

DETERMINATION OF EIGENSOLUTIONS OF ARBITRARY
MATRICES USING SIMULTANEOUS ITERATION
ACCELERATED BY JACOBI-LIKE METHODS.

A thesis submitted at the
University of London for the
degree of Doctor of Philosophy
(Computer Science)

September 1974

B.C. Gudgin

ABSTRACT

ABSTRACT

The direct methods of obtaining the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix, such as the QR algorithm of Francis, are certainly to be recommended when it is necessary to compute all the eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors of relatively small matrices. However, for larger matrices iterative techniques may be the only feasible methods. Iterative methods particularly come into their own when:

- 1) the required number of eigensolutions is substantially smaller than the dimension of the matrix,
- 2) initial estimates of the eigenvectors are available,
- 3) the matrix is sparse.

It is often the case that many technical problems give rise to very large sparse matrices. The author has been involved in marine engine vibration problems and this gave rise to an interest in the methods of obtaining eigensolutions of the matrices involved. It is also usually the case that only a few eigenvalues and eigenvectors need to be determined accurately and that experience with similar problems enables good initial approximations to the eigenvectors to be made. Hence we see that these are ideal conditions in which to use iterative methods.

The best known iterative method is the power method in which a trial vector is continually premultiplied by the matrix until the iterates become proportional to each other. This process can often yield an eigenvector in a very short time but this cannot be guaranteed even with improvements such as shift of origin and acceleration techniques. To overcome possible poor convergence the computation is applied to general iteration vectors between which an orthogonality or biorthogonality relation is maintained. Such methods and the developments thereof are the subject of this thesis.

CONTENTS

CONTENTS

	page
Abstract	2
Acknowledgement.	7
Chapter 1 - Preliminaries.	9
Chapter 2 - Jacobi-like methods for reducing matrices to diagonal form	43
Chapter 3 - On the orthonormalisation and biorthonormalisation of sets of vectors	111
Chapter 4 - Iterative methods	135
Chapter 5 - Computational details	184
Conclusion.	223
Bibliography	225
Appendix 1 - A Jacobi program for symmetric matrices	230
Appendix 2 - A Jacobi program for Hermitian matrices	237
Appendix 3 - A Jacobi program for normal matrices .	245
Appendix 4 - A Jacobi program for general matrices.	253
Appendix 5 - A Ritz iteration program for symmetric matrices	262
Appendix 6 - A Ritz iteration program for Hermitian matrices	272
Appendix 7 - A Ritz iteration program for general matrices	285

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The author gratefully acknowledges the help and guidance so freely given in the writing of this thesis by Dr. K.E. Pitman of the Institute of Computer Science and of the Imperial College of Science and Technology and also ^{by} Mr. D.G. Davies of the Polytechnic of Central London. He acknowledges also his indebted^{ed}ness to the staff of the Computer Centre, Queen Mary College for their courteous and generous assistance.

In quoting from a number of papers in French and German the author lays no claim to any ability to read them and frankly confesses that they were translated for him by his father, to whom he is grateful.

For such imperfections as the reader may find the author alone takes responsibility; he only hopes that they will not be too numerous.

CHAPTER 1

PRELIMINARIES

1. INTRODUCTION

In this brief introduction we give many of the definitions and fundamental results upon which the following chapters are based. In general, proofs are given only if they are pertinent to later results.

The (i,j) element of a matrix A will be denoted by a_{ij} . Vectors will be represented by lower case letters; we shall very frequently be concerned with systems of vectors which will be denoted by $x_1, x_2, \dots, \dots, x_n$. We shall refer to the matrix having x_i as its i -th column as X . Matrices of eigenvectors will usually be denoted by the letters U, V, W, X or Y . In particular, matrices of right-hand eigenvectors will be denoted by X and matrices of left-hand eigenvectors by Y .

The notation $|A|$ is reserved exclusively to denote the matrix the elements of which are $|a_{ij}|$. The determinant of a matrix is represented by $\det(A)$ and the norm of a matrix by $\|A\|$.

The conjugate of the matrix $A=(a_{ij})$ is represented by $\bar{A}=(\bar{a}_{ij})$. The transpose of A is denoted by A^T and is such that its (i,j) element is equal to a_{ji} . Similarly, the Hermitian transpose of A is denoted by A^H and is such that its (i,j) element is equal to \bar{a}_{ji} .

A diagonal matrix with the (i,i) element equal to λ_i will be denoted by $\text{diag}(\lambda_i)$ or, if no confusion can arise, A or D may be used to represent diagonal

matrices.

2. DEFINITIONS

The fundamental algebraic eigenproblem with which we are concerned is determining some or all of those values λ for which the set of n homogeneous linear equations in n unknowns

$$(A - \lambda I)x = 0 \quad (2.1)$$

has a non-trivial solution. Equation (2.1) may be rewritten as

$$Ax = \lambda x. \quad (2.2)$$

The theory of simultaneous linear algebraic equations shows that there is a solution, other than the trivial $x=0$, if, and only if, the matrix $(A-\lambda I)$ is singular. That is

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The polynomial $f(\lambda)=\det(A-\lambda I)$ is called the characteristic polynomial and the equation $f(\lambda)=0$ is called the characteristic equation of A .

Theorem 2.1 The characteristic polynomial of a matrix of order n is a polynomial of degree n with leading coefficient $(-1)^n$; i.e.,

$$f(\lambda) = a_0 + a_1\lambda + \dots + a_{n-1}\lambda^{n-1} + (-1)^n\lambda^n. \quad (2.4)$$

If the n solutions of

$$f(\lambda) = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

are $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$, then

$$\lambda_1\lambda_2\dots\lambda_n = \det(A) \quad (2.6)$$

Proof: The proof follows by expanding $\det(A-\lambda I)$ in terms of elements in the first row. A rigorous treatment is to be found in Noble (1969).

Since the coefficient of λ^n is non-zero and we are working in the field of complex numbers the equation always has n roots. In general the roots will be complex and of any multiplicity up to n . These n roots are called the eigenvalues of the matrix A .

Corresponding to any eigenvalue λ the equation (2.2) has at least one non-trivial solution. This solution is called the eigenvector of A corresponding to the given value of λ . We refer to the pair (λ, x) as an eigensolution of the matrix A .

Theorem 2.2 (i) There exists at least one eigenvector, corresponding to each eigenvalue.

(ii) The eigenvectors corresponding to a given eigenvalue constitute a vector space.

Proof: To find an eigenvector corresponding to λ_i we solve

$$(A - \lambda_i I)x = 0 . \quad (2.7)$$

Since $\det(A-\lambda_i I)=0$, this is a set of n homogeneous equations in n unknowns, the coefficient matrix having rank less than n . Hence a non-zero solution exists, which gives an eigenvector. This proves (i). To prove (ii) suppose that u and v are two eigenvectors corresponding to λ_i , then

$$Au = \lambda_i u, \quad Av = \lambda_i v \quad (2.8)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} A(\alpha u + \beta v) &= \alpha \lambda_i u + \beta \lambda_i v \\ &= \lambda_i (\alpha u + \beta v) \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Hence, $\alpha u + \beta v$ is also an eigenvector and this proves (ii).

We note in particular that any eigenvector is arbitrary to the extent of a constant multiplier, for if

$$Ax = \lambda x \quad (2.10)$$

then

$$A(kx) = \lambda(kx) \quad (2.11)$$

for some scalar k . It is often convenient to choose k such that the eigenvector has a particular numerical property. We refer to such eigenvectors as normalised. The most convenient forms of normalisation are those for which

$$(i) \quad x^H x = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^2 = 1 \quad (2.12)$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{if } |x_i| \geq |x_j|, \quad j=1, \dots, n$$

$$\text{then } kx_i = 1. \quad (2.13)$$

3. EIGENSOLUTIONS OF THE TRANSPOSED MATRIX

We now consider the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the transpose of a matrix A . By our previous definitions the eigenequation for the transpose A^T is

$$A^T z = \lambda z \quad (3.1)$$

where we seek those values of λ for which (3.1) has a non-trivial solution. Following (2.3) these are the values for which

$$\det(A^T - \lambda I) = 0 \quad (3.2)$$

and since the determinant of a matrix is equal to that of its transpose the eigenvalues of A are the same as those of A^T . We denote the eigenvector of A^T corresponding to λ_i as z_i , so that we have

$$A^T z_i = \lambda_i z_i. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that in general $x_i \neq z_i$. Equation (3.3) may be written as

$$z_i^T A = \lambda_i z_i^T. \quad (3.4)$$

To distinguish these vectors from the vectors x_i , where

$$Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i, \quad (3.5)$$

the z_i^T are called the left-eigenvectors of A and the x_i the right-eigenvectors of A . If we speak of just the eigenvectors of A the meaning will be apparent from the context. These are the classical definitions as given in, for example, Wilkinson (1965) but for a lot of the work that follows it is convenient to adopt the following slightly modified definition of a left-hand eigenvector.

Instead of (3.4) we consider the equation

$$y_i^H A = \lambda_i y_i^H \quad (3.6)$$

which may be rewritten as

$$A^H y_i = \bar{\lambda}_i y_i. \quad (3.7)$$

Note that $y_i = \bar{z}_i$. We prefer to use y^H and A^H rather than y^T and A^T because we shall make extensive use of inner-products and in this case

$$(x, y) \equiv x^H y = \overline{y^H x} = \overline{(y, x)} \quad (3.8)$$

and

$$x^H x > 0 \quad (3.9)$$

for all non-zero x .

We illustrate this further by using both the classical and modified definitions to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 A right eigenvector x_i and a left eigenvector y_j corresponding to distinct eigenvalues λ_i and λ_j respectively are orthogonal.

Proof (i): We may rewrite equation (3.5) as

$$x_i^T A^T = \lambda_i x_i^T \quad (3.10)$$

and from (3.3)

$$A^T z_j = \lambda_j z_j. \quad (3.11)$$

Hence by postmultiplying (3.10) by z_j and pre-multiplying (3.11) by x_i^T we obtain

$$x_i^T A^T z_j = \lambda_i x_i^T z_j \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$x_i^T A^T z_j = \lambda_j x_i^T z_j. \quad (3.13)$$

Subtracting gives

$$0 = x_i^T z_j (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \quad (3.14)$$

which proves that

$$x_i^T z_j = 0, \quad \text{if } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j. \quad (3.15)$$

We note that as x_i and z_j are, in general, complex vectors, $x_i^T z_j$ is not an inner-product as is usually understood; for, in this case, we have

$$x_i^T z_j = z_j^T x_i \quad (3.16)$$

and not

$$x_i^T z_j = \overline{z_j^T x_i}. \quad (3.17)$$

We note also that if x is complex we may have

$$x^T x \leq 0. \quad (3.18)$$

In fact $x^T x$ may even be a complex number!

Proof (ii): We may rewrite equation (3.5) as

$$x_i^H A^H = \bar{\lambda}_i x_i^H \quad (3.19)$$

and from (3.7)

$$A^H y_j = \bar{\lambda}_j y_j. \quad (3.20)$$

Hence by postmultiplying (3.19) by y_j and pre-multiplying (3.20) by x_i^H we obtain

$$x_i^H A^H y_j = \bar{\lambda}_i x_i^H y_j \quad (3.21)$$

and

$$x_i^H A^H y_j = \bar{\lambda}_j x_i^H y_j. \quad (3.22)$$

Subtracting gives

$$0 = x_i^H y_j (\bar{\lambda}_i - \bar{\lambda}_j) \quad (3.23)$$

which proves that

$$x_i^H y_j = 0, \quad \text{if } \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j. \quad (3.24)$$

This seems to us to be rather neater than the previous result as $x_i^H y_j$ is an inner-product as usually understood. In particular

$$x_i^H y_j = \overline{y_j^H x_i} \quad (3.25)$$

and

$$x^H x > 0 \quad (3.26)$$

for all non-zero x .

4. EIGENSYSTEMS WITH DISTINCT EIGENVALUES

Firstly we consider the theory of the system of eigenvectors in the case of distinct eigenvalues.

The equation

$$(A - \lambda_i I)x_i = 0 \quad (4.1)$$

certainly has at least one solution for each value of λ_i and therefore we are justified in assuming the existence of a set of eigenvectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n .

Theorem 4.1 The eigenvectors x_i corresponding to distinct eigenvalues λ_i are linearly independent.

Proof: We assume that they are not independent and let s be the smallest number of linearly dependent vectors such that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s are eigenvectors corresponding to distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_s$

of A . Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_i x_i = 0 \quad (4.2)$$

and

$$a_i \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s \quad (4.3)$$

Premultiplying (4.2) by A gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^s a_i \lambda_i x_i = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

Multiplying (4.2) by λ_s and subtracting (4.4) gives

$$\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} a_i (\lambda_s - \lambda_i) x_i = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$a_i \neq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_s, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s-1. \quad (4.6)$$

Equation (4.5) implies that x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{s-1} are linearly dependent which is contrary to our hypothesis. Therefore there is no $s \leq n$ and hence the n eigenvectors are linearly independent and span the whole n -dimensional space. From this result we may easily prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 Each of the vectors x_i is unique, apart from an arbitrary multiplier.

Proof: Suppose that corresponding to λ_1 there is an eigenvector x_1 and a second x'_1 . Then we may write

$$x'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i, \quad (4.7)$$

where at least one of the a_i is non-zero.

Multiplying (4.7) by A gives

$$\lambda_1 x'_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i x_i. \quad (4.8)$$

Multiplying (4.7) by λ_1 and subtracting from (4.8) gives

$$0 = \sum_{i=2}^n a_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_1) x_i. \quad (4.9)$$

But as the x_i are independent we must have

$$a_i (\lambda_i - \lambda_1) = 0, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n; \quad (4.10)$$

$$\implies a_i = 0, \quad i = 2, 3, \dots, n \quad (4.11)$$

as the eigenvectors are distinct. However, as at least one of the a_i was non-zero it must have been a_1 showing that x'_1 is a multiple of x_1 . Similar results hold for the left-hand eigenvectors.

We showed earlier that

$$x_i^H y_j = 0, \quad \lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \quad (4.12)$$

and it follows that

$$x_i^H y_i \neq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (4.13)$$

If this were not so and x_i was orthogonal to y_i it would be orthogonal to y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n and hence to the whole n -dimensional space. This is not possible as we demand that x_i is not the null vector.

5. SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATIONS

If we choose the arbitrary multipliers associated with each x_i and y_j so that

$$y_i^H x_i = 1, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (5.1)$$

this, together with (4.12), implies that the matrix Y^H , which has y_i^H as its i -th row, is the inverse of the matrix X , which has x_i as its i -th column. The n equations

$$Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad (5.2)$$

may be written as

$$AX = X \text{diag}(\lambda_i). \quad (5.3)$$

We have just seen that the inverse of the matrix X exists and is equal to Y^H . Hence we have

$$X^{-1}AX = Y^HAX = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) = \Lambda \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$Y^HX = X^{-1}X = I. \quad (5.5)$$

A transformation of the type $X^{-1}AX$ where X is non-singular is known as a similarity transformation. Equations (5.4) and (5.5) will be of the ^tmost importance in the work that follows.

6. MULTIPLE EIGENVALUES

We have just considered the case of distinct eigenvalues and we now look at the situation that arises if one or more of the eigenvalues is repeated. Unfortunately the position with respect to the eigenvectors is usually much more complicated than that outlined in sections 4 and 5. However it may still be the case that for a particular matrix A there does indeed exist a similarity transformation which reduces A to diagonal form. That is, there exists an X , implicitly non-singular, such that

$$X^{-1}AX = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) = \Lambda. \quad (6.1)$$

Lemma 6.1 The determinant of the product of two square matrices is equal to the product of the determinants, thus

$$\det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B). \quad (6.2)$$

A complete proof is to be found in Noble (1969).

Theorem 6.2 If equation (6.1) is true the λ_i are the eigenvalues of A and each λ_i occurs with the appropriate multiplicity. In addition the columns of X are the eigenvectors of A .

Proof:
$$\begin{aligned} X^{-1}(A - \lambda I)X &= X^{-1}AX - \lambda X^{-1}IX \\ &= \text{diag}(\lambda_i - \lambda). \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Taking determinants of both sides and using lemma 6.1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \det(X^{-1})\det(A - \lambda I)\det(X) \\ = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda) \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

giving

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i - \lambda). \quad (6.5)$$

Hence, from theorem 2.1, the λ_i are the roots of the characteristic equation of A . Writing (6.1) as

$$AX = X\Lambda \quad (6.6)$$

we see that the columns of X are eigenvectors of A . Since X is non-singular, its columns are independent. Note that if λ_1 is, say, a double root then we have

$$Ax_1 = \lambda_1 x_1 \quad \text{and} \quad Ax_2 = \lambda_1 x_2 \quad (6.7)$$

where x_1 and x_2 are independent. Equations (6.7) imply that any vector in the two-dimensional subspace spanned by x_1 and x_2 is also an eigenvector. For

$$\begin{aligned} A(\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2) &= \beta_1 \lambda_1 x_1 + \beta_2 \lambda_1 x_2 \\ &= \lambda_1 (\beta_1 x_1 + \beta_2 x_2). \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

It is the case that for any matrix which can be reduced to diagonal form by a similarity transformation and which has multiple eigenvalues of multiplicity m , say, that there is a certain amount of indeterminacy associated with the corresponding m eigenvectors. However, it is always possible to select m vectors which span the m -dimensional subspace and thus it is always possible to choose the complete set of eigenvectors to span the whole n -dimensional space.

We showed in theorem 2.2 that there exists at least one eigenvector corresponding to each eigenvalue and thus far we have only considered the case of m eigenvectors corresponding to an eigenvalue of multiplicity m . We now consider when this is not the case.

7. SIMPLE JORDAN SUBMATRICES

We consider the following very simple example.

Let

$$A(a,b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & \epsilon \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{where } b \neq a. \quad (7.1)$$

This matrix has the two eigenvalues a and b and the corresponding eigenvectors are

$$\begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon \\ b - a \end{bmatrix}.$$

As $b \rightarrow a$ the eigenvalues become closer and the eigenvectors more and more parallel. In the limit $b=a$ and we have an eigenvalue a of multiplicity two and

corresponding to it only one eigenvector. The matrix $A(a,a)$ is usually denoted by $C_2(a)$ and in general we define

$$C_1(a) = (a)$$

and

$$C_s(a) = \begin{bmatrix} a & \varepsilon & & & & \\ & a & \varepsilon & & & \\ & & a & \varepsilon & & \\ & & & \dots & & \\ & & & & a & \varepsilon \\ & & & & & a \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{for } s > 1 \quad (7.2)$$

where C_s is of order s .

The matrix $C_s(a)$ is normally defined to have $\varepsilon=1$ (see, for example, Wilkinson (1965)) but we feel this to be too specific a choice for our application as will be shown later.

Theorem 7.1 The matrix $C_s(a)$ has an eigenvalue a of multiplicity s but corresponding to these eigenvalues there is only one eigenvector, namely $x=e_1$ where we use e_i to denote the i -th column of the identity matrix.

Proof: Consider the set of equations

$$(C_s(a) - aI)x = 0, \quad (7.3)$$

$$\text{i.e.,} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} 0x_1 + \varepsilon x_2 = 0 \\ \quad \quad 0x_2 + \varepsilon x_3 = 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad 0x_{s-1} + \varepsilon x_s = 0 \\ \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad \quad 0x_s = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (7.4)$$

These equations have only the one solution $x_2=x_3=\dots=x_s=0$ with x_1 arbitrary. Hence e_1 is the

only eigenvector of $C_s(a)$.

Theorem 7.2 The matrix $C_s(a)$ ($s > 1$), cannot be reduced to diagonal form by a similarity transformation.

Proof: Suppose there exists a non-singular X for which

$$X^{-1}C_s X = \text{diag}(\lambda_i), \quad (7.5)$$

that is

$$C_s X = X \Lambda, \quad (7.6)$$

then as we have shown in section 6, the λ_i must be equal to the eigenvalues of $C_s(a)$ and therefore we must have

$$\lambda_i = a, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, s. \quad (7.7)$$

Equation (7.6) then shows that the columns of X are all eigenvectors of $C_s(a)$ and these columns must be independent. The hypothesis that such an X exists is therefore false and the theorem is proved.

The matrix $C_s(a)$ is of a special type which plays a major role in the theory of the eigenproblem.

8. JORDAN CANONICAL FORM

The matrix $C_s(a)$ of the previous section is called a simple Jordan Submatrix of order s . A block diagonal matrix consisting of only simple Jordan submatrices such as

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} c_3(\lambda_1) & & & & \\ & c_3(\lambda_2) & & & \\ & & c_2(\lambda_2) & & \\ & & & c_2(\lambda_2) & \\ & & & & c_1(\lambda_3) \end{bmatrix} \quad (8.1)$$

is referred to as a Jordan canonical form and C is said to be the direct sum of the simple Jordan submatrices. The importance of the Jordan canonical form is shown by the following fundamental theorem.

Theorem 8.1 Let A be a matrix of order n with r distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r$ of multiplicities m_1, m_2, \dots, m_r where, obviously,

$$\sum_{i=1}^r m_i = n. \quad (8.2)$$

Then there exists a similarity transformation such that

$$X^{-1}AX = C. \quad (8.3)$$

The sum of the order of the submatrices associated with λ_i is equal to m_i and, apart from the ordering of the submatrices along the diagonal, the transformed matrix C is unique. Although this theorem is of fundamental importance the proof makes little use of the techniques with which we shall be later concerned but full details are to be found in Noble (1969).

From this theorem and the results of section 7 we see that the total number of eigenvectors of a matrix A is equal to the number of simple submatrices

in the Jordan canonical form. Thus the matrix C defined in equation (8.1) has five eigenvectors, namely e_1, e_4, e_7, e_9 and e_{11} . The eigenvectors of A are given by Xe_1, Xe_4, Xe_7, Xe_9 and Xe_{11} . Note that in this example λ_1 is an eigenvalue of multiplicity three and has one eigenvector; λ_2 is of multiplicity seven and has three eigenvectors and finally λ_3 is an isolated eigenvalue.

We see also that although we defined the elements of the super diagonals of simple Jordan submatrices to be ' ε ' it is possible by a suitable similarity transformation to give these elements any non-zero value. Furthermore the matrices of the similarity transformation are diagonal. For example, take

$$C_4 = \begin{bmatrix} a & \varepsilon & & \\ & a & \varepsilon & \\ & & a & \varepsilon \\ & & & a \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & & & \\ & x_2 & & \\ & & x_3 & \\ & & & x_4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (8.4)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} X^{-1}C_4X &= \begin{bmatrix} 1/x_1 & & & \\ & 1/x_2 & & \\ & & 1/x_3 & \\ & & & 1/x_4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a & \varepsilon & & \\ & a & \varepsilon & \\ & & a & \varepsilon \\ & & & a \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & & & \\ & x_2 & & \\ & & x_3 & \\ & & & x_4 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} a & \varepsilon x_2/x_1 & & \\ & a & \varepsilon x_3/x_2 & \\ & & a & \varepsilon x_4/x_3 \\ & & & a \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (8.5)$$

Notice that by a suitable choice of the x_i we can

theoretically make $X^{-1}CX$ arbitrarily close to (but never equal to as shown in theorem 7.2) a diagonal matrix.

Finally we note that if the Jordan canonical form of a matrix consists only of submatrices of order one then the matrix can be diagonalised by a similarity transformation.

9. ELEMENTARY DIVISORS

Let C be the Jordan canonical form corresponding to A and consider the matrix $C - \lambda I$. Defining C as in (8.1), for example, we see that

$$(C - \lambda I) = \begin{bmatrix} c_3(\lambda_1 - \lambda) & & & & & \\ & c_3(\lambda_2 - \lambda) & & & & \\ & & c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda) & & & \\ & & & c_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda) & & \\ & & & & & c_1(\lambda_3 - \lambda) \end{bmatrix} \quad (9.1)$$

The determinants of these submatrices of the matrix $(C - \lambda I)$ are called the elementary divisors of A .

Thus, in the example of (8.1), the elementary divisors of any matrix A similar to C are

$$(\lambda_1 - \lambda)^3, (\lambda_2 - \lambda)^3, (\lambda_2 - \lambda)^2, (\lambda_2 - \lambda)^2 \text{ and } (\lambda_3 - \lambda).$$

Clearly, the characteristic polynomial of a matrix is the product of the elementary divisors. If the Jordan canonical form is diagonal we see that the elementary divisors must be linear. We have already seen that a matrix with distinct eigenvalues must

have linear elementary divisors but if it has one or more multiple eigenvalues it may or may not have *linear* elementary divisors. If a matrix A has one or more non-linear elementary divisors then one or more of the simple Jordan submatrices is of order two or more and hence A has less than n independent eigenvectors. A matrix with fewer than n independent eigenvectors is said to be defective.

10. DEROGATORY MATRICES

A matrix is said to be derogatory if there is more than one Jordan submatrix (and therefore more than one eigenvector) associated with λ_i for some i . Conversely a matrix is said to be non-derogatory if there is only one Jordan submatrix (and hence only one eigenvector) associated with each distinct λ_i for some i . A very thorough and readable treatment of derogatory matrices is to be found in Wilkinson (1965).

11. DEFECTIVE AND DEROGATORY MATRICES

In order to illustrate the four cases of matrices classified according to their defective and derogatory nature we give examples taken from Gregory (1960), to which reference may be made for further details on classification of matrices.

(i) Non-defective and non-derogatory,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \\ & & & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (11.1)$$

This matrix has four distinct eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2,$

λ_3 and λ_4 and four linearly independent eigenvectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_2 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(ii) Non-defective and derogatory,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \\ & & & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This matrix has three distinct eigenvalues λ_1, λ_3

and λ_4 with λ_1 of multiplicity two. There are four

linearly independent eigenvectors where the two

eigenvectors associated with λ_1 may be any two

linearly independent vectors lying in the two dim-

ensional subspace spanned by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The other two eigenvectors are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

(iii) Defective and non-derogatory,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \lambda_3 & \\ & & & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

Again the matrix has three distinct eigenvalues λ_1, λ_3 and λ_4 with λ_1 of multiplicity two. However there are only three linearly independent eigenvectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ x_3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

(iv) Defective and derogatory,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 & & \\ & \lambda_1 & & \\ & & \lambda_1 & \\ & & & \lambda_4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

This matrix has only two distinct eigenvalues λ_1 and λ_4 with λ_1 having multiplicity three. Again there are only three linearly independent eigenvectors. The two eigenvectors corresponding to λ_1 may be any two linearly independent vectors

lying in the two dimensional subspace spanned by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} .$$

The third eigenvector is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ x_4 \end{bmatrix} .$$

12. SOME PROPERTIES OF HERMITIAN MATRICES

We have seen already the fundamental importance of similarity transformations and we now look at a special type of these transformations which plays a vital role in both the theoretical and practical aspects of the eigenproblem. Our motivation for considering these transformations comes from considering some properties of Hermitian matrices.

Lemma 12.1 The eigenvalues of a Hermitian matrix are real.

Proof: If $Ax = \lambda x$ (12.1)

then

$$x^H Ax = \lambda x^H x. \quad (12.2)$$

Now $x^H x$ is real and positive for $x \neq 0$. Further

$$(x^H Ax)^H = x^H A^H x = x^H Ax \quad (12.3)$$

and since $x^H Ax$ is a scalar it must be real. Hence from (12.2) λ must be real. We note however that,

in general, the eigenvectors are complex. If A is real the eigenvectors are always real.

Consider now the left-hand eigenvectors of a Hermitian matrix. From (3.7) we have

$$Ay_i = A^H y_i = \bar{\lambda}_i y_i \quad (12.4)$$

and from (12.1)

$$Ax_i = \lambda_i x_i. \quad (12.5)$$

As the λ_i are real we see that $y_i = x_i$ for all i .

Thus the quantities $x_i^H y_i$ which we saw to be of importance in section 3 become $x_i^H x_i$ for Hermitian

matrices and it follows immediately that if a Hermitian matrix has distinct eigenvalues then its eigenvectors satisfy

$$x_i^H x_j = 0, \quad i \neq j. \quad (12.6)$$

If we normalise the x_i so that

$$x_i^H x_i = 1 \quad (12.7)$$

we see that in the equation

$$Y^H A X = \Lambda \quad (12.8)$$

we may write

$$X^H A X = \Lambda \quad (12.9)$$

where, from equations (5.4) and (5.5),

$$X^H X = X X^H = I \text{ and } X^H = X^{-1}. \quad (12.10)$$

A matrix which satisfies equation (12.10) is called a unitary matrix. A real unitary matrix is called an orthogonal matrix. We shall see in the next section that equation (12.9) in fact holds for

any Hermitian matrix A irrespective of the multiplicity of its eigenvalues. Unitary transformations have desirable numerical properties and we now consider the effect of applying a unitary transformation to a general matrix.

13. REDUCTION OF A GENERAL SQUARE MATRIX TO TRIANGULAR FORM

Before the main theorem of this section we prove two lemmas.

Lemma 13.1 If u_1, \dots, u_s is an orthonormal set of vectors of order n ($s < n$), then vectors v_1, \dots, v_{n-s} exist such that

$$Q = (u_1, \dots, u_s, v_1, \dots, v_{n-s}) \quad (13.1)$$

is a unitary matrix.

Proof: Suppose w_1, \dots, w_n are any linearly independent vectors of order n . Consider the set of $n+s$ vectors

$$(u_1, \dots, u_s, w_1, \dots, w_n).$$

We reduce this to a linearly independent set by an accept or reject procedure. The vectors u_1, \dots, u_s we know are linearly independent; w_1 may or may not be independent of them. If it is we shall include it but let us assume that it is dependent and is not therefore included. We shall now look to see whether w_2 is or is not independent of u_1, \dots, u_s ; again let us assume that it is

dependent and not included. Proceeding in this way we arrive at the "worst possible case" where we have found (w_1, w_2, \dots, w_s) to be linearly dependent upon (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s) . It now remains to show that (w_{s+1}, \dots, w_n) cannot be linearly dependent upon (u_1, \dots, u_s) . By hypothesis (w_1, \dots, w_n) are linearly independent and hence (w_{s+1}, \dots, w_n) are also.

Suppose w_{s+1} is linearly dependent upon (u_1, \dots, u_s) .

This means that corresponding to the s -dimensional subspace spanned by (u_1, \dots, u_s) we are able to choose from (w_1, \dots, w_n) $s+1$ independent vectors that span this space. This is a contradiction and hence w_{s+1} cannot be linearly dependent upon (u_1, \dots, u_s) .

Continuing in this manner we find that (w_{s+1}, \dots, w_n) are all linearly independent of (u_1, \dots, u_s) . Hence we have obtained a set of n vectors, say

$$(u_1, \dots, u_s, z_1, \dots, z_{n-s})$$

where

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{n-s}) \subseteq (w_1, \dots, w_n) \quad (13.2)$$

such that any vector is linearly independent of the preceding vectors in the set. By means of the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation procedure we may orthogonalise this set of vectors.

Lemma 13.2 The product of two unitary matrices is itself unitary.

Proof: Let U and V be two unitary matrices such that

$$U^H U = V^H V = I. \quad (13.3)$$

Then

$$(VU)^H (VU) = U^H V^H V U = I. \quad (13.4)$$

We are now in a position to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 13.3 Any square matrix A can be reduced by a unitary transformation to an upper triangular matrix with the eigenvalues of A on the diagonal.

Proof: Let A have an eigenvalue λ_1 with a corresponding eigenvector x_1 which is normalised such that $\|x_1\|_2 = 1$. We have shown that vectors w_2, \dots, w_n exist such that

$$Q = (x_1, w_2, \dots, w_n) = [x_1, W] \quad (13.5)$$

is a unitary matrix. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q^H Q &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1^H \\ W^H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 & W \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1^H x_1 & x_1^H W \\ W^H x_1 & W^H W \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n-1} \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (13.6)$$

so that $W^H x_1 = 0$. Thus, since

$$Ax_1 = \lambda_1 x_1, \quad (13.7)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
Q^H A Q &= \begin{bmatrix} x_1^H \\ W^H \end{bmatrix} A (x_1, W) \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} x_1^H \\ W^H \end{bmatrix} (\lambda_1 x_1, AW) \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & x_1^H AW \\ 0 & W^H AW \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & B \\ 0 & C \end{pmatrix}, \text{ say.}
\end{aligned} \tag{13.8}$$

We now proceed by induction. If $n=2$ the theorem is true as (13.8) is already in upper triangular form. Now assume that A is $n \times n$ and the theorem is true for $n-1$. Then $C=W^H AW$ of (13.8) is of order $n-1$, and a unitary matrix V exists such that $V^H C V$ is upper triangular. The matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{pmatrix} \tag{13.9}$$

is unitary and

$$\begin{aligned}
U^H Q^H A Q U &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V^H \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & B \\ 0 & C \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & V \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & BV \\ 0 & V^H C V \end{bmatrix}.
\end{aligned} \tag{13.10}$$

Hence $(QU)^H A (QU)$ is upper triangular. Since, from lemma 13.2, QU is unitary, A has been reduced to

upper triangular form by a unitary transformation. We have shown that if the result is true for a matrix of order $(n-1)$ it is true for one of order n . However, it is true for 2×2 matrices and the result is proved by induction.

14. SOME SPECIAL CASES

We have just proved that for any matrix A there exists a unitary matrix R such that

$$R^H A R = T \quad (14.1)$$

where T is upper triangular.

Suppose A is Hermitian. Then, as $R^H A R$ is Hermitian, T must be Hermitian and hence T must be diagonal. This proves the result that we stated at the end of section 12, that whatever the multiplicity of the eigenvalues a Hermitian matrix can always be reduced to diagonal form by a unitary transformation. Note also that if A is real symmetric its eigenvalues and eigenvectors are real and hence it may be reduced to diagonal form by an orthogonal transformation.

It also follows immediately that the elementary divisors of a Hermitian matrix are all linear and hence it cannot be defective. If a Hermitian matrix has any multiple eigenvalues then it is derogatory.

15. NORMAL MATRICES

We now ask if there is a more general class

of matrix, other than a Hermitian, which can be reduced to diagonal form by a unitary transformation. Thus far we have considered

$$R^H A R = D \quad (15.1)$$

where D has always been real. We now consider (15.1) in the case of D complex. From equation (15.1) we obtain

$$A = R D R^H \quad (15.2)$$

and hence

$$A^H = R D^H R^H. \quad (15.3)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} A A^H &= R D R^H R D^H R^H \\ &= R D D^H R^H \\ &= R D^H D R^H \quad (\text{as diagonal matrices commute}) \\ &= R D^H R^H R D R^H \\ &= A^H A. \end{aligned} \quad (15.4)$$

We show conversely that if $A A^H = A^H A$, then A may be factorised as in (15.2). From (14.1) any matrix A may be expressed in the form

$$A = R T R^H \quad (15.5)$$

where R is unitary and T upper triangular. Hence we have

$$A A^H = R T R^H R T^H R^H = R T^H R^H R T R^H = A^H A \quad (15.6)$$

giving

$$R T T^H R^H = R T^H T R^H \quad (15.7)$$

hence

$$TT^H = T^HT. \quad (15.8)$$

Equating the elements in equation (15.8) we find that all the off-diagonal elements of T are zero, so that T is diagonal. Hence the most general class of matrices which can be factorised as in (15.2) is the same as that class of matrices for which

$$AA^H = A^HA. \quad (15.9)$$

Such matrices are said to be normal. Obvious examples of normal matrices are Hermitian, skew-Hermitian, and unitary matrices; also all diagonal matrices. We now prove the following theorem which gives an alternative definition of a normal matrix.

Theorem 15.1 A matrix A is normal if and only if

$$A = B + C \quad (15.10)$$

where B is Hermitian, C is skew-Hermitian and

$$BC = CB. \quad (15.11)$$

Proof: (i) If equations (15.10) and (15.11) hold then

$$\begin{aligned} AA^H &= (B+C)(B^H+C^H) \\ &= BB^H + BC^H + CB^H + CC^H \\ &= B^HB - BC + CB - C.C \\ &= B^HB - CB + BC + C^HC \\ &= B^HB + C^HB + B^HC + C^HC \\ &= (B^H+C^H)(B+C) \\ &= A^HA. \end{aligned} \quad (15.12)$$

(ii) If $AA^H = A^HA$ then, for any matrix A , we may write

$$A = B + C \quad (15.13)$$

where B is Hermitian and C is a skew-Hermitian matrix. This is seen by considering the (i,j) and (j,i) elements of A .

$$a_{ij} = b_{ij} + c_{ij} \quad (15.14)$$

and

$$a_{ji} = \bar{b}_{ij} - \bar{c}_{ij}. \quad (15.15)$$

These equations always have a solution, namely

$$b_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} + \bar{a}_{ji}) \quad (15.16)$$

and

$$c_{ij} = \frac{1}{2}(a_{ij} - \bar{a}_{ji}). \quad (15.17)$$

Obviously we take $b_{ii} = \text{Re}(a_{ii})$ and $c_{ii} = i \cdot \text{Im}(a_{ii})$.

From (15.13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} AA^H &= (B+C)(B^H+C^H) \\ &= B^HB - BC + CB + C^HC \end{aligned} \quad (15.18)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} A^HA &= (B^H+C^H)(B+C) \\ &= B^HB - CB + BC + C^HC. \end{aligned} \quad (15.19)$$

Hence we must have

$$-BC + CB = -CB + BC \quad (15.20)$$

or

$$BC = CB \quad (15.21)$$

which proves sufficiency.

16. PRINCIPAL VECTORS

Finally in this introductory section we mention, mainly for the sake of completeness, the idea of principal vectors. We saw that a matrix A with linear elementary divisors has n eigenvectors spanning the whole n -space. If A has non-linear divisors this is not true as there are fewer than n independent eigenvectors. It is often convenient however to have a set of vectors which span the whole n -space and which reduce to the eigenvectors of A when A has linear elementary divisors. We saw that if a matrix can be diagonalised then

$$AX = X \text{diag}(\lambda_i). \quad (16.1)$$

If it cannot be we take as a basis the n columns of a matrix X which is such that

$$AX = XC \quad (16.2)$$

where C is the Jordan canonical form of A . To illustrate the importance of these vectors we give an example used by Wilkinson (1965), where a fuller treatment of the subject is to be found.

Suppose A is such that

$$AX = X \begin{bmatrix} c_3(\lambda_1) & & & \\ & c_2(\lambda_1) & & \\ & & c_2(\lambda_2) & \\ & & & c_1(\lambda_3) \end{bmatrix}, \quad (16.3)$$

then in the usual notation for the columns of X , and letting $\epsilon=1$ for simplicity in equation (7.2),

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ax_1 &= \lambda_1 x_1 & Ax_4 &= \lambda_1 x_4 & Ax_6 &= \lambda_2 x_6 & Ax_8 &= \lambda_3 x_8 \\
 Ax_2 &= \lambda_1 x_2 + x_1 & Ax_5 &= \lambda_1 x_5 + x_4 & Ax_7 &= \lambda_2 x_7 + x_6 \\
 Ax_3 &= \lambda_1 x_3 + x_2, & & & & & &
 \end{aligned} \tag{16.4}$$

from which we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (A - \lambda_1 I)x_1 &= 0 & (A - \lambda_1 I)x_4 &= 0 & (A - \lambda_2 I)x_6 &= 0 & (A - \lambda_3 I)x_8 &= 0 \\
 (A - \lambda_1 I)^2 x_2 &= 0 & (A - \lambda_1 I)^2 x_5 &= 0 & (A - \lambda_2 I)^2 x_7 &= 0 \\
 (A - \lambda_1 I)^3 x_3 &= 0. & & & & & &
 \end{aligned} \tag{16.5}$$

Each of the vectors therefore satisfies a relation of the form

$$(A - \lambda_i I)^j x_k = 0. \tag{16.6}$$

A vector which satisfies equation (16.6) for a given value of j , but does not satisfy it for any lower value of j , is called a principal vector of grade j corresponding to λ_i . Eigenvectors are principal vectors of grade one. Note that, although there exists a set of principal vectors which spans the whole n -space, in general principal vectors are not unique. If x is a principal vector of grade j corresponding to λ_i then the same is true of any other vector obtained by adding to x multiples of any principal vectors of grade j or less corresponding to λ_i .

CHAPTER 2

JACOBI-LIKE METHODS FOR REDUCING MATRICES
TO DIAGONAL FORM

1. INTRODUCTION

In this chapter we describe techniques for solving the eigenproblem for general complex matrices by methods related to the Jacobi method for real symmetric matrices. These techniques are applicable to any form of matrix but are of particular relevance in the case of small dense diagonally dominant matrices. Determining the eigensolutions of such matrices forms a vital part in the acceleration of simultaneous iteration and hence their relevance to our work.

Historically, the method for real symmetric matrices discovered by Jacobi (1846) is the oldest process for determining eigensolutions which is applicable for use on an electronic computer. The extension of this method to deal with Hermitian matrices is simple and it is then a relatively easy step to consider normal matrices.

We saw earlier that for a normal matrix A there is always a unitary matrix V such that

$$V^H A V = \text{diag} (\lambda_i). \quad (1.1)$$

However for an arbitrary matrix A this is not in general true but having considered normal matrices we are well placed to tackle the problem of general matrices.

All of the methods we consider depend upon the application of a series of similarity transformations to convert the matrix A into a

special form. In the simplest cases the similarity transformations are unitary matrices which reduce A directly to diagonal or, in practice, to nearly diagonal form. In the general case we shall use similarity transformations to reduce the matrix to normal form before applying unitary transformations to further reduce A to diagonal form.

Finally in this chapter we give brief details of an abortive attempt to use an algorithm proposed by Rutishauser.

2. THE CLASSICAL JACOBI METHOD FOR REAL SYMMETRIC MATRICES

In the method of Jacobi the original matrix is transformed to diagonal form by a sequence of plane rotations. In fact, to complete the diagonalisation would require an infinite number of such rotations but in practice the process is terminated when the off-diagonal elements are negligible to working accuracy. As we are considering real symmetric matrices, which we know have real eigenvalues and eigenvectors, we use real plane rotations.

Let the matrix V of order n be such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{pp} &= v_{qq} = \cos\phi \\ v_{pq} &= -v_{qp} = \sin\phi \\ v_{ii} &= 1, \quad i \neq p, q \\ v_{ij} &= 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

We note that

$$VV^T = V^T V = I. \quad (2.2)$$

If we denote the original matrix by A_0 then we may describe the Jacobi process as follows. A sequence of matrices A_k is produced satisfying the relations

$$A_k = V_k^T A_{k-1} V_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (2.3)$$

We note that as A_{k-1} is symmetric, so too is A_k .

The matrix V_k is determined by the rules which follow. If no confusion can arise we shall denote the elements of A_{k-1} by a_{ij} and those of A_k by a'_{ij} . Using this notation we consider the details of equation (2.3). The only elements of A_{k-1} that are altered are those in rows p and q and in columns p and q . Hence the modified elements are given by

$$a'_{ij} = a_{ij}, \quad i, j \neq p, q \quad (2.4)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a'_{pj} &= a_{pj} \cos \phi - a_{qj} \sin \phi \\ a'_{qj} &= a_{qj} \cos \phi + a_{pj} \sin \phi \end{aligned} \right\} \quad j \neq p, q \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a'_{pp} &= a_{pp} \cos^2 \phi + a_{qq} \sin^2 \phi - 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ a'_{qq} &= a_{qq} \cos^2 \phi + a_{pp} \sin^2 \phi + 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi \end{aligned} \right\} \quad (2.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pq} &= a_{pq} (\cos^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi) + (a_{pp} - a_{qq}) \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ &= a'_{qp}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

We do not explicitly state the form of a'_{jp} , a'_{jq} or

a'_{qp} since these follow by symmetry.

We now introduce two functions which we shall use extensively in what follows. Firstly the function defined by

$$N^2(A_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n |a_{ij}^{(k)}|^2 \quad (2.8)$$

and secondly

$$t^2(A_k) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n |a_{ij}^{(k)}|^2. \quad (2.9)$$

We note that $t^2(A_k) = N^2(A_k) - \sum_i |a_{ii}^{(k)}|^2$.

We notice that the transformations given by (2.3) leave $N^2(A_{k-1})$ unaltered and that A_k will tend to diagonal form if and only if $t^2(A_k) \rightarrow 0$. We therefore need only consider the effect of the off-diagonal elements and noting from equation (2.5) that

$$a'_{pj}{}^2 + a'_{qj}{}^2 = a_{pj}{}^2 + a_{qj}{}^2, \quad j \neq p, q \quad (2.10)$$

we see that the only reduction in $t^2(A_k)$ that can take place is through the reduction of $|a'_{pq}|$ (and $|a'_{qp}|$).

Hence it is clear that the maximum reduction in $t^2(A_k)$ will occur if we use (2.3) to reduce $|a_{pq}|$ as much as possible. In fact it is possible to make a_{pq} zero at any stage. For if

$$a'_{pq} = a_{pq}(\cos^2\phi - \sin^2\phi) + (a_{pp} - a_{qq})\sin\phi\cos\phi$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= a_{pq} \cos 2\phi + (a_{pp} - a_{qq}) \frac{1}{2} \sin 2\phi \\
&= 0
\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

then

$$\tan 2\phi = \frac{2a_{pq}}{(a_{qq} - a_{pp})} . \tag{2.12}$$

We shall always restrict ϕ such that

$$-\pi/4 \leq \phi \leq \pi/4 \tag{2.13}$$

and if $a_{pp} = a_{qq}$ we take ϕ to be $\pm \pi/4$ according to the sign of a_{pq} .

It is clear that in order to obtain the maximum reduction of $t^2(A)$ at every stage we should choose p and q such that

$$a_{pq} = \max(a_{ij}) . \tag{2.14}$$

With the choice of ϕ given by (2.12) we reduce a'_{pq} to zero and hence at the k -th stage

$$t^2(A_k) = t^2(A_{k-1}) - 2(a_{pq}^{(k-1)})^2 . \tag{2.15}$$

Since a_{pq} was the absolutely largest of all the $n(n-1)$ off-diagonal elements of A we have

$$t^2(A_k) \leq (1 - 2/(n^2 - n)) t^2(A_{k-1}) . \tag{2.16}$$

Hence, at the k -th stage

$$t^2(A_k) \leq (1 - 2/(n^2 - n))^k t^2(A_0) . \tag{2.17}$$

If we let $N = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)$ we obtain

$$t^2(A_k) < e^{-k/N} t^2(A_0) . \tag{2.18}$$

This shows that the process is convergent although

in practice (2.18) is a very crude bound as we shall see later. Suppose we define r by

$$k = rN \quad (2.19)$$

then

$$t^2(A_{rN}) < e^{-r} t^2(A_0) \quad (2.20)$$

$$< \varepsilon^2 t^2(A_0) \quad (2.21)$$

$$\text{if} \quad r > 2\ln(1/\varepsilon). \quad (2.22)$$

If, for example, $\varepsilon = 2^{-t}$, then

$$r > 2\ln 2^t \approx 1.39t. \quad (2.23)$$

3. CONVERGENCE TO A FIXED DIAGONAL MATRIX

We still have to show that A_k tends to a fixed diagonal matrix with the eigenvalues of A on the diagonal. Suppose the iteration is at a stage such that

$$t(A_k) < \varepsilon \quad (3.1)$$

and suppose the eigenvalues λ_i of A_k (and hence of A) are arranged in non-increasing order. If we similarly arrange the diagonal elements of A_k to be in non-increasing order it may be seen that the elements in the two sequences differ by less than ε . This follows from the minimax characterisation of the eigenvalues of the sum of two symmetric matrices as given by, for example, Wilkinson (1965). Thus the $a_{ii}^{(k)}$, arranged in some order, lie in intervals of width 2ε centred on the λ_i . Since we may make

ε arbitrarily small, the eigenvalues can be located to any desired accuracy.

It remains to show that each $a_{ii}^{(k)}$ does indeed converge to a specified λ_i . We firstly consider the case of distinct eigenvalues and define ε by the relation

$$0 < 4\varepsilon = \min_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j|. \quad (3.2)$$

Let k be chosen so that (3.1) is true, then from (2.16) it is satisfied for all subsequent values of k . With this choice of ε the intervals centred on the λ_i are clearly disjoint and hence there is just one of the $a_{ii}^{(k)}$ in each interval. We assume the λ_i and the $a_{ii}^{(k)}$ have been ordered as before and now show that each $a_{ii}^{(k)}$ lies in the same interval at all later stages of the iteration.

Suppose the next iteration is in the (p,q) plane. The only diagonal elements to be altered are $a_{pp}^{(k-1)}$ and $a_{qq}^{(k-1)}$; hence $a_{pp}^{(k)}$ and $a_{qq}^{(k)}$ must still be the two diagonal elements in the intervals centred on λ_p and λ_q . We show that it must be $a_{pp}^{(k)}$ which lies in the interval containing λ_p . For, reverting to our earlier prime notation

$$a'_{qq} - \lambda_p = a_{qq} \cos^2 \phi + a_{pp} \sin^2 \phi + 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi - \lambda_p$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (a_{qq} - \lambda_q + \lambda_q - \lambda_p) \cos^2 \phi \\
&\quad + (a_{pp} - \lambda_p) \sin^2 \phi + 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi. \quad (3.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|a'_{qq} - \lambda_p| &\geq |\lambda_q - \lambda_p| \cos^2 \phi - |a_{pp} - \lambda_p| \sin^2 \phi \\
&\quad - |a_{qq} - \lambda_q| \cos^2 \phi - |a_{pq}| \\
&\geq 4\epsilon \cos^2 \phi - \epsilon \sin^2 \phi - \epsilon \cos^2 \phi - \epsilon \\
&= 2\epsilon \cos 2\phi. \quad (3.4)
\end{aligned}$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\tan 2\phi| &= |2a_{pq} / (a_{pp} - a_{qq})| \\
&\leq 2\epsilon / 2\epsilon \\
&= 1. \quad (3.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence for ϕ in the range given by (2.13) we have

$|2\phi| \leq \pi/4$ and hence

$$|a'_{qq} - \lambda_p| \geq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \epsilon \quad (3.6)$$

showing that a'_{qq} is not in the interval centred on λ_p .

The result is not substantially altered if there are multiple eigenvalues present. We define ϵ such that (3.2) is true for all distinct λ_i and λ_j . If λ_i is an eigenvalue of multiplicity m , then precisely m of the $a_{ii}^{(k)}$ lie in the interval containing λ_i and the proof that none of the a_{pp} and a_{qq} lying in different intervals centred on distinct values of λ can move out of those intervals remains valid.

Hence, whatever the multiplicity of the eigenvalues, after a certain stage of the iteration each diagonal element of A_k remains in a fixed interval centred on λ_i as k is increased and the width of that interval tends to zero as k tends to infinity.

4. THE GERSCHGORIN DISCS

Suppose the eigenvalues λ_i of A are distinct and that

$$\min_{i \neq j} |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| = 2\delta \quad (4.1)$$

and we have reached, at the k -th stage, a matrix A_k for which

$$|a_{ii}^{(k)} - \lambda_i| < \delta/4, \quad \max_{i \neq j} |a_{ij}| = \varepsilon \quad (4.2)$$

together with

$$(n - 1)\varepsilon < \delta/4. \quad (4.3)$$

The Gerschgorin discs are definitely disjoint, since

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ii}^{(k)} - a_{jj}^{(k)}| &\geq |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| - |a_{ii}^{(k)} - \lambda_i| \\ &\quad - |a_{jj}^{(k)} - \lambda_j| \\ &> 3\delta/2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

Hence we have

$$|a_{ii}^{(k)} - \lambda_i| < (n - 1)\varepsilon. \quad (4.5)$$

If we perform upon A the similarity transformation corresponding to multiplying the i -th row by ε/δ and the i -th column by δ/ε , then the i -th Gerschgorin

disc is certainly contained in the circle

$$|a_{ii}^{(k)} - \lambda| < (n - 1)\varepsilon^2 / \delta \quad (4.6)$$

while the remainder are contained in the discs

$$|a_{jj}^{(k)} - \lambda| < (n - 2)\varepsilon + \delta, \quad j \neq i. \quad (4.7)$$

The i -th disc is certainly isolated from the others, so from (4.6) we see that when the absolute values of the off-diagonal elements have become less than ε then, for sufficiently small ε , the diagonal elements differ from the eigenvalues by quantities of order ε^2 . This result holds for the simple eigenvalues even if A has some multiple eigenvalues.

We see that we can obtain very good estimates of the eigenvalues when the off-diagonal elements have become small. It is therefore important that the convergence of the Jacobi method should become more rapid in the later stages of the iteration. Some results on quadratic convergence are known but before considering these we consider different forms of the Jacobi method.

5. VARIANTS OF THE JACOBI METHOD

The process we have outlined so far depends upon determining at each step the absolutely largest off-diagonal element. Searching for this element is fairly time consuming on an automatic computer and so it is usual to select the elements to be eliminated in some simpler manner.

Any method in which each of the off-diagonal elements is eliminated just once until all $n(n-1)/2$ elements have been chosen as pivot is called a generalised serial Jacobi method. Each sequence of $n(n-1)/2$ rotations is called a sweep. The special serial Jacobi method is the particular case of a generalised serial method in which the order of elimination of elements in each sweep is given by $(1,2), (1,3), \dots, (1,n); (2,3), (2,4), \dots, (2,n); \dots; (n-1,n)$. The special serial method is particularly suited to implementation on an electronic computer. Forsythe and Henrici (1960) have shown that the special serial Jacobi method does in fact converge but the proof is quite involved. We do not consider it here as the algorithm we have actually used is slightly different and is referred to as the threshold Jacobi method.

The maximum reduction that can be achieved in $t^2(A_k)$ at any stage by a rotation in the (p,q) plane is given by $2(a_{pq}^{(k-1)})^2$. If $a_{pq}^{(k-1)}$ is much smaller than the average value of the other off-diagonal elements then there is little point in performing the (p,q) rotation. This idea leads to the threshold Jacobi method. With each sweep there is an associated threshold value and any rotation based on an off-diagonal element which is below the threshold value is omitted. We may

assume without loss of generality that the off-diagonal elements are of order unity and typically we might then choose the set of threshold values 2^{-2} , 2^{-4} , 2^{-8} , 2^{-12} . Assuming that 2^{-12} is the smallest number m on the machine for which

$$1.0 + m \neq 1.0 \quad (5.1)$$

we select all subsequent threshold values to be 2^{-12} . This means that only zeros are skipped in the fourth and later sweeps. A suitable criterion for terminating the process is to stop when $n(n-1)/2$ successive elements have been skipped. We give later the details of the implementation of the algorithm that we have used. It is important to notice that, with the threshold Jacobi method, termination is guaranteed as there are only a finite number of iterations corresponding to any given threshold value. From the analysis of section 4 we see that the diagonal elements of A then differ from the eigenvalues by less than $(n-1)\epsilon^2/\delta$.

6. ULTIMATE QUADRATIC CONVERGENCE OF JACOBI METHODS

We showed in section 4 that we would expect a Jacobi method to be ultimately quadratically convergent. It has been shown that several variants of the Jacobi method do indeed have ultimate quadratic convergence when the eigenvalues are distinct. The first result in this field was obtained by Henrici (1958). For completeness we

summarise, using the notation of sections 2 and 4, the best results obtained so far.

For the classical Jacobi method, Schönage (1961) has shown that if

$$|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \geq 2\delta, \quad i \neq j \quad (6.1)$$

and if we have reached a stage at which

$$t(A_r) < \frac{1}{2}\delta \quad (6.2)$$

then

$$t(A_{r+N}) \leq (\frac{1}{2}n - 1)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^2(A_r) / \delta, \quad (6.3)$$

where $N = \frac{1}{2}n(n-1)$.

For the general serial Jacobi method Wilkinson (1962) has shown that, subject to (6.1) and (6.2)

$$t(A_{r+N}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(n^2 - n)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^2(A_r) / \delta. \quad (6.4)$$

For the special serial Jacobi method Wilkinson (1962) has shown that

$$t(A_{r+N}) \leq t^2(A_r) / (2^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta). \quad (6.5)$$

We discuss only (6.5) in detail.

7. BOUND FOR THE SPECIAL SERIAL JACOBI METHOD

As before we assume that the eigenvalues λ_i of A_0 satisfy

$$|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \geq 2\delta, \quad i \neq j. \quad (7.1)$$

Following Wilkinson (1962) we let

$$S_k = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} t(A_k). \quad (7.2)$$

Suppose we have reached the stage when

$$S_k < \delta/4. \quad (7.3)$$

Then from the preceding sections we have that

$$\|A_k - \text{diag}(a_{ii}^{(k)})\|_E < \delta/2 \quad (7.4)$$

and hence for some ordering of the λ_i

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{ii}^{(k)} - a_{jj}^{(k)}| &= |(a_{ii}^{(k)} - \lambda_i) - (a_{jj}^{(k)} - \lambda_j)| \\ &\quad + (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)| \\ &\geq |\lambda_i - \lambda_j| - |a_{ii}^{(k)} - \lambda_i| \\ &\quad - |a_{jj}^{(k)} - \lambda_j| \\ &> 2\delta - \frac{1}{2}\delta - \frac{1}{2}\delta \\ &= \delta. \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

We recall that the effect of a plane rotation is to reduce $(S_k)^2$ by the square of the element which is reduced to zero and hence, if (7.3) holds for any k , it holds for all subsequent k . For convenience we assume that equation (7.3) holds when $k=0$.

