# New insights into the reliability of automatic dynamic methods for oral bioaccessibility testing: A case study for BGS102 soil

## 3 Mark R. Cave<sup>a</sup>, María Rosende<sup>b</sup>, Ian Mounteney<sup>a</sup>, Amanda Gardner<sup>a</sup>, Manuel Miró<sup>b</sup>

- 4 *a)* British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, United Kingdom.
- 5 b)FI-TRACE group, Department of Chemistry, University of the Balearic Islands, Carretera
- 6 *de Valldemossa km 7.5, 07122 Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain*

7

#### 10 Abstract

Dynamic flow-through extraction is attracting a great deal of attention for real-time 11 monitoring of the bioaccessible fraction of metal species in environmental solid substrates 12 13 compared to its batchwise manual counterparts. There is however a lack of studies on the harmonization and validation of *in-vitro* dynamic methods for physiologically-based 14 15 extraction tests against *in-vivo* bioavailability methods. This work is aimed at evaluating the reliability of dynamic flow-through extraction methods for estimation of oral bioaccessible 16 17 fractions of Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, Cr and As under worst-case extraction conditions in the gastric compartment based on the BGS102 guidance soil using the validated batchwise Unified 18 19 BARGE test assay. Good overall agreement between batch and dynamic results was obtained for the tested elements, except for Pb, as a consequence of the slow leaching kinetics 20 21 identified with the dynamic method and the contribution of readsorption phenomena in the course of the gastric digestion. Metal-soil phase associations and their relationship with 22 gastric bioaccessible pools were elucidated using the so-called Chemometric Identification of 23 Substrates and Element Distributions method based on sequential extraction with a variety of 24 chemicals of increasing acidity as applied to both static and dynamic bioaccessibility data. 25 26

#### 27 Introduction

Recent studies [1,2] have shown how batch mode in-vitro ingestion/digestion bioaccessibility 28 testing is a good analogue for in-vivo bioavailability measurements for potentially harmful 29 30 elements in soils. The in-vitro bioaccessibility tests are now increasingly being used by commercial testing laboratories (e.g. ALcontrol Laboratories UK) to provide data for human 31 health risk assessment at contaminated land sites. Whilst batch testing is far more ethical, 32 cheaper and rapid compared to animal testing it is still relatively time consuming and requires 33 a large number of manual operation steps. Dynamic leaching methods are proven more 34 suitable for rapid measurements at real time by automation and minimum extract 35 manipulation [3-9] but their equivalence to validated batch tests for oral bioaccessibility data 36 37 has not been established.

38 In dynamic leaching methods, in contrast to steady-state extraction methods, fresh portions of leaching reagents are continuously provided to the solid samples that are contained in flow-39 40 through micro-columns or chambers [3,10]. The dissolution equilibrium is thus driven to the liquid (extractant) phase so as to afford relevant insight into the maximum amount (worst-41 case extraction) of bioaccessible pools of target species, e.g., potentially harmful trace 42 elements (TE) [11,12]. Entirely enclosed and (semi)automatic flow-based extraction methods 43 also simplify operationally defined bioaccessibility tests, minimize accidental errors (e.g., 44 45 sample contamination and analyte losses) and foster time-resolved (kinetic) data of the ongoing extraction. Further, re-adsorption phenomena of TE onto the remaining or freshly 46 generated sorptive soil surfaces are circumvented [3,10]. 47

In this work, the analytical setup described and validated by Rosende *et al.* [13] was applied to evaluate the Unified Barge Method (UBM) [14] gastric bioaccessible pools of TE (Cu, Zn, Pb, Ni, Cr and As) in the bioaccessibility guidance ironstone soil BGS 102. The flow manifold capitalizing upon the hyphenation of a sequential injection manifold accommodating a stirred flow-cell reaction to inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) is devised for quantification of the UBM gastric bioaccessible TE in a conservative assessment while getting insight into the leaching kinetics as well.

The results from the dynamic test will be compared to data obtained from long term analysis of the BGS102 guidance soil using the UBM test assay [15]. The UBM batch and dynamic data will also be interpreted with respect to the fractionation data obtained from applying the 58 Chemometric Identification of Substrates and Element Distributions (CISED) sequential59 extraction method [16,17].

60

#### 61 **EXPERIMENTAL**

#### 62 Batch mode UBM bioaccessibility data

The batch mode gastric phase bioaccessibility data from UBM testing of BGS 102 comes from a recent study [15] where data had been collected over an extended period of time and compiled for 57 elements using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry (ICP MS). The mean values for the elements under study in this work are given in Table 1.

67

#### 68 Sequential extraction-based CISED method

69 The Chemometric Identification of Substrates and Element Distribution (CISED) method was 70 used for elucidation of components in a sequential extraction procedure with increasing 71 concentrations of aqua regia. The method was similar to previous work [18] but with a few 72 modifications.

All reagents were of analytical grade obtained from the ROMIL company and Milli-Q water
(Millipore Synthesis A10, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) was used throughout.
The Oakridge tubes were were previously soaked in 10% (v/v) HNO<sub>3</sub> and rinsed three times

76 with deionized water.

