



## New longitudinal mode and compression of pair ions in plasma

Zahida Ehsan, N. L. Tsintsadze, H. A. Shah, R. M. G. M. Trines, and Muhammad Imran

Citation: *Physics of Plasmas* **23**, 062125 (2016); doi: 10.1063/1.4954651

View online: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4954651>

View Table of Contents: <http://scitation.aip.org/content/aip/journal/pop/23/6?ver=pdfcov>

Published by the [AIP Publishing](#)

---

### Articles you may be interested in

[Coupling of magnetic electron drift vortex mode with longitudinal perturbations in collision-less and dissipative electron and electron-ion plasmas](#)

*Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 082115 (2015); 10.1063/1.4928439

[Propagation of ion acoustic shock waves in negative ion plasmas with nonextensive electrons](#)

*Phys. Plasmas* **20**, 092303 (2013); 10.1063/1.4821612

[Ion beam driven ion-acoustic waves in a plasma cylinder with negatively charged dust grains](#)

*Phys. Plasmas* **19**, 073706 (2012); 10.1063/1.4737598


[The ionization instability and resonant acoustic modes suppression by charge space effects in a dusty plasma](#)

*Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 032104 (2006); 10.1063/1.2181107

[On the study of instability of ion-acoustic waves in relativistic plasma: Unbounded and bounded](#)

*Phys. Plasmas* **9**, 4439 (2002); 10.1063/1.1510126

---



## New longitudinal mode and compression of pair ions in plasma

Zahida Ehsan,<sup>1</sup> N. L. Tsintsadze,<sup>2</sup> H. A. Shah,<sup>3</sup> R. M. G. M. Trines,<sup>4,5</sup>  
 and Muhammad Imran<sup>1,a)</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, COMSATS Institute of Information Technology, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Exact and Natural Sciences and Andronicashvili Institute of Physics, Javakhishvili Tbilisi University, Tbilisi 0128, Georgia

<sup>3</sup>GC University, Lahore 54000, Pakistan

<sup>4</sup>Central Laser Facility, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Didcot OX11 0QX, United Kingdom

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physics, Lancaster University, Lancaster LA1 4YW, United Kingdom

(Received 1 April 2016; accepted 10 June 2016; published online 27 June 2016)

Positive and negative ions forming the so-called pair plasma differing in sign of their charge but asymmetric in mass and temperature support a new acoustic-like mode. The condition for the excitation of ion sound wave through electron beam induced Cherenkov instability is also investigated. This beam can generate a perturbation in the pair ion plasmas in the presence of electrons when there is number density, temperature, and mass difference in the two species of ions. Basic emphasis is on the focusing of ion sound waves, and we show how, in the area of localization of wave energy, the density of pair particles increases while electrons are pushed away from that region. Further, this localization of wave is dependent on the shape of the pulse. Considering the example of pancake and bullet shaped pulses, we find that only the former leads to compression of pair ions in the supersonic regime of the focusing region. Here, possible existence of regions where pure pair particles can exist may also be speculated which is not only useful from academic point of view but also to mimic the situation of plasma (electron positron asymmetric and symmetric) observed in astrophysical environment. *Published by AIP Publishing.* [<http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4954651>]

### I. INTRODUCTION

Pair ( $\pi$ ) plasmas consisting of only positive and negative ions, produced in laboratory, have received considerable<sup>1–11</sup> attention for the reason that such plasmas have allowed the investigation of many classic problems of physics by opening a door for the scientists curious to understand the phenomena occurring hundred of light years away in the astrophysical environment; this motivates us to address this an advancement in the “laboratory astrophysics.”

Electron and positron (pair) plasmas have been intensively studied in the preceding decades. The latter are found in some interstellar compact objects (e.g., in neutron stars, in the interior of Jupiter, in active galactic nuclei (AGN), etc.), near the polar cusp regions of the pulsars and neutron star atmospheres, in the inner region of the accretion disks surrounding the central black hole, in quasar atmospheres, in the Van Allen radiation belts, etc.<sup>12–18</sup> It is also known that the early prestellar period of the evolution of the Universe was presumably dominated by relativistic electrons and positrons.<sup>19</sup>

In the lepton epoch, which occurred  $10^{-6} < t < 10$  s after the Big Bang, temperatures reached the values of  $10^9 < T < 10^{13}$  K causing annihilation of the nucleon-antinucleon pairs resulting in matter composed of the electrons, positrons, and photons in thermodynamic equilibrium.<sup>19</sup> Unlike ordinary plasmas with significant differences between the masses of chased particles, pair plasmas, on the other hand, keep a time-space parity because the mobility of the particles in electromagnetic fields is the same. Thus, the symmetry collapses the scales which disentangle short and long wavelengths.

