



The University of
Nottingham

UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA

Rodrigues, J.N. and Zhang, Weiya and Scammell, Brigitte E. and Chakrabarti, I. and Russell, P.G. and Fullilove, S. and Davidson, D. and Davis, T.R.C. (2016) Functional outcome and complications following surgery for Dupuytren's disease: a multi-centre cross-sectional study. *Journal of Hand Surgery (European Volume)* . ISSN 2043-6289

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/38094/1/JHS%28E%29%20Five%20year%20functional%20outcome%206%20%28clean%29.pdf>

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

1 **FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME AND COMPLICATIONS FOLLOWING SURGERY FOR**
2 **DUPUYTREN'S DISEASE: A MULTI-CENTRE CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY**

3

4

SUMMARY

5 Variables associated with recurrent Dupuytren's disease, or a 'diathesis', have been
6 investigated, but those associated with functional outcome and complications are
7 less well studied.

8 Outcomes 1 or 5 years after an aponeurotomy, fasciectomy or dermofasciectomy
9 were assessed by patient interview and examination at five UK centres. Four
10 hundred and thirty two procedures were studied.

11 The reoperation rate did not differ at 1 year ($p=0.396$, Chi-square test with Monte
12 Carlo simulation), but was higher after aponeurotomy in the 5-year group (30%,
13 versus 6% after fasciectomy and 0% after dermofasciectomy, $p=0.003$, Chi square
14 test with Monte Carlo simulation).

15 Loss of function (DASH>15) did not differ between procedures at 5 years, even when
16 reoperation and other variables were controlled. Diabetes, female gender and
17 previous ipsilateral surgery were associated with poorer function in logistic
18 regression analysis.

19 The variables associated with poor function after treatments differ from diathesis
20 variables. Aponeurotomy had lower complication rates than fasciectomy and
21 dermofasciectomy. This may counterbalance the former's higher recurrence rate
22 and explain why aponeurotomy demonstrated similar long-term functional outcome
23 compared to excisional surgery in this study.

24

25 Level of evidence: III

26

27

28

INTRODUCTION

29

The factors associated with a 'Dupuytren's diathesis', or tendency for disease recurrence or extension, have been studied (Abe et al., 2004, Dias et al., 2013, Hindocha et al., 2006, van Rijssen et al., 2012). However the objective outcomes studied, such as recurrence, provide an incomplete representation of the diverse disability and functional impairment experienced by patients with Dupuytren's disease (Rodrigues et al., 2014). Recurrence and extension are not the only causes of poor outcome after surgery for Dupuytren's disease. For example, complications causing loss of finger flexion may also have serious functional consequences. In addition failure to fully straighten a finger with treatment may not adversely affect outcome. This is outcome measures such as the Disabilities of the Arm, Shoulder and Hand (DASH) patient-reported outcome measure (PROM) and the Sollerman hand score correlate poorly with angular deformity (Degreef et al., 2009, Engstrand et al., 2009, Jerosch-Herold et al., 2011, Sinha et al., 2002, Zyluk and Jagielski, 2007). However, a new Dupuytren's disease-specific PROM, the Unité Rhumatologique des Affections de la Main (URAM) scale correlates with angular deformity (Beaudreuil et al., 2011).

45

A recent review has considered the reported rates of complications following treatment of Dupuytren's disease (Crean et al., 2011), but factors associated with poor functional outcome and complications of surgery have not been investigated. Such factors may not be captured by all outcome measures, for example the URAM does not evaluate pain and concentrates on assessing activities that require finger extension, rather than flexion (Beaudreuil et al., 2014).

51

This study assessed the functional outcomes and adverse outcomes of surgery for Dupuytren's disease and the factors associated with them, rather than those associated with recurrence or extension alone.

54

55

METHODS

56 **Patient recruitment and data collection**

57 This project was independently approved as service evaluation at each participating
58 centre. Information governance and, when required, Caldicott Guardian approval
59 were also obtained locally. Clinical coding departments at five UK NHS hand surgery
60 centres (Derby, Livingston, Nottingham, Plymouth, Rotherham) identified patients
61 who had undergone aponeurotomy, fasciectomy or dermofasciectomy either 1 year
62 or 5 years earlier. Patients living within 20 miles of the centre were invited to attend
63 a locally approved service evaluation. A single surgeon (JR) assessed all patients
64 who could be assessed 1 or 5 years (+/- 2 months) after their surgery. A
65 standardised history and examination was performed on all patients.

66 Data collected included patient demographics, known and suggested risk factors for
67 the progression of Dupuytren's disease, complications of surgery, reoperation to the
68 same digit since the index procedure, angular deformity and the DASH PROM.

69 If more than one digit on a hand had been treated with the same procedure (e.g.
70 fasciectomy to the ring and little fingers in a single procedure), then only one digit
71 was assessed. The digit selected in such cases was the digit with the worst total
72 active extension deficit.

73 If different procedures were performed in one operation (e.g. fasciectomy to the ring
74 finger and dermofasciectomy to the little finger), then both procedures were analysed
75 as separate events for the study of objective outcomes, but the patient was not
76 included in the analyses of functional outcome.

77 If both hands were treated with the same procedure in one operation (this only
78 occurred with aponeurotomy) then only the treated digit on the dominant hand was
79 assessed; this was included in the analyses of both the objective and functional
80 outcomes. This avoided any patient being recruited to the same subgroup more than
81 once (Sauerland et al., 2003).

