Prevalence of chronic airflow limitation in Kashmir, North India: results from the BOLD study

P. A. Koul,* N. A. Hakim,* S. A. Malik,* U. H. Khan,* J. Patel,[†] L. Gnatiuc,[†] P. G. J. Burney[‡]

*Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, India; [†]National Heart & Lung Institute (NHLI), Imperial College, London, [‡]Department of Respiratory Epidemiology and Public Health, NHLI, Imperial College London, London, UK

_ S U M M A R Y

BACKGROUND: Data on spirometrically defined chronic airflow limitation (CAL) are scarce in developing countries.

OBJECTIVE: To estimate the prevalence of spirometrically defined CAL in Kashmir, North India.

METHODS: Using Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease survey methods, we administered questionnaires to randomly selected adults aged ≥ 40 years. Post-bronchodilator spirometry was performed to estimate the prevalence of CAL and its relation to potential risk factors.

RESULTS: Of 1100 participants initially recruited, 953 (86.9%) responded and 757 completed acceptable spirometry and questionnaires. The prevalence of a forced expiratory volume in 1 s/forced vital capacity (FEV₁/FVC) ratio less than the lower limit of normal was 17.3% (4.5) in males and 14.8% (2.1) in females.

CHRONIC OBSTRUCTIVE PULMONARY disease (COPD), a disorder of chronic airflow limitation (CAL) not reversed by bronchodilators (BDs), is now the third most common cause of death worldwide.¹ While most available information on COPD prevalence and morbidity comes from high-income countries, it is known that low- and middle-income countries already shoulder much of the burden of COPD mortality, with almost 90% of COPD deaths occurring in these countries.¹ While previous estimates of prevalence have used self-reported doctor diagnosis of COPD, the routine use of spirometry has shown a wide gap between these estimates and the actual burden as a result of underdiagnosis.^{2–7}

Data from the Indian subcontinent are sparse and based mostly on questionnaire surveys.^{8–12} Crude estimates suggest that there are about 30 million COPD patients in India,¹⁰ with prevalence rates ranging from 2% to 22% in males and 12% to 19% in females. In a recent countrywide questionnaire-based survey in India, the prevalence of chronic

Risk factors for CAL included higher age, cooking with wood and lower educational status. The prevalence of current smoking was 61% in males and 22% in females; most smoked *hookahs*. CAL was found equally in nonsmoking males and females, and was independently associated with the use of the hookah, family history of respiratory disease and poor education. A self-reported doctor's diagnosis of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease was reported in 8.4/1000 (0.9% of females and 0.8% of males).

CONCLUSION: Spirometrically confirmed CAL is highly prevalent in Indian Kashmir, and seems to be related to the high prevalence of smoking, predominantly in the form of hookah smoking.

KEY WORDS: chronic airflow limitation; COPD; spirometry; smoking; prevalence

bronchitis was reported at 3.5% in adults aged ≥ 35 years (median prevalence 4.3% in males and 2.7% in females).¹⁰ The only spirometry-based study from India has reported a prevalence nearly two-fold higher,¹¹ suggesting that the true burden is much higher than the reported burden.¹²

The Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) study measures COPD prevalence in multiple countries based on standardised, quality controlled post-BD spirometry.¹³ The present study was designed to measure COPD prevalence in Kashmir, North India, using the BOLD protocol.

METHODS

Study population

We surveyed a sex-stratified random sample of the inhabitants of Hajan (Bandipora), Kashmir, India, aged ≥ 40 years. Participants were selected from information available from the Census department of the state of Jammu and Kashmir (J&K). The survey

Correspondence to: Parvaiz A Koul, Department of Internal & Pulmonary Medicine, SheriKashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Soura, Srinagar 190011, Jammu & Kashmir, India. Fax: (+91) 194 240 3470. e-mail: parvaizk@gmail.com *Article submitted 3 December 2015. Final version accepted 28 May 2016.*

was conducted among non-institutionalised residents of the Bandipora District (population 210017). Five of the 115 regions that make up Bandipora District— Dangerpora, Hajan, Madwan, Nesbal and Sumbal were selected, and random sampling of subregions was used within each region to select the final sexstratified sample for the study. The population of rural Kashmir is almost entirely Muslim, with approximately 54% males.

We used the BOLD protocol to design and complete the study using trained, certified personnel.14 All data collected were quality controlled on a weekly/bi-weekly basis by the BOLD Coordinating Centre in London, United Kingdom. Following an initial house visit, potential participants were contacted by phone or in person to schedule a visit to the participant's home. For all subjects contacted, answers to a minimal data questionnaire were obtained. Of the 1100 individuals whom we attempted to contact, 3 were untraceable, 2 were unreachable and 12 had permanently moved from the area. Of the remaining 1083 eligible participants, 953 (88%) completed the full protocol and performed spirometry (responders). Of these, 757 performed acceptable spirometry and constitute the sample for this analysis.

Study methodology

A team from the BOLD Coordinating Centre team from London trained and certified the staff at Pune in February 2010. Questionnaires were translated from English into Kashmiri, then back-translated into English by independent translators, according to the International Quality of Life Assessment (IQOLA) protocol. The back-translation was then compared with the original English versions for accuracy and piloted among 10 volunteers.

The questionnaires were administered to the study participants in their native language through face-to-face interviews. Information was recorded for respiratory symptoms, smoking, other risk factors for COPD, health status, medication use, health care utilisation, comorbidities, respiratory diagnoses, and physical and mental quality of life (Short Form-12 questionnaire, Quality Metrics, Lincoln, RI, USA).¹⁵ The other risk factors for COPD considered here include type of cooking fuel, the use of *kangris* (an earthenware pot with live wood-charcoal cinders carried inside a long robe called '*phiran*' for warmth), history of tuberculosis (TB), education and body mass index (BMI).

