



Harvey, Naomi D. and Craigon, Peter J. and Blythe, Simon A. and England, Gary C.W. and Asher, Lucy (2016) Social rearing environment influences dog behavioral development. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research*, 16 . pp. 13-21. ISSN 1558-7878

**Access from the University of Nottingham repository:**

<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/39629/1/Harvey%20et%20al%20%282016b%29%20social%20influence%20on%20behavioral%20development%20in%20dogs.pdf>

**Copyright and reuse:**

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial No Derivatives licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/2.5/>

**A note on versions:**

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact [eprints@nottingham.ac.uk](mailto:eprints@nottingham.ac.uk)

# Accepted Manuscript

Social rearing environment influences dog behavioral development

Naomi D. Harvey, Peter J. Craigon, Simon A. Blythe, Gary C.W. England, Lucy Asher

PII: S1558-7878(16)30006-5

DOI: [10.1016/j.jveb.2016.03.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2016.03.004)

Reference: JVEB 955

To appear in: *Journal of Veterinary Behavior*

Received Date: 9 October 2015

Revised Date: 8 March 2016

Accepted Date: 9 March 2016

Please cite this article as: Harvey, N.D., Craigon, P.J., Blythe, S.A., England, G.C.W., Asher, L., Social rearing environment influences dog behavioral development, *Journal of Veterinary Behavior* (2016), doi: 10.1016/j.jveb.2016.03.004.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.



1 **Social rearing environment influences dog behavioral development**

2 Harvey, Naomi D.<sup>1\*</sup>; Craigon, Peter J.<sup>1</sup>; Blythe, Simon A.<sup>1,2</sup>; England, Gary C.W.<sup>1</sup>;  
3 and Asher, Lucy<sup>1,3</sup>

4 <sup>1</sup> School of Veterinary Medicine and Science, The University of Nottingham,  
5 Sutton Bonington Campus, Leicester, LE12 5RD, UK.

6 <sup>2</sup> The Guide Dogs for the Blind Association, Hillfields, Burghfield Common,  
7 Reading, Berkshire RG7 3YG, UK.

8 <sup>3</sup> Centre for Behavior and Evolution, Henry Wellcome Building, Newcastle  
9 University, Newcastle, NE2 4HH, UK.

10

11 \*Corresponding author: Naomi.Harvey@nottingham.ac.uk

12

13 Keywords: Juvenile; Dog; Personality; Demographics; Questionnaire

14 **ABSTRACT**

15 Early life experiences are known to influence behavior later in life. In dogs,  
16 environmental influences of early home rearing could be exploited to improve  
17 the chances of developing adult behavior most suited to the adult environment.  
18 For working dog organisations, such as Guide Dogs, suitable adult behavior is  
19 important to ensure dogs can fulfil their role as guides for people with visual  
20 impairment.

21 Here we test the hypothesis that dogs' home rearing environment will influence  
22 behavioral development. To investigate this hypothesis, carers of potential guide  
23 dogs (puppy walkers) completed a questionnaire, termed the Puppy Walking  
24 Questionnaire (PWQ), about the dog's behavior at 5, 8 and 12 months of age. An  
25 additional 11 questions were answered about the home environment at the last  
26 assessment. Since no questionnaire existed which measured behavior most  
27 relevant to Guide Dogs, questions from an existing questionnaire (C-BARQ) were  
28 combined with additional questions. Thus, a subsidiary aim of the study was to  
29 test the reliability of the PWQ for measuring behavioral development of potential  
30 guide dogs.

31 The PWQ, scored on a 100mm visual analogue scale, grouped into five new  
32 scales: Trainability, Distractibility, General Anxiety, Body Sensitivity, and Stair  
33 Anxiety, with four C-BARQ scales: Excitability, Separation-related behavior,  
34 Attachment and attention seeking and Energy Level. For each reliable scale,  
35 multivariate linear regression identified significant predictors from the home  
36 environmental questions.

37 The results suggest that home rearing environment is indeed important for  
38 behavioral development: 9 out of 11 environmental variables were significant  
39 predictors of behavioral scores. Those environmental variables that appeared  
40 most important were social in nature. Dogs were scored as higher in Energy  
41 Level, Excitability and Distractibility if they had been raised in a home with  
42 children, lower on Energy Level and Distractibility the more experience of puppy  
43 walking their carer had, and lower on Separation-related behavior the more they  
44 had been able to play with other dogs. These findings have implications for

45 matching between dogs' early and later home environments. Follow-up of dogs  
46 in this study could help to elucidate effects on guiding suitability and matching  
47 between dog and guide dog owner.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

**48 INTRODUCTION**

49 For many species, the period of time before individuals' reach maturity is crucial  
50 in developing their future behavior. In dogs, experiences between 3-12 weeks of  
51 age (the "socialization period") are known to play a vital role in shaping adult  
52 behavioral development and the various effects of experience at this age are well  
53 documented (Scott and Fuller, 1965). In humans and rats, experiences during  
54 adolescence (the period of sexual maturation; Crone, 2009) can have life-long  
55 impacts upon an individual's behavior as the mammalian neural network and  
56 endocrine system is still developing during this stage (McCrae et al., 2000, Sisk  
57 and Zehr, 2005, Crone, 2009, McCormick and Mathews, 2010). In both working  
58 and pet dogs, knowledge of early environmental influences on behavior, after 12  
59 weeks of age could assist in matching dogs to later environments. For working  
60 dogs, such information could help with the selection of environment, or in  
61 predicting and managing future behavior. For non-free-living dogs, the typical  
62 rearing environment is a human home environment.

63 The domestic dog's adolescence is thought to occur between 6-9 months of age  
64 in males, and 6-16 months in females (Anderson, 1970; Pineda and Dooley,  
65 2003), whilst behavioral and social maturity is reached between 12-14 months  
66 of age (Overall, 2013). Despite the potential importance of experience upon  
67 behavioral development during this stage, little is known about the effects of a  
68 dog's environment between 3-24 months of age upon the behavior of adult dogs.  
69 From the few studies that have been conducted, factors such as hours left  
70 unattended has been shown to be associated with behavior scores on a  
71 standardized behavior test (Foyer et al., 2013). Additionally, the number of  
72 people in the household, prior experience of dog ownership and amount of  
73 training experience received was associated with 'trait' scores from a  
74 questionnaire (Bennett and Rohlf, 2007). Further research is required in order to  
75 confirm these findings, and to dissect the relative influences of genetic and  
76 environmental factors on different behavior traits.

77 Behavioral profiles of working dogs require regular assessment to determine  
78 suitability to training programs, decide upon appropriate training regimes and,

79 for assistance dogs, to match them with their future owners. As such, a greater  
80 understanding of how environmental factors influence dog development could  
81 be of great value to working dog organizations. Guide Dogs, UK breed the  
82 majority of their own dogs (Asher et al., 2013), and have guidelines to try and  
83 standardize the amount of social and environmental exposure (socialization and  
84 habituation) and training their dogs receive. As such, trainee guide dogs may  
85 represent an excellent model for elucidating the relative effects of experience  
86 and genetics upon adult personality and behavior.

