



The University of
Nottingham

UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA

Clarke, Carl E. and Walker, Marion F. and Sackley, Catherine M. (2016) Physiotherapy and occupational therapy and mild to moderate Parkinson Disease — Reply. *JAMA Neurology*, 73 (7). pp. 894-895. ISSN 2168-6157

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

<http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/38020/1/113%20PD%20Rehab%20Reply%20JAMA%20Neurology%201Jul16.pdf>

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

JAMA Neurology

Comment & Response

01 July 2016

Physiotherapy and Occupational Therapy and Mild to Moderate Parkinson Disease—Reply

Carl E. Clarke, MD^{1,2}; Marion F. Walker, PhD³; Catherine M. Sackley, PhD⁴
Author Affiliations

¹School of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, College of Medical and Dental Sciences, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, England

²Department of Neurology, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust, City Hospital, Birmingham, England

³University of Nottingham, Nottingham, England

⁴King's College London, London, England

JAMA Neurol. 2016;73(7):894-895. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1283

In Reply On behalf of the PD REHAB Collaborative Group, we are pleased that the PD REHAB Trial¹ has prompted debate. The issues raised are common to the 3 letters²⁻⁴ and all were covered in the original article.¹

Eligibility was based on the uncertainty principle. Investigators were mostly uncertain of the value of physiotherapy and occupational therapy in patients with mild to moderate Parkinson disease (PD). So, the results of the trial can only be applied to patients with mild to moderate disease. This is not in conflict with our Cochrane review of physical therapies in PD, which showed short-term benefits in motor function and activities of daily living but, importantly, not in quality of life in more severe disease.⁵

The Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale was used as the primary outcome so that any benefits could be compared with those in other disease areas where it has been used before (ie, stroke and aging). It has been used in previous PD trials and correlated well with the Unified Parkinson's Disease Rating Scale activities of daily living scale in our pilot PD/OT trial.⁶ This approach precluded the use of an individualized outcome as suggested by de Vries et al⁴ in their letter. Although the Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living Scale does not directly address gait and transfers, it does assess issues such as walking outside, using public transport, and climbing stairs, which are of more practical use and more important to patients. Indeed, our patient advisory group supported the use of the Nottingham Extended Activities of Daily Living as the primary outcome for this very reason.

We too were surprised by the low dose of both therapies, but this is what is being delivered to patients with mild to moderate PD in the National Health Service (NHS) today. We do not agree with Mestriner³ that this is nonadherence; it is a low-dose intervention. We were informed by therapists before the trial that both

physiotherapists and occupational therapists see such referrals, so they wanted the combined design of the PD REHAB Trial. A large number of centers were deliberately secured to aid recruitment and to ensure that the results could be generalized across the NHS. Therefore, PD REHAB is a pragmatic trial of standard care in the NHS and not a study of a novel high-intensity form of therapy. This is the design that the funder, the NHS Health Technology Assessment Programme, wanted to inform decisions to be made about the future delivery of such services within the NHS.

The PD REHAB Trial is a high-quality, precise, adequately powered study that demonstrates that low-dose, patient-centered, goal-directed physiotherapy and occupational therapy in early PD is ineffective. We cannot ignore or seek to discredit important results that we do not find palatable. We owe it to our patients to deliver the best care possible, so future research should explore the development and testing of more structured and intensive physical and occupational therapy programs in patients with all stages of PD.

Article Information

Corresponding Author: Carl E. Clarke, MD, Department of Neurology, Sandwell and West Birmingham Hospitals NHS Trust, City Hospital, Dudley Road, Birmingham B18 7QH, England (carlclarke@nhs.net).

Published Online: May 31, 2016. doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1283.

Conflict of Interest Disclosures: None reported.

Additional Contributions: We are grateful to Natalie Ives, BSc, MSc (senior statistician, University of Birmingham Clinical Trials Unit), for her critical review and comments on this reply. She did not receive compensation.

References

Clarke CE, Patel S, Ives N, et al; PD REHAB Collaborative Group. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy vs no therapy in mild to moderate Parkinson disease: a randomized clinical trial. *JAMA Neurol.* 2016;73(3):291-299.

PubMedArticle

2. Ellis T, Tickle-Degnen L, Saint-Hilaire M. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy and mild to moderate parkinson disease [published online May 31, 2016]. *JAMA Neurol.* doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1274.

3. Mestriner RG. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy and mild to moderate parkinson disease [published online May 31, 2016]. *JAMA Neurol.* doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1280.

4. de Vries NM, Sturkenboom IH, Bloem BR. Physiotherapy and occupational therapy and mild to moderate parkinson disease [published online May 31, 2016]. *JAMA Neurol.* doi:10.1001/jamaneurol.2016.1277.

5. Tomlinson CL, Patel S, Meek C, et al. Physiotherapy versus placebo or no intervention in Parkinson's disease. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2013;9:CD002817.

PubMed

6. Clarke CE, Furnston A, Morgan E, et al. Pilot randomised controlled trial of occupational therapy to optimise independence in Parkinson's disease: the PD OT Trial. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 2009;80(9):976-978.
PubMedArticle