

Mulligan, K., McBain, H. B., Lamontagne-Godwin, F., Chapman, J., Haddad, M., Jones, J., Flood, C., Thomas, D. & Simpson, A. (2017). Barriers and enablers of type 2 diabetes self-management in people with severe mental illness. *Health Expectations*,



**CITY UNIVERSITY
LONDON**

[City Research Online](#)

Original citation: Mulligan, K., McBain, H. B., Lamontagne-Godwin, F., Chapman, J., Haddad, M., Jones, J., Flood, C., Thomas, D. & Simpson, A. (2017). Barriers and enablers of type 2 diabetes self-management in people with severe mental illness. *Health Expectations*,

Permanent City Research Online URL: <http://openaccess.city.ac.uk/16196/>

Copyright & reuse

City University London has developed City Research Online so that its users may access the research outputs of City University London's staff. Copyright © and Moral Rights for this paper are retained by the individual author(s) and/ or other copyright holders. All material in City Research Online is checked for eligibility for copyright before being made available in the live archive. URLs from City Research Online may be freely distributed and linked to from other web pages.

Versions of research

The version in City Research Online may differ from the final published version. Users are advised to check the Permanent City Research Online URL above for the status of the paper.

Enquiries

If you have any enquiries about any aspect of City Research Online, or if you wish to make contact with the author(s) of this paper, please email the team at publications@city.ac.uk.

Barriers and enablers of type 2 diabetes self-management in people with severe mental illness.

Kathleen Mulligan BSc (Hons) MSc PhD^{1,3}, Hayley McBain BSc (Hons) MSc PhD^{1,3}, Frederique Lamontagne-Godwin BA(Hons) MPhil^{1,2}, Jacqui Chapman BSc³, Mark Haddad RGN RMN BSc MSc PhD^{2,3}, Julia Jones BA(Hons) PhD⁴, Chris Flood RMN RGN BSc MSc PhD^{2,3}, David Thomas^{2,3} and Alan Simpson RMN BA (Hons) PhD ^{*2,3}.

¹ Centre for Health Services Research, School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, UK

² Centre for Mental Health Research, School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, UK

³ East London NHS Foundation Trust, UK

⁴ Centre for Research in Primary and Community Care (CRIPACC), School of Health and Social Work, University of Hertfordshire, UK

*Corresponding author details:

Professor Alan Simpson, Centre for Mental Health Research, School of Health Sciences, City, University of London, Northampton Square, London EC1V 0HB.

Tel: 0044 (0)20 7040 5937.

Email: a.simpson@city.ac.uk

Conflicts of interest: None

Source of Funding: The research was funded by a research grant from Barts Charity, Reference Number 477/2225

Abstract

Background

People with diabetes and severe mental illness (SMI) experience poorer outcomes than those with diabetes alone. To improve outcomes, it is necessary to understand the difficulties that people with SMI experience in managing their diabetes.

Aims

To identify barriers and enablers to effective diabetes self-management experienced by people with SMI and type 2 diabetes.

Method

Qualitative methodology using semi-structured interviews was employed. Development of the interview topic guide and analysis of the transcripts was informed by the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF) for behaviour change, which consists of fourteen theoretical domains that have been found to influence behaviour.

Results

Fourteen people with SMI and type 2 diabetes took part in the study. Participants considered diabetes self-management to be important, were aware of the risks of poor diabetes control but struggled to follow recommended advice, particularly if their mental health was poor. Support from family and health professionals was considered an important enabler of diabetes self-management.

Conclusions

New approaches are required to support diabetes self-management in people with SMI. This study identified some of the important domains that may be targeted in new interventions.

Keywords Diabetes; Severe mental illness; Self-management; Service Users; Theoretical

Domains Framework

Barriers and enablers of type 2 diabetes self-management in people with severe mental illness.

Introduction

People with severe mental illnesses (SMI) such as schizophrenia and bipolar disorder experience health inequalities¹, among which is a two-fold increased risk of type 2 diabetes compared with the general population^{2,3}. Prevalence of diabetes in people with SMI is approximately 12%⁴. Acute diabetes complications⁵ and mortality⁶ are greater in people who also have SMI, whilst the health-related quality of life of people with SMI is poorer in those who also have diabetes⁷.

Effective control of type 2 diabetes requires performance of several self-management tasks, which include taking medication, following a healthy diet, taking regular exercise, performing foot care and attending health checks. Self-monitoring of blood glucose levels is also recommended in some but not all cases⁸. Managing this complex regimen is demanding for everyone with type 2 diabetes, but living with an SMI presents additional challenges⁹. A systematic review of adherence to diabetes medication in people with SMI reported adherence rates between 51 – 85%¹⁰. A study in East London¹¹, found that people with diabetes and SMI were more likely to smoke and to be obese and less likely to have had retinopathy screening than those without SMI and target blood glucose level was achieved by less than half of participants.

