

Welton, Thomas and Kent, Daniel and Constantinescu, Cris S. and Auer, Dorothee P. and Dineen, Robert A. (2015) Functionally relevant white matter degradation in multiple sclerosis: a tract-based spatial meta-analysis. Radiology, 275 (1). pp. 89-96. ISSN 1527-1315

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/38908/1/14-0925-clean.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Title: Functionally-Relevant White Matter Degradation in Multiple Sclerosis: A Tract-Based Spatial Meta-Analysis

Manuscript Type: Original research.

Advances in Knowledge:

- There are differential and only minimally-overlapping distributions of lower fractional anisotropy relating to clinical disability and cognitive impairment. Low anterior callosal and thalamic fractional anisotropy has specific importance to cognitive status, whereas low posterior callosal and deep parietal fractional anisotropy has specific importance to physical disability (uncorrected p<0.005, z>1, cluster extent≥10 voxels).
- 2. Cerebral white matter degradation may be more relevant to cognitive than physical disability: 2.3 times as many voxels had a significantly lower fractional anisotropy in relation to cognition (753 voxels) than to physical disability (323 voxels) and the z-scores for those clusters were higher for cognition than for physical disability (2.532 and 1.701, respectively).

Implications for Patient Care:

 Widespread white matter damage measured by diffusion tensor imaging occurs in multiple sclerosis; our meta-analysis reveals differential and only minimally-overlapping distributions of white matter damage relating to both cognitive and physical disability.

Summary Statement: Our voxelwise meta-analysis of studies relating tract fractional anisotropy to cognitive and physical disability in multiple sclerosis reveals minimally-overlapping distributions and a possible greater relevance to cognition

than to physical disability.

Abstract

Purpose

To identify statistical consensus between published studies for distribution and functional relevance of tract white matter degradation in multiple sclerosis (MS).

Materials and Methods

By systematically searching online databases, we identified tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS) studies which (1) compare fractional anisotropy (FA; a marker for white matter integrity) in MS patients to healthy controls, (2) correlate FA in MS patients with physical disability, or (3) correlate FA in MS patients with cognitive performance. We performed voxelwise meta-analyses using the Signed Differential Mapping technique for each comparison. Moderating effects of mean age, mean physical disability score, scanner magnet strength, lesion load and number of diffusion directions were assessed by meta-regression.

Results

Data from 495 patients and 253 controls across 12 studies were meta-analysed. MS diagnosis was significantly associated with widespread lower tract FA (9 studies; largest cluster: 4379 voxels, Z=7.1, p<0.1×10⁻⁸). Greater physical disability was significantly associated with lower FA in the right posterior cingulum, left callosal splenium, right inferior fronto-occipital fasciculus and left fornix crus (6 studies; 323 voxels, Z=1.7, p=0.3×10⁻⁴). Impaired cognition was significantly associated with lower FA in the callosal genu, thalamus, right posterior cingulum and fornix crus (7 studies; largest cluster: 980 voxels, Z=2.5, p<0.1×10⁻⁸).

Conclusion

White matter damage is widespread in MS with differential and only minimallyoverlapping distributions of low FA relating to physical disability and cognitive impairment. The higher number of clusters of lower FA in relation to cognition and their higher Z-scores suggest that cerebral white matter damage may have a greater relevance to cognitive dysfunction than physical disability in MS, with low anterior callosal and thalamic FA having specific importance to cognitive status.

Introduction

Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has been used extensively in research aimed at elucidating mechanisms underlying disability in multiple sclerosis (MS)^{1, 2}. Cerebral white matter (WM) has been a target for investigation, given the propensity of MS to affect WM. Studies performing quantification and mapping of macroscopic WM lesions have identified associations between lesion distribution and disability³⁻⁸, but fail to take into account widespread diffuse damage present in normal-appearing WM (NAWM). A technique which is sensitive to this damage^{9,10}, diffusion tensor imaging (DTI), allows quantification of fractional anisotropy (FA), a marker of ultrastructural WM integrity that has been used for more than a decade to study both lesional and NAWM damage in MS⁹⁻¹⁴.

Since its description in 2006, tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS)¹⁵, has been applied to multisubject spatial analysis of DTI data examining neurological and cognitive correlates of WM degradation in MS¹⁵⁻³². These studies broadly support the suggestion that disconnection of cortical or subcortical grey matter by diffuse and focal damage of interconnecting white matter may be an important factor contributing to physical disability and cognitive dysfunction in MS¹⁸. However, results from these studies demonstrate differences in patterns of tract-based functionally-relevant FA change (i.e.tract-based correlations between FA and measures of clinical disability or cognitive function) even where there are significant methodological and demographic overlaps, and this heterogeneity limits interpretation. Some sources of such heterogeneity may include cohort age and level of disability, scanner magnet strength and the number of diffusion gradient directions used. From published studies, some common findings are apparent; for example, reduced FA and increased mean diffusivity (MD) associated with greater physical disability has been reported in the corpus callosum and pyramidal tracts^{15, 19, 21, 22, 31}. An association between worse cognitive performance and lower FA in the corpus callosum, posterior thalamic radiation and posterior cingulum has also been reported^{18, 20, 21, 23, 24, 30, 31}. Given that the sensitivity of DTI varies by brain region depending on the direction and density of nerve fibres³³, meta-analysis of DTI data could potentially increase statistical power enough to identify important regions of interest which would otherwise go unnoticed, for example those in smaller tracts. We aimed to identify statistical consensus between published studies for distribution and functional relevance of tract WM degradation in MS.

Methods

This study was financially supported by a studentship grant from the UK MS Society (Registered Charity No. 1139257). The authors retained full control of the data and information submitted for publication.

