

Goh, Tatsuaki and Toyokura, Koichi and Wells, Darren M. and Swarup, Kamal and Yamamoto, Mayuko and Mimura, Tetsuro and Weijers, Dolf and Fukaki, Hidehiro and Laplaze, Laurent and Bennett, Malcolm J. and Guyomarc'h, Soazig (2016) Quiescent center initiation in the Arabidopsislateral root primordia is dependent on the SCARECROW transcription factor. Development, 143 (18). pp. 3363-3371. ISSN 1477-9129

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/37855/1/DEVELOP-2016-135319v3-Goh.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

1	Title
2	Quiescent center initiation in the Arabidopsis lateral root primordia is dependent on the
3	SCARECROW transcription factor
4	
5	Running title
6	QC establishment in lateral root
7	
8	Authors
9	Tatsuaki Goh ^{1,2,‡,*} , Koichi Toyokura ¹ , Darren M. Wells ² , Kamal Swarup ² , Mayuko
10	Yamamoto ¹ , Tetsuro Mimura ¹ , Dolf Weijers ³ , Hidehiro Fukaki ¹ , Laurent Laplaze ⁴ ,
11	Malcolm J. Bennett ² , Soazig Guyomarc'h ^{5,*}
12	
13	Affiliations
	Annauons
14	¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501,
$\frac{14}{15}$	¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan
14 15 16	 ¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan ² Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD,
14 15 16 17	 ¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan ² Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, United Kingdom
14 15 16 17 18	 ¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, Japan ² Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, United Kingdom ³ Laboratory of Biochemistry, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands
14 15 16 17 18 19	 ¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, ¹ Japan ² Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, ³ Laboratory of Biochemistry, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands ⁴ Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR)
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	 ¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, ¹ Japan ² Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, ³ United Kingdom ³ Laboratory of Biochemistry, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands ⁴ Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) Diversité Adaptation et Développement des plantes (DIADE), 34394 Montpellier Cedex
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 ¹ Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University, Kobe 657-8501, ¹ Japan ² Centre for Plant Integrative Biology, University of Nottingham, Nottingham LE12 5RD, United Kingdom ³ Laboratory of Biochemistry, Wageningen University, Wageningen, The Netherlands ⁴ Institut de Recherche pour le Développement, Unité Mixte de Recherche (UMR) Diversité Adaptation et Développement des plantes (DIADE), 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France

- 23 Développement des plantes (DIADE), 34394 Montpellier Cedex 5, France
- ²⁴ [‡] Present address: Graduate School of Biological Sciences, Nara Institute of Science and
- 25 Technology, 8916-5 Takayama, Ikoma, Nara, 630-0192 Japan
- 26

27 *Corresponding authors

- 28 Tatsuaki Goh
- 29 Department of Biology, Graduate School of Science, Kobe University
- 30 1-1 Rokkodai, Kobe 657-8501 Japan
- 31 Phone: +81-78-803-5721
- 32 E-mail: goh@bs.naist.jp
- 33
- 34 Soazig Guyomarc'h
- 35 "Diversity, Adaptation and Development of Plants" Research unit,
- 36 Institute of Research for Development and University of Montpellier
- 37 911 avenue Agropolis, F-34090 Montpellier, France
- 38 Phone: +33-467416462
- 39 E-mail: soazig.guyomarch@ird.fr
- 40
- 41 Keywords
- 42 lateral root organogenesis, quiescent center, SCARECROW, 3D live imaging,
- 43 Arabidopsis thaliana
- 44

45 Summary statement

- 46 Live 3D imaging revealed *de novo* establishment of organizing center cells (quiescent
- 47 center) in Arabidopsis lateral root primordia is dependent on SCARECROW expression
- 48 and coincides with a developmental phase transition.
- **49**

50 Abstract

51Lateral root (LR) formation is an important determinant of root system architecture. In 52Arabidopsis, LRs originate from pericycle cells, which undergo a programme of 53 morphogenesis to generate a new LR meristem. Despite its importance for root meristem $\mathbf{54}$ organisation, the onset of organizing center (termed quiescent center; QC) formation 55during LR morphogenesis remains unclear. Here, we used live 3D confocal imaging to 56 monitor cell organization and identity acquisition during LR development. Our dynamic 57observations revealed an early morphogenesis phase and a late meristem formation phase 58 as proposed in the bi-phasic growth model described by Sussex and co-workers. LR QC 59establishment coincided with this developmental phase transition. QC precursor cells 60 originated from the outer layer of stage II LR primordia, within which the SCARECROW 61 (SCR) transcription factor was specifically expressed. Disrupting SCR function abolished 62 periclinal divisions in this LR primordia cell layer and perturbed the formation of QC 63 precursor cells. We conclude that de novo QC establishment in LR primordia operates via 64 SCR-mediated formative cell division and coincides with the developmental phase 65transition.

67 Introduction

68 The plasticity of plant root system architecture provided by post-embryonic lateral root 69 (LR) formation greatly contributes to its adaptability to environmental conditions (Bao et 70 al., 2014). LRs facilitate nutrient and water acquisition by increasing soil exploration. 71While primary root initiation, organization and growth have been extensively studied, the 72molecular and cellular mechanisms that control the patterning of new lateral root 73 primordia (LRPs) are still poorly characterized (Tian et al., 2014a). Root growth results 74from the activity of the root apical meristem (RAM), a group of proliferating cells 75organized around a central stem cell niche (SCN). The RAM of the primary root is 76 created during early embryogenesis through formative cell divisions and initial cell 77 identity is maintained by an organizing center termed the quiescent center (QC; Perilli et 78 al., 2012; ten Hove et al., 2015). A number of regulatory mechanisms have been 79 identified that control QC establishment and maintenance in the primary root, especially 80 in the model plant Arabidopsis thaliana. The phytohormone auxin controls the 81 specification of the hypophysis (the QC precursor cell) during embryogenesis and later 82 maintains QC function in seedling roots with the AP2-domain PLETHORA (PLT) 83 transcription factors (Moller and Weijers, 2009; ten Hove et al., 2015). The maintenance 84 of the QC and SCN during post-embryonic primary root growth relies on the activity of 85 PLT and GRAS-family transcription factors SHORT-ROOT (SHR) and SCARECROW 86 (SCR) in combination with an auxin gradient (Bennett and Scheres, 2010; Perilli et al., 87 2012).

88

Despite similarities between primary and lateral root meristems, these

89 structures are generated in different tissue and genetic contexts, and many of the 90 processes governing the generation of a new root meristem in LRP remains to be 91 elucidated (Lavenus et al., 2013; Tian et al., 2014a). In particular, little is known about the 92 patterning processes controlling de novo establishment of the QC and SCN in the 93 developing LRP (Laskowski et al., 1995; Tian et al., 2014a). In A. thaliana, LRs originate 94 from a subset of \sim 6 pericycle cells that divide and form a new root apical meristem with 95 a radially symmetrical structure akin to the PR (Lavenus et al., 2013). A pioneering study 96 of Arabidopsis lateral root development by Ian Sussex and co-workers originally 97 proposed that LR organogenesis occurs in two successive steps: first, an early 98 morphogenesis phase during which a primordium composed of 3 to 5 layers of 99 approximately isodiametric cells is generated; second, a meristem formation phase, 100 during which cell identity patterning and meristem organization occurs, generating the 101 characteristic tissue structure of the root apex (Laskowski et al., 1995). Later, Malamy 102 and Benfey (1997) performed an extensive analysis of LRP morphogenesis and proposed 103 eight developmental stages (termed Stage I to VII and emergence) based on anatomical 104 criteria and these structural milestones have been confirmed in later studies (Lucas et al., 105 2013; von Wangenheim et al., 2016). In summary, LRP formation is initiated when 106 asymmetric anticlinal cell divisions of founder cells produce pairs of abutting small cells 107 flanked by longer cells (stage I; Goh et al., 2012). Next, the central smaller cells undergo 108 three further rounds of periclinal cell divisions to create a four-layered primordium 109 (Stages II–IV). During stages V and VI, the LRP acquires a cellular organization similar 110 to the primary RAM. At the emergence stage, the LRP finally breaks through overlying root tissues and enters the soil (Lavenus et al., 2013; Malamy and Benfey, 1997). Thus, an anatomical organisation reminiscent of a presumptive organizing center was first observed in longitudinal sections of stage VI lateral root primordia. However, the dynamics of QC establishment during LRP development has remained elusive (Tian et al., 2014a).

