MINERAL CONTENT IN FOUR BROWSE SPECIES FROM NORTHEASTERN MEXICO

TILO G. DOMÍNGUEZ-GÓMEZ¹, ROQUE G. RAMÍREZ-LOZANO²*, HUMBERTO GONZÁLEZ-RODRÍGUEZ¹, ISRAEL CANTÚ-SILVA¹, MARCO V. GÓMEZ-MEZA³, AND MARÍA DEL S. ALVARADO¹

¹Facultad de Ciencias Forestales, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Linares, N.L., México ²Facultad de Ciencias Biológicas, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, San Nicolás de los Garza, N.L., 66450, México ³Facultad de Economía, Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León, Linares, Monterrey, N.L., México *Corresponding author's e-mail: roque.ramirezlz@unal.edu.mx; Tel: +528183294110

Abstract

The aim of the present study was to determine and compare macro (Ca, K, Mg, Na and P) and micro (Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn) nutrient foliar content in Acacia amentacea (DC.), Celtis pallida (Torr.), Forestiera angustifolia (Torr.) and Parkinsonia texana (A. Gray). Leaf samples were collected monthly from January throughout December 2009 in China, Linares and Los Ramones counties in the state of Nuevo Leon, Mexico. All nutrients were significantly different among sites, months and species; double and triple interactions were also significant. Among sites, samples from Los Ramones County showed the higher macronutrient content, followed by China and Linares. As for species, Celtis pallida showed the highest values while A. amentacea had the lowest macronutrient content. Micronutrient content showed the following decreasing order: China>Los Ramones>Linares. Parkinsonia texana was characteristically the most abundant in micronutrient content, while, A. amentacea was the poorest in this respect. Regardless of species, site or timing, Ca (total mean = 30 g kg⁻¹), K (15; except A. amentacea), Mg (5.2; except A. amentacea), Na (1.9; only F. angustifolia), Fe (100 mg kg⁻¹), Mn (51; only F. angustifolia) and Zn (35; except A. amentacea) were determined to be present in suitable amounts to meet nutritional requirements of range ruminants, while Na (1.9; except F. angustifolia), P (1.3 g kg⁻¹) and Cu (6 mg kg⁻¹) were marginally deficient throughout the year.

Introduction

The Tamaulipan Thornscrub (TT) vegetation that belongs to the semiarid region of the state of Nuevo Leon in northeastern Mexico is mainly composed by native plant species that consist by diverse perennial and deciduous shrubs and small trees (Reid et al., 1990). The plants are characterized by a wide range of growing patterns, leaf longevity, growing dynamic and contrasting phenological development (McMurtry et al., 1996, González-Rodriguez et al., 2010). Most plant species provide habitat to wildlife (González-Rodriguez & Cantu-Silva, 2001) and offer good quality forage, high in protein, fiber, vitamins and essential fatty oils for domestic and range small ruminants (Moya-Rodríguez et al., 2002).

Browse shrubs Acacia amentacea DC., Parkinsonia texana (A. Gray) S. Watson, Forestiera angustifolia Torr., and Celtis pallida Torr., are important components of TT (Ramírez-Lozano, 2012). They are known to be well adapted to water stress by means of tissue dehydration avoidance mechanisms, and by the power to seasonally adjust their morpho-physiological traits (as evidenced, for example, by leaf folding and retention) in order to cope successfully with changes in soil water availability, thus allowing them to avoid internal desiccation and, consequently, to maintain high water potential values under drought conditions (González-Rodriguez & Cantu-Silva, 2001; Fardous et al., 2011). In addition, these shrubs contribute to maintain relatively high ecosystem productivity coefficients through active nitrogen fixation and considerable photosynthetic activity, as shown by high photosynthetic pigment concentrations (Uvalle-Sauceda et al., 2008). In addition, these natural resources represent important animal feed resources which are consumed by range livestock, particularly by range small ruminants, and wildlife (Ramírez-Lozano, 2012); yet, little is known about their mineral content.

Therefore, the objective of this study was to determine the monthly macro- (Ca, K, Mg, Na and P) and micronutrient (Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn) concentrations from foliar tissue of 4 native shrubs during a whole year in three different Counties of the state of Nuevo Leon, in northeastern Mexico.

Material and Methods

This study was carried out at three sampling locations in the state of Nuevo Leon, in northeastern Mexico. The first site was ranch "El Abuelo" (100 ha) in Los Ramones County (25° 40' N; 99° 27' W) at 200 masl; climate in the location is semiarid with warm summer; annual mean temperature is 22°C and annual mean precipitation averages 700 mm. The second site was ranch "Zaragoza" (300 ha) in China County (25° 31' N; 99° 16' W), at 200 masl; weather is dry and warm throughout the year; annual temperature and precipitation average 22°C and 500 mm, respectively. The third site was located at the Campus of the Faculty of Forest Sciences (500 ha), Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo León (24° 47' N; 99° 32' W) in Linares County, 370 masl; here annual precipitation is 800 mm and annual mean temperature averages 22.3°C (Reid et al., 1990). In general, the three study sites share a similar climatic pattern with similar peaks of maximum rainfall during May, June and September. The main type of vegetation in the area is known as the Tamaulipan Thornscrub or Subtropical Thornscrub Woodlands (SPP-INEGI, 1986). The most abundant species are Helietta parvifolia, Diospyros palmeri, Prosopis laevigata, Acacia amentacea, A. farnesiana, A. greggii, A. berlandieri, boissieri, Fraxinus greggii, angustifolia, Havardia pallens, Ebenopsis ebano, Leucophyllum texanum, Guaiacum angustifolium, among others (Alanís et al., 1996). Dominant soils are deep, dark-gray, lime-gray, lime-clay Vertisols, rich in montmorillonite, which shrinks and swells noticeably in response to changes in soil moisture content (INEGI, 2002). During this study, annual precipitation and mean temperature were: at Los Ramones= 205 mm and 23.7°C; at Zaragoza = 249 mm and 24.1°C, and at Faculty Campus = 570 mm, 22.8°C, respectively.

