

Elsevier Editorial System(tm) for Ceramics
International
Manuscript Draft

Manuscript Number: CERI-D-16-07308

Title: Structural and mechanical properties of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$
(M: Co, Ni and Cu) perovskites

Article Type: Full length article

Keywords: Perovskite; ultrasound assisted synthesis; structure;
mechanical properties.

Corresponding Author: Dr. Ali Akbari-Fakhrabadi, Ph.D.

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Chile

First Author: Ali Akbari-Fakhrabadi, Ph.D.

Order of Authors: Ali Akbari-Fakhrabadi, Ph.D.; V. Meruane, PhD; M.
Jamshidijam, PhD; M.A. Gracia-Pinilla; R. Garcia, PhD; M. Orellana

Abstract: $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu) perovskite nanostructures were synthesized using low frequency ultrasound assisted synthesis technique and effect of substitution of Fe by Co, Ni and Cu on crystal structure and mechanical properties in $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{FeO}_{3-\delta}$ perovskite were studied. The HRTEM and Rietveld refinement analyses revealed the uniform equi-axial shape of the obtained nanostructures with the existence of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ with mixed rhombohedral and orthorhombic structures. Substitution of Cu decreases the melting point of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{FeO}_{3-\delta}$. The results of mechanical characterizations show that $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ and $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ have ferroelastic behavior and comparable elastic moduli, however, substitution of Ni shows higher hardness and lower fracture toughness than Co in B-site doping.

Suggested Reviewers: Siew Hwa Chan professor
Mechanical Engineering, Nanyang Technological University
MSHCHAN@ntu.edu.sg

San Ping Jiang
University of Curtin
S.Jiang@curtin.edu.au

Rose-Noelle Vannier
Ecole Nationale Supérieure de Chimie de Lille, France
rose-noelle.vannier@ensc-lille.fr

Opposed Reviewers:

To

Date: 03.10.2016

Professor P. Vincenzini
The General Editor
Ceramics International

Dear Professor/Editor,

Sub: Submission of manuscript - reg.

Greetings. I herewith am submitting one of our research manuscripts entitled, “**Structural and mechanical properties of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu) perovskites**” for favor publishing in your esteemed Journal, **Ceramics International**.

This manuscript reports the characteristics such as structure, phase changes and composition, particle size and morphology of the resulted $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu) nanostructures prepared by sonochemical synthesis and compare their mechanical characterizations. In this regard, I would be thankful to you if you could kindly consider our manuscript and do the needful for favor publication.

This manuscript describes our original research investigation and not submitted elsewhere for publication.

Thanking You,

Yours sincerely,



ALI AKBARI-FAKHRABADI

Assistant Professor

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Faculty of Mathematical and Physical Sciences

University of Chile, Santiago, CHILE

Phone: +56 229784690

E-mail: aliakbarif@ing.uchile.cl

1
2
3
4 **Structural and mechanical properties of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu)**
5
6 **perovskites**

7
8
9 A. Akbari-Fakhrabadi^{*,a}, V. Meruane^a, M. Jamshidijam^b, M.A. Gracia-Pinilla^{c,d},
10 R. Garcia^e, M. Orellana^a

11
12
13 ^aAdvanced Materials Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Chile, Santiago, Chile

14
15 ^bDepartment of Materials Engineering, Islamic Azad University, Sirjan Branch, Sirjan, Iran

16
17 ^cUniversidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas, Av. Universidad, Cd.

18
19 Universitaria, San Nicolás de los Garza, N.L., Mexico

20
21 ^dUniversidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Centro de Investigación e Innovación en Desarrollo de Ingeniería y
22 Tecnología, PIITKm6, Carretera al Aeropuerto, Apodaca, N.L., Mexico

23
24 ^eUniversidad de Concepcion, Facultad de Ciencias Químicas, Edmundo Larenas 129, Concepcion, Chile

