gametocytes and Itagaki <u>et al</u> (1974) said they were often to be found in the same parasitophorous vacuole. Fertilisation occurred frequently in these clusters, to produce occysts (Itagaki et al 1974).

The general failure of occysts to develop in the present study could be attributed to one or more reasons. The cell layer had often deteriorated badly by the seventh day. This in turn would lessen the chance of surviving gametocytes growing to maturity and the accompanying loss of cells, many of them parasitised, would further reduce the numbers of gametocytes present. Why the macrogametes should have failed to reach maturity when mature microgametocytes were often observed is difficult to explain. Gametocytes were rarely found growing close together and free microgametes were not seen. The isolation of macro- and micro-gametocytes may have diminished the possibility of fertilization of gametes.

It seems likely, therefore, that the failure to produce oocysts in cultures containing gametocytes was due to a combination of loss of parasitised cells, lack of maturity of the macrogametes and the absence of a close proximity of developing gametocytes.

The appearance of small schizonts at the time of gametogony, the intermediate size of their merozoites and the fact that they were only seen at days 6 and 7 p.i. indicated that they were of the third generation. Although two asexual generations is normal, Tyzzer (1929) described a third generation <u>in vivo</u> and Doran (1970a) and Strout and Ouellette (1970) described the appearance of third generation schizonts in vitro.

4.1.3 Growth in irradiated CK cells

Growth of <u>E. tenella</u> was limited in irradiated CK cells. These cells had their DNA synthetic mechanism damaged by irradiation as shown by their failure to take up ³H-thymidine (³H-TdR). Induction of an enhanced uptake of ³H-TdR by the host cell nucleus in parasitised cells has been demonstrated <u>in vivo</u> for <u>E. tenella</u> (Fernando <u>et al</u>, 1974; Beyer and Shibalova, 1974) and <u>E. necatrix</u> (Fernando <u>et al</u>, 1974) and <u>in vitro</u> for <u>E. tenella</u> growing in MDBK cells (Browning <u>et al</u>, 1976) and in CEL cells (Latter, 1977). Furthermore, results in Section 3.3.3 indicated that a developing parasite induces and maintains the

high level of incorporation of 3 H-TdR by the host cell nucleus during its intracellular development. A developing parasite must necessarily place a big demand on the host cell for nutrition and supply of metabolites and so any host cell which cannot respond by increasing its protein output as a direct result of increased transcription of RNA, will fail to support the parasite's development. This increased RNA transcription would be facilitated by the production of more than one copy of DNA, as signified by the enhanced uptake of 3 H-TdR. Irradiated cells would not be able to respond in this way, and the failure of the parasite to develop in these cultures suggests that such a response is a necessary requirement placed upon the host cell by the parasite.

4.1.4 Growth of drug-resistant strains of E. tenella in vitro

Little difference was seen in the growth of normal and 'Deqox' (decoquinate) resistant strains after 7 days in culture. However, using the same strains, oocyst production from birds was slightly lower in the normal strain. Augustine, Vetterling and Doran (1977) found growth in culture and oocyst production in chickens were similar when they compared a normal with a buquinolate-resistant strain of <u>E. tenella</u>. Buquinolate and decoquinate are similar compounds both being anticoccidial quinolones.

The Pancoxin resistant strain grew better in culture than the normal strain of <u>E. tenella</u> at 7 days p.i. and also gave a higher output of oocysts from chickens. Pancoxin contains 18% amprolium, 10.8% sulphaquinoxaline and 0.9% ethopabate. All three drugs interfere with cofactor synthesis, amprolium affecting thiamine pyrophosphate production by inhibiting the uptake of thiamine by the parasite (Ryley 1972) and sulphaquinoxaline and ethopabate affecting the synthesis of tetrahydrofolate (Gutteridge and Coombs, 1977).

In respect of growth in culture and oocyst production in chickens Augustine et al (1977) found no differences between a normal strain of E. tenella and one which was resistant to amprolium. They found that initially amprolium-resistant strains showed a delayed development of the first generation schizonts, despite a similarity of invasion by the sporozoites at 24 hours p.i. This difference was no longer evident at 72 hours p.i. Augustine et al (1977) attributed the delayed schizogony to biochemical alterations in the resistant strains. Such alteration they postulated made the strain less dependent upon thiamine by either i) allowing it to function within existing pathways at lower than optimal levels or ii) causing it to develop alternative but less efficient, metabolic pathways to replace those that required thiamine. Thiamine is known to be necessary for gametogony (Warren, 1968) as well as schizogony (Strout and Ouellette, 1973). It is, therefore difficult to see how retardation at the schizogony stage would not have been repeated at gametogony, if as they suggested, the parasite was developing at a lower level of metabolism.

As Pancoxin is only 18% amprolium, and contains two other anticoccidial drugs (sulphaquinoxaline, 10.8%. and ethopabate, 0.9%) the development of the Pancoxin-resistant strain in chicks and in cell culture is not strictly comparable with development described for the amprolium-resistant strain by Augustine <u>et al</u> (1977). Furthermore, Augustine <u>et al</u> (1977) used the strain <u>E. tenella</u> (Beltsville), whereas in this study <u>E. tenella</u> (Weybridge) was used. It is conceivable that selection from <u>E. tenella</u> (Beltsville) for strains resistant to amprolium alone, produced a mutant which differed from the mutant selected from <u>E. tenella</u> (Weybridge) for resistance to amprolium, sulphaquinoxaline and ethopabate.

Alternatively, differences could have been due to the type of medium used to maintain the cells during parasite development. Augustine <u>et al</u> (1977) used Hank's Balanced Salt Solution (HESS) with 5% lactalbumin hydrolysate (L.H., 2.5% solution in HESS) and 5% foetal calf serum. In the present study the maintenance medium was Medium 199 (M199) with 1% Yeast (4% solution in HESS) and 1% calf serum. M199 is a much more nutritious medium and could have encouraged the exploitation of the alternative pathways. However, as medium differences cannot account for the differences in oocyst production in chickens in the two reports, it is more likely that differences existing between these two amprolium-resistant strains were due to their selection from two different parent strains.

4.1.5 Morphology of schizonts and gamonts of E. tenella

The morphology of the schizonts observed in this study corresponded to the two basic patterns described for <u>E. tenella</u> by Strout and Ouellette (1970). The conventional schizont, resembling the <u>in</u> <u>vivo</u> type, had the merozoites arranged in a rosette pattern around a residual mass. The haphazard arrangement often seen in schizonts (Figs. 3.1.17 and 3.1.23) probably represented a later stage of this type of schizont development. Kheysin (1972) suggested that the haphazard arrangement of merozoites was due to movement around the residuum. Furthermore as merozoites are known to become spontaneously activated once they have broken away from the residuum (Strout and Ouellette, 1970) the haphazard arrangement is probably indicative of merozoites just prior to their release.

The second type of schizont was the blastophore type described in vitro for E. tenella by Itagaki et al, (1974); Long, (1969); Strout and Ouellette, (1970). It is not known to occur in vivo for any avian coccidia, although it is characteristic of the in vivo development of E. bovis from cattle, (Hammond, Ernst and Miner, 1966) where schizonts reach large sizes (300 x 200 µm). Blastophore formation may be a mechanism for ensuring that nutrients more easily reach all parts of the schizont, or may provide a greater surface area for budding of merozoites. Itagaki et al (1974) stated that ... "the blastophore may be an unusual form which has developed in the abnormal environment of cultured cells, ... and may be concerned with the intake of nutrients"... Apart from this explanation no other reasons for their development in cell culture have been proposed. They are unlikely to develop as a mechanism for coping with size as the dimensions of schizonts in vitro are not markedly different from those The blastophore schizonts of E. tenella are not strictly in vivo. comparable to those of E. bovis. In E.bovis the nuclei become distributed in a single peripheral layer and the surface of the schizont becomes infolded to form compartments. These themselves acquire a peripheral layer of nuclei (Hammond, 1973). In E. tenella blastophores the nuclei are found distributed throughout the cytoplasm and are not limited to the surface layer, suggesting they developed from a disin-t tegration of the schizont body into smaller bodies and did not result from infolding of peripheral nuclei.

Microgametocytes were also seen in a subdivided form. Although this morphology has not been mentioned <u>in vitro</u> before, Kheysin (1972) described their appearance in vivo and called them blastophores,

equating them with the microgametoblasts of Tyzzer (1929). In this case they could be expressing a response by the microgametocyte to an abnormally large size, as the microgametocytes often reached much larger sizes in vitro than in vivo (Tables 1.4 and 3.1.5.).

4.1.6 Sizes of intracellular stages

Previous workers have reported the first generation schizonts and merozoites <u>in vitro</u> to be larger than <u>in vivo</u> (see Table 1.4). In the present study the schizonts appeared larger than <u>in vivo</u> $(44 \times 22\mu m \text{ compared with } 24.0 \times 17.3\mu m)$ and the merozoites were comparable in size, or slightly larger.

The second generation schizonts were comparable in size with those found in chicks, when they developed in isolation. Quite commonly there was invasion of limited areas by a profusion of schizonts and these were much smaller than usual. The merozoites of all types of schizont were smaller than <u>in vivo</u> which accords with results from other work (Table 1.4).

The macrogametes were comparable in size to those appearing <u>in vivo</u> but microgametocytes grew much larger. The sizes of the microgametes could not be measured accurately as they were never to be found lying clear of the general mass.

The sizes of the third generation schizonts were slightly larger (19.7 x 18.6 μ m) than those described previously <u>in vivo</u>. (9 x 7.6 μ m; Pellérdy, 1974).

4.1.7 Development of E. necatrix in CK cells from a sporozoite inoculum

No development occurred although previous reports have shown growth to mature second generation schizonts (Doran 1971a) in CK cultures, and the production of oocysts in CAM tissue (Shibalova, 1970). The failure here is not easily accountable for, especially as a considerable number of sporozoites entered the cells. The oocysts were only a month old and thus deterioration of sporozoite viability should not have been a problem.

4.1.8 <u>Development of E. necatrix from second generation merozoites obtained</u> from the chick

Merozoites of <u>E. necatrix</u> failed to enter CK cells and mouse macrophages. Mouse macrophages were chosen as previous, unpublished, results (Sinden, personal communication) indicated massive invasion, by <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites, into these cells over 24 hours. Because of this observation the failure of all merozoites to enter, or be taken up, by macrophages is surprising. They were carefully prepared following the method of Stotish and Wang (1975) and were motile when applied to the cultures. Merozoites are known to be more sensitive than sporozoites. Bedrnik (1969b) found that they entered cells less readily than sporozoites. Long and Speer (1977) also reported that only a limited number invaded cells despite gentle handling and the use of low concentrations of bile salts to stimulate activity. Failure of <u>E. necatrix</u> merozoites to enter CK cells and mouse macrophages must probably be explained on the basis of loss of viability of these merozoites.

The extraction procedure to obtain the merozoites from chickens was only performed a few times and previous experience with the preparation of sporozoite inocula, indicated that the development of sporozoites <u>in vitro</u> improved with practice. Therefore it would be wrong to assume that <u>E. necatrix</u> merozoites were incapable of invading and developing in the cells until the test has been repeated a few more times.

4.2 Invasion into Cells

4.2.1 Invasion into synchronised cells

A synchronised population of cells, with most of the cells in, or about to enter, S phase was more heavily infected with sporozoites of <u>E. tenella</u>, than was an asynchronous population. This suggested that sporozoites have a predilection for cells in the S phase or for those at the G_1/S boundary. A method by which this could be confirmed would be to conduct labelling experiments with ³H-thymidine (³H-TdR) in conjunction with invasion studies: a higher percentage of infected cells containing labelled nuclei compared with non-infected cells would indicate the preference of sporozoites for cells in S phase.

Sporozoites are known to enter and leave cells rapidly in culture before they finally remain intracellular and begin development (Doran 1973). Long and Speer (1977) suggested this was also a feature of <u>in vivo</u> development, with <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites entering and leaving cells on their journey down the intestine, settling down only in the epithelial cells of the caeca. It could be that sporozoites are able to recognise an environment suitable for their development once they are intracellular and leave the cell if their needs are not met. The idea that they only respond to their environment after entry suggests that they cannot recognise cells in the preferred stage of the cell cycle by their cell surface properties.

The preference for G_1/S and S phase cells shown by the invading sporozoites in culture may be related to the type of cell they prefer <u>in vivo</u>. <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites are known to enter and develop in epithelial cells with a proliferative capacity, (Fernando <u>et al</u> 1974). These cells are found at the base of the intestinal crypts. Epithelial cells are eventually sloughed off and lost as they move up the side of the crypt towards the tip of the villus. By choosing these cells <u>E. tenella</u> can complete the first generation schizogony before the host cell is sloughed off.