87 A previous study of guide dog behavior has demonstrated that behavioral  
88 questionnaires can be associated with training outcome (Duffy and Serpell,  
89 2012). To be of best use to guide dog organisations questionnaires should be  
90 able to identify specific individuals with the highest chances of being withdrawn  
91 from the training programme and those with the highest chances of successfully  
92 completing training (qualifying). To date, there is no questionnaire that can  
93 deliver this information for Guide Dogs, UK, and so there is scope for a  
94 behavioral questionnaire designed specifically for guide dog behavior, which  
95 may be better able to make such distinctions. For Guide Dogs, a crucial decision  
96 point for trainee dogs occurs at 12-14 months of age, because the financial  
97 investment increases as dogs' progress to a more formal training programme at  
98 this age. Volunteers who live with and train a dog for its formative period are  
99 able to provide an accurate impression of a dog's likelihood of qualifying as a  
100 guide dog (Batt et al., 2009), supporting the rationale for developing a behavioral  
101 development questionnaire to be answered by volunteers caring for trainee  
102 guide dogs prior to entry to more formal training.

103 The aim of this study was to test the hypothesis that a dog's rearing environment  
104 between the ages of 2-12 months of age will influence its behavioral  
105 development. A questionnaire method was selected in order to achieve this aim  
106 in a manner relevant to the study population of trainee guide dogs. To provide a  
107 profile of behavior of most relevance to guide dogs, a combination of existing  
108 questions and newly developed questions were required. Thus, a subsidiary aim  
109 of this study was to develop a reliable questionnaire to be completed by  
110 volunteer trainee guide dog carers (puppy walkers). Specifically, we aimed to

111 test the feasibility, internal reliability, inter-rater reliability, temporal  
112 consistency and construct validity of the new questionnaire.

### 113 **METHODS**

114 A dog behavior questionnaire, referred to as the puppy walker questionnaire  
115 (PWQ) was developed for completion by volunteer puppy walkers (PWs).  
116 Question items (items) were sourced or created to address specific behavioral  
117 traits of relevance to guide dog owners and Guide Dogs training staff. Where  
118 possible, items were sourced from previously published questionnaires  
119 (Goddard and Beilharz, 1983; Serpell and Hsu, 2001; Hsu and Serpell, 2003;  
120 Arata et al., 2010) and a previous PW questionnaire study undertaken by Guide  
121 Dogs in 2006/2007 (unpublished data). To address content validity (Taylor and  
122 Mills, 2006; Belshaw et al., 2015) the questionnaire was refined based on  
123 feedback from a panel of volunteer PWs (n=5) regarding the questionnaires  
124 applicability to the behavior of dogs they had cared for previously. Twenty-two  
125 of the 59 items in the questionnaire were from a previously validated  
126 questionnaire known as the Canine Behaviour and Research Questionnaire (C-  
127 BARQ) (Serpell and Hsu, 2001; Hsu and Serpell, 2003), which has been validated  
128 for use in other studies (Duffy and Serpell, 2008, van den Berg et al., 2010,  
129 Nagasawa et al., 2011b, Duffy and Serpell, 2012). The C-BARQ items used were  
130 assessed for internal reliability for the Guide Dogs, UK dogs, and further  
131 reliability and validity analyses were conducted on the remaining 37 PWQ items.  
132 All items were scored using a 100mm long visual analogue scale (VAS).

### 133 **SUBJECTS**

134 PWs of dogs that turned 5 months of age during October-December, 2012  
135 (n=311) were invited to complete the questionnaire, where possible online, with  
136 the option to request a paper version by post. Initial invitations were sent when  
137 the dogs were 21 weeks of age (1 week before 5 months). An online version of  
138 the questionnaire (hosted by SurveyGizmo.com) allowed for pseudo-  
139 randomisation of the items for each questionnaire (random within different  
140 subsections). To achieve variety in item order for the paper questionnaire, three  
141 versions were created, each with different (pseudo-random) question orders.



142 The questionnaire was also completed by PWs of dogs that participated in a  
143 behavior test (see Harvey et al., 2015 for details). To evaluate inter-rater  
144 reliability, when two members of the same household that were responsible for a  
145 dog attended the behavioral test, both individuals were asked to complete the  
146 questionnaire without conferring. Twenty-one PW dyads were recruited to  
147 complete the questionnaire based on our sample size estimation ( $\alpha=0.05$ ,  $\beta=0.20$ ,  
148 minimum - maximum acceptable coefficient = 0.30 - 0.70), according to Walter et  
149 al., (1998).

150 Invitations to complete questionnaires when the dogs turned 8 and 12 months  
151 old were again sent by post or email when each dog turned 34 and 51 weeks of  
152 age, respectively.

### 153 ETHICS

154 Participants were contacted with ethical approval from Guide Dogs, UK, and  
155 according to University of Nottingham institutional guidelines. Written informed  
156 consent was gained from each PW and participants were able to withdraw from  
157 the study at any time.

### 158 SCALE STRUCTURE AND INTERNAL RELIABILITY

159 Internal reliability of C-BARQ and PWQ scales, based on expected groupings of  
160 items (expected scales), were assessed using Cronbach's alpha. PWQ items were  
161 then analysed via principal components analysis (PCA), at each age in order to  
162 confirm expected scale structures or aid identification of improved structures.  
163 PCA's were conducted with eigenvalues  $>1$ , using varimax rotation based upon a  
164 correlation matrix, and loadings of more than 0.40 were considered as salient  
165 (Budaev, 2010). If an item loaded  $>0.40$  on more than one component (group of  
166 items identified by PCA) it was removed from the component for which it had  
167 the weakest loading. If a component showing a new scale structure was  
168 identified in more than one age group, then alpha statistics were calculated and  
169 the alpha values compared to those of the expected groupings. The grouping of  
170 items (expected or PCA component) that yielded the highest alpha value across  
171 the three sample ages was then selected as the final scale structure and mean

172 scores were calculated for each scale based upon the items within them (with  
173 negatively correlated items reversed using “100 minus score”). Alpha values of  
174 more than 0.6 were considered acceptable (Hsu and Serpell, 2003).

#### 175 TEMPORAL CONSISTENCY AND INTER-RATER RELIABILITY

176 Temporal consistency of scores were examined by performing Spearman’s Rank  
177 correlations between the 5, 8 and 12 month datasets. This test examined rank  
178 order consistency and was considered acceptable if significant to  $p < 0.05$  and  
179 with a correlation coefficient above 0.30. This cut-off was chosen because the  
180 mean level of temporal consistency for dogs less than 12 months of age, as  
181 revealed by a meta-analysis, is 0.34 (Fratkin et al., 2013).

182 Inter-rater reliability of the PWQ scales and miscellaneous items was examined  
183 using intraclass correlation coefficients (ICC’s). ICCs were calculated for each of  
184 new scale scores and miscellaneous items, using a two-way mixed model with a  
185 consistency method (Nichols, 1998). Since dogs might be expected to behave  
186 differently with different handlers (Horn et al., 2013; Kerepesi et al., 2015)  
187 significant, yet weak to moderate agreement between raters could be expected. A  
188 minimal acceptable ICC agreement coefficient was therefore a single measure  
189 ICC of  $>0.30$  significant at a 95% confidence interval.

#### 190 CONSTRUCT VALIDITY

191 Scores from the 12-month questionnaire were used to assess construct validity.  
192 Predictions of positive and negative correlations between the PWQ scales and C-  
193 BARQ scales were made based on the constructs they were designed to assess.  
194 The C-BARQ scales were included in this analysis to help validate the new scales.

195 Spearman’s Rank correlations were performed to test expected correlations.  
196 Each predicted correlation either convergent (positive) or divergent (negative),  
197 was considered to be an individual hypotheses, which if shown to be correct  
198 would support the construct validity of the scales (i.e., that they are reflecting the  
199 construct they were intended to assess). The Improved Bonferroni procedure

200 was applied (Simes, 1986) to control for multiple testing, following the  
201 procedure described in Haccou and Meelis (1992).