- The 7 extraction solutions (1 of deionised water and 6 of acid) consist of mixtures ofanalytical reagent grade nitric and hydrochloric acid prepared as follows:
- i) 5 M aqua regia made up as 110 ml 37% HCl and 47.5 ml 70 % HNO<sub>3</sub> made up to 500 ml
  volumetric flask with deionised water;
- ii) 1.0 M aqua regia made up as 22 ml 37% HCl plus 9.5 ml 70% HNO<sub>3</sub> made up to 500 ml
  in volumetric flask with deionised water;
- 83 iii) 0.5 M prepared as 50 ml of 5 M aqua regia made up to 500 ml in volumetric flask with
  84 deionised water;
- iv) 0.1 M prepared as 50 ml of 1 M aqua regia made up to 500 ml in volumetric flask with
  deionised water;
- v) 0.05 M prepared as 5 ml of 5 M aqua regia made up to 500 ml in volumetric flask with
  deionised water; and

vi) 0.01 M prepared as 5 ml of 1 M aqua regia made up to 500 ml in volumetric flask with
 deionised water.

Each extractant is applied three times to the soil sample as outlined in Table 2 resulting 21extracts per soil sample.

- 93 The extraction procedure is as follows:
- 94 1. Weigh approximately 2 g of each test sample into a clean 30 ml Oakridge tube.
- 95 2. Add a 10 ml aliquot of the required extractant, by pipette, to each of the tubes.
- 96 3. Rotate each tube on an end over end shaker for 10 minutes at 30 rpm.
- 97 4. Centrifuge the tubes at 4350 rpm for 5 minutes.
- 98 5. Pipette off the supernatant into a graduated sample vial and record the volume.
- 99 6. Add the next extracting solution in the sequence (see table 2) and repeat steps 2 to 5.

For the extractions with 0.1, 0.5, 1.0 and 5 M acid extracts, carry out steps 2-5 with the addition 0.25, 0.50, 0.75 and 1 ml, respectively, of 9% (v/v)  $H_2O_2$  prior to making up the final acid volume to 10 ml (as shown in Table 2).

103 The hydrogen peroxide is added to aid the digestion of the organic material and Mn oxides as104 the sequential extraction proceeds.

The tubes are weighed before and after removal of the supernatant solution to determine the volume of solution withdrawn so that the volume of solution in contact with the soil during shaking is known. A small amount of solution is left behind (ca. 0.1-0.3ml) but this is taken into account by the self-modelling mixture resolution data processing which is carried out after the analysis of extracts.

The extracted solutions were analysed for major and trace elements required for the CISED data processing using a Perkin Elmer Optima 7300DV ICP-AES. The sample introduction system was a Conikal U-Series concentric glass nebulizer with a glass cyclonic spray chamber. The ICP-AES operating conditions and wavelengths used are given in tables S1 and S2 in the supplementary information. The wavelengths were chosen to give suitable detection limits, linear ranges and freedom from spectral interference in the CISED extraction matrix.

116

#### 117 Sequential extraction data processing

The major and trace element data obtained from the sequential extracts for BGS102 soil were 118 assembled into a data matrix consisting of 21 rows (the extracts) and 26 columns (the 119 elements). The data was subjected to a previously described Self Modelling Mixture 120 Resolution (SMMR) algorithm [16,19]. This procedure separates the data into geochemically 121 distinct components which includes the chemical composition of each component, the 122 amount of each component in each extract and the fractionation of each element between 123 each of the identified components. The algorithm is programmed in the MatLab 124 programming language and uses a bootstrap re-sampling approach to provide median and 95<sup>th</sup> 125 percentile confidence intervals on all the outputs [19]. 126

127

#### 128 Reagents and solutions for the dynamic extraction

All reagents were of analytical grade and Milli-Q water (Millipore Synthesis A10, Millipore Corporation, Billerica, MA, USA) was used throughout. All glassware and polyethylene containers were previously soaked in 10% (v/v) HNO<sub>3</sub> and rinsed three times with deionized water.

The inorganic salts, the organic reagents and the distinct enzymes for the preparation of the 133 synthetic gastric biofluid were specified by BARGE [20]. The chemical composition of the 134 gastric juice used in the dynamic method is as follows: 824 mg L<sup>-1</sup> KCl, 266 mg L<sup>-1</sup> 135 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 2752 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NaCl, 400 mg L<sup>-1</sup> CaCl<sub>2</sub>, 306 mg L<sup>-1</sup> NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, 3.6 g L<sup>-1</sup> HCl, 85 mg L<sup>-1</sup> 136 urea, 650 mg L<sup>-1</sup> glucose, 20 mg L<sup>-1</sup> glucuronic acid, 330 mg L<sup>-1</sup> glucosamine hydrochloride, 137 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> pepsin from porcine gastric mucosa (0.7 FIP-U/mg, Merck, Darmstadt, Germany, 138 1000 mg L<sup>-1</sup> bovine serum albumin with a final pH of  $1.1 \pm 0.1$ . Previous studies indicate the 139 lack of digestive action in UBM by mucin, which merely acts as a lubricant in the mouth and 140 stomach [13]. Therefore, gastric fluid without mucin was used throughout (see further 141 explanations below). 142

143

#### 144 Instrumentation for automatic on-line UBM extraction

The automated flow system for assessment of oral bioaccessibility of TE in soils is schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. It comprises a 3,000-step bidirectional syringe pump (CAVRO XP3000, Tecan group, Männedorf, Switzerland) for automatic handling of the gastric fluid and delivery of well-controlled volumes to the solid sample as contained in a flow-through stirred chamber. An eight-port multiposition selection valve (SV; Multiburette 4S, Crison Instruments, Barcelona, Spain) was used for automatic handling of the extractant and extracts. For quantitative injection of a metered digestive juice volume into the detection system, a six-port rotary injection valve (IV) was furnished with a 500  $\mu$ L injection loop. The SV and the IV were connected via a 100  $\mu$ L transfer line (0.8 mm i.d. PTFE).