Positrons have been focused in connection with antimatter property, e.g., CPT (charge, parity, and time reversal) invariance, in high- energy physics and astrophysics. Both the relativistic and non-relativistic pair plasmas have been gradually explored to represent a new state of matter with unique thermodynamic properties drastically different from ordinary electron-ion plasmas. For these reasons, it is very challenging to produce a pair plasma in the laboratory. However, successful creation of sufficiently dense pair plasma made of fullerene ions  $C_{+}^{60}$  and  $C_{-}^{60}$  is, indeed, a triumph of experimental science; Oohara and Hatakeyama<sup>1,2</sup> have intrigued the scientific community. This is because in such plasmas we do not encounter with the annihilation problem which arises (at much longer characteristic time scales compared to the collective interaction time) due to interaction of matter (e.g., electrons) and anti-matter (positrons) and so provide a controlled environment to study the underlying physics. A basic requirement for long time scale experiments will be that the pair annihilation time scale is many orders of magnitude larger than the plasma period. However, the disagreement between experimental observations and basic fluid theory is yet to be resolved and has divided the concerned scientific community.<sup>4–7</sup>

Pair plasmas have been dealt with two different ways: One is recognized as a “symmetric” system where pair particles have the same charge, mass, temperature, density, etc., whereas in second way of treatment, the symmetry of the pair plasma is mildly broken and the system is usually known as “asymmetric” plasma.

This asymmetry, however, brings forth new physics frontiers, as is of interest as such plasmas can be produced in the laboratory. Whereas some nonlinear phenomena which

<sup>a)</sup>Electronic email: imransindhu@hotmail.com

emerge naturally during the evolution of pair particles may usually cause this asymmetric behavior in the experiments. Small temperature differences in the constituent species causing asymmetries can lead to interesting nonlinear structure formation in astrophysical settings where one encounters e-p plasmas and in laboratory produced pair ion plasma, whereas in the latter small contamination by a much heavier immobile ion, or a small mass difference between the two constituent species can also produce asymmetries.<sup>20–23</sup>

In Japan, Hatakeyama and Oohara<sup>24,25</sup> succeeded in creating lighter pair plasma with hydrogen; however, efforts are being made to accomplish its improved quality. In parallel, for the theoreticians, it is a challenge to explain some of the results and some attempts have been made with kinetic theory taking into account the boundary effects<sup>7</sup> Several authors<sup>26–33</sup> have theoretically investigated the linear and nonlinear behavior of pi plasmas after the above mentioned works.

Looking at the results presented by Oohara *et al.*,<sup>1,2</sup> some authors pointed out that the produced pair-ion fullerene plasmas seem to contain electrons as well since the ion acoustic wave observed in experiment cannot be observed in a pure pair ion plasma at the same temperature.<sup>6</sup> Later on, criteria to define pure pair ion plasma were also presented, and it was shown that the electrons are not fully filtered out and the observation of one of the linear modes proves their presence in the system. And that the increase in the concentration of electrons in pair-ion plasmas affects the speed of ion acoustic wave (IAW) corresponding to the same electron temperature.<sup>4</sup>

Verheest *et al.*<sup>5</sup> demonstrated that a strict symmetry destroys the stationary nonlinear structures of acoustic nature and showed such nonlinear structures can exist when there is a thermodynamic asymmetry between both constituents.

In this manuscript, we aim to investigate linear longitudinal mode for asymmetric pair plasma and with an additional concentration of electrons. We note that not only asymmetry leads to a new longitudinal mode but also the addition of electrons is useful in studying the pure pair ions. Nonetheless, we discuss few cases with and without concentration of electrons. It is to be noted that our investigations are strictly valid for non-relativistic case.

The organization of the paper is as follows: In Sec. II, we discuss the dispersion relation for the cases without and with the presence of electrons. In Sec. III, Cherenkov instability, the condition for the excitation of the ion sound wave is studied. Sec. IV devotes to the derivation of Zakharov equations with and without concentration of electrons. The discussion of the compression phenomenon and the possibility of formation of pair plasma are presented in Sec. V. The main findings of the paper are recapitulated in Sec. VI.

## II. LONGITUDINAL WAVE

It has been reported in Refs. 1 and 2 that a pure pair-ion plasma can support three kinds of electrostatic ion waves in different frequency regimes propagating parallel to the external static magnetic field. Where the ion acoustic speed has been defined as  $c_s = T_i/m_i$ , where  $m_i = m_+ = m_-$  and

$T_i = T_+ = T_-$  have been assumed. The subscripts plus and minus denote the singly charged positive and negative ions. This is also recognized as the case of a symmetric pair ion plasma. Observing the dispersion properties in fullerene pair plasma experiments, Verheest *et al.* emphasized that there seem to exist an acoustic wave rather than linear electrostatic waves interpreted by the Ohara *et al.*<sup>2</sup> which emphasizes symmetry breaking. This is also consistent with the experimental conditions pointing to small asymmetries and is point of our interest. For this, let us start our analysis for the spectrum of linear wave associated with the pair plasma, for that we first write Poisson's equation for all species

$$\epsilon k^2 \phi = -e(n_e + Z_- n_{i-} - Z_+ n_{i+}), \quad (1)$$

where  $Z_{\pm}$  is the charge on positive and negative ions, respectively, and  $n_{\alpha 0}$  is the number density with  $\alpha = e$  (electrons),  $i+$  (positive ions), and  $i-$  (negative ions). The equilibrium quantities will be written with subscript "0."

