

for resubmission to Geomorphology after review and revision

Wednesday, April 27, 2016

Long-term erosion rates of Panamanian drainage basins determined using *in situ* ^{10}Be

Veronica Sosa Gonzalez^{a,*}, Paul R. Bierman^{a, b}, Kyle K. Nichols^c, Dylan H. Rood^{d,e}

^aRubenstein School of the Environment and Natural Resources, University of Vermont, 180 Colchester Avenue, Burlington, VT 05405, USA

^bDepartment of Geology, University of Vermont, Burlington, VT 05405, USA

^cDepartment of Geosciences, Skidmore College, Saratoga Springs, NY 12866, USA

^dDepartment of Earth Science and Engineering, Imperial College London, South Kensington Campus, London SW7 2AZ, UK.

^eLawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA 94550, USA

*Corresponding author. Tel: +1-787-449-4404

E-mail address: v.sosagonzalez@gmail.com (V. Sosa Gonzalez)

Abstract

Erosion rates of tropical landscapes are poorly known. Using measurements of *in situ*-produced ^{10}Be in quartz extracted from river and landslide sediment samples, we calculate long-term erosion rates for many physiographic regions of Panama. We collected river sediment samples from a wide variety of watersheds ($n = 35$), and then quantified 24 landscape-scale variables (physiographic, climatic, seismic, geologic, and land-use proxies) for each watershed before determining the relationship between these variables and long-term erosion rates using linear regression, multiple regression, and analysis of variance (ANOVA). We also used grain-size-specific ^{10}Be analysis to infer the effect of landslides on the concentration of ^{10}Be in fluvial sediment and thus on erosion rates.

Cosmogenic ^{10}Be -inferred, background erosion rates in Panama range from 26 to 595 m My^{-1} , with an arithmetic average of 201 m My^{-1} , and an area-weighted average of 144 m My^{-1} . The strongest and most significant relationship in the dataset was between erosion rate and silicate weathering rate, the mass of material leaving the basin in solution. None of the topographic variables showed a significant relationship with erosion rate at the 95% significance level; we observed weak but significant correlation between erosion rates and several climatic variables related to precipitation and temperature. On average, erosion rates in Panama are higher than other cosmogenically-derived erosion rates in tropical climates including those from Puerto Rico, Madagascar, Australia and Sri Lanka, likely the result of Panama's active tectonic setting and thus high rates of seismicity and uplift. Contemporary sediment yield and cosmogenically-derived erosion rates for three of the rivers we studied are similar, suggesting that human activities are not increasing sediment yield above long-term erosion rate averages in Panama.

^{10}Be concentration is inversely proportional to grain size in landslide and fluvial samples from Panama; finer grain sizes from landslide material have lower ^{10}Be concentration than fine-grained fluvial sediment. Large grains from both landslide and stream sediments have similarly low ^{10}Be concentrations. These data suggest that fluvial gravel is delivered to the channel by landslides whereas sand is preferentially delivered by soil creep and bank collapse. Furthermore, the difference in ^{10}Be concentration in sand-sized material delivered by soil creep and that delivered by landsliding suggests that the frequency and intensity of landslides influences basin scale erosion rates.

Keywords: Physiography; Landslides; Cosmogenic; Denudation

1 **1. Introduction**

2 Over 100 studies have used *in situ*-produced ^{10}Be measured in river sediment to estimate
3 erosion rates at the basin scale. Portenga and Bierman (2011) compiled two decades of such data,
4 normalized them to currently accepted standard values, and re-calculated erosion rates using the
5 CRONUS calculator (Balco et al., 2008; <http://hess.ess.washington.edu/>). In their compilation,
6 only 98 of 1149 river sediment samples were collected in tropical climates including samples from
7 Australia, Bolivia, Puerto Rico, Madagascar, and Sri Lanka. Some studies not included in Portenga
8 and Bierman's 2011 compilation constrain denudation for tropical watersheds in Brazil (Salgado
9 et al., 2006, 2007, 2008, 2013; Cherem et al., 2012; Barreto et al., 2013, 2014; Rezende et al.,
10 2013; Sosa Gonzalez et al., 2016, in review) and the tropical regions of Africa (Hinderer et al.,
11 2013) and Australia (Nichols et al., 2014). Still, the tropics remain underrepresented in studies of
12 erosion rates at the basin scale.

13 Cosmogenic isotopes, such as ^{10}Be , are formed by spallation when earth materials are
14 exposed to secondary cosmic rays (Lal and Peters, 1967). Measurement of isotope concentration,
15 typically made in quartz mineral separates, allows calculation of background erosion rates,
16 integrated over 10^3 to 10^5 years; this long integration time averages out extreme erosion events,
17 such as deep-seated landslides or low-frequency floods, that occur at the decadal and centennial
18 time scales (Gosse and Phillips, 2001; Kirchner et al., 2001; Bierman and Nichols, 2004).
19 Integration time of cosmogenically-derived erosion rates varies with erosion rate; high erosion
20 rates integrate less time (e.g. 10^2 m My^{-1} integrates 10^4 y) while low erosion rates integrate longer
21 time spans (e.g. 10^1 m My^{-1} integrates 10^5 y).

22 In the near surface, the production rate of *in situ* cosmogenic isotopes decreases
23 exponentially with depth with an attenuation length of 160 g cm^{-2} (Gosse and Phillips, 2001), and
24 is low (only a few percent of surface production) below 2 m depth in rock (Lal and Peters, 1967).
25 Because of this, ^{10}Be is a good indicator of the near-surface residence time of material in the
26 uppermost several meters and hence the rate, both chemical and physical, at which Earth's surface
27 is eroding. In areas of deep weathering, additional mass can be lost by solution below the
28 penetration depth of cosmic ray neutrons (Riebe and Granger, 2012).

29 Sediment can be delivered to the channel in different ways. In mountainous humid tropical
30 regions, mass movements, triggered by heavy rainfall and seismic activity, can deliver large
31 amounts of sediment to river channels and thus impact the distribution of ^{10}Be (Larsen and Simon,
32 1993; Larsen and Torres-Sanchez, 1998; Dai and Lee, 2001). Erosion rate calculations based on
33 measured cosmogenic isotope concentrations assume steady denudation of rock or regolith to
34 produce sediment over the integration time; an assumption violated if deep-seated landslides
35 episodically (millennial time-scale) deliver large amounts of material to the channel at time-scales
36 that approach the effective integration time of ^{10}Be (Bierman and Steig, 1996; Niemi et al., 2005).
37 However, if the recurrence interval of landslides is much longer than the ^{10}Be integration time, and
38 the spatial-distribution is wide, the effect of landslides will decrease. Similarly, as basin area
39 increases, the effect of episodic landsliding on ^{10}Be concentrations in river sediment diminishes
40 (Niemi et al., 2005; Yanites et al., 2009).

41 Some previously published ^{10}Be data show that where landslides are frequent, fine-grained
42 river sediment contains more *in situ*-produced ^{10}Be than coarse material (Brown et al., 1995; Clapp
43 et al., 2002; Matmon et al., 2003; Aguilar et al., 2013; Puchol et al., 2014). Brown et al. (1995)
44 suggest this relationship indicates that fine-grained material is sourced closer to the surface and

45 thus has been exposed to more cosmic radiation than coarser material which is preferentially
46 sourced from greater depth. Conversely, Matmon et al. (2003) offer an alternative explanation;
47 they suggest that coarse material could be sourced from lower on the landscape, at lower elevations
48 and thus has less ^{10}Be because nuclide production rates diminish with elevation.

49 Geomorphologists have extensively considered both topographic and climatic controls on
50 erosion and sediment generation. Anhert (1970) concluded that relief was positively correlated
51 with denudation rates. Montgomery and Brandon (2002) found that long-term erosion rates are
52 non-linearly related to mean basin slope in the Olympic Mountains. Similarly, DiBiase et al. (2010)
53 concluded that channel steepness is related to erosion in the San Gabriel Mountains. Watershed
54 elevation appears to exert some control on erosion rates at a global (Portenga and Bierman, 2011)
55 and at a site-specific scale (Palumbo et al., 2009). In their compilation of ^{10}Be data, Portenga and
56 Bierman (2011) found that mean basin slope was significantly and positively related to drainage
57 basin erosion rates at both local and global scales and that relief is important in controlling erosion
58 rates in tropical climate zones.

59 The rates of chemical weathering and physical erosion are often positively correlated
60 (West, 2005). In a global compilation, von Blanckenburg (2005) found that chemical weathering
61 accounted for ~20% of total denudation. Examining weathering and denudation in Sri Lanka, von
62 Blanckenburg et al. (2004) concluded that both chemical weathering and erosion were sensitive to
63 base-level change resulting from tectonic forcing but were not accelerated by increased
64 precipitation and temperature.

65 Yet, another metric to classify erosion of landscape features is erosional efficiency.
66 Erosional efficiency determines the rate of erosion for a given topography, and depends on rock

67 type, debris size, tectonics, and climate (Whipple and Meade, 2004; Whipple, 2009). Coupling of
68 climate and topography dictates the efficiency of sediment removal from the terrain. For example,
69 a dry landscape in an active uplift zone is characterized by steep slopes in order to increase
70 erosional efficiency and balance uplift.