The syringe pump was furnished with a 5-mL gas-tight glass syringe (Hamilton, Switzerland) 154 and a three-way valve at its head, which allowed connection with either the manifold or the 155 carrier (water) reservoir. The central port of the SV was connected to the pump via a holding 156 coil (HC), which consisted of a 3.0-m-long polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) tubing (1.5 mm 157 i.d.), with an approximate internal volume of 5.3 mL. The outlets of SV were connected to 158 the gastric fluid reservoir, the soil container, the extract cup consisting of a 5-mL 159 polypropylene pipette tip, or the waste, through PTFE tubing (1.5 mm i.d.) using 160 polyetheretherketone (PEEK) fittings. 161

The flow-through chamber for containing the soil was constructed from borosilicate glass as 162 163 described elsewhere [21] with an inner volume capacity of ca. 15 mL (see Fig. 1). A rubber gasket was placed on top of the chamber followed by a nylon filter (GE Osmonics Labstore, 164 MN, USA) of 0.45 µm pore size and 47 mm diameter to allow dissolved matter to flow 165 through but retaining soil particles. The setup was completed with a second rubber gasket and 166 the cover on top of the flow chamber. The inlet of the chamber was connected to SV, while 167 168 the outlet to the extract cup (see Fig. 1) using small pieces of Tygon tube and PTFE tubing of 1.5 mm i.d.. A weighed soil sample (400 mg) was transferred to the flow chamber together 169 with a small magnetic bar (1 cm long), and the overall components of the container were 170 securely clamped. A magnetic stirring device (actuated at 480 rpm to ensure a stable soil-171 gastric fluid dispersion) was employed. All the programmable flow sequences were executed 172 by a personal computer running the lab-made Cocosoft 4.3 software written in Phyton [22]. 173 The software permits the control of syringe pump motion and speed through an RS232 174 interface, the selection of the distinct ports of the SV and IV as well as the relay activation of 175 the detection instrument (ICP-AES) via the 6-pin barrier strip connector of the digital output 176 177 of the SP.

The gastric juice leachates containing bioaccessible TE were analyzed using an Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometry (ICP-AES, Perkin Elmer Optima 5330DV) furnished with a cross-flow pneumatic nebulizer. The operating conditions for ICP-AES detection are given as follows: RF power, 1300 W; plasma Ar flow rate, 15 L min<sup>-1</sup>; auxiliary Ar flow rate, 0.2 L min<sup>-1</sup>; nebulizer flow rate, 0.5 L min<sup>-1</sup>; rinse time, 60 s; sample flush time, 0 s; sample uptake delay, 0 s; read time, 1 s; view mode, axial; and analytical
wavelengths of 267.716 nm for Cr, 324.752 nm for Cu, 231.604 nm for Ni, 220.353 nm for
Pb, 188.979 nm for As and 213.857 nm for Zn.

186 The instrument readouts were recorded on-line in a continuous mode at 1 Hz for the

187 measurement of the overall leachate (or alternatively standard) content of the injection loop.

188 The area of the transient peak in each leachate subfraction was used for plotting the oral

bioaccessibility leaching profile or cumulative extraction profile for the suite of analyzed TE.





190

Fig. 1. Diagrammatic description of the hybrid flow setup hyphenated to ICP-AES for
automated bioaccessibility tests of trace elements in BGS102 guidance soil using UBM
gastric fluid. SV: Selection Valve; IV: Injection Valve; HC: Holding Coil; W: Waste; C:
Carrier (H<sub>2</sub>O); ICP-AES: Inductively Coupled Plasma-Atomic Emission Spectrometer; PP:
Peristaltic Pump.

197

#### 198 Analytical procedure for the dynamic UBM extraction

The stirred-flow chamber was initially loaded with 400 mg of the BGS 102 soil. The peristaltic pump of the ICP-AES instrument was activated so as to provide the spectrometer with a constant flow of 2% HNO<sub>3</sub> (v/v) throughout via the IV in the load position.

