

Martino, J. and Sebert, S. and Segura, M.T. and García-Valdés, L. and Florido, J. and Padilla, M.C. and Marcos, A. and Rueda, R. and McArdle, H.J. and Budge, H. and Symonds, M.E. and Campoy, C. (2015) Maternal body weight and gestational diabetes differentially influence placental and pregnancy outcomes. Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism, 101 (1). pp. 59-68. ISSN 1945-7197

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/37708/1/Martino%20et%20al%20JCEM%20revision%20Sept %202015%20Final%20accepted%20version.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end_user_agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

1 Maternal body weight and gestational diabetes differentially influence

2

placental and pregnancy outcomes

- 3 J Martino^{1,2}, S Sebert ^{1,7}, MT Segura², L García-Valdés², J Florido³, MC Padilla ³, A Marcos⁴, R
- 4 Rueda⁵, HJ McArdle⁶, H Budge¹, ME Symonds¹ and C Campoy².
- ¹Early Life Research Unit, Division of Child Health and Obstetrics & Gynaecology, School of
 Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2UH, United Kingdom.
- Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2UH, United Kingdom.
 ²EURISTIKOS Excellence Centre for Paediatric Research. University of Granada, Spain
- ³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Granada, Spain.
- ⁹ ⁴Immunonutrition Research Group, Department of Metabolism and Nutrition, Institute of Food
- 10 Science and Technology and Nutrition, Spanish National Research Council, Spain.
- ⁵Abbott Nutrition, Granada, Spain.
- ⁶The Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom.
- ⁷ Institute of Health Sciences and Biocenter Oulu, University of Oulu, Finland

14

- 15 *Abbreviated Title*: Effect of body weight and diabetes on the placenta
- 16 *Key terms*: body mass index, gestational diabetes, placenta, weight gain, inflammation
- 17 *Word count*: 3598
- 18 Number of figures and tables: 6
- 19 *Corresponding author:*
- 20 Professor Michael E. Symonds,
- 21 Academic Division of Child Health Obstetrics & Gynaecology,
- 22 School of Medicine,
- 23 Queen's Medical Centre,
- 24 The University of Nottingham,
- 25 Nottingham NG7 2UH United Kingdom
- 26 Telephone: +44 1158230625 ; Fax: +44 115 82 30626
- 27 Email: michael.symonds@nottingham.ac.uk
- 28
- 29 *Disclosure summary*: The authors have nothing to disclose.

- 31 Funding: The Spanish Ministry of Innovation and Science, Junta de Andalucía (Ref. Nº: P06-
- 32 CTS-0234), Spanish Ministry of Economy and Competitiveness (Grant no. BFU2012-40254-
- 33 C03-01); Abbott Nutrition, Spain; Medical Research Council, The Nottingham Respiratory
- 34 Biomedical Research Unit and the Nottingham University Hospitals Charity.

36 Abstract

Context: Maternal obesity and gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) can both contribute to 37 adverse neonatal outcomes. The extent to which this may be mediated by differences in 38 placental metabolism and nutrient transport remains to be determined. 39 **Objective:** To examine whether raised maternal BMI and/or GDM contributed to a resetting of 40 the expression of genes within the placenta that are involved in energy sensing, oxidative stress, 41 inflammation and metabolic pathways. 42 43 Methods: Pregnant women from Spain were recruited as part of the PREOBE survey at the first antenatal visit (12-20 weeks of gestation) and stratified according to pre-pregnancy BMI and the 44 45 incidence of GDM. At delivery, placenta and cord blood were sampled and newborn anthropometry measured. 46 47 **Results:** Obese women with GDM had higher estimated fetal weight at 34 gestational weeks, greater risk of preterm deliveries and Caesarean section. Birth weight was unaffected by BMI or 48 GDM, however, women who were obese with normal glucose tolerance had increased placental 49 50 weight and higher plasma glucose and leptin at term. Gene expression for markers of placental energy sensing and oxidative stress, were primarily affected by maternal obesity as mTOR was 51 reduced whereas SIRT-1 and UCP2 were both upregulated. In placenta from obese women with 52 GDM gene expression for AMPK was also reduced whereas the downstream regulator of 53 54 mTOR, p70S6KB1 was raised.

Conclusions: Placental gene expression is sensitive to both maternal obesity and GDM which
both impact on energy sensing, and could modulate the effect of either raised maternal BMI or
GDM on birth weight.

58

59 Introduction

Obesity is of great importance to individual and global health [1]. Its prevalence amongst 60 women of reproductive age is increasing [2] so that, in Spain for example, up to 17% of 61 pregnant women are obese [3]. The increased prevalence of obesity in pregnant women has 62 occurred concurrently with an increase in gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) [4] which now 63 affects up to 14% of all pregnancies in the US, and around 2–6% of pregnancies in Europe [5, 64 6]. Raised maternal body mass index (BMI) and GDM are both associated with adverse 65 metabolic adaptations in the mother. These include increased risks of miscarriage and stillbirth, 66 67 preeclampsia [7] and both intrauterine growth restriction and macrosomia [8], conditions with the potential to compromise fetal and newborn survival and health [9-11]. 68

69

Consumption of an unhealthy diet in pregnancy has been linked to increased gestational weight 70 71 gain (GWG) [12], raised BMI [13] and GDM [11] that are associated with fetal overgrowth [14]. 72 Placental nutrient supply is one mechanism linking maternal nutritional status and fetal growth and is dependent on utero-placental blood flow, hormone production and nutrient transfer 73 capacity, which is itself dependent on the type, number and activity of a range of nutrient 74 transporters [15]. Increased glucose and lipid transport in GDM [16, 17] are also accompanied 75 76 by placental defects arising from compromised trophoblast invasion and blood vessel formation 77 [18]. Although the association between high pre-pregnancy BMI and fetal overgrowth is well established for type 1 diabetes [19], the effect of maternal BMI on placental function in women 78 79 without GDM, its relationship to GWG [20] and its relationship to current diet remains unknown [21, 22]. 80

81

Obesity is associated with perturbed maternal metabolism, raised plasma hormones, including
leptin, insulin and IGF1 and the accumulation of inflammatory markers (e.g. interleukin-6) [21].

Insulin signalling is crucial for the regulation of intracellular and blood glucose concentrations. 84 Alterations in the number of insulin binding sites, reflecting placental IR expression, have been 85 demonstrated in obesity [23] and diabetes mellitus [24]. Fetal glucose and amino acids and 86 placental insulin/IGF1 signalling act as upstream regulators of the mammalian target of 87 rapamycin (mTOR), which is central to energy sensing and can be reset by maternal obesity and 88 GDM [25] through phosphorylation mechanisms. These responses are mediated through 89 changes in NFkB signalling, thereby resetting pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidative pathways 90 91 [26] acting through toll-like receptor (TLR4) [27]. Furthermore, mTOR inactivation occurs through the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) pathway [28], whilst uncoupling protein 92 (UCP)2 limits oxidative damage within the placenta by decreasing reactive oxygen species 93 (ROS) production [29]. Free fatty acids also decrease peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor 94 gamma (PPAR) y expression [30] whilst activating myeloid pro-inflammatory cells, although 95 96 whether these placental responses can be modulated by BMI and/or GDM are not established. 97

In the present study, we aimed to determine whether maternal BMI and/or GDM influenced
placental homeostasis and energy balance and thus impact on birth outcomes. The establishment
of direct links between maternal nutritional status, the placenta and weight at birth will give
insight on mechanistic pathways thereby enabling targeted interventions designed to prevent
adverse outcomes under these conditions.