Representative and undisturbed experimental plots (50 m x 50 m) were marked at each site. From January through December of 2009 mature leaves and twigs were sampled monthly (800 g/sample) at browse high (1.0 to 1.5 m) from five randomly selected representative plants (Montgomery, 2004) of four shrub species: *A. amentacea, P. texana, F. angustifolia* and *C. pallida*. Once samples had been dried at room temperature leaves were separated from twigs and grounded in a Thomas Willey mill (Thomas Scientific Apparatus, Model 3383) using a mesh (1 mm x 1 mm); milled material was stocked in labeled plastic vials.

Triplicate samples of each plant species were used for mineral analyses using a wet digestion procedure (Cherney, 2000). Samples were incinerated in a muffle (550°C) during 5 hours, after which, ashes were digested in a solution of HCl and HNO₃ (10:1; v/v). Contents of Ca, Mg, K, Na, Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn were determined by atomic spectrophotometry absorption using Spectrophotometer (model SpectrAA-200), while P was quantified spectrophotometrically using a Perkin-Elmer spectrophotometer (model Lamda 1A, AOAC, 1990). Mineral data was statistically analyzed using one-way analysis of variance on a factorial arrangement with 3 sites, 12 months and 4 plant species as factors of variation. Simple linear correlation analyses were performed between mineral content and climate variables. All applied statistical analysis were computed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS; 2004).

Results and Discussion

Macro (Ca, K, Mg, Na, and P) and micro mineral (Cu, Fe, Mn and Zn) content were significantly different among sites, months and species; double and triple interactions were also significant. None of the minerals quantitated significantly correlated with either rainfall or temperature registered during the study. Ca content was higher at Los Ramones, followed by China and Linares, across species. All species showed highest Ca content in October, P. texana at the top, followed by C. pallida, A. amentacea and F. angustifolia (Table 1). Calcium is an essential component of plant cell wall offering support, rigidity and vigor to plant tissues. It seems that regardless of location, species or month, foliar Ca content exceeded metabolism requirements of range sheep, goats and white-tailed deer (5.1, 3.0, 5.3 g of Ca kg⁻¹ of diet DM, respectively, NRC, 2007). Similar findings were reported by Hussain & Durrani (2008) in shrubs from Pakistan, and by Guerrero-Cervantes et al., (2012) in native shrubs from northeastern and north Mexico, respectively. In this study, the high content of Ca across species may relate to the high calcium carbonate content and high pH found in soils (Tripathi & Karim, 2008).

Potassium concentration was highest in leaf samples from China County followed by those from Linares and Los Ramones, regardless of species. Similarly, all leaf samples regardless of location showed highest K content values during September, while lowest in December. Forestiera angustifolia had the highest K content followed by P. texana, C. pallida and A. amentacea (Table 2). Although K content varied among sites, species and though the year, this macronutrient was present in sufficient amounts to meet the requirements of growing range small ruminants (6.5, 4.6 and 4.6 g of K kg⁻¹ of diet DM, respectively, NRC, 2007). Likewise, Akrout et al., (2010) reported high K content in eight shrubs, and argued that all had concentrations above the required dietary level for feeding animals. McDowell (2003) reported that high K concentrations in leaf tissue might be due to the inherent mobility of this mineral and its tendency to accumulate in young leaf tissue when it is absorbed. In this study, K foliar content was generally 10 times the requirement by range small ruminants (NRC, 2007); an important observation that must be considered as a potential problem, since K interferes with Na retention and with absorption and utilization of Mg (McDowell, 2003).

Magnesium content was similar in leaf samples across species in China and Linares Counties and higher than those from any shrub in Los Ramones county. All shrubs showed highest Mg values in January and lowest in December. Parkinsonia texana was generally the highest in Mg content, followed by F. angustifolia, C. pallida and A. amentacea (Table 3). Except for December, all species contained enough foliar Mg through the year to meet metabolic requirements (NRC, 2007) of adult range sheep, goats and white-tailed deer (1.5, 1.6, 1.6 g of Mg kg⁻¹ of diet DM, respectively). Similar results were reported by Moya-Rodriguez et al., (2002) for eight native shrub species from northeast Mexico, as well as by Barnes et al., (1990) for eighteen shrubs in Texas, USA, and by Cerrillo-Soto et al., (2004), who reported on esophageal samples of range goats browsing in semiarid lands of north Mexico. The low Mg content in winter might be due to low temperature (range from 1.5°C to 15°C), particularly in December; McCoy et al., (1993) have shown that as winter sets in, Mg is translocated from senescent foliar tissue through the phloem and, consequently foliar concentration drops. In addition, Mayland & Wilkinson (1989) observed that high K concentration inhibits Mg translocation to upper parts of the plant.

Sodium content in all shrub species was higher in China County followed by Linares and Los Ramones counties. Highest and lowest foliar Na content across species was recorded in January and December, respectively. In general, Forestiera angustifolia showed highest Na content followed by P. texana, C. pallida and A. amentacea (Table 4). Except for F. angustifolia (in Los Ramones and China), all shrubs in all locations and months were marginally deficient in Na to meet metabolic requirements of adult range ruminants (1.0, 0.8, 1.1 g of Na kg-1 of diet DM for sheep, goats and white-tailed deer, respectively; NRC, 2007). Each plant species has the ability to absorb and transport sodium (Tester & Davenport, 2003). In this study, except for F. angustifolia, all plants can be considered as non-Na accumulators. In this latitude, Na is considered as the most limiting mineral ion for animal needs (Whitehead, 2000).