For the longitudinal electrostatic perturbations  $\exp -i(\omega t - kx)$ , we obtain following dispersion relation:

$$\epsilon(\omega, k) = \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D\alpha}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha})] + \dots, \quad (2)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha} = \omega/k_z v_{T\alpha}$  and

$$W(\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}) = 1/\sqrt{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x \frac{e^{-x^2/2}}{x - \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}} dx \quad (3)$$

is the plasma dispersion function and asymptotic expressions of which are

$$W(\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}) = 1 + \frac{1}{\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}^2} + \frac{3}{\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}^4} + \dots - i\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha} e^{-\frac{\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}^2}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad |Re \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}| \gg |Im \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}|, \quad (4)$$

$$W(\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}) = -i\sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \tilde{\xi}_{\alpha} \quad \text{for} \quad |\tilde{\xi}_{\alpha}| \ll 1, \quad (5)$$

where  $\lambda_{D\alpha} = (\epsilon K_B T_{\alpha}/n_{0\alpha} e^2)^{1/2}$  represents the Debye length, and  $\omega$  and  $k$  are the frequency and propagation vector of the perturbations. Different species, not produced in identical conditions, for instance, could have different thermal speeds temperatures. One could also arrange experiments with different setups for different species when, for instance, there are fractions of heavier or lighter ions or there is a mixture of different mass or temperature species with opposite charges. Below, we discuss dispersion of the waves for different cases.

### Case 1:

First, we consider a case when there is temperature and mass asymmetry in a two component pi plasma; for this, it is assumed that  $T_+$  is the temperature of lighter ions with mass  $m_+$ .

$$\epsilon(\omega, k) = 1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_+)] + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D-}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_-)]. \quad (6)$$

For the frequency range  $v_{t-} < \omega/k < v_{t+}$ , we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2} \left( 1 + i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\omega}{kv_{t+}} \right) - \frac{\omega_{p+}^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_{p-}^2}{\omega^2} + \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D-}^2} \frac{\omega}{kv_{t-}} \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{2k^2 v_{t-}^2}\right) = 0, \quad (7)$$

where  $v_{t\pm} = (K_B T_{\pm}/m_{\pm})^{1/2}$  is the thermal velocity of positive and negative ions. The damping term of ions is exponentially small. If we put  $\omega = \omega_r + \omega_i$  in above equation, then we obtain the real ( $\omega_r$ ) and imaginary part ( $\omega_i$ ) of frequencies as

$$\omega_r = \frac{k \left(\frac{T_+}{m_-}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2\right)^{1/2}} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\omega_i = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \frac{k \left(\frac{T_+}{m_-}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{m_+}{m_-}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2\right)^2} \times \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{T_+}{T_-}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{m_-}{m_+}\right)^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(\frac{T_+}{T_-}\right)}{\left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2\right)}\right) \right]. \quad (9)$$

Eq. (9) is general, where  $\omega_{\pm} = (4\pi n_{\pm} e^2/m_{\pm})^{1/2}$ . For the damping of light ions  $\omega_i < \omega_r$ , but for heavy ions, damping rate is exponential and is very important when  $T_+ \gg T_-$ . The mode can exist only for an asymmetric (non isothermal) pair plasma when  $T_+ \gg T_-$  and  $k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2 \ll 1$ . Since the frequency spectrum depends considerably on the heavier ions, we may call this heavy ion or low frequency branch of the longitudinal oscillations in the pair plasma. When  $k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2 \ll 1$ , the spectrum (8) takes the simple form of the usual ion acoustic oscillations. For the condition  $|\omega_i| \ll \omega_r$ , we get a limit for the temperature ratio of the plasma components

$$\left(\frac{T_+}{T_-}\right)^{3/2} \exp\left(-1/2 \frac{\left(\frac{T_+}{T_-}\right)}{\left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2\right)}\right) \ll 1. \quad (10)$$

The spectrum (8) and the damping rate (9), we call them the ion sound waves owing to their striking similarity with the usual ion acoustic waves in an ordinary electron-ion plasma. Whereas this mode can exist only for an asymmetric plasma with  $k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2 \ll 1$ . We use some parameters typical for the experiment, the density such as:  $n_0 = 1 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  of the full-erene plasma, the temperature:  $T_+ \sim 0.3 \text{ eV}$  (in the experiment  $T_+ \sim 0.3\text{--}0.5 \text{ eV}$ ), thus,  $\lambda_{D+} = 0.04 \text{ cm}$  and  $v_t = 200 \text{ m/s}$ .  $(k\lambda_{D+})^2 = 0.01$ , then  $k = 2.5 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\omega_r = 49.75 \text{ kHz}$  or  $\omega_r/2\pi = 5 \text{ kHz}$ ,  $(k\lambda_{D+})^2 = 0.1$  then  $k = 7.9 \text{ cm}$ ,  $\omega_r = 150.647 \text{ kHz}$ , or  $\omega_r/2\pi = 47 \text{ KHz}$ .

