

## RESEARCH

## Open Access

# A discussion on generalized almost contractions via rational expressions in partially ordered metric spaces

Zead Mustafa<sup>1</sup>, Erdal Karapinar<sup>2,3\*</sup> and Hassen Aydi<sup>4,5</sup>

\*Correspondence:

erdalkarapinar@yahoo.com;  
ekarapinar@atilim.edu.tr<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics,  
Atilim University, Incek, Ankara  
06836, Turkey<sup>3</sup>Nonlinear Analysis and Applied  
Mathematics Research Group  
(NAAM), King Abdulaziz University,  
Jeddah, Saudi ArabiaFull list of author information is  
available at the end of the article**Abstract**

The main purpose of this paper is to give some fixed point results for mappings involving generalized  $(\phi, \psi)$ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. Our results generalize, extend, and unify several well-known comparable results in the literature (Jaggi in *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 8(2):223-230, 1977, Harjani *et al.* in *Nonlinear Anal.* 71:3403-3410, 2009, Luong and Thuan in *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* 2011:46, 2011). The presented results are supported by three illustrative examples.

**MSC:** 46N40; 47H10; 54H25; 46T99**Keywords:** ordered set; metric space; fixed point

## 1 Introduction and preliminaries

The Banach contraction mapping principle [1] is one of the pivotal results of analysis. It is widely considered as the source of metric fixed point theory. Also, its significance lies in its application in a vast number of branches of mathematics. Generalizations of this principle have been investigated heavily (see Jaggi [2], Harjani *et al.* [3], Luong and Thuan [4]). In particular, in 1977, Jaggi [2] proved the following theorem satisfying a contractive condition of a rational type.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a continuous mapping such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

*for all distinct points  $x, y \in X$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

Existence of fixed point in partially ordered sets has been recently studied in [3–53].

Recently, Harjani *et al.* [3] proved the ordered version of Theorem 1. Very recently, Luong and Thuan [4] generalized the results of [3] and proved the following.

**Theorem 2** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-decreasing mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{1.2}$$

for all distinct points  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a lower semi-continuous function with the property that  $\psi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$ , and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y) \right\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Also, assume either

(i)  $T$  is continuous or

(ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

Set  $\Phi = \{\phi \mid \phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is continuous and non-decreasing with  $\phi(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0\}$  and  $\Psi = \{\psi \mid \psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is lower semi continuous,  $\psi(t) > 0$  for all  $t > 0$ , and  $\psi(0) = 0\}$ . For some work on the class of  $\Phi$  or the class of  $\Psi$ , we refer the reader to [21, 51, 54].

In 2004, Berinde [55] introduced an almost contraction, a new class of contractive type mappings which exhibits totally different features more than the one of the particular results incorporated [1, 16, 39, 50], i.e., an almost contraction generally does not have a unique fixed point; see Example 1 in [55]. Thereafter, many authors presented several interesting and useful facts about almost contractions; see [42, 56–59].

The purpose of this article is to generalize the above results for a mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  involving a generalized  $(\phi, \psi)$ -almost contraction. Some examples are also presented to show that our results are effective.

## 2 Main result

Our essential result is given as follows.

**Theorem 3** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-decreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(Tx, Ty)) &\leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)) \\ &\quad + L \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

for all distinct points  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $L \geq 0$  and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y) \right\}.$$

Also, assume either

(i)  $T$  is continuous or

(ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof* Let  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ . We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  as follows:

$$x_n = Tx_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \tag{2.2}$$

Since  $T$  is a non-decreasing mapping together with (2.2), we have  $x_2 = Tx_1$ . Inductively, we obtain

$$x_0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_{n-1} \leq x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq \dots \tag{2.3}$$

Assume that there exists  $n_0$  such that  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1}$ . Since  $x_{n_0} = x_{n_0+1} = Tx_{n_0}$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point. Suppose that  $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus, by (2.3) we have

$$x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n < x_{n+1} < \dots \tag{2.4}$$

Regarding (2.4), the condition (2.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) &= \phi(d(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n)) \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\quad + L \min\{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_n), d(Tx_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}), d(x_n, Tx_n)\} \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\quad + L \min\{d(x_{n-1}, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_n), d(x_{n-1}, x_n), d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \\ &= \phi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \psi(M(x_{n-1}, x_n)), \end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_{n-1}, x_n) &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1})d(x_n, Tx_n)}{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)}, d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\right\} \\ &= \max\{d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $M(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(x_n, x_{n+1})$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . Then the inequality (2.5) turns into

$$\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) - \psi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})).$$

Regarding (2.4) and the property of  $\psi$ , this is a contradiction. Thus,  $M(x_{n-1}, x_n) = d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, the inequality (2.5) yields

$$\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) < \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)). \tag{2.6}$$