103

104 Materials and Methods

105 Participants

106 The subjects participated in a longitudinal study on the influence of body composition by maternal genetics and nutrition (PREOBE study: P06-CTS-02341) undertaken between 2007 107 108 and 2010 and registered with www.ClinicalTrials.gov, (NCT01634464) [31, 32]. It was conducted according to the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki and all experimental 109 procedures approved by the Ethics Committees for Granada University, San Cecilio University 110 111 Hospital and the University of Nottingham. Witnessed, written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before their study inclusion and participants were assured of anonymity. 112 Anthropometric assessments of were undertaken following the standards established by the 113 Spanish Society of Gynaecology and Obstetrics, the Fetal Foundation and the Spanish 114 Association of Paediatrics. 115

116

In the overall PREOBE study (Figure 1), 474 pregnant women aged 18-45, with singleton 117 118 pregnancies, were assessed for eligibility between 12-20 weeks gestation at two different 119 primary health care settings (Clinical University Hospital "San Cecilio" and the "Mother-Infant" 120 University Hospital) in Granada, Spain. Amongst these, 124 declined to participate. Criteria for exclusion (n=19) were participation in another study simultaneously, receiving drug treatments, 121 122 being underweight (BMI<18.5 kg/m²), having type 1 diabetes or pre-existing disease. Therefore, 331 women were included in the project and classified according to their BMI (based on self-123 124 reported pre-pregnancy weight provided on enrolment) as normal weight (pre-pregnancy BMI \geq 18.5 but <25 kg/m²; n=132), overweight (pre-pregnancy BMI \geq 25 but < 30 kg/m²; n=56) 125 and obese (pre-pregnancy BMI \geq 30 kg/m²; n=64). In addition, 79 women were diagnosed with 126 127 GDM following measurement of raised fasting plasma glucose concentrations, 25 women after a 75g oral glucose tolerance test (OGTT) between 16-18 weeks gestation [11], if they either had a 128

family history of GDM, or had previously had GDM, or were obese, whilst 54 women after an 129 additional 100 g OGTT between 24-28 weeks gestation. 130

131

132	The number of women in each BMI group for whom collection of biological samples was
133	achieved at the time of delivery are shown in Figure 1. Amongst these a subpopulation of 135
134	subjects, underwent molecular analysis in Nottingham (i.e. ~half of those sampled within each
135	group - 59 normal weight, 29 overweight, 22 obese, 25 GDM). The 25 mothers with GDM were
136	subsequently classified according to their BMI as normal weight GDM (pre-pregnancy
137	BMI \geq 18.5 but <25 kg/m ² ; n=14) and obese GDM (pre-pregnancy BMI \geq 30 kg/m ² ; n=11).
138	Participants diagnosed with GDM then had increased medical supervision and received
139	nutritional advice for meal plans designed to control normoglycaemia, with none receiving
140	insulin.

141

142 During pregnancy, each mother attended additional PREOBE study medical visits at 24 (BMI group) or 34 weeks of gestation (BMI and GDM groups), . Gestational age was calculated as 143 from the last menstrual period and through ultrasound scan considering a gestational age below 144 145 37 weeks as preterm delivery. Anthropometric characteristics of the fetus were estimated by using ultrasound scan at 34 gestational weeks. When there was a disagreement between the last 146 menstrual period and ultrasound, the measurements taken by ultrasound were used to calculate 147 the gestational age [33]. 148

149

Maternal weight gain (GWG) during pregnancy was defined as weight change to the last 150 151 recorded weight in the 34th gestational week and compared to the 2009 IOM guidelines [34]. Large (LGA) and small (SGA) for gestational age infants were defined according to the 152 153 Lubchenco growth curves [35] with standard adjustment for gestational age at birth i.e. birth

weights >90th population centile were defined as LGA infants and those <10th population centile
as SGA.

156

157 *Maternal nutrient intake*

This was collected during late gestation (34-40 weeks) using standardised 7 day dietary records 158 given during their second visit. Each participant was given verbal and written instructions on 159 how to record food and drinks consumed with a booklet of common food items and mixed 160 161 dishes to facilitate estimation of portion sizes. Near delivery, food records were reviewed individually by a nutritionist for completeness and accuracy of food description and portion size. 162 Nutritional data were analysed for nutrient intake by using a nutritional software program 163 (CESNID 1.0: Barcelona University, Spain) based on validated Spanish food tables [36]. These 164 results were compared with a food frequency questionnaire taken at 24 weeks gestation and both 165 166 sets of records were reviewed with the mother around the time of delivery by a professional nutritionist with respect to their accuracy, thereby avoiding the potential inaccuracies associated 167 168 with these types of records [37].

169

170 Collection and analysis of blood samples

Maternal venous blood was collected at 24, 34 weeks of gestation and during labour. Umbilical 171 172 venous blood samples were collected within 30 minutes after placental delivery from a double-173 clamped section of umbilical cord. EDTA and serum collection tubes were used (Vacutainer® Refs: 368857 & 367953) for haematological assessment and biochemical analyses respectively. 174 Blood samples for serum preparation were left at 4°C for 15 minutes to allow blood clotting, 175 centrifuged at 3,500 rpm for 10 minutes, and the serum fraction transferred into a sterile tubes. 176 177 Samples were stored at 4°C for same day analyses or at -80°C for further analysis. Haematological parameters were analysed using a haematology analyser (Sysmec XE-2100, 178 Roche Diagnostic) and flow cytometer (Advia 120-160858, Bayer HealthCare, Tarrytown, NY). 179

180 Plasma glucose and triglycerides were measured enzymatically (Modular Analytics EVO,

181 Roche, Neuilly sur Seine Cedex, France), whilst serum leptin concentrations measured by

182 ELISA (Biosource Kap 2281, Denmark).

183

184 *Collection of placenta samples*

Placenta were collected and weighed immediately after delivery. Disc samples containing both 185 maternal and fetal tissue were obtained from identical portions of the placental plate to avoid 186 187 any as regional variations. Visual inspection of the placenta for necrosis or any other abnormality was undertaken by experienced clinicians. This included the measurement of 188 placental size, weight and morphology and if there was any abnormality such as multilobules, or 189 190 placenta spuria, annular, membranous, infarction, chorangiosis or vasculopathies, a sample was either obtained from a healthy region. Then after removal of the decidua a representative 191 192 $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$ cm (200mg) sample was excised from the middle of the radius (distance between 193 the insertion of the umbilical cord and the periphery) of each placenta, rinsed twice with saline 194 solution (NaCl 0.9%) and immediately placed into sterile 1.5ml microtubes containing RNAlater 195 solution (Qiagen Ltd., Crawley, UK). All samples were stored under RNase free conditions 196 using liquid nitrogen before storage at -80°C for later analysis in Nottingham.

197

198 Laboratory analysis

199 *Gene expression*

200 Total RNA was extracted from 100mg of maternal placenta tissue using 200µl of chloroform per

201 1mL of TRI reagent solution (Sigma Chemical Co. Poole, UK) and RNeasy extraction kit

- 202 (Qiagen Ltd., Crawley, UK). Two µg RNA was used to generate 20µl cDNA using High
- 203 Capacity RNA-to-cDNA kit (Applied Biosystems, CA 94404, USA). Negative control RT

samples lacking Enzyme Mix (-RT) were included for each sample.