71 This paper reports long-term, natural erosion rates in Panama inferred using the
72 concentration of *in situ*-produced ^{10}Be measured in quartz extracted from river sediments (Fig. 1).
73 We present erosion rate data for 35 distinct Panamanian watersheds and thus provide basin-scale
74 determination of background erosion rates across many physiographic regions of Panama. Using
75 the ^{10}Be -inferred erosion rates, we determine the relationship of erosion rates to physiography,
76 tectonic activity, geology, silicate weathering, and climatic characteristics in a tropical region. This
77 research expands the breadth of environments where cosmogenic isotopes have been measured
78 and builds upon the ^{10}Be measurements ($n = 17$) reported by Nichols et al. (2005) for Panama's
79 Rio Chagres Basin.

80

81 **2. Geographic and geologic setting**

82 Panama is the southernmost Central American country with an area of 75,517 km²
83 (Contraloría General de la República de Panamá, 2008). It is bounded on the north by the
84 Caribbean Sea, on the south by the Pacific Ocean; on the east it shares borders with Colombia and
85 on the west with Costa Rica (Fig. 1).

86 The climate in Panama is tropical maritime with influences from the Caribbean Sea and
87 Pacific Ocean (Contraloría General de la República de Panamá, 2005). It is characterized by high
88 year-round temperatures with low diurnal and annual range, abundant precipitation, and high

89 relative humidity. Annual mean temperatures range between 24°C and 28°C. The average diurnal
90 temperature range is low, about 1.9°C on the Caribbean slopes and between 1.5°C and 2.9 °C on
91 the Pacific side (Contraloría General de la República de Panamá, 2005). In the mountains, the daily
92 variation in temperature may be greater. Generally, there are two seasons: wet and dry; the wet
93 season extends from May to December, and the dry season from December to April (Contraloría
94 General de la República de Panamá, 2005). The mean annual precipitation on the southern, Pacific
95 side ranges from 1,500 to 3,500 mm, and precipitation differs greatly between the dry and wet
96 seasons. On the Caribbean slope, precipitation is more uniform, exceeding 4,000 mm annually
97 with no marked difference between the seasons.

98 Panama has low-relief coastal plains and a more rugged Central Cordillera extending
99 almost the length of the isthmus from the Costa Rican border to the Panama Canal (Palka, 2005).
100 Data from the Contraloría General de República de Panamá show that rivers draining into the
101 Caribbean Sea average 56 km in length and have an average slope of 5.5%; rivers draining to the
102 Pacific Ocean average 106 km in length, and have lower slopes, averaging 2.3% (Contraloría
103 General de la República de Panamá, 2005). The discharge of rivers draining to the Caribbean Sea
104 is greater than those draining to the Pacific Ocean. Historic data from Empresa de Transmisión
105 Eléctrica (http://www.hidromet.com.pa/hidro_historicos.php) show that rivers draining into the
106 Caribbean have mean annual discharges ranging from 30–100 m³ s⁻¹, whereas rivers draining into
107 the Pacific generally have mean annual discharges from 4 to 30 m³ s⁻¹.

108 Panama is geologically young and tectonically active. The Panamanian isthmus resulted
109 from collision of the Panama-Choco island arc with South America in the late Miocene-Pliocene
110 (3.5–7 million years ago) and it is still tectonically active today (Camacho et al., 1997; Harmon,
111 2005; Fig. 1B). Kellogg and Vega (1995) conducted a seismic hazard assessment for Panama, and

112 produced peak ground acceleration maps. The highest seismic hazard and predicted ground
113 accelerations are on the western side of the country and to the southeast of the country close to the
114 Panama Block-South America plate margin (Camacho et al., 1997) (Fig. 1B). The lowest seismic
115 hazard is in Central Panama, where, considering a return period of 250 years, the average peak
116 ground acceleration (*PGA*) is 50% lower than in the western provinces (Camacho et al., 1997).
117 The Pacific side of the country is characterized by a deep oceanic trench, narrow marine shelf, and
118 active subduction (responsible for volcanic activity and earthquakes). The Atlantic side is a
119 passive, stable margin with a broad marine shelf (Harmon, 2005).

120 No detailed mapping of Panama's geology is publicly available; thus, we have little
121 information about the quartz distribution across the country. A significant part of Panama,
122 including eastern Panama and the former Canal Zone, consists mostly of intrusive and extrusive
123 mafic igneous rocks originating in the Paleocene-Oligocene volcanic island arc (Kesler et al.,
124 1977; Grosser, 1989; de Boer et al., 1995; Maury et al., 1995; Harmon, 2005). Only one carbonate
125 marine sequence has been mapped in Panama (Fisher and Pessagno, 1965). It is located on the
126 Pacific side of the country, and is of Cretaceous age. Throughout the country, metamorphic rocks
127 are scarce. Schist has been reported in the eastern province of Darien (Schubert, 1935; Terry,
128 1956). Small slate outcrops have been reported in several provinces stretching from central
129 Panama to the Costa Rica border. Sedimentary rocks are mostly limited to the eastern region of
130 Panama with only a few located in the west (Schubert, 1935; Terry, 1956).

131

132 **3. Methods**

133 Samples from active river channels were collected where the channels could be accessed,
134 either at road crossings or by helicopter where clearings in the dense jungle vegetation were
135 sufficient for landing (Fig. 2; Table 1). Unlike most basin-scale cosmogenic studies, for which
136 samples are collected at the outlets of upland basins, we were commonly prevented from sampling
137 in or near the highlands because of access restrictions (heavy jungle and lack of roads; Fig. 2). For
138 six of the rivers we sampled, the only access was far downstream from where the streams exited
139 the highlands and after the channel had crossed many to tens of kilometers of low-relief, alluvial
140 plains characterized by braided, sediment-choked channels. By sampling so far downstream, our
141 samples could include stored material reworked from the lowland floodplains making the
142 assumption of continuous fluvial transport of sampled material less certain.

143 We collected several spatial (network) and temporal replicates in order to understand the
144 variance of erosion. Three rivers, Rio Nombre de Dios, Rio Cuango, and Rio Pequini, were
145 sampled several times along their length. Rio Pequini was sampled at its headwaters (sample ID:
146 PHW), before its confluence with the Rio San Miguel (sample ID: PSM), and at its outlet (Sample
147 ID: PLA). We collected one sample of the Rio San Miguel before its confluence with Rio Pequini
148 (sample ID: SMP) that is included in the Pequini network analysis. Two rivers were sampled in
149 different years to test for changes in ^{10}Be concentration over time (Fig. 3; Table 2). In 2009, we
150 resampled (sample ID: PLS) the Rio Chagres in a location similar to that reported by Nichols et
151 al. (2005) (sample ID: CTOM, sample year: 2002). The Rio Nombre de Dios was sampled in 2004
152 (NDD) and 2007 (DIOS) (Fig. 3; Table 2). The replicate samples (both spatial and temporal) are
153 not included in any of our statistical analysis or summary statistics. Data for the replicates can be
154 found in the Data Repository for this article (Supplementary Table 1).

155 We sampled a landslide scar in 2009, two years after the latest failure. We collected three
156 samples from the landslide scarp (PLSS), channel sediment upstream of the landslide (PLSU), and
157 channel sediment downstream of the landslide (PLSD). These three samples were divided into
158 seven grain-size splits each, making a total of 21 landslide-related samples.

159 In the laboratory, samples were dried and sieved. Coarse grain size splits were pulverized
160 using a plate grinder. The three landslide-related samples were analyzed for *in situ*-produced ^{10}Be
161 content by size fraction: < 0.25, 0.25–1, 1–2, 2–4, 4–9, 9–12, and > 12 mm. River sediment
162 samples (unrelated to the landslide) were divided into three size fractions: < 0.25, 0.25–0.85, and
163 > 0.85 mm. For all but the landslide-related samples, only the 0.25–0.85 mm split was analyzed.
164 Samples were chemically treated to isolate quartz and remove meteoric ^{10}Be following the method
165 of Kohl and Nishiizumi (1992). *In situ*-produced ^{10}Be was extracted from quartz following the
166 method of Corbett et al. (2011).

167 Isotopic ratios were measured using Accelerator Mass Spectrometry (AMS) at Lawrence
168 Livermore National Laboratory (Rood et al., 2010, 2013). Blank corrections were made based on
169 the average of all full process blanks ($n = 15$, $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be} = 7.5 \pm 3.6 \times 10^{-16}$), a pair of which was
170 included with each batch of 10 samples. Blank ratios were, for most samples, more than an order
171 of magnitude below measured ratios. Erosion rates based on the isotopic data were obtained using
172 the CRONUS Earth Calculator Version 2.2 (Balco et al., 2008; <http://hess.ess.washington.edu/>).
173 In order to obtain erosion rates, we calculated the effective elevation of each watershed using the
174 Portenga and Bierman (2011) approach. We used the scaling scheme of Lal (1991) and Stone
175 (2000) and the global production rate calibration. For erosion rates calculations (rock equivalent),
176 we assumed a density of 2.7 g cm^{-3} . We note that if deep saprolitization is occurring in the basins,
177 there will be additional mass loss by solution below the depth of cosmic ray penetration. Our

178 samples were standardized using 07KNSTD3110 with an assumed isotopic ratio of 2850×10^{-15}
179 $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ (Nishiizumi et al., 2007).

