

UNITED KINGDOM · CHINA · MALAYSIA

Higgins, Niall F. and Crittenden, P.D. (2015) Phytase activity in lichens. New Phytologist, 208 (2). pp. 544-554. ISSN 1469-8137

Access from the University of Nottingham repository:

http://eprints.nottingham.ac.uk/35571/1/Higgins_et_al-2015-New_Phytologist.pdf

Copyright and reuse:

The Nottingham ePrints service makes this work by researchers of the University of Nottingham available open access under the following conditions.

This article is made available under the Creative Commons Attribution licence and may be reused according to the conditions of the licence. For more details see: http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.5/

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or from the version of record. If you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the repository url above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact eprints@nottingham.ac.uk



Phytase activity in lichens

Niall F. Higgins and Peter D. Crittenden

School of Life Sciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham NG7 2RD, UK

Author for correspondence: Niall F. Higgins Tel: +353 (0) 85 1445015 Email: niall.f.higgins@gmail.com

Received: 13 January 2015 Accepted: 15 April 2015

New Phytologist (2015) 208: 544-554 doi: 10.1111/nph.13454

Key words: enzymes, epiphytes, Evernia prunastri, inositol hexaphosphate, phosphomonoesterase, phytic acid.

Summary

 Phytase activity was investigated in 13 lichen species using a novel assay method. The work tested the hypothesis that phytase is a component of the suite of surface-bound lichen enzymes that hydrolyse simple organic forms of phosphorus (P) and nitrogen (N) deposited onto the thallus surface.

• Hydrolysis of inositol hexaphosphate (InsP₆, the substrate for phytase) and appearance of lower-order inositol phosphates ($InsP_{5}$ - $InsP_{1}$), the hydrolysis products, were measured by ion chromatography. Phytase activity in Evernia prunastri was compared among locations with contrasting rates of N deposition.

• Phytase activity was readily measurable in epiphytic lichens (e.g. 11.3 μmol InsP₆ hydrolysed $g^{-1}h^{-1}$ in *Bryoria fuscescens*) but low in two terricolous species tested (*Cladonia* portentosa and Peltigera membranacea). Phytase and phosphomonoesterase activities were positively correlated amongst species. In E. prunastri both enzyme activities were promoted by N enrichment and phytase activity was readily released into thallus washings. $InsP_{6}$ was not detected in tree canopy throughfall but was present in pollen leachate.

• Capacity to hydrolyse $InsP_6$ appears widespread amongst lichens potentially promoting P capture from atmospheric deposits and plant leachates, and P cycling in forest canopies. The enzyme assay used here might find wider application in studies on plant root-fungal-soil systems.

Introduction

Lichens typically grow in habitats with low availabilities of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) (Crittenden, 1989; Crittenden et al., 1994). There is evidence to suggest that supply of these key nutrients is growth limiting for the more productive lichens such as mat-forming species of *Cladonia* and large foliose cyanolichens (Crittenden et al., 1994; Benner & Vitousek, 2007; Benner et al., 2007; Kytöviita & Crittenden, 2007; McCune & Caldwell, 2009). The majority of lichens acquire these nutrients from atmospheric deposits by efficiently scavenging inorganic N and P (Pi) from solutes in precipitation intercepted either directly or after being modified by plant canopies and then delivered to thalli as canopy throughfall and stem flow (Crittenden, 1983, 1989, 1998). Nitrogen compounds are routinely monitored in both precipitation and air, and there is an abundance of data on rates of N deposition with which lichen N concentration ([N]) is frequently positively correlated (Bruteig, 1993; Hyvärinen & Crittenden, 1998b; Remke et al., 2009; Hogan et al., 2010a; Boltersdorf et al., 2014). By contrast, P is not routinely measured in atmospheric deposits, there is a dearth of information on P deposition rates and how lichens respond to variation in P income is largely unknown. Detailed P-focused investigations suggest that Pi concentration in rainfall is in the range 0.03-0.33 µmol l⁻¹ (Grimshaw & Dolske, 2002; Neal et al., 2003; Yoshioka et al., 2009; He et al., 2011); Pi at these low

concentrations is taken up rapidly by lichens via high affinity phosphate transport systems (Farrar, 1976; Hogan, 2009).

In addition to a capacity for rapid uptake of inorganic ions and small nitrogenous organic molecules such as amino acids (Dahlman et al., 2004), lichens also have surface phosphatase activities that promote the release of covalently bonded P in organophosphates (Po) (Lane & Puckett, 1979; Hogan et al., 2010a; Crittenden et al., 2015). Artificial chromogenic Po substrates hydrolysed by lichens include the monoester para-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP), and the diesters bis- (para) nitrophenol phosphate (bis-pNPP) and para-nitrophenyl phenvlphosphonate (pNPPP, a DNA analogue) (Hogan, 2009); the hydrolysis of these compounds can be measured colorimetrically because it results in the coloured product, para-nitrophenol (pNP). Capacity for phosphatase activity in lichens varies among both species and habitats probably reflecting differences in the availability of, and demand for, P (Lane & Puckett, 1979; Hogan, 2009; Lewis, 2012). N enrichment, due to anthropogenic pollution, alters thallus N: P ratio driving an upregulation of phosphomonoesterase (PME) activity (Hogan, 2009; Hogan et al., 2010a,b). However, despite the apparent diversity of phosphatase activities as indicated by analogous substrates, little is known about the forms and quantities of Po available to lichens in their natural habitats (cf. Tibbett, 2002; Turner et al., 2002).

Most natural waters to which lichens are exposed contain traces of organic P. Yoshioka et al. (2009) and He et al. (2011)

found the ratio of total P: soluble reactive phosphate-P was between 3 and 5 in both rainfall and atmospheric aerosol, raising the possibility of a significant organic P component in atmospheric deposits. Annual deposition of this putative Po fraction was in the range 11–50 and 38–160 mg m⁻² yr⁻¹ for wet and dry inputs, respectively (He et al., 2011). However, specific compounds were not identified. Rainfall that passes through plant canopies as throughfall and stemflow can be enriched in organic carbon including organophosphates (Zimmermann et al., 2007). Again specific compounds have not been identified but these probably include a range of organophosphate metabolites from plant leaves, epiphytes and phylloplane microorganisms. Also, lichens that grow on soil, or on surfaces exposed to seepage water draining from soil, will be exposed to traces of soil organic P (Martinsen et al., 2013); most lichens can potentially experience deposition of animal faeces or solutes derived from them (Tomassen et al., 2005).

Phytic acid (inositol hexakisphosphate; InsP₆) is a major source of Po in the environment (Turner et al., 2002; Turner, 2006). Ins P_6 is a *myo*-inositol ring esterified with six symmetrically distributed phosphate groups (Shears & Turner, 2007). Lower-order inositol phosphates (InsP₅–InsP₁), distinguished by the number of substituted phosphate groups on the inositol ring, also occur widely in nature. InsP₆ is a common constituent of eukaryotic cells where it is involved in signal transduction and ATP regeneration, amongst other processes (Raboy, 2003). It is also accumulated by plants (Frank, 2013), and to a lesser extent by microorganisms (Cosgrove & Irving, 1980; Turner et al., 2002), as a P storage compound. InsP₆ accounts for up to 90% of total organic P in seeds (Frank, 2013) but also occurs in leaves, roots, flowers and fruits, constituting up to 6% of organic P in leaves of herbaceous plants (Alkarawi & Zotz, 2014a,b), up to 0.22% of total P in coniferous leaves (Frank, 2013) and up to 70% of organic P in pollen (Jackson et al., 1982). Data on InsP₆ concentrations in microorganisms are extremely scant, reported values include 76 nmol g⁻¹ in Neurospora crassa (Lakin-Thomas, 1993) and 700 µM in the cytoplasm of Dictyostelium discoideum (Martin et al., 1987). Concentrations in lichens and bryophytes have not been documented, but Winkler & Zotz (2009) present evidence of $InsP_6$ accumulation in the epiphytic bromeliad Aechmea fasciata. InsP6 becomes strongly complexed in soils during decomposition forming up to 60% of total soil Po reserves (Cosgrove & Irving, 1980; Turner et al., 2002, 2007; Turner, 2006).