82 We chose to assess three main types of variables: functional outcome, which was
83 the focus of the study; objective outcomes, i.e. researcher-defined measures of the
84 complications of treatment; and patient variables, i.e. non-surgical factors that might
85 affect outcomes such as comorbidities. Thus, we would be able to compare the
86 functional outcomes of different procedures, with objective outcomes (such as
87 reoperation) and control for other variables such as comorbidities.

88

89 **Objective outcome measurement**

90 Reoperation (defined as further surgery for recurrence or extension of Dupuytren's
91 disease in the same digit) was assessed by patient recall and confirmed via hospital
92 records if unclear. The same single observer (JR) assessed passive extension
93 deficit at the metacarpophalangeal (MCP) joints and proximal interphalangeal (PIP)
94 joints for all cases. During all measurements, the other joints in the same finger
95 being assessed were held in maximum passive flexion, to standardise the effect of
96 dynamism (Rodrigues et al., 2014).

97 **Functional outcome**

98 Proportions of patients with poor functional outcome 1 and 5 years after the three
99 different types of procedure (aponeurotomy, fasciectomy or dermofasciectomy) were
100 compared.

101 Functional outcome was based on the DASH (DASH \geq 15 considered "poor",
102 DASH $<$ 15 considered "good" (Kennedy et al., 2011)). As the operation groups were
103 not matched, it was necessary to control for differences between the groups that
104 might influence the comparison of functional outcome using logistic regression.

105 **Adverse outcomes**

106 The adverse outcomes assessed were:

- 107 • cold intolerance (described using an existing scale (Campbell and Kay,
108 1998))

- 109 • loss of flexion (defined as a fingertip pulp to distal palmar crease distance
110 over 10mm on active flexion)
- 111 • infection (defined as patient recall of the need for at least one postoperative
112 course of antibiotics that was not prescribed as prophylaxis)
- 113 • complex regional pain syndrome (CRPS) (defined using the modified
114 International Association for the Study of Pain (IASP) criteria based on
115 examination and patient recall (Harden et al., 2007))
- 116 • altered sensation (defined as failure to identify 2/3 tests of two point
117 discrimination at 6 millimetres over the pulp of the operated digit in the
118 territory of either digital nerve)

119 **Sample size**

120 A sample size with ten outcome events per predictor variable is often quoted for
121 logistic regression analyses. As we used twelve predictor variables were used, this
122 would require 120 poor functional outcomes (DASH>15) in our study. However,
123 more recent examination of this rule has suggested that five to nine outcome events
124 per predictor variable may be acceptable (Vittinghoff and McCulloch, 2007), in which
125 case 60-108 poor functional outcomes would be needed. As the proportion of
126 patients with poor functional outcome following Dupuytren's disease surgery is not
127 well described, it was assumed that approximately 25% of treatments would result in
128 poor functional outcomes. On this basis, a total target of 400 was required to
129 achieve a target of 100 poor functional outcomes.

130 **Statistical analysis**

131 Analyses were performed using Prism 6.0 for Mac OS X (GraphPad® Software,
132 2012) and SPSS® Statistics version 21 (IBM® Software, 2012). DASH scores were
133 dichotomised into those above 15 (symptomatic scores) and those below 15
134 (asymptomatic scores), based on guidance from the developer of the DASH
135 (Kennedy et al., 2011).

136 The suitability of the data for logistic regression was verified prior to analysis. In
137 particular, the data was examined for the absence of multicollinearity, which occurs
138 when two or more of the independent variables studied correlate with each other very
139 strongly. If present, this can affect regression (Pallant, 2010). To do this tolerance,
140 the amount of variance that cannot be accounted for by other variables, was
141 calculated for each variable. If it is low, then the variable may show collinearity with
142 another variable, or multicollinearity with several variables (Pallant, 2010). In
143 keeping with convention, an unacceptable level of tolerance was defined as <0.1 .
144 Binary logistic regression analysis was performed to identify and control for
145 independent variables associated with impaired function defined as DASH >15 at 1
146 year after treatment (this is the threshold at which the developers of the DASH score
147 consider that a score becomes symptomatic (Kennedy et al., 2011)) and with
148 adverse outcomes. The operation type was entered with aponeurotomy as the
149 constant with fasciectomy and dermofasciectomy compared to it.

150 The independent variables that were hypothesised to affect functional outcome were
151 controlled in these comparisons with the aim of achieving a more accurate
152 comparison of true functional outcome. The variables were: further ipsilateral
153 Dupuytren's disease surgery since the index procedure (based on patient report,
154 scar examination and clinical note verification when possible; termed "surgery
155 since"), the length of follow up (1 year or 5 years) and eight others, some of which
156 are part of the traditional Dupuytren's diathesis, and others are factors that might be
157 expected to influence functional outcome:

- 158 • Self reported alcohol consumption >28 United Kingdom units per week
159 (where 1 unit is 10 milligrams ethanol)
- 160 • Active smoker
- 161 • Self reported positive family history of Dupuytren's disease
- 162 • Surgery to the little finger

- 163 • The presence of knuckle pads on examination
- 164 • The index procedure was revision of previous surgery (defined as previous
- 165 surgery to the same digit)
- 166 • Diabetes mellitus
- 167 • Gender

168 Some of these are part of the traditional Dupuytren's diathesis, whilst the others are
169 factors that might be expected to influence functional outcome.

170 A similar approach was used to study adverse events. Proportions of patients with
171 each adverse outcome were compared between the three treatments
172 (aponeurotomy, fasciectomy and dermofasciectomy) with Chi square tests.