Literature Search

The literature search was performed separately by two researchers (TW: expertise in computer science (6 years) and radiological science (2 years); DK: expertise in medical sciences (3 years) and radiological science (1 year)). MEDLINE and Web of Knowledge databases were searched, as well as Google Scholar, using the search term: ""multiple sclerosis" AND ((TBSS OR "tract-based spatial statistics") OR (DTI OR "diffusion tensor"))". Results were not restricted to a particular language but were filtered to include only results during or after 2006 (the date of publication of the original TBSS paper¹⁵). Abstracts and, where necessary, full texts of the identified articles were first screened to select only those that performed TBSS analysis of DTI data. The remaining articles then underwent further screening to identify articles that included one or more of the following voxelwise analyses:

- Group comparison of fractional anisotropy (FA) in MS patients to FA in healthy controls;
- Correlation of FA in MS patients to scores on the Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS)³⁴, a general measure of disability comprising 8 functional systems which is heavily weighted toward ambulation;
- Correlation of FA in MS patients to a general or summary score of cognition.
 This included studies performing voxelwise correlation of paced auditory serial addition task (PASAT)³⁵ scores, a sensitive but relatively non-specific measure

of cognitive performance³⁶ that tests functional domains including sustained attention, calculation ability, processing speed and working memory, and is used as the summary cognitive measure in the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite (MSFC)³⁷.

Studies were excluded if they focussed on a region-of-interest instead of performing a whole-brain analysis, or if they correlated whole-brain summary DTI measures (e.g. mean FA) with test scores instead of performing voxelwise correlations. Where multiple articles reporting TBSS results from a single cohort or overlapping cohorts was suspected, this was checked directly with the authors and duplicate data excluded. Reference lists of articles identified by the search were hand-searched to identify any other relevant papers. We recorded from each included article: the number of subjects, the included MS subtypes, the sample origin, the sample mean age, the number of diffusion directions and which voxelwise correlations had been made. Primary authors were contacted by e-mail to request the unthresholded statistical maps required for voxelwise meta-analysis. We were also supplied with additional unpublished data for the correlation of FA to EDSS scores from two of the cohorts in the included published studies^{18, 31}.

Quality Assessment

The methodological quality of each article was assessed against a set of nine weighted criteria, based on those of Kmet et al³⁸ and adapted to meet the needs of this review by TW (Table e-1). Weightings were set such that there was an emphasis on image quality. Articles scoring less than a pre-defined threshold of 50% (fewer than 9 of the available 17 points), corresponding to three of the higher-weighted criteria were excluded from the meta-analysis.

Meta-Analysis

Three separate spatial meta-analyses were performed (by TW) using the Signed Differential Mapping (SDM) software³⁹ (v 4.12): one for each of the three comparisons listed above. For each, the *t*-statistic images were converted to unbiased effect size and variance maps using the method of Peters et al⁴⁰: "(1) retrieval of a mass number (e.g. 5000) of low-thresholded local peaks from the statistical maps, (2) incorporation of these peaks to the SDM peak-based preprocessing procedure to reconstruct the effect-size maps". The SDM software then created an SDM map for each study and permuted the location of the voxels to create randomised SDM maps. With this method, we ensured that all effect size maps overlapped properly with the TBSS template. A 20mm half-width Gaussian kernel was applied using the peak coordinates to recreate signed effect size maps, as recommended by the authors of SDM³⁹. Voxels closer to a peak were therefore assumed to have a higher effect size. Using a random-effects model, a voxelwise mean of the study maps was created, which was weighted by the mean of the inverse of each study's variance and the inter-study heterogeneity. This approach meant that the results accounted for study size and for brain regions having a large variance between studies. A voxel-based permutation test determined statistical significance. Based on an empirical validation by the authors of SDM³⁹, we used the recommended thresholds (uncorrected p<0.005, z>1, cluster extent≥10 voxels), which were found to optimally balance sensitivity and specificity, and to approximately correspond to an equivalent corrected p-value of 0.05. To assess the effects of false positive results, we also ran the meta-analyses with the more stringent p-value threshold of 0.00001. We conducted jack-knife (leave-one-out) sensitivity analyses in which multiple repeats of the meta-analysis were performed,

but leaving out one study each time, allowing assessment of the robustness of the results.

Meta-Regressions

The moderating effects of mean age, mean EDSS score, scanner magnet strength, lesion volume and number of diffusion directions were assessed by meta-regression (by TW). In line with previous meta-analyses and recommendations by the authors of SDM⁴¹, we used a low probability threshold of 0.0005, only included abnormalities that were apparent in both the slope and in one extreme of the regressor, and ignored abnormalities that were not also present in the main analysis.

Results

Literature Search

Figure 1 shows a summary of the results of the literature search. Of 127 search results, 36 articles (28%) were duplicates from searches in the other databases. Of the 91 unique search results, 68 articles (75%) were excluded based on review of their titles and abstracts because they did not analyse diffusion data from MS patients using TBSS. A further 5 papers were excluded following full reviews because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. Corresponding authors of 18 articles were contacted. Of those, one article³² was excluded because it reported the same dataset as that reported in another included study¹⁵. We received responses from all corresponding authors, but for 5 studies^{17, 26-29} the required *t*-statistic images were unavailable. The resulting dataset (table 1) comprised 495 MS patients and 253 healthy controls from 12 studies^{15, 16, 18-25, 30, 31}. The dataset included subjects originating in 10 countries across 3 continents.

Quality Assessment

Table e-1 shows the results of the quality assessment. The mean quality score was 76.7% (13 of 17 available points; SD \pm 14.1%). All articles surpassed the minimum quality threshold.

