



Article (refereed) - postprint

Crawford, Sarah E.; Lofts, Stephen; Liber, Karsten. 2017. **The role of sediment properties and solution pH in the adsorption of uranium(VI) to freshwater sediments**.

© 2016 Elsevier Ltd. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license <u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/</u>

This version available <u>http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/515115/</u>

NERC has developed NORA to enable users to access research outputs wholly or partially funded by NERC. Copyright and other rights for material on this site are retained by the rights owners. Users should read the terms and conditions of use of this material at http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/policies.html#access

NOTICE: this is the author's version of a work that was accepted for publication in *Environmental Pollution*. Changes resulting from the publishing process, such as peer review, editing, corrections, structural formatting, and other quality control mechanisms may not be reflected in this document. Changes may have been made to this work since it was submitted for publication. A definitive version was subsequently published in *Environmental Pollution* (2017), 220 (B). 873-881. <u>10.1016/j.envpol.2016.10.071</u>

www.elsevier.com/

Contact CEH NORA team at noraceh@ceh.ac.uk

The NERC and CEH trademarks and logos ('the Trademarks') are registered trademarks of NERC in the UK and other countries, and may not be used without the prior written consent of the Trademark owner.

1	The role of sediment properties and solution pH in the adsorption of uranium(VI) to
2	freshwater sediments
3	Sarah E. Crawford ^a , Stephen Lofts ^b , and Karsten Liber ^{a, c, d} *
4	^a Toxicology Centre, University of Saskatchewan, 44 Campus Drive, Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5B3,
5	Canada
6	^b NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancaster, LA1 4AP,
7	United Kingdom
8	^c School of Environment and Sustainability, 117 Science Place, University of Saskatchewan,
9	Saskatoon, SK, S7N 5C8, Canada
10	^a Institute of Loess Plateau, 92 Wucheng Road, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, 030006, P.R.
11	China
12	
13	
14 15	
15	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	*Corresponding author. Toxicology Centre, University of Saskatchewan, 44 Campus Drive,
36	Saskatoon, SK, Canada S7N 5B3.
37	E-mail addresses: Karsten.Liber@usask.ca (K. Liber), Sarah.Crawford@usask.ca (S.E.

38 Crawford).

39 Abstract

40 Uranium (U) can enter aquatic environments from natural and anthropogenic processes, 41 accumulating in sediments to concentrations that could, if bioavailable, adversely affect benthic 42 organisms. To better predict the sorption and mobility of U in aquatic ecosystems, we investigated 43 the sediment-solution partition coefficients (K_d) of U for nine uncontaminated freshwater 44 sediments with a wide range of physicochemical characteristics over an environmentally relevant 45 pH range. Test solutions were reconstituted to mimic water quality conditions and U(VI) 46 concentrations (0.023 to 2.3 mg U/L) found downstream of Canadian U mines. Adsorption of 47 U(VI) to each sediment was greatest at pH 6 and 7, and significantly reduced at pH 8. There were 48 significant differences in pH-dependent sorption among sediments with different physicochemical 49 properties, with sorption increasing up until thresholds of 12% total organic carbon, 37% fine 50 fraction (\leq 50 µm), and 29 g/kg of iron content. The K_d values for U(VI) were predicted using the 51 Windermere Humic Aqueous Model (WHAM) using total U(VI) concentrations, and water and 52 sediment physicochemical parameters. Predicted K_{d} -U values were generally within a factor of 53 three of the observed values. These results improve the understanding and assessment of U 54 sorption to field sediment, and quantify the relationship with sediment properties that may 55 influence the bioavailability and ecological risk of U-contaminated sediments.

56 Keywords: Uranium; sorption; distribution or partition coefficients; sediment; Windermere
57 Humic Aqueous Model, WHAM; speciation.

58 **Capsule:** The sorption of uranium(VI) was significantly influenced by solution pH and 59 physicochemical properties of sediment, and was modeled well with the geochemical speciation 60 model, WHAM7.

62 **1. Introduction**

63 Uranium (U) is an actinide element important in the nuclear fuel cycle, where it is both an initial 64 fuel source and final waste component. Northern Saskatchewan, Canada, is home to some of the richest deposits of U in the world, making Canada the second largest producer (approx. 15%) of 65 U globally (OECD-NEA and IAEA, 2014). Introduction of U into aquatic environments can occur 66 67 in areas with U mining and nuclear processing, as well as in areas with high geological background 68 concentrations of U. In freshwater ecosystems, under oxic conditions, U is predominantly found 69 in the U(VI) state, either complexed to ligands or present as the aqueous hexavalent uranyl ion $(UO_2^{2^+})$, the latter of which has been suggested to be the major species responsible for U toxicity 70 71 in aquatic organisms (CCME, 2011; Markich, 2002; Sylwester et al., 2000). However, the uranyl 72 ion strongly interacts with solid phases, such as suspended solids, sediments and various minerals, 73 which tends to lead to large accumulation of U in depositional sediments downstream of U mine 74 and mill sites, in some cases exceeding 1000 mg U/kg d.w in the sediment (Hart et al., 1986; 75 Neame et al., 1982). Such accumulation of U in sediments can have adverse effects on benthic 76 invertebrates (Alves et al., 2008; Dias et al., 2008; Lagauzère et al., 2009; Liber et al., 2011; 77 Thompson et al., 2005) and as a result the Sediment Quality Guideline (SQGs) that exist (i.e., 78 lowest effect level, probable no-effect concentration) have been set at approximately 100 mg U/kg 79 d.w. (Thompson et al., 2005; Sheppard et al., 2005). The degree of sorption of contaminants to 80 sediments influences the degree to which contaminants may be taken up by organisms (their 81 bioavailability), and subsequently their toxicity (Alves et al., 2008; Smit and Van Gestel, 1998; 82 Van Gestel and Ma, 1990). Thus, quantifying U sorption behaviour is important in understanding 83 the mobility and bioavailability of U in freshwater environments.

84 Uranium, in comparison to most cationic metals, has a relatively complex solid-aqueous chemistry, 85 which depends on a number of factors that influence the partitioning of U between the aqueous 86 and solid phases (Ames et al., 1983; Catalano and Brown Jr, 2005; CCME, 2011; Langmuir, 1978; 87 Markich, 2002; US EPA, 1999). Many ligands, such as phosphate, carbonate, and humic and fulvic 88 substances can form complexes that are readily soluble and mobile in aquatic systems (Cheng et 89 al., 2006; Franke et al., 2000; Schaller et al., 2008). However, transport of U can be limited by 90 strong adsorption onto solid phases. Specifically, a number of studies have demonstrated the 91 importance of single mineral or solid phases, such as montmorillonite and kaolin clay minerals, 92 organic carbon, goethite, and Fe oxides in the sorption of U (Bhattacharyya and Gupta, 2008; 93 Catalano and Brown Jr, 2005; Cheng et al., 2004; Mibus et al., 2007; Morrison et al., 1995; Ren 94 et al., 2010; Sachs and Bernhard, 2008; Zhirong and Shaoqi, 2010). However, the use of pure 95 mineral/solid phases may not appropriately represent more chemically complex field sediments. 96 The number of complexing agents and/or specific types of solid phases present in a system will 97 influence the degree of adsorption. Thus, there is often large variation in the sediment-solution 98 partition (or distribution) coefficients (K_d values) for U reported in the literature, which typically range from <1 to 1×10^6 ml/g under various solid-aqueous conditions (CCME, 2011; US EPA, 99 100 1999). A limited number of studies have investigated the adsorption of U(VI) to field sediment 101 with variable total organic carbon (TOC), fine fraction (clay and silt particle size), iron (Fe) and 102 manganese (Mn) oxides contents, as well for sediments with varying cation exchange capacity 103 (CEC) (Barnett et al., 2000; Dong et al., 2012). Additionally, sorption of U is strongly influenced 104 by pH (Barnett et al., 2000; Markich, 2002), but few studies have investigated the importance of 105 pH in conjunction with physicochemical properties of sediment.