## 202 INTERACTIONS WITH REARING ENVIRONMENT

203 When the dogs turned 12 months of age PWs completed an additional 11-item  
204 'Environmental Information' survey. The survey requested details about the  
205 household in which the dog had been reared, experience of the PW and regular  
206 habits relating to the dog such as attendance at puppy training classes (see  
207 supplementary material for a copy of the survey).

208 The association between rearing environment and behavior scores was  
209 examined for each of the scales from the C-BARQ and the PWQ scales that were  
210 found to have acceptable levels of internal reliability and temporal consistency (a  
211 requirement for personality scores). Univariate general linear regression was  
212 initially applied with each scale score being a dependent variable and each  
213 variable from the 'Environmental Information' survey included sequentially as a  
214 fixed effect. Any independent variables that were significantly associated with a  
215 scale score to  $p < 0.1$  were then analysed against the scale score via multivariate  
216 linear regression. A backwards stepwise approach was taken, followed by a  
217 forwards stepwise approach, using the ANOVA function in R to choose between  
218 models in both stages (both approaches were used to test for robustness of the  
219 models which would be indicated by convergence of results from each  
220 approach). Breed and sex were included as fixed effects in each final model and  
221 retained if significant to  $p < 0.05$ . This process was conducted for each scale score.

222 Analysis of rearing environment interactions was undertaken in R version 3.0.2  
223 (R Core Team, 2013; R scripts available on request), with all other analysis  
224 conducted in SPSS v. 21 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

225

## 226 RESULTS

227 Of the 311 PWs contacted, 192 agreed to participate in the study and completed  
228 the questionnaire at least once, which represented a 61% response rate.  
229 Including the dogs that participated in the behavior test, a total of 276 (130  
230 male/146 female) dogs had at least one completed questionnaire. There were  
231 265 questionnaires completed for dogs of 5 months (43% online: 57% on paper),  
232 214 on 8-month-old dogs (37%: 63%) and 226 on 12-month-old dogs (37%:  
233 63%). The dogs were from eight different breeds or crossbreeds (Sire x Dam):  
234 golden retriever x Labrador (n=105); Labrador (n=65), golden retriever (n=30),  
235 Labrador x golden retriever crossbreed (n=29), golden retriever x German  
236 shepherd dog (n=24), German shepherd dog (n=16), Labrador x golden retriever  
237 (n=5), Labrador x Labrador crossbreed (n=2). Age of the dogs at the time of  
238 questionnaire completion was: 5.17 months (mean 157 days  $\pm$  8 days SD); 8.17  
239 months (mean 248 days  $\pm$  7 days SD); and 12.04 months (mean 365 days  $\pm$  12  
240 days SD). A total of 224 out of the 226 PWs that completed the 12-month (12M)  
241 PWQ also completed and returned the 'Environmental Information' survey. At  
242 the time of the 12M PWQ completion 105 dogs (47%) were sexually intact and  
243 119 (53%) had been spayed or castrated.

## 244 SCALE FORMATION AND INTERNAL RELIABILITY

245 Following the PCA and internal reliability analyses of the PWQ items, only one  
246 scale was found to have optimal internal reliability in the expected structure:  
247 Distractibility (see Supplementary Table 2 for PCA loadings). The six items from  
248 the scale designed to assess 'environmental anxieties' (General Anxiety)  
249 separated into two components in PCA for each age group. The new component  
250 contained the two items relating to anxious or uneasy behavior on stairs, so this  
251 was named Stair Anxiety. Two of the four items created to assess 'body  
252 sensitivity' loaded together in all three PCA's and met the requirements of  
253 acceptable internal reliability so became the scale Body Sensitivity. Two  
254 expected scales (items grouped as they were designed to) had best internal  
255 reliability when merged (Trainability and Attentiveness in addition to one  
256 additional miscellaneous item) (Table 1 & 2).

257 Internal reliability of the C-BARQ scales was acceptable ( $>0.60$ ) for all scales.

258

#### 259 PWQ TEMPORAL CONSISTENCY AND INTER-RATER RELIABILITY

260 Consistency of scores over time (temporal consistency), as measured by  
261 Spearman's correlations, showed that inter-individual consistency was higher  
262 than expected for most scales (Table 2). Bivariate correlation coefficients ranged  
263 from a minimum of 0.18 (Stair Anxiety comparing 5-12M) to a maximum of 0.66  
264 (Distractibility comparing 5-8M and General Anxiety comparing 2-12M). All  
265 coefficients were  $>0.40$ , excepting those for Stair Anxiety which was least  
266 consistent between ages. Consistency was good to high for the majority of the  
267 miscellaneous items (see supplementary Table 3), with the strongest  
268 correlations comparing 5-8M (mean 0.47) and the weakest comparing 5-12M  
269 (mean 0.32).

270

271 Four of the five scales had acceptable inter-rater reliability with single measure  
272 ICC coefficients of  $>0.30$  (Table 2). Only Body Sensitivity was below the  
273 minimum accepted level for inter-rater reliability. Of the miscellaneous items, 5  
274 out of 10 achieved acceptable levels of inter-rater reliability (see supplementary  
275 Table 3).

276

#### 277 CONSTRUCT VALIDITY

278 Fourteen out of fifteen predictions made about relationships between scales of  
279 the PWQ and C-BARQ were supported with significant correlations in the  
280 predicted direction (see supplementary table 4). All of these supported  
281 correlations had coefficients of above 0.30 and nine of above 0.40.

282

#### 283 REARING ENVIRONMENT VS. 12-MONTH SCALE SCORES

284 Based upon the reliability results discussed above, all of the C-BARQ scales  
285 (Attachment and attention seeking, Separation-related behavior, Excitability and  
286 Energy Level) and three of the PWQ scales (Trainability, General Anxiety and  
287 Distractibility) were included in this analysis (descriptive statistics for the 11  
288 Environmental Information variables are presented in Table 3).

289 For the univariate analysis, all but two of the variables from the Environmental  
290 Information survey were associated with the 12M scale scores. The two variables  
291 that had no associations with the scores were puppy class attendance and pet  
292 dogs owned previously (Table 4). Increasing PW age was associated with dogs  
293 with decreased scores for Excitability and Distractibility, and increased scores  
294 for Trainability. More children in the household was associated with increased  
295 scores on Excitability, Energy Level and Distractibility. Previous puppy walking  
296 experience of the PW was associated with reduced scores on Energy Level and  
297 Distractibility (and there was a trend towards an association with Excitability),  
298 but whether the PW had previously owned pet dogs or not was not associated  
299 with any score. Separation-related behavior scores decreased the more the dogs  
300 were allowed to play with other dogs, and if the dog was left unattended on a  
301 weekend day for between 1-2 hours compared to 0-1 hours. Finally, scores for  
302 Distractibility also decreased if the dogs were left unattended on a week or  
303 weekend day for between 1-2 hours compared to 0-1 hours. Only one association  
304 was found with General Anxiety, for which there was a trend ( $p < 0.1$ ) for scores  
305 to decrease for each other dog they shared the household with. No associations  
306 were found between the rearing environment variables and scores for  
307 Attachment and attention seeking.