To help enhance diabetes self-management among people with SMI, it is first of all important to understand the challenges they face but to date there has been only one study

of which we are aware ¹², and no research in the UK, that has asked people with diabetes and SMI about their diabetes self-management. The aim of this study was to identify the barriers and enablers to effective diabetes self-management experienced by people with SMI.

Methods

This is a qualitative study using semi-structured interviews informed by theories of behaviour change.

Participants were recruited via community mental health teams (CMHT) in an inner London borough. The researcher (FLG) presented the study to the clinical teams in each CMHT. Of the four that were contacted, all agreed to participate in the study. Eligible participants were recruited from three CMHTs. Service users were eligible for the study if they were aged 18 years and over, had a diagnosis of type 2 diabetes and SMI (defined as schizophrenia, schizoaffective disorder, bipolar disorder, personality disorder or depression with psychotic features), were able to speak English and were considered by their care co-ordinator or psychiatrist – based on a clinical team review in consultation with the psychiatrist and wider multi-disciplinary team – to be well enough to give consent to take part in an interview. The interviewer also asked volunteers to verbalise their understanding of the research when taking consent prior to commencing the interview to ensure capacity. Recruitment continued until saturation was reached, i.e. when no new themes relating to the research question emerged in the interviews ¹³.

Information about the study was sent or given to eligible service users by their care co-ordinator or psychiatrist and details of those who expressed an interest in taking part were

passed to the research team to arrange a convenient time for interview. Interviews were conducted in a private room in the community mental health service. Participants were interviewed by FLG or MH. Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' permission and transcribed verbatim. Participants were each given £20 for their time and expenses.

The interview topic guide was developed in collaboration with an established research advisory group of mental health service users and carers¹⁴ and people with diabetes. The guide was designed to explore how participants managed their diabetes and the barriers or enablers to successful diabetes self-management. It was based on the Theoretical Domains Framework (TDF)¹⁵, which was developed from a synthesis of 33 behaviour change theories and consists of 14 theoretical domains that have been found to influence behaviour. The domains are 1) knowledge, 2) skills, 3) social/professional role and identity, 4) beliefs about capabilities, 5) optimism, 6) beliefs about consequences, 7) reinforcement, 8) intentions, 9) goals, 10) memory, attention, and decision processes, 11) environment context and resources, 12) social influences, 13) emotion, and 14) behavioural regulation. A brief description of the domains is included in the interview topic guide in Appendix 1. This framework was used to help ensure that the interviews would capture a comprehensive range of potential factors that could act as barriers or enablers of participants' diabetes self-management behaviour. The interview topic guide (see Appendix A) included questions based on each of these 14 domains but was semi-structured, allowing the interviewer and interviewee flexibility to explore issues in further detail.

Ethical approval

The study received ethical approval from the National Health Service (NHS) Health Research Authority Proportionate Review Sub-Committee of the National Research Ethics Service Committee South Central - Oxford C. REC Reference 14/SC/0274. Approval was also obtained from the Research and Development office of the NHS Trust where the research was conducted. Participants provided written informed consent before taking part in the interview.

Analysis

Data analysis was informed by the TDF and followed 4 steps:

- i. Two of the authors (FG and KM) independently coded participant responses into the 14 theoretical domains of the TDF. They then compared coding and discussed any differences to reach consensus, consulting with a third author (HM) if necessary.
- ii. 'Belief statements' were generated to represent collections of responses with similar underlying meanings. Belief statements were created by KM and checked by FG with any queries discussed with HM.
- iii. The frequency of each belief statement i.e. the number of participants who expressed a particular belief statement, was calculated.
- iv. The most relevant belief statements within each domain were identified through consensus discussion in the research team which comprises researchers in long-term conditions (KM, HM), mental health (AS, MH, JJ, CF), health services research (FLG) and a service user (DT). Relevant beliefs were selected on the basis of the following established criteria^{16, 17}: a) frequency, b) presence of conflicting beliefs, for example "I know/don't know how to manage my diabetes", which could be a barrier to diabetes

self-management for some but an enabler for others c) perceived salience of the belief as likely to impact on diabetes self-management behaviour, d) had been reported as important in the literature.

Relevance was judged by considering the four criteria concurrently so for example, a belief with lower frequency could be relevant if it appeared to be important in impacting on diabetes self-management behaviour.

To check that the belief statements represented the relevant domains, an independent researcher, who is experienced in using the TDF and was blind to the original coding, mapped the belief statements back onto the domains.

Results

A total of 21 service users were screened for participation. Of these, five were excluded due to: insufficient English (n=1); being too unwell (n=3); or about to move to another service (n=1); a further two refused participation. The remaining 14 service users took part in an interview. Participant characteristics are reported in Table 21. Most were male, and from Black, Asian and Minority Ethnic (BAME) groups. The most frequent diagnosis was schizophrenia. Interviews lasted between 16 and 46 minutes, median 25 minutes. Precise date of diagnosis of diabetes and/or SMI was not available for all participants but duration of SMI ranged from approximately one to 50 years, median seven years and duration of diabetes ranged from ten months to approximately twenty years, median 6 years.