Proliferative cells have the capacity to enter S phase and <u>E. tenella</u> stimulates an uptake of ³H-TdR by the host cell nucleus (Browning <u>et al</u> 1976). Results from the present study (see Sections 3.1.4 and 3.3.3) indicate that this response is a prerequisite for further development of the sporozoite. It is possible that sporozoites can recognise a factor in the cytoplasm of S phase cells, or those near the G_1/S phase boundary, which indicates that the cells have the capacity to take up ³H-TdR. There is evidence from other cell systems that the trigger to start DNA synthesis is located in the cytoplasm of a cell (Mitchison, 1973).

4.2.2. Mechanism of Invasion into cells

New born calf serum and donor horse serum lowered the invasion of sporozoites into cells; chicken serum was not inhibitory. With the heterologous types (i.e. new born calf and donor horse) the degree of inhibition increased with the concentration of serum added to the inoculation medium. This inhibition was substantially reduced when the serum was inactivated by acid or heat-treatment. Chicken serum was less inhibitory to sporozoite invasion than the heterologous sera regardless of whether it was inactivated or not. Serum is thought to affect the viability of sporozoites (Browning <u>et al</u> 1976) and the lowered invasion observed in the presence of serum could have been due to their loss of viability. However, the results from the pre-incubation of sporozoites with serum showed that the fall off in invasion of cells by sporozoites could not be attributed entirely to their loss of viability.

It is possible that the protease inhibitors in heterologous normal

serum inactivate proteases produced and used by sporozoites during the invasion process, and that these proteases remained unaffected by protease inhibitors present in chicken serum. The involvement of protease inhibitors was tested by using an exogenous supply of inhibitors, added to the inoculation medium in the absence of serum. All the protease inhibitors tested lowered the invasion by <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites to a greater or lesser extent (Figs. 3.2.7 to 3.2.11) and usually in a dose-dependent manner. Pre-incubation of sporozoites with the protease inhibitors showed that these inhibitors were not causing any significant loss of sporozoite viability.

Sporozoa possess an apical complex located at the anterior end of the motile stages (Scholtyseck <u>et al</u>, 1970; Scholtyseck and Mehlhorn, 1970). The secretions of this apical complex (which includes rhoptries and micronemes) have been implicated in the invasion process (see Section 1.3). Possibly the lowered invasion observed in this study was due to a direct action of the protease inhibitors on the rhoptrymicroneme secretions. The nature of these secretion remains equivocal. The original suggestion that they are proteolytic substances (Garnham <u>et al</u> 1960; Scholtyseck and Mehlhorn, 1970) could explain the present results.

Jensen and Edgar (1976) suggested that the secretions from the rhoptries of <u>E. magna</u> may resemble similar rhoptry products believed to be associated with <u>Plasmodium</u> invasion. A histidine-rich polypeptide has been isolated from <u>P. lophurae</u> merozoites (Kilejian, 1976). This protein induced changes to the membranes of duck erythrocytes consistent with a possible role of inducing invagination of the erythrocyte membrane. Furthermore, Jensen and Edgar (1976) drew attention to the similarity between alterations to the parasitophorous vacuolar membrane

which they observed in ultrastructural preparations of <u>E. magna</u> and changes induced by polycationic polypeptides in erythrocyte membranes (Shotton <u>et al</u>, 1975). Polypeptides, with similar characteristics to those from <u>P. lophurae</u> have recently been demonstrated in <u>T. gondii</u> (de Souza and Souto-Padrón, 1978).

Bannister <u>et al</u> (1975) proposed that rhoptry and microneme products of <u>P. knowlesi</u> merozoites were incorporated into the erythrocyte membrane and by disordering the phospholipid bilayer produced the inward invagination associated with merozoite invasion. This hypothesis has since been elaborated (Bannister <u>et al</u> 1977) with the suggestion that these products are preformed 'lamellae which are incorporated directly into the host cell membrane. Results from freeze fracture studies have indicated that this material is lipid-rich/protein-poor (McLaren <u>et al</u>, 1979). Jensen and Edgar (1976) suggested that as an alternative to being polypeptides the secretory products of <u>E. magna</u> rhoptries may resemble those of <u>P. knowlesi</u> (Bannister <u>et al</u> 1975).

In view of the mounting evidence against proteases being involved directly in invasion, the inactivation of protease inhibitors described here must be considered from a different viewpoint.

If the rhoptries of <u>Eimeria</u> spp. contain a polycationic polypeptide similar to that described for <u>P. lophurae</u> (Kilejian 1976) and <u>T. gondii</u> (de Souza and Souto-Padrón 1978) it is likely to be produced and stored in an inactive form. If it were present in the active form whilst still in the rhoptries and micronemes, such a heavy concentration of the polypeptides would be likely to cause total disorganisation of the membranes surrounding these organelles. Enzymes may therefore be present in the rhoptries and micronemes to function as activators for

the stored polypeptides. The exogenously supplied protease inhibitors, and those present in the untreated serum, may have prevented invasion by inactivating these enzymes.

Alternatively if the contents of the rhoptries are formed into lamellar structures prior to invasion, protease inhibitors could be inactivating enzymes involved in this process.

The nature of the rhoptry and microneme products of <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites must remain equivocal until biochemical analyses are performed on purified contents. However, the results obtained here are not inconsistent with the idea that this product is stored in an inactive form, which can be activated by proteolytic enzymes also found in the rhoptries.

4.3 Host Cell Changes

4.3.1 Morphological and Adhesive Changes

Changes to the morphology and the general appearance of cells from parasitised cultures were evident 1 day post-inoculation. They became gradually more widespread with time and appeared to affect parasitised and non-parasitised cells alike. The general deterioration in the condition of cells when parasitised has been described previously (Bedrnik 1969a; Doran and Vetterling 1967b, 1968; Itagaki <u>et al</u> 1974). Clark and Hammond (1969) mentioned that, although cytopathological changes were initially limited to cells parasitised by <u>E. auburnensis</u>, they gradually spread to affect the entire monolayer. They suggested that a toxic substance produced by the parasite was responsible for this change.

By using the scanning electron microscope the gross morphological changes were observed in detail. Firstly the cells began to lose

contact with one another, remaining attached initially by thin cytoplasmic bridges but eventually breaking away completely. Following this they began to round up, appeared to "lift" away from the substrate, and eventually remained attached to the substrate only by means of long retraction fibres. The ends of the retraction fibres represented the original points of adhesion of the cells to the substrate, (Revel, Hoch and Ho, 1974). Eventually the cells became completely detached as indicated by the large areas of the coverslips devoid of cells and the presence in these areas of cellular débris, representing the remains of the attachment sites (Badley, Lloyd, Woods, Carruthers, Allcock and Rees 1978).

Reflection interference microscopy (RIM) was developed as a technique for analysing the separation distance of cells from their substrates (Curtis 1964). Studies by RIM confirmed that there was an increase in the distance between the cell and its substrate in those cells affected by the parasites. All three basic types of contact between cells and their substrates, described by Izzard and Lochner (1976), were seen in the CK cells grown in this study, but the pattern and distribution varied considerably between parasitised and nonparasitised cultures. In non-parasitised cultures, focal contacts, indicating distances of 10-15 nm and represented by dark streaks, were arranged in a neatly aligned manner at the edges of the cells, or the cell sheets. They occurred less frequently at the interior of the cells. The central regions were characterised by areas producing grey images (close contacts) which represented a separation distance of about 30nm. Interspersed with these were occasional areas producing a white image; here the cell was lying about 100-140 nm away from the substrate.

In parasitised cultures this pattern was virtually destroyed and replaced by a disorganised array of images. Focal contacts were rare; rather there was a predominance of dark grey-grey areas, and an increase in the area of the cells producing white images. These facts together with a notable rise in the regions which produced no image at all (and were therefore lying more than 140 nm away from the substrate) showed that parasitised cultures contained cells which were less well adhered. These RIM observations supported those obtained by SEM.

Of several factors involved in cell adhesion (Curtis 1964; Edwards, 1977) one is the long range physical forces. These may be involved in drawing cell-cell and cell-substrate approaches together to allow the formation of chemical bonds to occur. The focal contacts represent the sites of formation of chemical bonds. By lowering the electrolyte concentration of the medium the long range physical forces should extend further from the cell, with the result that the separation from the substrate would increase and adhesion decrease (King, Heaysman and Preston 1979). When the CK cultures were perfused with 0.25M sucrose to lower the electrolyte concentration the RIM images showed that the cells lifted from the substrate. Parasitised and non-parasitised cultures responded initially in a similar way. However, with continued perfusion a difference became noticeable. In the non-parasitised cultures the focal contacts remained and became even more clearly defined against the surrounding grey and white areas. This effect was reversible, with the RIM image reverting to normal when the sucrose was replaced with M199. With parasitised cultures the original dark greygrey areas around the edges of the cells and cell sheets were lost with

continued perfusion with 0.25M sucrose and only a few focal contacts remained. Some cells lifted almost clear of the substrate eventually producing no image at all (Fig. 3.3.23). These changes were not reversible in most parasitised cultures, indicating that these cells had lost their chemical adhesions and could therefore respond to the alterations in electrolyte concentration in a less restrictive manner than the cells from non-parasitised cultures.

From both RIM and SEM studies it was apparent that the morphological and adhesive alterations affected all cells in a parasitised culture regardless of whether they contained a parasite or not. The morphological changes observed by SEM could also be seen in nonparasitised cells grown in coculture with parasitised cells and must therefore have resulted from factors transmitted in the medium from parasitised cells. The factors could either be products of an intracellular parasite or of a parasitised cell. The nature of these products is undetermined but a possible site of their action can be suggested. The shape of a cell is generally held to be a feature of its cytoskeleton. This consists of three main components, the actincontaining microfilaments, 10 nm filaments, and microtubules. This assembly lies just under the cell membrane and by interacting with it through a variety of intermediary contacts it is believed to control many aspects of a cell's shape (Loor 1976). The spreading and flattening of a cell seems to be a property of its microfilament system with the microtubules playing a supportive role in the maintenance and development of cell shape but not actually involved directly in the spread or adhesions of a cell (Lloyd, Smith, Wood and Rees 1977). Disorganisation of actin filaments has been correlated

with the move from a flattened to a rounded morphology (Damskey, Wylie and Buck 1979; Malluci and Wells 1976; Pastan and Willingham 1978; Willingham, Yamada, Yamada, Pouyssegur and Pastan 1977). It is therefore conceivable that the change from a flattened, well spread shape to a more rounded appearance seen in the parasitised and cocultured non-parasitised cells was due to disorganisation of the actin filament bundles. Further, adhesion is closely linked with cell shape because bundles of microfilaments terminate at the edges of cells in the focal contacts, (Abercrombie and Dunn, 1975; Izzard and Lochner, 1976; Heath and Dunn, 1978; Wehland, Osborn and Weber, 1979). The grey areas or close contacts are regions of less organised microfilaments (Heath and Dunn 1978). Therefore the change in RIM images seen when cells were parasitised is consistent with the idea that the microfilament bundles had become disorganised due to some factor, a product of parasitised cultures, present in the overlying medium.

A possible explanation of the apparent anomaly between the expression of morphological changes but not the adhesive changes by cocultured non-parasitised cells, even though both alterations are attributable to microfilament disorganisation, lies in the theory of cell adhesion proposed by Rees <u>et al</u> (1977). They proposed that adhesion was determined by two factors, (a) the internal control ('grip') exerted by the cytoskeleton and (b) the external control ('stick'). The grip control they further subdivided into a bracing grip, which was responsible for the overall shape and stiffness of the cell and a focal grip, which held the molecules involved in cellsubstrate adhesion in an organised configuration at the site of contact of the cell with its substrate. They believed that bracing and focal

grips were capable of acting independently of each other. It is possible, therefore that in cocultured non-parasitised cells the bracing grip had been altered, to give the rounded shape, whereas the focal grip remained untouched, hence the retention of the focal contacts, as seen in the RIM of cocultured non-parasitised cells.

External glycoproteins, thought to be involved with cell-cell and cell-substrate adhesions, are intimately associated with the microfilament bundles in the focal contacts (Lloyd, 1979, review). Recently a glycoprotein fibronectin, has been demonstrated to be linked with the microfilaments inside the cell (Hynes and Destree 1978) and breakdown of microfilament bundles by means of cytochalasin B, caused release of fibronectin from the cell surface (Kurkinen, Wartiovaara, and Vaheri 1978). Fibronectin is known to be involved in the formation and maintenance of cell adhesions (Yamada and Olden 1978) and to induce cell spread (Willingham <u>et al</u> 1977; Ali, Mautner, Lanza and Hynes, 1977). In the parasitised cultures loss of glycoproteins caused by disorganisation of microfilaments could be responsible for a fall in adhesion and detachment of cells.