308 Multi-collinearity between rearing environment variables occurred for four  
309 scales (Excitability, Separation-related behavior, Trainability and Distractibility).  
310 Models were run using backwards and then forwards stepwise regressions and  
311 convergence between approaches was achieved. Use of the ANOVA function to  
312 select the best model with the least number of variables allowed for the  
313 identification of the fixed effects that explained the most variance, thus  
314 identifying only the most salient variables (Table 5). The multivariate analyses  
315 produced five models: Energy Level (3 predictors,  $R^2 = 8.5\%$ ), Excitability (1  
316 predictor,  $R^2 = 3.4\%$ ), Separation-related behavior (1 predictor,  $R^2 = 2.3\%$ ),  
317 Trainability (1 predictor,  $R^2 = 3.0\%$ ) and Distractibility (1 predictor,  $R^2 = 4.4\%$ ).  
318 Scores on Energy Level increased by 0.12% for each 1mm increase in ratings for  
319 frequency of meeting children, decreased by 0.58% for each puppy previously  
320 cared for by the dogs PW, and increased by 5.7% if there were other dogs in the

321 household. Each child in the household was associated with a 6.2% increase in  
322 scores for Excitability. For each 1mm increase in ratings for frequency of play  
323 with other dogs, scores on Separation-related behavior scale decreased by  
324 0.06%. With regards to PWQ scales, Trainability scores increased by 0.07% for  
325 each 1mm increase in ratings for frequency of meeting children, and  
326 Distractibility scores decreased by 0.57% for each puppy previously cared for by  
327 the dogs PW.

328 Breed was significant in two models with the Labrador(sire) x golden  
329 retriever(dam) scoring an average of 29% (S.E. 9.8,  $p < 0.01$ ) and 20% (S.E. 9.4,  
330  $p < 0.05$ ) higher than the golden retriever(sire) x Labrador(dam) for the scales  
331 Excitability and Distractibility, respectively. Sex, neuter status and sex by neuter  
332 status interactions did not have a significant effect on any 12M scale score.

**333 DISCUSSION**

334 In testing the hypothesis that the home rearing environment for dogs will  
335 influence behavioral development, we found many associations between home  
336 environment and behavior. Nine of the eleven environmental variables  
337 measured were associated with one or more behavioral scales. The  
338 environmental variables with the largest effect size referred to factors of the  
339 dog's social environment.

340 In measuring behavior, it was necessary to develop new questions focused on  
341 behavior of most importance to Guide Dogs. A new composite questionnaire  
342 (PWQ) for regular completion by volunteer puppy walkers (PWs) was developed  
343 for assessing behavior of juvenile guide dogs. In addition to the four scales  
344 adapted from an already validated questionnaire, the C-BARQ (Excitability,  
345 Energy level, Attachment and attention seeking, and Separation-related  
346 behavior; Hsu and Serpell, 2001; Serpell and Hsu, 2003), five new scales were  
347 identified: General Anxiety, Trainability, Distractibility, Body Sensitivity, and  
348 Stair Anxiety. Three of these scales (Distractibility, General Anxiety and  
349 Trainability) reached acceptable levels of internal reliability, inter-rater  
350 reliability and construct validity. High between individual correlations in these  
351 scale scores between 5, 8 and 12 months of age (temporal consistency) suggest  
352 that these scales may be measuring dog personality traits.

**353 DEVELOPMENT OF QUESTIONNAIRE**

354 The PWQ was developed to be reliable and feasible for routine application.  
355 Taylor & Mills (2006) state that behavior assessments should be easy and  
356 efficient to implement, taking less than 30 minutes to complete. The PWQ and  
357 additional C-BARQ questions take approximately 15-20 minutes to complete and  
358 require little to no expert knowledge or guidance to complete. Also in accord  
359 with best practice (Taylor and Mills, 2006; Belshaw et al., 2015) the PWQ was  
360 developed in consultation with the users of the questionnaire, puppy walkers  
361 (volunteers who care for trainees guide dogs from 6-8 weeks to 12-14 months of  
362 age) and the organisation Guide Dogs, UK. The visual analogue scale (VAS), which  
363 was used to score questions, allows for a wider range of responses than



364 traditional Likert scales. Means of items (questions) produce scale scores which  
365 are easy to interpret based upon their names and the items within them.  
366 Together with the C-BARQ questions, these scales provide information on  
367 behavior of importance and relevance to Guide Dogs.

368 Three scales were reliable and would be suggested for further use in the PWQ:  
369 Distractibility, General Anxiety and Trainability. Two other scales, Body  
370 Sensitivity and Stair Anxiety were not reliable. Inter-rater reliability was  
371 acceptable for all scales, except Body Sensitivity, and for the majority of the  
372 miscellaneous items. The body sensitivity questions did not group as expected. It  
373 is feasible that 'body sensitivity', which is defined as level of responsiveness to  
374 tactile stimuli (Murphy, 1998), is a cluster of behavioral responses that have  
375 different underlying causes, e.g. fear, excitement, skin disease. This could explain  
376 the lack of reliability for these questions. Stair anxiety represented just two  
377 questions which grouped together and could have been influenced by  
378 experience, explaining the lack of test-retest reliability.

379 The construct validity of the PWQ was very good with 14 out of 15 *a priori*  
380 predicted correlations between the scales supported (Belshaw et al., 2015). One  
381 correlation that was in the opposite direction to that predicted was the C-BARQ  
382 scale Attachment and attention seeking, which was weakly negatively correlated  
383 with Trainability. In humans, Attachment Anxiety (characterised by questions  
384 such as: "I worry a fair amount about losing my partner") has been found to  
385 weakly negatively correlate with self-discipline (Nofhle and Shaver, 2006). It is  
386 possible that the dimension measured by Attachment and attention seeking  
387 scores is similar to attachment anxiety in people. The scale did show a positive  
388 relationship with Separation anxiety, thus could be indicative of an insecure  
389 attachment style (Bowlby, 1969), which has been previously been described for  
390 dog-human dyads (Topál et al., 1998).

391 With respect to consistency of behavior over time, coefficients for the five PWQ  
392 scales were good, ranging from a mean of 0.47 for the 5-8 and 5-12 month scores  
393 and 0.60 for the 8-12 month scores. Animal personality can be defined as  
394 individual differences in behavioral responses that demonstrate inter-individual

395 consistency across time and within similar situations (Stamps and Groothuis,  
396 2010; Uher, 2011). While consistency of behavior over time is usually weaker in  
397 developing animals (due to neurodevelopmental changes), statistically  
398 significant rank-order consistency does still occur, which is considered indicative  
399 of personality (McCrae et al., 2000; Stamps and Groothuis, 2010; Putnam, 2011;  
400 Fratkin et al., 2013). There is evidence of such rank-order consistency here. The  
401 levels of consistency found in this study exceed the expected figure of 0.34  
402 identified in a meta-analysis of behavior scores for dogs less than 12 months of  
403 age (Fratkin et al., 2013). The high level of inter-individual consistency found  
404 here lends support to the reliability of this assessment as a measure of dog  
405 personality. The PWQ scales General Anxiety and Distractibility were comprised  
406 of items describing the same behavior in different contexts or in response to  
407 different stimuli. These scales showed high internal reliability, which could be  
408 considered as evidence of situational consistency. The PWQ scales could fit with  
409 proposed standardized categories of dog personality (Jones and Gosling, 2005).  
410 The Trainability scale fits with the category 'Responsiveness to training', General  
411 Anxiety the category of 'Fearfulness' and Distractibility the category of  
412 'Reactivity'.

413 Considered together our results support the reliability of the PWQ scales, with  
414 the exception of Body Sensitivity and Stair Anxiety. Further research should  
415 focus upon investigating the predictive and concurrent criterion validity of the  
416 questionnaire scales and miscellaneous items. The C-BARQ has been shown to be  
417 significantly associated with general training outcome in five US guiding  
418 agencies, but was unable to identify specific individuals with the highest chances  
419 of being withdrawn or qualifying (Duffy and Serpell, 2012). It would be  
420 interesting to replicate this study with the PWQ scales to look for associations  
421 with success in training as a test of predictive criterion validity.