Although $InsP_6$ is likely to prove an important component of the terrestrial P cycle, there remains a dearth of information regarding the rates of release of Pi during its degradation (Turner *et al.*, 2002). Phytases (*myo*-inositol hexa*kis*phosphate phosphohydrolases) are probably the principal agents involved in hydrolysis of the ester linkages. This class of enzyme initiates a stepwise dephosphorylation of $InsP_6$, releasing Pi and a series of partially dephosphorylated *myo*-inositols. Phytases have been most frequently reported in fungi and bacteria (Mukhametzyanova *et al.*, 2012) but are also produced by plants (Hayes *et al.*, 1999), most notably in germinating seeds (Gibson & Ullah, 1988; Hegeman & Grabau, 2001). Studies of phytase activity have been impeded by the lack of a sensitive assay. Inositol phosphates do not absorb visible or ultraviolet light and therefore cannot be detected spectrophotometrically. A new chromophoric substrate analogue of InsP₆, 5-O-[6-(benzoylamino)hexyl]-D-myo-inositol-1,2,3,4,6pentakisphosphate (T-IP5), is under development; this permits the measurement of phosphate ester bond cleavage by phytase (Berry & Berry, 2005; Berry et al., 2007) but the authors consider that further refinement of the method is required before it can be used to assay biologically active samples. To date, measurement of phytase activity has largely relied on determining the rate of Pi release during InsP₆ hydrolysis (Antibus et al., 1992; Yadav & Tarafdar, 2003). However, this method suffers from the drawback that living material, such as lichens or mycorrhizal roots, frequently has a high Pi uptake rate (Farrar, 1976; Hyvärinen & Crittenden, 1998a; Smith & Read, 2008; Hogan, 2009) which potentially will lead to underestimation of Pi release promoted by phytase.

The aim of the present study was to test for the presence of phytase activity in lichens employing a method that did not rely on the measurement of Pi release from samples. Accordingly, we use a high performance ion chromatographic method to measure the rates of consumption of $InsP_6$ and the production of lower-order inositol phosphates. We develop a phytase assay procedure suitable for lichens using the common epiphyte *Evernia prunastri* and then compare rates of activity among 13 lichens including 11 epiphytic and two terricolous species. We also test the hypothesis that phytase activity responds positively to N enrichment as has been demonstrated for PME activity in lichens (Hogan *et al.*, 2010a; Crittenden *et al.*, 2015). The results are discussed in relation to possible sources of $InsP_6$ for lichens in their natural habitats.

Materials and Methods

Lichen collection and pretreatment

Evernia prunastri was collected from the Derwent Valley, Derbyshire, UK, and from other sites subject to different rates of wet inorganic N (NO₃⁻⁺ NH₄⁺) deposition (Table 1). Modelled mean N deposition values pertaining to the collection sites for the period 2009–2011 were provided by R.I. Smith (Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Edinburgh, UK) and were abstracted from 5×5 km gridded datasets. A further 12 species were collected for an interspecies comparative study (Table 1).

At each collection site, 10 replicate lichen samples were collected from locations > 10 m apart. Samples were returned to the laboratory in polythene bags, air dried on a laboratory bench for 24 h at 18°C, re-sealed in polythene bags and stored at -20°C until required. Before assays, lichens were gradually rehydrated overnight in water-saturated air (over water in a desiccator) at 10°C, then fully re-saturated by spraying with deionized water, cleaned of extraneous debris and then blotted to remove surface moisture. In order to reduce variability among replicates, assays were confined to either the terminal 10-mm branch tips of fruticose lichens or the marginal 10 mm of foliose thalli; these were cut off using a scalpel and used immediately in assays (see Table 1 Lichen species studied, details of collection sites in the UK including values of modelled annual mean wet deposited inorganic nitrogen (N) (mean for 2009–2011), and putative optimum pH values used in enzyme assays

Species	Collection site	National Grid Reference	N deposition (kg ha ⁻¹ yr ⁻¹)	pH optimum and source
Evernia prunastri (L.) Ach.	Lough Erne, Co. Fermanagh	NV 193243	5	2.5, determined in present study
	Elan Valley, Powys	SN 975574	9.4	
	Beddgelert, Gwynedd	SH 575509	13	
	Greystoke Forest, Cumberland	NY 402335	17	
	Derwent Valley, Derbyshire	SK 147931	25.6	
	Derwent Fells, Cumberland	NY 208192	26.5	
	Tarn at Leaves, Cumberland	NY 259124	29.7	
	Naddle Forest, Cumberland	NY 493149	30	
	Wythburn Fells, Cumberland	NY 327135	37.1	
Lobaria pulmonaria (L.) Hoffm.	Lairg, Sutherland	NC 476021	4.2	4.8, surface pH, present study
Ramalina fraxinea (L.) Ach.	Kildonan, Sutherland	NC 976186	4.3	3.2, surface pH, present study
Bryoria fuscescens (Gyeln.) Brodo & D. Hawksw.	The Halsary, Caithness	ND 195506	4.7	2.5, surface pH, present study
Pseudevernia furfuracea (L.) Zopf	The Halsary, Caithness	ND 195506	4.7	2.5, surface pH, present study
Ramalina calicaris (L.) Fr.	The Halsary, Caithness	ND 195506	4.7	3.2, surface pH, present study
Peltigera membranacea (Ach.) Nyl	Beddgelert, Gwynedd	SH 575509	13	4.3, determined in present study
Cladonia portentosa (Dufour) Coem.	Migneit, Gwynedd	SH 749433	12.1	2.5, Hogan <i>et al.</i> (2010a)
Hypogymnia physodes (L.) Nyl.	Derwent Valley, Derbyshire	SK 147931	25.6	3.2, Lewis (2012)
Parmelia saxatilis (L.) Ach.	Derwent Valley, Derbyshire	SK 147931	25.6	3.4, surface pH, present study
Platismatia glauca (L.) W.L. Culb & C.F. Culb	Derwent Valley, Derbyshire	SK 147931	25.6	3.0, Lewis (2012)
<i>R. farinacea</i> (L.) Ach.	Derwent Valley, Derbyshire	SK 147931	25.6	4.8, surface pH, present study
Usnea subfloridana Stirt.	Derwent Valley, Derbyshire	SK 147931	25.6	3.2, surface pH, present study

enzyme assays below). Powder-free latex gloves were used at all times when handling lichens in the field and laboratory.

Thallus surface pH

Thallus surface pH was measured following Hogan *et al.* (2010a). In brief, a flat tip pH electrode (Gelplas double junction flat tip electrode; VWR International Ltd, Lutterworth, UK) was held in contact with the apical or marginal 15-mm region of the lichen thallus, saturated with excess 0.025 M KCl, noting the pH value after 1 min.

Determination of phytase activity

Assays were initiated by adding *c*. 40 mg (unless otherwise stated) wet mass of lichen thallus to 3 ml of InsP₆ (dodecasodium salt hydrate; Sigma-Aldrich) solution made up in citric acid-trisodium citrate buffer containing major ions representative of UK precipitation (20 μ mol l⁻¹ MgSO₄.7H₂O, 8 μ mol l⁻¹ CaCl₂.2H₂O, 150 μ mol l⁻¹ NaCl, 15 μ mol l⁻¹ NH₄NO₃, 5 μ mol l⁻¹ KNO₃; Hayman *et al.*, 2007). The citric acid-trisodium citrate buffer was prepared following Dawson *et al.* (1986) and then diluted 10-fold. Samples were incubated in a shaking water bath in the dark at temperatures and for time periods ranging from 5 to 30°C and 0.25 to 24 h, respectively. Reactions were terminated by transferring 2.5 ml of the assay medium to 250 μ l of 0.5 M HCl in an autosampler tube. Thalli were then blotted to remove surface solution and dried at 80°C for 24 h before weighing.