206	Real-time PCR using 15µl of reactions consisting of 4.5µl diluted 1:10 cDNA, 3.0µl (final
207	concentration of 250 nM) gene specific primers (Table 1), and 7.5µl of SYBR Green mastermix
208	(Thermo Scientific, ABgene Ltd. Epson, UK) were performed. Duplicate samples were run for
209	40 cycles with negative controls in 96-well plates using the Techne Quantica Thermocycler
210	(Techne Inc., Barloword Scientific, Stone, UK). Ten-fold serial dilutions of cDNA for each gene
211	were used to generate standard curve analysis and only experiments with R ² >0.985 were
212	included. CT measurements, calculated by $2^{-\Delta Ct}$ method [38], were used for mRNA expression.
213	Human 18S ribosomal RNA was used as a housekeeping gene for data normalisation.
214	
215	Placental triglyceride and thiobarbituric active reactive substance (TBARS) content
216	Total lipid extraction used an adapted Folch method and the triglyceride concentration,
217	determined spectrophotometrically (Randox Laboratories Ltd, Crumlin, UK). TBARS was
218	determined as described by Mistry et al [39].
219	
220	Statistical analysis
221	These were performed using IBM SPSS v20.0 statistical software for Windows (IBM Corp.
222	Armonk, NY, USA). To assess the data for normality, a Kolmogorov–Smirnov test was
223	
225	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate
224	
	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate
224	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate parametric, or non-parametric, tests were used to analyse the effects of maternal overweight and
224 225	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate parametric, or non-parametric, tests were used to analyse the effects of maternal overweight and obesity as follows: 1) anthropometrical and physiological comparisons between comparable
224 225 226	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate parametric, or non-parametric, tests were used to analyse the effects of maternal overweight and obesity as follows: 1) anthropometrical and physiological comparisons between comparable groups of mothers, placentas and newborns were made using a Students t-test between relevant
224 225 226 227	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate parametric, or non-parametric, tests were used to analyse the effects of maternal overweight and obesity as follows: 1) anthropometrical and physiological comparisons between comparable groups of mothers, placentas and newborns were made using a Students t-test between relevant groups; 2) comparisons of gene expression were determined by using Mann-Whitney test.
224 225 226 227 228	performed, where a p value >0.05 indicated normally distribution. Thereafter, appropriate parametric, or non-parametric, tests were used to analyse the effects of maternal overweight and obesity as follows: 1) anthropometrical and physiological comparisons between comparable groups of mothers, placentas and newborns were made using a Students t-test between relevant groups; 2) comparisons of gene expression were determined by using Mann-Whitney test. Categorical data were analysed using Chi-square test of independence. The study was not

233 **Results**

Maternal characteristics, pregnancy outcome, placental composition and metabolic status 234 Obese women with GDM were older, more likely to be unemployed and have lower educational 235 attainment. Women with obesity gained less weight up to 34 weeks gestation compared to those 236 237 of normal weight and glucose tolerance (Table 1). In particular obese women with GDM gained significantly less weight than the 2009 IOM guidelines for their BMI group (Chi square test, 238 239 p=0.04) and reflected their lower total energy and carbohydrate intake (Table 2). They also had 240 a lower lipid intake primarily as a consequence of decreased saturated fatty acid consumption. 241 The importance of IOM classified GWG [34] on birth weight was reflected in the trend for obese women to deliver bigger infants when gaining more weight than recommended (Table 1). 242 243 A majority of women gave birth normally at term, with obese women with GDM having a 244 245 greater risk of preterm delivery and Caesarean section (Table 1). Although estimated fetal weight at 34 gestational weeks was higher when GDM was accompanied by obesity, size and 246 247 weight at birth were not different between these groups (Table 3). The increased fetal weight at 248 late gestation is likely to reflect the higher preterm and caesarean section delivery rate for obese women with GDM (Table 1). However, although maternal obesity alone did not affect size at 249 250 birth, women who were obese with normal glucose tolerance had increased placental weight and 251 LGA infants.

252

Close to delivery, maternal blood glucose was elevated in women with GDM irrespective of
BMI (Table 4). Triglyceride concentrations and monocyte counts were similar between groups
but monocyte count was higher in the cord blood of obese women with normal glucose
tolerance. Serum leptin concentrations at delivery were elevated in obese compared to normal
weight mothers and their offspring. Placental triglyceride content was raised in obese women
with GDM with no difference in TBARS.

260 Maternal body weight, GDM and placental markers of energy homeostasis, cell growth and
261 endocrine sensitivity

262 Maternal obesity was accompanied with reduced placental gene expression for *mTOR* (Table 5),

whilst upstream (i.e. *Akt*) and downstream (i.e. *p70S6KB1*) signalling molecules for *mTOR* were

unaffected. Placental mRNA abundance for p70S6KB1 was increased when obesity was

accompanied by GDM. In addition, GDM was associated with reduced placental gene

266 expression for AMPK irrespective of BMI. Increased placental leptin gene expression in normal

267 weight women with GDM, was reversed when GDM was accompanied by obesity. There were

268 no differences in LEPR gene expression between groups. Markers of oxidative stress i.e. SIRT1

and UCP2 were up-regulated in overweight and obese women, not by GDM. Placental gene

270 expression for glucocorticoid receptor ($GR\alpha$) increased with maternal GDM but was not

affected by obesity, and no differences were apparent for inflammatory markers *PPARy* and

272 TLR4, or indices of insulin action i.e. IGF1R or IRS1. There was no evidence of any effect of

273 gestational age, mode of delivery or insulin administration on any of these outcomes.

274 **Discussion**

Our major finding is the differential effects of perturbations in energy homeostasis on placental 275 276 expression of genes regulating placental size, function and endocrine sensitivity with raised BMI and GDM. Maternal obesity, but not GDM, contributed to greater placental weight whereas 277 placental adaptation was demonstrated in markers of energy sensing for both groups. Reduced 278 placental AMPK mRNA expression with GDM but not with obesity alone, and suppression of 279 280 gene expression for *mTOR* with obesity are indicative of complementary control mechanisms. 281 Furthermore, the *mTOR* downstream regulator, *p70S6KB1* was increased by obesity even without GDM. Consequently, as maternal glucose was raised at term, and with GDM, these 282 responses could be mediated by changes in glucose homeostasis [28, 40]. 283

284

Surprisingly, placental gene expression for *IRS1* and *IGFR1* were not affected by obesity or 285 286 GDM, findings that differ with those described by Jansson et al. [41] in a cohort of Swedish 287 women, in which placental activation of *mTOR* was accompanied by enhanced insulin/IGF1 288 signalling with raised BMI. However, there are important demographic differences between 289 studies, as the obese Swedish women had a higher mean BMI and substantially greater GWG 290 than our Spanish women. Therefore, the discrepancy between studies may reflect placental 291 threshold effects in response to excess energy intake [42, 43]. In the overweight and obese 292 PREOBE women studied here, reduced placental mTOR gene expression was accompanied with 293 raised SIRT1 and UCP2, suggesting enhanced antioxidant capacity [44]. These findings indicate 294 an adaptive placental response to increased BMI, in line with the physiological role of 295 mitochondria in regulating cellular ATP and AMP concentrations [45]. This could occur through 296 changes in the activity of AMPK, Akt, and mTOR with the former sensing energy depletion 297 [46], and the latter stimulated by raised energy supply [43]. Mitochondria also regulate ROS production and oxidative stress by uncoupling energy supply, with both AMPK and mTOR 298 299 modulating oxidative stress through changes in UCP2 [47] and NFkB action [26, 48], thereby