116 Here, we investigated further the classical two-step LRP developmental model 117 formulated by Sussex and co-workers (Laskowski et al., 1995), focusing on the 118 mechanisms controlling de novo QC formation during LRP development. We developed 119 a 3D time-lapse confocal laser scanning microscopy imaging approach to analyse 120development of living lateral root primordia. We observed that QC formation, as reported 121by QC marker gene expression, occurs at the transition between the early morphogenesis 122phase and the later meristem organization phase of LRP development. These two events 123also coincide with a 3D organ shape change from bilateral to radial. Cell lineage analysis 124revealed that the QC originates from the outer layer of stage II LRP. We report that the 125 GRAS family transcription factor SCR regulates QC formation by controlling formative 126 periclinal cell divisions that give rise to QC precursor cells. We conclude that de novo QC 127 establishment in LR depends on SCR expression in the outer layer of stage II primordia 128and coincides with a developmental phase transition.

130 **Results**

131 LR formation exhibits a bi-phasic pattern of growth

132To understand the molecular and cellular mechanisms controlling LR organogenesis, a 133 confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM)-based 3D time-lapse imaging approach was 134 developed using a transgenic line ubiquitously expressing a plasma membrane-localized 135yellow fluorescent protein (YFP)-tagged reporter termed WAVE131Y (Geldner et al., 136 2009). Images were taken at 10 min intervals as Z stacks (2 µm step) over a 24-hour 137 period. This strategy allowed us to observe the entire process of LRP morphogenesis 138 spanning from early developmental stages up to organ emergence at high temporal and 139 spatial resolution and was compatible with the monitoring of live functional cell markers. 140 Precise analysis of time-lapse 3-D image series of developing LRP obtained using this 141method was consistent with the 2-D anatomical stages described by Malamy and Benfey 142(1997). Importantly, our imaging technique also allowed us to explore the kinetics of LRP 143 morphogenesis throughout these stages (Fig. 1A, B and Movie 1). Following anticlinal 144 divisions of founder cells creating a one-layered stage I primordium, later periclinal 145 divisions generate a two-layered LRP (stage II, Fig. 1A t = 0.00), which subsequently 146 undergo new anticlinal divisions to produce a stage II primordium composed of small 147cells (Fig. 1A t = 4:00, white arrowheads). A second round of periclinal cell divisions in 148 the outer layer of stage II (Fig. 1A t = 7:00, white arrowhead) then creates a three-layered 149 primordium (termed stage III). A third round of periclinal divisions (this time in the 150innermost cell layer) produces a four-layered LRP (termed stage IV, Fig. 1A t = 9:30, 151 white arrowhead). In summary, during this early morphogenesis phase (stage I to IV),

152well-coordinated anticlinal and periclinal cell divisions generate a four-layered LRP. 153 Stage V was characterized by anticlinal divisions at the flanking cells of outer layers (Fig. 1541A t = 14:00, arrowheads) and elongation of inner cells (Fig. 1A t = 14:00 and 15:00, 155 denoted by two-directional arrows). Stage VI LRP exhibited periclinal and anticlinal cell 156 divisions that produced the endodermal and cortical layers, and periclinal cell divisions in 157the outermost layer that will give rise to the root cap (Figs. 1A t = 21:00, arrowheads, S1). 158Thus, through characteristic cell divisions and cell elongation events in stage V and VI, 159an anatomically recognisable meristematic structure is formed as early as stage VI, 160 consistently with what Malamy and Benfey (1997) initially described.

161 During the early LRP morphogenesis phase (stages I to IV), cell divisions 162 remained constrained within the space between underlying protoxylem and overlying 163endodermal tissues (Fig. 1A, B). Both protoxylem and endodermal cells contain lignified 164 walls (termed the Casparian strip in the latter case) that provide these tissues with 165 structural rigidity (Naseer et al., 2012). These rigid cell walls, especially the Casparian 166 strip, provide mechanical constraints on LRPs, which impact the LRP morphogenesis 167 (Lucas et al., 2013; Vermeer et al., 2014). Consistent with this, our time-lapse image data 168 revealed that the rate of organ outgrowth (inferred from changes in its height) remained 169 slow until stage V (Fig. 1C), and LRP shape was flattened when the LRP was passing 170 through the endodermal layer (Fig. 1A t = 7:00, 9:30 and 14:00). Then, the growth rate of 171LRP significantly accelerated (Fig. 1C), and LRP changed to dome-shaped from the end 172of stage V (meristem formation phase; Fig. 1A t = 15:00) (Kumpf et al., 2013; Lucas et al., 173 2013). This expansion of the LRP seems principally caused by axial elongation of cells at

the base of the primordium (depicted by two-directional arrows in Fig. 1A, t = 14:00 and175 15:00).

176 Taken together, these time-lapse observations of developing primordia 177suggested that LRP formation operates in two developmental phases: an early 178 morphogenesis phase (stage I - IV) during which a four-layered LRP is formed, 179constrained between the xylem pole and the endodermis, and a later meristem formation 180 phase (stage V onwards) characterized by the onset of a mature meristem structure and 181 rapid organ outgrowth. This is consistent with the hypothesis that LRP formation is a 182 bi-phasic growth process as originally proposed by Laskowski et al. (1995), with phase 183 transition occurring at stage V as described by Malamy and Benfey (1997).

184

3D cell division orientation and LRP shape change coincides with a developmental phase transition

187 We previously reported that LRPs initially exhibit bilateral symmetry that later changes 188 to radial symmetry, adopting a cylindrical shape similar to the primary root (Lucas et al., 189 2013). Tangential divisions at the flanking side contribute to the 3D LRP shape change by 190 creating a ring of cells surrounding the central dividing cells (Lucas et al., 2013). In order 191 to better understand the contribution of division plane orientation to the 3D LRP shape 192 and its relationship with the LRP developmental phase transition, we monitored nuclear 193 dynamics employing our time lapse imaging system with a nuclear-localized reporter line 194 termed pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato (Adachi et al., 2011) and then tracked LRP nuclei 195 movement and cell divisions (Figs. 2A-F, S2). 4D-nuclei tracking showed the

196	contributions of daughter cells derived from pericycle cell files adjacent to the xylem pole
197	in the 3D LRP structure, and revealed that LRPs are predominantly formed from cells in
198	three files of pericycle cells (Fig. 2A-F, Movie 2). Consistent with previous analysis
199	(Kurup et al., 2005; von Wangenheim et al., 2016), the central pericycle file provided all
200	of the cells in the central median plane (green), whilst cells derived from adjacent
201	pericycle files (red and orange) contributed to the LRP flanks (Fig. 2A-F, Movie 2). Until
202	stage IV, cells from the central cell file underwent three rounds of periclinal cell division
203	to create a four-layered primordium ($t = 12:00$, Fig. 2A, B). Around the phase transition
204	(Stage V, t = 16:00, Fig. 2C, D, Movie 2), an abrupt reorientation of the cell division plane
205	(termed longitudinal) occurred in the median file of the LRP, contributing to primordium
206	widening (t = 22:00, Fig. 2E, F, white arrows). Careful analysis of cell division patterns in
207	WAVE131Y-expressing LRP revealed that new longitudinal planes of cell divisions
208	indeed promoted LRP widening at stage V (Fig. 1E, tangential sections [d, e],
209	arrowheads). Hence, cell division plane re-orientation at stage V contributes to 3D LRP
210	shape change towards a cylindrical structure, concomitant with the early-to-late LRP
211	morphogenesis phase transition. Thus a developmental transition takes place at stage V
212	during LRP development, and encompasses two events: the change from an early 4-layer
213	primordium generation phase to a later meristem organization phase, and a switch from
214	bilateral to radial organ growth.