422

#### 423 HOME REARING ENVIRONMENT

424 Social variables throughout development including interactions with children  
425 and other dogs were associated with behavioral scores at 12 months of age.  
426 Furthermore, previous experience of the PW was associated with scores on

427 Energy Level and Distractibility. Breed, but no neutering or sex effects were  
428 found on behavioral scores.

429 Increased scores for Energy Level (C-BARQ scale) and Trainability (PWQ) were  
430 associated with how often the dogs were allowed to interact with children.  
431 Increases in Energy Level were also associated with the presence of other dogs  
432 in the household. For Energy Level, we could hypothesise that interactions with  
433 children and other dogs may lead to a dog being more playful and energetic (the  
434 two parts of Energy Level). The presence of children in the household was  
435 associated with higher Excitability scores, which supports this hypothesis. Dogs'  
436 are able to synchronize their behavior to that of their human owners (Duranton  
437 and Gaunet, 2016) and dog behavior has been shown to be associated with  
438 aspects of their owner's personality (Kis et al., 2012) with dogs often perceived  
439 as having similar personalities to their owners (Turcsán et al., 2012). Strong  
440 social influences on dog behavioral development could be explained by the fact  
441 that dogs are one of the few non-human animals that copy the behavior of social  
442 partners through a process known as 'automatic imitation' (Range et al., 2011).  
443 Automatic imitation is a form of behavioral synchronisation controlled within  
444 areas of parietal and premotor cortex known as the "mirror neuron system"  
445 (Catmur et al., 2009). The process is thought to promote cooperation and the  
446 formation of affiliative bonds (Chartrand and Baaren, 2009). Whether such  
447 behavioral synchrony has lasting effects outside of the rearing environment is  
448 not known. These dogs have not been studied in a different environment where  
449 there is more or less access to energetic or excitable activities. Directionality of  
450 associations also requires further elucidation. For example, the association  
451 between Trainability and frequency of interaction with children could result  
452 from more obedient dogs being afforded more opportunity to interact with  
453 children.

454 In line with findings from Kubinyi et al. (2009), where 'calmer' dogs were  
455 associated with more experienced dog owners, we found PWs with more  
456 experience in rearing trainee guide dogs scored dogs as lower on Energy and  
457 Distractibility. Since both types of behavior are considered positive for Guide

458 Dogs, it would suggest that guide dog organisations should invest in retaining  
459 PWs.

460 Lower scores for separation-related behavior were associated with more play  
461 with other dogs. The etiology of separation-related disorders is currently poorly  
462 understood (Overall, 2013). In addition to potential hereditary factors (King et  
463 al., 2000), it is thought to develop because of a mixture of environmental factors,  
464 including traumatic or impoverished early experiences (Takeuchi et al., 2001;  
465 Flannigan et al., 2001), and the behavior and responsiveness of the owner  
466 towards the dog's needs (Konok et al., 2015). There is also evidence that it may  
467 be related to other characteristics of the dogs' personality (Konok et al., 2015),  
468 and co-morbidity can often be found with other anxiety-related behavior  
469 disorders (Overall et al., 2001). Living in a multi-dog household has not been  
470 shown to reduce the likelihood of a dog having a separation-related disorder  
471 (King, 2000, Tiira and Lohi, 2015), however our results support a particular role  
472 of interspecific play during rearing on separation-related behavior. It would be  
473 interesting to further explore whether rearing environment and interspecific  
474 play had longer-term protective effects on the development of separation-related  
475 behavior.

476 No associations between living with other dogs and separation anxiety were  
477 found in this study, which agrees with other studies (King et al., 2000; Tiira and  
478 Lohi, 2015). However, in one study dogs reported as generally 'fearful' have  
479 been shown to be more likely to come from single-dog households (Tiira and  
480 Lohi, 2015), and dogs that exhibited stereotypic tail-chasing have less  
481 companionship of other dogs (Tiira et al., 2012). Dogs who are thunderstorm-  
482 phobic and from single-dog households have also been reported to exhibit  
483 slower recovery of the HPA response (as measured by cortisol recovery time)  
484 following a simulated thunderstorm (Dreschel and Granger, 2005). In this study  
485 there was a trend towards a reduction in scores for General Anxiety with an  
486 increase in the number of dogs in the household, which may suggest that living  
487 with other dogs may have protective effects against developing anxiety-related  
488 behavior.

489 Significant breed differences were present for two scores (Excitability and  
490 Distractibility), which suggests that these scales may be heritable. Differences  
491 were not between pure breeds, but between F1 cross of Labradors and golden  
492 retrievers with different combinations of sire and dam breed. Dogs sired by a  
493 golden retriever scored on average 29% lower for Excitability, and 20% lower  
494 for Distractibility, than dogs sired by a Labrador. The importance of the sire seen  
495 here supports previous work in which effects of maternal genetics upon  
496 behavior test scores of adult German shepherd dogs were minimal (Strandberg  
497 et al., 2005). Elucidating the effects of the relative breed of the sire and dam on  
498 the behavior of F1 crossbreeds could be of relevance to the pet dog owning  
499 population in the current climate of “designer” crossbred dogs.

500 Fifty-three per cent of this population of dogs had been neutered by the time  
501 they were 12 months of age, however no behavioral differences were found  
502 between neutered or intact dogs of either sex. This result is in contradiction to  
503 previous literature in which neutered adult dogs have been reported to be less  
504 calm (Kubinyi et al., 2009) and more nervous (Bennett and Rohlf, 2007) than  
505 their intact counterparts. This disparity could be explained by differences in the  
506 populations considered, which have been observed previously in working dog  
507 colonies (Pfaffenberger et al., 1976, Goddard and Beilharz, 1982, 1983) and even  
508 between different lines (i.e., gundog, show dog or pet dog) within the same breed  
509 (Lofgren et al., 2014). It is possible that behavioral differences as a result of  
510 neutering had not yet been expressed; behavioral (or social) maturity in dogs is  
511 reached between 12-24 months of age (Overall, 2013).

512

## 513 CONCLUSIONS

514 Associations were identified between home rearing environment and their  
515 scores on a behavioral questionnaire. The largest associations were social in  
516 nature, which suggests that social factors may be the most important with  
517 regards to shaping dog behavior. Results such as these may help Guide Dogs and  
518 other working dog organisations to select specific types of home environments  
519 for their puppies, which give them the best chance of matching their future  
520 environment, or in predicting the future behavioral profile of dogs by recording

521 key information about their rearing environment. The questionnaire used here  
522 could have utility in monitoring the behavioral development of young dogs.  
523 Combining scores from some of the C-BARQ scales with newly developed PWQ  
524 scales could give a comprehensive and reliable impression of a dog's behavior.  
525 Completed by volunteer PWs, the questions meet the psychometric requirements  
526 of reliability and construct validity. Many areas of new research have been  
527 highlighted by this study, which would improve understanding of the effects of  
528 rearing environments on development of dog behavior. Follow-up of dogs in this  
529 study could help to elucidate effects of rearing environment on guiding  
530 suitability and matching between dog and guide dog owner.

531

#### 532 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

533 We would like to thank all of the Guide Dogs volunteer puppy walkers, and their  
534 dogs, who participated in this study; without whom this study would not have  
535 been possible.

