



University of Dundee

Cumulative and temporal associations between antimicrobial prescribing and community-associated Clostridium difficile infection

Kavanagh, Kimberley; Pan, Jiafeng; Marwick, Charis; Davey, Peter; Wiuff, Camilla; Bryson, Scott; Robertson, Christopher; Bennie, Marion

Published in: Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy

DOI: 10.1093/jac/dkw528

Publication date: 2017

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Kavanagh, K., Pan, J., Marwick, C., Davey, P., Wiuff, C., Bryson, S., ... Bennie, M. (2017). Cumulative and temporal associations between antimicrobial prescribing and community-associated Clostridium difficile infection: population-based case-control study using administrative data. Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 72(4), 1193-1201. https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkw528

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.

You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

- 1 Cumulative and temporal associations between antimicrobial prescribing and community-
- 2 associated *Clostridium difficile* infection: population-based case control study using administrative
- 3 data
- 4
- Kimberley KAVANAGH^{*1,2}, Jiafeng PAN¹, Charis MARWICK³, Peter DAVEY³, Camilla WIUFF⁴, Scott 5 BRYSON⁵, Chris ROBERTSON^{1,4,6}, Marion BENNIE^{2,5} 6 7 1. Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK 8 2. Information Services Division, NHS National Services Scotland 9 3. Population Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK 10 4. Health Protection Scotland, NHS National Services Scotland 11 5. Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde 6. International Prevention Research Institute, Lyon, France 12 13 14 Running title: Association between antimicrobials & CDI 15 16 *Corresponding author: kim.kavanagh@strath.ac.uk 17 18
- 19

20 Abstract

- 21 Background. Community-associated (CA) Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is a major public health
- 22 problem. This study estimates the magnitude of the association between temporal and cumulative
- 23 prescription of antimicrobials in primary care and CA-CDI. CA-CDI is defined as cases without prior
- hospitalisation in the previous 12 weeks who were either tested outside of hospital or tested within 2
- 25 days of admission to hospital.

Methods. Three National patient level datasets –covering CDI cases, community prescriptions and hospitalisations were linked by the NHS Scotland unique patient identifier, the community health index, CHI. All validated cases of CDI from August 2010 to July 2013 were extracted and up to six population-based controls were matched to each case from the CHI register for Scotland. Statistical analysis used conditional logistic regression.

- 31 Results. 1446 unique cases of CA-CDI were linked with 7964 age, sex and location matched controls. 32 Cumulative exposure to any antimicrobial in the previous 6 months has a monotonic dose-response 33 association with CA-CDI. Individuals with excess of 28 defined daily doses (DDD) to any antimicrobial 34 (19.9% of cases) had an odds ratio (OR)=4.4 (95% CI:3.4-5.6) compared to those unexposed. 35 Individuals exposed to 29+ DDD of high risk antimicrobials (cephalosporins, clindamycin co-amoxiclav, 36 or fluoroquinolones) had an OR=17.9 (95% CI:7.6-42.2). Elevated CA-CDI risk following high risk 37 antimicrobial exposure was greatest in the first month (OR=12.5 (8.9-17.4)) but was still present 4-6 38 months later (OR=2.6 (1.7-3.9)). Cases exposed to 29+DDD had prescription patterns more consistent 39 with repeated therapeutic courses, using different antimicrobials, than long term prophylactic use.
- 40 Conclusions. This analysis demonstrated temporal and dose-response associations between CA-CDI
 41 risk and antimicrobials with an impact of exposure to high risk antimicrobials remaining 4-6 months
 42 later.
- 43

45 Introduction

Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) is a major public health problem and a key focus for antimicrobial 46 47 stewardship programs. Quantification of the adverse effects of antimicrobial use at population level is essential to support appropriate antimicrobial stewardship policy. Community-associated CDI (CA-48 49 CDI) is an increasing public health threat¹ studies indicating 37-79% cases having had antimicrobial exposure in the preceding 90 days.²⁻⁷ A meta-analysis⁸ derived pooled odds ratios from eight studies 50 51 for the risk of CA-CDI associated with specific antimicrobial groups, but did not consider cumulative or 52 temporal exposure effects. Cumulative and temporal antimicrobial exposure have been examined in healthcare-associated CDI (HA-CDI)⁹⁻¹¹ but for CA-CDI data are limited to considering cumulative effect 53 in an elderly population¹² and considering temporal effects for overall antimicrobial exposure only, ¹³ 54 with both studies limited to low CA-CDI numbers. 55

The increasing availability of routinely captured electronic administrative health data, readily linkable 56 57 through use of unique patient identifiers, affords the opportunity to examine complex patterns of drug use over time at population level. This supports the derivation of more accurate estimates of 58 59 risk informing clinicians and policy-makers to improve patient treatment and minimise harm at 60 individual and population level. The aim of our study was to use nationally collected routine 61 healthcare data to estimate associations between CA-CDI and prior prescription of antimicrobials in 62 primary care, considering exposure to (i) any antimicrobial and (ii) specific broad-spectrum antimicrobials. We examined the effects of any exposure and cumulative exposure, and the temporal 63 relationship between timing of antimicrobial exposure and risk of CA-CDI. 64

66 Materials and methods

67 Data sources

Three National Health Service (NHS) patient level datasets - Electronic Communication of Surveillance in Scotland (ECOSS); Prescribing Information System (PIS) and General / Acute and Inpatient Day Case dataset (SMR01) - all indexed by the NHS Scotland unique patient identifier CHI (Community Health Index) are linked as part of the Infection Intelligence Platform (IIP),¹⁴ allowing generation of the study dataset. The CHI register for Scotland was used for control assignment. The NHS in Scotland has universal coverage so all data, including prescribing,¹⁵ are representative of the whole population.