300	promoting pro-inflammatory and pro-oxidative pathways within trophoblast cells. In contrast,
301	mitochondrial replication is dependent on SIRT1 activity that also determines cell survival and
302	senescence by inhibiting <i>mTOR</i> activity [49]. Our findings are, therefore, indicative of a
303	protective or physiological adaptation by the placenta against oxidative stress [49, 50] with
304	raised maternal BMI. This is further supported by the stability of placental TBARS content, a
305	marker of oxidative stress [44], between groups suggesting that the fetus is protected from
306	excess ROS. These responses were accompanied by similar expression of placental genes
307	involved in inflammatory responses, i.e. PPARy [30] and TLR4 [27], suggesting inflammation
308	was not directly promoted with raised BMI [30].
309	
310	Although there were no differences in maternal triglyceride concentrations, obesity with GDM
311	lead to placental triglyceride accumulation, that [51] has been shown to be correlated with fetal
312	adiposity [52] reflected in the increase in LGA infants with maternal obesity. Increased
313	placental triglyceride storage with GDM was accompanied by up-regulation of placental GR α
314	that has been shown in an ovine model on nutritional manipulation of placental growth to follow
315	changes in placental mass with gestation [53].
316	
317	As expected, maternal obesity was associated with higher plasma leptin irrespective of GDM
318	although whether this leads to a direct inhibitory effect on food intake [54] as reported by these
319	women or reflects maternal metabolism complicated by leptin resistance [55] is uncertain.
320	Although the placenta is a source of plasma leptin [56], which can be stimulated by obesity and
321	GDM [57, 58], we did not observe differences in leptin gene expression, suggesting that
322	adipocytes, rather than the placenta, are the main origin of differences in plasma leptin [59]. An
323	alternative explanation is that there are changes in leptin turnover or that leptin regulated its own
324	expression within the placenta through a mechanism involving the suppression of AMPK [60].
325	Effects on placental leptin expression through the action of glucocorticoids has also been

described [61], and is compatible with our observations of an increase in placental GRα
suggesting a local inflammatory response within the placenta of obese gestational diabetic
women [62, 63].

329

330 Plasma leptin concentrations were raised in cord blood of infants born to obese and obese GDM mothers. This could reflect increased transplacental substrate supply from raised maternal 331 332 plasma glucose in these women acting through fetal insulin to then promote fetal fat deposition 333 [11, 64]. An enhanced glucose-insulin pathway can promote offspring adiposity [11], whilst the adipokine leptin stimulates cell proliferation by inducing the IRS1/MAPK pathway in a glucose-334 dependent manner [65]. Furthermore, whilst fetal hyperleptinemia can contribute to induce 335 336 leptin resistance by chronic activation of leptin receptors in the fetus [66], it is not known whether hypothalamic leptin targets are responsive before birth or whether neonatal leptin 337 338 resistance leads to long-term adverse consequences. Enhanced circulating leptin in obese 339 women was associated with higher leptin and monocyte concentrations in cord blood. In 340 addition to its potential role in newborn adiposity [64, 67], growing evidence has linked leptin 341 with the maturation of the hypothalamus [68] and the fetal and neonatal immune system [69], 342 leading to impaired immune responses [59]. As part of the PREOBE follow-up further studies are exploring potential long term implications of obesity and diabetes in offspring 343 neurodevelopment through functional measurements. This will enable a more direct assessment 344 345 of any impact on differences in leptin surge between infants born into the study and their 346 subsequent brain development. Increased pro-inflammatory cytokine expression, including TNFα and IL6, and/or enhanced circulating monocyte chemo-attractant protein (MCP)1 347 concentrations in obese women may account for raised monocytes concentrations in cord blood 348 349 of their infants [70]. Higher plasma MCP1 [71] has been implicated in monocyte recruitment into adipose tissue of newborns from obese individuals [70] and ultimately produce pro-350

351 inflammatory cytokines, contributing to a state of insulin resistance and low grade

352 inflammation.

353

As the relative risk of obese and GDM women producing a LGA infant is substantial [11, 14, 354 355 72], one strategy to prevent this outcome [73] is through healthier food choices [74]. In our study, the first line of treatment of GDM was through nutrition and lifestyle advice in maternity 356 357 welfare clinics. These reinforced local secular food preferences of Spanish women of primarily 358 Hispanic European white origin (95-98%) for a Mediterranean diet rich in polyunsaturated fatty acids, fruit and vegetables [75, 76] which contrast with those of Northern European and 359 American women recruited in previous studies [77, 78]. However, although there was no 360 361 difference in mean birth weight in our study, maternal obesity was associated with a higher incidence of LGA infants despite lower self-reported energy and macronutrient intakes. The 362 363 latter may reflect recall bias as women with increased BMI do not always accurately report their food intake [79, 80]. Alternatively nutrient supply to the fetus of obese woman may be more 364 365 dependent on existing maternal nutrient stores and current metabolic state [81] than daily 366 intakes. This is supported by raised plasma glucose concentrations even in those obese women 367 who were not diagnosed with GDM. Furthermore, the dietary advice given to these women 368 despite being lowering GWG, did not reduce the incidence of LGA infants, although it is 369 acknowledged that the study was not powered to directly assess such an outcome.

370

In conclusion, placental gene expression is sensitive to both maternal BMI and GDM which
impacts on both placental triglyceride content and energy sensing. These adaptations could
modulate maternal and fetal glucose homeostasis and thus prevent some of the potential adverse
consequences on fetal growth and body composition.

