



Data Paper

A systematic florula of a disturbed urban habitat: Pavements of Sheffield, England

Oliver L. Pescott [‡][‡] Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, United KingdomCorresponding author: Oliver L. Pescott (olipes@ceh.ac.uk)

Academic editor: Pavel Stoev

Received: 27 Sep 2016 | Accepted: 21 Oct 2016 | Published: 28 Oct 2016

Citation: Pescott O (2016) A systematic florula of a disturbed urban habitat: Pavements of Sheffield, England.

Biodiversity Data Journal 4: e10658. <https://doi.org/10.3897/BDJ.4.e10658>

Abstract

Background

Human settlements are of increasing interest to ecologists, a fact demonstrated by the recent cluster of book-length treatments of the topic (Forman 2008, McDonnell et al. 2009, Gaston 2010, Niemelä et al. 2011, Wilson 2011, Forman 2014). The natural world as a fascinating feature of towns and cities has a much longer history (e.g. Fitter 1945), and has also played a strong part in local biological conservation in some countries over the late 20th Century (Goode 2014). Despite much existing information on urban plant and animal communities resulting from these trends, very little, easily accessible, systematic data on urban biodiversity is currently available.

New information

Few systematic, randomised surveys at fine spatial grain exist for urban habitats, and even fewer of these surveys are in the public domain. This study was designed as a systematic florula (i.e. a small flora) of a relatively discrete urban habitat in order to provide a baseline that would enable robust insights into future environmental change. In addition, the dataset is likely to be useful for comparative studies of plant traits, particularly those of highly disturbed habitats (Williams et al. 2009). The survey is an occupancy study of the vascular

plants of pavements (i.e. sidewalks) within 16 500 x 500 m (0.25 km²) urban grid cells, stratified by quadrant at the scale of the focal city (Sheffield, England) in order to provide more even coverage. The final dataset comprises 862 records of 183 taxa.

Keywords

urban, vascular plants, systematic survey, randomised survey, Sheffield, England, urban ecology, pavement, sidewalk, urban flora, monitoring, baseline study, disturbance

Introduction

Williams et al. (2009) argue that a focus on the floras of urban environments is justified for several reasons: cities are increasingly the main point of contact between humans and the natural world; the inhabitants of cities depend on vegetation to provide numerous ecosystem services, including cultural ones; and urban areas contribute to the conservation of species. The conceptual framework of Williams et al. (2009) details four areas where research on urban floras would benefit from comparative studies; such studies are best enabled by easily accessible and well-documented data.

A considerable amount of information on urban floras already exists, as shown in Britain and Ireland by the number of published Floras of towns and cities (e.g. Shaw 1988, Futter and Raynes 1989, Beesley and Wilde 1997, Dickson et al. 2000, Whild et al. 2011) or of regions which include large conurbations (Burton 1983, Trueman et al. 2013); this is also true on the European continent (e.g. Brodtbeck et al. 1997, Brodtbeck et al. 1999, Landolt 2001, Purro and Kozłowski 2003), and no doubt elsewhere (see the city floras analysed by Duncan et al. 2011). In Britain at least, these publications have often collated species occurrence data from largely volunteer biological recorders (Pocock et al. 2015), and as such they are typically a mix of so-called 'opportunistic' data, and data that are the result of more structured recording protocols—'checklist' recording of grid cells for example (Pescott et al. 2015). Whilst species occurrence data collected by such projects have often contributed to larger datasets, such as the *New Atlas of the British and Irish Flora* (Preston et al. 2002), there are very few instances of systematic recording schemes of urban environments, with the structure of the recorded data kept intact, being made available outside of regional or national collations.

Although the author is aware of well-documented studies of particular urban habitats (see the bibliography of Shaw 1988 for example), including streets (Chater et al. 2000) and walls (Shimwell 2009), these would require redigitisation efforts from printed lists in order to mobilise collected data for use in comparative ecological studies. Other datasets, apparently in more user-friendly forms, do not appear to be in the public domain (e.g. Kent et al. 1999, Thompson and McCarthy 2008). It is hoped that the data presented here are therefore useful to other ecologists engaged in studies of urban plants.