74 ECOSS records all positive Clostridium difficile tests from NHS laboratories in Scotland through 75 mandatory reporting, with standardisation of sampling, testing and reporting across all clinical 76 settings. All diarrhoeal samples are tested in a 2-step algorithm; firstly, identifying the presence of C. 77 difficle using glutamate dehydrogenase screening and secondly, identifying the presence of toxin using 78 ELISA/PCR. Health Protection Scotland (HPS) then validates with the NHS health boards which positive 79 tests represent individual, clinically symptomatic CDI episodes (toxin positive and experienced 80 diarrhoea not attributable to any other cause). PIS records all prescriptions dispensed and reimbursed 81 within primary care in Scotland. SMR01 contains episode level data on all hospital inpatient and day 82 case discharges from hospitals in Scotland).¹⁶

83 Data linkage and control assignment

All validated CDI cases with test date from August 2010 to July 2013 were extracted from ECOSS and linked to hospitalisation histories from SMR01. Community-associated cases were defined as those without prior hospitalisation in the previous 12 weeks and were either tested outside of hospital (community-onset (CO)) or tested within 48 hours (2 days) of admission to hospital (healthcare-onset (HO))¹⁷.

CA-CDI cases were assigned up to six community-based controls from the CHI register for Scotland, matched on age (within 5 years), gender and location (intermediate zone derived from the patient's postcode). For the healthcare-onset community-associated cases (CA-HO) hospital-based controls were additionally assigned - up to 6 controls were selected from hospitalised individuals without CDI admitted to the same hospital within 7 days of the CDI case's admission date and who were still in hospital on the case's CDI test date.

For all cases and controls, prescription records from August 2009 from PIS were linked allowing
assignment of antimicrobial, proton pump inhibitor (PPI) and H2 antagonist exposure in the six months

prior to CDI test date, and construction of comorbidity measures. Antimicrobial exposure, for this
study defined as any systemic antibacterial, was defined as any exposure (present/absent), temporal
exposure (how long prior to the test date the antimicrobial was prescribed) and cumulative exposure
(in WHO ATC defined daily doses (DDD)),¹⁸ cumulating potentially multiple prescriptions in the
previous 6 months.

102 The prescribing measures of comorbidity were counts of the total number of prescriptions and the 103 total number of different prescribed drugs (based on approved name) in the previous year. In addition, 104 five years of hospitalisation records were used to construct a Charlson Index of comorbidity for all 105 cases and controls based on ICD10 diagnosis discharge codes.¹⁹ Individuals with no hospitalisations in 106 the previous 5 years were assigned an "unknown" Charlson index to differentiate from individuals 107 with a hospitalisation and no recorded comorbidities, who were assigned a score of 0. Scottish Index 108 of Multiple Deprivation (SIMD) quintiles – a measure of socioeconomic status which incorporates 109 different aspects of deprivation into a single index, care home residence (yes/no) and NHS health 110 board from the CHI Register was assigned to all records.

All linkage was via CHI and case/control assignment was performed by the electronic Data Research
 and Innovation Service at NSS Information Services Division. No patient identifiers were available to
 the study team and all data were accessed via the National safe haven.

114 Ethics

Ethical permission for this study was not required as it used only non-identifiable routine data.
Approval for the study was granted by NHS National Services Scotland Privacy Advisory Committee,
Study number XRB13122.

118 Statistical analysis

119 Associations between antimicrobial exposure and CDI were assessed using conditional logistic 120 regression. The distribution of potential confounding variables - previous hospital admissions, 121 prescription totals, comorbidity score, care home residency status, PPI/H2 exposure and SIMD – was 122 investigated in the cases and controls and adjusted for in the analysis. Four antimicrobial exposure groupings were examined: any antimicrobials, a predefined broad-spectrum, high risk "4C" group [12] 123 124 - clindamycin, cephalosporins, fluoroquinolones (ciprofloxacin (which accounts for >90% of all 125 fluoroquinolone prescriptions in Scotland), levofloxacin, moxifloxacin, norfloxacin, and ofloxacin) and 126 co-amoxiclav, all "non-4C" antimicrobials, and finally, fluoroquinolones only (FQs). Interaction tests 127 were used to investigate if the effects of antimicrobial prescribing are the same in those prescribed a 128 PPI.

- 129 Sensitivity analyses examined the effect of restricting the definition of CA-CDI to cases with no
- 130 hospitalisation in the previous 6 and 12 months. We also provided stratified results for healthcare-
- 131 onset cases matched to both population and hospital-based controls. All analysis was conducted using
- 132 R version 3.2.1.

