1	
2	Title (82 chars): Improving Photosynthesis and Crop Productivity by Accelerating Recovery from Photoprotection.
3	
4 5	Authors: Johannes Kromdijk ^{1,†} , Katarzyna Głowacka ^{1,5†} , Lauriebeth Leonelli ² , Stéphane T. Gabilly ² , Masakazu Iwai ^{2,3} , Krishna K. Niyogi ^{2,3*} , Stephen P. Long ^{1,4,*}
6	Affiliations:
7 8	¹ Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, University of Illinois, 1206 W Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801, USA
9 10	² Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Department of Plant and Microbial Biology, 111 Koshland Hall, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720-3102, USA
11 12	³ Molecular Biophysics and Integrated Bioimaging Division, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA
13	⁴ Lancaster Environment Centre, University of Lancaster, LA1 1YX, UK
14 15	⁵ Institute of Plant Genetics, Polish Academy of Sciences, ul. Strzeszyńska 34, 60-479 Poznań, Poland
16	*Correspondence to: niyogi@berkeley.edu and slong@illinois.edu
17	[†] Contributed equally to this work.
18	

19 Abstract (125 words):

Crop leaves in full sunlight dissipate damaging excess absorbed light energy as heat. When sunlit 20 leaves are shaded by clouds or other leaves, this protective dissipation continues for many 21 minutes and reduces photosynthesis. Calculations have shown that this could cost field crops up 22 to 20% of their potential yield. Here we describe the bioengineering of an accelerated response 23 to natural shading events in Nicotiana (tobacco), resulting in increased leaf carbon dioxide 24 uptake and plant dry matter productivity by about 15% in fluctuating light. Since the 25 photoprotective mechanism that has been altered is common to all flowering plants and crops, 26 the findings provide proof of concept for a novel route to obtaining a sustainable increase in 27 28 productivity for food crops and a much needed yield jump.

One Sentence Summary (122 characters):

- Altering the regulation of light harvesting increases photosynthetic efficiency and biomass productivity in a crop plant.

Main Text (2411 words):

Based on detailed forecasts of future global food demand, current rates of increase in crop yields 35 36 per hectare of land are inadequate. Based on prior model predictions of opportunities to improve photosynthetic efficiency and thus improve crop yield (1), we here show improvement of 37 photosynthetic efficiency and productivity through genetic manipulation of photoprotection. 38 Light in plant canopies is very dynamic, and leaves routinely experience sharp fluctuations in 39 levels of absorbed irradiance. When light intensity is too high or increases too fast for 40 photochemistry to utilize the absorbed energy, several photoprotective mechanisms are induced 41 to protect the photosynthetic antenna complexes from over-excitation (2). Excess excitation 42 energy in the photosystem II (PSII) antenna complex can be harmlessly dissipated as heat, which 43 44 is observable as a process named non-photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence (NPQ, (3)). Changes in NPQ can be fast but are not instantaneous, and therefore lag behind 45 fluctuations in absorbed irradiance. In particular, the rate of NPQ relaxation is slower than the 46 47 rate of induction, and this asymmetry is exacerbated by prolonged or repeated exposure to excessive light conditions (4). This slow rate of recovery of PSII antennae from the quenched to 48 the unquenched state implies that the photosynthetic quantum yield of CO₂ fixation is transiently 49 depressed by NPQ upon a transition from high to low light intensity (Fig. 1). When this 50 hypothesis was tested in model simulations and integrated for a crop canopy over a diurnal 51 course, corresponding losses of CO₂ fixation were estimated to range between 7.5% - 30% (5-7). 52 Based on these computations, increasing the relaxation rate of NPQ has been highlighted as a 53 very promising strategy to improve crop photosynthetic efficiency and in turn yield (8). 54 55 While the exact NPO quenching site and nature of the quenching mechanisms involved are still debated (9), it is clear that for NPQ to occur, PSII-associated antennae need to undergo a 56 conformational change to the quenched state, which can be induced by a number of different 57