216 QC formation occurs simultaneously with a LRP developmental transition at stage
217 V

218In the primary root, QC cells function as an organizing center regulating the activity and 219 maintenance of the stem cell niche (SCN; Bennett and Scheres, 2010; Perilli et al., 2012). 220 Despite its fundamental importance for meristem formation and subsequent growth of 221new LRs, nothing is known about the spatio-temporal regulation of post-embryonic 222formation of QC cells. To address this, we initially studied the expression dynamics of the 223QC-specific reporter line QC25::CFP (ten Hove et al., 2010) using our time-lapse 224 imaging system. OC25::CFP expression was first detected in cells at the center of the 225second outermost layer at stage IV/V, and the reporter signal was enhanced at later stage 226 (Fig. 1A-E, Movie 1). The expression of QC25::CFP was initiated before the 227characteristic cell divisions and accelerated organ outgrowth at the later meristem 228formation phase (Fig. 1A, C, Movie 1).

229 To confirm this observation and explore how QC formation is related to 3D structural 230 changes of the LRP, we performed nuclear tracking in developing primordia expressing 231both *pWOX5::n3GFP* and *pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato* markers (Fig. 2G–Z, Movie 3). The 232 second QC marker pWOX5::n3GFP exhibited an identical spatial and temporal 233expression pattern to QC25::CFP in the LRP (Figs. 1, 2G–K, Movies 1, 3), initially being 234detected in the central cells of the second outermost layer of stage IV/V just prior to phase 235transition (t = 14:00, Fig. 2I, N, S, X). Importantly, the longitudinal divisions occurred 236 simultaneously with expression of WOX5 (Fig. 2J, O, T, Y, Movie 4) and QC25::CFP 237(Fig. 1E). Digital cross-sections revealed that the expression of both QC reporters was 238detected in a subset of four LRP cells located in the center of the second outermost layer of stage V primordia (Figs. 1E, tangential section [e], 2O, P, T, U, Y, Z, Movie 4), 239

suggesting that the central single cell file produced a group of four QC cells by reorientation of cell division plane (i.e. longitudinally) at stage V. Based on the timing of induction of both *QC25* and *WOX5* markers, we conclude that QC establishment coincides with the developmental transition from an initial basic cellular organization with bilateral symmetry to the later meristem formation phase with progressive acquisition of radial symmetry.

246

247 QC cells originate from the outer layer of stage II LRP

248To help determine how the QC is established during the earlier stages of development, we 249 tracked cell lineages in developing LRP using our time-lapse series of CLSM images (Fig. 2501B). Our analysis revealed that vascular and pericycle tissues originated from the inner 251layer of stage II LRP (indicated by pink in Fig. 1B), whereas the outer layer of stage II 252LRP gave rise to the epidermis, ground tissues (endodermis and cortex) and root cap 253tissues (shown in light blue in Figs. 1B, S1). Our dynamic cell-fate analysis validated the 254 lineage model proposed by Malamy and Benfey (1997). In addition, our analysis revealed 255that QC cells are derived from the outer layer of stage II LRP. More precisely the central 256 daughter cells of the second outermost layer, after the second periclinal division of the 257outer layer of stage II LRP, were specified as QC precursor cells, in which the expression 258of QC markers was initiated at stage V (Fig. 1A, B, QC cells are shown in dark blue in Fig. 259 1B). Hence, the differentiation of inner and outer layers in the stage II LRP appears to be 260 an important event preceding QC specification and subsequent root meristem patterning.

262 SHR and SCR expression domains distinguish inner and outer LRP layers

263 Earlier studies have reported that the GRAS-type transcription factor SCARECROW 264 (SCR) is one of the earliest genes exhibiting differential LRP expression, specifically in 265 the outer layer of stage II primordia (Malamy and Benfey, 1997). SCR interacts with 266 another GRAS-type transcription factor, SHORT-ROOT (SHR), to regulate SCN 267maintenance, ground tissue formation and organ growth in the PR (Fisher and Sozzani, 268 2015). Using our time-lapse imaging system, a functional pSCR::GFP:SCR marker (in a 269 scr-3 mutant background (Gallagher et al., 2004)) was first detected in stage II LRP 270specifically in the outer layer (Fig. 3A t = 4:00 and 8:00, F, Movie 5). Following periclinal 271division of the outer layer of stage II LRP, the GFP:SCR protein was initially detected in 272both daughter cell layers (Fig. 3A t = 12:00, G), but the signal gradually disappeared from 273the outermost layer (Fig. 3A t = 16:00), while it was maintained in the nuclei of cells 274within the second outermost layer of the LRP after stage III (Fig. 3A t = 20:00, H). The 275second outermost layer-specific expression of the SCR gene was confirmed using a 276transcriptional pSCR:GUS:GFP reporter (Fig. 3C-E). Consistent with previous reports 277(Malamy and Benfey, 1997; Tian et al., 2014b), our dynamic live confocal observations 278revealed how the SCR-expression domain forms during LRP development.

SCR transcription is largely dependent on *SHR* (Helariutta et al., 2000; Levesque et al., 2006). In primary RAMs, the *SHR* gene is expressed in stele cells (including the pericycle) where the SHR protein is localized in the cytosol and nucleus, but the SHR protein moves via plasmodesmata to nuclei of adjacent QC and endodermal cells, where it activates *SCR* expression (Nakajima et al., 2001). In stage I LRP, the

284	functional SHR:GFP protein expressed under the control of its own regulatory region
285	(pSHR::SHR:GFP) in the shr-2 mutant background (Nakajima et al., 2001) was detected
286	very weakly in all cells (Fig. 3B, Movies 6, 7 t = 0.00). In stage II LRP, the SHR:GFP
287	protein signal gradually increased in cells of the inner layer while it was weakly detected
288	in the outer layer (Fig. 3B $t = 4:00$ and 8:00) where the SHR:GFP proteins locate in the
289	nucleus (Fig. 3B, L). From stage III onwards, the SHR:GFP protein signal remained high
290	in the inner layer-derived tiers, and gradually increased in the nuclei of cells of the second
291	outermost layer directly overlying <i>SHR</i> -expressing inner layer-derived cells (Fig. 3B t =
292	12:00–20:00, M, N, Movies 6, 7). The inner layer-specific expression of the SHR gene
293	was confirmed using a transcriptional pSHR:GUS reporter (Fig. 3I-K), consistent with
294	published results (Lucas et al., 2011). The appearance of SHR:GFP protein in cell nuclei
295	in the second outermost layer of the LRP coincided with an enhanced level of GFP:SCR
296	signal in those cells (Fig. 3A, B), consistent with SCR transcription being largely SHR
297	dependent (Helariutta et al., 2000; Levesque et al., 2006). Thus, our imaging system
298	helped refine our knowledge of SCR and SHR expression dynamics in developing
299	primordia and revealed that in stage II LRP the SHR and SCR transcriptional domains
300	demark the inner and outer cell layers respectively, which might be functionally
301	important for further primordium morphogenesis.

302

303 The scr mutation disrupts QC establishment

304 To determine the functional importance of *SCR* outer layer-specific expression in stage II

305 primordia, we analysed LRP morphogenesis in the *scr-3* mutant using time-lapse imaging

306	(Figs. 4 and 5). In wild-type plants, periclinal divisions in stage II LRPs occurred
307	according to a regular sequence: cells divided initially in the outer layer, and
308	subsequently in the inner layer (Fig. 1A, $t = 7:00$ and 9:30, Movie 1). After stage II
309	periclinal division, two or three rounds of cell divisions were observed in the outermost
310	layer-derived cells in the following 20 hours (Figs. 5A, blue box and nuclei, S3). Slower
311	divisions were observed in the cells derived from the second outermost layer of stage IV
312	in the same time period (Figs. 5A, red box and nuclei, S3). In contrast, cell divisions in
313	the outer layer of the scr-3 mutant LRPs were largely abolished during early primordia
314	development (Fig. 4A, B, $t = 6:00$, Movie 8), while the inner layer underwent periclinal
315	divisions. Later, cell divisions in the outer layer-derived tiers of the primordium occurred
316	only once in a small number of central cells during the 20 hours of our time-lapse
317	observation, although inner layer-derived cells continued to divide in a wild-type fashion
318	(Figs. 4A, B, t = 11:30 and 18:00, 5B, S3, Movie 8). As a consequence, after stage II, it is
319	difficult to assign precise stages to developing scr-3 primordia according to Malamy and
320	Benfey's nomenclature. Hence, SCR appears to promote the second round of periclinal
321	cell divisions in the outer layer of the stage II LRP, as well as subsequent divisions of the
322	outer layer derivatives, whereas inner layer cell divisions are independent of SCR
323	function.