536

#### 537 CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

538 The research reported in this publication was funded by Guide Dogs and The  
539 University of Nottingham as part of a larger five-year research initiative. Authors  
540 of this publication frequently consult with Guide Dogs regarding the behavior of  
541 their dogs. Guide Dogs have approved the paper for publication. The terms of this  
542 arrangement have been reviewed and approved by the University of Nottingham  
543 in accordance with its policies on research.

544

#### 545 AUTHORSHIP STATEMENT

546 NH conceived and designed the study and data collection tools, collected data,  
547 performed data analysis and drafted and revised the paper. PC and SB assisted  
548 with design of the data collection tools, collected data and commented on drafts  
549 and revisions of the paper. GE initiated the project, monitored the study and  
550 commented on drafts and revisions of the paper. LA oversaw the study,  
551 conceived and designed the study, monitored data collection, directed data  
552 analysis, and drafted and revised the paper.

## 553 REFERENCES

- 554 Anderson, A. C. (ed.) 1970. The beagle as an experimental dog, Iowa, USA: The Iowa State  
555 University Press.
- 556 Arata, S., Momozawa, Y., Takeuchi, Y. and Mori, Y. 2010. Important Behavioral Traits for  
557 Predicting Guide Dog Qualification. J. Vet. Med. Sci. 72, 539-545.
- 558 Asher, L., Collins, L.M., Ortis-Pelaez, A., Drewe, J.A., Nicol, C.J., Pfeiffer, D.U. 2009. Recent  
559 advances in the analysis of behavioral organization and interpretation as indicators  
560 of animal welfare. J. R. Soc. Interface 6, 1103e1119.
- 561 Batt, L.S., Batt, M.S., Baguley, J.A., and McGreevy, P.D. 2009. The Value of Puppy Raisers'  
562 Assessments of Potential Guide Dogs' Behavioral Tendencies and Ability to  
563 Graduate. Anthrozoös, 22(1), 71-76.
- 564 Belshaw, Z., Asher, L., Harvey, N.D., Dean, R.S. 2015. Quality of life assessment in domestic  
565 dogs: An evidence-based rapid review. Vet. J.  
566 <http://dx.doi.org/doi:10.1016/j.tvjl.2015.07.016>.
- 567 Bennett, P.C. and Rohlf, V.I. 2007. Owner–companion dog interactions: relationships  
568 between demographic variables, potentially problematic behaviors, training  
569 engagement and shared activities. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 102, 65–84.
- 570 Bowlby, J. 1969. Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment. New York, Basic Books.
- 571 Budaev, S.V. 2010. Using principal components and factor analysis in animal behavior  
572 research: Caveats and guidelines. Ethology 116, 472-480.
- 573 Catmur C., Walsh V., Heyes C. M. 2009. Associative sequence learning: the role of experience  
574 in the development of imitation and the mirror system. Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B 364,  
575 2369–2380.
- 576 Chartrand T. L., Van Baaren R. 2009. Human mimicry. Adv. Exp. Soc. Psych. 41, 219–274
- 577 Crone, E. A. 2009. Executive functions in adolescence: inferences from brain and behavior.  
578 Developmental Sci., 12, 825-30.
- 579 Dreschel, N.A. and Granger, D.A. 2005. Physiological and behavioral reactivity to stress in  
580 thunderstorm-phobic dogs and their caregivers. Appl Anim Behav Sci. 95, 153–168
- 581 Duffy, D.L. and Serpell, J.A. 2008. Breed differences in canine aggression. Appl. Anim. Behav.  
582 Sci. 114, 441-460.
- 583 Duffy, D.L. and Serpell, J.A. 2012. Predictive validity of a method for evaluating temperament  
584 in young guide and service dogs. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 138, 99-109.
- 585 Durantou, C. and Gaunet, F. 2016. *Canis sensitivus*: Affiliation and dogs' sensitivity to others'  
586 behavior as the basis for synchronization with humans? J. Vet. Behav.: Clin. Appl.  
587 Res. 10, 513-524
- 588 Flannigan, G. and Dodman, N.H. 2001. Risk factors and behaviors associated with separation  
589 anxiety in dogs. J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 219, 460–466.
- 590 Foyer, P., Wilsson, E., Wright, D. and Jensen, P. 2013. Early experiences modulate stress  
591 coping in a population of German shepherd dogs. Applied Animal Behaviour Science,  
592 146, 79-87.
- 593 Fratkin, J. L., Sinn, D. L., Patall, E. A. and Gosling, S. D. 2013. Personality Consistency in Dogs:  
594 A Meta-Analysis. PloS One, 8, e54907.

- 595 Goddard, M. E. and Beilharz, R. G. 1982. Genetic and environmental factors affecting the  
596 suitability of dogs as guide dogs for the blind. *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 62, 97-102.
- 597 Goddard, M. E. and Beilharz, R. G. 1983. Genetics of traits which determine the suitability of  
598 dogs as guide dogs for the blind. *Appl. Anim. Ethol.* 9, 299-315.
- 599 Haccou, P. and Meelis, E. 1992. *Statistical Analysis of Behavioural Data: An Approach Based*  
600 *on Time-Structured Models*, Oxford University Press.
- 601 Harvey, N.D., Craigon, P.J., Sommerville, R., McMillan, C., Green, M., England, G.C.W., and  
602 Asher, L. 2015. Test retest reliability and predictive validity of a juvenile guide dog  
603 behavior test. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research. In*  
604 *Press*. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2015.09.005>
- 605 Horn, L., Range, F., Huber, L., 2013. Dogs' attention towards humans depends on their  
606 relationship, not only on social familiarity. *Anim. Cogn.* 16, 435-443.
- 607 Hsu, Y. Y. & Serpell, J. A. 2003. Development and validation of a questionnaire for measuring  
608 behavior and temperament traits in pet dogs. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 223, 1293-  
609 2003.
- 610 Jones, A.C., Gosling, S.D., 2005. Temperament and personality in dogs (*Canis familiaris*): A  
611 review and evaluation of past research. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 95, 1-53.
- 612 Kerepesi, A., Dóka, A., Miklósi, Á. 2015. Dogs and their human companions: The effect of  
613 familiarity on dog-human interactions. *Behav. Proc.* 110, 27-36.
- 614 King, J.N., Simpson, B.S., Overall, K.L., Appleby, D., Pageat, P., Ross, C., et al. 2000. Treatment  
615 of separation anxiety in dogs with clomipramine: results from a prospective, parallel-  
616 group, multicenter clinical trial. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 67, 255-75.
- 617 Kis, A., Turcsán, B., Miklósi, Á., Gácsi, M. 2012. The effect of the owners personality on the  
618 behavior of owner-dog dyads. *Interact. Stud.* 13, 371-383.
- 619 Konok, V., Kosztolányi, A., Rainer, W., Mutschler, B., Halsband, U., Miklósi, Á. 2015. Influence  
620 of owners' attachment style and personality on their dogs' (*Canis familiaris*)  
621 separation-related disorder. *Plos One*, 10, e0118375.
- 622 Kubinyi, E., Turcsán, B. and Miklósi, Á. 2009. Dog and owner demographic characteristics and  
623 dog personality trait associations. *Behav. Processes*, 81, 392-401.
- 624 Lofgren, S. E., Wiener, P., Blott, C.S., Sanchez-Molano, E., Wooliams, J. A., Clements, D. N.,  
625 Haskel, M. J. 2014. Management and personality in Labrador Retriever dogs. *Appl.*  
626 *Anim. Behav. Sci.* 156, 44-53.
- 627 McCormick, C.M. and Mathews, I.Z. 2010. Adolescent development, hypothalamic-pituitary-  
628 adrenal function, and programming of adult learning and memory. *Prog. Neuro-*  
629 *Psychoph.* 34, 756-765.
- 630 McCrae, R. R., Costa, P. T., JR., Ostendorf, F., Angleitner, A., Hrebickova, M., Avia, M. D.,  
631 Sanz, J., Sanchez-Bernardos, M. L., Kusdil, M. E., Woofield, R., Saunders, P. R. and  
632 Smith, P. B. 2000. Nature over nurture: temperament, personality, and life span  
633 development. *J Pers Soc Psychol*, 78, 173-86.