173 Hierarchical binary logistic regression analyses were performed for each adverse
174 outcome in a similar manner as for functional outcome. The independent variables
175 selected for study were ones that might influence the risk of complications. In
176 addition to further ipsilateral surgery for Dupuytren's disease, they were:

- 177 • Multiple digit surgery during index procedure
- 178 • Gender
- 179 • Diabetes mellitus
- 180 • Smoking status
- 181 • Index procedure was revision of previous surgery (defined as previous
- 182 surgery to the same digit)

183 For adverse outcomes expected to change between 1 and 5 years postoperatively,
184 the time point (1 year versus 5 years) was also studied. These were loss of flexion
185 and cold intolerance (which might improve in the intervening period). For other
186 adverse outcomes, the 1 year and 5 year assessments were studied together.

187 Loss of flexion was studied as an 'adverse outcome' that might result from hand
188 surgery, even in Dupuytren's disease, where the goal of surgery tends to relate to
189 finger extension.

190 To control for false discoveries (false positives), the p value threshold considered
191 significant was adjusted using a described method (Benjamini and Hochberg, 1995).
192 As the variables associated with poor functional outcome have not been studied
193 widely, a false discovery rate (Q) of 20% was considered reasonable to minimise the
194 risk of a type 2 error. The variables in the model were ordered by p value and
195 ranked and the threshold for each variable calculated using the formula $(i/m)*Q$,
196 where 'i' was the rank of the variable and 'm' was the total number of tests (13 in the
197 analysis of functional outcome). If the p value obtained was smaller than 0.05 and
198 also lower than its calculated threshold, then the result was considered significant.

199

200

RESULTS

201 **Patients and procedures**

202 We recruited and assessed 414 patients between September 2011 and June 2013
203 across all sites. They had undergone 433 procedures. One had undergone an
204 amputation after the index procedure and was excluded from the analysis.
205 All remaining 432 procedures in 413 patients were included in analyses of
206 reoperation and complications, as these were recorded at digit level (see Table 1).
207 However, function is assessed at patient level; only the dominant hands were
208 assessed for ten of the 413 patients, who had undergone aponeurotomy to both
209 hands in a single procedure. A further nine patients had undergone different
210 procedures to different digits and so were excluded from analyses of function. Thus,
211 404 patients were included in analyses of function (see Table 1).
212 Nine patients (2%) had two different procedures. This comprised seven patients in
213 the 1-year postop group who had undergone fasciectomy to a digit and
214 dermofasciectomy to a different digit of the same hand and one patient in the 5-year
215 postop group. The other patient had undergone fasciectomy to one hand and
216 aponeurotomy to the other hand in the same procedure.

217 The demographics of the 413 patients are shown in Table 2. There were
218 reoperations following 11 aponeurotomies and 11 fasciectomy but none following
219 dermofasciectomy. Following aponeurotomy there were 4/11 further aponeurotomies
220 and 7/11 fasciectomy. Following fasciectomy, there was one aponeurotomy, 5/11
221 fasciectomy and 5/11 dermofasciectomy. These proportions were significantly
222 different ($p=0.041$ (99% confidence intervals: 0.036, 0.046), Chi square test with
223 Monte Carlo simulation (10 000 replicates)). It was not clear whether these choices
224 were due to patient preference, surgeon preference or other reasons.

225 **Objective outcomes**

226 The percentage of procedures that had undergone reoperation was not different
227 between the three procedures at 1 year ($p=0.396$, Chi square test using Monte Carlo
228 method, see Table 3). However, the reoperation rate was significantly greater after
229 aponeurotomy at 5 years ($p=0.000$, Chi square test, see Table 3). The reoperation
230 rate after aponeurotomy was significantly higher at 5 years than at one year (6/20
231 versus 5/114, $p=0.002$, Fisher's Exact test). The reoperation rate did not change
232 between 1 and 5 years for fasciectomy (3/126 versus 8/125). There were no
233 reoperations following dermofasciectomy.

234 We assessed a sub-group of 'poor objective outcomes' (which we defined as patients
235 who had undergone reoperation or had not undergone reoperation but had either
236 MCP joint or PIP joint fixed flexion contractures $>25^\circ$) to account for patients who
237 may have declined revision surgery or been considered unsuitable for further
238 surgery. This group comprised those who had undergone reoperation and those
239 who had considerable loss of extension but had not undergone further surgery. The
240 proportion of 'poor objective outcomes' was significantly greater 1 year after more
241 invasive procedures (see Table 3). However, there was no difference between
242 procedures at 5 years.

243 **Functional outcome**

244 Overall 96/404 (24%) had poor functional outcomes. The proportion of patients with
245 symptomatic DASH scores (DASH>15) was not significantly different between the
246 three procedures either at 1 or 5 years (Table 4). However different proportions of
247 these patients had undergone further surgery over the 1 or 5 years, with a
248 significantly higher reoperation rate 5 years after aponeurotomy than after
249 dermofasciectomy.

250 As the prerequisites were met in terms of tolerance of the variables studied, logistic
251 regression analysis was performed. The omnibus test demonstrates whether the
252 model built by the analysis performs well in terms of 'goodness of fit', i.e. whether the
253 included variables do contribute to predicting poor functional outcome. Here, it was
254 statistically significant ($p<0.001$), demonstrating that this was the case. The results
255 of the logistic regression analysis are shown in Table 5. Controlling for confounding
256 variables such as the effect of further surgery and length of follow up, the only other
257 variables that showed significant associations with poor function were female gender,
258 diabetes mellitus and previous ipsilateral surgery for Dupuytren's disease. The
259 variables considered part of the classical Dupuytren's diathesis were not associated
260 with a poor functional outcome.