In summary, it is proposed that an initial depolymerisation of microfilament bundles may cause a loss in cell-cell and cell-substrate adhesions, allowing the cells to round up. With further disorganisation at the actual sites of contact of the cell with its substrate (the 'feet' of Revel <u>et al 1974</u>), the chemical 'glue' may be dissolved, with the result that the cell detaches and floats away.

4.3.2 Enhanced agglutinability with ConA

Decrease in adhesion and cell spread in other cell systems has often been accompanied by increased agglutination of cells with plant

lectins (see review by Nicolson, 1974). The CK cultures parasitised by <u>E. tenella</u> show an increase in the degree of agglutination with ConA, a lectin which is isolated from the jack bean (Nicolson, 1976).

The agglutination of CK cells was sometimes difficult to assess due to a fairly high level of background adhesion apparent in the control groups. The inclusion of DNAase in these controls eliminated the possibility that the clumping resulted from leakage of DNA during the preparation of the cell suspension (Schnebli 1976). Adhesion, although a separate phenomenon from agglutination, may influence agglutination by allowing cells to move near enough to facilitate the formation of agglutinin bridges (Walther 1976). Furthermore, epithelial cells are known to show a certain degree of spontaneous aggregation (Schnebli 1976). These two factors could explain the slight agglutination seen in non-parasitised cells.

Increases in agglutinability with plant lectins has been attributed to the ability of their cell-surface receptors to cluster in the cell membrane (Guerin <u>et al</u> 1974) with clustering being facilitated by an increase in membrane fluidity (Rosenblith, Ukena, Yin, Berlin and Karnovsky 1973). Experiments where cells were prefixed with glutaraldehyde before agglutination seemed to confirm this idea. Inbar <u>et al</u> (1976) suggested that, as prefixation did not lower the amount of ConA bound to cells, but did lower agglutination, then the ability of the receptors to move from a random distribution to a clustered one was necessary for agglutination to occur. In the present study, prefixation of cells from parasitised cultures did lower the agglutinability with ConA so a similar change in receptor mobility in the membrane could be implicated here. However, as no experiments were

performed to see if less ConA was bound to fixed cells, the fact that reduced agglutinability was due to a reduced amount of bound ConA cannot be excluded.

Recently the control of membrane fluidity on receptor mobility has been questioned (Hatten, Scandella, Horwitz and Burger, 1978). Rather a transmembrane control of cell surface receptor mobility would appear to be of prime importance (Clark and Albertini, 1976; Edelman, Wang and Yahara, 1976; Nicolson, 1976). Edelman <u>et al</u> (1976) described this control as a function of a surface modulating assembly, (SMA). The SMA they said was tripartite, consisting of certain surface receptors, microfilaments and associated myosin, and microtubules. It served as a mediator of cell surface receptor-cytoplasmic interactions, and any alterations in the association of the microfilaments and microtubules with the cell membrane would be likely to involve a redistribution of cell surface receptors. Furthermore, ConA-binding sites have been shown to be associated with microfilaments in ovarian granulosa cells (Clark and Albertini, 1976).

With these reports in mind it is possible that the disorganisation of microfilaments, suggested as the cause of the changes in morphology and adhesion in parasitised cultures, could also be the cause of increased agglutinability with ConA seen in these cells.

4.3.3 Release of glycoproteins

CK cells, pre-labelled with ³H-l-fucose, were inoculated with <u>E. tenella</u> sporozoites and the changes in associated radioactivity compared. over 5 days p.i., with non-parasitised cultures. Fucose is a monosaccharide sugar and is mainly incorporated into the cell surface glycoproteins in a terminal position (Watkins <u>et al</u> 1962). Virtually

none is metabolised into other glycolytic products, neither is it used in alternative biosynthetic pathways (Bekesi and Winzler, 1967; Coffey, Miller and Sellinger, 1964). When HeLa cells were labelled with 3 H-1-fucose 70-100% of the total T.C.A. insoluble fraction was associated with the plasma membrane (Atkinson and Summers, 1971). Furthermore, Buck <u>et al</u> (1970) demonstrated that 90% of the fucose label incorporated into BHK cells was recovered as labelled fucose. In view of these results, it was assumed in the present study that most of the 3 H label was still associated with fucose.

²H-l-fucose was rapidly lost from parasitised and non-parasitised cells over the first 24 hours, with only a slight fall occurring in both these cultures after this time. An increase in radioactivity associated with the T.C.A. precipitable fraction of the supernatants from both these cultures occurred, and became more pronounced in the parasitised cultures with time. In a previous report (Urguhart, 1979) this higher level of radioactivity in the supernatant of parasitised cultures was attributed to a greater release of glycoproteins from the cell surface, in response to a proteolytic activity in the medium. This conclusion is now open to some doubt in the light of present findings, when the differences between the radioactivity associated with the supernatants was eliminated by spinning down the supernatants before T.C.A. precipitation. This indicated that the greater radioactivity in the supernatants from parasitised cultures could be almost entirely attributed to the greater loss of whole cells, and membrane fragments as a result of adhesive changes, rather than to glycopeptide segments being released from the cell surface glycoproteins as a result of proteolytic activity.

4.3.4 Enhanced uptake of ³H-thymidine

Parasites stimulated an uptake of ³H-thymidine (³H-TdR) in their host cell nuclei. This was evident by 16 hours p.i. Parasites themselves remained unlabelled throughout the 72 hours of the experiment. This agreed with previous reports that <u>Eimeria</u> spp. were unable to utilise exogenously supplied thymidine (Roberts, Elsner, Shigematsu and Hammond, 1970; Ouellette, Strout and McDougald 1973, 1974; Morgan and Canning 1974). A stimulation of the host cell nuclei following parasitisation has previously been described <u>in vivo</u> (Fernando <u>et al</u> 1974; Fernando and Pasternak 1977; Beyer and Shibalova 1974) and <u>in vitro</u> (Browning et al 1976; Latter, 1977).

The increase in DNA synthesis, although associated with nuclear hypertrophy, is unaccompanied by cell division (Beyer and Shibalova 1974; Fernando <u>et al</u> 1974). The increase in DNA synthesis is likely to be a response of the host cell to cope with the extra demands placed upon it by the parasite.

Previous reports of <u>in vitro</u> stimulation of ³H-TdR uptake were those of Browning <u>et al</u> (1976) who described the effect of <u>E. tenella</u> on incorporation of ³H-TdR by MDBK cells, and of Latter (1977) who described the effect of developing and differentiating second generation schizonts of <u>E. tenella</u> on ³H-TdR incorporation by CEL cells. Browning <u>et al</u> (loc. cit.) mentioned that all cells in a parasitised culture were stimulated to take up thymidine regardless of whether they were parasitised or not, and attributed this to a diffusible substance. This was confirmed in the present work by showing that the stimulus for enhanced ³H-TdR uptake could be transmitted via the medium to non-parasitised cells in coculture. These non-parasitised cultures except that the level of incorporation was not quite as high. Parasitised cultures showed enhanced uptake at 16 hours p.i. (4 hours of coculture), when they were free of invading sporozoites. There was no time lag in response of cocultured nonparasitised cells to the stimulus as they showed enhanced uptake within 4 hours of coculture.

Further evidence for a diffusible factor causing this stimulation comes from a comparison of the labelling indices of non-parasitised cells from parasitised cultures (mixed cultures) with the labelling indices of the non-parasitised cells in coculture. The labelling indices were identical, suggesting that cell-cell stimulation was not as important as cell-medium-cell stimulation. In vivo, this extracellular, diffusible factor, which enhances thymidine uptake, is unlikely to affect neighbouring non-parasitised cells as it would be diluted, and possibly inactivated, by gut fluids and eventually removed from the area by the general movement of the gut contents. Fernando et al (1974) did in fact mention that in vivo there was no evidence to suggest that uninfected cells lying adjacent to schizontinfected cells received a signal to initiate schizogony. However, they believed that the induction of DNA synthesis in the host-cell nucleus was due to the presence of a short-lived factor retained in the host cell at low concentrations.

<u>In vitro</u> and <u>in vivo</u> DNA synthesis by parasitised cells has undoubtedly been placed under a new set of controls. The coculture results obtained here have provided some evidence for the course of events leading to this induced and prolonged DNA synthesis. Over the first 24 hours the ratio of labelled to non-labelled nuclei was identical for i) parasitised and non-parasitised cells in the mixed

cultures (Fig. 3.3.31) and ii) non-parasitised cells from mixed and cocultures (Fig. 3.3.30). After 24 hours the parasitised cells showed an increased proportion of labelled nuclei whereas the proportion fell for both types of non-parasitised cells. Therefore, although initial stimulation of uptake of ³H-TdR was not dependent on the presence of a parasite it did depend on a transmissable factor in the medium. Maintenance of high levels of ³H-TdR incorporation were dependent on the presence of a parasite, and the factor concerned with this maintenance could not transmit its effects via the medium.

Browning <u>et al</u> (1976) described little difference in the labelling indices of parasitised and non-parasitised MDBK cells in their mixed cultures after 24 hours. Although this contrasts with the present results where there was a distinct difference, there is a possible explanation. Few developing stages were found in MDBK cells and, in the absence of developing parasites, the necessary factors which maintain high levels of ³H-TdR incorporation would not be produced and the incorporation would fall in parasitised cells. The abundance of developing stages in the present CK cultures would be associated with the production of the secondary factors and this would in turn enable the parasitised cells to maintain a high level of incorporation.

In conclusion it would appear that parasites which alter the DNA synthetic processes of their host cells or parasitised cells produce two factors. The first is released into the medium and induces other cells to enter S phase and take up ³H-TdR; its production ceases soon after invasion. Later a second factor is produced which is not released, but serves to retain a parasitised cell in S.phase. It is obviously in the interest of the parasite to prevent its host cell undergoing

mitosis by retaining it in a pre-mitotic phase of the cell cycle.

4.3.5 Implications of the host-cell changes for the in vivo development of <u>E. tenella</u>

Changes of the cell surface and membrane observed in tissue culture must be regarded in the light of the role they may play in the life-cycle of <u>E. tenella in vivo</u>. The cells containing young second generation schizonts detach from adjacent epithelial cells and move into the subepithelial tissue of the villus. This detachment could be due to a loss of cell-cell adhesion, evidence for which is suggested in the tissue culture system. The migration of these infected cells also indicates an alteration in the specificity of adhesion because, although the attraction between epithelial cells must decrease, the epithelial cell-lamina propria cell attraction must increase. This may be expressed by an alteration to the cell surface properties.

The importance of the parasite's ability to induce, and maintain, extra rounds of DNA synthesis in the host cell nucleus has been discussed previously. Basically this ability is necessary i) to allow the host cell to cope with the increased demands upon its metabolism made by the developing parasite and ii) to ensure that the parasite's development will not be hindered or stopped by the host cell changing its metabolism in preparation for cell division.

4.3.6 The possible nature of the factor(s) which caused the alterations to the host cell's morphology, adhesion and cell cycle, and its implication in the study of cell regulation

A previous preliminary report suggested that a proteolytic substance produced by the parasite and released into the medium may have

been responsible for the host-cell changes (Urquhart,1979). Despite the now questionable role that proteolysis may be playing in the ³H-1-fucose labelling studies, circumstantial evidence exists to suggest that its involvement in some of these host-cell changes need not be totally excluded. Proteolytic activity is known to i) induce agglutinability with lectins in non-agglutinable cells (Burger 1969), ii) breakdown the cytoskeletal structures of cells (Pollack and Rifkin 1975,1976), with a consequent loss of cell spread (Furcht and Wendelschafer-Crabb 1978), iii) be associated with the release of fibronectin/LETS protein from the cell surface (Hynes 1973) and iv) induce DNA synthesis and cell division (Burger 1970, Sefton and Rubin 1970).

Further investigations could give some indications on the role Ca²⁺ and/or cyclic nucleotides are playing in these host cell responses; these two are generally held to have important roles in the regulation of cellular responses. Therefore, this parasite-host cell <u>in vitro</u> system is of great potential to cell biology, particularly in the study of regulation and control of cellular activity.

4.4 General Conclusions

The present study has indicated that the growth of <u>E. tenella</u> <u>in vitro</u> provides an ideal system for observing host-parasite relationships both during invasion and development. By extending the work on invasion antagonists, advances could possibly be made in understanding the biochemical aspects of invasion. Present knowledge of the effect of <u>E. tenella</u> on its host cell is very limited. The results described here suggest that many of the host cells fundamental processes have been altered but there still remains a great deal to be understood concerning this important topic. Only when basic facts about the parasite's biology, and its interactions with the host, are clearly understood, will the search for controls against this important disease be able to follow a more directional and relevant approach.