58	mechanisms with contrasting time constants (3). So-called energy-dependent quenching (qE,
59	(10)) requires low thylakoid lumen pH and is greatly aided by the presence of PSII subunit S
60	(PsbS) (11, 12) and de-epoxidation of violaxanthin to antheraxanthin and zeaxanthin via the
61	xanthophyll cycle (13, 14). Expression of PsbS strongly affects the amplitude of qE formation,
62	and overexpression results in an increased rate of induction and relaxation of qE (15-17). As a
63	result, the effects of PsbS overexpression on CO ₂ fixation and plant growth depend on the
64	prevailing light environment. Enhancement of qE via PsbS overexpression may offer increased
65	photoprotection under high light or rapidly fluctuating conditions (18), but can be at the expense
66	of CO ₂ fixation under less stressful conditions (15). An alternative route of NPQ manipulation is
67	to modify xanthophyll cycle kinetics. The xanthophyll cycle de-epoxidation state (DES)
68	influences the level of NPQ (19), due to the stimulating effect of zeaxanthin on qE and on
69	zeaxanthin-dependent quenching (qZ, (20)). qZ has slower relaxation kinetics (10-15 min) than
70	qE (10-90 s), which are linked to the kinetics of the zeaxanthin pool. Arabidopsis mutants with
71	increased xanthophyll cycle pigment pool size were shown to have slower rates of NPQ
72	formation and relaxation, due to slower DES kinetics (21). Thus, the rate of adjustment of DES
73	appears to be affected by the xanthophyll cycle pool size relative to the rate of turn-over via
74	violaxanthin de-epoxidase (VDE) and zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP), which in turn affects the
75	adjustment rate of NPQ.

We hypothesized that by accelerating the xanthophyll cycle and increasing PsbS, NPQ would decline more rapidly on transfer of leaves to shade (Fig. 1), leading to faster restoration of the maximum efficiency of CO_2 assimilation that can be achieved at a given light intensity in the shade, which in turn would allow increased productivity.

80

81 **Results**

82 Transgene mRNA and protein expression

83 Nicotiana tabacum was transformed with the coding sequences of Arabidopsis VDE, ZEP and

84 *PsbS* under the control of different promoters for expression in leaves (Fig. S1). Two

transformants with a single T-DNA integration (VPZ-34 and 56) and one transformant with two

86 T-DNA insertions (VPZ-23) were selected based on a seedling NPQ screen (Fig. S2 and S3) and

self-pollinated to obtain homozygous T2 progeny for further investigation. All three VPZ-lines

showed increases in total (transgenic plus native) transcript levels of *VDE* (10-fold), *PsbS* (3-

fold) and ZEP (6-fold) relative to wild-type (WT) (Fig. 2A, C and E). For PsbS the increase in

⁹⁰ transcript levels translated into approximately 4-fold higher PsbS protein level (Fig. 2D), as

exemplified in bands at 21 kDa (AtPsbS) and 24 kDa (NtPsbS; Fig. 2G). For VDE and ZEP the

92 increase in transcript levels corresponded to 30-fold for VDE (Fig. 2B and G, 45 kDa) and 74-

93 fold for ZEP (Fig. 2F and G, 73 kDa) increases over WT protein levels. Field-grown plants

showed similar increases in protein levels (47-, 3- and 75-fold for VDE, PsbS and ZEP, Fig. S4),

although increases in transcript levels were less pronounced (4-, 1.2- and 7-fold for VDE, PsbS

96 and ZEP, Fig. S4).

97 Faster relaxation of NPQ and recovery of CO₂ fixation rate

To compare the kinetics of dynamic NPQ adjustment, a double exponential model was fitted to dark relaxation of NPQ in young seedlings after exposure to fluctuating light between 2000 and 200 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (Fig. 3A). The qZ phase of NPQ relaxation (τ_2) was significantly faster in VPZ-lines at an average of 753 s versus 2684 s in WT (p<0.05), and qE relaxation (τ_1) was also noticeably faster at an average of 15 s versus 21 s (significant in VPZ-23 and VPZ-56, p<0.05). To see if this faster relaxation translated into higher leaf CO₂ uptake, leaves were

exposed to a sharp transition in light from 2000 to 200 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. CO₂ assimilation declined immediately after the decrease in light intensity in both WT and VPZ lines (Fig. 3B), reaching a minimum at 30 s. During the following 150 s, CO₂ fixation rate increased gradually, but more rapidly in the VPZ lines compared to WT, leading to significantly higher CO₂ fixation rates, averaging an increase of 9% (p<0.02).