### Case 2:

As was pointed out by Saleem *et al.*<sup>4</sup> that the larger value of the ion acoustic frequency presented in Fig. 2 of Ref. 2 indicated the presence of electrons in significant concentration. So, here we discuss another case when electrons are also present in the pair plasma. For this  $T_e > T_-$ ,  $T_+; m_+ < m_-; T_- < T_+$

From special functions given by (2), the real and imaginary parts are given as

$$\omega_r = \frac{k \left[ \left(\frac{T_e}{m_+}\right) \left(\frac{Z_+ n_{+0}}{n_{e0}}\right) + \left(\frac{Z_- n_{-0}}{n_{e0}}\right) \left(\frac{T_e}{m_-}\right) \right]^{1/2}}{\left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{De}^2\right)^{1/2}} \quad (11)$$

and

$$\omega_i = -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} k \left(\frac{m_e}{T_e}\right)^{1/2} \frac{\left[ \left(\frac{T_e}{m_+}\right) \left(\frac{Z_+ n_{+0}}{n_{e0}}\right) + \left(\frac{Z_- n_{-0}}{n_{e0}}\right) \left(\frac{T_e}{m_-}\right) \right]}{\left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{De}^2\right)^2} \times \left[ 1 + \left(\frac{T_e}{T_-}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{Z_- n_{-0}}{n_{e0}}\right) \left(\frac{m_-}{m_e}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{T_e X}{T_-}} + \left(\frac{T_e}{T_+}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{Z_+ n_{+0}}{n_{e0}}\right) \left(\frac{m_+}{m_e}\right)^{1/2} e^{-\frac{T_e X}{T_+}} \right], \quad (12)$$

where  $X = [(Z_+ n_{+0}/n_{e0})(m_-/m_+) + (Z_- n_{-0}/n_{e0})]/2(1 + k^2 \lambda_{De}^2)$

### III. CHERENKOV INSTABILITY

In this section, we investigate a situation when a charged particle beam consisting of electrons interacts with a pair ion plasma. This situation is widely used in practical application and is a plasma with a small group of electrons of sufficiently high velocity moving through a medium of “resting” particles. The beam density is assumed smaller than that of the plasma.

We begin our analysis of the plasma-beam system with a straight mono energetic electron beam having a Maxwellian distribution with non relativistic temperature in the intrinsic frame of its electrons penetrating a cold Maxwellian distributed plasma, however, in the laboratory frame. It is to be noted that this model is limited to the fast processes with characteristic velocities greatly exceeding the thermal velocities of the beam and plasma particles which, consistently, may be completely ignored.

For this, the charge neutrality condition alters as:  $Z_+ n_{0i+} \simeq n_{0e} + Z_- n_{0i-} + n_{B0}$ , here  $n_{B0}$ , here  $n_B$  represents the beam number density. From now on, all quantities with a subscript “B” will represent the beam electrons. For this case, we obtain the following dispersion relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(\omega, k) = & \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{De}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_e)] + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D+}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_+)] \\ & + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D-}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_-)] + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{DB}^2} [1 - W(\tilde{\xi}_b)] = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}_b = \omega - kv_0 \{k_z v_{tB}\}$  and  $v_0$  is the streaming velocity of the beam. Using (4), (5), and (13), we obtain

$$1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{De}^2} - \frac{\omega_{p+}^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_{p-}^2}{\omega^2} - \frac{\omega_{pB}^2}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)^2} = 0. \quad (14)$$

The last term of this dispersion equation is the contribution of the electron beam, which has a second order pole at  $\omega \simeq \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0$ . When this equality is satisfied, the ‘‘Cherenkov resonance’’ takes place. Resonance width is determined by the factor  $1/(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)$ . For a hydrodynamic instability, the spread in velocities in the beam is much smaller than the resonance just width. So, either all beam particles contribute coherently to the instability, or none of them do.

For  $\omega = \omega_r + \gamma$ , where  $\omega_r$  is given by the Eq. (11), and

$$\frac{1}{\omega^2} \approx \frac{1}{\omega_r^2} \left(1 - \frac{2\gamma}{\omega_r}\right) \quad (15)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)^2} \approx \frac{1}{\gamma^2} \quad (16)$$

and Eq. (14) in terms of  $\gamma$  can be written as

$$\gamma^3 = \frac{k^3 \left(\frac{n_{+0}}{n_{e0}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{T_e}{m_+}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{m_+ n_{-0}}{m_- n_{+0}}\right)^{1/2}}{2 \left(1 + k^2 \lambda_{De}^2\right)^{3/2}} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\text{Im}\gamma = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \left[ \frac{\omega_r \omega_{pB}^2}{2 \left(1 + \frac{1}{1 + k^2 \lambda_{De}^2}\right)} \right]^{1/3}. \quad (18)$$

The growth rate (18) describes the hydrodynamic instability in the long wavelength limit.

For a kinetic instability, the spread in velocities in the beam is much larger than the resonance width. So, only a certain fraction of particles having speeds near  $\omega/k$  will contribute to the instability (will be in resonance with the plasma wave). A phenomenon like Landau damping falls in this class, only it is not normally seen as an instability since it damps the wave rather than driving it.