106 In the present study, we investigated the sorption of U(VI) onto nine field sediments in several 107 sorption experiments, as a function of U concentration and solution pH. The sediments were 108 collected from reference sites around U mines in northern Saskatchewan, Canada and exhibited a 109 wide range of common sediment physicochemical properties, such as TOC, particle size, CEC, 110 and Fe contents. Sorption experiments were conducted at three U concentrations and three pHs 111 that are environmentally relevant to areas surround U mining and milling activity in Canada. The 112 specific objectives were to: (i) measure the effects of U concentration and pH on the adsorption of 113 U to sediment, (ii) quantify the adsorption of U onto nine field sediments from northern 114 Saskatchewan representing different physical, chemical, and mineralogical properties, and (iii) 115 model U sorption to field sediments using a computer model (Windermere Humic Aqueous Model) 116 and compare model predictions to experimental observations. Our overall goal was to develop an 117 improved understanding and predictive capability of U sorption behaviour under conditions typical 118 of U mine sites in northern Saskatchewan, in order to better characterize U bioavailability and 119 improve risk assessment for U-contaminated sediments.

- 120 2. Materials and methods
- 121

2.1. Characterization of field sediment

122 The field-collected sediments used in this study were previously characterized and used in 10-d 123 U-spiked sediment bioaccumulation tests (Crawford and Liber, 2016). In brief, sediment was 124 collected from the top 10-cm layer of surficial sediments using an Ekman grab from 18 125 uncontaminated reference sites surrounding U mines in northern Saskatchewan, Canada 126 (Wollaston Lake area, 58°N, 103°W). Nine of these 18 sediments were selected for use in the 127 present study, chosen to represent a wide range in common physicochemical properties (Table S1).

128 Each of the sediment samples were air-dried, sieved through a 2-mm sieve, and thoroughly129 homogenized prior to use and analysis.

130 **2.2.** Test solutions

Stock solutions of U(VI) were prepared from uranyl nitrate hexahydrate ($UO_2(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (Strem Chemicals, Inc., Newburyport, MA, USA) and ultra-pure water (18 M Ω -cm). Test solutions were reconstituted from ultra-pure water with 0.01 M NaNO₃-0.005 M CaSO₄ to mimic water quality conditions (i.e., conductivity, total hardness, alkalinity, and major ions; Table S2) found downstream of Saskatchewan U mining and milling operations (EARMP, 2014; Goulet et al., 2015).

137 **2.3.** Adsorption batch equilibrium experiments

138 Sorption tests were conducted using a batch equilibrium approach following OECD 106 guidelines 139 (OECD, 2000). Tests were conducted at room temperature (~22 °C) in 50-ml polypropylene 140 centrifuge tubes, in duplicate. The tubes were prepared by adding 0.75 g d.w. of sediment and 30 141 ml of test solution (plus an extra 5 ml for water chemistry sampling prior to the test), producing a 142 fixed solid-to-solution ratio (SSR) of 25 g/L. The pH of the suspensions were adjusted daily with 143 0.1 M HNO₃ and/or NaOH (less than 0.05% of the total solution volume) to the desired pH values 144 of 6, 7 and 8 until a stable pH was achieved (maximum up to 10 days). These pH values represent 145 the range of overlying water pH typically found downstream of Saskatchewan U mines (EARMP, 146 2014; Goulet et al., 2015).

Immediately prior to spiking, 5-ml aliquots of centrifuged (4000 g, 5 min), pH-steady suspensions were removed to determine initial conductivity, pH, total hardness, and alkalinity. At test initiation, 100- μ l aliquots of U stock solutions were added to test tubes to achieve initial overlying water concentrations of 0.023, 0.23, and 2.3 mg U/L. These U concentrations are environmentally 151 relevant to areas surrounding Saskatchewan U mines and mills and/or within the range of sub-152 lethal U concentrations found in the overlying water of previous U-spiked sediment tests 153 (Crawford and Liber, 2016; Crawford and Liber, 2015; Environment Canada, 2003; Hynes et al., 154 1987; Muscatello and Liber, 2010). Tests included additional duplicates of (i) negative controls 155 with no added U or sediment to ensure there was no contamination, (ii) sediment controls with 156 each sediment and no added U to determine if natural background U desorbed from sediment, and 157 (iii) U controls with added U but no sediment to ensure spiking accuracy. The sorption experiments 158 were conducted with all nine sediments at three U concentrations for all three pH conditions; 159 except pH 6 treatments, which were only tested at 0.23 mg U/L based on insignificant differences 160 among U concentrations obtained from pH 7 and 8 tests (see Results and Discussion section). 161 Immediately after spiking, dissolved U concentrations were determined from 1-ml aliquots of 162 centrifuged (4000 g, 5 min) and 0.45-µm filtered (polyethersulfone membrane) supernatant. All 163 treatments were rapidly prepared and immediately capped to minimize exchange of CO₂ with the 164 atmosphere. Samples were then gently agitated on a rocking platform shaker for 48 h, which had 165 been established as an adequate time to achieve pseudo-equilibration under our experimental 166 conditions and in previous research (Barnett et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2004; Payne et al., 2004). 167 The samples were removed from the shaker, centrifuged, and 1-ml aliquots of supernatant were 168 filtered for measurement of dissolved U concentrations. Additional aliquots of 10 ml were filtered 169 from the supernatant to quantify dissolved organic carbon (DOC; Shimadzu TOC-V CPN model 170 5000). The remaining suspensions were analyzed immediately for conductivity, pH, total hardness, 171 alkalinity, and major ions.

172 **2.4.** Chemical analysis and calculations

173 Samples for analysis of Fe, Mn, and U were acidified to a pH of ~ 2 (HNO₃) and analyzed by 174 inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS; X-series II spectrometer with 175 PlasmaLab software and collision cell technology, Thermo Electron Ltd., Mississauga, ON, CAN). 176 Certified reference materials (SLRS-5; National Research Council of Canada and 1640e; National 177 Institute of Standards and Technology), blanks, and duplicates were included with all analyses to 178 ensure analytical accuracy and validity. The minimum method detection limits for Fe, Mn, and U 179 were 1.34, 0.68, 0.05 mg/L, respectively, with instrumental and method recoveries of 80-120%. All major ions in solution (i.e., K⁺, Na⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, SO₄²⁻, Cl⁻, PO₄³⁻, and NO₃⁻) were analyzed by 180 181 Ion Chromatography (Dionex ICS-3000 dual Ion Chromatography System, Sunnyvale, CA, USA) 182 following U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Method 300.1 (US EPA, 1997).

183 The sediment-solution partition coefficient (K_d , ml/g) was calculated for U in each sediment, as 184 the ratio of the total concentration of U in the sediment (μ g/g) and in the solution (μ g/ml) at 185 equilibrium (after 48 h) using the equation:

186

$$K_{\rm d} = [(C_0 - C_{\rm e})/C_{\rm e}] V/m$$
 (1)

187 where C_0 is the concentration of U in the initial solution (µg/ml), C_e is the concentration of U in 188 solution at equilibrium (µg/ml), V is the volume of the solution (ml), and *m* is the mass of the 189 sediment (g) (CCME, 2011; OECD, 2000; Pandit et al., 2012).

190

2.5. Statistical analysis and modeling

191 Statistical analyses were performed and plotted with Sigmaplot[®], version 11 (San Jose, CA, USA). 192 All tests were conducted adopting a significance value of $\alpha = 0.05$ after checking for compliance 193 with parametric assumptions of normal distribution (Shapiro-Wilk test) and homogeneous 194 variance (Levene's test). Since K_d values were log-normally distributed, all K_d -U values were log₁₀ 195 transformed and analyzed using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post-hoc tests. If data did not meet the normality and homogeneity of variances assumptions, then a non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis one-way ANOVA on ranks was used, followed by the Dunn's method pairwise multiple comparison post-hoc test. The effects of sediment physicochemical characteristics and pH on K_d -U were analyzed by linear (simple and quadratic) regression. Pearson's product-moment tests were also used to identify correlations among sediment properties. The root mean square error (RMSE) was calculated as a measure of the absolute errors between the model estimates and the observed values.