109 Effects of fluctuating light on the efficiency of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation

To evaluate the dynamic effect of VPZ overexpression on the response of leaf CO₂ uptake to 110 light, light intensity was varied in two different ways. First, light intensity was varied from low 111 to high (Fig. S5A), taking care to allow gas exchange and fluorescence to achieve steady state at 112 each light intensity. Second, light intensity was varied in 4 min alternating steps of high to low 113 light (Fig. S5B). The resulting steady-state and fluctuating light response curves of CO₂ fixation 114 and linear electron transport rate were distinctly different between WT and VPZ lines. In steady 115 state, the maximum quantum yield of CO_2 fixation (ΦCO_{2-max}) was not different between WT 116 and VPZ lines, averaging 0.092 CO₂/absorbed photon (Fig. 4A). Fluctuating light decreased 117 ΦCO_{2-max} to 0.058 CO₂/absorbed photon in the WT plants (Fig. 4B), whereas ΦCO_{2-max} in the 118 VPZ lines showed a far smaller depression to 0.066 CO₂/absorbed photon (p<0.05). Similarly, 119 120 under fluctuating light, maximum quantum yield of whole chain electron transport ($\Phi PSII_{max}$) declined from an average value of 0.73 (Fig. 4C) to 0.54 e⁻/absorbed photon in the WT plants 121 (Fig. 4D), compared to $0.60 \text{ e}^{-}/\text{absorbed}$ photon in the VPZ lines (p<0.05). Thus, under these 122 fluctuating conditions, average ΦCO_{2-max} and $\Phi PSII_{max}$ of the VPZ lines were 11.3% and 14.0% 123 higher than WT. These differences were also confirmed in plants grown under field conditions 124 (Fig. S6A and B) and were not caused by a difference in photosynthetic capacity, as shown by 125 the lack of differences in ΦCO_{2-max} and $\Phi PSII_{max}$ between VPZ lines and WT when measured at 126

127 steady state (Fig. 4A and C). There were also no differences in the maximum carboxylation capacity (V_{cmax}) or ribulose bis-phosphate regeneration capacity (J_{max}) derived from CO₂ 128 response curves (Table S1) nor were there differences in the levels and stoichiometry of the 129 major photosynthetic complexes (Fig. S7). Instead, the differences under fluctuating conditions 130 corresponded to the faster relaxation of NPQ resulting from VPZ overexpression. Steady-state 131 NPO below 400 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ was very low (Fig. 4E and S5G) and did not differ between 132 WT and VPZ lines. However, under fluctuating light intensity, NPQ was significantly higher in 133 the WT compared to the VPZ lines at low light (Fig. 4F), whereas NPQ in high light did not 134 differ between WT and VPZ lines (Fig. S5G and H). 135

136 **Productivity under field conditions**

Whether this greater photosynthetic efficiency during shading events would affect productivity
was evaluated under field conditions in a randomized block design with 12 blocks (Fig. 5D and
S8). Plants from VPZ lines exhibited greater total dry weight per plant by 14 to 20% relative to
WT (Fig. 5A), which was evident in increases in leaf, stem and root weights (Fig. S9A-C).
Additionally, plants from VPZ lines showed increases in leaf area (Fig. 5B) and plant height
(Fig. 5C), relative to WT. Similar productivity increases were found under greenhouse
conditions (Fig. S10A-F).

144 Xanthophyll cycle de-epoxidation as a function of different light treatments

In dark-acclimated leaves from both WT and VPZ lines, the xanthophyll cycle pool was
completely epoxidated, i.e., entirely in the form of violaxanthin, (Table 1). Exposure to 400
µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ constant light did not lead to substantial de-epoxidation, but 2000 µmol
photons m⁻² s⁻¹ constant light led to accumulation of antheraxanthin and especially zeaxanthin.
VPZ lines retained more violaxanthin and accumulated less zeaxanthin and antheraxanthin

150	compared to WT, which led DES in the VPZ lines to be about half that of WT (26% versus
151	46%). Exposure to fluctuating light led to similar results as high light exposure, but with even
152	less xanthophyll de-epoxidation in the VPZ lines, relative to WT (18% versus 53%), and field-
153	grown plants of VPZ-23 showed significantly lower DES than WT throughout a diurnal period
154	(Fig. S11). Because of the lower DES in the VPZ lines, a concern was that they would be more
155	vulnerable to photoinhibition. However, photoprotection in seedlings after 2 h exposure to
156	excessive light (λ_{max} =470nm, 2000 µmol photons m ⁻² s ⁻¹) appeared to be equal (VPZ-56) or even
157	higher (VPZ-23 and VPZ-34; p<0.05) than WT (Fig. S12).
1.50	

1071

. 1

1

1.1.1.1.DEC. 4

1.

158

1 = 0

159 **Discussion**

How does introduction of the VPZ construct accelerate NPQ relaxation on transfer of leaves 160 161 from high to low light, as would occur in a shading event? NPQ is a compound variable, encompassing several quenching mechanisms with contrasting relaxation kinetics (22). Whereas 162 PsbS is exclusively associated with rapidly relaxing energy-dependent quenching (qE), the 163 xanthophyll cycle is involved in multiple components of NPQ, especially qE and qZ. Even 164 though VPZ lines had lower xanthophyll de-epoxidation state (DES) under high and fluctuating 165 light intensity (Table 1), levels of NPQ were similar to WT at high light (Fig. S3B and S5H) 166 implying that the relationship between xanthophyll DES and NPQ has been altered by PsbS 167 overexpression, allowing for higher NPQ at lower DES. The presence of zeaxanthin correlates 168 with faster induction and slower relaxation of NPQ, with respect to qZ and qE (4, 20, 23). 169 Consistent with the lower DES in the VPZ-lines, relaxation of both qE (τ_1) and qZ (τ_2) was 170 accelerated by the VPZ overexpression. The faster relaxation of NPQ by VPZ overexpression 171 can thus be explained by two parallel manipulations of NPQ. Combined overexpression of VDE 172