376 **References:**

- Viner, R.M. and T.J. Cole, Who changes body mass between adolescence and adulthood? Factors predicting change in BMI between 16 year and 30 years in the 1970 British Birth Cohort. Int J Obes (Lond), 2006. **30**(9): p. 1368-74.
- Fitzsimons, K.J. and J. Modder, Setting maternity care standards for women with
 obesity in pregnancy. Seminars in Fetal & Neonatal Medicine, 2010. 15(2): p. 100 107.
- Bautista-Castano, I., et al., *Prevalence of obesity in pregnant women of Canary Islands, Spain.* Med Clin (Barc), 2011. **136**(11): p. 478-80.
- Schafer-Graf, U., *The HAPO Study and its Consequences for the Diagnostic of Gestational diabetes.* Geburtshilfe Und Frauenheilkunde, 2009. **69**(3): p. 259-261.
- 3875.Dominguez, L.J., et al., Fast Food Consumption and Gestational Diabetes Incidence388in the SUN Project. PLoS One, 2014. **9**(9): p. e106627.
- Buckley, B.S., et al., *Gestational diabetes mellitus in Europe: prevalence, current screening practice and barriers to screening. A review.* Diabet Med, 2012. 29(7): p.
 844-54.
- 392 7. Ehrenberg, H.M., et al., *The influence of obesity and diabetes on the risk of cesarean* 393 *delivery.* American Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology, 2004. **191**(3): p. 969 394 974.
- Briley, A.L., et al., A complex intervention to improve pregnancy outcome in obese women; the UPBEAT randomised controlled trial. BMC Pregnancy Childbirth, 2014.
 14: p. 74.
- 398 9. Symonds, M.E., et al., *Nutritional programming of the metabolic syndrome.* Nat Rev
 399 Endocrinol, 2009. 5(11): p. 604-10.
- Coustan, D.R., et al., *Gestational diabetes: predictors of subsequent disordered glucose metabolism.* Am J Obstet Gynecol, 1993. **168**(4): p. 1139-44; discussion
 1144-5.
- 403 11. Group, H.S.C.R., *Hyperglycaemia and Adverse Pregnancy Outcome (HAPO) Study:*404 associations with maternal body mass index. BJOG, 2010. **117**(5): p. 575-84.
- Mamun, A.A., et al., Associations of excess weight gain during pregnancy with longterm maternal overweight and obesity: evidence from 21 y postpartum follow-up.
 American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2010. **91**(5): p. 1336-1341.
- 13. Nohr, E.A., et al., *Pregnancy outcomes related to gestational weight gain in women defined by their body mass index, parity, height, and smoking status.* American
 Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 2009. **90**(5): p. 1288-1294.
- 411 14. Catalano, P.M. and H.M. Ehrenberg, *The short- and long-term implications of*412 *maternal obesity on the mother and her offspring.* BJOG, 2006. **113**(10): p. 1126413 33.
- Reynolds, L.P., et al., *Evidence for altered placental blood flow and vascularity in compromised pregnancies.* Journal of Physiology-London, 2006. **572**(1): p. 51-58.
- If ansson, T., M. Wennergren, and T.L. Powell, *Placental glucose transport and GLUT 1 expression in insulin-dependent diabetes.* Am J Obstet Gynecol, 1999. 180(1 Pt
 p. 163-8.
- Magnusson, A.L., et al., *Triglyceride hydrolase activities and expression of fatty acid binding proteins in the human placenta in pregnancies complicated by intrauterine growth restriction and diabetes.* J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 2004. **89**(9): p. 4607-14.
- Jauniaux, E. and G.J. Burton, *Villous histomorphometry and placental bed biopsy investigation in type I diabetic pregnancies.* Placenta, 2006. **27**(4-5): p. 468-474.
- Catalano, P.M. and H.M. Ehrenberg, *The short- and long-term implications of maternal obesity on the mother and her offspring.* Bjog-an International Journal of
 Obstetrics and Gynaecology, 2006. **113**(10): p. 1126-1133.
- Fraser, A., et al., Associations of gestational weight gain with maternal body mass
 index, waist circumference, and blood pressure measured 16 y after pregnancy: the
 Avon Longitudinal Study of Parents and Children (ALSPAC). Am J Clin Nutr, 2011.
 93(6): p. 1285-92.
- Challier, J.C., et al., Obesity in pregnancy stimulates macrophage accumulation and
 inflammation in the placenta. Placenta, 2008. **29**(3): p. 274-81.

- 433 22. Dubova, E.A., et al., *Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor and its Receptors in the*434 *Placenta of Pregnant Women with Obesity.* Bulletin of Experimental Biology and
 435 Medicine, 2011. **151**(2): p. 253-258.
- 436 23. Colomiere, M., et al., *Defective insulin signaling in placenta from pregnancies*437 *complicated by gestational diabetes mellitus.* European Journal of Endocrinology,
 438 2009. 160(4): p. 567-578.
- 439 24. Garvey, W.T., et al., Multiple Defects in the Adipocyte Glucose-Transport System
 440 Cause Cellular Insulin-Resistance in Gestational Diabetes Heterogeneity in the
 441 Number and a Novel Abnormality in Subcellular-Localization of Glut4 Glucose
 442 Transporters. Diabetes, 1993. 42(12): p. 1773-1785.
- 44325.Jansson, T., I.L. Aye, and D.C. Goberdhan, The emerging role of mTORC1 signaling444in placental nutrient-sensing. Placenta, 2012. **33 Suppl 2**: p. e23-9.
- 44526.Cunningham, J.T., et al., *mTOR controls mitochondrial oxidative function through a*446*YY1-PGC-1alpha transcriptional complex.* Nature, 2007. **450**(7170): p. 736-40.
- Yan, X., et al., Up-Regulation of Toll-Like Receptor 4/Nuclear Factor-kappa B
 Signaling Is Associated with Enhanced Adipogenesis and Insulin Resistance in Fetal
 Skeletal Muscle of Obese Sheep at Late Gestation. Endocrinology, 2010. 151(1): p.
 380-387.
- 451 28. Louden, E., M.M. Chi, and K.H. Moley, *Crosstalk between the AMP-activated kinase*452 *and insulin signaling pathways rescues murine blastocyst cells from insulin*453 *resistance.* Reproduction, 2008. **136**(3): p. 335-344.
- Lee, S.C., C.A. Robson-Doucette, and M.B. Wheeler, *Uncoupling protein 2 regulates reactive oxygen species formation in islets and influences susceptibility to diabetogenic action of streptozotocin.* Journal of Endocrinology, 2009. 203(1): p. 33-43.
- 458 30. Holdsworth-Carson, S.J., et al., *Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors are*459 *altered in pathologies of the human placenta: Gestational diabetes mellitus,*460 *intrauterine growth restriction and preeclampsia.* Placenta, 2010. **31**(3): p. 222461 229.
- 462 31. Torres-Espinola, F.J., et al., *Maternal Obesity, Overweight and Gestational Diabetes*463 *Affect the Offspring Neurodevelopment at 6 and 18 Months of Age A Follow Up*464 *from the PREOBE Cohort.* PLoS One, 2015. **10**(7): p. e0133010.
- Garcia-Valdes, L., et al., *The impact of maternal obesity on iron status, placental transferrin receptor expression and hepcidin expression in human pregnancy.* Int J
 Obes (Lond), 2015. **39**(4): p. 571-8.
- 33. Sananes, N., et al., *Use of Z-scores to select a fetal biometric reference curve.*Ultrasound Obstet Gynecol, 2009. **34**(4): p. 404-9.
- 470 34. Rasmussen KM, Y.A., Weight gain during pregnancy: reexamining the guidelines. .
 471 2009, The National Academies Press, US: Washington, DC 20001.
- 472 35. Lubchenco, L.O., C. Hansman, and E. Boyd, *Intrauterine growth in length and head*473 *circumference as estimated from live births at gestational ages from 26 to 42*474 *weeks.* Pediatrics, 1966. **37**(3): p. 403-8.
- 475 36. Casas-Agustench, P., et al., *Acute effects of three high-fat meals with different fat saturations on energy expenditure, substrate oxidation and satiety.* Clin Nutr, 2009.
 477 28(1): p. 39-45.
- 478 37. Hutchesson, M.J., et al., Self-monitoring of dietary intake by young women: online
 479 food records completed on computer or smartphone are as accurate as paper-based
 480 food records but more acceptable. J Acad Nutr Diet, 2015. 115(1): p. 87-94.
- 481 38. Livak, K.J. and T.D. Schmittgen, *Analysis of relative gene expression data using*482 *real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(T)(-Delta Delta C) method.* Methods, 2001.
 483 25(4): p. 402-408.
- 484 39. Mistry, H.D., et al., *Reduced selenium concentrations and glutathione peroxidase* 485 *activity in preeclamptic pregnancies.* Hypertension, 2008. **52**(5): p. 881-8.
- 486
 40. Di Paolo, S., et al., *Chronic inhibition of mammalian target of rapamycin signaling*487
 488
 488
 488
 488
 489 **17**(8): p. 2236-2244.

