

1 Tuft Cells: a new flavor in innate epithelial immunity

2

3 Richard K. Grencis¹ & John J. Worthington²

4 ¹Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Manchester, UK.

5 ²Faculty of Health and Medicine, University of Lancaster, UK.

6

7 Correspondence to: richard.grencis@manchester.ac.uk (R. K. Grencis) and

8 j.j.worthington@lancaster.ac.uk (J. J. Worthington)

9

10 Abstract

11 How host cells sense intestinal parasitic infection and initiate the appropriate
12 immune response has long been a focus of many immunologists. Three new
13 papers now identify a critical role for tuft cells, an epithelial cell involved in
14 perception of taste, as key players that kick start Type 2 immunity.

15

16 Main text

17 Infection with intestinal dwelling helminths is commonly associated with the
18 generation of type 2 immunity. The cytokine interleukin (IL-) 13 is critical in
19 driving this characteristic ‘allergic’ immune response[1] and is secreted by type
20 two innate lymphoid cells (ILC2) and CD4+T cells. ILC2 are believed to be major
21 initiators of type 2 immunity following parasitic infection[2], although a long-
22 standing question that still remains to be answered is how the expansion and
23 proliferation of ILC2, via production of the key epithelial cytokines IL-33 and IL-
24 25, is orchestrated?

25

26 Three recent papers now provide a significant advance in our understanding of
27 this process. All have used intestinal dwelling nematodes (*Nippostrongylus*
28 *brasiliensis* and/or *Heligmosomoides polygyrus*), while one study used the enteric
29 protozoan (*Tritrichomonas muris*) as drivers of type 2 immunity. Coupled with
30 the use of a variety of transgenic mouse strains this powerful combination has
31 allowed a series of elegant and definitive experiments to collectively show that
32 the expansion of ILC2s is dependent on a much-neglected epithelial cell type –
33 the tuft cell. Although discovered 60 years ago, relatively little is known about

34 tuft cell (also known as brush cell) function. It has been postulated that they play
35 a chemosensory role and indeed tuft cells encode genes involved in the
36 transduction of bitter and umami tastes [3].

37

38 Using a “knock-in” mouse (Flare 25 – flox and reporter of *Il25*) von Moltke *et al.*
39 [4] were able to identify that the cells in the epithelium of the digestive tract (as
40 well as lung and gall bladder) expressing IL-25, under normal homeostatic
41 conditions, were indeed tuft cells. Importantly, *N. brasiliensis* infected Flare 25
42 mice exhibited a dramatic hyperplasia of tuft cells in the small intestine,
43 returning to homeostatic levels after worm expulsion. Infection with *H. polygyrus*
44 was also associated with a small intestinal tuft cell hyperplasia. Further studies
45 of *N. brasiliensis* infection in a panel of immunologically compromised mutant
46 and transgenic mice confirmed that IL-13 was a key cytokine in tuft cell
47 hyperplasia operating through IL-4R α . Moreover, studies using cytokine
48 administration *in vivo* and *ex vivo* intestinal organoids showed that IL-25 from
49 tuft cells acted upon ILC2 to induce IL-13 production which in turn promoted
50 further tuft cell hyperplasia in a feed-forward loop. These data suggest that
51 waves of tuft cell hyperplasia emanate from stem cell differentiation decisions in
52 the intestinal crypts, evident from the observed organoid tuft cell hyperplasia
53 upon Notch signalling inhibition.

54

55 Data from Gerbe and colleagues[5] was very much in accordance with that of von
56 Moltke *et al.* [4] published in the same issue of Nature and demonstrating a
57 significant intestinal hyperplasia in tuft cells following helminth infection in
58 mice. They demonstrated that tuft cells expressed the Pou domain class 2,
59 transcription factor 3 (Pou2f3) and that Pou2f3 null mice contained no tuft cells
60 and depressed IL-25 expression even after infection with intestinal helminths.
61 This was also associated with a marked depression in a number of type 2
62 immune mediated changes, including goblet cell hyperplasia, Retnl β expression,
63 ILC2 expansion and IL-13 expression in intestinal tissue. Taken together, this
64 data supports a strong link between tuft cell hyperplasia and goblet cell
65 hyperplasia, the latter key to worm expulsion[6]. Accordingly, Pou2f3 null mice
66 showed a highly significant delay in *N. brasiliensis* expulsion with some worms

67 remaining in the intestine until day 42 post infection. Organoid cultures and
68 cytokine add back experiments using the Pou2f3 null mice confirmed that IL-13
69 acted downstream of the tuft cell lineage. This supported the concept of a
70 positive feedback loop in which ILC2 expansion, driven by tuft cell derived IL-25,
71 promoted IL-13 driven tuft cell hyperplasia with the resultant goblet cell
72 hyperplasia driving parasite expulsion from the intestinal tract.