All TDF domains were mentioned by at least four of the 14 participants. The most relevant beliefs within each theoretical domain are summarised below, organised within their relevant theoretical domains. Table 2 gives sample quotes for each of these beliefs.

Knowledge

Participants were aware of the risk of diabetes complications including amputation, blindness, kidney damage and heart disease. They were also aware of the need to take medication for their diabetes where prescribed and to eat a healthy diet, and most expressed awareness that they should keep physically active. The need to maintain a healthy weight was expressed by six participants. In spite of a general awareness of the need to eat healthily, participants mainly focused on a need to reduce sugar/carbohydrate intake and some gaps in knowledge were apparent, for example aiming to reduce sugar intake by cutting out fizzy drinks, but replacing them with fruit juice, which also has a high sugar content, or thinking that not eating much sugar could lead to blood glucose levels falling dangerously low.

Participants were also aware of the need to have their blood glucose levels checked and the three participants who were taking insulin all self-monitored their blood glucose. Self-monitoring of blood glucose levels is not routinely offered to all adults with type 2 diabetes⁸ and most of those who were taking oral medication were not self-monitoring, but had blood tests taken at their GP practice.

The need for foot care was not reported spontaneously and when asked specifically, only one participant was performing regular foot checks. Most participants were aware of the need to attend retinopathy screening.

A perceived lack of knowledge of diabetes or its management was rarely given as a barrier to diabetes self-management although one participant did make the point that he had received conflicting dietary advice from different healthcare teams.

Skills

Most participants did not identify any particular skills that were necessary to manage diabetes, but one did report that being able to cook meals rather than buy ready meals was important.

Memory, Attention and Decision Processes

Five participants reported never forgetting to take their medication but half of the participants reported finding management of aspects of their diabetes confusing and/or forgetting to perform some self-management behaviours, particularly if they were feeling mentally unwell. For three people this meant forgetting to take their medication and possibly repeating doses; of these, one also reported forgetting about what he should or should not eat. Another participant, who was taking insulin, reported forgetting to check their blood glucose levels.

Five participants reported finding diabetes management confusing, for example not understanding particular aspects of self-management such as blood glucose monitoring or dietary advice or why fluctuations in blood glucose had occurred. Of these, one reported hearing voices that interfered with his ability to make appropriate decisions about his diabetes self-management. The responses of this participant and of one other sometimes

appeared quite confused, which may also reflect difficulties in Memory, Attention and Decision Processes.

Behavioural Regulation

Although five participants were self-monitoring their blood glucose levels, only one person reported adjusting their food based on these readings. It was unclear whether others were using this information to inform their diabetes management. Seven participants had developed a routine for taking their medication, although two of them reported being inconsistent in keeping to this routine.

Two people reported keeping to a regular exercise routine and three had a plan for how they managed their diet. This included preparing a healthy packed lunch for work, eating small portions and avoiding eating late in the day. Most participants though had not developed plans for how to manage their diabetes and two spoke of having poor or chaotic eating patterns.

Social Professional Role & Identity

Almost all participants felt that it was their responsibility to manage their diabetes; only one participant discussed the difficulty she had had in accepting the diagnosis, which had influenced her diabetes self-management. This had however, improved since she became more accepting of her diabetes.

Goals

Participants recognised the importance of managing their diabetes and only one person reported a lack of motivation to do so. Others though recognised the impact that becoming mentally unwell had on their motivation.

The diabetes self-management behaviours reported as most important were taking medication and eating healthily. Participants hoped that managing their diabetes effectively would help protect their health and general wellbeing, and enable them to do the things they want to in life, such as being there for their families. Although the issue of prioritising mental health or diabetes was generally not raised by participants, four people reported giving equal priority to the management of their mental health and diabetes. Weight loss was a goal for one person although several were overweight.

Intention

Although participants had reported the importance of managing their diabetes, four acknowledged that they did not always intend to follow a healthy lifestyle or to attend recommended health checks. For example, one participant put off attending appointments and another did not intend to use the blood glucose monitor he had been given. Another reported that he would not take medication if he sensed that there was still diabetes medication in his system and that he did not need it. One participant who had a diagnosis of personality disorder reported that there had been instances when she had felt suicidal and intended to self-harm by allowing her blood glucose levels to run dangerously high. No other participant reported an intention to use their diabetes in this way.

The most commonly expressed behavioural intention was to eat a healthy diet and/or cut down on unhealthy foods however it was often very clear that participants did not manage to keep to this intention (see Beliefs about Capabilities)-

Beliefs about Consequences

Most participants believed that if they did not manage their diabetes properly it would have adverse health consequences, which could include amputation, coma, heart attack, blindness, stroke, kidney damage, infection or death. Four participants also believed that failure to manage their diabetes properly would adversely affect their mental health.

Beliefs about Capabilities

Although eight participants reported feeling confident that they could manage their diabetes, difficulties in managing diabetes were reported. Taking diabetes medication was not perceived as difficult by most participants but keeping to a healthy diet, particularly controlling sugar intake, was reported as very challenging by half of participants who spoke of difficulty resisting cravings for sweet foods and drinks.