In order to confirm the specific cell division defects in the outer layer of the scr-3 mutant, we monitored the spatial activity of the SCR promoter (*pSCR::GUS:GFP*) during LRP development. Whilst *pSCR:GUS:GFP* signal was clearly enhanced in the second outermost layer of WT LRPs at stage V (Fig. 4C, D), it appeared more uniform in 328 scr-3 cells derived from presumptive derivatives of stage II-outermost layer at 329 approximately the same stage (Fig. 4E, F). We also observed similar defects in the 330 periclinal cell division in the outer layer and spatial pattern of SCR promoter activity in 331 shr-2 (Fig. S4), consistent with the mutant's previously reported severe LRP patterning 332 defects (Lucas et al., 2011). These observations suggested that defects in periclinal 333 divisions in the stage-II outermost layer of scr-3 and shr-2 LRP disrupt the correct spatial 334 regulation of SCR expression during later LRP development. Based on these data, we 335 conclude that periclinal divisions in the outer layer of stage II LRP (some of which 336 generated the future QC cells) are regulated by SCR and SHR.

337 Our earlier cell lineage analysis revealed that the periclinal divisions in the 338 outer layer of the stage II LRP are important for producing QC precursor cells (Fig. 1A, 339 B). Thus, disrupting periclinal cell division in the outer layer of the scr-3 stage II LRP 340 would compromise QC formation. Indeed, nuclear expression of the pWOX5::n3GFP 341QC marker was undetectable in the *scr-3* mutant LRP prior to organ emergence (Fig. 6). 342 At 24 h, WOX5 promoter activity was weakly detected at a QC-like position, after which 343 reporter expression was strongly detected at later time points (Fig. 6). This observation 344suggested that specific expression of SCR in the outer layer of stage II promotes 345formative periclinal cell divisions to produce QC precursor cells and subsequent QC 346 establishment in the LRP.

347 Nevertheless, *scr-3* LRP continued to develop as a result of the division of cells 348 derived from the inner layer, and eventually cells occupying similar positions to 349 wild-type QC cells in *scr-3* LR expressed the *pWOX5::n3GFP* marker, albeit

350	considerably later than the wild type (Fig. 6, $t = 28:30$ and 34:00, indicated by red
351	arrowheads). Consistent with this observation, the scr mutant created mature LRs,
352	although they were shorter than those of WT (Fig. S5), possibly due to later defects in
353	SCN maintenance by similar mechanisms to the PR (Sabatini et al., 2003). Interestingly,
354	tracking the origin of the QC marker-positive cells in the scr-3 mutant revealed that they
355	were derived from inner layer cells of the stage II LRP (Fig. 6B, shown in pink). Our
356	observations suggest that QC formation in the LRP is normally dependent on
357	SCR-mediated periclinal cell division in the outer layer of the stage II LRP. However,
358	when the normal pattern of QC establishment is defective, QC cells still eventually
359	establish, albeit independent of SCR regulation via a distinct LRP cell lineage.
360	

361 **Discussion**

362 LR formation represents a highly integrated and dynamic process that transforms LR 363 founder cells into new root meristems. Here, we describe de novo establishment of QC 364 and meristem organization during LRP morphogenesis. A 3D time-lapse confocal 365 imaging approach revealed that LRP development operates in a bi-phasic manner: first, 366 from stage I to IV, periclinal and anticlinal cell divisions create a simple four-layered 367 primordium with respect to the main growth axes of pericycle cells. From stage V 368 onwards, more complex cell division patterning contributes to meristem formation 369 accompanied with accelerated growth and 3D shape change to a radially cylindrical 370 structure similar to the PR. Our time-lapse analyses of live LRP development are 371consistent with previously reported results (Laskowski et al., 1995; Malamy and Benfey, 3721997), and further support the model of a biphasic morphogenesis process initially 373 proposed by Laskowski et al. (1995) (Fig. 7).

374 Our confocal-based time-lapse imaging system allowed us to analyse live 375 lateral root development with high spatio-temporal resolution. This approach is 376 complementary to the light sheet fluorescent microscopy (LSFM)-based imaging 377 technique reported by von Wangenheim and co-workers (2016). Both techniques enable 3784D monitoring of living lateral root primordia. While LSFM offers 4D visualisation of 379 the root sample with reduced exposure to laser sources, our confocal-based approach 380 provides advantages such as high-resolution and flexible control of multi-coloured 381imaging, by enabling visualization of multiple reporters simultaneously.

382	Our study also revealed that the transition between the two major phases of
383	LRP development, namely early morphogenesis phase and late meristem formation phase
384	occurs in stage V primordia and coincides with the onset of QC marker gene expression
385	in central cells of the second outermost layer. Despite previously reported observations
386	suggesting earlier onset of WOX5 expression during LR development (Ditengou et al.,
387	2008), our repeated analyses of two new QC markers (<i>pWOX5::n3GFP</i> and <i>QC25::CFP</i>)
388	support the conclusion that QC identity is established around stage V during LRP
389	development.

. . .

390 Our study also reveals that QC establishment in LRs relies on the 391 differentiation of the inner and outer layers in stage II primordia controlled by two 392 GRAS-family transcription factors, SCR (outer layer) and SHR (inner layer). It is 393 plausible that, as observed in the primary root meristem, SHR protein movement from the 394 presumptive stele of stage II primordium to the overlying cell layer induces SCR 395 expression and that SCR-SHR interaction later restricts SCR expression domain to the 396 second outermost layer of the developing LRP (Cui et al., 2007). However, the precise 397 mechanisms regulating SHR expression, migration and transcriptional activity in the 398 context of lateral root development remain to be elucidated.

The *SCR*-expressing outer LRP layer produces QC precursor cells via *SCR*-regulated periclinal cell divisions. These observations are reminiscent of two functional properties of SCN regulation in the primary root. First, *WOX5* expression in the PR meristem was shown to depend on the SCR/SHR regulation pathway (Sarkar et al., 2007). Thus, despite the differences in the tissue context of both events, regulation of

404 WOX5 expression by the SHR/SCR module seems to be conserved between primary and 405 lateral root meristem formation. Second, earlier work on the primary root has shown that 406 SHR and SCR regulate formative cell divisions in root ground tissue initial cells, 407 generating endodermal and cortical layers (Cruz-Ramirez et al., 2012; Sozzani et al., 408 2010). In this study, we report that in stage II LR primordia, SCR also regulates the 409 formative periclinal division of the outer layer, preceding QC specification. This suggests 410 that cell division pattern for QC establishment is regulated differently during LR 411 development and embryogenesis in Arabidopsis (Wysocka-Diller et al., 2000) and that, 412while SCR is not strictly required for LR QC establishment, "normal" QC-forming 413 formative divisions in the lateral root primordium depends on SCR function.