In the special serial Jacobi method the elements are annihilated in the row order given in section 5. We denote the N off-diagonal elements in their correct order by $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$ and the angles of rotation corresponding to them by $\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_N$. If α_i is annihilated by a rotation in the (p, q) plane we have

$$|\tan 2\phi_i| = \left| \frac{2\alpha_i}{a_{pp}^{(i)} - a_{qq}^{(i)}} \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{2|\alpha_i|}{\delta} \quad (7.6)$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} |\sin\phi_i| &\leq |\phi_i| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}|2\phi_i| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2}|\tan 2\phi_i| \\ &\leq |\alpha_i|/\delta. \end{aligned} \quad (7.7)$$

Since

$$s_{i+1}^2 - s_i^2 = -\alpha_i^2 \quad (7.8)$$

we have

$$0 \leq s_N^2 = s_0^2 - \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i^2. \quad (7.9)$$

Hence, from (7.7)

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sin^2\phi_i \leq \frac{1}{\delta^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_i^2 \leq s_0^2/\delta^2. \quad (7.10)$$

We now consider the effect of the rotations on the element α_i after it has been annihilated.

It is only affected by a subset of the later rotations - namely those in the (p,q) plane where α_i lies in the p or q -th row or column. In order to simplify the resulting analysis we consider firstly the following particular case. We let $p=1$ and consider what happens to a_{pq} as $q=2,3,\dots,n$. From equations (2.5) and (2.7) we see that

$$a_{12}^{(1)} = 0, \quad q = 2$$

$$a_{12}^{(2)} = 0 \cdot \cos\phi_2 - a_{32}^{(1)} \sin\phi_2, \quad q = 3$$

$$a_{12}^{(3)} = a_{12}^{(2)} \cos \phi_3 - a_{42}^{(1)} \sin \phi_3, \quad q = 4$$

.....

$$a_{12}^{(n-1)} = a_{12}^{(n-2)} \cos \phi_{n-1} - a_{n2}^{(1)} \sin \phi_{n-1},$$

$$q = n, \quad (7.11)$$

where we use ϕ_i to denote in the correct order each of the N angles needed in one complete sweep. Since $|\cos \phi_i| \leq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |a_{12}^{(n-1)}| &\leq |a_{32}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_2| + |a_{42}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_3| + \dots \\ &\dots + |a_{n2}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_{n-1}|. \end{aligned} \quad (7.12)$$

Similarly we may consider the history of the other elements in the first row. In particular

$$a_{13}^{(1)} = a_{13}^{(0)} \cos \phi_1 - a_{23}^{(0)} \sin \phi_1, \quad q = 2$$

$$a_{13}^{(2)} = 0, \quad q = 3$$

$$a_{13}^{(3)} = 0 \cdot \cos \phi_3 - a_{43}^{(1)} \sin \phi_3, \quad q = 4$$

$$a_{13}^{(4)} = a_{13}^{(3)} \cos \phi_4 - a_{53}^{(1)} \sin \phi_4, \quad q = 5$$

.....

$$a_{13}^{(n-1)} = a_{13}^{(n-2)} \cos \phi_{n-1} - a_{n3}^{(1)} \sin \phi_{n-1}, \quad q = n.$$

$$(7.13)$$

Hence we have

$$|a_{13}^{(n-1)}| \leq |a_{43}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_3| + |a_{53}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_4| + \dots$$

$$\dots + |a_{n3}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_{n-1}|. \quad (7.14)$$

In general

$$|a_{1j}^{(n-1)}| \leq \sum_{k=j+1}^n |a_{kj}^{(1)}| |\sin \phi_{k-1}|, \quad j = 2, 3, \dots, n-1 \quad (7.15)$$

$$a_{1n}^{(n-1)} = 0. \quad (7.16)$$

Hence

$$\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (a_{1j}^{(n-1)})^2 \leq \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j+1}^n (a_{kj}^{(1)})^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sin^2 \phi_j \right). \quad (7.17)$$

Now, as each rotation alters only two elements in each of the relevant rows and leaves the sum of their squares unaltered we have

$$(a_{k1}^{(k-2)})^2 + \sum_{j=2}^{k-1} (a_{kj}^{(1)})^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (a_{kj}^{(0)})^2, \quad k = 3, 4, \dots, n \quad (7.18)$$

Hence, certainly

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} (a_{1j}^{(n-1)})^2 &\leq \left(\sum_{k=3}^n \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (a_{kj}^{(0)})^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sin^2 \phi_j \right) \\ &\leq S_0^2 \cdot \sum_{j=2}^{n-1} \sin^2 \phi_j. \end{aligned} \quad (7.19)$$

We note that this sum of squares of the first row is unaltered by subsequent transformations in this sweep.

Secondly we consider the sum of the squares of the super-diagonal elements of the second row on completion of their successive annihilation. From a discussion similar to that for the first row we obtain

$$\sum_{j=3}^{n-1} (a_{2j}^{(n)})^2 \leq S_{n-1}^2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n-3} \sin^2 \phi_j.$$

$$\leq S_0^2 \sum_{j=n+1}^{2n-3} \sin^2 \phi_j, \quad (7.20)$$

and this sum then remains constant.

In general, for the k -th row we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=k+1}^{n-1} (a_{kj}^{(n+k-2)})^2 &\leq S_{f(n)}^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2} \sin^2 \phi_{g(j)} \\ &\leq S_0^2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-2} \sin^2 \phi_{g(j)}, \\ k &= 1, 2, \dots, n-2 \end{aligned} \quad (7.21)$$

where the exact form of $f(n)$ and $g(j)$ are defined by

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n - i) \quad (7.22)$$

and

$$g(j) = 2 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (n - i) + j \dots \quad (7.23)$$

Adding equations (7.21) for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-2$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S_N^2 &\leq S_0^2 \cdot \sum_{j=1}^N \sin^2 \phi_j \\ &\leq S_0^2 \cdot \frac{S_0^2}{\delta^2} \\ &= \frac{S_0^4}{\delta^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.24)$$

Clearly a similar result must hold for each of the rows in every sweep and hence in general we have

$$S_N \leq S_0^2 / \delta. \quad (7.25)$$

Thus, from (7.2)

$$\frac{t(A_N)}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}} \leq \frac{t^2(A_0)}{2\delta} \quad (7.26)$$

or

$$t(A_N) \leq \frac{t^2(A_0)}{2^{\frac{1}{2}}\delta} \quad (7.27)$$

as stated in (6.5).

In fact (7.27) is often a bad estimate in reality, for we have replaced

$$|a_{ii}^{(k)} - a_{jj}^{(k)}|, \quad i \neq j$$

by δ whereas some may be much larger. We also replaced all S_k by S_0 and finally it is usually the case that some of the contributions made to an element after annihilation are positive and some negative.

8. MULTIPLE EIGENVALUES

In all the foregoing we have assumed that

$$|\lambda_i - \lambda_j| \neq 0, \quad i \neq j \quad (8.1)$$

and all the convergence proofs have contained a factor $1/\delta$. Obviously all the proofs break down if δ is zero and even if δ is small we would expect the rate of convergence to be slow. In fact it has been shown, see for example Schönage (1961), that if none of the eigenvalues is of multiplicity greater than two then quadratic convergence can be guaranteed for the classical Jacobi method. Little theoretical progress seems to have been made in the case of eigenvalues of multiplicity greater than two. However, our experience suggests that convergence is usually just as fast if there are

repeated eigenvalues. Wilkinson (1965) reports similar findings and justifies them on the following grounds.

In the first place if all the eigenvalues are equal to λ_1 then the matrix is diagonal and no iterations are required. Now suppose the eigenvalues are all very close so that

$$\lambda_i = a + \delta_i \quad (8.2)$$

where the δ_i are small compared with a . Then we have

$$A = V \text{diag}(a + \delta_i) V^T \quad (8.3)$$

for some orthogonal V , and hence

$$A = aI + V \text{diag}(\delta_i) V^T. \quad (8.4)$$

Now

$$t(V \text{diag}(\delta_i) V^T) \leq (\sum \delta_i^2)^{1/2} \quad (8.5)$$

which shows that the off-diagonal elements must be small initially. Computation with A is identical to computation with $(A-aI)$, and this matrix is no longer special in the original sense. However, as all the elements of $(A-aI)$ are small to start with we may expect that the reduction of the off-diagonal elements below a prescribed level will require less operations for this matrix than for a general symmetric matrix. In fact if $\max|\delta_i| = O(10^{-r})$, then we would expect to reduce the off-diagonal elements of A below 10^{-t-r} in the time it usually takes to

reduce them to 10^{-t} .

9. CALCULATION OF THE EIGENVECTORS

If the last rotation to be performed is V_s then we have

$$(V_s^T \dots V_2^T V_1^T) A_0 (V_1 V_2 \dots V_s) = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \quad (9.1)$$

to working accuracy. The eigenvectors of A_0 are therefore the columns of the matrix X defined by

$$X = V_1 V_2 \dots V_s . \quad (9.2)$$

If the eigenvectors are required, then at each stage of the process we may store the current product $V_1 V_2 \dots V_i$. This requires n^2 storage locations in addition to the $\frac{1}{2}(n^2+n)$ needed for the upper triangles of the A_i . This scheme automatically gives all n eigenvectors.

10. COMPUTATIONAL ASPECTS OF THE ALGORITHM

We are now in a position to discuss in detail the implementation of the algorithm that we have used. Various schemes have been proposed in the past; Jacobi (1846) inspected the absolutely largest off-diagonal element a_{pq} and then chose the angle of rotation ϕ such that in the matrix A_{k+1} the (p,q) element was zero. After the rediscovery of the method by Gregory (1953), when for the first time it was used in automatic computing, it was

applied in such a way that p and q ran row-wise through all super-diagonal elements of the matrix and again the rotation angle ϕ was chosen every time to annihilate the (p,q) element of the matrix A_{k+1} . Later Pope and Tompkins (1957) suggested a strategy which tended to avoid inefficient rotations and thus achieved diagonalisation with less effort. In practice we have found the proposals of Pope and Tompkins to be of little application and our basic algorithm is due to Rutishauser (1966).

The pivots of the Jacobi rotations are chosen by row-wise scanning of the upper triangle of A . Before each sweep we calculate

$$\sigma = \sum_{p=1}^{n-1} \sum_{q=p+1}^n |a_{pq}| \quad (10.1)$$

and during the first three sweeps it performs only those rotations for which

$$|a_{pq}| > 0.2\sigma/n^2 = h. \quad (10.2)$$

In the later sweeps h is set to zero. If σ is less than or equal to some preset tolerance the process is terminated. The tolerance may be altered by the user but we have taken a typical value to be $\frac{1}{2}n(n-1).m$ where m is the smallest number for which

$$1.0 + m \neq 1.0 \quad (10.3)$$

on the machine being used.

If before the (p,q) rotation the element a_{pq} is small compared to a_{pp} and small compared to a_{qq} ,

then a'_{pq} is set to zero and the transformation is skipped. By small we mean that the addition of $100 \cdot |a_{pq}|$ to both a_{pp} and a_{qq} does not alter the two diagonal elements on the machine being used. This is certainly meaningful as it produces an error no larger than would be produced if the rotation had been performed. However, in order that the procedure can be used on perturbed diagonal matrices this device is suppressed during the first four sweeps.

In order to illustrate the difference between our stopping criteria and Rutishauser's consider the following example of order 2. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \varepsilon \\ \varepsilon & b \end{pmatrix} \quad (10.4)$$

where

$$\varepsilon = m/2 \quad (10.5)$$

and a and b are approximately of order unity. Based on our stopping criteria the matrix A is diagonal and we should accept the eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 = a, \quad \lambda_2 = b \quad (10.6)$$

and the corresponding matrix of eigenvectors

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.7)$$

Rutishauser's algorithm would however perform a rotation to give, to machine precision, the eigen-

values

$$\lambda_1 = a, \quad \lambda_2 = b$$

and the corresponding matrix of eigenvectors

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ 2^{-\frac{1}{2}} & -2^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (10.9)$$

We think it unlikely that there would be many instances in which it was advantageous to perform the extra rotation and in our particular application to simultaneous iteration it is often disastrous.

In order to annihilate the (p,q) element the rotation parameters c ($=\cos\phi$) and s ($=\sin\phi$) are computed as follows. Firstly, instead of taking

$$\tan 2\phi = \frac{2a_{pq}}{a_{qq} - a_{pp}} \quad (10.10)$$

we compute

$$\theta = \cot 2\phi = (a_{qq} - a_{pp})/2a_{pq} \quad (10.11)$$

giving

$$\frac{1}{\theta} = \tan 2\phi = \frac{2t}{1-t^2} \quad \text{where } t = \tan\phi. \quad (10.12)$$

From (10.12) we have

$$1 - t^2 = 2\theta t \quad (10.13)$$

or

$$t^2 + 2\theta t - 1 = 0. \quad (10.14)$$

We take

$$t = -\theta \pm (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (10.15)$$

where we require the smaller (in modulus) root.

If $|\theta|$ is large we do not use the above but instead we take

$$t = 1/2\theta. \quad (10.16)$$

To find whether $|\theta|$ is large we form

$$h = a_{qq} - a_{pp} \quad (10.17)$$

and test if

$$h + 100 \cdot |a_{pq}| = h \quad (10.18)$$

to machine precision.

The solution of (10.15) which we require is given by

$$t = -\theta + (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 1/(\theta + (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{if } \theta \geq 0 \quad (10.19)$$

and

$$t = |\theta| - (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = -1/(|\theta| + (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{if } \theta < 0. \quad (10.20)$$

From t we easily calculate

$$c = \cos\phi = 1/(1 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (10.21)$$

whence

$$s = \sin\phi = t \cdot \cos\phi \quad (10.22)$$

and

$$r = \tan(\phi/2) = \sin\phi/(1 + \cos\phi). \quad (10.22)$$

Finally we mention that the program does not operate directly on the diagonal elements of the matrix A but transfers them to a one-dimensional array d and then acts upon this. In order to ensure maximum accuracy of the eigenvalues, as well as updating d at each rotation (for the purposes of

calculations performed during any particular sweep), the alterations to d are also accumulated separately and at the end of each sweep these totalled increments of all the diagonal elements during the sweep are used to compute new and better values of the latter. We give more details in chapter 5.

11. NUMERICAL DETAILS

In an attempt to diminish the accumulation of round-off errors the following computational formulae are used. To calculate c, s and τ we proceed as follows. Let

$$h = a_{qq} - a_{pp} \quad (11.1)$$

$$\theta = \frac{1}{2}h/a_{pq} \quad (11.2)$$

$$t = 1/(|\theta| + (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{if } \theta \geq 0 \text{ or} \\ t = -1/(|\theta| + (1 + \theta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \quad \text{if } \theta < 0 \quad (11.3)$$

$$c = 1/(1 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (11.4)$$

$$s = t.c \quad (11.5)$$

$$\tau = s/(1 + c) . \quad (11.6)$$

If θ is large we replace (11.3) by $t=1/2\theta$. We modify the formulae (2.6) and (2.7) as follows. We know

$$\tan 2\phi = 2a_{pq}/(a_{qq} - a_{pp}) \quad (11.7)$$

therefore

$$\frac{\tan \phi}{1 - \tan^2 \phi} = \frac{a_{pq}}{a_{qq} - a_{pp}} . \quad (11.8)$$

Hence, from

$$a'_{pp} = a_{pp} \cos^2 \phi + a_{qq} \sin^2 \phi - 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi \quad (11.9)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pp} &= a_{pp} + (a_{qq} - a_{pp}) \sin^2 \phi - 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ &= a_{pp} + a_{pq} (1 - \tan^2 \phi) \frac{\sin^2 \phi}{\tan \phi} - 2a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ &= a_{pp} - a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi - a_{pq} \tan^2 \phi \sin \phi \cos \phi \\ &= a_{pp} - a_{pq} \sin \phi \cos \phi \sec^2 \phi \\ &= a_{pp} - a_{pq} \tan \phi. \end{aligned} \quad (11.10)$$

Hence, we use the computational formulae

$$a'_{pp} = a_{pp} - t \cdot a_{pq} \quad (11.11)$$

$$a'_{qq} = a_{qq} + t \cdot a_{pq} \quad (11.12)$$

$$a'_{pq} = 0. \quad (11.13)$$

Similarly for the off-diagonal elements of A, and also for the components of V, we do not use equations (2.5) but instead modify them in a similar manner.

For if

$$a'_{pj} = a_{pj} \cos \phi - a_{qj} \sin \phi \quad (11.14)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pj} &= a_{pj} (1 - 2 \sin^2 \frac{1}{2} \phi) - a_{qj} \sin \phi \\ &= a_{pj} \left(1 - \frac{\sin \phi \cdot \sin \frac{1}{2} \phi}{\cos \frac{1}{2} \phi}\right) - a_{qj} \sin \phi \\ &= a_{pj} - \sin \phi (a_{qj} + a_{pj} \tan \frac{1}{2} \phi). \end{aligned} \quad (11.15)$$

It might be thought that analogously to (11.11) we would use

$$a'_{pj} = \cos\phi(a_{pj} - a_{qj}\tan\phi) \quad (11.16)$$

offers no advantage since its rounding error but this ~~suffers from the disadvantage that $\tan\phi$ is similar to that of (11.14).~~ When ϕ is small, ~~can take all values in the range $[-\infty, \infty]$.~~ Equation (11.15) has a ~~maximum~~ ^{smaller} rounding error ~~half~~ ^{than} that of (11.14). Hence, we use the computational formulae

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pj} &= a_{pj} - s.(a_{qj} + \tau.a_{pj}), \\ a'_{qj} &= a_{qj} + s.(a_{pj} - \tau.a_{qj}). \end{aligned} \quad (11.17)$$

In the program we work only with the upper triangle of elements of A and hence equations (11.17) are only used if the appropriate a_{pj} and a_{qj} are in this upper triangle. In fact we use three pairs of equations to modify the elements of the p- and q-th row and column as follows. For $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ we take

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{jp} &= a_{jp} - s.(a_{jq} + a_{jp}.\tau) \\ a'_{jq} &= a_{jq} + s.(a_{jp} - a_{jq}.\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (11.18)$$

for $p+1 \leq j \leq q-1$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pj} &= a_{pj} - s.(a_{jq} + a_{pj}.\tau) \\ a'_{jq} &= a_{jq} + s.(a_{pj} - a_{jq}.\tau), \end{aligned} \quad (11.19)$$

and for $q+1 \leq j \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pj} &= a_{pj} - s.(a_{qj} + a_{pj}.\tau) \\ a'_{qj} &= a_{qj} + s.(a_{pj} - a_{qj}.\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (11.20)$$

This completes the details of the algorithm; we discuss the programming aspects in chapter 5 and a listing of the program is given in appendix 1.

12. THE JACOBI METHOD FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES

Nearly all of the results we obtained in the previous sections for real symmetric matrices carry over to the case when A is Hermitian. We define the rotation matrix V of order n such that

$$\begin{aligned} v_{pp} &= v_{qq} = \cos\phi \\ v_{pq} &= e^{i\theta} \sin\phi \\ v_{qp} &= -e^{-i\theta} \sin\phi \\ v_{ii} &= 1, \quad i \neq p, q \\ v_{ij} &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{12.1}$$

We note that

$$VV^H = V^H V = I. \tag{12.2}$$

Denoting the original matrix by A_0 we may describe the process by the sequence of matrices

$$A_k = V_k^H A_{k-1} V_k. \tag{12.3}$$

As A_{k-1} is Hermitian we see that A_k is also. In a similar notation to section 2 the modified elements are given by

$$a'_{ij} = a_{ij}, \quad i, j \neq p, q \tag{12.4}$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a'_{pj} &= a_{pj} \cos\phi - a_{qj} e^{i\theta} \sin\phi \\ a'_{qj} &= a_{qj} \cos\phi + a_{pj} e^{-i\theta} \sin\phi \end{aligned} \right\} j \neq p, q \tag{12.5}$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pp} &= a_{pp} \cos^2\phi + a_{qq} \sin^2\phi \\ &\quad - \sin\phi \cos\phi (a_{pq} e^{-i\theta} + a_{qp} e^{i\theta}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a'_{qq} &= a_{qq} \cos^2 \phi + a_{pp} \sin^2 \phi \\
 &\quad + \sin \phi \cos \phi (a_{pq} e^{-i\theta} + a_{qp} e^{i\theta})
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.6}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 a'_{pq} &= a_{pq} \cos^2 \phi - a_{qp} e^{2i\theta} \sin^2 \phi \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta} \sin \phi \cos \phi (a_{pp} - a_{qq}) .
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.7}$$

Again we notice that the transformation given by (12.3) leaves $N^2(A)$ invariant and that A tends to diagonal form if and only if $t^2(A) \rightarrow 0$. Again it is possible to reduce a_{pq} to zero at any stage.

For if

$$\begin{aligned}
 a'_{pq} &= a_{pq} \cos^2 \phi - a_{qp} e^{2i\theta} \sin^2 \phi \\
 &\quad + e^{i\theta} \sin \phi \cos \phi (a_{pp} - a_{qq}) \\
 &= 0 .
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{pq} e^{-i\theta} \cos^2 \phi - a_{qp} e^{i\theta} \sin^2 \phi \\
 = (a_{qq} - a_{pp}) \sin \phi \cos \phi ,
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.9}$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned}
 a_{pq} (\cos \theta - i \sin \theta) \cos^2 \phi - a_{qp} (\cos \theta + i \sin \theta) \sin^2 \phi \\
 = \frac{1}{2} (a_{qq} - a_{pp}) \sin 2\phi .
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.10}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\cos^2 \phi - \sin^2 \phi) (\operatorname{Re}(a_{pq}) \cos \theta + \operatorname{Im}(a_{pq}) \sin \theta) \\
 + i [(\cos^2 \phi + \sin^2 \phi) (\operatorname{Im}(a_{pq}) \cos \theta - \operatorname{Re}(a_{pq}) \sin \theta)] \\
 = \frac{1}{2} (a_{qq} - a_{pp}) \sin 2\phi .
 \end{aligned} \tag{12.11}$$

Hence, taking

$$\text{Im}(a_{pq})\cos\theta = \text{Re}(a_{pq})\sin\theta \quad (12.12)$$

gives

$$\tan\theta = \text{Im}(a_{pq})/\text{Re}(a_{pq}) \quad (12.13)$$

and

$$\tan 2\phi = \frac{2(\text{Re}(a_{pq})\cos\theta + \text{Im}(a_{pq})\sin\theta)}{(a_{qq} - a_{pp})} \quad (12.14)$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\tan\phi}{1 - \tan^2\phi} = \frac{\Omega}{a_{qq} - a_{pp}} \quad (12.15)$$

where

$$\Omega = \text{Re}(a_{pq})\cos\theta + \text{Im}(a_{pq})\sin\theta \quad (12.16)$$

As before we restrict ourselves to implementing the threshold special serial method and rearrange equations (12.5), (12.6) and (12.7) into a more convenient computational form. These then become

$$a'_{pp} = a_{pp} - \Omega \tan\phi$$

$$a'_{qq} = a_{qq} + \Omega \tan\phi \quad (12.17)$$

$$a'_{pq} = 0 \quad (12.18)$$

where Ω is defined as in (12.16). Analogously to equations (11.17) we obtain

$$a'_{pj} = a_{pj} - \sin\phi(a_{qj}e^{i\theta} + \tau a_{pj})$$

and

$$a'_{qj} = a_{qj} + \sin\phi(a_{pj}e^{-i\theta} - \tau a_{qj}) \quad (12.19)$$

As before the program works only with the elements

in the upper triangle of A and hence we again use three pairs of equations for computational purposes. These are similar to those employed in the symmetric case. For example, if $1 \leq j \leq p-1$ we use

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{jp} &= a_{jp} - s(a_{jq}e^{i\theta} + a_{jp}\cdot\tau) \\ a'_{jq} &= a_{jq} + s(a_{jp}e^{i\theta} - a_{jq}\cdot\tau) . \end{aligned} \quad (12.20)$$

The complex arithmetic is performed by breaking all equations down into their real and imaginary parts.

The only other feature of the program which does not occur in the symmetric case is the computation of $\cos\theta$ and $\sin\theta$. From (12.13) we form

$$t = \tan\theta . \quad (12.21)$$

If $|t| \leq 1$ then form

$$\cos\theta = 1/(1 + t^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (12.22)$$

and

$$\sin\theta = t \cdot \cos\theta . \quad (12.23)$$

If, however, $|t| > 1$ we take

$$t_1 = \frac{1}{t} = \frac{\operatorname{Re}(a_{pq})}{\operatorname{Im}(a_{pq})} \quad (12.24)$$

and form

$$\sin\theta = 1/(1 + t_1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (12.25)$$

$$\cos\theta = t_1 \cdot \sin\theta . \quad (12.26)$$

The other computational details are identical with the symmetric case. Programming details are given in chapter 5 and a listing of the program is included in appendix 2.

All the convergence results given earlier carry

over to the Hermitian case with little or no additional analysis. The results of sections 3 and 4 require no modification as the eigenvalues and diagonal elements of a Hermitian matrix are real. The proof given in section 7 also holds, for we note that all the equations and inequalities involving the off-diagonal elements rely not on these elements but on their moduli. In addition, the proof nowhere depends on any of the off-diagonal elements being real. In particular, $|\exp(i\theta)|=1$.

13. THE JACOBI METHOD FOR NORMAL MATRICES

We have shown in chapter 1 that a normal matrix is the most general form for which there exists a unitary transformation such that

$$V^H A V = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) . \quad (13.1)$$

We saw also that if A is normal we may write

$$A = B + C' \quad (13.2)$$

where B is Hermitian and C' is skew-Hermitian and

$$BC' = C'B . \quad (13.3)$$

If we now write

$$C' = iC \quad (13.4)$$

C is a Hermitian matrix and we may replace equations (13.2) and (13.3) by

$$A = B + iC \quad (13.5)$$

and

$$BC = CB \quad (13.6)$$

respectively, where B and C are now both Hermitian

matrices. Hence in order to diagonalise a normal matrix it is only necessary to diagonalise two Hermitian matrices. We now consider some relations between commuting matrices and their eigenvectors.

Lemma 13.1 If A is normal then A and A^H have a common complete system of eigenvectors.

Proof: From the relations

$$V^H A V = D \quad \text{and} \quad V^H A^H V = D^H \quad (13.7)$$

where $D = \text{diag}(\lambda_i)$, we have

$$A V = V D$$

and
$$A^H V = V D^H. \quad (13.8)$$

The matrices A and A^H therefore have a common complete system of eigenvectors, namely that formed by the columns of V .

Lemma 13.2 If any two matrices B and C have a common complete system of eigenvectors then they commute.

Proof: Suppose the common system of eigenvectors forms the columns of the matrix V . Then we have

$$B = V D_1 V^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C = V D_2 V^{-1}. \quad (13.9)$$

Hence

$$BC = V D_1 V^{-1} V D_2 V^{-1} = V D_1 D_2 V^{-1} \quad (13.10)$$

and

$$CB = V D_2 V^{-1} V D_1 V^{-1} = V D_2 D_1 V^{-1}. \quad (13.11)$$

As diagonal matrices commute so do B and C .

Theorem 13.3 If B and C commute and have linear elementary divisors then they share a common system

of eigenvectors.

Proof: Suppose the eigenvectors of B are v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n and that these vectors form the columns of the matrix V . Then

$$V^{-1}BV = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) \quad (13.12)$$

where the λ_i are not necessarily distinct. The manner of proof is dependent on the number of repeated eigenvalues and their respective multiplicities but an example will suffice to show the method. Let us suppose that

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_l \quad (13.13)$$

$$\lambda_{l+1} = \lambda_{l+2} = \dots = \lambda_m \quad (13.14)$$

and that $\lambda_{m+1}, \lambda_{m+2}, \dots, \lambda_n$ are all distinct. Equation (13.12) may then be written as

$$V^{-1}BV = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 I_l & & & & \\ & \lambda_{l+1} I_{m-l-1} & & & \\ & & \lambda_{m+1} & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \cdot \quad (13.15)$$

Since

$$Bv_i = \lambda_i v_i \quad (13.16)$$

we have

$$CBv_i = \lambda_i Cv_i \quad (13.17)$$

which gives

$$B(Cv_i) = \lambda_i (Cv_i) \cdot \quad (13.18)$$

Thus if v_i is an eigenvector of B corresponding to λ_i then (Cv_i) lies in the subspace of eigenvectors corresponding to λ_i . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 Cv_1 &= p_{11}v_1 + p_{21}v_2 + \dots + p_{11}v_1 \\
 Cv_2 &= p_{12}v_1 + p_{22}v_2 + \dots + p_{12}v_1 \\
 &\dots \\
 Cv_1 &= p_{11}v_1 + p_{21}v_2 + \dots + p_{11}v_1 \\
 Cv_{1+1} &= q_{11}v_1 + q_{21}v_2 + \dots + q_{m1}v_m \\
 &\dots \\
 Cv_m &= q_{1m}v_1 + q_{2m}v_2 + \dots + q_{mm}v_m \\
 &\dots \\
 Cv_{m+1} &= \mu_{m+1}v_{m+1} \\
 &\dots \\
 Cv_n &= \mu_n v_n .
 \end{aligned} \tag{13.19}$$

These equations may be written in matrix form as

$$V^{-1}CV = \begin{bmatrix} P & & & & \\ & Q & & & \\ & & \mu_{m+1} & & \\ & & & \dots & \\ & & & & \mu_n \end{bmatrix} . \tag{13.20}$$

Since C has linear elementary divisors it follows that so too must P and Q . Hence there exist matrices T and U such that

$$T^{-1}PT = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \mu_1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } U^{-1}QU = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_{1+1} & & \\ & \dots & \\ & & \mu_m \end{bmatrix} . \tag{13.21}$$

Writing

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} T & & \\ & U & \\ & & I_{n-m} \end{bmatrix} \quad (13.22)$$

we have

$$W^{-1}V^{-1}CVW = \text{diag}(\mu_i) . \quad (13.23)$$

However, from (13.15) we obtain

$$W^{-1}V^{-1}BVW = \text{diag}(\lambda_i) . \quad (13.24)$$

Hence B and C share a common system of eigenvectors, namely the vectors which are the columns of VW.

We may make use of this theorem to enable us to diagonalise a normal matrix. In equations (13.5) and (13.6) the matrices B and C commute and, as they are Hermitian, both have linear elementary divisors. From (13.5) we may determine a matrix V such that

$$V^H B V = \text{diag}(\lambda_i(B)) . \quad (13.25)$$

Thus

$$V^H A V = \text{diag}(\lambda_i(B)) + iV^H C V \quad (13.26)$$

From theorem (13.3) we see that $V^H C V$ will be diagonal only if there are no repeated eigenvalues. If it is not diagonal however it follows that we can certainly find a matrix W such that

$$W^H V^H A W = \text{diag}(\lambda_i(B)) + i \cdot \text{diag}(\lambda_i(C)) . \quad (13.27)$$

A similar algorithm could be devised which was based upon first diagonalising the matrix C. In our algorithm we use, as before, the threshold

special serial Jacobi method on the matrix A but base the transformation on B or C depending upon which one gives the greater reduction in $t^2(A)$.

For a normal matrix A it is necessary to operate on the whole of the matrix A or on the two upper triangles of B and C. We opt for the former as it is extremely easy to calculate the elements of B and C when they are required and much more economical to perform one set of rotations on A.

Computationally the program follows that for Hermitian matrices with only two exceptions. Firstly it is necessary to calculate b_{pq} and c_{pq} ; these follow directly from (15.16) and (15.17) of chapter 1. Secondly it is necessary to calculate a_{pq} as we are not necessarily reducing this to zero. A full listing of the program is given in appendix 3.

Convergence of our method for normal matrices relies only on the convergence of Jacobi's method for Hermitian matrices and this we have discussed in the previous section. We note that although a normal matrix may have complex eigenvalues these are dealt with as an ordered pair of reals thus enabling us to use the results from the Hermitian case.

Finally we must mention the work of Goldstine and Horwitz (1959) who developed an optimal algorithm for diagonalising normal matrices. Ruhe (1967) proved that for row cyclic choice of pivots

this algorithm was ultimately quadratically convergent; Loizou (1972) established the same result for maximal pivot choice. Unfortunately the Golstine and Horwitz algorithm is difficult to implement and we believe our algorithm to be very competitive. This is because it is easy to implement, runs nearly as quickly as the algorithm for Hermitian matrices and is very close to being optimal.

14. GENERALISED JACOBI METHODS

Many attempts have been made to generalise the well-known Jacobi algorithm for the diagonalisation of a real symmetric matrix to arbitrary matrices. We have just discussed an extension for normal matrices but for a non-normal matrix it is no longer possible to use unitary transformations alone although, as we have shown in chapter 1, it is possible to use unitary transformations to triangularise an arbitrary matrix. Attempts to formulate computational methods for doing this have not been very successful as shown by Causey (1958), Greenstadt (1955), and Lotkin (1956). Another way of generalising the Jacobi method is to use both unitary and non-unitary transformations and attempt to diagonalise the matrix. It is essential to restrict the non-unitary transformations and use them only to bring the matrix closer to normal.

Eberlein (1962) and Rutishauser (1964) have both proposed such methods, the former using non-unitary transformations and the latter using diagonal similarity transformations. Eberlein has proved the global convergence of her method and Ruhe (1968) has shown a slight modification of the algorithm to be ultimately quadratically convergent for non-defective matrices. No convergence proofs of Rutishauser's algorithm appear to exist and such attempts as we have made lead us to suspect that the method is not of general applicability.

15. MINIMISATION OF MATRIX NORMS.

We prove firstly a theorem due to Mirsky (1958) upon which the attempts to diagonalise a matrix are based.

Lemma 15.1 Schur states that if A is a complex $(n \times n)$ matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ then

$$\|A\|_E^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2. \quad (15.1)$$

There is equality if and only if A is normal.

Proof: For any matrix A there exists a unitary matrix R such that

$$R^H A R = T \quad (15.2)$$

where T is a triangular matrix whose diagonal elements are the eigenvalues of A . We know that if A is normal T is diagonal.

From (15.2) we have

$$A = RTR^H \quad (15.3)$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_E &= \|RTR^H\|_E \\ &= \|T\|_E. \end{aligned} \quad (15.4)$$

This follows because the Euclidean length of each column of RT is equal to that of the corresponding column of T . Since

$$\|T\|_E \geq \left[\sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (15.5)$$

with equality if and only if T is diagonal the lemma is proved.

Theorem 15.2 Let A be an $(n \times n)$ arbitrary complex matrix with eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ then

$$\inf \|S^{-1}AS\|_E^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \quad (15.6)$$

where the lower bound is taken with respect to all non-singular matrices S . Furthermore the lower bound is attained if and only if A is normal.

Proof: Denote by T_1 a matrix such that $T_1^{-1}AT_1$ is triangular, say

$$T_1^{-1}AT_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & b_{12} & \dots & b_{1n} \\ & \lambda_2 & \dots & b_{2n} \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix}. \quad (15.7)$$

Let $|\delta|$ be not greater than unity and define

$$T_2 = \text{diag}(1, \delta, \delta^2, \dots, \delta^{n-1}) . \quad (15.8)$$

If $S_0 = T_1 T_2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_0^{-1} A S_0\|_E^2 &= \|T_2^{-1} T_1^{-1} A T_1 T_2\|_E^2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 + \sum_{1 \leq i < k \leq n} |b_{ik}|^2 \delta^{2(k-i)} \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 + \frac{1}{2} n(n-1) b^2 \delta^2 \end{aligned} \quad (15.9)$$

where $b = \max_{i,k} |b_{ik}|$. The relation (15.6) now follows

since by (15.1)

$$\|S^{-1} A S\|_E^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \quad (15.10)$$

for all non-singular S . Further, if A is diagonalisable then the lower bound of $\|S^{-1} A S\|_E$ is clearly attained. If, on the other hand, the bound is attained so that, for some S ,

$$\|S^{-1} A S\|_E^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \quad (15.11)$$

then $S^{-1} A S$ is normal and A is diagonalisable.

16. EBERLEIN'S ALGORITHM

Mirsky's theorem on the minimisation of matrix norms gave Eberlein (1962) the idea for a method of making complex matrices arbitrarily close to normal form. She proved global convergence, with some restriction on the choice of pivot elements, and found experimentally that an extension of the procedure produced a nearly diagonal matrix.

Ruhe (1968) has proved that slight modification of Eberlein's original 1962 algorithm is ultimately quadratically convergent for row cyclic choice of pivot. Using the 1962 paper Eberlein (1970) has published a program to reduce arbitrary complex matrices to nearly diagonal form. It is this algorithm which forms the basis of our program.

17. THE TRANSFORMATION MATRICES

As we have already mentioned the basic philosophy of Eberlein's method is to use shear matrices to reduce a matrix A arbitrarily close to normal form. It then may be made almost diagonal as we have shown previously. In practice the shears and rotations are performed together to minimise the arithmetic operations required but for theoretical purposes it is much more convenient to consider them separately. We shall concentrate firstly on the effects of shearing. All the results that follow have been proved by Eberlein but we consider our proofs to be shorter and simpler to understand.

Define the shear matrix S by

$$\begin{aligned} s_{pp} &= s_{qq} = \cosh y \\ s_{pq} &= \bar{s}_{qp} = ie^{i\beta} \sinh y, \quad y \text{ and } \beta \text{ real} \\ s_{ij} &= \delta_{ij}, \quad i, j \neq p, q. \end{aligned} \tag{17.1}$$

For arbitrary A the elements of the transformed

matrix A' defined by

$$A' = S^{-1}AS \quad (17.2)$$

are given by

$$a'_{ij} = a_{ij} \quad i, j \neq p, q$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a'_{pi} &= a_{pi} \cosh y - ia_{qi} e^{i\beta} \sinh y \\ a'_{ip} &= a_{ip} \cosh y - ia_{iq} e^{-i\beta} \sinh y \\ a'_{qi} &= a_{qi} \cosh y + ia_{pi} e^{-i\beta} \sinh y \\ a'_{iq} &= a_{iq} \cosh y + ia_{ip} e^{i\beta} \sinh y \end{aligned} \right\} i \neq p, q \quad (17.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pp} &= a_{pp} \cosh^2 y - a_{qq} \sinh^2 y \\ &\quad - i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} + a_{qp} e^{i\beta}) \end{aligned} \quad (17.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pq} &= a_{pq} \cosh^2 y + a_{qp} e^{2i\beta} \sinh^2 y \\ &\quad + ie^{i\beta} \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pp} - a_{qq}) \end{aligned} \quad (17.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{qp} &= a_{qp} \cosh^2 y + a_{pq} e^{-2i\beta} \sinh^2 y \\ &\quad + ie^{-i\beta} \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pp} - a_{qq}) \end{aligned} \quad (17.6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{qq} &= a_{qq} \cosh^2 y - a_{pp} \sinh^2 y \\ &\quad + i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} + a_{qp} e^{i\beta}) . \end{aligned} \quad (17.7)$$

Equations (17.4) to (17.7) may be written more simply if we use the following substitutions. Let

$$D = a_{pp} - a_{qq} \quad (17.8)$$

$$E = a_{pq} + a_{qp} \quad (17.9)$$

$$F = a_{qp} - a_{pq} \quad (17.10)$$

$$B = a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} + a_{qp} e^{i\beta} \quad (17.11)$$

$$C = a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} - a_{qp} e^{i\beta} . \quad (17.12)$$

From (17.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pp} &= a_{qq} - a_{qq} \cosh^2 y + a_{pp} \cosh^2 y - \frac{1}{2} i \sinh 2y . B \\ &= a_{qq} + D \cosh^2 y - \frac{1}{2} i \sinh 2y . B \\ &= a_{qq} + \frac{1}{2} D (1 + \cosh 2y) - \frac{1}{2} i B \sinh 2y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(a_{pp} + a_{qq}) + D \cosh 2y - i B \sinh 2y] . \quad (17.13) \end{aligned}$$

From (17.7) we have

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{qq} &= a_{qq} + a_{qq} \sinh^2 y - a_{pp} \sinh^2 y + \frac{1}{2} i \sinh 2y . B \\ &= a_{qq} - D \sinh^2 y + \frac{1}{2} i B \sinh 2y \\ &= a_{qq} - \frac{1}{2} D (\cosh 2y - 1) + \frac{1}{2} i B \sinh 2y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} [(a_{pp} + a_{qq}) - D \cosh 2y + i B \sinh 2y] . \quad (17.14) \end{aligned}$$

From (17.5)

$$\begin{aligned} a'_{pq} &= e^{i\beta} (a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} \cosh^2 y + a_{qp} e^{i\beta} \sinh^2 y + \frac{1}{2} i D \sinh 2y) \\ &= e^{i\beta} \left[\frac{1}{2} a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} - \frac{1}{2} a_{qp} e^{i\beta} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} (a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} + a_{qp} e^{i\beta}) \cosh 2y + \frac{1}{2} i D \sinh 2y \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{i\beta} (a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} - a_{qp} e^{i\beta} + B \cosh 2y + i D \sinh 2y) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} e^{i\beta} (C + B \cosh 2y + i D \sinh 2y) . \quad (17.15) \end{aligned}$$

From (17.6)

$$a'_{qp} = e^{-i\beta} (a_{qp} e^{i\beta} \cosh^2 y + a_{pq} e^{-i\beta} \sinh^2 y + \frac{1}{2} i D \sinh 2y)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\beta}(a_{qp}e^{i\beta} - a_{pq}e^{-i\beta} + B\cosh 2y + iD\sinh 2y) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\beta}(-C + B\cosh 2y + iD\sinh 2y) . \quad (17.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we write equations (17.4) to (17.7) in the form

$$a'_{pp} = \frac{1}{2}[(a_{pp} + a_{qq}) + D\cosh 2y - iB\sinh 2y] \quad (17.17)$$

$$a'_{pq} = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}(C + B\cosh 2y + iD\sinh 2y) \quad (17.18)$$

$$a'_{qp} = \frac{1}{2}e^{-i\beta}(-C + B\cosh 2y + iD\sinh 2y) \quad (17.19)$$

$$a'_{qq} = \frac{1}{2}[(a_{pp} + a_{qq}) - D\cosh 2y + iB\sinh 2y] . \quad (17.20)$$

We define the commutator matrix C such that

$$C = AA^H - A^H A \quad (17.21)$$

and note that C is Hermitian. An arbitrary matrix A is normal if and only if

$$C \equiv 0. \quad (17.22)$$

We also introduce Δ defined by

$$\Delta \equiv N^2(A) - N^2(A'). \quad (17.23)$$

It is obvious that, to bring a matrix closer to normal form, we shall be interested in positive Δ at each step and ideally in maximising Δ at each step.

We now compute Δ for the single transformation of (17.2). Let

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_1 \equiv & \sum_{i \neq p, q} (|a_{pi}|^2 + |a_{ip}|^2 + |a_{qi}|^2 + |a_{iq}|^2) \\
& - \sum_{i \neq p, q} (|a'_{pi}|^2 + |a'_{ip}|^2 + |a'_{qi}|^2 + |a'_{iq}|^2) . \quad (17.24)
\end{aligned}$$

From equations (17.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a'_{pi}|^2 &= (a_{pi} \cosh y - ia_{qi} e^{i\beta} \sinh y) \\
 &\quad * (\bar{a}_{pi} \cosh y + i\bar{a}_{qi} e^{-i\beta} \sinh y) \\
 &= a_{pi} \bar{a}_{pi} \cosh^2 y + a_{qi} \bar{a}_{qi} \sinh^2 y \\
 &\quad + i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pi} \bar{a}_{qi} e^{-i\beta} - \bar{a}_{pi} a_{qi} e^{i\beta})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17.25}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a'_{ip}|^2 &= a_{ip} \bar{a}_{ip} \cosh^2 y + a_{iq} \bar{a}_{iq} \sinh^2 y \\
 &\quad + i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{ip} \bar{a}_{iq} e^{i\beta} - \bar{a}_{ip} a_{iq} e^{-i\beta})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17.26}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a'_{qi}|^2 &= a_{qi} \bar{a}_{qi} \cosh^2 y + a_{pi} \bar{a}_{pi} \sinh^2 y \\
 &\quad + i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pi} \bar{a}_{qi} e^{-i\beta} - \bar{a}_{pi} a_{qi} e^{i\beta})
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 |a'_{iq}|^2 &= a_{iq} \bar{a}_{iq} \cosh^2 y + a_{ip} \bar{a}_{ip} \sinh^2 y \\
 &\quad + i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{ip} \bar{a}_{iq} e^{i\beta} - \bar{a}_{ip} a_{iq} e^{-i\beta}) .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17.28}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Delta_1 &= \sum_{i \neq p, q} [a_{pi} \bar{a}_{pi} (1 - \cosh^2 y - \sinh^2 y) \\
 &\quad + a_{ip} \bar{a}_{ip} (1 - \cosh^2 y - \sinh^2 y) \\
 &\quad + a_{qi} \bar{a}_{qi} (1 - \cosh^2 y - \sinh^2 y) \\
 &\quad + a_{iq} \bar{a}_{iq} (1 - \cosh^2 y - \sinh^2 y)
 \end{aligned}$$

$$-2i \sinh y \cosh y (a_{pi} \bar{a}_{qi} e^{-i\beta} - \bar{a}_{pi} a_{qi} e^{i\beta} + a_{ip} \bar{a}_{iq} e^{i\beta} - \bar{a}_{ip} a_{iq} e^{-i\beta})] . \quad (17.29)$$

Some simple manipulation then gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_1 &= \sum_{i \neq p, q} \left\{ (a_{pi} \bar{a}_{pi} + a_{ip} \bar{a}_{ip} + a_{qi} \bar{a}_{qi} + a_{iq} \bar{a}_{iq}) (1 - \cosh 2y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - i \sinh 2y [e^{i\beta} (a_{ip} \bar{a}_{iq} - \bar{a}_{pi} a_{qi}) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - e^{-i\beta} (\bar{a}_{ip} a_{iq} - a_{pi} \bar{a}_{qi})] \right\} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq p, q} (|a_{pi}|^2 + |a_{ip}|^2 + |a_{qi}|^2 + |a_{iq}|^2) (1 - \cosh 2y) \\ &\quad - i \sinh 2y (e^{i\beta} K - e^{-i\beta} \bar{K}) \\ &= G(1 - \cosh 2y) - H \sinh 2y \end{aligned} \quad (17.30)$$

where we define

$$K = \sum_{i \neq p, q} (a_{ip} \bar{a}_{iq} - \bar{a}_{pi} a_{qi}) \quad (17.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} H &= i(e^{i\beta} K - e^{-i\beta} \bar{K}) \\ &= -2 \operatorname{Im}(e^{i\beta} K) \end{aligned} \quad (17.32)$$

$$G = \sum_{i \neq p, q} (|a_{pi}|^2 + |a_{ip}|^2 + |a_{qi}|^2 + |a_{iq}|^2) . \quad (17.33)$$

Now let

$$\Delta_2 \equiv |a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2 - |a'_{pp}|^2 - |a'_{qq}|^2 . \quad (17.34)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 &= a_{pp} \bar{a}_{pp} + a_{qq} \bar{a}_{qq} - \frac{1}{2} [(a_{pp} + a_{qq})(\bar{a}_{pp} + \bar{a}_{qq}) + D \bar{D} \cosh^2 2y \\ &\quad - i \bar{B} D \sinh 2y \cosh 2y + i B D \sinh 2y \cosh 2y + B \bar{B} \sinh^2 2y] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2} [a_{pp}\bar{a}_{pp} + a_{qq}\bar{a}_{qq} - a_{pp}\bar{a}_{qq} - \bar{a}_{pp}a_{qq} \\
&\quad - |D|^2 \cosh^2 2y - |B|^2 \sinh^2 2y \\
&\quad + i(\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 2y \cosh 2y] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [(a_{pp} - a_{qq})(\bar{a}_{pp} - \bar{a}_{qq}) - |D|^2 \cosh^2 2y \\
&\quad - |B|^2 \sinh^2 2y + \frac{1}{2} i(\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 4y] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [-|D|^2 \sinh^2 2y - |B|^2 \sinh^2 2y + \frac{1}{2} i(\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 4y] \\
&= -\frac{1}{2} (|B|^2 + |D|^2) \sinh^2 2y + \frac{i}{4} (\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 4y.
\end{aligned} \tag{17.35}$$

Finally we define

$$\Delta_3 \equiv |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 - |a'_{pq}|^2 - |a'_{qp}|^2. \tag{17.36}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_3 &= |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} [C\bar{C} + B\bar{B} \cosh^2 2y \\
&\quad - i\bar{B}D \sinh 2y \cosh 2y + i\bar{B}D \sinh 2y \cosh 2y + D\bar{D} \sinh^2 2y] \\
&= |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 - \frac{1}{2} [|a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{pq}\bar{a}_{qp} e^{-2i\beta} - a_{qp}\bar{a}_{pq} e^{2i\beta} + |B|^2 \cosh^2 2y \\
&\quad + |D|^2 \sinh^2 2y + i(\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 2y \cosh 2y] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} [|a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(\bar{a}_{pq}a_{qp}) e^{2i\beta} \\
&\quad - |B|^2 \cosh^2 2y - |D|^2 \sinh^2 2y \\
&\quad - i(\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 2y \cosh 2y] \\
&= \frac{1}{2} (|B|^2 (1 - \cosh^2 2y) - |D|^2 \sinh^2 2y \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2} i(\bar{B}D - B\bar{D}) \sinh 4y)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} [-(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \sinh^2 2y - \frac{1}{2} i (\overline{BD} - B\overline{D}) \sinh 4y] . \quad (17.38)$$

Combining the results for Δ_2 and Δ_3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 &= -(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \sinh^2 2y - \frac{1}{2} i (\overline{BD} - B\overline{D}) \sinh 4y \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (|B|^2 + |D|^2) (1 - \cosh 4y) + \text{Im}(\overline{BD}) \sinh 4y . \end{aligned} \quad (17.39)$$

Hence we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 \\ &= G(1 - \cosh 2y) - H \sinh 2y + \frac{1}{2} (|B|^2 + |D|^2) (1 - \cosh 4y) \\ &\quad + \text{Im}(\overline{BD}) \sinh 4y . \end{aligned} \quad (17.40)$$

18. OPTIMAL SHEAR PARAMETERS

With the definition of the commutator matrix C given by equation (17.21) and the expression for Δ we obtained in (17.40) we are now in a position to prove the following.

Theorem 18.1 Setting the two first derivatives of Δ to zero for the maximum is equivalent to annihilating c'_{pq} . In fact we have the identity:

$$c'_{pq} = \frac{e^{i\beta}}{2} \left[\frac{i}{2} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial y} - \frac{1}{\sinh 2y} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \beta} \right] \quad (18.1)$$

Proof: We recall the formulae of the last section

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= G(1 - \cosh 2y) - H \sinh 2y + \frac{1}{2} (|B|^2 + |D|^2) (1 - \cosh 4y) \\ &\quad + \text{Im}(\overline{BD}) \sinh 4y \end{aligned} \quad (18.2)$$

$$G = \sum_{i \neq p, q} (|a_{pi}|^2 + |a_{ip}|^2 + |a_{qi}|^2 + |a_{iq}|^2) \quad (18.3)$$

$$H = i(e^{i\beta}K - e^{-i\beta}\bar{K}) \quad (18.4)$$

$$K = \sum_{i \neq p, q} (a_{ip}\bar{a}_{iq} - \bar{a}_{pi}a_{qi}) \quad (18.5)$$

$$B = a_{pq}e^{-i\beta} + a_{qp}e^{i\beta} \quad (18.6)$$

$$C = a_{pq}e^{-i\beta} - a_{qp}e^{i\beta} \quad (18.7)$$

$$D = a_{pp} - a_{qq} \quad (18.8)$$

$$E = a_{pq} + a_{qp} \quad (18.9)$$

$$F = a_{qp} - a_{pq} \quad (18.10)$$

We note also that

$$c_{pq} = (AA^H - A^HA)_{pq} = \sum_{i=1}^n (a_{pi}\bar{a}_{qi} - \bar{a}_{ip}a_{iq}) \quad (18.11)$$

From (18.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial y} = & -2G \sinh 2y - 2H \cosh 2y - 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \sinh 4y \\ & + 4\text{Im}(\bar{B}D) \cosh 4y \quad (18.12) \end{aligned}$$

Also, we have

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial \beta} = -e^{i\beta}K - e^{-i\beta}\bar{K} \quad (18.13)$$

Now

$$|B|^2 = |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\bar{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta}) \quad (18.14)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial |B|^2}{\partial \beta} &= 4\text{Re}(\bar{a}_{pq}a_{qp}ie^{2i\beta}) \\ &= -4\text{Im}(\bar{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta}) \quad (18.15) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\text{Im}(\bar{B}D) = \text{Im}[(\bar{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} + \bar{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta})D] \quad (18.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial \beta} [\text{Im}(\overline{BD})] &= \text{Im}[iD(\overline{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} - \overline{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta})] \\ &= \text{Re}[D(\overline{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} - \overline{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta})].\end{aligned}\quad (18.17)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \beta} &= (e^{i\beta}K + e^{-i\beta}\overline{K})\sinh 2y + 4\text{Im}(\overline{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta})\sinh^2 2y \\ &\quad + 2\sinh 2y \cosh 2y \text{Re}[D(\overline{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} - \overline{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta})].\end{aligned}\quad (18.18)$$

We now consider c'_{pq} . From (18.11) we have

$$\begin{aligned}c'_{pq} &= \sum_{i=1}^n (a'_{pi}\overline{a}'_{qi} - \overline{a}'_{ip}a'_{iq}) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq p, q}}^n (a'_{pi}\overline{a}'_{qi} - \overline{a}'_{ip}a'_{iq}) \\ &\quad + a'_{pp}\overline{a}'_{qp} - \overline{a}'_{qp}a'_{qq} + a'_{pq}\overline{a}'_{qq} - \overline{a}'_{pp}a'_{pq} \\ &= \sum_{i \neq p, q} [(a_{pi} \cosh y - ia_{qi} e^{i\beta} \sinh y) \\ &\quad * (\overline{a}_{qi} \cosh y - i\overline{a}_{pi} e^{i\beta} \sinh y) \\ &\quad - (\overline{a}_{ip} \cosh y + i\overline{a}_{iq} e^{i\beta} \sinh y) \\ &\quad * (a_{iq} \cosh y + ia_{ip} e^{i\beta} \sinh y)] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} (-\overline{C} + \overline{B} \cosh 2y - i\overline{D} \sinh 2y) \\ &\quad * (D \cosh 2y - iB \sinh 2y) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} (C + B \cosh 2y + iD \sinh 2y) \\ &\quad * (-\overline{D} \cosh 2y - i\overline{B} \sinh 2y) \\ &= \sum_{i \neq p, q} [a_{pi}\overline{a}_{qi} \cosh^2 y - a_{qi}\overline{a}_{pi} e^{2i\beta} \sinh^2 y \\ &\quad - \overline{a}_{ip}a_{iq} \cosh^2 y + \overline{a}_{iq}a_{ip} e^{2i\beta} \sinh^2 y] \\ &\quad - i \sum_{i \neq p, q} [a_{pi}\overline{a}_{pi} e^{i\beta} \sinh y \cosh y + a_{qi}\overline{a}_{qi} e^{i\beta} \sinh y \cosh y]\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +a_{ip}\bar{a}_{ip}e^{i\beta}\sinh y\cosh y+a_{iq}\bar{a}_{iq}e^{i\beta}\sinh y\cosh y] \\
& +\frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}[-\bar{C}D\cosh 2y+\bar{B}D\cosh^2 2y-iD\bar{D}\sinh 2y\cosh 2y \\
& \quad +i\bar{C}B\sinh 2y-iB\bar{B}\sinh 2y\cosh 2y-\bar{D}B\sinh^2 2y \\
& \quad -\bar{C}\bar{D}\cosh 2y-B\bar{D}\cosh^2 2y-iD\bar{D}\sinh 2y\cosh 2y \\
& \quad -i\bar{C}\bar{B}\sinh 2y-iB\bar{B}\sinh 2y\cosh 2y+D\bar{B}\sinh^2 2y] \\
= & \sum_{i \neq p, q} [\cosh^2 y(a_{pi}\bar{a}_{qi}-\bar{a}_{ip}a_{iq}) \\
& \quad + e^{2i\beta}\sinh^2 y(a_{ip}\bar{a}_{iq}-\bar{a}_{pi}a_{qi})] \\
& -ie^{i\beta}\sinh y\cosh y \sum_{i \neq p, q} (|a_{pi}|^2+|a_{ip}|^2+|a_{qi}|^2+|a_{iq}|^2) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}[\dots] \\
= & f_1 + f_2 \quad \text{say.} \tag{18.19}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_1 & = (-\bar{K}\cosh^2 y+e^{2i\beta}\sinh^2 y.K) - \frac{1}{2}ie^{i\beta}\sinh 2y.G \\
& = e^{i\beta}[-\bar{K}\frac{1}{2}e^{-i\beta}(\cosh 2y+1) + \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}K(\cosh 2y-1)] \\
& \quad - \frac{1}{2}ie^{i\beta}\sinh 2y.G \\
& = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}[\cosh 2y(e^{i\beta}K-e^{-i\beta}\bar{K}) - (e^{i\beta}K+e^{-i\beta}\bar{K}) \\
& \quad - iG\sinh 2y] \\
& = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}[-iH\cosh 2y - (e^{i\beta}K+e^{-i\beta}\bar{K}) - iG\sinh 2y] . \\
& \tag{18.20}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
f_2 & = \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta}[-\cosh 2y(\bar{C}D+C\bar{D}) + \cosh^2 2y(\bar{B}D-B\bar{D}) \\
& \quad -2iD\bar{D}\sinh 2y\cosh 2y + i\sinh 2y(\bar{C}B-C\bar{B}) \\
& \quad -2iB\bar{B}\sinh 2y\cosh 2y + \sinh^2 2y(D\bar{B}-\bar{D}B)]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} \left[-2\cosh 2y \cdot \text{Re}(\overline{CD}) + 2\sinh 2y \cdot \text{Im}(C\overline{B}) \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2}(1+\cosh 4y)(\overline{BD}-B\overline{D}) - i\sinh 4y(D\overline{D}+\overline{B}B) \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2}(\cosh 4y-1)(D\overline{B}-\overline{D}B) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} \left[-2\cosh 2y \cdot \text{Re}(\overline{CD}) + 2\sinh 2y \cdot \text{Im}(C\overline{B}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \cosh 4y(\overline{BD}-B\overline{D}) - i\sinh 4y(|D|^2+|B|^2) \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} \left[-2\cosh 2y \cdot \text{Re}(\overline{CD}) + 2\sinh 2y \cdot \text{Im}(C\overline{B}) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2i\cosh 4y \cdot \text{Im}(\overline{BD}) - i\sinh 4y(|D|^2+|B|^2) \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{18.21}$$

However

$$\text{Re}(\overline{CD}) = \text{Re}(D(\overline{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} - \overline{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta}))$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Im}(C\overline{B}) &= \text{Im} \left[(a_{pq}e^{-i\beta} - a_{qp}e^{i\beta})(\overline{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} + \overline{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta}) \right] \\
&= \text{Im}(a_{pq}\overline{a}_{qp}e^{-2i\beta} - \overline{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta}) \\
&= -2\text{Im}(\overline{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta}) .
\end{aligned} \tag{18.23}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
c'_{pq} &= \frac{1}{2}e^{i\beta} \left[-iG\sinh 2y - iH\cosh 2y \right. \\
&\quad - i\sinh 4y(|B|^2+|D|^2) + 2i\cosh 4y\text{Im}(\overline{BD}) \\
&\quad - (e^{i\beta}K + e^{-i\beta}\overline{K}) \\
&\quad - 4\sinh 2y \cdot \text{Im}(\overline{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta}) \\
&\quad \left. - 2\cosh 2y \cdot \text{Re} \left[D(\overline{a}_{pq}e^{i\beta} - \overline{a}_{qp}e^{-i\beta}) \right] \right] .
\end{aligned} \tag{18.24}$$

Comparing equations (18.12), (18.18) and (18.24)

we see that

$$c'_{pq} = \frac{e^{i\beta}}{2} \left[\frac{i \cdot \partial \Delta}{2 \partial y} - \frac{1}{\sinh 2y} \cdot \frac{\partial \Delta}{\partial \beta} \right] \quad (18.25)$$

and the theorem is proved.