FA in MS Patients and Healthy Controls

The comparison of FA in MS to FA in healthy controls was reported in 9 of the articles, whose combined sample numbered 398 MS patients and 233 healthy controls. Voxelwise meta-analysis revealed widespread supra-threshold white matter abnormalities in MS patients compared to controls, predominantly occurring in the

corpus callosum, periventricular white matter and fornix (figure 2, table e-2). There was one large cluster (which contained all of those regions; 4379 voxels, Z=7.1, $p<0.1\times10^{-8}$) and 7 smaller clusters. One cluster of lower FA negatively associated with MS diagnosis was present in the right posterior internal capsule (24 voxels, Z=-1.3, $p=0.9\times10^{-6}$).

[Figure 2 here]

FA and Physical Disability

An FA-to-physical disability comparison was reported in 6 of the articles, whose combined sample numbered 200 MS patients. All included studies measured physical disability using EDSS³⁴. More severe disability was associated with lower FA in one large cluster including the posterior body and splenium of the corpus callosum, left fornix crus, left thalamus, right thalamus, posterior thalamic radiation and stria terminalis (323 voxels, Z=1.7, $p=0.3\times10^{-4}$). There were no clusters where lower FA was associated with lower levels of physical disability (figure 3, table e-3).

FA and Cognition

An FA-to-cognition comparison was reported in 7 of the articles, whose combined sample numbered 417 MS patients. All comparisons were based on scores on the PASAT³⁵, except the study of Schoonheim et al³⁰, which used a composite measure ('average cognition') derived from 7 cognitive domains, and the study of Mazerolle et al²⁴, which used the Symbol Digit Modalities Test⁴² (SDMT). More impaired cognition was associated with lower FA in one large cluster comprising the thalami and fornices bilaterally, corpus callosum (with anterior predominance), right cingulum and

right posterior thalamic radiation (1073 voxels, Z=2.5, p< 0.1×10^{-8}). There were no clusters showing lower FA associated with better performance (figure 3, table e-4).

Meta-Regressions and Sensitivity Analyses

Meta-regression analyses showed that the number of diffusion directions used in the scan had a significant moderating effect on the correlation between EDSS and FA in MS patients, with more clusters being detected in scans with more diffusion directions, specifically in one cluster in the posterior cingulum (13 voxels, Z=2.4, $p=0.9\times10^{-5}$; table e-5). No other significant moderating effects of mean age, mean EDSS score, scanner magnet strength, lesion load or number of diffusion directions were found for any comparison. Sensitivity analysis showed that, for the group comparison, our results were consistent, with most analyses retaining 100% of the significant cluster groups (9 of 9) when one study was excluded (table e-6). In the correlation of lower FA to physical disability, although only one cluster group was detected, it survived 66% of the tests (4 of 6; table e-7). In the correlation of lower FA to impaired cognition, the results were partly sensitive to the exclusion of two studies^{20, 30} (table e-8).

When running the meta-analyses with a lower p-value threshold of 0.00001, the largest clusters in the meta analyses of FA in patients and controls and FA and cognition remained significant, while in the FA and EDSS meta-analysis, the were no significant clusters.

Discussion

Our voxelwise meta-analysis of studies relating tract fractional anisotropy to cognitive and physical disability in multiple sclerosis reveals minimallyoverlapping distributions and a possible greater relevance to cognition than to physical disability. These findings provide the first statistical neuroimaging consensus for distributions of altered FA in the cerebral white matter associated with the diagnosis of MS, cognition and physical disability, and may aid in understanding the mechanisms underlying disability in MS.

While some studies had reported the posterior cingulum and splenium as having lower FA in patients with greater physical disability^{19, 22}, our results suggest that further, previously unassociated, areas are implicated. Ultrastructural damage to NAWM, both as a direct result of the disease process and as a result of Wallerian degeneration secondary to distal lesions⁴³, may have gone undetected in individual analyses but, in combination, reached significance in our analysis. An additional advantage of our approach is that the relatively large combined sample sizes reduced the risk of observing false positive results. The meta-analysis confirms the relationship between structures known to play key roles in subcortical cognitive circuits such as the thalami, fornices⁴⁴, and right posterior cingulum: the posterior cingulum has a role in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease⁴⁵, and its integrity is important to several task-relevant aspects of cognition including sustained attention and working memory⁴⁶. Our analysis of cognitively-relevant tract injury has confirmed the importance of callosal involvement^{24, 47, 48} and shows an anteriorposterior gradient, with a greater cognitive relevance of lower FA in the genu and anterior body of the corpus callosum. The higher z-scores associated with clusters relating to cognitive performance and FA relative to the physical disability and FA

analysis indicates that cerebral white matter damage may be more relevant to cognitive impairment than to physical disability.

While both physical disability and cognitive dysfunction in MS are multifactorial, being mediated by injurious or adaptive changes at multiple sites in the central nervous system (including grey matter and spinal cord damage in addition to cerebral white matter), this meta-analysis supports the notion that disconnection of grey matter regions by white matter damage is an important mechanism contributing to the symptomatology of MS, particularly cognitive dysfunction. Recent research explores the disconnection of widespread brain networks by other approaches ^{49, 50} and is consistent with the structural disconnection phenomenon demonstrated here in suggesting that cognition may be mediated by brain networks' functional connectivity. For example, graph-theoretic analyses with fMRI^{51, 52} and EEG⁵³ have shown that lower long-range regional integration and altered topological network properties are associated with disease diagnosis, disability and cognition^{54, 55}.