25
26 E-mail: aliakbarif@ing.uchile.cl

27
28 **Abstract**

29
30 $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu) perovskite nanostructures were synthesized using
31 low frequency ultrasound assisted synthesis technique and effect of substitution of Fe by Co,
32 Ni and Cu on crystal structure and mechanical properties in $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{FeO}_{3-\delta}$ perovskite were
33 studied. The HRTEM and Rietveld refinement analyses revealed the uniform equi-axial shape
34 of the obtained nanostructures with the existence of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ with mixed
35 rhombohedral and orthorhombic structures. Substitution of Cu decreases the melting point of
36 $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{FeO}_{3-\delta}$. The results of mechanical characterizations show that $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Co}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$
37 and $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ have ferroelastic behavior and comparable elastic moduli,
38 however, substitution of Ni shows higher hardness and lower fracture toughness than Co in B-
39 site doping.
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49

50
51 **Keywords:** Perovskite; ultrasound assisted synthesis; structure; mechanical properties.
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Introduction

Reversible solid oxide cell (RSOC) technology as a solid-state and high efficient electrochemical energy conversion device is one of the most promising electricity storage/generation options and has been projected as a key component of the future electric grid to increase efficiency and allows large-scale penetration of intermittent renewable resources [1-3]. It is constructed of a membrane electrode assembly comprising a laminated fuel electrode, solid electrolyte and oxygen electrode. Due to high temperature operation and having highly exothermic and endothermic reactions during fuel and electrolysis cell modes, respectively, one significant challenge of designing RSOC system is its thermal management and long term stability and durability [4, 5].

The developments of perovskite materials with a flexible crystal structure (ABO_3) have received many attentions as their structures can be tailored by doping and/or co-doping strategies and obtain various properties accordingly. It has been demonstrated that the appropriate selection of the A- and B-site elements (or element combinations) can change their electrical and electrochemical characteristics and thus render them more useful as SOFC components materials [6, 7]. Lanthanum-based perovskite-structured material with general formula of $La_{1-x}Sr_xCo_yFe_{1-y}O_{3-d}$ (LSCF) has been extensively studied due to its promising mixed electronic–ionic conductivity and high oxygen surface exchange rate as an oxygen electrode material [8]. However, the formation of insulating secondary phases and cations inter-diffusion across the interface of electrolyte/electrode layers have been observed due to the high diffusivity of the metal component such as Co [9] in perovskite structure which has a large flexibility and can accept a variety of cations. To suppressing this drawback, the feasibility of other transition metals substitution in the B-site in LSCF

1
2
3
4 was investigated and cobalt-free perovskite structures such as $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{Cu}_{0.2}\text{O}_{3-d}$ [10]
5
6 and $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{Ni}_{0.1}\text{O}_{3-d}$ [11] have been developed.
7
8

9 Despite many studies have concentrated on conduction properties and performance of
10 newly developed perovskite materials, their associated mechanical properties studies is
11 limited in the literature. In this investigation, $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{O}_{3-d}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu)
12 was prepared by low frequency ultra sound assisted synthesis method to study and compare
13 their structural and mechanical characterizations.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23 **Experimental**

24 The stoichiometric proportions of La, Sr, M (Co, Ni and Cu) and Fe nitrate precursors were
25 dissolved in distilled water by magnetic stirring in order to obtain a homogeneous solution.
26
27 NaOH solution was added to the precursor solution under vigorous mechanical stirring
28 along with the ultrasonic irradiation (~50 kHz) for an hour. The role of NaOH was to
29 maintain the pH during the synthesis of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ nanomaterials. The
30 subsequent filtration of the obtained material was performed. The filtered residues were re-
31 dispersed and washed with distilled water for several times until the washed solution
32 reaches neutral pH. Finally, the obtained materials were dried and then calcined at 800 °C
33 for 2 hours. The details of the synthesis have been reported elsewhere [12]. The thermal
34 analysis of the as-dried materials was characterized by thermo-gravimetric (TG) analysis
35 using Netzsch-STA 449C.
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52 The calcined nanopowders were characterized by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Bruker D8)
53 using TOPAS software and transmission electron microscopy (Tecnai F20 FEG and FEI
54 TITAN G2 80-300) analyses to study for their structure, particle size and chemical
55 analysis.
56
57
58
59
60
61

1
2
3
4 The calcined powders were uni-axially pressed (90 MPa) to fabricate discs (D=25 and t=3
5 mm) and bars (3×5×30 mm) which were sintered at 1250°C for 2h. The structural
6 characteristics of sintered discs were analysed by XRD with the same equipment and steps
7 size of 0.001°. The discs were suspended by soft springs to simulate a “free-free” boundary
8 condition and were excited by an impact hammer. The vibration of the discs is captured by
9 a microphone which was connected to a data acquisition system. Once the experimental
10 resonant frequencies were identified, the elastic modulus was computed using the following
11 relationship [13]:
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

$$f_{20} = \frac{0.2458h}{r^2} \sqrt{\frac{E}{\rho(1-\nu^2)}}, \quad f_{01} = 1.682f_{20} \quad (1)$$