Spirometry was performed according to American Thoracic Society (ATS) criteria,¹⁶ using the Easy-One portable spirometer (ndd Medizintechnik; Zurich, Switzerland), with the participant in a seated position. Separate measurements were performed before and at least 15 min after two puffs of salbutamol (200 μ g) administered via metered-dose inhaler with a double valve volumatic spacer (Zero-

stat Spacer, Cipla Limited, Mumbai, India). Spirometry data were transmitted electronically to the BOLD Pulmonary Function Reading Centre in London, where each spirogram was reviewed. A usable spirometry had to meet ATS criteria for acceptability, including having at least three attempts, two of which were acceptable (i.e., free from artefact, sudden stops and back-extrapolated volumes of >5.0% of forced vital capacity [FVC]), with a difference between the largest and second largest values of <200 ml for both forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁) and FVC.¹⁷ The spirometer was calibrated daily with a 3 l syringe, and study staff were continuously monitored. Whenever technicians' quality scores dropped below a preset level, they were required to stop testing and undergo retraining and recertification.¹⁴

Definitions

The US National Health and Nutrition Examination Study III (NHANES III) Caucasian reference equations¹⁸ were used to calculate the lower limit of normal (LLN) and the per cent predicted values for the primary analysis. The LLN is the value exceeded by 95% of a 'normal' population, defined as asymptomatic life-time non-smokers. We report COPD stage 1 or higher defined by the lower limits of normal (LLN) for post-BD FEV₁/FVC based on the NHANES Caucasian reference equations for age and sex. Post-BD FEV₁ <80% of predicted was used for COPD stage 2 or higher.

Doctor-diagnosed COPD was defined as selfreported physician's diagnosis of chronic bronchitis, emphysema or COPD. The number of self-reported pack-years of cigarettes smoked was defined as the average number of cigarettes smoked per day divided by 20 (i.e., packs per day) times the duration of smoking in years. An equivalent value for pipefuls of tobacco smoked from a *hookah* was taken from the Smoking Pack Years Calculator.¹⁹ Education was divided into none, primary and above primary education.

Analysis

Prevalence estimates were calculated for the overall Hajan population, as well as for subgroups defined by sex and either age or 'pack-years' or equivalent of smoking, using survey weights. These analyses were performed using Stata 12 software (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA). Mean FEV₁/FVC was estimated for sex and 10-year age groups. The proportion of participants with a low FEV₁/FVC ratio compared to the 95th centile of the values reported by a NHANES 'normal' Caucasian population was also calculated and reported for the same age groups. To adjust for the expected decline in the ratio with age the FEV₁/FVC ratios were converted to standard deviation (SD) scores based again on the NHANES 'normal' Caucasian population. Because

the sex distribution of participants aged ≥ 40 years in the database differed slightly from that for the population (50.1% vs. 45.9% male), the data for males and females were weighted so that the resulting estimates of prevalence better represent the population. Additional weighting class adjustments²⁰ were made to adjust for differential response rates for the eight categories defined by sex and age (i.e., 40–49, 50–59, 60–69 and \geq 70 years).

The distributions of the main known risk factors available in the data set were also set out by age group and sex. These included family history of respiratory disease, education level, cigarette smoking, water pipe (hookah) smoking, use of kangris and a history of TB.

Finally, the SD scores of the FEV_1/FVC ratio were regressed against the main risk factors. These models included age, use of kangris, smoking of cigarettes and hookahs and the length of exposure to each of these in different combinations. All models were adjusted for family history of respiratory disease, educational level and exposure to passive smoking. Analyses were weighted using Stata survey commands. Regression diagnostics were performed to check the assumption of normality of the error, homogeneity of the variance and linearity between standardised score and predictors in the model.

Ethics

The study was approved by the Institutional Ethical Committee of the Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, India.

RESULTS

Of 1097 participants approached, 41 provided no information and the only data available are their age and sex. A further 103 (9%) provided information on smoking and diagnoses but did not provide further information, leaving 953 responders. The baseline characteristics of responders and non-responders are presented in Appendix Table A.1.* Compared with non-responders, responders were more likely to be male (54% vs. 36%, P < 0.001), younger (54% vs. 42% aged <50 years, P < 0.001) and never to have smoked (46% vs. 57%, P < 0.001); however, there was no significant difference in the prevalence of doctor-diagnosed emphysema, COPD, asthma or chronic bronchitis (2% vs. 3%, P = 0.8) or of reported comorbid conditions (27% vs. 25%, P =0.7). Of the 953 responders, 757 (79%) provided adequate post-BD spirometry. Those with adequate spirometry results were similar to those without, except that they were more likely to have ever smoked cigarettes (13% vs. 6%), although they had a similar overall exposure to tobacco of any type (55% vs. 51%) and kangris each day (8 h vs. 8.13 h).

Appendix Table A.2 shows selected characteristics of the sample by sex. Women spent much longer cooking with wood each day (3.7 h vs. 0.1 h). They were also significantly more likely to have had no education (88% vs. 65%) and twice as likely to be overweight or obese (28% vs. 14%). History of smoking (current or former) was higher among males (80.4%) than females (33%). Hookah smoking was more common among males than females at all ages. In the youngest age group, smoking was less common in males aged <50 years and in females aged <60 years; however, cigarette smoking was more common among males aged <50 years and was almost unreported among females (Appendix Figure A).

