Tuberculosis associates with both airflow obstruction and low lung function: BOLD results
 3

4 Authors

- 5 André F. S. Amaral¹, Sonia Coton¹, Bernet Kato¹, Wan C. Tan², Michael Studnicka³, Christer
- 6 Janson⁴, Thorarinn Gislason⁵, David Mannino⁶, Eric D. Bateman⁷, Sonia Buist⁸, Peter G. J.

7 Burney¹, for the BOLD Collaborative Research Group*

8

9 Affiliations

- ¹Respiratory Epidemiology, Occupational Medicine and Public Health, National Heart and
- 11 Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK
- ¹² ²University of British Columbia Heart Lung Innovation Center, Vancouver, BC, Canada
- ¹³ ³Department of Pulmonary Medicine, Paracelsus Medical University, Salzburg, Austria
- ⁴Department of Medical Sciences: Respiratory Medicine & Allergology, Uppsala University,
- 15 Uppsala, Sweden
- ⁵Faculty of Medicine, University of Iceland and Landspitali University Hospital, Reykjavik,
- 17 Iceland
- ⁶Division of Pulmonary Critical Care and Sleep Medicine, University of Kentucky,
- 19 Lexington, Kentucky, USA
- ⁷Department of Medicine, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- 21 ⁸Oregon Health & Sciences University, Portland, Oregon, USA

- 23 Corresponding author's contact details
- 24 André F. S. Amaral
- 25 Respiratory Epidemiology, Occupational Medicine and Public Health

26	National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London
27	Emmanuel Kaye Building, 1B Manresa Road - London SW3 6LR (UK)
28	Tel: +44 (0) 207 594 7940
29	Email: <u>a.amaral@imperial.ac.uk</u>
30	
31	Keywords
32	Tuberculosis; airflow obstruction; chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; spirometric
33	restriction
34	
35	Running head
36	Tuberculosis and airflow obstruction
37	
38	Sentence for social media

Tuberculosis should be considered as a potentially important risk factor for obstructive

39

40

disease and low lung function.

41 ABSTRACT

42 Background: In small studies and cases series, a history of tuberculosis has been associated

43 with both airflow obstruction, which is characteristic of chronic obstructive pulmonary

44 disease, and restrictive patterns on spirometry.

45 **Objective:** To assess the association between a history of tuberculosis and airflow

46 obstruction and spirometric abnormalities in adults.

47 Methods: The study was performed in adults, aged 40 and above, who took part in the

48 multicentre cross-sectional, general population-based, Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease

49 study, had provided acceptable post-bronchodilator spirometry measurements and

50 information on a history of tuberculosis.

51 The associations between a history of tuberculosis and airflow obstruction and spirometric

52 restriction were assessed within each participating centre, and estimates combined using

53 meta-analysis. These estimates were stratified by high and low/middle income countries,

54 according to gross national income.

Results: A self-reported history of tuberculosis was associated with airflow obstruction

56 (adjusted odds ratio = 2.51, 95% confidence interval 1.83-3.42) and spirometric restriction

57 (adjusted odds ratio = 2.13, 95% confidence interval 1.42-3.19).

58 Conclusion: A history of tuberculosis was associated with both airflow obstruction and

spirometric restriction, and should be considered as a potentially important cause of

60 obstructive disease and low lung function, particularly where tuberculosis is common.

62 Introduction

In 2012, there were an estimated 8.6 million new cases of tuberculosis worldwide (1), with South-East Asia, Western Pacific Regions, and Africa accounting for more than 75% of the toll. More than 30% of the world population may have latent tuberculosis, but only 5-20% of them develop active tuberculosis at some point in their lifetime (1, 2). Those who survive it usually show post-treatment sequelae in the lung that may contribute to reduced quality of life and disability (3-5).

Airflow obstruction is characteristic of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), and 69 70 its main risk factor is tobacco smoking (6, 7). However, more than 20% of patients satisfying the criteria for COPD do not have a history of tobacco smoking (8, 9). Among other potential 71 risk factors, a history of tuberculosis has been suggested by several studies as a strong 72 73 predictor of chronic airflow obstruction that could explain COPD among non-smokers (9-11). 74 With some exceptions, most of these studies were small (n < 1000), not population-based (i.e. participants not randomly selected from general population) and limited to a single centre or 75 76 country, and several used pre-bronchodilator instead of post-bronchodilator spirometric measurements (11). 77

Spirometric restriction is characteristic of restrictive lung diseases and has been reported as a
consequence of tuberculosis since the late 1910s (12, 13). More recent epidemiological
studies with South African miners and hospital-based cases have suggested that a history of
tuberculosis and increasing number of events of this disease may lead to a deficit in lung
function (14-18). However, population data to support the association between a history of
tuberculosis and spirometric restriction is lacking.

84 The aim of the present analysis was to assess the association of airflow obstruction and

spirometric restriction with a history of tuberculosis in the large international, population-

86 based, Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) study.

87

88 Methods

89 <u>Participants</u>

90 The design and rationale for the BOLD study have been reported elsewhere (19). Non91 institutionalised adults aged 40 years and older were sampled and invited to take part in the
92 study. Sampling plans designed to randomly recruit a representative sample of the population
93 at all study sites were used.

Of the 21,962 participants who responded to the core questionnaire, 18,669 had acceptable 94 95 post-bronchodilator spirometry, and of these 18,664 answered a question on history of tuberculosis. Data were available from 27 sites, but Australia (Sydney), India (Mumbai, 96 Srinagar), Malaysia (Penang), Nigeria (Ife), Norway (Bergen), Tunisia (Sousse), Turkey 97 98 (Adana), which each contained less than five participants with history of tuberculosis, were 99 excluded; therefore the present study population consists of 14,050 participants from 19 sites. The countries and sites represented in this analysis are: Albania (Tirana), Algeria (Annaba), 100 101 Austria (Salzburg), Canada (Vancouver), China (Guangzhou), England (London), Estonia (Tartu), Germany (Hannover), Iceland (Reykjavik), India (Pune), Morocco (Fes), Netherlands 102 103 (Maastricht), Philippines (Manila, Nampicuan & Talugtug), Poland (Krakow), Portugal (Lisbon), South Africa (Cape Town), Sweden (Uppsala), and USA (Lexington). All sites 104 105 received approval from their local ethics committee, and participants provided written 106 informed consent.

107

108 <u>History of tuberculosis</u>

Face-to-face interviews were conducted by trained and certified staff in the native language
of the participant in order to collect information on respiratory symptoms, health status, and
exposure to risk factors. A history of tuberculosis was defined as a positive answer to the

112 question: "Has a doctor or other health care provider ever told you that you have

tuberculosis?" Participants who were on treatment for tuberculosis at the time of the studywere excluded from participation.