SEM

		Mean		37	29	28	25	27	29	28	26	26	34	41	34	30			
			p.t.	56	25	24	36	32	39	36	36	28	25	48	27	32	6.0	3 x C	100
		mones	f.a.	25	20	23	27	10	13	20	10	15	15	17	29	19	1.0	AxBxC	<0.001
sites in 2009		Los Ramones	c.p.	70	09	48	31	53	40	41	36	4	29	71	62	52	2.9	C	100
hree county			a.a.	21	23	8	20	17	20	20	19	21	14	25	22	19	8.0	BxC	<0.00
species in tl			p.t.	27	21	32	21	31	27	30	29	30	32	40	24	29	8.0	AxC	<0.001
ı four shrub	ıties	res	f.a.	29	6	11	12	13	14	12	14	20	20	25	21	17	1.0	AxB	<0.001
kg ⁻¹ DM) in	Counties	Linares	c.p.	80	99	09	46	49	89	89	99	48	94	84	93	29	2.5	A	·
Table 1. Monthly content of Ca (g kg-1 DM) in four shrub species in three county sites in 2009.			a.a.	19	10	11	18	19	20	23	25	17	24	23	22	19	6.0	Species (C)	<0.001
donthly con			p.t.	33	31	35	26	31	25	32	29	17	24	33	25	28	1.2	1s (B)	100
Table 1. N		China	f.a.	27	25	25	15	14	15	12	10	17	12	14	13	17	1:1	Months (B)	<0.001
		Chi	c.p.	99	56	42	32	42	47	31	34	43	69	78	52	49	2.3	(A)	0.001
			а.а.	23	19	12	15	17	23	16	14	13	15	30	21	18	8.0	Sites (A)	>0.0
		Month		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Mean	SEM	Effects	Probability

a.a. = Acacia amentacea; c.p. = Celtis pallida; f.a. = Forestiera angustifolia; p.t. = Parkinsonia texana; SEM= standard error of the mean

Table 2. Monthly means of K (g kg-1 DM) concentration in four shrub species in three county sites in 2009.

Month China China Linares Cp Linares Linares Cp Linares							Counties	ties							
6. H. f.a. p.t. a.a. c.p. a.a. c.p. p.t. p.t. a.a. c.p. a.a. c.p. a.a. p.t. a.a. c.p. a.a. a.a. <t< th=""><th>Month</th><th></th><th>Ch</th><th>ina</th><th></th><th></th><th>Lina</th><th>res</th><th></th><th></th><th>Los Ra</th><th>Los Ramones</th><th></th><th>Mean</th><th>SEM</th></t<>	Month		Ch	ina			Lina	res			Los Ra	Los Ramones		Mean	SEM
6 13 37 12 6 13 11 10 6 7 19 53 8 10 14 19 12 5 10 15 43 13 11 12 19 12 5 8 15 20 12 9 17 16 8 13 15 16 8 6 9 17 11 7 14 12 8 17 14 7 7 11 12 10 7 14 12 9 9 9 19 48 16 8 15 12 9 9 8 18 20 18 9 15 15 15 8 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 8 8 15 27 12 14 13 8 9 15 <th></th> <th>а.а.</th> <th>c.p.</th> <th>f.a.</th> <th>p.t.</th> <th>a.a.</th> <th>c.p.</th> <th>f.a.</th> <th>p.t.</th> <th>a.a.</th> <th>c.p.</th> <th>f.a.</th> <th>p.t.</th> <th></th> <th></th>		а.а.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.		
7 19 53 8 10 14 19 12 5 10 15 43 13 11 12 19 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 14 10 8 14 12 8 14 12 8 14 12 8 14 12 8 14 7 14 12 9	January	9	13	37	12	9	13	=	10	9	=	47	13	15	2.1
10 15 43 13 11 12 19 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 13 15 16 8 8 17 11 12 14 12 8 14 12 8 14 12 8 14 17 14 12 9	February	7	19	53	8	10	14	19	12	5	14	43	13	18	2.4
8 15 20 12 9 17 15 16 8 7 9 14 10 9 16 14 12 8 8 17 11 7 13 15 14 7 7 11 12 10 8 24 15 14 7 9 19 48 16 8 15 15 9 9 8 18 20 18 9 15 15 19 8 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 04 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3	March	10	15	43	13	=	12	19	13	13	15	49	13	19	2.1
7 9 14 10 9 16 14 12 8 6 9 17 11 7 13 15 13 7 8 17 35 10 8 24 15 14 7 9 19 48 16 8 15 12 9 9 8 18 20 18 9 15 15 19 8 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 04 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3	April	~	15	20	12	6	17	15	16	8	16	46	=	16	1.6
6 9 17 11 7 13 15 13 7 8 17 35 10 8 24 15 14 7 7 11 12 10 7 14 12 9 9 9 19 48 16 8 15 15 15 5 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 04 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.2 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 8 15 3 3 3 3 3 9.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 8 15 10 10 10 10	May	7	6	14	10	6	91	14	12	8	12	14	10	11	0.5
8 17 35 10 8 24 15 14 7 7 11 12 10 7 14 12 9 9 8 19 48 16 8 15 15 15 5 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.5 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC	June	9	6	17	Ξ	7	13	15	13	7	81	58	12	16	2.2
7 11 12 10 7 14 12 9 9 9 19 48 16 8 15 12 15 5 8 18 20 18 9 15 19 8 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.5 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC	July	~	17	35	10	~	24	15	14	7	15	15	13	15	1.3
9 19 48 16 8 15 12 15 5 8 18 20 18 9 15 15 19 8 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.5 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC	August	7	11	12	01	7	14	12	6	6	13	24	7	Ξ	8.0
8 18 20 18 9 15 15 19 8 9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.2 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Nonths (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC	September	6	19	48	91	∞	15	12	15	5	14	44	17	19	2.2
9 19 17 16 7 12 13 9 9 6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.2 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C	October	∞	18	20	18	6	15	15	19	8	16	12	15	14	0.7
6 15 13 11 6 16 9 12 7 8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.2 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC	November	6	19	17	16	7	12	13	6	6	19	20	Ξ	14	8.0
8 15 27 12 8 15 14 13 8 0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.2 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C	December	9	15	13	Ξ	9	16	6	12	7	91	Ξ	10	Ξ	9.0
0.4 0.5 2.8 0.5 0.2 0.6 2.4 0.5 0.3 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C	Mean	∞	15	27	12	∞	15	14	13	8	15	32	12	150	0.5
Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC	SEM	9.4	0.5	2.8	0.5	0.2	9.0	2.4	0.5	0.3	0.5	0.5	0.5		
	Effects	Sites	(A)	Mont		Species (C)	Α.	хВ	AxC	Bx	C 23	AxBxC	3 x C		
Probability <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	Probability	>0:0	100	<0>	001	<0.001	<0>	.001	<0.001	<0.0>	001	<0.001	001		

a.a. = Acacia amentacea; c.p. = Celtis pallida; f.a. = Forestiera angustifolia; p.t. = Parkinsonia texana; SEM= standard error of the mean