The automatic analytical procedure for on-line gastric bioaccessibility measurements started 202 with the aspiration of 100 µL of air (port 6 of the SV) into the HC so as to prevent dispersion 203 of the surrogate gastric fluid into the carrier solution. A metered volume of 4900 µL of the 204 gastric biofluid was aspirated (from port 8 in Fig 1) into the HC at 10 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. Thereafter, 205 the flow was reversed and the extractant plug perfused the soil sample contained in the stirred 206 chamber at 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, while retaining the air segment within the HC. The gastric leachate 207 (after filling up of the chamber and connecting tubes) was collected into the extractant cup 208 nested to port 1 of the SV. For physicochemical homogenization of the content of the cup, a 209 2.5 mL air zone was pumped up-flow into the extract solution at 5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>. The extract cup 210 was next emptied by aspiration of the overall content (leachate plus a 100 µL air) into HC. 211 The syringe pump was then programmed to dispense a 2 mL of leachate volume toward the 212 IV so as to fill the injection loop. The ICP-AES instrument was then triggered via the relay 213 and the IV activated to the injection position whereupon the transient readout was recorded. 214 The dynamic extraction method lasted 6.3 min per subfraction. The ICP-AES detection was 215 synchronized with the collection of the next leachate subfraction. 216

The above-mentioned automatic procedure was repeated forty-fold to reach a baseline level, which was set to the extractant volume for which the increase of metal leached in five consecutive subfractions (ca. 25 mL) was less than 10 % of the cumulative extracted amount, thus indicating exhaustive extraction of the targeted TE.

A ten point matrix-match external calibration (in the UBM gastric medium) was selected for determination of oral bioaccessible TE in the certified soil.

223

#### 224 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 225 Gastric bioaccessibility of TE in BGS 102 under worst case conditions

The synthetic digestive fluids recommended by BARGE are composed of large amounts of 226 salts, organic compounds and digestive enzymes that give rise to heterogeneous mixtures. As 227 228 discussed previously by Rosende et al. [13], the handling of the UBM surrogate biofluids in flow systems is troublesome because of the progressive clogging of the tubing and membrane 229 230 filters by suspended matter. Because turbid and viscous solutions were generated with the addition of mucin to the gastric media, and a good agreement was encountered at the 0.05 231 significance level between TE bioaccessibility in the presence and absence mucin [13] gastric 232 fluid without mucin was thus selected for the ensuing studies. In order to assess the gastric 233

bioaccessible fractions of Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, As and Cr in the BGS 102 soil under worst-case
scenarios as obtained by dynamic flow-through extraction, the critical parameters obtained
previously by a factorial design [13], that is, extraction temperature, flow rate, and extraction
flow rate were fixed to 400 mg, room temperature (27 °C), and 1.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, respectively.

Leaching profiles (so-called extractograms) were obtained by the graphical plot of the amount or concentration of gastric bioaccessible TE against time or cumulative extractant volume [23,24]. Fig. 2 depicts the average extractograms of Ni, Cu, Zn, Pb, As and Cr in the certified soil using dynamic gastric extraction as a front-end to ICP-AES. Fresh gastric phase was delivered to the soil containing extraction chamber until the bioaccessible TEs are completely leached out as seen from the signal gradually tailing off to baseline level, thereby simulating worst-case scenarios that cope with ISO/TS 17924:2007 specifications [25].

Similar trends in leaching patterns were recorded for the suite of analytes. Usually 12 (ca. 59 mL) subfractions were necessary for the extraction of more than 80% of the pools of gastric bioaccessible TE in BGS 102, except for Pb, which displayed a much slower leaching kinetics with the subsequent increase of the extraction volume to 103 mL for leaching of about 80% of the total bioaccessible fraction under dynamic conditions.

250



Fig. 2. Average extractograms of Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, As and Zn in soils for evaluation of leaching kinetics and pools of bioaccessible elements under worst-case dynamic UBM gastric digestion scenarios (n = 3). Error bars indicate the standard deviation.

255

256

It should be noted that the final pH of the gastric phase in UBM bioaccessibility tests [14] has to be < 1.5 otherwise the procedure should be restarted from the beginning with the steady control of pH throughout. This was not an issue for the BSG 102 soil in the dynamic system, because the pH of the overall subfractions were < 1.5 in all cases and the nominal gastric fluid pH, that is,  $1.1 \pm 0.1$ , was attained after 5-10 subfractions (25-50 mL) as shown in Figure S1 (supplementary information).

263

#### 264 Comparison of UBM batch mode against dynamic extraction mode

265 A direct comparison of the elements under study extracted by the two methods is shown in Fig. 3. All of the elements apart from Pb, showing slow leaching kinetics (see Fig. 2), are 266 equivalent within the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence limits on the measurements. The mean values 267 for As, Cr, Cu and Pb are slightly higher for the dynamic mode test (in the case of Pb a factor 268 ca. 2 higher) and slightly lower for Ni and Zn. The reasons for the significant difference for 269 Pb are discussed later with respect to the BGS102 fractionation data. It is interesting to note, 270 however, that for most of the elements studied the dynamic test gives statistically equivalent 271 results to the batch method which suggests automation of the UBM method using a dynamic 272 approach gives rise to reliable results. 273





Figure 3. Comparison of the stomach phase bioaccessibility measurements for the batch and
 dynamic UBM bioaccessibility test on the BGS102 soil. Error bars represent 95 percentile
 confidence limits



Figure 4. Total element concentrations in BGS 102 compared to the CISED extractable concentrations in BGS102. Error bars represent 95 percentile confidence limits