73

74 The paper from Howitt *et al.* [7] stemmed from observations of the number of
75 tuft cells (Dclk1 positive cells) in the intestinal tract, as part of a study into the
76 role of taste-chemosensory cells in recognition of intestinal microbes via G
77 protein couple receptors. They observed that mice bred in their facility exhibited
78 elevated numbers of tuft cells in comparison to previously published work. A
79 series of experiments identified that this was due to the presence of the common
80 protozoan *T. muris*. As intestinal helminths are also frequent infections of the
81 mammalian intestine, infection by *N. brasiliensis*, *H. polygyrus* or *Trichinella*
82 *spiralis* confirmed and extended the parasite driven tuft cell hyperplasia data
83 from the other two groups. The role of tuft cells as chemosensory cells was
84 explored using mice which lacked either the taste-specific G protein subunit
85 gustducin, or the transient receptor potential cation channel, subfamily M,
86 member 5 (TRMP5), a cation channel known to be important in the signaling
87 cascade of chemosensory cells in the gut. Gustducin null mice had significantly
88 fewer tuft cells after *T. muris* infection, while TRMP5 null mice also showed
89 blunted tuft cell and goblet cell hyperplasia. *In vivo* cytokine and *ex vivo* organoid
90 cultures in TRMP5 null mice showed IL-25 was produced by tuft cells and that
91 ILC2 were a critical part of tuft cell hyperplasia through secreting IL-13. The
92 authors concluded that the tuft cells may detect protozoans (and presumably
93 metazoan parasites) through TRMP5 taste chemoreception to initiate the tuft
94 cell/ILC2 feed-forward loop.

95

96 These three papers make a major contribution to our understanding of the
97 initiation of type 2 immune responses to metazoan and protozoan enteric
98 parasites and provide a clear link between the epithelial barrier and the innate
99 immune response (Fig. 1). Whether this is the only function of tuft cells during

100 infection is unknown; tuft cells also possess the cellular machinery to influence
101 intestinal smooth muscle contraction, blood pressure and water balance, being
102 closely associated with nerve fibers and secreting leukotriene C4 and certain
103 opioids [8, 9]. Also, we are still to define the precise nature of the parasite
104 derived or infection induced molecules that are 'tasted' by the tuft cell.
105 Regardless, these studies highlight the rich biology of 'rare' cell populations in
106 the generation of immune responses to infection.

107

108

109 **Figure 1. Taste your parasites.** Helminth or infection induced molecules are
110 sensed via tuft cells expressing the transient receptor potential cation channel,
111 subfamily M, member 5 (TRMP5) and gustducin- α . In response, tuft cells release
112 the alarmin IL-25 which increases type two innate lymphoid cells (ILC2)
113 numbers and their secretion of the cytokine IL-13. In turn IL-13 signals to the
114 pluripotent stem cell niche within the intestinal crypt and promotes the
115 differentiation of tuft cells, possibly by altering Notch signaling. This tuft cell
116 hyperplasia causes a feed-forward loop and concurrent goblet cell hyperplasia,
117 leading to worm expulsion.

118

119

120 **References**

- 121 1 Bancroft, A.J., *et al.* (1998) A critical role for IL-13 in resistance to intestinal
122 nematode infection. *J Immunol* 160, 3453-3461
- 123 2 Kim, B.S. and Artis, D. (2015) Group 2 innate lymphoid cells in health and
124 disease. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 7
- 125 3 Gerbe, F., *et al.* (2012) The intestinal epithelium tuft cells: specification and
126 function. *Cellular and molecular life sciences : CMLS* 69, 2907-2917
- 127 4 von Moltke, J., *et al.* (2016) Tuft-cell-derived IL-25 regulates an intestinal ILC2-
128 epithelial response circuit. *Nature* 529, 221-225
- 129 5 Gerbe, F., *et al.* (2016) Intestinal epithelial tuft cells initiate type 2 mucosal
130 immunity to helminth parasites. *Nature* 529, 226-230

131 6 Hasnain, S.Z., *et al.* (2013) A new role for mucins in immunity: insights from
132 gastrointestinal nematode infection. *The international journal of biochemistry &*
133 *cell biology* 45, 364-374

134 7 Howitt, M.R., *et al.* (2016) Tuft cells, taste-chemosensory cells, orchestrate
135 parasite type 2 immunity in the gut. *Science* 351, 1329-1333

136 8 Bezencon, C., *et al.* (2008) Murine intestinal cells expressing Trpm5 are mostly
137 brush cells and express markers of neuronal and inflammatory cells. *The Journal*
138 *of comparative neurology* 509, 514-525

139 9 Kokrashvili, Z., *et al.* (2009) Release of endogenous opioids from duodenal
140 enteroendocrine cells requires Trpm5. *Gastroenterology* 137, 598-606,
141 606.e591-592

142

143

144