203 The Windermere Humic Aqueous Model (WHAM7), version 7.0.4 (Lofts and Tipping, 2011; 204 Tipping, 1994) was used to estimate the chemical speciation of U in the experimental aqueous 205 media and predict K_d -U values under our experimental conditions. Speciation of U(VI) for the 206 different field sediments and test conditions was calculated using WHAM7 with the most current, 207 critically reviewed thermodynamic stability constants for U(VI) complexes presented in Table S3. 208 The WHAM7 model includes the Humic Ion-Binding Model VII, a discrete site/electrostatic 209 submodel of cation binding to humic substances (Tipping et al., 2011) and a surface complexation 210 model (Lofts and Tipping, 1998) for ion binding to mineral oxides. These submodels are 211 parameterized for the binding of 46 cations, including U(VI), to humic and fulvic acids and 212 amorphous Fe(III) oxide, optimized using the same value of protonation-dissociation constants 213 and surface site density. The solution complexation and particulate hydrous Fe oxide binding 214 parameters used were those of Lofts et al. (2015), specifically adjusted for U(VI) (Table S3). Free 215 Fe(III) and Al activities were computed assuming them to be in equilibrium with $Fe(III)(OH)_3$ and 216 Al(OH)₃, respectively. Precipitation of Fe(III)(OH)₃, UO₂ and CaUO₄ was allowed to occur if 217 saturation products were exceeded; however, no precipitation of either UO_2 or CaUO₄ was 218 predicted in the present sorption tests.

219 The input variables required for WHAM7 were based on measured physicochemical properties of 220 the test sediments and solutions (Tables S1 and S2; pH, TOC, Fe content, DOC, alkalinity, total 221 hardness, dissolved major ion concentrations, total U concentration in overlying water). Iron(III) 222 oxide contents of sediments were determined from measured Fe content by assuming that all 223 measured sediment Fe was contained in amorphous Fe(III) oxide and that 1 mole of Fe (55.85 g) 224 corresponded to 90 g of oxide (Dzombak and Morel, 1990). Fe oxide contents were further 225 converted to solution concentrations for input into WHAM7 as particulate hydrous Fe oxide by 226 accounting for the sediment concentration (i.e., 25 g/L SSR). Concentrations of TOC were 227 converted to solution concentrations for input into WHAM7 by accounting for the sediment 228 concentration, with the additional assumption that organic matter was comprised of 50% carbon, 229 and consisted of 50% particulate HA and FA. For measured DOC concentrations, complexation 230 was accounted for by assuming that dissolved organic matter (DOM) was comprised of 50% 231 carbon, with 65% of the DOM active with respect to cation binding (represented by FA), and input 232 into WHAM7 as colloidal FA (Tipping, 1998; Tipping and Lofts, 2015). The carbonate system 233 was simulated from measured alkalinity.

- **3. Results and discussion**
- 235

3.1. U(VI) adsorption batch equilibrium experiments

236 3.1.1. Physicochemical parameters of test sediment and water

Sediments used in the sorption tests were collected from northern Saskatchewan, and represented a wide range of TOC (1 to 22%), fine fraction (\leq 50 µm; 1 to 99%), CEC (4 to 64 meq/100 g), and Fe content (5 to 34 g/kg) as presented in Table S1. All sediments had low background concentrations of U (\leq 3 mg U/kg d.w.) which were similar to or below the median sediment U concentrations of 3.7 mg U/kg d.w. reported for northern Saskatchewan (Environment Canada, 242 2003). Furthermore, the sediments resulted in negligible concentrations of U in the test solutions 243 ($\leq 0.002 \text{ mg U/L}$) as measured in the sediment controls (sediment with no added U). 244 Concentrations of U in the negative controls (no added sediment or U) were below the limit of 245 detection of U in solution (i.e., U-LoD < 0.05 µg/L). Additionally, spiking efficiencies were within 246 ± 4% of nominal concentrations in the U controls (U with no added sediment), with negligible loss 247 of U to the container walls over the 48-h equilibration period, which is consistent with other U 248 sorption investigations (Barnett et al., 2000; Cheng et al., 2004; Chisholm-Brause et al., 1994).

249 Water chemistry parameters for the controls and each sediment-pH treatment are summarized in 250 Table S2 and were used as input parameters for WHAM7. These values are within the range of 251 water quality characteristics representative of Saskatchewan waters receiving U mine and mill 252 effluent (Goulet et al., 2015). Uranium speciation and sorption are thought to be affected by water 253 hardness because the competitive binding of Ca and Mg to carbonate ions decreases the amount 254 of carbonate species available for binding U (Goulet et al., 2015). However, contrasting literature exists regarding the importance of hardness in altering the sorption and bioavailability of U. 255 256 Previous accounts of increased hardness reducing toxicity is often confounded by co-varying 257 changes in alkalinity, or has been species-specific (Borgmann et al., 2005; Riethmuller et al., 2001; 258 Van Dam et al., 2012). A review by Sheppard et al. (2005) suggested that sensitivity of fish to U 259 under varying conditions of alkalinity and hardness was not due to the influence of hardness but 260 instead to low alkalinity. This conclusion is in agreement with a recent study by Goulet et al. 261 (2015) that concluded hardness was not the main driver for changes in toxicity as free uranyl ion 262 concentrations remained constant despite increasing hardness, and instead that alkalinity and pH 263 were the stronger influences. Low alkalinity results in greater free uranyl ion concentrations, 264 whereas greater alkalinity leads to uranyl complexation with carbonate and bicarbonate ions (i.e.,

Section 3.2). In our study, alkalinity tended to increase with pH with simultaneous decreases in hardness, particularly for sediments with more silt, loam and TOC (Table S2). The goal of this study was not to investigate the specific influence of hardness and alkalinity on U speciation and mobility, as the test water was reconstituted, as closely as was feasible, to reflect the high hardness and low alkalinity conditions found downstream of U mines in Saskatchewan. Thus, no conclusions from the study can be made on the role of alkalinity and hardness.

271 Final pH measurements after 48-h showed little change (< 0.05 pH drift) from the starting solution 272 pH for each pH treatment. Mean measured pH values (Table S2) were mostly within 0.1 pH units 273 of the nominal value, except for pH 6 treatments which were consistently 0.2 to 0.3 pH units greater 274 than the nominal. Major ions measured in the reconstituted overlying water consisted of NO₃, 275 SO₄²⁻, Ca²⁺, and Na²⁺, ions that are common in treated effluent from U mining and milling activities 276 in northern Saskatchewan as a result of the U ore extraction and effluent treatment processes (i.e., 277 lime, barium chloride, and sulfuric acid; EARMP, 2014; Goulet et al. 2015). Concentrations of DOC ranged from 5.7 to 67.0 mg/L and increased with sediment TOC content (slope = 1.83, R^2 = 278 279 0.68, n = 27, p < 0.001). Additionally, DOC increased as pH increased for most sediments and 280 coincided with a decrease in K_d -U values. This is consistent with a study by Ren et al. (2010), 281 which demonstrated that for solutions with pH > 6.5, negatively charged FA and HA resisted 282 adsorption to clays due to electrostatic repulsion and remained in solution as pH increased. Thus, 283 greater pH may allow for more complexation between DOC and U, which would decrease sorption 284 to sediment (i.e., more U in solution present as U-DOC complexes). This is further supported by 285 a study of Van Dam et al. (2012), which concluded that U toxicity was reduced to a variety of 286 freshwater organisms by 6 to 9% with each addition of 1 mg/L of DOC (up to 30 mg/L DOC).

Thus, DOC and water chemistry are important modifiers of U sorption behaviour and should bedocumented in all U-sorption studies.