414 Ian Sussex and co-workers originally proposed that the formation of a LR 415 meristem is a two-step process (Laskowski et al., 1995), and hypothesized that there is an 416 unknown developmental transition event between these two phases. We report that QC 417 formation correlates with the transition from an early morphogenesis phase to a later 418 meristem formation phase at stage V, and with bilateral to radial 3D organ shape change. 419 Before that stage, the SCR/SHR module controls the initial inner/outer patterning of stage 420 II primordium and promotes the formative periclinal cell division of the outer layer that 421precedes QC formation. After that stage, QC specification might contribute to orchestrate 422 further steps of root meristem organization in the developing primordium. Primary root 423 QC cells organize SCN activity and maintenance through controlling phytohormone 424distribution and the spatio-temporal activity of transcription factors (Perilli et al., 2012; 425ten Hove et al., 2015). It will be interesting to analyse how QC establishment influences 426 meristem organization and maintenance in the second phase of LRP development, and to 427compare it to the regulatory networks operating in the primary root apex. Interestingly, 428 despite being severely impaired in outer layer-derived cell divisions during the earlier 429 developmental phase, ultimately the scr mutant could still establish a QC at a similar 430 position to the WT. This observation suggests that cells in the early LRP of the scr mutant 431 still have competency for QC establishment, which might have similar regulatory 432 mechanisms to root regeneration (Sena et al., 2009; Xu et al., 2006). This emphasizes the 433 robustness of LR meristem patterning mechanisms with respect to cell lineage. We 434hypothesize that QC cell specification in the LR is regulated by yet to be determined 435 positional information (e.g., phytohormones, mobile signals) and a dynamic gene 436 regulatory network (Lavenus et al., 2015; Voss et al., 2015). Further analyses will help to 437address the SCR-independent patterning mechanisms for *de novo* QC specification in the 438 LRP and shed light on the functional importance of this new stem cell niche for LRP 439 development.

440 Materials and Methods

441 **Plant materials and growth conditions**

- 442 The A. thaliana accession Col-0 was used throughout the experiments. The following
- 443 transgenic plants were used: QC25::CFP (ten Hove et al., 2010), WAVE131Y (Geldner et
- 444 al., 2009), pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato (Adachi et al., 2011), pSCR::GFP:SCR (scr-3)
- 445 (Gallagher et al., 2004), pSHR::SHR:GFP (shr-2) (Nakajima et al., 2001), pSHR::GUS
- 446 (Helariutta et al., 2000), shr-2 and scr-3 (Fukaki et al., 1998) and AUX1-YFP (Swarup et
- 447 al., 2004). Seeds were germinated under sterile conditions on 1x Murashige-Skoog
- 448 medium solidified with 0.5% gellan gum containing 0.5 g/L MES-KOH (pH 5.8), 0.01%
- 449 myo-inositol and 1% sucrose. Plants were grown at 23°C under continuous light in
- 450 vertically orientated petri dishes.
- 451

452 Vector construction and plant transformation

- 453 To generate *pSCR::GUS:GFP*, In-Fusion Cloning (TaKaRa) was used to clone a *SCR*
- 454 promoter fragment (2,349 bp) amplified by PCR with the primers
- 455 pGWB-XbaI-AtSCRpro-F

456 (GCAGGTCGACTCTAGAGACCGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAAA) and

457 pGWB-XbaI-AtSCRpro-R

458 (TGTTGATAACTCTAGAGTTGGTCGTGAGATTGCATGGT) into pGWB550
459 (Nakagawa et al., 2007). A *GUS* fragment amplified by PCR with the primers
460 attB1-F-GUS (aaaaagcaggctCCATGGTCCGTCCTGTAGAAAC) and attB2-R-GUS
461 (agaaagctggtATTGTTTGCCTCCCTGCTGCG) was cloned into pDONR221 and

transferred into pGWB550-AtSCRpro by the Gateway technology (Life Technology). To generate *pWOX5::n3GFP*, an approximately 4.6-kb fragment of the upstream region of *WOX5* was amplified as described previously (Sarkar et al., 2007), and then cloned upstream of SV40-3xGFP in pGreenIIKAN (Takada and Jurgens, 2007). The plasmid was introduced into Col-0 by the floral dip transformation method (Clough and Bent, 1998).

468

469 Time-lapse imaging of LR morphogenesis

470 For time-lapse imaging, 5-day-old plants were placed horizontally into a 471cover-glass-bottom chamber (Thermo Fisher) with a block of solid medium and observed 472under an inverted confocal microscope (Leica SP5II or Olympus FV1000 with a Z focus 473drift compensating system (ZDC)). In order to allow plant growth on the microscope 474stage during image acquisition, a LED lighting system was coupled to the microscope 475hardware. On the Leica SP5II, an USB relay controller (KMtronic) and countdown timer 476 software custom-written in the LabVIEW development environment (National 477 Instruments) turned off the light during laser scanning. For the Olympus FV1000, a 478 ring-shaped LED lamp attached to the microscope condenser was controlled by the TTL 479 signal output from the FV1000. Images were taken every 10 or 15 minutes at 2 µm 480 Z-steps using a 60x water immersion objective lens with immersion medium Immersol W 481 2010 (Zeiss), and then processed with the Image J program Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) 482and its plugin Correct 3D drift (http://fiji.sc/Correct_3D_drift) for the registration of 483 3D+T image dataset. Each observation was repeated at least three times. For the cell 484 lineage map, confocal images were traced by the CellSet software (Pound et al., 2012),

485 and then drawn by Illustrator (Adobe). The divisions and movements of nuclei labelled

486 with *pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato* (Adachi et al., 2011) were tracked with TrackMate (ver.

487 2.7.4) on the software Fiji (http://fiji.sc/TrackMate).

488

489 **GFP observation and GUS staining**

490 For GFP observation with a confocal microscope (Olympus FV1000), roots were 491 counterstained with propidium iodide (PI, 10 µg/ml). For GUS staining, roots were 492 prefixed with ice-cold 90% acetone for 15 min, and then stained with GUS staining 493 solution (100 mM sodium phosphate, pH 7.0, 10 mM EDTA, 5 mM potassium 494 ferrocyanide, 5 mM potassium ferricyanide, 0.1% Triton X-100 and 0.5 mg/ml 495 5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indoyl-β-D-glucuronide (X-Gluc)). Whole-mount clearing 496 preparation of roots was performed using chloral hydrate. Samples were observed with a 497 Leica DM6000 microscope equipped with Nomarski optics (Leica Microsystems).

498

499 Acknowledgments

We thank Philip N Benfey (Duke Univ.), Ben Scheres (Wageningen UR), Sachihiro Matsunaga (Tokyo Univ. of Sci.) and Tsuyoshi Nakagawa (Shimane Univ.) for providing experimental materials. We also thank Yuichiro Imai (Olympus Corp.) for providing valuable advice and technical support for the time-lapse imaging and lighting system, and Yoshie Okamoto and Shiori Sera for technical assistance.

505

506 **Competing interests**

- 507 The authors declare no competing or financial interests.
- 508

509 Author contributions

- 510 T.G., L.L., M.J.B. and S.G. designed the research; T.G. and K.S. performed the research;
- 511 T.G., K.T., D.M.W., M.Y., T.M., D.W. H.F. and S.G. contributed new tools; T.G., L.L.,
- 512 M.J.B. and S.G. analyzed the data; T.G., K.T., H.F., L.L., M.J.B. and S.G. wrote the
- 513 paper; all authors read and approved the paper.
- 514

515 Funding

- 516 This work was supported by the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and
- 517 Technology (MEXT) through a JSPS Research Fellowship for Young Scientists (No.
- 518 12J02079 to T.G.), a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas (No.
- 519 19060006 to H.F.), and a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Innovative Areas (No.
- 520 25113003 to H.F.).
- 521

522 **References**

523	Adachi. S.,	Minamisawa.	K	Okushima.	Y	Inagaki.	S	. Yoshiva	ama. K.	. Kondou.	Y
			,				~	, _ ~ ~ ,		,,	

- 524 Kaminuma, E., Kawashima, M., Toyoda, T., Matsui, M., et al. (2011).
- 525 Programmed induction of endoreduplication by DNA double-strand breaks in
 526 Arabidopsis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 108, 10004-10009.
- 527 Bao, Y., Aggarwal, P., Robbins, N. E., Sturrock, C. J., Thompson, M. C., Tan, H. Q.,
- 528 Tham, C., Duan, L., Rodriguez, P. L., Vernoux, T., et al. (2014). Plant roots
- use a patterning mechanism to position lateral root branches toward available
 water. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 9319-9324.
- 531 Bennett, T. and Scheres, B. (2010). Root development-two meristems for the price of
 532 one? *Curr Top Dev Biol* 91, 67-102.
- 533 Clough, S. J. and Bent, A. F. (1998). Floral dip: a simplified method for
 534 Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant J. 16,
 535 735-743.
- 536 Cruz-Ramirez, A., Diaz-Trivino, S., Blilou, I., Grieneisen, V. A., Sozzani, R.,
- 537 Zamioudis, C., Miskolczi, P., Nieuwland, J., Benjamins, R., Dhonukshe, P., et
- **al.** (2012). A bistable circuit involving SCARECROW-RETINOBLASTOMA
- 539 integrates cues to inform asymmetric stem cell division. *Cell* **150**, 1002-1015.
- 540 Cui, H., Levesque, M. P., Vernoux, T., Jung, J. W., Paquette, A. J., Gallagher, K. L.,
- 541 Wang, J. Y., Blilou, I., Scheres, B. and Benfey, P. N. (2007). An evolutionarily
- 542 conserved mechanism delimiting SHR movement defines a single layer of 543 endodermis in plants. *Science* **316**, 421-425.