115

116 Outcome measures

Lung function, including forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV1) and forced vital 117 capacity (FVC), was measured using the ndd EasyOne Spirometer (ndd Medizintechnik AG, 118 Zurich, Switzerland), before and 15 minutes after administration of salbutamol (200 μ g) from 119 120 a metered dose inhaler through a spacer. The BOLD Pulmonary Function Reading Centre reviewed each spirogram and assigned them a quality score based on acceptability and 121 reproducibility criteria from the American Thoracic Society (ATS) and European Respiratory 122 123 Society (ERS) (20). Spirometry technicians at BOLD sites were certified before data collection, received regular feedback on quality, and were required to maintain a pre-124 specified quality standard. 125 Outcome measures were: i) airflow obstruction, defined as a post-bronchodilator FEV1/FVC 126 ratio below the low limit of normal (LLN) for age and sex (21), based on reference equations 127 for Caucasians derived from the third US National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey 128 (NHANES) (22); and ii) spirometric restriction, defined as a post-bronchodilator FVC below 129 the LLN for height, age and sex, based on the same reference population. 130 131

132 <u>Statistical analysis</u>

133 To assess the association of airflow obstruction and spirometric restriction with history of

tuberculosis, multivariable logistic regression models were fitted and adjusted for age (years),

sex, body mass index (underweight: <18.5, normal: 18.5 to <24, overweight: 24 to <30,

136 obese: $30 + \text{kg/m}^2$), and pack-years of smoking. Additional variables were considered as

137 potential confounders: education (years of schooling complete), passive smoking (yes, no), and cumulative exposure to dust in the workplace (years). The association with a history of 138 tuberculosis was estimated for each site using probability weights to allow for the sampling 139 140 design at each site and then combined in a random effects meta-analysis. The meta-analyses were stratified by gross national income, i.e. high vs low/middle income countries. The level 141 of heterogeneity was summarised using the I² statistic. We also regressed FEV1/FVC and 142 FVC (L) as continuous variables against the same independent variables as above. Sensitivity 143 analyses were conducted excluding participants presenting with both airflow obstruction and 144 145 spirometric restriction. In another set of sensitivity analyses, the association of a history of tuberculosis with airflow obstruction, spirometric restriction, FEV1/FVC, and FVC was 146 assessed omitting all sites with a cooperation rate below 60%. All analyses were conducted 147 148 using Stata/IC V.12.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

149

150 **Results**

The characteristics of the 14,050 participants with acceptable post-bronchodilator spirometry, 151 who responded to the core questionnaire and answered the question on history of tuberculosis 152 are presented, by site, in table 1. There were slightly more females than males, and the overall 153 age ranged from 52.3 to 59.6 across sites. Cumulative smoking exposure, i.e. pack-years, and 154 passive smoking varied widely across sites. The prevalence of a history of tuberculosis [0.7% 155 156 in Albania (Tirana) to 15.4% in South Africa (Cape Town)] as well as the prevalence of airflow obstruction [6.1% in Estonia (Tartu) and India (Pune) to 19.5% in South Africa (Cape 157 Town)] and spirometric restriction [8.5% in Canada (Vancouver) and Estonia (Tartu) to 158 159 66.1% in India (Pune)] also varied across sites (Tables 1 and 2). The unadjusted odds ratio (OR), and 95% confidence interval (CI), for the association 160

between airflow obstruction and history of tuberculosis was 3.33 (2.54-4.37). Figure 1 shows

adjusted ORs, and 95% CIs, for this association, by gross national income group and site.

163 Overall, the risk of airflow obstruction in people with a history of tuberculosis was more than

twice as much as that of people without such a history (aOR = 2.51, 95% CI 1.83-3.42). This

- association was stronger in low/middle income sites (aOR = 3.11, 95% CI 2.30-4.21) and
- showed no evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$, P = 0.55).
- 167 The unadjusted OR, and 95% CI, for the association between spirometric restriction and
- history of tuberculosis was 2.02 (1.42-2.86). Figure 2 shows adjusted ORs, and 95% CIs, by

169 gross national income group and site for this association. The overall pooled aOR was 2.13

- 170 (95% CI 1.42-3.19), and significant heterogeneity across sites was recorded ($I^2 = 62.4\%$, $P < 10^{-10}$
- 171 0.001). In high income sites there was no evidence of heterogeneity ($I^2 = 0\%$; p=0.72) but the
- risk was low and not significant (aOR = 1.43, 95% CI 0.93, 2.18). In low income countries,

although the risk was higher and significant (aOR = 3.19; 95% CI 1.70, 5.99) there was a

marked and unexplained heterogeneity in the risk estimates ($I^2 = 79.1\%$; p<0.001).

175 In both figures 1 and 2, ORs are adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, and pack-years.

176 Adjustment for education, passive smoking, and cumulative exposure to dust in the

177 workplace did not materially change the estimates for the effect of tuberculosis. Poland

178 (Krakow) was excluded from the analyses due to insufficient number of participants with

both history of tuberculosis and either airflow obstruction (n = 0) or spirometric restriction (n = 0)

180 = 2).

181 A history of tuberculosis was also associated with both decreased FEV1/FVC (beta = -3.43,

182 95% CI -5.05 to -1.80; $I^2 = 65.3\%$, P < 0.001) and decreased FVC (beta = -0.15, 95% CI -

183 0.23 to -0.06; $I^2 = 48.6\%$, P = 0.01) (supplementary figures 1 and 2).

- 184 Sensitivity analyses, excluding 482 participants who had both FEV1/FVC<LLN and
- 185 FVC<LLN, showed that the magnitude of the effects of tuberculosis reduced slightly but
- remained statistically significant (aOR for airflow obstruction = 2.13, 95% CI 1.40-3.23; aOR

for spirometric restriction = 2.11, 95% CI 1.31-3.38), suggesting that these effects are largely
independent of each other.

189 The omission of sites with a cooperation rate below 60% did not materially change the results190 (supplementary figures 3-6).

191

192 Discussion

193 In this population-based study of adults aged 40 years and over, a history of tuberculosis was associated with increased risk of airflow obstruction. A history of tuberculosis was also 194 195 associated with spirometric restriction, but mainly in sites in low/middle income countries. The strengths of the present study are: i) its large population-based sample and the inclusion 196 of a great number of sites; ii) the use of a standardised questionnaire for collection of data on 197 198 risk factors and protocol for spirometry across sites; and iii) the use of post- instead of pre-199 bronchodilator spirometric measurements. The most convincing effect relates to obstruction in low/middle income countries where the odds ratio was high (OR = 3.11) and the results 200 were consistent between sites $(I^2 = 0\%)$. 201

Our study also has some limitations. One is its cross-sectional nature, which impedes us from 202 drawing conclusions in terms of temporality and makes us consider the possibility of reverse 203 causation. Tuberculosis is more common in people with some restrictive diseases such as 204 silicosis, but these are relatively very rare. Tuberculosis may also be reactivated in those who 205 206 take corticosteroids, and particularly inhaled corticosteroid treatment recommended in chronic airway disease. However, their use is rare in this population and even rarer in the 207 low/middle income countries where the association between tuberculosis and airflow 208 209 obstruction is most pronounced. In some sites response rates were lower than desirable, but when we omitted all sites with a cooperation rate below 60% results did not materially 210 change. Another limitation is the use of data on a self-reported history of tuberculosis, which 211

212 may suffer from under-reporting due to stigmatisation of the diagnosis. However, differential under-reporting due to stigmatisation between people with and without airflow obstruction or 213 spirometric restriction seems unlikely. It is also possible that several participants have 214 suffered from tuberculosis and healed without any treatment and thus tuberculosis infection 215 may be underestimated. However, in a study in China, the magnitude of the association of 216 airflow obstruction with tuberculosis was similar between self-reports and radiological 217 confirmation (23). According to ATS/ERS (24), pulmonary restriction is defined by a total 218 lung capacity (TLC) less than the fifth percentile of the predicted value. This implies 219 220 measuring TLC by plethysmography or helium dilution, which is unrealistic in large-scale epidemiological studies, such as this, and especially at centres in low/middle income 221 countries. We are mindful that our choice of FVC as a surrogate of TLC may lead to false 222 223 positive findings in those with increased residual volumes, but outside the clinical 224 environment the prevalence of this is very low. We are also aware that the use of the NHANES reference equations in our spirometry measurements may overemphasize lung 225 226 function abnormality in some study sites, but the effect of this is unlikely to be differential as 227 the analyses were done within each site (the sites are ethnically fairly homogeneous) and only then were meta-analysed. In addition, the results from the binary outcomes (FEV1/FVC < 228 LLN, and FVC < LLN) are supported by those of the continuous outcomes (FEV1/FVC, and 229 230 FVC), which are independent of reference equations.