Of the total population, 16.1% had a FEV_1/FVC ratio below the LLN (Appendix Table A.3). This figure rose from 8.2% in those aged 40-49 years to 34.4% in those aged >69 years, and was higher in males (17.3%) than females (14.8%). Those who in addition had a FEV1 <80% predicted for age, sex and height (modified stage II) were almost as numerous: 14.2% overall, 15.6% in males, 12.7% in females, 7.2% in those aged <50 years and 29.5% in those aged \geq 70 years. The decline with age from normal values given by the NHANES standard measures was greater than expected, and this is confirmed by the increasing prevalence of a value below the LLN as age progresses. The prevalence of obstruction, the proportion who were below the LLN, was 11.2% in males and 9.5% in females.

CAL prevalence was as high in never smokers as in the general population, the group with the lowest prevalence being those who had smoked less than 10 pack-years. Among never smokers, CAL prevalence was marginally lower among females than among males. The prevalence of a self-reported doctor's diagnosis of COPD was 8.4 per 1000, and very much lower than the spirometrically diagnosed prevalence of chronic airflow obstruction.

The main risk factors were also strongly associated with age; these include length of exposure to cigarette smoking, hookah smoking and use of kangris (Appendix Table A.4). The main source of exposure to tobacco was from hookahs, and these, unlike cigarettes, are commonly used by females as well as males. A reported family history of respiratory disease was not uncommon, and was high in the youngest age group. History of TB was rare. The great majority of the population was underweight by Western standards, and very few were overweight or obese.

Appendix Table A.5 shows the regression coefficients for standardised deviation score of FEV₁/FVC. Visual checks of the diagnostic plots looked reasonable and indicated a good fit of the model. The first

^{*} The appendix is available in the online version of this article, at http://www.ingentaconnect.com/content/iuatld/ijtld/2016/00000020/000000010/art00025

column (unadjusted model) gives the univariate associations, which show significant negative associations with family history of respiratory disease, age, use of kangris, use of hookahs and farming and positive associations with higher education. Mutual adjustment for family history, education, age and passive smoke exposure (Model 1) shows that all these variables have independent effects. Removing age from the model, but adding years of hookah use and years of kangri use (Model 2), shows significant associations for both of these exposures. Adjusting for age again (Model 3) shows no significant association with age but removes the significance of the effect of kangri use, although the coefficient remains unchanged. The effect of hookah use remained significant. BMI was not associated with the outcome in the unadjusted comparison, and this was true also for the final adjusted models. Exposure to passive smoking was negatively, but not significantly, associated with the FEV1/FVC ratio in the unadjusted model. When the final model was adjusted for passive smoking, most of the associations were strengthened, but the association with passive smoking was reversed and was of only borderline significance.

Whichever model is examined, there are significant independent associations with family history of respiratory disease, education and hookah smoking. Kangri use is only significant if age is left out of the model, and if age and kangri use are included in the model neither kangri use nor age are significantly associated with the FEV₁/FVC ratio. The adverse association with passive smoking seen in the model adjusted simply for age, family history and education is reversed in the more fully adjusted models.

The association of the FEV₁/FVC ratio with selected risk factors may be expressed as both a continuous measure of FEV₁/FVC and as the prevalence of those with a ratio less than the LLN. Smoking was not associated with a low FEV₁/FVC ratio in this study, although former smokers tended to have the worst ratios. The highest prevalence of obstruction was in the small number who smoked at least 20 pack-years, but as there were only 12 of these the analysis has little power to show an effect. There was a tendency for those exposed to passive smoke to be at higher risk. Spending hours cooking with wood and biomass was associated with worse lung function, although this was only clearly significant for the analysis of the binary variable. Lack of education also appeared to be a risk factor, although this was only significant for the analysis of the continuous variable.

DISCUSSION

Our results show that about a sixth of the population aged ≥ 40 years in Kashmir has Stage I or higher CAL, but that only 0.73% report doctor-diagnosed

COPD. These figures show a much higher burden of CAL in our population than in previous national estimates,¹² but a previous questionnaire and peak flow meter-based survey reported a prevalence of chronic bronchitis in Kashmir of 7.7%, with higher prevalence among smokers and those living in poorly ventilated houses.²¹ CAL prevalence in our population was much higher than other comparable low-income centres in India, such as Pune and Mumbai.¹¹

The single most important cause of airflow obstruction was smoking, and smoking prevalence was high, particularly of traditional hookahs, among the older population. Whereas the use of hookahs was widespread among both males and females, cigarette smoking was confined to younger males. We reported earlier that hookah smoking confers a higher risk of lung cancer compared to cigarette smoking,²² and the current study shows that it may be an important contributory factor in causing CAL as well. In Kashmiri hookahs, molasses is added to the tobacco, with direct contact between the live charcoal embers and the tobacco in the head of the hookah. This leads to higher temperatures than in other shishas, where a metal foil separates the tobacco from the burning charcoal. This unique feature may subject the tobacco to greater pyrolysis and result in a higher concentration of the products of tobacco combustion and the burning wood charcoal. In addition, the water in the body of the hookah may not be changed for many days, which could lead to a higher concentration of toxic products in the water through which the smoke passes before inhalation.²³

Kashmir is a moderate altitude area with severe winters that confine people to their homes for prolonged periods, with potentially higher exposure to indoor air pollution due to a much greater use of biomass fuel for heating and cooking. Kangris are used for personal heating, providing very close exposure to biomass fuel. Although it has been argued that the use of indoor biomass fuels may explain the high mortality rates from COPD in lowincome countries,²⁴ we were unable to show a convincing association between use of biomass and airflow obstruction. Although this could be due to universally high exposure, the lack of a measurable effect is consistent with the results from an earlier BOLD analysis,²⁵ and from the Kedourie cohort.²⁶ We did find suggestive evidence of an association with greater exposure to kangris.