REFERENCES

- ABERCROMBIE, M., and DUNN, G.A. 1975. Adhesions of fibroblasts to substratum during contact inhibition observed by interference reflection microscopy. <u>Expl. Cell Res.</u> 92, 57-62.
- AIKAWA, M. and STERLING, C.R. 1974. Intracellular Parasitic Protozoa. Academic Press, New York.
- ALI, I.U., MAUTNER, V., LANZA, R., and HYNES, R.O. 1977. Restoration of normal morphology, adhesion and cytoskeleton in transformed cells by addition of a transformation-sensitive surface protein. <u>Cell 11</u>, 115-126.
- AOKI, N., and KAWANO, T. 1972. Inhibition of plasminogen activators by naturally occurring inhibitors in man. <u>Am.J.Phys.</u> 223, 1334-1337.
- ATKINSON, P.H., and SUMMERS, D.F. 1977. Purification and properties of HeLa plasma membrane. <u>J.biol.Chem.</u> <u>246</u>, 5162-5175.
- AUGUSTINE, P.C., VETTERLING, J.M. and DORAN, D.J. 1977. <u>Eimeria tenella</u>: Growth characteristics of drug-resistant strains in chicks and cell culture. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash.</u> 44, 147-149.
- BADLEY, R.A., LLOYD, C.W., WOODS, A., CARRUTHERS, L., ALLCOCK, C., and REES, D.A. 1978. Mechanisms of Cellular Adhesion. III Preparation and preliminary characterisation of adhesions. <u>Expl.Cell.Res. 117</u>, 231-244.
- BANNISTER, L.H. 1977. The invasion of red cells by <u>Plasmodium</u>. <u>Symposia of the British Society for Parasitology</u>, <u>15</u> (ed. A.E.R. Taylor and R. Muller,) pp 27-55
- BANNISTER, L.H., BUTCHER, G.A., DENNIS, E.D. and MITCHELL, G.H. 1975. Structure and invasive behaviour of <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u> merozoites in vitro. Parasitology 71, 483-91.
- BANNISTER, L.H., BUTCHER, G.A. and MITCHELL, G.H. 1976. Further observations on the nature of merozoites of <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u>. <u>Trans.R.Soc.trop.Med.Hyg.</u> 70, 13.
- BANNISTER, L.H., BUTCHER, G.A., and MITCHELL, G.H. 1977. Recent advances in understanding the invasion of erythrocytes by merozoites of <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u>. <u>Bull. Wld. Hlth. Org. 55</u>, 163-169.
- BEDRNIK, P. 1967a. Further development of the Second generation of <u>Eimeria tenella merozoites in tissue cultures</u>. <u>Folia Parasitol</u>. <u>14</u>, 361-363.
- BEDRNIK, P. 1967b. Development of sexual stages and oocysts from the Second generation of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> merozoites in tissue cultures. Folia Parasitol. 14, 364.

BEDRNIK, P. 1969a. Some results and problems of cultivation of <u>Eimeria</u> <u>tenella</u> in tissue cultures. Acta Vet. (Brno) 38, 31-35.

- BEDRNIK, P. 1969b. Cultivation of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in tissue cultures.
 I. Further development of second generation merozoites in tissue cultures. <u>Acta Protozool. Warszawa</u> 7, 87-98.
- BEDRNIK, P. 1970. Cultivation of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in tissue cultures. II. Factors influencing a further development of second generation merozoites in tissue cultures. Acta Protozool. Warszawa 7, 253-262.
- BEKESI, J.G., and WINZLER, R.J. 1967. The metabolism of plasma glycoproteins. Studies on the incorporation of 1-fucose-1-14C into tissue and serum of normal rats. J.biol.Chem. 242, 3873-3879.
- BERGMANN, V. 1970. Elektromikroskopische Untersuchungen zur Pathogenese der Blinddarmkokzidiose der Hühnerküken. <u>Arch.Exp</u>. Vet.Med. 24, 1169-1184.
- BEYER, T.V. and SHIBALOVA, T.A. 1974. The increase of DNA quantity in the nuclei of chicken caecal cells harbouring second generation schizonts of <u>Eimeria tenella</u>. <u>Parasitologia</u> (<u>Leningrad</u>) <u>8</u>, 449-455. (In Russian).
- BROWNING, R.F., PATTON, W.H., and LYTLE, C.F. 1976. Eimeria cenella: Stimulation of DNA synthesis in infected cultured animal cells. Expl. Parasit. 39, 195-203.
- BUCK, C.A., GLICK, M.C., and WARREN, L. 1970. A comparative study of glycoproteins from the surface of control and Rous sarcoma virus transformed hamster cells. Biochemistry 9, 4567-4576.
- BURGER, M.M. 1969. A difference in the architecture of the surface membrane of normal and virally transformed cells. <u>Proc.natn.</u> <u>Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 62</u>, 994-1001.
- BURGER, M.M. 1970. Proteolytic enzymes initiating cell division and escape from contact inhibition by growth. Nature, London 227, 170-171.
- CHANG, K-P, and DWYER, D.M. 1976. Multiplication of a human parasite (Leishmania donovani) in phagolysosomes of hamster macrophages in vitro. Science, N.Y. 193, 678-679.
- CHANG, K-P, and DWYER, D.M. 1978. <u>Leishmania donovani</u>: Hamster macrophage interactions <u>in vitro</u>: Cell entry, intracellular survival, and multiplication of amastigotes. J.exp.Med. 147, 515-530.
- CHOBOTAR, B., HAMMOND, D.M. and MINER, M.L. 1969. Development of the first-generation schizonts of <u>Eimeria auburnensis</u>. J.Parasit. 55, 385-397.
- CLARK, J.I. and ALBERTINI, D.F. 1976. Filaments, microtubules and colchicine receptors in capped ovarian granulosa cells. In: <u>Cell Motility. Cold Spring Harbor Conferences on Cell Proliferation.</u> <u>3</u> (eds R. Goldman, T. Pollard, J. Rosenbaum) Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York. pp 323-331.
- CLARK, W.N. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1969. Development of <u>Eimeria auburnensis</u> in cell cultures. <u>J.Protozool. 16</u>, 646-654.

CLEAVER, J.E. 1967. Thymidine Metabolism and Cell Kinetics. Elsevier/North Holland, Amsterdam.

- COFFEY, J.W., MILLER, O.N., and SELLINGER, O.Z. 1964. The metabolism of 1-fucose in the rat. <u>J.biol.Chem.</u> 239, 4011-4017.
- CURTIS, A.S.G. 1964. The mechanism of adhesion of cells to glass. A study by interference reflection microscopy. <u>J.Cell Biol.</u> 20, 199-215.

CURTIS, A.S.G. 1973. Cell Adhesion. Prog.biophys.mol.biol. 27, 315-386.

- DAMSKY, C.H., WYLIE, D.E., and BUCK, C.A. 1979. Studies on the function of cell surface glycoproteins. II. Possible role of surface glycoproteins in the control of cytoskeletal organization and surface morphology. J.Cell Biol. 80, 403-415.
- DORAN, D.J. 1969. Cultivation and freezing of poultry coccidia. Acta Vet. (Brno) 38, 25-30.
- DORAN, D.J. 1970a. <u>Eimeria tenella</u>: From sporozoites to oocysts in cell culture. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash.</u> 37, 84-92.
- DORAN, D.J. 1970b. Survival and development of <u>Eimeria adenoides</u> in cell cultures inoculated with sporozoites from cleaned and uncleaned suspensions. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash.</u> 37, 45-48.
- DORAN, D.J. 1971a. Survival and development of 5 species of chicken coccidia in primary kidney cell cultures. J.Parasit. 57, 1135-1137.
- DORAN, D.J. 1971b. Comparative development of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in primary cultures of kidney cells from the chicken, pheasant, partridge and turkey. <u>J. Parasit. 57</u>, 1376-1377.
- DORAN, D.J. 1971c. Increasing the yield of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> oocysts in cell culture. J. Parasit. 57, 891-900.
- DORAN, D.J. 1973. Cultivation of coccidia in avian embryos and cell culture. In: <u>The Coccidia</u> (eds. D.M. Hammond and P.L. Long). <u>University Park Press</u>, <u>Baltimore</u>. pp 183-252.
- DORAN, D.J. and AUGUSTINE, P.C. 1976. Eimeria tenella: Comparative oocyst production in primary cultures of chicken kidney cells maintained in various media systems. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash.</u> 43, 126-128.
- DORAN, D.J. and AUGUSTINE, P.C. 1978. <u>Eimeria tenella</u>: Vitamin requirements for development in primary cultures of chicken kidney cells. <u>J.Protozool. 25</u>, 544-546.
- DORAN, D.J., JAHN, T.L. and RINALDI, R. 1962. Excystation and locomotion of <u>Eimeria acervulina</u> sporozoites. <u>J.Parasit.</u> 48, (Suppl.), 32-33.
- DORAN, D.J. and VETTERLING, J.M. 1967a. Cultivation of the turkey coccidium, <u>Eimeria meleagrimitis</u> Tyzzer 1929, in mammalian cell cultures. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash</u>. <u>34</u>, 59-65.

- DORAN, D.J. and VETTERLING, J.M. 1967b. Comparative cultivation of poultry coccidia in mammalian cell cultures. <u>J.Protozool</u> 14, 657-662.
- DORAN, D.J. and VETTERLING, J.M. 1968. Survival and development of <u>Eimeria meleagrimitis</u> Tyzzer, 1929 in bovine kidney and turkey intestine cell cultures. J.Protozool. 15, 796-802.
- EDELMAN, G.M., WANG, J.L. and YAHARA, I. 1976. Surface-modulating assemblies in mammalian cells. In: <u>Cell Motility</u>. <u>Cold Spring</u> <u>Harbor Conferences on Cell Proliferation</u>, <u>3</u>,(eds. R. Goldman, T. Pollard, J. Rosenbaum). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York. pp. 305-321.
- EDWARDS, J.G. 1977. Cell Adhesion. In: <u>Mammalian Cell Membranes</u> (eds. G.A. Jamieson and D.M. Robinson) <u>3</u>, 32-56. <u>Butterworths</u>, <u>London</u>.
- FAYER, R. 1971. Quinine inhibition of host cell penetration by eimerian sporozoites in vitro. J.Parasitol. 57, 901-905.
- FAYER, R. 1972. Penetration of cultured cells by Eimeria meleagrimitis and Eimeria tenella sporozoites. J.Parasit. 58, 921-927.
- FAYER, R. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1967. Development of first generation schizonts of <u>Eimeria</u> bovis in cultured bovine cells. <u>J.Protozool</u>. <u>14</u>, 764-772.
- FAYER, R., and McLOUGHLIN, D.K. 1970. Effect of amprolium on Eimeria adenoeides in cell culture. J.Parasit. 56, 388-389.
- FAYER, R., MELTON, M.L. and SHEFFIELD, H.G. 1972. Quinine inhibition of host cell penetration by <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>, <u>Besnoitia jellisoni</u>, and <u>Sarcocystis</u> sp. in vitro. J.Parasitol. <u>58</u>, 595-599.
- FAYER, R., ROMANOWSKI, R.D., and VETTERLING, J.M. 1970. The influence of hyaluronidase and hyaluronidase substrates on penetration of cultured cells by eimerian sporozoites. J.Protozool. 17, 432-436.
- FERNANDO, M.A. and McCRAW, B.M. 1977. Changes in the generation cycle of duodenal crypt cells in chickens infected with <u>Eimeria acervulina</u> <u>Z.Parasitkde. 52</u>, 213-218.
- FERNANDO, M.A., and PASTERNAK, J. 1977. Isolation of chick intestinal cells infected with second generation of <u>Eimeria necatrix</u>. Parasitology 74, 19-26.
- FERNANDO, M.A., PASTERNAK, J., BARRELL, R., and STOCKDALE, P.H.G. 1974. Induction of host nuclear DNA synthesis in coccidia-infected chicken intestinal cells. Int.J.Parasit. 4, 267-276.
- FURCHT, L.T. and WENDELSCHAFER-CRABB, G. 1978. Trypsin-induced coordinate alterations in cell shape, cytoskeleton, and intrinsic membrane structure of contact-inhibited cells. <u>Expl. Cell Res</u>. 114, 1-14.
- GALLEY, D.J. and FOERSTER, L.A. 1975. Distribution and loss of phorate residues in the foliage of Broad Bean plants following root uptake of ¹⁴C-labelled phorate. <u>Pestic.Sci.</u> 7, 301-306.