289

3.1.2. U(VI) adsorption at different U concentrations

290 The K_d values for the three U concentrations investigated at each pH treatment are presented for 291 all nine sediments in Fig. S1. Although K_d -U values differed among sediment types at each pH, 292 the three U concentrations did not have a significant effect on K_d -U values for each sediment treatment at pH 7 and 8 (p > 0.05; one-way ANOVA). Due to the negligible effect of U 293 294 concentration on $K_{\rm d}$ -U, these values for the remainder of the paper are presented as an average $K_{\rm d}$ -295 U for all three U concentration treatments for pH 7 and 8 (mean \pm SD, n = 6); only one U 296 concentration (230 μ g/L) was used to determine K_d at pH 6 (n = 2). The final U concentrations 297 measured in the overlying water of the nominal 230 μ g/L treatments ranged from 0.12 to 150 μ g/L 298 (Table S2). It is worth restating that these initial added U test concentrations (t = 0 h) and final U 299 concentrations (t = 48 h) are environmentally relevant to areas surrounding U mining and milling 300 activity. For example, the initial 230 µg/L test U concentration is within the range of U 301 concentrations reported for surface water at decommissioned mines in Beaverlodge Lake, 302 Saskatchewan (200 to 400 µg/L; CCME, 2011). Additionally, the lowest initial U concentration 303 tested (23 µg/L) falls within the range of the long-term and short-term Canadian Water Quality 304 Guidelines (CWQG) for U of 15 μ g/L and 33 μ g/L, respectively (CCME, 2011). The highest 305 concentration tested (2.3 mg/L) was included as concentrations > 3 mg/L were historically 306 measured in northern Saskatchewan U mine effluent discharge (Hynes et al., 1987) and represents 307 a very high, but possible pore water U concentration.

308 3.1.3. U(VI) adsorption as a function of pH

309 The degree of U adsorption for each sediment was significantly dependent on aqueous pH, with 310 greater K_d-U values observed at pH 6 and 7, followed by a sharp, significant decrease at pH 8 for 311 all sediment treatments (Fig. 1; p < 0.001). The maximum adsorption ($K_d > 1000 \text{ ml/g}$), observed 312 at all pH values between 6.2 and 7.4, was likely the result of a greater number of negatively charged 313 binding sites (compared to pH 8) available on mineral surfaces due to the release of protons 314 (CCME, 2011). Alternatively, the formation of uranyl-carbonate complexes at pH 8 may also 315 decrease adsorption of U (i.e., low K_d -U values; Fig. 1) due to negligible interactions of such 316 complexes with solid phases. The formation of soluble uranyl-carbonate complexes and low 317 sorption at alkaline pH conditions is in agreement with previous literature for a number of other 318 substrates, including pure Fe-minerals and amorphous Fe hydroxides (Barnett et al., 2000; 319 Langmuir, 1978; McKinley et al., 1995; Payne et al., 2004; Vandenhove et al., 2007; Waite et al., 320 1994). Speciation of U, dissolution and precipitation processes, and surface charge or availability 321 of binding sites on organic matter and oxide clay minerals are known to change as a function of 322 pH (CCME, 2011; Maity et al., 2013). These characteristics and processes alter the availability of 323 cationic metals and influence complexation to solid phases (Barnett et al., 2002; Ren et al., 2010). 324 Although not investigated in our study, adsorption is also reported to be low at pH < 6 due to competition for binding sites between H^+ ions and the free UO_2^{2+} ion that predominates at lower 325 326 pH conditions (CCME, 2011; Markich et al., 2000; Riethmuller et al., 2001). Thus, sorption of U 327 within the environmentally relevant pH range is unlike many other cationic metals; changes in pH 328 lead to a relationship with sorption increasing as pH increases, to a maximum sorption at 329 circumneutral pH conditions, followed by a decrease in sorption as pH increases further (i.e., 330 sorption envelopes).

331 A negative relationship, which could be represented by a linear equation, was observed between 332 K_{d} -U and pH [log K_{d} (U) = -1.02 pH + 10.54, R^{2} = 0.61, n = 27, p <0.001; Fig. 1, solid black line]. 333 This trend is in general agreement with previous literature examining the relationship between pH (6 to 9) and U sorption to sediments and soils $[\log K_d(U) = -0.77\text{pH} + 7.73, R^2 = 0.30, Vandenhove$ 334 et al., 2009b; $\log K_d(U) = -1.18 \text{pH} + 10.8$, $R^2 = 0.65$, Vandenhove et al., 2007; $\log K_d(U) = -1.07 \text{pH}$ 335 + 9.80, $R^2 = 0.41$, Sheppard et al., 2006; $\log K_d(U) = -1.29 pH + 11.0$, $R^2 = 0.76$, Echevarria et al., 336 337 2001]. General similarities in the observed relationship to other published findings confirm that 338 pH is a significant modifier of U sorption despite differences in experimental conditions among 339 the different studies (e.g., SSRs, U concentrations, soil vs. sediment substrate, incubation time, 340 water chemistry). However, the K_d -U values reported here for the pH 6 and 7 treatments were not 341 significantly different, except for sediments WB, KL and HL which had ~2- to 10-fold greater K_{d-} 342 U values at a nominal of pH 6 than 7 (p < 0.05). As a result, the linear regression shown does not predict the K_d -U values at pH 7 accurately. This trend may also have been present in other 343 344 published research, but masked by the variability in sediments/soils and conditions examined. One 345 strength of the present research is that U sorption was investigated under different pH conditions, 346 but for the same sediments, thus allowing for a less confounded investigation of the effects of pH 347 on U sorption. For the present data, a quadratic function $[\log K_d(U) = -21.22 + 7.97 \text{pH} - 0.63 \text{pH}^2]$, $R^2 = 0.67$, n = 27, p < 0.001; Fig. 1, dashed line] provided a slightly better fit than the linear 348 349 relationship, at least within the pH range investigated. But it is evident from Fig. 1 that pH alone 350 does not solely dictate K_d -U for the number of sediments investigated.

Generally, K_d values are an indication of the adsorption of a compound to solid phases (via ion exchange processes and surface complexation formation) and subsequent mobility and thus bioavailability of a compound in an aqueous system. The mobility of contaminants is generally 354 small for compounds with K_d values > 1000 ml/g as sorption to sediments is great. In contrast, 355 mobility can be considered high when K_d values are ≤ 10 ml/g due to the negligible partitioning to 356 sediment (Sheppard, 2011). The K_{d} -U values calculated in the present study ranged over three 357 orders of magnitude from ~ 20 to > 20,000 ml/g depending on the pH and sediment type (Fig. 1). 358 Adsorption of U to sediments was \geq 97% at pH 6 and 7 treatments, while adsorption ranged from 359 43 to 97% for the pH 8 treatment depending on the sediment used. The greater K_d -U values (> 360 1000 ml/g), and the extent of adsorption at pH 6 and 7, suggest that mobility of U may be of limited 361 interest in some environmental assessments, as most U under such conditions would have a 362 tendency to bind to sediment. In contrast, greater concentrations of U in solution at pH 8, as 363 indicated by K_{d} -U values < 500 ml/g, suggest that the potential for U transport may be greater at 364 higher pH values. Greater concentrations of dissolved U may also increase the potential uptake of 365 U by organisms depending on the water chemistry, type of organism, exposure route, and type of 366 sediment (Crawford and Liber, 2016; Liber et al., 2011). The results from the present study 367 demonstrated the strong influence of pH on U adsorption at pH conditions (6 to 8) representative 368 of northern Saskatchewan U mining areas, suggesting that increased U mobility and transport are 369 more likely the higher the pH of the system.