281

Figure 4 compares the total element concentrations in BGS 102, obtained by X-ray 282 Fluorescence (XRF) analysis [26], to those extracted by summing the element concentrations 283 in the CISED sequential extractions. Whilst the CISED method uses mineral acid extractants 284 Fig. 5 clearly shows that it only extracts a proportion of the total elements under study (9.8% 285 for As, 25.0% for Cr, 64.0% for Cu, 36.4% for Ni, 81.6% for Pb and 37.9% for Zn). The 286 CISED method was designed to extract the more mobile physico-chemical phases of the soil, 287 i.e. fine grained particulates and surface coatings of the silicate and aluminosilicates. 288 Comparing the data from Fig. 3 with that of Fig. 4 shows that the CISED method extracts a 289 larger proportion of the total element concentration than the oral bioaccessible fraction but is 290 more comparable to the batch and dynamic UBM bioaccessibility data than the total element 291 concentration, viz., the batch UBM extracts the following proportion of the total element 292 concentration: 3.8% for As, 16.3% for Cr, 32.8% for Cu, 16.2% for Ni, 19.2% for Pb and 293 21.6% for Zn. The reason that the CISED method extracts a larger proportion of the metals 294 295 than the gatric biofluid is that the CISED method uses a more aggressive extraction medium made up of mixed mineral acids at higher concentrations and lower pH than the gastric 296 biofluid. 297

298

#### 299 Fractionation of elements in BGS102 using the CISED sequential extraction

The CISED data processing identified 9 geochemically distinct physico-chemical 300 components in the soil. Figure 5 illustrates the median extraction profiles for the 9 301 components along with 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence limits. The extraction number refers to the 302 21 solutions given in Table 2. The components are ordered by their ease of extraction (from 303 K.S through to Fe). The names of the component are made up from the elements that make 304 up more than 10% of the component composition. Figure 6 shows the chemical composition 305 of each of the physico-chemical components with 95<sup>th</sup> percentile error bars, again ordered in 306 by ease of extraction, from which tentative assignment of the components can be made as 307 described below. 308



309

Figure 5. Extraction profiles of the 9 physico-chemical components identified in BGS102 by the CISED sequential extraction.  $\bigcirc$  is the median value,  $\nabla$  upper 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence limit, + lower 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence limit



316

Figure 6. Chemical compositions of the 9 physico-chemical components identified in BGS102 by the CISED sequential extraction. Error bars represent the 95<sup>th</sup> percentile confidence limit

317

### 318 Tentative assignment of the physico-chemical components

The elucidation of the origin of the 9 components identified in the BGS102 soil is based on the combination of the chemical composition of the component as outlined in Fig. 6, the extraction profiles (Figure 5) which indicate the solubility of the component in increasing strength of acid extractants (see Table 2), the mass and concentration of TE extracted and knowledge of geochemical history of the soil. Insights are provided below:

K.S – This component consists mainly of K, Na, and S and is extracted with the pure water
 extracts suggesting that this is derived from residual salts from porewater in the soil.

Ca.K - Composed of K, Mg and Si with a poorly defined Ca content; the extraction profile is spread out over the whole range of extractants; and the mass extracted is relatively low compared to other components. This is possibly an organic component which is being released as its inorganic hosts are being dissolved.

Ca – Made up of over 90% Ca with a very clearly defined extraction window at lower acid
strengths. This is clearly a calcium carbonate component.

Al.Si - Made up principally of Al, Si with some K and P this component has a similar extraction window to the calcium carbonate component; it has a relatively low extractable mass compared to other components. This is probably an organic material which is associated with the calcium carbonate in the soil.

336 Mn.Al – Made up of more than ca. 70% Mn by mass this component has a very tightly 337 defined extraction window which coincides with the first addition of  $H_2O_2$  to the extracts. As 338 Mn oxides are known to be highly soluble in this reagent [27] this component is clearly Mn 339 oxide.

- The next three components all have ca.50% or greater Fe content and, given that the soil is a ferritic brown earth from North Lincolnshire in the UK which is developed over underlying ironstone geology [26], are likely to be derived from iron oxide sources.
- Fe.Al.Si –The major contributors to this component's composition are Fe (ca. 30%), Al(ca. 25%), Si (ca. 20%) and P(ca. 5%). As it has a reasonably well defined extraction window at

medium to high acid strength this component is probably a fine grained Fe oxy-hydroxide
which are known to have variable composition and are contaminated by a variety of elements
[28].

348 Mn.Fe – Made up of equal amounts of Fe and Mn (ca. 50:50) this component has a very 349 tightly defined extraction window which coincides with the first addition of  $H_2O_2$  to the 350 extracts. This component is likely to be a mixed Fe/Mn oxide.

- Fe.Al Made up of ca. 50% Fe and 25% Al with smaller amounts of Si this component is extracted at medium to high acid strength. The extraction profile shows two main extraction peaks. The first appears in the same extraction window as the Fe.Al.Si component and the second as the Fe component window. This suggests that this component is closely associated with the dissolution of both these components suggesting that it could be a more recently formed component that overlays the older components. Like the Fe.Al.Si this component is probably a fine grained Fe oxy-hydroxide.
- Fe This component is over 80% Fe with a small amount of P and is extracted at the highest acid strength. It has a relatively high purity compared to the other Fe dominated components and its low mobility suggests it is a crystalline iron oxide e.g. hematite or goethite which are known to be present in these soils [29].
- Figures 7 and S2 to S6 (supplementary information) show the cumulative concentration of 362 each of the elements under study extracted for each of the 9 physico-chemical CISED 363 components with increasing difficulty of extraction. This shows the fractionation of the 364 365 elements between the identified physico-chemical components and their relative mobility. In addition, stomach phase bioaccessible fractions measured by the batch and dynamic UBM 366 367 tests are plotted for each element. Where these lines cross cumulative extraction curve provides information on what fraction of the BGS102 soil is being accessed by the 368 369 bioaccessibility extraction. Some detailed explanations are given below for the suite of target TE: 370
- 371 Lead (see Fig. 7) The majority of the lead is held in the Mn.Al, Fe.Al.Si and Mn.Fe372 components in the soil and the bioaccessible fraction is mostly coming from the Mn.Al373 component. The higher value for the dynamic extraction suggests that some is also being374 dissolved out of the Fe.Al.Si and Mn.Fe fractions but in the batch mode process some of this375 is being reabsorbed back onto some of the fine grained material in the soil.