173 and ZEP decreased xanthophyll DES, which in turn increased NPO relaxation rate through qZ, qE and zeaxanthin-associated effects on NPQ kinetics. Second, the overexpression of PsbS led to 174 an increase in qE, which more than offset the decrease due to lower DES (Fig. S3B). 175 The hypothesis that photosynthetic efficiency could be increased through acceleration of 176 NPQ relaxation (8, 24) relies on the inverse correlation between NPQ and photosynthetic 177 efficiency. Under fluctuating light, the VPZ lines showed faster and greater decreases in NPQ 178 following transitions from high to low light, relative to WT (Fig. 4F and S5H), which increased 179 quantum yield of CO₂ assimilation by 14% (Fig. 4B), providing proof that on transition from 180 high to low light, NPQ does indeed limit photosynthetic efficiency. Xanthophyll DES is 181 correlated with NPQ (19), which suggests that limiting violaxanthin de-epoxidation may also 182 increase NPQ relaxation rate. However, decreased zeaxanthin formation by antisense VDE 183 expression in tobacco in previous studies did not lead to an increase in photosynthetic efficiency 184 and growth (25, 26). Reduction in NPQ amplitude (27) and anti-oxidant capacity (28) leads to 185 greater sensitivity to damage by excessive light in mutants with reduced zeaxanthin (29). Here 186 expression of VDE and PsbS was increased to balance the up-regulation of ZEP and avoid such 187 damage (Fig. S12). This conservation of photoprotection in the VPZ lines most likely originates 188 from an increase in qE, reflecting the positive correlation between photoprotection and PsbS 189 content (18). 190

About 50% of canopy carbon gain in crops occurs under light-limitation (5). Efficiency of photosynthesis in the shade declines even further with rapid light transitions caused by clouds and wind-driven movement of overshadowing leaves. Higher yields have followed increased planting densities, which also caused denser canopies and increased the proportion of partially shaded leaves, leading to more irregular light conditions for each leaf. Even for upper leaves on a

clear day, daily changes in sun angle cause light transitions that are rapid at the chloroplast level
(7). Thus, light conditions in the field are anything but steady state. Under steady state light, the
VPZ lines evaluated here would have shown no yield advantage over WT. Their yield advantage
becomes apparent under more realistic, irregular, lighting conditions.

Because the xanthophyll cycle and PsbS are common to all vascular plants (*11, 19*), we expect that similar results would pertain to all major crops. Although this work has focused on crop light use efficiency, stomatal conductance also remains high during the first few minutes after transfer to shade. Increasing the rate of relaxation of NPQ will therefore not only increase net carbon gain, but also increase crop water use efficiency. This may be an important attribute given forecast climate change impacts on future crop production (*30*).

Transgenic expression of *Arabidopsis* VDE, PsbS and ZEP (VPZ) in combination in tobacco led to a marked and statistically significant acceleration of NPQ relaxation on transfer of leaves from high light to shade. As hypothesized, this led to a more rapid recovery of the efficiency of photosynthetic CO_2 assimilation in the shade. Results from field and greenhouse experiments showed that this corresponded to increased productivity in terms of final dry mass. Increases in crop productivity of 15%, as obtained here, demonstrate an important means to achieve the increases in crop yield forecast to be necessary by 2050 (*31, 32*).