Our findings add to existing knowledge and support the majority of previous smaller studies that have reported an association between airflow obstruction and a history of tuberculosis (10, 11, 25). We also confirm findings from the few occupational and small hospital-based studies that have observed a decline in lung function associated with both history of and radiographically-confirmed tuberculosis (14-18).

236 Although it is widely accepted that tuberculosis and the healing process the lung undergoes during and after treatment can cause scarring that leads to loss of parenchymal tissue and 237 restrictive spirometry, it is not clear what mechanisms explain airflow obstruction associated 238 239 with tuberculosis. The finding that tuberculosis is associated with airflow obstruction, and not only with spirometric restriction, suggests that this is not solely the result of parenchymal 240 scarring. One possibility is that this is caused by bronchiectasis and bronchial stenosis, which 241 242 can occur as a result of tuberculosis (26). Another possibility is that this is caused by a dysregulation of macrophages arising from latent intracellular infection (27). Macrophages in 243 244 the lung act primarily to kill bacteria or to facilitate wound healing and resolution (28), and it is widely accepted that they play a central role in the remodelling that causes chronic airflow 245 obstruction. It is possible that latent mycobacteria in lung macrophages could lead to 246 247 maintenance of inflammation in the lung and more aggressive remodelling of the airways (28, 248 29).

In summary, a history of tuberculosis was associated with both airflow obstruction and spirometric restriction. Nevertheless, large longitudinal studies with post-bronchodilator spirometry are recommended to confirm or refute these findings. With the continuing spread of tuberculosis in developing countries, an increasing incidence of multi-drug resistant disease, and an aging world population, it is important to improve our understanding of the mechanisms that link tuberculosis to airflow obstruction and COPD, and to devise effective strategies to limit this problem.

256

257 Acknowledgements

We want to thank the participants and field workers of this study for their time andcooperation. We also want to thank Anamika Jithoo and the BOLD Coordinating Centre

260 (UK) members not included in the author list for their technical and scientific support.

2	c	1
2	Ο	т

262 **References**

263	1.	World Health Organization (WHO). Global tuberculosis report 2013. Geneva: WHO.
264	2.	Selwyn PA, Hartel D, Lewis VA, Schoenbaum EE, Vermund SH, Klein RS, et al. A
265	Prosp	ective Study of the Risk of Tuberculosis among Intravenous Drug Users with Human
266	Immu	nodeficiency Virus Infection. New England Journal of Medicine. 1989;320:545-50.
267	3.	Ando M, Mori A, Esaki H, Shiraki T, Uemura H, Okazawa M, et al. The effect of
268	pulmo	onary rehabilitation in patients with post-tuberculosis lung disorder. Chest.
269	2003;	123:1988-95.
270	4.	Hicks A, Muthukumarasamy S, Maxwell D, Howlett D. Chronic inactive pulmonary
271	tuberc	ulosis and treatment sequelae: chest radiographic features. Int J Tuberc Lung Dis.
272	2014;	18:128-33.
273	5.	Miller TL, McNabb SJ, Hilsenrath P, Pasipanodya J, Weis SE. Personal and societal
274	health	quality lost to tuberculosis. PLoS One. 2009;4:e5080.
275	6.	Vestbo J, Hurd SS, Agusti AG, Jones PW, Vogelmeier C, Anzueto A, et al. Global
276	strateg	gy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary
277	diseas	e: GOLD executive summary. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 2013;187:347-65.
278	7.	de Marco R, Accordini S, Marcon A, Cerveri I, Anto JM, Gislason T, et al. Risk
279	factor	s for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in a European cohort of young adults. Am J
280	Respi	r Crit Care Med. 2011;183:891-7.
281	8.	Lamprecht B, McBurnie MA, Vollmer WM, Gudmundsson G, Welte T,
282	Nizan	kowska-Mogilnicka E, et al. COPD in never smokers: results from the population-
283	based	burden of obstructive lung disease study. Chest. 2011;139:752-63.
284	9.	Salvi SS, Barnes PJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease in non-smokers. Lancet.
285	2009;	374:733-43.

- 10. Menezes AM, Hallal PC, Perez-Padilla R, Jardim JR, Muino A, Lopez MV, et al.
- 287 Tuberculosis and airflow obstruction: evidence from the PLATINO study in Latin America.

288 Eur Respir J. 2007;30:1180-5.

- 289 11. Allwood BW, Myer L, Bateman ED. A systematic review of the association between
 290 pulmonary tuberculosis and the development of chronic airflow obstruction in adults.
- 291 Respiration. 2013;86:76-85.
- 292 12. Garvin A, Lundsgaard C, Van Slyke DD. Studies of Lung Volume : Ii. Tuberculous
 293 Men. J Exp Med. 1918;27:87-94.
- 294 13. Garvin A, Lundsgaard C, Van Slyke DD. Studies of Lung Volume : Iii. Tuberculous
 295 Women. J Exp Med. 1918;27:129-42.
- 296 14. Hnizdo E, Singh T, Churchyard G. Chronic pulmonary function impairment caused
- by initial and recurrent pulmonary tuberculosis following treatment. Thorax. 2000;55:32-8.
- 298 15. Pasipanodya JG, Miller TL, Vecino M, Munguia G, Garmon R, Bae S, et al.
- Pulmonary impairment after tuberculosis. Chest. 2007;131:1817-24.
- 300 16. Baig IM, Saeed W, Khalil KF. Post-tuberculous chronic obstructive pulmonary
- disease. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak. 2010;20:542-4.
- 302 17. Ross J, Ehrlich RI, Hnizdo E, White N, Churchyard GJ. Excess lung function decline
- in gold miners following pulmonary tuberculosis. Thorax. 2010;65:1010-5.
- 18. Ehrlich RI, Myers JE, te Water Naude JM, Thompson ML, Churchyard GJ. Lung
- function loss in relation to silica dust exposure in South African gold miners. Occup EnvironMed. 2011;68:96-101.
- 307 19. Buist AS, Vollmer WM, Sullivan SD, Weiss KB, Lee TA, Menezes AM, et al. The
- 308 Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease Initiative (BOLD): rationale and design. COPD.
- 309 2005;2:277-83.