180 Watersheds were delineated using ArcGIS 9.2 and the 90-m resolution Shuttle Radar
181 Topography Mission Digital Elevation Model. For the six watersheds with extensive lowlands
182 between the uplands and the sample point (Anton, Caimito, Chico, Guias, Santa Maria, Pacora),
183 we delineated watersheds twice (Table 1, second delineation in parenthesis). The first delineation
184 used the sample collection point as the downstream limit of the watershed. The second delineation
185 was made by estimating the place along the river where the channel transitioned from an upland
186 landscape, where hillslopes directly input sediment to the channel, to a lowland landscape
187 dominated by braidplains and sediment deposition. To assess the potential for streams to mine
188 stored alluvium away from the range front, we used this transition point as the basin outlet,
189 recalculated the effective elevation of the basin, and recalculated erosion rates using CRONUS.

190 Erosion rates obtained from CRONUS were not normally distributed so they were
191 logarithmically transformed (\log_{10}) in order to perform parametric statistical analysis (Fig. 4).
192 Watersheds were grouped according to their region (Fig. 1): southwestern (three watersheds),
193 northwestern (five watersheds), central (seven watersheds), central-eastern (eight watersheds), and
194 eastern (13 watersheds). For statistical analysis by region, physiographic and climatic parameters
195 for all sampled watersheds within each region were averaged. Regional erosion rates (the average
196 of each region) were normally distributed. ArcGIS was used to quantify landscape variables
197 (physiographic, climatic, seismic, and land-use) and to display the spatial distribution of erosion
198 rates. All spatial information was projected in the North American Datum (NAD) 1927 Zone 17P
199 (previously denominated Canal Zone). Data gaps in the 90-m SRTM DEM were corrected using
200 the USGS Global Topographic Data, of approximately 1-km in resolution, to fill the missing

201 values. This corrected DEM was used to delineate our study watersheds, and quantify slope, area,
202 and mean local relief. We quantified mean local relief using the Neighborhood tool in ArcGIS,
203 using a 5-km moving window. A generalized digital map of Panama’s geology was obtained from
204 the Smithsonian Tropical Research Institute. Peak ground acceleration for each watershed was
205 obtained based on data produced by the Global Seismic Hazard Assessment Program (Giardini,
206 1999; <http://www.seismo.ethz.ch>). This dataset includes PGA measurements in a fine-scale grid
207 that covers the world. Using a semivariogram, we determined the parameters to import into the
208 kriging function in ArcGIS to interpolate PGA values for all of Panama. We averaged the PGA
209 for each watershed. Land cover data were extracted from the GlobeLand30 dataset (Chen et al.,
210 2015; <http://globallandcover.com>), and we quantified land use for each watershed (Supplementary
211 Table 2).

212 A seismic catalog prepared by the Instituto de Geociencias de Panamá (Camacho, personal
213 communication) was used to identify the epicentral location, depth, and magnitude of each seismic
214 event from 1900–2011. In order to understand the effects of seismicity on erosion at a regional
215 scale, we quantified seismic events by setting a buffer around individual watersheds in each region,
216 summing the seismic events, and averaging the depth and magnitude of events inside the buffer.
217 We set a distance of 10, 25 and 50 km away from the watershed boundary to quantify seismicity
218 (Supplementary Table 3). For regional analysis, we summed the seismic events, within a given
219 buffer, of each watershed. We used the 1-km resolution climatic data from WorldClim (Hijmans
220 et al., 2005; <http://www.worldclim.org>), and quantified 19 variables for each watershed. For
221 statistical analysis, we averaged, pixel by pixel, the values for each variable in each watershed.
222 Silicate chemical weathering rates for 14 of our sampling sites were calculated by Goldsmith et al.
223 (2015). Data were entered into an SPSS database for simple linear regressions, multiple linear

224 regressions, and analysis of variance (ANOVA) relating erosion rates to landscape metrics (IBM
225 SPSS Statistics 20). From Nichols et al. (2005), we include in our analysis only the outlet sample
226 (CLA) for the Rio Chagres, to avoid analytical complications of nested watersheds, and because
227 the outlet sample integrates the entire upstream watershed containing the other sub-watersheds that
228 were sampled.

229

230 **4. Results**

231 *4.1 Erosion rates in space and time*

232 The concentration of *in situ* ^{10}Be measured in Panamanian river sand varies by almost 20-
233 fold (Table 1). The lowest concentration of ^{10}Be measured as part of this study was in sediment of
234 the Rio Bartolo (BART), in the southwestern region (7.4×10^3 atoms g^{-1}). The highest
235 concentration of ^{10}Be was measured in sediment from the Rio Sajlices in the central-eastern region
236 (138.7×10^3 atoms g^{-1}).

237 Basin-average erosion rates of Panamanian river basins based on the concentration of *in*
238 *situ*-produced ^{10}Be also vary widely, from 26 to 595 m My^{-1} (Table 1); the arithmetic average
239 erosion rate for the 35 rivers we sampled is 201 m My^{-1} , the area-weighted average is 144 m My^{-1} ,
240 and the median is 173 m My^{-1} . Slowly eroding basins are generally found in the central and
241 central-eastern region, and rapidly eroding basins are scattered through the country (Fig. 1). When
242 samples were grouped by region, the southwestern region had the highest average erosion rate;
243 however, the central region has the largest variance in erosion rates because it contains the
244 watershed with the highest erosion rate in our dataset (Sample ID: FELIX), 595 m My^{-1} . If that
245 extreme value is not taken into account, the eastern region has the greatest variance (Fig. 5). The

246 average erosion rate for the southwestern region ($441 \pm 70 \text{ m My}^{-1}$) is significantly different from
247 the average erosion rate of all other regions. All other differences in erosion between regions were
248 not significant. There is no statistical difference between the erosion rate of watersheds draining
249 to the Pacific and those draining to the Caribbean ($F = 0.686, p = 0.412$).

250 Basin-scale erosion rates do not differ dramatically over time or over space in most basins.
251 The Rio Nombre de Dios was sampled in 2004 (Sample NDD), and in 2007 (DIOS). Erosion rates
252 were similar, 402 ± 66 and $440 \pm 95 \text{ m My}^{-1}$. The Upper Rio Chagres was sampled in 2002 (CTOM)
253 and 2009 (PLS). Erosion rates were 152 ± 12 and $121 \pm 8 \text{ m My}^{-1}$, respectively (Fig. 3; Table 2).
254 Three watersheds were sampled at different locations (Fig. 3; Table 2). The Rio Pequini was
255 sampled at its headwaters (PHW; erosion rate = $377 \pm 60 \text{ m My}^{-1}$), before its confluence with the
256 Rio San Miguel (PSM; $318 \pm 30 \text{ m My}^{-1}$), and at its outlet downstream from the confluence (PLA;
257 $416 \pm 37 \text{ m My}^{-1}$). A sample from the San Miguel before its confluence with the Pequini is also in
258 this basin (SMP; $267 \pm 36 \text{ m My}^{-1}$). The upper Rio Chagres was sampled twice in 2009; samples
259 CHAG2009 and PLS had erosion rates of 61 ± 4 and $121 \pm 8 \text{ m My}^{-1}$ respectively, within the range
260 of erosion rates for this area reported previously (Nichols et al., 2005; data recalculated by
261 Portenga and Bierman, 2011). The Rio Cuango was sampled at different times and in different
262 places (Sample ID: CUAN in 2004; Sample ID CNGO in 2007); the samples had erosion rates of
263 366 ± 36 and $262 \pm 27 \text{ m My}^{-1}$, respectively. Based on the limited network and temporal analysis
264 we have completed, downstream variance in erosion rates mostly appears to exceed temporal
265 changes in ^{10}Be concentration.

266 *4.2 Relationship between landscape-scale variables and erosion rates*

267 In general, linear regression analysis showed few statistically significant bivariate
268 relationships between watershed-scale erosion rates inferred from ^{10}Be measurements and
269 landscape scale variables (Table 3; Fig. 6; Supplementary Table 2). There were no significant
270 relationships ($p < 0.05$) between erosion rates and physiographic metrics (area, slope, and relief;
271 Fig. 7). Using the modified basin area to exclude the extensive alluvial lowlands (Table 1) did not
272 change the results.

273 Because topographic variables did not relate to erosion rates in Panama, we explored the
274 relationship of erosion rates with seismicity, climate, and forest cover. Several climatic variables
275 showed positive relationships with erosion rates including temperature seasonality ($R^2 = 0.140$, p
276 $= 0.025$), and precipitation during the driest quarter of the year ($R^2 = 0.119$, $p = 0.040$).
277 Temperature did not have a significant relationship with erosion either at the basin ($R^2 = 0.010$, p
278 $= 0.560$) or the regional scale ($R^2 = 0.067$, $p = 0.673$). Forested cover was used as a land use proxy,
279 and it showed no significant relation with erosion rates ($R^2 = 0.048$, $p = 0.197$).

280 Higher erosion rates were measured in areas of higher seismic activity in western Panama
281 (Fig. 8). Erosion rates decrease as distance to the seismically active zone increases. Peak ground
282 acceleration weakly (and positively) relates to erosion rates ($R^2 = 0.154$, $p = 0.018$). When analyzed
283 at the regional level, the only statistically significant relationship we found is between the number
284 of seismic events within a 10-km distance of the region ($R^2 = 0.837$, $p = 0.029$).

285 Watershed-scale erosion rates and chemical weathering of silicate rocks (Goldsmith et al.,
286 2015) were well-correlated ($R^2 = 0.409$, $p = 0.014$, $n = 14$). In Panama, silicate weathering
287 accounts for between 3 to 30% of the total denudation (Supplementary Table 2).