- 634 Murphy, J. A. (1998). Describing categories of temperament in potential guide dogs for the  
635 blind. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 58, 163-178.
- 636 Nagasawa, M., Tsujimura, A., Tateishi, K., Mogi, K., Ohta, M., Serpell, J.A. and Kikusui, T.  
637 2011b. Assessment of the factorial structures of the C-BARQ in Japan. *J. Vet. Med.*  
638 *Sci.* 73, 869-875.
- 639 Nichols, D.P. 1998. Choosing an intraclass correlation coefficient. Principal Support  
640 Statistician and Manager of Statistical Support SPSS Inc.  
641 <http://www.ats.ucla.edu/stat/Spss/library/whichicc.htm>
- 642 Nofle, E.E. and Shaver, P.R. 2006. Attachment dimensions and the big five personality traits:  
643 Associations and comparative ability to predict relationship quality. *J. Res. Pers.* 40,  
644 179-208.
- 645 Overall, K.L., Dunham, A.E., Frank, D. 2001. Frequency of nonspecific clinical signs in dogs  
646 with separation anxiety, storm/thunderstorm phobia, and noise phobia, alone or in  
647 combination. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 219, 467-473.
- 648 Overall, K.L. 2013. *Manual of clinical behavioral medicine for dogs and cats.* St Louis, Mosby  
649 Elsevier Inc., pp. 129-130.
- 650 Pfaffenberger, C.J., Scott, J.P., Fuller, J.L., Binsburg, B.E., Bielfelt, S.W., 1976. *Guide dogs for*  
651 *the blind: their selection, development and training.* Amsterdam, Elsevier.
- 652 Pineda, M.H. and Dooley, M.P. (eds.) 2003. *McDonald's Veterinary Endocrinology and*  
653 *Reproduction.* Ames, Iowa: Iowa State Press.
- 654 Putnam, S. P. 2011. Stability and Instability of Childhood Traits: Implications for Personality  
655 Development of Animals. *Dev. Psychobiol.* 53, 510-520.
- 656 Range, F., Huber, L., Heyes, C. 2011. Automatic imitation in dogs. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 278, 211-  
657 217.
- 658 Scott, J. P. and Fuller, J. L. 1965. *Genetics and the Social Behavior of the Dog.* Chicago,  
659 University of Chicago Press.
- 660 Serpell, J. A. and Hsu, Y. Y. 2001. Development and validation of a novel method for  
661 evaluating behavior and temperament in guide dogs. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 72,  
662 347-364.
- 663 Simes, R.J., 1986. An improved Bonferroni procedure for multiple tests of significance.  
664 *Biometrika* 73, 751e754.
- 665 Sisk, C.L. and Zehr, J.L. 2005. Pubertal hormones organize the adolescent brain and behavior.  
666 *Front. Neuroendocrinol.* 26, 163-74.
- 667 Stamps, J. and Groothuis, G. G. T. 2010. The development of animal personality: relevance,  
668 concepts and perspectives. *Biol. Rev.* 85, 301-325.
- 669 Strandberg, E., Jacobsson, J., Saetre, P., 2005. Direct genetic, maternal and litter effects on  
670 behaviour in German shepherd dogs in Sweden. *Livestock Prod. Sci.* 93, 33e42.
- 671 Takeuchi, Y., Ogata, N., Houpt, K.A., Scarlett, J.M. 2001. Difference in background and  
672 outcome of three behavior problems of dogs. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 70, 297-308.

- 673 Taylor, K. D. and Mills, D. S. 2006. The development and assessment of temperament tests  
674 for adult companion dogs. *J. Vet. Behav.: Clin. Appl. Res.* 1, 94-108.
- 675 Tiira K. and Lohi H. 2015. Early Life Experiences and Exercise Associate with Canine Anxieties.  
676 *PLoS ONE* 10(11): e0141907. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0141907
- 677 Tiira K, Hakosalo O, Kareinen L, Thomas A, Hielm-Bjorkman A, Escriou C, et al. 2012.  
678 Environmental Effects on Compulsive Tail Chasing in Dogs. *PLoS ONE.* 7(7): e41684.  
679 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0041684
- 680 Topál, J., Miklósi, Á., Csányi V., Dóka A. 1998. Attachment behavior in dogs (*Canis familiaris*):  
681 A new application of Ainsworth's (1969) Strange Situation Test. *J. Comp. Psychol.*  
682 112, 219–29.
- 683 Turcsán, B., Range, F., Virányi, Z., Miklósi, Á., Kubinyi, E. 2012. Birds of a feather flock  
684 together? Perceived personality matching in owner–dog dyads. *Appl. Anim. Behav.*  
685 *Sci.* 140, 154-160.
- 686 Uher, J. 2011. Personality in Non-Human Primates: What Can We Learn from Human  
687 Personality Psychology? In: Weiss, A., King, J. E. and Murray, L. (eds.) *Personality and*  
688 *Temperament in Nonhuman Primates.* Atlanta, USA: Springer
- 689 Van den Berg, S.M., Heuven, H.C.M., Van den Berg, L., Duffy, D.L. and Serpell, J.A. 2010.  
690 Evaluation of the C-BARQ as a measure of stranger-directed aggression in three  
691 common dog breeds. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 124, 136-141.
- 692 Walter, S.D, Eliasziw, M., Donner, A. 1998. Sample size and optimal designs for reliability  
693 studies. *Stat. Med.* 17, 101-110.

694 **Table 1.** Final puppy walker questionnaire scale structures based upon PCA and reliability analysis of  
 695 original and PCA groupings for dogs scored assigned at three different ages: 5M (n=265); 8M (n=214); 12M  
 696 (n=226). \* Indicates the scale structure was changed from the expected structure due to improved internal  
 697 reliability. <sup>A</sup> Indicates that the anchors for the 100mm VAS scale were “Really does not describe this dog” to  
 698 “Really describes this dog”, whilst all remaining items were scored on a frequency scale from “Never” to  
 699 “Almost Always”.