Quantities of InsP₆ and its hydrolysis products in the acidified subsamples were determined by high performance ion chromatography (HPIC) following Chen & Li (2003) using a Dionex ICS5000 ion chromatograph (Dionex (UK) Ltd, Camberley, Surrey, UK). In brief, inositol phosphates were separated on a CarboPacTM PA-100 analytical column $(250 \times 4 \text{ mm})$ at 30°C using 0.5 M HCl as mobile phase in a linear gradient elution program followed by post-column reaction with a solution of 1 g l^{-1} Fe(NO₃)₃ in 0.33 M HClO₄ using a Dionex knitted coil $(750 \ \mu\text{l})$. InsP₆ Ins(1,2,4,5,6)P₅ and Ins(1,5,6)P₃ peaks were identified from retention times of standards and InsP4, InsP2 and InsP1 peaks were identified from retention times given by Chen & Li (2003), although specific isomers of InsP2 and InsP1 compounds were difficult to resolve. The system was calibrated for InsP₆, the response being linear up to $0.1 \,\mu$ mol on column. CHROMELEON 7.2 software (Dionex) was used for instrument control and data acquisition and processing. Run time required for InsP₆, the slowest eluting analyte, was 50.1 min with an equilibration time of 10 min needed between each run. Rates of activity were expressed as μ mol InsP₆ hydrolysed g⁻¹ dry mass h⁻¹. 'No-lichen' and 'no-substrate' control samples were included in each batch of analyses.

Preliminary experiments examined phytase response to pH (2.5–6.7), and to substrate concentration (1–10 mM); rates from the latter experiment were fitted to the Michaelis–Menten equation using ORIGINPRO 8. This range of substrate concentrations was selected to help characterize the enzyme system and has no ecological relevance (cf. Whitton *et al.*, 2005) because in nature InsP₆ is likely to be available to lichens in trace quantities only.

The assay medium pH value selected for each species was either the putative optimum value determined in this study, the optimum value for PME activity determined by Lewis (2012) or the surface pH value (Table 1). The potential inhibitory effect on phytase activity of *p*NPP, the substrate for PME assays (see Determination of phosphomonoesterase activity below), was investigated in *E. prunastri* by including both *p*NPP and InsP₆ in phytase assays in equimolar concentrations.

In order to determine whether enzyme activity could be removed from the thallus by washing, c. 150 mg dry mass of E. prunastri was shaken in 10 ml of assay medium at the optimum pH, and 15°C for 5 h. Phytase assays were then run on the following samples: (1) thalli removed from the wash and blotted dry with tissue to remove old assay medium (= washed thalli); (2) 2.9 ml of assay medium without thalli (= washings); (3) 2.9 ml of filtered (to pass $0.22 \,\mu\text{m}$) assay medium without thalli (= filtered washings); and (4) fresh thalli not pre-treated by washing (= unwashed thalli). Washings were filtered to exclude lichen-associated bacteria and yeasts that might be a source of phytase (Mukhametzyanova et al., 2012). Assays on washings were initiated by adding 0.1 ml InsP₆ solution to 2.9 ml to yield a final substrate concentration of 1 mM and incubated for 1 h. Phytase activity in washings was expressed per unit mass of thallus from which the washings were derived.

Determination of phosphomonoesterase activity

PME activity was determined using Bessey et al.'s (1946) pNPP colorimetric assay as described by Hogan et al. (2010a). Lichen samples were added to 2.9 ml assay medium of similar composition to that used above for phytase measurements. Assays were initiated by adding 0.1 ml pNPP, to yield a final concentration of either 0.5 or 10 mM and samples were incubated for 20 min as for phytase assays, after which the reaction was terminated by transferring 2.5 ml assay medium into 0.25 ml terminator solution (1.1 M NaOH, 27.5 mM EDTA, 0.55 M K₂HPO₄) and the absorbance measured at 405 nm using a NanoDrop ND-1000 spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA). Thalli were then dried and weighed as above. Effective enzyme activity was expressed as μ mol pNPP hydrolysed g⁻¹ dry mass h^{-1} using pNP to calibrate the assay. 'No-lichen' and 'no-substrate' control runs were included in each batch of analyses. Assay medium pH was adjusted within the range 2.5-6.7 depending on species being tested; in each case the value selected was either the optimum value for the species determined by Lewis (2012), the optimum value for phytase activity or, if unknown, the thallus surface pH value (Table 1). Note that a 10 mM substrate concentration is considered saturating for PME activity in lichens (Hogan, 2009; Crittenden et al., 2015).

Assessment of canopy through fall and pollen as sources on ${\rm InsP}_6$

Canopy throughfall was sampled in triplicate in two stands of sycamore (*Acer pseudoplatanus*): during September in Nottingham City (Grid Reference SK 518375, 52°55′58″N, 1°13′48″W); and in October in the Derwent Valley, Derbyshire (Table 1) where trees support large populations of *E. prunastri*. Polyethylene funnels (diameter 22 cm) connected to 1-l bottles were positioned beneath trees with the funnel tops at 1 m above the ground. Funnels were fitted with stainless steel spikes on their circumferences to prevent bird perching and resultant contamination (Asman *et al.*, 1982); they were deployed shortly before the onset of forecast rainfall events and left in the field for up to 24 h. Throughfall samples were passed in sequence through a cellulose filter paper and a nitrocellulose membrane filter (0.22 μ m, Millipore), freeze-dried, and the residue stored at -20° C until required. Samples were then re-solubilized in 1 ml water and analysed by HPIC for the presence of InsP₆.

Pollen (25 mg) was collected from oriental pink lilies (*Lilium candidum*), and shaken in 7 ml of assay medium at the optimum pH for 1 h. Filtrate (0.22 μ m, Millipore) was analysed as above. To determine whether enzyme activity in *E. prunastri* could hydrolyse InsP₆ present in lily pollen, lichen thalli were incubated in assay medium containing pollen for 5 h and then analysed as described above.

Data analysis

SPSS (SPSS (UK) Ltd, Woking, UK) was used to perform standard statistical analyses. All data were checked for normality of distribution (Kolmogorov–Smirnov test) and homogeneity of variances and where test assumptions were not met, either log- or square root-transformed. Relationships between rates of enzyme activity and environmental variables were subjected to either one-way ANOVA, ANCOVA, correlation or regression analysis.

Results

All raw data are available in Supporting Information Table S1.

Studies on Evernia prunastri

Hydrolysis of $InsP_6$ was readily detectable in *Evernia prunastri*. As incubation time increased from 0.5 to 24 h, $InsP_6$ decreased in abundance while a peak consistent with $InsP_5$, the first hydrolysis product, appeared and rapidly increased. Peaks consistent with $InsP_4$ – $InsP_1$ were also produced and similarly increased over a 24 h incubation period. Hence, a reduction in $InsP_6$ abundance could be used as a measure of phytase activity (Fig. 1). The detection limit (= 5× standard deviation of repeat 'blank' samples; Wilson, 1974) for depletion of $InsP_6$ in samples containing 1 µmol ml⁻¹ $InsP_6$ was 0.0018 µmol ml⁻¹, roughly equating to a rate of activity in *E. prunastri* of 0.86 µmol $InsP_6$ hydrolysed g⁻¹ h⁻¹. 'No-substrate' controls did not produce substances that could be detected by HPIC.

Although $InsP_6$ was progressively hydrolysed with time, rates during the first 0.25 h were often atypically high. This was clearly evident when the progress of $InsP_6$ hydrolysis in incubations of increasing duration was compared at different temperatures (Fig. 2a–f). However, the rates of hydrolysis determined from the gradients of the regression lines for each temperature were highly



Fig. 1 Progress of InsP₆ hydrolysis and appearance of InsP₆ hydrolysis products in the apical 10 mm segments of *Evernia prunastri* as measured by HPIC: InsP₆, closed circles (left-hand axis); InsP₅, open circles; InsP₄, open triangles; InsP₃, closed triangle (right-hand axis). Samples were incubated for increasing time periods up to 24 h in 1 mM InsP₆ at pH 2.5 and 15°C in the dark. Plotted values are means (n = 6) ± 1 SEM.

correlated with temperature (Fig. 2g) suggesting that initial high rates often observed in short assays might result from nonenzymic loss of substrate (e.g. Fig. 2f). Therefore, to avoid overestimation of enzyme activity, rate of phytase activity in all subsequent assays was determined from the difference in the quantity of $InsP_6$ hydrolysed between 0.5 h and 5 h incubations.