544 Ditengou, F. A., Teale, W. D., Kochersperger, P., Flittner, K. A., Kneuper, I., van der

545 Graaff, E., Nziengui, H., Pinosa, F., Li, X., Nitschke, R., et al. (2008).

- 546 Mechanical induction of lateral root initiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Proc. Natl.*
- 547 Acad. Sci. USA 105, 18818-18823.
- 548 Fisher, A. P. and Sozzani, R. (2015). Uncovering the networks involved in stem cell
- maintenance and asymmetric cell division in the *Arabidopsis* root. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* 29, 38-43.
- 551 Fukaki, H., Wysocka-Diller, J., Kato, T., Fujisawa, H., Benfey, P. N. and Tasaka, M.
- 552 (1998). Genetic evidence that the endodermis is essential for shoot gravitropism
 553 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant J.* 14, 425-430.
- 554 Gallagher, K. L., Paquette, A. J., Nakajima, K. and Benfey, P. N. (2004). Mechanisms

555 regulating SHORT-ROOT intercellular movement. *Curr. Biol.* 14, 1847-1851.

- 556 Geldner, N., Denervaud-Tendon, V., Hyman, D. L., Mayer, U., Stierhof, Y. D. and
- 557 Chory, J. (2009). Rapid, combinatorial analysis of membrane compartments in
- 558 intact plants with a multicolor marker set. *Plant J.* **59**, 169-178.
- 559 Goh, T., Joi, S., Mimura, T. and Fukaki, H. (2012). The establishment of asymmetry in
- 560 *Arabidopsis* lateral root founder cells is regulated by LBD16/ASL18 and related
 561 LBD/ASL proteins. *Development* 139, 883-893.
- 562 Helariutta, Y., Fukaki, H., Wysocka-Diller, J., Nakajima, K., Jung, J., Sena, G.,
- 563 Hauser, M. T. and Benfey, P. N. (2000). The SHORT-ROOT gene controls radial
- 564 patterning of the *Arabidopsis* root through radial signaling. *Cell* **101**, 555-567.
- 565 Kumpf, R. P., Shi, C. L., Larrieu, A., Sto, I. M., Butenko, M. A., Peret, B., Riiser, E.

- 566 S., Bennett, M. J. and Aalen, R. B. (2013). Floral organ abscission peptide IDA
 567 and its HAE/HSL2 receptors control cell separation during lateral root emergence.
 568 *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 110, 5235-5240.
- 569 Kurup, S., Runions, J., Köhler, U., Laplaze, L., Hodge, S. and Haseloff, J. (2005).
- 570 Marking cell lineages in living tissues. *Plant J.* **42**, 444-453.
- 571 Laskowski, M. J., Williams, M. E., Nusbaum, H. C. and Sussex, I. M. (1995).
- 572 Formation of lateral root meristems is a two-stage process. *Development* 121,
 573 3303-3310.
- 574 Lavenus, J., Goh, T., Guyomarc'h, S., Hill, K., Lucas, M., Voss, U., Kenobi, K.,
- 575 Wilson, M. H., Farcot, E., Hagen, G., et al. (2015). Inference of the Arabidopsis
- 578 Lavenus, J., Goh, T., Roberts, I., Guyomarc'h, S., Lucas, M., De Smet, I., Fukaki, H.,
- 579 Beeckman, T., Bennett, M. and Laplaze, L. (2013). Lateral root development in
 580 *Arabidopsis*: fifty shades of auxin. *Trends Plant Sci.* 18, 450-458.
- 581 Levesque, M. P., Vernoux, T., Busch, W., Cui, H., Wang, J. Y., Blilou, I., Hassan, H.,
- 582 Nakajima, K., Matsumoto, N., Lohmann, J. U., et al. (2006). Whole-genome
 583 analysis of the SHORT-ROOT developmental pathway in *Arabidopsis*. *PLoS Biol*.
 584 4, e143.
- Lucas, M., Kenobi, K., von Wangenheim, D., Vobeta, U., Swarup, K., De Smet, I.,
 Van Damme, D., Lawrence, T., Peret, B., Moscardi, E., et al. (2013). Lateral
 root morphogenesis is dependent on the mechanical properties of the overlaying

588 tissues. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 5229-5234.

589 Lucas, M., Swarup, R., Paponov, I. A., Swarup, K., Casimiro, I., Lake, D., Peret, B.,

- **Zappala, S., Mairhofer, S., Whitworth, M., et al.** (2011). Short-Root regulates
- 591 primary, lateral, and adventitious root development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiol*.
- **592 155**, 384-398.
- 593 Malamy, J. E. and Benfey, P. N. (1997). Organization and cell differentiation in lateral
 594 roots of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Development* 124, 33-44.
- 595 Moller, B. and Weijers, D. (2009). Auxin control of embryo patterning. *Cold Spring*596 *Harb. Perspect. Biol.* 1, a001545.
- 597 Nakagawa, T., Suzuki, T., Murata, S., Nakamura, S., Hino, T., Maeo, K., Tabata, R.,
- 598 Kawai, T., Tanaka, K., Niwa, Y., et al. (2007). Improved Gateway binary
- vectors: high-performance vectors for creation of fusion constructs in transgenic
 analysis of plants. *Biosci. Biotechnol. Biochem.* **71**, 2095-2100.
- 601 Nakajima, K., Sena, G., Nawy, T. and Benfey, P. N. (2001). Intercellular movement of
- 602 the putative transcription factor SHR in root patterning. *Nature* **413**, 307-311.
- 603 Naseer, S., Lee, Y., Lapierre, C., Franke, R., Nawrath, C. and Geldner, N. (2012).
- 604 Casparian strip diffusion barrier in Arabidopsis is made of a lignin polymer
 605 without suberin. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 109, 10101-10106.
- 606 Perilli, S., Di Mambro, R. and Sabatini, S. (2012). Growth and development of the root
- apical meristem. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **15**, 17-23.

608 Pound, M. P., French, A. P., Wells, D. M., Bennett, M. J. and Pridmore, T. P. (2012).

609 CellSeT: novel software to extract and analyze structured networks of plant cells

610 from confocal images. *Plant Cell* **24**, 1353-1361.

611	Sabatini, S., Heidstra, R., Wildwater, M. and Scheres, B. (2003). SCARECROW is
612	involved in positioning the stem cell niche in the Arabidopsis root meristem.
613	Genes Dev. 17, 354-358.
614	Sarkar, A. K., Luijten, M., Miyashima, S., Lenhard, M., Hashimoto, T., Nakajima,
615	K., Scheres, B., Heidstra, R. and Laux, T. (2007). Conserved factors regulate
616	signalling in Arabidopsis thaliana shoot and root stem cell organizers. Nature 446
617	811-814.
618	Schindelin, J., Arganda-Carreras, I., Frise, E., Kaynig, V., Longair, M., Pietzsch, T.,
619	Preibisch, S., Rueden, C., Saalfeld, S., Schmid, B., et al. (2012). Fiji: an
620	open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat. Methods 9, 676-682.
621	Sena, G., Wang, X., Liu, H. Y., Hofhuis, H. and Birnbaum, K. D. (2009). Organ
622	regeneration does not require a functional stem cell niche in plants. Nature 457,
623	1150-1153.
624	Sozzani, R., Cui, H., Moreno-Risueno, M. A., Busch, W., Van Norman, J. M.,
625	Vernoux, T., Brady, S. M., Dewitte, W., Murray, J. A. and Benfey, P. N.