Exposure to passive smoking was negatively, but not significantly, associated with obstruction before adjustment for potential confounders. However, when our final model was adjusted for passive smoking, most associations were strengthened, but the association with passive smoking was reversed and was only of borderline significance. All these exposures were too highly correlated with age and with each other to allow us to distinguish the individual effects of each. In so far as these could be disentangled, the most likely cause of the high prevalence of obstruction was the extensive use of hookah smoking.

We found a significant association of family history of respiratory disease and poor education with high CAL prevalence. Health outcomes consistently show significant social gradients, and improving access to education is regarded as one of the major social interventions for addressing the huge burden of noncommunicable diseases (NCDs).²⁷ While our findings lend additional support to this view, a clear explanation for the association is still lacking.

Kashmiris are also ethnically and phenotypically different from the rest of the Indian population, and a preliminary principal component analysis has suggested a genetic relation to Europeans.²⁸

The highest burden of CAL in our study was in males aged ≥ 70 years and females aged ≥ 60 years. India has witnessed a change in the average life span, from an average of 37 years in 1950 to about 69 years in 2010;²⁹ further ageing of the population is going to be an important determinant of the future prevalence of the disease in the country. Developing countries are experiencing an ever-increasing burden of NCDs. In India, NCDs account for 53% of all deaths and 44% of disability-adjusted life-years (DALYs), and are projected to constitute 67% of total deaths by 2030.³⁰ Of these, chronic respiratory disease accounts for 7% of deaths and 3% of DALYs lost.³¹ The number of COPD patients is projected to be 22 million by 2016, many requiring hospitalisation and burdening the already resource-constrained health care system.³² India contributes significantly and increasingly to global COPD mortality.33

We used the LLN of the FEV₁/FVC ratio for defining CAL against the fixed ratio recommended by the Global Initiative for Chronic Obstructive Lung Disease (GOLD), as the GOLD criterion does not take into account the decline in lung function with age,³⁴ and is less able to identify related abnormalities.³⁵ As there is little evidence for any substantial difference in the FEV₁/FVC ratio between ethnic groups,^{13,33,36,37} we have used the equations from the NHANES study to estimate the LLN and the percentage predicted. The adequacy of this single standard is confirmed by an analysis substituting normal values generated from the Kashmir data, which gave very similar answers.

A striking finding in this study was the wide gap between the estimates of doctor-diagnosed COPD and that of actual prevalence. Increased awareness among medical personnel about the need for the increased use of spirometry in diagnosing CAL is thus required. This should be undertaken in tandem with awareness activities to disseminate the preventable nature of CAL. The use of BDs or inhaled corticosteroids was also found to be low, in line with other lowand middle-income countries. The estimated rate of use of inhaled BDs/inhaled corticosteroids was 2.4%, whereas that of influenza vaccine was nil,³⁸ due to a number of possible factors, including local beliefs and misconceptions regarding the use of inhalation devices.³⁹

Our study was limited by the fact that the largely rural setting of the study area may not have been representative of the whole country, where occupations, patterns of biomass fuel use or other sociocultural practices differ. However, the strengths of the study are its robust design, the objective evidence of airflow limitation and the homogeneity of the population studied. As participants with acceptable spirometry results constituted <80% of those recruited, there is some possibility of bias. However, this is likely to be small, as this was an association study with a response rate of around 70%, in which the major confounders (age, sex and smoking) had been adjusted for and weighting had been used to take into account the age-sex distribution of the original population.

In conclusion, CAL is highly prevalent in Kashmir, and similar studies need to be undertaken across the country to obtain an accurate assessment of the COPD burden in the ever-increasing and ageing population of the second most populous country in the world. Interventions aimed at prevention and cessation of smoking, including the use of hookahs, is essential to prevent the impending explosion of tobacco-related diseases such as COPD, cancer and cardiovascular disease. The use of biomass fuels, and in particular the use of kangris, and their possible role in causing chronic lung disease requires further evaluation.

Acknowledgements

The study was funded by the Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, India. The co-ordination of this part of the BOLD study was funded by the Wellcome Trust, London, UK (grant 085790/2/08/2).

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Conflicts of interest: none declared.

References

- 1 Lozano R, Naghavi M, Foreman K, et al. Global and regional mortality from 235 causes of death for 20 age groups in 1990 and 2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. Lancet 2013; 380: 2095–2128.
- 2 Mannino D M, Gagnon R C, Petty T L, Lydick E. Obstructive lung disease and low lung function in adults in the United States. Arch Intern Med 2000; 160: 1683–1689.
- 3 Fukuchi Y, Nishimura M, Ichinose M, et al. COPD in Japan: the Nippon COPD Epidemiology study. Respirology 2004; 9: 458– 465.
- 4 Kim D S, Kim Y S, Chung K S, et al. Korean Academy of Tuberculosis and Respiratory Diseases. Prevalence of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in Korea: a population-based

spirometry survey. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 172: 842–847.