288 *4.3 Landslide effects on ^{10}Be concentration in river sediment*

289 ^{10}Be concentration in all landslide-related sediment samples (all grain size splits for
290 samples PLSU, PLSS, and PLSD) ranged from 0.74×10^4 to 3.83×10^4 atoms g^{-1} (Table 4), generally
291 lower than the concentrations of river sediments in our dataset. Grain size and isotopic
292 concentrations are well and negatively correlated (Fig. 9; $R^2 = 0.65$, $p = 0.01$; $n = 21$). Mean ^{10}Be
293 concentration in the < 0.25 mm fraction is greater than in all > 2.00 mm fractions at the 0.05 level.
294 For all grain sizes, ^{10}Be concentration of the landslide material is less than that of stream sediment
295 both up- and downstream of the landslide (Fig. 9). The difference in concentration is most
296 pronounced in the small grain sizes (sand) and less in gravel. A simple two-component mixing
297 model, using the ^{10}Be concentration of the sand-size fraction (0.25–1 mm) samples from PLSS
298 and PLSU, shows that $\sim 27\%$ of the sediment in the downstream samples is sourced from the
299 landslide. Landslide material accounts for roughly 11% of the fine-grained (< 0.25 mm) material
300 downstream of where the landslide enters the river. The influence of the landslide is greater in
301 coarser material: 78% of the $> 12\text{mm}$ size-fraction comes from the landslide (Table 5; Fig. 10).

302

5. Discussion

303 Basin-scale erosion rates across Panama vary over more than an order of magnitude and
304 are in general, quite rapid, with an arithmetic average of 201 ± 146 m My^{-1} , similar to the arithmetic
305 average (158 ± 35 m My^{-1}) reported for Panama's 466 km² Rio Chagres by Portenga and Bierman
306 (2011) based on recalculation of data from Nichols et al. (2005). Erosion rates are largely unrelated
307 to topographic metrics, vary in a coherent spatial pattern, and are correlated to various expressions
308 of tectonic activity, and chemical weathering rates. Panama is eroding faster than most tropical
309 field areas where ^{10}Be -derived erosion rates have been measured, with the exception of Bolivia.

310

311 *5.1. Panamanian and other tropical erosion rates*

312 Erosion rates of Panamanian basins span much of the range previously reported for tropical
313 basins (Portenga and Bierman, 2011). The dataset from Panama (this study and Nichols et al.,
314 2005) is extensive when compared to other tropical studies; the number of samples included in
315 those other studies ranges from 4 to 10, whereas the Panama dataset now includes 35 unique
316 watersheds.

317 The arithmetic average erosion rate for the Panamanian watersheds considered in this study
318 is significantly different from the average denudation of other tropical regions located in Puerto
319 Rico, Australia, Madagascar, Brazil, and Sri Lanka and lower than areas of Bolivia ($F = 34.25$, p
320 < 0.001 ; Fig. 11). For example, ^{10}Be -derived erosion rates published for southeastern Brazil range
321 between 1 and 90 m My^{-1} ($n = 76$), with an average of 10.5 m My^{-1} (Salgado et al., 2006, 2007,
322 2008, 2013; Cherem et al., 2012; Rezende et al., 2013; Barreto et al., 2013, 2014; Sosa Gonzalez
323 et al., 2016, in review). These areas of Brazil have, thus far, the lowest cosmogenic-derived erosion
324 rates measured in the tropics. Portions of Madagascar erode at a pace slightly higher than Brazil,
325 14 m My^{-1} (Cox et al., 2009; $n = 4$). Tropical areas of Sri Lanka (Hewawasam et al., 2003; von
326 Blanckenburg et al., 2004; $n = 16$), and Australia (Heimsath et al., 2009; Nichols et al., 2014; $n =$
327 24) have relatively low erosion rates averaging 18 and 24 m My^{-1} , respectively. Some eastern
328 basins in Puerto Rico (Brown et al., 1995, 1998; Riebe et al., 2003; $n = 27$) are eroding at an
329 average rate of 62 m My^{-1} ; faster than other tropical basins but slower than the Panamanian basins.
330 Tropical areas of Bolivia (Wittmann et al., 2009; Insel et al., 2010; $n = 12$) are eroding at an average
331 of 327 m My^{-1} , more rapidly than Panama.

332 The Panamanian basins that we sampled share some similar characteristics with other
333 tropical sites where basin-scale erosion rates have been determined. For example, the Panamanian
334 basins have a similar mean annual temperature compared to the other tropical basins in which
335 erosion rates have been measured (Panama watersheds, 24.4°C; Sri Lanka watersheds, 19.2°C;
336 Madagascar watersheds, 20.2°C; Puerto Rico watersheds, 21.6°C). Mean annual precipitation for
337 all tropical watersheds is similar, 2500 to 2800 mm yr⁻¹, except for Madagascar where the sampled
338 watersheds, located in the highlands and subject to seasonal precipitation, record an average
339 precipitation of 1134 mm yr⁻¹.

340 Significant differences between the Panamanian sites and the other tropical sites also exist.
341 The average slope of all the watersheds studied in each country differs; the mean slope for
342 Panamanian basins we sampled is 10.8°. Using the data published by Portenga and Bierman
343 (2011), slopes are higher for sampled Puerto Rican (13.3°; Brown et al., 1995, 1998; Riebe et al.,
344 2003) and Sri Lankan basins (13.5° and 21.4° for Hewawasam et al., 2003 and von Blanckenburg
345 et al., 2004, respectively), but lower for basins in Madagascar (7.6°; Cox et al., 2009). Yet, Panama
346 with its relatively low slope basins and low mean local relief has some of the highest average
347 erosion rates of all tropical areas sampled so far suggesting that slope is not the dominant control
348 on erosion rate in these areas, consistent with the bivariate regression results showing no
349 correlation between slope and erosion rate in Panama.

350 Since the range of climatic and topographic variables are grossly similar for all tropical
351 sites, yet erosion rates differ significantly, erosion must be influenced by another variable, such as
352 tectonic setting and thus seismicity. Madagascar, Brazil, Australia, and Sri Lanka are located in
353 regions with little tectonic activity. Peak ground acceleration, defined as the magnitude of ground
354 motion with a 10% chance of being exceeded within 50 years, and expressed as a fraction of the

355 acceleration due to gravity (g) is $0.06g$ for the 16 watersheds studied in Sri Lanka, and $0.36g$ for
356 Madagascar (data from Giardini, 1999, as quantified and published by Portenga and Bierman,
357 2011). Australia and Brazil are located in passive margins, with average peak ground accelerations
358 of $0.64g$ and $0.01g$ respectively in the sampled watersheds. For Panama, mean peak ground
359 acceleration in the 41 studied watersheds, is more than an order of magnitude higher, ranging
360 between $1.77g$ and $4.37g$ (average: $2.29g$; data from Giardini, 1999). For Puerto Rican sites, peak
361 ground acceleration averaged $1.88g$. Panama and Puerto Rico are located in active tectonic zones,
362 where seismic shaking likely weakens and fractures rocks, making them easier to erode as
363 evidenced by high cosmogenically-determined erosion rates. Seismicity can also trigger mass
364 movements (Keefer, 1984; Wang et al., 2003), adding lesser-dosed sediment from landslides to
365 rivers and thus increasing erosion rates calculated from ^{10}Be concentration in sediment. Even in
366 tectonically stable Madagascar, there is a correlation between erosion by gullies and seismicity.
367 The highest density of gullies was found in or near areas where earthquakes of magnitude up to
368 5.6 occur (Cox et al., 2010).

369

370 *5.2. Controls on Panamanian erosion*

371 The relationship between slope and erosion rate is not significant for Panama as a whole
372 although Nichols et al. (2005) found a relationship between erosion rate and average basin slope
373 in the much smaller 466 km^2 Rio Chagres basin. We suspect that as the studied area increases,
374 seismicity, climate, lithologic variables, and other landscape characteristics more strongly
375 influence erosion rate and the relationship with slope becomes subordinate (Fig. 12). Such a

376 decrease in the bivariate relationship between slope and erosion coincides with similar findings
377 from watershed-scale to regional- and even the global-scale. (Portenga and Bierman, 2011).

378 The reason for the lack of bivariate correlation between erosion rate and topographic
379 variables is not clear, though a similar lack of correlation has arisen in other studies. For example,
380 Scharf et al. (2013) found no relationship between basin average slope and denudation rate in far
381 southern Africa, an area underlain by extremely strong and uniform quartzite bedrock. Perhaps the
382 Panamanian landscape overall is in an erosional steady state, as per Riebe et al. (2001); in that
383 case, the lack of relationship between topography and erosion rates would then be indicative of
384 dynamic equilibrium where hillslope gradients reflect rock strength, not erosion rate. It is possible
385 that relationships between topographic variables and erosion are masked by spatial differences in
386 quartz content; many of the samples contained only a few percent quartz but the lack of detailed
387 geologic mapping makes it impossible to determine quartz distribution on the landscape and thus
388 to test this hypothesis.

389 The relationships between precipitation variables and erosion rate in our dataset are weak.
390 Erosion rate is only weakly correlated to mean annual precipitation and there is no significant
391 difference between erosion rates of watersheds draining to the Caribbean Sea and those draining
392 to the Pacific Ocean despite the difference in the annual distribution of precipitation. Precipitation
393 during the driest quarter of the year has a stronger (and positive) relationship with erosion rate than
394 mean annual precipitation has with erosion. Evidence of soil water repellency, and thus lower
395 infiltration rates, during the dry season has been found in Panama (Hendrickx et al., 2005). This
396 would increase runoff and potentially erosion in the dry season and early in the wet season.