Our study was limited by three main factors. First, our meta-regressions, as well as being inherently less powerful than the main meta-analyses, were based on the reported mean values from the individual articles rather than the raw values; as such, their results are less accurate than they could be and should, in particular, be interpreted with caution. Second, our analysis of cognitively relevant WM FA alteration included studies that used different tests of cognition, based on the overlap in cognitive domains tested by them. The structure and range of this data may vary between cognitive tests, which could have impacted the final result. <u>Finally, although SDM uses a p-value threshold considered to be equivalent to FDR, the impact of false positives on this type of study is not well-understood. False positive results are a recognised problem in meta-analysis⁵⁶, but our approach has two advantages: the</u>

use of t-statistic maps instead of reported coordinates and the reduced number of statistical tests involved when focussing only on the white matter tracts. Nonetheless, to attempt to control false positives we performed a secondary analysis with a more conservative threshold than that recommended by the authors of SDM, in which the results of the group comparison and the comparison of FA and cognition retained significance.

<u>Given the concern about false positives, further work is needed to establish the</u> <u>validity of the SDM method; for example, in comparison with other popular methods,</u> <u>such as ALE. One major issue in that regard is that SDM offers no way to control the</u> <u>proportion of false positives at the cluster level.</u> Future work should explore further the relationship of other DTI indices to brain function: while our study focussed on the FA diffusion metric because it is a sensitive measure of microstructural neuropathology⁵⁷ that is an established marker of white matter degradation in MS and a commonly reported metric in TBSS studies, there is evidence that it does not fully describe the tensor shape or distribution, and thus may not sufficiently describe the underlying cellular changes⁵⁷. Several studies have used the axial diffusivity (AD), radial diffusivity (RD) and MD metrics, noting widespread higher values corresponding to lower values of FA, and, in some cases, that they were better predictors of physical disability scores than FA^{16, 20-23, 29-31}; however, further work is needed in this area to establish a consensus view.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis confirms that WM damage is widespread in MS and that distributions of lower FA related to cognitive impairment and physical disability are spatially distinct from one another. Our findings highlight a possible greater importance of cerebral WM damage to cognition than to physical disability.

References

1. Ge Y. Multiple Sclerosis: The Role of MR Imaging. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2006;27:1165-1176.

2. Filippi M, Rocca MA, Benedict RHB, et al. The contribution of MRI in assessing cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2010;75:2121-2128.

3. Charil A, Zijdenbos AP, Taylor J, et al. Statistical mapping analysis of lesion location and neurological disability in multiple sclerosis: application to 452 patient data sets. Neuroimage 2003;19:532-544.

4. Foong J, Rozewicz L, Quaghebeur G, et al. Executive function in multiple sclerosis. The role of frontal lobe pathology. Brain 1997;120:15-26.

5. Rovaris M, Filippi M, Falautano M, et al. Relation between MR abnormalities and patterns of cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 1998;50:1601-1608.

6. Arnett PA, Rao SM, Bernardin L, Grafman J, Yetkin FZ, Lobeck L. Relationship between frontal lobe lesions and Wisconsin Card Sorting Test performance in patients with multiple sclerosis. Neurology 1994;44:420-425.

 Swirsky-Sacchetti T, Mitchell DR, Seward J, et al. Neuropsychological and structural brain lesions in multiple sclerosis: a regional analysis. Neurology 1992;42:1291-1295.

8. Tsolaki M, Drevelegas A, Karachristianou S, Kapinas K, Divanoglou D, Routsonis K. Correlation of dementia, neuropsychological and MRI findings in multiple sclerosis. Dementia 1994;5:48-52. 9. Iannucci G, Rovaris M, Giacomotti L, Comi G, Filippi M. Correlation of multiple sclerosis measures derived from T2-weighted, T1-weighted, magnetization transfer, and diffusion tensor MR imaging. Ajnr: American Journal of Neuroradiology 2001;22:1462-1467.

10. Rovaris M, Iannucci G, Falautano M, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in patients with mildly disabling relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: an exploratory study with diffusion tensor MR imaging. Journal of the Neurological Sciences 2002;195:103-109.

11. Ciccarelli O, Werring DJ, Wheeler-Kingshott CAM, et al. Investigation of MS normal-appearing brain using diffusion tensor MRI with clinical correlations. Neurology 2001;56:926-933.

12. Guo AC, MacFall JR, Provenzale JM. Multiple sclerosis: diffusion tensor MR imaging for evaluation of normal-appearing white matter. Radiology 2002;222:729-736.

13. Werring DJ, Clark CA, Barker GJ, Thompson AJ, Miller DH. Diffusion tensor imaging of lesions and normal-appearing white matter in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 1999;52:1626-1632.

14. Filippi M, Cercignani M, Inglese M, Horsfield MA, Comi G. Diffusion tensor magnetic resonance imaging in multiple sclerosis. Neurology 2001;56:304-311.

15. Smith SM, Jenkinson M, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Tract-based spatial statistics: voxelwise analysis of multi-subject diffusion data. Neuroimage 2006;31:1487-1505.

16. Blaschek A, Keeser D, Müller S, et al. Early white matter changes in childhood multiple sclerosis: a diffusion tensor imaging study. American Journal of Neuroradiology 2013;34:2015-2020.

17. Bodini B, Khaleeli Z, Cercignani M, Miller DH, Thompson AJ, Ciccarelli O. Exploring the relationship between white matter and gray matter damage in early primary progressive multiple sclerosis: an in vivo study with TBSS and VBM. Human brain mapping 2009;30:2852-2861.

18. Dineen RA, Vilisaar J, Hlinka J, et al. Disconnection as a mechanism for cognitive dysfunction in multiple sclerosis. Brain 2009;132:239-249.

19. Giorgio A, Palace J, Johansen-Berg H, et al. Relationships of brain white matter microstructure with clinical and MR measures in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging 2010;31:309-316.