Emotion

Almost all participants reported that their emotions had an influence on their diabetes self-management. When feeling mentally unwell, nine participants reported being unable to manage and of neglecting their diabetes including not taking their medication. Five people recognised a relationship between their mood and their ability to eat healthily, which included comfort eating when mood was low or going for long periods without food and binge eating when experiencing high moods.

Managing different aspects of their diabetes was a worry for six participants, for example, not being able to identify the hidden sugars in foods, concern about interactions between their different diabetes and psychoactive medications, being scared about not being able to better control diabetes, fear of going into a coma and worrying about possible deterioration that may have occurred between infrequent diabetes health checks.

Reinforcement

Only four participants identified reinforcement as a factor that influenced their diabetes self-management; of these, two people reported that they found it rewarding to manage their diabetes successfully.

Optimism

Six participants reported being optimistic about managing their diabetes in the future however levels of optimism varied, most were fairly qualified in their optimism. For example, some reported that they would be able to keep their diabetes under control as long as they were able to keep taking their medication, or manage their mental health, or gain control over their eating, or as long as they received help.

Unrealistic optimism was reflected in the responses of at least one participant who felt that his diabetes would get better with time even though he also reported being overweight, eating too much unhealthy food, needing to exercise more and not attending appointments or retinopathy screening.

Social Influence

Support for managing their diabetes was recognised as important by almost all participants. Helpful support from family and friends included reminders to take medication, changing the family diet and encouragement to exercise and eat healthily. However, not everyone had friends or family they could rely on and five people reported that family and friends did not get involved or that they did not need their support.

Participants reported receiving help and advice with managing their diabetes from a range of health professionals. GPs were generally perceived as supportive in carrying out diabetes checks and providing medication but two participants reported having a poor relationship with their GP or practice nurse and another did not regularly attend appointments. Advice about how to manage diabetes had been received by all participants, mostly from practice nurses or diabetes specialist nurses but six participants reported not following the advice they received or not accepting the support offered.

Mental health professionals were considered an important source of diabetes support by nine participants for example by checking blood glucose levels, providing advice or reminding them to attend appointments. However, five participants reported that their mental health team focused only on their mental health and were not involved in their diabetes management.

Environmental Context and Resources

Environmental factors that were perceived to negatively impact on self-management were reported by five participants and included: poor financial status; not being provided with blood glucose monitoring equipment and difficulty managing diabetes around work or social occasions. Four participants reported finding internet resources helpful for managing their diabetes.

Accessing health services for diabetes was generally not reported as a difficulty, however five participants expressed some concerns, which included infrequent check-ups, the need for better holistic care or co-ordination between mental health and diabetes and that the diabetes education received did not meet their needs.

Discussion

To our knowledge this is the first UK study that has asked people with SMI about the factors that affect the management of their diabetes. Use of a theoretical framework to develop our interview enabled us to identify a broad range of potential barriers and enablers to diabetes self-management. Important potential enablers included that participants saw it as their role to manage their diabetes, and expressed a goal or intention to try to do so. Where participants were able to establish and maintain a routine it was perceived as helpful. Family and health professionals were seen as an important source of support for managing diabetes. A key barrier to managing diabetes was poor mental health, either due to low mood or cognitive difficulties.

Most participants considered self-management of their diabetes to be important, saw it as their responsibility and were very aware of the risks of complications if they did not manage their diabetes successfully. They were also largely aware of the diabetes self-management behaviours that were required. In spite of this, several were not physically active, did not carry out regular foot checks and struggled to eat a healthy diet.

Previous research has found poorer levels of diabetes knowledge in those with SMI¹⁸. Although the current study did identify some misperceptions, overall participants were aware of the risks of diabetes complications and the self-management behaviours required to achieve good diabetes control. Nam¹⁹ conducted a systematic review of barriers to diabetes management in the general diabetes population and concluded that knowledge alone did not necessarily result in good adherence to recommended self-management behaviours. Our findings in a sample of people with SMI supports this conclusion. It would seem that messages from health professionals regarding the potential adverse consequences of failure to control diabetes have been received and understood, however, other approaches are needed to help people with SMI successfully change their behaviour in order to better manage their diabetes.

Participants were very aware of the consequences of not managing diabetes successfully and while this did appear to have the effect of people wanting to avoid diabetes complications, it may also be a factor in participants' lack of optimism about their future diabetes control. This may be an important focus for interventions aimed at people with SMI and type 2 diabetes as hope and optimism for the future have been identified as important concepts in the facilitation of recovery in people with SMI²⁰ and may potentially impact on

other health behaviours. There may be a complex interaction between hope and recovery with an important role for social context and interpersonal relationships, including those with clinicians who occupy a powerful position in relation to service users' hope²¹ and recovery²².

