Article (refereed) - postprint

Norton, L.R.. 2016. **Is it time for a socio-ecological revolution in agriculture?** *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 235. 13-16. 10.1016/j.agee.2016.10.007

Is it time for a socio-ecological revolution in agriculture?

L R NORTON

Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Library Avenue, Bailrigg, Lancs, LA1 4AP

Corresponding author Email: lrn@ceh.ac.uk, phone 01524 595825, fax 01524 61536

1. Abstract

Sustainable intensification is touted as the future for agricultural land management in a world demanding greater food production. Agricultural practices remain primarily driven by the 'intensification' and not the 'sustainable' agenda. To turn this around requires clear evidence from ecologists about the nature of farming systems, the fundamental underpinning role of natural resources and ecological processes within them and the provision of feasible alternatives. Alternative ecologically based farming systems must reflect current wider food systems and the actors engaged in them with ecologists playing a key role in advocating change; from international global agreements which force political change, through changes in focus for agri-businesses, to decision-making by individual land owners.

Key words: agriculture, agri-business, ecology, ecosystem services, natural resources, society

2. Introduction

Over the past decade or more ecologists have engaged with both the ecosystem service (ES) agenda (MA, 2003; Zhang *et al*., 2007) and the need for developing sustainable agricultural systems (Firbank *et al*., 2013; Robertson & Swinton, 2005). The increasing numbers of publications concerned with 'food security' and 'sustainable intensification' in recent ecological journals reflect continuing concerns about the pressures of increasing food production on agro-ecosystems (Garnett *et al*., 2013; Letourneau & Bothwell, 2008; Swinton *et al*., 2007).

In the Green Revolution of the 1960's, ecological knowledge was used to revolutionise agricultural systems resulting in the dangerous contraction of the crop varieties used in agricultural production; the widespread use of fertilisers in response to their nutrient requirements and the use of pesticides, to reduce competition with other plants and limit the effects of herbivorous insects on those crops. Impacts on farming ecosystems were far reaching in both time and space, and highly damaging (Robertson & Swinton, 2005) as both the products themselves and the means of dispensing them began to dictate the farming landscape. What was missing from the processes which led to the drastic changes in farming was an evaluation of how these products would be used, their potential impacts beyond field scales and their wider impacts on society and ecosystems; the understanding that food production is part of a socio-ecological system. If we are to move towards more sustainable ecological practices in the future, we need to ensure that ecological knowledge is used within the wider context of the social-ecosystems in which 'agri' 'culture' is practised, so that we have a better understanding of, and more influence over how ecological innovation will change our world.

Whilst current food insecurity is a social issue, it will have devastating impacts on ecosystems if the ecological integrity of agricultural systems is not maintained. This paper

52 presents the view that the time is right for ecological innovation in agricultural systems which
53 promote sustainability of production, but advocates that we must innovate in close
54 collaboration with all the other actors in current food systems in order to avoid perverse
55 outcomes (Waterton et al. 2006) in other words, the food production and distribution network
56 needs to be considered in its entirety.
57
58 **3.1 Sustainable intensification**
59 The term 'sustainable intensification' has been coined to encapsulate the need for increasing
60 the intensification of management on agricultural land without further damaging ecosystems
61 (Foresight, 2011; Tilman *et al*., 2011). For those in the business of agriculture the term
62 provides validity for continuing current 'intensive' production practices (Petersen and Snapp
63 (2015), but encourages thinking around how these can be better maintained in the longer term
64 (e.g. by improving land quality). For ecologists the emphasis is on 'sustainable' and the
65 preference is for a term like 'ecological intensification' (Bommarco *et al*., 2013; Tittonell
66 2014) which provides a clearer understanding of the need for any intensification to be
67 focused on enhancing the regulating and supporting services underlying agricultural systems.
68 Such contrasting interpretations, and a lack of clarity and definition of the term across
69 agronomic and ecological perspectives, as well as from a social perspective (Loos *et al.*
70 2014), are likely to have significant impacts on society's ability to achieve productive and
71 sustainable farming systems into the future.
72 Another key issue is the starting point from which we propose to sustainably intensify
73 production. In countries or areas where significant intensification has been taking place over
74 decades the potential to provide more product out of ecologically impoverished land is far
75 more challenging than in countries where land has never been intensively managed. From an
76 ecological perspective the back drop of negligible improvements in yields in intensive