- Miller MR, Crapo R, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, et al. General
 considerations for lung function testing. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:153-61.
- 312 21. Swanney MP, Ruppel G, Enright PL, Pedersen OF, Crapo RO, Miller MR, et al.
- 313 Using the lower limit of normal for the FEV1/FVC ratio reduces the misclassification of
- airway obstruction. Thorax. 2008;63:1046-51.
- 315 22. Hankinson JL, Odencrantz JR, Fedan KB. Spirometric reference values from a sample
- of the general U.S. population. Am J Respir Crit Care Med. 1999;159:179-87.
- 23. Lam KB, Jiang CQ, Jordan RE, Miller MR, Zhang WS, Cheng KK, et al. Prior TB,
- 318 smoking, and airflow obstruction: a cross-sectional analysis of the Guangzhou Biobank
- 319 Cohort Study. Chest. 2010;137:593-600.
- 320 24. Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Brusasco V, Crapo RO, Burgos F, Casaburi R, et al.
- 321 Interpretative strategies for lung function tests. Eur Respir J. 2005;26:948-68.
- 322 25. Hwang YI, Kim JH, Lee CY, Park S, Park YB, Jang SH, et al. The association
- between airflow obstruction and radiologic change by tuberculosis. J Thorac Dis.
- 324 2014;6:471-6.
- 26. Kim HY, Song KS, Goo JM, Lee JS, Lee KS, Lim TH. Thoracic sequelae and
- complications of tuberculosis. Radiographics. 2001;21:839-58; discussion 59-60.
- 327 27. Alber A, Howie SE, Wallace WA, Hirani N. The role of macrophages in healing the
 328 wounded lung. Int J Exp Pathol. 2012;93:243-51.
- 329 28. Holloway RA, Donnelly LE. Immunopathogenesis of chronic obstructive pulmonary
 330 disease. Curr Opin Pulm Med. 2013;19:95-102.
- 331 29. Mosser DM, Edwards JP. Exploring the full spectrum of macrophage activation. Nat
 332 Rev Immunol. 2008;8:958-69.
- 333

334 ***BOLD collaborators**

NanShan Zhong (PI), Shengming Liu, Jiachun Lu, Pixin Ran, Dali Wang, Jingping Zheng,

336 Yumin Zhou (Guangzhou Institute of Respiratory Diseases, Guangzhou Medical College,

- 337 Guangzhou, China); Ali Kocabaş (PI), Attila Hancioglu, Ismail Hanta, Sedat Kuleci, Ahmet
- 338 Sinan Turkyilmaz, Sema Umut, Turgay Unalan (Cukurova University School of Medicine,
- 339 Department of Chest Diseases, Adana, Turkey); Michael Studnicka (PI), Torkil Dawes,
- 340 Bernd Lamprecht, Lea Schirhofer (Paracelsus Medical University, Department of Pulmonary
- 341 Medicine, Salzburg Austria); Eric Bateman (PI), Anamika Jithoo (PI), Desiree Adams,
- 342 Edward Barnes, Jasper Freeman, Anton Hayes, Sipho Hlengwa, Christine Johannisen,
- 343 Mariana Koopman, Innocentia Louw, Ina Ludick, Alta Olckers, Johanna Ryck, Janita
- 344 Storbeck, (University of Cape Town Lung Institute, Cape Town, South Africa); Thorarinn
- 345 Gislason (PI), Bryndis Benedikdtsdottir, Kristin Jörundsdottir, Lovisa Gudmundsdottir,
- 346 Sigrun Gudmundsdottir, Gunnar Gundmundsson, (Landspitali University Hospital, Dept. of
- 347 Allergy, Respiratory Medicine and Sleep, Reykjavik, Iceland); Ewa Nizankowska-
- 348 Mogilnicka (PI), Jakub Frey, Rafal Harat, Filip Mejza, Pawel Nastalek, Andrzej Pajak,
- 349 Wojciech Skucha, Andrzej Szczeklik, Magda Twardowska, (Division of Pulmonary Diseases,
- 350 Department of Medicine, Jagiellonian University School of Medicine, Cracow, Poland);
- 351 Tobias Welte (PI), Isabelle Bodemann, Henning Geldmacher, Alexandra Schweda-Linow
- 352 (Hannover Medical School, Hannover, Germany); Amund Gulsvik (PI), Tina Endresen, Lene
- 353 Svendsen (Department of Thoracic Medicine, Institute of Medicine, University of Bergen,
- Bergen, Norway); Wan C. Tan (PI), Wen Wang (iCapture Center for Cardiovascular and
- 355 Pulmonary Research, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada); David M.
- 356 Mannino (PI), John Cain, Rebecca Copeland, Dana Hazen, Jennifer Methvin, (University of
- 357 Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky, USA); Renato B. Dantes (PI), Lourdes Amarillo, Lakan U.
- 358 Berratio, Lenora C. Fernandez, Norberto A. Francisco, Gerard S. Garcia, Teresita S. de Guia,

359 Luisito F. Idolor, Sullian S. Naval, Thessa Reyes, Camilo C. Roa, Jr., Ma. Flordeliza Sanchez, Leander P. Simpao (Philippine College of Chest Physicians, Manila, Philippines); 360 Christine Jenkins (PI), Guy Marks (PI), Tessa Bird, Paola Espinel, Kate Hardaker, Brett 361 Toelle (Woolcock Institute of Medical Research, Sydney, Australia); Peter GJ Burney (PI), 362 Caron Amor, James Potts, Michael Tumilty, Fiona McLean (National Heart and Lung 363 Institute, Imperial College, London); E.F.M. Wouters, G.J. Wesseling (Maastricht University 364 Medical Center, Maastricht, the Netherlands); Cristina Bárbara (PI), Fátima Rodrigues, 365 Hermínia Dias, João Cardoso, João Almeida, Maria João Matos, Paula Simão, Moutinho 366 367 Santos, Reis Ferreira (The Portuguese Society of Pneumology, Lisbon, Portugal); Christer Janson (PI), Inga Sif Olafsdottir, Katarina Nisser, Ulrike Spetz-Nyström, Gunilla Hägg and 368 Gun-Marie Lund (Department of Medical Sciences: Respiratory Medicine & Allergology, 369 370 Uppsala University, Sweden); Rain Jõgi (PI), Hendrik Laja, Katrin Ulst, Vappu Zobel, 371 Toomas-Julius Lill (Lung Clinic, Tartu University Hospital); Parvaiz A Koul (PI), Sajjad Malik, Nissar A Hakim, Umar Hafiz Khan (Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, 372 373 Srinagar, J&K, India); Rohini Chowgule (PI), Vasant Shetye, Jonelle Raphael, Rosel Almeda, Mahesh Tawde, Rafiq Tadvi, Sunil Katkar, Milind Kadam, Rupesh Dhanawade, 374 Umesh Ghurup (Indian Institute of Environmental Medicine, Mumbai, India); Imed Harrabi 375 (PI), Myriam Denguezli, Zouhair Tabka, Hager Daldoul, Zaki Boukheroufa, Firas Chouikha, 376 377 Wahbi Belhaj Khalifa (Faculté de Médecine, Sousse, Tunisia); Luisito F. Idolor (PI), Teresita 378 S. de Guia, Norberto A. Francisco, Camilo C. Roa, Fernando G. Ayuyao, Cecil Z.Tady, Daniel T. Tan, Sylvia Banal-Yang, Vincent M. Balanag, Jr., Maria Teresita N. Reyes, 379 Renato. B. Dantes (Lung Centre of the Philippines, Philippine General Hospital, 380 381 Nampicuan&Talugtug, Philippines); Sundeep Salvi (PI), Siddhi Hirve, Bill Brashier, Jyoti Londhe, Sapna Madas, Somnath Sambhudas, Bharat Chaidhary, Meera Tambe, Savita 382 Pingale, Arati Umap, Archana Umap, Nitin Shelar, Sampada Devchakke, Sharda Chaudhary, 383