20. Hulst HE, Steenwijk MD, Versteeg A, et al. Cognitive impairment in MS Impact of white matter integrity, gray matter volume, and lesions. Neurology 2013;80:1025-1032.

21. Kern KC, Sarcona J, Montag M, Giesser BS, Sicotte NL. Corpus callosal diffusivity predicts motor impairment in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: a TBSS and tractography study. Neuroimage 2011;55:1169-1177.

22. Liu Y, Duan Y, He Y, et al. Whole brain white matter changes revealed by multiple diffusion metrics in multiple sclerosis: a TBSS study. European journal of radiology 2012;81:2826-2832.

23. Llufriu S, Martinez-Heras E, Fortea J, et al. Cognitive functions in multiple sclerosis: impact of gray matter integrity. Multiple Sclerosis 2013;20:424-432.

24. Mazerolle EL, Wojtowicz MA, Omisade A, Fisk JD. Intra-individual variability in information processing speed reflects white matter microstructure in multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage: clinical 2013;2:894-902.

25. Onu M, Roceanu A, Sboto-Frankenstein U, et al. Diffusion abnormality maps in demyelinating disease: correlations with clinical scores. European journal of radiology 2012;81:e386-e391.

26. Raz E, Cercignani M, Sbardella E, et al. Clinically isolated syndrome suggestive of multiple sclerosis: voxelwise regional investigation of white and gray matter. Radiology 2010;254:227.

27. Roosendaal SD, Geurts JJG, Vrenken H, et al. Regional DTI differences in multiple sclerosis patients. Neuroimage 2009;44:1397-1403.

28. Roosendaal SD, Schoonheim MM, Hulst HE, et al. Resting state networks change in clinically isolated syndrome. Brain 2010;133:1612-1621.

29. Sbardella E, Petsas N, Tona F, et al. Assessing the correlation between grey and white matter damage with motor and cognitive impairment in multiple sclerosis patients. PloS one 2013;8:e63250.

30. Schoonheim MM, Vigeveno RM, Lopes FCR, et al. Sex-specific extent and severity of white matter damage in multiple sclerosis: Implications for cognitive decline. Human brain mapping 2013;35:2348-2358.

 Yu HJ, Christodoulou C, Bhise V, et al. Multiple white matter tract abnormalities underlie cognitive impairment in RRMS. NeuroImage 2012;59:3713-3722. 32. Cader S, Johansen-Berg H, Wylezinska M, et al. Discordant white matter Nacetylasparate and diffusion MRI measures suggest that chronic metabolic dysfunction contributes to axonal pathology in multiple sclerosis. NeuroImage 2007;36:19.

33. Mori S, van Zijl PC. Fiber tracking: principles and strategies – a technical review. NMR Biomed 2002;15:468-480.

34. Kurtzke JF. Rating neurologic impairment in multiple sclerosis: an expanded disability status scale (EDSS). Neurology 1983;33:1444-1452.

35. Gronwall DMA. Paced auditory serial-addition task: A measure of recovery from concussion. Perceptual and motor skills 1977;44:367-373.

36. Tombaugh TN. A comprehensive review of the Paced Auditory Serial Addition Test (PASAT). Arch Clin Neuropsychol 2006;21:53-76.

37. Fischer JS, Rudick RA, Cutter GR, Reingold SC. The Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite Measure (MSFC): an integrated approach to MS clinical outcome assessment. National MS Society Clinical Outcomes Assessment Task Force. Mult Scler 1999;5:244-250.

38. Kmet LM, Lee RC, Cook LS. Standard quality assessment criteria for evaluating primary research papers from a variety of fields. HTA Initiative 2004;13.

39. Radua J, Mataix-Cols D, Phillips ML, et al. A new meta-analytic method for neuroimaging studies that combines reported peak coordinates and statistical parametric maps. Eur Psychiatry 2012;17:605-611.

40. Peters BD, Szeszko PR, Radua J, et al. White Matter Development in Adolescence: Diffusion Tensor Imaging and Meta-Analytic Results. Schizophrenia Bulletin 2012;38:1308-1317.

41. Radua J, Mataix-Cols D. Voxel-wise meta-analysis of grey matter changes in obsessive-compulsive disorder. The British Journal of Psychiatry 2009;195:393-402.

42. Smith A. Symbol Digit Modalities Test. Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services; 1991.

43. Lin F, Yu C, Jiang T, Li K, Chan P. Diffusion tensor tractography-based group mapping of the pyramidal tract in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients. American journal of neuroradiology 2007;28:278-282.

44. Dineen RA, Bradshaw CM, Constantinescu CS, Auer DP. Extra-Hippocampal Subcortical Limbic Involvement Predicts Episodic Recall Performance in Multiple Sclerosis. PloS one 2012;7:e44942.

45. Zhang Y, Schuff N, Jahng G-H, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging of cingulum fibers in mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer disease. Neurology 2007;68:13-19.

46. Takahashi M, Iwamoto K, Fukatsu H, Naganawa S, Iidaka T, Ozaki N. White matter microstructure of the cingulum and cerebellar peduncle is related to sustained attention and working memory: a diffusion tensor imaging study. Neuroscience letters 2010;477:72-76.

47. Hasan KM, Gupta RK, Santos RM, Wolinsky JS, Narayana PA. Diffusion tensor fractional anisotropy of the normal-appearing seven segments of the corpus callosum in healthy adults and relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis patients. Journal of Magnetic Resonance Imaging 2005;21:735-743. 48. Mesaros S, Rocca MA, Riccitelli G, et al. Corpus callosum damage and cognitive dysfunction in benign MS. Human Brain Mapping 2009;30:2656-2666.