77 systems in countries over recent years (Ray *et al.,* 2013) infers a need for identifying new
78 efficiencies which will better optimise ecosystem processes as part of the agricultural system
79 (Smith *et al*., 2008). The will include factors such as the long term provision of nutrients as
80 external input availability declines (Pretty, 2013) and optimising cropping options, both crop
81 type and variety, to reflect ecological conditions both currently and under future climate
82 change (Mathur 2013). Getting land into good condition for appropriate crops for sustainable
83 long term production should be the ecological focus. This may, however, result in a loss of
84 production in the shorter term thereby requiring agricultural producers to focus on longer
85 term production patterns.
86
87 **3.2 The Ecosystem Approach**
88 The ecosystem approach is one piloted by the Convention on Biological Diversity and forms
89 the primary framework for action under the Convention. It is a strategy for the integrated
90 management of land, water and living resources that promotes conservation and sustainable
91 use in an equitable way (CBD, 2013). Recognising agriculture as a socio-ecological system
92 i.e. a social system embedded in the natural environment, provides a good starting point for
93 beginning to understand and influence the complexity of food systems (Figure 1).
94 Ecologically based research investigating potential long-term sustainable agricultural
95 ecosystems (Bommarco *et al*., 2013; Firbank *et al*., 2013; Scherr & McNeely, 2008)
96 recommends the integration of biodiversity based agricultural practices alongside more
97 intensive management approaches, including: traditional farming, small holder enterprises,
98 organic farming and agro-forestry (Cunningham *et al*., 2013; Firbank *et al*., 2013; Scherr &
99 McNeely, 2008). Restoration of semi-natural habitats as part of a farming matrix, or a 'land-
100 sparing' approach (Green *et al*., 2005; Phalan *et al*., 2011) may help to balance trade-offs
101 between production, biodiversity and other ES, but such decisions need to be made at all

scales from local to global (Cunningham *et al*., 2013; Swinton *et al*., 2007). Perverse outcomes may occur at national as well as at local scales, for example, from a global perspective, importing foods from other countries while reducing local (national) impacts on ecosystem services or biodiversity is only transferring the problem of agriculture's impact on wider ecosystems from one place to another. Similarly, intensification to ensure adequate food production may allow some land to be spared (Phalan *et al*., 2011) particularly in high output regions of the world, but will inevitably lead to high levels of inputs elsewhere (Pradhan *et al.,* 2015) and their associated environmental problems, taking us back into the cycle of unsustainability that we currently occupy.

Farming sits at the hub of our food systems (Figure 1). If scientists are to be involved in improving agro-ecosystems, it is essential for them to work alongside those managing the land (Cunningham *et al*., 2013; Dube *et al*., 2012; Robertson & Swinton, 2005; Scherr & McNeely, 2008; Zhang *et al*., 2007) and the food systems which rely on production (Loos *et al*. 2014). Integral to this is the need to incorporate social science which can help to improve our understandings of food producers and consumers and the political and economic systems of which we are a part, i.e. the 'cultural' parts of agriculture. Examples include understanding /land owner/land manager/farmer motivations and their cultural acceptance of agricultural practices and of the need to manage land for the production of ecosystem services (Burton & Paragahawewa, 2011; Greiner 2015). Research investigating farmers responses to the 'food security' issue in the UK have shown that most of the (predominantly livestock) farmers interviewed believed that they needed to be part of an effort to increase food production and asserted the importance of reconciling this with wider sustainability (Fish *et al*., 2013). If new or, in some cases, revived practices are to be adopted there is likely to be a need to create social and cultural capital around the adoption of these practices, for example, certification

based on product quality, breeding, good husbandry and land stewardship skills (Burton & Paragahawewa, 2011). An important and significant challenge in the developed world will be changing what have become the accepted 'norms' of modern agricultural practice (Fleury *et al.,* 2015). Farmers have become accustomed to all-but eliminating non-crop species in pursuit of 'tidy' farms (Burton, 2012) and to farming monocultures of a restricted range of food crops. Encouraging farmers to adopt practices and cropping patterns which will see their farms transformed will take time, not least because of the need for acceptance within their peer group (See Koesling *et al*., 2012). Potentially the push will come from broadened dietary preferences or social desire for more diverse and complex landscapes.