133 Results

Between 1st Aug 2010 and 31st July 2013 there were 6019 confirmed CDI episodes in Scotland and 135 1612 (26.8%) were CA-CDI. Of these, 1557 were successfully assigned population-based controls 136 (Figure 1). For the patients with more than one CA-CDI episode, a single episode was randomly 137 selected resulting in a sample of 1446 cases with 7964 matched controls.

Cases were more likely to have been in hospital in the previous year (43.0% versus14.0%) and exposed to PPIs (41.8% versus 22.4%), and had more comorbidity by both prescribing measures and Charlson Index (Table 1). Crude odds of CA-CDI were higher with higher comorbidity scores (Charlson Index 4 or more *versus* 0 OR=4.2 (95% CI: 2,5-7.0)), with any hospital admission in the previous year (OR=4.9 (95% CI: 4.3-5.6)), and with PPI or H2 antagonist exposure (Table 2). After adjustment, the scale of these effects diminished but previous hospital admission (OR=2.15 (95% CI: 1.8-2.6), higher Charlson Index, and H2 antagonist exposure remained significant but PPI exposure did not (Table 2).

Among cases, 58.7% were exposed to any antimicrobial in the previous 6 months compared to 23.0% of controls. After adjustment, the odds of CA-CDI after any antimicrobial exposure was 2.8 (95% CI:2.4-3.2) compared to no antimicrobial exposure (Figure 2, Table 2). Adjusted odds of CA-CDI were even higher for prior exposure to 4C (OR=6.1 (95% CI:4.8-7.7)) and fluoroquinolone (OR=5.4 (95% CI: 3.8-7.8)) antimicrobials.

PPI exposure was common (Table 1) and significantly modified the effect of antimicrobial exposure (interaction test p=0.0001). Of cases, 26.7% were exposed to neither, 31.5% to only antimicrobials (OR=3.4 (95% CI:2.8-4.1) for CA-CDI), 14.6% to only PPIs (OR=1.38 (95% CI:1.1-1.7)) and 27.3% to both (OR=2.7 (95% CI:2.1-3.4)). 231 (16%) CA-CDI cases had no antimicrobial, PPI or H2 antagonist exposure, Charlson Index 0 and no previous hospitalisations.

Sensitivity analysis to extend the exclusion period for prior hospitalisation from 12 weeks to 6 months 155 156 led to marginally higher associations but little further change when using a 12-month exclusion. All CIs 157 overlapped with the baseline results (4C exclusion 6 months OR=7.4 (95% CI:5.6-9.7); 12-month 158 exclusion OR=7.1 (95% CI:4.9–10.3)). Considering the subset of CA-CDI cases with healthcare-onset, 159 similar effect sizes were found for this group when matched to population-based controls (Table 3). 160 Matching to hospital-based controls reduced the associations found – any antimicrobial exposure had 161 an odds of CDI of 1.5 (95% CI:1.2-2.0) compared to no exposure and 4C exposure gave an increased odds of 2.17 (95% CI:1.5-3.3). 162

163 Cumulative antimicrobial exposure was high with 19.9% of cases having 29+ DDDs in 6 months.
164 Compared to no exposure, up to 7 DDD of any antimicrobial gives odds of CA-CDI of 2.3 (95% CI:1.9-

2.9) compared to 4.4 (95% CI: 3.4-5.6) for 29+ DDDs (Table 4). 4C exposure duration was typically
short among controls but more variable among cases. Among cases 3.3% had 29+ DDDs of 4C which
has associated odds of CDI of 17.9 (95% CI:7.6-42.2) compared to 4.6 (95% CI:3.4-6.2) for 1-7 DDDs,
with similar pattern for cumulative fluoroquinolone exposure (Table 4). Increasing durations of non4C antimicrobials increased CA-CDI risk, with odds ratio for 29+ DDDs of 3.9 (95% CI:3.0-5.1), which is
about half the odds ratio for 29+ DDD of 4C exposure, 6.4 (95% CI:4.6-8.9).

Among the 287 cases with 29+DDD exposure the pattern of antimicrobial prescribing is complex and more consistent with repeated short treatment courses prescriptions than long term prophylaxis (Supplementary Figure S1, supplementary Table S1). The most commonly prescribed antimicrobials were amoxycillin, trimethoprim, flucloxacillin, co-amoxiclav, ciprofloxacin and nitrofurantoin, each of which are prescribed to at least 20% of the 287 patients (Supplementary Table S2).

Time since most recent antimicrobial treatment had a significant impact on CDI risk (Table 5). Considering any antimicrobial, the effect was strongest in those exposed in the previous 4 weeks, OR=6.3 (95% CI: 5.2-7.7) compared to OR=2.2 (95% CI:1.8-2.7) exposure 2-3 months earlier. By 4-6 months the effect of any exposure was lost OR=1.1 (95% CI:0.9-1.4) with a similar pattern observed for non-4C antimicrobials. 4C exposure within the previous month increased the odds of CDI 12.5 (95% CI:8.9-17.4) times decreasing to OR=5.1 (95% CI: 3.5-7.5) by 2-3 months post exposure. The effects for both 4C and FQs were still significant 4-6 months post exposure (4C OR=2.6 95% CI:1.7-3.9).

184 Discussion

In this whole population study we linked validated CDI cases to administrative datasets to quantify the risk of CA-CDI associated with community antimicrobial exposure. Any antimicrobial exposure within the previous 6 months increased the risk with elevated risk remaining for up to three months. We found larger associations with high risk antimicrobials and found even higher effects of cumulative exposure among this group and effects persisting up to six months following exposure.