Phytase activity was strongly dependent on both pH (Fig. 2h) and substrate concentration (Fig. S1). Maximum rates were recorded at pH 2.5 and were 18 times higher than those at pH 6.7; this putative optimum is broadly consistent with the measured thallus surface pH of 3.4 ± 0.1 (n=6). Phytase response to substrate concentration yielded apparent $K_{\rm m}$ and $V_{\rm max}$ values of 3.01 ± 0.5 mM and 13.08 ± 2.2 µmol InsP₆ hydrolysed g⁻¹ dry mass h⁻¹, respectively. A non-enzyme-saturating substrate concentration of 1 mM was used in all subsequent routine assays to reduce costs and to obviate the need to routinely dilute samples before HPIC analysis.

Lichen washings contained appreciable phytase activity which was reduced little by filtering (Fig. 3a). Surprisingly, there were no significant differences between phytase activities in the filtered washings alone and in either fresh (i.e. not pre-washed) lichen or lichen prewashed for 5 h. Lichen washings also contained PME activity, but rates of pNPP hydrolysis recorded in filtered and unfiltered washings were only 17–23% of those in assays containing thalli.

Phytase and PME activities in *E. prunastri* strongly co-varied among sites with contrasting N deposition (Fig. 3b). However, neither enzyme activity was significantly related to wet inorganic N deposition (phytase activity, Fig. 3c; PME activity, data not shown, $r^2 = 0.22$, P = 0.19) or to its components (deposition of NO₃⁻ and NH₄⁺ singly, and their concentrations in rainfall, data not shown). When samples were exposed to equimolar concentrations (0.5 mM) of InsP₆ and *p*NPP, the rate of phytase activity was reduced by 48% (P = 0.02, as revealed by ANOVA) compared to InsP₆-only treatments, whereas the rate of PME activity was reduced by 18% (P = 0.38) compared to *p*NPP-only treatments. Collections of canopy throughfall from beneath sycamore trees were made during three rainfall events, each in triplicate. Throughfall volumes collected per funnel were in the range 220– 490 ml. All nine collections were analysed by HPIC but this did not detect compounds that corresponded with either InsP₆, InsP₅ or InsP₄, which have retention times > 18 min. Several unknown compounds with retention times < 18 min were detected; these were particularly abundant in late October when tree leaves were at an advanced stage of senescence/abscission.

InsP₆ was readily detected in lily pollen leachate. Approximately 25 mg of pollen produced 57–74 μ mols InsP₆ in solution. When *E. prunastri* was incubated in filtered pollen leachate, InsP₆ decreased in abundance concomitant with production of InsP₅, the first hydrolysis product (Fig. 4).

Interspecies comparisons of phytase and PME activities

Phytase activity was detected in the majority of lichen species tested with the highest rates in the epiphytic species *Bryoria fuscescens, E. prunastri, Usnea subfloridana* and *Pseudevernia furfuracea* (Fig. 5). In all cases where InsP₆ depletion was detected, it was associated with production of InsP₅. Lower phytase activity was measured in most other lichens with no activity being recorded in the terricolous mat-forming lichen *Cladonia portentosa.* Phytase activity was one to two orders of magnitude lower than that of PME, but activities of the two enzymes among the 13 species tested were significantly correlated (r=0.77; P<0.01). For both enzyme activities the effect of species was significant at P<0.001 (ANCOVA).

Discussion

We show for the first time that phytase activity is readily detectable in lichens. Rates of activity among the 13 species tested ranged from (0–) 0.3 to 11.3 μ mol InsP₆ hydrolysed g⁻¹ h⁻¹. These values are broadly comparable with those documented for mycorrhizal roots and mycorrhizal fungi in axenic culture which are generally in the range 1–20 μ mol P released g⁻¹ h⁻¹ (Bartlett & Lewis, 1973; Straker & Mitchell, 1986; McElhinney & Mitchell, 1993; Antibus et al., 1997; Colpaert et al., 1997), although rates >100 $\mu mol~P$ released $g^{-1}\,h^{-1}$ have occasionally been reported (Antibus et al., 1992; Ahmad-Ramli et al., 2013). The highest activities in lichens were recorded in epiphytic species, whereas those in the two terricolous lichens tested (C. portentosa and Peltigera membranacea) were the lowest. This might seem surprising for two reasons. First, there are large reserves of InsP₆ in many soils (Turner et al., 2002, 2007, 2014), including podsols (Vincent et al., 2012), and thus a capacity to hydrolyse InsP₆ could be advantageous in lichens growing in contact with soil. However, it has been argued that mat-forming species of Cladonia (= subgenus Cladina) are largely insulated from soil chemistry by a basal layer of dead thallus or necromass (Crittenden, 1991) and Ellis et al. (2003, 2004) provided evidence to suggest that these terricolous species derive little N from soil and are largely dependent on atmospheric deposits for N supply. Cladina spp. typically occur in open habitats where rainfall is probably

Fig. 2 Effect of temperature and pH on InsP₆ hydrolysis in the apical 10 mm of Evernia prunastri. Samples were incubated in 1 mM InsP₆ in the dark; plotted values are means \pm 1 SEM. (a–f) Progress of hydrolysis in incubations of different durations and at different temperatures (5-30°C), regression lines are indicated (assay pH = 2.5, n = 6); insert in (f) shows selected data plotted as mean apparent rate of InsP₆ hydrolysis (V, μ mols g⁻¹ h⁻¹) determined in assays of increasing duration (T, h). (g) Effect of temperature on rates of InsP₆ hydrolysis $(r^2 = 0.915; P < 0.01);$ plotted values are the gradients of regression lines in (a-f) and SE bars are less than the diameter of the plotted points. (h) Effect of pH on phytase activity at 15°C (n = 10); rate of activity was determined from the difference between the quantities of $InsP_6$ hydrolysed in 0.5- and 5-h incubation periods; data are fitted to a twoparameter exponential decay ($r^2 = 0.977$; *P* < 0.01).

modified little by plant canopies. By contrast, stemflow and throughfall experienced by epiphytic lichens on trees are frequently enriched with organic compounds leached from the canopy above, especially during autumnal leaf senescence in deciduous forests (Le Mellec *et al.*, 2010). Organic C in throughfall includes Po (Qualls & Haines, 1991; Qualls *et al.*, 1991; Zimmermann *et al.*, 2007) of which Qualls *et al.* (1991) speculate that inositol phosphates might be components. In the present work, $InsP_6$ was not detected in canopy throughfall beneath sycamore trees supporting large populations of *E. prunastri*. Other compounds detected in throughfall could have included organophosphates and hence potential substrates for lichen PME, because the analytical system detects a range of Po compounds including glucose-6-phosphate, ATP, ADP and *p*NPP. Nonetheless, this analysis does not provide unambiguous evidence of Po



in canopy throughfall because it also detects other anions such as sulphates and oxalate (Chen & Li, 2003). Lysing cells of microorganisms and pollen on the thallus surface are additional potential sources of InsP₆. Pollen, in particular, contains high concentrations of InsP₆ (2–3% of pollen mass) which may comprise up to 75% of total pollen Po (Jackson *et al.*, 1982). Wind-pollinated trees that dominate northern temperate and boreal regions produce significant quantities of pollen annually; for example, Saito and co-workers have estimated pollen production on a dry mass basis in more than 10 forest types in Japan with values ranging from 55 to 96 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in *Pinus densifloral P. rigida* forests (Saito & Takeoka, 1985) to 70–356 kg ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ in stands of *Quercus serrata* (Saito *et al.*, 1991). Lee & Booth (2003) noted that pollen *deposition* in *P. densiflora* forests was only 30–50% of the estimated pollen *production* and proposed that this



Fig. 3 Variation in rates of InsP₆ and para-nitrophenyl phosphate (pNPP) hydrolysis in Evernia prunsatri among different washing treatments and different collection sites. (a) Rates of InsP₆ hydrolysis (closed bars) and pNPP hydrolysis (open bars) in thalli (+ assay medium) and in thallus washings alone; samples were incubated in assay medium for 5 h and activity in the supernatant (= washings, unfiltered (UF) or filtered (F)) compared with that in thalli (either with or without a 5-h washing pretreatment) (*, significantly different from thallus incubations; one-way ANOVA with post-hoc analysis). (b, c) Relationships between phytase activity and (b) phosphomonoesterase (PME) activity (r = 0.88, P < 0.01), and (c) nitrogen (N) deposition ($r^2 = 0.16$, P > 0.05); data points represent samples collected at sites subject to different rates of wet N deposition. In all cases assays were performed on the apical 10 mm of thalli in either 1 mM InsP₆ or 10 mM pNPP and at pH 2.5 and 15°C in the dark. Rate of phytase activity in thalli was determined from the difference between the quantities of InsP₆ hydrolysed in 0.5- and 5-h incubation periods. Plotted values are means \pm 1 SEM (n = 6 (a) or 10 (b, c)).