We identified important barriers that would need to be addressed in order to help improve self-management; these included how people manage diabetes when they are experiencing instability in their mental health, such as mood disturbances or psychotic phenomena. Despite its importance, there is limited evidence concerning self-management interventions for people with diabetes and SMI. Although there is emerging and promising evidence for self-management approaches for a range of long-term medical conditions and SMI²³, few high quality evaluations have been conducted for SMI and diabetes. A recent Cochrane review²⁴ identified a single study^{25,26} that showed benefits from a lifestyle intervention for diabetes and weight loss. The Cochrane review concluded that there is a need for theoretically and evidence-based self-management interventions to help people with SMI to manage their diabetes.

This study identified that although some participants had managed to form routines which they found helpful for managing their diabetes, particularly for taking medication, development of plans to help diabetes self-management more broadly were uncommon. Although most participants had a goal or expressed an intention to eat healthily, many found it difficult to do so, a problem which is also experienced in the broader diabetes population²⁷. The difficulty of translating intentions into behaviour is also well recognised²⁸. Self-regulatory processes such as forming coping and action plans²⁹ or implementation

intentions ('if-then' plans for how to act in given situations) have been found to help bridge the gap between intentions and behaviour^{29, 30} and recent research suggests that their use may have potential for improving outcomes for people with SMI^{31, 32}. Once mental health deteriorates significantly, people with SMI are unable to manage their diabetes but incorporating simple plans or implementation intentions into care plans may help them to make diabetes self-management part of a regular routine and to identify and take action to combat early signs of deteriorating mental health. With recent evidence suggesting the effectiveness of Wellness Recovery Action Plans (WRAP) in people with SMI³³, incorporating diabetes management within WRAPs may offer a beneficial way forward.

The support of family and friends, where it was available, was perceived as an important enabler of diabetes self-management for many. Involving families and carers in the care and support of people with SMI can provide numerous benefits³⁴ and identifying effective ways to draw on lay sources of support may also have potential for helping people with SMI with their diabetes self-management. There is some evidence for the benefits of peer support in diabetes³⁵ but definitions and mode of support vary considerably and findings are inconsistent³⁶. A trial of diabetes self-management for people with SMI using trained peer educators is currently underway in the US³⁷.

Several participants reported that their GP was their first port of call for managing their diabetes, primarily conducting health checks, but others acknowledged and valued the important role that could be played by their mental health team. This support was mostly in terms of being reminded to attend appointments and encouragement to live healthily. There was variability however in participants' experiences of support for diabetes self-

management from their mental health team and in some cases they reported that mental health professionals did not address physical health issues. Role ambiguity³⁸ and diagnostic overshadowing³⁹ have been found among mental health nurses regarding the provision of physical health care but this study has highlighted that mental health professionals have a potentially important role in promoting diabetes self-management. Poor awareness of national guidelines for managing diabetes have however been reported by mental health professionals⁴⁰. Given the generally low levels of attendance at structured diabetes education classes^{41, 42} and the impact of mental health on diabetes self-management reported by participants, training and supervision of mental health professionals to promote self-management in people with type 2 diabetes and SMI is essential.

The study has a number of limitations. Diabetes self-management involves several behaviours and the barriers and enablers may vary across these behaviours. To explore this issue in more depth would have required repetition of the interview questions for each individual behaviour, but this would have been too burdensome for participants. We therefore asked about diabetes self-management in general.

The people who took part in an interview may not have been those with the greatest barriers to engaging with health services so we may have interviewed those who are more actively engaged in managing their diabetes.

Interviews were conducted with a small number of participants of predominantly African-Caribbean and South Asian origin from a single mental health service, which may limit transferability of the findings. The London borough from which participants were recruited

has a high proportion of Black, Asian, and minority ethnic residents, which is reflected in our sample. In the 2011 Census ⁴³, 44% of residents described themselves as Asian/Asian British, 29% as White and 20% as Black/Black British. Risk of developing type 2 diabetes is higher in people from South Asian and Black communities ⁴⁴, which also helps to explain our sample characteristics. We did however exclude non-English speakers, whose experiences of negotiating the health service may be different from the participants in our study. A number of reviews have examined cultural influences on diabetes self-management ^{45, 46} and an in-depth discussion of the issue is not within the scope of this study. However, Wilson et al ⁴⁶ concluded that there was little evidence for substantial differences in self-management behaviours between ethnic groups, although there may be distinct dietary pressures that interventions developed to help enhance diabetes self-management should take into account.

This study identified several factors that may be important to support people with SMI to manage their diabetes but further research is required to investigate whether these factors predict performance of self-management behaviours. A survey of people with SMI and type 2 diabetes is currently underway to examine how these theoretically informed factors affect diabetes self-management in a larger population.

In conclusion, this study found that people with type 2 diabetes and SMI considered it important to manage their diabetes but often struggled to do so effectively. Tailored interventions are required that can help people with SMI to manage the additional challenges presented by living with a comorbid diagnosis of type 2 diabetes. Mental health professionals have an important role to play in supporting diabetes self-management in their patients.