19. CONDITIONS FOR CONVERGENCE

Before the main theorem we prove some lemmata.

Lemma 19.1

$$H - 2\text{Im}(\bar{B}D) = -2\text{Im}(c_{pq} e^{-i\beta}) \quad (19.1)$$

Proof: From (18.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} H &= i(e^{i\beta} K - e^{-i\beta} \bar{K}) \\ &= 2\text{Im}(\bar{K} e^{-i\beta}) . \end{aligned} \quad (19.2)$$

From (18.6) and (18.8) we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\text{Im}(\bar{B}D) &= 2\text{Im}[(\bar{a}_{pq} e^{i\beta} + \bar{a}_{qp} e^{-i\beta})(a_{pp} - a_{qq})] \\ &= 2\text{Im}(a_{pp} \bar{a}_{pq} e^{i\beta} - \bar{a}_{pq} a_{qq} e^{i\beta} \\ &\quad + a_{pp} \bar{a}_{qp} e^{-i\beta} - \bar{a}_{qp} a_{qq} e^{-i\beta}) \\ &= 2\text{Im}[(a_{pp} \bar{a}_{qp} - \bar{a}_{pp} a_{pq}) e^{-i\beta} \\ &\quad + (a_{pq} \bar{a}_{qq} - \bar{a}_{qp} a_{qq}) e^{-i\beta}] , \end{aligned} \quad (19.3)$$

using $\text{Im}(ze^{i\theta}) = -\text{Im}(\bar{z}e^{-i\theta})$. From (18.5) and (18.11)

we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{K} &+ (\bar{a}_{pp} a_{pq} - a_{pp} \bar{a}_{qp}) + (\bar{a}_{qp} a_{qq} - a_{pq} \bar{a}_{qq}) \\ &= -c_{pq} . \end{aligned} \quad (19.4)$$

Hence

$$H - 2\text{Im}(\bar{B}D) = -2\text{Im}(c_{pq} e^{-i\beta}) . \quad (19.5)$$

Lemma 19.2

$$|H - 2\text{Im}(\overline{B}D)| \leq G + |B|^2 + |D|^2. \quad (19.6)$$

Proof:

$$|H - 2\text{Im}(\overline{B}D)| \leq |H| + 2|\text{Im}(\overline{B}D)|. \quad (19.7)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} 2|\text{Im}(\overline{B}D)| &\leq 2|\overline{B}D| \\ &\leq |B|^2 + |D|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19.8)$$

We therefore wish to show

$$|H| \leq G. \quad (19.9)$$

From (18.4)

$$H = i(e^{i\beta}K - e^{-i\beta}\overline{K}) = -2\text{Im}(e^{i\beta}K). \quad (19.10)$$

As

$$K = \sum_{i \neq p, q} (a_{ip}\overline{a}_{iq} - \overline{a}_{pi}a_{qi}) \quad (19.11)$$

we obtain

$$|H| \leq 2 \left| \sum_{i \neq p, q} (a_{ip}\overline{a}_{iq} - \overline{a}_{pi}a_{qi}) \right| \quad (19.12)$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{i \neq p, q} |a_{ip}\overline{a}_{iq} - \overline{a}_{pi}a_{qi}|. \quad (19.13)$$

Now, for any complex numbers a, b, c, d we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2|a\overline{b} - \overline{c}d| &\leq 2|a\overline{b}| + 2|\overline{c}d| \\ &\leq |a|^2 + |b|^2 + |c|^2 + |d|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19.14)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{i \neq p, q} |a_{ip}\overline{a}_{iq} - \overline{a}_{pi}a_{qi}| \\ &\leq \sum_{i \neq p, q} [|a_{pi}|^2 + |a_{ip}|^2 + |a_{qi}|^2 + |a_{iq}|^2] \\ &= G. \end{aligned} \quad (19.15)$$

Therefore

$$|H| \leq G \quad (19.16)$$

and we obtain

$$|H - 2\text{Im}(\overline{B}D)| \leq G + |B|^2 + |D|^2. \quad (19.17)$$

It follows that, certainly

$$\begin{aligned} |H - 2\text{Im}(\overline{B}D)| &\leq G + |B|^2 + |D|^2 \\ &\leq G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (19.18)$$

Lemma 19.3

$$\begin{aligned} G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) &\leq 4\|A\|_E^2 \\ &= 4 \sum_i \sum_j |a_{ij}|^2. \end{aligned} \quad (19.19)$$

Now from the definition of G in (18.3) it remains to show

$$|B|^2 + |D|^2 \leq 2(|a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2 + |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2). \quad (19.20)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |B|^2 &= |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 + 2\text{Re}(\overline{a}_{pq}a_{qp}e^{2i\beta}) \\ &\leq |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 + 2|\overline{a}_{pq}a_{qp}| \\ &\leq |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 + |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2 \\ &= 2(|a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2). \end{aligned} \quad (19.21)$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} |D|^2 &= (a_{pp} - a_{qq})(\overline{a}_{pp} - \overline{a}_{qq}) \\ &= |a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2 - a_{pp}\overline{a}_{qq} - \overline{a}_{pp}a_{qq} \\ &\leq |a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2 - 2\text{Re}(a_{pp}\overline{a}_{qq}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq |a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2 + 2|a_{pp}\bar{a}_{qq}| \\
&\leq 2(|a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2) .
\end{aligned} \tag{19.22}$$

From (19.21) and (19.22) we have

$$|B|^2 + |D|^2 \leq 2(|a_{pp}|^2 + |a_{qq}|^2 + |a_{pq}|^2 + |a_{qp}|^2) \tag{19.23}$$

and hence

$$G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \leq 4\|A\|_E^2 \tag{19.24}$$

which proves the lemma.

Lemma 19.4 If

$$\tan\beta = -\frac{\operatorname{Re}(c_{pq})}{\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq})} \tag{19.25}$$

then

$$|\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq}e^{-i\beta})|^2 - |c_{pq}|^2 = 0 . \tag{19.26}$$

Proof: If

$$\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq})\sin\beta + \operatorname{Re}(c_{pq})\cos\beta = 0 \tag{19.27}$$

then

$$\operatorname{Re}(c_{pq}e^{-i\beta}) = 0 . \tag{19.28}$$

This implies $c_{pq}e^{-i\beta}$ is purely imaginary. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
c_{pq}e^{-i\beta} &= \pm i|c_{pq}e^{-i\beta}| \\
&= \pm i|c_{pq}| .
\end{aligned} \tag{19.29}$$

Thus

$$|\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq}e^{-i\beta})|^2 = |c_{pq}|^2 \tag{19.30}$$

and the result is proved.

We now prove

Theorem 19.1 Let Δ denote $\Delta(y)$ where y is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} -\tanh y &= \frac{H-2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})}{2[G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)]} \\ &= \frac{-\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq}e^{-i\beta})}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \end{aligned} \quad (19.31)$$

then

$$\Delta \geq \frac{1}{3} \frac{|c_{pq}|^2}{\|A\|_E^2}. \quad (19.32)$$

Proof: Recalling (17.40)

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= G(1-\cosh 2y) - H\sinh 2y + \frac{1}{2}(|B|^2+|D|^2)(1-\cosh 4y) \\ &\quad + \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})\sinh 4y. \end{aligned} \quad (19.33)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &= -2G\sinh^2 y - 2H\sinh y \cosh y \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(|B|^2+|D|^2)(-2\sinh^2 2y) \\ &\quad + 4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})\sinh y \cosh y \cosh 2y \\ &= -2G\sinh^2 y - 4\sinh^2 y \cosh^2 y (|B|^2+|D|^2) \\ &\quad - 2\sinh y \cosh y [H-2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})\cosh 2y] \\ &= -2\sinh^2 y [G + 2\cosh^2 y (|B|^2+|D|^2)] \\ &\quad - 2\sinh y \cosh y [H - 2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})(1+2\sinh^2 y)] \\ &= -2\sinh^2 y [G + 2(|B|^2+|D|^2) + 2\sinh^2 y (|B|^2+|D|^2) \\ &\quad - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})] \\ &\quad - 2\sinh y \cosh y [H - 2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -2\sinh^2 y [G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) + 2\sinh^2 y (|B|^2 + |D|^2) \\
&\quad - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})] \\
&\quad + 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(c_{pq} e^{-i\beta}) . \tag{19.34}
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta &= 2\cosh^2 y \tanh y \cdot \operatorname{Im}(c_{pq} e^{i\beta}) \\
&\quad - \cosh^2 y \tanh^2 y [G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \\
&\quad + 2\sinh^2 y (|B|^2 + |D|^2) \\
&\quad - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})] . \tag{19.35}
\end{aligned}$$

From (19.1) and (19.31) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta &= \frac{\cosh^2 y}{G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)} \left\{ 2|\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq} e^{i\beta})|^2 \right. \\
&\quad - \left. \left\{ [\operatorname{Im}(c_{pq} e^{i\beta})]^2 \cdot [G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + 2\sinh^2 y (|B|^2 + |D|^2) - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD}) \right] \right\} / \\
&\quad \left. / [G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)] \right\} \\
&= \frac{\cosh^2 y |c_{pq}|^2}{G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)} \left\{ 2 - [G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + 2\sinh^2 y (|B|^2 + |D|^2) - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})] / \right. \\
&\quad \left. / [G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)] \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

(from lemma 19.4)

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\cosh^2 y |c_{pq}|^2}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \left\{ [G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. -2\sinh^2 y(|B|^2+|D|^2) + 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})] / \right. \\
&\quad \left. / [G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)] \right\} \\
&= \frac{\cosh^2 y |c_{pq}|^2}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \left\{ 1 - \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{[2\sinh^2 y(|B|^2+|D|^2) - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})]}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

(19.36)

We now consider the expression inside the brackets

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ 1 - \frac{[2\sinh^2 y(|B|^2+|D|^2) - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})]}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \right\} \\
&= 1 + \sinh^2 y \left[- \frac{2(|B|^2+|D|^2)}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{4(\cosh y / \sinh y) \operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \right] \\
&\geq 1 + \sinh^2 y \left[-1 + \frac{4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD}) \cdot \operatorname{coth} y}{G+2(|B|^2+|D|^2)} \right] \\
&= 1 + \sinh^2 y \left[-1 + \frac{4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})}{\frac{1}{2}(2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})-H)} \right] \\
&= 1 + \sinh^2 y \left[\frac{-\frac{1}{2}(2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})-H) + 4\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})}{\frac{1}{2}(2\operatorname{Im}(\overline{BD})-H)} \right]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= 1 + 2\sinh^2 y \left[\frac{3\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) + \frac{1}{2}H}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) - H} \right] \\
&\geq 1 - 2\sinh^2 y \cdot \left| \frac{3\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) + \frac{1}{2}H}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) - H} \right| \quad (19.37)
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\sinh^2 y = 1/(\coth^2 y - 1), \quad (19.38)$$

therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\sinh^2 y &= \frac{[H - 2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D)]^2}{4[G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)]^2 - [H - 2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D)]^2} \\
&\leq \frac{[H - 2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D)]^2}{3[G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)]^2} \quad (19.39)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left\{ 1 - \frac{[2\sinh^2 y(|B|^2 + |D|^2) - 4\sinh y \cosh y \operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D)]}{G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)} \right\} \\
&\geq 1 - 2 \frac{1}{3} \frac{[2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) - H]^2}{[G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)]^2} \cdot \left| \frac{3\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) + \frac{1}{2}H}{2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) - H} \right| \\
&= 1 - \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{[2\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) - H][3\operatorname{Im}(\bar{B}D) + \frac{1}{2}H]}{[G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)]^2} \right| \\
&\geq 1 - \frac{2[G + |B|^2 + |D|^2][\frac{1}{2}G + 3(|B|^2 + |D|^2)/2]}{3[G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)]^2} \\
&= 1 - \frac{1}{3} \left[\frac{G^2 + 4G(|B|^2 + |D|^2) + 3(|B|^2 + |D|^2)^2}{[G + 2(|B|^2 + |D|^2)]^2} \right] \\
&\geq 1 - 1/3
\end{aligned}$$

$$= 2/3 . \quad (19.40)$$

From lemma 19.3 and using

$$\cosh^2 y \geq 1 \quad (19.41)$$

we have from (19.36) and (19.40)

$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta \geq \frac{|c_{pq}|^2}{6 \|A\|_E^2} . \quad (19.42)$$

Therefore

$$\Delta \geq \frac{|c_{pq}|^2}{3 \|A\|_E^2} \quad (19.43)$$

which proves the theorem.

We have thus obtained a lower bound for Δ .

Eberlein (1962) has proved sufficient conditions for

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} N^2(C_i) = 0. \quad (19.44)$$

It then follows from theorem 19.1 that as $N^2(A_i)$

is a decreasing monotone function bounded below by

$$\sum |\lambda_i|^2$$

$$\|A_i A_i^H - A_i^H A_i\|_E^2 \rightarrow 0 \quad (19.45)$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\|A_i\|_E^2 \rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \quad (19.46)$$

as i increases.

20. IMPLEMENTATION

We have closely followed the implementation due to Eberlein (1970) but one major change has been made. Eberlein orders the eigenvalues at the end of each sweep so that

$$|\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_i)| + |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_i)| \geq |\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_{i+1})| + |\operatorname{Im}(\lambda_{i+1})|, \\ i=1,2,\dots,n-1. \quad (20.1)$$

With this Ruhe (1968) has shown that the process is ultimately quadratically convergent. We carried out two further experiments. In the first the ordering was such that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \quad (20.2)$$

and in the second no ordering was used. Over a wide range of examples, including many with multiple eigenvalues, ordering was found to have a negligible effect. The number of rotations needed to almost diagonalise the matrix was nearly always the same and never differed by more than a few per cent but always the running time was less without ordering as the permuting of the rows and columns of the matrix was avoided. We are further encouraged to omit the ordering as in our particular application to simultaneous iteration the matrices upon which the generalised Jacobi method is applied are often diagonally dominant with the diagonal elements such that

$$|a_{11}| \geq |a_{22}| \geq \dots \geq |a_{nn}|. \quad (20.3)$$

We note that there is no question of the process not converging whether it is performed with or without ordering; it is simply that it has not been proved that ultimate quadratic convergence can be guaranteed to take place if there is no ordering. However, our experience suggests that, in general, ordering is not necessary and some time can be saved by omitting it. A listing of the program is given in appendix 4.

21. RUTISHAUSER'S ALGORITHM

Finally in this chapter we mention an algorithm due to Rutishauser (1964). The algorithm is delightfully simple but we show that convergence can never be proved.

Given an arbitrary matrix A Rutishauser forms the commutator matrix C

$$C = AA^H - A^H A. \quad (21.1)$$

The element c_{pq} is annihilated using Jacobi's method for normal matrices. The rotation matrices R needed for this are also used to form

$$A' = R^H A R. \quad (21.2)$$

We then choose the larger of c_{pp} and c_{qq} , say c_{pp} , and perform a shear on A' given by

$$A'' = S^{-1} A' S \quad (21.3)$$

where

$$s_{ij} = \delta_{ij} \quad (21.4)$$

save that

$$s_{pp} = x . \quad (21.5)$$

The elements of A'' are then given by

$$\begin{aligned} a''_{ij} &= a_{ij} & i, j \neq p \\ a''_{ip} &= x \cdot a'_{ip} & i \neq p \\ a''_{pi} &= a'_{pi}/x & i \neq p \\ a''_{pp} &= a'_{pp} . \end{aligned} \quad (21.6)$$

Again we attempt to maximise Δ given by

$$\Delta = \sum_{i,j} |a_{ij}|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |a''_{ij}|^2 \quad (21.7)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} |a'_{ij}|^2 - \sum_{i,j} |a''_{ij}|^2 \quad (21.8)$$

$$= (1-x^2) \sum_{i \neq p} |a_{ip}|^2 + (1-1/x^2) \sum_{i \neq p} |a_{pi}|^2 . \quad (21.9)$$

From (21.9)

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dx^2} = - \sum_{i \neq p} |a_{ip}|^2 + x^{-4} \sum_{i \neq p} |a_{pi}|^2 . \quad (21.10)$$

If

$$\frac{d\Delta}{dx^2} = 0 \quad (21.11)$$

then

$$x^4 = \frac{\sum_{i \neq p} |a_{pi}|^2}{\sum_{i \neq p} |a_{ip}|^2} . \quad (21.12)$$

We then form the new commutator matrix and continue annihilating its elements in a serial fashion.

In attempting to prove convergence we looked

at two possible approaches. Firstly after each rotation-shear pair and secondly after a complete sweep. We have counter examples to show that in both cases A is not nearer to diagonal form than before. The overall process is often convergent but is extremely slow and does not appear to be a contender with the Eberlein type algorithm.

CHAPTER 3

ON THE ORTHONORMALISATION AND BIORTHONORMALISATION
OF SETS OF VECTORS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this chapter we shall consider firstly the problem of orthogonalising a set of p linearly independent real vectors. The orthogonalised vectors will then be normalised to give an orthonormal set.

Thus, if we let

$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p] \quad (1.1)$$

where the x_i are vectors of n components we shall obtain

$$X^T X = I_p \quad (1.2)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(x_i, x_j) = \delta_{ij} . \quad (1.3)$$

This is solved by the Gram-Schmidt process and is well documented by, for example, Rice (1966) and Björck (1967). We then extend the process to permit the x_i to have complex elements and orthonormalise such that, instead of equation (1.2), we have

$$X^H X = I_p . \quad (1.4)$$

Finally we turn to the problem of biorthonormalisation. That is, given two sets of vectors (which may be complex),

$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p]$$

and

$$Y = [y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p] \quad (1.5)$$

we wish to biorthonormalise such that

$$Y^H X = I_p \quad (1.6)$$

or, equivalently,

$$(y_i, x_j) = \delta_{ij} . \quad (1.7)$$

We note that the Gram-Schmidt process is a particular case of biorthonormalisation given by setting

$$y_i = x_i , \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, p. \quad (1.8)$$

The problem of biorthonormalisation does not appear to have been studied although a passing reference to it is made in Clint and Jennings (1971).

Having discussed the theoretical aspects of these problems we look at the implementation of them on a computer. It is well known, for example Rice (1966), that the classical Gram-Schmidt process is not suitable for automatic computation but that a slight variant of it, the modified Gram-Schmidt process, is well constructed for an electronic computer. Analogously we introduce a modified biorthonormalisation process. For our application to simultaneous iteration we require great accuracy on two points; firstly that the vectors are orthogonal, that is, for a specified ϵ ,

$$(x_i, x_j) < \epsilon \quad i \neq j \quad (1.9)$$

and secondly the invariance of the original subspace defined by

$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p] . \quad (1.10)$$

In order to ensure the greatest possible accuracy we introduce reinforcement into our algorithm. This is a device to reorthogonalise any vector

which may be thought to be not strictly orthogonal to its predecessors.

2. GRAM-SCHMIDT ORTHONORMALISATION

Suppose we have a set of p linearly independent real vectors, each of n (non-infinite) components, $[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_p]$. From these we wish to construct an orthonormal set $[\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_p]$ such that

$$(\phi_i, \phi_j) = (\phi_i)^T(\phi_j) = \delta_{ij} . \quad (2.1)$$

From the set $\{f_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ we first of all construct an orthogonal set $\{\psi_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_i, \psi_j) &= 0 & i \neq j \\ &\neq 0 & i = j \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= f_1 \\ \psi_2 &= a_{21}f_1 + f_2 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ \psi_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{ki}f_i + f_k \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, p , \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where the a_{ij} are constants appropriately determined.

Consider now the reciprocal system

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \psi_1 \\ f_2 &= c_{21}\psi_1 + \psi_2 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ f_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{ki}\psi_i + \psi_k \quad k = 2, 3, \dots, p . \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We require the ψ_i to be orthogonal thus, on taking inner products with ψ_i , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_i, f_k) &= \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} c_{kj} (\psi_i, \psi_j) + (\psi_i, \psi_k) \\ &= c_{ki} (\psi_i, \psi_i) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

Equation (2.5) immediately gives

$$c_{ki} = \frac{(\psi_i, f_k)}{(\psi_i, \psi_i)} . \quad (2.6)$$

From equation (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k &= f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{ki} \psi_i \\ &= f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(\psi_i, f_k)}{(\psi_i, \psi_i)} \cdot \psi_i \quad k=2, \dots, p . \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

The set $\{\psi_k | k=1, \dots, p\}$ is now orthogonal. If we further demand that it be orthonormal, that is, that

$$(\psi_i, \psi_i) = 1 \quad (2.8)$$

we form

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k &= \psi_k / \|\psi_k\| \\ &= \frac{\psi_k}{(\psi_k, \psi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

and the set $\{\phi_k | k=1, \dots, p\}$ is now an orthonormal set. (Throughout this chapter $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the 2-norm.) We may modify equation (2.7) to give

$$\psi_k = f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\phi_i, f_k) \phi_i \quad k=2, \dots, p$$

$$\phi_k = \psi_k / \|\psi_k\| \quad k=1, 2, \dots, p \quad (2.10)$$

The above, the classical Gram-Schmidt process, holds for real vectors in which case we note that

$$(f, g) = (g, f) \quad (2.11)$$

and, for vectors of finite dimension, we take

$$(f, g) = f^T g \quad (2.12)$$

With only slight modification the process holds for complex vectors. However, in this case

$$(f, g) = \overline{(g, f)} \quad (2.13)$$

where \bar{z} denotes the complex conjugate of z . Also, analogously to (2.12) we take

$$(f, g) = f^H g \quad (2.14)$$

We may thus summarise the classical Gram-Schmidt process as

$$\phi_1 = f_1 / \|f_1\|$$

$$\phi'_k = f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\phi_i, f_k) \phi_i \quad k=2, \dots, p$$

$$\phi_k = \phi'_k / \|\phi'_k\| \quad (2.15)$$

3. MODIFIED GRAM-SCHMIDT

It is well known, see for example Rice (1966), that the classical Gram-Schmidt process is often computationally disastrous. This has led to the introduction of the modified Gram-Schmidt process which we explain below.

In the classical Gram-Schmidt process we

remove, at the k -th stage, all components of the now orthonormal f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k-1} from f_k . In the modified process we remove the relevant component of the k -th vector from each of the remaining $(p-k)$ vectors. We may summarise the process as follows

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= f_1 / \|f_1\| \\ f_k^{(i)} &= f_k^{(i-1)} - (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)}) \phi_i \\ &\quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1 \\ \phi_k &= f_k^{(k-1)} / \|f_k^{(k-1)}\| \end{aligned} \right\} k = 2, \dots, p \quad (3.1)$$

where we define $f_k^{(0)} = f_k$.

By comparing equations (2.15) with (3.1) the similarity of the two processes will be seen. It is also apparent that the modified process is easier to implement than the classical one. We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 The classical and modified Gram-Schmidt processes are theoretically identical.

Proof: We rewrite the second equation of (2.15) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^{(k-1)} &= f_k^{(0)} - (\phi_1, f_k^{(0)}) \phi_1 - (\phi_2, f_k^{(0)}) \phi_2 - \\ &\quad \dots - (\phi_{k-1}, f_k^{(0)}) \phi_{k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

and rewrite (3.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} f_k^{(k-1)} &= f_k^{(0)} - (\phi_1, f_k^{(0)}) \phi_1 - (\phi_2, f_k^{(1)}) \phi_2 - \\ &\quad \dots - (\phi_{k-1}, f_k^{(k-2)}) \phi_{k-1} \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

We see that for $p=1$ or 2 the computations involved in the two processes are identical but for $p \geq 3$ they are performed in a different order.

To prove that the processes are theoretically the same we need to show that

$$(\phi_i, f_k^{(0)})\phi_i = (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)})\phi_i \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \quad (3.4)$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)})\phi_i \\ &= \left\{ \phi_i, [f_k^{(i-2)} - (\phi_{i-1}, f_k^{(i-2)})\phi_{i-1}] \right\} \phi_i \\ & \hspace{20em} \text{(by (3.1))} \\ &= (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-2)})\phi_i, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

as $(\phi_i, \phi_{i-1}) = 0$. Similarly we may show

$$(\phi_i, f_k^{(i-2)})\phi_i = (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-3)})\phi_i. \quad (3.6)$$

Repeated application gives

$$(\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)})\phi_i = (\phi_i, f_k^{(0)})\phi_i. \quad (3.7)$$

Since equation (3.7) holds for all

$$1 \leq i \leq k-1 \quad (3.8)$$

it follows that equations (3.2) and (3.3) are identical and the theorem is proved.

Rice (1966) has given a simple error analysis to show why the classical Gram-Schmidt process may be computationally poor and this we outline.

Suppose that for some value k we have

$$(\phi_i, \phi_j) = \varepsilon_{ij} \quad i, j \leq k-1. \quad (3.9)$$

Let

$$f_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i \phi_i + \eta \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$(\eta, \phi_i) = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq k-1. \quad (3.11)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'_k &= f_k - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\phi_j, f_k) \phi_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i \phi_i + \eta - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} [\phi_j, (\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \beta_i \phi_i + \eta)] \phi_j \\ &= \eta - \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\sum_{i \neq j} \beta_i \varepsilon_{ij}) \phi_j. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

From equations (3.9) and (3.12)

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{k1} &= (\phi'_k, \phi_1) \\ &= (\phi'_k / \|\phi'_k\|, \phi_1) \\ &= \frac{-\sum_{j=1}^{k-1} (\sum_{i \neq j} \beta_i \varepsilon_{ij}) \varepsilon_{j1}}{\|\phi'_k\|}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Hence non-orthogonality effects are magnified by the factor $1/\|\phi'_k\|$ in the classical Gram-Schmidt process. If, as may quite often happen, $\|\eta\|$ becomes small then $\|\phi'_k\|$ becomes small. This increases the ε_{ij} which makes ϕ'_k tend to become a linear combination of the $\{\phi_i | i=1, \dots, k-1\}$. Thus $\varepsilon_{k,k-1}$ approaches unity and the vectors, instead of being orthogonal, become parallel!

However, the modified Gram-Schmidt process always has $\varepsilon_{k,k-1} = 0$ (to machine accuracy). For we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\phi_{i,f_k^{(i)}}) &= (\phi_{i,f_k^{(i-1)}}) - (\phi_{i,f_k^{(i-1)}})(\phi_i, \phi_i) \\
 &= 0 .
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3.14}$$

Rice (1966) has carried out a large number of experiments and all his results support the theoretical findings given above. It is also apparent from the above analysis that if the classical process once loses orthogonality it then always produces almost identical vectors. However the modified process always generates distinct vectors (even if they are not orthogonal) as we know that ϕ_k is always orthogonal (to machine accuracy) to ϕ_{k-1} .

In addition to the simple analysis given above Björck (1967) has given a complete rounding error analysis of the Gram-Schmidt process. In order to illustrate the difference between the classical and modified processes he gives the following example. Suppose

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \varepsilon & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \varepsilon \end{bmatrix}
 \tag{3.15}$$

where ε is a small number such that due to the machine round-off error $1+\varepsilon^2$ is everywhere put equal to 1. It is easily verified that, if no other rounding errors are made, then the maximum deviation from orthogonality of the

computed $\{\phi_i\}$ is given by

$$\frac{|(\phi_3, \phi_2)|}{\|\phi_3\|_2 \|\phi_2\|_2} = \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.16)$$

for the classical process and

$$\frac{|(\phi_3, \phi_1)|}{\|\phi_3\|_2 \|\phi_1\|_2} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \quad (3.17)$$

for the modified process.

4. REINFORCEMENT

By reinforcement we mean reorthogonalising one of the (supposedly orthogonal) ϕ_k against $\{\phi_i | i=1, \dots, k-1\}$. In general there should be no need to reinforce but if a particular f_k is almost parallel to one of the preceding ϕ_i or if $f_k^{(k-1)}$ is a vector all of whose components are small it may well be advisable to take the precaution of reorthogonalising. We have no proof of the validity of the strategy we describe, which was first suggested by Rutishauser (1970), but over a large number of experiments it has been seen to be satisfactory.

At the k -th stage of the modified Gram-Schmidt process we form successively the inner-products

$$(\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)}) \quad i=1, \dots, k-1 \quad (4.1)$$

and finally

$$\|f_k^{(k-1)}\| = (f_k^{(k-1)}, f_k^{(k-1)})^{1/2} . \quad (4.2)$$

If any of the products (4.1) were large this would be an indication that ϕ_i and $f_k^{(i-1)}$ were nearly parallel and that a large component of f_k existed in the ϕ_i direction. The existence and removal of such a large component might well suggest the desirability of reorthogonalising. We might also expect $(f_k^{(k-1)}, f_k^{(k-1)})$ not to be pathologically small. If this were the case the resulting error in ϕ_k defined by (3.1) might be magnified. With these points in mind we form at the k -th step the total t given by

$$t = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)})^2 \quad (4.3)$$

and let

$$s = (f_k^{(k-1)}, f_k^{(k-1)}) . \quad (4.4)$$

The possibly undesirable situations we have outlined above correspond to either

$$t \gg s \quad (4.5)$$

or

$$s \cdot \varepsilon = 0 \quad (4.6)$$

where ε is the machine precision. As a typical value for ε is $O(10^{-12})$ if equation (4.6) is true it is clear that every component of $f_k^{(k-1)}$ must be very small. In this case we assume f_k to be linearly dependent upon $\{\phi_i | i=1, \dots, k-1\}$ and set

$$\phi_k \equiv 0 . \quad (4.7)$$

If however relation (4.5) is true but (4.6) is not we reorthogonalise $f_k^{(k-1)}$. It is a relatively arbitrary choice but we replace (4.5) by the test

$$\text{Is } t \geq 100.s ? \quad (4.8)$$

If so, we reinforce f_k ; otherwise we accept ϕ_k and proceed to f_{k+1} . The factor of 100 appears to err on the side of caution but does not cause many unnecessary reinforcements to be performed. As a high degree of orthogonality is required in our application this is the factor we have used throughout.

5. COMPUTATIONAL FORMULATION

The modified Gram-Schmidt process is delightfully easy to program and follows exactly the theoretical formulation given in equations (3.1). The tests for reinforcement are as given in section 4. Two versions of the program are needed; one is for the case of real vectors, the other for the complex case. The latter is similar to the real case with all the complex arithmetic performed using only real variables. Both routines use a separate subprogram to calculate the inner-products and, for the complex case, three additional subprograms are required. Full details of the programming are to be found in chapter 5 and

listings of the orthonormalisation routines are included in appendix 5 (real case) and appendix 6 (complex case).

6. BIORTHONORMALISATION

We have just discussed the problem of orthonormalisation and we now turn to that of biorthonormalisation. Thus given two sets of p vectors with n components ($p \leq n$), $\{f_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{g_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ it is possible, subject to certain conditions (in this case rather more stringent than those of the Gram-Schmidt process), to biorthogonalise the vectors to give two new sets $\{\psi_i' | i=1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{\phi_i' | i=1, \dots, p\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_i', \phi_j') &= 0 & i \neq j \\ &\neq 0 & i = j . \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

We may further demand biorthonormalisation of the sets $\{\psi_i\}$ and $\{\phi_i\}$;

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi_i, \phi_j) &= 0 & i \neq j \\ &= 1 & i = j . \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

We assume from the outset that $\{f_i\}$ and $\{g_i\}$ may be complex and express ψ_i and ϕ_i in terms of our original sets $\{f\}$ and $\{g\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_1 &= f_1 \\ \psi_2 &= a_{21}f_1 + f_2 \\ &\dots \end{aligned}$$

$$\psi_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} a_{ki} f_i + f_k \quad k=2, \dots, p \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1 &= \varepsilon_1 \\ \phi_2 &= b_{21} \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ \phi_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} b_{ki} \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_k \quad k=2, \dots, p \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where the a_{ij} and b_{ij} are appropriately determined.

Consider now the reciprocal systems

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \psi_1 \\ f_2 &= c_{21} \psi_1 + \psi_2 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ f_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{ki} \psi_i + \psi_k \quad k=2, \dots, p \end{aligned} \quad (6.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_1 &= \phi_1 \\ \varepsilon_2 &= d_{21} \phi_1 + \phi_2 \\ &\dots \dots \dots \\ \varepsilon_k &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_{ki} \phi_i + \phi_k \quad k=2, \dots, p \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

We require the ψ_i and ϕ_i to be biorthogonal thus,

on taking inner-products of (6.5) with ϕ_i and of

(6.6) with ψ_i , we obtain

$$(\phi_i, f_k) = c_{ki} (\phi_i, \psi_i) \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$(\psi_i, \varepsilon_k) = d_{ki} (\psi_i, \phi_i) \quad (6.8)$$

These equations immediately give

$$c_{ki} = \frac{(\phi_i, f_k)}{(\phi_i, \psi_i)} \quad (6.9)$$

and

$$d_{ki} = \frac{(\psi_i, g_k)}{(\psi_i, \phi_i)} \quad (6.10)$$

where we define the inner-products exactly as before in section 2. From equations (6.5) and (6.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_k &= f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} c_{ki} \psi_i \\ &= f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(\phi_i, f_k)}{(\phi_i, \psi_i)} \cdot \psi_i \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_k &= g_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} d_{ki} \phi_i \\ &= g_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \frac{(\psi_i, g_k)}{(\psi_i, \phi_i)} \cdot \phi_i \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

The sets $\{\psi_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ and $\{\phi_i | i=1, \dots, p\}$ are now biorthogonal. If we further demand that they be biorthonormal, that is, that

$$(\psi_i, \phi_i) = 1 = (\phi_i, \psi_i) \quad (6.13)$$

we may modify equations (6.11) and (6.12) to give

$$\psi'_k = f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\phi_i, f_k) \psi_i \quad (6.14)$$

and

$$\phi'_k = g_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\psi_i, g_k) \phi_i \quad (6.15)$$

The question of how to calculate the normalising factor is much more complicated than in the Gram-Schmidt process and we leave a full discussion to section 8. However the obvious approach, by analogy with the previous sections, would be to form

$$(\phi_k, \psi_k) = \overline{(\psi_k, \phi_k)} \quad (6.16)$$

and then to take

$$\psi'_k = \frac{\psi_k}{(\phi_k, \psi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (6.17)$$

$$\phi'_k = \frac{\phi_k}{(\phi_k, \psi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (6.18)$$

Whilst this is theoretically acceptable in practice it may not be satisfactory as we see in section 8.

7. MODIFIED BIORTHONORMALISATION

We summarise the biorthonormalisation process as:

Biorthonormalise f_1 and g_1 to give ψ_1 and ϕ_1 such that $(\psi_1, \phi_1) = 1$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \psi'_k &= f_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\phi_i, f_k) \psi_i \\ \phi'_k &= g_k - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (\psi_i, g_k) \phi_i \\ \text{biorthonormalise } \psi'_k \text{ and } \phi'_k \text{ to give } \\ \psi_k \text{ and } \phi_k \text{ such that } (\psi_k, \phi_k) &= 1 \end{aligned} \right\} k=2, \dots, p \quad (7.1)$$

Following section 3 it seems natural to introduce

the modified biorthonormalisation process in which, at the k -th stage, we remove the relevant components of the k -th vector from each of the remaining $(p-k)$ vectors. This contrasts with equations (7.1) in which we remove all components of the first $(k-1)$ biorthonormal vectors from the k -th one. We may summarise the modified process as follows:

Biorthonormalise f_1 and g_1 to give ψ_1 and ϕ_1 such that $(\psi_1, \phi_1) = 1$,

$$\left. \begin{aligned} f_k^{(i)} &= f_k^{(i-1)} - (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)}) \psi_i \\ g_k^{(i)} &= g_k^{(i-1)} - (\psi_i, g_k^{(i-1)}) \phi_i \end{aligned} \right\} i=1, \dots, k-1$$

biorthonormalise $f_k^{(k-1)}$ and $g_k^{(k-1)}$ to

give ψ_k and ϕ_k such that $(\psi_k, \phi_k) = 1$

$$k = 2, \dots, p \quad (7.2)$$

where we define $f_k^{(0)} = f_k$ and $g_k^{(0)} = g_k$.

Comparison between equations (7.1) and (7.2) shows the similarity of the processes and again reveals that the modified one is easier to implement. We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 7.1 The classical and modified biorthonormalisation processes are theoretically identical.

Proof: We consider firstly equations (7.1) rewritten as

as $(\phi_i, \psi_{i-1}) = 0$. Similarly we may show

$$(\phi_i, f_k^{(i-2)}) \psi_i = (\phi_i, f_k^{(i-3)}) \psi_i \quad (7.10)$$

and, by repeated application of (7.2), that

$$(\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)}) \psi_i = (\phi_i, f_k^{(0)}) \psi_i \quad (7.11)$$

A similar argument shows that

$$(\psi_i, g_k^{(i-1)}) \phi_i = (\psi_i, g_k^{(0)}) \phi_i \quad (7.12)$$

Since equations (7.11) and (7.12) hold for all

$$1 \leq i \leq k-1 \quad (7.13)$$

it follows that the theorem is proved.

Identical analysis to that used in section 3 shows the inherent instability of the classical biorthonormalisation process and for the modified biorthonormalisation process shows a stability similar to that for the modified Gram-Schmidt process. We have carried out extensive tests of both the classical and modified biorthonormalisation programs and the experimental evidence strongly supports the theoretical analysis. Indeed there would seem to be no reason whatever to implement either the classical Gram-Schmidt or the classical biorthonormalisation as, in both cases, the modified schemes are easier to program and give better results.

We included reinforcement in our biorthonormalisation scheme utilising the technique of section 4 and applying it to both sets of vectors.

Thus we form

$$t_L = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |(\phi_i, f_k^{(i-1)})|^2$$

and

$$t_R = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} |(\psi_i, g_k^{(i-1)})|^2 \quad (7.14)$$

in place of equation (4.3). We replace equation (4.4) by

$$s = |(g_k^{(k-1)}, f_k^{(k-1)})|. \quad (7.15)$$

We now perform the tests given in section 4 independently to both t_L and t_R and reinforce if either result suggests that it is necessary.

8. NORMALISATION

There is one very important difference between orthogonalisation and biorthogonalisation. In the Gram-Schmidt process the inner-product (ϕ_k, ϕ_k) is always real and positive irrespective of whether the vectors are real or complex. Hence

$$\|\phi_k\| = (\phi_k, \phi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (8.1)$$

is always real. However, in the case of biorthonormalisation, there is no reason why, for real vectors, (ϕ_k, ψ_k) should be positive and hence

$(\phi_k, \psi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ may be complex. If it is desired to remain

wholly within the real plane then the sign of each of the components of one of the vectors ϕ_k or ψ_k should be changed.

This leads us to consider how the normalisation should be performed. We saw at the end of section 6 that theoretically we may take, for an unnormalised ψ_k and ϕ_k ,

$$\psi'_k = \frac{\psi_k}{(\phi_k, \psi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (8.2)$$

$$\phi'_k = \frac{\phi_k}{(\phi_k, \psi_k)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \quad (8.3)$$

Unfortunately there is no reason why this should give us

$$\|\psi'_k\| = O(\|\phi'_k\|) \quad (8.4)$$

and in practice the two norms are often wildly different. We therefore propose the following. We wish to determine

$$\psi'_k = \psi_k/\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \phi'_k = \phi_k/\mu \quad (8.5)$$

such that

$$(\psi'_k, \phi'_k) = \frac{(\psi_k, \phi_k)}{\lambda \mu} = 1 \quad (8.6)$$

together with

$$\|\psi'_k\| = \|\phi'_k\| \quad (8.7)$$

Equations (8.5) give

$$\|\psi'_k\| = \frac{\|\psi_k\|}{|\lambda|} \quad \text{and} \quad \|\phi'_k\| = \frac{\|\phi_k\|}{|\mu|} \quad (8.8)$$

Thus, dropping the subscript k ,

$$\frac{\|\psi\|}{|\lambda|} = \frac{\|\phi\|}{|\mu|} \quad (8.9)$$

giving

$$\frac{|\lambda|}{|\mu|} = \frac{\|\psi\|}{\|\phi\|} . \quad (8.10)$$

Equation (8.6) gives

$$\overline{\lambda\mu} = (\psi, \phi) \quad (8.11)$$

thus (8.10) yields

$$|\lambda|^2 = \frac{\|\psi\|}{\|\phi\|} \cdot |(\psi, \phi)| . \quad (8.12)$$

Similarly we may obtain

$$|\mu|^2 = \frac{\|\phi\|}{\|\psi\|} \cdot |(\psi, \phi)| . \quad (8.13)$$

Hence (8.11), (8.12), (8.13) are satisfied by

$$\overline{\lambda} = \|\psi\| \left[\frac{(\psi, \phi)}{\|\phi\| \cdot \|\psi\|} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (8.14)$$

and

$$\mu = \|\phi\| \left[\frac{(\psi, \phi)}{\|\phi\| \cdot \|\psi\|} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} . \quad (8.15)$$

Hence equations (8.5) and (8.15) give us a method for normalising such that

$$\|\psi'_k\| = \|\phi'_k\| . \quad (8.16)$$

We have implemented the above in our program and found it most satisfactory. The implementation is straightforward, as is the rest of the biorthonormalisation process, and a complete listing is included in appendix 7.

9. APPLICABILITY

We know that in order to be able to orthogonalise a pair of vectors using the Gram-Schmidt process they must be linearly independent. In order to be able to biorthogonalise two sets of vectors we must have that, at each stage,

$$(\phi_i, \psi_i) \neq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, p \quad (9.1)$$

Some rather long and tedious manipulation of equations (6.11) and (6.12) shows that this is equivalent to demanding that at the k-th stage

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} (f_1, g_1) & (f_1, g_2) & \dots & (f_1, g_k) \\ (f_2, g_1) & (f_2, g_2) & \dots & (f_2, g_k) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ (f_k, g_1) & (f_k, g_2) & \dots & (f_k, g_k) \end{bmatrix} \neq 0 \quad (9.2)$$

$k = 1, 2, \dots, p$

Conversely if equation (9.2) is true for all k then it is possible to biorthonormalise the two sets of vectors.

CHAPTER 4

ITERATIVE METHODS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this chapter we give an account of some of the more commonly used iterative methods for solving the eigenvalue problem. Most methods are essentially iterative in nature but here we confine ourselves to those which are concerned with the determination of no more than a few eigensolutions.

We restrict ourselves for the moment to matrices having linear elementary divisors. For any such matrix A we have

$$\begin{aligned} A &= X \cdot \text{diag}(\lambda_i) X^{-1} \\ &= X \Delta Y^H \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i y_i^H \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where the rows y_i^H of Y^H and the columns x_i of X are the left-hand and right-hand eigenvectors of A normalised such that

$$y_i^H x_i = 1. \quad (1.2)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} A^s &= X \cdot \text{diag}(\lambda_i^s) Y^H \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^s x_i y_i^H \quad s=1,2,3,\dots \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

and if

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{r+1}| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \quad (1.4)$$

the expression on the right of (1.3) is ultimately dominated by the terms $\sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i^s x_i y_i^H$. This is the

fundamental result on which the methods of this chapter are based. We note also that it is the basis of both the LR and QR algorithms and we begin by looking briefly at these.

2. THE LR ALGORITHM

Before continuing with the discussion of methods leading up to simultaneous iteration we look at the LR algorithm due to Rutishauser (1958) and the QR algorithm of Francis (1961). We shall show that there is a close theoretical similarity between simultaneous iteration and the LR and QR algorithms.

Rutishauser's algorithm is based on the triangular decomposition of a matrix and we write

$$A = LR \quad (2.1)$$

where L is unit lower triangular and R is upper triangular. We now consider

$$L^{-1}AL = L^{-1}(LR)L = RL. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence if we perform a triangular decomposition of A and then multiply the factors in the reverse order we obtain a matrix similar to A . In the LR algorithm this process is repeated indefinitely and, renaming the original matrix A_1 , the algorithm is defined by the equations

$$A_{s-1} = L_{s-1}R_{s-1} ; \quad R_{s-1}L_{s-1} = A_s \quad s=2,3,\dots \quad (2.3)$$

We know A_s is similar to A_{s-1} and hence, by induction, to A_1 . Rutishauser showed that under certain restrictions

$$L_s \rightarrow I$$

and

$$R_s \rightarrow A_s \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & & & \\ & \lambda_2 & X & \\ & & \dots & \\ & & & \lambda_n \end{bmatrix} \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

We now derive some relations between the successive iterates which we shall find of use later. From equations (2.3) we have

$$A_s = L_{s-1}^{-1} A_{s-1} L_{s-1} \quad (2.5)$$

and, by repeated application, it follows that

$$A_s = (L_{s-1}^{-1} L_{s-2}^{-1} \dots L_1^{-1}) A_1 (L_1 L_2 \dots L_{s-1}) \quad (2.6)$$

or

$$L_1 L_2 \dots L_{s-1} A_s = A_1 L_1 L_2 \dots L_{s-1}. \quad (2.7)$$

The matrices T_s and U_s defined by

$$T_s = L_1 L_2 \dots L_s \text{ and } U_s = R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1 \quad (2.8)$$

are unit lower triangular and upper triangular respectively. We now consider their product.

$$\begin{aligned} T_s U_s &= L_1 L_2 \dots L_{s-1} (L_s R_s) R_{s-1} \dots R_2 R_1 \\ &= L_1 L_2 \dots L_{s-1} A_s R_{s-1} \dots R_2 R_1 \\ &= A_1 L_1 L_2 \dots L_{s-1} R_{s-1} \dots R_2 R_1 \end{aligned}$$

$$= A_1 T_{s-1} U_{s-1} \quad (2.9)$$

Repeated application of this result gives us that

$$T_s U_s = A_1^s \quad (2.10)$$

Thus $T_s U_s$ is the triangular decomposition of A_1^s .

3. CONVERGENCE OF THE LR ALGORITHM

We assume initially that the eigenvalues of A_1 satisfy

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n| \quad (3.1)$$

Since A_1 must then have linear divisors we may write

$$A_1^s = X \text{diag}(\lambda_i^s) X^{-1} = X D^s Y \quad (3.2)$$

We define the matrices L_X, U_X, L_Y and U_Y by the relations

$$X = L_X U_X \quad ; \quad Y = L_Y U_Y \quad (3.3)$$

where the U 's are upper triangular and the L 's unit lower triangular. All four matrices are independent of s and the triangular decompositions exist only if all the leading principal minors of X and Y are non-zero. From equations (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^s &= X D^s Y \\ &= L_X U_X D^s L_Y U_Y \\ &= L_X U_X (D^s L_Y D^{-s}) D^s U_Y \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Clearly $D^s L_Y D^{-s}$ is a unit lower triangular matrix and its (i, j) element is given by

$$l_{ij}(\lambda_i/\lambda_j)^s \quad \text{when } i > j \quad (3.5)$$

and hence we may write

$$D^s L_Y D^{-s} = I + E_s \quad \text{where } E_s \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.6)$$

Equation (3.4) therefore gives

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^s &= L_X U_X (D^s L_Y D^{-s}) D^s U_Y \\ &= L_X U_X (I + E_s) D^s U_Y \\ &= L_X (I + U_X E_s U_X^{-1}) U_X D^s U_Y \\ &= L_X (I + F_s) U_X D^s U_Y \end{aligned} \quad \text{where } F_s \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.7)$$

The matrix $(I + F_s)$ has a triangular decomposition for all sufficiently large s and, since $F_s \rightarrow 0$, both the factors of the decomposition tend to I . For small values of s this decomposition may not exist corresponding to the case which arises when a principal minor of some A_s is zero. Ignoring this possibility we see that, in the notation of the previous section,

$$T_s \longrightarrow L_X. \quad (3.8)$$

From this it follows that A_s tends to upper triangular form with the λ_i in the correct order on the diagonal.

If one or more of the leading principal minors of Y vanishes Wilkinson (1965) has shown

that there is nevertheless a permutation matrix P such that PY has a triangular decomposition. Denoting this by $L_Y U_Y$ we have

$$A_1^S = X D^S P^T L_Y U_Y = (X P^T) (P D^S P^T) L_Y U_Y . \quad (3.9)$$

If $X P^T$ has a triangular decomposition $L_X U_X$, that is if all its leading principal minors are non-zero, then we can show as before that

$$T_s \longrightarrow L_X \quad (3.10)$$

and A_s tends to a triangular matrix with $D P^T$ as its diagonal.

4. EIGENVALUES OF EQUAL MODULUS

We now consider the case when A_1 has some eigenvalues of equal modulus but all its elementary divisors are linear. We assume that all the leading principal minors of X and Y are non-zero as we have just considered the case when they are not. We have

$$A_1^S = X D^S L_Y U_Y . \quad (4.1)$$

Suppose

$$|\lambda_r| = |\lambda_{r+1}| = \dots = |\lambda_t| \quad (4.2)$$

and all the other eigenvalues have distinct moduli.

The elements of $D^S L_Y D^{-S}$ in the (i, j) position

below the diagonal therefore tend to zero unless

$$t \geq i > j \geq r \quad (4.3)$$

in which case they remain equal in modulus to l_{ij} .

When all the eigenvalues of equal modulus are in fact equal we may write

$$D^s L D^{-s} = L + E_s \quad \text{where } E_s \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty \quad (4.4)$$

where L is a fixed unit lower triangular matrix which is equal to I , except for the elements in position (i, j) which satisfy (4.3) where they are equal to l_{ij} . If we write

$$XL = L_X U_X \quad (4.5)$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^s &= X(D^s L_Y D^{-s}) D^s U_Y \\ &= X(L + E_s) D^s U_Y \\ &= L_X U_X (I + L^{-1} E_s) D^s U_Y \\ &= L_X (I + U_X L^{-1} E_s U_X^{-1}) U_X D^s U_Y \\ &= L_X (I + F_s) U_X D^s U_Y \quad \text{where } F_s \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The matrix $(I + F_s)$ has, as before, a triangular decomposition for all sufficiently large s and, since $F_s \rightarrow 0$, both the factors of the decomposition tend to I . Hence we see again that

$$T_s \longrightarrow L_X \quad (4.7)$$

where L_X is the matrix obtained by factorising XL .

We notice that the columns of XL are a set of linearly independent eigenvectors of A_1 since XL differs from X only in that columns r to t are

replaced by combinations of themselves. Thus multiple eigenvalues corresponding to linear divisors do not prevent convergence.

If the eigenvalues are of equal modulus but are not actually equal then the matrix L is of a similar form as before but the non-zero subdiagonal elements are not now fixed. If

$$\lambda_i = |\lambda_i| \exp(i\theta_i) \quad (4.8)$$

we have

$$l_{ij} = l_{ij} \exp[is(\theta_i - \theta_j)] \quad (4.9)$$

The matrix XL is fixed apart from columns r to t . For each value of s these columns consist of a linear combination of the corresponding columns of X . Thus in the $L_X U_X$ decomposition of XL all columns of L_X are fixed except for columns r to t and hence, apart from these columns, T_s is convergent.

We also mention for the sake of completeness that if A_1 is symmetric and positive definite the process is always convergent, irrespective of the multiplicities of the eigenvalues. Further details may be found in Wilkinson (1965).

5. THE QR ALGORITHM

We now turn to the QR algorithm of Francis (1961). In place of the triangular decomposition used in the LR algorithm Francis uses a

factorisation into the product of a unitary matrix Q and an upper triangular matrix R .

The algorithm is defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_s &= Q_s R_s \\
 A_{s+1} &= Q_s^H A_s Q_s \\
 &= Q_s^H Q_s R_s Q_s \\
 &= R_s Q_s, \tag{5.1}
 \end{aligned}$$

and at each stage we see that we are now using a unitary transformation in place of the general similarity transformation of the LR algorithm given by equations (2.3). The factorisation of A_s is essentially unique and indeed it is unique if we take the diagonal elements of R_s to be real and positive. The advantage of this factorisation is that the vanishing of a principal minor of A_s does not cause a breakdown of the process as it does in the LR decomposition. We note that if A_s is real both Q_s and R_s are real.

The successive iterates satisfy relations similar to those for the LR algorithm which we derived in section 2. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_{s+1} &= Q_s^H A_s Q_s \\
 &= Q_s^H (Q_{s-1}^H A_{s-1} Q_{s-1}) Q_s \\
 &= (Q_s^H Q_{s-1}^H \dots Q_1^H) A_1 (Q_1 Q_2 \dots Q_s), \tag{5.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

which gives us

$$Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_s A_{s+1} = A_1 Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_s . \quad (5.3)$$

All A_s are therefore unitarily similar to A_1 and

if we define P_s and U_s by

$$P_s = Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_s ; \quad U_s = R_s R_{s-1} \cdots R_1 \quad (5.4)$$

and consider their product we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_s U_s &= Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_{s-1} (Q_s R_s) R_{s-1} \cdots R_1 \\ &= Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_{s-1} A_s R_{s-1} \cdots R_1 \\ &= A_1 Q_1 Q_2 \cdots Q_{s-1} R_{s-1} \cdots R_1 \\ &= A_1 P_{s-1} U_{s-1} . \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Hence

$$P_s U_s = A_1^s . \quad (5.6)$$

Thus $P_s U_s$ is the factorisation of A_1^s .

6. CONVERGENCE OF THE QR ALGORITHM

In general the matrix A_s tends to upper triangular form under similar, but less stringent, conditions than were necessary for convergence of the LR algorithm. We note firstly one small difference between the two algorithms.