Since  $\phi$  is non-decreasing, we have  $d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n-1}, x_n)$ . Consequently,  $\{d(x_{n-1}, x_n)\}$  is a decreasing sequence of positive real numbers which is bounded below. So, there exists  $\alpha \geq 0$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = \alpha$ . We claim that  $\alpha = 0$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\alpha > 0$ . By taking the limit of the supremum in the relation  $\phi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \phi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n)) - \psi(d(x_{n-1}, x_n))$ , as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we get

$$\phi(\alpha) \leq \phi(\alpha) - \psi(\alpha) < \phi(\alpha),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, we conclude that  $\alpha = 0$ , that is,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n-1}, x_n) = 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We prove that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $X$ . Suppose, to the contrary, that  $\{x_n\}$  is not a Cauchy sequence. So, there exists  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \geq \varepsilon, \tag{2.8}$$

where  $\{x_{m(k)}\}$  and  $\{x_{n(k)}\}$  are subsequences of  $\{x_n\}$  with

$$n(k) > m(k) \geq k. \tag{2.9}$$

Moreover,  $n(k)$  is chosen to be the smallest integer satisfying (2.8). Thus, we have

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) < \varepsilon. \tag{2.10}$$

By the triangle inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon &\leq d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \\ &< \varepsilon + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}). \end{aligned}$$

Keeping (2.7) in mind and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in the above inequality, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.11}$$

Due to the triangle inequality, we have

$$d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) \leq d(x_{m(k)}, x_{m(k)-1}) + d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) + d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \leq d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}) + d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)}) + d(x_{n(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}). \tag{2.13}$$

By using (2.7), (2.11), and letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.12) and (2.13), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.14}$$

Analogously, we derive

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)-1}) = \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.15}$$

Since  $m(k) < n(k)$  we have  $x_{m(k)-1} < x_{n(k)-1}$ . By (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\phi(d(x_{m(k)}, x_{n(k)})) \\ &= \phi(d(Tx_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\leq \phi(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) - \psi(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) \\
 &\quad + L \min\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1}), \\
 &\quad d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1})\} \\
 &\leq \phi(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) - \psi(M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})) \\
 &\quad + L \min\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)}), d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)}), d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})\}, \tag{2.16}
 \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 &M(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1}) \\
 &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x_{m(k)-1}, Tx_{m(k)-1})d(x_{n(k)-1}, Tx_{n(k)-1})}{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})\right\} \\
 &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{m(k)})d(x_{n(k)-1}, x_{n(k)})}{d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})}, d(x_{m(k)-1}, x_{n(k)-1})\right\}. \tag{2.17}
 \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.16) (and hence in (2.17)), and taking (2.7), (2.11), (2.14), and (2.15) into account, we obtain

$$\phi(\varepsilon) \leq \phi(\max\{0, \varepsilon\}) - \psi(\max\{0, \varepsilon\}) + L \min\{\varepsilon, \varepsilon, 0, 0\} < \phi(\varepsilon), \tag{2.18}$$

which is a contradiction. Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$ . Since  $X$  is a complete metric space, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$ .

We will show that  $z$  is a fixed point of  $T$ . Assume that (i) holds. Then by the continuity of  $T$ , we have

$$z = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_{n-1} = T\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_{n-1}\right) = Tz.$$

Suppose that (ii) holds. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = z$  then  $z = \sup\{x_n\}$ . Hence,  $x_n \leq z$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $T$  is a non-decreasing mapping, we conclude that  $Tx_n \leq Tz$ , or equivalently,

$$x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq Tz \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.19}$$

Then  $z = \sup\{x_n\}$ , and we get  $z \leq Tz$ .

To this end, we construct a new sequence  $\{y_n\}$  as follows:

$$y_0 = z \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = Ty_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

Since  $z \leq Tz$ , we have  $y_0 \leq Ty_0 = y_1$ . Hence we find that  $\{y_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence. By repeating the discussion above, one can conclude that  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy. Thus there exists  $y \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y$ . By (ii), we have  $y = \sup\{y_n\}$  and so we have  $y_n \leq y$ . From (2.19), we get

$$x_n < z = y_0 \leq Tz = Ty_0 \leq y_n \leq y \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{2.20}$$