- GARNHAM, P.C.C., BIRD, R.G., and BAKER, J.R. 1960. Electron microscope studies of motile stages of malaria parasites. <u>Trans.R.Soc.trop.</u> <u>Med.Hyg.</u> 54, 274-278.
- GRESHAM, G.A. and CRUICKSHANK, J.G. 1959. Protein synthesis in macrophages containing Eimeria tenella. Nature, London 184, 1153.
- GUERIN, C., ZACHOWSKI, A., PRIGENT, B., PARAF, A., DUNIA, I., DIAWARA, M-A., and BENEDETTI, E.L. 1974. Correlation between the mobility of inner plasma membrane structure and agglutination by concanavalin A in two cell lines of MOPC 173 plastocytoma cells. <u>Proc.natn</u>. Acad.Sci.U.S.A. 71, 114-117.
- GUTTERIDGE, W.E. and COOMES, G.H. 1977. Biochemistry of Parasitic Protozoa. The Macmillan Press Ltd., London.
- HAMMOND, D.M. 1973. Life cycles and development of Coccidia. In: <u>The Coccidia</u> (eds. D.M. Hammond and P.L. Long), <u>University Park</u> <u>Press</u>, <u>Baltimore</u>. pp 45-79.
- HALMOND, D.M., ERNST, J.V. and MINER, M.L. 1966. The development of first generation schizonts of Eimeria bovis. J.Protozool. 13, 559-564.
- HAMMOND, D.M., and FAYER, R. 1968. Cultivation of <u>Eimeria bovis</u> in three established cell lines and in bovine tracheal cell line cultures. J.Parasit. <u>54</u>, 559-568.
- HAMMOND, D.M., FAYER, R., and MINER, M.L. 1969. Further studies on in vitro development of Eimeria bovis and attempts to obtain second-generation schizonts. J.Protozool, 16, 298-302.
- HAMMOND, D.M., SCHOLTYSECK, E., and MINER, M.L. 1967. The fine structure of microgametocytes of <u>Eimeria perforans</u>, <u>E. stiedae</u>, <u>E. bovis</u>, and <u>E. auburnensis</u>. <u>J.Protozool</u>. <u>53</u>, 235-247.
- HATTEN, M.E., SCANDELLA, C.J. HORWITZ, A.F. and BURGER, M.M. 1978. Similarities in the membrane fluidity of 3T3 and SV 101-3T3 cells and its relation to Con A and WGA-induced agglutination. <u>J.biol.Chem.</u> <u>253</u>, 1972-1977.
- HEATH, J.P., and DUNN, G.A. 1978. Cell to substratum contacts of chick fibroblasts and their relation to the microfilament system. A correlated interference-reflexion and high-voltage electronmicroscope study. J.Cell Sci. 29, 197-212.
- HERMANN, R. 1969. Osmotic fragility of normal duck erythrocytes as influenced by extracts of <u>Plasmodium lophurae</u>, <u>P. lophurae</u>-infected cells and plasma. J.Parasit. 55, 626-632.
- HYNES, R.O. and DESTREE, A.T. 1978. Relationship between fibronectin (LETS protein) and actin. <u>Cell 15</u>, 875-886.
- INBAR, M., HUET, C., OSEROFF, A.R., BEN-BASSAT, H., and SACHS, L. 1976. Inhibition of lectin agglutinability by fixation of the cell surface membrane. <u>Biochim.biophys. Acta.</u> <u>311</u>, 594-599.
- ITAGAKI, K., HIRAYAMA, N., TSUBOKURA, M, OTSUKI, K. and TAIRA, Y. 1974. Development of <u>Eimeria tenella</u>, <u>E. brunetti and <u>E. acervulina</u> in cell cultures. Jap.J.vet.Sci. <u>36</u>, 467-482.</u>

- IZZARD, C.S. and LOCHNER, L.R. 1976. Cell-to-substrate contacts in living fibroblasts: an interference reflexion study with an evaluation of the technique. J.Cell.Sci. 21, 129-159.
- JACKSON, A.R.B. 1962. Excystation of <u>Eimeria arloingi</u> (Marotel 1905). Stimuli from the host sheep. <u>Nature, London. 194</u>, 847-849.
- JENSEN, J.B. and EDGAR, S.A. 1976. Possible secretory function of the rhoptries of <u>Eimeria magna</u> during penetration of cultured cells. <u>J.Parasit. 62</u>, 988-992.
- JENSEN, J.B. and EDGAR, S.A. 1978. Fine structure of penetration of cultured cells by <u>Isospora canis</u> sporozoites. <u>J.Protozool</u>. 25, 169-173.
- JENSEN, J.B. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1974. Penetration of <u>Eimeria magna</u> into cultured cells. In: <u>Proceedings 49th Annual Meeting American Society</u> <u>Parasitologists</u>, Kansas City. p.35.
- JENSEN, J.B. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1975. Ultrastructure of the invasion of <u>Eimeria magna</u> sporozoites into cultured cells. <u>J. Protozool</u>. 22, 411-415.
- JONES, T.C. and HIRSCH, J.G. 1972. The interaction between <u>Toxoplasma</u> gondii and mammalian cells. II. The absence of lysosomal fusion with phagocytic vacuoles containing living parasites. <u>J.exp.Med.</u> <u>136</u>, 1173-1194.
- JONES, J.C., YEH, S., and HIRSCH, J.G. 1972. The interaction between <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u> and mammalian cells. I. Mechanism of entry and intracellular fate of the parasite. <u>J.exp.Med. 136</u>, 1157-1172.
- KELLEY, G.L. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1970. Development of <u>Eimeria ninakohlya-kimovae</u> from sheep in cell cultures. <u>J.Protozool. 17</u>, 340-349.
- KELLEY, G.L. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1972. Fine structural aspects of early development of <u>Eimeria ninakohlyakimovae</u> in cultured cells. <u>Z.Parasitkde.</u> <u>38</u>, 271-284.
- KHEYSIN, Y.M. 1972. Life Cycles of Coccidia of Domestic Animals. University Park Press, Baltimore.
- KILEJIAN, A. 1976. Does a histidine-rich protein from <u>Plasmodium</u> <u>lophurae</u> have a function in merozoite penetration? <u>J.Protozool</u>. 23, 272-277.
- KING, C.A., HEAYSMAN, J.E.M., and PRESTON, T.M. 1979. Experimental evidence for the role of long range forces in fibroblast-substrate interaction. <u>Expl. Cell Res. 119</u>, 406-410.
- KLAINER, A.S., KRAHENBUHL, J.L. and Remington, J.S. 1973. Scanning electron microscopy of <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>. J.gen.Microbiol. 75, 111-118.
- KLIMES, B., ROOTES, D.G., and TANIELIAN, Z. 1972. Detection of developmental stages of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in cell culture by indirect fluorescent antibody reaction. <u>Acta Vet.</u> (Brno) 41, 287-291.

KURKINEN, M., WARTIOVAARA, J. and VAHERI, A. 1978. Cytochalasin B releases a major surface-associated glycoprotein, fibronectin, from cultured fibroblasts. <u>Expl. Cell Res. 111</u>, 127-137.
- LADDA, R.L. AIKAWA, M. and SPRINZ, H. 1969. Penetration of erythrocytes by merozoites of mammalian and avian parasites. <u>J.Parasit. 65</u>, 633-644.
- LANGRETH, S.G. 1977. Electron microscope cytochemisty of host-parasite membrane interactions in malaria. Bull.Wld.Hlth.Org. 55, 171-178.
- LATTER, V.S. 1977. Nucleic acid metabolism of <u>Eimeria</u> growing in cultivated cells. 5th Int. Cong. Protozool., New York, 380.
- LATTER, V.S. and WILSON, R.G. 1979. Factors influencing the assessment of anticoccidial activity in cell culture. Parasitology 79, 169-175.
- LEE, D.L. and MILLARD, B.J. 1971. Fine structure of the schizonts of Eimeria praecox. Int.J.Parasit. 1, 37-41.
- LEVINE, N.D. 1973. Introduction, History and Taxonomy. In: <u>The Coccidia</u> (eds. D.M. Hammond and P.L. Long). <u>University Park Press</u>, <u>Baltimore</u> pp 1-22.
- LLOYD, C. 1979. Fibronectin: a function at the junction. <u>Nature</u>, London, 279, 473-474.
- LLOYD, C.W., SMITH, C.G., WOODS, A. and REES, A.D. 1977. Mechanisms of Cellular Adhesion. II. The interplay between adhesion, the cytoskeleton and morphology in substrate-attached cells. <u>Expl. Cell</u> <u>Res. 110</u>, 427-437.
- LONG, P.L. 1965. Development of Eimeria tenella in avian embryos. Nature, London. 208, 509-510.
- LONG, P.L. 1969. Observations on the growth of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in cultured cells from the parasitized chorioallantoic membranes by the developing chick embryo. Parasitology 59, 757-765.
- LONG, P.L. 1970a. In vitro culture of Eimeria tenella. J.Parasit. 56, (4 Section 2) 214-215.
- LONG, P.L. 1970b. Some factors affecting the severity of infection with <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in chick embryos. Parasitology 60, 435-447.
- LONG, P.L. 1973. Pathology and pathogenicity of coccidial infections. In: <u>The Coccidia</u> (eds. D.M. Hammond and P.L. Long). <u>University</u> Park Press, Baltimore pp 253-294.
- LONG, P.L. and SPEER, C.A. 1977. Invasion of host cells by coccidia. <u>Symposia of the British Society for Parasitology</u>, <u>15</u> (eds. '.E.R. Taylor and R. Muller,) pp 1-26.

LOOR, F. 1976. Cell surface design. Nature, London 264, 272-273.

LYCKE, E., CARLBERG, K., and NORRBY, R. 1975. Interactions between <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u> and its host cells: function of the penetration enhancing factor of Toxoplasma. Infect.Immunity 11, 853-861.

LYCKE, E., LUND, E., and STRANNEGARD, 0. 1965. Enhancement by lysosome and hyaluronidase of the penetration by <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u> into cultured host cells. Brit.J.exp.Path. 46, 189-199.

- LYCKE, E., and NORRBY, R. 1966. Demonstration of a factor of <u>Toxoplasma</u> gondii enhancing the penetration of <u>Toxoplasma</u> parasites into cultured host cells. <u>Brit.J.exp.Path. 47</u>, 248-256.
- MADDEN, P.A. and VETTERLING, J.M. 1977. Scanning electron microscopy of Eimeria tenella microgametogenesis and fertilization. J.Parasit. 63, 607-610.
- MALLUCI, L. and WELLS, V. 1976. Determination of cell shape by a cell surface protein component. Nature, London, 262, 138-141.
- MATSUOKA, T., CALLENDER, M.E. and SHUMARD, R.F. 1969. Embryonic bovine tracheal cell line for in vitro cultivation of Eimeria tenella. <u>Am.J.vet.Res.</u> 30, 1119-1122.
- McCULLY, R.M., BASSON, P.A., de VOS, V. and de VOS, A.J. 1970. Uterine coccidiosis of the impala caused by <u>Eimeria neitzi</u> species nova Onderstepoort. <u>J.vet.Res.</u> <u>37</u>, 45-48.
- McDOUGALD, L.R., and GALLOWAY, R.B. 1973. Eimeria tenella: Anticoccidial drug activity in cell cultures. Expl. Parasit. 34, 189-196.
- McLAREN, D.J., BANNISTER, L.H., TRIGG, P.I. and BUTCHER, G.A. 1979. Freeze fracture studies on the interaction between the malaria parasite and the host erythrocyte in <u>Plasmodium knowlesi</u> infections. <u>Parasitology</u> 79, 125-139.
- McLAREN, D.J. and PAGET, G.E. 1968. Fine structural study on merozoites of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> with special reference to the conoid apparatus. <u>Parasitology 58</u>, 561-571.
- MILLARD, B.J. and LONG, P.L. 1974. The viability and survival of sporozoites of Eimeria in vitro. Int.J.Parasit. 4, 423-432.
- MITCHISON, J.M. 1973. The biology of the cell cycle. <u>Cambridge University</u> Press.
- MORGAN, K. and CANNING, E.U. 1974. Incorporation of ³H-thymidine and ³H-adenosine by <u>Eimeria tenella</u> grown in chick embryos. <u>J.Parasit.</u> <u>60</u>, 364-367.
- MULLER, B.E.G. 1975. <u>In vitro</u> development from sporozoites to firstgeneration merozoites in <u>Eimeria contorta</u> Haberkorn. <u>Z.Parasitkde</u>. 47, 23-34.
- NACIRI-BONTEMPS, M. 1976. Reproduction of the cycle of coccidia <u>Eimeria</u> <u>acervulina</u> (Tyzzer, 1929) in cell cultures of chicken kidneys. <u>Annls.Rech.vet. 7</u>, 223-230.
- NICOLSON, G.L. 1974. Interactions of lectins with animal cell surfaces. Int.Rev.Cytol. 39, 89-190.
- NICOLSON, G.L. 1976. Transmembrane control of the receptor on normal and tumour cells I. Cytoplasmic influence over cell surface components. Biochim.biophys.Acta. 457, 57-108.
- NOQUEIRA, N. and COHN, Z. 1976. Trypanosoma cruzi: mechanism of entry and intracellular fate in mammalian cells. J.exp.Med. 143, 1402-1420.
- NORRBY, R. 1970. Host cell penetration of <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>. <u>Infect</u>. Immunity 2, 250-255.