190 Our study established that 58.7% of CA-CDI cases had been exposed to an antimicrobial in the preceding 6 months, not dissimilar to other studies.²⁻⁷ A recent meta-analysis, including studies with 191 significant heterogeneity and covering an earlier time frame,⁸ reported a higher OR for exposure to 192 193 any antimicrobial OR=7.3 (95% CI: 4.3-12.6) compared to OR=2.8 in our study. Antimicrobial 194 prescribing has changed over time, particularly in Scotland, in response to high rates and outbreaks of CDI in 2007/8.²⁰ The antimicrobial with the strongest association with CA-CDI in the meta-analysis, ⁸ 195 196 clindamycin, was used very rarely in our study population (2.1% (31/1446) of cases and 0.03% (2/7964) 197 controls have exposure in previous 6 months), and reduced prescribing of the whole 4C group has been successfully targeted in Scotland.²¹ 198

Consistent with this, our estimates are also lower than those reported by Marwick *et al.* ¹². This casecontrol component of this study focused only on elderly individuals in a single region of Scotland (n=62 cases, 620 controls). Restricting our analysis to the same age group and geography yields similar estimates, though the confidence intervals become wide due to the small sample size (Supplementary Table S3).

Cumulative total exposure to any antimicrobial has been clearly demonstrated to increase HA-CDI risk⁹⁻¹¹ but evidence in CA-CDI is sparse. Marwick *et al.* ¹² found a dose-response relationship with OR of CDI increasing from 2.9 (95% CI: 1.2–6.7) for 1-7 days exposure to 12.7 (95% CI: 5.2-31.3) for 29+ days exposure – a higher magnitude than our study. This may partly reflect our inclusion of the entire population rather than only the elderly, known to be at higher risk of CDI. It may also be attributable to our classification of cumulative exposure as DDDs rather than days of therapy derived from prescription directions.

There is little published evidence, even for HA-CDI, of the incremental impact of cumulative exposure of different groups of antimicrobials. Marwick *et al.* ¹² generated ORs for cumulative 4C antimicrobials but the small sample size prevented meaningful subgroup analysis. Our inclusion of the whole population with confirmed CA-CDI in Scotland over a 3-year period enabled sub-analysis by antimicrobial group. We demonstrate clear increasing odds of CA-CDI with increasing cumulative exposure to antimicrobials and particularly for the 4C antimicrobial subgroup. For fluoroquinolones,
the dose-response relationship remained, but there was higher variability surrounding the estimates,
due to reducing prescription numbers.

219 We found a high proportion (29.9%) of CA-CDI cases had received 29+ DDDs of antimicrobial in the 6 220 months prior to CDI. This included prescribing consistent with planned long term use for urinary tract 221 infection prophylaxis (nitrofurantion, trimethoprim) and skin conditions (flucloxacillin, doxycycline) as 222 may be expected, but the majority of cases involved various antimicrobials including ciprofloxacin, co-223 amoxiclav and amoxicillin and the number of prescriptions and DDD per prescription was more 224 consistent with multiple short treatment courses. This prescribing pattern was unexpected and 225 represents potentially avoidable, high risk antimicrobial prescribing and an important message for 226 primary care prescribers.

227 The period of increased risk of CDI following antimicrobial use remains an important clinical question. 228 Previous studies have mainly examined, and found an increased risk associated with CA-CDI, up to 3 months after antimicrobial use. ^{3,13,22} This has been affirmed in our study and is also similar to the risk 229 observed in HA-CDI studies.^{10,11} One study¹³ examined prior antimicrobial use up to 180 days and found 230 231 the increased risk remained up to 150 days (OR=2.8 95% CI:1.3-6.0) then returned to baseline. In our 232 study the period of elevated risk for any antimicrobials remained up to 3 months but was lost by 4-6 233 months although was still elevated for 4C and fluoroquinolone antimicrobials. This differential 234 temporal impact of antimicrobial groups up to 6 months is an important finding to support clinical decision support and antimicrobial stewardship initiatives. 235

The unadjusted effect of PPI prescribing was similar to that reported by previous meta-analysis²², but 236 the effect diminished with covariate adjustment. The meta-analysis²² estimated a pooled OR of 1.93 237 for the association between PPI exposure and CDI, but only three of 29 included studies involved CA-238 CDI, with effect sizes of OR=0.9,²³ OR=2.9²⁴ and OR=3.5² with each having differing case and exposure 239 240 definitions. Whilst the effect of PPI exposure was diminished with covariate adjustment, the effect of 241 H2 antagonists remained significant (OR=1.4). This may be due to residual confounding, potentially 242 attributable to prescription of H2 antagonists in preference to PPIs in patients who are deemed to be 243 at a higher risk of CDI.

