

Maidment, David W. and Brassington, William and Wharrad, Heather and Ferguson, Melanie A. (2016) Internet competency predicts practical hearing aid knowledge and skills in first-time hearing aid users. American Journal of Audiology, 25 . pp. 303-307. ISSN 1558-9137

# Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/37852/1/Maidment%20Manuscript%20AJA-16-0022-R1-clean.pdf

## Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end\_user\_agreement.pdf

## A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Internet competency predicts practical hearing aid knowledge and skills in first-time hearing aid users.

David Maidment<sup>1</sup>, William Brassington<sup>2</sup>, Heather Wharrad<sup>3</sup>, Melanie Ferguson<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> NIHR Nottingham Hearing Biomedical Research Unit, Division of Clinical Neuroscience, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

<sup>2</sup> Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, Nottingham, UK

<sup>3</sup> School of Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

Corresponding author: Melanie Ferguson NIHR Nottingham Hearing Biomedical Research Unit 113 The Ropewalk Nottingham, UK NG1 5DU

Tel: (+44)115 8232619 Fax: (+44)115 8232615

Email: Melanie.Ferguson@nottingham.ac.uk

Running head: Internet competency in hearing aid users

Key words: Hearing aids Hearing loss Practical hearing aid knowledge Hearing aid handling skills Self-efficacy

#### 1 Purpose

2 To assess whether internet competency predicts practical hearing aid knowledge and handling3 skills in first-time hearing aid users.

4

#### 5 Method

6 The design was a prospective, randomized controlled trial of a multimedia educational

7 intervention consisting of interactive video tutorials (or reusable learning objects: RLOs).

8 RLOs were delivered through DVD for TV or PC, and online. Internet competency was

9 measured at the hearing aid fitting appointment, whereas hearing aid knowledge and practical

10 handling skills were assessed six-weeks post-fitting.

11

## 12 **Results**

13 Internet competency predicted practical hearing aid knowledge and handling skills,

14 controlling for age, hearing sensitivity, educational status and gender, for the group that

15 received the RLOs. Internet competency was inversely related to the number of times the

16 RLOs were watched.

17

#### 18 Conclusion

19 Associations between internet competency and practical hearing aid knowledge, handling

20 skills, and watching the RLOs fewer times may have arisen because of improved self-

21 efficacy. Therefore, first-time hearing aid users that are more competent internet users may

be better equipped to apply newly learnt information to effectively manage their hearing loss.

#### 1 Introduction

2

An educational program for first-time hearing aid users has been previously developed that 3 4 included practical and psychosocial aspects of hearing aids and communication (Ferguson et 5 al., 2015). The program (branded as C2Hear) is based on the concept of reusable learning 6 objects (RLOs), which are 'chunks' of interactive multimedia learning, containing highly 7 visual components (e.g. animations, video clips, patient testimonials), and based on 8 pedagogical principles (Windle et al., 2010). Previous research in 2010/11 had shown that PC and internet use was low in the typical first-time hearing aid user age-group (70-74 years) in 9 10 the UK, with 36.3% and 17.5% reporting that they used a PC or the Internet respectively 11 (Henshaw et al., 2012). Consequently, the RLOs were developed for delivery through DVD for TV or PC, and via the internet, to maximize accessibility by first-time hearing aid users. 12 This required the RLOs to be developed for a DVD platform, which inherently limited 13 14 interactivity and individualization (Ferguson et al., 2016a). 15 Following development of the RLOs, a randomized control trial (RCT) was conducted to 16 evaluate their effectiveness. Half (50.6%) of the participants chose the DVD for TV mode of 17 delivery, 15.2% opted for delivery via DVD for PC and 32.9% chose to view them online. 18 The RCT showed a number of benefits for first-time hearing aid users who received the 19 20 RLOs (RLO+), in comparison to the standard management condition (RLO-). Six weeks 21 post-fitting, the RLO+ intervention group had significantly greater knowledge on how to use their hearing aids and were more confident and skilled at using their hearing aids (Ferguson 22 et al., 2015; Ferguson et al., 2016a). Self-reported hearing aid use was also significantly 23

24 greater in the RLO+ group, but only for 'suboptimal' users.