384	Suvarna Bondre, Savita Walke, Ashleshsa Gawhane, Anil Sapkal, Rupali Argade, Vijay
385	Gaikwad (Vadu HDSS, KEM Hospital Research Centre Pune, Chest Research Foundation
386	(CRF), Pune India); Mohamed C Benjelloun (PI), Chakib Nejjari, Mohamed Elbiaze, Karima
387	El Rhazi (Laboratoire d'épidémiologie, Recherche Clinique et Santé Communautaire, Fès,
388	Morroco); Daniel Obaseki (PI), Gregory Erhabor, Olayemi Awopeju, Olufemi Adewole
389	(Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Nigeria); Al Ghobain M (PI), Alorainy H (PI), El-
390	Hamad E, Al Hajjaj M, Ayan H, Rowena D, Rofel F, Elizabeth E, Imelda I, Safia H, Lyla
391	(Saudi Thoracic Society, Saudi Arabia); Talant M. Sooronbaev (PI), Bermet M. Estebesova,
392	MeerimAkmatalieva, SaadatUsenbaeva, JyparaKydyrova, Eliza Bostonova, Ulan Sheraliev,
393	NuridinMarajapov, NurgulToktogulova, BerikEmilov, ToktogulAzilova,
394	GulnaraBeishekeeva, NasyikatDononbaeva, AijamalTabyshova (Pulmunology and
395	Allergology Department, National Centre of Cardiology and Internal Medicine, Bishkek,
396	Kyrgyzstan); Kevin Mortimer (PI), Wezzie Nyapigoti, Ernest Mwangoka, Mayamiko
397	Kambwili, Martha Chipeta ,Gloria Banda, Suzgo Mkandawire, Justice Banda (The Malawi
398	Liverpool Wellcome Trust, Blantyre, Malawi); Asma Elsony (PI), Hana A. Elsadig, Nada
399	Bakery Osman, Bandar Salah Noory, Monjda Awad Mohamed, Hasab Alrasoul Akasha
400	Ahmed Osman, Namarig Moham ed Elhassan, Abdel Mu'is El Zain, Marwa Mohamed
401	Mohamaden, Suhaiba Khalifa, Mahmoud Elhadi, Mohand Hassan,Dalia Abdelmonam (The
402	Epidemiological Laboratory, Khartoum, Sudan); Hasan Hafizi (PI), Anila Aliko, Donika
403	Bardhi, Holta Tafa, Natasha Thanasi, Arian Mezini, Alma Teferici, Dafina Todri, Jolanda
404	Nikolla, Rezarta Kazasi (Tirana University Hospital "Shefqet Ndroqi, Albania); Hamid
405	Hacene Cherkaski (PI), Amira Bengrait, Tabarek Haddad, Ibtissem Zgaoula, Maamar Ghit,
406	Abdelhamid Roubhia, Soumaya Boudra, Feryal Atoui, Randa Yakoubi, Rachid Benali,
407	Abdelghani Bencheikh, Nadia Ait-Khaled (Faculté de Médecine Annaba, SEMEP Elhadjar,
408	Algérie); Akramul Islam (PI), Syed Masud Ahmed (Co-PI), Shayla Islam, Qazi Shafayetul

- 409 Islam, Mesbah- Ul- Haque, Tridib Roy Chowdhury, Sukantha Kumar Chatterjee, Dulal Mia,
- 410 Shyamal Chandra Das, Mizanur Rahman, Nazrul Islam, Shahaz Uddin, Nurul Islam, Luiza
- 411 Khatun, Monira Parvin, Abdul Awal Khan, Maidul Islam (James P.Grant School of Public
- 412 Health, BIGH/BRAC University, Bangladesh).
- 413

414 **Funding**

The BOLD Study is funded by a grant from the Wellcome Trust (085790/Z/08/Z). The initial 415 BOLD programme was funded in part by unrestricted educational grants to the Operations 416 417 Center in Portland, Oregon from ALTANA, Aventis, AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Chiesi, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Novartis, Pfizer, Schering-Plough, Sepracor, and the 418 University of Kentucky. Additional local support for BOLD sites was provided by 419 Boehringer Ingelheim China. (GuangZhou, China); Turkish Thoracic Society, Boehringer-420 Ingelheim, and Pfizer (Adana, Turkey); Altana, Astra-Zeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, 421 422 GlaxoSmithKline, Merck Sharpe & Dohme, Novartis, Salzburger Gebietskrankenkasse and Salzburg Local Government (Salzburg, Austria); Research for International Tobacco 423 424 Control, the International Development Research Centre, the South African Medical 425 Research Council, the South African Thoracic Society GlaxoSmithKline Pulmonary Research Fellowship, and the University of Cape Town Lung Institute (Cape Town, South Africa); and 426 Landspítali-University Hospital-Scientific Fund, GlaxoSmithKline Iceland, and AstraZeneca 427 428 Iceland (Reykjavik, Iceland); GlaxoSmithKline Pharmaceuticals, Polpharma, Ivax Pharma Poland, AstraZeneca Pharma Poland, ZF Altana Pharma, Pliva Kraków, Adamed, Novartis 429 Poland, Linde Gaz Polska, Lek Polska, Tarchomińskie Zakłady Farmaceutyczne Polfa, 430 Starostwo Proszowice, Skanska, Zasada, Agencja Mienia Wojskowego w Krakowie, 431 432 Telekomunikacja Polska, Biernacki, Biogran, Amplus Bucki, Skrzydlewski, Sotwin, and 433 Agroplon (Krakow, Poland); Boehringer-Ingelheim, and Pfizer Germany (Hannover, Germany); the Norwegian Ministry of Health's Foundation for Clinical Research, and 434 Haukeland University Hospital's Medical Research Foundation for Thoracic Medicine 435 (Bergen, Norway); AstraZeneca, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Pfizer, and GlaxoSmithKline 436 (Vancouver, Canada); Marty Driesler Cancer Project (Lexington, Kentucky, USA); Altana, 437 Boehringer Ingelheim (Phil), GlaxoSmithKline, Pfizer, Philippine College of Chest 438

439 Physicians, Philippine College of Physicians, and United Laboratories (Phil) (Manila, Philippines); Air Liquide Healthcare P/L, AstraZeneca P/L, Boehringer Ingelheim P/L, 440 GlaxoSmithKline Australia P/L, Pfizer Australia P/L (Sydney, Australia), Department of 441 442 Health Policy Research Programme, Clement Clarke International (London, United Kingdom); Boehringer Ingelheim and Pfizer (Lisbon, Portugal), Swedish Heart and Lung 443 Foundation, The Swedish Association against Heart and Lung Diseases, Glaxo Smith Kline 444 (Uppsala, Sweden); GlaxoSmithKline, Astra Zeneca, Eesti Teadusfond (Estonian Science 445 Foundation) (Tartu, Estonia); AstraZeneca, CIRO HORN (Maastricht, The Netherlands); 446 447 Sher-i-Kashmir Institute of Medical Sciences, Srinagar, J&K (Srinagar, India); Foundation for Environmental Medicine, Kasturba Hospital, Volkart Foundation (Mumbai, India); 448 Boehringer Ingelheim (Sousse, Tunisia); Boehringer Ingelheim (Fes, Morocco); Philippines 449 450 College of Physicians, Philippines College of Chest Physicians, AstraZeneca, Boehringer Ingelheim, GlaxoSmithKline, Orient Euro Pharma, Otsuka Pharma, United laboratories 451 Phillipines (Nampicuan&Talugtug, Philippines); National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial 452 453 College, London (Pune, India); The Wellcome Trust, National Population Commission, Ile-Ife, Osun State, Nigeria (Ile-Ife, Nigeria), Kyrgyz Thoracic Society (Bishkek, Kyrgyzstan), 454 GlaxoSmithKline (Tirana, Albania), GSK, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, the 455 Malawi Liverpool Wellcome Trust (Blantyre, Malawi), The Saudi Thoracic Society, King 456 457 Abdullah International Medical Research Center KAIMRC (Riyadh, Saudi Arabia), Salmawit 458 Pharmaceuticals & Medical International Company Limited, The Epidemiological Laboratory (Khartoum, Sudan), Boehringer Ingelheim (Annaba, Algérie), GlaxoSmithKline 459 Pharmaceutical Sdn. Bhd. (Penang, Malaysia), BRAC Health Nutrition and Population 460 461 Programme (Dhaka, Bangladesh).