49. Louapre C, Perlbarg V, García-Lorenzo D, et al. Brain networks disconnection in early multiple sclerosis cognitive deficits: An anatomofunctional study. Human Brain Mapping 2014;35:4706-4717.

50. Sharp DJ, Scott G, Leech R. Network dysfunction after traumatic brain injury. Nature Reviews Neurology 2014;10:156-166.

51. Dogonowski A-M, Andersen KW, Madsen KH, et al. Multiple sclerosis impairs regional functional connectivity in the cerebellum. NeuroImage: Clinical 2014;4:130-138.

52. Rocca M, Valsasina P, Absinta M, et al. Default-mode network dysfunction and cognitive impairment in progressive MS. Neurology 2010;74:1252-1259.

53. Van Schependom J, Gielen J, Laton J, D'hooghe MB, De Keyser J, Nagels G. Graph theoretical analysis indicates cognitive impairment in MS stems from neural disconnection. NeuroImage: Clinical 2014;4:403-410.

54. He Y, Dagher A, Chen Z, et al. Impaired small-world efficiency in structural cortical networks in multiple sclerosis associated with white matter lesion load. Brain 2009;132:3366-3379.

55. Shu N, Liu Y, Li K, et al. Diffusion tensor tractography reveals disrupted topological efficiency in white matter structural networks in multiple sclerosis. Cerebral Cortex 2011;21:2565-2577.

56. Tench CR, Tanasescu R, Auer DP, Constantinescu CS. Coordinate BAsed Meta-Analysis of Functional Neuroimaging Data; False Discovery Control and Diagnostics. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e70143.

57. Ni H, Kavcic V, Zhu T, Ekholm S, Zhong J. Effects of number of diffusion gradient directions on derived diffusion tensor imaging indices in human brain. American journal of neuroradiology 2006;27:1776-1781.

| | | | | | | | Study | | | | | | |
|-----------|-----|---------|--------|----|--------|-----|-------------|-------------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|----------|
| | MS | | | Сс | ontrol | | | | | | Comparis | sons | |
| Reference | N | Age %F | EDSS | Ν | Age | %F | Country | Subtypes | Scanner | Diffusion | FA- | FA- | MS- |
| | | | | | | | | | т | Directions | cognition | physical | controls |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | disability | |
| 16 | 14 | 15.0 73 | 0.75 | 14 | 14.7 | 73 | Germany | - | 3 | 20 | | | 1 |
| 18 | 37 | 43.5 88 | 3.00 | 25 | 36.4 | 66 | UK | 35 RR, 2 SP | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 19 | 45 | 29.0 64 | 1.50 | 0 | - | - | Austria | 45 RR | 1.5 | 6 | | 1 | |
| 22 | 41 | 36.8 54 | 2.00 | 41 | 34.6 | 54 | China | 41 RR | 1.5 | 6 | | 1 | 1 |
| 23 | 67 | 39.5 64 | 1.50 | 26 | 36.0 | 65 | Spain | 67 RR | 3 | 30 | 1 | | 1 |
| 25 | 8 | 37.0 63 | 3.50 | 12 | 40.0 | 58 | Romania | - | 1.5 | 25 | | | 1 |
| 30 | 131 | 40.5 67 | 1.50 | 49 | 41.0 | 59 | Netherlands | 114 RR, 8 | 3 | 30 | | | |
| | | | | | | | | PP, 9 SP | | | v | | v |
| 15 | 15 | 43.0 53 | 2.50 | 0 | - | - | UK | 13 RR, 2 SP | 1.5 | 60 | | 1 | |
| 31 | 37 | 40.9 84 | 2.25 | 20 | 34.0 | 80 | USA | 37 RR | 3 | 15 | 1 | 1 | |
| 24 | 20 | 42.4 10 |) 2.25 | 20 | 42.5 | 100 | Canada | 20 RR | 1.5 | 55 | 1 | | 1 |
| 20 | 55 | 50.2 55 | 4.0 | 30 | 44.5 | 63 | Netherlands | 39 RR, 16 | 1.5 | 60 | 1 | | 1 |
| | | | | | | | | SP | | | - | | - |
| 21 | 25 | 37.0 76 | 1.7 | 16 | 34.0 | 81 | USA | 25 RR | 3 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Table 1. Studies included in the final meta-analyses.

Abbreviations: RR = relapsing-remitting; SP = secondary progressive; PP = primary progressive.

| Criterion | Weight | Blaschek 2013 | Dineen 2009 | Giorgio 2010 | Liu 2012 | Llufriu 2013 | Onu 2012 | Schoonheim 2013 | Smith 2006 | Yu 2012 | Mazerolle 2013 | Hulst 2013 | Kern 2011 |
|---|--------|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------------|----------|-----------------|------------|---------|----------------|------------|-----------|
| Did the authors have a clear a priori hypothesis and design? | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Did all members of the MS group have a clinically- definite diagnosis? | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | 1 | 1 | ? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were the treatments currently being received by members of the MS group recorded? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | Ν | 1 | Ν | Ν | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Did the authors justify their chosen FA threshold? | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | Ν | Ν | Ν | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | Ν | Ν |
| Did the DTI protocol use 20 or more diffusion directions? | 3 | 1 | Ν | Ν | Ν | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | 1 | 1 | Ν |
| Did the scanner used have a magnet strength of 3 Tesla or greater? | 3 | 1 | 1 | Ν | Ν | 1 | Ν | 1 | Ν | 1 | Ν | Ν | 1 |
| Did members of the MS group undergo clinical assessment at the time of participation? | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Ν | 1 | 1 |
| Did all subjects receive the same intervention using the same facilities? | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Were the data processing steps appropriate considering the hypothesis? | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Total | 17 | 17 | 14 | 9 | 10 | 14 | 11 | 17 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 |
| Percent | | 100 | 82 | 53 | 59 | 82 | 65 | 100 | 77 | 77 | 71 | 77 | 77 |

Supplemental Table e-1. The quality assessment criteria and scores.