1	Since the RLOs were originally developed in 2011/12, the UK has seen a year-on-year
2	increase in internet use in 55-74 year olds (2010=61%; 2012=70%; 2014=78%) (United
3	Economic Commission for Europe, 2015). Similarly, there has been a dramatic increase in
4	computer use in 65+ year olds, from 9% (2006) to 45% (2015), while in 55-64 year olds use
5	has increased from 36% (2006) to 72% (2015) (UK Office for National Statistics, 2015). The
6	increasing digital competency in older adults suggests the time is right to focus on the
7	development of internet-delivered hearing-related interventions (Ferguson & Henshaw,
8	2015). Indeed, there are now a number of online rehabilitation programs that have been
9	developed for adult hearing aid users (Thorén et al., 2014) and people with tinnitus
10	(Greenwell et al., 2015).
11	
12	It is likely that a number of factors, such as age and internet competency, impact use and
13	acceptance of internet-based hearing interventions (Moore et al., 2015). There is also some
14	value in understanding whether internet competency affects the effectiveness of digital
15	interventions, as it may serve as a potential barrier to an older population. Furthermore, an
16	improved understanding of the impact of internet competency will inform how interventions
17	should be developed and optimally delivered to people with hearing loss. Thus, the aim of
18	this study was to assess whether internet competency was predictive of practical hearing aid
19	knowledge and handling skills following intervention of the multimedia educational RLOs.
20	
21	Method
22	We report unpublished data from the original study (Ferguson et al., 2016a), evaluating the
23	RLOs in first-time hearing aid users. The design was a single-center, prospective clinically
24	registered RCT (http://www.isrctn.com/ISRCTN11486888) of 203 first-time hearing aid

users (mean age=67.8 years, SD=9.5, range=42.2-94.8; mean better ear average<sub>0.25-4kHz</sub>=32.0

dB HL, SD=8.7, range=6-74) with two arms: (i) the intervention group received the RLOs
immediately following their hearing aid fitting appointment (RLO+, n=103); (ii) the control
group received standard clinical management only (RLO-, n=100). A detailed account of the
study methods is reported in Ferguson et al. (2016a).

5

6 Internet competency was rated by participants at the hearing aid fitting appointment on a 7 validated three-category scale (Never used, Beginner, or Competent) (Henshaw et al., 2012). 8 Educational status was also reported on a three-category scale (Secondary school, up to 15 9 years; A-Level/Diploma or equivalent; Degree level or above - corresponding to junior high, senior high, college/university respectively). Outcomes were assessed six-weeks post-hearing 10 11 aid fitting, and included self-report questionnaires on knowledge of practical and 12 psychosocial aspects of hearing aids and communication (Hearing Aid and Communication Knowledge questionnaire, HACK; (Ferguson et al., 2015), and practical hearing aid handling 13 14 skills (Practical Hearing Aid Skills Test, PHAST; (Desjardins & Doherty, 2009). All outcome 15 measures were completed by two audiologists at the Nottingham Hearing Biomedical Research Unit, who were blind to the participant's group allocation at the beginning of the 16 17 session.

18

#### **19 Statistical Analysis**

20 A difference in internet competency within each group was tested using the Chi-square, and

21 between RLO+ and RLO- groups using an independent samples Mann-Whitey U test.

22 Spearman's rho correlation coefficients were used to test associations between internet

- 23 competency and demographic characteristics (across the entire sample) and RLO mode of
- 24 delivery (RLO+ only), in addition to hearing aid knowledge and skills (separately for RLO+/-
- 25 groups). For each questionnaire, *p*-values were Holm-Bonferroni corrected for each sub-scale

1 (Aickin & Gensler, 1996; Holm, 1979). For all significant correlations ( $p \le .05$ ), multiple

2 linear regression analysis tested whether internet competency predicted outcomes six-weeks
3 post-hearing aid fitting.

4

#### 5 **Results**

A significantly lower proportion of individuals reported that they had either never used the
internet (RLO+, 20.1%; RLO-, 22.1%) or were 'beginners' (RLO+, 28.7%; RLO-, 31.6%), in

8 comparison to those reporting that they were 'competent' users (RLO+, 51.1%; RLO-,

9 46.3%) in both the RLO+ ( $X^2(2, N=94)=14.32, p=.001$ ) and RLO- groups ( $X^2(2, N=94)=14.32, p=.001$ )

10 N=95 = 8.48, p=.014) (Table 1). Internet competency did not significantly differ between the

11 RLO+/- groups (*U*=4254.5, *p*=.543).

12

Across the whole sample, greater internet competency was significantly correlated with a younger age ( $R_s(189)$ =-.29, p<.001), better-hearing threshold ( $R_s(189)$ =-.23, p=.001), higher educational status ( $R_s(155)$ =.23, p=.004), and with being male ( $R_s(189)$ =.17, p=.021). In the RLO+ group, greater internet competency was also strongly associated with selecting the internet mode of delivery ( $R_s(99)$ =.62, p<.001).