23
24 where $\nu=0.3$ is poisson’s ratio, h and r are thickness and radius of disc, respectively. The
25 variables f_{20} and f_{01} correspond to the first two resonant frequencies of the disc. The details
26 of the method have been reported elsewhere [14]. Bars were used in three-point bending
27 tests using Zwick/Roell Z2.5 TN testing machine with a crosshead speed of 0.5 mm/min
28 and a span width of 20 mm at room temperature.
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 The Vickers microhardness of the sintered discs was measured using Struers microhardness
42 tester under ambient conditions. The hardness was determined by the ratio of the applied
43 load via a geometrically defined indenter to the projected area of the resultant impression
44 using relationship:
45
46
47
48
49

$$H_v = \frac{1854.4P}{a^2} \quad (2)$$

50
51 where P is the applied load and a is the indentation diagonal length. In a typical indentation
52 test, load was varied from 5 to 20N for a dwelling time of 15s. At least ten indentations
53 were made for each load on all the samples. The fracture toughness was calculated by the
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 indentation technique using the same instrument. Both diagonal lengths of the indentation
5
6 images and crack lengths were measured by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and the
7
8 fracture toughness (K_{IC}) values were calculated by the method of Palmqvist cracks.
9

10 11 12 13 14 **Results and discussion**

15
16
17 Fig. 1 shows the thermal characteristics of the as-dried nanomaterials, which demonstrate
18
19 the reactant precursors undergoes similar two stages of decomposition followed by the
20
21 crystallization phases which resulted in the range of 16 to 22% of total weight loss until
22
23 900°C. An initial weight loss was noticed up to 400°C that corresponds to the evaporation
24
25 of surface water and the dehydration of the nanomaterial. The second weight losses were
26
27 perceived between 400 and 800°C attributed to the decomposition of carbonates such as
28
29 lanthanum carbonate which explained elsewhere [12].
30
31
32

33
34 The XRD patterns of calcined powders and sintered discs were indexed and analyzed by
35
36 Rietveld refinement using the perovskite models of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{FeO}_3$ (LSF) with space group
37
38 of R-3c (rhombohedral) and Pnma (orthorhombic) with fixed site occupancy factors to the
39
40 nominal values and pseudo Voigt peak shape. The temperature factors of the La/Sr and
41
42 Fe/M (M: Co, Ni and Cu) sites were constrained to be the same values reported by Sora et
43
44 al. [15]. As shown in Fig. 2 and Table 1-3, all three calcined nanopowders were mixtures
45
46 of rhombohedral and orthorhombic phases with MFe_2O_4 (M: Co, Ni and Cu) as secondary
47
48 phases. Additionally, La_2CuO_4 were detected in $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Cu}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-d}$. Fig. 3 and Table 4-
49
50 6 demonstrate XRD patterns and structure parameters and factors of Reitveld refinement of
51
52 sintered discs, which show that the weight percent of orthorhombic phase in all three
53
54 materials has been increased and La_2CuO_4 decomposed in $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Cu}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-d}$ after
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1
2
3
4 sintering. MFe_2O_4 formation is in contrary to Zhang [11] and Vogt et al. [16] reports in
5
6 which it is claimed that Ni and Cu substitutions upto 20 mol% leads to single-phase
7
8 perovskites. Carnna et al. [17] also reported a small amount of La_2CuO_4 in $LaFe_{0.6}Cu_{0.4}O_3$
9
10 prepared by citrate auto-combustion synthesis method and claimed lanthanum ferrites free
11
12 from impurities in copper molar content of lower than 0.4.
13
14