- 5 Peña V S, Miravitlles M, Gabriel R, et al. Geographic variations in prevalence and underdiagnosis of COPD: results of the IBERPOC Multicentre Epidemiological Study. Chest 2000; 118: 981–989.
- 6 Halbert R J, Isonaka S, George D, Iqbal A. Interpreting COPD prevalence estimates: what is the true burden of disease? Chest 2003; 123: 1684–1692.
- 7 Halbert R J, Natoli J L, Gano A, Badamgarav E, Buist A S, Mannino D M. Global burden of COPD: systematic review and meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2006; 28: 523–532.
- 8 Salve S, Agarwal A. India needs a national COPD prevention and control program. J Assoc Physicians India 2012; 60 (Suppl): 5–7.
- 9 Jindal S K, Aggarwal A N, Gupta D. A review of population studies from India to estimate national burden of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and its association with smoking. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci 2001; 43: 139–146.
- 10 Jindal S K, Aggarwal A N, Gupta D, et al. Indian study on epidemiology of asthma, respiratory symptoms and chronic bronchitis in adults (INSEARCH). Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2012; 16: 1270–1277.
- 11 Burney P, Jithoo A, Kato B, et al. Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) Study. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease mortality and prevalence: the associations with smoking and poverty—a BOLD analysis. Thorax 2014; 69: 465–473.
- 12 Koul P A. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: Indian guidelines and beyond. Lung India 2013; 30: 175–177.
- 13 Buist A S, Vollmer W M, Sullivan S D, et al. The Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease initiative (BOLD): rationale and design. J COPD 2005; 2: 277–283.
- 14 Enright P, Vollmer W M, Lamprecht B, et al. Quality of spirometry tests performed by 9893 adults in 14 countries: the BOLD Study. Respir Med 2011; 105: 1507–1515.
- 15 Ware J Jr, Kosinski M, Keller S D. A 12-Item Short-Form Health Survey: construction of scales and preliminary tests of reliability and validity. Med Care 1996; 34: 220–233.
- 16 American Thoracic Society Statement. Standardization of spirometry, 1994 update. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995; 152: 1107–1136.
- 17 Hankinson J, Eschenbacher B, Townsend M, Stocks J, Quanjer P. Use of forced vital capacity and forced expiratory volume in 1 second quality criteria for determining a valid test. Eur Respir J. 2015; 45: 1283–1292.
- 18 Hankinson J L, Odencrantz J R, Fedan K B. Spirometric reference values from a sample of the general US population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999; 159: 179–187.
- 19 Masters N, Tutt C. Smoking pack year calculator 2007. Hazlemere, UK: Smoking Pack Years, 2007–2013. http:// smokingpackyears.com/. Accessed June 2016.
- 20 Lohr S L. Sampling: design and analysis. Pacific Grove, CA, USA: Duxbury Press Publishing Company, 1999: pp 268–269.
- 21 Qureshi K A. Domestic smoke pollution and prevalence of chronic bronchitis/asthma in a rural area of Kashmir. Indian J Chest Dis Allied Sci 1994: 362: 61–72.
- 22 Koul P A, Hajni M R, Sheikh M A, et al. Hookah smoking and lung cancer in the Kashmir Valley of Indian subcontinent. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2011; 12: 519–524.

- 23 Koul P A, Chaouachi K. Important clarifications about peculiarities of hookah smoking and lung cancer in Kashmir. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2011; 12: 2145–2146.
- 24 Salvi S, Barnes P. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in non-smokers. Lancet 2009; 374: 733-743.
- 25 Hooper R, Burney P, Vollmer W, et al. Risk factors for COPD spirometrically defined from the lower limit of normal in the BOLD project. Eur Respir J 2012; 39: 1343–1353.
- 26 Smith M, Li L, Augustyn M, et al. Prevalence and correlates of airflow obstruction in 317,000 never-smokers in China. Eur Respir J 2014; 44: 66–77.
- 27 United Nations Development Programme. Addressing the social determinants of non-communicable disease. New York, NY, USA: UNDP, 2013. http://www.undp.org/content/dam/ undp/library/hivaids/English/Discussion_Paper_Addressing_ the_Social_Determinants_of_NCDs_UNDP_2013.pdf. Accessed June 2016.
- 28 Reich D, Thangaraj K, Patterson N, Price A L, Singh L. Reconstructing Indian population history. Nature 2009; 24; 461: 489–494.
- 29 International Institute for Population Sciences (IIPS), Harvard School of Public Health, and RAND Corporation, USA. Longitudinal ageing study in India (LASI-Pilot survey), 2010. Noida, India: IIPS, 2010: p 25.
- 30 Mahal A, Karan A, Engelgau M. The economic implications of non-communicable disease for India. Washington DC, USA: The International Bank for Reconstruction and Development/ The World Bank, 2009: p xiv.
- 31 Reddy K S, Mohan S. Chronic diseases in India: burden and implications. Swiss Re Center for Global Dialogue. Rüschlikon, Switzerland: Swiss Re, 2014, http: //cgd.swissre.com/global_ dialogue/topics/Cardiovascular_risks_in_HGM/Chronic_ Diseases_in_India Burden_and_Implications.html. Accessed June 2016.
- 32 Indian Council of Medical Research-Medical Research Council Workshop. Building Indo-UK collaboration in chronic diseases. New Delhi, India: ICMR, 2009: p 16.
- 33 Lopez A D, Shibuya K, Rao C, et al. Chronic obstructive airway disease: current burden and future projections. Eur Respir J 2006; 27: 397–412.
- 34 Rossiter C E, Weill H. Ethnic differences in lung function: evidence for proportional differences. Int J Epidemiol 1974; 3: 55–61.
- 35 Vaz Fragoso C A, McAvay G, Van Ness P H, et al. Phenotype of spirometric impairment in and aging population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2016; 193: 727–735.
- 36 Pauwels R A, Buist A S, Calverley P M A, Jenkins C R, Hurd S S. The GOLD Scientific Committee: global strategy for diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2001; 163: 1256–1276.
- 37 Hooper R, Burney P. Cross-sectional relation of ethnicity to ventilatory function in a West London population. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2013; 17: 400–405.
- 38 Gnatiuc L, Buist A S, Kato B, et al. Gaps in using bronchodilators, inhaled corticosteroids and influenza vaccine among 23 high- and low-income sites. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis 2015; 19: 21–30.
- 39 Koul P A. Managing chronic diseases: combination of inhaler treatment in India has shown good results. BMJ 2005; 330: 964.