397 The weak relationship between erosion rates and climate that we observe in Panama is
398 consistent with other studies such as Riebe et al. (2001), which concluded that climate exerted
399 minimal control on erosion in seven watersheds in Sierra Nevada, California. Similarly, von
400 Blanckenburg et al. (2004) suggested that increasing temperature alone did not accelerate erosion
401 rates in Sri Lanka. The lack of relationship between temperature and erosion rates in Sri Lanka
402 suggests that temperature alone does not drive chemical weathering, which could in turn increase
403 denudation rates. Likely the apparent disconnect between erosion rates and climate is due to
404 regional and localized variables (e.g. rock type, topography, and tectonics) exerting a greater
405 control on denudation than precipitation and temperature.

406 The control of lithology on long-term erosion rates is poorly constrained (Portenga and
407 Bierman, 2011; Duxbury et al., 2015). The lack of understanding is in part due to the minimal
408 lithologic variation in the studied catchments, since most studies, by necessity, sample areas
409 underlain in large part by quartz-bearing rocks. In our dataset, an ANOVA did not show a
410 significant difference in erosion rates between three broad lithologic classifications (igneous
411 intrusive, volcanic, and sedimentary rocks) at the 0.05 significance level ($F = 2.469$; $p = 0.099$).
412 However, the watersheds in the southwestern region are underlain by sedimentary lithologies and
413 are eroding quickly, similar to those basins composed of sedimentary rocks in the global
414 compilation of ^{10}Be -determined erosion rates (Portenga and Bierman, 2011).

415 When seismic data are analyzed at the regional scale, the most significant relationship is
416 between the number of seismic events within 10 km of the watersheds and erosion rate; this
417 relationship is positive. Peak ground acceleration is also weakly and positively related to
418 denudation rates. These findings suggest that the frequency of seismic events exerts a greater
419 control in rates of erosion than the magnitude of the events as determined by the 111 years of

420 record (Camacho, personal communication). Perhaps this reflects the proximity of seismic zones
421 and the pervasive brittle deformation and weakening of rock near such zones. It is possible that
422 erosion rates in Panama may be related to tectonic uplift rates but sufficient data are lacking to test
423 this hypothesis. It is suggestive that the southwestern region of Panama, the Burica Peninsula, has
424 the highest long-term, cosmogenically determined erosion rates and is also the site of several
425 studies that document relatively fast, short-term (years) rates of uplift ranging between 2 and 19
426 mm yr⁻¹ (Morell et al., 2008, 2011; Davidson, 2010). The more than 100-fold difference between
427 long-term erosion rates (0.03 to 0.6 mm yr⁻¹) and short-term uplift rates (2 to 19 mm yr⁻¹) suggests
428 that uplift rates, when averaged over longer time frames, are likely much lower than those
429 determined by GPS-based geodesy.

430

431 *5.3. Landslide effect on measured ¹⁰Be concentration*

432 In the mountainous regions of Panama, landslides deliver material of diverse grain sizes to
433 stream channels. This material has ¹⁰Be concentrations significantly lower than sediment
434 transported by streams that is presumably delivered by shallower, slower, and more diffusive-like
435 hillslope processes. The contrast in ¹⁰Be concentration likely reflects the depth of erosion because
436 landslides, if deep enough, source much of the material they deposit into streams and rivers from
437 below the penetration depth of cosmic-ray neutrons (Bierman and Steig, 1996; Niemi et al. 2005).
438 Samples collected from the landslide scarp in the Rio Chagres Basin suggest that much of the
439 landslide sediment is in fact deeply sourced because this material that has considerably lower
440 concentrations of ¹⁰Be than sediment in the adjacent stream, consistent with the findings from
441 Niemi et al. (2005).

442 Our data show that sand-sized sediment introduced into the Rio Chagres by the landslide
443 we sampled has approximately half the concentration of ^{10}Be as sediment already in the river.
444 Conversely, larger grains in the channel have ^{10}Be concentrations similar to those delivered by the
445 landslide. Our data are consistent with other data showing that the concentration of both stream
446 sediment and landslide material is inversely related to grain size. For example, similar relationships
447 were also found in landslide material from Puerto Rico (Brown et al., 1998; Riebe et al., 2003),
448 Chile (Aguilar et al., 2013), and the Himalayas (Puchol et al., 2014).

449 Our grain size-specific ^{10}Be data help us to understand the delivery mechanisms of
450 sediment to channels. A simple mixing model suggests that for small grain sizes much of the
451 sediment (~74 to 89% of the sediment up to 1 mm, similar to the grain size we analyzed in the
452 Panamanian drainage basins) is delivered by near-surface slope processes. By adjusting the
453 inferred basin-scale erosion rates by -26% (the maximum amount of fine-grained sediment
454 delivered by the landslide) the arithmetic mean erosion rate for all of our samples lowers to 159 m
455 My^{-1} , still well within the range of the erosion rates that we measured for all basins. Considering
456 only sand-sized material, landslides seem to have a minimal influence on measured erosion rates.

457 In contrast, the cosmogenic data suggest that 78% of sediment in the > 12 mm split could
458 be derived from landslide debris. Rengers and Wohl (2007) measured the D_{50} value of point bar
459 sediment down the Rio Chagres. At the location of the landslide we sampled and for which we
460 have ^{10}Be data, their linear regression model suggests that point bar sediment has a D_{50} value of
461 ~70 mm, much larger than our largest landslide grain-size split which contributes 78% of channel
462 sediment. Thus, the ^{10}Be data for cobbles are consistent with landslides supplying the majority of
463 coarse sediment to the Rio Chagres with the caveat that this sediment input is episodic and spatially
464 restricted to the sites of landslides.

465 The landslide data clearly suggest different delivery mechanisms of sediment to the
466 channel for different grain sizes. The grain size that we measured for ^{10}Be -derived erosion rates
467 (0.25–1.0 mm) appears to represent primarily the rate of diffusive slope processes. On the other
468 hand, large grain sizes, those that constitute the sediment on point bars and bedload, are more
469 likely to be derived from landslides. If we assume that the fine-grained sediment is removed as
470 suspended load (Stallard, 1999) and that most sediment is removed from Panama as suspended
471 load, then on the basis of studying this one landslide, our cosmogenically-based erosion rates are
472 representative of rates of sediment generation on Panamanian hillslopes.

473 The landslide data show a discrepancy in every grain size between ^{10}Be concentration in
474 landslide material (lower) and channel sediment (higher). This grain-size dependent difference
475 implies that the depth and spatial/temporal frequency of landslides in part set the ^{10}Be
476 concentration in channel sediment; thus, cosmogenically-determined rates of erosion are to some
477 degree, irrespective of the grain size analyzed, are in part controlled by landsliding. If controls on
478 landslide initiation and the depth of landslides are different for different basins, then the traditional
479 landscape and climatic metrics described above would not necessarily correlate to the ^{10}Be derived
480 erosion rates, as we find in this study. Instead, the long-term landslide frequency, modeled at ~1
481 landslide $\text{km}^{-2} \text{y}^{-1}$ for the Panama Canal watershed (Stallard and Kinner, 2005), would in part set
482 both the overall landscape erosion rate and the concentration of ^{10}Be in fluvial sediment.

483 The difference in ^{10}Be activity in landslide material compared channel sediment in the
484 sand-size fraction is only 2-fold. Such a difference is similar to the ~2.5-fold variability of ^{10}Be
485 concentration within the 17 sub-watersheds of the Rio Chagres (Nichols et al., 2005). Thus, the
486 Chagres data are consistent with different amounts of landslide-derived material in different rivers,
487 perhaps just a snapshot in time, with ^{10}Be concentration reflecting the proximity in time and space

488 of the nearest landslide. However, ^{10}Be concentrations in the entire Panama data set reported here
489 vary by almost 20-fold. This variation is much larger than the several-fold variability in ^{10}Be
490 concentration between landslide-derived and channel sediment in the Rio Chagres. While not the
491 focus of this paper, we speculate that landslide frequency and magnitude (specifically the average
492 depth of landslides) for the basins along the Panamanian Isthmus, may in part dictate ^{10}Be
493 concentrations in fluvial sediment and thus ^{10}Be -derived erosion rates. This hypothesis could be
494 tested if more landslide data were available. Specifically, if the magnitude and frequency of
495 landslides in specific drainage basins was inversely correlated to ^{10}Be concentrations in those same
496 basins, then landsliding would have a significant control on rates of erosion determined with ^{10}Be .

497

498 *5.4. Relation to silicate weathering*

499 Recently, Goldsmith et al. (2015) reported dissolved loads for many of the same
500 Panamanian Rivers we sampled, and just as we did, they found significant relationships with
501 watershed lithology, topography, and precipitation. Our data sets are complimentary, with theirs
502 revealing the rate and distribution of chemical weathering and ours indicating the rate at which
503 sediment is generated and the landscape erodes.