If A_1 is already an upper triangular matrix the LR algorithm gives us

$$L_1 = I ; \quad R_1 = A_1 \quad (6.1)$$

and hence

$$A_s = A_1 \quad \text{for all } s. \quad (6.2)$$

This is not true however for the QR algorithm if we insist that R_s should have positive diagonal elements. Writing

$$a_{ii} = |a_{ii}| \exp(i\theta_i) , \quad D = \text{diag}(\exp(i\theta_i)) \quad (6.3)$$

we have

$$A_1 = D(D^{-1}A_1) , \quad A_2 = D^{-1}A_1D . \quad (6.4)$$

Hence, although A_2 has the same diagonal elements as A_1 the super diagonal elements are multiplied by complex factors of modulus unity. Obviously we cannot have A_s tending to a strict limit unless all the eigenvalues are real and positive. However, the factors of modulus unity are of little importance and we say that A_s is essentially convergent if

$$A_{s+1} \longrightarrow D^{-1}A_sD \quad (6.5)$$

asymptotically for some unitary diagonal matrix D .

The proof of convergence is very similar to that given for the LR algorithm. We assume initially that the eigenvalues of A_1 satisfy

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_n| . \quad (6.6)$$

As before we may write

$$A_1^S = XD^S Y \quad (6.7)$$

but we now define Q, R, L and U by

$$X = QR , \quad Y = LU \quad (6.8)$$

where R and U are upper triangular, L is unit lower

triangular and Q is unitary. The QR decomposition always exists but, as before, the triangular decomposition of Y exists only if all its leading principal minors are non-zero. The non-singularity of R follows from that of X .

An analysis similar to that in section 3 gives us

$$A_1^S = Q(I+F_S)RD^S U \quad (6.9)$$

where

$$F_S = RE_S R^{-1} \quad (6.10)$$

and

$$F_S \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } s \longrightarrow \infty . \quad (6.11)$$

The matrix $(I+F_S)$ may be factorised into the product of a unitary matrix Q_S and an upper triangular matrix R_S and, since $F_S \rightarrow 0$, Q_S and R_S both tend to I . Hence we have

$$A_1^S = (QQ_S)(R_S RD^S U) . \quad (6.12)$$

The first factor of (6.12) is unitary and the second is upper triangular. Provided A_1^S is non-singular its factorisation into this product is unique and hence

$$P_S = QQ_S \quad (6.13)$$

except possibly for a multiplying diagonal unitary matrix. Hence P_S converges essentially to Q . If we insist additionally that all R_S have positive

diagonal elements then it is possible to calculate the unitary diagonal factor from equation (6.12).

The proof shows that provided all leading principal minors of Y are non-zero we not only have convergence but that the eigenvalues are correctly ordered on the diagonal. Although Y will not have a triangular decomposition when one of its principal minors vanishes there is always a permutation matrix P so that PY has such a decomposition. The reasoning is the same as that for the LR algorithm given in section 3.

7. EIGENVALUES OF EQUAL MODULUS

We again assume that A_1 has linear elementary divisors but that some of its eigenvalues are of equal modulus. The analysis is similar to that of section 4 except that we replace equation (4.5) by

$$XL = QR \quad (7.1)$$

which gives us

$$\begin{aligned} A_1^s &= QR(I+L^{-1}E_s)D^sU \\ &= Q(I+RL^{-1}E_sR^{-1})RD^sU \\ &= Q(I+F_s)RD^sU \\ &= (QQ_s)(R_sRD^sU) \quad \text{where } F_s \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

where Q_sR_s is the factorisation of $(I+F_s)$. Hence

P_s tends to Q which is the matrix obtained by

factorising XL. The rest of the discussion is as for the LR algorithm given in section 4.

8. THE POWER METHOD

The simplest application of the idea of section 1 is to the power method for determining the dominant eigensolution. Let u_0 be an arbitrary vector and let the sequences v_s and u_s be defined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} v_{s+1} &= Au_s \\ u_{s+1} &= v_{s+1}/\max(v_{s+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

where we use the notation $\max(x)$ to denote the element of maximum modulus of the vector x . Clearly we have

$$u_s = A^s u_0 / \max(A^s u_0) \quad (8.2)$$

and if we write

$$u_0 = \sum_i^n a_i x_i \quad (8.3)$$

then, apart from the normalising factor, u_s is given by

$$\sum_i^n a_i \lambda_i^s x_i = \lambda_1^s [a_1 x_1 + \sum_2^n a_i (\lambda_i/\lambda_1)^s x_i] \quad (8.4)$$

If $|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|$ then, provided $a_1 \neq 0$, we have

$$u_s \longrightarrow x_1/\max(x_1) \text{ and } \max(v_s) \longrightarrow \lambda_1 \quad (8.5)$$

Hence this process provides simultaneously the

dominant eigenvalue and the corresponding eigenvector. If $|\lambda_1/\lambda_2|$ is close to unity the convergence is very slow.

If there are a number of independent eigenvectors corresponding to the dominant eigenvalue this does not affect the convergence. Thus if

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \dots = \lambda_r$$

and

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_{r+1}| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \quad (8.6)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} A^S u_0 &= \lambda_1^S \left[\sum_1^r a_i x_i + \sum_{r+1}^n a_i (\lambda_i/\lambda_1)^S x_i \right] \\ &\longrightarrow \lambda_1^S \sum_1^r a_i x_i . \end{aligned} \quad (8.7)$$

The iterates therefore tend to some vector lying in the subspace spanned by the eigenvectors (x_1, \dots, x_r) , the limit depending upon the initial vector u_0 .

9. COMPLEX CONJUGATE EIGENVALUES

If the dominant eigenvalues of a real matrix are a complex conjugate pair λ_1 and $\bar{\lambda}_1$ the iterated vectors will not converge. In fact if x_1 and \bar{x}_1 are the corresponding eigenvectors an arbitrary real vector u_0 is expressible in the form

$$u_0 = a_1 x_1 + \bar{a}_1 \bar{x}_1 + \sum_{i=3}^n a_i x_i . \quad (9.1)$$

Hence we have

$$A^s u_0 = r_1^s [\rho_1 e^{i(a+s\theta)} x_1 + \rho_1 e^{-i(a+s\theta)} \bar{x}_1 + \sum_3^n a_i (\lambda_i / r_1)^s x_i] \quad (9.2)$$

where

$$\lambda_1 = r_1 e^{i\theta} \quad ; \quad a_1 = \rho_1 e^{ia} . \quad (9.3)$$

The components of x_3, \dots, x_n ultimately die out,

but if we write

$$v_{s+1} = A u_s, \quad \max(v_{s+1}) = k_{s+1}, \quad u_{s+1} = v_{s+1} / k_{s+1} \quad (9.4)$$

it is clear from (9.2) that neither k_{s+1} nor u_{s+1}

tend to a limit. If we denote the j -th component of x_1 by $\xi_j \exp(i\phi_j)$ equation (9.2) gives

$$(A^s u_0)_j \longrightarrow 2\rho_1 r_1^s \xi_j \cos(a + \phi_j + s\theta) \quad (9.5)$$

and hence the components of u_s oscillate in sign.

If λ_1 and $\bar{\lambda}_1$ are the roots of

$$\lambda^2 - p\lambda - q = 0 \quad (9.6)$$

we have

$$(A^{s+2} - pA^{s+1} - qA^s)u_0 \longrightarrow 0, \quad s \rightarrow \infty \quad (9.7)$$

or

$$k_{s+1} k_{s+2} u_{s+2} - p k_{s+1} u_{s+1} - q u_s \longrightarrow 0 \quad (9.8)$$

and hence ultimately any three successive iterates are linearly dependent. By the method of least squares we can determine successive approximations p_s and q_s to p and q . We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 k_{s+1}k_{s+2} \begin{bmatrix} u_{s+1}^T u_{s+2} \\ u_s^T u_{s+2} \end{bmatrix} \\
 = \begin{bmatrix} u_{s+1}^T u_{s+1} & u_{s+1}^T u_s \\ u_s^T u_{s+1} & u_s^T u_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} p_s k_{s+1} \\ q_s \end{bmatrix}. \quad (9.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

When p_s and q_s have tended to limits p and q , λ_1 and $\bar{\lambda}_1$ may be computed from the relations

$$\operatorname{Re}(\lambda_1) = \frac{1}{2}p, \quad \operatorname{Im}(\lambda_1) = \frac{1}{2}(p^2 + 4q)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (9.10)$$

10. SIMULTANEOUS DETERMINATION OF SEVERAL EIGENVALUES

The essential feature of the previous section is the determination of two eigenvalues from a single sequence of iterates. The fact that the eigenvalues were complex conjugate is really not pertinent and the method may be extended to cover the determination of several real or complex eigenvalues. Suppose for example that

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq |\lambda_3| > |\lambda_4| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n|. \quad (10.1)$$

The components of x_4 to x_n will die out rapidly in the iterated vectors and we shall soon reach a stage at which u_s is effectively given by

$a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + a_3 x_3$. If we define quantities p_2, p_1 and p_0 by the equation

$$(\lambda - \lambda_1)(\lambda - \lambda_2)(\lambda - \lambda_3) = \lambda^3 + p_2 \lambda^2 + p_1 \lambda + p_0 \quad (10.2)$$

then

$$(A^3 + p_2 A^2 + p_1 A + p_0 I)u_s = 0 \quad (10.3)$$

giving

$$-(A^3 u_s) = (A^2 u_s, Au_s, u_s) \begin{bmatrix} p_2 \\ p_1 \\ p_0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (10.4)$$

The coefficients p_i may therefore be obtained by least squares from any four consecutive iterates following u_s .

The use of such a technique would have most to recommend it when $|\lambda_1|$, $|\lambda_2|$ and $|\lambda_3|$ were close, since it is in such circumstances that the iterates are slow to converge to the dominant eigenvector. Unfortunately if λ_1 , λ_2 and λ_3 are of the same sign and close together the equations determining the p_i are ill-conditioned. For these reasons the method is of little practical value. In general to determine r eigenvalues in a well-conditioned manner we require r independent sets of iterated vectors. Such methods we discuss later.

11. DEFLATION

If A is real and has real eigenvalues it is possible to employ shifts of origin to give

convergence either to λ_1 or to λ_n . In the case of complex matrices, by using appropriate shifts of origin, we could in principle make a number of the eigenvalues dominant in turn but in practice this device would usually be prohibitively difficult to use.

It is natural to ask whether we can make use of our knowledge of λ_1 and x_1 in such a way that another eigenvector may be found without danger of again converging to x_1 . One class of such methods depends essentially on replacing A by a matrix which possesses only the remaining eigenvalues. We shall refer to such methods as methods of deflation.

Probably the simplest is that due to Hotelling (1933) which can be applied when an eigenvalue λ_1 and vector x_1 of a symmetric matrix A_1 are known. If we define A_2 by the relation

$$A_2 = A_1 - \lambda_1 x_1 x_1^T \quad \text{where } x_1^T x_1 = 1 \quad (11.1)$$

then from the orthogonality of the x_i we have

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 x_i &= A_1 x_i - \lambda_1 x_1 x_1^T x_i = 0, & i=1 \\ &= \lambda_i x_i, & i \neq 1. \end{aligned} \quad (11.2)$$

Hence the eigenvalues of A_2 are $0, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ corresponding to eigenvectors x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and the dominant eigenvalue λ_1 has been reduced to

zero.

When A_1 is unsymmetric there is a corresponding deflation technique also due to Hotelling (1933) but it requires the determination of the left-hand eigenvector y_1 as well as x_1 . If both are determined and normalised so that $y_1^H x_1 = 1$ then, defining A_2 by

$$A_2 = A_1 - \lambda_1 x_1 y_1^H, \quad (11.3)$$

we have from the biorthogonality of the x_i and y_i

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 x_i &= A_1 x_i - \lambda_1 x_1 y_1^H x_i = 0, & i=1 \\ &= \lambda_i x_i, & i \neq 1 \end{aligned} \quad (11.4)$$

We have found in practice that these two methods of deflation have rather poor numerical stability and their use is not to be recommended.

12. TREPPEN-ITERATION

One problem in using iteration and deflation is that in general we do not know at each stage whether the current dominant eigenvalue is real or complex, repeated, or whether it belongs to a non-linear divisor. It is possible to avoid this difficulty by working simultaneously with a complete set of n vectors and ensuring that they are independent by taking these n vectors to be the columns of a unit lower triangular matrix. If at each stage we denote the matrix formed by

the set of n vectors by L_s the process can be summarised as

$$X_{s+1} = AL_s \quad ; \quad X_{s+1} = L_{s+1}R_{s+1} \quad (12.1)$$

where each L_s is unit lower triangular and each R_s is upper triangular. If we take

$$L_0 = I \quad (12.2)$$

then we have

$$L_s R_s = X_s = AL_{s-1} \quad (12.3)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L_s R_s R_{s-1} &= AL_{s-1} R_{s-1} \\ &= AAL_{s-2} \\ &= A^2 L_{s-2} \quad , \end{aligned} \quad (12.4)$$

therefore

$$L_s (R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1) = A^s L_0 = A^s \quad (12.5)$$

Hence L_s and $(R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1)$ are the matrices obtained by the triangular decomposition of A^s and, by comparison with the LR algorithm of section 2, L_s is equal to the product of the first s lower triangular matrices obtained in the LR algorithm while the R_s are identical with the individual upper triangular matrices in the same algorithm. Although the LR and treppen-iteration algorithms are theoretically similar it does not follow that in practice their behaviour will be even approximately alike.

There is no need to use a complete set of n vectors and it is possible to work with a set of p vectors in unit lower trapezoidal form. Denoting these by T_s the process becomes:

$$AT_s = X_{s+1} \quad ; \quad X_{s+1} = T_{s+1}R_{s+1} \quad (12.6)$$

where R_s is a $p \times p$ upper triangular matrix. If the p dominant eigenvalues of A have distinct moduli then

$$T_s \longrightarrow T \quad (12.7)$$

where T is obtained by trapezoidal decomposition of the matrix of p eigenvectors. In general if

$$|\lambda_1| \gg |\lambda_2| \gg \dots \gg |\lambda_p| > |\lambda_{p+1}| \gg \dots \gg |\lambda_n| \quad (12.8)$$

T_s does not tend to a limit but it does tend to an invariant subspace. This process was first described by Bauer (1957) and was called treppen-iteration.

If

$$|\lambda_1| \gg |\lambda_2| \quad (12.9)$$

the first column of T_s will converge to x_1 in a few iterations and at this stage there is little point in including x_1 in the matrix T_s when computing X_{s+1} . In general if the first k vectors of T_s have converged we need not multiply these vectors by A in subsequent steps. We may write

$$T_s = [T_s^{(1)}, T_s^{(2)}] \quad (12.10)$$

where $T_s^{(1)}$ consists of the first k vectors, which have converged, and $T_s^{(2)}$ consists of the remaining $(p-k)$ vectors which have not. We now define X_{s+1} by

$$X_{s+1} = [T_s^{(1)}, AT_s^{(2)}] \quad (12.11)$$

where $T_s^{(1)}$ is already in trapezoidal form but $AT_s^{(2)}$ consists, in general, of $(p-k)$ full vectors. X_{s+1} is then reduced to trapezoidal form in the obvious way.

13. ORTHOGONALISATION TECHNIQUES

We now turn to an alternative technique for suppressing the dominant eigenvector (or eigenvectors). The simplest application is to real symmetric matrices and these we now consider.

Suppose λ_1 and x_1 have been determined so that

$$Ax_1 = \lambda_1 x_1 \quad (13.1)$$

where A is real and symmetric. We know that the remaining eigenvectors are orthogonal to x_1 and hence we may suppress the component of x_1 in any of the other vectors by orthogonalising them with respect to x_1 . This leads to the iterative procedure defined by the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} v_{s+1} &= Au_s, & w_{s+1} &= v_{s+1} - (v_{s+1}^T x_1) x_1, \\ u_{s+1} &= w_{s+1} / \max(w_{s+1}) \end{aligned} \quad (13.2)$$

where we assume that

$$\|x_1\|_2 = 1. \quad (13.3)$$

Clearly u_s tends to the subdominant eigenvector or, if A has a second eigenvalue equal to λ_1 , it tends to an eigenvector corresponding to λ_1 which is orthogonal to x_1 .

Unless

$$|\lambda_1| \gg |\lambda_2| \quad (13.4)$$

it is not strictly necessary to orthogonalise with respect to x_1 at each iteration but if A is of high order the work involved in the orthogonalisation is in any case relatively small.

This process may be generalised to find x_{r+1} when x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r have already been determined.

The corresponding iteration is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} v_{s+1} &= Au_s, & w_{s+1} &= v_{s+1} - \sum_{i=1}^r (v_{s+1}^T x_i) x_i, \\ u_{s+1} &= w_{s+1} / \max(w_{s+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (13.5)$$

We note that, apart from rounding errors, this method gives results identical with those of Hotelling described in section 11, for, from (13.2) we have,

$$w_{s+1} = v_{s+1} - (v_{s+1}^T x_1) x_1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = Au_S - x_1(u_S^T Ax_1) \\
& = Au_S - \lambda_1 x_1(u_S^T x_1) \\
& = Au_S - \lambda_1 x_1(x_1^T u_S) \\
& = (A - \lambda_1 x_1 x_1^T) u_S .
\end{aligned} \tag{13.6}$$

The analogous process for an unsymmetric matrix A requires the computation of both the left-hand eigenvector y_1 and the right-hand eigenvector x_1 . If these are normalised so that

$$x_1^H y_1 = 1 \tag{13.7}$$

we may use the iterative procedure

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{s+1} &= Au_S, & w_{s+1} &= v_{s+1} - (v_{s+1}^H y_1) x_1, \\
u_{s+1} &= w_{s+1} / \max(w_{s+1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{13.8}$$

Because of the biorthogonality of the left-hand and right-hand eigenvectors the component of x_1 in w_{s+1} is suppressed. Again, there is the generalisation when r eigenvectors have been determined:

$$\begin{aligned}
v_{s+1} &= Au_S, & w_{s+1} &= v_{s+1} - \sum_{i=1}^r (v_{s+1}^H y_i) x_i, \\
u_{s+1} &= w_{s+1} / \max(w_{s+1}).
\end{aligned} \tag{13.9}$$

14. TREPPEN-ITERATION USING ORTHOGONALISATION

In treppen-iteration we iterate simultaneously with a number of vectors whose independence is

maintained by reducing them to standard trapezoidal form at each step. There is an analogous procedure in which the independence of the vectors is maintained by using the Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation process. Consider firstly the case when we iterate simultaneously with n vectors. Denoting the matrix formed by these vectors at each stage by Q_s the process may be summarised as

$$AQ_s = V_{s+1}, \quad V_{s+1} = Q_{s+1}R_{s+1} \quad (14.1)$$

where the columns of Q_{s+1} are orthogonal and R_{s+1} is upper triangular. Hence

$$AQ_s = Q_{s+1}R_{s+1} \quad (14.2)$$

and if we take

$$Q_0 = I, \quad (14.3)$$

we have

$$A^s = Q_s(R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1), \quad (14.4)$$

by reasoning similar to that of section 12.

Equation (14.4) implies that Q_s and $(R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1)$

are the matrices obtained by orthogonal

triangularisation of A^s . Comparison with the QR

algorithm of section 5 shows that Q_s is equal to

the product of the first s orthogonal matrices

determined by the QR process. Thus, if all the

$|\lambda_i|$ are different, Q_s essentially tends to a

limit, this being that matrix obtained from the

triangular orthogonalisation of X , the matrix of eigenvectors. The diagonal elements of R_s tend to $|\lambda_i|$. In the general case, where some of the eigenvalues are of the same modulus, the corresponding columns of Q_s may not tend to a limit but they ultimately span the appropriate subspaces. We note, as in section 6, that theoretical equivalence of this method with the QR algorithm does not imply that in practice they will produce even similar results.

15. BI-ITERATION

Bauer (1957) suggested a generalisation of the methods which we have so far discussed and this he called bi-iteration. At each stage two systems of n vectors, $\{x_i\}$ and $\{y_i\}$, are used and these comprise the columns of two matrices X_s and Y_s . The two matrices P_{s+1} and Q_{s+1} defined by

$$P_{s+1} = AX_s, \quad Q_{s+1} = A^T Y_s \quad (15.1)$$

are formed and from them the two matrices X_{s+1} and Y_{s+1} are derived. The columns of these matrices are chosen to be biorthogonal and the equations defining the i -th columns of X_{s+1} and Y_{s+1} are therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
x_i^{(s+1)} &= p_i^{(s+1)} - r_{1i}x_1^{(s+1)} - r_{2i}x_2^{(s+1)} - \dots - r_{i-1,i}x_{i-1}^{(s+1)} \\
y_i^{(s+1)} &= q_i^{(s+1)} - u_{1i}y_1^{(s+1)} - u_{2i}y_2^{(s+1)} - \dots - u_{i-1,i}y_{i-1}^{(s+1)}
\end{aligned} \tag{15.2}$$

where the r_{ki} and u_{ki} are chosen such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(y_k^{(s+1)})^T x_i^{(s+1)} = 0, \quad (x_k^{(s+1)})^T y_i^{(s+1)} = 0 \\
k = 1, \dots, i-1.
\end{aligned} \tag{15.3}$$

Clearly we have

$$P_{s+1} = X_{s+1}R_{s+1}, \quad Q_{s+1} = Y_{s+1}U_{s+1}, \tag{15.4}$$

where R_{s+1} and U_{s+1} are the unit upper triangular matrices formed from the r_{ki} and u_{ki} . From the biorthogonality we have

$$Y_{s+1}^T X_{s+1} = D_{s+1}, \tag{15.5}$$

where D_{s+1} is a diagonal matrix. If we take

$$X_0 = Y_0 = I \tag{15.6}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= X_1 R_1, \quad A X_s = X_{s+1} R_{s+1}, \\
A^T &= Y_1 U_1, \quad A^T Y_s = Y_{s+1} U_{s+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{15.7}$$

giving

$$A^S = X_s R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1 \quad (A^T)^S = Y_s U_s U_{s-1} \dots U_1. \tag{15.8}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 A^{2s} &= (Y_s U_s U_{s-1} \dots U_1)^T X_s R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1 \\
 &= (U_1^T U_2^T \dots U_s^T) D_s (R_s R_{s-1} \dots R_1) \quad (15.9)
 \end{aligned}$$

showing that the unit lower-triangular matrix $(U_1^T U_2^T \dots U_s^T)$ is that corresponding to the triangular decomposition of A^{2s} . In the LR algorithm $(L_1 L_2 \dots L_{2s})$ is the matrix obtained by the triangular decomposition of A^{2s} and hence

$$U_s^T = L_{2s-1} L_{2s} \quad (15.10)$$

Results from the LR algorithm carry over immediately to bi-iteration. If the $|\lambda_i|$ are distinct $(U_1^T U_2^T \dots U_s^T)$ tends to the matrix given by the triangular decomposition of X_s and hence

$$U_s \longrightarrow I$$

and

$$R_s \longrightarrow I \quad (15.11)$$

In practice the columns of X_s and Y_s are normalised at each stage, usually so that

$$\max(x_i^{(s)}) = \max(y_i^{(s)}) = 1. \quad (15.12)$$

Hence in the case of distinct $|\lambda_i|$

$$X_s \longrightarrow X \quad \text{and} \quad Y_s \longrightarrow Y \quad (15.13)$$

where X and Y are the matrices formed by the right-hand and left-hand eigenvectors respectively. When some of the $|\lambda_i|$ are equal the subspaces formed

by the corresponding columns of X_s and Y_s tend to the relevant invariant subspaces. If A is symmetric the two systems X_s and Y_s are identical and the method becomes essentially that of section 13 as the columns of X_s are made orthogonal at each stage.

In order to facilitate comparison with the LR algorithm we have considered the case when X_s and Y_s consist of complete systems of n vectors but the process can still be used when they have any number of columns, p say, from 1 to n . Exactly the same equations apply but now R_s and U_s are $p \times p$ matrices. In general the process will provide the p dominant left-hand and right-hand eigenvectors or invariant subspaces.

It is against this background that we proceed to consider simultaneous iteration and its developments.

16. SIMULTANEOUS ITERATION

The particular case of bi-iteration for symmetric positive definite matrices was discussed by Rutishauser (1969) who later (1970) published an algol program for this method. We have also discussed the symmetric case, Gudgin (1971), and here we simply recall the main points.

The basic idea of bi-iteration was that two

sets of iteration vectors (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) and (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p) are iterated simultaneously with A and A^T respectively. The iteration is then combined with linear iterations such that at any time the systems x_i and y_j are biorthogonal. It is assumed that

$$1 \leq p \leq n \quad (16.1)$$

although usually

$$1 < p \ll n. \quad (16.2)$$

If A is symmetric the two sets of iteration vectors can be chosen to be identical and they then form a system of orthonormal vectors (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p) which are the columns of an $n \times p$ matrix X such that

$$X^T X = I_p. \quad (16.3)$$

Denoting the matrix X after k iteration steps by X_k the method is given by

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{i) Choose } X_0 \text{ such that } X_0^T X_0 = I \\ \text{ii) } Z_k = A X_{k-1} \\ \text{iii) } X_k = Z_k R_k^{-1} \end{array} \right\} k=1, 2, \dots \quad (16.4)$$

where R_k is an upper triangular matrix with positive diagonal elements chosen such that X_k has its columns orthogonal. This implies that

$$Z_k^T Z_k = (X_k R_k)^T (X_k R_k) = R_k^T R_k \quad (16.5)$$

and we compute R_k by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation

of the columns of Z_k . Provided A is positive definite it will be shown that with equations (16.4)

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} X_k &= V \\ \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R_k &= D, \quad \text{a } p \times p \text{ diagonal matrix}\end{aligned}\tag{16.6}$$

where both limits exist and

$$V^T A V = D.\tag{16.7}$$

Thus the columns of X_k converge to eigenvectors and the diagonal elements of R_k to eigenvalues of the matrix A .

This result can be established by showing that (16.4) is equivalent to the LRCH transformation (by which we mean the LR transformation with Cholesky decomposition into two transposed factors - see for example Wilkinson (1965).) if the latter is applied to the matrix

$$G_1 = X_0^T A^T A X_0 = X_0^T A^2 X_0\tag{16.8}$$

where X_0 is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix obtained by appending $(n-p)$ further columns to X_0 . If we use (16.4) with the initial matrix X_0 then the k -th iterate X_k is related to the k -th LRCH transformation G_{k+1} of G_1 by

$$G_{k+1} = X_k^T A^2 X_k\tag{16.9}$$

where X_k is contained in the first p columns of X_k .

This also enables us to estimate the convergence rate, for letting A have eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_p > \lambda_{p+1} \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n > 0 \quad (16.10)$$

and if v_j is the eigenvector corresponding to λ_j then, denoting the j -th column of X_k by $x_j^{(k)}$, we have

$$\|v_j - x_j^{(k)}\| = o(q^k) \quad (16.11)$$

where $q = \max(\lambda_{j+1}/\lambda_j, \lambda_j/\lambda_{j-1})$. A proof of this theorem is to be found in Bauer (1957).

The scheme given in (16.4) conceals the fact that we are actually performing an iteration with p -dimensional spaces. Letting E_k denote the linear space spanned by the columns of X_k we have

$$E_k = \{x \mid x = Ay, y \in E_{k-1}\}. \quad (16.12)$$

We know that if A is symmetric and positive definite, then $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_k$ exists and is an invariant

subspace of A . We note that the X_k given by (16.4) appear simply as a means for spanning E_k but that, for example,

$$X_k = AX_{k-1} \quad (16.13)$$

also defines the sequence E_k . However the X_k produced by (16.13) are of little practical use since they are not in general numerically stable while those produced by (16.4) are.

In the case of stable convergence the angle $\phi_j^{(k)}$ between the j -th eigenvector v_j and E_k is asymptotically for $k \rightarrow \infty$

$$O(q_j^k) \quad \text{where } q_j = \lambda_{p+1}/\lambda_j. \quad (16.14)$$

To establish this we note that (16.4) and (16.13) are both orthogonally invariant. By this we mean that if U is an $n \times n$ orthogonal matrix then replacing A by $U^T A U$ and X_0 by $U^T X_0$ has the effect that all X_k are replaced by $U^T X_k$ and the R_k are unchanged. Thus we can assume without loss of generality that

$$A = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n). \quad (16.15)$$

Now E_0 can be spanned by the p vectors

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ x_{p+1,1} & x_{p+1,2} & \dots & x_{p+1,p} \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ x_{n1} & x_{n2} & \dots & x_{np} \end{bmatrix} \quad (16.16)$$

and hence from (16.12) E_k is spanned by the vectors

$$\left[\begin{array}{cccc}
 \lambda_1^k & 0 & \dots & 0 \\
 0 & \lambda_2^k & \dots & 0 \\
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 0 & 0 & \dots & \lambda_p^k \\
 \lambda_{p+1}^k x_{p+1,1} & \lambda_{p+1}^k x_{p+1,2} & \dots & \lambda_{p+1}^k x_{p+1,p} \\
 \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\
 \lambda_n^k x_{n1} & \lambda_n^k x_{n2} & \dots & \lambda_n^k x_{np}
 \end{array} \right] \quad (16.17)$$

From (16.17) it follows immediately that the angle $\phi_j^{(k)}$ is at most $O(\lambda_{p+1}^k / \lambda_j^k)$.

This result also shows the interesting and important fact that there are directions in E_k which are closer to the eigenvectors v_j than the columns of the matrices X_k as generated by (16.4). Improved convergence may be obtained by a modified iteration procedure for which the convergence rate is the same as given in (16.14). In his paper of 1969 Rutishauser gives such a scheme and this we now describe; the algorithm we have implemented is explained in the next section.

Rutishauser defines the "projected eigenvalue equation"

$$Y^T A^{-2} Y = D_k^{-2} \quad (16.18)$$

where $Y^T Y = I_p$ and D_k^{-2} is a $p \times p$ diagonal matrix.

The matrix Y is the matrix whose columns are the projection of the columns of A^{-2} onto E_k . The solution of (16.18) is

$$Y = A X_{k-1} Q_k D_k^{-1} \quad (16.19)$$

where Q_k is a $p \times p$ orthogonal matrix which transforms

$$G_k = X_{k-1}^T A^2 X_{k-1} \quad \text{into} \quad Q_k^T G_k Q_k = D_k^2 \quad (16.20)$$

and X_{k-1} is the previous iteration matrix, the columns of which are assumed to be orthogonal. Assuming the diagonal elements of D_k are in decreasing order such that

$$d_{11} \geq d_{22} \geq \dots \geq d_{pp} > 0 \quad (16.21)$$

Rutishauser (1969) suggests the following scheme for obtaining X_k from X_{k-1} :

- i) $Z_k = A X_{k-1}$
- ii) $G_k = Z_k^T Z_k$
- iii) Solve the eigenvalue problem for G_k .

That is, compute Q_k and D_k as in (16.20)

$$\text{iv) } X_k = Z_k Q_k D_k^{-1}. \quad (16.22)$$

Using equations (16.22) the convergence is given, not by (16.11), but by

$$\|v_j - x_j^{(k)}\| = O(q_j^k) \quad (16.23)$$

where $q_j = \lambda_{p+1} / \lambda_j$.

This scheme has been tried in practice and found to suffer from the disadvantage that there is no guarantee that the computed X_k will be orthogonal. Additionally, large errors may be introduced in step (iv) of (16.22) if any of the d_{ii} are small.

17. AN ALTERNATIVE APPROACH TO SIMULTANEOUS ITERATION

We now return to the ideas of section 13 for an alternative and simpler approach to simultaneous iteration. This also leads directly to our implementation of the method.

Previously we saw that if we had determined λ_1 and x_1 , where we assume the eigenvalues to be in non-increasing order, it was possible to determine λ_2 and x_2 by iterating with an arbitrary vector which had been orthogonalised with respect to x_1 . In general when x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{r-1} had been determined it was possible to obtain λ_r and x_r by iterating with an arbitrary vector which had been orthogonalised with respect to the already determined x_i .

This leads naturally to a scheme in which the iterations are performed, not serially, but in parallel or simultaneously. Thus we let

$$X = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_p] \quad (17.1)$$

and ensure that

$$X^T X = I_p \quad (17.2)$$

We then form the product

$$X' = AX, \quad (17.3)$$

reorthogonalise X' and repeat (17.3).

This is repeated until the process has converged.

We note that if

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_p| > |\lambda_{p+1}| \geq |\lambda_{p+2}| \geq \dots \\ & \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \end{aligned} \quad (17.4)$$

then convergence is guaranteed. Hence we may

summarise the basic process as:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} \text{i) Choose } X_0 \text{ such that } X_0^T X_0 = I \\ \text{ii) } Y_k := AX_{k-1} \\ \text{iii) } X_k := Y_k R_k^{-1} \text{ where } X_k^T X_k = I \end{aligned} \right\} k=1, 2, \dots \quad (17.5)$$

In order to accelerate the convergence of this method we consider the projected eigenvalue equation:

$$B_k = X_k^T A X_k \quad (17.6)$$

We note that B_k is a matrix of order p and we

denote the eigensolution by

$$V_k^T B_k V_k = D_k \quad (17.7)$$

If the first r columns of X_k are eigenvectors of

A then the first r rows and r columns of B_k will

be zero except for the diagonal elements which will be equal to the first r eigenvalues of A . This leads to the following scheme for obtaining X_{k+1} from X_k :

i) Choose X_0 such that $X_0^T X_0 = I$

ii) $Y := AX_k$

iii) $B_k := X_k^T Y = X_k^T A X_k$

iv) Solve the eigenproblem for B_k . Thus,

we compute V_k and D_k such that

$$V_k^T B_k V_k = D_k$$

v) $Y_k := X_k V_k$

vi) $X_{k+1} := Y_k R_k^{-1}$ where $X_{k+1}^T X_{k+1} = I$ (17.8)

and steps ii) to vi) are repeated for $k=0,1,2,\dots$

18. THE INTERMEDIATE STEPS

The iteration rule defined by (17.8) is extremely powerful but it is important to realise that, as we saw in section 16, the final space E_m produced by m steps of (17.8) is the same (apart from rounding errors) as that produced by m steps of (17.5). Hence there is nothing to be lost by using (17.5) $m-1$ times followed by one step of (17.8). A further saving in time is possible by replacing (17.5) with the even simpler rule

$$X_k = AX_{k-1} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \quad (18.1)$$

However, in this case, two further precautions are necessary. We have seen earlier that continued iteration using (18.1) makes the columns of X_k become more and more parallel. Thus we must always orthonormalise X_{k+m-1} after using (18.1) $m-1$ times and also we must limit m so that the columns of X_k can never start to become parallel.

In order to choose the correct value of m we note that if

$$(|\lambda_1|/|\lambda_p|)^{m-1} < 10 \quad (18.2)$$

then the parallelisation of the columns of X_{k+m-1} will not have gone further than to cause the loss of at most one decimal digit when the columns are next orthonormalised. Obviously as λ_1 and λ_p are not known we take the current values of d_{11} and d_{pp} as approximations. At the beginning of the iteration d_{11} and d_{pp} are not known and in the first few steps they are still far from λ_1 and λ_p . In order to prevent m being too large we start therefore with $m=2$ and allow it to increase by one at each stage of the iteration. Thus we have the following computational scheme:

- i) Let $k=0, m=2$
- ii) Choose X_0 such that $X_0^T X_0 = I$

- iii) Perform $m-1$ steps of (18.1) on X_k
- iv) Orthonormalise the columns of X_{k+m-1}
- v) Let $Y=AX_{k+m-1}$
- vi) Let $B=X_{k+m-1}^T Y$
- vii) Compute V and D such that $V^T B V = D$
- viii) Let $X_{k+m} = X_{k+m-1} V$
- ix) Orthonormalise the columns of X_{k+m}
- x) Let $k=k+m$
- xi) Test for termination
- xii) If $(|d_{11}|/|d_{pp}|)^m < 10$ let $m=m+1$
- xiii) Go to (iii) (18.3)

In practice we have found it advantageous to perform step (iii) between steps (viii) and (ix), omitting step (iv). This saves one orthonormalisation at each stage and our experience is that it does not impair accuracy or convergence.

19. TESTS FOR CONVERGENCE

Rutishauser (1969) has stated that "the most efficient computing process becomes doubtful, if it is not possible to determine the proper time for termination automatically".

In this algorithm it is relatively easy to determine the proper time for termination of the process. The diagonal elements of D in (18.3) (vii) will be changing throughout the iteration process.

Thus, as soon as the first diagonal element does not appear to change between successive stages of (18.3) it is determined to machine accuracy. We may then test for the second diagonal element and thence for the later ones.

This test is not sufficient for the eigenvectors. It is true to say however that if the eigenvalues have not converged to a given precision the eigenvectors will not have converged either. Thus we do not start testing for convergence of an eigenvector until after the corresponding eigenvalue has converged. We base our test for convergence of the vectors on examining the ∞ -norm of each vector and testing whether this has altered between successive stages of (18.3).

In practice it is unlikely that we shall need to determine the eigensolutions to full machine accuracy and the tests are amended to take this into account. Thus for the eigenvalues we test whether

$$\left| \frac{d'_{ii} - d_{ii}}{d'_{ii}} \right| \leq \epsilon \quad \text{for } i=1,2,\dots,p \quad (19.1)$$

where d'_{ii} is the current value of D_{ii} , d_{ii} was the value of D_{ii} at the previous stage and ϵ is the desired tolerance. Similarly for the eigenvectors we test whether

$$\left| \frac{\|x_i^1\|_\infty - \|x_i\|_\infty}{\|x_i^1\|_\infty} \right| \leq \varepsilon \quad \text{for } i=1,2,\dots,p \quad (19.2)$$

where x_i is the i -th column of X . The p quantities on the left of inequality (19.2) form the components of the error vector described in chapter 5, section 15.

Once g eigenvectors have been accepted the active powering steps of (18.3) are no longer applied to these columns but they are retained for ortho-normalisation of the columns $g+1$ to p .

20. THE HERMITIAN CASE

The extensions of the algorithm for the symmetric case needed to cover the Hermitian case are straightforward. We have already discussed the generalisations of the Jacobi and ortho-normalisation algorithms and these are used in place of the symmetric and real versions. The only other alteration needed is to work with complex eigenvectors and Hermitian transposes. Thus the steps of (18.3) are replaced by

- i) Let $k=0, m=2$
- ii) Choose X_0 such that $X_0^H X_0 = I$
- iii) Let $Y = A X_k$
- iv) Let $B = X_k^H Y$
- v) Compute V and D such that $V^H B V = D$

- vi) Let $X_{k+1} = X_k V$
- vii) Perform $m-1$ steps of (18.1) on X_k
- viii) Orthonormalise the columns of X_{k+m}
- ix) Let $k=k+m$
- x) Test for termination
- xi) If $(|d_{11}|/|d_{pp}|)^m < 10$ let $m=m+1$
- xii) Go to (iii). (20.1)

21. EIGENVALUES OF EQUAL MODULUS

We saw in section 10 that it is not necessary for convergence that all the eigenvalues must be distinct. In fact, for both the real and Hermitian cases, if we are iterating with p vectors the only condition necessary for convergence is that

$$|\lambda_p| > |\lambda_{p+1}| . \quad (21.1)$$

It should be noted however that if $|\lambda_p|$ is close to $|\lambda_{p+1}|$ then convergence may be impracticably slow. In practice p should be chosen as far as possible so that

$$|\lambda_p| \ll |\lambda_k| \quad (21.2)$$

where k is the number of eigensolutions that it is desired to compute.

22. THE GENERAL CASE

We now turn our attention to the case of a

general matrix and as for the symmetric algorithm we return to the ideas of section 13. We shall assume initially that

$$|\lambda_1| > |\lambda_2| > \dots > |\lambda_p| > |\lambda_{p+1}| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| \quad (22.1)$$

and hence that there are p left-hand and p right-hand eigenvectors corresponding to the p dominant eigenvalues.

Having calculated the first r eigenvalues and eigenvectors we saw how to suppress the appropriate components of these in order to calculate the $(r+1)$ -th eigensolution. Applying the process simultaneously to two sets of p vectors we may write the algorithm as

i) Choose Y_0 and X_0 , both $n \times p$, such that

$$Y_0^H X_0 = I$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{ii) Form } Y_k = A^H Y_{k-1}, X_k = A X_{k-1} \\ \text{iii) Biorthonormalise } Y_k \text{ and } X_k \end{array} \right\} k=1, 2, \dots \quad (22.2)$$

To accelerate this method we consider the projected eigenvalue equation

$$B = Y_k^H A X_k \quad (22.3)$$

We denote the eigensolution of B by

$$T_L \cdot B \cdot T_R = D \quad (22.4)$$

where T_L and T_R are respectively the left-hand and right-hand eigenvectors of B and D is a diagonal matrix of the eigenvalues of B . Thus we are led

to the following accelerated scheme for determining Y_{k+1} and X_{k+1} from Y_k and X_k .

- i) Choose Y_0 and X_0 such that $Y_0^H X_0 = I$
- ii) Form $W = A^H Y_k$, $V = A X_k$
- iii) Form $B = Y_k^H V$
- iv) Solve the eigenproblem for B ; that is compute T_L , T_R and D as in (22.4)
- v) Form $Y_{k+1} = W \bar{T}_L$, $X_{k+1} = V T_R$
- vi) Biorthonormalise such that $Y_{k+1}^H X_{k+1} = I$ (22.5)

and repeat steps ii) to vi) for $k=0, 1, \dots$

Just as it was possible to use powering steps in the symmetric case so in the general case we may use a similar device to speed up the process. We replace steps (ii) and (iii) of (22.2) by the simpler rule:

$$Y_k = A^H Y_{k-1}; \quad X_k = A X_{k-1} \quad k=1, 2, \dots \quad (22.6)$$

As before we use $m-1$ steps of (22.6) followed by one step of (22.5). For the reasons outlined previously in section 18 it is essential that m is limited in order to prevent the columns of Y_k and X_k becoming less and less biorthogonal with respect to each other. This leads to a scheme very similar to that for the Hermitian case except that we are now working with two sets of

vectors.

- i) Let $k=0$, $m=2$
- ii) Choose Y_0 and X_0 such that $Y_0^H X_0 = I$
- iii) Let $W = A^H Y_k$, $V = A X_k$
- iv) Let $B = Y^H V$
- v) Compute T_L , T_R and D such that

$$T_L B T_R = D$$
- vi) Let $Y_{k+1} = W \bar{T}_L$, $X_{k+1} = V T_R$
- vii) Perform $m-1$ steps of (22.6) on Y_k and X_k
- viii) Biorthonormalise such that $Y_k^H X_k = I$
- ix) Let $k=k+m$
- x) Test for termination
- xi) If $(|d_{g+1}| / |d_{pp}|)^m < 10$ let $m=m+1$
(g is the number of eigenvectors accepted thus far)
- xii) Go to (iii). (22.7)

23. EQUAL EIGENVALUES

We know that an arbitrary matrix does not necessarily have a complete set of eigenvectors and hence the case of equal eigenvalues is more complicated than for Hermitian matrices. Suppose firstly that the matrix is non-defective but derogatory; we then have a situation similar to that of the Hermitian case and convergence is

possible if

$$|\lambda_p| > |\lambda_{p+1}| . \quad (23.1)$$

If the matrix is defective (irrespective of whether or not it is derogatory) in as much as one or more of the first p eigenvectors do not exist there is no guarantee that the process will be convergent. However all our experimental results suggest that convergence does normally take place.

CHAPTER 5

COMPUTATIONAL DETAILS

1. INTRODUCTION

In this chapter we describe the implementation of the theory that we have discussed in the preceding chapters. We also explain the operation of the programs and give sufficient details to enable a prospective user to run them.

All the programs have been written in Fortran and developed on an I.C.L. 1904S machine using the XFAT compiler. They will run on all 1900 series machines but small modifications may be needed to enable them to be used with other compilers on different machines. In the descriptions of the programs that follow we list the non-standard functions and explain their purpose so that any necessary alterations may be made easily.

We begin in the next section by describing the general structure of the programs and then we give details of the Jacobi programs. This is followed by a description of the simultaneous iteration programs and finally we give details of some test runs.

2. PROGRAM STRUCTURE

All the programs are written in a similar manner in order to facilitate their use and comparison between them. The basic structure of the programs is as follows:

- i) A steering segment.

- ii) The main program segment (called the MASTER segment in 1900 Fortran).
- iii) The main subroutine (which performs most of the calculation).
- iv) Other subroutines
- v) A final subroutine, altered by the user, used for the input of data.

The steering segment is used to allocate channel numbers to the peripherals. Following the usual Fortran convention we have used channel 5 as input from the card reader and channel 6 as output to the line printer. Channel 4 is used, if necessary, for additional monitoring information with channel 2 used for both input to and output from an internal array. This enables character conversions from text strings to integers (and vice versa) to be performed. Although machine dependent a facility similar to this is normally provided with most compilers.

The main program segment is used principally to print the headings and output the results; in particular no calculations pertinent to the problem are performed in it. This is because the writer is convinced that a reasonably neat presentation of the results is an important feature of any computer program. Implicit in this is the need to display the name of the program, the date and time when it was run, the example number and a

clear heading to each set of results. The absence of any calculations from the main segment also means that an intending user can see at a glance the form the output will take just from looking at the first segment. We have also assumed that if the line width of the output is 120 characters or more then it is to a line printer and the results are centralised on the page. If however the line width is less than 120 characters the results are all left-justified as this is particularly suitable for output to a teletype if the program is being run on-line.

The first subroutine normally performs the bulk of the calculation and it is here that the theory we have developed is implemented. Often repeated calculations such as the formation of inner-products are not performed here but are left to the following subroutines.

In all the programs the final subroutine is used to input or store information about the matrix. Since this will change from problem to problem it is up to the user to modify this routine for his particular problem.

3. A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR SYMMETRIC MATRICES - JACO

The program takes as data a real symmetric matrix A of order n and calculates its eigenvalues, λ_i , and optionally the eigenvectors, v_i . Also

printed are the number of rotations needed to diagonalise the matrix to a preset tolerance. Should the total number of sweeps exceed fifty the program is terminated and a warning message is printed. Additionally the program will, if requested, form the matrix V^TAV and normalise the vectors such that

$$\|v_i\|_\infty = 1 \quad i=1,2,\dots,n. \quad (3.1)$$

We now describe each routine.

Master segment

At the beginning of this segment the arrays are dimensioned. If it is desired to alter the size of these arrays this is the only point at which a change to the program has to be made. Five arrays are used and as far as possible their names have been chosen with reference to the theoretical discussion of earlier chapters.

A (n*n) - stores the elements a_{ij} of the original matrix A.

V (n*n) - stores if they are required the elements of the matrix V, the columns of which approximate the eigenvectors of A.

D (n) - stores the approximations to the eigenvalues λ_i .

B (n) - used as workspace.

Z (n) - used as workspace.

The value given to n must be the same for each of

the five arrays.

There is a call to an I.C.L. subroutine TIME(T). This returns in T the time of day as an eight bit character string. All the other subroutine calls are to segments appearing later in the program.

Subroutine Jaco

The coding follows exactly the computational details given in sections 10 and 11 of chapter 2 and we describe only the additional features not mentioned there. Firstly, as the matrix A is symmetric, only the upper half is used in the computation. This means that the information stored in the lower half can be used to recreate the original matrix. Secondly, in order to ensure the maximum possible accuracy in the eigenvalues the following device is adopted. During each sweep, as well as updating the vector D at each rotation, the updates are accumulated in a separate vector Z. At the end of each sweep the value in Z is then used to produce a fresh value of D. This is given by updating the value of D as it was at the end of the previous sweep (stored in B) by the current value of Z. Although this uses an extra $2n$ storage locations it does ensure great accuracy in the eigenvalues as very small individual increments in the elements of D are accumulated independently.

Having completed the diagonalisation process to the required tolerance the program uses the exchange sort algorithm to order the eigenvalues (and their corresponding vectors) such that their moduli are in non-increasing order.

Subroutine Normalisation

This subroutine, if called, normalises the eigenvectors as in (3.1).

Subroutine Check

As with Normalisation this subroutine is only called if specifically requested by the user. It uses the calculated values of the eigenvectors to form the product V^TAV storing the result in A. All the summations are performed in double precision.

Subroutine Elapse

This subroutine, which is used in all the programs we have written, performs three small calculations needed by other routines. Firstly, it calculates the mill time that the program has used. This involves using the I.C.L. subroutine MTIME(N) which gives N as the number of milliseconds so far used by the program. This is converted to minutes and seconds and output at the end of the program. Secondly, Elapse uses the machine routine DATE(D) to obtain in D an eight character text string containing the date. In order to print the month as a three letter abbreviation we call DEFBUF. This routine, combined

with the use of channel 2, enables us to access an internal array called BUFFER and perform the necessary character conversion.

Finally the subroutine calculates the machine constant. That is, the smallest number m such that

$$1.0 + m \neq 1.0 . \quad (3.2)$$

This means that there is no need for the user to provide this information for each different machine.

Subroutine Mxop

Mxop has been developed as a general purpose routine for the outputting of matrices. The input parameters for the subroutine are the matrix A, its actual dimensions as defined in the Master segment, the size of matrix it is desired to output, the format required for an individual element, the number of printing positions per line, the logical stream number for the output channel and finally a flag. If the flag has a negative value the output is left-justified; if flag is positive the output is centralised on the page. If flag is zero Mxop is not initialised and the output is then in the same format as on the previous occasion. It is recommended that flag is set to zero if the same format is being used a number of times. This saves reinitialising Mxop with a consequent saving of time.

The form of the output from Mxop consists of a heading to indicate which columns of the matrix are to be printed followed by those columns. The program automatically prints as many columns as the width of line allows. For example, suppose a (20*15) matrix is to be output centrally on a 120 character line with each element printed under the format F16.8. The output from Mxop would take the form:

COLUMNS 1 TO 7 ARE:

a_{11}	a_{12}	a_{13}	a_{17}
.
$a_{20,1}$	$a_{20,2}$	$a_{20,3}$	$a_{20,7}$

COLUMNS 8 TO 14 ARE:

a_{18}	a_{19}	$a_{1,10}$	$a_{1,14}$
.
$a_{20,8}$	$a_{20,9}$	$a_{20,10}$	$a_{20,14}$

COLUMN 15 IS:

$a_{1,15}$
. .
$a_{20,15}$

There are no restrictions on the size of matrix Mxop can handle including (n*1) and (1*n); in the case of (1*n) matrices however the column headings are suppressed. This subroutine has proved invaluable in the programs we have written

and also during the development stage of many other routines.

Subroutine Input

This routine is used to input the data for each example and it^{is} the user's responsibility to write the appropriate sections of code. A computed GO TO statement transfers control on the n-th example to the CONTINUE statement numbered $n*100$. The user then inserts an appropriate section of code to set the elements of the array $A(I,J)$ equal to the elements (a_{ij}) of the matrix whose eigensolution it is desired to calculate. This may be done either by generating the elements of A or by reading them from data cards. Finally, after inputting A, control must pass to a RETURN statement in order to leave the subroutine.

This concludes the description of each section of the program.

4. DATA REQUIRED BY JACO

The data required by the program is very simple and takes the following form.

i) The first data card (read from the Master segment) must contain three integers punched in the format (2I2,I3). The first of these is the number of examples to be run, the second is the size of the arrays as dimensioned in the Master segment and the third is the width of output line

required.

ii) The second data card contains information about the first example and this is given in the format (I2,I1,A6,2I1). The first number is the dimension of the matrix A for this particular example; this must be less than or equal to the dimension of the array A in the Master segment. The second number is 1 or 0 depending on whether or not it is desired to calculate the eigenvectors. The third is a text string defining the output format required. Typical values might be F16.8 or E20.10. The last two numbers are flags and if nothing is punched these are taken as zero. If however a 1 is punched in the first of these two positions then subroutine Check is called; a 1 in the second position calls subroutine Normalisation.

iii) If the elements of A are to be read from cards these should now follow. The format is obviously dependent upon what the user has specified in subroutine Input.

iv) After the data cards of iii), or if there are none, a second card as in ii) should follow for the second example. A similar pattern now follows for the subsequent examples.

5. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is

to be found in appendix 1 and we give here some comments on the test runs. Examples 1 to 7 are all taken from Gregory and Karney (1969) and example 8 is due to Rutishauser (1966) and quoted in Wilkinson and Reinsch (1971). These two excellent books have provided the author with much inspiration. For convenience we shall refer to Gregory and Karney as GK and list the example number in their book.

Example 1, GK 4.1, is a 4×4 matrix typical of the type that occurs within simultaneous iteration.

The eigenvalues and eigenvectors were obtained to 10 significant figures after 10 rotations in 0.042 seconds.

Example 2, GK 4.2, is a 4×4 matrix with a repeated eigenvalue. Again the eigensolutions were obtained to 10 significant figures, this time after 17 rotations in 0.057 seconds.

Example 3, GK 4.15 taking $n=10$, is a matrix of order 10. The first eight eigenvalues were computed to 10 significant figures and the last two to 9 significant figures with a similar accuracy in the eigenvectors. 120 rotations were needed taking 0.644 seconds.

Example 4, GK 4.13 taking $n=10$, is the Hilbert matrix of order 10. The computed eigensolutions are all correct to 10 decimal places. The execution time was 1.128 seconds in which 231 rotations were

performed.

Example 5, GK 4.20 taking $n=10$ and $a=3$, needed 234 rotations taking 1.125 seconds to produce eigen-solutions to 9 decimal places.

Example 6, GK 4.20 taking $n=10$ and $a=0$, needed 89 rotations taking 0.512 seconds to produce eigen-solutions to 9 decimal places.

Example 7, GK 4.10, is the Rosser matrix. 125 rotations in 0.546 seconds produced the eigen-solutions to 7 decimal places.

Example 8, Rutishauser (1966), is a matrix of order 44. Our program used 6280 rotations in 1 minute 39.8 seconds. The eigensolutions are all correct to 9 decimal places and compare favourably with Rutishauser's results.

6. A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES - HMJO

The program takes as data a Hermitian matrix A of order n and calculates its eigenvalues, λ_i , and optionally the eigenvectors, v_i . Also printed are the number of rotations needed to diagonalise the matrix to a preset tolerance. Should the total number of sweeps exceed fifty the program is terminated and a warning message printed. Additionally the program will, if requested, form the matrix $V^H A V$ and normalise the vectors such that

$$\|v_i\|_{\infty} = 1 \quad i=1,2,\dots,n \quad (6.1)$$

The routines are very similar to those for the real symmetric case and we describe only the differences between the two.

Master segment

Throughout our programs we have used only real arithmetic and since the original matrix A and its matrix of eigenvectors V may be complex two additional arrays are needed now for storing A and V . In our programming we have, without exception, used "R" and "I" as the last letter of an array name to indicate that that array contains either real or imaginary components. Thus, instead of a complex array A , we use two real arrays AR and AI .

$AR (n*n)$ - stores the real components $Re(a_{ij})$ of the original matrix A .

$AI (n*n)$ - stores the imaginary components $Im(a_{ij})$ of the original matrix A .

$VR (n*n)$ - stores the real part of the matrix V , whose columns approximate the eigenvectors of A .

$VI (n*n)$ - stores the imaginary part of the matrix V .

Subroutine Hmjo

The coding follows the computational details given in section 12 of chapter 2. The same device employed in the real symmetric case is used to ensure maximum accuracy in the eigenvalues.

Other subroutines

The other subroutines are identical to those described in section 3 except for the slight modifications needed for complex working in Normalisation, Check and Input.

7. DATA REQUIRED BY HMJO

The data is input in exactly the same form as that described in section 4. It is the user's responsibility to ensure that the real and imaginary parts of A are correctly input.

8. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is to be found in appendix 2.

Example 1, GK 6.6, is a 4*4 matrix typical of the type that occurs within simultaneous iteration.

The eigensolutions were obtained to 10 significant figures after 13 rotations in 0.090 seconds.

Example 2, GK 6.7, is a 4*4 matrix with a repeated eigenvalue. Again the eigensolutions were obtained to 10 significant figures after 17 rotations in 0.115 seconds.

Example 3, GK 6.8, is a matrix of order 5 and 46 rotations in 0.327 seconds produced the eigensolutions to 9 decimal places.

Examples 4 to 9, GK 7.10 taking $n=6$ to 11 in example

B, have only imaginary components. All the solutions were produced to 9 decimal places. The number of rotations needed and the time taken were 101 (0.861), 131 (1.245), 178 (1.843), 220 (2.534), 305 (3.741) and 319 (4.212).

9. A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR NORMAL MATRICES - NMJO

The program takes as data a normal matrix A of order n and calculates its eigenvalues, λ_i , and optionally the eigenvectors, v_i . Also printed are the number of rotations needed to diagonalise the matrix to a preset tolerance. Should the total number of sweeps exceed fifty the program is terminated and a warning message is printed. Additionally the program will, if requested, form the matrix $V^H A V$ and normalise the vectors such that

$$\|v_i\|_{\infty} = 1 \quad i=1,2,\dots,n . \quad (9.1)$$

Again we describe only the differences between this program and the previous one.

Master segment

As well as the original matrix A and the eigenvectors V being complex the eigenvalues may be too. This means that the arrays D, B and Z must each be replaced by two arrays. In addition, since the whole matrix is acted upon in the program, it becomes necessary to keep a copy of

the original matrix. Thus we have the following additional arrays.