- NORRBY, R. 1971. Immunological study of the host cell penetration factor of Toxoplasma gondii. Infect.Immunity 3, 278-286.
- NORRBY, R., and LYCKE, E. 1967. Factors enhancing the host cell penetration of <u>Toxoplasma gondii</u>. J.Bact. 93, 53-58.
- NYBERG, P.A. and KNAPP, S.E. 1970. Effect of sodium hypochlorite on the oocyst wall of Eimeria tenella as shown by electron microscopy. Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash. 37, 32-36.
- OUELLETTE, C.A., STROUT, R.G. and McDOUGALD, L.R. 1973. Incorporation of radioactive pyrimidine nucleosides into DNA and RNA of <u>Eimeria</u> tenella (Coccidia) cultured in vitro. J.Protozool. 20, 150-153.
- OUELLETTE, C.A., STROUT, R.G. and McDOUGALD, L.R. 1974. Thymidylic acid synthesis in <u>Eimeria tenella</u> (Coccidia) cultured <u>in vitro</u>. <u>J.Protozool</u>. <u>21</u>, 398-400.
- PASTAN, I. and WILLINGHAM, M. 1978. Cellular transformation and the 'morphologic phenotype' of transformed cells. <u>Nature, London</u>. 274, 645-650.
- PASTERNAK, J., FERNANDO, M.A., STOCKDALE, P.H.G. and WEBER, D. 1977. Nuclear size and DNA content in host cells during first-generation schizogony of Eimeria zuernii. Parasitology 74, 199-203.
- PATTON, W.H. 1965. <u>Eimeria tenella</u>: Cultivation of the asexual stages in cultured animal cells. <u>Science</u>, N.Y. 150, 767-769.

PELLÉRDY, L. 1974. Coccidia and coccidiosis. Akad.Kiado, Budapest.

- POLLACK, R. and RIFKIN, D. 1975. Actin-containing cables within anchorage dependent rat embryo cells are dissociated by plasmin and trypsin. Cell 6, 495-506.
- POLLACK, R. and RIFKIN, D.B. 1976. Modification of mammalian cell shape: Redistribution of intracellular actin by SV40 virus, proteases, cytochalasin B, and dimethylsulfoxide. In: <u>Cell Motility</u>. <u>Cold</u> <u>Spring Harbor Conferences on Cell Proliferation, 3</u>, Cold pring Harbor Laboratory, Cold Spring Harbor, New York. pp 389-401.
- QUINN, P.J. 1976. The molecular biology of cell membranes. <u>The</u> Macmillan Press, London.
- REES, D.A., LLOYD, C.W. and THOM, D. 1977. Control of grip and stick in cell adhesion through lateral relationships of membrane glycoproteins. Nature, London 267, 124-128.
- REVEL, J.P., HOCH, P. and HO, D. 1974. Adhesion of cultured cells to their substratum. Expl. Cell Res. 84, 207-218.
- ROBERTS, W.L. ELSNER, Y.Y., SHIGEMATSU, A., and HAMMOND, D.M. 1970. Lack of incorporation of ²H-thymidine in <u>Eimeria callospermophili</u> in cell cultures. J.Parasit. 56, 833-834.
- ROBERTS, W.L. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1970. Ultrastructural and cytologic studies of the sporozoites of four <u>Eimeria</u> species. <u>J.Protozool</u>. 17, 76-86.

- ROBERTS, W.L. HAMMOND, D.M., ANDERSON, L.C. and SPEER, C.A. 1970. Ultrastructural Study of Schizogony in <u>Eimeria</u> <u>callospermophili</u>. J.Protozool. 17, 584-592.
- ROBERTS, W.L., HAMMOND, D.M. and SPEER, C.A. 1970. Ultrastructural study of the intra- and extracellular sporozoites of <u>Eimeria callospermophili</u>. J.Parasit. <u>56</u>, 907-917.
- ROBERTS, W.L. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1973. Scanning electron microscope study of invasion of host cells by <u>Eimeria larimerensis</u> sporozoites. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash. 40</u>, 118-123.
- ROBERTS, W.L., SPEER, C.A. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1971. Penetration of <u>Eimeria</u> <u>larimerensis</u> sporozoites into cultured cells as observed with the light and electron microscopes. J.Parasit. 57, 615-625.
- ROSENBLITH, J.Z., UKENA, T.E., YIN, H.H., BERLIN, R.D., and KARNOVSKY, M.J. 1973. A comparative evaluation of the distribution of concanavalin A-binding sites on the surfaces of normal, virally transformed, and protease-treated fibroblasts. <u>Proc.natn.Acad.</u> Sci.U.S.A. 70, 1625-1629.
- RUDZINSKA, M.A. 1976. Ultrastructure of intraerythrocytic <u>Babesia microti</u> with emphasis on the feeding mechanism. J.Protozool. 23, 224-233.
- RYLEY, J.F. 1969. Ultrastructural studies on the sporozoite of Eimeria tenella. Parasitology 59, 67-72.
- RYLEY, J.F. 1972. Biochemistry of coccidia. In: <u>Comparative Biochemistry</u> of Parasites. H. Van den Bossche (ed.), Academic Press, N.Y.
- RYLEY, J.F. 1973. Cytochemistry, Physiology, and Biochemistry. In: <u>The Coccidia</u> (eds. D.M. Hammond and P.L. Long,) <u>University Park Press</u>, <u>Baltimore</u>, pp 145-181.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1971. Studies on the mode of action of the coccidiostat robenidene. Z.Parasitkde. 37, 85-93.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1972a. The development of Eimeria brunetti in tissue culture. J.Parasit. 58, 660-663.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1972b. Growth factor antagonism studies with coccidia in tissue culture. Z.Parasitkde. 40, 31-34.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1972c. Comparative studies with anticoccidials and three species of chicken coccidia in vivo and in vitro. J.Parasit. 58, 664-668.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1975. Laboratory studies with some recent anticoccidials. Parasitology 70, 203-222.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1976a. Drug screening in cell culture for the detection of anticoccidial activity. <u>Parasitology</u> 73, 137-148.
- RYLEY, J.F. and WILSON, R.G. 1976b. Laboratory studies with some older anticoccidials. Parasitology 73, 287-309.
- SAMPSON, J.R. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1972. Fine structural aspects of development of Eimeria alabamensis schizonts in cell cultures. J.Parasit. 58, 311-322.

- SAMPSON, J.R., HAMMOND, D.M. and ERNST, J.V. 1971. Development of <u>Eimeria alabamensis</u> from cattle in mammalian cell cultures. J.Protozool. 18 120-128.
- SCHNEBLI, H.P. 1976. Survey of agglutination techniques. In: <u>Concanavalin A as a tool</u>. (eds. H. Bittiger and H.P. Schnebli) John Wiley and Sons, London pp 249-255.
- SCHOLTYSECK, E. 1953. Beitrag zur Kenntnis des Entwicklungsganges des Hühnercoccids, <u>Eimeria tenella</u>. <u>Arch. Protistenk</u>. 98, 415-465.
- SCHOLTYSECK, E. 1969. Electron microscope studies of the effect upon the host cell of various developmental stages of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in the natural chicken host and in tissue cultures. <u>Acta Vet</u>. (<u>Brno</u>) <u>38</u>, 153-156.
- SCHOLTYSECK, E. 1973. Ultrastructure. In: The Coccidia (eds. D.M. Hammond and P.L. Long) University Park Press, Baltimore pp 81-144.
- SCHOLTYSECK, E. and MEHLHORN, H. 1970. Ultrastructural study of characteristic organelles(paired organelles, micronemes, micropores) of Sporozoa and related organisms. Z.Parasitkde. 34, 97-127.
- SCHOLTYSECK, E., MEHLHORN, H. and FRIEDHOFF, K. 1970. The fine structure of the conoid of Sporozoa and related organisms. Z.Parasitkde. 34, 68-94.
- SEFTON, B.M. and RUBIN, H. 1970. Release from density dependent growth inhibition by proteolytic enzymes. <u>Nature</u>, <u>London 227</u>, 843.
- SHIBALOVA, T.A. 1968. Cultivation of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> on chicken embryos and in tissue cultures (In Russian). <u>Parazitologiya</u>, <u>Leningrad</u> 2, 483-484.
- SHIBALOVA, T.A. 1969. Cultivation of coccidian endogenous stages in chicken embryos and tissue cultures. <u>Progr.Protozool.</u> (<u>III Int.Cong.</u> <u>Protozool.</u>), pp 355-356.
- SHIBALOVA, T.A. 1970. Cultivation of the endogenous stages of chicken coccidia in embryos and tissue cultures. <u>J.Parasit. 56</u> (4; Sect. II, Part I), 315-316.
- SHIBALOVA, T.A. 1974. Electron microscope observations on the development of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> (Sporozoa, coccidia) in tissue culture. I. The fine structure of the sporozoite. <u>Acta.Protozool.</u>, <u>Warszawa 12</u>, 313-317.
- SHOTTON, D.M., ELGSAETER, S. and BRONTON, D. 1975. The influence of spectrin on particle aggregation, surface protein distribution and lipid vesicle blebbing in erythrocyte ghosts. J. Cell Biol. 67, 396a.
- SOFIELD, W.L. and STROUT, R.G. 1974. Amino acids essential for the in vitro cultivation of Eimeria tenella. J.Protozool. 21 (Suppl.), 434.
- de SOUZA, W. and SOUTO-PADRÓN, T. 1978. Ultrastructural localization of basic proteins on the conoid, rhoptries and micronemes of <u>Toxoplasma</u> gondii. <u>Z.Parasitkde</u>. <u>56</u>, 123-129.
- SPEER, C.A., DAVIS, L.R. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1971. Cinemicrographic observations on the development of <u>Eimeria larimerensis</u> in cultured bovine cells. J.Protozool. 18 (Suppl.), 11.

- SPEER, C.A. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1970. Development of Eimeria larimerensis from the Unita ground squirrel in cell culture. Z. Parasitkde. 35, 105-118.
- SPEER, C.A. and HAMMOND, D.M. 1971. Development of first- and secondgeneration schizonts of Eimeria magna from rabbits in cell cultures. Z.Parasitkde. 37, 336-353.
- SPEER, C.A., HAMMOND, D.M. and ANDERSON, L.C. 1970. Development of <u>Eimeria</u> <u>callospermophili</u> and <u>E. bilamellata</u> from the Unita ground squirrel <u>Spermophilus armatus</u>, in cultured cells. J.Protozool. 17, 274-284.
- STOTISH, R.L. and WANG, C.C. 1975. Preparation and purification of merozoites of Eimeria tenella. J.Parasit. 61, 700-703.
- STROUT, R.G. and OUELLETTE, C.A. 1969. Gametogony of Eimeria tenella (Coccidia) in cell cultures. Science, N.Y. 163, 695-696.
- STROUT, R.G. and OUELLETTE, C.A. 1970. Schizogony and gametogony of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in cell culture. <u>Am.J.vet.Res. 31</u>, 911-918.
- STROUT, R.G. and OUELLETTE, C.A. 1971. Detecting the activity of anticoccidial compounds in vitro. J.Protozool. 18 (Suppl.), 12.
- STROUT, C.A. and OUELLETTE, C.A. 1973. Eimeria tenella: Screening of chemotherapeutic compounds in cell cultures. Expl. Parasit. 33, 477-485.
- STROUT, R.G. OUELLETTE, C.A. and GANGI, D.P. 1969a. <u>Eimeria tenella</u>: Temperature and asexual development in cell culture. <u>Expl.Parasit</u>. 25, 324-328.
- STROUT, R.G., OUELLETTE, C.A. and GANGI, D.P. 1969b. Effect of inoculum size on development of <u>Eimeria tenella</u> in cell cultures. <u>J.Parasit.</u> <u>55</u>, 406-411.
- STROUT, R.G., SOLIS, J., SMITH, S.C. and DUNLOP, W.R. 1965. In vitro cultivation of Eimeria acervulina (Coccidia). Expl.Parasit. 17, 241-246.
- TIMOFEEV, B.A. 1971. Presence of hyaluronidase and spreading factor in toxoplasma. Med.Parazitol. 40, 438-440.
- TRAGER, W., LANGRETH, S.G. and PLATZER, E.G. 1972. Viability and fine structure of extracellular <u>Plasmodium lophurae</u> prepared by different methods. <u>Proc.Helminth.Soc.Wash. 39</u> (Special Issue), 220-230.