504 Using the data of Goldsmith et al. (2015), we too find a positive relationship between
505 chemical weathering (their data) and total erosion rates (our ^{10}Be data) in Panama. The strongest
506 and most significant relationship in our dataset is between cosmogenically-derived erosion rates
507 and chemical weathering of silicate rocks in the same watersheds (Goldsmith et al., 2015, $R^2 =$
508 0.41 , $p = 0.014$, $n = 14$). Similarly, a positive relationship between chemical and physical erosion
509 measured using ^{10}Be has been reported by Riebe et al. (2003, 2004) and Riebe and Granger (2012)

510 and von Blanckenburg (2005). von Blanckenburg et al. (2004) observed that both erosion rates
511 and silicate weathering rates in Sri Lanka are low. They attributed the low rate of silicate
512 weathering to slow rates of physical erosion, thereby limiting the supply of readily weathered
513 material. However, they concluded that silicate weathering represents a significant fraction of the
514 total denudation. They found that total denudation rates ranged between 5 and 30 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹,
515 and silicate weathering ranged between 5 and 24 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹, suggesting that much of the total
516 denudation was accomplished chemically. In Panama, where erosion is much more rapid, silicate
517 weathering range between 29 and 60 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹, up to an order of magnitude greater than in Sri
518 Lanka, perhaps because physical weathering is so rapid.

519

520 *5.5. Comparison to contemporary sediment yields*

521 Three of the sampled rivers (Chagres, Boqueron, and Pequini) have contemporary sediment
522 yield data collected over a period of 16 years (Stallard, 1999). The ¹⁰Be-derived sediment yield for
523 the Rio Chagres (Nichols et al., 2005; recalculated by Portenga and Bierman, 2011) is 479 ± 46
524 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹, higher than the sediment yield estimated from 16 years of suspended sediment data,
525 289 ± 56 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹. The ¹⁰Be derived sediment yields for the Rio Pequini range from 721 to
526 1,123 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹ (this paper) while the contemporary sediment yield was 658 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹
527 (averaged yield). The sediment yield derived from ¹⁰Be data for the Rio Boqueron is 697 ± 73 tons
528 km⁻² yr⁻¹ whereas the 16 year-average is 887 ± 236 tons km⁻² yr⁻¹. Comparing sediment yields over
529 two timescales (decades vs millennia) shows gross similarity. Differences between short-term
530 sediment yields and long-term erosion rates likely reflect the episodic nature of sediment delivery
531 that is captured by contemporary measurements, but averaged in long-term measurements

532 (Kirchner et al., 2001). In no case are the current day sediment yields significantly higher than the
533 long-term rates of sediment generation. While sediment may be stored in the basin, our data imply
534 that contemporary land use practices are not causing sediment to be removed from the landscape
535 faster than it is being generated.

536

537 **6. Conclusions**

538 Erosion rates in Panama, at a basin scale, appear to vary by more than a factor of 20, ranging
539 from 26 to 595 m My⁻¹; yet, the lack of well-defined relationships between topographic metrics or
540 lithology and the rate of erosion suggests complex erosion dynamics not directly tied to the
541 topography. This lack of correlation between denudation and topography is seldom found in the
542 literature and in Panama could be due to landslide frequency and magnitude.

543 It appears that climate is weakly related to basin-scale rates of erosion. Seismicity (a metric
544 for tectonic activity) is related to erosion rates, perhaps through its effect on rock strength (Young
545 et al., 2000). Likely because it is tectonically active, Panama is eroding more rapidly than other
546 tropical regions where cosmogenic nuclides have been measured in river sediments, including
547 Puerto Rico, Brazil, Sri Lanka, Madagascar and Australia. The Panamanian landscape exhibits a
548 high erosional efficiency, a function of its tectonic activity, lithology, climate, and topography. By
549 contrast, Panama is eroding slower than the tectonically active Andean region of Bolivia.

550 The gross similarity between long-term erosion rates determined cosmogenically and
551 sediment yields determined over decadal time scales suggests that current land use practices does
552 not significantly affect the amount of sediment moving through Panamanian rivers. Well correlated

553 physical and chemical erosion rates are consistent with rapid physical erosion exposing fresh
554 mineral surfaces, which can then be rapidly weathered in the wet, warm tropical climate.

555

556 **Acknowledgments:**

557 This study was supported by the U.S. Army Research Office (Quantifying Erosion and
558 Sedimentation in Extreme Environments: Refining and Applying the Cosmogenic Method for
559 Army-Relevant Landscape Analysis) and by a Graduate Opportunity Fellowship from the
560 University of Vermont, awarded to the first author. We thank L. Vander Zyl of the U.S. Army
561 Yuma Proving Ground Tropic Regions Test Center and E. Nicolaisen, A. Iglesias, and R. Martinez
562 of Trax Evaluación Ambiental, S.A. (Panama) for field work logistical support; S. Goldsmith, R.
563 Harmon, R. Stallard, E. Wohl, M. Shrensel, and S. Howe for field assistance; and B. Copans for
564 sample preparation. We also thank M. Jungers and an anonymous reviewer for their constructive
565 reviews and suggestions to improve the manuscript.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1. Panama topography and tectonic setting. (A) Sampled watersheds in Panama ($n = 35$). Black circles indicate the Pacific and Caribbean access points to the Panama Canal. Boxes indicate the breakdown used for regional analysis. Location of Panama within Central America (inset). (B) Generalized map of tectonic features in Panama. Faults are common in Panama, which is located at the junction of the Cocos and Caribbean tectonic plates. Solid gray lines show faults, barbs indicate thrust faults, and half arrows along a fault indicate strike-slip faults. Dashed lines represent the boundary of tectonic plates. Map data from the US Geological Survey.

Fig. 2. Photographs of field area. (A) Aerial view of the Panamanian jungle. (B) Narrow, highland Panamanian river. (C) Sampling site for Rio San Felix (sample ID: Felix). (D) Sampled landside site (sample ID: PLSS).

Fig. 3. Sampling locations for spatial and temporal variation analyses (Table 2). Each frame includes samples taken within the same watershed. Samples analyzed for temporal analysis were

collected in close proximity to each other; network analysis samples were collected at different distances downstream. All the watersheds sampled for these analyses are located east of the Panama Canal (see inset).

Fig. 4. Erosion rates measured in this study (dark gray shaded areas). They are not normally distributed. Skewness in the distribution is reduced after erosion rates are logarithmically (base 10) transformed (inset). Transformed erosion rates were used for parametric analysis. Data for tropical studies (hollow bars with dashed lines) includes all cosmogenic-derived erosion rates from Portenga and Bierman (2011) that were classified as tropical.

Fig. 5. Arithmetic average erosion rate by region. Lines inside the boxes represent the median. The uppermost and lowermost horizontal bars represent the minimum and maximum of each group. The bottom and top of the boxes represent the lower and upper quartile of the data, respectively. The southwestern region has the highest average erosion rate, and the central region has the highest variability. The Rio Felix, represented by the black dot, is an extreme outlier in our dataset and not included in summary statistics. Average basin area and standard deviation are shown above boxes.

Fig. 6. Summarized bi-variate linear regressions. R^2 values of variables positively correlated to erosion are marked with a cross; negative correlations are marked with a bar. The dashed horizontal line represents the level of significance of the regressions; variables under the line are not significantly related to erosion, variables above are significantly related.

Fig. 7. Regression plots of erosion rate and a variety of parameters. (A) Chemical weathering strongly related to erosion rate. (B to D) No significant relationship between erosion rate and mean annual temperatures (B), mean basin slope (C), or mean annual precipitation (D). Samples are coded by region.

Fig. 8. Seismic activity in Panama. Circles represent individual seismic events (1900–2011), map data provided by the Instituto de Geociencias de Panamá (personal communication). Circle size represent event magnitude. Watershed tone represents the erosion rate. Rivers where contemporary sediment yield has been measured are identified in the map.

Fig. 9. Concentration of ^{10}Be according to grain size for samples upstream of, within, and downstream of the landslide. ^{10}Be decreases as grain size increases. Material from the landslide (PLSS) usually has the lowest isotopic concentration for each grain size fraction.

Fig. 10. Landslide influence on downstream material. We quantified what percentage of the downstream material was delivered by the landslide. Our results show that the influence of the landslide increases systematically with grain size.

Fig. 11. Boxplot comparing erosion rates measured at tropical sites. Black dots represent outliers within each dataset. Countries not connected by the same letter have significantly different erosion rates. Bolivia (Wittmann et al., 2009; Insel et al., 2010) is eroding faster than all other tropical sites and has the widest range in data. Basins in our dataset are eroding faster than those previously published for Panama (Nichols et al., 2005), as well as tropical sites in Australia (Heimsath et al., 2009; Nichols et al., 2014), Brazil (Salgado et al., 2006, 2007, 2008, 2013; Cherem et al., 2012; Barreto et al., 2013, 2014; Rezende et al., 2013), Madagascar (Cox et al.,

2009), Puerto Rico (Brown et al. 1995, 1998; Riebe et al., 2003), and Sri Lanka (Hewawasam et al., 2003; von Blanckenburg et al., 2004).

Fig. 12. Bivariate linear regression between slope and erosion rate. Data from this study data are coded by region. Global dataset, represented in gray circles, are from Portenga and Bierman (2011). Previously published Panamanian data (Nichols et al., 2005) are represented by hollow circles. The relationship between erosion rate and slope is significant at the regional scale, but decreases in strength for broader scales.

Table captions:

Table 1. Watershed parameters and isotopic concentrations

Table 2. Summarized data for temporal variation and network analysis

Table 3. Correlation coefficient of topographic variables and variables significantly related to erosion.

Table 4. Isotopic concentration of landslide-related samples.