18

For the RLO+ group, greater internet competency was associated with significantly greater knowledge of practical hearing aid issues ( $R_s(56)=.34$ , p=.010) (Fig. 1A), but not psychosocial issues ( $R_s(56)=.17$ , p=.190). Greater internet competency was also associated with better practical hearing aid handling skills at follow-up ( $R_s(74)=.27$ , p=.02) (Fig. 1B). In contrast, greater internet competency was significantly associated with watching the RLOs fewer times ( $R_s(66)=.33$ , p=.006) (Fig 1C). Internet competency was the only significant predictor of practical hearing aid knowledge ( $\beta=5.47$ , t(54)=2.76, p=.008), accounting for

12% of the variance. Internet competency also significantly predicted practical hearing aid
 handling skills (β=3.0, t(72)=2.6, p=.011, R<sup>2</sup>=.09), in addition to times watched (β=-3.39,
 t(65)=-2.95, p=.004, R<sup>2</sup>=.12). No additional variance was accounted for by age, hearing
 threshold, level of education or gender when entered into each model (p≥.080).

6 There was no significant relationship between internet competency and practical hearing aid
7 knowledge and handling skills (*p*≥.11) in the RLO- group.

8

### 9 Discussion

In this study we assessed the extent to which self-reported internet competency predicted 10 11 practical hearing aid knowledge and handling skills. Greater internet competency predicted 12 superior practical hearing aid knowledge and handling skills. Internet competency was also predictive of how many times the RLOs were watched, with greater competency associated 13 with watching the RLOs fewer times. Furthermore, internet competency was a significant 14 15 predictor of hearing aid knowledge and skills after controlling for demographic 16 characteristics (age, hearing threshold, educational status, gender), which have all been shown previously to be related to internet use (Henshaw et al., 2012). However, greater 17 internet competency predicted superior practical hearing aid knowledge and handling skills 18 only in individuals that received the RLO intervention (RLO+). While internet competency 19 did not differ significantly between RLO+/- groups, no relationship between internet 20 21 competency and outcomes was found for the standard clinical management control group (RLO-). 22

23

One potential explanation for why greater internet competency predicted hearing aid handling
skills and knowledge in the RLO+ group only may be attributed to perceived self-efficacy (or

1 confidence) to perform a specific behavior. In the audiological field, the impact of self-2 efficacy on health behavior and patient outcomes has been increasingly recognized (Coulson 3 et al., 2016), with recent research showing that individuals with higher levels of self-efficacy 4 for using hearing aids are more likely to obtain them and become successful users (Ferguson 5 et al., 2016b; Ferguson et al., in press; Hickson et al., 2014; Meyer et al., 2014). The use of 6 digital technologies in the treatment and management of hearing loss has also been shown to 7 improve patient compliance to hearing healthcare treatment, which has been attributed to 8 increased self-efficacy (Amlani, 2015).

9

Although self-efficacy for hearing aids was not specifically tested in this study, our results 10 11 may provide additional support for the argument that individuals in the RLO+ group that had greater internet competency may also have had greater self-efficacy to use the intervention, 12 and subsequently perform hearing aid related behaviors (e.g. changing the battery, using the 13 telephone, etc.). This may have later manifested itself in terms of superior practical hearing 14 15 aid knowledge and handling skills. This implies that the provision of the RLO intervention not only improves hearing aid handling skills and knowledge relative to standard care 16 (Ferguson et al., 2015), but is enhanced further with increasing internet competency. 17 Differences between intervention groups may have arisen as a consequence of: (i) additional 18 information being covered in the RLOs that was not given by the audiologist during the 19 fitting appointment due to limited time constraints (Ferguson et al., 2015); (ii) the RLOs 20 21 providing additional cues that improved retention of the information provided; (iii) the RLOs facilitating more realistic expectations that resulted in better outcomes (Ferguson et al., in 22 press); or (iv) a combination of (i), (ii) and/or (iii). 23