After covariate adjustment, in particular adjustment for comorbidities (Charlson score and overall prescription counts), and accounting for antimicrobial prescribing, the effect of care home residence on CA-CDI became insignificant. This effect was lower than the study of Marwick *et al.*¹² which found a significant adjusted association with care home residence (OR=4.1 95% CI: 1.7-9.6), however, this study, did not adjust for Charlson score. Replicating the inclusion criteria and adjusting factors as Marwick *et al.*,¹² analysis of our data gave a non-significant adjusted OR for care home residence of OR=2.2 (95% CI: 0.8-5.7) but similar effect sizes for cumulative antimicrobial prescribing, PPI exposure and total prescriptions in the previous year. The studies took place at different periods, Marwick *et al.*¹² from November 2008-October 2009 and our study from 2010-2013 suggesting a reduction in the residual care home effect in the latter period perhaps attributable to improved stewardship over this time period.²⁰

This study illustrated that a sizeable proportion (16%) of individuals had exposure to neither PPIs, H2 antagonists, nor antimicrobials, had not been an inpatient in the previous year and had no comorbidities recorded, indicating a significant proportion of CA-CDI cases which have not been exposed to the classically understood risk factors. These individuals were a younger subset of the cases (median age 48) but have a considerably higher number of community prescriptions than their matched controls indicating comorbidities not serious enough to require hospitalisation hence not captured reflected in the routinely used Charlson score.

We believe the data in our study to be robust and have high levels of completeness. Reporting of CDI is mandatory and all cases used in our analysis are validated. SMR01 covers all residents in Scotland that receive care in hospital enabling robust classification of community-associated disease. Antimicrobial exposure is assessed via an individual's prescriptions dispensed in the community, which can be robustly measured¹⁵ and during the study period CHI completeness of the prescription data was between 94% and 96%.

The main data limitation, which impacts on our CA-HO sub-analysis, is the lack of routine patient-level information on hospital prescribing, which is not presently captured electronically in Scotland. The effect of this is mitigated to some extent because the CA-CDI definition excludes those with a hospitalisation in the previous 12 weeks, and our sensitivity analysis showed only marginal impact of extending the period for no prior hospitalisation to 12 months. It does however hinder the generalizability this methodology to examine healthcare associated CDI.

A further limitation, common to all observational studies of medication effects, is the inability to
measure adherence and it is known that adherence to antimicrobials in the community is variable.
Using dispensed prescribing data, as we have, is better in this regard than datasets based on
prescriptions generated.

Our findings have important implications for antimicrobial prescribing decisions in primary care, and
 for prescribing guidelines, emphasising that all exposure in the previous six months influences the risk

versus benefit of prescribing any antimicrobial, and specific antimicrobials. A significant number of patients in our study were at continually high CDI risk. The next phase of research should apply such routine data in cohort studies to derive clinical risk prediction scores and numbers needed to harm, to definitively quantify risk to help frontline clinicians to improve patient centred, safe and effective antimicrobial stewardship.

In conclusion, this is the largest study to assess the impact of duration and time since antimicrobial therapy on CA-CDI and demonstrated that antimicrobial exposure had a clear dose response relationship, with the odds of CDI more than doubling when cumulative exposure was increased from one week to over 4 weeks. The temporal effect of exposure is also clear. The risk is highest in the month after exposure but the effect of high risk broad-spectrum antimicrobials remains for up to six months.

291 Acknowledgements

We acknowledge the linkage work and support given by the eDRIS team at National Services Scotland, in particular, John Nolan, Lynsey Waugh and Suhail Iqbal. In additional, we thank the members of the NHS Scotland Infection Intelligence Platform team and the Scottish Antimicrobial Prescribing Group for their support.

296 Funding

This work was funded by the Scottish Infection Research Network (SIRN) and Chief Scientists Office(CSO) grant number SIRN/01.

- 299 Transparency declarations
- 300 None to declare.

302 References

303 1. Gupta A, Khanna S. Community-acquired Clostridium difficile infection: an increasing public 304 health threat. Infect Drug Resist 2014; 7: 63–72. 2. Dial S, Delaney JA, Schneider V et al. Proton pump inhibitor use and risk of community-305 306 acquired Clostridium difficile-associated disease defined by prescription for oral vancomycin therapy. CMAJ 2006; 175: 745-748. 307 308 3. Dial S, Kezouh A, Dascal A et al. Patterns of antimicrobial use and risk of hospital admission 309 because of Clostridium difficile infection. CMAJ 2008; 179: 767-772. 310 4. Delaney JA, Dial S, Barkun A et al. Antimicrobial drugs and community-acquired Clostridium 311 difficile-associated disease, UK. Emerg Infect Dis 2007; 13: 761-763. 5. Khanna S, Pardi DS, Aronson SL et al. The epidemiology of community-acquired clostridium 312 313 difficile infection: A population-based study. Am J Gastroenterol 2012; 107: 89-95. 6. Wilcox MH, Mooney L, Bendall R et al. A case-control study of community-associated 314 315 Clostridium difficile infection. J Antimicrob Chemother 2008; 62: 388-396. 316 7. Dumyati G, Stevens V, Hannett GE, et al. Community-associated Clostridium difficile 317 infections, Monroe county, New York, USA. Emerg Infect Dis 2012; 18: 392-400. 318 8. Deshpande A, Pasupuleti V, Thota P et al. Community-associated Clostridium difficile 319 infection and antimicrobials: a meta-analysis. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013; 68: 1951-1961. 9. Brown KA, Fisman DN, Moineddin R et al. The magnitude and duration of Clostridium difficile 320 321 infection risk associated with antimicrobial therapy: a hospital cohort study. PLoS One 2014; **9**:e105454. 322 323 10. Hensgens MP, Goorhuis A, Dekkers OM et al. Time interval of increased risk for Clostridium 324 difficile infection after exposure to antimicrobials. J Antimicrob Chemother 2012; 67: 742-748. 325 11. Stevens V, Dumyati G, Fine LS et al. Cumulative antimicrobial exposures over time and the risk of Clostridium difficile infection. Clin Infect Dis 2011; 53: 42-48. 326 12. Marwick, C., Yu N. Lockhart M.C. et al. Community-associated Clostridium difficile infection 327 among older people in Tayside, Scotland is associated with antimicrobial exposure and care 328 329 home residence: cohort study with nested case-control. J Antimicrob Chemother 2013; 68: 2927-2933. 330 13. Kuntz J.L., Chrischilles E.A., Pendergast J.F et al. Incidence of and risk factors for 331 332 community- associated Clostridium difficile infection: A nested case-control study. BMC Infect Dis 2011; **11**:194. 333