Further, we consider the frequency range  $v_{te} > \omega/k > v_{t+,-}$  for the case of hot electron beam, i.e.,  $|(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)| \ll kv_{tB}$  is satisfied. In this case, the dispersion Eq. (13) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{De}^2} \left(1 + i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{\omega}{kv_{te}}\right) - \sum_{\pm} \left[ \frac{\omega_{p\pm}^2}{\omega^2} - \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{D\pm}^2} \frac{\omega}{kv_{t\pm}} \right] \\ \times \exp\left(-\frac{\omega^2}{2k^2 v_{t\pm}^2}\right) + \frac{1}{k^2 \lambda_{DB}^2} \left(1 + i \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2}} \frac{(\omega - \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{v}_0)}{kv_{tB}}\right) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

For the case  $n_{e0} T_B \gg n_{B0} T_e$ , the real  $\omega = \omega_r$  coincides with the expression (11). The real and imaginary parts of the frequency are given as

$$\omega_r = \frac{k \left(\frac{T_e n_{+0}}{m_+ n_{e0}} + \frac{T_e n_{-0}}{m_- n_{e0}}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{T_e n_{B0}}{n_{e0} T_B} + k^2 \lambda_{de}^2\right)^{1/2}} \quad (20)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i = & -\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{8}} \frac{\left(\frac{m_e n_{+0}}{m_+ n_{e0}} + \frac{m_e n_{-0}}{m_- n_{e0}}\right)^{1/2}}{\left(1 + \frac{T_e n_{B0}}{T_B n_{e0}} + k^2 \lambda_{de}^2\right)^{3/2}} \\ & \times \left(\omega_r (1 + Y) + \left(\frac{n_{B0}}{n_{0e}}\right) \left(\frac{T_e}{T_B}\right)^{3/2} (\omega_r - kv_0)\right), \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Y = & \left(\frac{T_e}{T_+}\right)^{3/2} \left(\frac{Z_+ n_{+0}}{n_{e0}}\right) \left(\frac{m_+}{m_e}\right)^{1/2} \\ & \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{T_e}{T_+}\right) \frac{\left[\left(\frac{Z_+ n_{+0}}{n_{e0}}\right) \left(\frac{m_+}{m_-}\right) + \left(\frac{Z_- n_{-0}}{n_{e0}}\right)\right]}{\left(1 + \frac{T_e n_{B0}}{n_{e0} T_e} + k^2 \lambda_{de}^2\right)^{1/2}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In Eq. (21), the first term on right-hand side describes the Landau damping decrement, the second term can change sign, if we ignore the damping of ions ( $Y=0$ ),  $v_0 > \omega/k \cos \theta$ , and  $\omega_i$  becomes positive when

$$v_0 > \frac{\omega_r}{k \cos \theta} \left[\omega_r + \left(\frac{n_{B0}}{n_{0e}}\right) \left(\frac{T_e}{T_B}\right)^{3/2}\right], \quad (22)$$

(22) leads to the kinetic instability.

#### IV. EXCITATION OF ION-ACOUSTIC WAVE

One of the most prominent models in plasma physics is described by the Zakharov equations,<sup>34,35</sup> in which high frequency Langmuir waves are coupled nonlinearly to low frequency ion-acoustic waves. In order to discuss the nonlinear effects in the pair plasma in the hydrodynamic approximation, we derive Zakharov’s equation for both cases such as with and without electrons. In our consideration, we suppose that high frequency waves are the ion sound waves, it is

important to understand that when the sound wave interacts with the plasma, it will strongly perturb the density of the plasma. This perturbation is due to the nonlinearity of the equations. Such interactions lead to an average force which is called the *ponderomotive* force.

**Case 1: Pure pair plasma without electrons.** For  $T_+ > T_-$ , on slow time scale, pair ions become dynamic, and their equation of motions can be written as

$$m_{i\pm} n_{i\pm} \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \mathbf{v}_{i\pm} \cdot \nabla \right) \mathbf{v}_{i\pm} = \pm Z_{\pm} e n_{i\pm} \left( \mathbf{E} + \frac{1}{c} \mathbf{v}_{i\pm} \times \mathbf{B}_0 \right) \quad (23)$$

and the continuity equation

$$\frac{\partial n_{i\pm}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (n_{i\pm} \mathbf{v}_{i\pm}) = 0. \quad (24)$$

In this case, positive ions being lighter in mass will be effected by the ponderomotive force, so their distribution is given as

$$n_+ = n_{+0} \exp \left( \frac{- \left( e\phi + \frac{e^2 |A|^2}{2m_+ c^2} \right)}{T_+} \right), \quad (25)$$

where  $A$  is the vector potential of the sound wave, and it can be written as  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}_0(r, t) \exp[i(k_0 \cdot r - \omega_0 t)]$ , here  $A_0(r, t)$  is the amplitude of the vector potential of the sound wave and it varies slowly with time.