261 **Adverse outcomes**

262 The rates of different adverse outcomes are shown in Table 6, grouped by procedure
263 (and length of follow up where relevant). Complications that were hypothesised to
264 improve over time (cold intolerance and loss of flexion) were more common at 1 than
265 at 5 years. Infection and altered sensation were observed more frequently after
266 more invasive procedures than after aponeurotomy. At 1 year cold intolerance and
267 loss of flexion were more common after more invasive procedures. There was no
268 difference between procedures at 5 years, although significantly more of the
269 aponeurotomy group had undergone further surgery ($p=0.002$).

270 Tolerances for all variables studied in relation to complications were acceptable, and
271 logistic regression analyses were performed for all complications except CRPS, as

272 this was found infrequently. Each of the models for cold intolerance, loss of flexion,
273 altered sensation and infection was significant on omnibus testing, which confirms
274 that each of the regression models performed well relative to the baseline data
275 without the independent variables controlled. All statistically significant results from
276 the analyses are shown in Table 7.
277

278
279

DISCUSSION

280 **Objective outcomes**

281 This study confirms that aponeurotomy has a higher reoperation than fasciectomy or
282 dermofasciectomy. The cross-sectional design of our study means that patients'
283 immediate preoperative condition and postoperative outcome are not known, which
284 limits the interpretation of our data in Table 3. In particular, it is possible that the
285 patients in this study who underwent more invasive procedures had presented with
286 more severe preoperative disease and not achieved full correction at surgery. This
287 might explain why more of them had 'poor objective outcomes' at 1 year here.
288 However, reliable rates of initial correction have been demonstrated, including for
289 aponeurotomy (Pess et al., 2012).

290 Reoperation may be an important clinical and economic endpoint to study, but is a
291 complex variable. In order to undergo further treatment, a patient would have to
292 have recurrent or extended disease that is amenable to further surgery, be offered
293 surgery by a clinician and consent to the further treatment. Some of our study group
294 described progressive recurrence but had not sought further intervention. This
295 pattern has been previously reported, with 'reoperation rates' lower than 'treatment
296 failure' rates (van Rijssen et al., 2012). As a result, reoperation is not an accurate or
297 valid surrogate for recurrence. In this study, the proportions of patients undergoing
298 reoperation within 5 years of treatment were higher after aponeurotomy, as might be
299 expected, but were still lower than reported by others (Foucher et al., 2003; van
300 Rijssen et al., 2012). One randomised controlled trial reported a reoperation rate
301 within 5 years of 33/52 (63%) for aponeurotomy and 4/41 (9%) for fasciectomy (van
302 Rijssen et al., 2012). Whereas their reoperation rate for aponeurotomy was two
303 times greater than that in our study, their reoperation rate after fasciectomy was
304 similar to ours (6%)

305 Abe and colleagues investigated the factors associated with reoperation at a mean
306 follow-up of 5 years in a small Japanese population (Abe et al., 2004). They found
307 that the factors in the classical diathesis had prognostic value. However, the
308 applicability of their findings to other populations is not clear. Additionally, the length
309 of follow-up ranged from 3 to 12 years. As Dupuytren's disease is a slowly
310 progressive condition, patients 3 years following Dupuytren's disease surgery are not
311 comparable to those 12 years after treatment.

312 Hindocha (2006) studied the factors associated with recurrence of palpable disease
313 in the operated field (Hindocha et al., 2006). They identified that male gender and
314 young age of onset were associated with recurrence of palpable disease. Whilst this
315 is a common definition of recurrence (Becker and Davis, 2010), it is not clinically
316 relevant. The reappearance of palpable disease alone does not require treatment,
317 as supported by comparing the proportion of patients who have poor objective
318 outcome to those who have undergone reoperation (Table 3 here). In addition
319 reappearance of palpable disease does not necessarily impair function.

320 van Rijssen et al. (2012) studied factors associated with recurrence defined as a
321 progressive angular deformity. They concluded that the scoring system proposed by
322 Abe et al (2004) did not predict recurrence. As further treatment might become
323 advisable with deterioration in angular deformity, this may be a more clinically
324 applicable and reliable endpoint than those used in either of the earlier studies by
325 Abe et al. and Hindocha et al. However, it does not describe the patient's hand
326 function or health-related quality of life, which is probably also influenced by factors
327 such as complications.

328 Most recently, Dias (2013) investigated factors associated with contracture
329 recurrence in a randomised controlled trial of firebreak dermofasciectomy versus z-
330 plasty closure of fasciectomy wounds (Dias et al., 2013). They found that shorter
331 disease duration, worse preoperative function and longer operation time were
332 associated with recurrence, though the degree of progression that constituted

333 recurrence was not formally defined. These factors could not be studied with the
334 cross sectional study design used here.

335 Others have investigated the factors associated with poor outcome in the absence of
336 recurrence of disease (Misra et al., 2007), highlighting that ‘poor outcome’ in
337 Dupuytren’s disease is not entirely due to recurrence.

338 Recurrence has been the focus of much research in Dupuytren’s disease (Becker
339 and Davis, 2010). Whilst treating recurrent disease may be challenging, doing so
340 following an aponeurotomy may be more straightforward than after more invasive
341 surgery (van Rijssen and Werker, 2012), and so not all recurrences may have the
342 same implications regarding future treatment. Furthermore, recurrence alone cannot
343 be used as a surrogate for functional outcome, as the correlation between angular
344 deformity and loss of function is weak (Engstrand et al., 2009, Jerosch-Herold et al.,
345 2011, Zyluk and Jagielski, 2007).