- 490 41. Jansson, N., et al., Activation of placental mTOR signaling and amino acid
 491 transporters in obese women giving birth to large babies. J Clin Endocrinol Metab,
 492 2013. 98(1): p. 105-13.
- 493 42. Roos, S., et al., *Mammalian target of rapamycin in the human placenta regulates*494 *leucine transport and is down-regulated in restricted fetal growth.* Journal of
 495 Physiology-London, 2007. **582**(1): p. 449-459.
- 43. Wen, H.Y., et al., *mTOR: a placental growth signaling sensor.* Placenta, 2005. 26
 497 Suppl A: p. S63-9.
- 498 44. Roberts, V.H.J., et al., *Effect of Increasing Maternal Body Mass Index on Oxidative* 499 *and Nitrative Stress in The Human Placenta*. Placenta, 2009. **30**(2): p. 169-175.
- 500 45. Wang, Y. and S.W. Walsh, *Placental mitochondria as a source of oxidative stress in* 501 *pre-eclampsia.* Placenta, 1998. **19**(8): p. 581-586.
- 50246.Long, Y.C. and J.R. Zierath, AMP-activated protein kinase signaling in metabolic503regulation. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 2006. **116**(7): p. 1776-1783.
- 504 47. Sharkey, D., et al., *Maternal nutrient restriction during early fetal kidney*505 *development attenuates the renal innate inflammatory response in obese young*506 *adult offspring.* Am J Physiol Renal Physiol, 2009. **297**(5): p. F1199-207.
- 48. Pastor, M.D., et al., *mTOR/S6 Kinase Pathway Contributes to Astrocyte Survival during Ischemia.* Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2009. **284**(33): p. 22067-22078.
 49. Check H.C. M. McBurnau, and P.D. Pakking. CIBT1 Magnifustly Pagulates the
- 50949.Ghosh, H.S., M. McBurney, and P.D. Robbins, SIRT1 Negatively Regulates the510Mammalian Target of Rapamycin. PLoS One, 2010. 5(2).
- 51150.Back, J.H., et al., Cancer Cell Survival Following DNA Damage-mediated Premature512Senescence Is Regulated by Mammalian Target of Rapamycin (mTOR)-dependent513Inhibition of Sirtuin 1. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 2011. 286(21): p. 19100-51419108.
- 51. Hyatt, M.A., et al., Suboptimal maternal nutrition, during early fetal liver
 516 development, promotes lipid accumulation in the liver of obese offspring.
 517 Reproduction, 2011. 141(1): p. 119-26.
- 518 52. Radaelli, T., et al., Selective Programming of Feto-Placental Lipid Pathways by
 519 Gestational and Pre-Gestational Diabetes. Reproductive Sciences, 2009. 16(3): p.
 520 74a-74a.
- 53. Gnanalingham, M.G., et al., *Nutritional manipulation between early to mid-*gestation: effects on uncoupling protein-2, glucocorticoid sensitivity, IGF-I receptor
 and cell proliferation but not apoptosis in the ovine placenta. Reproduction, 2007.
 134(4): p. 615-23.
- 525 54. Elias, E., et al., Central nervous system lipocalin-type prostaglandin D2-synthase is
 526 correlated with orexigenic neuropeptides, visceral adiposity and markers of the
 527 hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis in obese humans. J Neuroendocrinol, 2011.
 528 23(6): p. 501-7.
- 529 55. Wang, H., et al., Neonatal overfeeding in female mice predisposes development of
 530 obesity in their male offspring via altered central leptin signaling. J Neuroendocrinol,
 531 2015.
- 532 56. Ashworth, C.J., et al., *Placental leptin.* Rev Reprod, 2000. **5**(1): p. 18-24.
- 533 57. Lea, R.G., et al., *Placental leptin in normal, diabetic and fetal growth-retarded* 534 *pregnancies.* Mol Hum Reprod, 2000. **6**(8): p. 763-9.
- 535 58. Farley, D.M., et al., *Placental Amino Acid Transport and Placental Leptin Resistance*536 *in Pregnancies Complicated by Maternal Obesity.* Placenta, 2010. **31**(8): p. 718537 724.
- 538 59. Martin, S.S., A. Qasim, and M.P. Reilly, *Leptin resistance: a possible interface of*539 *inflammation and metabolism in obesity-related cardiovascular disease.* J Am Coll
 540 Cardiol, 2008. **52**(15): p. 1201-10.
- 541 60. Maymo, J.L., et al., *The Alternative Epac/cAMP Pathway and the MAPK Pathway* 542 *Mediate hCG Induction of Leptin in Placental Cells.* PLoS One. **7**(10): p. e46216.
- 54361.Gong, D.W., et al., Genomic structure and promoter analysis of the human obese544gene. J Biol Chem, 1996. **271**(8): p. 3971-4.
- 62. Coya, R., et al., Effect of cyclic 3',5'-adenosine monophosphate, glucocorticoids, and
 insulin on leptin messenger RNA levels and leptin secretion in cultured human
 trophoblast. Biol Reprod, 2001. 65(3): p. 814-9.

- 54863.Hayashi, R., et al., *Effects of glucocorticoids on gene transcription.* European Journal549of Pharmacology, 2004. **500**(1-3): p. 51-62.
- 550 64. Hauguel-de Mouzon, S., J. Lepercq, and P. Catalano, *The known and unknown of* 551 *leptin in pregnancy.* Am J Obstet Gynecol, 2006. **194**(6): p. 1537-45.
- 552 65. Bifulco, G., et al., Leptin induces mitogenic effect on human choriocarcinoma cell
 553 line (JAr) via MAP kinase activation in a glucose-dependent fashion. Placenta, 2003.
 554 24(4): p. 385-391.
- 555 66. Bouret, S.G., S.J. Draper, and R.B. Simerly, *Trophic action of leptin on hypothalamic* 556 *neurons that regulate feeding.* Science, 2004. **304**(5667): p. 108-10.
- 557 67. Lepercq, J., et al., *Prenatal leptin production: Evidence that fetal adipose tissue*558 *produces leptin.* Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism, 2001. **86**(6): p.
 559 2409-2413.
- 56068.Attig, L., et al., Postnatal leptin promotes organ maturation and development in561IUGR piglets. PLoS One, 2013. 8(5): p. e64616.
- 56269.Mouzaki, A., et al., Cord blood leptin levels of healthy neonates are associated with563IFN-gamma production by cord blood T-cells. PLoS One, 2012. 7(7): p. e40830.
- 56470.Basu, S., et al., Pregravid obesity associates with increased maternal endotoxemia565and metabolic inflammation. Obesity (Silver Spring), 2011. **19**(3): p. 476-82.
- Tieu, B.C., et al., An adventitial IL-6/MCP1 amplification loop accelerates
 macrophage-mediated vascular inflammation leading to aortic dissection in mice. J
 Clin Invest, 2009. **119**(12): p. 3637-51.
- Yu, Z., et al., *Pre-pregnancy body mass index in relation to infant birth weight and*offspring overweight/obesity: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One,
 2013. 8(4): p. e61627.
- 572 73. Guelinckx, I., et al., *Effect of lifestyle intervention on dietary habits, physical*573 *activity, and gestational weight gain in obese pregnant women: a randomized*574 *controlled trial.* Am J Clin Nutr, 2010. **91**(2): p. 373-80.
- 575 74. Salmenhaara, M., et al., *Diet and weight gain characteristics of pregnant women* 576 *with gestational diabetes.* Diabetologia, 2009. **52**: p. S47-S47.