213 **References and Notes (891 words)**

- D. R. Ort *et al.*, Redesigning photosynthesis to sustainably meet global food and bioenergy demand. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **112**, 8529-8536 (2015).
- Z. Li, S. Wakao, B. B. Fischer, K. K. Niyogi, Sensing and responding to excess light. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 60, 239-260 (2009).
- Müller P, X.-P. Li, K. K. Niyogi, Non-Photochemical Quenching. A response to Excess Light Energy.
 Plant Physiology 125, 1558-1566 (2001).
- M. L. Perez-Bueno, M. P. Johnson, A. Zia, A. V. Ruban, P. Horton, The Lhcb protein and xanthophyll composition of the light harvesting antenna controls the DeltapH-dependency of non-photochemical quenching in Arabidopsis thaliana. *FEBS Lett* 582, 1477-1482 (2008).
- 5. S. P. Long, S. Humphries, Photoinhibition of Photosynthesis in Nature. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 45, 633-662 (1994).
- C. Werner, R. J. Ryel, O. Correia, W. Beyschlag, Effects of photoinhibition on whole-plant carbon gain assessed with a photosynthesis model. *Plant Cell and Environment* 24, 27-40 (2001).
- X.-G. Zhu, D. R. Ort, J. Whitmarsh, S. P. Long, The slow reversibility of photosystem II thermal energy dissipation on transfer from high to low light may cause large losses in carbon gain by crop canopies: a theoretical analysis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 55, 1167-1175 (2004).
- E. H. Murchie, K. K. Niyogi, Manipulation of Photoprotection to Improve Plant Photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology* 155, 86-92 (2011).
- 232 9. C. D. P. Duffy, A. V. Ruban, Dissipative pathways in the photosystem-II antenna in plants. *Journal of Photochemistry and Photobiology* 152, 215-226 (2015).
- C. A. Wraight, A. R. Crofts, Energy-Dependent Quenching of Chlorophyll a Fluorescence in Isolated
 Chloroplasts. *Eur. J. Biochem* 17, 319-327 (1970).
- M. D. Brooks, S. Jansson, K. K. Niyogi, in *Non-photochemical quenching and energy dissipation in plants algae and cyanobacteria*. (Springer, 2014), vol. 40, pp. 297-314.
- X.-P. Li *et al.*, A pigment-binding protein essential for regulation of photosynthetic light harvesting. *Nature* **403**, 391-395 (2000).
- B. Demmig-Adams, Carotenoids and photoprotection in plants: A role for the xanthophyll zeaxanthin. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta* 1020, 1-24 (1990).
- H. Y. Yamamoto, T. O. M. Nakayama, C. O. Chichester, Studies on the Light and Dark Interconversion of Leaf Xanthophylls. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 97, 168-173 (1962).
- S. Hubbart, O. O. Ajigboye, P. Horton, E. H. Murchie, The photoprotective protein PsbS exerts control over CO₂ assimilation rate in fluctuating light in rice. *The Plant Journal* **71**, 402-412 (2012).
- X.-P. Li, A. M. Gilmore, K. K. Niyogi, Molecular and global time-resolved analysis of a psbS gene dosage
 effect on pH and xanthophyll cycle-dependent nonphotochemical quenching in photosystem II. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry* 277, 33590-33597 (2002).
- A. Zia, M. P. Johnson, A. V. Ruban, Acclimation- and mutation-induced enhancement of PsbS levels affects the kinetics of non-photochemical quenching in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Planta* 233, 1253-1264 (2011).
- X.-P. Li, M.-M. P., A. M. Gilmore, K. K. Niyogi, PsbS-dependent enhancement of feedback de-excitation
 protects photosystem II from photoinhibition. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99, 15222-15227 (2002).
- 25419.B. Demmig-Adams, W. W. Adams III, Xanthophyll cycle and light stress in nature: uniform response to255excess direct sunlight among higher plant species. *Planta* **198**, 460-470 (1996).
- 256 20. M. Nilkens *et al.*, Identification of a slowly inducible zeaxanthin-dependent component of non photochemical quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence generated under steady-state conditions in
 Arabidopsis. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1797, 466-475 (2010).
- M. P. Johnson, P. A. Davison, A. V. Ruban, P. Horton, The xanthophyll cycle pool size controls the kinetics of non-photochemical quenching in Arabidopsis thaliana. *FEBS Lett* 582, 262-266 (2008).
- 261 22. A. V. Ruban, Non-photochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching: mechanism and effectiveness in protection against photodamage. *Plant Physiol*, (2016).
- 263 23. P. Horton, A. V. Ruban, R. G. Walters, Regulation of light harvesting in green plants. *Annu Rev Plant*264 *Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 47, 655-684 (1996).
- 265 24. S. P. Long, X.-G. Zhu, S. L. Naidu, D. R. Ort, Can improvement in photosynthesis increase crop yields?
 266 *Plant Cell and Environment* 29, 315-330 (2006).