2009.
`≣
sites
e county
hre
ī
pecies i
ırub sı
in four sh
Ξ
Š
<u> </u>
Ē
(g kg ⁻¹
g (g kg ⁻¹
(g kg ⁻¹
Mg (g kg-
Mg (g kg-
Mg (g kg-
Monthly concentrations of Mg (g kg-1
Monthly concentrations of Mg (g kg ⁻¹

						Counties	ties							
Month		Ch	China			Linares	res			Los Ra	Los Ramones		Mean	SEM
	а.а.	с.р.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a. a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.		
January	1.5	10.3	22.0	5.1	1.0	5.5	4.7	4.8	1.8	13.1	16.8	3.5	7.5	1.1
February	1.0	10.1	18.4	6.7	6.0	0.6	1.9	2.7	1.7	10.8	13.3	3.1	9.9	6.0
March	1.2	6.4	12.5	4.7	1.1	5.0	1.9	2.6	1.2	6.7	13.5	6.2	5.3	0.7
April	1.8	5.9	2.9	9.9	6.0	7.0	2.2	3.2	1.5	8.1	14.7	6.4	5.1	9.0
May	1.6	8.1	3.4	9.6	1.2	10.3	2.3	4.1	Ξ:	0.6	2.0	6.1	4.9	9.0
June	1.8	6.4	2.7	8.2	1.1	9.5	2.8	5.4	1.5	9.2	10.3	8.6	5.6	9.0
July	2.0	7.5	10.4	8.7	1.1	7.2	1.9	4.6	1.3	8.6	3.6	6.7	5.6	9.0
August	1.0	6.4	1.9	8.1	1.2	9.7	2.3	5.4	9.0	7.5	9.9	5.1	4.5	9.0
September	1.7	10.1	13.6	9.9	1.0	5.4	2.8	0.6	1.4	9.1	10.8	5.9	6.5	9.0
October	1.2	7.8	2.1	4.8	6.0	7.0	1.9	5.0	1.0	5.8	2.3	4.5	3.7	0.7
November	1.0	6.7	2.2	5.6	0.7	6.9	2.0	6.2	1.2	6.9	2.5	4.1	4.1	0.4
December	9.0	4.7	1.5	3.9	8.0	4.1	2.1	3.1	8.0	3.9	1.3	3.9	5.6	0.5
Mean	1.4	7.8	7.8	6.5	1.0	7.0	2.4	4.7	1.3	8.2	8.1	5.6	5.2	0.3
SEM	0.1	0.4	6.0	0.3	0.1	0.3	1.2	0.3	0.03	0.4	0.1	0.3		
Effects	Site	Sites (A)) Months (hs (B)	Species (C)	A	AxB	AxC	В	BxC	Ax	AxBxC		
Probability	<0>	<0.001	<0>	<0.001	<0.001	0>	<0.001	<0.001	0>	<0.001	<0>	<0.001		

a.a. = Acacia amentacea; c.p. = Celtis pallida; f.a. = Forestiera angustifolia; p.t. = Parkinsonia texana; SEM= standard error of the mean

Table 4. Monthly contents of Na (g kg⁻¹ DM) in four shrub species in three county sites in 2009.

						Countries	san							
Month		China	na			Linares	es			Los R	Los Ramones		Mean	SEM
	a.a.	с.р.	f.a.	p.t.	а.а.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	а.а.	с.р.	f.a.	p.t.		
January	0.2	9.1	11.0	0.7	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	5.7	30.3	1.5	4.4	4.0
February	0.2	8.0	4.2	1.3	0.2	8.0	0.3	0.5	0.3	4.1	33.2	1.5	4.0	4.0
March	0.2	0.5	6.8	9.0	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.3	1.4	15.2	0.5	2.2	0.5
April	0.2	8.0	0.3	0.8	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.2	6.0	22.4	8.0	2.3	0.3
May	0.3	1.8	8.0	Ξ.	0.1	9.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.7	0.2	0.7	9.0	0.1
June	0.1	1.7	0.4	6.0	0.5	8.0	0.2	0.4	0.2	2.1	8.8	0.5	1.4	4.0
July	0.2	9.0	4.2	1.5	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	1.2	0.1	0.3	8.0	0.2
August	0.7	1.7	0.4	1.0	0.1	1.5	0.2	2.0	0.2	1.3	36.4	1.7	3.9	0.3
September	0.1	0.5	2.8	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	1.3	10.1	0.3	1.4	0.5
October	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.3	0.3	0.3
November	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.2	6.4	0.1	2.3	0.1	2.6	7.3	0.2	1.2	0.2
December	0.1	9.4	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1
Mean	0.2	6.0	2.6	0.7	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.2	1.8	13.7	0.7	1.8	0.2
SEM	0.02	0.3	2.2	0.1	0.03	0.1	9.0	0.1	0.02	0.1	0.02	0.1		
Effects	Sites (A)	(A)	Months	1s (B)	Species (C)	Α,	AxB	AxC	B	BxC	Αx	AxBxC		
Probability	<0.001	0.1	<0.00	001	<0.001	<0.001	001	<0.001	<0>	<0.001	<0>	<0.001		

