



Bonati, Maurizio and Jacqz-Aigrain, Evelyne and Choonara, Imti (2016) Licensed medicines, off-label use or evidence based: which is most important? Archives of Disease in Childhood . ISSN 1468-2044

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/37180/1/Licensed%20 medicines%20%28 unmarked%20 copy%29.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the University of Nottingham End User licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/end user agreement.pdf

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk

Licensed medicines, off-label use or evidence based. Which is most important?

Maurizio Bonati¹, Evelyne Jacqz-Aigrain², Imti Choonara³

Laboratory for Mother and Child Health, Department of Public Health
 IRCCS - Istituto di Ricerche Farmacologiche Mario Negri, Milan, Italy
 Dept of Paediatric Pharmacology and Pharmacogenetics, CIC1426 Inserm / APHP, University Paris Diderot , Robert Debre Hospital, Paris, France

³ Academic Division of Child Health, University of Nottingham, Derbyshire Children's Hospital, Derby, UK

Medicines are licensed for use in humans by regulatory authorities. The concept of licensing is that it helps ensure that medicines are safe, effective and of an adequate quality for regular use. [1] Licensing was introduced due to concerns about safety not to ensure that medicines are effective. It was a response to specific examples of drug toxicity, notably the grey baby syndrome in neonates following the use of the antibiotic chloramphenicol and phocomelia in the developing foetus following ingestion of thalidomide by pregnant women. [2] Within the UK, the Medicines Act was passed in 1968. The licensing of medicines is both a control on products of public interest as well as an authorisation to sell for pharmaceutical companies. Pharmaceutical companies are only allowed to promote licensed medicines. Prescribers, however, are free to prescribe the most appropriate medicine for their patient. This should be based on the best available scientific evidence. Medicines can be licensed (authorised) by either national regulatory agencies (national route) or the European Medicines Agency (centralised route). It is only once they are licensed, that they can be marketed and made available to patients. [1]

Off-label use

In the late 90's, there were several studies documenting the extent of off-label and unlicensed use of medicines in paediatric in-patients. [3] These studies highlighted that many medicines used in paediatric patients are off-label, i.e. used in a manner different to that recommended in the product license. Off-label use may relate to use at a different dose or frequency, by a different route, or in a different age group for that which is authorised. Additionally, medicines may also be used for different indications to those contained within the product license. Following the initial studies

within the UK, there were studies involving different European countries and subsequently countries outside of Europe. [3] These studies all showed that off-label drug use was common in paediatric patients both in hospital and in the community. This off-label use can increase the possibility of an adverse drug reaction occurring [2].

In response to the widespread concern regarding the extensive off-label use of medicines in the paediatric population, legislation was passed both in Europe and North America to encourage pharmaceutical companies to study clinically required medications within the paediatric population.

[4] Since this legislation was introduced, numerous studies have continued to be performed in different countries around the world documenting off-label drug use. Off label drug use in paediatric patients, however, is already well documented. Further studies of off label drug prevalence utilisation are not currently needed, whereas we do need appropriate comparative studies evaluating the safety and efficacy of off-label vs on-label drugs.

Evidence based prescribing

One of the major concerns regarding off-label use, in particular in paediatric patients, was not that medicines were unauthorised but rather there was an insufficient evidence base for the use of many medicines in children. It was the lack of an evidence base that most concerned health professionals specifically interested in this problem. [5] Evidence based medicine had become accepted with adult patients and the concern was that paediatric patients were being ignored. The evidence based practice of prescribing medicines appropriately is increasingly being recognised as a major issue, not only in low and lower-middle income countries but also in upper-middle and high income countries.

The importance of evidence based medicine is highlighted by the paper by De Bruyne, which looks at first generation antihistamines. [6] They highlight that although these medicines are licensed, there is a large variability in labelled indications and licensing ages in different countries in Europe. This raises questions concerning the regulatory process. The same available data has been evaluated differently by different countries. Additionally, the evidence basis for the use of medicines in these indications is questionable. The first generation antihistamines were licensed a long time ago. One would anticipate that the requirements for licensing are more thorough now than previously. However, it is important to recognise however that for a medicine to be licensed, one only has to show that it is more effective than placebo. The lack of a requirement for studies comparing the new drug to established treatment has been raised as a major weakness of the European regulatory process. [1] It has been suggested that evaluating "added therapeutic value" should be added to the current criteria for drug evaluation of quality, efficacy and safety. [1]

The main message of the paper is that it is the evidence base for the use of medicine for a specific disease that is the most important issue. Knowledge must guide the medical decisions and not the marketing status (licence). Researchers should stop studying the epidemiology of off-label drug use in children. Their independent research would have a far greater impact if they studied the evidence basis for many current practices in prescribing and also whether medicines are prescribed rationally

or not. For their part, medicines agencies must put patients' and public health services' interest first with more determination. [1]

Statement

The Corresponding Author has the right to grant on behalf of all authors and does grant on behalf of all authors, an exclusive license (or non-exclusive for government employees) on a worldwide basis to the BMJ Group and co-owners or contracting owning societies (where published by the BMJ Group on their behalf), and its Licensees to permit this article (if accepted) to be published in Archives of Disease in Childhood and any other BMJ Group products and to exploit all subsidiary rights, as set out in our license.

References

- 1. Garattini S. The European Medicines Agency is still too close to industry. BMJ 2016;353:i2412 doi:10.1136/bmj.i2412
- 2. Sammons HM, Choonara I. Learning lessons from adverse drug reactions in children. Children 2016. doi:10.3390/children3010001.
- 3. Pandolfini C, Bonati M. A literature review on off-label drug use in children. Eur J Pediatr 2005; 164: 552-558.
- 4. Choonara I. Regulation of drugs for children in Europe. BMJ 2007; 335: 1221-1222.
- 5. Bua J, L'Erario I, Barbi E, Marchetti F. When off-label is a good practice: the example of paracetamol and salbutamol. Arch Dis Child. 2008;93:546-7
- 6. De Bruyne P, Christiaens T, Boussery K, Mehuys E, Van Winckel M. Are antihistamines effective in children? A review of evidence. Arch Dis Child 2016. doi:10.1136/archdischild-2015-310416.