Reference List

- (1) Shiers D, Bradshaw T, Campion J. Health inequalities and psychosis: time for action. *Br J Psychiatry* 2015 Dec;**207**(6):471-473.
- (2) Stubbs B, Vancampfort D, De HM, Mitchell AJ. The prevalence and predictors of type two diabetes mellitus in people with schizophrenia: a systematic review and comparative meta-analysis. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2015 Aug;**132**(2):144-157.
- (3) Osborn DP, Wright CA, Levy G, King MB, Deo R, Nazareth I. Relative risk of diabetes, dyslipidaemia, hypertension and the metabolic syndrome in people with severe mental illnesses: systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMC Psychiatry* 2008;**8**(1):84.
- (4) Holt RI, Mitchell AJ. Diabetes mellitus and severe mental illness: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nature Reviews Endocrinology* 2015.
- (5) Becker T, Hux J. Risk of acute complications of diabetes among people with schizophrenia in Ontario, Canada. *Diabetes Care* 2011;**34**(2):398-402.
- (6) Vinogradova Y, Coupland C, Hippisley-Cox J, Whyte S, Penny C. Effects of severe mental illness on survival of people with diabetes. *The British Journal of Psychiatry* 2010;**197**(4):272-277.
- (7) Dickerson F, Brown CH, Fang L, *et al.* Quality of life in individuals with serious mental illness and type 2 diabetes. *Psychosomatics* 2008 Mar;**49**(2):109-114.
- (8) National Institute for Health and Care Excellence. Type 2 diabetes in adults: management. Nice.org.uk/guidance/ng28. 2015.
- (9) Chen SR, Chien YP, Kang CM, Jeng C, Chang WY. Comparing self-efficacy and self-care behaviours between outpatients with comorbid schizophrenia and type 2 diabetes and outpatients with only type 2 diabetes. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 2014 Jun;**21**(5):414-422.
- (10) Gorczynski P, Patel H, Ganguli R. Adherence to diabetes medication in individuals with schizophrenia. *Clin Schizophr Relat Psychoses* 2014 Feb 4;1-31.
- (11) Mathur R, Hull SA, Boomla K, Robson J. Ethnic differences in primary care management of diabetes and cardiovascular disease in people with serious mental illness. *British Journal of General Practice* 2012;**62**(601):e582-e588.
- (12) Blixen CE, Kanuch S, Perzynski AT, Thomas C, Dawson NV, Sajatovic M. Barriers to Self-management of Serious Mental Illness and Diabetes. *Am J Health Behav* 2016 Mar;**40**(2):194-204.
- (13) Francis JJ, Johnston M, Robertson C, *et al.* What is an adequate sample size? Operationalising data saturation for theory-based interview studies. *Psychol Health* 2010 Dec;**25**(10):1229-1245.
- (14) Simpson A, Jones J, Barlow S, Cox L. Adding SUGAR: Service user and carer collaboration in mental health nursing research. *Journal of Psychosocial Nursing and Mental Health Services* 2014;**52**(1):22-30.

- (15) Cane J, O'Connor D, Michie S. Validation of the theoretical domains framework for use in behaviour change and implementation research. *Implement Sci* 2012;**7**:37.
- (16) Islam R, Tinmouth AT, Francis JJ, *et al.* A cross-country comparison of intensive care physicians' beliefs about their transfusion behaviour: a qualitative study using the theoretical domains framework. *Implement Sci* 2012;**7**:93.
- (17) Patey A, Islam R, Francis JJ, Bryson GL, Grimshaw JM. Anesthesiologists' and surgeons' perceptions about routine preoperative testing in low-risk patients: Application of the Theoretical Domains Framework to identify factors that influence physicians' decisions to order pre-operative tests. *Implementation Science* 2012;**7**.
- (18) Dickerson FB, Kreyenbuhl J, Goldberg RW, *et al.* A 5-year follow-up of diabetes knowledge in persons with serious mental illness and type 2 diabetes. *J Clin Psychiatry* 2009 Jul;**70**(7):1057-1058.
- (19) Nam S, Chesla C, Stotts NA, Kroon L, Janson SL. Barriers to diabetes management: patient and provider factors. *Diabetes Res Clin Pract* 2011 Jul;**93**(1):1-9.
- (20) Leamy M, Bird V, Le BC, Williams J, Slade M. Conceptual framework for personal recovery in mental health: systematic review and narrative synthesis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2011 Dec;**199**(6):445-452.
- (21) Hobbs M, Baker M. Hope for recovery - how clinicians may facilitate this in their work. *J Ment Health* 2012 Apr;**21**(2):144-153.
- (22) Simpson A, Hannigan B, Coffey M, *et al.* Recovery-focused care planning and coordination in England and Wales: a cross-national mixed methods comparative case study. *BMC Psychiatry* 2016;**16**(1):1-18.
- (23) Siantz E, Aranda MP. Chronic disease self-management interventions for adults with serious mental illness: a systematic review of the literature. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2014 May;**36**(3):233-244.
- (24) McBain H, Mulligan K, Haddad M, Flood C, Jones J, Simpson A. Self management interventions for type 2 diabetes in adult people with severe mental illness. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;**4**:CD011361.
- (25) McKibbin CL, Patterson TL, Norman G, *et al.* A lifestyle intervention for older schizophrenia patients with diabetes mellitus: a randomized controlled trial. *Schizophrenia research* 2006;**86**(1):36-44.
- (26) McKibbin CL, Golshan S, Griver K, Kitchen K, Wykes TL. A healthy lifestyle intervention for middle-aged and older schizophrenia patients with diabetes mellitus: A 6-month follow-up analysis. *Schizophrenia research* 2010;**121**(1):203-206.
- (27) Ali MK, Bullard KM, Saaddine JB, Cowie CC, Imperatore G, Gregg EW. Achievement of goals in U.S. diabetes care, 1999-2010. *N Engl J Med* 2013 Apr 25;**368**(17):1613-1624.
- (28) Webb TL, Sheeran P. Does changing behavioral intentions engender behavior change? A meta-analysis of the experimental evidence. *Psychol Bull* 2006 Mar;**132**(2):249-268.