267 25. S.-H. Chang, R. C. Bugos, W.-H. Sun, H. Y. Yamamoto, Antisense suppression of violaxanthin deepoxidase in tobacco does not affect plant performance in controlled growth conditions. Photosynthesis 268 269 Research 64, 95-103 (2000). 270 26. W.-H. Sun, A. S. Verhoeven, R. C. Bugos, H. Y. Yamamoto, Suppression of zeaxanthin formation does not 271 reduce photosynthesis and growth of transgenic tobacco under field conditions. Photosynthesis Research 272 67, 41-50 (2001). 273 K. K. Niyogi, A. R. Grossman, O. Björkman, Arabidopsis Mutants Define a Central Role for the 27. 274 Xanthophyll Cycle in the Regulation of Photosynthetic Energy Conversion. The Plant Cell 10, 1121-1134 275 (1998). 276 M. Havaux, L. Dall'Osto, R. Bassi, Zeaxanthin Has Enhanced Antioxidant Capacity with Respect to All 28. 277 Other Xanthophylls in Arabidopsis Leaves and Functions Independent of Binding to PSII Antennae. Plant 278 Physiol 145, 1506-1520 (2007). 279 29. N. Wang et al., Overexpression of zeaxanthin epoxidase gene enhances the sensitivity of tomato PSII photoinhibition to high light and chilling stress. *Physiologia Plantarum* **132**, 384-396 (2008). 280 281 D. R. Ort, S. P. Long, Limits on Yields in the Corn Belt. . Science 344, 483-484 (2014). 30. 282 31. J. Kromdijk, S. P. Long, One crop breeding cycle from starvation? How engineering crop photosynthesis 283 for rising CO₂ and temperature could be one important route to alleviation. Proc R. Soc. B. 283, 1-8 (2016). 284 32. D. Tilman, M. Clark, Food, Agriculture & the Environment: Can We Feed the World & Save the Earth? . 285 Daedalus 144, 8-23 (2015). 286 33. T. E. Clemente, in Agrobacterium Protocols, K. Wang, Ed. (Humana Press Inc, Totowa, 2006), pp. 143-287 154. 288 34. L. Leonelli, E. Erickson, D. Lyska, K. K. Niyogi, Transient expression in Nicotiana benthamiana for rapid 289 functional analysis of genes involved in non-photochemical quenching and carotenoid biosynthesis. The 290 Plant Journal, (2016). 291 35. A. C. Thompson, G. F. Nicollier, D. F. Pope, Indole alkylamines of *Desmanthus illinoensis* and their growth inhibition activity. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 35, 361-365 (1987). 292 293 K. Głowacka et al., An evaluation of new and established methods to determine T-DNA copy number and 36. 294 homozygosity in transgenic plants. *Plant Cell and Environment* **39**, 908-917 (2016). 295 37. W. Bilger, O. Björkman, Relationships among violaxanthin deepoxidation, thylakoid membrane 296 conformation, and nonphotochemical chlorophyll fluorescence quenching in leaves of cotton (Gossypium 297 hirsutum L.). Planta 193, 238-246 (1994). 298 B. Genty, J.-M. Briantais, N. R. Baker, The relationship between quantum yield of photosynthetic electron 38. 299 transport and quenching of chlorophyll fluorescence. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 990, 87-92 (1989). 300 39. K. Oxborough, N. R. Baker, Resolving chlorophyll a fluorescence images of photosynthetic efficiency into 301 photochemical and non-photochemical components - calculation of qP and Fv'/Fm' without measuring Fo'. 302 Photosynthesis Research 54, 135-142 (1997). 303 40. A. V. Ruban, E. H. Murchie, Assessing the photoprotective effectiveness of non-photochemical 304 fluorescence quencing: A new approach. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1817, 977-982 (2012). 305 S. D. Loriaux *et al.*, Closing in on maximum yield of chlorophyll fluorescence using a single multiphase 41. 306 flash of sub-saturating intensity. Plant Cell and Environment 36, (2013). 307 42. T. D. Sharkey, C. J. Bernacchi, G. D. Farquhar, E. L. Singsaas, Fitting photosynthetic carbon dioxide 308 response curves for C3 leaves. Plant Cell and Environment 30, 1035-1040 (2007). G. D. Farquhar, S. Von Caemmerer, J. A. Berry, A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation 309 43. in leaves of C3 species. Planta 149, 78-90 (1980). 310 311 44. H. Y. Nakatani, J. Barber, An improved method for isolating chloroplasts retaining their outer membranes. 312 Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 461, 500-512 (1977). 313 45. R. J. Porra, W. A. Thompson, P. E. Kriedemann, Determination of accurate extinction coefficients and 314 simultaneous equations for assaying chlorophylls a and b extracted with four different solvents: verification 315 of the concentration of chlorophyll standards by atomic absorption spectroscopy. Biochimica et Biophysica 316 Acta 975, 384-394 (1989). 317 H. Schagger, W. A. Cramer, G. Von Jagow, Analysis of molecular masses and oligometric states of protein 46. complexes by blue native electrophoresis and isolation of membrane protein complexes by two-318 319 dimensional native electrophoresis. Anal. Biochem. 217, 220-230 (1994). 320 47. L. Van Heukelem, C. S. Thomas, Computer-assisted high-performance liquid chromatography method development with application to the isolation and analysis of phytoplankton pigments. Journal of 321 322 Chromatography A 910, 31-49 (2001).