APPENDIX

	Responders*	Non-responders ⁺	P value [‡]	Responders [§]	Non-responders [¶]	P value [‡]
Age, years 40-49 50-59 60-69 ≥70	(n = 953) 515 (54) 215 (23) 147 (15) 76 (8)	(n = 144) 60 (42) 23 (16) 34 (23) 27 (19)	<0.001	(n = 757) 423 (56) 158 (21) 118 (16) 58 (8)	(n = 340) 147 (45) 77 (24) 58 (18) 44 (14)	0.002
Sex Male Female	(n = 953) 510 (54) 443 (46)	(n = 144) 52 (36) 92 (64)	<0.001	414 (55) 343 (45)	142 (44) 184 (56)	<0.001
Smoking status [#] Current Ex Never	(n = 953) 445 (47) 70 (7) 438 (46)	(n = 103) 1 (1) 43 (42) 59 (57)	<0.001	357 (47) 59 (8) 341 (45)	88 (30) 54 (18) 154 (52)	<0.001
Doctor diagnosed asthma, emphysema, CB or COPD Yes No	(n = 953) 23 (2) 930 (98)	(n = 103) 3 (3) 100 (97)	0.756	19 (3) 738 (97)	7 (2) 289 (98)	<0.001
Other comorbid conditions Yes No	(n = 953) 260 (27) 693 (73)	(n = 103) 26 (25) 77 (75)	0.658	214 (28) 543 (72)	72 (24) 224 (76)	0.196

 Table A.1
 Comparison of responders and non-responders

* Those who completed post-BD spirometry (regardless of QC scores) and the core questionnaire. [†] Eligible individuals with missing core questionnaire and/or post-BD spirometry results, but for whom the tabulated variable was known. [‡] Two-sided *P* value based on Pearson χ^2 test. [§] Responders with acceptable spirometry results. [¶] Non-responders in Column 3 and responders with non-acceptable spirometry results. [#] Smoking status by any tobacco smoking. CB = chronic bronchitis; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BD = bronchodilator; QC = quality control.

Table A.2 Characteristics of the sa	ample according to sex
-------------------------------------	------------------------

	Male (n = 510) n (%)	Female (n = 443) n (%)	P value
Age, years, mean ± SD Height cm, mean ± SD FVC, I, mean ± SD FEV ₁ /FVC, %, mean ± SD Predicted FEV ₁ /FVC, %, mean ± SD Mean FEV ₁ /FVC/predicted, mean ± SD	52 ± 10.53 165.64 ± 6.65 3.75 ± 0.74 75.15 ± 11.23 77.42 ± 2.16 0.970 ± 0.13	$\begin{array}{r} 51.24 \ \pm \ 10.04 \\ 154.02 \ \pm \ 6.82 \\ 2.66 \ \pm \ 0.03 \\ 77.91 \ \pm \ 9.57 \\ 79.94 \ \pm \ 2.19 \\ 0.974 \ \pm \ 0.11 \end{array}$	0.26 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 0.63
Fuel use Cooking with kerosene Use of <i>kangri</i> , /h/day, mean ± SD Cooking with wood, /h/day, mean ± SD Passive smoking History of TB Doctor-diagnosed COPD* Education None Middle school High school	$\begin{array}{c} 195\ (38.24)\\ 8\ \pm\ 1.68\\ 0.10\ \pm\ 0.75\\ 312\ (61.18)\\ 2\ (0.39)\\ 4\ (0.78)\\\\329\ (64.76)\\ 82\ (16.14)\\ 77\ (15.16)\\\\\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 161 \ (36.34) \\ 8.13 \ \pm \ 1.08 \\ 3.69 \ \pm \ 1.29 \\ 308 \ (69.53) \\ 3 \ (0.68) \\ 4 \ (0.90) \\ \end{array}$ $\begin{array}{c} 391 \ (88.46) \\ 30 \ (6.79) \\ 15 \ (3.39) \end{array}$	0.55 0.16 <0.001 0.55 0.53 <0.001
Higher education BMI, kg/m ² Underweight (<18.5) Normal (18.5–25) Overweight (25–30) Obese (>30)	20 (3.94) 57 (11.18) 382 (74.90) 66 (12.94) 5 (0.98)	6 (1.36) 43 (9.73) 275 (62.22) 89 (20.14) 35 (7.92)	<0.001
Smoking (any) Never Ex Current	138 (25) 76 (14) 337 (61)	359 (71) 37 (7) 109 (22)	<0.001
/IU pack years, mean \pm SD	0.21 ± 0.59	0.002 ± 0.04	< 0.001

* Includes chronic bronchitis or emphysema. SD = standard deviation; FVC = forced vital capacity; FEV₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 s; TB = tuberculosis; COPD = chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; BMI = body mass index.