- (29) Kwasnicka D, Presseau J, White M, Sniehotta FF. Does planning how to cope with anticipated barriers facilitate health-related behaviour change? A systematic review. *Health Psychology Review* 2013 Sep 1;**7**(2):129-145.
- (30) Gollwitzer PM, Sheeran P. Implementation Intentions and Goal Achievement: A Meta-analysis of Effects and Processes. *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*. Volume 38 ed. Academic Press, 2006. 69-119.
- (31) Sailer P, Wieber F, Propster K, *et al*. A brief intervention to improve exercising in patients with schizophrenia: a controlled pilot study with mental contrasting and implementation intentions (MCII). *BMC Psychiatry* 2015;**15**:211.
- (32) Toli A, Webb TL, Hardy GE. Does forming implementation intentions help people with mental health problems to achieve goals? A meta-analysis of experimental studies with clinical and analogue samples. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2016 Mar;**55**(1):69-90.
- (33) Cook JA, Copeland ME, Jonikas JA, *et al*. Results of a randomized controlled trial of mental illness self-management using Wellness Recovery Action Planning. *Schizophr Bull* 2012 Jun;**38**(4):881-891.
- (34) Eassom E, Giacco D, Dirik A, Priebe S. Implementing family involvement in the treatment of patients with psychosis: a systematic review of facilitating and hindering factors. *BMJ Open* 2014;**4**(10):e006108.
- (35) Qi L, Liu Q, Qi X, Wu N, Tang W, Xiong H. Effectiveness of peer support for improving glycaemic control in patients with type 2 diabetes: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *BMC Public Health* 2015;**15**:471.
- (36) Dale JR, Williams SM, Bowyer V. What is the effect of peer support on diabetes outcomes in adults? A systematic review. *Diabetic Medicine* 2012;**29**(11):1361-1377.
- (37) Blixen C, Perzynski A, Kanuch S, *et al*. Training peer educators to promote self-management skills in people with serious mental illness (SMI) and diabetes (DM) in a primary health care setting. *Prim Health Care Res Dev* 2015 Apr;**16**(2):127-137.
- (38) Blythe J, White J. Role of the mental health nurse towards physical health care in serious mental illness: an integrative review of 10 years of UK literature. *Int J Ment Health Nurs* 2012 Jun;**21**(3):193-201.
- (39) Nash M. Mental health service users' experiences of diabetes care by Mental Health Nurses: an exploratory study. *J Psychiatr Ment Health Nurs* 2014 Feb 18.
- (40) McBain H, Mulligan K, Lamontagne-Godwin F, *et al*. Implementation of recommended type 2 diabetes care for people with severe mental illness - a qualitative exploration with healthcare professionals. *BMC Psychiatry* 2016;**submitted**.
- (41) The Health and Social Care Information Centre. National Diabetes Audit 2012-2013. 2014.
- (42) Powers MA, Bardsley J, Cypress M, *et al*. Diabetes Self-management Education and Support in Type 2 Diabetes: A Joint Position Statement of the American Diabetes Association, the American Association of Diabetes Educators, and the Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics. *Diabetes Care* 2015 Jul;**38**(7):1372-1382.

- (43) Office for National Statistics. 2011 Census for England and Wales. National Archives.
- (44) Tillin T, Hughes AD, Godsland IF, *et al.* Insulin resistance and truncal obesity as important determinants of the greater incidence of diabetes in Indian Asians and African Caribbeans compared with Europeans: the Southall And Brent REvisited (SABRE) cohort. *Diabetes Care* 2013 Feb;**36**(2):383-393.
- (45) Majeed-Ariss R, Jackson C, Knapp P, Cheater FM. A systematic review of research into black and ethnic minority patients' views on self-management of type 2 diabetes. *Health Expect* 2015 Oct;**18**(5):625-642.
- (46) Wilson C, Alam R, Latif S, Knighting K, Williamson S, Beaver K. Patient access to healthcare services and optimisation of self-management for ethnic minority populations living with diabetes: a systematic review. *Health & Social Care in the Community* 2012;**20**(1):1-19.