Figure 8. Cumulative Extraction Curve for Pb from the CISED identified physico-chemicalfractions

379 Arsenic (see Fig. S2, supplementary information) – The extractable As is mostly found in the 380 crystalline Fe component although small but significant amounts are found in the components Ca.K through to Fe.Al. The dynamic bioaccessible (worst-case) fraction appears to come 381 382 from the sum of the Ca.K through to Fe.Al components. The extraction solutions (Table 2) are not very efficient at extracting As from the soil matrix as only 8 mg/kg of the total As 383 384 concentration of 104 mg/kg is acessed during CISED extractions. These results are in agreement with previous work on the fractionation of ironstone derived soils from 385 Lincolnshire [29]. 386

*Chromium* (Fig. S3, supplementary information) – Is only associated with the two physico –
 chemical components with the lowest mobility (Fe.Al and Fe). The two bioaccessibility
 methods agree and show they are probably accessing the same components as the CISED
 test.

391 *Copper* (Fig. S4, supplementary information) – Like Pb, the majority of the Cu is held in the

392 Mn.Al, Fe.Al.Si and Mn.Fe components in the soil. The two bioaccessibility methods agree

- and the bioaccessible fraction is mostly coming from the Mn.Al component with some
- addition dissolution of the Fe.Al.Si component.

*Nickel* (Fig. S5, supplementary information) - The majority of the Ni is associated with the
 Mn.Al and the Fe.Al components. The two bioaccessibility methods agree and the
 bioaccessible fraction is mostly coming from the Mn.Al component.

*Zinc* (Fig. S6, supplementary information) – The majority of the Zn is associated with the
Al.Si, Mn.Al and the Fe.Al components. The two bioaccessibility methods agree and the
bioaccessible fraction is mostly coming from the Al.Si and Mn.Al components.

In general, the UBM gastric bioaccessible fraction for most of the metals studied comes from the more mobile, probably fine grained, Mn and Fe oxide fractions in the BGS102 soil with reasonable agreement between the bioaccessible fraction and the sum of the CISED fractions associated with these mid-range mobility fractions.

In the quest of validating new physiologically-based extraction tests, a bioaccessibility guidance soil (BGS 102) has been used in this work to demonstrate that a dynamic UBM extraction procedure for in-vitro gastric phase bioaccessibility gives comparable results to a batch mode bioaccessibility test that has been validated against an animal model. In addition the CISED sequential extraction test provides information on the fractionation of metals in the soil and how this relates to the oral bioaccessible fraction.

The results for the dynamic extraction suggest that it could be used to produce an automated UBM testing standard procedure that would be more efficient and expedite than the batch mode test, and offer relevant insights into the leaching kinetics and the occurrence of potential metal re-adsorption phenomenon.

The additional information on the fractionation of the elements in BGS 102 soil should provide useful data for researchers who wish to use the soil as a reference in contaminated land research.

418

#### 419 **Note**

420 The authors declare no competing financial interest.

421

#### 422 Acknowledgement

423 Manuel Miró and María Rosende acknowledges financial support from the Spanish Ministry of

424 Economy and Competitiveness (MINECO) through projects CTM2014-56628-C3-3-R and CTM2014

425 -61553-EXP.

Table 1. Mean values for study elements obtained from the UBM batch mode
bioaccessibility test in the gastric compartment on the BGS 102 bioaccessibility
guidance soil

Element	Number of	Mean	S.D.	%RSD
	Measurements (n)	$(mg kg^{-1})$		
As	89	3.9	0.4	9
Cd	72	0.24	0.03	11
Cu	68	8.6	1.0	12
Cr	74	36.7	2.5	7
Ni	72	13.0	1.3	10
Pb	75	15.3	3.0	19
Zn	71	41.3	4.4	11

# 434Table 2. Extraction reagents for the CISED extraction test

Extraction order	Extractant concentration	Volume of extractant (ml)	No of repeat extractions	Volume of 30vol H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> (ml)
1-3	Deionized water	10	3	0
4-6	0.01M	10	3	0
5-9	0.05M	10	3	0
10-12	0.1M	9.75	3	0.25
13-15	0.5M	9.50	3	0.50
16-18	1.0M	9.25	3	0.75
19-21	5.0M	9.00	3	1.00

(1) Denys, S.; Caboche, J.; Tack, K.; Rychen, G.; Wragg, J.; Cave, M.; Jondreville, C.; Feidt, C. In Vivo Validation of the Unified BARGE Method to Assess the Bioaccessibility of Arsenic, Antimony, Cadmium, and Lead in Soils. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 6252-6260.