Fig. 4 High performance ion chromatograms of lily pollen leachate. Upper chromatogram: leachate resulting from 25 mg of pollen shaken in 7 ml of assay medium at pH 2.5 for 1 h showing the presence of $InsP_6$ (1). Lower chromatogram: leachate as above after 5 h incubation with apical 10 mm segments of *Evernia prunastri* showing the presence of $InsP_5$ (2) and depleted $InsP_6$.



Fig. 5 Rates of phytase (closed bars) and phosphomonoesterase (PME; open bars) activities in 13 lichen species. Assays were performed using either 1 mM InsP₆ or 10 mM *para*-nitrophenyl phosphate (*p*NPP), at 15°C in the dark and at the pH optimum pertaining to the species (Table 1). Rate of phytase activity was determined from the difference between the quantities of InsP₆ hydrolysed in 0.5 and 5 h-incubation periods. Plotted values are means (n = 10) + 1 SEM.

discrepancy might arise from pollen interception by trunks and leaves. Several authors have speculated that pollen deposition results in ecologically significant inputs of N and P to terrestrial and lake systems, with estimates of P inputs to pine forests in the range 1–7 mg m⁻² yr⁻¹ (Doskey & Ugoagwu, 1989; Lee *et al.*, 1996; Cho *et al.*, 2003; Lee & Booth, 2003), of which up to 3.5 mg P m⁻² yr⁻¹ could be in the form of InsP₆ (Jackson & Linskens, 1982), whereas Hutchison & Barron (1997) and Read

& Perez-Moreno (2003) have highlighted the potential importance of pollen as a nutrient source for saprotrophic and mycorrhizal fungi, respectively. The present results raise the possibility that some epiphytic lichens could utilize InsP₆ leached from pollen (Fig. 4) and thus might contribute to P cycling in forest canopies (cf. Read & Perez-Moreno, 2003). Our initial demonstration of InsP₆ release from lily pollen now requires confirmatory studies with pollen from ecologically relevant tree species together with analysis of canopy throughfall collected during the pollen season. Dwarf shrubs in the Ericaceae and Empetraceae that are characteristic of heathlands are predominantly insectpollinated and emit smaller quantities of pollen (Rodríguez-Rajo et al., 2005), whereas pollen of the Graminaceae tested by Jackson et al. (1982) contained negligible InsP₆. Hence, in the heathland habitats of C. portentosa pollen deposition might be a less significant source of nutrients for lichens.

Second, *Peltigera* spp contain cyanobacteria and have high N₂fixation capacities, high P demands (Crittenden *et al.*, 1994; McCune & Caldwell, 2009) and, according to predictions of Houlton *et al.* (2008), might be expected to have appreciable phosphatase activities. Furthermore, at the collection site *P. membranacea* grows amongst mosses on decaying tree stumps and boulders in deciduous woodland and so, like epiphytes, will also be exposed to throughfall but not stemflow.

The initial hydrolysis of InsP₆ yielding InsP₅ is probably catalysed by a specific phytase (Meek & Nicoletti, 1986; Shan et al., 1993). Our analytical results are consistent with $Ins(1,2,4,5,6)P_5$ being the first hydrolysis product and with the lichen enzyme(s) responsible being a 3-phytase, that is it first attacks the 3-position on the inositol ring. 3-phytases occur in other fungi (e.g. Aspergillus ficuum; Chen & Li, 2003) in contrast to 6-phytases (yielding Ins(1,2,3,4,5)P5) and 5-phytases (yielding Ins (1,2,3,4,6)P5) that occur in plants (Barrientos et al., 1994; Chen & Li, 2003; Jog et al., 2005), whereas all three enzyme types have been reported from bacteria (Sajidan et al., 2004; Puhl et al., 2008; Pontoppidan et al., 2012). Subsequent hydrolysis of lower-order inositol phosphate esters could be at least partly attributable to nonspecific PMEs (Meek & Nicoletti, 1986); indeed, data presented by Kemme et al. (1999) suggest that dephosphorylation of InsP3 and InspP2 by A. niger phytase is much slower than that of $InsP_6\text{--}InsP_3$ and that final hydrolysis of InsP₁ might be achieved exclusively by nonspecific PME enzymes (i.e. not by phytase). Peaks broadly consistent with InsP₂-InsP₁ increased in area with time indicating that dephosphorylation was ultimately complete, yielding inositol which could not be detected by the HPIC method. The proposed requirement for a specific phytase to initiate dephosphorylation of InsP₆, but not that of InsP₅-P₁, is consistent with the observed progress in E. prunastri of InsP₆ hydrolysis which remained linear, even when potentially competitive lower-order inositol phosphates had accumulated in the assay medium (Fig. 2a-f). Nevertheless, pNPP, the analogous substrate for PME, did significantly inhibit phytase activity. This could result from either a direct interaction between pNPP and phytase or an inhibitory effect of Pi released from pNPP hydrolysis which was typically 50–100 times faster than InsP₆ hydrolysis.

Phytase activity was pH dependent and hydrolysis products (e.g. InsP₅ and InsP₄) accumulated in the bathing medium. The hydrolysis of pNPP has similar properties which Bartlett & Lewis (1973), in their studies on mycorrhizal beech roots, considered indicative of extracellular PME activity. There is compelling evidence that PME activity in lichens is principally, if not entirely, associated with the fungus. Using an enzyme labelled fluorescent phosphatase substrate (ELF 97), Hogan et al. (2010a) showed that PME in C. portentosa was only detectable in the fungal symbiont and was concentrated in the outermost regions of the thallus. More recent work using ELF97 with other lichen species has shown PME activity to be restricted to the cortical cells (G. Brown & P. D. Crittenden, unpublished data). Furthermore, Hogan (2009) demonstrated PME activity in axenic cultures of C. portentosa and that it was positively related to the N: P mass ratio in the batch culture medium. It seems reasonable to suggest that extracellular phytase activity might have a distribution in the lichen thallus similar to that of surfacebound PME. However, the two enzymes differed in the extent to which they could be washed from *E. prunastri* into the bathing medium. Approximately 20% of total lichen PME activity was attributable to enzyme(s) leached into the assay medium, whereas phytase activity in lichen washings alone was not significantly different from that in either non-pretreated thalli plus washings or in thalli after 5 h washing (Fig. 3a); these results were confirmed in repeat experiments. It is possible that phytase has a substantially higher activity in free solution than in the thallus free-space where it might be partially immobilized and/ or in a different conformational state, such that in all lichen assays activity measured is largely that of the enzyme in free solution. This could make ecological sense if particulate matter such as pollen and microbial debris washed down from the canopy above is a principal source of InsP₆ although, equally, investment of N in secreted proteins seems prima facie an unlikely strategy in a lichen-forming fungus adapted to nutrientpoor conditions.

There was evidence in *E. prunastri* that the rate of InsP₆ consumption during short incubations (≤ 0.25 h) was atypically high. This putative artefact might have resulted from InsP₆ precipitation or its adsorption onto cell wall surfaces. Note that using Beckett's (1995) estimates of the free-space volume in lichens from xeric habitats (a mean of 32% of thallus volume), we estimate that the diluting effect of InsP₆ diffusing into the thallus free-space was probably negligible (0.3%). Pretreating thalli in InsP₆ for 0.5 h before assays excluded this apparent artefact from rate measurements. It is unlikely that further nonenzymic loss of InsP₆ could have been significant beyond 0.5 h for the following reasons: (1) InsP₆ consumption proceeded at a constant rate thereafter; (2) response to temperature was linear between 5 and 30°C and the temperature quotient between 15 and 25°C $(Q_{10} = 1.5)$ was broadly comparable with those for phytase activity in other microorganisms over the same temperature interval (e.g. c. 1.7 in Erwinia carotovora (Huang et al., 2009), c. 1.7 in Janthinobacterium sp. (Zhang et al., 2011), c. 1.4-2.5 in Aspergillus spp (Shivanna & Venkateswaran, 2014)); (3) some lichen samples had zero rates of activity (e.g. C. portentosa); and

(4) activity in assays on *E. prunastri* thalli was similar to that in filtered thallus washings.