- 323 P. Müller-Moulé, P. L. Conklin, K. K. Niyogi, Ascorbate Deficiency Can Limit Violaxanthin De-48.
- 324 325 Epoxidase Activity in Vivo. *Plant Physiol* **128**, 970-977 (2002). H. Poorter, J. Bühler, D. Van Dusschoten, J. Climent, J. A. Postma, Pot size matters: a meta-analysis of the 49. effects of rooting volume on plant growth. Functional Plant Biology 39, 839-850 (2012). 326

329 Acknowledgments: We thank David Drag and Ben Harbaugh for plant management in greenhouse and field studies, Marilyn Kobayashi for performing the HPLC analysis of 330 pigments from the field-grown plants, and Katie Kucera, Madeline Steiner and Sydney 331 332 Gillespie for general assistance during lab- and fieldwork. We also thank Prof. Tom Clemente for help with tobacco transformation. This research was supported by the Bill 333 and Melinda Gates Foundation (OPP1060461) titled 'RIPE - Realizing Increased 334 Photosynthetic Efficiency for Sustainable Increases in Crop Yield'. K.K.N. is an 335 investigator of the Howard Hughes Medical Institute and the Gordon and Betty Moore 336 Foundation (through Grant GBMF3070). The data reported in this paper have been 337 tabulated in the Supplemental Materials. 338 **Competing financial interests:** The University of Illinois has submitted a provisional patent on 339 behalf of J.K., K.G., L.L., K.K.N. and S.P.L on aspects of the findings. 340 341

342	Figure/table captions: 743 words
343	
344	Fig. 1. Interaction between photoprotection and CO ₂ fixation during sun-shade transitions.
345	When leaves are exposed to high light, the rate of CO ₂ fixation is high and excessive excitation
346	energy is harmlessly dissipated through non-photochemical quenching (NPQ). The level of NPQ
347	is positively correlated with the abundance of Photosystem II subunit S (PsbS) and further
348	stimulated by the de-epoxidation of violaxanthin to zeaxanthin, catalyzed by violaxanthin de-
349	epoxidase (VDE). Upon transition to low light, CO ₂ fixation becomes limited by NADPH and
350	ATP derived from photosynthetic electron transport, which in turn is limited by high levels of
351	NPQ. The rate of CO ₂ fixation therefore remains depressed until relaxation of NPQ is complete.
352	This can take minutes to hours and is correlated with the rate of zeaxanthin epoxidation,
353	catalyzed by zeaxanthin epoxidase (ZEP). The text underneath the figure describes the strategy
354	employed to accelerate NPQ relaxation compared to wild-type (WT) tobacco.
355	
250	

357

Fig. 2. Levels of mRNA and protein of VDE, PsbS and ZEP.

- 359 Native (*Nt*) and transgenic (*At*) violaxanthin de-epoxidase (*VDE*), photosystem II subunit S
- 360 (*PsbS*) and zeaxanthin epoxidase (*ZEP*) in leaves of wild-type *N. tabacum* (WT) and three lines
- 361 expressing AtVDE, AtPsbS and AtZEP (VPZ) grown under greenhouse conditions. (A, C, E)
- 362 mRNA levels relative to actin and tubulin. (**B**, **D**, **F**) Protein levels relative to WT, determined
- from densitometry on immunoblots. Error bars indicate SEM (n=5), and asterisk indicates
- significant differences between VPZ lines and WT ($\alpha = 0.05$). (G) Representative immunoblots
- 365 for VDE, PsbS and ZEP.

366

367

369 Fig. 3. Transient adjustment of NPQ and net CO₂ assimilation

- 370 (A) Dark relaxation of NPQ after exposure to alternating high/low light in young seedlings of
- 371 wild-type *N. tabacum* (WT) and three lines expressing *AtVDE*, *AtPsbS* and *AtZEP* (VPZ). SEM
- 372 were less than symbol size (n=18). Lines depict best fits of a double exponential model for WT
- 373 $(\tau_1 = 21.4 \pm 1.2 \text{ s and } \tau_2 = 2641.1 \pm 821.2 \text{ s})$, VPZ-23 $(\tau_1 = 13.3 \pm 1.3 \text{ s and } \tau_2 = 792.6 \pm 131.7 \text{ s})$,
- 374 VPZ-34 ($\tau_1 = 19.4 \pm 1.4$ s and $\tau_2 = 692.6 \pm 77.9$ s) and VPZ-56 ($\tau_1 = 13.2 \pm 1.0$ s and $\tau_2 = 774.9 \pm 1.0$
- 94.5 s). (B) Time course of net CO₂ fixation rate in fully expanded leaves in response to a
- decrease in light intensity of 2000 to 200 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ at time zero, indicated by the
- black arrow. Error bars indicate SEM (n=5). Asterisk indicates significant difference ($\alpha = 0.05$).
- 378

Fig. 4. Photosynthetic efficiency and NPQ under steady-state and fluctuating light.