577 75. Giacosa, A., et al., *Cancer prevention in Europe: the Mediterranean diet as a* 578 *protective choice.* Eur J Cancer Prev, 2013. **22**(1): p. 90-5.

- 57976.Babio, N., et al., Mediterranean diets and metabolic syndrome status in the580PREDIMED randomized trial. CMAJ, 2014. **186**(17): p. E649-57.
- 581 77. Salomon, J.A., et al., *Healthy life expectancy for 187 countries, 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden Disease Study 2010.* Lancet, 2012.
 583 **380**(9859): p. 2144-2162.
- Font, J.C., D. Fabbri, and J. Gil, *Decomposing cross-country differences in levels of obesity and overweight: Does the social environment matter?* Social Science & Medicine, 2010. **70**(8): p. 1185-1193.
- 587 79. Bartholome, L.T., et al., A comparison of the accuracy of self-reported intake with
 588 measured intake of a laboratory overeating episode in overweight and obese women
 589 with and without binge eating disorder. Eur J Nutr, 2012.
- Poppitt, S.D., et al., Assessment of selective under-reporting of food intake by both
 obese and non-obese women in a metabolic facility. International Journal of Obesity,
 1998. 22(4): p. 303-311.
- 81. Bashiri, A., et al., *Pregnancy complicated by obesity induces global transcript expression alterations in visceral and subcutaneous fat.* Mol Genet Genomics, 2014.
 289(4): p. 695-705.
- 596

598 Table 1: Socio-demographic characteristics and birth weights of all participants, with and without gestational diabetes:

599 normal weight (N), overweight (OW), obese (O), gestational diabetic normal weight (GDMN) and gestational diabetic obese

600 (GDMO) pregnant women.

Maternal characteristics	N (n=59)	OW (n=29)	O (n=22)	GDMN (n=14)	GDMO (n=11)
Age at delivery (years)	30.4±4.5	30.9±7.2	29.0±4.7	33.1±4.1*	34.7±4.3**
Unemployed (%)	32.2	28.6	38.1	14.3	66.7*
Higher education (University) (%)	42.4	42.9	22.7	42.8	10
Smoking during pregnancy (%)	12.1	25*	9.1	0	0
Primiparous (%)	58.6	46.4	63.6	57.1	60
Height (cm)	162.9±5.7	162.5±6.4	162.7±6.2	159.3±3.9	160.5±6.0
Pre BMI (kg/m²)	21.8±1.8	27.8±2.2***	32.5±2.6 ^{***}	22.4±1.8	35.5±4.9***
BMI at 34 weeks (kg/m ²)	26.6±2.6	31.3±2.4***	35.4±2.4 ^{***}	25.9±2.6	36.4±4.1***
GWG 0-34 weeks (kg) [32]	12.6±4.3	9.9±4.6 ^{**}	7.3±5.1 ^{****}	9.0±5.6 ^{**}	2.2±7.8****
LGWG (kg & % of women in BMI category: n=15;5;8;8;6 resp.)	7.3±1.9 (25%)	2.7±1.9 ^{***} (18%)	2.5±1.7 ^{***} (36%)	5.2±3.5 [*] (57%)	- 3.4±5.0 ^{***} (55%)
AGWG (kg & % of women in BMI category: n=23;9;5;3;2 resp.)	12.1±1.1 (39%)	8.2±1.2 ^{****} (32%)	5.9±0.9 ^{***} (23%)	11.4±1.0 (22%)	5.2±0.6 ^{****} (18%)
HGWG ((kg & % of women in BMI category: n=21;14;9;3;3 resp.)	17.0±2.9 (36%)	13.6±2.4 ^{**} (50%)	12.3±3.4 ^{**} (41%)	17.0±1.1 (21%)	11.3±3.2 ^{**} (27%)
BW for each GWG 0-34 weeks (g) ^[32]					
LGWG (n=15;5;8;8;6 resp.)	3410±116	3496±241	3253±158	3307±151	3373±221
AGWG (n=23;9;5;3;2 resp.)	3160±61	2870±109	3318±274	3493±334	3090±350
HGWG (n=21;14;9;3;3 resp.)	3348±101	3475±117	3707±156.	3433±98	3716±301
No. of Caesarean delivery (%)	12.3	25.9	38.1	25	50*
Preterm delivery (< 37gw) (%)	3.4	3.4	9.1	14.3	27.3*
Male new born (%)	52.5	39.3	61.9	57.1	72.7

601 Values are means ± SD or categorical data as appropriate; n: number of women per group; gw: gestational weeks.

602 Pre: pregestational; BMI: body mass index;

603 GWG: gestational weight gain during the first 34 gestational weeks based on 2009 IOM guidelines for each category ^[34]: LGWG 604 - gestational weight gained (kg) classified as low: <9.8 kg for normal weight, <5.9 kg for overweight and <4.2 kg for obese 605 women; AGWG - gestational weight gain (kg) classified as adequate: 9.8-13.6 kg for normal weight, 5.9-9.8 kg for overweight 606 and 4.2-7.6 kg for obese women; HGWG - gestational weight gain (kg) classified as high: >13.6 kg for normal weight, >9.8 kg for 607 overweight and >7.6 kg for obese women.

508 Statistical differences: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 ***p<0.001 compared to normal weight group (Chi-square test or t-independent test 509 for continuous variables; chi-square test for categorical variables).

Table 2: Maternal energy and nutrient intake: normal weight (N), overweight (OW), obese (O), gestational diabetic normal
 weight (GDMN) and gestational diabetic obese (GDMO) pregnant women.

Maternal dietary intake	N (n=37)	OW (n=15)	O (n=8)	GDMN (n=11)	GDMO (n=6)
Energy (kcal)	2155±339	2114±784	1831±560*	1879±379*	1656±348 ^{**}
Total carbohydrates (g)	237±54	217±63	189±69*	187±31 ^{**}	173±46 ^{**}
Total proteins (g)	83.9±17.5	84.5±28.4	74.8±1.2	84.4±23.0	74.8±11.9
Total lipids (g)	90.5±19.4	95.6±54.2	86.5±26.4	81.7±27.4	68.7±14.7*
SFA (g)	33.8±8.3	36.6±25.9	30.3±8.9	28.3±13.8	21.4±5.6 ^{**}
MUFA (g)	36.2±9.6	38.3±19.2	35.1±14.5	36.5±12.1	31.8±10.1
PUFA (g)	12.8±4.3	12.5±7.2	13.7±4.6	10.1±2.0	9.7±2.7

612 Values are means ± SD; n: number of women per group;

613 SFA: saturated fatty acid; MUFA: monounsaturated fatty acid; PUFA: polyunsaturated fatty acid.

614 Statistical differences: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 compared to normal weight group (t-independent test for continuous variables).