		SEM		0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.01	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.01	0.04			
		Mean		1.1	1:1	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.3	1.3	1.3	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.3	1.3			
			p.t.	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.6	1.8	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.4	0.04	3 x C	101
.600		mones	f.a.	6.0	Ξ:	Ξ:	6.0	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.1	1.5	1.0	1.2	1.2	Ξ:	0.03	AxBxC	<0.00
ity sites in 2		Los Ramones	c.p.	1.2	1.3	1.6	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.7	1.7	1.4	1.7	1.4	1.5	0.04	С	101
n three coun			a.a.	6.0	1.2	1.5	1.3	1.4	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.2	1.1	1.2	0.03	BxC	<0.001
ub species in			p.t.	1.5	1.1	1.7	1.8	1.8	1.4	4.1	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.5	0.04	AxC	<0.001
) in four shr	ıties	res	f.a.	8.0	1.2	1.4	6.0	1.2	6.0	8.0	8.0	1.2	6.0	1.1	1.2	1.0	0.05	AxB	<0.001
g kg-¹ DM	Counties	Linares	c.p.	1.1	6.0	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.2	1:1	1.5	1.6	1.4	1:1	1.3	0.05	A	0>
Table 5. Monthly concentrations of P (g kg ⁻¹ DM) in four shrub species in three county sites in 2009.			a.a.	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.1	4.1	1.3	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.04	Species (C)	<0.001
ithly concen			p.t.	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.7	1.7	1.5	1.4	1.5	2.1	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.5	0.03	IS (B)	101
lable 5. Mor		na	f.a.	1.1	8.0	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.1	1.8	1.2	1.7	1.6	1.3	1.2	1.3	0.03	Months (B)	<0.001
		China	c.p.	1.1	1.2	1.8	1.7	1.9	1.5	8.1	2.1	1.8	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.6	0.04	(A)	100
			a.a.	1.0	1.1	1.6	1.6	1.8	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.1	1.3	0.03	Sites (A)	<0.001
		Month		January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December	Mean	SEM	Effects	Probability

a.a. = Acacia amentacea; c.p. = Celtis pallida; f.a. = Forestiera angustifolia; p.t. = Parkinsonia texana; SEM= standard error of the mean

Table 6. Monthly content of Cu (mg kg-1 DM) in four shrub species in three county sites in 2009.

						Commiss	SIL							
Month		Ch	China			Linares	es			Los Ra	Los Ramones		Mean	SEM
	а.а.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.		
January	8	∞	10	Ξ	=	12	=	12	4	6	9	12	6	9.4
February	9	4	4	5	2	4	~	16	7	6	10	13	7	0.5
March	4	8	3	∞	7	=	6	6	4	7	5	8	7	0.4
April	2	9	S	∞	9	6	9	Ξ	S	11	9	10	7	0.4
May	9	9	S	S	9	8	4	8	4	9	4	8	9	0.3
June	4	e	S	5	4	7	5	7	4	8	7	9	5	0.3
July	2	9	S	e	S	9	c	4	4	5	3	4	4	0.3
August	4	7	S	9	9	7	æ	9	æ	∞	5	4	5	0.2
September	4	7	S	9	9	7	7	7	m	5	5	7	9	0.2
October	5	7	9	5	7	6	4	15	S	7	4	5	7	0.4
November	m	4	S	4	9	4	5	9	33	9	5	7	5	0.2
December	2	4	4	4	9	7	7	10	33	5	5	e	5	0.1
Mean	4	9	9	9	9	~	9	6	4	7	5	7	9	0.1
SEM	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.5	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.4	9.0		
Effects	Sites (A)	(A)	Mon	Months (B)	Species (C)	A	AxB	AxC	B	BxC	Αx	AxBxC		
Probability	<0.001	001	0>	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	001	<0.001	<0>	<0.001	0>	<0.001		

2009.
Ξ
8
š
Ę
com
ree
ŧ
.트
ecies
$^{\rm sp}$
Ð
Ξ
rs
on.
Ē.
Ξ
Ē
i DM) ii
Ē
Ē
e (mg kg-1 DM)
Ē
e (mg kg-1 DM)
7. Monthly content of Fe (mg kg-1 DM)
ole 7. Monthly content of Fe (mg kg-1 DM)
able 7. Monthly content of Fe (mg kg-1 DM)
ole 7. Monthly content of Fe (mg kg-1 DM)

Linares C.p. f.a. [13. [142] 163 146 187 144 166 122 167 74 303 142 112 81	a.a. 120 159 105 118 125 182 74	P.t. 448 307 244 444 444 445 268 268 268	f.a. 447 188 120 120 374 249 374 253
133 108			
	119		
	134		
	4.4		
AxB	Species (C)		Months (B)
<0.001 <0.001	<0.001		<0.001
200 3.0 A x C <0.001	2.0 B 001	167 176 3.1 2.0 3.1 2.0 A x B <0.001	226 134 167 176 4.6 4.4 3.1 2.0 (B) Species (C) A x B 1 < <0.001 < 0.001

a.a. = Acacia amentacea; c.p. = Celtis pallida; f.a. = Forestiera angustifolia; p.t. = Parkinsonia texana; SEM= standard error of the mean

Table 8. Monthly Mn concentrations (mg kg-1 DM) in four shrub species in three county sites in 2009.