Scale	Item Wording	Direction	Scale
Trainability*	Attention can be attracted easily but it loses interest soon	-	Attentiveness
	Attention can be easily distracted	-	Attentiveness
	Needs obedience commands repeating to get a response	-	Trainability
	Seems like it doesn't listen even if it knows someone is speaking to it	-	Trainability
	Fidgets all the time	-	Trainability
	Is attentive to you <sup>A</sup>	+	Attentiveness
	Will look at you when you talk to it directly in the home environment	+	Attentiveness
	Is self-controlled and calm <sup>A</sup>	+	Misc.
	Stay's/Wait's' when instructed to	+	Trainability
	Learns new things quickly	+	Trainability
	Will respond immediately to the recall command while off lead	+	Trainability
Is responsive to/focussed on you whilst playing retrieve games	+	Trainability	
Body Sensitivity*	Is uneasy with being physically handled/groomed	+	Body Sensitivity
	Attempts to move away when you start to groom it	+	Body Sensitivity
Distractibility	Pulls (including lunging) towards unfamiliar dogs	+	Distractibility
	Is motivated towards/distracted by food on the ground and or on tables/shelves	+	Distractibility
	Shows interest (attempts to greet, sniffs, wags tail) when directly approached by children or member of the public	+	Distractibility
	Shows interest (attempts to greet, sniffs, wags tail) when passing children or members of the public	+	Distractibility
	Shows interest (attempts to greet, sniffs, wags tail) when encounters other dogs	+	Distractibility
General Anxiety*	Is obviously disturbed by loud or unexpected sounds	+	General Anxiety
	Is spooked by odd or unexpected things or objects	+	General Anxiety
	Is anxious or uneasy in new situations	+	General Anxiety
	Backs away from or is reluctant to pass objects on the street (such as collecting boxes, bin bags or children's ride-on toys)	+	General Anxiety
Stair Anxiety*	Appears uneasy on closed stairs	+	General Anxiety
	Appears uneasy on open or unusual stairs	+	General Anxiety
Miscellaneous	Appears uneasy or uncomfortable when putting on Guide Dog equipment (including collars)	NA	Body Sensitivity
	Tucks tail under, flattens ears, whines or trembles when being physically handled/groomed	NA	Body Sensitivity
	Attempts to steal food	NA	Misc.
	When settled this dog reacts quickly to disturbances	NA	Misc.
	Is initially excitable (jumps up; barks; coughs etc.), but quickly settles	NA	Misc.
	Likes to carry objects in their mouth	NA	Trainability
	Returns directly to you if startled or frightened	NA	Misc.
	Jumps up on people (stands to place front paws on persons chest/legs)	NA	Misc.
	Plays by itself	NA	Misc.
Is the first to initiate play with you	NA	Misc.	

## Tables

**Table 2. Reliability statistics for PWQ scales.** Cronbach's alpha statistics are provided for internal reliability at each age and mean across the ages, Spearman's correlations between ages are provided for temporal consistency and Intra-Class Correlation coefficients (ICCs) are provided for inter-rater reliability.

Scale	Internal reliability				Temporal consistency (n=176)			Inter-rater reliability (n=21)
	5M (n=265)	8M (n=214)	12M (n=226)	Mean	5-8M	8-12M	5-12M	ICC
Body Sensitivity <sup>3</sup>	0.58	0.73	0.67	0.66	0.56**	0.60**	0.46**	0.20
Distractibility <sup>2</sup>	0.75	0.76	0.74	0.75	0.66**	0.61**	0.61**	0.48**
General Anxiety <sup>3</sup>	0.68	0.75	0.75	0.73	0.49**	0.66**	0.55**	0.44*
Stair Anxiety <sup>3</sup>	0.77	0.79	0.89	0.82	0.19*	0.59**	0.18*	0.33*
Trainability <sup>3</sup>	0.71	0.62	0.78	0.70	0.47**	0.52**	0.57**	0.38*

*Note:* Numbers in superscript indicate the final scale structure decision: <sup>1</sup> PCA analysis confirmed the original structure, so original structure retained (did not occur here); <sup>2</sup> PCA or Cronbach's analysis identified a new structure with reduced internal reliability, so original structure retained; <sup>3</sup> PCA or Cronbach's analysis identified a new structure with improved internal reliability, so original structure changed.

701 **Table 3. Demographic characteristics** of the 11 items from the Environmental Information survey  
 702 (n=224).

Variable		Missing
PW age	Mean $\pm$ S.D. = 56 $\pm$ 12	3
Other dogs in household	None: 70%, one: 28%, two: 3%	0
Number of adults in household	One: 14%, two: 60%, three: 14%, four: 11%, more than four: 1%	1
Number of children in household	None: 82%, one: 8%, two: 6%, three: 4%	0
Pet dogs previously owned (Y/N)	Yes: 84%, No: 15%	1
Pups walked previously	Mean $\pm$ S.D. = 4.5 $\pm$ 7.1	3
Attended puppy classes (Y/N)	Yes: 86%, No: 14%	1
Frequency of dog-dog play (0-100)	Mean $\pm$ S.D. = 65.96mm $\pm$ 28.68mm	4
Child meet frequency (0-100)	Mean $\pm$ S.D. = 56.85mm $\pm$ 29.68mm	4
Average hours unattended weekend day	<1: 38%. 1-2: 36%, 2-3: 18%, 3-4: 5%, 4-5: 1%, >5: 0%	4
Average hours unattended weekday	<1: 20%. 1-2: 43%, 2-3: 27%, 3-4: 8%, 4-5: 0%, >5: 0%	4

703

704  
705  
706  
707  
708

**Table 4. Results of univariate analyses of Environmental Information variables against Puppy Walker Questionnaire and C-BARQ scale scores.** Coefficients for each significant variable are given, as the dependent variable is a continuous measure between 0-100 the coefficient represents the mean percentage increase or decrease in scale score associated with each unit of the variable in question. ‘.’ p<0.1, \*p<0.05, \*\*p<0.01, , ‘-’ indicates no significance.

Variable	CBARQ Excitability	CBARQ Energy Level	CBARQ Separation-related behavior	CBARQ Attachment and attention seeking	Distractibility	Trainability	General Anxiety
Age of PW	-0.21*	-	-	-	-0.25*	0.13 .	-
Number of other dogs in household	-	5.78*	-	-	-	-	-3.78 .
Number of adults in household	-	-2.47 .	-	-	-	-	-
Number of children in household	4.13**	4.12*	-	-	3.71*	-	-
Pet dogs previously owned (Y/N)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Number of pups previously walked	-0.31 .	-0.48*	-	-	-0.68**	-	-
Puppy class frequency	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Frequency of dog-dog play (0-100)	-	0.10*	-0.06*	-	-	-	-
Child meet frequency (0-100)	-	0.13**	-	-	-	0.08*	-
Unattended weekend day	-	-	-3.70*	-	-8.23*	-	-
Unattended weekday	-	-	-	-	-8.11*	-	-

709

710

711

712 **Table 5.** Results of multivariate logistic regression analyses comparing environmental information about  
 713 the environment a guide dog puppy lives in for the first year of life to scores assigned to them by their  
 714 puppy walker at 12 months of age using the Puppy Walker Questionnaire (PWQ). The scoring scale for the  
 715 scores is a 100mm visual analogue scale so the coefficients represent the mean percentage change in the  
 716 score, associated with each unit change in the independent variable. <sup>a</sup> indicates a CBARQ scale and <sup>b</sup>  
 717 indicates a new PWQ scale.

Scale	Mean	Independent variable	Coefficient	SE	P
Energy Level <sup>a</sup>	71.5	Child meet frequency (0-100)	0.12	0.04	0.005
		Number of other dogs in household	5.66	2.44	0.021
		Number of pups walked previously	-0.58	0.19	0.002
Excitability <sup>a</sup>	27.7	Children in the household (count)	6.20	1.96	0.002
Separation-related behavior <sup>a</sup>	10.3	Frequency of dog-dog play (0-100)	-0.06	0.02	0.015
Distractibility <sup>b</sup>	54.4	Number of pups walked previously	-0.68	0.21	0.001
Trainability <sup>b</sup>	74.0	Child meet frequency (0-100)	0.07	0.03	0.006

718

## HIGHLIGHTS

- A composite questionnaire for juvenile guide dog behavior is described and evaluated
- Reliable scoring scales were identified that could represent dog personality 'traits'
- Associations were identified between rearing environment and questionnaire scores
- Factors related to the dog's social environment were most associated with the 'trait' scores