TYZZER, E.E. 1929. Coccidiosis in gallinaceous birds. Am.J.Hyg. 10, 269-383.

URQUHART, C.M. 1979. Membrane changes in chick kidney cells cultured with Eimeria tenella. J.Protozool. 26, (3. Part 1.), 74A.

VARANI, J., ORR, W., WARD, P.A. 1978. Comparison of cell attachment and caesinolytic activities of five tumour cells types. <u>J.Cell Sci.</u> <u>34</u>, 133-144.

SPEER, C.A., HAMMOND, D.M. and KELLEY, G.L. 1970. Stimulation of motility in merozoites of five Eimeria species by bile salts. J.Parasit. 56, 927-92 de VOS, A.J., HAMMOND, D.M. and SPEER, C.A. 1972. Development of <u>Eimeria</u> <u>crandallis</u> from sheep in cultured cells. <u>J.Protozool.</u> 19, 335-343.

- WAGENBACH, G.E. 1969. Purification of Eimeria tenella sporozoites with glass bead columns. J.Parasit. 55, 833-838.
- WALTHER, B.T. 1976. Mechanisms of cell agglutination by Concanavalin A. In: <u>Concanavalin A as a tool</u> (eds. H. Bittiger and H.P. Schnebli) John Wiley, <u>New York</u>.
- WANG, C.C. and STOTISH, R.L. 1975. Pancreatic chymotrypsin as the essential enzyme for excystation of <u>Eimeria tenella</u>. <u>J.Parasit</u>. <u>61</u>, 923-927.
- WARREN, E.W. 1968. Vitamin requirements of the coccidia of the chicken. <u>Parasitology 58</u>, 137-148.
- WATKINS, W.M., ZARNITZ, M.L., KABAT, E.A. 1962. Development of H Activity by Human Blood - Group B Substance treated with Coffee Bean &-Galactosidase. <u>Nature</u>, London 195, 1204-1206.
- WEHLAND, J., OSBORN, M. and WEBER, K. 1979. Cell-to-substratum contacts in living cells: a direct correlation between interference-reflexion and indirect-immunofluorescence microscopy using antibodies against actin and α-actinin. J.Cell Sci. <u>37</u>, 257-273.
- WILLINGHAM, M.C., YAMADA, K.M., YAMADA, S.S., POUYSSÉQUR, J. and PASTAN, I. 1977. Microfilament bundles and cell shape are related to adhesiveness to substratum and are dissociable from growth control in cultured fibroblasts. <u>Cell</u> 10, 375-380.
- WITLOCK, D.R. and RUFF, M.D. 1977. Comparison of the intestinal surface damage caused by <u>Eimeria mivati</u>, <u>E.necatrix</u>, <u>E.maxima</u>, <u>E.brunetti</u>, and <u>E.acervulina</u> by scanning electron microscopy. <u>J.Parasitol</u>. <u>63</u>, 193-199.
- YAMADA, K.M., and OLDEN, K. 1978. Fibronectins adhesive glycoproteins of cell surface and blood. <u>Nature</u>, <u>London 275</u>, 179-184.

<u>J. Protozool.</u> 26, (3. Part 1.), 74A

225

Ξ.

::

Membrane changes in Chick kidney cells cultured with <u>Fimeria tonella</u>.

CATRIONA N. URQUILART Department of Zoology, Imperial College, London

Chick kidney cells cultured in vitro undergo modifications to the cell membrane, when parasitised by <u>Eimeria</u> tenella. Cells from parasitised cultures showed increased agglutination with the lectin Con. A, 24 hours after inoculation of the cultures with sporozoites. This can be explained by increased lectin receptor mobility within the membranes of cells in these cultures. Hild proteolytic activity has been shown to induce agglutination in cells normally insensitive to lectins, and so the enhanced agglutination with Con A described above could indicate the presence of proteolytic activity within the parasitised cultures.

Additional evidence for proteolytic activity was obtained from experiments which measured the release of glycoproteins labelled with L-3H-fucose from the cell surface. A greater loss of radioactivity was detected from the cells from parasitised cultures over a period from 48 hours to 5 days post-inoculation of sporozoites. Some proteolytic enzymes have been shown to attack amino acid residues in the peptide chains of cell surface glycoproteins. This could explain the loss from the cell surfaces described above. Membrane alterations caused by E. tenella infections were visualised more directly when the morphology of the cells was examined with the scanning electron microscope. Cells from parasitised cultures were less adherent to the coverglasses, allowing the cell boundaries to roll up and produce a more angular outline than that exhibited by cells from non-parasitised cultures. Many more cells were affected than those actually containing parasites, which indicated that the stimulus acted through medium. Evidence that parasitised cells can release a factor into the medium which can affect non-parasitised cells was provided by experiments on thymidine uptake: the uptake of ²H thymidine was enhanced in non-parasitised cultures when they were grown in coculture with parasiticed cells.

Experimental Cell Research 113 (1978) 31-38

THE CORRELATION BETWEEN PLASMINOGEN ACTIVATOR-STIMULATED DNA SYNTHESIS AND CELL MORPHOLOGY IN 3T3 CELLS

CATRIONA URQUHART,¹ P. WHUR,^{2*} MARIA GORDON,² JOANNA J. SILCOX,² D. C. WILLIAMS² and ELIZABETH D. WRIGHT³

¹Imperial College Field Station, Ascot, Berks., ²Marie Curie Memorial Foundation, Oxted, Surrey, and ³Royal Free Hospital Medical School, London, UK

SUMMARY

3T3 cells when grown in co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells under varying conditions of plasminogen activation displayed an increase in thymidine incorporation, suggesting growth stimulation, a decrease in area of cell spread, and loss of cell adhesion as measured by trypsin sensitivity. Evidence is presented that the mechanism whereby plasminogen activator initiates DNA synthesis is by enhancing serum stimulation; this is related to the concomitant changes in cell morphology which may allow greater access of serum to the cells.

The levels of plasminogen activator (a protease which converts serum plasminogen to plasmin [1]) have been shown to be higher in many transformed cells than in normal cells [2], and there is evidence that plasminogen activation is associated with the maintenance of several of the characteristic features of malignant cells. These include altered morphology and multilayering [3, 4], ability to grow in soft agar [3] and fibrinolytic activity [5], but evidence for an association between plasminogen activation and the altered growth kinetics of transformed cells remains equivocal [6, 7]. Previously the growth kinetics of transformed cells has been investigated by the use of indirect methods involving plasmin inhibitors. An alternative approach to the investigation of the link between the growth kinetics of transformed cells and plasminogen activation is to attempt to induce some of the characteristics of malignant cells in normal cells by treatment with proteases or. by co-culture with transformed cells; the products of plasminogen activation by transformed cells being free to act on normal cells when in co-culture. It has been shown that normal cells in co-culture temporarily assume a transformed morphology [4] and we have shown [8] that 3T3 cells (not normally agglutinable) co-cultured with SV40 3T3 cells become agglutinable with concanavalin A (ConA) as a result of plasminogen activation. It is well known that changes in growth kinetics can be induced in normal cells in vitro by mild proteolysis [9, 10, 11], and that their morphology changes to resemble transformed cells [9]. In this paper we investigate the possibility that plasminogen activation by transformed cells initiates cell cycle traverse in cocultured 3T3 cells. In these experiments the 3T3 cells were first grown to confluent quiescence, since when subconfluent they

Exp Cell Res 113 (1978)

^{*} To whom reprint requests should be sent.

produce significant levels of plasminogen activator [12, 13], while at confluence the level of activator produced by 3T3 cells declines to a low level [5, 13]. We found morphological changes and decreased adhesion to substrate concomitant with stimulation of DNA synthesis when 3T3 cells were co-cultured with their transformed counterparts. Our results suggest that plasminogen activation initiates DNA synthesis by enhancing serum stimulation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Cell cultures and co-culture

Swiss mouse 3T3 cells and their transformed equivalents SV40 3T3 were routinely cultured in Dulbecco's Minimal Essential Medium (DMEM) and 10% fetal calf serum (FCS) except under varying conditions described below. The process of co-culture in which 3T3 cells and SV40 3T3 cells are grown in the same medium, but isolated on separate coverslips, and the fact that under these conditions SV40 3T3 cells, but not 3T3 cells, produced detectable plasminogen activator, have been described previously [8].

In experiments designed to minimise serum stimulation the cells were seeded at 1.5×10^4 /cm² and brought to quiescence by incubating for 3 days in 0.5% FCS. They were subsequently co-cultured for 18 h in their original medium to which [³H]TdR (10000 mCi/mmol) had been added to a final concentration of 2 μ Ci/ ml. Lyophilised pig blood plasminogen (Sigma) at 20 μ g/ml was also added to some groups at the onset of co-culture. The 3T3 cells were then fixed in fresh methanol/acetic acid (3:1) for 10 min, washed for 2 h in running water and processed for autoradiography.

in running water and processed for autoradiography. In a modification of these experiments substituting normal serum levels for added plasminogen during co-culture, 3T3 cells were seeded at 1×10^4 /cm² and grown for 5 days in 0.5% calf serum (CS) to produce a subconfluent monolayer. At the time of co-culture fresh medium +10% CS was added. After 19 h cultures were pulsed with 2 μ Ci/ml [³H]TdR for 1 h. They were then washed with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), fixed in 10% trichloroacetic acid (TCA) and then washed for 20 min in distilled water, airdried, and counted on a scintillation counter.

In a third set of experiments 3T3 cells were seeded at 2×10^{5} /cm² and grown for 6 days to confluent quiescence in 10% FCS without a change of medium. They were then co-cultured with equal numbers of SV40 3T3 cells in 10% CS. At 24 h intervals from the time of co-culture the cells were pulsed with 2.0 μ Ci/ml [³H]TdR (22000 mCi/mmol) for 1 h. They were then processed for scintillation counting as described previously.

In order to confirm that similar effects to those attributed to plasminogen activation could be achieved in a plasminogen-free system in the presence of added

Exp Cell Res 113 (1978)

plasmin, 3T3 cells were grown to confluence in 10% CS from which plasminogen had been removed by affinity chromatography on a lysine–Sepharose column. The medium was then changed for fresh medium containing either 1.08, 5.11 or 17.16% of plasminogen-free CS, previously held at pH 3 for 15 min to remove potential plasmin inhibitors, to which was added [^aH]TdR at 7.0 μ Ci/ml. Human plasmin (AB Kabi, Stockholm) was added to some groups at 0.16, 0.8 or 2 casein U/ml. After 24 h TCA precipitates were prepared and counted on a scintillation counter.

Autoradiography

The coverslips were coated with llford L4 and after exposure and staining in Giemsa, 500 cells were examined in each experimental group. Nuclei with grain counts higher than background were counted as positive.

Trypsin-sensitivity test

The time required for cells to round up on their substrate during trypsin treatment was used to detect whether plasmin-mediated proteolysis had occurred in co-culture. The assay was carried out according to the method previously described [14].

Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)

The 3T3 cells were seeded on 13 mm diameter coverslips at 1×10^3 /cm² (low density) and 2×10^5 /cm² (high density). They were cultured in DMEM+10% FCS overnight in 5% CO₂/air at 37°C. They were then mono- or co-cultured for 3 days in fresh medium and processed for SEM as follows. The coverslips were washed once in unsupplemented medium, fixed for 1 h in DMEM+2% glutaraldehyde, washed in PBS for 30 min. They were then fixed in OsO₄ for 1 h, dehydrated and critical point dried.

Planimetry

The cells were photographed under phase contrast and enlargements of known size made. The areas of the cells on these photographs were then measured with a planimeter and the actual area of cell spread was calculated from measurements made on 50 cells.

RESULTS

The initiation of DNA synthesis in quiescent 3T3 cells in co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells

The effect of co-culture on 3T3 cells was investigated by monitoring changes in [³H]-TdR incorporation under a variety of experimental conditions. Since serum stimulates thymidine incorporation and cell division when added to quiescent cultures [15],

Table 1. [³H]TdR incorporation into nuclei by 3T3 cells in 0.5 % FCS after 18 h in coculture with SV40 3T3 cells

Culture conditions	Plas- minogen	% labelled nuclei	P value
Monoculture	_	2.1±0.9	_
Monoculture	+	2.8 ± 1.3	>0.1
Co-culture	-	3.5 ± 0.8	>0.1
Co-culture	+	9.7±0.9	< 0.001

The techniques used for co-culture have been previously described [8]. Lyophylized pig blood plasminogen (Sigma; 2.5 U/mg was added at 20 μ g/ml). 3T3 cells retained in monoculture were grown at the same cell-to-medium ratio as the total cell population in co-culture. Five groups of 100 nuclei were examined to obtain each count and were considered positive if labelling exceeded background levels.

three types of experiments were undertaken using different serum concentrations and cell densities in order to distinguish between the effects of serum stimulation and plasminogen activation on [3H]TdR incorporation, and to investigate the relationship between these two factors. (1) In order to minimise serum effects cells were seeded at low density in Dulbecco's medium (DMEM) with 0.5% FCS to produce a guiescent subconfluent population, and co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells was then carried out in a similar fresh medium. Because plasminogen may have become limiting in 0.5%serum it was added to some groups. The [³H]TdR nuclear labelling index for the 3T3 cells was measured in autoradiographs after 18 h in co-culture. Previous experiments on the growth of 3T3 cells brought to quiescence in 0.5% serum had shown that the peak of S phase occurred at 18 h following growth stimulation. A five-fold increase in nuclear labelling index occurred in co-cultured 3T3 cells, but only in the presence of added plasminogen (table 1). This indicates that a combination of plasminogen and SV40 3T3 cells, which activate the plas-



Fig. 1. Abscissa: no. of days for which 3T3 cells were co-cultured with SV40 3T3 cells; *ordinate:* [³H]TdR uptake (cpm×10⁻⁴) by 3T3 cells.