370

3.1.4. U(VI) adsorption and sediment physicochemical properties

The effect of sediment properties on U sorption is demonstrated in Fig. 2a-d for four common sediment properties (TOC, fine fraction, CEC, and Fe content). The K_d -U values varied by orders of magnitude at a specific pH as a function of sediment type, with greater fine fraction and Fe content sediments (ML, KL, SL1) having greater K_d -U values than sandier sediments (SL2 and UR8). In particular, there appeared to be an interaction between pH and sediment type, as an 80fold change in K_d -U values occurred at pH 8 while only approximately a 14-fold change in K_d -U 377 values was observed at pH 6 and 7 for the same sediments. Sheppard (2011) reported a similar 378 trend with a 250-fold higher K_d-U for soils containing 35% clay compared to 5% clay at pH 5.5, 379 while at pH 6.5 only a 3-fold change in K_d occurred for the same range in clay content. 380 Additionally, K_d -U values have previously been proposed for sand (40 ml/g), loam and clay (200 381 ml/g), and organic soils (2000 ml/g) (Sheppard et al., 2006), but K_d values for U can typically 382 range from <1 to >500,000 ml/g (Campana et al., 2013; Echevarria et al., 2001; Maity et al., 2013; 383 Pandit et al., 2012; Van Dam et al., 2012; Van Gestel, 2008; Vandenhove et al., 2007). Conversely, 384 some studies have reported no effect of sediment properties such as CEC or TOC on U sorption 385 (Echevarria et al., 2001; Vandenhove et al., 2007), likely due to differing pH conditions and the 386 limited range in sediment/soil properties investigated (i.e., only examined approx. <1 to 3% 387 organic carbon).

Significant positive relationships were evident between sediment properties and K_d -U values (R^2 388 389 > 0.71, p < 0.05, Fig. 2 and Table S4) until either a threshold was reached or negative relationships were observed. These inflection points were clear for sediments with greater than 12% TOC, 37% 390 391 fine fraction, and 33 meq/100 g CEC (Fig. 2a-c), and to a lesser extent for sediments with 29 g/kg 392 of Fe content (Fig. 2d). Vandenhove et al. (2007) demonstrated a strikingly similar positive relationship between increasing K_d -U values and greater soil organic matter ($R^2 = 0.70$) and Fe 393 content ($R^2 = 0.63$). However, the latter study did not document a threshold after which a negative 394 395 relationship was observed, as the maximum ranges examined were only approximately 15% 396 organic matter and 20 g/kg of Fe content (Vandenhove et al., 2007), which are near or below the 397 thresholds at which negative relationships appeared in our study. Exceptions to the positive 398 relationships between sediment characteristics and K_{d} -U values in our study were observed for 399 sediments with the greatest TOC, fine fraction, and CEC (i.e., SL1, HL, and KL). The decreasing

400 K_{d} -U values associated with these sediments contradicts information from the literature that 401 suggest that these sediments should offer the greatest number of binding sites for contaminants 402 (Davis et al., 2004; Pabalan and Turner, 1996; Simpson et al., 2011). However, the lower K_d -U 403 values observed for these organic carbon- and mineral-rich sediments (Fig. 2) may be, in part, due 404 to desorption of complexing agent such as DOC, colloids, and carbonates from the sediment. Such 405 desorption would increase dissolved U and lower K_{d} -U values, but without necessarily increasing 406 U bioavailability. This is supported by results from previous experiments with the same organic-407 and mineral-rich sediments (SL1, HL, KL) that resulted in the lowest bioaccumulation of U by C. 408 *dilutus* larvae (Crawford and Liber, 2016), demonstrating that much of the U was not bioavailable. 409 These results suggest that U may be in solution and mobile even for sediments with large 410 concentrations of some binding phases, but that the variability in dissolved U does not necessarily 411 correlate with U bioavailability to aquatic organisms. Therefore, bioaccumulation tests 412 complement investigations of U sorption and information on the presence of colloids, DOC and 413 other ligands that could be released from sediment should be provided.

414 The sorption tests demonstrated that all sediment properties evaluated here were important 415 modifying factors of U sorption (Fig. 2); however, the use of a combination of multiple factors 416 may have limited practicality for modeling U bioavailability in sediment. Fe content (Fig. 2d) was 417 the factor that explained the greatest variation in K_{d} -U across the entire range of parameters 418 examined here (at least up until ~29 g/kg), excluding the additional influence of pH. Barnett et al. 419 (2000) also suggested that Fe oxide content exerted significant control over sorption of U across three bulk substrates with similar Fe oxide contents, as K_d values were similar despite having 420 421 significant differences in fine fraction and organic matter content. Uranium species can adsorb to 422 the surface sites of Fe oxides, and can become structurally incorporated into the oxide structure

423 through repeated dissolution-precipitation cycling of amorphous or poorly crystalline Fe oxides 424 (Vandenhove et al., 2007). Thus, Fe oxides in sediment can be an important adsorption-controlling 425 phase for U, and may overcome the challenges of predicting U bioavailability associated with the 426 presence of dissolved U-complexes from complexing agents such as DOC and colloids. 427 Additionally, Fe content of sediment has previously been observed to correlate with fine fraction, 428 CEC, and TOC for the sediments used in this study (r = 0.84 to 0.97, p < 0.001; Crawford and 429 Liber, 2016), as well as for other sediments and soils (Bradham et al., 2006; Payne et al., 2011; 430 Vandenhove et al., 2007; Waite et al., 1994). The binding of U and other metals to sediments is 431 not only influenced by the presence of Fe/Al oxides, but also by pH and TOC, which influence the 432 binding properties (surface area, charge and binding sites). Properties such as CEC are intrinsically 433 accounted for through correlation with parameters such as sediment TOC and fine fraction, which 434 are routinely measured in conjunction with field-collected sediment samples (Bradham et al., 435 2006; Criel et al., 2008). Recent studies have also supported the use of K_d values normalized for 436 specific surface area (Davis et al., 2004; Pabalan and Turner, 1996; Wang et al., 2011). However, 437 sorption can be controlled by interactions with specific surface sites, the abundance of which are 438 not reflected by the specific surface area (Payne et al., 2011).

An exception to the positive linear relationship between Fe content and K_d -U was observed with the KL sediment, which had a slightly lower K_d -U value, despite having the greatest Fe content (34 g/kg; Fig. 2d). However, the KL sediment also had the only detectable CaCO₃ content (1.13%), which Pandit et al. (2012) have demonstrated to have a strong negative correlation with K_d for soils collected around U mines due to the formation of uranyl-carbonate complexes. Sheppard (2011) also suggested that carbonates were more likely to persist in fine-textured soils and KL had the highest content of clay (28%) among the sediments tested. The lower sorption in the KL sediment may therefore be a result of the formation of soluble uranyl-carbonate complexes. Overall, sediment properties, particularly Fe content, were significant modifiers of U sorption, resulting in up to an 80-fold change in K_d -U values for sediments collected from the same general area of northern Saskatchewan.

450

3.2. Modeling aqueous U(VI) speciation

451 The abundance of aqueous U species was estimated as a function of pH using WHAM7 for selected 452 sediments that covered a wide range of physicochemical properties (Table S1) and water 453 chemistries (Table S2). These U species are presented in Fig. S2. The major aqueous U species 454 were dominated by the (calcium)-uranyl-carbonate complexes, with $Ca_2UO_2(CO_3)_3$ and $CaUO_2(CO_3)_3^{2-}$ being greatest at pH 7 and 8, and UO_2CO_3 and $UO_2(CO_3)_2^{2-}$ being greatest at pH 455 456 6. The dominance of these aqueous U(VI) species is in agreement with previous modeling efforts 457 in the primary literature (Lofts et al., 2015; Wang et al., 2011). Thus, these speciation calculations support the observed reduction in K_d values at pH 8, which were likely due to the formation of 458 459 uranyl-carbonate complexes that predominate under alkaline conditions and inhibit adsorption to 460 the sediment solid phase.