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Table 2. Specific beliefs

Appendix A.

Introduction: Thank you for agreeing to take part in this interview. We are conducting this study to help us understand how people with mental illness manage their diabetes, what helps them and what they find difficult so that we find ways of helping people in the future. Some of the questions may appear to be quite similar or obvious to answer but we are trying to understand the topic from different perspectives so bear with me, take your time and answer as frankly as possible.

Are you happy to get started?

I'd like to start by asking you to tell me about when you first found out you had diabetes.

1. **KNOWLEDGE** (An awareness of the existence of something)*.

What kind of things do you need to do to manage your diabetes?

Prompt: monitoring own blood sugar, taking medication, checking feet, having eye checks, healthy diet, healthy weight, physical activity, stress management; are there any checks you do yourself or get done by a health professional?

How would you rate these in order of importance? Why?

How does this change when you are unwell?

What information or education have you received about your diabetes? Who gave you this information? When?

2. **SKILLS** (An ability or proficiency acquired through practice.)

Are there any skills or techniques that help you manage your diabetes?

Prompt: In relation to....monitoring blood sugar, taking medication, checking feet, having eye checks, healthy diet (shopping, budgeting), healthy weight, physical activity

3. SOCIAL / PROFESSIONAL ROLE AND IDENTITY (A coherent set of behaviours and displayed personal qualities of an individual in a social or work setting.)

As someone living with both diabetes and mental illness do you feel it is up to you to manage your diabetes? Why?

Is there anyone else who should be involved? Which health professionals help you to manage your diabetes? What do they do?

How does managing diabetes fit in with your life on a day-to-day basis?

4. BELIEFS ABOUT CAPABILITIES (Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about an ability, talent, or facility that a person can put to constructive use.)

What do you find most challenging about managing your diabetes? Is there anything you struggle with?

How confident are you that you can manage your diabetes?

5. OPTIMISM (The confidence that things will happen for the best or that desired goals will be attained.)

How optimistic are you that in the future you will be able to manage your diabetes? What makes you optimistic / not optimistic?

6. BELIEFS ABOUT CONSEQUENCES (Acceptance of the truth, reality, or validity about outcomes of a behaviour in a given situation.)

What do you think would happen if you didn't look after your diabetes?

7. REINFORCEMENT (Increasing the probability of a response by arranging a dependent relationship, or contingency, between the response and a given stimulus.)

What encourages you to manage your diabetes?

What discourages/stops you from managing your diabetes?

8. INTENTIONS (A conscious decision to perform a behaviour or a resolve to act in a certain way.)

How do you think you will manage your diabetes in the future?

What would happen when you are unwell?

9. GOALS (Mental representations of outcomes or end states that an individual wants to achieve.)

When you think about all the things you have to do every day, how important is managing your diabetes?

10. MEMORY, ATTENTION AND DECISION PROCESSES (The ability to retain information, focus selectively on aspects of the environment and choose between two or more alternatives.)

Is managing your diabetes something you have to give a lot of thought to?

Are decisions about how you manage your diabetes easy or difficult to make? In what way?

11. ENVIRONMENTAL CONTEXT AND RESOURCES (The ability to retain information, focus selectively on aspects of the environment and choose between two or more alternatives.)

Are there times or situations in the past when you have had problems and not been able to manage your diabetes?

Prompts: time constraints, getting to clinic

What health services are available to help you manage your diabetes?

Prompts: Is it difficult to get to see them? What difficulties have you had? What would make it easier?

12. SOCIAL INFLUENCES (Those interpersonal processes that can cause individuals to change their thoughts, feelings, or behaviours.)

If you were having a problem with your diabetes, who would you turn to?

What support does the health service offer for managing your diabetes? What support would you like from the health service?

How do your friends or family help you to manage your diabetes?

In what ways do they make it easier or harder for you to manage your diabetes?

Prompt: what you eat, exercising, taking you to appointment, reminding you about monitoring you diabetes or taking medication

13. EMOTION (A complex reaction pattern, involving experiential, behavioural, and physiological elements, by which the individual attempts to deal with a personally significant matter or event.)

In what way does how you feel emotionally affect whether you can manage your diabetes?

14. BEHAVIOURAL REGULATION (Anything aimed at managing or changing objectively observed or measured actions.)

How is managing your diabetes covered in your care plan?

If you wanted to change the way you managed your diabetes how would you do this? Can you think of a recent example of this? What did you do differently?

Do you make specific plans to help you manage your diabetes? Can you tell me what they are?

What would help you manage your diabetes better in future?

Close: That's all the questions I have for you, has anything occurred to you about this topic during the interview that we haven't discussed?

Thank you for taking part.

* Definitions of domains from Cane et al 2012.