AAR (n*n), AAI (n*n) - store a copy of the original values of AR and AI.

DR (n), DI (n) - store respectively the approximations to the real and imaginary parts of the eigenvalues.

YR (n), YI (n) - used as workspace.

ZR (n), ZI (n) - used as workspace.

The change of array name from B to Y is to avoid any possible confusion with the theory as given in section 13 of chapter 2.

Other subroutines

The coding for subroutine Nmjo follows exactly the computational details given in section 13 of chapter 2. The other subroutines are unaltered from the Hermitian program.

10. DATA REQUIRED BY NMJO

The data is input exactly as in section 7.

11. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is to be found in appendix 3.

Examples 1 and 2, are both 4*4 matrices constructed for test purposes. 23 rotations in 0.2 seconds were needed in both cases to produce solutions

to 9 decimal places.

12. A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR GENERAL MATRICES - GLJO

The program takes as data an arbitrary complex matrix A of order n and calculates its eigenvalues, λ_i , and the left and right eigenvectors, w_i and v_i respectively. Also printed are the number of rotations needed to diagonalise the matrix to a preset tolerance. Should the total number of sweeps exceed fifty the program is terminated and a warning message is printed. The routines are now described.

Master segment

As with the other Jacobi programs it is at the beginning of this segment that the arrays are dimensioned and it is here that any change to their size must be made. The arrays used are as follows.

AR, AI ($n*n$) - store respectively the real and imaginary components of the original matrix A .

AAR, AAI ($n*n$) - store a copy of the original matrix.

WR, WI ($n*n$) - store the elements of the matrix whose columns approximate the left eigenvectors of A .

VR, VI ($n*n$) - store the elements of the matrix whose columns approximate the right eigenvectors of A .

DR, DI (n) - store the approximations to the eigenvalues λ_i .

EN, EM (n) - used as workspace.

The value given to n must be the same for each of the ~~five~~^{twelve} arrays.

Subroutine Gljo

The coding follows that given by Eberlein (1970). The only difference is that described in section 20 of chapter 2. Thus no ordering of the eigenvalues takes place during the execution of this routine until immediately before returning to the Master segment to output the results. The ordering is such that on output,

$$|\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n| . \quad (12.1)$$

Subroutine Check

This routine, if called by the user, uses the calculated values of the left and right eigenvectors to form the product $W^T AV$, where W and V are the matrices whose columns are given by w_i and v_i respectively. All the summations are performed in double precision and the resulting product is stored in A.

Other subroutines

These are as previously described.

13. DATA REQUIRED BY GLJO

The form of the data is very similar to that

described in section 4. The difference occurs in (ii) where the data card is in the format (I2,A6,I1). The first number is the dimension of the matrix A for the particular example; the next is a text string defining the output format required and the third is a flag to call subroutine check.

The real and imaginary elements of A must be input separately and this is the user's responsibility.

14. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is to be found in appendix 4.

Example 1, GK 5.1, is a 3*3 real non-symmetric matrix with real eigenvalues. 33 rotations in 0.366 seconds produced the eigenvalues and the left-hand and right-hand eigenvectors to 9 decimal places.

Example 2, GK 5.3, is also a real non-symmetric matrix of order 3. The eigensolutions were obtained to 8 decimal places after 21 rotations in 0.237 seconds.

Example 3, GK 5.5, is a real non-symmetric matrix of order 4 with real eigenvalues. The solutions, correct to 8 decimal places, were produced in 0.557 seconds after 42 rotations.

Example 4, GK 5.8, is a real non-symmetric matrix

of order 4 with two real eigenvalues and a complex conjugate pair. 18 rotations in 0.246 seconds produced the eigensolutions correct to 9 decimal places.

Example 5, GK 5.2, is a 3×3 real defective matrix. After 60 rotations taking 0.511 seconds the isolated eigensolution was obtained to 9 decimal places with the repeated eigenvalues and their associated vector being obtained to 5 decimal places.

Example 6, GK 6.5, is a complex matrix of order 4 and the results were obtained to 8 decimal places after 54 rotations taking 0.753 seconds.

Example 7, GK 6.4, is a complex matrix of order 3 and the results were obtained to 8 decimal places after 21 rotations taking 0.234 seconds.

Example 8, Eberlein (1970) example I, is a real matrix of order 7 with one real eigenvalue and the rest complex conjugate pairs. 126 rotations in 2.714 seconds produced the results to 10 decimal places and this compares favourably with Eberlein's results.

Example 9, Eberlein (1970) example II, is a real matrix of order 5 which is defective. 240 rotations taking 3.052 seconds produced the isolated real eigenvalue and its corresponding vector to 10 decimal places. The complex conjugate eigenvalues and their corresponding vectors were obtained to 5 decimal places.

15. A RITZ ITERATION PROGRAM FOR SYMMETRIC MATRICES

- QKRZ

For a real symmetric matrix A of order n the program calculates the m absolutely largest eigenvalues, λ_i , and their corresponding eigenvectors, x_i . There is no need to store the matrix A ; all that is required is to be able to form the vector w where

$$w = Av \quad (15.1)$$

without altering v . The iteration is carried out with p vectors where $p \geq m$ and usually $p > m$. The optimum value of p will depend on the distribution of the eigenvalues but if no knowledge of this is available a reasonably good rule of thumb is to choose p equal to m plus 2 or 3 for smallish values of m . The eigensolutions are obtained to the tolerance requested and optionally the eigenvectors may be normalised such that

$$\|x_i\|_{\infty} = 1, \quad i=1,2,\dots,p. \quad (15.2)$$

We now describe the program.

Master segment

All the dimensioning of the arrays is performed at the beginning of this segment and this is the only point at which any change may need to be made. The following arrays are used and as far as possible their names have been chosen with reference to the earlier theoretical

discussion.

X (n*p) - stores the matrix X whose columns approximate the eigenvectors.

V (n), W (n) - used as workspace in forming the product.

RV (p*p), B (p*p) - used as workspace.

D (p) - stores the approximations to the eigenvalues λ_i .

F (p) - stores the error vector as described in chapter 4, section 19.

BB (p), Z (p), DOLD (p), LARGE (p) - used as workspace.

The values given to n and p respectively must be the same for all the arrays.

There then follow three READ statements which input the data required by the program. These statements refer to the FORMAT statements labelled 10, 20 and 30.

There is a call to an I.C.L. subroutine TIME(T). This returns in T the time of day as an eight bit character string. All the other subroutine calls are to segments appearing later in the program.

Subroutine Qkrz

The coding follows exactly the computational details given in sections 17 to 19 of chapter 4 and we describe only the additional features not mentioned there. After the tests for convergence

there is a call to subroutine INFO. This outputs, on channel 4, intermediate information concerning the progress of the program. We describe INFO fully below. A test is also made to see whether it is likely that the required number of solutions will be obtained in the next few steps. If this is the case the value of m (the number of pre-multiplications to be performed) is reduced to 2. Finally a check is made to ensure termination in the event of the number of iterations having exceeded some preset value.

Subroutine Jaco

This is exactly as described in section 3.

Subroutine Ortho

This routine uses the modified Gram-Schmidt process with reinforcement to orthogonalise a set of vectors $X(n \times p)$ with $n \geq p$. For the sake of generality the routine assumes that the first F columns of X are already orthonormalised but for our purposes we always take F equal to zero. The coding follows equations (3.1) of chapter 3 with the reinforcement as described in section 4 of the same chapter. If reinforcement is found to be necessary the message "Warning 1 in ortho" is output on channel 4; if a column of X is found to be linearly dependent and is thus set identically equal to zero as in equation (4.7) of chapter 3 the message "Warning 2 in ortho" is

output.

Real Function Inner Product

This routine is called by Ortho and calculates the inner-product of columns k and l of the vectors held in X. The summations are performed in double precision arithmetic.

Subroutine Randomisation

Randomisation places pseudo random numbers in the range $(-1,1)$ into the columns of X; these then form the starting vectors for the iteration. If approximations to the eigenvectors are already known a facility exists for using these. This is detailed in the next section. The random numbers are obtained from the I.C.L. routine FPMCRV which generates pseudo random numbers in the range $(0,1)$. Most machines have a similar facility to this and there should be no difficulty in making the necessary alteration.

Subroutine Info

We have briefly mentioned this routine which outputs, after each test for convergence, sufficient information for the user to see the progress, or otherwise, of his program. The subroutine is split into two halves; both output the same information but the first does this in display form suitable for a line printer whilst the second is more compact and suitable for a teletype. Whichever form the output takes

the information displayed is as follows:

- Number of steps performed,
- Number of eigenvectors accepted,
- Number of eigenvalues accepted,
- Number of solutions to be computed,
- The error vector,
- The present approximations to the eigenvalues.

Clearly the user could alter this routine if he felt more or less information was required but we have found the above to be most useful.

Subroutine Normalisation

This routine, if called by the user, normalises the eigenvectors $\{x_i\}$ such that

$$\|x_i\|_{\infty} = 1, \quad i=1,2,\dots,p. \quad (15.3)$$

Subroutines Elapse and Mxop

These are exactly as described in section 3.

Subroutine Product

Product performs a similar role to subroutine Input in the Jacobi programs. A computed GO TO statement transfers control on the n-th example to the CONTINUE statement numbered n*100. The user must then insert a section of code which is such that it computes

$$w = Av, \quad (15.4)$$

where w and v are both vectors of n components, without altering v. Finally, after computing w, control must pass to a RETURN statement in order to leave the subroutine.

This concludes the description of each section of the program.

16. DATA REQUIRED BY QKRZ

The data required by the program takes the following form.

i) The first data card (read from the Master segment at the format statement numbered 10) must contain four integers punched in the format (I2,I5,I2,I3). The first of these is the number of examples to be run, the second and third are the values of N and P respectively used to dimension the arrays in the Master segment and the fourth is the width of output line required.

ii) The second data card contains information about the first example and this is given in the format (I5,I2,I6,I2,E9.2,A6,I1). The first two numbers are the dimensions of the array X for this particular example; these must be less than or equal to the dimensions given to X in the Master segment as input in (i). The third number is the maximum number of iterative steps that it is wished to perform. If there has been no convergence after this number the program is automatically terminated. The fourth parameter is the number of solutions it is desired to compute and the fifth the accuracy to which they are required. The sixth parameter is

a text string defining the output format required, a typical value might be E18.8. Finally we have a flag which, if set to one, normalises the vectors as in (15.1).

iii) If in advance approximations to the eigenvectors are known a considerable saving in computer time can be effected by starting the iteration with these approximations instead of using random numbers. For this reason the following facility is available. If on the second data card described in (ii) the third parameter, the maximum number of steps to be performed, is given a negative value then it is assumed that initial approximations to the eigenvectors are to be input and randomisation is suppressed. The input is controlled by the format statement labelled 30 and the IF statement immediately preceding it. The user is advised to alter the format statement to suit his particular needs. Although the maximum number of steps has been given a negative value the upper limit is set as the absolute value of that read in.

iv) After the data card described in (ii) and any possible cards for (iii) a second data card as described in (ii) should now follow for the second example. A similar pattern is followed for each subsequent example.

17. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is to be found in appendix 5. In all the examples which follow we requested the solutions to an accuracy of 10^{-8} .

Example 1, GK 4.13, is the Hilbert matrix of order 10. The iteration was performed with four trial vectors and requested two eigensolutions. In 6 steps taking 1.921 seconds the program had accepted 3 eigenvalues and 3 eigenvectors.

Example 2, GK 4.15 taking $n=10$, was described in section 5. We used four trial vectors and requested two eigensolutions. 8 steps taking 1.275 seconds in fact produced 4 eigensolutions.

Example 3, is a diagonal matrix included to check consistency of the program.

Example 4, GK 7.2, is a tridiagonal matrix of order 21. Five trial vectors produced 3 eigensolutions after 75 steps taking 8.275 seconds. It is worth noting that as the eigenvalues are poorly separated the value of m is increasing throughout the program; that is, an increasing number of intermediate premultiplications are performed at each stage.

Example 5, GK 7.3, is again a tridiagonal matrix of order 21. Five trial vectors produced 4 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors after 67 steps in 12.289

seconds. Again the value of m is increasing throughout.

Example 6, GK 7.4 taking $a=0$, $b=1$ and $n=20$, is a tri-diagonal matrix of order 20 with very poorly separated eigenvalues. After 132 steps taking 17.911 seconds and iterating with six trial vectors 4 eigenvalues and 3 eigenvectors were obtained. As the eigenvalues are so close the value of m is constantly increasing.

Example 7, GK 7.10 taking $n=19$ with $x_k=y_k = [k(n-k+1)]^{\frac{1}{2}}$, is a tridiagonal matrix of order 20. Using six trial vectors 4 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors were obtained after 64 steps in 15.022 seconds. In this example the eigenvalues are well separated and m increases only slowly.

Example 8, Rutishauser (1966), is the matrix of order 44 described in section 5. Four trial vectors produced 3 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors after 189 steps taking 21.983 seconds. With the very close eigenvalues m increases rapidly.

18. A RITZ ITERATION PROGRAM FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES

- HMRZ

For a Hermitian matrix of order n the program calculates the m absolutely largest eigenvalues, λ_i , and their corresponding eigenvectors, x_i . It is not necessary to store the matrix A , all that is required is to be able to form the vector w

where

$$w = Av \quad (18.1)$$

without altering v . The iteration is carried out with p vectors where $p \geq em$ and usually $p > em$. The eigensolutions are obtained to the requested tolerance and optionally the eigenvectors may be normalised such that

$$\|x_i\|_{\infty} = 1, \quad i=1,2,\dots,p. \quad (18.2)$$

The program is similar to the symmetric case and we concentrate our description on the differences.

Master segment

As before the arrays are dimensioned at the beginning of this segment but as the eigenvectors may be complex most arrays now have to be doubled to hold the real and imaginary parts.

XR, XI ($n \times p$) - store the real and imaginary parts of the matrix X whose columns approximate the eigenvectors.

VR (n), VI (n), WR (n), WI (n) - used as workspace in forming the product.

RVR ($p \times p$), RVI ($p \times p$), BR ($p \times p$), BI ($p \times p$) - used as workspace.

D (p) - stores the approximations to the eigenvalues λ_i .

F (p) - stores the error vector.

BB (p), Z (p), DOLD (p), LARGE (p) - used as workspace.

The values given to n and p respectively must be the same for all the arrays.

Subroutine Hmrz

This is identical to Qkrz except for the complex arithmetic which is performed by working separately with the real and imaginary parts of the variables.

Subroutine Hmjo

This is exactly as described in section 6.

Subroutine Cortho

This is a complex arithmetic version of Ortho. It produces exactly the same warning messages.

Subroutine Hinp

This subroutine replaces the function Inner Product in the symmetric case. It calculates, using double precision, the Hermitian inner-product of the columns k and l of the vectors held in X .

Subroutine Cabs

We now describe three routines not needed in the real symmetric case. The first of these is CABS which calculates the absolute value of a complex number, returning this as a real variable with the original number unaltered.

Subroutine Cdiv

This divides one complex number by another returning the result as a third parameter. Both of the original numbers are unaltered.

Subroutine Csqrt

Given a complex number CSQRT calculates its square root ^{with argument} lying in $[-\frac{1}{2}\pi, \frac{1}{2}\pi]$ and returns this as a second parameter leaving the original number unaltered.

Subroutine Randomisation

This is as previously described and in the Hermitian case random numbers are only put in the real part of the array X. This ensures that if the vectors are all real no unnecessary imaginary components will be introduced.

Subroutines Info, Normalisation, Elapse and Mxop

These are as previously described save for the adaption of Normalisation to complex arithmetic.

Subroutine Product

Product is as described before but the user must remember that the vectors w and v now are represented each by two arrays WR, WI and VR, VI respectively and that the matrix product

$$W = AV \quad (18.3)$$

must be programmed as

$$\begin{aligned} WR &= \text{Re}(A).VR - \text{Im}(A).VI \\ WI &= \text{Re}(A).VI + \text{Im}(A).VR \end{aligned} \quad (18.4)$$

19. DATA REQUIRED BY HMRZ

The form of the data required by HMRZ does not differ from that described in section 16.

20. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is to be found in appendix 6. In all the examples which follow we requested the solutions to an accuracy of 10^{-8} .

Example 1, GK 4.13, is the Hilbert matrix of order 10. The Hermitian program produced identical results to the symmetric program.

Examples 2 and 3, GK 6.6 and 6.7, are both small matrices but three trial vectors produced 3 eigensolutions in 6 steps in 1.3 seconds.

Example 4, GK 7.10 taking $n=11$ in example B, is a tridiagonal matrix of order 12. Four trial vectors produced 2 eigensolutions after 50 steps taking 10.341 seconds.

Example 5, GK 7.10 taking $n=19$ in example B, is a tridiagonal matrix of order 20. As in example 4 we used four trial vectors to produce 2 eigensolutions after 101 steps taking 23.660 seconds. In both these examples m increases slowly throughout.

21. A RITZ ITERATION PROGRAM FOR GENERAL MATRICES

- RITZ

For a general matrix A of order n the program calculates the m absolutely largest eigenvalues, λ_i , and their corresponding left and right

eigenvectors, y_i and x_i respectively. It is not necessary to store the matrix A ; all that is required is to be able to form the vector w where

$$w = Av$$

or

$$w = A^H v \quad (21.1)$$

without altering v . The iteration is carried out with p vectors where $p \geq em$ and usually $p > em$. The eigensolutions are obtained to the requested tolerance and optionally both sets of eigenvectors may be normalised such that

$$\|y_i\|_{\infty} = \|x_i\|_{\infty} = 1, \quad i=1,2,\dots,p. \quad (21.2)$$

The program is related to the Hermitian case but appears more complex by the need to introduce left and right vectors.

Master segment

We list the arrays dimensioned in this segment.

YR ($n \times p$), YI ($n \times p$) - store the real and imaginary parts of the matrix Y , the columns of which approximate the left eigenvectors.

XR ($n \times p$), XI ($n \times p$) - store the real and imaginary parts of the matrix X , the columns of which approximate the right eigenvectors.

VR (n), VI (n), WR (n), WI (n) - used as workspace in forming the product.

LVR, LVI, RVR, RVI, BR, BI ($p \times p$) - used as workspace.

DR (p), DI (p) - store the approximations to the eigenvalues λ_i .

LF (p), RF (p) - store the left and right error vectors.

EN (p), DOLD (p,2), LARGE (p,2) - used as workspace.

The values given to n and p respectively must be the same for all the arrays.

Subroutine Glrz

The coding follows exactly the computational details given in section 22 of chapter 4. The need to test the left and right vectors is the only real difference between this program and the Hermitian case.

Subroutine Gljo

This is exactly as described in section 12.

Subroutine Biortho

This routine uses the modified biorthonormalisation process with reinforcement to biorthonormalise two sets of vectors Y and X. The coding follows sections 7 and 8 of chapter 3. If reinforcement is found to be necessary the message "Warning 1 in biortho" is output on channel 4; if it is found that it is not possible to biorthonormalise at a particular stage the message output is "Warning 2 in biortho".

Subroutine Cinp

This subroutine calculates, in double

precision, the inner-product of two complex vectors y_k and x_l .

Other subroutines except Product

These are as described in Hmrz.

Subroutine Product

This is of a similar pattern to that in Hmrz but as well as being able to form the matrix product

$$w = Av \quad (21.3)$$

it is necessary to be able to form

$$w = A^H v . \quad (21.4)$$

These must be programmed as

$$\begin{aligned} WR &= \text{Re}(A).VR - \text{Im}(A).VI \\ WI &= \text{Re}(A).VI + \text{Im}(A).VR \end{aligned} \quad (21.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} WR &= \text{Re}(A^H).VR + \text{Im}(A^H).VI \\ WI &= \text{Re}(A^H).VI - \text{Im}(A^H).VR . \end{aligned} \quad (21.6)$$

An additional parameter, Hermit, is passed to the subroutine to determine which product is required. If Hermit is negative (21.1) should be formed but if it is positive (21.2) is needed.

22. DATA REQUIRED BY RITZ

The form of the data required by Ritz is basically the same as for Qkrz as described in section 16. However, the second data card is in the format (I5,I2,I6,I2,E9.2,A6,2I1). The first six parameters are exactly as described previously

and the eighth^h is the normalisation flag, previously the seventh parameter. The only addition, the seventh parameter, is an integer used to control the computed GO TO statement in subroutine Product. It has been found advantageous for our purposes to introduce this additional parameter but a user may well wish to revert to the same pattern employed in the symmetric and Hermitian programs.

23. TEST RESULTS

A listing of the program together with a sample of the output from one of the test runs is to be found in appendix 7. In all the examples which follow we requested the solutions to an accuracy of 10^{-8} .

Example 1, GK 5.5, is a small matrix but nevertheless is a useful test. Three trial vectors produced 3 eigensolutions after 36 steps in 7.828 seconds.

Example 2, GK 5.8, has a complex conjugate pair of eigenvalues. Three trial vectors produced 3 eigensolutions after 44 steps in 7.242 seconds.

Example 3, GK 6.5, has four well separated complex eigenvalues. Three trial vectors produced 3 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors after 68 steps in 10.695 seconds.

Example 4, GK 6.10, is a Hessenberg matrix of order 10. We used four trial vectors to produce

4 eigenvalues and 3 eigenvectors in 1 minute 40.3 seconds after 128 steps.

Example 5, Clint and Jennings (1971) Table 4, is a Hessenberg matrix of order 7. We used four trial vectors and in 41 steps computed 3 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors. The execution time was 23.376 seconds. Our results compare well with those given by Clint and Jennings.

Example 6, GK 5.26, has as its dominant values a repeated eigenvalue with linear elementary divisors and a pair of complex conjugate eigenvalues. Iteration with four trial vectors determined the 4 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors after 29 steps taking 14.790 seconds.

Example 7, GK 5.23 taking $\epsilon=10^{-10}$, is a sparse matrix of order 20. Using six trial vectors we obtained 5 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors after 123 steps taking 1 minute 45.5 seconds.

Example 8, GK 7.10 taking $n=19$ in example A, is a sparse matrix of the type particularly suited to simultaneous iteration. We used six trial vectors and obtained 4 eigenvalues and 2 eigenvectors in 1 minute 3.3 seconds after 70 steps.

CONCLUSION

CONCLUSION

We have written a program to find the dominant eigenvalues and the corresponding left-hand and right-hand eigenvectors of an arbitrary complex matrix using simultaneous iteration.

In general simultaneous iteration produces results accurately and with great reliability, although running time can be high. The method really comes into its own for large sparse matrices having no particular pattern.

In his program for symmetric matrices Rutishauser (1970) uses Chebyshev iteration in the premultiplication steps. The inclusion of some device similar to this would probably enhance our program for general matrices, particularly in the case of poorly separated eigenvalues.

It certainly appears that there is a need for a simultaneous iteration program for arbitrary matrices and the author hopes that his program may prove its worth (or otherwise) through practical application.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Bauer F.L. 1957, "Das Verfahren der Treppeniteration und verwandte Verfahren zur Losung algebraischer Eigenwertprobleme", Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 8, 214-235.

Björck A. 1967, "Solving linear least squares problems by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation", BIT, 7, 1-21.

Causey R.L. 1958, "Computing eigenvalues of non-Hermitian matrices by methods of Jacobi type", J. SIAM, 6, 172-181.

Clint M. & Jennings A. 1971, "A simultaneous iteration method for the unsymmetric eigenvalue problem", J. Inst. Maths. Applics., 8, 111-121.

Eberlein P.J. 1962, "A Jacobi-like method for the automatic computation of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of an arbitrary matrix", J. SIAM, 10, 74-88.

Eberlein P.J. 1970, "Solution to the complex eigenproblem by a norm reducing Jacobi type method", Numer. Math., 14, 232-245.

Francis J.G.F. 1961, 1962, "The QR transformation, Parts I and II", Comp. J., 4, 265-271, 332-345.

Goldstine H.H. & Horwitz L.P. 1959, "A procedure for the diagonalisation of normal matrices", J. ACM, 6, 176-195.

Greenstadt J. 1955, "A method for finding roots

of arbitrary matrices", Math. Tab. Aid. Comp.,
9, 47-52.

Gregory R.T. 1953, "Computing eigenvalues and
eigenvectors", Math. Tab. Aid. Comp., 7,
215-220.

Gregory R.T. 1960, "Defective and derogatory
matrices", SIAM Rev., 2, 134-139.

Gregory R.T. & Karney D.L. 1969, "A collection of
matrices for testing computational algorithms",
Wiley-Interscience, New York.

Gudgin B.C. 1971, "Simultaneous iteration
techniques for large sparse matrices",
University of London M.Sc. dissertation.

Hotelling H. 1933, "Analysis of a complex of
statistical variables into principal
components", J. educ. Psychol., 24, 417-441,
498-520.

Jacobi C.G.J. 1846, "Uber ein leichtes Verfahren
die in der Theorie der Sacularstorungen
vorkommenden Gleichungen numerisch aufzulosen",
Crelle's J., 30, 51-94.

Loizou G. 1972, "On the quadratic convergence of
the Jacobi method for normal matrices", Comp. J.,
15, 274-276.

Lotkin M. 1956, "Characteristic values of arbitrary
matrices", Quart. Appl. Math., 14, 267-275.

Mirsky L. 1958, "On the minimisation of matrix norms",
Amer. Math. Mon., 65, 106-107.

- Noble B. 1969, "Applied linear algebra", Prentice-Hall, New Jersey.
- Pope D.A. & Tompkins C. 1957, "Maximising functions of rotations - Experiments concerning speed of diagonalisation of symmetric matrices using Jacobi's method", J. Ass. Comp. Mach., 4, 459-466.
- Rice J.R. 1966, "Experiments on Gram-Schmidt orthogonalisation", Maths. of Comp., 20, 325-328.
- Ruhe A. 1967, "On the quadratic convergence of the Jacobi method for normal matrices", BIT, 7, 305-313.
- Ruhe A. 1968, "On the quadratic convergence of a generalisation of the Jacobi method to arbitrary matrices", BIT, 8, 210-231.
- Rutishauser H. 1958, "Solution of eigenvalue problems with the LR-transformation", Appl. Math. Ser. Nat. Bur. Stand., 49, 47-81.
- Rutishauser H. 1964, "Une method pour le calcul des valeurs propres des matrices non symetriques", C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, 259, 2758.
- Rutishauser H. 1966, "The Jacobi method for real symmetric matrices", Numer. Math., 9, 1-10.
- Rutishauser H. 1969, "Computational aspects of F.L. Bauer's simultaneous iteration method", Numer. Math., 13, 4-13.
- Rutishauser H. 1970, "Simultaneous iteration

method for symmetric matrices", Numer. Math.,
16, 205-223.

Wilkinson J.H. 1962, "Note on the quadratic
convergence of the cyclic Jacobi process",
Numer. Math., 4, 296-300.

Wilkinson J.H. 1965, "The algebraic eigenvalue
problem", Clarendon Press, Oxford.

Wilkinson J.H. & Reinsch C. 1971, "Handbook for
automatic computation, Volume II", Springer-
Verlag, Berlin.

APPENDIX 1

A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR SYMMETRIC MATRICES

```

C021 MASTER
C022 INTEGER DIMN,EIVEC,ROT,RES,LINE(73),DATE(3)
C023 REAL A(44,44),V(44,44),D(44),B(44),Z(44),MC
C024 DATA LINE/73*1H*/
C025 K=1
C026 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)
C027 MC=E
C028 READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,LENGTH
C029 10 FORMAT (2I2,13)
C030 IF (LENGTH.LT.120) K=-1
C031 DO 500 I0=1,NUMBER
C032 CALL INPUT (A,DIMN,N,NO,EIVEC,RES,NORM,FIELD)
C033 CALL TIME (T)
C034 IF (K) 200,999,100
C035 100 WRITE (6,110) (LINE(I), I=1,73), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO
C036 110 FORMAT (1H1,///,24X,'THE METHOD OF JACOBI FOR THE EIGENSOLUTION OF
C037 1A REAL NXN SYMMETRIC MATRIX',/,24X,73A1,///,45X,'THIS PROGRAM WAS
C038 2RUM ON',13,1X,A3,1X,12,/,45X,'AND EXECUTION STARTED AT',A9,/,54X,
C039 3'EXAMPLE NUMBER',13)
C040 GO TO 140
C041 120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I), I=1,34), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO
C042 130 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,'JACOBI FOR REAL SYMMETRIC MATRICES',/,1X,34A1
C043 1,/,/,DATE,13,1X,A3,1X,12,5X,'TIME:',A9,5X,'EXAMPLE NUMBER',13)
C044 140 WRITE (6,150) N,N
C045 150 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,'THE ORIGINAL',13,'X',12,' MATRIX A IS GIVEN BEL
C046 1OW:')
C047 CALL IXP0P (A,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)
C048 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
C049 CALL JACO (A,D,V,B,Z,DIMN,N,ROT,EIVEC,MC)
C050 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
C051 IF (RES.EQ.1) CALL CHECK (A,V,B,DIMN,N)
C052 IF (NORM.EQ.1) CALL NORMALISATION (V,DIMN,N)
C053 IF (ROT) 200,250,250
C054 200 ROT=-ROT
C055 IF (K) 230,999,210
C056 210 WRITE (6,220)
C057 220 FORMAT (1H,///,32X,'** WARNING - A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEE
C058 1N COMPLETED **')
C059 GO TO 230
C060 230 WRITE (6,240)
C061 240 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,'** WARNING - A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEEN C
C062 1OMPLETED **')
C063 250 IF (K) 280,999,260
C064 260 WRITE (6,270) ROT
C065 270 FORMAT (1H,///,43X,14,' JACOBI ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED')
C066 GO TO 300
C067 280 WRITE (6,290) ROT
C068 290 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,14,' JACOBI ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED')
C069 300 WRITE (6,310)
C070 310 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,'THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE:')
C071 CALL IXP0P (D,1,DIMN,1,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C072 IF (EIVEC.EQ.0) GO TO 400
C073 WRITE (6,320)
C074 320 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,'THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIV
C075 1EN BELOW:')
C076 CALL IXP0P (V,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C077 IF (RES.EQ.0) GO TO 400
C078 WRITE (6,330)
C079 330 FORMAT (1H,///,11X,'THE RESIDUAL MATRIX V'AV IS GIVEN BELOW:')
C080 CALL IXP0P (A,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C081 400 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)
C082 IF (K) 430,999,410
C083 410 WRITE (6,420) (LINE(I), I=1,60)
C084 420 FORMAT (1H,///,31X,60A1)
C085 GO TO 500
C086 430 WRITE (6,440) (LINE(I), I=1,40)
C087 440 FORMAT (1H,///,1X,40A1)
C088 500 CONTINUE
C089 STOP OK
C090 999 STOP NONH
C091 END

```

```

C092 SUBROUTINE JACO (A,D,V,B,Z,DIMN,N,ROT,EIVC,MC)
C093 INTEGER DIMN,N,EIVC,ROT,RES,P,Q
C094 REAL A(DIMN,DIMN),D(DIMN),V(DIMN,DIMN),B(DIMN),Z(DIMN),MC
C095 DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
C096 IF (EIVC.EQ.0) GO TO 30
C097 DO 10 I=1,N
C098 DO 10 J=1,N
C099 10 V(I,J)=0.0
C100 DO 20 P=1,N
C101 20 V(P,P)=1.0
C102 DO 30 Q=P+1,N
C103 D(P)=A(P,P)
C104 B(P)=D(P)
C105 40 Z(P)=0.0
C106 ROT=0
C107 EPS=N*(N-1)*0.5*MC
C108 DO 400 I=1,50
C109 SUM=0.0
C110 DO 100 P=1,N-1
C111 DO 100 Q=P+1,N
C112 TEMP=ABS(A(P,Q))
C113 100 SUM=SUM+DBLE(TEMP)
C114 SM=SUM
C115 IF (SM.LE.EPS) GO TO 500
C116 TRESH=0.0
C117 IF (T.LT.4) TRESH=0.2*SM/N**2
C118 DO 200 P=1,N-1
C119 DO 200 Q=P+1,N
C120 G=100.0*ABS(A(P,Q))
C121 IF (T.GT.4.AND.(ABS(D(P))+G.EQ.ABS(D(Q)).AND.
C122 1 ABS(D(Q))+G.EQ.ABS(D(P))) GO TO 310
C123 IF (ABS(A(P,Q)).LE.TRESH) GO TO 320
C124 H=D(Q)-D(P)
C125 IF (ABS(H)+G.EQ.ABS(H)) GO TO 110
C126 THETA=0.5*H/A(P,Q)
C127 T=1.0/(ABS(THETA)+SQRT(1.0+THETA**2))
C128 IF (THETA.LT.0.0) T=-T
C129 GO TO 120
C130 110 T=A(P,Q)/H
C131 120 C=1.0/SQRT(1.0+T*T)
C132 S=T*C
C133 TAU=S/(1.0+C)
C134 H=T*A(P,Q)
C135 Z(P)=Z(P)+H
C136 Z(Q)=Z(Q)+H
C137 D(P)=D(P)+H
C138 D(Q)=D(Q)+H
C139 A(P,Q)=0.0
C140 IF (P.EQ.1) GO TO 210
C141 DO 200 J=1,P-1
C142 AJP=A(J,P)
C143 AJQ=A(J,Q)
C144 A(J,P)=AJP-S*(AJQ+AJP*TAU)
C145 A(J,Q)=AJQ+S*(AJP-AJQ*TAU)
C146 200 IF (P+1.GT.Q-1) GO TO 230
C147 DO 220 J=P+1,Q-1
C148 APJ=A(P,J)
C149 AJQ=A(J,Q)
C150 A(P,J)=APJ-S*(AJQ+APJ*TAU)
C151 A(J,Q)=AJQ+S*(APJ-AJQ*TAU)
C152 230 IF (Q.EQ.N) GO TO 250
C153 DO 240 J=Q+1,N
C154 APJ=A(P,J)
C155 AQJ=A(Q,J)
C156 A(P,J)=APJ-S*(AQJ+APJ*TAU)
C157 A(Q,J)=AQJ+S*(APJ-AQJ*TAU)
C158 240 IF (EIVC.EQ.0) GO TO 300
C159 DO 260 J=1,N
C160 VJP=V(J,P)
C161 VJQ=V(J,Q)
C162 V(J,P)=VJP-S*(VJQ+VJP*TAU)
C163 V(J,Q)=VJQ+S*(VJP-VJQ*TAU)
C164 300 ROT=ROT+1
C165 GO TO 320
C166 310 A(P,Q)=0.0
C167 320 CONTINUE
C168 330 CONTINUE
C169 DO 340 P=1,N
C170 B(P)=B(P)+Z(P)
C171 D(P)=B(P)
C172 340 Z(P)=0.0
C173 400 CONTINUE
C174 ROT=-ROT
C175 DO 500 P=1,N-1
C176 DO 500 Q=P+1,N
C177 TEMP=ABS(D(P))-ABS(D(Q))
C178 IF (TEMP) 510,530,530
C179 510 SM=D(P)
C180 D(P)=D(Q)
C181 D(Q)=SM
C182 DO 520 I=1,N
C183 SM=V(I,P)
C184 V(I,P)=V(I,Q)
C185 V(I,Q)=SM
C186 530 CONTINUE
C187 540 CONTINUE
C188 DO 600 I=1,N-1
C189 DO 600 J=I+1,N
C190 A(I,J)=A(J,I)
C191 RETURN
C192 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 821, NAME JACO


```

C103      SUBROUTINE NORMALISATION (V,DIMN,N)
C104      INTEGER DIMN
C105      REAL V(DIMN,DIMN)
C106      DO 30 J=1,N
C107      AUX=V(1,J)
C108      DO 40 I=2,N
C109      IF (ABS(V(I,J)) .GT. ABS(AUX)) AUX=V(I,J)
C200      10 CONTINUE
C201      DO 20 I=1,N
C202      V(I,J)=V(I,J)/AUX
C203      20 CONTINUE
C204      30 CONTINUE
C205      RETURN
C206      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 93, NAME NORMALISATION

```

C207      SUBROUTINE CHECK (A,V,B,DIMN,N)
C208      REAL A(DIMN,DIMN), V(DIMN,DIMN), B(DIMN)
C209      DO 40 I=1,N
C210      DO 30 J=1,N
C211      SUM=0.0
C212      DO 20 K=1,N
C213      20 SUM=SUM+A(I,K)+V(K,J)
C214      30 R(J)=SUM
C215      DO 40 J=1,N
C216      40 A(I,J)=B(J)
C217      DO 50 K=1,N
C218      DO 70 J=1,N
C219      SUM=0.0
C220      DO 60 I=1,N
C221      60 SUM=SUM+V(I,J)+A(I,K)
C222      70 B(J)=SUM
C223      DO 80 I=1,N
C224      80 A(I,K)=B(I)
C225      RETURN
C226      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 156, NAME CHECK

```

C227      SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E,DAT,NO,K)
C228      INTEGER CALL, DAT(3), MONTH(12), BUFFER(2)
C229      DATA CALL/2/
C230      DATA MONTH/'JAN','FEB','MAR','APR','MAY','JUN','JULY','AUG','SEP',
C231      'OCT','NOV','DEC'/
C232      GO TO (100,200,300), NO
C233      100 CALL MTIME (N)
C234      F=N
C235      CALL=3-CALL
C236      IF (CALL .EQ. 2) GO TO 110
C237      EE=E
C238      RETURN
C239      110 F=E-EE
C240      F=F+1.0E-3
C241      RETURN
C242      200 CALL DEFBUF (2,8,BUFFER)
C243      CALL DATE (E)
C244      WRITE (2,210) E
C245      210 FORMAT (A8)
C246      READ (2,220) (DAT(I), I=1,3)
C247      220 FORMAT (I2,1X,I2,1X,I2)
C248      DAT(2)=MONTH(DAT(2))
C249      F=EE+1.0E-08
C250      230 IF (1.0+EE .EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
C251      F=EE
C252      F=EE/2.0
C253      GO TO 230
C254      RETURN
C255      300 IF (K) 370,370,310
C256      310 IF (F .GE. 60.0) GO TO 330
C257      WRITE (6,320) E
C258      320 FORMAT (1H ,////,44X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',F7.3,' SECONDS')
C259      GO TO 300
C260      330 IF (F .GE. 120.0) GO TO 350
C261      F=E-60.0
C262      WRITE (6,340) E
C263      340 FORMAT (1H ,////,40X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE',F7.3,' SECONDS'
C264      )
C265      GO TO 300
C266      350 F=E/60.0
C267      F=E-1*60.0
C268      360 FORMAT (1H ,////,39X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',I3,' MINUTES',F7.3,' SEC
C269      ONDS')
C270      GO TO 300
C271      370 WRITE (6,380) E
C272      380 FORMAT (1H ,////,' EXECUTION TIME WAS',F9.3,' SECONDS')
C273      RETURN
C274      390
C275      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

0276 SUBROUTINE MXOP (A,D1HN,D1NP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,STREAM,IFLAG)
0277 INTEGER D1HN,D1NP,P,WIDTH,STREAM
0278 REAL A(D1HN,D1NP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
0279 DATA FRMT1/6H(,1HN,8:IX,1P,1HN,1HE,8H)
0280 DATA FRMT2/6H(,1HN,8:IX,1P,1HN,1HE,8H)
0281 IF (IFLAG) 10,200,10
0282 10 CALL DEFBUF (2,16,BUFFER)
0283 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
0284 WRITE (2,20) FIELD
0285 20 FORMAT (A6)
0286 READ (2,30) WIDTH
0287 30 FORMAT (IX,I2)
0288 IF (P+WIDTH-LENGTH) 100,100,40
0289 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
0290 N2=P/N1
0291 LAST=P-N1*N2
0292 IF (IFLAG) 50,999,60
0293 50 N3=1
0294 N4=1
0295 GO TO 70
0296 60 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
0297 N4=(LENGTH-LAST-WIDTH)/2+1
0298 70 WRITE (2,80) N3,N1,N4,LAST
0299 80 FORMAT (4I4)
0300 READ (2,90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(4)
0301 90 FORMAT (4A4)
0302 GO TO 300
0303 100 N2=0
0304 IF (IFLAG) 110,999,120
0305 110 N3=1
0306 GO TO 130
0307 120 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
0308 130 WRITE (2,140) N3,P
0309 140 FORMAT (2I4)
0310 READ (2,150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4)
0311 150 FORMAT (2A4)
0312 GO TO 210
0313 200 IF (N2) 999,210,300
0314 210 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
0315 RETURN
0316 300 N2N1=N2*N1
0317 IF (N-1) 999,400,310
0318 310 DO 330 K=1,N2N1,N1
0319 KK=K+N1-1
0320 WRITE (STREAM,320) K, KK
0321 320 FORMAT (1H,/, ' COLUMNS', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
0322 330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=K, KK), I=1,N)
0323 IF (LAST-1) 370,340,360
0324 340 WRITE (STREAM,350) P
0325 350 FORMAT (1H,/, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
0326 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,P), I=1,N)
0327 GO TO 370
0328 360 KK=N2N1+1
0329 WRITE (STREAM,320) KK,P
0330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) ((A(I,J), J=KK,P), I=1,N)
0331 370 RETURN
0332 400 DO 410 K=1,N2N1,N1
0333 KK=K+N1-1
0334 410 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) (A(1,J), J=K, KK)
0335 IF (LAST-1) 440,420,430
0336 420 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) A(1,P)
0337 GO TO 440
0338 430 KK=N2N1+1
0339 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(1,J), J=KK,P)
0340 440 RETURN
0341 999 STOP MXOP
0342 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME MXOP

```

C3473 SUBROUTINE INPUT (A, DIMN, N, NO, EIVEC, RES, NORM, FIELD)
C3474 INTEGER DIMN, RES, EIVEC
C3475 REAL A(DIMN, DIMN), FIELD
C3476 READ (5, 10) N, EIVEC, FIELD, RES, NORM
C3477 10 FORMAT (I2, I1, A6, 2I1)
C3478 GO TO (100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900), NO
C3479
C3480 100 CONTINUE
C3481 READ (5, 110) ((A(I, J), J=1, 4), I=1, 4)
C3482 110 FORMAT (4G0.0)
C3483 RETURN
C3484
C3485 200 CONTINUE
C3486 GO TO 100
C3487
C3488 300 CONTINUE
C3489 DO 310 I=1, N
C3490 DO 310 J=1, N
C3491 310 A(I, J)=0.0
C3492 DO 320 I=1, N
C3493 A(I, 1)=1.0
C3494 A(I, N)=I
C3495 320 A(N, I)=I
C3496 RETURN
C3497
C3498 400 CONTINUE
C3499 DO 410 I=1, N
C3500 DO 410 J=1, N
C3501 410 A(I, J)=1.0/FLOAT(I+J-1)
C3502 RETURN
C3503
C3504 500 CONTINUE
C3505 X=1.0
C3506 510 X=2.0+X
C3507 DO 520 I=1, N
C3508 DO 520 J=1, N
C3509 520 A(I, J)=0.0
C3510 A(1, 1)=-1.0
C3511 A(N, N)=-1.0
C3512 DO 530 I=1, N-1
C3513 A(I, I+1)=X
C3514 530 A(I+1, I)=X
C3515 DO 540 I=1, N-2
C3516 A(I, I+2)=1.0
C3517 A(I+2, I)=1.0
C3518 RETURN
C3519
C3520 600 CONTINUE
C3521 X=0.0
C3522 GO TO 510
C3523
C3524 700 CONTINUE
C3525 READ (5, 710) ((A(I, J), J=1, 8), I=1, 8)
C3526 710 FORMAT (8G0.0)
C3527 RETURN
C3528
C3529 800 CONTINUE
C3530 DO 810 I=1, N
C3531 DO 810 J=1, N
C3532 810 A(I, J)=0.0
C3533 A(1, 1), A(N, N)=5.0
C3534 A(1, 2), A(2, 1), A(N-1, N), A(N, N-1)=2.0
C3535 DO 820 I=2, N-1
C3536 820 A(I, I)=4.0
C3537 DO 830 I=2, N-2
C3538 J=I+1
C3539 830 A(I, J), A(J, I)=3.0
C3540 DO 840 I=1, N-2
C3541 J=I+2
C3542 840 A(I, J), A(J, I)=1.0
C3543 DO 850 I=1, N-3
C3544 J=I+3
C3545 850 A(I, J), A(J, I)=1.0
C3546 RETURN
C3547
C3548 900 CONTINUE
C3549 END

```

THE METHOD OF JACOBI FOR THE EIGENSOLUTION OF A REAL NXN SYMMETRIC MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 13 AUG 74
AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 06/11/71

EXAMPLE NUMBER 2

THE ORIGINAL 4X 4 MATRIX A IS GIVEN BELOW:

4.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00	1.000000000E 00
4.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00	1.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00
4.000000000E 00	1.000000000E 00	6.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00
1.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00	4.000000000E 00	2.000000000E 00

17 JACOBI ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED

THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE:

1.500000000E 01 5.000000000E 00 5.000000000E 00 -1.000000000E 00

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW:

1.000000000E 00	-8.404363252E-01	-9.999999999E-01	-9.999999999E-01
1.000000000E 00	1.000000000E 00	-8.404363252E-01	9.999999997E-01
1.000000000E 00	-9.999999997E-01	8.404363252E-01	-1.000000000E 00
9.999999997E-01	8.404363252E-01	1.000000000E 00	-9.999999999E-01

THE RESIDUAL MATRIX V'AV IS GIVEN BELOW:

1.500000000E 01	-2.9103830457E-11	1.3696723704E-10	2.1827872843E-11
-2.9103830457E-11	5.0000000002E 00	-2.9103830457E-11	-5.4267460813E-11
1.7462298274E-11	1.4551915228E-11	5.000000000E 00	-5.0931703299E-11
2.9103830457E-11	-1.4551915228E-11	-8.7311491370E-11	-1.0000000000E 00

EXECUTION TIME WAS 0.057 SECONDS

APPENDIX 2

A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES

C021
C022
C023
C024
C025
C026
C027
C028
C029
C030
C031
C032
C033
C034
C035
C036
C037
C038
C039
C040
C041
C042
C043
C044
C045
C046
C047
C048
C049
C050
C051
C052
C053
C054
C055
C056
C057
C058
C059
C060
C061
C062
C063
C064
C065
C066
C067
C068
C069
C070
C071
C072
C073
C074
C075
C076
C077
C078
C079
C080
C081
C082
C083
C084
C085
C086
C087
C088
C089
C090
C091
C092
C093
C094
C095
C096
C097
C098
C099
C100
C101
C102
C103
C104

```
MASTER  
INTEGER DIMN,EIVEC,ROT,RES,LINE(79),DATE(3)  
REAL AR(12,12),AI(12,12),VR(12,12),VI(12,12),D(12),B(12),Z(12)  
REAL MC  
DATA LINE/79*1H*/  
K=1  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)  
MC=E  
READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,LENGTH  
10 FORMAT (2I2,I3)  
IF (LENGTH.LT.120) K=-1  
DO 500 I=1,NUMBER  
CALL INPUT (AR,AI,DIMN,N,NO,EIVEC,RES,NORM,FIELD)  
CALL TIME (T)  
IF (K) 20,999,400  
100 WRITE (6,110) (LINE(I), I=1,79), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO  
110 FORMAT (1H,110) (1X,1A, JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE EIGENSO  
LUTIONS OF A NXN HERMITIAN MATRIX',1X,79A1,111,45X,'THIS PROGRA  
M WAS RUN ON',13,1X,A3,1X,12,1,45X,'AND EXECUTION STARTED AT',A9,  
3 //,54X,'EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3)  
GO TO 140  
120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I), I=1,43), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO  
130 FORMAT (1H,130) (1X,1A, JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES',  
1 //,1X,A3A1,111, DATE',13,1X,A3,1X,12,5X,'TIME',A9,5X,'EXAMPLE NU  
MBER',I3)  
140 WRITE (6,150) N,N  
150 FORMAT (1H,150) 'THE ORIGINAL',13,'X',12,' MATRIX A IS GIVEN BEL  
OW: '  
WRITE (6,160)  
160 FORMAT (1H,160) ' REAL PART '  
CALL MXOP (AR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)  
WRITE (6,161)  
161 FORMAT (1H,161) ' IMAGINARY PART '  
CALL MXOP (AI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)  
CALL HMOJ (AR,AI,D,VR,VI,B,Z,DIMN,N,ROT,EIVEC,MC)  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)  
IF (RES.EQ.1) CALL CHECK (AR,AI,VR,VI,B,Z,DIMN,N)  
IF (NORM.EQ.1) CALL NORMALISATION (VR,VI,DIMN,N)  
IF (ROT) 200,250,250  
200 ROT=-ROT  
IF (K) 230,999,210  
210 WRITE (6,220)  
220 FORMAT (1H,220) ' *** WARNING - A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEE  
N COMPLETED *** '  
GO TO 250  
230 WRITE (6,240)  
240 FORMAT (1H,240) ' *** WARNING - A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEEN C  
OMPLETED *** '  
250 IF (K) 280,999,260  
260 WRITE (6,270) ROT  
270 FORMAT (1H,270) ' JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED '  
GO TO 300  
280 WRITE (6,290) ROT  
290 FORMAT (1H,290) ' JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED '  
300 WRITE (6,310)  
310 FORMAT (1H,310) ' THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE: '  
CALL MXOP (D,1,DIMN,1,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
IF (EIVEC.EQ.0) GO TO 400  
WRITE (6,320)  
320 FORMAT (1H,320) ' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIV  
EN BELOW: '  
WRITE (6,330)  
CALL MXOP (VR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,331)  
CALL MXOP (VI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
IF (RES.EQ.0) GO TO 400  
WRITE (6,332)  
330 FORMAT (1H,332) ' THE RESIDUAL MATRIX V*AV IS GIVEN BELOW: '  
WRITE (6,340)  
CALL MXOP (AR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,341)  
CALL MXOP (AI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)  
IF (K) 430,999,410  
410 WRITE (6,420) (LINE(I), I=1,60)  
420 FORMAT (1H,420) (1X,60A1)  
GO TO 500  
430 WRITE (6,440) (LINE(I), I=1,40)  
440 FORMAT (1H,440) (1X,40A1)  
500 CONTINUE  
STOP OK  
999 STOP NONM  
END
```



```

0170 ARJP=AR(J,P)
0171 ARJQ=AR(J,Q)
0172 AIJP=AI(J,P)
0173 AIJQ=AI(J,Q)
0174 AR(J,P)=ARJP+S*(ARJQ+CT+AIJQ+ST+ARJP+TAU)
0175 AR(J,Q)=ARJQ+S*(ARJP+CT-AIJP+ST-ARJQ+TAU)
0176 AI(J,P)=AIJP+S*(AIJQ+CT-ARJQ+ST+AIJP+TAU)
0177 AI(J,Q)=AIJQ+S*(AIJP+CT+ARJP+ST-AIJQ+TAU)
300 IF (P+1) GT 1 GO TO 330
510 DO 320 J=P+1, Q-1
0180 ARPJ=AR(P,J)
0181 ARJQ=AR(J,Q)
0182 AIPJ=AI(P,J)
0183 AIJQ=AI(J,Q)
0184 AR(P,J)=ARPJ+S*(ARJQ+CT+AIJQ+ST+ARPJ+TAU)
0185 AR(J,Q)=ARJQ+S*(ARPJ+CT+AIPJ+ST-ARJQ+TAU)
0186 AI(P,J)=AIPJ+S*(AIJQ+CT+ARJQ+ST+AIPJ+TAU)
0187 AI(J,Q)=AIJQ+S*(AIPJ+CT+ARPJ+ST-AIJQ+TAU)
320 IF (Q-1) EQ 0 GO TO 350
0189 DO 340 J=Q+1, N
0190 ARPJ=AR(P,J)
0191 ARQJ=AR(Q,J)
0192 AIPJ=AI(P,J)
0193 AIQJ=AI(Q,J)
0194 AR(P,J)=ARPJ+S*(ARQJ+CT-AIQJ+ST+ARPJ+TAU)
0195 AR(Q,J)=ARQJ+S*(ARPJ+CT+AIPJ+ST-ARQJ+TAU)
0196 AI(P,J)=AIPJ+S*(AIQJ+CT+ARQJ+ST+AIPJ+TAU)
0197 AI(Q,J)=AIQJ+S*(AIPJ+CT-ARPJ+ST-AIQJ+TAU)
340 IF (I+1) EQ 0 GO TO 400
350 DO 360 J=1, N
0200 VRJP=VR(J,P)
0201 VRJQ=VR(J,Q)
0202 VIJP=VI(J,P)
0203 VIJQ=VI(J,Q)
0204 VR(J,P)=VRJP+S*(VRJQ+CT+VIJQ+ST+VRJP+TAU)
0205 VR(J,Q)=VRJQ+S*(VRJP+CT-VIJP+ST-VRJQ+TAU)
0206 VI(J,P)=VIJP+S*(VIJQ+CT-VRJQ+ST+VIJP+TAU)
0207 VI(J,Q)=VIJQ+S*(VIJP+CT+VRJP+ST-VIJQ+TAU)
360 ROT=ROT+1
0208 GO TO 420
0209
0210 410 AR(P,Q)=0.0
0211 AI(P,Q)=0.0
0212 420 CONTINUE
0213 500 CONTINUE
0214 DO 510 P=1, N
0215 B(P)=B(P)+Z(P)
0216 B(P)=B(P)
0217 Z(P)=0.0
0218 600 CONTINUE
0219 ROT=-ROT
0220 DO 650 P=1, N-1
0221 DO 650 Q=P+1, N
0222 TEMP=ABS(D(P))-ABS(D(Q))
0223 IF (TEMP) 620,640,640
0224 620 SM=D(P)
0225 D(P)=D(Q)
0226 D(Q)=SM
0227 DO 630 I=1, N
0228 SM=VR(I,P)
0229 VR(I,P)=VR(I,Q)
0230 VR(I,Q)=SM
0231 SM=VI(I,P)
0232 VI(I,P)=VI(I,Q)
0233 VI(I,Q)=SM
0234 630 CONTINUE
0235 640 CONTINUE
0236 650 CONTINUE
0237 DO 670 I=1, N-1
0238 DO 670 J=I+1, N
0239 AR(I,J)=AR(J,I)
0240 AI(I,J)=-AI(J,I)
0241 RETURN
END

```



```

0242 SUBROUTINE NORMALISATION (VR,VI,DIMN,N)
0243 INTEGER DIMN
0244 REAL VR(DIMN,DIMN),VI(DIMN,DIMN)
0245 DO 30 J=1,N
0246 AUX=VR(1,J)**2+VI(1,J)**2
0247 DO 10 I=2,N
0248 TEMP=VR(I,J)**2+VI(I,J)**2
0249 IF (TEMP.GT. AUX) AUX=TEMP
0250 CONTINUE
0251 AUX=SQRT(AUX)
0252 DO 20 I=1,N
0253 VR(I,J)=VR(I,J)/AUX
0254 VI(I,J)=VI(I,J)/AUX
0255 CONTINUE
0256 RETURN
0257 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 126, NAME NORMALISATION