461

3.3. Modeling of U(VI) adsorption

While using the relationship between Fe content of sediment and K_d -U is useful for estimating changes in U bioavailability, a more universally applicable tool is desirable for the prediction and modeling of U sorption behaviour in aquatic systems. A number of studies have supported the use of surface complexation models (SCMs) for the modeling of U sorption (Barnett et al., 2000; Davis et al., 2004; Dong et al., 2012; Pabalan and Turner, 1996; Payne et al., 2004; Romero-González et al., 2007; Waite et al., 1994). Adsorption parameters may be derived directly by fitting to the solid material (the generalized composite approach), which provides optimal site-specific model

performance at the expense of general applicability. A more flexible method is the assemblage 469 470 approach, where adsorption is modeled on the basis of the solid phase composition using a 471 collection of adsorption models parameterized on synthesized or isolated components (substrates) 472 of the solid phase such as organic matter, sesquioxides and clays (Dong et al., 2012; Groenenberg 473 and Lofts, 2014; Waite et al., 1994). In this study WHAM7 was applied to predict sediment K_d 474 values using the assemblage approach, using adsorption models for particulate organic matter and 475 Fe(III) oxide. This allowed for prediction of the variability of U sorption across the field sediments 476 and water characteristic of U mining areas in Saskatchewan, incorporating multiple solid-477 adsorbing parameters. The phase models used in the present study for ion binding to HA, FA, and 478 hydrous Fe oxide allow both specific (inner-sphere) and diffuse layer binding. These binding 479 mechanisms are supported by recent studies that have demonstrated that adsorption of U species 480 onto clay mineral surfaces occurs via the formation of bidentate inner-sphere surface complexes 481 at near-circumneutral pH conditions (Dong et al., 2012; McKinley et al., 1995; Pabalan and Turner, 482 1996; Sylwester et al., 2000). However, some of the previous studies were conducted under CO₂ 483 free or low CO_2 conditions which means the role of uranyl-carbonate ternary surface complexes 484 was not investigated (McKinley et al., 1995; Pabalan and Turner, 1996; Sylwester et al., 2000). 485 Carbonate complexes with uranyl can be very important for systems containing CO₂ and have been 486 reported as important sorption processes for U onto montmorillonite clay and different soils 487 (Catalano and Brown Jr, 2005; Dong et al., 2012; Pandit et al., 2012).

488 Modeling yielded a strong 1:1 relationship between the predicted and observed U concentrations 489 in solution (at equilibrium) in the various sorption tests (Fig. 3a; slope = 1.02, $R^2 = 0.961$, p <490 0.001, RMSE = $42 \mu g/L$). This demonstrated that the model can predict, generally within a factor 491 of three, the concentration of U in solution based on the expected binding/partitioning of U to the

492 solid phases (i.e., sediments). Previous studies have reported issues with greater variation between 493 the observed and predicted U concentrations near adsorption edges, where concentrations change 494 sharply with pH (i.e., pH 8) (Barnett et al., 2002). A model examined by Barnett et al. (2002) 495 overestimated the K_d-U by up to two orders of magnitude in the pH range of maximum adsorption 496 (pH 6 to 7). Model predictions would be most sensitive to uncertainties in the measured pH near 497 adsorption edges. However, aqueous U concentrations were well predicted by WHAM7 under our 498 test conditions and parameters. The WHAM-predicted K_d-U values were generally within a factor 499 of three of the observations for all the sediment-pH treatments (Fig. 3b; slope = 1.07, $R^2 = 0.94$, p 500 < 0.001, RMSE = 0.47 ml/g log unit), with only slight deviations from the 1:1 line at the low K_d 501 values. One possible reason for the slight overestimation of U solubility at pH 8 may be due to an 502 underestimation of the total number or binding affinity of reactive surface sites, and/or 503 overestimation of complexation in solution. Regardless, the similarity between the predicted and 504 observed K_d -U values validates the trends presented in Fig. 2 (including the inflection points) for 505 U sorption as a function of different sediment properties. This further demonstrates the influence 506 of TOC, DOC, and Fe oxides, common input parameters for WHAM7, on U binding and 507 complexation. Thus, WHAM7 is able to account for the complex interactions between uranyl 508 binding to ligands on the solid sediment and in the solution in freshwater systems with a wide 509 range of sediments and conditions characteristics of northern Saskatchewan U mining areas.

510

3.4. Implications for site characterization

511 The present research confirms that K_d -U values are influenced by a number of sediment 512 components including TOC, fine fraction, CEC, and Fe content, water chemistry including pH, 513 and metal-complexing ligands such as carbonates. The extent of U adsorption was significantly 514 pH-dependent. Due to the complex nature of U sorption with multiple and correlating sediment

515 characteristics, it is difficult to recommend a simple relationship between the U concentrations in 516 solution and a single sediment parameter. However, the Fe content in sediment (within the range 517 of ~1 to 29 g Fe/kg sediment) was a consistent and strong modifier of U sorption behaviour with 518 a relationship modeled by the equations presented in Table S4 of the supplementary material. Fe 519 content, along with other common physicochemical parameters of sediments, provided useful 520 information for the application of an internationally recognized and user-friendly model, WHAM7, 521 to predict U sorption behaviour. It is therefore recommended that Fe content should be reported, 522 in addition to all other routinely measured sediment parameters, in site assessments where U-523 contamination is a concern. Additionally, this research highlighted the conditions that enhance the 524 mobility of U in sediment, which will allow for further examination of these conditions to improve 525 the risk assessment of U-contaminated sites. Adsorption of U to sediment and other particulate 526 phases strongly influences the proportion of U available for transport and uptake by removing U 527 from the aqueous phase or by forming complexes that render U immobile and/or not bioavailable. 528 From this study, sediments in alkaline aquatic systems with low Fe content would favour greater 529 U concentrations in solution and thus likely greater U mobility and possible uptake in aquatic 530 organisms. Future work should focus on additional quantification and further validation of field 531 and/or site-specific physicochemical properties that could improve the use of WHAM7, which 532 may lead to more accurate risk assessments for U-contaminated sites and for development of bioavailability influenced U-SQGs. 533

534 Acknowledgements

We thank S. Emmons and K. Reas for technical assistance with conducting the sorption tests, E. Franz, L. Doig, D. Liu, and AREVA staff for aiding in the collection of sediment, and X. Wang for performing the ICP-MS and IC analyses. This research was funded by the University of

- 538 Saskatchewan Toxicology Centre partly through the NSERC CREATE HERA Training Program
- 539 (grant no. 371230-2010), AREVA Resources Canada Inc. (ARC; grant no. 6500024810), and
- 540 AREVA Research and Development (France) through ARC.
- 541 Appendix A. Supplementary data
- 542 Supplementary data related to this article can be found in the attached document.

543 **References**

- Alves, L.C., Borgmann, U., Dixon, D.G., 2008. Water-sediment interactions for *Hyalella azteca*exposed to uranium-spiked sediment. Aquat. Toxicol. 87, 187-199.
- Ames, L.L., McGarrah, J.E., Walker, B.A., 1983. Sorption of trace constituents from aqueous
 solutions onto secondary minerals. I. Uranium. Clays Clay Miner. 31, 321-334.
- Barnett, M.O., Jardine, P.M., Brooks, S.C., 2002. U(VI) adsorption to heterogeneous subsurface
 media: Application of a surface complexation model. Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 937-942.
- Barnett, M., Jardine, P., Brooks, S., Selim, H., 2000. Adsorption and transport of uranium(VI) in
 subsurface media. Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J. 64, 908-917.
- Bhattacharyya, K.G., Gupta, S.S., 2008. Adsorption of a few heavy metals on natural and modified
 kaolinite and montmorillonite: A review. Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 140, 114-131.
- Borgmann, U., Couillard, Y., Doyle, P., Dixon, D.G., 2005. Toxicity of sixty-three metals and
 metalloids to *Hyalella azteca* at two levels of water hardness. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 24,
 641-652.
- Bradham, K.D., Dayton, E.A., Basta, N.T., Schroder, J., Payton, M., Lanno, R.P., 2006. Effect of
 soil properties on lead bioavailability and toxicity to earthworms. Environ. Toxicol. Chem.
 25, 769-775.
- Campana, O., Blasco, J., Simpson, S.L., 2013. Demonstrating the appropriateness of developing
 sediment quality guidelines based on sediment geochemical properties. Environ. Sci.
 Technol. 47, 7483-7489.