346 The choice of recurrence as the primary endpoint for studying treatment in
347 Dupuytren’s disease is challenged by the data presented here, which demonstrates
348 the different rates of complications after different treatments. As many of these
349 complications are not associated with recurrence, they will not be captured if
350 recurrence is used as the sole outcome measure. Consequently, recurrence may be
351 a surgeon-centred outcome, but is less likely to be patient-centred and it may be of
352 limited value in cost utility analyses.

353 **Functional outcome**

354 After controlling for some independent variables that might differ between the groups
355 (Table 5), functional outcome was not significantly different between these three
356 procedures. This finding requires confirmation in a study with a larger number of
357 patients treated with dermofasciectomy and aponeurotomy with 5-year follow-up.
358 This is as complications that limit function, such as loss of flexion, cold intolerance
359 and altered sensation may be more frequent following more invasive procedures,
360 which typically had higher complication rates in this study.

361 The variables associated with poorer outcome in this study differ from those
362 identified as contributing to the Dupuytren's diathesis in other studies (Abe et al.,
363 2004, Hindocha et al., 2006, Hueston, 1963). This suggests that those patients
364 whose hand function is worse following surgery may not always be the patients who
365 experience recurrence.

366 Several variables were associated with poor function. Patients undergoing revision
367 treatment may not achieve as good hand function as those undergoing primary
368 surgery due to an accumulation of iatrogenic insult to the hand or perhaps due to
369 disease severity. Women reported worse hand function than men, though it is not
370 clear why. It may be intrinsic to the DASH itself, as similar patterns have been
371 reported with the QuickDASH in carpal tunnel release (Jenkins et al., 2012).

372 Diabetics might be expected to have greater risk of complications, such as infection
373 and poor healing, and so worse rehabilitation. Alternatively, their higher DASH
374 scores may reflect a higher prevalence of comorbid upper limb conditions, such as
375 cheirarthropathy, trigger fingers and carpal tunnel syndrome (Larkin et al., 2014,
376 Pandey et al., 2013). Although at least two Dupuytren's-specific measures
377 (Beaudreuil et al., 2011, Mohan et al., 2014) exist, the DASH is the most commonly
378 employed measure to assess the outcome after Dupuytren's disease surgery (Ball et
379 al., 2013). Therefore, the data presented here are important to consider when
380 interpreting the findings of studies regarding functional outcome in Dupuytren's
381 disease.

382 When the independent variables studied were controlled for, there was no difference
383 in the odds of having poor hand function 5 years after aponeurotomy compared to
384 fasciectomy or dermofasciectomy. This may reflect a greater risk of recurrence after
385 aponeurotomy being offset by the less invasive nature of the procedure resulting in
386 less frequent or less severe complications. However, given the limitations of this
387 study, a randomised controlled trial with hand function as the primary endpoint is

388 required to confirm this and to facilitate comparison of the relative cost effectiveness
389 of different treatments for Dupuytren's disease.

390

391 **Limitations**

392 The most important limitation to this study relates to its cross-sectional design. As a
393 result, the preoperative and immediate postoperative states of patients are not
394 known and may not have been matched between the three different treatments.

395 Steps were taken to improve the reliability of the data presented. Firstly, centres that
396 contributed had different treatment preferences, with some favouring aponeurotomy
397 and others fasciectomy. Secondly, our use of logistic regression analyses
398 compensated for differences between groups. Despite this, our comparison between
399 procedure types is not as robust as one based on the results of a prospective
400 comparative study. Nevertheless, our findings for the factors associated with poor
401 functional outcome are important in their own right, but require verification with a
402 prospective, preferably randomised, study.

403 Some of our variables were self-reported and may not have been accurate. For
404 example, smoking status may have changed since the patient underwent surgery,
405 there may have been recall bias and social desirability responses may have
406 influenced the data with patients denying or underestimating factors such as
407 excessive alcohol intake or smoking. Studying such variables prospectively would
408 be more reliable.

409 Some sub groups within our study were relatively small and our findings need to be
410 validated in larger size studies or even with registry-level data. However, our rates of
411 complications are largely comparable to those previously reported (Crean et al.,
412 2011).

413 There are other limitations to our data that might explain why some findings differ
414 from those of other studies. There may have been selection bias in our study as we
415 recruited retrospectively. There may also be differences in the preoperative states of

416 the digits treated in different studies, or in patient or surgeon attitudes. The latter
417 may either relate to different cultural norms in different countries or perhaps related
418 to involvement in a trial compared to routine clinical practice. However, given the
419 paucity of literature that focuses primarily on functional outcome in Dupuytren's
420 disease, rather than recurrence, we believe that our study is important and should
421 influence the design of future research studies.

422 **References**

423 Abe Y, Rokkaku T, Ofuchi S, Tokunaga S, Takahashi K, Moriya H. An objective
424 method to evaluate the risk of recurrence and extension of Dupuytren's disease. *J*
425 *Hand Surg Brit Eur.* 2004, 29: 427-30.

426 Ball C, Pratt AL, Nanchahal J. Optimal functional outcome measures for assessing
427 treatment for Dupuytren's disease: a systematic review and recommendations for
428 future practice. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2013, 14: 131.

429 Beaudreuil J, Allard A, Zerkak D et al. Unite Rhumatologique des Affections de la
430 Main (URAM) scale: development and validation of a tool to assess Dupuytren's
431 disease-specific disability. *Arthrit Care Res.* 2011, 63: 1448-55.

432 Beaudreuil J, Orcel P, Bardin T et al. Re: Rodrigues JN, Zhang W, Scammell BE,
433 Davis TRC. What patients want from the treatment of Dupuytren's disease - is the
434 Unite Rhumatologique des Affections de la Main (URAM) scale relevant? *J Hand*
435 *Surg Brit Eur.* 2014, 39: 673-5.