(2) Li, J.; Li, K.; Cave, M.; Li, H.-B.; Ma, L.-Q. Lead bioaccessibility in 12 contaminated soils from China: Correlation to lead relative bioavailability and lead in different fractions. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* **2015**, *295*, 55-62.

(3) Rosende, M.; Miró, M. Recent trends in automatic dynamic leaching tests for assessment of bioaccessible forms of trace elements in solid substrates. *TrAC-Trends Anal. Chem.* **2013**, *45*, 67-78.

(4) Zhang, Y.-L.; Miró, M.; Kolev, S.D. Hybrid Flow System for Automatic Dynamic Fractionation and Speciation of Inorganic Arsenic in Environmental Solids. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2015**, *49*, 2733-2740.

(5) Boonjob, W.; Zevenhoven, M.; Ek, P.; Hupa, M.; Ivaska, A.; Miró, M. Automatic dynamic chemical fractionation method with detection by plasma spectrometry for advanced characterization of solid biofuels. *J. Anal. At. Spectrom.* **2012**, *27*, 841-849.

(6) Fedotov, P.S.; Savonina, E.Y.; Wennrich, R.; Spivakov, B.Ya. A hyphenated flowthrough analytical system for the study of the mobility and fractionation of trace and major elements in environmental solid samples. *Analyst* **2006**, *131*, 509-515.

(7) Limbeck, A.; Wagner, C.; Lendl, B.; Mukhtar, A. Determination of water soluble trace metals in airborne particulate matter using a dynamic extraction procedure with on-line inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectrometric detection. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2012**, *750*, 111-119.

(8) Boonjob, W.; Miró, M.; Cerdà, V. Multiple stirred-flow chamber assembly for simultaneous automatic fractionation of trace elements in fly ash samples using a multisyringe-based flow system. *Anal. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 7319-7326.

(9) Leufroy, A.; Noël, L.; Beauchemin, D.; Guérin, T. Bioaccessibility of total arsenic and arsenic species in seafood as determined by a continuous online leaching method. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2012**,*402*, 2849-2859.

(10) Miró, M.; Hansen, E.H.; Chomchoei, R.; Frenzel, W. Dynamic flow-through approaches for metal fractionation in environmentally relevant solid samples. *TrAC-Trends Anal. Chem.*2005, 24, 759-771.

(11) Fedotov, P.S.; Miró, M. Fractionation and mobility of trace elements in soils and sediments, In: Violante, A.; Huang, P.M.; Gadd, G.M. (Eds), *Biophysico-Chemical Processes of Heavy Metals and Metalloids in Soil Environments*; John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: Hoboken, NJ, USA, 2008, pp. 467–520.

(12) Lamsal, R.P.; Beauchemin, D. Estimation of the bio-accessible fraction of Cr, As, Cd and Pb in locally available bread using on-line continuous leaching method coupled to inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2015**,867, 9-17.

(13) Rosende, M.; Magalhaes, L.M.; Segundo, M.A.; Miró, M. Assessing oral bioaccessibility of trace elements in soils under worst-case scenarios by automated in-line dynamic extraction as a front end to inductively coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2014**,842, 1-10.

(14) Wragg, J.; Cave, M.; Taylor, H.; Basta, N.; Brandon, E.; Casteel, S.; Denys, S.; Gron,
C.; Oomen, A.; Reimer, K.; Tack, K.; Van de Wiele, T. Interlaboratory Trial of a Unified
Bioaccessibility Procedure. British Geological Survey, OR/07/027, 2009. http://
www.bgs.ac.uk/barge/home.html. (last accessed May 1, 2016).

(15) Hamilton, E. M.; Barlow, T. S.; Gowing, C. J. B.; Watts, M. J. Bioaccessibility performance data for fifty-seven elements in guidance material BGS 102. *Microchem J.* 2015, *123*, 131-138.

(16) Cave, M. R.; Milodowski, A. E.; Friel, E. N. Evaluation of a method for Identification of Host Physico-chemical Phases for Trace Metals and Measurement of their Solid-Phase Partitioning in Soil Samples by Nitric Acid Extraction and Chemometric Mixture Resolution. *Geochemistry: Exploration, Environment, Analysis* **2004**, *4*, 71-86.

(17) Wragg, J.; Cave, M. Assessment of a geochemical extraction procedure to determine the solid phase fractionation and bioaccessibility of potentially harmful elements in soils: A case study using the NIST 2710 reference soil. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2012**, 722, 43-54.

(18) Cave, M.; Wragg, J.; Gowing, C.; Gardner, A. Measuring the solid-phase fractionation of lead in urban and rural soils using a combination of geochemical survey data and chemical extractions. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2015**, *37*, 779-790.

(19) Cave, M. The use of self modelling mixture resolution methods for the interpretation of geochemical data sets IR/08/035; British Geological Survey: UK, 2008.

(20) BARGE Bioaccessibility Research Group of Europe.

http://www.bgs.ac.uk/barge/home.html (last accessed date, May 1, 2016)

(21) Shiowatana, J.; Tantidanai, N.; Nookabkaew, S.; Nacapricha, D. A flow system for the determination of metal speciation in soil by sequential extraction. *Environ. Int.* **2001**, *26*, 381–387.