- (A) Quantum efficiency of leaf net CO₂ assimilation (Φ CO_{2max}) under steady-state light. (B)
- ΦCO_{2max} under fluctuating light. (C) Quantum efficiency of linear electron transport ($\Phi PSII_{max}$)
- under steady-state light. (**D**) Quantum efficiency of linear electron transport ($\Phi PSII_{max}$) under
- fluctuating light. (E) Average NPQ corresponding to (A) and (C). (F) Average NPQ
- corresponding to (B) and (D). Data were derived from light response curves in which light
- intensity was either increased from low to high PFD, while waiting for steady state at each step
- (steady-state), or varied from high to low PFD with 4 min of 2000 µmol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ before
- each light intensity change (fluctuating). Error bars indicate SEM (n=6), and asterisks indicate
- significant differences (α =0.05) between wild-type *N. tabacum* (WT) and three lines expressing
- 389 *AtVDE, AtPsbS* and *AtZEP* (VPZ).
- 390

Fig. 5. Productivity of field-grown plants *N. tabacum* plants.

- 392 Lines expressing *AtVDE*, *AtPsbS* and *AtZEP* (VPZ) produced 15% larger plants than did the
- 393 wild-type line (WT). (A) Total dry-weight. (B) Leaf area. (C) Plant height. Data were
- normalized to WT. Error bars indicate SEM (n=12), asterisk indicates significant differences
- between VPZ lines and WT (α =0.05). (**D**) Top-view of the field experiment in Urbana, Illinois
- 396 (40.11 °N, 88.21 °W, photo credit: D. Drag) in the summer of 2016.

Table 1. Xanthophyll cycle pigment concentrations and de-epoxidation state (DES). 397

Samples were taken from greenhouse-grown fully expanded leaves of wild-type N. tabacum 398

- (WT) and three lines overexpressing AtVDE, AtPsbS and AtZEP (VPZ) in dark-acclimated state 399
- or after exposure to constant 400 or 2000 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹ (when steady state photosynthesis 400
- was reached) or 3 cycles of 3 min 2000 / 3 min 200 μ mol photons m⁻² s⁻¹. Pigment 401
- concentrations (mean \pm SEM, n = between 3 to 6) were normalized per unit leaf area (g m⁻²). 402
- Asterisks indicate significant differences between VPZ lines and WT ($\alpha = 0.05$). Vio = 403
- violaxanthin, Ant = antheraxanthin, Zea = Zeaxanthin. DES (%) = (Zea + 0.5Ant)/(Zea + Ant +404 eted.

405	V10)	, n.d.	= not	: detect

Light treatment	Pigment	WT	VPZ-23	VPZ-34	VPZ-56
	Vio	7.72 ± 0.37	6.64 ± 0.45	6.94 ± 0.64	6.70 ± 0.40
	Ant	0.01 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.00 ± 0.00	0.01 ± 0.00
Dark-acclimated	Zea	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
	DES	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
	Vio	6.68 ± 0.62	7.29 ± 0.47	7.05 ± 0.48	7.07 ± 0.31
Constant at 400 µmol	Ant	0.03 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00	0.02 ± 0.01	0.01 ± 0.00
photons m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Zea	0.20 ± 0.10	0.00 ± 0.00	0.05 ± 0.05	0.00 ± 0.00
	DES	2.9 ± 1.4	0.1 ± 0.0	0.7 ± 0.6	0.1 ± 0.0
	Vio	4.47 ± 0.41	5.09 ± 0.52	3.63 ± 0.59	5.02 ± 0.09
Constant at 2000 µmol	Ant	0.07 ± 0.00	0.08 ± 0.01	0.06 ± 0.00	0.09 ± 0.01
photons m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Zea	3.81 ± 3.81	$*1.48 \pm 0.48$	$*1.23 \pm 0.24$	*1.94 ± 0.49
	DES	46.2 ± 2.8	*22.9 ± 7.5	*26.2 ± 5.3	*27.4 ± 5.1
Fluctuating between	Vio	4.20 ± 0.16	*7.11 ± 0.57	*5.72 ± 0.15	$*6.14 \pm 0.34$
2000 and 200 µmol	Ant	0.16 ± 0.02	$*0.08 \pm 0.01$	0.13 ± 0.03	$*0.08 \pm 0.01$
photons m ⁻² s ⁻¹	Zea	4.70 ± 0.36	$*0.88 \pm 0.08$	*2.29 ± 0.85	*1.20 ± 0.21
	DES	52.5 ± 5.5	*11.4 ± 0.9	*25.5 ± 17.3	*16.4 ± 4.2

408 **Supplementary Materials:**

- Materials and Methods 409
- Figures S1-S14 410
- Tables S1-S3 411
- Datasets 1-21 412
- References (33-49) 413
- 414 415