3.3 Revolutionary ecological agricultural systems

What will the agricultural landscape look like if driven by ecological objectives of sustainable management? Research points to the prevalence of biodiversity based systems described above, alongside the use of traditional breeding, the re-development of locally suited varieties, intercropping, mixed farming systems, ensuring maximising nutrient and water use efficiency and the potential use of new technologies. For developing countries, the options may be wider. Highly productive (and often highly diverse) long-term sustainable natural systems provide valuable reference points for agriculture in the tropics (Bommarco *et al*., 2013; Foresight, 2011) as may successful tropical agricultural systems already in place (Altieri et al. 2012). In developed countries, the lack of such a reference point in terms of fully natural systems may mean that we need to look again at farming systems in temperate environments that have undergone little change during the period of the Green revolution (Mikulcak *et al*., 2013) as well as at innovative systems which focus on sustainability. We have to be prepared for the possibility that the systems which can support high levels of

151 production and be sustainable in the long term either do not yet exist or require massive
152 social change to accommodate from both farming and consumption perspectives.
153
154 **3.4 Moving to Sustainable Production Systems**
155 If we view our farming systems as social-ecosystems, as in Figure 1, it is clear that
156 society/consumers influence all aspects of the food system. The strengths of the relationships
157 among different components of the system (denoted by shading of the arrows – darker arrows
158 show greater influence) will determine the future sustainability of our agricultural systems.
159 Ecologists need to be engaged with ensuring that production systems of the future are firstly,
160 ecologically sustainable and secondly, productive. To do this, it is imperative that we work
161 with those who are experts in production and consumption to identify practices which are
162 spatially and temporally relevant, both for the producers and the consumers. These experts
163 include agricultural scientists, agri-businesses, agronomists and food scientists, as well as the
164 farmers and growers who make the everyday decisions about farm management. Working
165 alongside farmers and understanding their decision making and the 'cultural' aspects of their
166 practices as has been done in the developing world (Tittonell 2014; Foresight, 2011) can lead
167 to productive and sustainable farming systems.
168 A key issue is the need to move away from singular approaches towards developing a
169 diversity of systems. Singular approaches such as the adoption of GM technologies in
170 Australia may result in a "linear view of modernisation" (Thompson & Scoones, 2009)
171 precluding widespread adoption of other approaches. Particular issues with biotechnologies
172 used in Western Europe surround the commercial control of crop varieties (both GM and
173 non-GM) and their widespread adoption, which limit future sustainability of crop production
174 (Heinemann 2013). More generally, it will be essential to include agri-business in a vision for
175 revolutionary ecological agricultural systems which move away from the generic intensive
176 approaches which dictate modern agricultural landscapes (Dibden *et al*., 2013). The positive

177 influence of agri-businesses in combination with strategically directed funding from
178 governmental interventions (such as the agri-environment schemes) potentially driven by an
179 international impetus (like the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, potentially the
180 Intergovernmental Panel on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services) towards climate and land
181 degradation resilient farming systems could lead to significant positive impacts on future
182 farming systems.
183 Clearly, the ecological sustainability of future of agricultural systems is about more than
184 ecosystems, farming or production. Political and social drivers of change (Fig 1, consumers,
185 food and governance) including obesity and malnutrition, world food prices and cultures
186 surrounding; food production, processing, purchasing, use, seasonality, consumption and
187 waste will all play a role in the development of sustainable food systems (Dube *et al*., 2012).
188 Within this 'food' system, the role of ecological science is to ensure the long-term protection
189 of ecosystems for current and future sustainable production. In order to play an effective role
190 in the future we need to engage with the whole food system and recognise how our science
191 can contribute effectively. Future agricultural sustainability relies on an understanding of
192 natural resources and the associated ecological processes on which the long term
193 sustainability of agricultural land and the multiple goods which it provides depend.
194 Subsequent to that there is a need to identify ways to maximise the goods provided by
195 agricultural land without negative impacts on ecosystems either locally or further afield. As
196 other authors have already concluded (Bommarco, 2013; Cunningham *et al*., 2013) there are
197 likely to be many answers and clearly these will differ according to social and natural
198 contexts.
199

200 **4. Conclusions**

Ecologists should be advocating revolutionary agricultural systems which focus on sustainability rather than production and using their expertise, alongside that of others towards this end. This should involve:

- Promoting a far greater diversity of agricultural approaches across whole farms than currently exists (particularly in the developed world).
- Working together with social, agricultural and economic scientists to understand the role of ecological science within the complexity of food production systems. This should include investigating innovations in agriculture which are already successfully producing food using sustainable practices.
- Using our understandings of food production systems to influence positive change in the approaches of key stakeholders driving change in agriculture including consumers, policy makers and agri-businesses. This should include; providing evidence for the importance of natural resources in underpinning production system at multiple scales, encouraging good governance of natural resources across those scales and promoting sustainable solutions.
- Advocating the clear need for international agreement to ensure widespread political change which recognises the fundamental role of ecology within our food systems.

