



University of Dundee

Impact of smoking on tooth loss in adults

Susan J.; Burns, Jacqueline

Published in: **Evidence-Based Dentistry**

DOI: 10.1038/sj.ebd.6401182

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA): Carson, S. J., & Burns, J. (2016). Impact of smoking on tooth loss in adults. Evidence-Based Dentistry, 17(3), 73-74. DOI: 10.1038/sj.ebd.6401182

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Impact of smoking on tooth loss in adults

Susan J. Carson^{1*} and Jacqueline Burns²

1. Clinical Lecturer, Honorary Specialty Registrar in Dental Public Health

Dental Health Services Research Unit, School of Dentistry, University of Dundee, Park Place,

Dundee, DD1 4HR. Email: <u>s.y.carson@dundee.ac.uk</u>

2. Specialty Registrar in Dental Public Health

Ward 8 Cameron Hospital, Windygates, Leven, KY8 5RG.

*Corresponding author

Impact of Smoking on Tooth Loss in Adults

Abstracted from:

Dietrich T, Walter C, Oluwagbemigun K, Bergmann M, Pischon N, Pischon T, Boeing H. Smoking, Smoking Cessation, and Risk of Tooth Loss: The EPIC-Potsdam Study. J Dent Res 2015; Aug 4. doi: 10.1177/0022034515598961.

Tooth loss is amongst the most prevalent oral conditions behind dental caries and periodontal disease¹. Recent estimates suggest that 2.3% of the global population are edentate which equates to around 158 million people world-wide, this prevalence increases with age and peaks at approximately 60 years old². Tooth loss is the reflection of a lifetime of dental disease and treatment and is a complex outcome to measure. Therefore, it is important to understand the causes of, and possible ways to prevent, tooth loss in the adult population.

This observational study explores the association between cigarette smoking, smoking cessation and tooth loss within the adult population in Germany. The study has a cohort type design with longitudinal follow-up of adults recruited as part of a wider European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC)³. The main outcome measure, tooth loss, was assessed through baseline examination and self-reporting of teeth present in a follow-up questionnaire. Those who did not respond to dental questions, gave inconsistent responses to dental questions, had missing data on smoking or had any of the recorded EPIC covariates missing were excluded from the study.

The study is based on results for 23,376 adults, with a mean age of 50 years (ranging from 20 to 70 years), who were dentate and had complete data available for the measurements selected for analysis. This represented 95% of the original sampling frame and introduces a small but potential initial sampling bias. Those excluded due to incomplete data on the measurements selected could be representative of a group with specific characteristics from the population. Self-reported tooth loss has limitations as an outcome variable in that it is potentially prone to recall bias. It is easily over or under estimated and so could be considered a relatively unreliable measure.

The cohort study design is used to test the hypothesis that the incidence and prevalence of tooth loss differs between adults who have never smoked, have given up smoking or who smoke. Multiple measured confounders are explicitly defined within the paper and accounted for within the analysis. These include, age, sex, socioeconomic position as measured by participant education level and a variety of nutritional and medical markers collected as part of the wider EPIC study. The paper conforms to the STROBE guidance which provides recommendations on what should be included in the reporting of an observational study⁴.

A type of generalised linear regression called negative binomial regression is used to model the baseline association between smoking status and number of teeth present. The mean number of teeth present in the 'never', 'former' and 'current' smoking groups including \pm Standard Deviation were: 22.4 \pm 8.9, 21.5 \pm 9.5 and 20.5 \pm 9.9 respectively. Further full case analysis was carried out on these three categories for those participants who had at least one natural tooth remaining at baseline. Age and time between examination and the selfreported questionnaire were adjusted for in the basic model. Subsequent models then gradually adjusted for potential confounders. Finally, the association between smoking and tooth loss was considered for sex, and then for three categorical age groups. The results are reported as odds ratios which the authors advise to interpret as "the relative risk of a tooth being lost as a function of smoking exposure, adjusted for covariates".

This study ultimately reports that there that there was a consistent association between cigarette smoking and tooth loss which did not change by sex, age, education or other measured confounders.

The investigators have been successful in reducing, but not eliminating, confounding within this study. They address several limitations of the study within their discussion including the lack of information available on oral health and dental care. They also acknowledge that oral hygiene status and practices may differ between smokers and non-smokers.

Overall this study has provided some evidence of an association between smoking and eventual tooth loss. This is in addition to previous evidence linking smoking to periodontal disease. The primary clinical benefit which could be drawn from this study is the reassertion of the importance of smoking cessation and the prevention of periodontal disease within the adult general population. In the United Kingdom, the prevalence of smoking is estimated to be 19% with higher rates in deprived populations^{5,6}. It is important dental teams remain conscious of discussing tobacco use and its implications for both general and dental health with their patients.

References

- Marcenes W, Kassebaum NJ, Bernabé E, Flaxman A, Naghavi M, Lopez A, et al. Global Burden of Oral Conditions in 1990-2010: A Systematic Analysis. J Dent Res. 2013 July; 92(7):592-7.
- Kassenbaum NJ, Bernabé E, Dahiya M, Bhandari B, Murray CJL, Marcenes W. Global Burden of Severe Tooth Loss: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. J Dent Res. 2014 July; 93(7)suppl 1: 20S-28S.
- 3. Boeing H, Korfmann A, Bergmann MM. 1999. Recruitment procedures of EPIC-Germany. European Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition. Ann Nutr Metab. 43(4):205–215.

- Von Elm E, Altman DG, Egger M, et al. The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. PLoS Med 2007;4:e296.
- Office for National Statistics. Statistics on Smoking England 2015. Health and Social Care Information Centre, 29th May 2015. [Accessed 25th August 2016 at <u>http://digital.nhs.uk/catalogue/PUB17526/stat-smok-eng-2015-rep.pdf</u>]
- Action on Smoking and Health (ASH). ASH facts at a glance Smoking Statistics. June 2016 [Accessed 25th August 2016 at <u>http://www.ash.org.uk/files/documents/ASH_93.pdf]</u>