15
16 The HRTEM micrographs and SAED pattern of the $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Ni_{0.1}Fe_{0.9}O_{3-\delta}$,
17
18 $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Co_{0.1}Fe_{0.9}O_{3-\delta}$ and $La_{0.6}Sr_{0.4}Cu_{0.1}Fe_{0.9}O_{3-\delta}$ calcined nanopowders are presented in
19
20 Fig. 4. As it can be seen from the micrographs, they consist of nano-sized equi-axial shape
21
22 particles with high crystallinity and an average particle size less than 50 nm. As it can be
23
24 seen in Fig. 4b, d and f, all samples showed the (110) lattice fringes encountered for LSF
25
26 (2.80 Å) and the (3.90Å) lattice fringes that correspond to (012) of LSCuF were
27
28 demonstrated.
29
30
31

32
33 LSCuF samples sintered at 1250 °C/2h were deformed due to lower melting point of these
34
35 samples containing copper in B-site of perovskite structure, which were also reported by
36
37 Vogt et al. [16]. Therefore, further characterizations were done on LSCF and LSNF
38
39 perovskites. Table 7 shows the elastic properties of prepared LSCF and LSNF perovskites
40
41 calculated by impulse excitation technique as high precision dynamic method [18]. The
42
43 frequencies obtained by this method were used along with bulk densities measured by
44
45 geometrical method in equation 1 to calculate Young's modulus which is a function of
46
47 temperature, porosity, chemical composition and oxygen vacancy concentration and can be
48
49 expressed as
50
51
52
53

$$E = E_0 \exp(-4.5P) \quad (3)$$

1
2
3
4 where E_0 is the elastic modulus at zero porosity ($P=0$) [19]. The porosity of sintered discs
5
6 were obtained by comparing bulk density and theoretical density of discs which calculated
7
8 using crystallographic density of each phase and its corresponding weight percent obtained
9
10 by XRD analyses by the rule of mixture. As it can be seen in Table 2, E_0 of the samples
11
12 were calculated to avoid the effect of porosity, which shows that LSCF and LSNF have
13
14 comparable elastic modulus.
15
16

17
18 As a property that indirectly reveals the bulk mechanical strength of the sintered ceramics,
19
20 microhardness of LSCF and LSNF prepared discs were measured and compared. As shown
21
22 in Fig. 5, LSNF showed higher microhardness than that of LSCF and $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Co}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{O}_{3-d}$
23
24 d reported by Chou et al. [20] and comparable microhardness with that of
25
26 $\text{La}_{0.58}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Co}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{O}_{3-d}$ [21]. The cracks observed near the indentation zones were used to
27
28 calculate the indentation fracture toughness. There are many equations with some
29
30 conditions and limitations proposed for measuring indentation fracture toughness. For
31
32 comparison purpose, fracture toughness was determined by Niihara's [22], Laugier's [23],
33
34 Anstis's [24] and Evan's [25] equations. As graphed in Fig. 6, LSCF showed higher fracture
35
36 toughness. Both materials show higher fracture toughness than that of
37
38 $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Co}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{O}_{3-d}$ [20] and $\text{La}_{0.58}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{Co}_{0.2}\text{Fe}_{0.8}\text{O}_{3-d}$ [21, 26].
39
40
41
42

43
44 As structural changes due to ferroelastic to paraelastic transition have been reported in
45
46 LSCF perovskite [26, 27], prepared LSCF and LSNF perovskites were studied by typical
47
48 load–displacement curves measured by three-point bending tests at room temperature as
49
50 shown in Fig. 7. As it can be seen, both LSCF and LSNF perovskites demonstrate a non-
51
52 linear deformation at lower loads due to rhombohedral symmetry which allows formation
53
54 of domains induced by mechanical stresses upto a deviation point onwards switching to
55
56 linear deformation until fracture [27].
57
58
59
60
61

4. Conclusions

Effect of B-site cation doping with Co, Ni and Cu on structural and mechanical properties of $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{FeO}_{3-\delta}$ perovskite synthesized by low frequency ultrasound assisted method was investigated. The nanocrystalline $\text{La}_{0.6}\text{Sr}_{0.4}\text{M}_{0.1}\text{Fe}_{0.9}\text{O}_{3-\delta}$ (M: Co, Ni and Cu) perovskites with mixed rhombohedral and orthorhombic structures having MFe_2O_4 secondary phases in all calcined nanopowders and sintered discs were obtained. Doping Fe by Cu also showed La_2CuO_4 phase in calcined nanopowders, which decomposed by sintering and lowered the melting point. The results of mechanical characterizations show that LSCF and LSNF have ferroelastic behavior and comparable elastic moduli, however, LSNF show higher hardness and lower fracture toughness.