5. Acknowledgements

I would like to acknowledge the support and contributions of a reviewer who helped to strengthen this contribution.

Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

6. References

Altieri, M. A., Funes-Monzote, F.R. & Petersen, P. (2012) Agroecologically efficient agricultural systems for smallholder farmers: contributions to food sovereignty. Agron. Sust. Dev. **32**, 1-13.

Bommarco, R., Kleijn, D. & Potts, S.G. (2013) Ecological intensification: harnessing ecosystem services for food security. Trends Ecol. Evol. 28, 230–238.

Burton, R. J. F. (2012) Understanding Farmers' Aesthetic Preference for Tidy Agricultural Landscapes: A Bourdieusian Perspective. Landscape Res. **37**, 51–71.

Burton, R. J. F., Paragahawewa, U. H. (2011) Creating culturally sustainable agri-environmental schemes. J. Rural Stud. **27**, 95–104.

CBD (2013) http://www.cbd.int/ecosystem/.

Cunningham, S. A., Attwood, S. J., Bawa, K. S., Benton, T. G., Broadhurst, L. M., Didham, R. K., McIntyre, S., Perfecto, I., Samways, M. J., Tscharntke, T., Vandermeer, J., Villard, M. A., Young, A. G., Lindenmayer, D. B. (2013) To close the yield-gap while saving biodiversity will require multiple locally relevant strategies. Ag. Eco. Env. **173**, 20–27.

Dibden, J., Gibbs, D., Cocklin, C. (2013) Framing GM crops as a food security solution. J. Rural Stud. **29**, 59–70.

Dube, L., Pingali, P., Webb, P. (2012) Paths of convergence for agriculture, health, and wealth. Proc. Natl. Acad. of Sci. USA **109**, 12294–12301.

Firbank, L. G., Bradbury, R. B., McCracken, D. I., Stoate, C. (2013) Delivering multiple ecosystem services from Enclosed Farmland in the UK. Ag. Eco. Env. 166, 65–75.

Fish, R., Lobley, M., Winter, M. (2013) A license to produce? Farmer interpretations of the new food security agenda. J. Rural Stud. **29**, 40–49.

247 Fleury, P., Seres, C., Dobremez, L., Nettier, B., Pauthenet, Y. (2015) "Flowering meadows",
248 a result-oriented agri-environmental measure: Technical and value changes in favour of
249 biodiversity. **46**, 103-114.
250 Foresight (2011) Foresight project on Global Food for Farming Futures – Synthesis report
251 C9: Sustainable intensification on African agriculture- analysis of cases and common lessons.
252 The Government Office for Science. www.bis.gsi.gov.uk/foresight
253 Garnett, T., Appleby, M. C., Balmford, A., Bateman, I. J., Benton, T. G., Bloomer, P.,
254 Burlingame, B., Dawkins, M., Dolan, L., Fraser, D., Herrero, M., Hoffmann, I,, Smith, P.,
255 Thornton, P. K., Toulmin, C., Vermeulen, S. J., Godfray, H. C. J. (2013) Sustainable
256 Intensification in Agriculture: Premises and Policies. Science **341**, 33–34.
257 Green, R. E., Cornell, S. J., Scharlemann, J. P. W., Balmford, A. (2005) Farming and the fate
258 of wild natural resources. Science 307, 550–555.
259 Greiner, R. (2015) Motivations and attitudes influence farmers' willingness to participate in
260 biodiversity conservation contracts. Agr. Syst. **137**, 154-167.
261 Heinemann, J.A., Massaro, M., Coray, D., Agapito-Tenfen, Z., Wen, J.D. (2013)
262 Sustainability and innovation in staple crop production in the US Midwest. International
263 J.Agri. Sust. DOI:10.1080/14735903.2013.806408
264 Koesling, M., Løes, A., Flaten, O., Kristensen, N.H., Hansen, M., W. (2012) Farmer's
265 reasons for deregistering from organic farming. Org. Agr. **2** (2). DOI: 10.1007/s13165-012-
266 0030-y
267 Letourneau, D. K., Bothwell, S. G. (2008) Comparison of organic and conventional farms:
268 challenging ecologists to make biodiversity functional. Front. Ecol. Env. 6, 430–438.
269 Mathur, P. N. (2013) Empowering farmers to use plant genetic diversity for adapting to
270 climate change. In M. Halewood, P. Brahmi, P.N. Mathur and K.C. Bansak (eds) A Road