463	Tables' legends
464	Table 1. Characteristics of participants from 19 sites of the Burden of Obstructive Lung
465	Disease (BOLD) study with good quality spirometry and data on history of tuberculosis (at
466	least 5 cases).
467	
468	Table 2 . Estimated population prevalence of airflow obstruction and spirometric restriction in
469	19 sites of the Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) study with good quality
470	spirometry and data on history of tuberculosis (at least 5 cases).
471	
472	Figures' legends
473	Figure 1. Odds ratios of airflow obstruction for a history of tuberculosis, by gross national
474	income group (low/middle vs high) and site. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body
475	mass index, and pack-years of smoking.
476	
477	Figure 2. Odds ratios of spirometric restriction for a history of tuberculosis, by gross national
478	income group (low/middle vs high) and site. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body
479	mass index, and pack-years of smoking.
480	
481	Supplementary figure 1. Change in FEV1/FVC due to a history of tuberculosis, by gross
482	national income group (low/middle vs high) and site. All models were adjusted for age, sex,
483	body mass index, and pack-years of smoking.
484	
485	Supplementary figure 2. Change in FVC due to a history of tuberculosis, by gross national
486	income group (low/middle vs high) and site. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body
487	mass index, and pack-years of smoking.

488

489 Supplementary figure 3. Odds ratios of airflow obstruction for a history of tuberculosis, by 490 gross national income group (low/middle vs high) and site, omitting sites with a cooperation 491 rate below 60%. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, and pack-years of 492 smoking.

493

494 Supplementary figure 4. Odds ratios of spirometric restriction for a history of tuberculosis,
495 by gross national income group (low/middle vs high) and site, omitting sites with a
496 cooperation rate below 60%. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, and
497 pack-years of smoking.

498

Supplementary figure 5. Change in FEV1/FVC due to a history of tuberculosis, by gross
national income group (low/middle vs high) and site, omitting sites with a cooperation rate
below 60%. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, and pack-years of
smoking.

503

Supplementary figure 6. Change in FVC due to a history of tuberculosis, by gross national
income group (low/middle vs high) and site, omitting sites with a cooperation rate below
60%. All models were adjusted for age, sex, body mass index, and pack-years of smoking.

tuberculosis (a	it least J	cases).																	
	Albania (Tirana)	Algeria (Annaba)	Austria (Salzburg)	Canada (Vancouver)	China (Guangzhou)	England (London)	Estonia (Tartu)	Germany (Hannover)	Iceland (Reykjavik)	India (Pune)	Morocco (Fes)	Netherlands (Maastricht)	Philippines (Manila)	Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	Poland (Krakow)	Portugal (Lisbon)	South Africa (Cape Town)	Sweden (Uppsala)	USA (Lexington)
Ν	939	890	1253	827	461	675	613	680	757	845	768	590	892	722	526	711	846	547	508
Age (yrs), mean (SD)	55.4 (11.7)	53.5 (10.9)	59.2 (12.2)	56.8 (12.7)	54.0 (10.6)	58.0 (12.4)	59.6 (12.2)	57.7 (11.1)	57.0 (12.0)	52.3 (10.0)	54.2 (11.9)	58.5 (12.0)	52.7 (11.1)	54.2 (10.6)	56.2 (11.8)	58.5 (12.0)	53.5 (10.5)	58.9 (11.4)	57.0 (11.6)
Males (%)	48.9	49.9	46.1	47.3	52.0	46.2	39.1	45.4	51.3	59.8	52.2	46.8	47.5	49.4	49.6	45.3	43.8	47.1	46.4
BMI (kg/m ²), mean (SD)*	28.0 (4.6)	28.2 (5.6)	26.4 (4.3)	26.7 (5.1)	23.3 (3.2)	27.3 (5.2)	28.4 (5.4)	27.1 (4.6)	27.9 (5.0)	22.1 (3.9)	27.5 (5.2)	27.5 (4.6)	24.4 (4.7)	21.6 (4.1)	27.8 (4.7)	27.9 (4.7)	27.5 (7.3)	26.9 (4.4)	30.6 (6.5)
Pack-years, mean (SD)**	11.5 (19.3)	10.4 (18.3)	12.7 (20.7)	12.1 (21.4)	11.8 (17.7)	17.5 (27.4)	7.4 (13.0)	14.6 (20.4)	12.9 (24.9)	0.7 (3.6)	6.6 (14.9)	14.5 (19.0)	10.6 (18.6)	12.9 (18.4)	15.8 (25.5)	13.1 (25.0)	11.9 (16.1)	10.4 (16.2)	24.4 (34.7)
Passive smoking (%)	37.4	10.9	21.8	5.7	23.6	16.6	15.6	18.6	16.8	11.1	11.4	17.7	48.8	47.3	39.3	18.7	50.6	5.8	29.6
Education (yrs), mean (SD)†	10.0 (4.6)	7.7 (5.4)	9.8 (2.2)	15.4 (3.4)	8.4 (3.9)	13.6 (3.6)	13.5 (3.8)	10.3 (2.2)	13.2 (4.4)	4.3 (4.3)	4.2 (5.3)	14.9 (5.1)	9.4 (3.6)	7.8 (3.6)	10.4 (3.4)	8.5 (4.9)	7.8 (3.3)	12.8 (4.0)	12.8 (3.3)
Cumulative exposure to																			
dust in workplace (yrs), mean	15.0 (14.1)	5.6 (10.3)	5.2 (11.7)	3.1 (7.5)	6.9 (11.6)	4.0 (9.7)	5.0 (10.1)	3.3 (8.7)	4.2 (9.6)	1.8 (5.5)	8.5 (12.8)	3.3 (8.8)	7.1 (10.8)	6.1 (11.8)	10.5 (13.4)	10.6 (14.3)	6.8 (10.3)	5.5 (11.3)	8.3 (12.1)
(SD)‡ PB-FEV1 (l/s), mean (SD)	2.8 (0.8)	2.7 (0.8)	2.9 (0.9)	3.0 (0.9)	2.4 (0.7)	2.7 (0.9)	2.9 (0.9)	3.0 (0.8)	3.0 (0.9)	2.2 (0.6)	2.7 (0.7)	2.9 (0.9)	2.1 (0.6)	2.1 (0.7)	2.9 (0.9)	2.7 (0.9)	2.3 (0.7)	3.0 (0.9)	2.7 (0.9)
PB-FVC (l), mean (SD) History of	3.5 (0.9)	3.4 (0.9)	3.9 (1.0)	4.0 (1.1)	3.1 (0.8)	3.6 (1.1)	3.8 (1.1)	3.9 (1.0)	4.0 (1.0)	2.7 (0.7)	3.4 (0.9)	3.8 (1.1)	2.6 (0.7)	2.7 (0.8)	3.8 (1.0)	3.4 (1.1)	3.0 (0.9)	3.9 (1.1)	3.5 (1.1)
tuberculosis (%)	0.7	2.2	2.9	3.2	3.5	2.1	7.0	3.6	4.0	0.9	1.4	1.4	10.8	3.6	2.8	4.5	15.4	1.1	1.9

Table 1. Characteristics of participants from 19 sites of the Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) study with good quality spirometry and data on history of tuberculosis (at least 5 cases).