Rates of phytase activity in lichens are generally only a fraction of the rates of PME activity; similar proportionalities are evident in mycorrhizal roots and mycorrhizal fungi in axenic culture (Straker & Mitchell, 1986; Antibus et al., 1992, 1997; McElhinney & Mitchell, 1993; Colpaert et al., 1997). However, apparent $K_{\rm m}$ values for the two enzyme systems in lichens when calculated from whole thallus data are similar: the $K_{\rm m}$ value of 3.0 mM for phytase activity in E. prunastri can be compared with those for pNPP hydrolysis of 2.0 mM in the Antarctic species Usnea sphacelata (Crittenden et al., 2015), 2.2 mM in C. portentosa (Hogan et al., 2010a) and 8.9 mM in Cladonia rangiferina (Lane & Puckett, 1979). Further, the putative pH optima for phytase and PME activities in *E. prunastri* are similar (2.7 and 3.0, respectively; Lewis, 2012). Lichen species with high phytase activity also have high PME activity but the reverse is not necessarily true. Covariation between the two enzyme activities was striking when examined in a single species (E. prunastri) collected from locations with differing N deposition rates (Fig. 3b). Despite a growing body of evidence that upregulation of PME capacity in response to N enrichment is a general occurrence amongst a wide range of plants and microorganisms (Hogan et al., 2010b), neither phytase nor PME activities in E. prunastri were coherently related to wet N deposition (Fig. 3c). PME activity in lichens growing in treeless habitats is highly coupled to N deposition; PME activity in C. portentosa differed by a factor of 2 between British heathland sites with the lowest and highest N deposition rates (Hogan et al., 2010a) and in Antarctic fellfields PME activity in Usnea sphacelata differed by a factor of 6 between sites close to (2 km) and remote from (15 km) a penguin rookery (Crittenden et al., 2015). The weaker link between phosphatase activities and N deposition in *E. prunastri* might be explained by potential chemical modifications to rainfall that take place between interception by the tree canopy and deposition onto the surface of epiphytes.

The method used here for assaying phytase activity, which utilizes Chen & Li's (2003) HPIC-based quantification of inositol phosphates, has distinct advantages over methods based on Pi release. It measures depletion of a specific naturally occurring substrate and the production of intermediate products of hydrolysis, thus confirming that substrate depletion is not an artefact. The method can be used with living material because the substrate and the measured hydrolysis products appear not to be taken up by the test samples. Although it is to some extent reassuring that rates of phytase activity in lichens measured by InsP₆ depletion are similar to those documented for mycorrhizal fungi based on Pi release, it is perhaps surprising that rates in some lichen species are as high or higher than those in members of the Agaricomycotina, in which production of exoenzymes is a wellunderstood strategy for exploitation of organic substrates. It is possible that measurements of phytase activity in fungal-root systems based on the rate of Pi release from InsP6 are underestimates due to the confounding effect of rapid active Pi uptake by physiologically active samples; a comparison of results produced by the two methods applied to the same test system is now needed to

clarify this question. Disadvantages of the present method are that measurement of substrate depletion is generally less sensitive than measurement of product accumulation (cf. PME assay involving measurement of pNP production) and the analytical equipment is expensive to buy and maintain. Given the clear need for a robust phytase assay method, it is probable that the method used here will find application more widely in studies on plant root, fungal and soil systems.

Acknowledgements

We thank Lars Kobberøe Skov (Novozymes, Bagsværd, Denmark) for provision of InsP₆, Yong-Uk Kwon (Ewha Womans University, Seoul, South Korea) for the donation of inositol phosphate standards (InsP₃, InsP₅), Rognvald Smith (CEH, Edinburgh, UK) for provision of N deposition and rainfall chemistry data, Forestry Commission Wales for permission to collect lichens in Beddgelert Forest, and Beeston Fields Golf Club for permission to collect canopy throughfall. We are also grateful to Lars Kobberøe Skov and Qingchuan Chen (Ash Stevens Inc., Riverview, Michigan, USA) for useful discussions, and to three anonymous referees whose comments helped us to substantially improve this paper. This research was funded by the Natural Environment Research Council.

References

- Ahmad-Ramli MF, Cornulier T, Johnson D. 2013. Partitioning of soil phosphorus regulates competition between Vaccinium vitis-idaea and Deschampsia cespitosa. Ecology and Evolution 3: 4243–4252.
- Alkarawi HH, Zotz G. 2014a. Phytic acid in green leaves. *Plant Biology* 16: 697–701.
- Alkarawi HH, Zotz G. 2014b. Phytic acid in green leaves of herbaceous plants temporal variation *in situ* and responses to different nitrogen/phosphorus fertilizing regimes. *AoB PLANTS* 6: plu048.
- Antibus RK, Bower D, Dighton J. 1997. Root surface phosphatase activities and uptake of ³²P-labelled inositol phosphate in field-collected gray birch and red maple roots. *Mycorrhiza* 7: 39–46.
- Antibus RK, Sinsabaugh RL, Linkins AE. 1992. Phosphatase activities and phosphorus uptake from inositol phosphate by ectomycorrhizal fungi. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 70: 794–801.
- Asman WAH, Ridder TB, Reijnders HFR, Slanina J. 1982. Influence and prevention of bird-droppings in precipitation chemistry experiments. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 17: 415–420.
- Barrientos L, Scott JJ, Murthy PPN. 1994. Specificity of hydrolysis of phytic acid by alkaline phytase from lily pollen. *Plant Physiology* 106: 1489–1495.
- Bartlett EM, Lewis DH. 1973. Surface phosphatase activity of mycorrhizal roots of beech. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 5: 249–257.
- Beckett RP. 1995. Some aspects of the water relations of lichens from habitats of contrasting water status studied using thermocouple psychrometry. *Annals of Botany* 76: 211–217.
- Benner JW, Conroy S, Lunch CK, Toyoda N, Vitousek PM. 2007. Phosphorus fertilization increases the abundance and nitrogenase activity of the cyanolichen *Pseudocyphellaria crocata* in Hawaiian montane forests. *Biotropica* 39: 400–405.
- Benner JW, Vitousek PM. 2007. Development of a diverse epiphyte community in response to phosphorus fertilization. *Ecology Letters* 10: 628–636.
- Berry DF, Berry DA. 2005. Tethered phytic acid as a probe for measuring phytase activity. *Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry Letters* 15: 3157–3161.
- Berry DF, Shang C, Waltham Sajdak CA, Zelazny LW. 2007. Measurement of phytase activity using tethered phytic acid as an artificial substrate: methods development. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 39: 361–367.

Bessey OA, Lowry OH, Brock MJ. 1946. A method for the rapid determination of alkaline phosphatase with five cubic millimeters of serum. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 164: 321–329.

Boltersdorf SH, Pesch R, Werner W. 2014. Comparative use of lichens, mosses and tree bark to evaluate nitrogen deposition in Germany. *Environmental Pollution* 189: 43–53.

Bruteig IE. 1993. The epiphytic lichen *Hypogymnia physodes* as a biomonitor of atmospheric nitrogen and sulphur deposition in Norway. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment* 26: 27–47.

Chen Q-C, Li BW. 2003. Separation of phytic acid and other related inositol phosphates by high-performance ion chromatography and its applications. *Journal of Chromatography A* **1018**: 41–52.

Cho Y-J, Kim IS, Kim P-G, Lee EJ. 2003. Deposition of airborne pine pollen in a temperate pine forest. *Grana* 42: 178–182.