General description

Purpose: To record the vascular flora of a disturbed habitat, in an urban setting, within a systematic, randomised framework.

Additional information: The resulting species records presented in this data paper have also been shared with the Sorby Natural History Society (www.sorby.org.uk), and the Botanical Society of Britain and Ireland (BSBI) (www.bsbi.org) as 1 km² occupancy data. Through inclusion in the database of the BSBI, these species occurrence records will ultimately form part of a BSBI dataset on the UK National Biodiversity Network (www.nbn.org.uk), which itself is a node of GBIF (www.gbif.org). Note, however, that the species occurrence data available through this route will not be attached to survey metadata essential for their interpretation and use as an individual systematically recorded dataset (i.e. disaggregation would be difficult in the absence of prior knowledge of the protocol of the project), hence its presentation in full here.

Sampling methods

Study extent: -1.54360021785, -1.33914691539, 53.3205532457, 53.4364503199 (Long. min, Long. max, Lat. min, Lat. max). See also (**Suppl. material 1**)

Sampling description: All 1 km² grid cells of the British National grid (OSGB 1936 EPSG:27700) with at least 25% 'built-up' land cover in the Centre for Ecology & Hydrology Land Cover Map 2000 (Fuller et al. 2002) in the Sheffield area were divided into four quadrants (north-east; south-east; south-west; north-west) centred on the following point: Lat.: 53.378493; Long.: -1.430239 (British National grid reference: 438000, 387000); Smith (2010) figures the area considered. Within these quadrants, all urban 1 km² cells were numbered and a pocket calculator random number generator function was used to select five for survey; in the final project, four 1 km² cells in the north-east quadrant of the conurbation, which fell into the neighbouring city of Rotherham, were excluded from further consideration; 16 cells were thus sampled in total.

Within a selected 1 km² urban cell, all four 500 x 500 m (0.25 km²) sub-cells with at least 50% built-up area were numbered, with a single sub-cell being selected for survey using the random number method described above. In the field, the 16 selected 500 x 500 m sub-cells (Fig. 1) were surveyed for 1.5 h or until every publicly accessible street had been walked on both sides, whichever was the longer. Plants were only recorded if they occurred in the pavement (sidewalk) habitat; this included plants which were rooted at the edges of the paved area, for example, those immediately abutting walls, grass strips, kerbstones, pavement furniture, utility installations etc. In a small number of cases plant shoots were observed growing on the pavement side of a boundary wall, but which were still rooted in a garden. Such occurrences were recorded, given that they were maintaining a presence in the focal habitat; however, they are marked as 'Garden escapes' in the comments field of the 'Plant occupancy' dataset described below (**Data resources**).




Figure 1.

The 16 500 x 500 m sub-cells sampled in Sheffield during this urban recording project. The shapefile is available in (Suppl. material 1).

Quality control: All plants identified in this study were identified by the author. Plant specimens which could not be named in the field were keyed using the current standard Flora for Britain and Ireland (Stace 2010) or a vegetative key (Poland and Clement 2009). In a very small number of cases where the available material was not sufficient for certain identification, specimens were recorded to genus level (also see **Temporal coverage** below).

Geographic coverage

Description: The city of Sheffield, England.

Coordinates: 53.3205532457 and 53.4364503199 Latitude; -1.54360021785 and -1.33914691539 Longitude.

Taxonomic coverage

Description: This study includes all vascular plants found growing in target habitat; 183 taxa were recorded in total. See **Sampling methods** above for more information on habitat recording criteria.

Temporal coverage

Data range: 2012 6 23 - 2014 7 11.

Notes: This survey was intended as a cross-sectional 'snapshot' of the habitat of interest, therefore the surveys were constrained to the period of late June and early July in all three years of the survey. Although this means that a small number of late-flowering species (e.g. *Conyza* spp.) were only identified to genus level (where vegetative identification was assessed to be uncertain), the consistency in survey period should have reduced issues with species detectability due to phenology within this study (multiple repeat visits to sub-cells at different times of year were outside of the resources of this unfunded project). Future re-surveys of this habitat in Sheffield should take this temporal restriction into account, particularly if phenological shifts due to climatic changes have shifted the corresponding period of growth to different calendar dates.