Month a.a. Cpin f.a. Linares f.a. p.t. a.a. b.t. b.t. p.t.							Counties	ties							
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Month		Ch	ina			Lina	res			Los Ra	mones		Mean	SEM
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	а.а.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	а.а.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	January	22	31	116	37	27	65	123	77	26	50	201	36	67	8.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	February	17	40	126	58	61	73	29	99	30	53	188	33	\$	6.0
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	March	21	28	80	53	23	52	72	57	20	32	62	41	47	9.0
23 25 46 40 20 59 54 26 51 22 43 42 54 54 54 55 51 132 54 58 42 58 42 54 56 54 58 54 58 54 58 54 58 54 58 59 58 59	April	20	32	42	48	28	31	73	51	28	46	121	51	48	9.0
27 32 38 43 49 83 100 59 32 51 132 54 58 21 27 69 43 37 69 118 66 39 32 55 48 50 30 35 29 48 41 58 74 53 13 75 49 46 16 28 47 50 146 83 28 33 43 89 49 24 32 47 50 146 83 28 33 43 89 49	May	23	25	46	40	20	59	66	54	26	51	22	43	42	9.0
21 27 69 43 37 69 118 66 39 32 25 48 50 30 35 29 48 41 58 74 53 31 31 75 49 46 16 28 47 50 146 83 28 33 43 38 49 24 32 42 52 52 63 29 70 48 38 43 22 29 30 21 40 52 68 45 26 51 37 14 26 69 22 68 45 22 35 26 51 37 21 30 61 40 13 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 28 20 22 36 43 101 45 51 60 38 20	June	27	32	38	43	49	83	100	59	32	51	132	54	58	0.5
30 35 29 48 41 58 74 53 31 31 75 49 46 16 28 47 33 47 50 146 83 28 33 43 38 49 24 32 42 33 52 52 63 29 70 48 38 43 22 29 30 21 40 52 68 45 22 35 56 51 37 14 26 69 22 30 40 110 51 28 31 251 51 60 21 30 61 40 33 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 0.8 2.0 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1.8 1.2 2.6 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.8	July	21	27	69	43	37	69	118	99	39	32	25	48	50	0.5
16 28 47 33 47 50 146 83 28 33 43 38 49 24 32 42 33 52 52 63 29 70 48 38 49 22 29 30 21 40 52 68 45 22 35 66 51 37 14 26 69 22 30 40 110 51 28 31 251 51 60 21 30 61 40 13 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 0.8 2.0 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1.8 1.2 5.0 4.0 1.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0	August	30	35	29	48	41	28	74	53	31	31	75	49	46	0.7
24 32 42 33 30 52 52 63 29 70 48 38 43 22 29 30 21 40 52 68 45 22 35 56 51 37 14 26 69 22 30 40 110 51 28 31 251 51 60 21 30 61 40 33 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 0.8 2.0 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1.8 sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC AxBxC AxBxC <0.001	September	16	28	47	33	47	50	146	83	28	33	43	38	49	0.7
22 29 30 21 40 52 68 45 22 35 26 51 37 14 26 69 22 30 40 110 51 28 31 251 51 60 21 30 61 40 33 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 0.8 2.0 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1.8 51 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C A x	October	24	32	42	33	30	52	52	63	59	70	48	38	43	0.7
14 26 69 22 30 40 110 51 28 31 251 51 60 21 30 61 40 33 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 0.8 2.0 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1.8 51 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C A x B x C <td>November</td> <td>22</td> <td>29</td> <td>30</td> <td>21</td> <td>40</td> <td>52</td> <td>89</td> <td>45</td> <td>22</td> <td>35</td> <td>26</td> <td>51</td> <td>37</td> <td>0.5</td>	November	22	29	30	21	40	52	89	45	22	35	26	51	37	0.5
21 30 61 40 33 57 92 60 28 43 101 45 51 0.8 2.0 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1.8 Neares (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C A x B x C A x B x C < 0.001	December	14	26	69	22	30	40	110	51	28	31	251	51	09	9.0
0.8 2.0 2.6 1.6 0.8 0.7 1.2 1.8 1.7 2.3 0.7 1 Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) A x B A x C B x C A x B x C < 0.001	Mean	21	30	61	40	33	57	92	09	28	43	101	45	51	9.0
Sites (A) Months (B) Species (C) AxB AxC BxC <0.001	SEM	8.0	2.0	2.6	1.6	8.0	0.7	1.2	1.8	1.7	2.3	0.7	1.8		
<0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001 <0.001	Effects	Site	s (A)	Mont	hs (B)	Species (C)	Α.	хВ	AxC	Bx	C	AxE	3 x C		
	Probability	0>	.001	<0>	001	<0.001	<0>	.001	<0.001	<0.0>	100	<0.0>	100		

a.a. = Acacia amentacea; c.p. = Celtis pallida; f.a. = Forestiera angustifolia; p.t. = Parkinsonia texana; SEM= standard error of the mean

						Counties	ties							
Month		C	China			Linares	res			Los Ra	Los Ramones		Mean	SEM
	а.а.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.	a.a.	c.p.	f.a.	p.t.		
January	15	12	22	42	14	14	82	79	15	14	21	29	33	0.5
February	21	18	26	29	23	12	09	154	20	41	21	77	43	6.0
March	30	27	19	83	22	21	53	93	32	26	56	70	42	1.3
April	18	18	35	74	16	20	40	114	20	23	21	138	45	0.7
May	15	16	31	47	14	30	33	67	16	20	46	103	37	0.3
June	23	29	43	74	18	26	41	93	19	24	28	104	43	0.0
July	18	23	41	20	17	18	42	72	16	28	36	98	37	8.0
August	17	22	26	49	12	13	18	40	13	20	12	32	23	Ε.
September	11	22	23	38	14	16	40	70	15	61	22	56	29	0.4
October	17	29	38	28	16	24	30	137	16	23	31	35	35	9.0
November	15	20	33	29	12	17	30	38	17	18	42	57	27	0.5
December	13	17	28	27	14	20	63	93	14	18	37	23	31	6.0
Mean	18	21	30	51	16	19	44	88	18	21	28	71	35	9.0
SEM	6.0	0.7	1.8	0.5	6.0	6.0	1.4	1.2	0.7	6.0	6.0	8.0		
Effects	Sites (A)	(A)	Months	ths (B)	Species (C)	Y	AxB	AxC	B	BxC	AxBxC	3 x C		
Probability	<0.00	001	7	<0.001	<0.001	0>	<0.001	<0.001	<0>	<0.001	<0.001	100		

Phosphorus content was higher in leaf samples from China County than those form Los Ramones or Linares Counties, regardless of species. Highest P concentration was recorded for samples drawn in May, while those harvested in January and February were lowest in P. In general, Parkinsonia texana and C. pallida had the same content and were higher in P than A. amentacea or F. angustifolia (Table 5). Marginally insufficient amounts to meet the metabolic requirements of adult range small ruminants (2.7, 2.8 and 2.6 g of P kg⁻¹ of diet DM for sheep, goats and white-tailed deer, respectively; NRC, 2007) were observed indistinctly of shrub species, sample timing or location. Low P content in native plants growing in a semiarid region of north Mexico was also reported by Guerrero-Cervantes et al., (2012); Rodríguez (1993) judged this situation as critical for ruminant needs during any season of the year, attributable to scarce availability of P because soils in the region have evolved from volcanic ashes and, in this kind of soils, phosphorous is mainly fixed rather than mobile.