Colpaert JV, Van Laere A, Van Tichelen KK, Van Assche JA. 1997. The use of inositol hexaphosphate as a phosphorus source by mycorrhizal and non-mycorrhizal Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*). *Functional Ecology* 11: 407–415.

Cosgrove DJ, Irving GCJ. 1980. Inositol phosphates: their chemistry, biochemistry and physiology. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier.

Crittenden PD. 1983. The role of lichens in the nitrogen economy of subarctic woodlands: nitrogen loss from the nitrogen-fixing lichen *Stereocaulon paschale* during rainfall. In: Lee JA, McNeill S, Rorison IH, eds. *Nitrogen as an ecological factor*. Oxford, UK: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 43–68.

Crittenden PD. 1989. Nitrogen relations of mat-forming lichens. In: Boddy L, Marchant R, Read DJ, eds. *Nitrogen, phosphorus and sulphur utilization by fungi*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press, 243–268.

Crittenden PD. 1991. Ecological significance of necromass production in matforming lichens. *Lichenologist* 23: 323–331.

Crittenden PD. 1998. Nutrient exchange in an Antarctic macrolichen during summer snowfall–snow melt events. *New Phytologist* 139: 697–707.

Crittenden PD, Kałucka I, Oliver E. 1994. Does nitrogen supply limit the growth of lichens? *Cryptogamic Botany* 4: 143–155.

Crittenden PD, Scrimgeour CM, Minnullina G, Sutton MA, Tang YS, Theobald MR. 2015. Lichen response to ammonia deposition defines the footprint of a penguin rookery. *Biogeochemistry* 122: 295–311.

Dahlman L, Persson J, Palmqvist K, Näsholm T. 2004. Organic and inorganic nitrogen uptake in lichens. *Planta* 219: 459–467.

Dawson RMC, Elliott DC, Elliott WH, Jones KM. 1986. Data for biochemical research, 3rd edn. Oxford, UK: Clarendon Press.

Doskey PV, Ugoagwu BJ. 1989. Atmospheric deposition of macronutrients by pollen at a semi-remote site in northern Wisconsin. *Atmospheric Environment* 23: 2761–2766.

Ellis CJ, Crittenden PD, Scrimgeour CM. 2004. Soil as a potential source of nitrogen for mat-forming lichens. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 82: 145–149.

Ellis CJ, Crittenden PD, Scrimgeour CM, Ashcroft C. 2003. The natural abundance of ¹⁵N in mat-forming lichens. *Oecologia* **136**: 115–123.

Farrar JF. 1976. The uptake and metabolism of phosphate by the lichen *Hypogymnia physodes. New Phytologist* 77: 127–134.

Frank AW. 2013. Chemistry of plant phosphorus compounds. Amsterdam, the Netherlands: Elsevier.

Gibson DM, Ullah AHJ. 1988. Purification and characterization of phytase from cotyledons of germinating soybean seeds. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 260: 503–513.

Grimshaw HJ, Dolske DA. 2002. Rainfall concentrations and wet atmospheric deposition of phosphorus and other constituents in Florida, U.S.A. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 137: 117–140.

Hayes JE, Richardson AE, Simpson RJ. 1999. Phytase and acid phosphatase activities in extracts from roots of temperate pasture grass and legume seedlings. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 26: 801–809.

Hayman G, Vincent K, Lawrence H, Smith M, Colbeck C, Davies M, Sutton M, Tang S, Dragosits U, Love L et al. 2007. Management and operation of the UK Acid Deposition Monitoring Network: data summary for 2005 (Report number AEAT/ENV/R/2342). Didcot, UK: AEA Technology plc.

He J, Balasubramanian R, Burger DF, Hicks K, Kuylenstierna JCI, Palani S. 2011. Dry and wet atmospheric deposition of nitrogen and phosphorus in Singapore. *Atmospheric Environment* 45: 2760–2768.

Hegeman CE, Grabau EA. 2001. A novel phytase with sequence similarity to purple acid phosphatases is expressed in cotyledons of germinating soybean seedlings. *Plant Physiology* **126**: 1598–1608.

Hogan EJ. 2009. Nitrogen–phosphorus relationships in lichens. PhD thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.

Hogan EJ, Minnullina G, Sheppard LJ, Leith ID, Crittenden PD. 2010b. Response of phosphomonoesterase activity in the lichen *Cladonia portentosa* to

nitrogen and phosphorus enrichment in a field manipulation experiment. *New Phytologist* **186**: 926–933.

Hogan EJ, Minnullina G, Smith RI, Crittenden PD. 2010a. Effects of nitrogen enrichment on phosphatase activity and nitrogen: phosphorus relationships in *Cladonia portentosa. New Phytologist* 186: 911–925.

Houlton BZ, Wang Y-P, Vitousek PM, Field CB. 2008. A unifying framework for dinitrogen fixation in the terrestrial biosphere. *Nature* 454: 327–330.

Huang H, Luo H, Wang Y, Fu D, Shao N, Yang P, Meng K, Yao B. 2009. Novel low-temperature-active phytase from *Erwinia carotovora* var. *carotovota* ACCC 10276. *Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology* 19: 1085–1091.

Hutchison LJ, Barron GL. 1997. Parasitism of pollen as a nutritional source for lignicolous Basidiomycota and other fungi. *Mycological Research* 101: 191–194.

Hyvärinen M, Crittenden PD. 1998a. Phosphate uptake in *Cladonia portentosa*. *Lichenologist* 30: 297–301.

Hyvärinen M, Crittenden PD. 1998b. Relationships between atmospheric nitrogen inputs and the vertical nitrogen and phosphorus concentration gradients in the lichen *Cladonia portentosa. New Phytologist* 140: 519–530.

Jackson JF, Jones G, Linskens HF. 1982. Phytic acid in pollen. *Phytochemistry* 21: 1255–1258.

Jackson JF, Linskens HF. 1982. Conifer pollen contains phytate and could be a major source of phytate phosphorus in forest soils. *Australian Forest Research* 12: 11–18.

Jog SP, Garchow BG, Mehta BD, Murthy PPN. 2005. Alkaline phytase from lily pollen: investigation of biochemical properties. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 440: 133–140.

Kemme PA, Lommen A, De Jonge LH, Van der Klis JD, Jongbloed AW, Mroz Z, Beynen AC. 1999. Quantification of inositol phosphates using ³¹P nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy in animal nutrition. *Journal of Agricultural* and Food Chemistry 47: 5116–5121.

Kytöviita MM, Crittenden PD. 2007. Growth and nitrogen relations in the matforming lichens *Stereocaulon paschale* and *Cladonia stellaris*. *Annals of Botany* 100: 1537–1545.

Lakin-Thomas PL. 1993. Effects of inositol starvation on the levels of inositol phosphates and inositol lipids in *Neurospora crassa*. *Biochemical Journal* 292: 805–811.

Lane I, Puckett KJ. 1979. Responses of the phosphatase activity of the lichen *Cladina rangiferina* to various environmental factors including metals. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 57: 1534–1540.

Le Mellec A, Meesenburg H, Michalzik B. 2010. The importance of canopyderived dissolved and particulate organic matter (DOM and POM) – comparing throughfall solution from broadleaved and coniferous forests. *Annals of Forest Science* 67: 411.

Lee EJ, Booth T. 2003. Macronutrient input from pollen in two regenerating pine stands in southeast Korea. *Ecological Research* 18: 423–430.

Lee EJ, Kenkel N, Booth T. 1996. Atmospheric deposition of macronutrients by pollen in the boreal forest. *Ecoscience* 3: 304–309.

Lewis JEJ. 2012. Bio-monitoring for atmospheric nitrogen pollution using epiphytic lichens and bryophytes. PhD thesis, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK.

Martin J-B, Foray M-F, Klein G, Satre M. 1987. Identification of inositol hexaphosphate in ³¹P-NMR spectra of *Dictyostelium discoideum* amoebae. Relevance to intracellular pH determination. *Biochemica et Biophysica Acta* 931: 16–25.

Martinsen V, Grund F, Kjeve MN, de Wit HA, Austrheim G, Mysterud A, Mulder J. 2013. Differences in the quality of seepage water and runoff caused by plant community and grazing at an alpine site in Hol, Southern Norway. *Water, Air, and Soil Pollution* 224: 1649.