Using the condition  $|e\phi + e^2 |A|^2 / 2m_+ c^2| \ll T_+$  in (25) and along with the condition of quasi-neutrality, the potential energy  $e\phi$  through the density of ions and ponderomotive potential can be expressed as

$$e\phi = -T_+ \left( \frac{Z_- \delta n_-}{Z_+ n_{+0}} \right) - \frac{e^2 |A|^2}{2m_+ c^2}. \quad (26)$$

Substitution of (26) into (23) gives

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_-}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_- \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_- = \frac{T_e Z_-}{m_-} \nabla \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) + \frac{Z_-}{m_-} \nabla U_{pond(+)}. \quad (27)$$

On substitution of (26) into (24) and (25), one can obtain Zakharov equation for the excitation of ion-acoustic wave.

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - u_0^2 \nabla^2 \right) \left( \frac{\delta n_-}{n_{-0}} \right) = \frac{Z_-}{m_-} \nabla^2 U_{pond(+)}, \quad (28)$$

where  $u_0^2 = \frac{Z_+ T_+}{Z_- m_-}$  is the ion-acoustic speed and  $U_{pond(+)} = e^2 |A|^2 / 2m_+ c^2$  is the ponder motive potential.

**Case 2: Pair plasma with the concentration of electrons.** Since electrons are lighter than the ions, so here they are most effected by the wave field of ion sound wave via the ponderomotive force.<sup>36</sup> Then, the expression for the electrons density on slow timescale can be expressed as

$$n_e = n_{e0} \exp \left( \frac{e\phi - \frac{e^2 |A|^2}{2m_e c^2}}{T_e} \right). \quad (29)$$

We further suppose that  $|e\phi - e^2 |A|^2 / 2m_e c^2| \ll T_e$ , the potential energy  $e\phi$  is given as  $e\phi = \frac{T_e}{n_{e0}} (Z_i \delta n_{i+} - Z_- \delta n_-) + U_{pond(e)}$ , where  $U_{pond(e)} = e^2 |A|^2 / 2m_e c^2$ . From (23) and (29), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_+}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_+ \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_+ = - \left[ \frac{T_e Z_+}{m_+} \nabla \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) + \frac{Z_+}{m_+} \nabla U_{pond(e)} \right] \quad (30)$$

and for the negative ions

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{v}_-}{\partial t} + (\mathbf{v}_- \cdot \nabla) \mathbf{v}_- = - \frac{T_e Z_-}{m_-} \nabla \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) + \frac{Z_-}{m_-} \nabla U_{pond(e)}. \quad (31)$$

We now assume that the ponderomotive force due to the sound waves is not strong enough to affect the nonlinearity of the ions, i.e., we can neglect the second term of the l.h.s. in Eqs. (30) and (31) and linearize the continuity equation. After some straightforward algebraic steps, we obtain Zakharov equation for the excitation of ion acoustic waves

$$\left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - u_s^{*2} \nabla^2 \right) \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} = \left( \frac{Z_+^2 n_{+0}}{m_+} + \frac{Z_-^2 n_{-0}}{m_-} \right) \nabla^2 U_{pond(e)}, \quad (32)$$

where  $u_s^* = \left[ \frac{T_e}{m_+} \left( \frac{Z_+^2 n_{+0}}{n_{e0}} \right) + \frac{T_e}{m_-} \left( \frac{Z_-^2 n_{-0}}{n_{e0}} \right) \right]$  is the ion acoustic speed.

## V. SELF FOCUSING OF ACOUSTIC WAVE AND COMPRESSION OF IONS

Up to now we have discussed in Sec (II), the spectrum of ion sound wave for different cases. Now with the aid of Zakharov equation (32), we analyze subsonic and supersonic cases for the localization of the ion sound wave that will help us understand how the compression of pair ions takes place in the localized area of ion sound wave.

**Subsonic Case:** First, we suppose that the subsonic case for that  $\partial_t^2 \ll u_s^{*2} \nabla^2$ , so that Eq. (32) reduces to

$$\left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) = - \frac{\alpha}{u_s^{*2}} U_{pond(e)} < 0, \quad (33)$$

where  $\alpha = (Z_+^2 n_{+0} / m_+ + Z_-^2 n_{-0} / m_-)$ . From above expression, it is clear that when the energy density of the sound wave increases, then at that point the density of the electrons decreases. In other words, the pair particles (positive and negative ions) cluster there, whereas no electrons exist so in that region one can have possible gathering of pair ions.