Acknowledgements

The first author acknowledges FONDECYT, Government of Chile (Projects No.: 3140180 and 11160202), for the support to carry out this project.

References

1. D. Ferrero, A. Lanzini, P. Leone, M. Santarelli, *Chem. Eng. J.* 274 (2015) 143–55.
2. V.N. Nguyen, L. Blum, *Compendium of Hydrogen Energy* 3 (2016) 115-45.
3. Y.S. Yoo, M. Choi, J.H. Hwang, H.N. Im, B. Singh, S. J. Song, *Ceram. Int.* 41 (2015) 6448–54.
4. C.H. Wendel, P. Kazempoor, R.J. Braun, *J. Power Sources* 276 (2015) 133-44.
5. L. Barelli, G. Bidini, G. Cinti, A. Ottaviano, *Energy Convers. Manage.* 117 (2016) 289–96.
6. J. Richter, P. Holtappels, T. Graule, T. Nakamura, L.J. Gauckler, *Monatsh. Chem.* 140 (2009) 985–99.

- 1
- 2
- 3
- 4 7. A. Ecija, K. Vidal, A. Larrañaga, A. Martínez-Amesti, L. Ortega-San-Martín, M.I.
- 5 Arriortua, *Solid State Ionics* 201 (2011) 35–41.
- 6
- 7
- 8 8. Z. Chen, X. Wang, V. bhakhri F. Giuliani, A. Atkinson, *Acta Mater.* 61 (2013)
- 9 5720–34.
- 10
- 11 9. N. Sakai, T. Horita, K. Yamaji, M.E. Brito, et al., *J. Electrochem. Soc* 153 (2006)
- 12 A621-25.
- 13
- 14
- 15 10. Q. Zhou, L. Xu, Y. Guo, D. Ja, et al., *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 37 (2012) 11963-68.
- 16
- 17 11. F. Zhang, Z. Yang, H. Wang, W. Wang, G. Ma, *FUEL CELLS* 12 (2012) 749–53.
- 18
- 19 12. A. Akbari-Fakhrabadi, P. Sathishkumar, K. Ramam, R. Palma, R.V. Mangalaraja,
- 20 Powder Technology, 276, 2015, 200-203.
- 21
- 22 13. L. Meirovitch *Analytical methods in vibration*. New York, NY.: The Mcmillan
- 23 Company 19 (1967).
- 24
- 25 14. A. Akbari-Fakhrabadi, V. Meruane, M. Jamshidijam, R. V. Mangalaraja, Miguel A.
- 26 Gracia, *Materials Science and Engineering A*, 649, 2016, 168-173.
- 27
- 28 15. I.N. Sora, T. Caronna, F. Fontana, C.J. Fernandez, et al., *J. Solid state Chemistry*
- 29 191 (2012) 33-39.
- 30
- 31 16. U.F. Vogt, P. Holtappels, J. Sfeir, J. Richter, , et al., *Fuel cells*, 09 (2009) 899-906.
- 32
- 33 17. T. Caronna, F. Fontana, I.N. Sora, R. Pelosato, *Materials Chemistry and Physics*
- 34 116 (2009) 645–648.
- 35
- 36 18. M. Radovic, E. Lara-Curzio, L. Riester, *Mater. Sci. Eng. A368* (2004) 56–70.
- 37
- 38 19. X.F. Fan, E.D. Casen, Q. Yang, J.D. Nicholas, *Ceram. Int.* 39 (2013) 6877–86.
- 39
- 40 20. Y.S. Chou, J.W. Stevenson, T.R. Armstrong, L. Pederson, *J. Am. Ceram. Soc.* 83
- 41 (2000) 1457–64.
- 42
- 43 21. N. Li, A. Verma, P. Singh, J.H. Kim, *Ceramics International* 39 (2013) 529–538.
- 44
- 45 22. K. Niihara, R. Morena, D.P.H. Hasselman, *J. Mater. Sci. Lett.* 2 (1983) 221-223.
- 46
- 47
- 48
- 49
- 50
- 51
- 52
- 53
- 54
- 55
- 56
- 57
- 58
- 59
- 60
- 61
- 62
- 63
- 64
- 65