Usage rights

Use license: Open Data Commons Attribution License

Data resources

Data package title: A systematic flora of a disturbed urban habitat, Sheffield, England: Plant occupancy data

Resource link: <https://catalogue.ceh.ac.uk/documents/705d6e39-9b04-497d-b8e8-4f617f3a6477>

Alternative identifiers: 705d6e39-9b04-497d-b8e8-4f617f3a6477

Number of data sets: 1

Data set name: Plant_occupancy_data

Character set: UTF-8

Download URL: <https://catalogue.ceh.ac.uk/download?fileIdentifier=705d6e39-9b04-497d-b8e8-4f617f3a6477>

Data format: CSV

Data format version: 1.0

Description: Vascular plant occupancy data. Taxonomy and nomenclature follow Stace 2010, except for *Sagina apetala sensu lato* (= *Sagina apetala sensu stricto* and *Sagina filicaulis* of Stace 2010) and *Polygonum aviculare* agg. (= *Polygonum aviculare sensu stricto* and *Polygonum arenastrum* of Stace 2010).

| Column label | Column description |
|------------------|---|
| recordedName | Species or species aggregate as recorded in the field. |
| nameAuthor | Authority for name, where appropriate. |
| date | date (DD/MM/YYYY) |
| 1kmLocation | Lettered British National grid reference for sampled 1 km square. |
| 1kmQuadrant | Quadrant (i.e. 500 x 500 m sub-cell) of 1 km square sampled. |
| centroidEasting | Easting component of centroid of 500 x 500 m sampled sub-cell. |
| centroidNorthing | Northing component of centroid of 500 x 500 m sampled sub-cell. |
| buffer (m) | Distance (in metres) by which centroids should be buffered and squared off in order to recreate the sampled areas. See Suppl. material 1. for an ESRI Shapefile of these areas. |
| comment | Comments relating to species occurrence observations made in the field. |

Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the support of the Sorby Natural History Society (www.sorby.org.uk). Their efforts to promote knowledge of biodiversity in the Sheffield region supported my interest in plant diversity during my time in the city. The writing of this data paper was supported by the Biological Records Centre, CEH Wallingford, which receives support from the Joint Nature Conservation Committee and the Natural Environment Research Council (via National Capability funding to the Centre for Ecology & Hydrology, project NEC04932).

Author contributions

OLP designed the study, conducted the surveys, collated the data, and wrote the paper.

References

- Beesley S, Wilde (1997) Urban flora of Belfast. Institute of Urban Studies, Belfast, 206 pp.
- Brodtbeck T, Zemp M, Frei M, Kienzle U, Knecht D (1997) Flora von Basel und Umgebung. 1. Mitteil Naturforsch Ges Basel, Liestal, 550 pp. [In German].
- Brodtbeck T, Zemp M, Frei M, Kienzle U, Knecht D (1999) Flora von Basel und Umgebung. 2. Mitteil Naturforsch Ges Basel, Liestal, 500 pp. [In German].
- Burton RM (1983) Flora of the London area. London Natural History Society, London, 225 pp.
- Chater AO, Oswald PH, Preston CD (2000) Street floras in Cambridge and Aberystwyth. Nature in Cambridgeshire 42: 3-26.