```

0258 SUBROUTINE CHECK (AR,AI,VR,VI,B,Z,DIMN,N)
0259 REAL AR(DIMN,DIMN),AI(DIMN,DIMN),VR(DIMN,DIMN),VI(DIMN,DIMN)
0260 REAL B(DIMN),Z(DIMN)
0261 DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
0262 DO 40 I=1,N
0263 DO 30 J=1,N
0264 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
0265 DO 20 K=1,N
0266 SUMR=SUMR+AR(I,K)*VR(K,J)-AI(I,K)*VI(K,J)
0267 SUMI=SUMI+AR(I,K)*VI(K,J)+AI(I,K)*VR(K,J)
0268 B(J)=SUMR
0269 Z(J)=SUMI
0270 DO 40 J=1,N
0271 AR(I,J)=B(J)
0272 AI(I,J)=Z(J)
0273 DO 80 K=1,N
0274 DO 70 J=1,N
0275 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
0276 DO 60 I=1,N
0277 SUMR=SUMR+VR(I,J)*AR(I,K)+VI(I,J)*AI(I,K)
0278 SUMI=SUMI+VR(I,J)*AI(I,K)-VI(I,J)*AR(I,K)
0279 B(J)=SUMR
0280 Z(J)=SUMI
0281 DO 80 I=1,N
0282 AR(I,K)=B(I)
0283 AI(I,K)=Z(I)
0284 RETURN
0285 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 340, NAME CHECK

```

0286 SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E,DAT,NO,K)
0287 INTEGER CALL,DAT(3),MONTH(12),BUFFER(2)
0288 DATA CALL/2/
0289 DATA MONTH/'JAN','FEB','MAR','APR','MAY','JUN','JUL','AUG','SEP',
0290 'OCT','NOV','DEC'/
0291 GO TO (100,200,300),NO
0292 CALL MTIME (N)
0293 E=N
0294 CALL=3-CALL
0295 IF (CALL.EQ. 2) GO TO 110
0296 EE=E
0297 RETURN
0298 E=E-EE
0299 EE=E*1.0E-3
0300 RETURN
0301 CALL DEFBUF (2,8,BUFFER)
0302 CALL DATE (E)
0303 WRITE (2,210) E
0304 FORMAT (A3)
0305 READ (2,220) (DAT(I),I=1,3)
0306 FORMAT (I2,1X,I2,1X,I2)
0307 DAT(2)=10*TH(DAT(2))
0308 E=EE*1.0E-03
0309 IF (1.0+EE.EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
0310 E=EE
0311 EE=EE/2.0
0312 GO TO 230
0313 RETURN
0314 IF (K) 370,370,310
0315 IF (E.GE. 60.0) GO TO 330
0316 WRITE (6,320) E
0317 FORMAT (1H ,///,44X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',F7.3,' SECONDS')
0318 GO TO 300
0319 IF (E.GE. 120.0) GO TO 350
0320 E=E-60.0
0321 WRITE (6,340) E
0322 FORMAT (1H ,///,40X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE',F7.3,' SECONDS'
0323 )
0324 GO TO 300
0325 I=E/60.0
0326 E=E-I*60.0
0327 WRITE (6,360) I, E
0328 FORMAT (1H ,///,39X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',I3,' MINUTES',F7.3,' SEC
0329 ONDS')
0330 GO TO 300
0331 WRITE (6,380) E
0332 FORMAT (1H ,///,' EXECUTION TIME WAS',F9.3,' SECONDS')
0333 RETURN
0334 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

0335 SUBROUTINE MXOP (A,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,STREAM,IFLAG)
0336 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,WIDTH,STREAM
0337 REAL A(DIMN,DIMP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
0338 DATA FRMT1/3HC ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H
0339 DATA FRMT2/3HC ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H
0340 IF (IFLAG) 10,200,10
0341 10 CALL DEFBUF (2,16,BUFFER)
0342 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
0343 WRITE (2,20) FIELD
0344 20 FORMAT (A6)
0345 READ (2,30) WIDTH
0346 FORMAT (1X,I2)
0347 IF (P*WIDTH)/LENGTH) 100,100,40
0348 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
0349 N2=N/N1
0350 LAST=P-N1*N2
0351 IF (IFLAG) 50,999,60
0352 50 N3=1
0353 N4=1
0354 GO TO 70
0355 60 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
0356 N4=(LENGTH-LAST*WIDTH)/2+1
0357 70 WRITE (2,80) N3,N1,N4,LAST
0358 80 FORMAT (4I4)
0359 READ (2,90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(4)
0360 90 FORMAT (4A4)
0361 GO TO 300
0362 100 N2=0
0363 IF (IFLAG) 110,999,120
0364 110 N3=1
0365 GO TO 130
0366 120 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
0367 130 WRITE (2,140) N3,P
0368 140 FORMAT (2I4)
0369 READ (2,150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4)
0370 150 FORMAT (2A4)
0371 GO TO 210
0372 200 IF (N2) 999,210,300
0373 210 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
0374 RETURN
0375 300 N2=N2+N1
0376 IF (N=1) 999,400,310
0377 310 DO 330 K=1,N2N1,N1
0378 KK=K+N1-1
0379 WRITE (STREAM,320) K, KK
0380 320 FORMAT (1H,/, ' COLUMN', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
0381 330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=K, KK), I=1,N)
0382 IF (LAST=1) 370,340,360
0383 340 WRITE (STREAM,350) P
0384 350 FORMAT (1H,/, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
0385 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,P), I=1,N)
0386 GO TO 370
0387 360 KK=N2N1+1
0388 WRITE (STREAM,320) KK,P
0389 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) ((A(I,J), J=KK,P), I=1,N)
0390 RETURN
0391 400 DO 410 K=1,N2N1,N1
0392 KK=K+N1-1
0393 410 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) (A(I,J), J=K, KK)
0394 IF (LAST=1) 440,420,430
0395 420 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) A(I,P)
0396 GO TO 440
0397 430 KK=N2N1+1
0398 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,J), J=KK,P)
0399 440 RETURN
0400 999 STOP MXOP
0401 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME MXOP

```

C402          SUBROUTINE INPUT (AR, AI, DIMN, N, NO, EIVEC, RES, NORM, FIELD)
C403          INTEGER DIMN, EIVEC, RES
C404          REAL AR(DIMN, DIMN), AI(DIMN, DIMN)
C405          READ (5, 10) N, FIELD, EIVEC, RES, NORM
C406          10  FORMAT (12, A6, 3I1)
C407          GO TO (100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900), NO
C408          CONTINUE
C409          READ (5, 110) ((AR(I, J), AI(I, J)), J=1, N), I=1, N)
C410          110  FORMAT (2G0.0)
C411          RETURN
C412          CONTINUE
C413          GO TO 100
C414          CONTINUE
C415          GO TO 100
C416          CONTINUE
C417          DO 410 I=1, N
C418          DO 410 J=1, N
C419          410  AR(I, J), AI(I, J)=0.0
C420          DO 420 I=1, N-1
C421          Z=SQRT(FLOAT(I*(N-I)))
C422          AI(I, I+1)=Z
C423          420  AI(I+1, I)=Z
C424          RETURN
C425          CONTINUE
C426          GO TO 400
C427          CONTINUE
C428          GO TO 400
C429          CONTINUE
C430          GO TO 400
C431          CONTINUE
C432          GO TO 400
C433          CONTINUE
C434          GO TO 400
C435          END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 203, NAME INPUT

A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE EIGENSOLUTIONS OF A NXN HERMITIAN MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 22 AUG 74
 AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 17/26/07
 EXAMPLE NUMBER 2

THE ORIGINAL 4X 4 MATRIX A IS GIVEN BELOW,
 REAL PART

7.0000000000E 00	3.0000000000E 00	1.0000000000E 00	-1.0000000000E 00
3.0000000000E 00	7.0000000000E 00	1.0000000000E 00	-1.0000000000E 00
-1.0000000000E 00	1.0000000000E 00	7.0000000000E 00	-3.0000000000E 00
1.0000000000E 00	-3.0000000000E 00	-3.0000000000E 00	7.0000000000E 00

IMAGINARY PART

0.0000000000E-01	0.0000000000E-01	2.0000000000E 00	2.0000000000E 00
0.0000000000E-01	0.0000000000E-01	-2.0000000000E 00	-2.0000000000E 00
-2.0000000000E 00	2.0000000000E 00	0.0000000000E-01	0.0000000000E-01
-2.0000000000E 00	2.0000000000E 00	0.0000000000E-01	0.0000000000E-01

17 JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED

THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE: 1.2000000000E 01 8.0000000000E 00 8.0000000000E 00 2.2178493499E-11

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

9.9999999999E-01	-7.5344577084E-01	-2.3682526042E-02	9.9999999997E-01
9.9999999999E-01	-2.3635386941E-02	6.5751035347E-01	-1.0000000000E 00
9.9999999999E-01	7.0545434262E-01	7.1626815161E-02	9.5532478113E-13
-1.0000000000E 00	7.1626815165E-02	7.0545434262E-01	1.1696948540E-12

IMAGINARY PART

3.8184358637E-12	6.3731005347E-01	2.3635386937E-02	1.2589642869E-12
3.8184358637E-12	6.3682526027E-02	-7.5344577084E-01	7.5085995083E-13
2.7608311149E-12	7.0545434262E-01	7.0550148170E-01	6.9999999998E-01
1.2615076804E-12	7.0550148169E-01	-2.4308902194E-02	9.9999999997E-01

THE RESIDUAL MATRIX Y*AV IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

1.2000000000E 01	4.1991872366E-11	-1.4655370826E-10	-8.0678643980E-11
-7.4925101239E-11	8.0000000000E 00	3.5933924159E-11	-8.2627328522E-12
-7.7513723399E-10	3.3479178808E-11	8.0000000000E 00	-7.9095897697E-11
-7.4676235182E-11	-3.2174115328E-12	-9.3576094888E-11	-7.2759376104E-12

IMAGINARY PART

-2.0447904742E-21	-1.1635165175E-10	4.1585646435E-11	-4.4902830586E-11
-3.3242043715E-10	7.0201622293E-12	1.1823431123E-11	-3.6440484147E-11
-3.3242043715E-11	-2.8716402863E-12	-2.4073187888E-11	-5.4440284912E-11
3.8184358637E-11	3.8962325777E-11	8.1458943384E-11	-1.2411902714E-22

EXECUTION TIME WAS 0.116 SECONDS

APPENDIX 3

A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR NORMAL MATRICES

```

0021 MASTER
0022 INTEGER DIMN,EIVC,ROT,RES,LINE(76),DATE(3)
0023 REAL AR(12,12),AI(12,12), VR(12,12),VI(12,12), DR(12),DI(12)
0024 REAL YR(12),YI(12), ZR(12),ZI(12), AAR(12,12),AAI(12,12), MC
0025 DATA LINE/76*1H*/
0026 K=1
0027 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)
0028 MCRE
0029 READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,LENGTH
0030 10 FORMAT (2I2,I3)
0031 IF (LENGTH.LT. 120) K=-1
0032 DO 500 NO=1,NUMBER
0033 CALL INPUT (AR,AI,DIMN,N,NO,EIVC,RES,NORM,FIELD)
0034 DO 20 I=1,N
0035 DO 20 J=1,N
0036 AAR(I,J)=AR(I,J)
0037 AAI(I,J)=AI(I,J)
0038 CALL TIME (T)
0039 IF (K) 120,099,100
0040 100 WRITE (6,110) (LINE(I), I=1,76), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO
0041 110 FORMAT (1H1,/,/,22X,'A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE EIGENSO
0042 11UTIONS OF A NxN NORMAL MATRIX',/,21X,76A1,/,/,45X,'THIS PROGRAM W
0043 2AS RUN ON',I3,1X,A3,1X,I2,/,45X,'AND EXECUTION STARTED AT',A9,/,/,
0044 3 54X,'EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3)
0045 GO TO 20
0046 120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I), I=1,40), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO
0047 130 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X,'A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR NORMAL MATRICES',/,
0048 1 1X,40A1,/,/, DATE:',I3,1X,A3,1X,I2,5X,'TIME:',A9,5X,'EXAMPLE NUMB
0049 2ER',I3)
0050 140 WRITE (6,150) N,N
0051 150 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X,' THE ORIGINAL',I3,'X',I2,' MATRIX A IS GIVEN BEL
0052 1OW:')
0053 WRITE (6,160)
0054 160 FORMAT (1H,/,/, ' REAL PART')
0055 CALL MXOP (AR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)
0056 WRITE (6,161)
0057 161 FORMAT (1H,/,/, ' IMAGINARY PART')
0058 CALL MXOP (AI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0059 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
0060 CALL INJO (AR,AI,DR,DI,VR,VI,YR,YI,ZR,ZI,DIMN,N,ROT,EIVC,MC)
0061 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
0062 IF (RES.EQ. 1) CALL CHECK (AAR,AAI,VR,VI,YR,YI,DIMN,N)
0063 IF (NORM.EQ. 1) CALL NORMALISATION (VR,VI,DIMN,N)
0064 IF (ROT) 200,250,250
0065 200 ROT=-ROT
0066 IF (K) 230,099,210
0067 210 WRITE (6,220)
0068 220 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X, '*** WARNING = A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEE
0069 1N COMPLETED **')
0070 GO TO 250
0071 230 WRITE (6,240)
0072 240 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X, ' ** WARNING = A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEEN C
0073 1OMPLETED **',099,260)
0074 IF (X) 280,099,260
0075 260 WRITE (6,270) ROT
0076 270 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X,I4,' JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED')
0077 GO TO 300
0078 280 WRITE (6,290) ROT
0079 290 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X,I4,' JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED')
0080 300 WRITE (6,310)
0081 310 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X, ' THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE GIVEN BELOW,')
0082 WRITE (6,160)
0083 CALL MXOP (DR,1,DIMN,1,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0084 WRITE (6,161)
0085 CALL MXOP (DI,1,DIMN,1,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0086 IF (EIVC.EQ. 0) GO TO 400
0087 WRITE (6,320)
0088 320 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X, ' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIV
0089 1EN BELOW,')
0090 WRITE (6,150)
0091 CALL MXOP (VR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0092 WRITE (6,161)
0093 CALL MXOP (VI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0094 IF (RES.EQ. 0) GO TO 400
0095 WRITE (6,330)
0096 330 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X, ' THE RESIDUAL MATRIX V*AV IS GIVEN BELOW,')
0097 WRITE (6,160)
0098 CALL MXOP (AR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0099 WRITE (6,161)
0100 CALL MXOP (AI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0101 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)
0102 IF (K) 330,099,410
0103 410 WRITE (6,420) (LINE(I), I=1,60)
0104 420 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X,60A1)
0105 GO TO 500
0106 430 WRITE (6,440) (LINE(I), I=1,40)
0107 440 FORMAT (1H,/,/,11X,40A1)
0108 500 CONTINUE
0109 STOP OK
0110 999 STOP NOHH
0111 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 437, NAME NONH

```

C112 SUBROUTINE HMJO (AR, AI, DR, DI, VR, VI, YR, YI, ZR, ZI, DIMN, N, ROT, EIVEC,
C113 1 MC)
C114 INTEGER DIMN, N, EIVEC, ROT, P, Q
C115 REAL AR(DIMN, DIMN), AI(DIMN, DIMN), DR(DIMN), DI(DIMN)
C116 REAL VR(DIMN, DIMN), VI(DIMN, DIMN), YR(DIMN), YI(DIMN)
C117 REAL ZR(DIMN), ZI(DIMN), MC
C118 DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
C119 IF (EIVEC .EQ. 0) GO TO 30
C120 DO 10 I=1, N
C121 DO 10 J=1, N
C122 VR(I, J)=0.0
C123 10 VI(I, J)=0.0
C124 DO 20 P=1, N
C125 20 VR(P, P)=1.0
C126 30 DO 40 P=1, N
C127 DR(P)=AR(P, P)
C128 DI(P)=AI(P, P)
C129 YR(P)=DR(P)
C130 YI(P)=DI(P)
C131 40 ZR(P), ZI(P)=0.0
C132 ROT=0
C133 EPS=N*N*MC
C134 DO 600 I=1, 50
C135 SUM=0.0
C136 DO 100 P=1, N-1
C137 DO 100 Q=P+1, N
C138 TEMP=SQRT(AR(P, Q)**2+AI(P, Q)**2)+SQRT(AR(Q, P)**2+AI(Q, P)**2)
C139 100 SUM=SUM+DBLE(TEMP)
C140 SM=SUM
C141 IF (SM .LE. EPS) GO TO 610
C142 TRESH=0.0
C143 IF (I .LT. 4) TRESH=0.2*SM/N**2
C144 DO 500 P=1, N-1
C145 DO 500 Q=P+1, N
C146 BRPQ=(AR(P, Q)+AR(Q, P))/2.0
C147 BIPQ=(AI(P, Q)-AI(Q, P))/2.0
C148 CRPQ=(AR(P, Q)-AR(Q, P))/2.0
C149 CIPQ=(AI(P, Q)+AI(Q, P))/2.0
C150 E=BRPQ**2+BIPQ**2
C151 F=CRPQ**2+CIPQ**2
C152 G=(DR(Q)-DR(P))**2
C153 H=(DI(Q)-DI(P))**2
C154 IF (E+G-F-H) 200, 210, 210
C155 400 IFLAG=2
C156 G=100.0*SQRT(F)
C157 IF (I .GT. 4 .AND. ABS(DI(P))+G .EQ. ABS(DI(Q)))
C158 1 .AND. ABS(DI(Q))+G .EQ. ABS(DI(P))) GO TO 410
C159 IF (G .LE. TRESH) GO TO 420
C160 E=CRPQ
C161 F=CIPQ
C162 EE=BRPQ
C163 FF=BIPQ
C164 H=DI(Q)-DI(P)
C165 GO TO 220
C166 410 IFLAG=1
C167 G=100.0*SQRT(E)
C168 IF (I .GT. 4 .AND. ABS(DR(P))+G .EQ. ABS(DR(Q)))
C169 1 .AND. ABS(DR(Q))+G .EQ. ABS(DR(P))) GO TO 410
C170 IF (G .LE. TRESH) GO TO 420
C171 E=BRPQ
C172 F=BIPQ
C173 EE=CRPQ
C174 FF=CIPQ
C175 H=DR(Q)-DR(P)
C176 220 IF (ABS(E)-ABS(F)) 230, 240, 240
C177 230 T=E/F
C178 ST=1.0/SQRT(1.0+T*T)
C179 CT=T*ST
C180 GO TO 250
C181 240 T=F/E
C182 CT=1.0/SQRT(1.0+T*T)
C183 ST=T*CT
C184 250 OMEGA=E+CT+F+ST
C185 OMEGADASH=EE+CT+FF+ST
C186 IF (ABS(H)+G .EQ. ABS(H)) GO TO 260
C187 THETA=0.5*H/OMEGA
C188 T=1.0/(ABS(THETA)+SQRT(1.0+THETA**2))
C189 IF (THETA .LT. 0.0) T=-T
C190 GO TO 270
C191 260 T=OMEGA/H
C192 270 C=1.0/SQRT(1.0+T*T)
C193 S=T*C
C194 TAU=S/(1.0+C)
C195 H=T*OMEGA
C196 IF (IFLAG .EQ. 1) GO TO 280
C197 ZI(P)=ZI(P)-H
C198 ZI(Q)=ZI(Q)+H
C199 DI(P)=DI(P)-H
C200 DI(Q)=DI(Q)+H
C201 E=(C+C*S)- (BRPQ+CT+BIPQ*ST)+(DR(P)-DR(Q))*S+C
C202 F=BIPQ*CT-BRPQ*ST
C203 BRPQ=E*CT-F*ST
C204 BIPQ=F*CT+E*ST
C205 AR(P, Q)=BRPQ
C206 AI(P, Q)=BIPQ
C207 AR(Q, P)=BRPQ
C208 AI(Q, P)=BIPQ
C209 H=(DR(P)-DR(Q))*S+S+2.0*S*C*OMEGADASH
C210 ZR(P)=ZR(P)-H
C211 ZR(Q)=ZR(Q)+H
C212 DR(P)=DR(P)-H
C213 DR(Q)=DR(Q)+H
C214 GO TO 290

```



```

C331 620 SM=DR(P)
C332 DR(P)=DR(Q)
C333 DR(Q)=SM
C334 SM=DI(P)
C335 DI(P)=DI(Q)
C336 DI(Q)=SM
C337 DO 630 I=1,N
C338 SM=VR(I,P)
C339 VR(I,P)=VR(I,Q)
C340 VR(I,Q)=SM
C341 SM=VI(I,P)
C342 VI(I,P)=VI(I,Q)
C343 VI(I,Q)=SM
C344 630 CONTINUE
C345 650 CONTINUE
C346 RETURN
C347 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 2032, NAME NIJO

```

C330 SUBROUTINE NORMALISATION (VR,VI,DIMN,N)
C331 INTEGER DIMN
C332 REAL VR(DIMN,DIMN),VI(DIMN,DIMN)
C333 DO 30 J=1,N
C334 AUX=VR(1,J)**2+VI(1,J)**2
C335 DO 10 I=2,N
C336 TEMP=VR(I,J)**2+VI(I,J)**2
C337 IF (TEMP.GT.AUX) AUX=TEMP
C338 10 CONTINUE
C339 AUX=SQRT(AUX)
C340 DO 20 I=1,N
C341 VR(I,J)=VR(I,J)/AUX
C342 20 VI(I,J)=VI(I,J)/AUX
C343 30 CONTINUE
C344 RETURN
C345 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 126, NAME NORMALISATION

```

C346 SUBROUTINE CHECK (AR,AI,VR,VI,B,Z,DIMN,N)
C347 REAL AR(DIMN,DIMN),AI(DIMN,DIMN),VR(DIMN,DIMN),VI(DIMN,DIMN)
C348 REAL B(DIMN),Z(DIMN)
C349 DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
C350 DO 20 I=1,N
C351 DO 30 J=1,N
C352 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
C353 DO 40 K=1,N
C354 SUMR=SUMR+AR(I,K)*VR(K,J)-AI(I,K)*VI(K,J)
C355 20 SUMI=SUMI+AR(I,K)*VI(K,J)+AI(I,K)*VR(K,J)
C356 B(J)=SUMR
C357 30 Z(J)=SUMI
C358 DO 40 J=1,N
C359 AR(I,J)=B(J)
C360 40 AI(I,J)=Z(J)
C361 DO 60 K=1,N
C362 DO 70 J=1,N
C363 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
C364 DO 60 I=1,N
C365 SUMR=SUMR+VR(I,J)*AR(I,K)+VI(I,J)*AI(I,K)
C366 60 SUMI=SUMI+VR(I,J)*AI(I,K)-VI(I,J)*AR(I,K)
C367 B(J)=SUMR
C368 70 Z(J)=SUMI
C369 DO 80 I=1,N
C370 AR(I,K)=B(I)
C371 80 AI(I,K)=Z(I)
C372 RETURN
C373 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 340, NAME CHECK

```

0374 SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E,DAT,NO,K)
0375 INTEGER CALL, DAT(3), MONTH(12), BUFFER(2)
0376 DATA CALL/2/
0377 DATA MONTH/'JAN','FEB','MAR','APR','MAY','JUN','JLY','AUG','SEP',
0378 'OCT','NOV','DEC'/
0379 GO TO (100,200,300), NO
0380 100 CALL MTIME (N)
0381 E=N
0382 CALL=3-CALL
0383 IF (CALL .EQ. 2) GO TO 110
0384 EE=E
0385 RETURN
0386 110 EE=EE
0387 EE=E*1.0E-3
0388 RETURN
0389 200 CALL DEFBUF (2,8,BUFFER)
0390 CALL DATE (E)
0391 WRITE (2,210) E
0392 210 FORMAT (A8)
0393 210 READ (2,220) (DAT(I), I=1,3)
0394 220 FORMAT (1X,1X,1X,1X,12)
0395 OAT(2)=MONTH(DAT(2))
0396 E,EE=1.0E-03
0397 230 IF (1.0+EE .EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
0398 EE=EE
0399 EE=EE/2.0
0400 GO TO 230
0401 240 RETURN
0402 300 IF (K) 370,370,310
0403 310 IF (E .GE. 60.0) GO TO 330
0404 WRITE (6,320) E
0405 320 FORMAT (1H ,///,4X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',F7.3,' SECONDS')
0406 GO TO 300
0407 330 IF (E .GE. 120.0) GO TO 350
0408 E=E-60.0
0409 WRITE (6,340) E
0410 340 FORMAT (1H ,///,40X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE',F7.3,' SECONDS'
0411 1)
0412 GO TO 300
0413 350 I=E/60.0
0414 EE=E-I*60.0
0415 WRITE (6,360) I, E
0416 360 FORMAT (1H ,///,39X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',I3,' MINUTES',F7.3,' SEC
0417 ONDS')
0418 GO TO 300
0419 370 WRITE (6,380) E
0420 380 FORMAT (1H ,///,' EXECUTION TIME WAS',F9.3,' SECONDS')
0421 390 RETURN
0422 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

0423 SUBROUTINE HXOP (A, DIMN, DIMP, P, FIELD, LENGTH, STREAM, IFLAG)
0424 INTEGER DIMN, DIMP, P, WIDTH, STREAM
0425 REAL A(DIMN, DIMP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
0426 DATA FRMT1/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H) /
0427 DATA FRMT2/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H) /
0428 IF (IFLAG) 10, 200, 10
0429 10 CALL DEBUF (2, 16, BUFFER)
0430 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
0431 WRITE (2, 20) FIELD
0432 20 FORMAT (A6)
0433 READ (2, 30) WIDTH
0434 30 FORMAT (1X, I2)
0435 IF (P*WIDTH-LENGTH) 100, 100, 40
0436 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
0437 N2=P/N1
0438 LAST=P-N1*N2
0439 IF (IFLAG) 50, 999, 60
0440 50 N3=1
0441 N4=1
0442 GO TO 70
0443 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
0444 N4=(LENGTH-LAST*WIDTH)/2+1
0445 70 WRITE (2, 60) N3, N1, N4, LAST
0446 80 FORMAT (2I4)
0447 READ (2, 90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(4)
0448 90 FORMAT (4A4)
0449 GO TO 300
0450 N2=0
0451 IF (IFLAG) 110, 999, 120
0452 110 N3=1
0453 GO TO 130
0454 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
0455 130 WRITE (2, 140) N3, P
0456 140 FORMAT (2I4)
0457 READ (2, 150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4)
0458 150 FORMAT (2A4)
0459 GO TO 210
0460 IF (N2) 999, 210, 300
0461 210 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT1) ((A(I, J), J=1, P), I=1, N)
0462 RETURN
0463 N2=N1=N2*N1
0464 IF (N-1) 999, 400, 310
0465 DO 330 K=1, N2N1, N1
0466 KK=K*N1-1
0467 WRITE (STREAM, 320) K, KK
0468 320 FORMAT (1H /, ' COLUMNS', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
0469 330 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT1) ((A(I, J), J=K, KK), I=1, N)
0470 IF (LAST-1) 370, 340, 360
0471 340 WRITE (STREAM, 350) P
0472 350 FORMAT (1H /, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
0473 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT2) (A(I, P), I=1, N)
0474 GO TO 370
0475 360 KK=N2N1+1
0476 WRITE (STREAM, 320) KK, P
0477 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT2) ((A(I, J), J=KK, P), I=1, N)
0478 RETURN
0479 400 DO 410 K=1, N2N1, N1
0480 KK=K*N1-1
0481 410 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT1) (A(I, J), J=K, KK)
0482 IF (LAST-1) 440, 420, 430
0483 420 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT2) A(I, P)
0484 GO TO 440
0485 430 KK=N2N1+1
0486 WRITE (STREAM, FRMT2) (A(I, J), J=KK, P)
0487 RETURN
0488 999 STOP HXOP
0489 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME HXOP

```

0490 SUBROUTINE INPUT (AR, AI, DIMN, N, NO, EIVEC, RES, NORM, FIELD)
0491 INTEGER DIMN, EIVEC, RES
0492 REAL AR(DIMN, DIMN), AI(DIMN, DIMN)
0493 READ (5, 10) N, FIELD, EIVEC, RES, NORM
0494 10 FORMAT (I2, A6, 3I1)
0495 GO TO (100, 200, 300, 400, 500, 600, 700, 800, 900), NO
0496 100 CONTINUE
0497 READ (5, 110) ((AR(I, J), AI(I, J), J=1, N), I=1, N)
0498 110 FORMAT (2G0.0)
0499 RETURN
0500 CONTINUE
0501 GO TO 100
0502 300 CONTINUE
0503 GO TO 100
0504 400 CONTINUE
0505 GO TO 100
0506 500 CONTINUE
0507 GO TO 100
0508 600 CONTINUE
0509 GO TO 100
0510 700 CONTINUE
0511 GO TO 100
0512 800 CONTINUE
0513 GO TO 100
0514 900 CONTINUE
0515 GO TO 100
0516 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 128, NAME INPUT

A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE EIGENSOLUTIONS OF A NXN NORMAL MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 22 AUG 74
 AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 17/32/00

EXAMPLE NUMBER 2

THE ORIGINAL 4X 4 MATRIX A IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

2.2795647092E-01	-1.41016642897E-01	-1.0050767783E-01	1.6600539880E-01
-6.2159928057E-03	6.2802085617E-01	1.1924391238E-02	-1.371183247E-01
-4.735824329E-05	3.4043849252E-02	-3.8924862098E-01	-7.3896741430E-03
1.7744905748E-01	-2.1309514388E-01	-1.3239466425E-01	4.3826850401E-01

IMAGINARY PART

4.8038636393E-01	-9.1160043599E-02	2.1039242730E-01	2.3505447361E-02
-2.2323232547E-01	4.9183378858E-01	-4.4009797075E-03	1.7532788095E-01
-8.8796192806E-02	1.6943069768E-01	4.9935598560E-01	1.7402523924E-01
1.1273091512E-01	2.7699137816E-02	-5.5888827189E-03	3.2763291202E-01

23 JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS HAVE BEEN USED

THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

8.7424234466E-01	4.9051844312E-01	2.9933140443E-01	1.5702039847E-02
------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

IMAGINARY PART

3.9311659081E-01	4.0306134132E-01	7.6137083077E-01	4.4866028722E-01
------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

-3.7531880771E-01	-4.5538921493E-02	7.0829571846E-01	9.9987117327E-01
9.957106670E-01	-4.2325197420E-01	8.1325553462E-01	-6.3187473463E-02
1.4533296153E-01	9.5296631331E-01	7.1427963504E-01	8.0788787982E-03
-4.4270737623E-01	-3.4011639292E-01	9.9996627872E-01	-4.9632302523E-01

IMAGINARY PART

-3.6447438765E-01	3.7125964408E-01	1.0797693578E-02	1.6051071054E-02
1.1321013838E-01	3.5792143000E-01	-1.3259841570E-01	-6.6693250465E-01
3.462247423E-01	-3.0307423725E-01	-8.802943911E-01	-3.3387104229E-01
-4.8098138599E-01	-6.3221350999E-01	-8.2122724747E-03	-1.4361310420E-01

THE RESIDUAL MATRIX V*AV IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

8.7424234466E-01	1.0958345342E-11	-3.2287061913E-11	3.0411229091E-12
-3.0093446185E-12	4.9051844312E-01	-1.5983481040E-11	-1.2807532812E-12
2.5124791136E-11	-2.7206681352E-11	2.9933140443E-01	-1.9969806898E-12
2.4802382370E-12	6.8283156907E-12	-8.1286038971E-12	1.5702039847E-02

IMAGINARY PART

3.9311659081E-01	-7.2759576142E-12	4.0927261580E-11	-1.0089706848E-12
8.2101439105E-12	4.0508134132E-01	-1.1368683772E-12	3.2011728258E-12
4.0671466195E-11	1.0608403065E-11	7.6137083077E-01	-8.3937301554E-12
1.3489831640E-12	8.7680973595E-12	-1.1141310097E-11	4.4866028721E-01

EXECUTION TIME WAS 0.216 SECONDS

APPENDIX 4

A JACOBI PROGRAM FOR GENERAL MATRICES

C0003
C0004
C0005
C0006
C0007
C0008
C0009
C0010
C0011
C0012
C0013
C0014
C0015
C0016
C0017
C0018
C0019
C0020
C0021
C0022
C0023
C0024
C0025
C0026
C0027
C0028
C0029
C0030
C0031
C0032
C0033
C0034
C0035
C0036
C0037
C0038
C0039
C0040
C0041
C0042
C0043
C0044
C0045
C0046
C0047
C0048
C0049
C0050
C0051
C0052
C0053
C0054
C0055
C0056
C0057
C0058
C0059
C0060
C0061
C0062
C0063
C0064
C0065
C0066
C0067
C0068
C0069
C0070
C0071
C0072
C0073
C0074
C0075
C0076
C0077
C0078
C0079
C0080
C0081
C0082
C0083
C0084
C0085
C0086
C0087
C0088
C0089
C0090
C0091
C0092
C0093
C0094
C0095
C0096
C0097
C0098
C0099
C1000
C1001
C1002
C1003
C1004
C1005
C1006
C1007
C1008
C1009
C1010
C1011
C1012
C1013
C1014
C1015
C1016
C1017
C1018

```
MASTER  
INTEGER DIMN,ROT,RES,LINE(84),DATE(3)  
REAL AR(12,12),AI(12,12),WR(12,12),WI(12,12),VR(12,12),VI(12,12)  
REAL AAR(12,12),AAI(12,12),EN(12),EM(12),DR(12),DI(12),MC  
DATA LINE/84,1H*/  
K=1  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)  
MC=E  
READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,LENGTH  
10 FORMAT (2I2,13)  
IF (LENGTH.LT.120) K=-1  
DO 500 NO=1,NUMBER  
CALL INPUT (AR,AI,DIMN,N,NO,RES,FIELD)  
DO 20 I=1,N  
DO 20 J=1,N  
20 AAR(I,J)=AR(I,J)  
AAI(I,J)=AI(I,J)  
CALL TIME (T)  
IF (K) 120,999,100  
100 WRITE (6,110) (LINE(I), I=1,384), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO  
110 FORMAT (1H,///,18X,'A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE EIGENSO  
LUTION OF A NXN GENERAL COMPLEX MATRIX',/,18X,84A1,///,45X,'THIS P  
ROGRAM HAS RUN ON',I3,1X,A3,1X,I2,/,45X,'AND EXECUTION STARTED AT'  
3,A9,/,54X,'EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3)  
GO TO 140  
120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I), I=1,49), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO  
130 FORMAT (1H,///,18X,'A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR GENERAL COMPLEX MATR  
ICES',/,1X,40A1,///,13,1X,A3,1X,I2,5X,'TIME:',A9,5X,'EXAMP  
LE NUMBER',I3)  
140 WRITE (6,150) N,N  
150 FORMAT (1H,///,,' THE ORIGINAL',I3,'X',I2,' MATRIX A IS GIVEN BEL  
1OW,')  
WRITE (6,160)  
160 FORMAT (1H,/,,' REAL PART')  
CALL MXOP (AR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)  
WRITE (6,161)  
161 FORMAT (1H,/,,' IMAGINARY PART')  
CALL MXOP (AI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)  
CALL GLJO (AR,AI,VR,WI,VR,VI,EN,DR,DI,DIMN,N,MC,ROT)  
CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)  
IF (RES.EQ.1) CALL CHECK (AAR,AAI,WR,WI,VR,VI,EN,EM,DIMN,N)  
IF (ROT) 200,250,250  
200 ROT=-ROT  
IF (K) 230,999,210  
210 WRITE (6,220)  
220 FORMAT (1H,///,32X,'** WARNING - A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEE  
1N COMPLETED **')  
GO TO 250  
230 WRITE (6,240)  
240 FORMAT (1H,///,,' ** WARNING - A MAXIMUM OF 50 SWEEPS HAVE BEEN C  
1OMPLETED **')  
250 IF (K) 280,999,260  
260 WRITE (6,270) ROT  
270 FORMAT (1H,///,36X,I4,' JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS AND SHEARS HAVE BE  
1EN USED')  
GO TO 300  
280 WRITE (6,290) ROT  
290 FORMAT (1H,///,1X,I4,' JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS AND SHEARS HAVE BEE  
1N USED')  
300 WRITE (6,310)  
310 FORMAT (1H,///,,' THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE GIVEN BELOW;')  
WRITE (6,160)  
CALL MXOP (DR,1,DIMN,1,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,161)  
CALL MXOP (DI,1,DIMN,1,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,320)  
320 FORMAT (1H,///,,' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING RIGHT-HAND EIGENVEC  
1TORS IS GIVEN BELOW;')  
WRITE (6,160)  
CALL MXOP (VR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,161)  
CALL MXOP (VI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,330)  
330 FORMAT (1H,///,,' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING LEFT-HAND EIGENVECT  
1ORS IS GIVEN BELOW;')  
WRITE (6,160)  
CALL MXOP (WR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,161)  
CALL MXOP (WI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
IF (RES.EQ.0) GO TO 400  
WRITE (6,340)  
340 FORMAT (1H,///,,' THE RESIDUAL MATRIX W'AV IS GIVEN BELOW;')  
WRITE (6,160)  
CALL MXOP (AAR,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
WRITE (6,161)  
CALL MXOP (AAI,DIMN,DIMN,N,N,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)  
400 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)  
IF (K) 430,999,410  
410 WRITE (6,420) (LINE(I), I=1,360)  
420 FORMAT (1H,///,31X,60A1)  
GO TO 500  
430 WRITE (6,440) (LINE(I), I=1,340)  
440 FORMAT (1H,///,1X,40A1)  
500 CONTINUE  
STOP OK  
999 STOP NONH  
END
```

```

C119 SUBROUTINE GLJO (AR, AI, HR, WI, VR, VI, EN, DDR, DDI, DIMN, N, HC, ROT)
C120 INTEGER DIMN, ROT
C121 REAL AR(DIMN, DIMN), AI(DIMN, DIMN), WR(DIMN, DIMN), WI(DIMN, DIMN)
C122 REAL VR(DIMN, DIMN), VI(DIMN, DIMN), EN(DIMN), DDR(DIMN), DDI(DIMN)
C123 REAL MAX, ND, NC, ISW, IC
C124 MARK=0
C125 ROT=0
C126 EPS=10.0*N*(N-1)*IC
C127 DO 10 I=1, N-1
C128 WR(I, I), VR(I, I)=1.0
C129 WI(I, I), VI(I, I)=0.0
C130 DO 10 J=I+1, N
C131 10 WR(I, J), VR(J, I), WI(I, J), VI(J, I)=0.0
C132 1 VR(J, J), VR(J, J), VI(I, J), VI(J, I)=0.0
C133 WR(N, N), VR(N, N)=1.0
C134 WI(N, N), VI(N, N)=0.0
C135 DO 440 IT=1, 50
C136 IF (MARK .EQ. 1) GO TO 450
C137 TAU=0.0
C138 DO 110 K=1, N
C139 TEM=0.0
C140 DO 100 I=1, N
C141 IF (I .EQ. K) GO TO 100
C142 ARIK=AR(I, K)
C143 AIK=AI(I, K)
C144 TEM=ARIK*ARIK+AIK*AIK+TEM
C145 100 CONTINUE
C146 TAU=TAU+TEM
C147 110 CONTINUE
C148 IF (TAU .LE. EPS) GO TO 450
C149 MARK=1
C150 DO 430 K=1, N-1
C151 DO 430 M=K+1, N
C152 HJ, HR, HI, G=0.0
C153 DO 310 I=1, N
C154 IF (I .EQ. K .OR. I .EQ. M) GO TO 300
C155 ARK=AR(K, I)
C156 AIK=AI(K, I)
C157 ARMI=AR(M, I)
C158 AIMI=AI(M, I)
C159 ARIK=AR(I, K)
C160 AIK=AI(I, K)
C161 ARIM=AR(I, M)
C162 AIM=AI(I, M)
C163 HR=HR+ARK*ARMI+AIK*AIMI-ARIK*ARIM-AIK*AIM
C164 HI=HI+AIK*ARMI-ARMI-ARKI+AIMI-ARIK*AIM+AIK*ARIM
C165 TE=ARIK*ARIK+AIK*AIK+ARMI-ARMI-ARIK*AIM+AIMI*AIMI
C166 TEE=ARMI*ARMI+AIMI*AIMI+ARMI-ARMI-ARMI*AIMI+AIMI*AIMI
C167 G=G+TE+TEE
C168 HJ=HJ-TE+TEE
C169 300 CONTINUE
C170 310 CONTINUE
C171 BR=AR(K, M)+AR(M, K)
C172 BI=AI(K, M)+AI(M, K)
C173 ER=AR(K, M)-AR(M, K)
C174 EI=AI(K, M)-AI(M, K)
C175 DR=AR(K, K)-AR(M, M)
C176 DI=AI(K, K)-AI(M, M)
C177 TE=BR*BR+EI*EI+DR*DR
C178 TEE=BI*BI+ER*ER+DI*DI
C179 IF (TE .LT. TEE) GO TO 320
C180 ISW=1.0
C181 C=BR
C182 S=EI
C183 D=DR
C184 DE=DI
C185 ROOT2=SQRT(TE)
C186 GO TO 330
C187 320 ISW=-1.0
C188 C=BI
C189 S=-ER
C190 D=DI
C191 DE=DR
C192 ROOT2=SQRT(TEE)
C193 330 ROOT1=SQRT(S*S+C*C)
C194 SIG=1.0
C195 IF (D .LT. 0.0) SIG=-1.0
C196 SA=0.0
C197 CA=1.0
C198 IF (D .LT. 0.0) CA=-1.0
C199 IF (ROOT1 .GE. EPS) GO TO 350
C200 SX, SA=0.0
C201 CX, CA=1.0
C202 E=ER
C203 B=BI
C204 IF (ISW .GT. 0.0) GO TO 3402
C205 E=EI
C206 B=-BR
C207 340 ND=D*0+DE*DE
C208 GO TO 370
C209 350 IF (ABS(S) .LE. EPS) GO TO 360
C210 CA=C/ROOT1
C211 SA=S/ROOT1
C212 360 COT2X=D/ROOT1
C213 COTX=COT2X+(SIG*SQRT(1.0+COT2X*COT2X))
C214 SX=SIG/SQRT(1.0+COTX*COTX)
C215 CX=SX*COTX
C216 FTA=(ER+BR+SI*EI)/ROOT1
C217 TSE=(DR+BI-DE*EI)/ROOT1
C218 TE=SIG*(-ROOT1+DE+TSE*D)/ROOT2
C219 TEE=(D+DE+ROOT1+TSE)/ROOT2
C220 ND=ROOT2*ROOT2+TEE*TEE

```



```

0333 DO 620 I=1,N
0334 TEM=VR(I,K)
0335 VR(I,K)=VR(I,L)
0336 VR(I,L)=TEM
0337 TEM=VI(I,K)
0338 VI(I,K)=VI(I,L)
0339 VI(I,L)=TEM
0340 TEM=WR(I,K)
0341 WR(I,K)=WR(I,L)
0342 WR(I,L)=TEM
0343 TEM=WI(I,K)
0344 WI(I,K)=WI(I,L)
0345 WI(I,L)=TEM
0346 CONTINUE
0347 RETURN
0348 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 1707, NAME GLJO

```

0333 SUBROUTINE CHECK (AR,AI,WR,WI,VR,VI,EN,EM,DIMN,N)
0334 INTEGER DIMN
0335 REAL AR(DIMN,DIMN),AI(DIMN,DIMN),WR(DIMN,DIMN),WI(DIMN,DIMN)
0336 REAL VR(DIMN,DIMN),VI(DIMN,DIMN),EN(DIMN),EM(DIMN)
0337 DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
0338 DO 40 J=1,N
0339 DO 30 K=1,N
0340 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
0341 DO 20 I=1,N
0342 SUMR=SUMR+AR(I,K)*VR(K,J)-AI(I,K)*VI(K,J)
0343 EN(I)=SUMR
0344 DO 20 I=1,N
0345 SUMI=SUMI+AR(I,K)*VI(K,J)+AI(I,K)*VR(K,J)
0346 EM(I)=SUMI
0347 DO 40 J=1,N
0348 AR(I,J)=EM(J)
0349 AI(I,J)=EM(J)
0350 DO 30 I=1,N
0351 DO 20 K=1,N
0352 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
0353 SUMR=SUMR+WR(K,I)*AR(K,J)-WI(K,I)*AI(K,J)
0354 EN(I)=SUMR
0355 DO 20 K=1,N
0356 SUMI=SUMI+WR(K,I)*AI(K,J)+WI(K,I)*AR(K,J)
0357 EM(I)=SUMI
0358 DO 30 I=1,N
0359 AR(I,J)=EM(I)
0360 AI(I,J)=EM(I)
0361 RETURN
0362 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 350, NAME CHECK

```

0365 SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E,DAT,NO,K)
0366 INTEGER CALL, DAT(3), MONTH(12), BUFFER(2)
0367 DATA CALL/2/
0368 DATA MONTH/'JAN','FEB','MAR','APR','MAY','JUN','JULY','AUG','SEP',
0369 'OCT','NOV','DEC'/
0370 GO TO (100,200,300), NO
0371 CALL MTIME (N)
0372 E=N
0373 CALL=3-CALL
0374 IF (CALL.EQ. 2) GO TO 110
0375 EE=E
0376 RETURN
0377 110 E=E-EE
0378 E=E*1.0E-3
0379 RETURN
0380 200 CALL DEFBUF (2,8,BUFFER)
0381 CALL DATE (E)
0382 WRITE (2,210) E
0383 210 FORMAT (A8)
0384 READ (2,220) (DAT(I), I=1,3)
0385 220 FORMAT (I2,1X,I2,1X,I2)
0386 DAT(2)=MONTH(DAT(2))
0387 E,EE=1.0E-10
0388 230 IF (1.0+EE.EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
0389 E=EE
0390 EE=EE/2.0
0391 GO TO 230
0392 240 RETURN
0393 300 IF (K) 370,370,310
0394 310 IF (E.GE. 60.0) GO TO 330
0395 WRITE (6,320) E
0396 320 FORMAT (1H,////,4X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',F7.3,' SECONDS')
0397 GO TO 300
0398 330 IF (E.GE. 120.0) GO TO 350
0399 E=E-60.0
0400 WRITE (6,340) E
0401 340 FORMAT (1H,////,40X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE',F7.3,' SECONDS'
0402 1)
0403 GO TO 300
0404 350 E=E/60.0
0405 E=E-1*60.0
0406 WRITE (6,360) I, E
0407 360 FORMAT (1H,////,39X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',I3,' MINUTES',F7.3,' SEC
0408 1ONDS')
0409 GO TO 300
0410 370 WRITE (6,380) E
0411 380 FORMAT (1H,////,,' EXECUTION TIME WAS',F9.3,' SECONDS')
0412 RETURN
0413 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

C414 SUBROUTINE MXOP (A, DIMN, DIMP, N, P, FIELD, LENGTH, STREAM, IFLAG)
C415 INTEGER DIMN, DIMP, P, WIDTH, STREAM
C416 REAL A(DIMN, DIMP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
C417 DATA FRMT1/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H)
C418 DATA FRMT2/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8M)
C419 IF (IFLAG) 10,200,10
C420 10 CALL DEFBUF (2,16,BUFFER)
C421 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
C422 WRITE (2,20) FIELD
C423 20 FORMAT (A6)
C424 READ (2,30) WIDTH
C425 30 FORMAT (I1X,I2)
C426 IF (0*WIDTH-LENGTH) 100,100,40
C427 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
C428 N2=P/N1
C429 LAST=P-N1*N2
C430 IF (IFLAG) 50,999,60
C431 50 N3=1
C432 N4=1
C433 GO TO 70
C434 60 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
C435 N4=(LENGTH-LAST*WIDTH)/2+1
C436 70 WRITE (2,80) N3,N1,N4, LAST
C437 80 FORMAT (I4I4)
C438 80 READ (2,90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(4)
C439 90 FORMAT (A4A4)
C440 GO TO 300
C441 100 N2=0
C442 IF (IFLAG) 110,999,120
C443 110 N3=1
C444 GO TO 130
C445 120 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
C446 130 WRITE (2,140) N3,P
C447 140 FORMAT (I2I4)
C448 140 READ (2,150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4)
C449 150 FORMAT (A2A4)
C450 GO TO 200
C451 200 IF (N2) 210,210,300
C452 210 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
C453 RETURN
C454 500 N2N1=N2-N1
C455 IF (N-1) 999,400,310
C456 310 DO 330 K=1,N2N1,N1
C457 KK=K+N1-1
C458 WRITE (STREAM,320) K, KK
C459 320 FORMAT (1H //, ' COLUMN', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
C460 330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=K, KK), I=1,N)
C461 IF (LAST-1) 370,340,360
C462 340 WRITE (STREAM,350) P
C463 350 FORMAT (1H //, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
C464 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,P), I=1,N)
C465 GO TO 370
C466 360 KK=N2N1+1
C467 WRITE (STREAM,320) KK,P
C468 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) ((A(I,J), J=KK,P), I=1,N)
C469 RETURN
C470 400 DO 410 K=1,N2N1,N1
C471 KK=K+N1-1
C472 410 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) (A(1,J), J=K, KK)
C473 IF (LAST-1) 440,420,430
C474 420 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) A(1,P)
C475 GO TO 440
C476 430 KK=N2N1+1
C477 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(1,J), J=KK,P)
C478 440 RETURN
C479 999 STOP MXOP
C480 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME MXOP

A JACOBI-LIKE METHOD FOR OBTAINING THE EIGENSOLUTION OF A NXN GENERAL COMPLEX MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 22 AUG 74
 AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 17/34/22
 EXAMPLE NUMBER 6.

THE ORIGINAL 4X 4 MATRIX A IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

5.0000000000E 00	3.0000000000E 00	-6.0000000000E 00	-7.0000000000E 00
3.0000000000E 00	6.0000000000E 00	-5.0000000000E 00	-8.0000000000E 00
-1.0000000000E 00	2.0000000000E 00	-1.0000000000E 00	-9.0000000000E 00
1.0000000000E 00	2.0000000000E 00	-3.0000000000E 00	0.0000000000E 00

IMAGINARY PART

9.0000000000E 00	5.0000000000E 00	-6.0000000000E 00	-7.0000000000E 00
3.0000000000E 00	1.0000000000E 01	-5.0000000000E 00	-8.0000000000E 00
2.0000000000E 00	3.0000000000E 00	-3.0000000000E 00	-9.0000000000E 00
1.0000000000E 00	2.0000000000E 00	-3.0000000000E 00	4.0000000000E 00

54 JACOBI-LIKE ROTATIONS AND SHEARS HAVE BEEN USED

THE EIGENVALUES OF A ARE GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

4.0000000005E 00	3.0000000007E 00	2.0000000003E 00	1.0000000006E 00
------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

IMAGINARY PART

8.0000000024E 00	7.0000000017E 00	6.0000000017E 00	5.0000000012E 00
------------------	------------------	------------------	------------------

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING RIGHT-HAND EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

1.0227351332E 00	1.0874546756E 00	8.7319021398E 01	1.7502378664E 00
1.0227351297E 00	1.0874546755E 00	1.7463804224E 00	8.7511896850E 01
1.0527351993E 00	3.0486011977E 00	8.7319021265E 01	8.7511896879E 01
-8.6595576684E -08	1.0874546755E 00	8.7319021259E 01	8.7511889713E 01

IMAGINARY PART

1.2084004720E 01	-2.3075787707E 01	3.7350337686E 01	-5.6587233613E 01
1.5082003588E 01	-5.3075787707E 01	3.7350337686E 01	4.8587233613E 01
1.5082003588E 01	-5.3075787707E 01	3.7350337686E 01	4.8587233613E 01
-3.6200643961E 00	-2.3075787882E 01	3.7350338104E 01	4.8293445979E 01

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING LEFT-HAND EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW,

REAL PART

0.6430808544E-01	8.7995519612E-01	-9.6809667478E-01	6.8735816726E-08
0.6430816422E-01	8.7995519635E-01	-1.1411746981E-09	-1.0345588304E-00
-9.6430816402E-01	-1.7999103926E-00	0.6809667604E-01	1.0345588294E-00
-1.9286162499E-00	-8.7995519629E-01	0.6809667579E-01	1.0345588761E-00

IMAGINARY PART

-1.1393666564E-01	1.8672648840E-01	4.1409921949E-01	-1.5082371213E-08
-1.1393667222E-01	1.8672648864E-01	-5.0367204348E-09	-3.3448506743E-01
-1.1393667238E-01	-3.7345297708E-01	-4.1409921432E-01	3.3448507203E-01
2.2787333811E-01	-1.8672648849E-01	-4.1409921466E-01	3.3448505233E-01

THE RESIDUAL MATRIX W'AV IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

4.0000000009E-00	3.9708889994E-10	4.1109160320E-10	2.8615977499E-07
3.9279432747E-10	3.0000000013E-00	1.1277734302E-10	0.0221874416E-10
-2.7033339967E-11	-3.1170268582E-10	1.9999999998E-00	1.5277470727E-09
1.1567480472E-07	4.3381042949E-11	-3.7812588198E-09	1.0000000003E-00

IMAGINARY PART

8.0000000012E-00	4.7002350439E-10	2.5056579034E-10	1.1247672329E-07
-3.2732904487E-10	7.0000000020E-00	6.3769792097E-10	8.5732835486E-10
8.6681030149E-10	1.0324021861E-10	6.0000000015E-00	-8.9322833089E-09
2.8582920123E-07	-6.9762719456E-10	1.0344345286E-09	3.0000000011E-00

EXECUTION TIME WAS 0.753 SECONDS

APPENDIX 5

A RITZ ITERATION PROGRAM FOR SYMMETRIC MATRICES

```

C023 MASTER
C024 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,EM,G,H,LINE(64),DATE(3)
C025 REAL X(250,3),V(250),U(250)
C026 REAL RV(8,8),D(8,8),D(A),F(8),BB(8),Z(8),DOLD(8),LARGE(8)
C027 REAL MC
C028 COMMON NO,FIELD,LENGTH,K
C029 DATA LINE/64*1H*/
C030 K=1
C031 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)
C032 MC=E
C033 READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,DIMP,LENGTH
C034 10 FORMAT (I2,I5,I2,I3)
C035 IF (LENGTH.LT.120) K=-1
C036 DO 500 NO=1,NUMBER
C037 READ (5,20) N,P,KH,EM,EPS,FIELD,NORM
C038 20 FORMAT (I5,I2,I6,I2,E9.2,A6,I1)
C039 IF (KH.LT.0) READ (5,30) ((X(I,J),J=1,P),I=1,N)
C040 30 FORMAT (60,0)
C041 CALL TIME (T)
C042 IF (K) 120,999,100
C043 100 WRITE (6,110) (LINE(I),I=1,64) (DATE(I),I=1,3),T,NO
C044 110 FORMAT (1H1,/,/,29X,THE METHOD OF "QUICK RITZ" ITERATION FOR A REA
C045 1L SYMMETRIC MATRIX',/,29X,6A1,/,/,45X,THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON',I
C046 23,1X,A5,1X,12,/,45X,AND EXECUTION STARTED AT',A9,/,54X,EXAMPLE
C047 3NUMBER',I3)
C048 GO TO 140
C049 120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I),I=1,35) (DATE(I),I=1,3),T,NO
C050 130 FORMAT (1H,/,/,1A,QUICK RITZ" FOR SYMMETRIC MATRICES',/,1X,35A
C051 1,/,/,DATE:',I3,1X,A3,1X,12,5X,TIME:',A9,5X,EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3)
C052 140 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
C053 CALL XKHZ (X,V,U,RV,D,F,BB,Z,DOLD,LARGE,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,EM,
C054 1 KH,KS,H,G,MC,EPS)
C055 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
C056 IF (NORM.EQ.1) CALL NORMALISATION (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,P)
C057 IF (K) 220,999,200
C058 200 WRITE (6,210) H,G,EPS
C059 210 FORMAT (1H,/,/,21X,12,EIGENVALUES AND',I3,EIGENVECTORS HAVE
C060 BEEN ACCEPTED TO AN ACCURACY OF',1PE9.2)
C061 GO TO 240
C062 220 WRITE (6,230) H,G,EPS
C063 230 FORMAT (1H,/,/,1X,12,EIGENVALUES AND',I3,EIGENVECTORS HAVE B
C064 EEN ACCEPTED',1PE9.2)
C065 240 WRITE (6,300) P
C066 300 FORMAT (1H,/,/,THE',I3,APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE
C067 1,')
C068 CALL HXOP (D,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)
C069 WRITE (6,310)
C070 310 FORMAT (1H,/,/,THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIV
C071 EN BELOW,')
C072 CALL HXOP (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C073 WRITE (6,320) P
C074 320 FORMAT (1H,/,/,THE',I3,SETS OF CORRESPONDING ERRORS ARE,')
C075 CALL HXOP (F,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C076 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)
C077 IF (K) 420,999,400
C078 400 WRITE (6,410) (LINE(I),I=1,60)
C079 410 FORMAT (1H,/,/,31X,30A1)
C080 GO TO 300
C081 420 WRITE (6,430) (LINE(I),I=1,40)
C082 430 FORMAT (1H,/,/,1X,40A1)
C083 500 CONTINUE
C084 STOP OK
C085 999 STOP NONM
C086 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 332, NAME NONM

```

0087 SUBROUTINE QKRZ (X,V,U,RV,B,D,F,BB,Z,DOLD,LARGE,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,E
0088 1 KM,KS,G,IC,EPS)
0089 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,EM,H,G,H1,G1
0090 REAL X(DIMP,DIMP),W(DIMN),RV(DIMP,DIMP),B(DIMP,DIMP
0091 REAL B(DIMP),F(DIMP),BB(DIMP),Z(DIMP),DOLD(DIMP),LARGE(DIM
0092 REAL INNER PRODUCT,MC
0093 DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
0094 DO 10 I=1,P
0095 DOLD(I)=0.0
0096 TO LARGE(I)=0.0
0097 C 58 IS 7/2 (76 IS LARGEST EXPONENT ON M/C)
0098 CONST=30.0-1.0/LOG10(FLOAT(N))
0099 KS,G,H,G1,H1=0
0100 M=Z
0101 ZZ=0.1
0102 EPS2=10.0*EPS
0103 IF (KM.LT.0) GO TO 30
0104 DO 20 J=1,P
0105 20 CALL RANDOMISATION (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,J,ZZ)
0106 30 CALL ORTHO (X,RV,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,O,MC)
0107 KM=IABS(KM)
0108 C FORM B=X*AX
0109 100 DO 130 K=G+1,P
0110 DO 110 I=1,N
0111 110 V(I)=X(I,K)
0112 CALL PRODUCT (V,W,DIMN,N)
0113 DO 130 J=G+1,P
0114 SUM=0.0
0115 DO 120 I=1,N
0116 SUM=SUM+X(I,J)*V(I)
0117 120 B(J-G,K-G)=SUM
0118 C SOLVE E-VALUE PROBLEM FOR B I.E. V*BV=D
0119 CALL JACO (B,D,RV,BB,Z,DIMN,P-G,K,1,MC,EPS2)
0120 IF (G.EQ.0) GO TO 200
0121 DO 140 I=G+1,P
0122 J=P+1-I
0123 D(J+G)=D(J)
0124 DO 150 I=1,G
0125 D(I)=DOLD(I)
0126 C FORM X=V*W WHERE Y=AX
0127 200 DO 220 J=G+1,P
0128 DO 210 I=1,N
0129 210 V(I)=X(I,J)
0130 CALL PRODUCT (V,W,DIMN,N)
0131 DO 220 I=1,N
0132 X(I,J)=V(I)
0133 DO 250 I=1,N
0134 DO 240 J=G+1,P
0135 SUM=0.0
0136 DO 230 K=G+1,P
0137 SUM=SUM+X(I,K)*RV(K-G,J-G)
0138 240 Z(J)=SUM
0139 DO 250 J=G+1,P
0140 250 X(I,J)=Z(J)
0141 C PERFORM N PREMULIPLICATIONS
0142 DO 310 K=1,N-1
0143 DO 300 J=G+1,P
0144 DO 310 I=1,N
0145 300 V(I)=X(I,J)
0146 CALL PRODUCT (V,W,DIMN,N)
0147 DO 310 I=1,N
0148 310 X(I,J)=V(I)
0149 KS=KS+M
0150 C FORM X=YR
0151 CALL ORTHO (X,B,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,G,MC)
0152 C CHECK STEPS AND CONVERGENCE
0153 IF (H.GE.P) GO TO 430
0154 DO 400 I=H+1,P
0155 IF (ABS(DOLD(I)-D(I))/D(I)).GT.EPS) GO TO 410
0156 400 H1=H+1
0157 410 H=H+H1
0158 H1=0
0159 DO 420 I=G+1,P
0160 420 DOLD(I)=D(I)
0161 430 DO 450 J=G+1,P
0162 AUX=ABS(X(I,J))
0163 DO 440 I=2,N
0164 IF (ABS(X(I,J)).GT.AUX) AUX=ABS(X(I,J))
0165 440 CONTINUE
0166 F(J)=(LARGE(J)-AUX)/AUX
0167 450 LARGE(J)=AUX
0168 DO 460 I=G+1,P
0169 IF (ABS(F(J)).GT.EPS) GO TO 470
0170 460 G1=G+1
0171 470 G=G+G1
0172 G1=0
0173 CALL INFO (D,F,DIMP,P,KS,G,H,EM)
0174 IF (G.LE.0) GO TO 510
0175 IF (G.LT.EM) GO TO 500
0176 G=H
0177 M=Z
0178 GO TO 510
0179 G=H
0180 500 IF (G.GE.EM OR KS.GE.KM) GO TO 520
0181 CONST1=CONST/ALOG10(ABS(DIG+1))
0182 CONST2=M+ALOG10(ABS(D(G+1)/D(P)))
0183 IF (FLOAT(N).LT.CONST1.AND.CONST2.LT.1.0) H=H+1
0184 GO TO 100
0185 520 RETURN
0186 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 794, NAME QKRZ