- 1
2
3
4 23. M.T. Laugier, J. Mater. Sci. Lett. 4 (1985) 1539–1541.
5
6
7 24. G.R. Anstis, P. Chantikul, B.R. Lawn, D.B. Marshall, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 64 (1981)
8
9 539-543.
10
11 25. A.G. Evans, E.A. Charles, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 59 (1976) 371–372.
12
13
14 26. B.X. Huang, J. Malzbender, R.W. Steinbrech, E. Wessel, et al., J. of Membrane
15
16 Science 349 (2010) 183–188.
17
18
19 27. B.X. Huang, R.W. Steinbrech, J. Malzbender, Solid State Ionics 228 (2012) 32–36.
20
21
22
23

24 **Tables and figures Captions**

25
26
27 Table 1. The structure parameters and XRD refinement results of LSCF nanopowders
28 calcined at 800 °C/2h.

29
30 Table 2. The structure parameters and XRD refinement results of LSNF nanopowders
31 calcined at 800 °C/2h.

32
33 Table 3. The structure parameters and XRD refinement results of LSCuF nanopowders
34 calcined at 800 °C/2h.

35
36 Table 4. The structure parameters and XRD refinement results of LSCF discs sintered at
37 1250 °C/2h.

38
39 Table 5. The structure parameters and XRD refinement results of LSNF discs sintered at
40 1250 °C/2h.

41
42 Table 6. The structure parameters and XRD refinement results of LSCuF discs sintered at
43 1250 °C/2h.

44
45 Table 7. Physical and elastic properties of LSCF and LSNF discs sintered at 1250 °C/2h.
46
47
48

49
50 Fig. 1. Thermogravimetric analysis curves of as dried nanomaterials.
51
52

53 Fig. 2. XRD patterns of LSCF, LSNF and LSCuF nanopowders calcined at 800 °C for 2 h.

54
55 Fig. 3. XRD patterns of LSCF, LSNF and LSCuF discs sintered at 1250 °C for 2 h.

56
57 Fig. 4. HRTEM micrographs and SAED patterns of (a, b) LSCF, (c, d) LSNF and (e, f)
58 LSCuF nanopowders calcined at 800 °C for 2 h.
59
60
61

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Fig. 5. Microhardness of LSCF and LSNF sintered discs as a function of load.

Fig. 6. Fracture toughness measured with Niihara,Laugier,Anistis and Evan's Eqs. at 10 N applied load for LSCF and LSNF sintered discs.

Fig. 7. Typical load-displacement curves of LSCF and LSNF during three-point bending at room temperature.

Table 1.

Space group	Pnma	R-3c
a (Å)	5.5258	5.5302
b (Å)	7.8449	
c (Å)	5.5359	13.5744
ρ (gcm ⁻³)	6.159	7.497
Crystal size (nm)	60	47
La/Sr x/a, y/b, z/c	-0.02495, 0.25, 1.0004	0.00, 0.00, 0.25
Fe/Co x/a, y/b, z/c	0.00, 0.00, 0.5	0.00, 0.00, 0.00
O(1) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.5, 0.25, 0.1594	0.5299, 0.05992, 0.25
O(2) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.559, 0.03879, 0.67489	
R (Bragg)	0.443	0.418
Wt%	39	60
Impurities (Wt%)	CoFe ₂ O ₄ (~1)	
R _{exp} (%)	3.11	
R _{wp} (%)	3.47	
R _p (%)	2.72	
GOF	1.12	

Table 2.

Space group	Pnma	R-3c
a (Å)	5.5450	5.5474
b (Å)	7.8401	
c (Å)	5.5423	13.5898
ρ (gcm ⁻³)	6.134	7.442
Crystal size (nm)	61	38
La/Sr x/a, y/b, z/c	-0.02181, 0.25, 0.99797	0.00, 0.00, 0.25
Fe/Ni x/a, y/b, z/c	0.00, 0.00, 0.5	0.00, 0.00, 0.00
O(1) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.5, 0.25, -0.0688	0.49355, -0.08571, 0.25
O(2) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.6462, 0.2196, 0.76515	
R (Bragg)	0.363	0.173
Wt%	50	47
Impurities (Wt%)	NiFe ₂ O ₄ (~3)	
R _{exp} (%)	2.00	
R _{wp} (%)	2.36	
R _p (%)	1.86	
GOF	1.18	

Table 3.