Legend: \checkmark = the criterion is met; N = the criterion is not met; ? = information for this criterion was not reported. Abbreviations: MS = multiple sclerosis; DTI = diffusion tensor imaging.

Supplemental Table e-2. Significant regional correlations between FA and MS

diagnosis.

| Cluster Group Name & Sub-Clusters ^(a) | MNI Coordinates | SDM Z- | P-Value (c) | Number of | Cluster Breakdown (Number of |
|--|--------------------|--------|-------------|-----------|---|
| | Coordinates | Value | | 00013 | |
| Lower FA associated with MS diagnosis (patients < controls) | | | | | |
| Corpus callosum body | 16, -26, 32 | 7.139 | <0.00000001 | 4379 | Corpus callosum body (531) Corpus callosum genu (307) Corpus callosum splenium (304) R posterior thalamic radiation (159) BA 20 (138) L posterior thalamic radiation (128) L anterior corona radiata (125) BA 18 (125) L thalamus (102) R posterior corona radiata (97) BA 37 (92) R anterior corona radiata (91) R sagittal stratum (88) BA 20 (81) L fornix crus / stria terminalis (80) |
| Cerebellum | 4, -56, -18 | 4.997 | 0.000001 | 160 | Middle cerebellar peduncle (40) R inferior cerebellar peduncle (12) BA 37 (11) BA 18 (11) |
| BA 10 | 14, 58, 10 | 4.704 | 0.00008 | 48 | BA 9 (16) BA 31 (11) |
| BA 43 | 56, -10, 24 | 4.561 | 0.00001 | 54 | BA 47 (13) |
| BA 9 | -10, 36, 46 | 4.415 | 0.00002 | 44 | BA 31 (12) BA 9 (11) |
| BA 47 | -46, 14, 12 | 4.314 | 0.00004 | 59 | BA 47 (23) BA 5 (15) BA 47 (11) |
| R cerebellum lobule VI | 8, -68, -24 | 4.080 | 0.0001 | 20 | R cerebellum lobule VI (14) |
| BA 22 | 58, -30, 10 | 3.984 | 0.0001 | 20 | BA 41 (10) BA 22 (10) |
| Higher FA associated with MS diagnosis (patients > controls) | | | | | |
| R posterior internal capsule | 26, -16, 14 | -1.322 | 0.000009 | 24 | R posterior internal capsule (21) |

(a) Cluster group names assigned by SDM are illustrative and do not necessarily describe contiguous clusters.

(b) Voxel probability threshold: p = 0.005.

(c) Peak height threshold: z = 1.

(d) Cluster extent threshold: 10 voxels. Regions with fewer than 10 voxels are not included in the cluster breakdown. Cluster breakdown only includes the 15 largest regions where more than 15 exist.

Supplemental Table e-3. Significant regional correlations between FA and EDSS

scores in MS patients.

| Cluster Group Name (a) | MNI Coordinates | SDM Z- Value ^(b) | P-Value (c) | Number of Voxels ^(d) | Cluster Breakdown (Number of Voxels) (d) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Lower FA associated with greater disability (FA < EDSS) L Fornix crus / stria terminalis | -30, -22, -8 | 1.701 | 0.00003 | 323 | Corpus callosum splenium (96) L fornix crus / stria terminalis (37) L thalamus (26) Corpus callosum body (25) R posterior thalamic radiation (18) BA 18 (12) R thalamus (11) |
| Lower FA associated with less disability (FA > EDSS) (none) | | | | | |

- (a) Cluster group names assigned by SDM are illustrative and do not necessarily describe contiguous clusters.
- (b) Voxel probability threshold: p = 0.005.
- (c) Peak height threshold: z = 1.
- (d) Cluster extent threshold: 10 voxels. Regions with fewer than 10 voxels are not included in the cluster breakdown.

Supplemental Table e-4. Significant regional correlations between FA and cognitive

test scores in MS patients.

| Cluster Group Name (a) | MNI Coordinates | SDM Z- Value ^(b) | P-Value (c) | Number of Voxels (d) | Cluster Breakdown (Number of Voxels) ^(d) |
|--|--------------------|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|--|
| Lower FA associated with poorer performance (FA < test scores) | | | | | |
| Fornix crus / stria | -24, -34, 4 | 2.532 | <0.00000001 | 980 | Corpus callosum genu (211) |
| | | | | | Corpus callosum body (199) L thalamus (103) Corpus callosum splenium (75) R thalamus (68) R cingulum (36) L fornix crus / stria terminalis (31) R fornix crus / stria terminalis (19) BA 22 (14) L cingulum (13) BA 48 (12) |
| R posterior thalamic radiation | 28, -64, 14 | 2.013 | 0.00003 | 19 | R posterior thalamic radiation (16) |
| L posterior thalamic radiation | -34, -58, 14 | 1.998 | 0.00004 | 42 | L posterior thalamic radiation (12) |
| BA 20 | -44, -10, - 30 | 1.900 | 0.0001 | 20 | BA 20 (13) |
| BA 41 | 56, -36, 16 | 1.724 | 0.0009 | 12 | BA 41 (11) |
| Lower FA associated with better performance (FA > test scores) (none) | | | | | |

- (a) Cluster group names assigned by SDM are illustrative and do not necessarily describe contiguous clusters.
- (b) Voxel probability threshold: p = 0.005.
- (c) Peak height threshold: z = 1.
- (d) Cluster extent threshold: 10 voxels. Regions with fewer than 10 voxels are not included in the cluster breakdown.