- 626 (2010). Spatiotemporal regulation of cell-cycle genes by SHORTROOT links
 627 patterning and growth. *Nature* 466, 128-132.
- 628 Swarup, R., Kargul, J., Marchant, A., Zadik, D., Rahman, A., Mills, R., Yemm, A.,
- 629 May, S., Williams, L., Millner, P., et al. (2004). Structure-function analysis of
- 630 the presumptive Arabidopsis auxin permease AUX1. *Plant Cell* **16**, 3069-3083.
- 631 Takada, S. and Jurgens, G. (2007). Transcriptional regulation of epidermal cell fate in

the Arabidopsis embryo. Development **134**, 1141-1150.

ten	Hove,	C.	A.,	Lu,	K.	J.	and	Weijers,	D.	(2015).	Building	a	plant:	cell	fate
	spec	cific	atior	n in tł	ne ea	arly	Aral	<i>bidopsis</i> er	nbry	yo. Deve	lopment 1 4	42	, 420-4	30.	

633

- 635 ten Hove, C. A., Willemsen, V., de Vries, W. J., van Dijken, A., Scheres, B. and
- 636 Heidstra, R. (2010). SCHIZORIZA encodes a nuclear factor regulating
 637 asymmetry of stem cell divisions in the *Arabidopsis* root. *Curr. Biol.* 20, 452-457.
- Tian, H., De Smet, I. and Ding, Z. (2014a). Shaping a root system: regulating lateral
 versus primary root growth. *Trends Plant Sci.* 19, 426-431.
- **Tian, H., Jia, Y., Niu, T., Yu, Q. and Ding, Z.** (2014b). The key players of the primary
- 641 root growth and development also function in lateral roots in Arabidopsis. *Plant*642 *Cell Rep* 33, 745-753.
- 643 Vermeer, J. E., von Wangenheim, D., Barberon, M., Lee, Y., Stelzer, E. H., Maizel, A.
- and Geldner, N. (2014). A spatial accommodation by neighboring cells is
 required for organ initiation in *Arabidopsis*. *Science* 343, 178-183.
- 646 von Wangenheim, D., Fangerau, J., Schmitz, A., Smith, R. S., Leitte, H., Stelzer, E.
- 647 H. and Maizel, A. (2016). Rules and Self-Organizing Properties of
 648 Post-embryonic Plant Organ Cell Division Patterns. *Curr. Biol.* 26, 439-449.
- 649 Voss, U., Wilson, M. H., Kenobi, K., Gould, P. D., Robertson, F. C., Peer, W. A.,
- 650 Lucas, M., Swarup, K., Casimiro, I., Holman, T. J., et al. (2015). The circadian
- 651 clock rephases during lateral root organ initiation in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Nat*.
- 652 *Commun.* 6, 7641.
- 653 Wysocka-Diller, J. W., Helariutta, Y., Fukaki, H., Malamy, J. E. and Benfey, P. N.

- 654 (2000). Molecular analysis of SCARECROW function reveals a radial patterning
- 655 mechanism common to root and shoot. *Development* **127**, 595-603.
- 656 Xu, J., Hofhuis, H., Heidstra, R., Sauer, M., Friml, J. and Scheres, B. (2006). A
- 657 molecular framework for plant regeneration. *Science* **311**, 385-388.
- $\mathbf{658}$
- 659

660 Figure legends

661 Fig. 1. Cellular patterning and QC establishment during *Arabidopsis* LR 662 primordium development.

663 (A) Time-lapse image series of LR primordium development visualized using 664 WAVE131Y (plasma membrane, yellow) and QC25::CFP (QC marker, cyan). White 665 arrowheads and two-directional arrows indicate the characteristic cell divisions and cell 666 elongation, respectively. The elapsed time (h:min) after the start of observation and 667 developmental stage are indicated on each panel. See also Movie 1. Scale bar = 50 μ m.

668 (B) Cell lineage map of the LRP in A. The inner and outer layers of stage II (t = 0.00) are 669 shown in light blue and pink, respectively. The cells derived from each layer are indicated 670 by the same color. Dark blue indicates outer layer-derived cells that showed a QC25::CFP

671 signal.

(C) Quantification of LRP height (blue) and QC25::CFP fluorescence intensity (red).
QC25::CFP intensity was quantified at the center cells of the second outermost layer. LRP
developmental stages are categorized according to a previous paper (Malamy and Benfey,
1997).

676 (D, E) Front view (a, b, d and e) and top-down view (c and f) of digitally sliced images of 677 A (t = 9:30 (D) and 14:00 (E)). The white dotted lines in the side view images indicate the 678 positions of the sliced planes. White arrowheads indicate the longitudinal radial cell 679 divisions at the outermost (d) or second outermost layer (e) of the stage V LRP (E, t = 680 14:00). Scale bars = 50 µm.

682 Fig. 2. 4D nuclear tracking analysis of LRP development.

683 (A-F) The contributions of each cell file of pericycle cells to LRP development **68**4 visualized by 3D nuclei representation. Nuclei movement and division in the time-lapse 685 data of G-K were tracked and represented using 3D spheres. A, C and E are side views of 686 the LRP; and B, D and F are top-down views. The central cell file of stage I and its 687 lineage are indicated in green; and flanking cell lineages are indicated in orange and red. 688 Blue and light blue indicate additional flanking cell files of stage I. White arrows indicate 689 the central cell file with longitudinal radial cell division at stage V. See also Movie 2. 690 Time-lapse image series of LRP development visualized (G-K)using 691 *pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato* (red) and *pWOX5::n3GFP* (green). The elapsed time (h:min) 692 from the start of observation and developmental stage are indicated on each panel. See 693 also Movie 3. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.

- 694 (L–P) Front views of digitally sliced images at the indicated positions (white arrows) of695 G–K.
- 696 (Q–U) 3D nuclei representation of the QC lineage in the LRP. The QC precursor cell
- 697 (before *WOX5* expression, yellow) and *WOX5*-positive QC (green) are shown with the698 surrounding lineage (gray). See also Movie 4.
- 699 (V–Z) 3D nuclei representation of only the QC lineage from Q–U.

700

Fig. 3. SCR and SHR expression domains demark the inner and outer LRP layers.

- 702 (A) Time-lapse image series of *pSCR::GFP:SCR* (*scr-3*) x WAVE131Y (plasma
- membrane) initiated from the stage I LRP. GFP::SCR was localized in the nuclei. The

router elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated in each panel. See also

- 705 Movie 5. Scale bars = $50 \mu m$.
- 706 (B) Time-lapse image series of *pSHR::SHR:GFP* (*shr-2*) merged with DIC starting from
- stage I. The fluorescence intensity of SHR:GFP is described by a rainbow look-up color
- table. The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated in each panel.
- 709 See also Movies 6, 7. Scale bars = $50 \,\mu m$.
- 710 (C-E) Expression pattern of SCR transcriptional reporter (pSCR::GUS:GFP) at stage II
- 711 (C), IV (D) and VI (E). Scale bars = $50 \mu m$.
- 712 (F-H) Expression pattern of SCR translational reporter (*pSCR::GFP:SCR scr-3*) at stage
- 713 II (F), IV (G) and VI (H). Scale bars = $50 \mu m$.
- 714 (I-K) Expression pattern of SHR transcriptional reporter (pSHR:GUS) at stage II (I), IV
- 715 (J) and VI (K). Scale bars = 50 μ m.
- 716 (L-N) Expression pattern of SHR translational reporter (*pSHR::SHR:GFP shr-2*) at stage
- 717 II (L), IV (M) and VI (N). Scale bars = $50 \mu m$.
- 718

719 Fig. 4. SCR promoted the periclinal cell divisions in the outer layer of stage II LRP.

- 720 (A) Time-lapse image series of LRP development in AUX1-YFP scr-3. AUX1-YFP was
- used as a plasma membrane marker (green). The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of
- observation is indicated in each panel. See also Movie 8. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.
- (B) Cell lineage map of the LRP in A. The inner and outer layers of stage II (t = 0.00) are
- shown in light blue and pink, respectively. Cells derived from the same layer are indicated
- 525 by the same color.