Table 5. Landslide influence on downstream sediment

References

- Aguilar, G., Carretier, S., Regard, V., Vassallo, R., Riquelme, R., Martinod, J., 2013. Grain-size dependent ^{10}Be concentrations in alluvial stream sediment of the Huasco Valley, a semi-arid Andes region. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 19, 163-172
- Anhert, F., 1970. Functional relationships between denudation, relief, and uplift in large mid-latitude drainage basins. *American Journal of Science*, 268, 243-263.
- Balco, G., Stone, J.O., Lifton, N.A., Dunai, T.J., 2008. A complete and easily accessible means of calculating surface exposure ages or erosion rates from ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al measurements. *Quaternary Geochronology*, 3(3), 174-195.
- Barreto, H.N., Varajão, C.A.C., Braucher, R., Bourlès, D.L., Salgado, A.A.R., Varajão, A.F.D.C., 2013. Denudation rates of the Southern Espinhaço Range, Minas Gerais, Brazil, determined by in situ-produced cosmogenic beryllium-10. *Geomorphology*, 191, 1-13.
- Barreto, H.N., Varajão, C.A.C., Braucher, R., Bourlès, D.L., Salgado, A.A.R., Varajão, A.F.D.C., 2014. The impact of diamond extraction on natural denudation rates in the Diamantina Plateau (Minas Gerais, Brazil). *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 56, 357-364.
- Bierman, P., Steig, E.J., 1996. Estimating rates of denudation using cosmogenic isotope abundances in sediment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 21, 125-139.
- Bierman, P.R., Nichols, K.K., 2004. Rock to Sediment—Slope to Sea with ^{10}Be —Rates of Landscape Change. *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, 32(1), 215-255.
- Brown, E.T., Stallard, R.F., Larsen, M.C., Raisbeck, G., M., Yiou, F., 1995. Denudation rates from the accumulation of in-situ produced ^{10}Be in the Luquillo Experimental Forest, Puerto Rico. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 129, 193-202.
- Brown, E.T., Stallard, R.F., Larsen, M.C., Bourlès, D., Raisbeck, G., M., Yiou, F., 1998. Determination of predevelopment denudation rates of an agricultural watershed (Cayaguás River, Puerto Rico) using in-situ produced ^{10}Be in river-borne quartz. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 160, 723-728.
- Camacho, E., Lindholm, C., Dahle, A., Bungum, H., 1997. Seismic hazard assessment in Panama. *Engineering Geology*, 48, 1-6.
- Chen, J., Chen, J., Liao, A., Cao, X., Chen, L., He, C., Han, G., Peng, S., Lu, M., Zhang, W., Tong, X., Mills, J., 2015. Global land cover mapping at 30 m resolution: A POK-based operational approach. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, 103, 7-27.
- Cherem, L.F.S., Varajão, C.A.C., Braucher, R., Bourlès, D., Salgado, A.A.R., Varajão, A.C., 2012. Long-term evolution of denudational escarpments in southeastern Brazil. *Geomorphology*, 173-174, 118-127.
- Clapp, E.M., Bierman, P.R., Caffee, M., 2002. Using ^{10}Be and ^{26}Al to determine sediment generation rates and identify sediment source areas in an arid region drainage basin. *Geomorphology*, 45, 89-104
- Contraloría General de la República de Panamá, 2005. Estadísticas Ambientales: Años 2000-04, Instituto Nacional de Estadística y Censo, Panamá.
- Contraloría General de la República de Panamá, 2008. Plan Nacional de Desarrollo Forestal: Modelo Forestal Sostenible, Autoridad Nacional del Ambiente, Panama.
- Corbett, L.B., Young, N.E., Bierman, P.R., Briner, J.P., Neumann, T.A., Rood, D.H., Graly, J.A., 2011. Paired bedrock and boulder ^{10}Be concentrations resulting from early Holocene ice

- retreat near Jakobshavn Isfjord, western Greenland. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 30(13-14), 1739-1749.
- Cox, R., Bierman, P., Jungers, Matthew C., Rakotondrazafy, A.F.M., 2009. Erosion rates and sediment sources in Madagascar inferred from ^{10}Be analysis of lavaka, slope, and river sediment. *The Journal of Geology*, 117(4), 363-376.
- Cox, R., Zentner, D.B., Rakotondrazafy, A.F.M., and Rasoazanamparany, C.F., 2010. Shakedown in Madagascar: Occurrence of lavakas (erosional gullies) associated with seismic activity. *Geology*, 38 (2), 179-182
- Dai, F.C., Lee, C.F., 2001. Frequency-volume relation and prediction of rainfall-induced landslides. *Engineering Geology*, 59, 253-266.
- Davidson, D., 2010. Recent uplift of the Burica Peninsula, Panama and Costa Rica, recorded by marine terraces. B.Sc., Trinity University, San Antonio, TX, USA, 77 pp.
- de Boer, J.Z., Drummond, M.S., Bordelon, M.J., Defant, M.J., Bellon, H., Maury, R.C., 1995. Cenozoic magmatic phases of the Costa Rican island arc (Cordillera de Talamanca). *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 295(35-56).
- DiBiase, R.A., Whipple, K.X., Heimsath, A.M., Ouimet, W.B., 2010. Landscape form and millennial erosion rates in the San Gabriel Mountains, CA. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 289, 134-144
- Duxbury, J., Bierman, P., Portenga, E.W., Pavich, M., Southworth, S., Freeman, S.P., 2015. Erosion rates in and around Shenandoah National Park, Virginia, determined using analysis of cosmogenic ^{10}Be . *American Journal of Science*, 315(1), 46-76.
- Fisher, S.P., Pessagno, E.A., 1965. Upper Cretaceous strata of northwestern Panama. *AAPG Bulletin*, 49(4), 433-444.
- Giardini, D., 1999. The global seismic hazard assessment program (GSHAP) - 1992/1999. *Annali di Geofisica*, 42(6), 957-974.
- Goldsmith, S.T., Harmon, R.S., Lyons, W.B., Harmon, B.A., Ogden, F.L., Gardner, C.B., 2015. Evaluation of controls on silicate weathering in tropical mountainous rivers: Insights from the Isthmus of Panama. *Geology*, G36082. 36081.
- Gosse, J.C., Phillips, F.M., 2001. Terrestrial in situ cosmogenic nuclides: theory and application. *Quaternary Science Reviews*, 20, 1475-1560.
- Grosser, J.R., 1989. Geotectonic evolution of the Western Cordillera of Colombia: New aspects from geochemical data on volcanic rocks. *Journal of South American Earth Sciences*, 2(1449-1458).
- Harmon, R.S., 2005. The Geological Development of Panama. In: R.S. Harmon (Ed.), *The Río Chagres, Panama: A multidisciplinary profile of a tropical watershed*. Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 297-313.
- Heimsath, A.M., Fink, D., Hancock, G.R., 2009. The 'humped' soil production function: eroding Arnhem Land, Australia. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34(12), 1674-1684.
- Hendrickx, J.M.H., Vega, D., Harrison, J.B.J., Calvo, L.E., Miller, T.W., 2005. Hydrology of hillslope soils in the upper Río Chagres watershed, Panama. In: R.S. Harmon (Ed.), *The Río Chagres, Panama: A multidisciplinary profile of a tropical watershed*. Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 113-138
- Hewawasam, T., Von Blanckenburg, F., Schaller, M., Kubik, P., 2003. Increase of human over natural erosion rates in tropical highlands constrained by cosmogenic nuclides. *Geology*, 31(7), 597-600.

- Hijmans, R., Cameron, S.E., Parra, J.L., Jones, P.G., Jarvis, A., 2005. Very high resolution interpolated climate surfaces for global land areas. *International Journal of Climatology*, 25(15), 1965-1978.
- Hinderer, M., Pflanz, D., Schneider, S., 2013. Chemical denudation rates in the humid tropics of east Africa and comparison with ^{10}Be -derived erosion rates. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 7, 360-364.
- Insel, N., Ehlers, T.A., Schaller, M., Barnes, J.B., Tawackoli, S., Poulsen, C.J., 2010. Spatial and temporal variability in denudation across the Bolivian Andes from multiple geochronometers. *Geomorphology*, 122(1), 65-77.
- Keefer, D.K., 1984. Landslides caused by earthquakes. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 95, 406-421.
- Kellogg, J.N., Vega, V., 1995. Tectonic development of Panama, Costa Rica, and the Colombian Andes: Constraints from Global Positioning System geodetic studies and gravity. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 295, 75-90.
- Kesler, S.E., Sutter, J.F., Issigonis, M.J., Jones, L.M., Walker, R.L., 1977. Evolution of porphyry copper mineralization in an oceanic island arc; Panama. *Economic Geology*, 72(6), 1142-1153.
- Kirchner, J.W., Finkel, R., Riebe, C.S., Granger, D.E., Clayton, J.L., King, J.G., Megahan, W.F., 2001. Mountain erosion over 10 yr, 10 k.y., and 10 m.y. time scales. *Geology*, 29(7), 591-594.
- Kohl, C.P., Nishiizumi, K., 1992. Chemical isolation of quartz for measurement of in-situ produced cosmogenic nuclides. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 56, 3583-3587.
- Lal, D., 1991. Cosmic ray labeling of erosion surfaces: in situ nuclide production rates and erosion models. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 104, 424-439.
- Lal, D., Peters, B., 1967. Cosmic ray produced radioactivity on the Earth. In: K. Sitte (Ed.), *Handbuch Der Physik*. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY, USA.
- Larsen, M.C., Simon, A., 1993. A rainfall intensity-duration threshold for landslides in a humid-tropical environment, Puerto Rico. *Geografiska Annaler, Series A*, 13-23.
- Larsen, M.C., Torres-Sánchez, A.J., 1998. The frequency and distribution of recent landslides in three montane tropical regions of Puerto Rico. *Geomorphology*, 24, 309-331.
- Matmon, A., Bierman, P.R., Larsen, J., Southworth, S., Pavich, M., Finkel, R., Caffee, M., 2003. Erosion of an ancient mountain range, the Great Smoky Mountains, North Carolina and Tennessee. *American Journal of Science*, 303, 817-855.
- Maury, R.C., Defant, M.J., Bellon, H., de Boer, J.Z., Stewart, R.H., Cotten, J., 1995. Early Tertiary arc volcanics from eastern Panama. *Geological Society of America Special Papers*, 295, 29-34.
- Montgomery, D.R., Brandon, M.T., 2002. Topographic controls on erosion rates in tectonically active mountain ranges. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 201, 481-489
- Morell, K.D., Fisher, D.M., Gardner, T.W., 2008. Inner forearc response to subduction of the Panama Fracture Zone, southern Central America. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 265, 82-95
- Morell, K.D., Fisher, D.M., Gardner, T.W., La Femina, P., Davidson, D., Telezke, A., 2011. Quaternary outer fore-arc deformation and uplift inboard of the Panama Triple Junction, Burica Peninsula. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 116, B05402
- Nichols, K.K., Bierman, P., Finkel, R., C., Larsen, J., 2005. Long-term sediment generation rates for the Upper Río Chagres Basin: Evidence from cosmogenic ^{10}Be . In: R.S. Harmon