436 Becker GW, Davis TR. The outcome of surgical treatments for primary Dupuytren's
437 disease--a systematic review. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur.* 2010, 35: 623-6.

438 Benjamini Y, Hochberg Y. Controlling the False Discovery Rate: A Practical and
439 Powerful Approach to Multiple Testing. *J R Stat Soc Series B Stat Methodol.* 1995,
440 57: 289-300.

441 Campbell DA, Kay SP. What is cold intolerance? *J Hand Surg Brit Eur.* 1998, 23: 3-5.

442 Crean SM, Gerber RA, Le Graverand MP, Boyd DM, Cappelleri JC. The efficacy and
443 safety of fasciectomy and fasciotomy for Dupuytren's contracture in European

444 patients: a structured review of published studies. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur.* 2011, 36:
445 396-407.

446 Degreef I, Vererfve PB, De Smet L. Effect of severity of Dupuytren contracture on
447 disability. *Scand J Plast Recons.* 2009, 43: 41-2.

448 Dias JJ, Singh HP, Ullah A, Bhowal B, Thompson JR. Patterns of recontracture after
449 surgical correction of Dupuytren disease. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2013, 38: 1987-93.

450 Engstrand C, Boren L, Liedberg GM. Evaluation of activity limitation and digital
451 extension in Dupuytren's contracture three months after fasciectomy and hand
452 therapy interventions. *Journal of Hand Ther.* 2009, 22: 21-6.

453 Foucher G, Medina J, Navarro R. Percutaneous needle aponeurotomy:
454 complications and results. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur.* 2003, 28: 427-31.

455 Harden RN, Bruehl S, Stanton-Hicks M, Wilson PR. Proposed new diagnostic criteria
456 for complex regional pain syndrome. *Pain Med.* 2007, 8: 326-31.

457 Hindocha S, Stanley JK, Watson S, Bayat A. Dupuytren's diathesis revisited:
458 Evaluation of prognostic indicators for risk of disease recurrence. *J Hand Surg Am.*
459 2006, 31: 1626-34.

460 Hueston JT. The Dupuytren's diathesis. In: Hueston JT (Ed.) *Dupuytren's*
461 *contracture*. Edinburgh, E & S Livingstone, 1963: 51-63.

462 Jenkins PJ, Duckworth AD, Watts AC, McEachan JE. The outcome of carpal tunnel
463 decompression in patients with diabetes mellitus. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2012, 94:
464 811-4.

465 Jerosch-Herold C, Shepstone L, Chojnowski A, Larson D. Severity of contracture and
466 self-reported disability in patients with Dupuytren's contracture referred for surgery. *J*
467 *Hand Ther.* 2011, 24: 6-10.

468 Kennedy C, Beaton D, Solway S, McConnell, Bombardier C. *The DASH and*
469 *QuickDASH Outcome Measure User's Manual*, Third ed. Toronto, Institute for Work
470 and Health, 2011.

471 Larkin ME, Barnie A, Braffett BH et al. Musculoskeletal complications in type 1
472 diabetes. *Diabetes Care*. 2014, 37: 1863-9.

473 Misra A, Jain A, Ghazanfar R, Johnston T, Nanchahal J. Predicting the outcome of
474 surgery for the proximal interphalangeal joint in Dupuytren's disease. *J Hand Surg*
475 *Am*. 2007, 32: 240-5.

476 Mohan A, Vadher J, Ismail H, Warwick D. The Southampton Dupuytren's Scoring
477 Scheme. *J Plast Surg Hand Surg*. 2014, 48: 28-33.

478 Packham T. Clinical commentary in response to: Severity of contracture and self-
479 reported disability in patients with Dupuytren's contracture referred for surgery. *J*
480 *Hand Ther*. 2011, 24: 12-4.

481 Pallant J. Part 4: Statistical techniques to explore relationships between variables:
482 Logistic Regression. *SPSS Survival Guide*, 4th ed. Maidenhead, Open University
483 Press, 2010.

484 Pandey A, Usman K, Reddy H, Gutch M, Jain N, Qidwai S. Prevalence of hand
485 disorders in type 2 diabetes mellitus and its correlation with microvascular
486 complications. *Ann Med Health Sci Res*. 2013, 3: 349-54.

487 Pess GM, Pess RM, Pess RA. Results of needle aponeurotomy for Dupuytren
488 contracture in over 1,000 fingers. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2012, 37: 651-6.

489 Rodrigues JN, Zhang W, Scammell BE, Davis TR. Dynamism in Dupuytren's
490 contractures. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur*. 2014.

491 Rodrigues JN, Zhang W, Scammell BE, Davis TR. What patients want from the
492 treatment of Dupuytren's disease -- is the Unite Rhumatologique des Affections de la
493 Main (URAM) scale relevant? *J Hand Surg Brit Eur*. 2014.

494 Sauerland S, Lefering R, Bayer-Sandow T, Bruser P, Neugebauer EA. Fingers,
495 hands or patients? The concept of independent observations. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur*.
496 2003, 28: 102-5.

497 Sinha R, Cresswell TR, Mason R, Chakrabarti I. Functional benefit of Dupuytren's
498 surgery. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur*. 2002, 27: 378-81.

499 van Rijssen AL, ter Linden H, Werker PMN. Five-year results of a randomized clinical
500 trial on treatment in Dupuytren's disease: percutaneous needle fasciotomy versus
501 limited fasciectomy. *Plas Reconstr Surg.* 2012, 129: 469-77.