- 563 Catalano, J.G., Brown Jr, G.E., 2005. Uranyl adsorption onto montmorillonite: Evaluation of
 564 binding sites and carbonate complexation. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 69, 2995-3005.
- 565 CCME, 2011. Canadian Water Quality Guidelines: Uranium. Scientific Criteria Document.
 566 Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Winnipeg, MB, Canada. Report no. PN
 567 1451, 1-121.
- 568 Cheng, T., Barnett, M.O., Roden, E.E., Zhuang, J., 2006. Effects of solid-to-solution ratio on
 569 uranium(VI) adsorption and its implications. Environ. Sci. Technol. 40, 3243-3247.
- 570 Cheng, T., Barnett, M.O., Roden, E.E., Zhuang, J., 2004. Effects of phosphate on uranium(VI)
 571 adsorption to goethite-coated sand. Environ. Sci. Technol. 38, 6059-6065.
- 572 Chisholm-Brause, C., Conradson, S.D., Buscher, C., Eller, P.G., Morris, D.E., 1994. Speciation of
 573 uranyl sorbed at multiple binding sites on montmorillonite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 58,
 574 3625-3631.
- 575 Crawford, S.E., Liber, K., 2016. Sediment properties influencing the bioavailability of uranium to
 576 *Chironomus dilutus* larvae in spiked field sediments. Chemosphere. 148, 77-85.
- 577 Crawford, S.E., Liber, K., 2015. Effects of clay minerals and organic matter in formulated
 578 sediments on the bioavailability of sediment-associated uranium to the freshwater midge,
 579 *Chironomus dilutus*. Sci. Total Environ. 532, 821-830.
- 580 Criel, P., Lock, K., Van Eeckhout, H., Oorts, K., Smolders, E., Janssen, C.R., 2008. Influence of
 581 soil properties on copper toxicity for two soil invertebrates. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 27,
 582 1748-1755.
- Davis, J.A., Meece, D.E., Kohler, M., Curtis, G.P., 2004. Approaches to surface complexation
 modeling of uranium(VI) adsorption on aquifer sediments. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 68,
 3621-3641.
- 586 Dias, V., Vasseur, C., Bonzom, J.M., 2008. Exposure of *Chironomus riparius* larvae to uranium:
 587 Effects on survival, development time, growth, and mouthpart deformities. Chemosphere.
 588 71, 574-581.

- Dong, W., Tokunaga, T.K., Davis, J.A., Wan, J., 2012. Uranium(VI) adsorption and surface
 complexation modeling onto background sediments from the F-Area Savannah River site.
 Environ. Sci. Technol. 46, 1565-1571.
- 592 Dzombak, D.A., Morel, F.M., 1990. Surface Complexation Modeling: hydrous ferric oxide. John
 593 Wiley & Sons, Toronto, ON, Canada.
- 594 EARMP, 2014. Eastern Athabasca Regional Monitoring Program (EARMP): 2012 Technical
- Report. Canada North Environmental Services, Saskatoon, SK, Canada. Report no. 1611, 1-310.
- 597 Echevarria, G., Sheppard, M.I., Morel, J.L., 2001. Effect of pH on the sorption of uranium in soils.
 598 J. Environ. Radioact. 53, 257-264.
- Environment Canada, 2003. Priority Substance List Assessment Report: Releases of
 Radionuclides from Nuclear Facilities (Impact on Non-Human Biota). Canadian
 Environmental Protection Act 1999, Ottawa, ON, Canada. Report No. En40-215/67E, 1-130.
- Franke, K., Rößler, D., Gottschalch, U., Kupsch, H., 2000. Mobilization and retardation of
 uranium DOC species at three mine piles in Schlema/Alberoda, Saxony, Germany. Isotopes
 Environ. Health Stud. 36, 223-239.
- Goulet, R.R., Thompson, P.A., Serben, K.C., Eickhoff, C.V., 2015. Impact of environmentally
 based chemical hardness on uranium speciation and toxicity in six aquatic species. Environ.
 Toxicol. Chem. 34, 562-574.
- 608 Groenenberg, J.E., Lofts, S., 2014. The use of assemblage models to describe trace element 609 partitioning, speciation, and fate: A review. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 33, 2181-2196.
- Hart, D.R., McKee, P.M., Burt, A.J., Goffin, M.J., 1986. Benthic community and sediment quality
 assessment of Port Hope Harbour, Lake Ontario. J. Great Lakes Res. 12, 206-220.
- Hynes, T., Schmidt, R., Meadley, T., Thompson, N., 1987. The impact of effluents from a uranium
 mine and mill complex in Northern Saskatchewan on contaminant concentrations in
 receiving waters and sediments. Water. Poll. Res. J. Canada. 22, 559-569.

- Lagauzère, S., Boyer, P., Stora, G., Bonzom, J.M., 2009. Effects of uranium-contaminated
 sediments on the bioturbation activity of *Chironomus riparius* larvae (Insecta, Diptera) and *Tubifex tubifex* worms (Annelida, Tubificidae). Chemosphere. 76, 324-334.
- Langmuir, D., 1978. Uranium solution-mineral equilibria at low temperatures with applications to
 sedimentary ore deposits. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 42, 547-569.
- Liber, K., Doig, L., White-Sobey, S., 2011. Toxicity of uranium, molybdenum, nickel, and arsenic
 to *Hyalella azteca* and *Chironomus dilutus* in water-only and spiked-sediment toxicity tests.
 Ecotox. Environ. Safe. 74, 1171-1179.
- Lofts, S., Fevrier, L., Horemans, N., Gilbin, R., Bruggeman, C., Vandenhove, H., 2015.
 Assessment of co-contaminant effects on uranium and thorium speciation in freshwater
 using geochemical modelling. J. Environ. Radioact. 149, 99-109.
- Lofts, S., Tipping, E., 2011. Assessing WHAM/Model VII against field measurements of free
 metal ion concentrations: Model performance and the role of uncertainty in parameters and
 inputs. Environ. Chem. 8, 501-516.
- Maity, S., Mishra, S., Pandit, G., 2013. Estimation of distribution coefficient of uranium around a
 uranium mining site. J. Radioanal. Nucl. 295, 1581-1588.
- Markich, S.J., 2002. Uranium speciation and bioavailability in aquatic systems: An overview. Sci.
 World J. 2, 707-729.
- Markich, S.J., Brown, P.L., Jeffree, R.A., Lim, R.P., 2000. Valve movement responses of *Velesunio angasi* (Bivalvia: Hyriidae) to manganese and uranium: An exception to the free
 ion activity model. Aquat. Toxicol. 51, 155-175.
- McKinley, J.P., Zachara, J.M., Smith, S.C., Turner, G.D., 1995. The influence of uranyl hydrolysis
 and multiple site-binding reactions on adsorption of U(VI) to montmorillonite. Clays Clay
 Miner. 43, 586-598.
- Mibus, J., Sachs, S., Pfingsten, W., Nebelung, C., Bernhard, G., 2007. Migration of
 uranium(IV)/(VI) in the presence of humic acids in quartz sand: A laboratory column study.
 J. Contam. Hydrol. 89, 199-217.