```

01      SUBROUTINE JACO (A,D,V,R,Z,DIMN,N,ROT,EIVEC,MC,EPSZ)
02      INTEGER DIMN,EIVEC,ROT,RES,P,Q
03      REAL A(DIMN,DIMN),D(DIMN),V(DIMN,DIMN),B(DIMN),Z(DIMN),MC
04      DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
05      DO 10 I=1,N
06      DO 10 J=1,N
07      10 V(I,J)=0.0
08      DO 20 P=1,N
09      20 V(P,P)=1.0
10      DO 40 P=1,N
11      D(P)=A(P,P)
12      R(P)=D(P)
13      Z(P)=0.0
14      40 ROT=0
15      EPS=5.0*N*(N-1)*MC
16      DO 100 T=1,50
17      SUM=0.0
18      DO 100 P=1,N-1
19      DO 100 Q=P+1,N
20      TEMP=ABS(A(P,Q))
21      100 SUM=SUM+DBLE(TEMP)
22      SM=SUM
23      IF (SM .LE. EPS) GO TO 500
24      TRESH=0.0
25      IF (T .LT. 4) TRESH=0.2*SM/N**2
26      DO 330 P=1,N-1
27      DO 330 Q=P+1,N
28      G=100.0-ABS(A(P,Q))
29      IF (I .GT. 4 .AND. ABS(D(P))+G .EQ. ABS(D(P)) .AND.
30      1 ABS(D(Q))+G .EQ. ABS(D(Q))) GO TO 310
31      IF (ABS(A(P,Q)) .LE. TRESH) GO TO 320
32      H=D(Q)-A(P,Q)
33      IF (ABS(H)+G .EQ. ABS(H)) GO TO 110
34      THETA=0.5*H/A(P,Q)
35      T=1.0/ABS(THETA)+SQRT(1.0+THETA**2)
36      IF (THETA .LT. 0.0) T=-T
37      GO TO 120
38      110 T=A(P,Q)/H
39      120 C=1.0/SQRT(1.0+T*T)
40      S=T+C
41      TAU=S/(1.0+C)
42      H=T*A(P,Q)
43      Z(P)=Z(P)-H
44      Z(Q)=Z(Q)+H
45      D(P)=D(P)-H
46      D(Q)=D(Q)+H
47      A(P,Q)=0.0
48      IF (P .EQ. 1) GO TO 210
49      DO 200 J=1,P-1
50      AJP=A(J,P)
51      AJP=A(J,Q)
52      A(J,P)=AJP-S*(AJP+AJP+TAU)
53      200 A(J,Q)=AJP+S*(AJP-AJP+TAU)
54      210 IF (P+1 .GT. Q-1) GO TO 230
55      DO 220 J=P+1,Q-1
56      APJ=A(P,J)
57      AJP=A(J,Q)
58      A(P,J)=APJ-S*(AJP+APJ+TAU)
59      220 A(J,Q)=AJP+S*(APJ-AJP+TAU)
60      230 IF (Q .EQ. N) GO TO 250
61      DO 240 J=Q+1,N
62      APJ=A(P,J)
63      AQJ=A(Q,J)
64      A(P,J)=APJ-S*(AQJ+APJ+TAU)
65      240 A(Q,J)=AQJ+S*(APJ-AQJ+TAU)
66      250 IF (EIVEC .EQ. 0) GO TO 300
67      DO 260 J=1,N
68      VJP=V(J,P)
69      VJQ=V(J,Q)
70      V(J,P)=VJP-S*(VJQ+VJP+TAU)
71      260 V(J,Q)=VJQ+S*(VJP-VJQ+TAU)
72      300 ROT=ROT+1
73      GO TO 320
74      310 A(P,Q)=0.0
75      320 CONTINUE
76      330 CONTINUE
77      DO 340 P=1,N
78      B(P)=B(P)+Z(P)
79      D(P)=B(P)
80      340 Z(P)=0.0
81      400 CONTINUE
82      ROT=-ROT
83      DO 540 P=1,N-1
84      DO 540 Q=P+1,N
85      TEMP=ABS(D(P))-ABS(D(Q))
86      IF (ABS(TEMP) .LE. EPSZ) GO TO 530
87      IF (TEMP) 510,530,530
88      510 SM=D(P)
89      D(P)=D(Q)
90      D(Q)=SM
91      DO 520 I=1,N
92      SM=V(I,P)
93      V(I,P)=V(I,Q)
94      520 V(I,Q)=SM
95      530 CONTINUE
96      540 CONTINUE
97      RETURN
98      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 708, NAME JACO

```

C325 SUBROUTINE ORTHO (X,B,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,F,MC)
C326 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,F,ORIG
C327 REAL X(DIMN,DIMP), B(DIMP,DIMP), INNER PRODUCT, MC
C328 DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
C329 DO 30 K=F+1,P
C330 ORIG=1
C331 10 SUM=0.0
C332 IF (K.EQ. 1) GO TO 40
C333 DO 30 I=1,K-1
C334 S=INNER PRODUCT (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,I,K)
C335 IF (ORIG.EQ. 1) B(P-K+1,I)=S
C336 SUM=SUM-S**2
C337 DO 20 J=1,N
C338 X(J,K)=X(J,K)-S*X(J,I)
C339 20 CONTINUE
C340 30 CONTINUE
C341 40 S=INNER PRODUCT (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,K,K)
C342 SUM=SUM+S
C343 T=SUM
C344 IF (S.GT. T/100.0 .AND. T*MC.NE. 0.0) GO TO 50
C345 ORIG=0
C346 WRITE (4,100)
C347 100 FORMAT (' WARNING 1 IN ORTHO')
C348 IF (S*MC.NE. 0.0) GO TO 10
C349 WRITE (4,110)
C350 110 FORMAT (' WARNING 2 IN ORTHO')
C351 S=0.0
C352 B(P-K+1,K)=0.0
C353 50 IF (S.EQ. 0.0) GO TO 60
C354 S=SQRT(S)
C355 B(P-K+1,K)=S
C356 S=1.0/S
C357 DO 70 J=1,N
C358 70 X(J,K)=S*X(J,K)
C359 80 CONTINUE
C360 RETURN
C361 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 264, NAME ORTHO

```

C362 REAL FUNCTION INNER PRODUCT (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,K,L)
C363 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P
C364 REAL X(DIMN,DIMP)
C365 DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
C366 SUM=0.0
C367 DO 10 I=1,N
C368 10 SUM=SUM-X(I,K)*X(I,L)
C369 INNER PRODUCT=SUM
C370 RETURN
C371 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 80, NAME INNERPRODUCT

```

C372 SUBROUTINE RANDOMISATION (X,DIMN,DIMP,N,L,Z)
C373 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP
C374 REAL X(DIMN,DIMP)
C375 DO 10 I=1,N
C376 10 X(I,L)=2.0*FPNCRV(Z)-1.0
C377 Z=FPNCRV(Z)
C378 RETURN
C379 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 67, NAME RANDOMISATION

```

C380 SUBROUTINE INFO (D,F,DIMP,P,KS,G,H,EM)
C381 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,STREAM,PREV,G,H,EM,P,LINE(63)
C382 REAL F(DIMP), D(DIMP)
C383 DATA LINE/63*1H*//, PREV/0/
C384 COMMON /O, FIELD, LENGTH, K
C385 IF (K) 200,200,100
C386 100 IF (PREV.EQ. NO) GO TO 120
C387 WRITE (4,110) NO, (LINE(I), I=1,63)
C388 110 FORMAT ('H1, //, 29X, INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT ON LOGICAL STREAM 4 FOR
C389 1XAMPLE NUMBER', I3, //, 29X, 63A2)
C390 120 WRITE (4,130) KS,G,H,EM
C391 130 FORMAT ('H //, 43X, NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED =', I6, //,
C392 1 43X, NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED =', I3, //,
C393 2 43X, NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED =', I3, //,
C394 3 43X, NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED =', I3)
C395 GO TO 310
C396 200 IF (PREV.EQ. NO) GO TO 220
C397 WRITE (4,210) NO, (LINE(I), I=1,63)
C398 210 FORMAT ('H //, //, //, INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER', I3
C399 1, I3, 2A1)
C400 220 WRITE (4,230) KS,G,H,EM
C401 230 FORMAT ('H //, //, //, STEPS PERFORMED =', I6, //, ' EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED
C402 1, I3, //, ' EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED =', I3, //, ' SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED =
C403 213)
C404 300 WRITE (4,310)
C405 310 FORMAT (' THE ERROR VECTOR IS:')
C406 CALL HXOP (F,1,DIMP,1,P, FIELD, LENGTH, 4, K)
C407 WRITE (4,320)
C408 320 FORMAT (' THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:')
C409 CALL HXOP (D,1,DIMP,1,P, FIELD, LENGTH, 4, 0)
C410 PREV=NO
C411 RETURN
C412 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 173, NAME INFO

```

C373      SUBROUTINE NORMALISATION (X,DIHN,DIMP,N,P)
C374      INTEGER DIHN,DIMP,P
C375      REAL X(DIHN,DIMP)
C376      DO 30 J=1,P
C377      AUX=X(I,J)
C378      DO 10 I=2,N
C379      IF (ABS(X(I,J)) .GT. ABS(AUX)) AUX=X(I,J)
C380      10 CONTINUE
C381      DO 20 I=1,N
C382      X(I,J)=X(I,J)/AUX
C383      20 CONTINUE
C384      30 CONTINUE
C385      RETURN
C386      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 105, NAME NORMALISATIONP

```

C387      SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E,DATE,HOOK)
C388      INTEGER CALL, DATE(3), MONTH(12), BUFFER(2)
C389      DATA CALL/27
C390      DATA MONTH/'JAN','FEB','MAR','APR','MAY','JUN','JULY','AUG','SEPT',
C391      1 'OCT','NOV','DEC'/
C392      GO TO (100,200,300), NO
C393      100 CALL MTIME (N)
C394      E=N
C395      CALL=3-CALL
C396      IF (CALL .EQ. 2) GO TO 110
C397      EE=E
C398      RETURN
C399      110 E=E-EE
C400      E=E+1.0E-3
C401      RETURN
C402      200 CALL DEFBUF (2,8,BUFFER)
C403      CALL DATE (E)
C404      WRITE (2,210) E
C405      210 FORMAT (A8)
C406      READ (2,220) (DAT(I), I=1,3)
C407      220 FORMAT (I2,1X,I2,1X,I2)
C408      DAT(2)=MONTH(DAT(2))
C409      E,EE=1.0E-03
C410      230 IF (1.0+EE .EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
C411      EE=EE/2.0
C412      GO TO 230
C413      240 RETURN
C414      300 IF (E .GE. 370,370,310)
C415      310 IF (E .GE. 60.0) GO TO 330
C416      WRITE (5,320) E
C417      320 FORMAT (1H,////,44X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',F7.3,' SECONDS')
C418      GO TO 300
C419      330 IF (E .GE. 120.0) GO TO 3502
C420      E=E-60.0
C421      340 WRITE (6,340) E
C422      340 FORMAT (1H,////,40X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE',F7.3,' SECONDS'
C423      1)
C424      GO TO 300
C425      350 I=E/60.0
C426      E=E-I*60.0
C427      WRITE (6,360) I, E
C428      360 FORMAT (1H,////,39X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',I3,' MINUTES',F7.3,' SEC
C429      1ONDS')
C430      GO TO 300
C431      370 WRITE (6,380) E
C432      380 FORMAT (1H,////,' EXECUTION TIME WAS',F9.3,' SECONDS')
C433      390 RETURN
C434      END
C435

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

C436 SUBROUTINE HXOP (A, DIMN, DIMP, N1, P, FIELD, LENGTH, STREAM, IFLAG)
C437 INTEGER DIMN, DIMP, P, WIDTH, STREAM
C438 REAL A(DIMN, DIMP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
C439 DATA FRMT1/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H) /
C440 DATA FRMT2/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H) /
C441 IF (IFLAG) 10,200,10
C442 10 CALL DECBUF (2,16,BUFFER)
C443 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
C444 WRITE (2,20) FIELD
C445 20 FORMAT (A6)
C446 READ (2,30) WIDTH
C447 30 FORMAT (I3,I2)
C448 IF (P*WIDTH-LENGTH) 100,100,40
C449 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
C450 N2=P/N1
C451 LAST=P-N1*N2
C452 IF (IFLAG) 50,999,60
C453 50 N3=1
C454 N4=1
C455 GO TO 70
C456 60 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
C457 N4=(LENGTH-LAST*WIDTH)/2+1
C458 70 WRITE (4,80) N3,N1,N4,LAST
C459 80 FORMAT (4I4)
C460 READ (2,90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(4)
C461 90 FORMAT (4A4)
C462 GO TO 300
C463 100 N2=0
C464 IF (IFLAG) 110,999,120
C465 110 N3=1
C466 GO TO 130
C467 120 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
C468 130 WRITE (2,140) N3,P
C469 140 FORMAT (2I4)
C470 READ (2,150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4)
C471 150 FORMAT (2A4)
C472 GO TO 210
C473 200 IF (N2) 199,210,300
C474 210 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
C475 RETURN
C476 500 N2=N1=N2*N1
C477 IF (N-1) 999,400,310
C478 310 DO 330 K=1,N2,N1
C479 KK=K+N1-1
C480 WRITE (STREAM,320) K, KK
C481 320 FORMAT (1H,/, ' COLUMNS', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
C482 330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=K, KK), I=1,N)
C483 IF (LAST-1) 370,340,360
C484 340 WRITE (STREAM,350) P
C485 350 FORMAT (1H,/, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
C486 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,P), I=1,N)
C487 GO TO 370
C488 360 KK=N2N1+1
C489 WRITE (STREAM,320) KK,P
C490 370 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) ((A(I,J), J=KK,P), I=1,N)
C491 370 RETURN
C492 400 DO 410 K=1,N2N1,N1
C493 KK=K+N1-1
C494 410 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) (A(I,J), J=K, KK)
C495 IF (LAST-1) 440,420,430
C496 420 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) A(I,P)
C497 GO TO 440
C498 430 KK=N2N1+1
C499 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,J), J=KK,P)
C500 440 RETURN
C501 999 STOP HXOP
C502 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME HXOP

```

C503      SUBROUTINE PRODUCT (V,W,DIHN,N)
C504      INTEGER DIHN
C505      REAL V(DIHN), U(DIHN)
C506      DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
C507      COMMON NO
C508      GO TO (200,200,200,200,200,200,200,200,200), NO
C509      100 CONTINUE
C510      DO 120 I=1,N
C511      SUM=0.0
C512      DO 110 J=1,N
C513      SUM=SUM+(1.0/FLOAT(I+J-1))*V(J)
C514      110 CONTINUE
C515      W(I)=SNGL(SUM)
C516      120 CONTINUE
C517      RETURN
C518      200 CONTINUE
C519      DO 210 I=1,N-1
C520      W(I)=V(I)+FLOAT(I)*V(N)
C521      210 CONTINUE
C522      SUM=0.0
C523      DO 220 I=1,N
C524      SUM=SUM+FLOAT(I)*V(I)
C525      220 CONTINUE
C526      W(N)=SNGL(SUM)
C527      RETURN
C528      300 CONTINUE
C529      W(1)=4.0*V(1)
C530      W(2)=3.0*V(2)
C531      W(3)=2.0*V(3)
C532      DO 310 I=4,N
C533      W(I)=V(I)
C534      310 CONTINUE
C535      RETURN
C536      400 CONTINUE
C537      K=(N-1)/2
C538      W(1)=K*V(1)+V(2)
C539      W(K+1)=V(K)+V(K+2)
C540      W(N)=V(N-1)+K*V(N)
C541      L=K
C542      DO 410 I=2,K
C543      L=L-1
C544      J=N-I+1
C545      W(I)=V(I-1)+L*V(I)+V(I+1)
C546      W(J)=V(J-1)+L*V(J)+V(J+1)
C547      410 CONTINUE
C548      RETURN
C549      500 CONTINUE
C550      K=(N-1)/2
C551      W(1)=K*V(1)+V(2)
C552      W(K+1)=V(K)+V(K+2)
C553      W(N)=V(N-1)-K*V(N)
C554      L=K
C555      DO 510 I=2,K
C556      L=L-1
C557      J=N-I+1
C558      W(I)=V(I-1)+L*V(I)+V(I+1)
C559      W(J)=V(J-1)-L*V(J)+V(J+1)
C560      510 CONTINUE
C561      RETURN
C562      600 CONTINUE
C563      W(1)=V(2)
C564      W(N)=V(N-1)
C565      DO 610 I=2,N-1
C566      W(I)=V(I-1)+V(I+1)
C567      610 CONTINUE
C568      RETURN
C569      700 CONTINUE
C570      X=SQRT(FLOAT(N-1))
C571      W(1)=X*V(2)
C572      DO 710 I=2,N-1
C573      Y=X
C574      X=SQRT(FLOAT(I+(N-I)))
C575      W(I)=Y*V(I-1)+X*V(I+1)
C576      710 CONTINUE
C577      Y=X
C578      W(N)=Y*V(N-1)
C579      RETURN
C580      800 CONTINUE
C581      W(1)=5.0*V(1)+2.0*V(2)+V(3)+V(4)
C582      W(2)=2.0*V(1)+6.0*V(2)+3.0*V(3)+V(4)+V(5)
C583      W(3)=V(1)+3.0*V(2)+6.0*V(3)+3.0*V(4)+V(5)+V(6)
C584      W(4)=V(1)+3*V(2)+V(3)+3.0*V(4)+6.0*V(5)+3.0*V(6)+V(7)
C585      W(5)=V(1)+V(2)+3.0*V(3)+6.0*V(4)+2.0*V(5)+V(6)
C586      W(6)=V(1)+V(2)+2.0*V(3)+5.0*V(4)
C587      DO 810 I=7,N
C588      SUM=V(I-3)+V(I-2)+3.0*V(I-1)+6.0*V(I)+3.0*V(I+1)+V(I+2)+V(I+3)
C589      W(I)=SNGL(SUM)
C590      810 CONTINUE
C591      RETURN
C592      900 CONTINUE
C593      RETURN
C594      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 805, NAME PRODUCT

THE METHOD OF "QUICK RITZ" ITERATION FOR A REAL SYMMETRIC MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 22 AUG 74
AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 17/39/06

EXAMPLE NUMBER 1

3 EIGENVALUES AND 3 EIGENVECTORS HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED TO AN ACCURACY OF 1.00E-08

THE 4 APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
1.7519196702E 00 3.4292954848E-01 3.5741816272E-02 2.5308907688E-03

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW:
1.0000000000E-00 -1.0000000000E-00 -5.0604464868E-01 -1.8310074992E-01
6.0399191433E-01 -1.1046517177E-01 1.0000000000E-00 1.0000000000E-00
4.8218829897E-01 -2.6628287966E-01 6.3161538762E-01 -3.5041373158E-01
3.8278531340E-01 -2.4252591766E-01 2.4069926194E-01 -7.1501597455E-01
3.8272848498E-01 -2.6032692517E-01 -4.5861858156E-02 -6.0964676230E-01
2.3580130793E-01 -2.4303622451E-01 -5.8217819752E-01 -3.3713261711E-01
2.1156326395E-01 -2.2617131617E-01 -6.7640184975E-01 -3.3862948670E-02
1.9400312816E-01 -2.0826080807E-01 -5.7640864806E-01 2.3545455265E-01
1.7586003439E-01 -3.9048378674E-01 -5.8436376351E-01 7.2797972593E-01

THE 4 SETS OF CORRESPONDING ERRORS ARE:
1.0401433487E-11 -1.1410749889E-11 4.2474223130E-10 -3.2801365697E-06

EXECUTION TIME WAS 1.950 SECONDS

INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT ON LOGICAL STREAM 4 FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER 1

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 2
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
-1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 =1.0000000000E 00

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
1.0311615175E 00 2.5612776372E-01 5.1845470385E-03 1.5400640715E-04

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 4
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
-2.8639349088E-03 2.3145772231E-03 9.7824237673E-03 =1.1661225376E-02

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
1.7519496702E 00 3.4292954849E-01 3.5741816253E-02 2.5308784147E-03

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 6
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
1.0401433487E-11 -1.1410745889E-11 4.2474223130E-10 =3.2801365697E-06

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
1.7519496702E 00 3.4292954848E-01 3.5741816272E-02 2.5308907688E-03

APPENDIX 6

A RITZ ITERATION PROGRAM FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES


```

C0223 MASTER
C0224 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,EM,G,H,LINE(60),DATE(3)
C0225 REAL XR(50),XI(50),VR(50),VI(50),WR(50),WI(50)
C0226 REAL RVR(8),RVI(8),BR(8),BI(8),D(8),F(8),BB(8)
C0227 REAL Z(3),DOLD(8),LARGE(8),MC
C0228 COMMON HO,FIELD,LENGTH,K
C0229 DATA LINE/60,1H*/
C0230 K=1
C0231 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)
C0232 MC=E
C0233 READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,DIMP,LENGTH
C0234 10 FORMAT (I2,I5,I2,I3)
C0235 IF (LENGTH.LT.120) K=-1
C0236 DO 500 NO=1,NUMBER
C0237 READ (5,20) N,P,KH,EM,EPS,FIELD,NORM
C0238 20 FORMAT (I5,I2,I6,I2,E9.2,A6,I1)
C0239 IF (KH.LT.0) READ (5,30) ((XR(I,J), XI(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
C0240 30 FORMAT (2G0,0)
C0241 CALL TIME (T)
C0242 IF (K) 120,999,100
C0243 100 WRITE (6,113) (LINE(I), I=1,60), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO
C0244 110 FORMAT (1H,////,31X, 'THE METHOD OF QUICK RITZ ITERATION FOR AN HE
C0245 1RMITON MATRIX', 31X,60A1,////,45X, 'THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON', 13,1X
C0246 2,A3,1X,12,/,45X, 'AND EXECUTION STARTED AT',A9,////,54X, 'EXAMPLE NUMB
C0247 3ER',13)
C0248 GO TO 140
C0249 120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I), I=1,35), (DATE(I), I=1,3), T, NO
C0250 130 FORMAT (1H,////,31X, 'QUICK RITZ' FOR HERMITIAN MATRICES',/,1X,35A
C0251 11,/,/, DATE:',13,1X,A3,1X,1R,5X, 'TIME:',A9,5X, 'EXAMPLE NUMBER',13)
C0252 140 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
C0253 CALL H02 (XR,XI,VR,VI,WR,WI,RVR,RVI,DR,BI,D,F,BB,Z,DOLD,
C0254 1,LARGE,DIMN,DIMP,H,P,EM,KH,KS,H,G,MC,EPS)
C0255 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
C0256 IF (NORM.EQ.1) CALL NORMALISATION (XR,XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P)
C0257 IF (K) 220,999,200
C0258 200 WRITE (6,210) H,G,EPS
C0259 210 FORMAT (1H,////,21X,12, ' EIGENVALUES AND',13, ' EIGENVECTORS HAVE
C0260 1BEEN ACCEPTED TO AN ACCURACY OF',1PE9.2)
C0261 GO TO 240
C0262 220 WRITE (6,230) H,G,EPS
C0263 230 FORMAT (1H,////,1X,12, ' EIGENVALUES AND',13, ' EIGENVECTORS HAVE B
C0264 1EEN ACCEPTED TO',1PE9.2)
C0265 240 WRITE (6,300) P
C0266 300 FORMAT (1H,////, ' THE',13, ' APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE
C0267 11')
C0268 CALL HXOP (D,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)
C0269 WRITE (6,310)
C0270 310 FORMAT (1H,////, ' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIV
C0271 1EN BELOW:')
C0272 WRITE (6,320)
C0273 320 FORMAT (1H,/, ' REAL PART')
C0274 CALL HXOP (X,1,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C0275 WRITE (6,321)
C0276 321 FORMAT (1H,/, ' IMAGINARY PART')
C0277 CALL HXOP (XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C0278 WRITE (6,330) P
C0279 330 FORMAT (1H,////, ' THE',13, ' SETS OF CORRESPONDING ERRORS ARE:')
C0280 CALL HXOP (F,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
C0281 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)
C0282 IF (K) 400,999,400
C0283 400 WRITE (6,410) (LINE(I), I=1,60)
C0284 410 FORMAT (1H,////,31X,60A1)
C0285 GO TO 500
C0286 420 WRITE (6,430) (LINE(I), I=1,40)
C0287 430 FORMAT (1H,////,1X,40A1)
C0288 500 CONTINUE
C0289 STOP OK
C0290 999 STOP NONH
C0291 END

```

```

C002 SUBROUTINE HMRZ (XR,XI,VR,VI,WR,WI,RVR,RVI,DR,BI,D,F,BB,Z,DOLD,
C003 1 LARGE, DIMN, DIMP, N, P, EM, KM, KS, H, G, MC, EPS)
C004 INTEGER DIMN, DIMP, P, EM, H, G, H1, G1
C005 REAL XR(DIMN, DIMP), XI(DIMN, DIMP), VR(DIMN), VI(DIMN)
C006 REAL WR(DIMN), WI(DIMN), RVR(DIMP, DIMP), RVI(DIMP, DIMP)
C007 REAL DR(DIMP, DIMP), BI(DIMP, DIMP), D(DIMP), F(DIMP), BB(DIMP)
C008 REAL Z(DIMP), DOLD(DIMP), LARGE(DIMP), MC
C009 DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR, SUMI
C100 DO 10 I=1, P
C101 DOLD(I)=0.0
C102 10 LARGE(I)=0.0
C103 C 38 IS 76/2 (76 IS LARGEST EXPONENT ON M/C)
C104 CONST=33.0-ALOG10(FLOAT(N))
C105 KS=3, H, G1, H1=0
C106 M=2
C107 ZZ=0.1
C108 EPS2=10.0+EPS
C109 IF (KM .LT. 0) GO TO 40
C110 DO 20 J=1, P
C111 20 CALL RANDOMISATION (XR, DIMN, DIMP, N, J, ZZ)
C112 DO 30 J=1, P
C113 DO 30 I=1, N
C114 30 XI(I, J)=0.0
C115 40 CALL CORTHQ (XR, XI, DIMN, DIMP, N, P, G, MC)
C116 KM=IABS(KM)
C117 C FORM B=Y*AX
C118 DO 130 K=G+1, P
C119 DO 140 I=1, N
C120 110 VR(I)=XR(I, K)
C121 VI(I)=XI(I, K)
C122 CALL PRODUCT (VR, VI, WR, WI, DIMN, N)
C123 DO 130 J=G+1, P
C124 SUMR, SUMI=0.0
C125 DO 120 I=1, N
C126 120 SUMR=SUMR+XR(I, J)*WR(I)+XI(I, J)*WI(I)
C127 SUMI=SUMI+XR(I, J)*WI(I)-XI(I, J)*WR(I)
C128 RR(J-G, K-G)=SUMR
C129 BI(J-G, K-G)=SUMI
C130 C SOLVE E-VALUE PROBLEM FOR B I.E. V=B*V*D
C131 CALL HMRZ (XR, BI, D, RVR, RVI, BB, Z, DIMP, P=G, K, 1, MC, EPS2)
C132 IF (G .EQ. 0) GO TO 200
C133 DO 140 I=G+1, P
C134 J=P+1-I
C135 140 D(J+G)=D(J)
C136 DO 150 I=1, G
C137 150 D(I)=DOLD(I)
C138 C FORM X=Y*V WHERE Y=AX
C139 DO 200 J=G+1, P
C140 DO 210 I=1, N
C141 210 VR(I)=XR(I, J)
C142 VI(I)=XI(I, J)
C143 CALL PRODUCT (VR, VI, WR, WI, DIMN, N)
C144 DO 220 I=1, N
C145 220 XR(I, J)=WR(I)
C146 XI(I, J)=WI(I)
C147 DO 240 I=1, N
C148 DO 240 J=G+1, P
C149 SUMR, SUMI=0.0
C150 DO 230 K=G+1, P
C151 230 SUMR=SUMR+XR(I, K)*RVR(K-G, J-G)-XI(I, K)*RVI(K-G, J-G)
C152 SUMI=SUMI+XR(I, K)*RVI(K-G, J-G)+XI(I, K)*RVR(K-G, J-G)
C153 Z(J)=SUMR
C154 240 BB(J)=SUMI
C155 DO 250 J=G+1, P
C156 250 XR(I, J)=Z(J)
C157 C PERFORM M PREMULTIPLICATIONS
C158 DO 310 K=1, M-1
C159 DO 310 J=G+1, P
C160 DO 300 I=1, N
C161 300 VR(I)=XR(I, J)
C162 VI(I)=XI(I, J)
C163 CALL PRODUCT (VR, VI, WR, WI, DIMN, N)
C164 DO 310 I=1, N
C165 310 XR(I, J)=WR(I)
C166 XI(I, J)=WI(I)
C167 KS=KS+M
C168 C FORM X=YR

```

```

C170 CALL CORTHQ (XR,XI,DI MN,DIMP,N,P,G,MC)
C171 C CHECK STEPS AND CONVERGENCE
C172 IF (H .GE. P) GO TO 430
C173 DO 400 I=H+1,P
C174 IF (ABS((DOLD(I)-D(I))/D(I)) .GT. EPS) GO TO 410
C175 400 H1=H+1
C176 410 H=H+H1
C177 H1=0
C178 DO 420 I=G+1,P
C179 420 DOLD(I)=D(I)
C180 430 DO 450 J=G+1,P
C181 AUX=SQR T(XR(I,J)**2+XI(I,J)**2)
C182 DO 440 I=2,H
C183 ZZ=SQR T(XR(I,J)**2+XI(I,J)**2)
C184 IF (ZZ .GT. AUX) AUX=ZZ
C185 440 CONTINUE
C186 F(J)=(LARGE(J)-AUX)/AUX
C187 450 LARGE(J)=AUX
C188 DO 460 J=G+1,P
C189 IF (ABS(F(J)) .GT. EPS) GO TO 470
C190 460 G1=G+1
C191 470 G=G+G1
C192 G1=0
C193 CALL INFO (D,F,DIMP,P,KS,G,H,EM)
C194 IF (G .LE. H) GO TO 510
C195 IF (G .LT. EM) GO TO 500
C196 G=H
C197 M=2
C198 GO TO 510
C199 500 G=H
C200 510 IF (G .GE. EM OR KS .GE. KM) GO TO 520
C201 CONST1=CONST/A(OG10(ABS(D(G+1)))
C202 CONST2=M+A(OG10(ABS(D(G+1)/D(P))))
C203 IF (FLOAT(M) .LT. CONST1 .AND. CONST2 .LT. 1.0) M=M+1
C204 GO TO 100
C205 520 RETURN
C206 END

```

END OF SEGMENT. LENGTH 1093. NAME HMRZ

```

C207 SUBROUTINE HMJO (AR,AI,D,VR,VI,B,Z,DI MN,N,ROT,EI VEC,MC,EPS2)
C208 INTEGER DI MN,EI VEC,ROT,P,H,RES
C209 REAL AR(DI MN,DI MN), AI(DI MN,DI MN), VR(DI MN,DI MN), VI(DI MN,DI MN)
C210 REAL D(DI MN), B(DI MN), Z(DI MN), MC
C211 DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
C212 DO 10 I=1,N
C213 DO 10 J=1,N
C214 VR(I,J)=0.0
C215 10 VI(I,J)=0.0
C216 DO 20 P=1,N
C217 20 VR(P,P)=1.0
C218 30 DO 40 Q=1,N
C219 D(P)=AR(P,P)
C220 B(P)=D(P)
C221 40 Z(P)=0.0
C222 ROT=0
C223 EPS=5.0*N*(N-1)*MC
C224 DO 600 I=1,50
C225 SUM=0.0
C226 DO 100 P=1,N-1
C227 DO 100 Q=P+1,N
C228 TEMP=SQR T(AR(P,Q)**2+AI(P,Q)**2)
C229 100 SUM=SUM+DBLE(TEMP)
C230 SM=SUM
C231 IF (SM .LE. EPS) GO TO 610
C232 TRESH=0.0
C233 IF (I .LT. 4) TRESH=0.2*SM/N**2
C234 DO 500 P=1,N-1
C235 DO 500 Q=P+1,N
C236 TEMP=SQR T(AR(P,Q)**2+AI(P,Q)**2)
C237 G=100.0*TEMP
C238 IF (I .GT. 4 .AND. ABS(D(P))+G .EQ. ABS(D(P)) .AND.
C239 1 ABS(D(Q))+G .EQ. ABS(D(Q))) GO TO 410
C240 IF (TEMP .LE. TRESH) GO TO 420
C241 E=AR(P,Q)
C242 F=AI(P,Q)
C243 IF (ABS(E)-ABS(F)) 200,210,210
C244 200 T=E/F
C245 ST=1.0/SQR T(1.0+T*T)
C246 CT=T*ST
C247 GO TO 220
C248 210 T=F/E
C249 CT=1.0/SQR T(1.0+T*T)
C250 ST=T*CT
C251 220 OMEGA=E*CT+F*ST
C252 H=D(Q)-D(P)
C253 IF (ABS(H)+G .EQ. ABS(H)) GO TO 230
C254 THETA=0.5*H/OMEGA
C255 T=1.0/(ABS(THETA)+SQR T(1.0+THETA**2))
C256 IF (THETA .LT. 0.0) T=-T
C257 GO TO 240
C258 230 T=OMEGA/H
C259 240 C=1.0/SQR T(1.0+T*T)
C260 S=T*C
C261 TAU=S/(1.0+Q)
C262 H=T*OMEGA
C263 Z(P)=Z(P)-H
C264 Z(Q)=Z(Q)+H
C265 D(P)=D(P)-H
C266 D(Q)=D(Q)+H
C267 AR(P,Q)=0.0
C268 AI(P,Q)=0.0
C269 IF (P .EQ. 1) GO TO 310
C270 DO 300 J=1,P-1
C271 ARJP=AR(J,P)

```

```

C272 ARJQ=AR(J,Q)
C273 AIJP=AI(J,P)
C274 AIJQ=AI(J,Q)
C275 ARJQ=AR(J,Q)+S*(ARJQ+CT+AIJQ+ST+ARJP+TAU)
C276 ARJP=AR(J,P)+S*(ARJP+CT-AIJP+ST-ARJQ+TAU)
C277 AIJP=AI(J,P)+S*(AIJP+CT-ARJQ+ST+AIJP+TAU)
C278 AIJQ=AI(J,Q)+S*(AIJP+CT+ARJP+ST-AIJQ+TAU)
C279 300 IF (P+1) GT 1, Q-1) GO TO 350
C280 DO 320 J=1, N
C281 ARPJ=AR(P,J)
C282 ARJQ=AR(J,Q)
C283 AIPJ=AI(P,J)
C284 AIJQ=AI(J,Q)
C285 ARPJ=ARPJ+S*(ARJQ+CT+AIJQ+ST+ARPJ+TAU)
C286 ARJP=ARJP+S*(ARJP+CT+AIPJ+ST-ARJQ+TAU)
C287 AIPJ=AI(P,J)+S*(AIPJ+CT-ARJQ+ST+AIPJ+TAU)
C288 AIJQ=AI(J,Q)+S*(AIPJ+CT+ARPJ+ST-AIJQ+TAU)
C289 320 330 IF (Q-1) EQ 0) GO TO 350
C290 DO 340 J=1, N
C291 ARPJ=AR(P,J)
C292 ARQJ=AR(Q,J)
C293 AIPJ=AI(P,J)
C294 AIQJ=AI(Q,J)
C295 ARPJ=ARPJ+S*(ARQJ+CT-AIQJ+ST+ARPJ+TAU)
C296 ARQJ=ARQJ+S*(ARPJ+CT+AIPJ+ST-ARQJ+TAU)
C297 AIPJ=AI(P,J)+S*(AIQJ+CT+ARQJ+ST+AIPJ+TAU)
C298 AIQJ=AI(Q,J)+S*(AIPJ+CT-ARPJ+ST-AIQJ+TAU)
C299 340 350 IF (FIVEC .EQ. Q) GO TO 400
C300 DO 360 J=1, N
C301 VRJP=VR(J,P)
C302 VRJQ=VR(J,Q)
C303 VIJP=VI(J,P)
C304 VIJQ=VI(J,Q)
C305 VRJP=VRJP+S*(VRJQ+CT+VIJQ+ST+VRJP+TAU)
C306 VRJQ=VRJQ+S*(VRJP+CT-VIJP+ST-VRJQ+TAU)
C307 VIJP=VI(J,P)+S*(VIJQ+CT-VRJQ+ST+VIJP+TAU)
C308 VIJQ=VI(J,Q)+S*(VIJP+CT+VRJP+ST-VIJQ+TAU)
C309 360 ROT=ROT+1
C310 GO TO 420
C311 AR(P,Q)=0.0
C312 AI(P,Q)=0.0
C313 420 CONTINUE
C314 500 CONTINUE
C315 DO 510 P=1, N
C316 B(P)=B(P)+Z(P)
C317 D(P)=D(P)
C318 Z(P)=0.0
C319 600 CONTINUE
C320 ROT=-ROT
C321 DO 650 P=1, N-1
C322 DO 650 Q=P+1, N
C323 TEMP=ABS(D(P))-ABS(D(Q))
C324 IF (ABS(TEMP) LE EPS2) GO TO 640
C325 IF (TEMP) 620, 640, 640
C326 620 SH=D(P)
C327 D(P)=D(Q)
C328 D(Q)=SH
C329 DO 630 I=1, N
C330 SH=VR(I,P)
C331 VR(I,P)=VR(I,Q)
C332 VR(I,Q)=SH
C333 SH=VI(I,P)
C334 VI(I,P)=VI(I,Q)
C335 VI(I,Q)=SH
C336 630 VI(I,Q)=SH
C337 640 CONTINUE
C338 650 CONTINUE
C339 RETURN
C340 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 1174, NAME HIJO

```

03340      SUBROUTINE CORTHO (XR,XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,F,MC)
03341      INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,F
03342      REAL XR(DIMN,DIMP),XI(DIMN,DIMP),MC
03343      DOUBLE PRECISION SUM
03344      DO 30 K=F+1,P
03345      10 SUM=0.0
03346      IF (K.EQ.1) GO TO 40
03347      DO 30 I=1,K-1
03348      CALL HIMP (XR,XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,I,K,SR,SI)
03349      CALL CABS (SR,SI,S)
03350      SUM=SUM+S
03351      DO 20 J=1,N
03352      TEMP =XR(J,K)-SR*XR(J,I)+SI*XI(J,I)
03353      XI(J,K)=XI(J,K)-SI*XR(J,I)-SR*XI(J,I)
03354      XR(J,K)=TEMP
03355      20 CONTINUE
03356      40 CALL HIMP (XR,XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,K,K,SR,SI)
03357      CALL CABS (SR,SI,S)
03358      SUM=SUM+S
03359      T=SUM
03360      IF (S.GT. T/100.0 .AND. T*MC .NE. 0.0) GO TO 50
03361      WRITE (4,100)
03362      100 FORMAT ('WARNING 1 IN CORTHO')
03363      IF (S*MC .NE. 0.0) GO TO 10
03364      WRITE (4,110)
03365      110 FORMAT ('WARNING 2 IN CORTHO')
03366      SR,SI=0.0
03367      GO TO 60
03368      50 CALL CSORT(SR,SI,TR,TI)
03369      CALL CDIV (1.0,0.0,TR,TI,SR,SI)
03370      DO 70 J=1,N
03371      TEMP =SR*XR(J,K)-SI*XI(J,K)
03372      XI(J,K)=SI*XR(J,K)+SR*XI(J,K)
03373      XR(J,K)=TEMP
03374      70 CONTINUE
03375      RETURN
03376      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 307, NAME CORTHO

```

0377      SUBROUTINE HIMP (XR,XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,K,L,SR,SI)
0378      INTEGER DIMN,DIMP
0379      REAL XR(DIMN,DIMP),XI(DIMN,DIMP)
0380      DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
0381      SUMR,SUMI=0.0
0382      DO 10 I=1,N
0383      SUMR=SUMR+XR(I,K)*XR(I,L)+XI(I,K)*XI(I,L)
0384      SUMI=SUMI+XR(I,K)*XI(I,L)-XI(I,K)*XR(I,L)
0385      SR=SUMR
0386      SI=SUMI
0387      RETURN
0388      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 161, NAME HIMP

```

0389      SUBROUTINE CABS (ZR,ZI,R)
0390      XR=ABS(ZR)
0391      XI=ABS(ZI)
0392      IF (XI-XR) 20,20,10
0393      10 R=XR
0394      XR=XI
0395      XI=R
0396      20 IF (XI) 30,40,30
0397      30 R=XI/XR
0398      R=XR*SQRT(1.0+R*R)
0399      RETURN
0400      40 R=XR
0401      RETURN
0402      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 66, NAME CABS

```

0403      SUBROUTINE CDIV (XR,XI,WR,WI,ZR,ZI)
0404      YR=WR
0405      YI=WI
0406      IF (ABS(YR)-ABS(YI)) 20,20,10
0407      10 H=YI/YR
0408      YR=H*YI+YR
0409      ZR=(XR+H*XI)/YR
0410      ZI=(XI-H*XR)/YR
0411      RETURN
0412      20 H=WR/YI
0413      YI=H*YR+YI
0414      ZR=(H*XR+XI)/YI
0415      ZI=(H*XI-XR)/YI
0416      RETURN
0417      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 97, NAME CDIV

```

0418      SUBROUTINE CSQRT (ZR,ZI,YR,YI)
0419      XR=ZR
0420      XI=ZI
0421      CALL CABS (XR,XI,H)
0422      H=SQRT((ABS(XR)+H)/2.0)
0423      IF (XI) 10,20,10
0424      10 XI=XI/(2.0*H)
0425      20 IF (XR) 40,30,30
0426      30 XR=H
0427      GO TO 70
0428      40 IF (XI) 60,50,50
0429      50 XR=XI
0430      XI=H
0431      GO TO 70
0432      60 XR=-XI
0433      XI=-H
0434      70 YR=XR
0435      YI=YI
0436      RETURN
0437      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 88, NAME CSQRT

```

0438      SUBROUTINE RANDOMISATION (X,DIHN,DIMP,N,L,Z)
0439      INTEGER DIHN,DIMP
0440      REAL X(DIHN,DIMP)
0441      DO 10 I=1,N
0442      10 X(I,L)=2.0*FPMCRV(Z)-1.0
0443      Z=FPMCRV(Z)
0444      RETURN
0445      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 67, NAME RANDOMISATION

```

0446      SUBROUTINE INFO (D,F,DIHP,P,KS,G,H,EM)
0447      INTEGER DIHN,DIMP,ISTREAM,PREV,G,H,EM,P,LINE(63)
0448      REAL F(DIHP),D(DIHP)
0449      DATA LINE/63*14+7, PREV/0/
0450      COMMON NO,FIELD,LENGTH,K
0451      IF (K) 200,200,100
0452      100 IF (PREV.EQ.NO) GO TO 120
0453      WRITE (4,110) NO, (LINE(I), I=1,63)
0454      110 FORMAT (1H,/,20X,'INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT ON LOGICAL STREAM 4 FOR E
0455      1XAMPLE NUMBER',I3,/,20X,6SA1)
0456      120 WRITE (4,130) KS,G,H,EM
0457      130 FORMAT (1H,/,43X,'NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED =',I6,/,
0458      1 43X,'NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED =',I3,/,
0459      2 43X,'NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED =',I3,/,
0460      3 43X,'NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED =',I3)
0461      GO TO 300
0462      200 IF (PREV.EQ.NO) GO TO 220
0463      WRITE (4,210) NO, (LINE(I), I=1,63)
0464      210 FORMAT (1H,////////,'INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3,/,
0465      1,1X,42A1)
0466      220 WRITE (4,230) KS,G,H,EM
0467      230 FORMAT (1H,////////,'STEPS PERFORMED =',I6,/, 'EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED =',
0468      1, I3,/, 'EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED =', I3,/, 'SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED =',
0469      2 I3)
0470      300 WRITE (4,310)
0471      310 FORMAT (' THE ERROR VECTOR IS:')
0472      CALL MXOP (F,1,DIHP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,4,K)
0473      WRITE (4,320)
0474      320 FORMAT (' THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:')
0475      CALL MXOP (D,1,DIHP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,4,O)
0476      PREV=NO
0477      RETURN
0478      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 173, NAME INFO

```

C479          SUBROUTINE NORMALISATION (X,Y,DIMN,DIMP,N,P)
C480          INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P
C481          REAL X(DIMN,DIMP), Y(DIMN,DIMP)
C482          DO 30 J=1,P
C483          SR=X(1,J)
C484          SI=Y(1,J)
C485          AUX=SR+SR+SI*SI
C486          DO 10 I=2,N
C487          TR=X(I,J)
C488          TI=Y(I,J)
C489          ZZ=TR*TR+TI*TI
C490          IF (ZZ .LE. AUX) GO TO 10
C491          SR=TR
C492          SI=TI
C493          AUX=ZZ
C494          10 CONTINUE
C495          CALL CDIV (1.0,0.0,SR,SI,TR,TI)
C496          DO 20 I=1,N
C497          SR=X(I,J)-TI*Y(I,J)
C498          Y(I,J)=TI*X(I,J)+TR*Y(I,J)
C499          X(I,J)=SR
C500          20 CONTINUE
C501          RETURN
C502          END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 176, NAME NORMALISATION

```

C503          SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E,DAT,NO,K)
C504          INTEGER CALL, DAT(3), MONTH(12), BUFFER(2)
C505          DATA CALL/2/
C506          DATA MONTH/'JAN','FEB','MAR','APR','MAY','JUN','JULY','AUG','SEPT',
C507          1 'OCT','NOV','DEC'/
C508          GO TO (100,200,300), NO
C509          100 CALL MTIME (N)
C510          E=N
C511          CALL=3-CALL
C512          IF (CALL .EQ. 2) GO TO 110
C513          EE=E
C514          RETURN
C515          110 E=E-EE
C516          E=E+1.0E-3
C517          RETURN
C518          200 CALL DEFBUF (2,8,BUFFER)
C519          CALL DATE (E)
C520          WRITE (2,210) E
C521          210 FORMAT (A8)
C522          READ (2,220) (DAT(I), I=1,3)
C523          FORMAT (I2,1X,I2,1X,I2)
C524          DAT(2)=MONTH(DAT(2))
C525          E,EE=1.0E-03
C526          IF (1.0+EE .EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
C527          EE=EE/2.0
C528          GO TO 230
C529          240 RETURN
C530          300 IF (K) 370,370,310
C531          IF (E .GE. 60.0) GO TO 350
C532          WRITE (4,320) E
C533          320 FORMAT (1H,////,44X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',F7.3,' SECONDS')
C534          GO TO 300
C535          330 IF (E .GE. 120.0) GO TO 350
C536          E=E-60.0
C537          WRITE (8,340) E
C538          340 FORMAT (1H,////,40X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE',F7.3,' SECONDS')
C539          GO TO 300
C540          350 I=E/60.0
C541          E=E-I*60.0
C542          WRITE (6,360) I, E
C543          360 FORMAT (1H,////,39X,'EXECUTION TIME WAS',I3,' MINUTES',F7.3,' SEC
C544          370          ONDS')
C545          GO TO 300
C546          380 WRITE (6,380) E
C547          380 FORMAT (1H,////, ' EXECUTION TIME WAS',F9.3,' SECONDS')
C548          390 RETURN
C549          END
C550
C551

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

C5553 SUBROUTINE MXOP (A,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,STREAM,IFLAG)
C5554 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,WIDTH,STREAM
C5555 REAL A(DIMN,DIMP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
C5556 DATA FRMT1/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1H1,1HE,8H)
C5557 DATA FRMT2/3H( ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H)
C5558 IF (IFLAG) 10,200,10
C5559 10 CALL DEFBUF (2,16,BUFFER)
C5560 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
C5561 WRITE (2,20) FIELD
C5562 20 FORMAT (A6)
C5563 READ (2,30) WIDTH
C5564 30 FORMAT (1X,12)
C5565 IF (P*WIDTH-LENGTH) 100,100,40
C5566 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
C5567 N2=P/N1
C5568 LAST=P-N1*N2
C5569 IF (IFLAG) 50,999,60
C5570 50 N3=1
C5571 N4=1
C5572 GO TO 70
C5573 60 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
C5574 N4=(LENGTH-LAST*WIDTH)/2+1
C5575 70 WRITE (2,80) N3,N1,N4,LAST
C5576 80 FORMAT (4I4)
C5577 80 READ (2,90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(4)
C5578 90 FORMAT (4A4)
C5579 GO TO 300
C5580 100 N2=0
C5581 IF (IFLAG) 110,999,120
C5582 110 N3=1
C5583 GO TO 130
C5584 120 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
C5585 130 WRITE (2,140) N3,P
C5586 140 FORMAT (2I4)
C5587 READ (2,150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(4)
C5588 150 FORMAT (2A4)
C5589 GO TO 200
C5590 200 IF (N2) 999,210,300
C5591 210 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
C5592 RETURN
C5593 500 N2N1=N2*N1
C5594 IF (N-1) 999,400,310
C5595 DO 330 K=1,N2N1,N1
C5596 KK=N1-1
C5597 WRITE (STREAM,320) K, KK
C5598 320 FORMAT (1H /, ' COLUMN', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
C5599 330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=K, KK), I=1,N)
C5600 IF (LAST-1) 370,340,360
C5601 340 WRITE (STREAM,350) P
C5602 350 FORMAT (1H /, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
C5603 370 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,P), I=1,N)
C5604 GO TO 300
C5605 360 KK=N2N1-1
C5606 WRITE (STREAM,320) KK,P
C5607 320 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) ((A(I,J), J=KK,P), I=1,N)
C5608 370 RETURN
C5609 400 DO 410 K=1,N2N1,N1
C5610 KK=K-1
C5611 410 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) (A(1,J), J=K, KK)
C5612 IF (LAST-1) 440,420,430
C5613 420 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(1,P))
C5614 GO TO 440
C5615 430 KK=N2N1-1
C5616 440 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(1,J), J=KK,P)
C5617 440 RETURN
C5618 999 STOP MXOP
END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME MXOP


```

0619          SUBROUTINE PRODUCT (VR,VI,WR,WI,DIMN,N)
0620          INTEGER DIMN,PREV
0621          REAL VR(DIMN), VI(DIMN), WR(DIMN), WI(DIMN)
0622          REAL AR(12,12), AI(12,12)
0623          DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
0624          DATA PREV/0/
0625          COMMON NO
0626          GO TO (100,200,300,400,500,600,700,800,900), NO
0627          CONTINUE
0628          DO 120 I=1,N
0629          SUMR,SUMI=0,0
0630          DO 110 J=1,N
0631          SUMR=SUMR+(1.0/FLOAT(I+J-1))*VR(J)
0632          SUMI=SUMI+(1.0/FLOAT(I+J-1))*VI(J)
0633          WR(I)=SINGL(SUMR)
0634          WI(I)=SINGL(SUMI)
0635          RETURN
0636          CONTINUE
0637          IF (PREV .EQ. NO) GO TO 220
0638          READ (5,210) ((AR(I,J),J=1,N),I=1,N), ((AI(I,J),J=1,N),I=1,N)
0639          FORMAT (2G0.0)
0640          PREV=NO
0641          CONTINUE
0642          DO 240 I=1,N
0643          SUMR,SUMI=0,0
0644          DO 230 J=1,N
0645          SUMR=SUMR+AR(I,J)*VR(J)-AI(I,J)*VI(J)
0646          SUMI=SUMI+AR(I,J)*VI(J)+AI(I,J)*VR(J)
0647          WR(I)=SINGL(SUMR)
0648          WI(I)=SINGL(SUMI)
0649          RETURN
0650          CONTINUE
0651          GO TO 200
0652          CONTINUE
0653          X1=SQRT(FLOAT(N-1))
0654          WR(1)=-X1*VI(2)
0655          WI(1)=X1*VR(2)
0656          DO 410 K=2,N-1
0657          Y1=-X1
0658          X1=SQRT(FLOAT(K*(N-K)))
0659          WR(K)=-Y1*VI(K-1)-X1*VI(K+1)
0660          WI(K)=Y1*VR(K-1)+X1*VR(K+1)
0661          Y1=-X1
0662          WR(N)=-Y1*VI(N-1)
0663          WI(N)=Y1*VR(N-1)
0664          RETURN
0665          CONTINUE
0666          GO TO 400
0667          CONTINUE
0668          CONTINUE
0669          CONTINUE
0670          RETURN
0671          END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 416, NAME PRODUCT

THE METHOD OF "QUICK RITZ" ITERATION FOR AN HERMITIAN MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 22 AUG 74
 AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 18/26/47

EXAMPLE NUMBER 4

2 EIGENVALUES AND 2 EIGENVECTORS HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED TO AN ACCURACY OF 1.00E-08

THE 4 APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 1.1000000000E 01 -1.1000000000E 01 8.999997437E 00 -8.999997438E 00

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW:

REAL PART

-8.2091321537E-12	-8.7534865046E-12	-1.7127066929E-01	=1.7127066929E-01
1.5430334999E-01	1.5430335000E-01	-1.5700165022E-05	=1.5675301285E-05
2.24664519134E-10	2.2555468604E-10	8.0829311214E-01	=8.0829311215E-01
-5.9761430470E-01	-5.9761430470E-01	1.2535947462E-05	=1.2482574675E-05
-7.7123150710E-10	-7.6943251770E-10	-8.44852888188E-01	=-8.44852888188E-01
1.0000000000E 00	9.9999999999E-01	1.4087731415E-05	=1.4105597074E-05
9.3473842627E-10	9.5496943686E-10	-3.3466577231E-01	=-3.3466577231E-01
-8.4513475476E-01	-8.4513425474E-01	-2.9110933610E-06	=-2.8658068914E-06
-4.7593755975E-10	-4.7538421313E-10	1.0000000000E 00	=9.9999999999E-01
3.4583728528E-01	3.4202277973E-01	-1.8254473616E-05	=-1.8297614588E-05
-2.6524210556E-02	-4.8284618298E-11	-6.6475705012E-01	=-6.6475705013E-01
	-4.8224210557E-02	7.2096290731E-06	=7.2187713158E-06