Space group	Pnma	R-3c
a (Å)	5.5499	5.5556
b (Å)	7.8377	
c (Å)	5.5521	13.5316
ρ (gcm ⁻³)	6.133	7.465
Crystal size (nm)	80	99
La/Sr x/a, y/b, z/c	-0.02552, 0.25, 0.99746	0.00, 0.00, 0.25
Fe/Cu x/a, y/b, z/c	0.00, 0.00, 0.5	0.00, 0.00, 0.00
O(1) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.5, 0.25, 0.4999	0.43661, -0.00681, 0.25
O(2) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.76332, 0.04676, 0.75048	
R (Bragg)	2.114	0.568
Wt%	44	43
Impurities (Wt%)	La ₂ CuO ₄ (~12), CuFe ₂ O ₄ (~2)	
R _{exp} (%)	2.05	
R _{wp} (%)	2.70	
R _p (%)	2.06	
GOF	1.32	

Table 4.

Space group	Pnma	R-3c
a (Å)	5.5015	5.5389
b (Å)	7.7873	
c (Å)	5.5461	13.4978
ρ (gcm ⁻³)	6.221	7.516
Crystal size (nm)	66	73
La/Sr x/a, y/b, z/c	0.02003, 0.25, 0.99952	0.00, 0.00, 0.25
Fe/Co x/a, y/b, z/c	0.00, 0.00, 0.5	0.00, 0.00, 0.00
O(1) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.5, 0.25, 0.48948	0.59204, 0.07834, 0.25
O(2) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.50567, 0.05323, 0.57699	
R (Bragg)	0.985	0.87
Wt%	38	60
Impurities (Wt%)	CoFe ₂ O ₄ (~2)	
R _{exp} (%)	1.07	
R _{wp} (%)	2.58	
R _p (%)	1.77	
GOF	2.40	

Table 5.

Space group	Pnma	R-3c
a (Å)	5.5304	5.5881
b (Å)	7.8263	
c (Å)	5.5508	13.5822
ρ (gcm ⁻³)	6.152	7.338
Crystal size (nm)	105	59
La/Sr x/a, y/b, z/c	0.02386, 0.25, 1.00556	0.00, 0.00, 0.25
Fe/Ni x/a, y/b, z/c	0.00, 0.00, 0.5	0.00, 0.00, 0.00
O(1) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.5, 0.25, 0.48945	0.50094, 0.12401, 0.25
O(2) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.75238, 0.01142, 0.75215	
R (Bragg)	2.627	1.112
Wt%	54	42
Impurities (Wt%)	NiFe ₂ O ₄ (~4)	
R _{exp} (%)	1.11	
R _{wp} (%)	2.68	
R _p (%)	1.81	
GOF	2.42	

Table 6.

Space group	Pnma	R-3c
a (Å)	5.5447	5.5852
b (Å)	7.8359	
c (Å)	5.5576	13.6027
ρ (gcm ⁻³)	6.134	7.347
Crystal size (nm)	126	168
La/Sr x/a, y/b, z/c	0.02307, 0.25, 0.99727	0.00, 0.00, 0.25
Fe/Cu x/a, y/b, z/c	0.00, 0.00, 0.5	0.00, 0.00, 0.00
O(1) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.5, 0.25, 0.19956	0.49387, 0.10636, 0.25
O(2) x/a, y/b, z/c	0.76124, 0.01925, 0.75683	
R (Bragg)	2.268	0.773
Wt%	78	20
Impurities (Wt%)	CuFe ₂ O ₄ (~2)	
R _{exp} (%)	1.70	
R _{wp} (%)	2.53	
R _p (%)	1.93	
GOF	1.49	

Table 7.

	$f_{20} \times 10^4$ (Hz)	$f_{01} \times 10^4$ (Hz)	Measured density (gcm^{-3})	Relative density (%)	E (GPa)	E_0 (GPa)
LSCF	2.839	4.79	5.69	84.5	134.6	269.9
LSNF	2.910	4.77	5.82	87.2	153.9	274.0




Fig. 1.




Fig. 2.




Fig. 3.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig. 4.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65




Fig.5.




Fig. 6.




Fig. 7.

e-component

[Click here to download e-component: supplementary.docx](#)