24

1 Greater self-efficacy may also help to explain why the RLOs were watched fewer times in 2 people with greater internet competency, because they potentially had greater confidence in 3 their ability to use the RLOs. Greater computer literacy has been shown to be associated with 4 greater computer self-efficacy, which impacts user acceptance of web-based interventions 5 (Moore et al., 2015). Therefore, it is likely that individuals with greater internet competency 6 may have familiarized themselves more readily with the content of the RLOs, resulting in fewer times watched. As a consequence, considerations should be made when developing 7 digital interventions to ensure that they are both accessible and engaging for users with 8 9 differing levels of competency, such as through the delivery of mobile-enabled RLOs (or m-RLOs) that can be used via smartphones, tablet computers, and PCs. 10 11 12 **Future directions** The evidence presented here, in addition to research supporting the effectiveness of the RLOs 13 14 (Ferguson et al., 2016a) and that from other Interactive Health Communication Applications 15 (see systematic review, Murray, Burns, See, Lai, & Nazareth, 2005), suggests that the use of digital interventions will provide additional benefits to hearing aid users, which will likely to 16 extend to their family members and friends. Furthermore, it is unlikely that digital skills will 17 pose a significant barrier in the self-management of age-related hearing loss in the future, 18 given that computer and internet literacy skills are on the rise in 55+ year olds (UK Office for 19 20 National Statistics, 2015; United Economic Commission for Europe, 2015). 21 With this in mind, based on participant feedback, the RLOs have been branded and 22 distributed commercially as C2Hear, and are freely available via the internet, 23 (https://www.youtube.com/, search C2HearOnline). We are also currently developing a 24

25 platform that will deliver m-RLOs. The content is initially being designed for communication

1 partners of hearing aid users in the form of a web-based app, with three RLOs (Hearing loss 2 and its consequences; Communication tactics; Psychosocial aspects of hearing loss). The app 3 will be designed so that it can be presented on multiple mobile devices and computer 4 browsers, providing the potential to download self-contained RLOs. We anticipate that this 5 mode of delivery also has greater potential to enhance interactivity and accessibility for end-6 users. This app will form the foundation for further developments aimed at hearing aid users, 7 where we plan to develop an m-RLO resource that can be individually tailored to meet the 8 needs of the end-user. It is also expected that the use of internet-based interventions under 9 development will enable individualized learning and recall of relevant information in situations where it is needed 'on the go', either within or outside the home environment. 10 11 12 To summarize, while first-time hearing aid users with greater internet competency who received the RLO intervention had better practical hearing aid knowledge and handling skills, 13 they also watched the RLOs fewer times. We suggest that these findings reflect the 14 15 possibility that first-time hearing aid users who are more competent internet users are better equipped to apply newly learnt information to effectively manage their hearing loss. 16 Nevertheless, given that digital literacy skills continue to increase year-on-year in older 17 adults, the time is now right to design and deliver internet-based interventions in this 18 population. Internet-based interventions may also have the capacity to provide additional 19 20 benefits, not only with regards to self-management of hearing loss and hearing aids, but will likely provide a means of personalizing healthcare delivery to further enhance hearing 21 22 outcomes.

## Acknowledgements

Part of this work was presented at the Second International Meeting on Internet & Audiology, Eriksholm Research Centre, Oticon A/S, Snekkersten, Denmark, September 24-25, 2015.

This paper presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) under its Research for Patient Benefit (RfPB) Program (Grant Reference Number PB-PG-0909-20294). The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the UK Department of Health. We thank the research team members Marian Brandreth, Holly Thomas, Paul Leighton, James Henderson and Michael Taylor. In addition, we gratefully acknowledge the audiologists at Nottingham Audiology Services who recruited the patients, as well as our patient and public involvement panel (Anne Darby, Tina Wales and Rachel Ravenlock).

#### **Conflicts of interest:**

The Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust and University of Nottingham will receive a proportion of any royalties from the sale of the C2Hear RLOs (DVD format).

# **Figure Legend**

Figure 1. Mean scores (%) for A. practical hearing aid knowledge, and B. hearing aid handling skills; C. Mean total number all RLOs watched, for each internet competency category rated by participants in the RLO+ group. HACK = Hearing Aid and Communication Knowledge questionnaire; PHAST = Practical Hearing Aid Skills Test.