SD, standard deviation. BMI, body mass index. PB-FEV1, post-bronchodilator forced expiratory volume in 1 second. PB-FVC, post-bronchodilator forced vital capacity. Education, years of schooling complete. *Missing: 3 in Poland (Krakow); 2 in South Africa (Cape Town); and 1 in USA (Lexington). **Missing: 7 in Philippines (Manila); 3 in Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug) and Poland (Krakow); 2 in Canada (Vancouver), South Africa (Cape Town) and Netherlands (Maasstricht); and 1 in Iceland (Reykjavik), Morocco (Fes) and Sweden (Uppsala). †Missing: 7 in Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug); 5 in England (London); and 1 in Estonia (Tartu), Morocco (Fes), Portugal (Lisbon) and South Africa (Cape Town). ‡Missing: 4 in Netherlands (Maasstricht).

Table 2. Estimated population prevalence of airflow obstruction and spirometric restriction in 19 sites of the Burden of Obstructive Lung Disease (BOLD) study with good quality spirometry and data on history of tuberculosis (at least 5 cases).

	Albania (Tirana)	Algeria (Annaba)	Austria (Salzburg)	Canada (Vancouver)	China (Guangzhou)	England (London)	Estonia (Tartu)	Germany (Hannover)	Iceland (Reykjavik)	India (Pune)	Morocco (Fes)	Netherlands (Maastricht)	Philippines (Manila)	Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	Poland (Krakow)	Portugal (Lisbon)	South Africa (Cape Town)	Sweden (Uppsala)	USA (Lexington)
Ν	939	890	1253	827	461	675	613	680	757	845	768	590	892	722	526	711	846	547	508
Airflow obstruction (%)	8.9	6.4	17.4	13.5	7.6	17.6	6.1	8.2	11.3	6.1	8.9	18.8	9.4	15.2	13.5	8.3	19.5	9.6	14.4
Spirometric restriction (%)	16.1	26.5	9.3	8.5	29.9	17.8	8.5	9.0	12.5	66.1	19.3	10.1	62.7	56.7	10.1	10.7	46.7	10.2	26.2
Response rate (%)*	82.3	94.6	65.0	26.0	87.0	17.0	49.0	59.0	81.0	97.0	98.0	48.0	58.0	85.5	78.0	10.0	63.0	61.0	14.0
Cooperation rate (%)**	84.0	94.6	67.0	51.0	87.0	37.0	70.0	61.0	84.0	97.0	98.0	55.0	58.0	86.2	79.0	27.0	68.0	63.0	27.0

Airflow obstruction, FEV1/FVC < LLN. Spirometric restriction, FVC < LLN. *Denominator comprises people of unknown eligibility status who could not be contacted. Only known participants considered ineligible were excluded. **Denominator comprises only participants who were contacted and eligible.

Association between history of tuberculosis and FEV1/FVC

Site	Beta (95% CI)	% Weight
Low/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	-3.22 (-17.63, 11.18)	1.13
Algeria (Annaba)	-7.28 (-12.58, -1.98)	4.88
China (Guangzhou)	-0.36 (-3.05, 2.33)	7.93
India (Pune)	-4.80 (-15.16, 5.56)	1.98
Morocco (Fes)	-4.43 (-8.32, -0.55)	6.42
Philippines (Manila)	-4.77 (-7.96, -1.57)	7.28
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	-7.07 (-11.83, -2.30)	5.42
South Africa (Cape Town)	-7.00 (-9.28, -4.72)	8.45
Subtotal (I-squared = 55.7%, p = 0.027)	-4.85 (-7.08, -2.62)	43.50
High Income Sites		
Austria (Salzburg)	-3.02 (-5.91, -0.13)	7.68
Canada (Vancouver)	-2.16 (-8.42, 4.10)	4.05
England (London)	-7.59 (-16.49, 1.30)	2.51
Estonia (Tartu)	-1.09 (-3.18, 0.99)	8.68
Germany (Hannover)	-0.68 (-4.64, 3.29)	6.32
Iceland (Reykjavik)	-0.29 (-3.43, 2.85)	7.35
Netherlands (Maastricht)	-7.23 (-14.62, 0.16)	3.28
Portugal (Lisbon)	-4.53 (-7.90, -1.17)	7.06
Sweden (Uppsala)	-11.80 (-20.93, -2.68)	2.42
USA (Lexington)	2.89 (-0.41, 6.19)	7.14
Subtotal (I-squared = 56.9%, p = 0.013)	-2.18 (-4.13, -0.23)	56.50
Overall (I-squared = 65.3%, p < 0.001)	-3.43 (-5.05, -1.80)	100.00

Association between history of tuberculosis and FVC

Site	Beta (95% CI)	% Weigh
Low/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	-0.45 (-0.65, -0.25)	7.84
Algeria (Annaba)	-0.26 (-0.60, 0.07)	4.52
China (Guangzhou)	-0.14 (-0.38, 0.11)	6.33
India (Pune)	-0.37 (-1.25, 0.50)	0.93
Morocco (Fes) -	-0.64 (-0.94, -0.35)	5.31
Philippines (Manila)	-0.12 (-0.27, 0.03)	9.35
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	-0.22 (-0.44, -0.00)	7.17
South Africa (Cape Town)	-0.08 (-0.22, 0.07)	9.75
Subtotal (I-squared = 64.5%, p = 0.006)	-0.26 (-0.39, -0.12)	51.20
High Income Sites		
Austria (Salzburg)	0.05 (-0.16, 0.27)	7.31
Canada (Vancouver)	-0.12 (-0.38, 0.15)	5.89
England (London)	0.29 (-0.23, 0.80)	2.38
Estonia (Tartu)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	6.66
Germany (Hannover)	-0.05 (-0.29, 0.20)	6.46
Iceland (Reykjavik)	-0.09 (-0.28, 0.11)	7.90
Netherlands (Maastricht)	-0.27 (-0.69, 0.15)	3.23
Portugal (Lisbon)	-0.02 (-0.77, 0.72)	1.24
Sweden (Uppsala)	-0.18 (-0.58, 0.22)	3.46
USA (Lexington)	0.02 (-0.33, 0.37)	4.27
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.848)	-0.04 (-0.13, 0.05)	48.80
Overall (I-squared = 48.6%, p = 0.011)	-0.15 (-0.23, -0.06)	100.0

Association between history of tuberculosis and FEV1/FVC

Site	Beta (95% CI)	% Weight
Low/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	-3.22 (-17.63, 11.18)	1.13
Algeria (Annaba)	-7.28 (-12.58, -1.98)	4.88
China (Guangzhou)	-0.36 (-3.05, 2.33)	7.93
India (Pune)	-4.80 (-15.16, 5.56)	1.98
Morocco (Fes)	-4.43 (-8.32, -0.55)	6.42
Philippines (Manila)	-4.77 (-7.96, -1.57)	7.28
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	-7.07 (-11.83, -2.30)	5.42
South Africa (Cape Town)	-7.00 (-9.28, -4.72)	8.45
Subtotal (I-squared = 55.7%, p = 0.027)	-4.85 (-7.08, -2.62)	43.50
High Income Sites		
Austria (Salzburg)	-3.02 (-5.91, -0.13)	7.68
Canada (Vancouver)	-2.16 (-8.42, 4.10)	4.05
England (London)	-7.59 (-16.49, 1.30)	2.51
Estonia (Tartu)	-1.09 (-3.18, 0.99)	8.68
Germany (Hannover)	-0.68 (-4.64, 3.29)	6.32
Iceland (Reykjavik)	-0.29 (-3.43, 2.85)	7.35
Netherlands (Maastricht)	-7.23 (-14.62, 0.16)	3.28
Portugal (Lisbon)	-4.53 (-7.90, -1.17)	7.06
Sweden (Uppsala)	-11.80 (-20.93, -2.68)	2.42
USA (Lexington)	2.89 (-0.41, 6.19)	7.14
Subtotal (I-squared = 56.9%, p = 0.013)	-2.18 (-4.13, -0.23)	56.50
Overall (I-squared = 65.3%, p < 0.001)	-3.43 (-5.05, -1.80)	100.00