726 (C–F) *SCR* promoter activity in the WT and *scr-3* mutant. *pSCR::GUS:GFP* was 727 observed in the second outermost layer of the WT (C, D), and the outermost layer of the 728 *scr-3* mutant (E, F). Because of the large reduction of *SCR* promoter activity in the *scr-3* 729 mutant compared with the WT, we observed the expression using different voltages on a 730 photo multiplier (PMT); 702 V for the WT and 902 V for the *scr-3* with the same laser 731 power (5%). The roots were counterstained with propidium iodide (red). Scale bar = 50 732 μ m.

733

Fig. 5. Cell divisions in the outer layer-derived tiers were largely abolished in the scr-3 mutant.

(A) Cell lineage tree of the central cell at the outer layer of stage II LRP of WT. Cell

737 divisions of the target cell-derived lineage from stage II (t = 6:00) were traced in the

738 *pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato* timelapse data (Fig. 2) for 20 hours.

(B) Cell lineage tree of the central cell in the outer layer of stage II LRP of *scr-3*(*AUX1-YFP scr-3*, Fig. 4). Only one cell division was observed in the cell lineage during
20 hours from stage II. Branch lengths indicated approximate time for cell cycle analyzed
by 30 min intervals. Dotted branches indicated the cells out of observed stack.

743

Fig. 6. *scr* mutation disrupted the normal pattern of QC establishment in LRP.

(A) Time-lapse image series of LRP development in *pWOX5::n3GFP AUX1-YFP* in the

746 scr-3 background. A nuclear signal indicated pWOX5::n3GFP expression (indicated by

red arrowheads). The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated in

each panel. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.

(B) Cell lineage map of the LRP of A. The inner and outer layers of stage II (t = 0:00) are colored by light blue and pink respectively. Red indicates inner layer-derived cells that had a *pWOX5::n3GFP* signal.

752

753 Fig. 7. Schematic model of *Arabidopsis* LR organogenesis.

754LR development is biphasic with an initial morphogenesis phase to form basic 755four-layered LRP followed by meristem formation phase as initially proposed by 756 Laskowski et al. (1995). The transition from bilateral to radial 3D organ shape also 757 coincides with the transition from early morphogenesis to late meristem formation phases. 758The GRAS-family transcription factor, SCARECROW (SCR) is specifically expressed in 759the outer layer of stage II and regulates the formation of precursor cells of QC through 760 SCR-mediated periclinal cell divisions (indicated by yellow line at stage III). QC establishment occurs simultaneously with and facilitates the developmental phase 761 762 transition of LRP at stage V.

763

764 Supplemental Figures

765 Fig. S1. Cellular patterns of the RAM of the PR and emerged LRP.

(A) Confocal image of the primary RAM in *pSCR::GUS:GFP* (endodermis and QC,

green) counterstained with PI (cell wall, red). Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.

768 (B) Schematic representation of the cellular pattern of the RAM in the PR created by

tracing cell outlines from A. Cell types are colored according to the legend.

(C) Confocal image of the emerged LRP visualized with a plasma membrane-localized
fluorescent marker (WAVE131Y, green). Scale bar = 50 μm.

- (D) Schematic representation of the cellular pattern of the emerged LRP created by
 tracing cell outlines from C. Cell colors show the putative cell types based on the
 information from our observations in this study, and previously reported histological
 study and marker expression patterns (Malamy and Benfey, 1997).
- 776

777 Fig. S2. Original images for 4D nuclei tracking.

778 Maximum-intensity projections of seven sections at the indicated distance within Z

sections at the indicated time points are shown. Circles (4 µm diameter) drawn in the

same colors as in Fig. 2A–F indicate tracked nuclei for 3D representation.

781

- **Fig. S3. Relative cell positions of the outer layer-derived cell lineages in the WT and**
- 783 scr-3 mutant during LRP development.
- Cell positions relative to the initial position (stage II) were analysed from the trackingdata of Fig. 5.
- 786

787 Fig. S4. *shr* mutation disrupted cell division during LRP development.

(A) Time-lapse image series of LRP development in *AUX1-YFP* in the *shr-2* background.

789 The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated in each panel. Scale

790 bar = 50 μ m.

(B) pSCR::GUS:GFP in the shr-2 background. pSCR::GUS:GFP was observed in the

- second outermost layer of the WT, and the outermost layer of the *shr-2* mutant. Because
- 793 of the large reduction of SCR promoter activity in the shr-2 mutant compared with the WT,
- we observed the expression using different voltages on a photo multiplier (PMT); 703 V
- for WT and 903 V for shr-2 with the same laser power (5%). The roots were
- counterstained with propidium iodide (red). Scale bars = $50 \,\mu m$.

797

- 798 Fig. S5. The *scr* mutant created mature LRs.
- Eleven-day-old seedlings of the WT (Col) and *scr-3* and *shr-2* mutants. Scale bars = 10

800 mm.

801

802 Supplemental movies

803 Movie 1. Time-lapse movie of WAVE131Y x QC25::CFP.

- 804 Time-lapse movie of LR primordium development visualized using WAVE131Y (plasma
- 805 membrane, yellow) and QC25::CFP (QC marker, cyan). The elapsed time (h:min) from
- 806 the start of observation is indicated at the top. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.
- 807

808 Movie 2. 3D nuclei representation of LRP development.

- 809 Nuclei are distinguished by different colors dependent on the initial cell file. The central
- 810 cell file (green) provides all cells in the medial section, and flanking cell files (red and
- 811 orange) contribute to the side parts of the LRP. Additional flanking cell files (blue and
- 812 light blue) only contribute to a small proportion of the LRP.
- 813

814 Movie 3. Time-lapse movie of *pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato* x *pWOX5::n3GFP*.

- 815 Time-lapse movie of LRP development visualized using *pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato* (red)
- 816 and *pWOX5::n3GFP* (green). The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is
- 817 indicated at the top. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.

818

819 Movie 4. 3D nuclei representation of the QC cell lineage.

- 820 QC precursor cells (yellow) were produced by periclinal cell division at the outer layer of
- 821 stage II, and then acquired QC identity (green). After QC marker expression, the QC

underwent longitudinal radial cell division to create four QC cells.

823

824 Movie 5. Time-lapse movie of *pSCR::GFP:SCR* (*scr-3*) x WAVE131Y.

- 825 Time-lapse movie of *pSCR*::*GFP*:*SCR* (nuclei, green) x WAVE131Y (plasma membrane,
- green) in the *scr-3* mutant background. The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated at the top. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu\text{m}$.
- 828

829 Movie 6. Time-lapse movie of *pSHR::SHR:GFP* (*shr-2*) merged with DIC.

- 830 The fluorescence intensity of SHR:GFP was described by a rainbow look-up color table.
- 831 The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated at the top. Scale bar =

832 50 μm.

833

834 Movie 7. Time-lapse movie of *pSHR::SHR:GFP* (*shr-2*).

835 The GFP channel only from Movie 6. Fluorescence intensity of SHR:GFP was described

- 836 by a rainbow look-up color table. The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation
- 837 is indicated at the top. Scale bar = $50 \,\mu m$.
- 838

839 Movie 8. Time-lapse movie of LRP development in *AUX1-YFP scr-3*.

- 840 The elapsed time (h:min) from the start of observation is indicated at the top. Scale bar =
- 841 50 μm.
- 842

WAVE131Y (PM) x QC25::CFP Α





pRPS5a::H2B:tdTomato x pWOX5::n3GFP





pSCR::GFP:SCR (scr-3) x WAVE131Y (PM) Α

















early morphogenesis phase





QC marker-positive cells

meristem formation phase stage V stage VI →

QC specification (dark blue)

outer layer-derived cells

inner-derived cells

meristem organization