Map for Implementing the Multilateral System of Access and Benefit-sharing in India. (Rome: Bioversity International, New Delhi: ICAR and NBPGR).

MA (2003) Millenium Ecosystem Assessment - Ecosystems and human well-being: a framework for assessment: www.millenniumassessment.org.

Mikulcak, F., Newig, J., Milcu, A. I., Hartel, T., Fischer, J. (2013) Integrating rural development and biodiversity conservation in Central Romania. Env. Cons. **40**, 129–137.

Petersen, B., Snapp, S (2015) What is sustainable intensification? Views from experts. Land Use Policy **46,** 1-10

Phalan, B., Onial, M., Balmford, A., Green, R. E. (2011) Reconciling Food Production and Biodiversity Conservation: Land Sharing and Land Sparing Compared. Science **333**, 1289–1291.

Pradhan, P., Fischer, G., van Velthuizen, H., Reusser, D.E., Kropp, J.P. (2015) Closing Yield Gaps: How sustainable Can We Be? PLOS ONE, DOI:10.1371/journal.pone0129487

Pretty, J. (2013) The Consumption of a Finite Planet: Well-Being, Convergence, Divergence and the Nascent Green Economy. Env. Res. Econ. **55**, 475–499.

Ray, D.K., Mueller, N.D., West, J., Foley, J.A. (2013) Yield Trends Are Insufficient to Double Global Crop Production by 2050. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066428

Robertson, G.P., Swinton, S. M. (2005) Reconciling agricultural productivity and environmental integrity: a grand challenge for agriculture. Front. Ecol. Env. **3**, 38–46.

Scherr, S.J., McNeely. J.A. (2008) Biodiversity conservation and agricultural sustainability: towards a new paradigm of 'ecoagriculture' landscapes. Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B **363**, 477–494.

Smith, R.G., Gross, K.L., Robertson, G.P. (2008) Effects of Crop Diversity on Agroecosystem Function: Crop Yield Response.Ecosystems **1**1, 355–366.

294 Swinton, S.M., Lupi, F., Robertson, G.P., Hamilton, S.K. (2007) Ecosystem services and
295 agriculture: Cultivating agricultural ecosystems for diverse benefits. Ecol. Econ. **64**, 245–
296 252.
297 Thompson, J., Scoones, I. (2009) Addressing the dynamics of agri-food systems: an
298 emerging agenda for social science research. Env. Sci. Policy **12**, 386–397.
299 Tilman, D., Balzer, C., Hill, J., Befort, B.L. (2011) Global food demand and the sustainable
300 intensification of agriculture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **108**, 20260–20264.
301 Tittonell, P. (2014) Ecological intensification of agriculture – sustainable by natural
302 resources. Curr. Opin. Sustain. **8**, 53-61.
303 Waterton, C., Norton L.R., Morris, J. Understanding Loweswater: Interdisciplinary research
304 in practice. J. Agri. Econ. (2006) 57, No.2, 277-293
305 Zhang, W., Ricketts, T.H., Kremen, C., Carney, K., Swinton, S.M. (2007) Ecosystem services
306 and dis-services to agriculture. Ecol. Econ. **64**, 253–260.
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315

316 **Figure legend**

317 **Figure 1.** A socio-ecological framing of food systems for agro-ecological science.
318 Society/consumers drive the whole system which is in turn entirely dependent on the natural
319 environment. Arrows indicate strength and direction of influences of different components on
320 one another. Darker arrows indicate stronger influence. Connections between components
321 and the relative strengths of those are clearly subject to interpretation.

322 **Ecological science** has a key role to play within the system in ensuring that natural capital
323 and ecosystem processes continue to support a productive and sustainable agricultural
324 system. **Ecological scientists** need to play a role in influencing government, farming and
325 agri-business as well as broader society of the fundamental importance of ecology for future
326 food production.

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337 Figure 1.



338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345