IMAGINARY PART

4.6524210567E-02	-4.6524210567E-02	-4.3720115173E-07	4.3720228859E-07
1.1059455574E-02	-1.1050360627E-09	4.6474621231E-01	-4.6474620662E-01
-3.4503279774E-01	3.4502277980E-01	1.3264270914E-06	=1.3264434529E-06
-1.2360255083E-02	1.2860255083E-09	-9.9999160902E-01	9.9999159681E-01
8.4515425473E-01	-8.4513425473E-01	-3.6101846490E-07	3.6102957884E-07
0.0000000000E-01	0.0000000000E-01	3.3467581090E-01	-3.3467580685E-01
-9.9999999999E-01	9.9999999999E-01	-8.5429246610E-07	=-8.5429228731E-07
-6.0726650317E-10	5.9844751327E-10	8.4852719063E-01	=-8.4852718026E-01
1.9761430470E-01	-3.9761430477E-01	-9.0949470177E-13	=-8.6379788071E-12
1.4781090101E-09	-1.4743909116E-09	-8.0830627629E-01	=-8.0830629640E-01
-1.4431335007E-01	1.4430335007E-01	4.6133768849E-07	=-4.6133684723E-07
-4.9828940973E-10	4.9824940973E-10	1.7127380671E-01	=1.7127580461E-01

THE 4 SETS OF CORRESPONDING ERRORS ARE:
 -1.0646796506E-09 -1.0579200848E-09 9.2991897273E-05 9.2667140912E-05

EXECUTION TIME WAS 10.408 SECONDS

INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT ON LOGICAL STREAM 4 FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER 4

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 2
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
 THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 =1.0000000000E 00
 3.0620390941E 00 -3.0620390941E 00 -6.2422322079E-01 6.2422322079E-01

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 4
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
 THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 -1.7194316868E-01 5.4624138098E-02 5.3217739960E-02 =2.5910664184E-01
 9.9136477139E 00 -9.9136477139E 00 4.9117095301E-02 =4.9117095438E-02

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 6
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
 THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 3.7199937631E-02 -2.2558262016E-02 1.2537291467E-02 =2.6984363223E-04
 1.0507681491E 01 -1.0507681491E 01 2.3345559450E 00 =2.3345559451E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 8
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
 THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 -1.2653731127E-02 -4.2539078661E-03 5.2428331290E-02 6.2035046435E-04
 1.0781676456E 01 -1.0781676456E 01 4.4663241648E 00 =4.4663241649E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 11
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
 THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 -1.6099934182E-04 1.4608257652E-02 -4.9370839009E-03 1.5076986457E-01
 1.0514072784E 01 -1.0514072784E 01 6.4054766265E 00 =6.4054766266E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 13
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
 THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 1.3665648387E-02 9.4095931485E-03 2.3760354099E-02 5.9497108055E-02
 1.0786372497E 01 -1.0786372497E 01 8.2024609946E 00 =8.2024609946E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 20
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2
THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
4.4064604862E-03 3.3430029225E-03 5.8350554635E-02 4.9680002496E-02
1.0599232808E 01 -1.0999454808E 01 8.8808129908E 00 -8.8808129509E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 26
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2
THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
3.043952285E-04 3.4992404335E-04 -4.3186286769E-03 -1.9461010748E-03
1.0999993625E 01 -1.0999993625E 01 8.9901743652E 00 -8.9901743653E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 33
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2
THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
5.5114327047E-06 6.0572618911E-06 -1.3349613392E-03 -1.0989136154E-03
1.0599999972E 01 -1.0999999972E 01 8.9995177449E 00 -8.9995177449E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 41
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2
THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
4.2793994347E-08 4.0457826766E-08 5.9779306235E-04 5.8691298552E-04
1.1000000000E 01 -1.1000000000E 01 8.9999857018E 00 -8.9999857020E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 50
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2
THE ERROR VECTOR IS:
THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
-1.0646796506E-09 -1.0570200848E-09 9.2991897273E-05 9.2647140912E-05
1.1000000000E 01 -1.1000000000E 01 8.9999997437E 00 -8.9999997438E 00

APPENDIX 7

A RITZ ITERATION PROGRAM FOR GENERAL MATRICES

```

0023 MASTER
0024 INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P,TYPE,EM,G,H,LINE(60),DATE(3)
0025 REAL YR(20,6),YI(20,6),XR(20,6),XI(20,6)
0026 REAL VR(20),VI(20),WR(20),WI(20)
0027 REAL LVR(6,6),LVI(6,6),RVR(6,6),RVI(6,6),BR(6,6),BI(6,6)
0028 REAL DR(6),DI(6),LF(6),RF(6),EN(6),DOLD(6,2),LARGE(6,2),MC
0029 COMMON TYPE,NU,FIELD,LENGTH,K
0030 DATA LINE/60*1H*/
0031 K=1
0032 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,2,K)
0033 MC=E
0034 READ (5,10) NUMBER,DIMN,DIMP,LENGTH
0035 10 FORMAT (12,15,12,13)
0036 IF (LENGTH.LT.120) K=-1
0037 DO 500 NO=1,NUMBER
0038 READ (5,20) N,P,KM,EM,EPS,FIELD,TYPE,NORM
0039 20 FORMAT (12,12,16,12,E9.2,A6,211)
0040 IF (KM.LT.0) READ (5,30) ((YR(I,J),YI(I,J),J=1,P),I=1,N),
0041 1 ((XR(I,J),XI(I,J),J=1,P),I=1,N)
0042 30 FORMAT (260,0)
0043 CALL TIME (T)
0044 IF (K) 120,999,100
0045 100 WRITE (6,140) (LINE(I),I=1,60), (DATE(I),I=1,3), T, NO
0046 110 FORMAT (1H,///,31X,'THE METHOD OF QUICK RITZ' ITERATION FOR AN AR
0047 BITRARY MATRIX',///,31X,60A1,///,45X,'THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON',13,1X
0048 2,A3,1X,12,///,45X,'AND EXECUTION STARTED AT',A9,///,54X,'EXAMPLE NUMB
0049 SER',13)
0050 GO TO 140
0051 120 WRITE (6,130) (LINE(I),I=1,35), (DATE(I),I=1,3), T, NO
0052 130 FORMAT (1H,///,31X,'QUICK RITZ' FOR ARBITRARY MATRICES',///,1X,35A
0053 11,///,'DATE:',13,1X,A3,1X,12,5X,'TIME:',A9,5X,'EXAMPLE NUMBER',13)
0054 140 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
0055 CALL GLRZ (YR,YI,XR,XI,VR,VI,WR,WI,LVR,LVI,RVR,RVI,BR,BI,
0056 DR,DI,LF,RF,EM,DOLD,LARGE,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,EM,KM,KS,H,G,MC,EPS)
0057 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,1,K)
0058 IF (NORM.EQ.0) GO TO 150
0059 CALL NORMALISATION (YR,YI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P)
0060 CALL NORMALISATION (XR,XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P)
0061 150 IF (K) 220,999,200
0062 200 WRITE (6,210) H,G,EPS
0063 210 FORMAT (1H,///,21X,12,' EIGENVALUES AND',13,' EIGENVECTORS HAVE
0064 BEEN ACCEPTED TO AN ACCURACY OF',1PE9.2)
0065 GO TO 240
0066 220 WRITE (6,230) H,G,EPS
0067 230 FORMAT (1H,///,13X,12,' EIGENVALUES AND',13,' EIGENVECTORS HAVE B
0068 EEN ACCEPTED TO',1PE9.2)
0069 240 WRITE (6,300) P
0070 300 FORMAT (1H,///,' THE',13,' APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE
0071 1,')
0072 WRITE (6,310)
0073 310 FORMAT (1H,///,' REAL PART')
0074 CALL MXOP (DR,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,K)
0075 WRITE (6,311)
0076 311 FORMAT (1H,///,' IMAGINARY PART')
0077 CALL MXOP (DI,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0078 WRITE (6,320)
0079 320 FORMAT (1H,///,' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING LEFT-HAND EIGENVECT
0080 1ORS IS GIVEN BELOW:')
0081 WRITE (6,310)
0082 CALL MXOP (YR,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0083 WRITE (6,311)
0084 CALL MXOP (YI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0085 WRITE (6,330)
0086 330 FORMAT (1H,///,' THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING RIGHT-HAND EIGENVEC
0087 1ORS IS GIVEN BELOW:')
0088 WRITE (6,310)
0089 CALL MXOP (XR,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0090 WRITE (6,311)
0091 CALL MXOP (XI,DIMN,DIMP,N,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0092 WRITE (6,340) P
0093 340 FORMAT (1H,///,' THE',13,' SETS OF CORRESPONDING ERRORS ARE,')
0094 WRITE (6,350)
0095 350 FORMAT (' LEFT-HAND')
0096 CALL MXOP (LF,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0097 WRITE (6,351)
0098 351 FORMAT (' RIGHT-HAND')
0099 CALL MXOP (RF,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,6,0)
0100 CALL ELAPSE (E,DATE,3,K)
0101 IF (K) 220,999,400
0102 400 WRITE (6,410) (LINE(I),I=1,60)
0103 410 FORMAT (1H,///,31X,60A1)
0104 GO TO 520
0105 420 WRITE (6,430) (LINE(I),I=1,40)
0106 430 FORMAT (1H,///,1X,60A1)
0107 500 CONTINUE
0108 STOP OK
0109 999 STOP NONH
0110 END

```

END OF SEGMENT: LENGTH 475, NAME NONH

0111
0112
0113
0114
0115
0116
0117
0118
0119
0120
0121
0122
0123
0124
0125
0126
0127
0128
0129
0130
0131
0132
0133
0134
0135
0136
0137
0138
0139
0140
0141
0142
0143
0144
0145
0146
0147
0148
0149
0150
0151
0152
0153
0154
0155
0156
0157
0158
0159
0160
0161
0162
0163
0164
0165
0166
0167
0168
0169
0170
0171
0172
0173
0174
0175
0176
0177
0178
0179
0180
0181
0182
0183
0184
0185
0186
0187
0188
0189
0190
0191
0192
0193
0194
0195
0196

```
SUBROUTINE GLRZ (YR, YI, XR, XI, VR, VI, WR, WI, LVR, LVI, RVR, RVI, BR, BI,  
1 DR, DI, LF, RF, EN, DOLD, LARGE, DIMN, DIMP, N, P, EM, KH, KS, H, G, MC, EPS)  
INTEGER DIMN, DIMP, P, EM, H, G, H1, G1  
REAL YR(DIMN, DIMP), YI(DIMN, DIMP), XR(DIMN, DIMP), XI(DIMN, DIMP)  
REAL VR(DIMP), VI(DIMP), WR(DIMP), WI(DIMP)  
REAL LVR(DIMP, DIMP), LVI(DIMP, DIMP), RVR(DIMP, DIMP), RVI(DIMP, DIMP)  
REAL BR(DIMP, DIMP), BI(DIMP, DIMP), DR(DIMP, DIMP), DI(DIMP, DIMP)  
REAL LF(DIMP), RF(DIMP), EN(DIMP), DOLD(DIMP, 2), LARGE(DIMP, 2), MC  
DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR, SUMI  
DO 10 I=1, P  
DOLD(I, 1), DOLD(I, 2)=0.0  
C 38 IS 7672 (76 IS LARGEST EXPONENT ON M/C)  
CONST=37.0-ALOG10(FLOAT(N))  
KS, G, H, G1, H1=0  
M=2  
ZZ=0.1  
EPS1=SQRT(EPS)  
EPS2=10.0*EPS  
IF (KH .LT. 0) GO TO 40  
DO 20 J=1, P  
CALL RANDOMISATION (YR, DIMN, DIMP, N, J, ZZ)  
DO 30 J=1, P  
DO 30 I=1, N  
XR(I, J)=YR(I, J)  
YI(I, J)=0.0  
30 XI(I, J)=0.0  
40 CALL RIORT10 (YR, YI, XR, XI, DIMN, DIMP, N, P, 0, MC)  
KM=ABS(KH)  
C FORM B=YMAX  
100 DO 140 K=G+1, P  
DO 110 I=1, N  
VR(I)=XR(I, K)  
110 VI(I)=XI(I, K)  
CALL PRODUCT (VR, VI, WR, WI, DIMN, N, 1)  
DO 120 I=1, N  
XR(I, K)=WR(I)  
120 XI(I, K)=WI(I)  
DO 140 J=G+1, P  
SUMR, SUMI=0.0  
DO 130 I=1, N  
SUMR=SUMR+VR(I, J)*WR(I)+YI(I, J)+WI(I)  
130 SUMI=SUMI+VR(I, J)*WI(I)-YI(I, J)+WR(I)  
BR(J-G, K-G)=SUMR  
140 BI(J-G, K-G)=SUMI  
DO 160 K=G+1, P  
DO 150 I=1, N  
VR(I)=YR(I, K)  
150 VI(I)=YI(I, K)  
CALL PRODUCT (VR, VI, WR, WI, DIMN, N, 1)  
DO 160 I=1, N  
YR(I, K)=WR(I)  
C 160 YI(I, K)=WI(I)  
C SOLVE E-VALUE PROBLEM FOR B I.E. WAV=D  
CALL GLJO (R, RI, LVR, LVI, RVR, RVI, EN, DR, DI, DIMP, P=G, MC, EPS2, I)  
IF (G .EQ. 0) GO TO 200  
DO 180 I=G+1, P  
J=P+1-I  
DR(J+G)=DR(J)  
180 DI(J+G)=DI(J)  
DO 190 I=1, G  
DR(I)=DOLD(I, 1)  
190 DI(I)=DOLD(I, 2)  
C FORM Y=YW, X=XV  
200 DO 230 I=1, N  
DO 220 J=G+1, P  
SUMR, SUMI=0.0  
DO 210 K=G+1, P  
SUMR=SUMR+XR(I, K)*RVR(K-G, J-G)-XI(I, K)+RVI(K-G, J-G)  
210 SUMI=SUMI+XR(I, K)*RVI(K-G, J-G)+XI(I, K)+RVR(K-G, J-G)  
VR(J)=SUMR  
220 VI(J)=SUMI  
DO 230 J=G+1, P  
XR(I, J)=VR(J)  
230 XI(I, J)=VI(J)  
DO 260 I=1, N  
DO 250 J=G+1, P  
SUMR, SUMI=0.0  
DO 240 K=G+1, P  
SUMR=SUMR+YR(I, K)*LVR(K-G, J-G)+YI(I, K)*LVI(K-G, J-G)  
240 SUMI=SUMI+YR(I, K)*LVI(K-G, J-G)+YI(I, K)*LVR(K-G, J-G)  
VR(J)=SUMR  
250 VI(J)=SUMI  
DO 260 J=G+1, P  
YR(I, J)=VR(J)  
260 YI(I, J)=VI(J)
```

```

C197 C PERFORM N PREMULTIPLICATIONS.
C198 DO 310 K=1,H-1
C199 DO 310 J=G+1,P
C200 DO 300 I=1,H
C201 VR(I)=XR(I,J)
C202 VI(I)=XI(I,J)
C203 300 CALL PRDCT (VR,VI,WR,WI,DIHN,N,-1)
C204 DO 310 I=1,H
C205 XR(I,J)=WR(I)
C206 XI(I,J)=WI(I)
C207 310 DO 330 K=0,H-1
C208 DO 330 J=G+1,P
C209 DO 320 I=1,H
C210 VR(I)=XR(I,J)
C211 VI(I)=XI(I,J)
C212 320 CALL PRDCT (VR,VI,WR,WI,DIHN,N,1)
C213 DO 330 I=1,H
C214 YR(I,J)=WR(I)
C215 YI(I,J)=WI(I)
C216 330 KS=KS+H
C217 340 FORM Y"X=I
C218 CALL RTTRTHO (YR,YI,XR,XI,DIHN,DIMP,N,P,G,MC)
C219 C CHECK STEPS AND CONVERGENCE
C220 IF (H .GE. P) GO TO 430
C221 DO 400 I=H+1,P
C222 IF (ABS(DR(I))-EPS1) 401,401,402
C223 401 ZZ=ABS(DOLD(I,1)-DR(I))
C224 GO TO 403
C225 402 ZZ=ABS((DOLD(I,1)-DR(I))/DR(I))
C226 403 IF (ABS(DI(I))-EPS1) 404,404,405
C227 404 AUX=ABS(DULD(I,2)-DI(I))
C228 GO TO 406
C229 405 AUX=ABS((DOLD(I,2)-DI(I))/DI(I))
C230 406 IF (ZZ .GT. EPS .OR. AUX .GT. EPS) GO TO 410
C231 400 H=H+1
C232 410 H=H+1
C233 H1=0
C234 DO 420 I=G+1,P
C235 DOLD(I,1)=DR(I)
C236 DOLD(I,2)=DI(I)
C237 420 DO 450 J=G+1,P
C238 AUX=SQRT(YR(I,J)**2+VI(I,J)**2)
C239 DO 440 I=2,H
C240 ZZ=SQRT(YR(I,J)**2+VI(I,J)**2)
C241 IF (ZZ .GT. AUX) AUX=ZZ
C242 440 CONTINUE
C243 LF(J)=(LARGE(J,1)-AUX)/AUX
C244 450 LARGE(J,1)=AUX
C245 DO 470 J=G+1,P
C246 AUX=SQRT(XR(I,J)**2+XI(I,J)**2)
C247 DO 460 I=2,H
C248 ZZ=SQRT(XR(I,J)**2+XI(I,J)**2)
C249 IF (ZZ .GT. AUX) AUX=ZZ
C250 460 CONTINUE
C251 RF(J)=(LARGE(J,2)-AUX)/AUX
C252 470 LARGE(J,2)=AUX
C253 DO 480 J=G+1,P
C254 IF (ABS(LF(J)) .GT. EPS .OR. ABS(RF(J)) .GT. EPS) GO TO 490
C255 480 G1=G1+1
C256 G=G+G1
C257 490 G1=0
C258 CALL INFO (DR,DI,LF,RF,DIMP,P,KS,G,H,EM)
C259 IF (G .LE. H) GO TO 510
C260 IF (G .LT. EM) GO TO 500
C261 G=H
C262 H=2
C263 GO TO 510
C264 500 G=H
C265 510 IF (G .GE. EM .OR. KS .GE. KH) GO TO 520
C266 CALL CARS (DR(G+1),DI(G+1),ZZ)
C267 CALL CARS (DR(P),DI(P),AUX)
C268 CONST1=CONST/ALOG10(ZZ)
C269 CONST2=1+ALOG10(ZZ/AUX)
C270 IF (FLOAT(N) .LT. CONST1 .AND. CONST2 .LT. 1.0) H=H+1
C271 GO TO 100
C272 520 DO 600 J=1,P
C273 DO 600 I=1,H
C274 600 YI(I,J)=-YI(I,J)
C275 RETURN
C276 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 1718, NAME GLRZ


```

0277 SUBROUTINE GLJO (AR, AI, WR, WI, VR, VI, EN, DDR, DDI, DIMN, N, MC, EPS2, ROT)
0278 INTEGER DIMN, ROT
0279 REAL AR(DIMN, DIMN), AI(DIMN, DIMN), WR(DIMN, DIMN), WI(DIMN, DIMN)
0280 REAL VR(DIMN, DIMN), VI(DIMN, DIMN), EN(DIMN), DDR(DIMN), DDI(DIMN)
0281 REAL MAX, ND, NC, ISW, MC
0282 MARK=0
0283 ROT=0
0284 EPS=1.0/(1.0+N*(N-1)*MC)
0285 DO 10 I=1, N-1
0286 WR(I, I), VR(I, I)=1.0
0287 WI(I, I), VI(I, I)=0.0
0288 DO 10 J=I+1, N
0289 1 WR(I, J), WR(J, I), WI(I, J), WI(J, I),
0290 1 VR(I, J), VR(J, I), VI(I, J), VI(J, I)=0.0
0291 WR(I, N), VR(I, N)=1.0
0292 WI(N, N), VI(N, N)=0.0
0293 DO 440 IT=1, 50
0294 IF (MARK .EQ. 1) GO TO 450
0295 TAU=0.0
0296 DO 110 K=1, N
0297 TEN=0.0
0298 DO 100 I=1, N
0299 IF (I .EQ. K) GO TO 100
0300 ARIK=AR(I, K)
0301 AIK=AI(I, K)
0302 TEM=ARIK*ARIK+AIK*AIK+TEN
0303 CONTINUE
0304 TAU=TAU+TEM
0305 110 CONTINUE
0306 IF (TAU .LE. EPS) GO TO 450
0307 MARK=1
0308 DO 430 K=1, N-1
0309 DO 430 M=K+1, N
0310 HJ, HR, HI, G=0.0
0311 DO 310 I=1, N
0312 IF (I .EQ. K .OR. I .EQ. M) GO TO 300
0313 ARKI=AR(K, I)
0314 AIKI=AI(K, I)
0315 ARMI=AR(M, I)
0316 AIMI=AI(M, I)
0317 ARIK=AR(I, K)
0318 AIK=AI(I, K)
0319 ARIM=AR(I, M)
0320 AIM=AI(I, M)
0321 HR=HR+ARKI*ARMI+AIKI*AIMI-ARIK*ARIM-AIK*AIM
0322 HI=HI+AIKI*ARMI-ARMI*ARKI+AIMI*ARIK-AIM*AIK+ARIM
0323 TE=ARIK*ARIK+AIK*AIK+ARMI*ARMI+AIMI*AIMI-ARIM*ARIM
0324 TEE=ARMI*ARIM+AIM*AIM+ARKI*ARKI+AIKI*AIKI
0325 G=G+TE+TEE
0326 HJ=HJ+TE+TEE
0327 310 CONTINUE
0328 310 CONTINUE
0329 BR=AR(K, M)+AR(M, K)
0330 BI=AI(K, M)+AI(M, K)
0331 ER=AR(K, M)-AR(M, K)
0332 EI=AI(K, M)-AI(M, K)
0333 DR=AR(K, K)-AR(M, M)
0334 DI=AI(K, K)-AI(M, M)
0335 TE=BR*BR+EI*EI+DR*DR
0336 TEE=BI*BI+ER*ER+DI*DI
0337 IF (TE .LT. TEE) GO TO 320
0338 ISW=1.0
0339 C=BR
0340 S=EI
0341 D=DR
0342 DE=DI
0343 ROOT2=SQRT(TE)
0344 GO TO 330
0345 320 ISW=-1.0
0346 C=BI
0347 S=-ER
0348 D=DI
0349 DE=DR
0350 ROOT2=SQRT(TEE)
0351 350 ROOT1=SQRT(S*S+C*C)
0352 SIG=1.0
0353 IF (D .LT. 0.0) SIG=-1.0
0354 SA=0.0
0355 CA=1.0
0356 IF (C .LT. 0.0) CA=-1.0
0357 IF (ROOT1 .GE. EPS) GO TO 350
0358 SX, SA=0.0
0359 CX, CA=1.0
0360 E=ER
0361 B=BI
0362 IF (ISW .GT. 0.0) GO TO 340
0363 E=EI
0364 B=-BR
0365 340 ND=D*D+DE*DE
0366 GO TO 370
0367 350 IF (ABS(S) .LE. EPS) GO TO 360
0368 CA=C/ROOT1
0369 SA=S/ROOT1
0370 360 COT2X=D/ROOT1
0371 COTX=COT2X+(SIG*SQRT(1.0+COT2X*COT2X))
0372 SX=SIG/SQRT(1.0+COTX*COTX)
0373 CX=SX*COTX
0374 ETA=(ER*BH+EI*EI)/ROOT1
0375 TSE=(DR*BI-ER*EI)/ROOT1

```

```

0376 TE=SIG*(-ROOT1*DE+TSE*D)/ROOT2
0377 TEE=(D*DE+ROOT1*TSE)/ROOT2
0378 ND=ROOT1*ROOT2+TEE*TEE
0379 TEE=HJ*CX*SX
0380 COS2A=CA*CA-SA*SA
0381 SIN2A=2.0*CA*SA
0382 TEM=HR*COS2A+HI*SIN2A
0383 TEP=HI*COS2A-HR*SIN2A
0384 HR=CX*CX+HR-SX*SX*TEM-CA*TEE
0385 HI=CX*CX+HI+SX*SX*TEP-SA*TEE
0386 B=1.0*TF+CA+ETA*SA
0387 E=CA*ETA-IS*TE*SA
0388 370 S=HR-SIG*ROOT2+E
0389 C=HI-SIG*ROOT2+B
0390 ROOT=SQRT(C+C*S)
0391 IF (ROOT .GE. EPS) GO TO 380
0392 CB,CH=1.0
0393 SB,SH=0.0
0394 GD TO 370
0395 380 CB=-C/ROOT
0396 SB=S/ROOT
0397 TEE=CB*E*SB
0398 NC=TEE*TEE
0399 TANH=ROOT/(G+2.0*(NC+ND))
0400 CH=1.0/SQRT(1.0-TANH*TANH)
0401 SH=CH*TANH
0402 TEM=SX*SH*(SA*CB-SB*CA)
0403 390 C1R=CX*CH-TEM
0404 C2R=CX*CH+TEM
0405 C1I,C2I=-SX*SH*(CA*CB+SA*SB)
0406 TEP=SB*CH*CA
0407 TEM=CX*SH*SB
0408 S1R=TEP-TEM
0409 S2R=-TEP-TEM
0410 TEP=SB*CH*SA
0411 TEM=CX*SH*CB
0412 S1I=TEP+TEM
0413 S2I=TEP-TEM
0414 TEM=SQRT(S1R*S1R+S1I*S1I)
0415 TEP=SQRT(S2R*S2R+S2I*S2I)
0416 IF (TEM .LT. EPS .AND. TEP .LT. EPS) GO TO 420
0417 MARK=0
0418 DO 400 I=1,N
0419 AR(K,I)=AR(K,I)
0420 AR(M,I)=AR(M,I)
0421 AI(K,I)=AI(K,I)
0422 AI(M,I)=AI(M,I)
0423 AR(K,I)=C1R*ARKI-C1I*AIKI+S1R*ARMI-S1I*AIMI
0424 AI(K,I)=C1R*AIKI+C1I*ARKI+S1R*AIMI+S1I*ARMI
0425 AR(M,I)=S2R*ARKI-S2I*AIKI+C2R*ARMI-C2I*AIMI
0426 AI(M,I)=S2R*AIKI+S2I*ARKI+C2R*AIMI+C2I*ARMI
0427 WR(K,I)=WR(K,I)
0428 WR(M,I)=WR(M,I)
0429 WI(K,I)=WI(K,I)
0430 WI(M,I)=WI(M,I)
0431 WR(I,K)=C1R*WRK-C1I*WIK+S1R*WRM-S1I*WIM
0432 WI(I,K)=C1R*WIK+C1I*WRK+S1R*WIM+S1I*WRM
0433 WR(I,M)=S2R*WRK-S2I*WIK+C2R*WRM-C2I*WIM
0434 WI(I,M)=S2R*WIK+S2I*WRK+C2R*WIM+C2I*WRM
0435 DO 410 I=1,N
0436 AR(K,I)=AR(K,I)
0437 AR(M,I)=AR(M,I)
0438 AI(K,I)=AI(K,I)
0439 AI(M,I)=AI(M,I)
0440 AR(I,K)=C2R*ARIK-C2I*AIK-S2R*ARIM-S2I*AIM
0441 AI(I,K)=C2R*AIK+C2I*ARIK-S2R*AIM-S2I*ARIM
0442 AR(I,M)=-S1R*ARIK+S1I*AIK+C1R*ARIM-C1I*AIM
0443 AI(I,M)=-S1R*AIK-S1I*ARIK+C1R*AIM+C1I*ARIM
0444 VR(K,I)=VR(K,I)
0445 VR(M,I)=VR(M,I)
0446 VI(K,I)=VI(K,I)
0447 VI(M,I)=VI(M,I)
0448 VR(I,K)=C2R*VRK-C2I*VIK-S2R*VRM-S2I*VIM
0449 VI(I,K)=C2R*VIK+C2I*VRK-S2R*VIM-S2I*VRM
0450 VR(I,M)=-S1R*VRK+S1I*VIK+C1R*VRM-C1I*VIM
0451 VI(I,M)=-S1R*VIK-S1I*VRK+C1R*VIM+C1I*VRM
0452 CONTINUE
0453 ROT=ROT+1
0454 CONTINUE
0455 440 CONTINUE
0456 ROT=-ROT
0457 DO 460 I=1,N
0458 ODR(I)=AR(I,I)
0459 DDI(I)=AI(I,I)
0460 DO 600 L=1,N
0461 DR=DDR(I)
0462 DI=DDI(I)
0463 EN(I)=DR*DR+DI*DI
0464 DO 660 K=1,N-1
0465 DO 660 L=K+1,N
0466 TEM=EN(K)-EN(L)
0467 IF (ABS(TEM) .LE. EPS2) GO TO 610
0468 IF (TEM) 630,650,650
0469 610 TEM=DDR(K)-DDR(L)
0470 IF (ABS(TEM) .LE. EPS2) GO TO 620
0471 IF (TEM) 630,650,650
0472 620 TEM=DDI(K)-DDI(L)
0473 IF (ABS(TEM) .LE. EPS2) GO TO 650
0474 IF (TEM) 630,650,650

```

```

075 TEM=EN(K)
076 EN(K)=EN(L)
077 EN(L)=TEM
078 TEM=DDR(K)
079 DDR(K)=DDR(L)
080 DDR(L)=TEM
081 TEM=DDI(K)
082 DDI(K)=DDI(L)
083 DDI(L)=TEM
084 DO 640 I=1,N
085 TEM=WR(I,K)
086 WR(I,K)=WR(I,L)
087 WR(I,L)=TEM
088 TEM=WI(I,K)
089 WI(I,K)=WI(I,L)
090 WI(I,L)=TEM
091 TEM=VR(I,K)
092 VR(I,K)=VR(I,L)
093 VR(I,L)=TEM
094 TEM=VI(I,K)
095 VI(I,K)=VI(I,L)
096 VI(I,L)=TEM
097 CONTINUE
098 CONTINUE
099 RETURN
500 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 1766, NAME GLJO

```

001 SUBROUTINE BIORTHO (YR,YI,XR,XI,DIHN,DIMP,N,P,F,MC)
002 INTEGER DIHN,DIMP,P,F
003 REAL YR(DIHN,DIMP),YI(DIHN,DIMP),XR(DIHN,DIMP),XI(DIHN,DIMP)
004 REAL LT,RT,MC
005 DOUBLE PRECISION LSUM,RSUM
006 DO 110 K=F+1,P
007 10 LSUM=0.0
008 RSUM=0.0
009 IF (K.EQ. 1) GO TO 60
010 DO 30 I=1,K-1
011 CALL CIHP (N,I,K,XR,XI,YR,YI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
012 CALL CABS (SR,SI,S)
013 LSUM=LSUM+S*S
014 DO 20 J=1,N
015 TEMP =YR(J,K)-SR*YR(J,I)+SI*YI(J,I)
016 YI(J,K)=YI(J,K)-SI*YR(J,I)-SR*YI(J,I)
017 YR(J,K)=TEMP
018 20 CONTINUE
019 DO 50 I=1,K-1
020 CALL CIHP (N,I,K,YR,YI,XR,XI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
021 CALL CABS (SR,SI,S)
022 RSUM=RSUM+S*S
023 DO 40 J=1,N
024 TEMP =XR(J,K)-SR*XR(J,I)+SI*XI(J,I)
025 XI(J,K)=XI(J,K)-SI*XR(J,I)-SR*XI(J,I)
026 XR(J,K)=TEMP
027 40 CONTINUE
028 60 CALL CIHP (N,K,K,XR,XI,YR,YI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
029 CALL CABS (SR,SI,S)
030 LSUM=LSUM+S*S
031 RSUM=RSUM+S*S
032 LT=LSUM
033 RT=RSUM
034 IF ((S.GT. LT/100.0 .AND. LT*MC.NE. 0.0) .AND.
035 (S.GT. RT/100.0 .AND. RT*MC.NE. 0.0)) GO TO 70
036 70 WRITE (4,910)
037 910 FORMAT ('WARNING 1 IN BIORTHO')
038 IF (S*MC.NE. 0.0) GO TO 10
039 WRITE (4,920)
040 920 FORMAT ('WARNING 2 IN BIORTHO')
041 SR=0.0
042 SI=0.0
043 GO TO 80
044 80 CALL CIHP (N,K,K,XR,XI,XR,XI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
045 XNORM=SQRT (SR)
046 CALL CIHP (N,K,K,YR,YI,YR,YI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
047 YNORM=SQRT (SR)
048 CALL CIHP (N,K,K,XR,XI,YR,YI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
049 SR=SR/(XNORM+YNORM)
050 SI=SI/(XNORM+YNORM)
051 CALL CSQRT (SR,SI,TR,TI)
052 D1R=XNORM*TR
053 D1I=XNORM*TI
054 D2R=YNORM*TR
055 D2I=YNORM*TI
056 CALL CDIV (1.0,0.0,D1R,D1I,SR,SI)
057 DO 90 J=1,N
058 TEMP =SR*XR(J,K)+SI*XI(J,K)
059 XI(J,K)=SI*XR(J,K)+SR*XI(J,K)
060 XR(J,K)=TEMP
061 90 CALL CDIV (1.0,0.0,D2R,D2I,SR,SI)
062 DO 100 J=1,N
063 TEMP =SR*YR(J,K)-SI*YI(J,K)
064 YI(J,K)=SI*YR(J,K)+SR*YI(J,K)
065 YR(J,K)=TEMP
066 100 CONTINUE
067 RETURN
068 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 581, NAME BIORTHO

```

0569      SUBROUTINE CIMP (N,K,L,YR,YI,XR,XI,DIHN,DIMP,SR,SI)
0570      INTEGER DIHN,DIMP
0571      REAL YR(DIHN,DIMP), YI(DIHN,DIMP), XR(DIHN,DIMP), XI(DIHN,DIMP)
0572      DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
0573      SUMR=0.0
0574      SUMI=0.0
0575      DO 10 I=1,N
0576      SUMR=SUMR+YR(I,K)*XR(I,L)+YI(I,K)*XI(I,L)
0577      SUMI=SUMI-YI(I,K)*XR(I,L)+YR(I,K)*XI(I,L)
0578      SR=SHGL(SUMR)
0579      SI=SHGL(SUMI)
0580      RETURN
0581      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 169, NAME CIMP

```

0582      SUBROUTINE CABS (ZR,ZI,R)
0583      XR=ABS(ZR)
0584      XI=ABS(ZI)
0585      IF (XI-XR) 20,20,10
0586      10 R=XR
0587      XR=XI
0588      XI=R
0589      20 IF (XI) 30,40,30
0590      30 R=XI/XR
0591      R=XR*SQRT(1.0+R*R)
0592      RETURN
0593      40 R=XR
0594      RETURN
0595      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 66, NAME CABS

```

0596      SUBROUTINE CDIV (XR,XI,WR,WI,ZR,ZI)
0597      YR=XR
0598      YI=YI
0599      IF (ABS(YR)-ABS(YI)) 20,20,10
0600      10 H=YI/YR
0601      YR=H*YI+YR
0602      ZR=(XR+H*XI)/YR
0603      ZI=(XI-H*XR)/YR
0604      RETURN
0605      20 H=YR/YI
0606      YI=H*YR+YI
0607      ZR=(H*XR+XI)/YI
0608      ZI=(H*XI-XR)/YI
0609      RETURN
0610      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 97, NAME CDIV

```

0611      SUBROUTINE CSQRT (ZR,ZI,YR,YI)
0612      XR=ZR
0613      XI=ZI
0614      CALL CABS (XR,XI,H)
0615      H=SQRT((ABS(XR)+H)/2.0)
0616      IF (XI) 10,20,10
0617      10 XI=XI/(2.0*H)
0618      20 IF (XR) 40,30,30
0619      30 XR=H
0620      GO TO 70
0621      40 IF (XI) 60,50,50
0622      50 XR=XI
0623      XI=H
0624      GO TO 70
0625      60 XR=-XI
0626      XI=-H
0627      70 YR=XR
0628      YI=XI
0629      RETURN
0630      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 88, NAME CSQRT

```

0631      SUBROUTINE RANDOMISATION (X,DIHN,DIMP,N,L,Z)
0632      INTEGER DIHN,DIMP
0633      REAL X(DIHN,DIMP)
0634      DO 10 I=1,N
0635      X(I,L)=2.0*FPMCRV(Z)-1.0
0636      RETURN
0637      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 64, NAME RANDOMISATION

```

C638      SUBROUTINE INFO (DR,DI,LF,RF,DIMP,P,KS,G,H,EM)
C639      INTEGER DIMD,TYPE,STREAM,PREV,G,H,EM,P,LINE(63)
C640      REAL LP(DIMP),RF(DIMP),DR(DIMP),DI(DIMP)
C641      DATA LINE/63*1H'/' ,PREV/0/
C642      COMMON TYPE,N0,FIELD,LENGTH,K
C643      IF (K) 200,200,100
C644      100 IF (PREV EQ NO) GO TO 120
C645      WRITE (2,110) NO, (LINE(I), I=1,63)
C646      110 FORMAT (1H1,/,29X,'INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT ON LOGICAL STREAM 4 FOR E
C647      1X'EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3,/,29X,6SA1)
C648      120 WRITE (2,130) KS,G,H,EM
C649      130 FORMAT (1H,/,43X,'NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED =',I6,/,
C650      1 43X,'NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED =',I3,/,
C651      2 43X,'NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED =',I3,/,
C652      3 43X,'NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED =',I3)
C653      GO TO 300
C654      200 IF (PREV EQ NO) GO TO 220
C655      WRITE (2,210) NO, (LINE(I), I=1,42)
C656      210 FORMAT (1H,////////,'INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER',I3,/,
C657      1,1X,42A1)
C658      220 WRITE (2,230) KS,G,H,EM
C659      230 FORMAT (1H,/,43X,'STEPS PERFORMED =',I4,/,,' EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED =',
C660      1, I3,/,,' EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED =',I3,/,,' SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED =',
C661      2I3)
C662      300 WRITE (4,310)
C663      310 FORMAT (1, 'THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:')
C664      WRITE (4,320)
C665      320 FORMAT (1, 'LEFT-HAND')
C666      CALL MXOP (LF,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,4,K)
C667      WRITE (4,330)
C668      330 FORMAT (1, 'RIGHT-HAND')
C669      CALL MXOP (RF,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,4,0)
C670      WRITE (4,340)
C671      340 FORMAT (1H,/,,' THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:')
C672      WRITE (4,350)
C673      350 FORMAT (1, 'REAL PART')
C674      CALL MXOP (DR,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,4,0)
C675      WRITE (4,360)
C676      360 FORMAT (1, 'IMAGINARY PART')
C677      CALL MXOP (DI,1,DIMP,1,P,FIELD,LENGTH,4,0)
C678      PREV=NO
C679      RETURN
C680      499 STOP INFO
C681      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 222, NAME INFO

```

C682      SUBROUTINE NORMALISATION (X,Y,DIMN,DIMP,N,P)
C683      INTEGER DIMN,DIMP,P
C684      REAL X(DIMN,DIMP), Y(DIMN,DIMP)
C685      DO 30 J=1,P
C686      SR=X(1,J)
C687      SI=Y(1,J)
C688      AUX=SR*SR+SI*SI
C689      DO 10 I=2,N
C690      TR=X(I,J)
C691      TI=Y(I,J)
C692      ZP=TR*TR+TI*TI
C693      IF (ZZ .LE. AUX) GO TO 10
C694      SR=TR
C695      SI=TI
C696      AUX=ZZ
C697      10 CONTINUE
C698      CALL CDIV (1.0,0.0,SR,SI,TR,TI)
C699      DO 20 I=1,N
C700      SR=TR*X(I,J)-TI*Y(I,J)
C701      Y(I,J)=TI*X(I,J)+TR*Y(I,J)
C702      20 X(I,J)=SR
C703      30 CONTINUE
C704      RETURN
C705      END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 176, NAME NORMALISATION

```

C706          SUBROUTINE ELAPSE (E, DAT, NO, K)
C707          INTEGER CALL, DAT(3), MONTH(12), BUFFER(2)
C708          DATA CALL/2/
C709          DATA MONTH/'JAN', 'FEB', 'MAR', 'APR', 'MAY', 'JUN', 'JULY', 'AUG', 'SEPT',
C710          1 'OCT', 'NOV', 'DEC'/
C711          GO TO (100, 200, 300), NO
C712          100 CALL NTIME (N)
C713          E=N
C714          CALL=3-CALL
C715          IF (CALL.EQ. 2) GO TO 110
C716          EE=E
C717          RETURN
C718          110 E=E-EE
C719          E=E+1.0E-3
C720          RETURN
C721          200 CALL DEFBUF (2, 8, BUFFER)
C722          CALL DATE (E)
C723          WRITE (2, 210) E
C724          210 FORMAT (A8)
C725          READ (2, 220) (DAT(I), I=1, 3)
C726          220 FORMAT (I2, 1X, I2, 1X, I2)
C727          DAT(2)=MONTH(DAT(2))
C728          IF (E.EQ. 0)
C729          230 IF (1.0-EE.EQ. 1.0) GO TO 240
C730          EE=EE/2.0
C731          GO TO 230
C732          240 RETURN
C733          300 IF (K) 370, 370, 310
C734          310 IF (E.GE. 60.0) GO TO 330
C735          WRITE (6, 320) E
C736          320 FORMAT (1H, '///', 4X, 'EXECUTION TIME WAS', F7.3, ' SECONDS')
C737          GO TO 300
C738          330 IF (E.GE. 120.0) GO TO 350
C739          E=E-60.0
C740          WRITE (6, 340) E
C741          340 FORMAT (1H, '///', 40X, 'EXECUTION TIME WAS 1 MINUTE', F7.3, ' SECONDS')
C742          1)
C743          GO TO 390
C744          350 I=E/60.0
C745          E=E-I*60.0
C746          WRITE (6, 360) I, E
C747          360 FORMAT (1H, '///', 39X, 'EXECUTION TIME WAS', I3, ' MINUTES', F7.3, ' SEC
C748          1ONDS')
C749          GO TO 300
C750          370 WRITE (6, 380) E
C751          380 FORMAT (1H, '///', ' EXECUTION TIME WAS', F9.3, ' SECONDS')
C752          390 RETURN
C753          END
C754

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 182, NAME ELAPSE

```

0755 SUBROUTINE MXOP (A, DIMN, DIMP, N, P, FIELD, LENGTH, STREAM, IFLAG)
0756 INTEGER DIMN, DIMP, P, WIDTH, STREAM
0757 REAL A(DIMN, DIMP), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(6), BUFFER(2)
0758 DATA FRMT1/3HC ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HJ,1HE,8H
0759 DATA FRMT2/3HC ,1HN,8HX,1P ,1HN,1HE,8H
0760 IF (IFLAG) 10,200,10
0761 10 CALL DEFBUF (2,16,BUFFER)
0762 FRMT1(5), FRMT2(5)=FIELD
0763 WRITE (2,20) FIELD
0764 FORMAT (A6)
0765 20 READ (2,30) WIDTH
0766 FORMAT (I4,I2)
0767 IF (P+WIDTH-LENGTH) 100,100,40
0768 40 N1=LENGTH/WIDTH
0769 N2=P/N1
0770 LAST=P-N1+42
0771 IF (IFLAG) 50,999,60
0772 50 N3=1
0773 N4=1
0774 GO TO 70
0775 N3=(LENGTH-N1*WIDTH)/2+1
0776 N4=(LENGTH-LAST*WIDTH)/2+1
0777 70 WRITE (2,80) N3,N1,N4, LAST
0778 80 FORMAT (I4,I4)
0779 80 READ (2,90) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(6), FRMT2(2), FRMT2(6)
0780 90 FORMAT (A4)
0781 GO TO 300
0782 100 N2=0
0783 IF (IFLAG) 110,999,120
0784 110 N3=1
0785 GO TO 130
0786 N3=(LENGTH-P*WIDTH)/2+1
0787 130 WRITE (2,140) N3,P
0788 140 FORMAT (I4,I4)
0789 140 READ (2,150) FRMT1(2), FRMT1(6)
0790 150 FORMAT (A4)
0791 GO TO 210
0792 200 IF (N2) 999,210,300
0793 210 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=1,P), I=1,N)
0794 RETURN
0795 300 N2=N2+N1
0796 IF (N-1) 999,400,310
0797 310 DO 330 K=1,N2,N1
0798 KK=K+N1-1
0799 WRITE (STREAM,320) K, KK
0800 320 FORMAT (I4,/, ' COLUMNS', I3, ' TO', I3, ' ARE:')
0801 330 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) ((A(I,J), J=K, KK), I=1,N)
0802 IF (LAST-1) 370,340,360
0803 340 WRITE (STREAM,350) P
0804 350 FORMAT (I4,/, ' COLUMN', I3, ' IS:')
0805 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(I,P), I=1,N)
0806 GO TO 370
0807 360 KK=N2N1+1
0808 WRITE (STREAM,320) KK,P
0809 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) ((A(I,J), J=KK,P), I=1,N)
0810 RETURN
0811 400 DO 410 K=1,N2N1,N1
0812 KK=K+N1-1
0813 410 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT1) (A(1,J), J=K, KK)
0814 IF (LAST-1) 440,420,430
0815 420 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) A(1,P)
0816 GO TO 410
0817 430 KK=N2N1+1
0818 WRITE (STREAM,FRMT2) (A(1,J), J=KK,P)
0819 440 RETURN
0820 999 STOP MXOP
0821 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 427, NAME MXOP

```

C8822 SUBROUTINE PRODUCT (VR,VI,WR,WI,DIMN,N,HERMIT)
C8823 INTEGER DIMN,PREV,HERMIT,TYPE
C8824 REAL VR(DIMN),VI(DIMN),WR(DIMN),WI(DIMN)
C8825 REAL AR(10,10),AI(10,10)
C8826 DOUBLE PRECISION SUMR,SUMI
C8827 DATA PREV/0
C8828 COMMON TYPE,NO
C8829 GO TO (00,200,300,400,500,600,700,800,900), TYPE
C8830 100 CONTINUE
C8831 IF (PREV .EQ. NO) GO TO 120
C8832 READ (S,110) ((AR(I,J),AI(I,J), J=1,N), I=1,N)
C8833 110 FORMAT (2G0.0)
C8834 PREV=NO
C8835 120 IF (HERMIT) 121,121,150
C8836 121 DO 140 I=1,N
C8837 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
C8838 DO 130 J=1,N
C8839 SUMR=SUMR+AR(I,J)*VR(J)-AI(I,J)*VI(J)
C8840 SUMI=SUMI+AR(I,J)*VI(J)+AI(I,J)*VR(J)
C8841 WR(I)=SUMR
C8842 140 WI(I)=SUMI
C8843 RETURN
C8844 150 DO 170 I=1,N
C8845 SUMR,SUMI=0.0
C8846 DO 160 J=1,N
C8847 SUMR=SUMR+AR(J,I)*VR(J)+AI(J,I)*VI(J)
C8848 160 SUMI=SUMI+AR(J,I)*VI(J)-AI(J,I)*VR(J)
C8849 WR(I)=SUMR
C8850 170 WI(I)=SUMI
C8851 RETURN
C8852 200 CONTINUE
C8853 IF (HERMIT) 210,210,230
C8854 210 K=N
C8855 DO 220 I=1,N-1
C8856 WR(I)=K+VR(I)+N*VR(I+1)
C8857 WI(I)=K+VI(I)+N*VI(I+1)
C8858 220 K=K-1
C8859 WR(N)=1.0E-10+VR(1)+VR(N)
C8860 WI(N)=1.0E-10+VI(1)+VI(N)
C8861 RETURN
C8862 230 WR(1)=N+VR(1)+1.0E-10+VR(N)
C8863 WI(1)=N+VI(1)+1.0E-10+VI(N)
C8864 K=N-1
C8865 DO 240 I=2,N
C8866 WR(I)=N*VR(I-1)+K+VR(I)
C8867 WI(I)=N*VI(I-1)+K+VI(I)
C8868 240 K=K-1
C8869 RETURN
C8870 300 CONTINUE
C8871 IF (HERMIT) 310,310,330
C8872 310 WR(1)=VR(2)
C8873 WI(1)=VI(2)
C8874 DO 320 K=2,N-1
C8875 NK=N-K+1
C8876 WR(K)=NK*VR(K-1)+K*VR(K+1)
C8877 WI(K)=NK*VI(K-1)+K*VI(K+1)
C8878 WR(N)=VR(N-1)
C8879 WI(N)=VI(N-1)
C8880 RETURN
C8881 330 WR(1)=(N-1)*VR(2)
C8882 WI(1)=(N-1)*VI(2)
C8883 DO 340 K=2,N-1
C8884 NK=N-K
C8885 K1=K-1
C8886 WR(K)=K1+VR(K1)+NK*VR(K+1)
C8887 340 WI(K)=K1+VI(K1)+NK*VI(K+1)
C8888 WR(N)=(N-1)*VR(N-1)
C8889 WI(N)=(N-1)*VI(N-1)
C8890 RETURN
C8891 400 CONTINUE
C8892 500 CONTINUE
C8893 600 CONTINUE
C8894 700 CONTINUE
C8895 800 CONTINUE
C8896 900 CONTINUE
C8897 END

```

END OF SEGMENT, LENGTH 709, NAME PRODUCT

THE METHOD OF "QUICK RITZ" ITERATION FOR AN ARBITRARY MATRIX

THIS PROGRAM WAS RUN ON 22 AUG 74
 AND EXECUTION STARTED AT 18/38/46

EXAMPLE NUMBER 6

4 EIGENVALUES AND 2 EIGENVECTORS HAVE BEEN ACCEPTED TO AN ACCURACY OF 1.00E-08

THE 4 APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE;

REAL PART 3.0000000001E 00 3.0000000000E 00 1.999999985E 00 2.0000000007E 00
 IMAGINARY PART 5.7206765570E-11 -1.4122110052E-11 1.0000000002E 00 -9.999999987E-01

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING LEFT-HAND EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW;

REAL PART
 -3.6135979243E-10 -2.4937572904E-10 -6.0000000515E-01 -6.0000003291E-01
 -7.2792504243E-10 -4.1978207428E-10 0.9999999999E-01 0.9999999999E-01
 -5.7028728728E-01 -1.9618554294E-10 -3.9999999406E-01 -3.9999999663E-01
 -3.6295118985E-01 -6.0700210132E-01 -1.4444987223E-09 -1.4929007483E-09
 9.9999999999E-01 1.1050515209E-01 6.7962270061E-10 7.2481247854E-10
 -3.1114843044E-01 1.0000000000E 00 -2.2074697069E-11 -6.8140709237E-12

IMAGINARY PART
 -4.4726459351E-11 -6.4903249247E-11 2.0000008061E-01 -2.0000007581E-01
 6.3483257150E-11 1.1029384971E-10 0.0000000000E-01 0.0000000000E-01
 -2.4194637082E-11 -5.3616925913E-11 -2.0000000000E-01 -2.0000000000E-01
 8.6112315924E-06 -6.0422630203E-05 -8.8332933099E-10 -8.2887722348E-10
 0.0000000000E-01 -6.0633918338E-05 -6.4829685088E-10 5.5024657840E-10
 -1.9333894731E-05 0.0000000000E-01 4.3848989380E-11 -4.6683799566E-11

THE MATRIX OF CORRESPONDING RIGHT-HAND EIGENVECTORS IS GIVEN BELOW;

REAL PART
 1.0000000000E 00 7.1192604471E-01 1.0000000000E 00 1.0000000000E 00
 0.9999999999E-01 7.1192604471E-01 0.0163936779E-01 0.0163936081E-01
 0.9999999997E-01 7.1192604471E-01 7.2131129599E-01 7.2131148884E-01
 0.9999999995E-01 7.1192604463E-01 5.4098362400E-01 5.4098361661E-01
 0.9999999993E-01 7.1192604460E-01 3.6065574798E-01 3.6065574437E-01
 2.9649894916E-01 9.9999999999E-01 1.8032787399E-01 1.8032787218E-01

IMAGINARY PART
 3.6779788071E-12 3.4611568481E-05 3.6379788071E-12 0.0000000000E-01
 -1.8189394033E-11 3.4611570300E-05 8.1967233986E-02 -8.1967241509E-02
 -1.4251915228E-11 3.4611572129E-05 6.8573788946E-02 -6.8573792894E-02
 -3.3785811680E-11 3.4611624194E-05 6.0183788946E-02 -6.0183788946E-02
 -5.3785811680E-11 3.4611623050E-05 3.27888991409E-05 -3.27888991409E-05
 2.0211290573E-05 0.0000000000E-01 1.6393446703E-02 -1.6393446819E-02

THE 4 SETS OF CORRESPONDING ERRORS ARE;

LEFT-HAND -2.9033703039E-09 -7.8564464101E-11 -1.4823517329E-07 -1.2648271689E-07
 RIGHT-HAND -2.1184402404E-09 8.5055849555E-11 -8.9006766408E-08 -7.6327127298E-08

EXECUTION TIME WAS 14.841 SECONDS

.....

INFORMATIONAL OUTPUT ON LOGICAL STREAM 4 FOR EXAMPLE NUMBER 6

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 2
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:
 LEFT-HAND

-1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00
 RIGHT-HAND -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00 -1.0000000000E 00

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 REAL PART

5.1730217221E 00 2.8471131065E 00 1.5258417791E 00 =6.7895938233E-01

IMAGINARY PART

4.0522212390E-12 =2.5216142542E-11 6.1744475494E-12 =1.7024840258E-12

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 4
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:
 LEFT-HAND

8.3649328567E-01 2.2472572620E-01 -4.6677818321E=01 =1.8951937028E-01
 RIGHT-HAND 8.5259168130E-01 1.2586896225E 00 -4.3980479932E=01 =1.1551932936E-01

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 REAL PART

3.4169276508E 00 2.9996085617E 00 1.9768174780E 00 1.9768174781E 00

IMAGINARY PART

5.6190971262E-11 =1.0972574555E-10 9.4118127468E=01 =9.4118127452E=01

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 7
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:
 LEFT-HAND

-1.7649621273E-01 1.0907090413E=03 3.0429616867E=02 3.5962321231E=02
 RIGHT-HAND -7.3167630419E-02 4.0245122059E=03 3.2124953410E=02 3.6775143484E=04

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 REAL PART

3.0096040267E 00 2.9999977454E 00 1.9930324185E 00 1.9930324185E 00

IMAGINARY PART

1.4407022584E-11 1.6884420365E-11 1.0058487427E 00 =1.0058487420E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 11
 NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
 NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:
 LEFT-HAND

-1.0044029550E-02 =2.0153279104E-02 -1.2024044930E=02 =9.8696055766E=03
 RIGHT-HAND -8.6076700690E-03 7.3454814303E-03 -6.6656762707E=03 =5.3365424933E=03

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:
 REAL PART

3.0000238410E 00 2.9999999917E 00 2.0001392839E 00 2.0001392858E 00

IMAGINARY PART

2.4481000560E-10 =2.3309443971E-11 9.9994382581E=01 =9.9994382658E=01

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 16
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:
LEFT-HAND

RIGHT-HAND

-1.0845253720E-04 -3.1701056510E-06 2.6123719807E-04 2.7170912317E-04
-1.2492320826E-04 2.283485750E-06 5.4377088164E-05 6.0673823491E-05

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:

REAL PART

3.0000000055E 00 2.9999999999E 00 1.9999997704E 00 1.999997696E 00

IMAGINARY PART

-6.0364092290E-12 -1.1365506489E-11 1.0000003340E 00 -1.0000003345E 00

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 22
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 0
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:

LEFT-HAND

RIGHT-HAND

-6.2117235606E-07 -1.9739321607E-08 6.3885465329E-06 6.3196252129E-06
-7.4137177683E-07 1.5463153444E-08 4.5858375081E-06 4.5454076873E-06

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:

REAL PART

2.9999999999E 00 2.9999999999E 00 2.0000000004E 00 2.0000000001E 00

IMAGINARY PART

-4.9683377998E-11 -2.1073990094E-12 9.9999999968E-01 -9.999999993E-01

NUMBER OF STEPS PERFORMED = 29
NUMBER OF EIGENVECTORS ACCEPTED = 2
NUMBER OF EIGENVALUES ACCEPTED = 2
NUMBER OF SOLNS. TO BE COMPUTED = 2

THE ERROR VECTORS ARE:

LEFT-HAND

RIGHT-HAND

-2.9033703039E-09 -7.8364464101E-11 -1.4823317329E-07 -1.2648271689E-07
-2.1184402404E-09 8.3055849555E-11 -8.9006766408E-08 -7.6327127298E-08

THE APPROXIMATIONS TO THE EIGENVALUES ARE:

REAL PART

3.0000000001E 00 3.0000000000E 00 1.9999999985E 00 2.0000000007E 00

IMAGINARY PART

5.7206765370E-11 -1.6122110052E-11 1.0000000002E 00 -9.9999999987E-01