McCune B, Caldwell BA. 2009. A single phosphorus treatment doubles growth of cyanobacterial lichen transplants. *Ecology* **90**: 567–570.

- McElhinney C, Mitchell DT. 1993. Phosphatase activity of four ectomycorrhizal fungi found in a Sitka spruce-Japanese larch plantation in Ireland. *Mycological Research* 97: 725–732.
- Meek JL, Nicoletti F. 1986. Detection of inositol triphosphate and other organic phosphates by high-performance liquid chromatography using an enzyme-loaded post-column reactor. *Journal of Chromatography* 351: 303–311.
- Mukhametzyanova AD, Akhmetova AI, Sharipova MR. 2012. Microorganisms as phytase producers. *Microbiology* 81: 267–275.
- Neal C, Reynolds B, Neal M, Hughes S, Wickham H, Hill L, Rowland P, Pugh B. 2003. Soluble reactive phosphorus levels in rainfall, cloud water, throughfall, stemflow, soil waters, stream waters and groundwaters for the Upper River Severn area, Plynlimon, mid Wales. *Science of the Total Environment* 314–316: 99–120.
- Pontoppidan K, Glitsoe V, Guggenbuhl P, Quintana AP, Nunes CS, Pettersson D, Sandberg A-S. 2012. In vitro and in vivo degradation of myo-inositol hexakisphosphate by a phytase from *Citrobacter braakii*. Archives of Animal Nutrition 66: 431–444.
- Puhl AA, Greiner R, Selinger LB. 2008. A protein tyrosine phosphatase-like inositol polyphosphatase from *Selenomonas ruminantium* subsp. *lactilytica* has specificity for the 5-phosphate of *myo*-inositol hexakisphosphate. *International Journal of Biochemistry and Cell Biology* 40: 2053–2064.
- Qualls RG, Haines BL. 1991. Geochemistry of dissolved organic nutrients in water percolating through a forest ecosystem. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 55: 1112–1123.
- Qualls RG, Haines BL, Swank WT. 1991. Fluxes of dissolved organic nutrients and humic substances in a deciduous forest. *Ecology* 72: 254–266.
- Raboy V. 2003. myo-Inositol-1,2,3,4,5,6-hexakisphosphate. Phytochemistry 64: 1033–1043.
- Read DJ, Perez-Moreno J. 2003. Mycorrhizas and nutrient cycling in ecosystems a journey towards relevance? *New Phytologist* 157: 475–492.
- Remke E, Brouwer E, Kooijman A, Blindow I, Esselink H, Roelofs JGM. 2009. Even low to medium nitrogen deposition impacts vegetation of dry, coastal dunes around the Baltic Sea. *Environmental Pollution* 157: 792–800.
- Rodríguez-Rajo FJ, Méndez J, Jato V. 2005. Airborne *Ericaceae* pollen grains in the atmosphere of Vigo (Northwest Spain) and its relationship with meteorological factors. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology* 47: 792–800.
- Saito H, Itsubo T, Takeoka M. 1991. Production rates of reproductive organ in *Quercus serrata* stands: the investment of photosynthates in seed production. *Bulletin of the Kyoto Prefectural University Forests* 35: 1–14.
- Saito H, Takeoka M. 1985. Pollen production rates in a young Japanese red pine forest. *Japanese Journal of Ecology* 35: 67–76.
- Sajidan A, Farouk A, Greiner R, Jungblut P, Müller E-C, Borriss R. 2004. Molecular and physiological characterisation of a 3-phytase from soil bacterium *Klebsiella* sp. ASR1. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* 65: 110–118.
- Shan Y, McKelvie ID, Hart BT. 1993. Characterization of immobilized *Escherichia coli* alkaline phosphatase reactors in flow injection analysis. *Analytical Chemistry* 65: 3053–3060.
- Shears SB, Turner BL. 2007. Nomenclature and terminology of inositol phosphates: clarification and a glossary of terms. In: Turner BL, Richardson AE, Mullaney EJ, eds. *Inositol phosphates: linking agriculture and the environment.* Wallingford, UK: CABI International, 1–6.
- Shivanna GB, Venkateswaran G. 2014. Phytase production by *Aspergillus niger* CFR 335 and *Aspergillus ficuum* SGA 01 through submerged and solid-state fermentation. *The Scientific World Journal* 2014: ID392615.
- Smith SE, Read D. 2008. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*, 3^{rd} edn. New York, NY, USA: Academic Press.
- Straker CJ, Mitchell DT. 1986. The activity and characterization of acid phosphatases in endomycorrhizal fungi of the *Ericaeae*. New Phytologist 104: 243–256.
- Tibbett M. 2002. Considerations on the use of the *p*-nitrophenyl phosphomonoesterase assay in the study of the phosphorus nutrition of soil borne fungi. *Microbiological Research* 157: 221–231.

- Tomassen HBM, Smolders AJP, Lamers LPM, Roelofs JGM. 2005. How bird droppings can affect the vegetation composition of ombrotrophic bogs. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 83: 1046–1056.
- Turner BL. 2006. Inositol phosphates in soil: amounts, forms and significance of the phosphorylated inositol stereoisomers. In: Turner BL, Richardson AE, Mullaney EF, eds. *Inositol phosphates: linking agriculture and the environment*. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 186–206.
- Turner BL, Condron LM, Richardson SJ, Peltzer DA, Allison VJ. 2007. Soil organic phosphorus transformations during pedogenesis. *Ecosystems* 10: 1166–1181.
- Turner BL, Papházy MJ, Haygarth PM, McKelvie ID. 2002. Inositol phosphates in the environment. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London, Series B* 357: 449–469.
- Turner BL, Wells A, Condron LM. 2014. Soil organic phosphorus transformations along a coastal dune chronosequence under New Zealand temperate rain forest. *Biogeochemistry* 121: 595–611.
- Vincent AG, Schleucher J, Gröbner G, Vestergren J, Persson P, Jansson M, Giesler R. 2012. Changes in organic phosphorus composition in boreal forest humus soils: the role of iron and aluminium. *Biogeochemistry* 108: 485–499.
- Whitton BA, Al-Shehri AM, Ellwood NTW, Turner BL. 2005. Ecological aspects of phosphatase activity in cyanobacteria, eukaryotic algae and bryophytes. In: Turner BL, Frossard E, Baldwin DS, eds. *Organic phosphorus in the environment*. Wallingford, UK: CABI Publishing, 205–241.
- Wilson AL. 1974. The chemical analysis of water: general principles and techniques. London, UK: Society for Analytical Chemistry.
- Winkler U, Zotz G. 2009. Highly efficient uptake of phosphorus in epiphytic bromeliads. *Annals of Botany* 103: 477–484.
- Yadav RS, Tarafdar JC. 2003. Phytase and phosphatase producing fungi in arid and semi-arid soils and their efficiency in hydrolyzing different organic P compounds. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 35: 745–751.
- Yoshioka K, Kamiya H, Kano Y, Saki Y, Yamamuro M, Ishitobi Y. 2009. The relationship between seasonal variations of total-nitrogen and total-phosphorus in rainfall and air mass advection paths in Matsue, Japan. *Atmospheric Environment* 43: 3496–3501.
- Zhang R, Yang P, Huang H, Yuan T, Shi P, Meng K, Yao B. 2011. Molecular and biochemical characterization of a new alkaline β-propeller phytase from the insect symbiotic bacterium *Janthinobacterium* sp. TN115. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology* **92**: 317–325.
- Zimmermann A, Wilcke W, Elsenbeer H. 2007. Spatial and temporal patterns of throughfall quantity and quality in a tropical montane forest in Ecuador. *Journal of Hydrology* 343: 80–96.

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found in the online version of this article.

Fig. S1 Effect of substrate concentration on rate of InsP₆ hydrolysis (Michaelis–Menten plot) in the apical 10 mm of *Evernia* prunastri.

Table S1 Replicate values of phytase and PME activities in*Evernia prunastri* and other selected lichens

Please note: Wiley Blackwell are not responsible for the content or functionality of any supporting information supplied by the authors. Any queries (other than missing material) should be directed to the *New Phytologist* Central Office.