502 van Rijssen AL, Werker PM. Percutaneous needle fasciotomy for recurrent
503 Dupuytren disease. *J Hand Surg Am.* 2012, 37: 1820-3.

504 Vittinghoff E, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic
505 and Cox regression. *Am J Epidemiol.* 2007, 165: 710-8.

506 Zyluk A, Jagielski W. The effect of the severity of the Dupuytren's contracture on the
507 function of the hand before and after surgery. *J Hand Surg Brit Eur.* 2007, 32: 326-9.

508

Table 1: Sample sizes studied

	1 YEAR FOLLOW UP		5 YEAR FOLLOW UP	
	Numbers of procedures having an objective analysis	Numbers of patients having a functional analysis	Numbers of procedures having an objective analysis	Numbers of patients having a functional analysis
Total	270	245	162	159
Aponeurotomy	114	104	20	19
Fasciectomy	126	118	125	124
Dermofasciectomy	30	23	17	16

Table 2: Patient demographics

Demographic	
Age (years)	Mean 66, Range 33-89
Men : Women	318 : 95 (77% men)
Right hand dominance	371/413 (90%)
Diabetic	61/413 (15%)
Smoker	60/413 (15%)
Self reported weekly alcohol intake (UK units/week) (1 UK unit = 10 milligrams ethanol)	Mean 14.7
Previous ipsilateral surgery prior to index operation	103/413 (25%)
Index operation was revision of previously treated digit	85/413 (21%)
Self reported positive family history of Dupuytren's disease	180/413 (44%)
Knuckle pads present	122/413 (30%)
Right hand treated	212/413 (51%)
Digit studied	248 little (60%) 129 ring (31%)

25 middle (6%)

9 index (2%)

2 thumb (0.5%)

Table 3: Objective outcomes

Outcome		Aponeurotomy	Fasciectomy	Dermofasciectomy	Chi square test
Numbers of reoperations at:	1 year	5/114 (4.4%)	3/126 (2.4%)	0/30 (0%)	p=0.396 (0.384, 0.409)*
	5 years	6/20 (30.0%)	9/126 (7.1%)	0/17 (0%)	p=0.003 (0.002, 0.005)*
Objective outcome poor (Reoperation or no reoperation but either MCPJ or PIPJ>25° fixed flexion contracture)	1 year	25/114 (21.9%)	48/126 (38.1%)	14/30 (46.7%)	p=0.006
	5 years	8/20 (40.0%)	61/125 (48.8%)	10/17 (58.8%)	p=0.521

* Due to small numbers in groups, Monte Carlo significances are presented, with 99% confidence intervals in brackets, based on 10 000 sampled tables

Table 4: Functional outcomes

Outcome	Time point	Aponeurotomy	Fasciectomy	Dermofasciectomy	Statistical significance between procedures
DASH summary score (mean (95%CI))	1 year	9.5 (6.8, 12.2)	10.7 (7.6, 13.8)	14.3 (6.2, 22.5)	p=0.421*
	5 years	9.1 (4.7, 13.5)	10.9 (8.3, 13.5)	15.1 (5.5, 24.8)	p=0.448*
Proportion of patients reporting DASH>15	1 year	19/104 (18.3%)	26/118 (22.0%)	7/23 (30.4%)	p=0.416†
	5 years	5/19 (26.3%)	34/124 (27.4%)	5/16 (31.3%)	p=0.952 (0.947, 0.958)†

*One way ANOVA

†Chi square test, with Monte Carlo simulation when group frequencies include 5 or fewer (99% confidence intervals in brackets, 10 000 replicates)

Table 5: Logistic regression of function

Independent variable	Adjusted Odds Ratio (OR)	95% confidence intervals of adjusted OR	Rank by p value (i) †	(i/m)*Q p value †	Significance of association (p value)
Gender					
Women	3.88	2.15-6.99	1	0.015	<0.001
Men	1				
Previous ipsilateral Dupuytren's surgery					
Yes	2.13	1.18-3.85	2	0.031	0.012
No	1				
Diabetic					
Yes	2.07	1.10-3.91	3	0.046	0.025
No	1				
Smoker					
Yes	1.67	0.83-3.37	4	0.062	0.149
No	1				
Little finger surgery					
No	1.34	0.79-2.27	5	0.077	0.268
Yes	1				
Length of follow up					
5 years	1.34	0.79-2.27	6	0.092	0.284
1 year	1				
Knuckle pads					
Present	1.31	0.76-2.28	7	0.108	0.334
Absent	1				
Further surgery since material operation					
Yes	1.60	0.58-4.43	8	0.123	0.364

No	1					
Age at surgery						
Under 50 years	1.53	0.56-4.16	9	0.138	0.409	
50 years or over	1					
Procedure was fasciectomy						
Fasciectomy	1.25	0.68-2.28	10	0.154	0.479	
Aponeurotomy	1					
Procedure was dermofasciectomy						
Dermofasciectomy	1.21	0.45-3.27	11	0.169	0.702	
Aponeurotomy	1					
Family history of Dupuytren's disease						
Yes	1.05	0.64-1.74	12	0.184	0.842	
No	1					
Weekly alcohol intake						
≤ 28 units	1.01	0.49-2.08	13		0.981	
>28 units	1					

† - These columns form part of the false discovery rate adjustment to the p value threshold. The variables are ordered by their p value, and ranked (their rank is labelled as 'i'). The total number of tests ('m') is 13. The false discovery rate that has been tolerated in the analysis ('Q') is 20%. The adjusted p value threshold to protect against false discovery for each variable is $(i/m)*Q$.