- 14. NSS ISD. Infection Intelligence Platform. Health and Social Care. Available at:
 <u>http://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Health-and-Social-Community-Care/Infection-</u>
 Intelligence-Platform/
- 337 15. Alvarez-Madrazo, S, McTaggart, S, Nangle, C *et al.* Data resource profile: the Scottish
 338 National Prescribing Information System (PIS) *Int J Epidemiol* 2016; **4**:714-715.
- 339
- 16. NSS ISD. Assessment of SMR01 Data 2010-11, Scotland Report, May 2012. Available at:
 <u>http://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Hospital-Care/Publications/2012-05-</u>
 08/Assessment-of-SMR01Data-2010-2011-ScotlandReport.pdf
- 343 17. E. J. Kuijper, B. Coignard, P. Tu. Emergence of Clostridium difficile-associated disease in
 344 North America and Europe. *Clin Microbiol Infect* 2006; **12** Suppl. 6: 2–18.
- 34518. WHO Collaborating Centre for Drug Statistics Methodology. Definition and General
- 346 Conisiderations. Available at:
- 347 <u>http://www.whocc.no/ddd/definition_and_general_considera/</u>
- Sundararajan V, Henderson T, Perry C *et al.* New ICD-10 version of the Charlson comorbidity
 index predicted in-hospital mortality. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2004; **57**:1288-1294.
- 350 20. SAPG. Antimircobial use and resistance in Humans 2014. Available at:
 351 <u>https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Prescribing-and-Medicines/Publications/2015-</u>
 352 10-06/2015-10-06-SAPG-2014-Report.pdf
- 353 21. Hernandez-Santiago V, Marwick CA, Patton A *et al.* Time series analysis of the impact of an
 354 intervention in Tayside, Scotland to reduce primary care broad-spectrum antimicrobial use. J
 355 Antimicrob Chemother 2015; **70**: 2397-2404.
- 356 22. Kwok CS, Arthur AK, Anibueze CI *et al.* Risk of Clostridium difficile infection with acid
 357 suppressing drugs and antimicrobials: meta-analysis. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2012; **107**: 1011 358 1019.
- 23. Lowe DO, Mamdani MM, Kopp A *et al.* Proton pump inhibitors and hospitalization for
 Clostridium difficile-associated disease: a population-based study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2006;
 43:1272-1276.
- 24. Dial S, Delaney JA, Barkun AN *et al.* Use of gastric acid-suppressive agents and the risk of
 community-acquired Clostridium difficile-associated disease. *JAMA* 2005; **294**:2989-2995.

- 364 Table 1. Distribution of matched and potential confounding variables in community-associated CA-
- 365 CDI CDI cases and population-based controls-presented as median and quartiles for the continuous
- 366 variables and number and percentages for the categorical variables

	Cases	Matched Controls
	(<i>n</i> =1446)	(<i>n</i> =7964)
Age-median (IQR ^a)	71.5 (52-82)	69 (50-81)
Female <i>, n</i> (%)	942 (65.2)	5186 (65.1)
Male, <i>n</i> (%)	504 (34.8)	2778 (34.1)
Number items ^b dispensed in previous year, median (IQR)	59 (20-127.5)	17 (1- 47)
Number different items dispensed in previous year (IQR)	12 (7-18)	5(1-10)
SIMD ^c 1: most deprived, <i>n</i> (%)	320 (22.2)	1580 (19.9)
SIMD 2	323 (22.4)	1763 (22.2)
SIMD 3	285 (19.8)	1641 (20.7)
SIMD 4	261 (18.1)	1564 (19.7)
SIMD 5: least deprived	252 (17.5)	1398 (17.6)
Charlson score ^d 0, <i>n</i> (%)	705 (48.8)	3019 (37.9)
Charlson score 1	167 (11.6)	260 (3.3)
Charlson score 2	120 (8.3)	184 (2.3)
Charlson score 3	41 (2.8)	55 (0.7)
Charlson score 4+	29 (2.0)	38 (0.5)
Charlson score Unknown	384 (26.6)	4408 (55.4)
Any hospital admission in previous year, n (%)	622 (43.0)	1118 (14.0)
No hospital admission in previous year	824 (57.0)	6846 (96.0)
Care home residence, n (%)	247 (17.1)	723 (9.1)
No care home residence	1199 (82.9)	7241 (90.9)
PPI exposure, <i>n</i> (%)	605 (41.8)	1785 (22.4)
No PPI exposure	841 (58.2)	6179 (87.6)
H2 exposure, <i>n</i> (%)	93 (6.4)	241 (3.0)
No H2 exposure	1356 (94.6)	7723 (97.0)

^a Q1 is the lower quartile 1/4 of distribution below this point. Q3 is the upper quartile 3/4 of the data below this point. Q1
 to Q3 is known as the interguartile range IQR.