Cooper content (Table 6) was higher in leaf samples from Los Ramones County, followed by those from China and Linares Counties. In January, all shrubs sampled showed the highest Cu content of the year, while these were lowest in samples harvested in July. Celtis pallida and P. texana had similar P content, and were higher than A. amentacea or F. angustifolia. Most shrubs contained apparently insufficient amounts of foliar Cu to meet adult range small ruminant requirements (9.0, 9.0 y 9.0 mg of Cu kg⁻¹ of diet DM for sheep, goats and white-tailed deer, respectively, NRC, 2007). However, adequate contents were present unevenly in months corresponding to the spring season. Similar results were reported by Ramírez-Lozano et al., (2010) and Guerrero-Cervantes et al., (2012) during assessment of Cu content in native species browsed by range ruminants in semiarid regions of northeastern and north Mexico, respectively. Ramírez et al., (2001) explained the higher Cu content observed on the basis of the plant-growing seasonal pattern, over which growth rates seem to be higher during spring months in this region.

Iron content was higher in Linares County, followed by China and Los Ramones Counties. In general, *C. pallida* exhibited highest values (Table 7). In all shrub species, Fe concentrations measured exceeded requirements by grazing ruminants (50 mg of Fe kg⁻¹ of diet DM for sheep, goats and white-tailed deer, respectively; NRC, 2007). Iron satisfactory nutritional levels for grazing ruminants have been also reported by Moya-Rodriguez *et al.*, (2002), Ramírez *et al.*, (2010) and Guerrero-Cervantes *et al.*, (2012). Moreover, Ahmad *et al.*, (2012) documented that Fe concentrations in soil and forage are adequate for ruminant nutrition and indeed, an Fe deficiency seldom occurs (McDowell, 2003).

Manganese content was highest in *F. angustifolia* and lowest in *A. amentacea*. Higher Mn content was recorded in foliar samples from Los Ramones County than those from samples collected from shrubs in China and Linares County, which were typically lower. During January, all shrub species registered higher values, while lower in May (Table 8). In general, all species except *A. amentacea*, contain sufficient foliar Mn to satisfy adult small range ruminant requirements (30 mg of Mn kg⁻¹ of diet DM for sheep, goats and white-tailed deer, respectively; NRC,

2007). Acacia amentacea showed the lowest Mn content among species sampled. Barnes et al., (1990) reported similar observations except, fruits of Acacia berlandieri, Acacia tortuosa and Prosopis glandulosa, native plants from semiarid regions of southeast Texas, USA, showed marginally sufficient amounts of Mn to meet requirements by grazing ruminants.

Zinc foliar content was highest in April lowest in August across species studied. Highest Zn was found in C. pallida, while A. amentacea typically registered the lowest levels. Similarly, highest Zn concentrations were observed in tissue samples from In Los Ramones County, followed by China and Linares counties, irrespective of species (Table 9). Parkinsonia texana was the only shrub species in which sufficient Zn to meet requirements of adult range small ruminants was recorded (40, 45 and 45 mg of Zn kg of diet DM for sheep, goats and white-tailed deer, respectively; NRC, 2007) Similar values were reported by Ramírez-Lozano et al., (2010) in native plants of northeastern Mexico; these authors argued that only some particular species can meet adult ruminant requirements during certain seasons if the year. In contrast, Ramírez-Orduña et al., (2008) reported high Zn concentrations in native species from Baja California Sur, Mexico.

Conclusions

Foliar content in Ca, K, Mg, Fe, Mn and Zn (only in *P. texana*) across locations and months were adequate to satisfy metabolic requirements of range small ruminants, while Na, P and Cu levels in foliar tissue were marginal. The high concentration recorded for some of the ion minerals studied here suggests a beneficial role by these ions as mineral supplements in the diet of browsing ruminants. Furthermore, our results may suggest that, monthly pattern variations among shrub species may relate to an important role in supporting the productivity of dry rangeland ecosystems.

Acknowledgements

We thankfully acknowledge financial support of this research by the Universidad Autónoma de Nuevo Leon (PAICYT Project Grant No. CN1549-07). We also gratefully acknowledge CONACYT for providing a doctoral scholarship to the first author. Authors wish to thank the landowners at the research locations and to Mrs. Elsa D. González Serna and Mr. Manuel Hernández Charles for their technical assistance.

References

- Ahmad, K., F.Z. Khan, M. Ashraf and A. Ejaz. 2012. Appraisal of temporal variation in soil and forage iron and zinc in a pasture under semi-arid environmental conditions. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 44(1):157-160.
- Akrout, A., H. El Jani, T. Zammouri, H. Mighri and M. Neffati. 2010. Phytochemical screening and mineral contents of annual plants growing wild in the southern of Tunisia. J. Phytol., 2:34-40.
- Alanís, G., G. Cano and M. Rovalo. 1996. Vegetación y flora de Nuevo León: Una guía botánica-ecológica. Edición y publicación CEMEX. Monterrey, México.
- AOAC. 1990. Official Methods of Analysis. (15th Ed). Association of Official Analytical Chemist International. U.S.A.