Sex	n	FEV ₁ /FVC Mean ± SD	FEV ₁ /FVC < LLN % (SE)	FEV ₁ /FVC < 0.70 % (SE)
Males				
40-49	230	79.42 ± 8.06	8.2 (3.0)	10.4 (3.1)
50-59	84	73.55 ± 10.33	22.4 (6.6)	29.5 (7.0)
60–69	67	68.41 ± 12.33	28.2 (5.8)	41.7 (7.5)
≥70	33	63.12 ± 13.81	45.9 (9.0)	66.6 (2.3)
Total	414	75.14 ± 11.21	17.3 (4.5)	23.7 (5.5)
Females				
40-49	193	81.29 ± 7.40	8.2 (3.0)	5.5 (1.9)
50-59	74	74.29 ± 11.55	20.3 (4.8)	20.3 (4.8)
60–69	51	73.71 ± 8.21	29.1 (8.7)	30.9 (8.8)
≥70	25	71.16 ± 10.41	21.8 (6.9)	33.8 (12.6)
Total	343	77.92 ± 9.54	14.8 (2.1)	14.5 (2.1)
Population				
40–49	423	80.27 ± 7.82	8.2 (1.8)	8.0 (1.7)
50-59	158	73.89 ± 10.89	21.4 (4.1)	25.0 (4.2)
60–69	118	70.70 ± 11.03	28.6 (5.1)	36.8 (5.9)
≥70	58	66.59 ± 12.90	34.4 (6.4)	51.1 (7.5)
Total	757	76.40 ± 10.60	16.1 (2.5)	19.3 (2.9)

Table A.3 Distribution of FEV₁/FVC ratio and airway obstruction by age and sex

FVC = forced vital capacity; $FEV_1 =$ forced expiratory volume in 1 s; SD = standard deviation; LLN = lower limit of normal; SE = standard error.

	М	ales	Ferr	ales
Exposure	40–59 years (n = 383) %	≥60 years (n = 127) %	40–59 years (n = 347) %	\geq 60 years (n = 96) %
Tobacco exposure				
Any tobacco smoking				
Ever	72	86	23	43
Current	65	67	19	7
Pack years, mean ± SD Cigarette smoking	195 (169)	415 (290)	165 (150)	317 (217)
Ever	26	6	0.1	*
Current	22	3	0.1	*
Pack years, mean ± SD <i>Hookah</i> smoking	9.45 (7.48)	23.20 (18.16)	8.4	*
Ever	59	84	23	43
Current	53	66	19	37
Pack years, mean ± SD Exposure to current passive smoking	232 (161) 73	420 (289) 27	165 (150) 77	317 (217) 23
Exposure to dust (farming)				
Ever	61	39	63	37
Exposure, years, mean \pm SD	27.26 (9.61)	46.43 (9.85)	25.49 (7.07)	40 (9.32)
Exposure to indoor air pollution Wood for cooking				
Ever	75	25	78	22
Exposure, years, mean \pm SD Wood for heating	40.50 (6.09)	60.97 (5.71)	39.75 (7.09)	58.44 (6.94)
Ever	75	25	78	22
Exposure, years, mean ± SD Use of <i>kangri</i>	40.00 (6.95)	60.57 (6.29)	39.15 (7.93)	58.35 (6.87)
Ever	75	25	78	22
Exposure, years, mean \pm SD	37.09 (6.03)	57.51 (5.21)	36.87 (5.94)	56.77 (6.41)
Education				
None	57	87	86	98
Primary	20	5	8	1
Higher	22	9	6	1
Body mass index, kg/m ²	75	75	62	50
Underweight (<18.5)	/5	/5	63	58
Normal (18.5–25)	10	14	9	13
Overweight $(25-30)$	14	10	21	19
Obese (>30)	I	I	/	10
Family history of respiratory diseases Yes	67	33	91	10
History of TB Positive	0.3	0.8	0.9	0

Table A.4	Distribution	of	principal	exposures	by	age and sex	

* No observation. SD = standard deviation; TB = tuberculosis.

	Univariate regressio	u	Model 1 ⁺		Model 2 [‡]		Model 3 [§]	
	Coefficient (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	Coefficient (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	Coefficient (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value	Coefficient (95%CI)	<i>P</i> value
R^2 Family history of respiratory disorders	0.1049 -0.962 (-1.86 to -0.07)	0.1373 0.04	0.1372 -1.063 (-0.06 to -0.02)	0.01	-0.989 (-1.69 to -0.29)	0.01	-0.992 (-1.67 to -0.29)	0.01
Education None Primary Higher	Reference 0.661 (0.09 to 1.23) 0.731 (0.45 to 1.01)	<0.001	Reference 0.412 (-0.16 to 0.99) 0.439 (0.25 to 0.63)	<0.001	Reference 0.453 (-0.14 to 1.04) 0.373 (0.12 to 0.62)	0.04	Reference 0.452 (-0.13 to 1.04) 0.372 (0.12 to 0.62)	
Age/year Exposure to <i>kangril</i> year Use of water pipe	-0.045 (-0.07 to -0.02) -0.045 (-0.07 to -0.02) -0.756 (-1.27 to -0.24)	<0.001 <0.002 0.01	-0.04 (-0.61 to -0.02)	<0.001	-0.030 (-0.05 to -0.02) -0.241 (-0.74 to 0.26)	<0.001 <0.30	0.83 -0.027 (-0.07 to 0.02) -0.242 (-0.74 to 0.25)	0.23 0.29
Exposure to farming Water pipe, pack years Use of cigarette Cigarettes, pack years Passive smoking	-0.019 (-0.03 to 0.00) -0.002 (-0.003 to -0.00) 0.137 (-0.30 to 0.58) -0.002 (-0.02 to 0.01) -0.176 (-0.46 to 0.11)	0.0 0.01 0.71 0.10			-0.001 (-0.002 to -0.0001) -0.147 (-0.96 to 0.67) -0.008 (-0.05 to 0.04)	0.03 0.69 0.67	-0.001 (-0.001 to -0.0001) -0.148 (-0.97 to 0.67) -0.009 (-0.05 to 0.04)	0.03 0.69 0.66
BMI, kg/m ² Underweight (<18.5) Normal (18.5–25) Overweight (25–30) Obese (>30)	0.33 (-0.45 to 1.10) Reference 0.46 (-0.66 to 1.59) 0.21 (-1.72 to 1.51)	0.72						
* The SDS is based on the standardised score ⁺ Multivariate regression Model 1: family hist [±] Multivariate regression Model 2: Model 1 + [§] Multivariate regression Model 3: Model 2 + FEV ₁ = forced expiratory volume in 1 s; PVC_{-1}	is taken from the Caucasian samp ony education. - exposure to <i>kangri</i> , smoking, usr - age. = forced vital capacity; CI = confi	ole of the Nati e of water pip dence interval	onal Health and Nutrition Examir e, cigarette smoking, pack years ; BMI = body mass index; SDS = :	lation Surve of cigarette standardisec	/ for the FEV,/FVC ratio. smoking, pack years of water pipe sn deviation score.	oking, pas	sive smoking.	