Association between history of tuberculosis and FVC

Site	Beta (95% CI)	% Weigh
Low/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	-0.45 (-0.65, -0.25)	7.84
Algeria (Annaba)	-0.26 (-0.60, 0.07)	4.52
China (Guangzhou)	-0.14 (-0.38, 0.11)	6.33
India (Pune)	-0.37 (-1.25, 0.50)	0.93
Morocco (Fes) -	-0.64 (-0.94, -0.35)	5.31
Philippines (Manila)	-0.12 (-0.27, 0.03)	9.35
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	-0.22 (-0.44, -0.00)	7.17
South Africa (Cape Town)	-0.08 (-0.22, 0.07)	9.75
Subtotal (I-squared = 64.5%, p = 0.006)	-0.26 (-0.39, -0.12)	51.20
High Income Sites		
Austria (Salzburg)	0.05 (-0.16, 0.27)	7.31
Canada (Vancouver)	-0.12 (-0.38, 0.15)	5.89
England (London)	0.29 (-0.23, 0.80)	2.38
Estonia (Tartu)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	6.66
Germany (Hannover)	-0.05 (-0.29, 0.20)	6.46
Iceland (Reykjavik)	-0.09 (-0.28, 0.11)	7.90
Netherlands (Maastricht)	-0.27 (-0.69, 0.15)	3.23
Portugal (Lisbon)	-0.02 (-0.77, 0.72)	1.24
Sweden (Uppsala)	-0.18 (-0.58, 0.22)	3.46
USA (Lexington)	0.02 (-0.33, 0.37)	4.27
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.848)	-0.04 (-0.13, 0.05)	48.80
Overall (I-squared = 48.6%, p = 0.011)	-0.15 (-0.23, -0.06)	100.0

Association between history of tuberculosis and airflow obstruction (Cooperation rate > 60%)

Site	OR (95% CI)	% Weight
		Weight
Low/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	2.79 (0.63, 12.35)	5.13
Algeria (Annaba)	2.96 (0.91, 9.61)	7.54
China (Guangzhou)	0.62 (0.09, 4.22)	3.25
India (Pune)	11.73 (1.72, 79.97)	3.25
Morocco (Fes)	1.15 (0.13, 10.38)	2.52
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	3.42 (1.72, 6.80)	15.86
South Africa (Cape Town)	3.49 (2.19, 5.57)	22.86
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.468)	3.25 (2.31, 4.56)	60.42
	i	
High Income Sites	Ì	
Austria (Salzburg)	2.50 (1.17, 5.33)	14.10
Estonia (Tartu)	1.13 (0.33, 3.85)	7.09
Germany (Hannover)	1.17 (0.33, 4.16)	6.67
Iceland (Reykjavik)	0.87 (0.31, 2.49)	9.03
Sweden (Uppsala)	2.45 (0.29, 20.52)	2.70
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.512)	1.56 (0.95, 2.55)	39.58
Overall (I-squared = 25.1%, p = 0.197)	2.33 (1.62, 3.34)	100.00

Association between history of tuberculosis and spirometric restriction (Cooperation rate > 60%)

Site		OR (95% CI)	% Weight
_ow/Middle Income Sites			
Albania (Tirana)	│ ╎ -■-	7.14 (4.10, 12.45)	11.65
Algeria (Annaba)	│┿╋┷	4.06 (1.41, 11.69)	8.87
China (Guangzhou)		1.91 (0.64, 5.71)	8.64
ndia (Pune)	_	2.17 (0.22, 21.20)	4.02
Morocco (Fes)		60.15 (9.64, 375.43)	5.34
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	, ™	1.68 (0.83, 3.39)	10.86
South Africa (Cape Town)	┟╋┑	1.47 (0.95, 2.27)	12.22
Subtotal (I-squared = 81.8%, p = 0.000)		3.52 (1.64, 7.58)	61.60
High Income Sites			
Austria (Salzburg)		1.12 (0.40, 3.15)	8.98
Estonia (Tartu)	⊢ ₩	2.39 (0.89, 6.43)	9.25
Germany (Hannover)	────── ┤	0.49 (0.10, 2.27)	6.47
	╶╼═╌┼╌┤ ╶╌┤╋═╶┼╴	0.49 (0.10, 2.27) 1.33 (0.49, 3.60)	6.47 9.23
Germany (Hannover) celand (Reykjavik) Sweden (Uppsala)	╶╼┲╶┼╌┥ ╶╌╋╂╌ ╌╴┲╶┼╌╌		
celand (Reykjavik)		1.33 (0.49, 3.60)	9.23

Association between history of tuberculosis and FEV1/FVC (Cooperation rate > 60%)

		%
Site	Beta (95% CI)	Weight
_ow/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	-3.22 (-17.63, 11.18)	1.59
Algeria (Annaba)	-7.28 (-12.58, -1.98)	7.02
China (Guangzhou)	-0.36 (-3.05, 2.33)	11.63
ndia (Pune)	-4.80 (-15.16, 5.56)	2.80
Morocco (Fes)	-4.43 (-8.32, -0.55)	9.32
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	-7.07 (-11.83, -2.30)	7.82
South Africa (Cape Town)	-7.00 (-9.28, -4.72)	12.43
Subtotal (I-squared = 62.0%, p = 0.015)	-4.90 (-7.64, -2.17)	52.62
High Income Sites		
Austria (Salzburg)	-3.02 (-5.91, -0.13)	11.24
Estonia (Tartu)	-1.09 (-3.18, 0.99)	12.79
Germany (Hannover)	-0.68 (-4.64, 3.29)	9.18
celand (Reykjavik)	-0.29 (-3.43, 2.85)	10.74
Sweden (Uppsala)	-11.80 (-20.93, -2.68)	3.43
Subtotal (I-squared = 41.5%, p = 0.145)	-1.77 (-3.75, 0.20)	47.38
Dverall (I-squared = 66.0%, p = 0.001)	-3.55 (-5.47, -1.63)	100.00

Association between history of tuberculosis and FVC (Cooperation rate > 60%)

Site	Beta (95% CI)	% Weight
		rreigin
Low/Middle Income Sites		
Albania (Tirana)	-0.45 (-0.65, -0.25)	10.32
Algeria (Annaba)	-0.26 (-0.60, 0.07)	6.63
China (Guangzhou)	-0.14 (-0.38, 0.11)	8.75
India (Pune)	-0.37 (-1.25, 0.50)	1.55
Morocco (Fes)	-0.64 (-0.94, -0.35)	7.58
Philippines (Nampicuan & Talugtug)	-0.22 (-0.44, -0.00)	9.64
South Africa (Cape Town)	-0.08 (-0.22, 0.07)	12.11
Subtotal (I-squared = 66.5%, p = 0.006)	-0.29 (-0.45, -0.12)	56.59
i l		
High Income Sites		
Austria (Salzburg)	0.05 (-0.16, 0.27)	9.78
Estonia (Tartu)	0.02 (-0.21, 0.26)	9.10
Germany (Hannover)	-0.05 (-0.29, 0.20)	8.88
Iceland (Reykjavik)	-0.09 (-0.28, 0.11)	10.38
Sweden (Uppsala)	-0.18 (-0.58, 0.22)	5.27
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0%, p = 0.792)	-0.03 (-0.14, 0.08)	43.41
Overall (I-squared = 61.8%, p = 0.002)	-0.18 (-0.29, -0.06)	100.00
II		