#### References

- Aickin, M., & Gensler, H. (1996). Adjusting for multiple testing when reporting research results: The Bonferroni vs Holm methods. *American Journal of Public Health*, 86(5), 726-728.
- Amlani, A. M. (2015). Improving Patient Compliance to Hearing Healthcare Services and Treatment through Self-Efficacy and Smartphone Applications. *The Hearing Review*, 22(2), 16-20.
- Coulson, N., Ferguson, M. A., Henshaw, H., & Heffernan, E. (2016). Applying theories of health behaviour and change to hearing health research: Time for a new approach. *International Journal of Audiology*, advance online publication. DOI: 10.3109/14992027.14992016.11161851.
- Desjardins, J. L., & Doherty, K. A. (2009). Do experienced hearing aid users know how to use their hearing aids correctly? *American Journal of Audiology, 18*, 69-76.
- Ferguson, M. A., Brandreth, M., Brassington, W., & Wharrad, H. (2015). Information retention and overload in first-time hearing aid users: An interactive multimedia educational solution. *American Journal of Audiology*, 24, 329-332.
- Ferguson, M. A., Brandreth, M., Leighton, P., Brassington, W., & Wharrad, H. (2016a). A randomized controlled trial to evaluate the benefits of a multimedia educational programme for first-time hearing aid users. *Ear and Hearing*, 37(2), 123-136.
- Ferguson, M. A., & Henshaw, H. (2015). Computer and internet interventions to optimise listening and learning for people with hearing loss: Accessibility, use and adherence. *American Journal of Audiology*, 24, 338-343.
- Ferguson, M. A., Maidment, D. W., Russell, N., Gregory, M., & Nicholson, N. R. (2016b).Motivational engagement in first-time hearing aid users: A feasibility study.

International Journal of Audiology, advance online publication.

DOI:10.3109/14992027.14992015.11133935.

- Ferguson, M. A., Woolley, A., & Munro, K. J. (in press). The impact of self-efficacy, expectations and readiness on hearing aid outcomes. *International Journal of Audiology*.
- Greenwell, K., Featherstone, D., & Hoare, D. J. (2015). The Application of intervention coding methodology to describe the tinnitus e-programme, an internet-delivered selfhelp intervention for tinnitus. *American Journal of Audiology*, 24(3), 311-315.
- Henshaw, H., Clark, D., Kang, S., & Ferguson, M. (2012). Computer Skills and Internet Use in Adults Aged 50-74 Years: Influence of Hearing Difficulties. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 4(4), e113,111-114.
- Hickson, L., Meyer, C., Lovelock, K., Lampert, M., & Khan, A. (2014). Factors associated with success with hearing aids in older adults. *International Journal of Audiology*, 53(1), S18-S27.
- Holm, S. (1979). A simple sequentially rejective multiple test procedure. Scandinavian Journal of Statistics, 6(2), 65-70.
- Meyer, C., Hickson, L., & Fletcher, A. (2014). Identifying the barriers and facilitators to optimal hearing aid self-efficacy. *International Journal of Audiology*, *53*(1), S28-S37.
- Moore, A. N., Rothpletz, A. M., & Preminger, J. E. (2015). The Effect of Chronological Age on the Acceptance of Internet-Based Hearing Health Care. *American Journal of Audiology*, 24(3), 280-283.
- Murray, E., Burns, J., See, T. S., Lai, R., & Nazareth, I. (2005). Interactive Health Communication Applications for people with chronic disease. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 19(4).

- Thorén, E. S., Öberg, M., Wänström, G., Andersson, G., & Lunner, T. (2014). A randomized controlled trial evaluating the effects of online rehabilitative intervention for adult hearing-aid users. *International Journal of Audiology*, *53*(7), 452-461.
- UK Office for National Statistics. (2015). *Internet Access Households and Individuals* 2015. Retrieved from United Kingdom: <u>http://www.ons.gov.uk/ons/rel/rdit2/internet-access---households-and-individuals/2015/stb-ia-2015.html</u>
- United Economic Commission for Europe. (2015). Statistical database: Percentage of population using Internet by age, sex, variable, county and year. Retrieved from <a href="http://w3.unece.org/PXWeb2015/pxweb/en/STAT/STAT\_30-GE\_09-Science\_ICT/02\_en\_GEICT\_InternetUse\_r.px/?rxid=9d2304d4-bc92-41ce-b0e9-38827cfdf89e">http://w3.unece.org/PXWeb2015/pxweb/en/STAT/STAT\_30-GE\_09-Science\_ICT/02\_en\_GEICT\_InternetUse\_r.px/?rxid=9d2304d4-bc92-41ce-b0e9-38827cfdf89e</a>
- Windle, R. J., McCormick, D., Dandrea, J., & Wharrad, H. (2010). The characteristics of reusable learning objects that enhance learning: A case-study in health-science education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 42(5), 811-823.