^bAn item refers to any prescribed drug (based on approved name). This total count is used as a measure of comorbidity.

SIMD is the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation here represented as quintiles 1-5. SIMD was unknown if this could not
 be linked from the CHI register-with 5 cases and 18 controls excluded for this reason.

d High scores represent more comorbidity. Unknown scores are generated if the individual has no previous hospitalisations
 in previous 5 years.

375

Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted odds ratios of CA-CDI together with 95% confidence intervals

378 and p values from the fully adjusted model

	Unadjusted	Adjusted	
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	p-value
Exposed to antibiotics in the	1	1	-
previous 6 months, No			
Exposed to antibiotics in the	4.98 (4.40-5.63)	2.80 (2.41- 3.25)	<0.0001
previous 6 months, Yes			
SIMD ^a 1: most deprived	1	1	-
SIMD 2	0.86(0.71-1.05)	0.85 (0.67-1.08)	0.178
SIMD 3	0.79 (0.64-0.98)	0.88 (0.68-1.14)	0.329
SIMD 4	0.75 (0.60-0.94)	0.95 (0.72-1.24)	0.696
SIMD 5: least deprived	0.83 (0.65-1.06)	0.98 (0.73-1.31)	0.872
Charlson score 0	1	1	-
Charlson score 1	3.59 (2.84- 4.53)	2.42 (1.82-3.21)	<0.0001
Charlson score 2	3.52 (2.71-4.57)	2.60 (1.89-3.57)	<0.0001
Charlson score 3	4.32 (2.81-6.62)	2.23 (1.33-3.74)	0.002
Charlson score 4+	4.19 (2.50-7.03)	2.83 (1.48-5.44)	0.002
Charlson score Unknown	0.32 (0.28-0.37)	0.80 (0.67-0.96)	0.016
Any hospital admission in previous	1	1	-
year, No			
Any hospital admission in previous	4.89 (4.30-5.57)	2.15 (1.80-2.56)	<0.0001
year, Yes			
Number items dispensed in	1.019 (1.018-1.021)	1.011 (1.010-1.013)	<0.0001
previous year			0.000
Number different items dispensed	1.16 (1.15-1.17)	1.03 (1.01-1.04)	0.002
In previous year	1	1	
Care nome residence, No		L	-
Care home residence, Yes	2.54 (2.09-3.08)	1.15 (0.89-1.48)	0.283
PPI exposure, No	1	1	-
PPI exposure, Yes	2.62 (2.32-2.97)	1.02 (0.86-1.21)	0.819
H2 antagonist exposure, No	1	1	-
H2 antagonist exposure, Yes	2.21 (1.72-2.83)	1.41 (1.02-1.96)	0.036

379

380 ^a SIMD is the Scottish Index of Multiple Deprivation here represented as quintiles 1-5.

381

- 383 Table 3. Odds of CDI, together with 95% confidence intervals, given antibiotic exposure for
- 384 community-associated healthcare-onset cases CA-HO matched to both population-based and
 385 hospital-based controls

Exposure	CA-HO <i>n</i> =476 ^a matched to population- based controls <i>n</i> =2581	CA-HO <i>n</i> =476 ^a matched to hospital-based controls <i>n</i> =957	
	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	Adjusted OR (95% CI)	
Any antibiotic exposure: Yes versus No	2.21 (1.67-2.92)	1.52 (1.15-2.01)	
4C versus No antibiotic	4.91 (3.18-7.59)	2.17 (1.45-3.26)	
any other antibiotic versus No antibiotic	1.66 (1.22-2.26)	1.32 (0.98-1.79)	
Fluoroquinolone versus No antibiotic	5.22 (2.76-9.88)	2.14 (1.19-3.83)	
any other antibiotic versus No antibiotic	1.96 (1.46-2.63)	1.44 (1.08-1.93)	

^a Number of healthcare-onset cases reduces to n=476 when considering the cases which have both population and hospital-

388 based controls assigned to them.