- (Ed.), *The Río Chagres, Panama: A multidisciplinary profile of a tropical watershed*. Springer, The Netherlands.
- Nichols, K.K., Bierman, P., Rood, D.H., 2014. ^{10}Be constrains the sediment sources and sediment yields to the Great Barrier Reef from the tropical Barron River catchment, Queensland, Australia. *Geomorphology*, 224, 102-110.
- Niemi, N.A., Oskin, M., Burbank, D.W., Heimsath, A.M., Gabet, E.J., 2005. Effects of bedrock landslides on cosmogenically determined erosion rates. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 237(3-4), 480-498.
- Nishiizumi, K., Imamura, M., Caffee, M., Southon, J.R., Finkel, R., C., McAninch, J., 2007. Absolute calibration of ^{10}Be AMS standards. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 258(2), 403-413.
- Palka, E.J., 2005. Geographic overview of Panama: Pathway to the continents and link between the Seas. In: R.S. Harmon (Ed.), *The Río Chagres, Panama: A multidisciplinary profile of a tropical watershed*. Springer, The Netherlands, pp. 3-18.
- Palumbo, L., Hetzel, R., Tao, M., Li, X., 2009. Topographic and lithologic control on catchment-wide denudation rates derived from cosmogenic ^{10}Be in two mountain ranges at the margin of NE Tibet. *Geomorphology*, 117, 130-142.
- Portenga, E.W., Bierman, P.R., 2011. Understanding Earth's eroding surface with ^{10}Be . *GSA Today*, 21(8), 4-10.
- Puchol, N., Lavé, J., Lupker, M., Blard, P.H., Gallo, F., France-Lanord, C., ASTER Team, 2014. Grain-size dependent concentration of cosmogenic ^{10}Be and erosion dynamics in a landslide-dominated Himalayan watershed. *Geomorphology*, 224, 55-68
- Rengers, F., Wohl, E., 2007. Trends of grain sizes on gravel bars in the Rio Chagres, Panama. *Geomorphology*, 83 (3-4), 282-293
- Rezende, E.A., Salgado, A.A.R., Rodrigues da Silva, J., Boulès, D.L., Braucher, R., Léanni, L., 2013. Factors controlling relief evolution on the NNW flank of the continental rift of Southeastern Brazil: An analysis based on long-term denudational processes measurements. *Revista Brasileira de Geomorfologia*, 14(2), 221-234.
- Riebe, C.S., Granger, D.E., 2012. Quantifying effects of deep and near-surface chemical erosion on cosmogenic nuclide in soils, saprolite and sediment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 38(5), 523-533.
- Riebe, C.S., Kirchner, J.W., Granger, D.E., Finkel, R., 2001. Minimal climatic control on erosion rates in the Sierra Nevada, California. *Geology*, 29(5), 447-450.
- Riebe, C.S., Kirchner, J.W., Finkel, R.C., 2003. Long-term rates of chemical weathering and physical erosion from cosmogenic nuclides and geochemical mass balance. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 67(22), 4411-4427.
- Riebe, C.S., Kirchner, J.W., Finkel, R.C., 2004. Erosional and climatic effects on long-term chemical weathering rates in granitic landscapes spanning diverse climate regimes. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 224(3-4), 547-562.
- Rood, D.H., Hall, S., Guilderson, T.P., Finkel, R., C., Brown, T.A., 2010. Challenges and opportunities in high-precision Be-10 measurements at CAMS. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 268(7-8), 730-732.
- Rood, D.H., Brown, T.A., Finkel, R., C., Guilderson, T.P., 2013. Poisson and non-Poisson uncertainty estimations of $^{10}\text{Be}/^9\text{Be}$ measurements at the LLNL-CAMS. *Nuclear Instruments and Methods B: Beam Interactions with Materials and Atoms*, 294, 426-429.

- Salgado, A.A.R., Braucher, R., Colin, F., Nalini, H.A., Varajão, A.F.D.C., Varajão, C.A.C., 2006. Denudation rates of the Quadrilátero Ferrífero (Minas Gerais, Brazil): Preliminary results from measurements of solute fluxes in rivers and in situ-produced cosmogenic ^{10}Be . *Journal of Geochemical Exploration*, 88(1-3), 313-317.
- Salgado, A.A.R., Varajão, C., Colin, F., Braucher, R., Varajão, A., Nalini Jr, H., 2007. Study of the erosion rates in the upper Maracujá Basin (Quadrilátero Ferrífero/MG, Brazil) by the in situ produced cosmogenic ^{10}Be method. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 32(6), 905-911.
- Salgado, A.A.R., Braucher, R., Varajão, A.C., Colin, F., Varajão, A.F.D.C., Nalini, J.H.A., 2008. Relief evolution of the Quadrilátero Ferrífero (Minas Gerais, Brazil) by means of (^{10}Be) cosmogenic nuclei. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie*, 52(3), 317-323.
- Salgado, A.A.R., Marent, B.R., Cherem, L.F.S., Boulès, D., Santos, L.J.C., Braucher, R., Barreto, H.N., 2013. Denudation and retreat of the Serra do Mar escarpment in southern Brazil derived from in situ-produced ^{10}Be concentration in river sediment. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 39(3), 311-319.
- Scharf, T.E., Codilean, A.T., De Wit, M., Jansen, J.D., and Kubik, P.W., 2013. Strong rocks sustain ancient postorogenic topography in southern Africa. *Geology*, 41 (3), 331-334.
- Schubert, C., 1935. Historical geology of the Antillean-Caribbean region, or the lands bordering the Gulf of Mexico and the Caribbean Sea. John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, NY, USA.
- Sosa Gonzalez, V., Bierman, P.R., Fernandes, N.F., Rood, D.H., 2016. Long-term background denudation rates of southern and southeastern Brazilian watersheds estimated with cosmogenic ^{10}Be . Submitted to *Geomorphology*.
- Stallard, R.F., 1999. Erosion and the effects of deforestation the Panama Canal Basin. *Panama Canal Watershed Monitor. Proj.*, Chapter II.8.
- Stallard, R.F., Kinner, D.A., 2005. Estimation of landslide importance in hillslope erosion within the Panama Canal watershed. *Water, Science and Technology Library*, 52, 281-295
- Stone, J.O., 2000. Air pressure and cosmogenic isotope production. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 105(B10), 23753-23759.
- Terry, R.A., 1956. A geological reconnaissance of Panama. California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, CA, USA.
- von Blanckenburg, F., 2005. The control mechanisms of erosion and weathering at basin scale from cosmogenic nuclides in river sediment. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 237(3-4), 462-479.
- von Blanckenburg, F., Hewawasam, T., Kubik, P., 2004. Cosmogenic nuclide evidence for low weathering and denudation in the wet, tropical highlands of Sri Lanka. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109(F3), F03008.
- Wang, W.-N., Wu, H.-L., Nakamura, H., Wu, S.-C., Ouyang, S., Yu, M.-F., 2003. Mass movements caused by recent tectonic activity: The 1999 Chi-chi earthquake in central Taiwan. *Island Arc*, 12(4), 325-334.
- West, A., Galy, A., Bickle, M., 2005. Tectonic and climatic controls on silicate weathering. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters*, 235(1-2), 211-228.
- Whipple, K.X., 2009. The influence of climate on the tectonic evolution of mountain belts. *Nature Geoscience*, 2 (2), 97-104

- Whipple, K.X., Meade, B.J., 2004. Controls on the strength of coupling among climate, erosion and deformation in two-sided, frictional orogenic wedges at steady state. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 109, F01011
- Wittmann, H., von Blanckenburg, F., Maurice, L., Guyor, J.L., Kubik, P., 2009. Recycling of Amazon floodplain sediment quantified by cosmogenic ^{26}Al and ^{10}Be . *Geology*, 39(5), 467-470.
- Yanites, B.J., Tucker, G.E., Anderson, R.S., 2009. Numerical and analytical models of cosmogenic radionuclide dynamics in landslide-dominated drainage basins. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 114, F01007
- Young, R.P., Hazzard, J.F., Pettitt, W.S., 2000. Seismic and micromechanical studies of rock fracture. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(12), 1767-1770.