**Supersonic Case:** When the perturbations are fast that is in the supersonic regime  $\partial_t^2 \gg u_s^{*2} \nabla^2$ , Eq. (32) gives

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) = \left( \frac{Z_+^2 n_{+0}}{m_+} + \frac{Z_-^2 n_{-0}}{m_-} \right) \nabla^2 U_{pond(e)}. \quad (34)$$

We now assume that the ion acoustic wave propagates along z-axis and introduce  $r_{\perp}$  and  $\tau = t - z/v_g$  as new variables, then Eq. (34) becomes

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) = \frac{\alpha}{v_g^2} \left( \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} + \nabla_{\perp}^2 \right) |U_{pond(e)}|^2. \quad (35)$$

After integrating twice to Eq. (35), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) &= \frac{\alpha}{v_g^2} \left\{ |U_{pond(e)}(r_{\perp}, \tau)|^2 + v_g^2 \nabla_{\perp}^2 \right. \\ &\times \left. \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau} \int_{\tau_1}^{\tau'} |U_{pond(e)}(r_{\perp}, \tau'')|^2 d\tau'' d\tau' + C_1 \tau + C_2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (36)$$

If we choose the boundary condition such that  $|U_{pond(e)}| = 0$  then the Eq. (36) gives  $C_1 = C_2 = 0$ . In order to find the different feature of Eq. (35), we suppose that  $|\Psi(r_{\perp}, \tau)|^2$  has the following profile:

$$U_{pond(e)}^2 = a_0^2 \exp[-r^2/2R^2] \{ \Theta(\tau - \tau_1) - \Theta(\tau - \tau_2) \}, \quad (37)$$

where  $R$  is the pulse width,  $\tau_2 - \tau_1 = \tau_0$  is the pulse length, and  $\Theta(x)$  is a unit step function with properties  $\Theta(x) = 0$  for  $x < 0$  and  $\Theta(x) = 1$  for  $x > 0$ . Substituting (37) in (36) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) &= \frac{\alpha |U_{pond(e)}(r_{\perp}, \tau)|^2}{v_g^2} \\ &\times \left[ 1 - v_g^2 \frac{\tau_0^2}{R^2} \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{2R^2} \right) a_0^2 \exp(-r^2/2R^2) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

For the case  $r_{\perp} = 0$ , and  $\tau - \tau_1 = \tau_0$ , then  $|U_{pond(e)}(0, \tau)|^2 = a_0^2 = \text{constant}$ . Then, the above Equation (38) implies

$$\left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) = \frac{\alpha a_0^2}{v_g^2} \left[ 1 - \frac{v_g^2 \tau_0^2}{R^2} \right]. \quad (39)$$

Using above expression, we discuss two cases when the ion sound wave is focused. First, when the wave has a bullet like initial profile, i.e.,  $v_g \tau_0 > R$ , we get

$$\left( \frac{\delta n_e}{n_{e0}} \right) = -\frac{\alpha a_0^2 \tau_0^2}{R^2}, \quad (40)$$

which means in the focusing region density of the electrons decreases ( $\delta n_e < 0$ ), and for the depletion of electrons, there only pair particles (positive and negative ions) are left. This is in particularly important for us because as stated in the introduction, the presence of electrons (may be in small concentration) is inevitable; however, if we wish to study how the pure pair plasmas are going to behave, then this investigation is very useful.

On the other hand, when the pulse shape is pancake ( $\delta n_e > 0$ ), there exists a regime of interaction of the wave packet, where electrons can assemble together in the focusing region of the wave packet.

However, for a two component pure pair plasma, we can see the force which acts on the negative ions, for that Zakharov equation (28) follows:

$$\frac{\delta n_-}{n_{-0}} = -\frac{\beta U_{pond(+)}}{u_0^2 - u_p^2}, \quad (41)$$

where  $\beta = Z_-/m_-$  and  $u_0^2 = Z_-^2 T_+/Z_+ m_-$ . There have introduced  $u_p$  as the characteristic velocity of the pulse. From Eq. (27), we find  $F = \partial v_- / \partial t$ , where  $F$  is the force acting on the negative ions which is now given as

$$F = -\left( \frac{\beta u^2}{u_0^2 - u_p^2} \right) \nabla U_{pond(+)}. \quad (42)$$

Hence at  $u_0^2 > u_p^2$ , the leading half of the pulse pushes the particles (negative ions) forwards and due to the smallness  $v_- \ll u_p$ , the pulse overlaps this gathering of the particles. The back half of the pulse breaks the particles, and therefore, in the region of the pulse location, we have an increase of the density.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we have found a new mode, namely, the acoustic mode in pair plasma both with and without the presence of electrons. Here, difference in temperature and mass of the ions is very important, and we note that damping of ions contributes significantly to the acoustic mode. Ion sound waves have been excited by the monoenergetic electron beam of small density, and the Cherenkov instability condition for the beam particles has been found in both the hydrodynamic and kinetic limits. After interaction with plasma, the ion sound mode has been shown to generate ion acoustic type waves.

We have shown that, in our case, the ion sound wave can be focused and that the pair ions cluster in the focus region. Also, when the ion sound wave pulse has the form of a light bullet, the pair ions density increases in the focusing region of the sound wave, while the opposite happens when the wave pulse has a pancake shape. In future, we plan to investigate the modulation instability and both stationary and non stationary solutions. The present results may have importance in both laboratory and astrophysical plasmas where there is a possibility of ions with different mass and temperatures.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Z.E. is grateful to Renato Fedele, Davy Tskhakaya, Hamid Saleem and Qamar Haque for the fruitful discussions. N.L.T. would like to acknowledge the partial support of GNSF grant project No. FR/101/6-140/13. M.I. acknowledges support through the Interim program for fresh Ph.D.s by the Higher Education Commission of Pakistan, HEC grant No. 21-308/SRGP/R&D/HEC/2014 and Dr. Qaisar Abbas.