- 390 Table 4. The effect of cumulative exposure in a six month period on the adjusted odds of CA-CDI.
- 391 Models are adjusted for SIMD, Charlson score, any hospitalisation in the previous year y/n, total

392 number of prescriptions in the previous year, total number of different prescriptions, care home

393 residence, PPI and H2 exposure

Cumulative antimicrobial	Cases n (%)	Controls <i>n</i> (%)	Adjusted OR	
exposure			(95% CI)	
no antimicrobials	597 (41.4)	6133 (77.0)	1	
1-7 DDDs	198 (13.7)	659 (8.3)	2.31 (1.88-2.85)	
8-14 DDDs	166 (11.5)	584 (7.3)	2.13 (1.69-2.68)	
15-28 DDDs	195 (13.5)	334 (4.2)	3.59 (2.81-4.60)	
29+ DDDs	287 (19.9)	252 (3.2)	4.36 (3.40-5.61)	
NAª	3	2		
Cumulative 4C antimicrobial				
exposure				
no antimicrobials	597 (41.3)	6133 (77.0)	1	
1-7 DDDs	114 (7.9)	184 (2.3)	4.60 (3.41-6.21)	
8-14 DDDs	85 (5.9)	70 (0.9)	7.58 (5.05-11.37)	
15-28 DDDs	66 (4.6)	34 (0.4)	7.23 (4.25-12.28)	
29+ DDDs	47 (3.3)	10 (0.1)	17.86 (7.56-42.17)	
Any other non 4C	536 (37.1)	1533 (19.2)	2.19 (1.86-2.58)	
antimicrobials				
NAª	1	0		
Cumulative fluoroquinolone				
exposure				
no antimicrobials	597 (41.3)	6133 (77.0)	1	
1-7 DDDs	48 (3.3)	72 (0.9)	3.82 (2.41-6.05)	
8-14 DDDs	32 (2.2)	19 (0.2)	10.13 (5.03-20.42)	
15-28 DDDs	25 (1.7)	5 (0.1)	7.29 (2.29-23.20)	
29+ DDDs	15 (1.0)	4 (0.1)	9.17 (2.26-37.14)	
Any other non	728 (50.4)	1731 (21.7)	2.63 (2.26-3.07)	
fluoroquinolone antimicrobials				
NA ^a	1	0		
Cumulative non 4C				
antimicrobial exposure				
no antimicrobials	597 (41.3)	6133 (77.0)	1	
1-7 DDDs	194 (13.4)	634 (8.0)	2.33 (1.88-2.88)	
8-14 DDDs	159 (11.0)	560 (7.0)	1.98 (1.56-2.51)	
15-28 DDDs	167 (11.6)	298 (3.7)	3.03 (2.33-3.95)	
29+ DDDs	225 (15.6)	222 (2.8)	3.87 (2.95-5.09)	
Only 4C antimicrobials	102 (7.1)	115 (1.4)	6.39 (4.57-8.93)	
NA ^a	2	2		

³⁹⁴

^aTo calculate DDD exposure both quantity and a scaling factor representing the recommended daily dose are required. For
 5 observations either or both of these were missing for the antimicrobial exposure variable. 1 was missing for the FQ and

397 4C exposure. These observations are excluded from the analysis.

398 In the exposure categories for 4C antibiotics individuals may be exposed to non 4C antibiotics as well; in the exposure

399 categories for non 4C antibiotics individuals may be exposed to 4C antibiotics as well; in the exposure categories for

400 fluoroquinolone antibiotics individuals may be exposed to non-fluoroquinolone antibiotics as well

Most recent exposure in previous 6 months		% exposed controls	% exposed cases	Adjusted OR (95% Cl)	Global P value
		<i>n</i> =7964	<i>n</i> =1446		
Any antimicrobial	no antibiotics	77.0	41.3	1	0.064ª
	<= 1 month	6.1	32.0	6.3 (5.16-7.69)	
	2-3 months	8.1	17.8	2.2 (1.78-2.72)	
	4-6 months	8.7	8.9	1.1 (0.86-1.42)	
4C	no antibiotics	77.0	41.3	1	<0.0001
	<= 1 month	1.0	10.9	12.45 (8.89-17.44)	
	2-3 months	1.2	6.4	5.12 (3.5-7.51)	
	4-6 months	1.5	4.4	2.59 (1.74-3.87)	
	other antibiotic	19.2	37.1	2.17 (1.84-2.56)	
Fluoroquinolones	no antibiotics	77.0	41.3	1	<0.0001
	<= 1 month	0.3	3.1	11.06 (5.85-20.9)	
	2-3 months	0.5	3.0	4.96 (2.79-8.82)	
	4-6 months	0.5	2.2	3.13 (1.68-5.83)	
	other antibiotic	21.7	50.3	2.62 (2.25-3.06)	
Non 4C	no antibiotics	77.0	41.3	1	<0.0001
	<= 1 month	5.4	24.9	5.36 (4.34-6.62)	
	2-3 months	7.8	17.2	2.26 (1.82-2.80)	
	4-6 months	8.4	9.5	1.17 (0.91- <u>1</u> .51)	
	Only 4C	1.4	7.1	6.33 (4.50-8.91)	

402 Table 5. Distribution of temporal exposure and adjusted odds ratios of CA-CDI

403

^aLinear trend test, evaluating by including temporal exposure as an ordered factor in the conditional logistic regression model
 405

406

- 408 Figures
- 409 **Figure 1:** Flow chart of the episode selection and control assignment. CA Community-associated;
- 410 CO Community-onset; HO Healthcare-onset.
- 411 ^aNumbers of CA-HO cases differ in each analysis as they are matched to different control populations and not all cases are
- 412 successfully matched.
- 413





- **Figure 2:** Adjusted odds of CA-CDI, and 95% confidence intervals, following antimicrobial exposure in
- 417 the previous 6 months compared to no antimicrobial exposure. Vertical dashed line at OR =1