Supplemental Table e-5. Relevance of WM degradation in MS to EDSS score: meta

regression analyses.

| | MNI Coordinates | SDM Z- Value ^(a) | P-Value ^(b) | Number of Voxels ^(c) | Cluster Breakdown (Number of Voxels) ^(c) |
|---|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| EFFECTS OF MEAN AGE (none) | | | | | |
| EFFECTS OF MEAN EDSS SCORE (none) | | | | | |
| <u>EFFECTS OF NUMBER OF DIFFUSION</u> <u>DIRECTIONS</u> Greater FA-EDSS correlations specific to patients who were scanned with many diffusion directions (many diffusion directions > few diffusion directions) | | | | | |
| Posterior Cingulum | -18, -40, -4 | 2.380 | 0.000009 | 13 | L BA 27 (11) |

(a) Voxel probability threshold: p = 0.0005 for the slope and one intercept. (b) Peak height threshold: z = 1.

(c) Cluster extent threshold: 10 voxels.

Supplemental Table e-6. Significant assocaiations between FA and MS diagnosis: sensitivity analysis.

| | Lower FA | associated w | ith N | Higher FA associated with MS diagnosis | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|-----------|--|-----------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|---|
| Excluded study | Corpus callosum body | Cerebellun | nBA 10 | BA 43 | BA 9 | BA 47 | R cerebellum lobule VI | BA 22 | R posterior internal capsule | Number of cluster groups surviving when excluding the study |
| Blaschek | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 of 9 |
| Dineen | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | 8 of 9 |
| Liu | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | No | No | 5 of 9 |
| Llufriu | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 of 9 |
| Onu | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 of 9 |
| Schoonheim | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 8 of 9 |
| Mazerolle | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 of 9 |
| Hulst | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 8 of 9 |
| Kern | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 9 of 9 |
| Number of jack-knife analyses survived by cluster group | the9 of 9 | 9 of 9 | 6 of 9 | 9 of 9 | 9 of 9 | 8 of 9 | 9 of 9 | 7 of 9 | 8 of 9 | |

The table shows, by excluding each study from the meta-analysis one-by-one, which cluster groups were retained in the result. Each cluster group detected in the signed differential map is a column. A "Yes" indicates that the cluster in that column was still present in the result when re-running the meta-analysis with the study in that row excluded.

Supplmental Table e-7. Significant regional correlations between FA and EDSS

scores in MS patients: sensitivity analysis.

| | Lower FA associated with greate disability | Pr |
|---|---|--|
| Excluded study | L fornix crus / stria terminalis | Number of cluster groups surviving when excluding the study |
| Dineen | Yes | 1 of 1 |
| Giorgio | Yes | 1 of 1 |
| Liu | No | 0 of 1 |
| Smith | Yes | 1 of 1 |
| Yu | Yes | 1 of 1 |
| Kern | No | 0 of 1 |
| Number of jack-knife analyses survived by the cluster group | 4 of 6 | |

The table shows, by excluding each study from the meta-analysis one-by-one, which cluster groups were retained in the result. Each cluster group detected in the signed differential map is a column. A "Yes" indicates that the cluster in that column was still present in the result when re-running the meta-analysis with the study in that row excluded.

Supplemental Table e-8. Significant regional correlations between FA and cognitive test scores in MS patients: sensitivity analysis.

| | Lower FA assoc | ciated with poorer p | | | | |
|---|--|----------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|---|
| Excluded study | Fornix crus / striaR posterior terminalis thalamic radiatio | | L posterior thalamic radiation | BA B/ 20 41 | | Number of cluster groups surviving when excluding the study |
| Dineen | Yes | No | Yes | Yes | Yes | 4 of 5 |
| Llufriu | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | 4 of 5 |
| Schoonheim | No | No | Yes | Yes | No | 2 of 5 |
| Yu | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | Yes | 4 of 5 |
| Hulst | No | No | Yes | No | Yes | 2 of 5 |
| Kern | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | No | 4 of 5 |
| Mazerolle | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | 5 of 5 |
| Number of jack-knife analyses survived by the cluster group | 5 of 7 | 4 of 7 | 7 of 7 | 4 of 7 | 5 of 7 | |

The table shows, by excluding each study from the meta-analysis one-by-one, which cluster groups were retained in the result. Each cluster group detected in the signed differential map is a column. A "Yes" indicates that the cluster in that column was still present in the result when re-running the meta-analysis with the study in that row excluded.

Figure 1. Flowchart summarising the literature search process.

Figure 2. Comparison of FA in MS to FA in healthy controls. The images show the weighted mean across studies overlaid on the 1mm MNI 152 brain. Red voxels show areas in which lower FA for individuals with MS was significantly associated with disease status, and blue voxels, higher FA (from left to right, the slice coordinates are: z=75, z=90, z=98, x=100).

Figure 3. Significant regional correlations between FA and measures of physical disability and cognition. The images show the weighted mean across studies overlaid on the 1mm MNI 152 brain. In part A, red voxels show areas in which lower FA was significantly associated with greater physical disability (from left to right, the slice coordinates are: z=72, z=88, z=95, x=90). In part B, red voxels show areas in which lower FA was significantly associated with more impaired cognition (from left to right, the slice coordinates are: z=73, z=82, z=95, x=90). Part C shows, in pink, the significant (positive) voxels common to both physical disability and cognition correlations (from left to right, the slice coordinates are: z=78, z=86, z=100, x=102).

```
Figure 1.
```



Figure 2.