Table 6: Complications

Complication	Time point	Aponeurotomy (total n=134)	Fasciectomy (total n=251)	Dermofasciectomy (total n=47)	Significance between procedures (Chi square tests)
Reoperation	1 year	5/114 (4.4%)	3/126 (2.4%)	0/30 (0%)	0.396 (0.384, 0.409)*
	5 years	6/20 (30.0%)	8/125 (6.4%)	0/17 (0%)	0.003 (0.002, 0.005)*
Cold intolerance	1 year	11/114 (9.6%)	39/126 (31.0%)	19/30 (63.3%)	<0.001
	5 years	1/20 (5.0%)	20/126 (15.9%)	5/17 (29.4%)	0.140 (0.131, 0.148)*
Flexion loss>10mm	1 year	20/114 (17.5%)	42/126 (33.3%)	13/30 (43.3%)	0.002
	5 years	3/20 (15.0%)	30/125 (24.0%)	3/17 (17.6%)	0.706 (0.694, 0.718)*
Altered sensation†		6/134 (4.5%)	38/251 (15.1%)	9/47 (19.1%)	0.003
Infection		2/134 (1.5%)	22/251 (8.8%)	7/47 (14.9%)	0.004 (0.002, 0.005)*
CRPS		1/134 (0.7%)	5/251 (2.0%)	0/47 (0%)	0.411 (0.399, 0.424)*

Statistically significant results are emboldened

* Due to small numbers in some groups, Monte Carlo significances are presented, with 99% confidence intervals in brackets, based on 10 000 sampled tables

† Defined as absent 2 point discrimination at 6 millimetres in either radial or ulnar digital nerve territories over the pulp of the distal phalanx

Table 7: Significant independent variables in logistic regression analyses of adverse outcomes

Adverse outcome	Independent variable	Adjusted Odds Ratio (OR)	95% confidence intervals of adjusted OR	Rank by p value (i) †	(i/m)*Q p value threshold †	Significance of association (p value)
Cold intolerance						
	Dermofasciectomy	14.77	5.78-37.74	1	0.02	<0.001
	Aponeurotomy	1				
	Fasciectomy	4.00	1.97-8.12	2	0.04	<0.001
	Aponeurotomy	1				
	Dermofasciectomy	3.69	1.75-7.80	3	0.06	0.001
	Fasciectomy	1				
	1-year follow up	2.68	1.54-4.67	4	0.08	0.001
	5-year follow up	1				
	Smoker	2.66	1.44-4.94	5	0.1	0.002
	Non-smoker	1				
Loss of flexion>10mm						
	Dermofasciectomy	5.34	2.16-13.21	1	0.02	<0.001
	Aponeurotomy	1				
	Fasciectomy	3.66	1.86-7.17	2	0.04	<0.001
	Aponeurotomy	1				
Altered sensation						

Fasciectomy	3.09	1.21-7.85	1	0.02	0.018
Aponeurotomy	1				
Dermofasciectomy	3.91	1.19-12.80	2	0.04	0.024
Aponeurotomy	1				
Female	2.11	1.10-4.03	3	0.06	0.024
Male	1				
Infection					
Dermofasciectomy	7.59	1.42-43.42	1	0.02	0.018
Aponeurotomy	1				
Fasciectomy	6.07	1.33-27.60	2	0.04	0.020
Aponeurotomy	1				
Revision procedure	2.36	1.03-5.38	3	0.06	0.041
Primary procedure	1				

† - These columns form part of the false discovery rate adjustment to the p value threshold. The variables are ordered by their p value, and ranked (their rank is labelled as 'i'). The total number of tests in each regression model ('m') is 10. The false discovery rate that has been tolerated in the analysis ('Q') is 20%. The adjusted p value threshold to protect against false discovery for each variable is $(i/m)*Q$.

**FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME AND COMPLICATIONS FOLLOWING SURGERY FOR
DUPUYTREN'S DISEASE: A MULTI-CENTRE CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY**

Authors: JN Rodrigues¹, W Zhang², BE Scammell², I Chakrabarti^{3*}, PG Russell^{4*}, S Fullilove^{5*}, D Davidson^{6*}, TRC Davis²

Affiliations: ¹Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Botnar Research Centre, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Windmill Road, Oxford, OX3 7HE

²Division of Rheumatology, Orthopaedics and Dermatology, University of Nottingham & Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Queen's Medical Centre, Derby Road, Nottingham, UK

³Rotherham General Hospital, Moorgate Road, Rotherham, UK

⁴Pulvertaft Hand Centre, Royal Derby Hospital, Uttoxeter New Road, Derby, UK

⁵Derriford Hospital, Derriford Road, Plymouth, UK

⁶St John's Hospital at Howden, Howden Road South, Livingston, UK

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Corresponding author: JN Rodrigues, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Botnar Research Centre, Nuffield Orthopaedic Centre, Windmill Road, Oxford, OX3 7HE
07929296069, j.n.rodrigues@doctors.org.uk

Keywords: Dupuytren's contracture; Dupuytren's disease; Patient reported outcome measures; late outcome; complications; function

Acknowledgements: JNR received educational support from a Scholarship from the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) during this project.

Conflicts of interests: none

Funding statement: This work was supported by a BSSH Research Fellowship, Nottingham Hospitals Charity and Nottingham Orthopaedic Walk.

Ethical approval: This study was a service evaluation project studying treatment outcome in Dupuytren's disease. In keeping with UK National Research Ethics

Service guidance, it is exempt from ethical approval. Approval as service evaluation was prospectively obtained.