- Morrison, S.J., Spangler, R.R., Tripathi, V.S., 1995. Adsorption of uranium(VI) on amorphous
 ferric oxyhydroxide at high concentrations of dissolved carbon(IV) and sulfur(VI). J.
 Contam. Hydrol. 17, 333-346.
- Muscatello, J.R., Liber, K., 2010. Uranium uptake and depuration in the aquatic invertebrate
 Chironomus tentans. Environ. Pollut. 158, 1696-1701.
- Neame, P., Dean, J., Zytaruk, B., 1982. Distribution and concentrations of naturally-occurring
 radionuclides in sediments in a uranium mining area of northern Saskatchewan, Canada.
 Hydrobiologia. 91, 355-361.
- OECD, 2000. Adsorption-Desorption Using a Batch Equilibrium Method. Organisation for
 Economic Co-operation and Development Guideline for the Testing of Chemicals, Section
 1, OECD Publishing, Paris, France. Test no. 106, 1-44.
- OECD-NEA and IAEA, 2014. Uranium 2014: Resources, Production and Demand. Organisation
 for Economic Co-operation and Development-Nuclear Energy Agency (OECD-NEA) and
 International Atomic Energy Agency, OECD Publishing, Paris, France. Report no. 7209, 1 508-508.
- Pabalan, R.T., Turner, D.R., 1996. Uranium(6⁺) sorption on montmorillonite: Experimental and
 surface complexation modeling study. Aquat. Geochem. 2, 203-226.
- Pandit, G., Mishra, S., Maity, S., Puranik, V., 2012. Estimation of Distribution Coefficient of
 Uranium and its Correlation with Soil Parameters Around Uranium Mining Site. In: Merkel,
 B.J., Schipek, M. (Eds.). The New Uranium Mining Boom: Challenge and Lessons Learned.
 Springer, Berlin, Germany, pp. 557-564.
- Payne, T.E., Brendler, V., Comarmond, M.J., Nebelung, C., 2011. Assessment of surface area
 normalisation for interpreting distribution coefficients (*K*_d) for uranium sorption. J. Environ.
 Radioact. 102, 888-895.
- Payne, T.E., Davis, J.A., Lumpkin, G.R., Chisari, R., Waite, T.D., 2004. Surface complexation
 model of uranyl sorption on Georgia kaolinite. Appl. Clay Sci. 26, 151-162.
- Ren, X., Wang, S., Yang, S., Li, J., 2010. Influence of contact time, pH, soil humic/fulvic acids,
 ionic strength and temperature on sorption of U(VI) onto MX-80 bentonite. J. Radioanal.
 Nucl. Chem. 283, 253-259.

- Riethmuller, N., Markich, S., Van Dam, R., Parry, D., 2001. Effects of water hardness and
 alkalinity on the toxicity of uranium to a tropical freshwater hydra (*Hydra viridissima*).
 Biomarkers, 6, 45-51.
- Romero-González, M.R., Cheng, T., Barnett, M.O., Roden, E.E., 2007. Surface complexation
 modeling of the effects of phosphate on uranium(VI) adsorption. Radiochim. Acta. 95, 251259.
- Sachs, S., Bernhard, G., 2008. Sorption of U(VI) onto an artificial humic substance-kaoliniteassociate. Chemosphere. 72, 1441-1447.
- Schaller, J., Weiske, A., Mkandawire, M., Dudel, E.G., 2008. Enrichment of uranium in particulate
 matter during litter decomposition affected by *Gammarus pulex L*. Environ. Sci. Technol.
 42, 8721-8726.
- Sheppard, S., Sheppard, M., Tait, J., Sanipelli, B., 2006. Revision and meta-analysis of selected
 biosphere parameter values for chlorine, iodine, neptunium, radium, radon and uranium. J.
 Environ. Radioact. 89, 115-137.
- Sheppard, S.C., 2011. Robust prediction of *K*_d from soil properties for environmental assessment.
 Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. 17, 263-279.
- 687 Simpson, S.L., Batley, G.E., Hamilton, I.L., Spadaro, D.A., 2011. Guidelines for copper in
 688 sediments with varying properties. Chemosphere. 85, 1487-1495.
- Smit, C.E., Van Gestel, C.A., 1998. Effects of soil type, prepercolation, and ageing on
 bioaccumulation and toxicity of zinc for the springtail *Folsomia candida*. Environ. Toxicol.
 Chem. 17, 1132-1141.
- Sylwester, E.R., Hudson, E.A., Allen, P.G., 2000. The structure of uranium(VI) sorption
 complexes on silica, alumina, and montmorillonite. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 64, 24312438.
- Thompson, P.A., Kurias, J., Mihok, S., 2005. Derivation and use of sediment quality guidelines
 for ecological risk assessment of metals and radionuclides released to the environment from
 uranium mining and milling activities in Canada. Environ. Monit. Assess. 110, 71-85.

- Tipping, E., 1998. Humic ion-binding model VI: An improved description of the interactions of
 protons and metal ions with humic substances. Aquat. Geochem. 4, 3-47.
- Tipping, E., 1994. WHAM A chemical equilibrium model and computer code for waters,
 sediments, and soils incorporating a discrete site/electrostatic model of ion-binding by humic
 substances. Comput. Geosci. 20, 973-1023.
- Tipping, E., Lofts, S., 2015. Testing WHAM-FTOX with laboratory toxicity data for mixtures of
 metals (Cu, Zn, Cd, Ag, Pb). Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 34, 788-798.
- US EPA, 1999. Understanding Variation in Partition Coefficient, *K*_d, Values: Volume I *K*_d Model,
 Measurement Methods, and Application of Chemical Reaction Codes. Volume II Geochemistry and Available *K*_d Values for Selected Inorganic Contaminants. U. S.
 Environmental Protection Agency. Washington, DC, USA. Report no. EPA 402-R-99-004,
 1-341.
- US EPA, 1997. Determination of Inorganic Anions in Drinking Water by Ion Chromatography.
 Revision 1.0. U. S. Environmental Protection Agency. Cincinnati, OH, USA. Method 300.1,
 1-40.
- Van Dam, R., Trenfield, M., Markich, S., Harford, A., Humphrey, C., Hogan, A., Stauber, J., 2012.
 Reanalysis of uranium toxicity data for selected freshwater organisms and the influence of
 dissolved organic carbon. Environ. Toxicol. Chem. 31, 2606-2614.
- Van Gestel, C.A.M., 2008. Physico-chemical and biological parameters determine metal
 bioavailability in soils. Sci. Total Environ. 406, 385-395.
- Van Gestel, C., Ma, W., 1990. An approach to quantitative structure-activity relationships
 (QSARs) in earthworm toxicity studies. Chemosphere. 21, 1023-1033.
- Vandenhove, H., Van Hees, M., Wouters, K., Wannijn, J., 2007. Can we predict uranium
 bioavailability based on soil parameters? Part 1: Effect of soil parameters on soil solution
 uranium concentration. Environ. Pollut. 145, 587-595.
- Waite, T., Davis, J., Payne, T., Waychunas, G., Xu, N., 1994. Uranium(VI) adsorption to
 ferrihydrite: Application of a surface complexation model. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 58,
 5465-5478.

- 726 Wang, Z., Zachara, J.M., Boily, J., Xia, Y., Resch, T.C., Moore, D.A., Liu, C., 2011. Determining
- individual mineral contributions to U(VI) adsorption in a contaminated aquifer sediment: A
 fluorescence spectroscopy study. Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac. 75, 2965-2979.
- 729 Zhirong, L., Shaoqi, Z., 2010. Effect of pH on the adsorption of uranyl ions by peat moss. Adsorpt.
- 730 Sci. Technol. 28, 243-251.



Fig. 1 Mean (\pm SD, n = 2-6) K_d values for U (log ml/g) for all nine sediments as a function of pH for nominal pH treatments of 6, 7 and 8. The solid black line represents the negative linear regression and the dashed line represents the quadratic relationship between K_d -U and pH for all sediment treatments (p < 0.001).



Fig. 2 Mean K_d for U (log ml/g) as a function of solution pH (nominal of 6, 7, and 8) and sediment (a) total organic carbon content (TOC, %), (b) fine fraction content ($\leq 50 \mu$ m, %), (c) cation exchange capacity (CEC, meq/100 g), and (d) iron content (Fe, g/kg). Each symbol within a series represents one of nine different sediments. Lines represent significant linear regressions up until a threshold (Linear equations are presented in Table S4 of the supplementary material).



Fig. 3 WHAM-predicted versus observed (a) U concentration (μ g/L) in the overlying water at equilibrium, and (b) log K_d for U (ml/g) for all nine sediments at pH 6, 7, and 8. Thick solid line represents the linear regression for all data points (p < 0.05); the 1:1 line is bracketed by dashed lines representing a factor of three.