616 Table 3: Anthropometric and clinical characteristics of infants born to mothers with and without gestational diabetes:

617 normal weight mother (N), overweight mother (OW), obese mother (O), gestational diabetic normal weight mother (GDMN)

618 and gestational diabetic obese (GDO) mother.

Infant characteristics	N (n=59)	OW (n=29)	O (n=22)	GDMN (n=14)	GDMO (n=11)
Estimated fetal weight at 34 weeks of gestation (g)	2363±183	2345±183	2393±383	2467±380	2541±501*
Placental weight (g)	469±120	495±135	531±114*	498±134	476±93
Placental to birth weight ratio	0.143±0.03 1	0.157±0.046	0.158±0.041	0.147±0.035	0.139±0.017
Gestational age (weeks)	39.2±1.0	39.4±1.6	39.3±1.7	39.3±1.3	38.8±1.3
Newborn length (cm)	50.2±1.8	50.5±1.5	50.6±2.7	50.6±1.7	50.9±3.4
Newborn weight (g)	3292±410	3230±587	3454±549	3374±402	3415±549
SGA (n) (%)	4 (6.8)	3 (10.3)	1 (4.5)	1 (7.1)	2 (18.2)
AGA (n) (%)	52 (88.1)	24 (82.8)	16 (72.8)	12 (85.8)	7 (63.6)
LGA (n) (%)	3 (5.1)	2 (6.9)	5 (22.7) *	1 (7.1)	2 (18.2)
Ponderal index (g/cm ^{3*} 100)	2.62±0.27	2.56±0.49	2.58±0.28	2.60±0.34	2.60±0.42

619 Anthropometric characteristics of the fetus were estimated by using ultrasound scan at 34 gestational weeks.

620

621 Values are means ± S.D.; n: number of women per group; 622

SGA: small for gestational age (birthweight population centile < 10%); AGA: average for gestational age (10% < birthweight population centile < 90%); LGA: large for gestational age (birthweight population centile > 90%).
 625

626 Statistical differences: *p<0.05 compared to normal weight group (t-independent test for continuous variables; chi-square test 627 for categorical variables).

629 Table 4: Maternal, placental and cord blood metabolic characteristics: normal weight (N), overweight (OW), obese (O),

630 gestational diabetic normal weight (GDMN) and gestational diabetic obese (GDMO) pregnant women.

Maternal blood at term	N (n=59)	OW (n=29)	O (n=22)	GDMN (n=14)	GDMO (n=11)
Glucose (mmol/L)	4.3±1.3	4.6±1.3	5.3±2.3*	6.0±2.2***	6.1±1.9***
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	11.7±3.9	13.2±4.2	12.8±4.3	11.6±3.9	12.3±3.3
Leptin (µg/L)	16.0±13.6	24.2±23.5	33.9±21.9**	21.1±16.4	36.6±19.9**
Monocyte count (x10 ⁹ /L)	0.5±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.2	0.6±0.3	0.40±0.2
Cord blood ^ψ	N (n=33)	OW (n=18)	O (n=16)	GDN (n=10)	GDO (n=7)
Glucose (mmol/L)	3.8±1.2	3.6±1.2	3.3±1.3	3.9±0.7	4.3±1.0
Triglyceride (mmol/L)	2.7±1.1	2.5±0.8	2.5±1.4	2.5±1.3	2.5±1.2
Leptin (µg/L)	19.7±17.9	32.7±19.6	62.3±69.0*	42.6±31.5*	36.6±19.9
Monocyte count (x10 ⁹ /L)	1.0±0.4	1.1±0.4	1.4±0.6*	1.2±0.8	1.1±0.4
Placental tissue	N (n=59)	OW (n=29)	O (n=22)	GDN (n=14)	GDO (n=11)
Total placental TG (mg/g) per placental weight (g)	19.9±10.0	22.0±10.9	23.9±12.8	19.7±10.6	28.3±16.5*
Relative placental TBARS	1.0±0.3	0.9±0.3	0.8±0.2	1.0±0.3	1.0±0.3

631 Values are means \pm SD; n: number of women/group; Ψ see text for information on missing individuals.

632 TBARS: thiobarbituric acid reactive substances; TG: triglyceride.

633 Statistical differences: *p<0.05, **p<0.01 compared to normal weight group (t-independent test for continuous variables).

535 Table 5: Effects of maternal BMI on gene expression markers of energy sensing and balance, oxidative stress and

636 inflammation in placenta of normal weight (N), overweight (OW), obese (O), gestational diabetic normal weight (GDMN) 637 and gestational diabetic obese (GDMO) pregnant women.

OW 0 GDMN GDMO Target Ν Pathway gene **NCBI** sequence Gene (n=59) (n=29) (n=21) (n=14) (n=11) Energy sensing 0.4±0.1*** NM_006251 АМРК 1.0±0.1 0.9±0.1 1.0±0.2 $0.6\pm0.1^*$ NM_001014432 Akt1 1.0±0.1 1.0±0.2 1.2±0.2 0.8±0.1 0.9±0.1 $0.5 \pm 0.1^{*}$ 0.6±0.1 0.5±0.1 NM 004958 mTOR 1.0±0.1 0.7±0.1 NM 003161 p70S6KB1 1.0±0.1 1.1±0.2 1.6±0.4 0.7±0.2 1.4±0.2* Energy balance 4.1±1.1* 0.8±0.4 NM_000230 LEP 1.0±0.2 1.5±0.5 0.9±0.4 NM 002303 0.8±0.1 1.1±0.3 0.5±0.0 0.5±0.1 LEPR 1.0±0.2 Insulin action NM_000875 IGF1R 1.0 ± 0.1 1.2±0.1 1.2±0.2 1.0±0.1 0.8±0.1 NM 005544 IRS1 0.9±0.1 0.8±0.1 1.0±0.1 1.1±0.1 1.4±0.2 Oxidative stress 1.4±0.2** $1.4\pm0.2^*$ NM_001033611.1 1.0±0.2 UCP2 1.3±0.4 0.8±0.3 1.6±0.2** NM 001142498 SIRT1 1.0±0.1 1.4±0.2* 0.8±0.2 1.5±0.3 Inflammation NM_015869.4 PPARy 1.0±0.1 0.9±0.1 0.9±0.1 1.0±0.2 0.9±0.1 NM_001135930.1 TLR4 1.0±0.1 0.9±0.1 0.9±0.1 0.8±0.1 0.8±0.2 NM_000176 GRα 1.0±0.1 1.2±0.1 1.0±0.1 $1.2 \pm 0.1^{*}$ 1.5±0.2*

638

- 639
- 640

642

541 Data expressed relative to housekeeping gene (ribosomal 18S RNA), normalised to the control group to give the fold change.

a.u.: arbitrary units; n = number of women/group.

AMPK: AMP-activated protein kinase; Akt: v-akt murine thymoma viral oncogene homolog; mTOR: mammalian target of
 rapamycin; p70S6KB1: ribosomal protein S6 kinase 70kDa polipeptide; LEP: leptin; LEPR: leptin receptor; IGF1R: insulin growth
 factor 1 receptor; IRS1: insulin receptor substrate 1; UCP: uncoupling protein; SIRT: sirtuin; PPARy: peroxisome proliferator activated receptor gamma; TLR: toll like receptor; GRα: glucocorticoid receptor alpha.

Data are non parametric and represent mean ± S.D. Statistical differences: *p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001 compared to normal
 weight (Mann Whitney test).

- 652
- 653
- 654