- Dickson JH, Macpherson P, Watson KJ, Hammerton D, Jardine WG, Jarvis MC (2000) *The Changing Flora of Glasgow*. Edinburgh University Press, Edinburgh, 384 pp.
- Duncan R, Clemants S, Corlett R, Hahs A, McCarthy M, McDonnell M, Schwartz M, Thompson K, Vesik P, Williams NG (2011) Plant traits and extinction in urban areas: a meta-analysis of 11 cities. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 20 (0): 509-519. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00633.x>
- Fitter RS (1945) *London's Natural History*. Collins, London, 282 pp.
- Forman RT (2008) *Urban Regions: Ecology and Planning Beyond the City*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 478 pp.
- Forman RT (2014) *Urban Ecology: Science of Cities*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 476 pp.
- Fuller RM, Smith GM, Sanderson JM, Hill RA, Thomson AG (2002) The UK Land Cover Map 2000: Construction of a Parcel-Based Vector Map from Satellite Images. *Cartographic Journal*, The 39: 15-25. <https://doi.org/10.1179/000870402787288009>
- Futter K, Raynes P (1989) *The Flora of Derby*. K. Futter and P. Raynes, Derby, 62 pp.
- Gaston K (Ed.) (2010) *Urban Ecology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 332 pp.
- Goode D (2014) *Nature in Towns and Cities*. William Collins, London, 464 pp.
- Kent M, Stevens RA, Zhang L (1999) Urban plant ecology patterns and processes: a case study of the flora of the City of Plymouth, Devon, U.K. *Journal of Biogeography* 26 (6): 1281-1298. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2699.1999.00350.x>
- Landolt E (2001) *Flora der Stadt Zürich (1984–1998)*. Birkhäuser, Berlin, 1432 pp. [In German].
- McDonnell M, Hahs A, Breuste J (Eds) (2009) *Ecology of Cities and Towns: A Comparative Approach*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 746 pp.
- Niemelä J, Breuste J, Guntenspergen G, McIntyre N, Elmquist T, James P (Eds) (2011) *Urban Ecology: Patterns, Processes, and Applications*. OUP, Oxford, 392 pp.
- Pescott O, Walker K, Pocock MO, Jitlal M, Outhwaite C, Cheffings C, Harris F, Roy D (2015) Ecological monitoring with citizen science: the design and implementation of schemes for recording plants in Britain and Ireland. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 115 (0): 505-521. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bj.12581>
- Pocock MO, Roy H, Preston C, Roy D (2015) The Biological Records Centre: a pioneer of citizen science. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 115 (0): 475-493. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bj.12548>
- Poland J, Clement EJ (2009) *The Vegetative Key to the British Flora*. John Poland & BSBI, Southampton, 526 pp. [ISBN 978-0-9560144-0-5]
- Preston CD, Pearman DA, Dines TD (Eds) (2002) *New Atlas of the British and Irish Flora*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 910 pp.
- Purro C, Kozłowski G (2003) *Flore de la Ville de Fribourg*. Editions Universitaires, Fribourg, 608 pp. [In French].
- Shaw M (Ed.) (1988) *A Flora of the Sheffield Area*. Sorby Natural History Society, Sheffield, 308 pp.
- Shimwell DW (2009) Studies in the floristic diversity of Durham walls, 1958–2008. *Watsonia* 27: 323-338.
- Smith H (2010) Value for birds of the green corridors associated with Rivers Don and Rother and their tributaries in the Sheffield Area. *Sorby Record* 46: 2-21.
- Stace C (2010) *New Flora of the British Isles*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1232 pp.

- Thompson K, McCarthy M (2008) Traits of British alien and native urban plants. *Journal of Ecology* 96 (5): 853-859. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01383.x>
- Trueman I, Poulton M, Reade P (2013) *Flora of Birmingham and the Black Country*. Pisces Publications, Berkshire, UK, 496 pp.
- Whild SJ, Godfrey M, Lockton AJ (2011) *A Flora of Shrewsbury*. The University of Birmingham & the Shropshire Botanical Society, Shrewsbury, 28 pp.
- Williams NS, Schwartz M, Vesik P, McCarthy M, Hahs A, Clemants S, Corlett R, Duncan R, Norton B, Thompson K (2009) A conceptual framework for predicting the effects of urban environments on floras. *Journal of Ecology* 97 (1): 4-9. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2745.2008.01460.x>
- Wilson W (2011) *Constructed Climates: A Primer on Urban Environments*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 288 pp.

Supplementary material

Suppl. material 1: Surveyed grid cell data

Authors: O.L. Pescott

Data type: ESRI Shapefile

Brief description: An ESRI Shapefile (EPSG: 27700) containing spatial polygons delimiting the sampled areas (500 x 500 m sub-cells; Fig. 1) of this study. Attribute table columns (title; contents) are: 1km_square (1 km² cell reference according to the lettered format of the British National grid); Quadrant (compass-point quadrant, i.e. 500 x 500 m sub-cell sampled); Location (textual description of geographic location); Ref (reference to this data paper).

Filename: gridcells.zip - [Download file](#) (1.80 kb)