[³H]TdR incorporation by 3T3 cells in high serum during 4 days in co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells. Cultures were pulsed with 2 μ Ci/ml [³H]TdR for 1 h and TCA precipitates were counted. Each point is a mean of 8 samples; data for days 1-4 is presented as least squares regression line plots. On all days the rates of uptake in co-culture (\bigcirc - \bigcirc) are significantly higher than in monoculture (\bigcirc - \bigcirc) (P<0.001). Over this period the rate of decline of label incorporation was exponential (r=0.98, P<0.001 in each case) and was significantly faster (P<0.002) in monoculture (b= 0.901) than in co-culture (b=0.691). The plots have been extrapolated (---) to demonstrate the theoretical extinction of the difference between co-culture and monoculture when serum stimulation is increased.

minogen [6, 8], stimulates quiescent subconfluent cells to synthesise DNA. (2) The [³H]TdR incorporation into 3T3 cells grown to subconfluent quiescence in 0.5% calf serum but without added plasminogen over a 5 day period was investigated. Prior to co-culture incorporation was 301±68 cpm [³H]TdR/cm². Eighteen hours after a change of medium to 10% CS 3T3 cells in monoculture incorporated 1462±87 cpm [³H]TdR/cm² compared with 3T3 cells in co-culture which had reached levels of incorporation of 2025±122 cpm [3H]TdR/cm² (P < 0.01). This experiment indicates that the addition of plasminogen alone is not a cause of DNA synthesis, as both monoand co-culture had plasminogen available from the serum. However, the relationship between the effects of plasminogen activation and serum stimulation remain equivocal at this stage.



Figs 2–5. The effect of coculture on the morphology of 3T3 cells. 3T3 cells were seeded at low (figs 2, 3) or high (confluent) density (figs 4, 5) in DMEM+10% FCS, and were grown for 3 days in monoculture (figs 2, 4) or in co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells (figs 3, 5). Subconfluent monocultured cells are more closely apposed to the substrate than they are in coculture, and at confluence this change in adhesiveness causes fissures to appear in the monolayer. ×1900.



Table 2. Changes in mean cell area of 3T3 cells over a 3-day period in co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells

Day of expt	Area of co- cultured 3T3 $(\times 10^{-4} \ \mu m^2)$	Area of mono- cultured 3T3 $(\times 10^{-4} \ \mu m^2)$	P value
0	_	144+12	
1	81±13	97± 9	<0.1
2	58 ± 7	81± 8	< 0.05
3	52± 4	83± 9	<0.01

We therefore investigated the relationship between plasminogen activation and serum stimulation by monitoring [3H]TdR incorporation into 3T3 cells grown to confluent quiescence in DMEM+10% calf serum over a 5-day period and subsequently co-cultured in fresh medium (fig. 1). After 24 h the incorporation of thymidine increased from a background level of 547 ± 9 cpm to 21769±1115 cpm in monoculture and to significantly higher levels (P < 0.001) of 30293±394 in co-culture. As serum stimulation declined co-cultured cells incorporated relatively larger amounts of [³H]TdR than did control cells. This result demonstrates that confluent as well as subconfluent co-cultures are susceptible to plasminogen activation-mediated DNA synthesis and that the effect becomes increasingly prominent as the cells return to the quiescent state. In order to provide more convincing evidence that the observed changes were attributable to plasminogen activation, 3T3 cells were grown to confluence in plasminogen-free CS and subsequently challenged with fresh medium containing different levels of fresh plasminogen-free and plasmin inhibitor-free serum, to which a pure preparation of plasmin had been added. We found that in medium containing low (1.08%) levels of serum, the addition of plasmin at 0.16 casein units/ml

Exp Cell Res 113 (1978)

increased [3 H]TdR uptake from 44372± 7165 dpm to 94727±18722 dpm (P < 0.05). However at higher serum levels (5.11 and 17.16%) although serum alone significantly increased [3 H]TdR incorporation, no extra DNA synthesis was stimulated by the addition of plasmin. Thus plasmin enhanced DNA synthesis in previously quiescent 3T3 cells, but only when serum stimulation was suboptimal.

Changes in morphology and trypsin sensitivity of 3T3 cells in co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells

Protease treatment can cause alterations in normal cell morphology [9], adhesion [16], and anchorage-dependent growth [17] reminiscent of transformed cells; it is possible that 3T3 cells in co-culture assume the morphological [4] and adhesive characteristics of transformed cells. Morphological changes in the 3T3 cells were assessed quantitatively over a 3-day period by planimetry (table 2). The mean cell area of subconfluent populations of 3T3 cells de-



Fig. 6. Abscissa: incubation time (min); ordinate: percentage of 3T3 cells rounding up in trypsin solution.

Following 3 days of mono- or co-culture, 3T3 cells on coverslips were placed in 0.025% trypsin (10800 BAEE U/mg) for varying lengths of time from 0–12 min. Photographs taken at each time interval were analysed for the percentage of cells rounded up in each group. Cells in co-culture were significantly more trypsin sensitive. $\bullet - \bullet$, Co-culture; $\circ - \circ$, monoculture; P < 0.05 at 12 min. creased, but the decrease was significantly greater in co-cultured cells than in monocultured cells (P < 0.01). These changes were reflected in scanning electron micrographs of confluent and subconfluent 3T3 cells (figs 2–5). The greater decrease in cell area in co-culture was due to lateral rounding and consequent partial loss of close apposition between cell and substrate (figs 2 and 3). In confluent co-cultured cells this change is associated with the presence of fissures in the cell sheet (figs 4 and 5).

Since in normal cells there is a linear relationship between cell area and trypsin sensitivity [14] we compared the trypsin sensitivity of monocultured with co-cultured 3T3 cells, using the technique previously described [14], in order to quantify the degree of loss of adhesion due to plasminogen activation implied by the decrease in area of co-cultured 3T3 cells described above. On day 3, $39\pm10\%$ of 3T3 cells in co-culture compared with $9\pm4\%$ (P<0.05) of cells in monoculture rounded up completely after 12 min in 0.025% trypsin (10800 U/mg) (fig. 6).

DISCUSSION

When 3T3 cells were grown to subconfluent quiescence in 0.5% FCS and subsequently transferred to co-culture with SV40 3T3 cells the number of cells in S phase increased five-fold in the presence of added plasminogen (table 1). These results indicate that under conditions of plasminogen activation in which SV40 3T3 activator converts added plasminogen to plasmin [8] a proportion of quiescent cells are stimulated to traverse the cell cycle. DNA synthesis also increases in similar experiments where cells are transferred to 10% serum at coculture to obviate the need for added plasminogen. Under those physiologically more

Plasminogen activation and 3T3 cells 37

normal conditions, however, it is difficult to distinguish the effects of serum stimulation [18] from those of plasminogen activation. In order to investigate the relationship between these two factors a third type of experiment was undertaken in which confluent quiescent 3T3 cells were serum stimulated and simultaneously subjected to plasminogen activation by transferring to co-culture. The relationship between the two was then monitored by observing changes in the rates of thymidine incorporation as serum stimulation declined over the next few days (fig. 1). This showed that the effect of plasminogen activation became relatively more pronounced as serum stimulation declined. Extrapolation from this data indicates a theoretical point at which serum stimulation is maximal and where plasminogen activation has no additional effect. The simplest interpretation of this data is that the effect of plasminogen activation is to enhance the effects of serum stimulation when the latter is suboptimal. This view is supported by our observation that, in the absence of plasminogen, plasmin produces the same effect as plasminogen activation, but only when the serum is present in the fresh medium at very low concentration (1%). The fact that plasmin fails to enhance DNA synthesis when the concentration of serum is raised to 5% or more adds weight to our suggestion that plasminogen activation acts by increasing the response of cells to serum growth factors in the medium when these are in short supply.

When normal fibroblasts are deprived of serum they cease growing and accumulate in the G1 stage of the cell cycle. On serum pulse a proportion of cells becomes irreversibly committed to initiate a round of DNA replication. The committment to enter S phase is a random event of calculable probability [19], the rate constant for transition probability being a function of the duration of the pulse and of serum concentration [20]. The subsequent doubling time of 3T3 cells is not related to serum concentration, but the density at which they become quiescent is [21]. Such quiescent monolayers can be stimulated to divide further by wounding [22] or by disruption of the diffusion boundary layer [23]. In these cases it appears that the end result is to increase the availability of serum growth factors to the cells by disruption of a layer of growth factor-depleted medium overlying the monolayer. In our system plasminogen activation caused rounding up of subconfluent 3T3 cells, associated with a loss in close apposition to the substrate (figs 2 and 3), and these changes may be adequate to disrupt the diffusion boundary layer and allow greater access of growth factors, thus stimulating a new round of DNA synthesis. Alternatively, or additionally, an increase in availability of serum growth factors and subsequent initiation of DNA synthesis could be brought about by a change in cell membrane permeability produced by mild proteolysis [24]. In the case of confluent quiescent monolayers plasminogen activation resulted not only in the rounding up of cells but in the opening of fissures and consequent decrease in cellto-cell contact; these changes would also result in the greater access of undepleted serum to the cells. Our proposal that DNA synthesis was stimulated by the renewed availability of serum growth factors is supported by the observation that neither plasminogen activation nor plasmin initiated additional cell cycle traverse when serum was in plentiful supply.

REFERENCES

- 1. Quigley, J P, Ossowski, L & Reich, E, J biol chem 249 (1974) 4306.
- 2. Goldberg, A R, Cell 2 (1974) 95.
- Ossowski, L, Quigley, J P, Kellerman, G M & Reich, E, J exp med 138 (1973) 1056.
- Ossowski, L, Quigley, J P & Reich, E, J biol chem 249 (1974) 4312.
- Ossowski, L, Unkeless, J C, Tobia, A, Quigley, J P, Rifkin, D B & Reich, E, J exp med 137 (1973) 112.
- Roblin, R, Chou, I N & Black, P H, Cold Spring Harbor conf biol control 2 (1975) 869.
- 7. Goldberg, A R, Wolf, B A & Lefebvre, P A, Cold Spring Harbor conf biol control 2 (1975) 857.
- Whur, P, Koppel, H, Urquhart, C & Williams, D C, Nature 260 (1976) 709.
- Zetter, B R, Chen, L B & Buchanan, J M, Cell 7 (1976) 407.
- Teng, N H H & Chen, L B, Proc natl acad sci US 72 (1975) 413.
- 11. Sefton, B M & Rubin, H, Nature 227 (1970) 843.
- Mott, D M, Fabish, P H, Sani, B P & Sorof, S, Biochem biophys res commun 61 (1974) 621.
- Chou, I N, O'Donnell, S P, Black, P H & Roblin, R O, J cell physiol 91 (1977) 31.
- Whur, P, Koppel, H, Urquhart, C M & Williams, D C, J cell sci 24 (1977) 265.
- Gospodarowicz, D & Moran, J, Exp cell res 90 (1975) 279.
- 16. Weber, M J, Cell 5 (1975) 253.
- 17. Pollack, R & Rifkin, D, Cell 6 (1975) 495.
- Holley, R W & Kiernan, J A, Proc natl acad sci US 60 (1968) 300.
- Smith, J A & Martin, L, Proc natl acad sci US 70 (1973) 1263.
- 20. Brooks, R F, Nature 260 (1976) 248.
- Bartholomew, J C, Yokoto, H & Ross, P, J cell physiol 88 (1976) 277.
- 22. Dulbecco, R & Stoker, M G P, Proc natl acad sci US 66 (1970) 204.
- 23. Stoker, M G P, Nature 246 (1973) 200.
- 24. Noonan, K D, Nature 259 (1976) 573.

Received June 30, 1977

Revised version received November 15, 1977 Accepted November 21, 1977