(22) Cocovi-Solberg, D.J.; Miró, M. CocoSoft: educational software for automation in the analytical chemistry laboratory. *Anal Bioanal. Chem.* **2015**, *407*, 6227-6233.

(23) Rosende, M.; Miró, M.; Segundo, M.A.; Lima, J.L.F.C.; Cerdà, V. Highly integrated flow assembly for automated dynamic extraction and determination of readily bioaccessible chromium (VI) in soils exploiting carbon nanoparticle-based solid-phase extraction. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2011**, *400*, 2217–2227.

(24) Rosende, M.; Miró, M.; Cerdà, V. Fluidized-bed column method for automatic dynamic extraction and determination of trace element bioaccessibility in highly heterogeneous solid wastes. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **2010**,*658*, 41–48.

(25) ISO/TS 17924:2007, Soil quality-Assessment of human exposure from ingestion of soil and soil material- Guidance on the application and selection of physiologically based extraction methods for the estimation of the human bioaccessibility/bioavailability of metals in soil. Geneva: Switzerland, 2007.

(26) Survey, B. G. *Certificate of Analysis BGS Guidance Material 102 Ironstone Soil*; IR/09/006; British Geological Survey: UK, 2009.

(27) Clark, J. R., Enzyme-Induced Leaching of B-Horizon Soils for Mineral Exploration in Areas of Glacial Overburden. *Tran. Inst. Min. Metall. Sect. B-App. Earth Sci.* 1993, 102, B19-B29.

(28) Cornell, R. M.; Schwertmann, U. *The Iron Oxides - Structure Properties, Reactions, occurences and Uses.* VCH Publishers: Weinheim, 1996.

(29) Palumbo-Roe, B.; Cave, M. R.; Klinck, B. A.; Wragg, J.; Taylor, H.; O'Donnell, K.;

Shaw, R. A. Bioaccessibility of arsenic in soils developed over Jurassic ironstones in eastern England. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2005**, *27*, 121-130.

# New insights into the reliability of automatic dynamic methods for oral bioaccessibility testing: A case study for BGS102 soil

## Mark R. Cave<sup>a</sup>, María Rosende<sup>b</sup>, Ian Mounteney<sup>a</sup>, Amanda Gardner<sup>a</sup>, Manuel Miró<sup>b</sup>

a) British Geological Survey, Keyworth, Nottingham NG12 5GG, United Kingdom.
b)FI-TRACE group, Department of Chemistry, University of the Balearic Islands, Carretera de Valldemossa km 7.5, 07122 Palma de Mallorca, Illes Balears, Spain

Supplementary Information

No of figures 6

No. of tables 2

Table S1 ICP operating conditions for the Perkin Elmer Optima 7300DV used for the sequential extraction analysis

Parameter	Standard Operating Conditions	
Power	1300 W	
Plasma gas flow	15 min <sup>-1</sup>	
Auxiliary gas flow	$0.21 \mathrm{min}^{-1}$	
Nebuliser gas flow	0.65 min <sup>-1</sup>	
Integration time	3 measurements of up to 10 s each	
Sample uptake rate	1 ml min <sup>-1</sup>	
Rinse time	Minimum 30 s (sample type dependant)	
Uptake delay	60 s	
Stabilisation delay	10 s	
View distance	15 mm	

Table S2 ICP emission wavelengths used for the sequential extraction analysis using the Perkin Elmer Optima 7300DV instrument

Element	Wavelength	Atom line	Viewing
	nm	(I)/Ion line	orientation
		(II)	
Al	396.153	Ι	Axial
As	188.979	Ι	Axial
В	249.772	Ι	Radial
Ba	455.403	II	Axial
Ca	315.887	II	Radial
Cd	228.802	Ι	Axial
Со	228.616	II	Axial
Cr	205.560	II	Axial
Cu	324.752	Ι	Axial
Fe	238.204	II	Radial
K	766.490	Ι	Radial
Li	460.286	Ι	Axial
Li	670.783	Ι	Axial
Mg	279.077	II	Radial
Mn	257.610	II	Radial
Мо	203.845	II	Axial
Na	330.237	Ι	Radial
Ni	231.604	II	Axial
Р	177.434	Ι	Axial
Pb	220.353	II	Axial
S	181.975	Ι	Radial
Se	196.026	Ι	Axial
Si	251.611	Ι	Radial
Sr	421.552	II	Radial
V	292.402	II	Axial
Zn	213.857	II	Radial



Figure S1 Leachate pH profiles of three replicate measurements of the BGS 102 soil obtained by exploiting in-line leaching of TE in the UBM gastric phase



Figure S2 Cumulative Extraction Curve for As from the CISED identified physico-chemical fractions



Figure S3 Cumulative Extraction Curve for Cr from the CISED identified physico-chemical fractions



Figure S4 Cumulative Extraction Curve for Cu from the CISED identified physico-chemical fractions

Cr



Figure S5 Cumulative Extraction Curve for Ni from the CISED identified physico-chemical fractions



Figure S6 Cumulative Extraction Curve for Zn from the CISED identified physico-chemical fractions

Zn

Ni