<sup>1</sup>W. Oohara and R. Hatakeyama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **91**, 205005 (2003).

<sup>2</sup>W. Oohara, D. Date, and R. Hatakeyama, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 175003 (2005).

<sup>3</sup>W. Oohara, T. Hibino, T. Higuchi, and T. Ohta, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.* **83**, 083509 (2012).

<sup>4</sup>H. Saleem, *Phys. Plasmas* **14**, 014505 (2007) and references therein; **13**, 044502 (2006) and references therein.

<sup>5</sup>F. Verheest, *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 082301 (2006).

- <sup>6</sup>J. Vranjes, D. Petrovic, and B. P. Pandey, *Phys. Plasmas* **15**, 072104 (2008).
- <sup>7</sup>M. Kono, J. Vranjes, and N. Batool, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 105001 (2014).
- <sup>8</sup>D. Lu, Z. L. Li, and B. S. Xie, *J. Plasma Phys.* **81**, 905810508 (2015).
- <sup>9</sup>F. Verheest, *Phys. Plasmas* **17**, 062302 (2010).
- <sup>10</sup>I. Kourakis, A. Esfandyari-Kalejahi, M. Mehdipoor, and P. K. Shukla, *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 052117 (2006).
- <sup>11</sup>S. M. Mahajan, N. L. Shatashvili, and V. I. Berezhiani, *Phys Rev E* **80**, 066404 (2009) and references therein.
- <sup>12</sup>F. Pacini, *Nature* **219**, 145 (1968).
- <sup>13</sup>P. Goldreich and W. H. Julian, *J. Astrophys.* **157**, 869 (1969).
- <sup>14</sup>M. J. Rees, *Nature* **229**, 312 (1971).
- <sup>15</sup>C. M. Surko, M. Leventhal, and A. Passner, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62**, 901 (1989).
- <sup>16</sup>H. Boehmer, M. Adams, and N. Rynn, *Phys. Plasmas* **2**, 4369 (1995).
- <sup>17</sup>E. P. Liang, S. C. Wilks, and M. Tabak, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 4887 (1998).
- <sup>18</sup>S. A. Khan, M. Ilyas, Z. Wazir, and Z. Ehsan, *Astrophys. Space Sci.* **352**, 559 (2014).
- <sup>19</sup>S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity* (Wiley and Sons, New York, 1972).
- <sup>20</sup>V. I. Berezhiani, S. M. Mahajan, and N. L. Shatashvili, *Phys. Rev. A* **81**, 053812 (2010).
- <sup>21</sup>V. I. Berezhiani, S. M. Mahajan, and N. L. Shatashvili, *J. Plasma Phys.* **76**, 467 (2010).
- <sup>22</sup>A. Esfandyari-Kalejahi, I. Kourakis, M. Mehdipoor, and P. K. Shukla, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**, 13817 (2006).
- <sup>23</sup>V. I. Berezhiani, N. L. Shatashvili, S. M. Mahajan, and B. N. Aleksic, *Phys. Rev. E* **88**, 015101 (2013).
- <sup>24</sup>W. Oohara and R. Hatakeyama, *Phys. Plasmas* **14**, 055704 (2007).
- <sup>25</sup>W. Oohara, Y. Kuwabara, and R. Hatakeyama, *Phys. Rev. E* **75**, 056403 (2007).
- <sup>26</sup>P. K. Shukla and M. Khan, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 014504 (2005).
- <sup>27</sup>T. Cattaert, I. Kourakis, and P. K. Shukla, *Phys. Plasmas* **12**, 012319 (2005).
- <sup>28</sup>Q. Haque, *Phys. Lett. A* **374**, 3304 (2010).
- <sup>29</sup>A. Esfandyari-Kalejahi, M. Akbari-Moghanjoughi, and B. Haddadpour-Khiaban, *Phys. Plasmas* **16**, 102302 (2009).
- <sup>30</sup>A. Adak, S. Ghosh, and N. Chakrabarti, *Phys. Plasmas* **21**, 092120 (2014).
- <sup>31</sup>S. Pramanik, C. Maity, and N. Chakrabarti, *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 052303 (2015).
- <sup>32</sup>A. Adak, S. Ghosh, and N. Chakrabarti, *Phys. Plasmas* **22**, 102307 (2015).
- <sup>33</sup>H. G. Abdelwahed, E. K. El-Shewy, M. A. Zahran, and S. A. Elwakil, *Phys. Plasmas* **23**, 22102 (2016).
- <sup>34</sup>V. E. Zakharov, *Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz.* **62**, 1745 (1972).
- <sup>35</sup>R. Fedele, P. K. Shukla, M. Onorato, D. Anderson, and M. Lisak, *Phys. Lett. A* **303**, 61 (2002).
- <sup>36</sup>N. L. Tsintsadze, Z. Ehsan, H. A. Shah, and G. Murtaza, *Phys. Plasmas* **13**, 072103 (2006).