- Barnes, T.G., W.L. Varner, H.L. Blankenship, J.T. Fillinger and S.C. Heinevan. 1990. Macro and trace mineral content of selected south Texas deer forages. *J. Range Manage.*, 43(3):220-223.
- Cerrillo-Soto, M.A., R. Gutiérrez-Luna, C.I. López-González and A.S. Juárez-Reyes. 2004. Mineral profile in tree leaves, cacti and fruits commonly consumed by grazing goats in a semiarid region of North Mexico. 8th International Conference on goats. Pretoria, South Africa. pp. 126-129.
- Cherney, D.J.R. 2000. Characterization of forages by chemical analysis. *In: Forage evaluation in ruminant nutrition*. (Eds.): D.I. Givens, E. Owen, R.F.E. Axford and H.M. Omed. Wallingford, UK: CAB International. pp. 480-490.
- Fardous, A., K. Ahmad, S. Gondal, Z.I. Khan, A. Ejaz and E.E. Valeem. 2011. Assessment of iron, cobalt and manganese in soil and forage: a case studyat a rural livestock farm in Sargodha, Pakistan. *Pak. J. Bot.*, 43(3):1463-1465.
- González-Rodríguez, H. and I. Cantú-Silva. 2001. Adaptación a la sequía de plantas arbustivas del matorral espinoso tamaulipeco. *CIENCIA UANL.*, 4(4):454-461.
- González-Rodríguez, H., R.G. Ramírez-Lozano, I. Cantú-Silva, M.V. Gómez-Meza and J.I. Uvalle-Sauceda. 2010. Composición y estructura de la vegetación en tres sitos del estado de Nuevo León, México. *Polibotánica*., 29:91-106.
- Guerrero-Cervantes, M., R.G. Ramírez-Lozano, H., González-Rodríguez, A. Cerrillo-Soto and A.S. Juárez Reyes. 2012. Mineral content in range forages from north Mexico. *J. Appl. Anim. Res.*, 40(1):102-107.
- Hussain, F. and M.J. Durrani. 2008. Mineral composition of some range grasses and shrubs from Harboi rangeland Kalat, Pakistan. Pak. J. Bot., 40(6):2513-2523.
- INEGI. 2002. "Uso actual del suelo en los núcleos agrarios. Aspectos geográficos de Nuevo León". Consultado el 09 de mayo de 2009. Página Web del Instituto Nacional de Estadística, Geografía e Informática.
- Mayland, H. and S. Wilkinson. 1989. Soil factors affecting magnesium availability in plant-animal systems: A review. J. Anim. Sci., 67:3437-3444.
- McCoy, M.A., A.E. Goodall and D.G. Kennedy. 1993. Incidence of hypomagnesemia in dairy and suckler cows in Northern Ireland. Vet. Rec., 132(21):537.
- McDowell, L.R. 2003. *Minerals in animal and human nutrition*. (2nd ed). The Netherlands: Elsevier.
- McMurtry, C.R., P.W. Barnes, J.A. Nelson and S.R. Archer. 1996. Physiological responses of woody vegetation to irrigation in a Texas subtropical savanna. La Copita Research Area: 1996 Consolidated Progress Report. Texas Agricultural Experiment Station, Corpus Christi, Texas A&M University System, College Station, Texas, USA. 33-37 pp.
- Montgomery, D.C. 2004. Diseño y Análisis de Experimentos. (2ª ed). Limusa Wiley. México, D.F.
- Moya-Rodriguez, J.G., R. Foroughbakhch and R.G. Ramírez. 2002. Variación estacional de nutrientes y digestibilidad in situ de materia seca, de hojas de arbustivas del noreste de México. Phyton., 8:121-127.
- NRC. 2007. Nutrient requirements of small ruminants: sheep, goats, cervids, and new world camelids. National Research Council of the National Academies, Washington DC.
- Ramírez, R.G., W.F.G. Haenlein and A.M. Núñez-González. 2001. Seasonal variation of macro and trace mineral contents in 14 browse species that growth in northeastern Mexico. Small Rumin. Res., 39:153-159.
- Ramírez-Lozano, R.G. 2012. Alimentación del venado cola blanca: Biologia y Ecologia Nutricional. Palibrio Press, Indiana, USA, pp. 127-134.

- Ramírez-Lozano, R.G., H. González-Rodríguez, M.V. Gómez-Meza, I. Cantú-Silva and J.I. Uvalle-Sauceda. 2010. Spatiotemporal variations of macro and trace mineral contents in six native plants consumed by ruminants at northeastern Mexico. *Trop. Subtrop. Agroecosyst.*, 12(2):267-281.
- Ramírez-Orduña, R., R.G. Ramírez, E. Romero-Vadillo, H. González-Rodríguez, J.A. Armenta-Quintana and R. Avalos-Castro. 2008. Diet and nutrition of range goats on a sarcocaulescent shrubland from Baja California Sur, Mexico. Small Rumin. Res., 76:166-176.
- Reid, N., J. Marroquín and M.P. Beyer. 1990. Utilization of shrubs and trees for browse, fuelwood and timber in the Tamaulipan thornscrub, northeastern Mexico. Forest Ecol. Manage., 36:61-79.
- Rodríguez, S.J. 1993. Manual de fertilización. Colección en agricultura. Pontificia Universidad Católica de Chile. Facultad de Agronomía, Santiago.
- SPP-INEGI. 1986. Síntesis Geográfica del Estado de Nuevo León. Secretaría de Programación y Presupuesto. Instituto

- Nacional de Geografía Estadística e Informática. México, D.F.
- SPSS. 2004. The Statistical Package for the Social Sciences. (Version 13) for Windows, SPSS Inc. Chicago.
- Tester, M. and R. Davenport. 2003. Na⁺ tolerance and Na⁺ transport in higher plants. *Ann. Bot.-London.*, 91:503-527.
- Tripathi, M.K. and S.A. Karim. 2008. Minerals requirement of small ruminants with special reference to their role in rumen fermentation: A review. *Indian J. Small Rumin.*, 14:1-47.
- Uvalle Sauceda, J.I., H. González-Rodríguez, R.G. Ramírez Lozano, I. Cantú Silva and M.V. Gómez Meza. 2008. Seasonal trends of chlorophylls a and b and carotenoids in native trees and shrubs of northeastern, Mexico. J. Biol. Sci., 8:258-267.
- Whitehead, D.C. 2000. Nutrient elements in grassland: soil plant animal relationships. UK: CABI, Publishing. Wallingford, UK.

(Received for publication 7 November 2012)