Table A.5 Different models for the associations of risk factors with the standardised deviation score of the FEV₁/FVC ratio*



Figure A Prevalence of male cigarette smoking by age group.

CONTEXTE : Les données relatives à la limitation chronique du flux aérien (CAL) définies par spirométrie sont rares dans les pays en développement. OBJECTIF : Estimer la prévalence de la CAL définie par spirométrie au Cachemire dans le Nord de l'Inde.

MÉTHODES : Grâce aux méthodes d'enquête Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease, nous avons soumis des questionnaires à des adultes âgés de \geq 40 ans choisis au hasard. La spirométrie après bronchodilatateurs a été réalisée afin d'estimer la prévalence de la CAL et sa relation à des facteurs de risque potentiels.

RÉSULTATS : Sur 1100 participants initialement recrutés, 953 (86,9%) ont répondu, 757 ont eu une spirométrie acceptable et ont rempli les questionnaires. La prévalence d'un ratio de volume expiratoire maximum seconde/capacité vitale forcée inférieur à la limite basse de la normale a été de 17,3% (4,5) chez les hommes et de 14,8% (2,1) chez les femmes. Les facteurs

MARCO DE REFERENCIA: Los países en desarrollo cuentan con escasos datos sobre la limitación crónica al flujo en las vías aéreas (CAL) medida por métodos espirométricos.

OBJETIVO: Calcular la prevalencia de CAL medida por métodos espirométricos en Kashmir, al norte de la India. METODOS: Se aplicaron los métodos de encuesta del estudio Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease y se suministraron cuestionarios a adultos de edad de ≥ 40 años, escogidos de manera aleatoria. Se practicó una espirometría tras la administración de broncodilatadores, con el fin de estimar la prevalencia de CAL y evaluar su relación con los posibles factores de riesgo.

RESULTADOS: De los 1100 participantes solicitados inicialmente, 953 respondieron (86,9%) y 757 completaron una espirometría aceptable y respondieron al cuestionario. La prevalencia de un cociente del volumen espiratorio máximo en el primer segundo y la capacidad vital forzada más bajo que el

de risque de CAL incluaient un âge plus avancé, le fait de cuisiner avec du bois et un niveau d'instruction plus bas. La prévalence du tabagisme a été de 61% chez les hommes et de 22% chez les femmes ; la majorité fumait des hookahs (narguilé). Une CAL a été découverte aussi fréquemment chez les hommes et les femmes nonfumeurs et a été associée indépendamment à l'usage du hookah, à des antécédents familiaux de maladie respiratoire et à un faible niveau d'instruction. Parmi ces patients, 8,4/1000 personnes (0,9% des femmes et 0,78% des hommes) ont déclaré avoir eu un diagnostic bronchopneumopathie médical de chronique obstructive.

CONCLUSION : La CAL confirmée par spirométrie est très fréquente dans le Cachemire Indien et semble liée à la prévalence élevée du tabagisme, qui consiste surtout en usage du hookah.

RESUMEN

límite inferior normal fue 17,3% (4,5) en los hombres y 14,8% (2,1) en las mujeres. Los factores de riesgo de una CAL fueron la edad mayor, la utilización de madera en la cocción de los alimentos y un bajo grado de instrucción. La prevalencia de tabaquismo actual fue 61% en los hombres y 22% en las mujeres; la mayoría de las personas utilizaba narguiles. La presencia de CAL fue equivalente en los hombres y las mujeres no fumadores; los factores que se asociaron de manera independiente con la CAL fueron el uso de narguile, un antecedente familiar de enfermedad respiratoria y el bajo grado de instrucción. De cada 1000 personas, 8,4 refirieron un diagnóstico de enfermedad pulmonar crónica obstructiva establecido por un médico (0,9% en las mujeres y 0,78% en los hombres).

CONCLUSION: La CAL, confirmada por espirometría, es muy frecuente en la región Cachemira de la India y parece relacionada con la alta prevalencia de tabaquismo, cuya forma predominante es la utilización del narguile.

RESUME