If  $z = y$  then the proof is finished. Suppose that  $z \neq y$ . On account of (2.20), the expression (2.1) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(x_{n+1}, y_{n+1})) &= \phi(d(Tx_n, Ty_n)) \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_n, y_n)) - \psi(M(x_n, y_n)) \\ &\quad + L \min\{d(x_n, Ty_n), d(y_n, Tx_n), d(x_n, Tx_n), d(y_n, Ty_n)\} \\ &\leq \phi(M(x_n, y_n)) - \psi(M(x_n, y_n)) \\ &\quad + L \min\{d(x_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, x_{n+1}), d(x_n, x_{n+1}), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M(x_n, y_n) &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x_n, Tx_n)d(y_n, Ty_n)}{d(x_n, y_n)}, d(x_n, y_n)\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x_n, x_{n+1})d(y_n, y_{n+1})}{d(x_n, y_n)}, d(x_n, y_n)\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (2.21) (and hence (2.22)), we obtain

$$\phi(d(y, z)) \leq \phi(d(y, z)) - \psi(d(y, z)) < \phi(d(y, z))$$

which is a contradiction. So  $y = z$  and we have  $z \leq Tz \leq z$ , then  $Tz = z$ .  $\square$

If we take  $L = 0$  in Theorem 3 we get the following result.

**Theorem 4** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-decreasing mapping which satisfies the inequality*

$$\phi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq \phi(M(x, y)) - \psi(M(x, y)) \tag{2.23}$$

for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$  and

$$M(x, y) = \max\left\{\frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y)\right\}.$$

Also, assume either

- (i)  $T$  is continuous or
- (ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

Other corollaries could be derived.

**Corollary 5** *Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-decreasing mapping such that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y)) + L \min\{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\} \tag{2.24}$$

for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $\psi \in \Psi$ ,  $L \geq 0$  and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y) \right\}.$$

Also, assume either

(i)  $T$  is continuous or

(ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof* Take  $\phi(t) = t$  in Theorem 3. □

**Corollary 6** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric  $d$  on  $X$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-decreasing mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq kM(x, y) + L \min \{d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)\}, \quad (2.25)$$

for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $L \geq 0$  and

$$M(x, y) = \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y) \right\}.$$

Also, assume either

(i)  $T$  is continuous or

(ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof* Take  $\psi(t) = (1 - k)\psi(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in Corollary 5. □

**Corollary 7** Let  $(X, \leq)$  be a partially ordered set. Suppose there exists a metric  $d$  such that  $(X, d)$  is a complete metric space. Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a non-decreasing mapping such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y) \quad (2.26)$$

for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in [0, 1)$  with  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . Also, assume either

(i)  $T$  is continuous or

(ii) if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ .

If there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $x_0 \leq Tx_0$ , then  $T$  has a fixed point.

*Proof* Take  $L = 0$  and  $k = \alpha + \beta$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  in Corollary 6. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)} + \beta d(x, y) \\ &\leq (\alpha + \beta) \max \left\{ \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{d(x, y)}, d(x, y) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

□

**Theorem 8** *In addition to the hypotheses of Theorem 3, assume that*

$$\text{for every } x, y \in X \text{ there exists } z \in X \text{ that is comparable to } x \text{ and } y, \tag{2.28}$$

*then  $T$  has a unique fixed point.*

*Proof* Suppose, to the contrary, that  $x$  and  $y$  are fixed points of  $T$  where  $x \neq y$ . By (2.28), there exists a point  $z \in X$  which is comparable with  $x$  and  $y$ . Without loss of generality, we choose  $z \leq x$ . We construct a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  as follows:

$$z_0 = z \quad \text{and} \quad z_n = Tz_{n-1} \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1. \tag{2.29}$$

Since  $T$  is non-decreasing,  $z \leq x$  implies  $Tz \leq Tx = x$ . By induction, we get  $z_n \leq x$ .

If  $x = z_{N_0}$  for some  $N_0 \geq 1$  then  $z_n = Tz_{n-1} = Tx = x$  for all  $n \geq N_0 - 1$ . So  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = x$ . Analogously, we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n = y$ , which completes the proof.

Consider the other case, that is,  $x \neq z_n$  for all  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then, by (2.1), we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(d(x, z_n)) &= \phi(d(Tx, Tz_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \phi(M(x, z_{n-1})) - \psi(M(x, z_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + L \min\{d(x, Tx), d(z_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1}), d(x, Tz_{n-1}), d(z_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1})\} \\ &= \phi(M(x, z_{n-1})) - \psi(M(x, z_{n-1})) \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

for all distinct  $x, y \in X$  with  $y \leq x$  where  $\phi \in \Phi$ ,  $\psi \in \Psi$  and

$$\begin{aligned} M(x, z_{n-1}) &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x, Tx)d(z_{n-1}, Tz_{n-1})}{d(x, z_{n-1})}, d(x, z_{n-1})\right\} \\ &= \max\left\{\frac{d(x, x)d(z_{n-1}, z_n)}{d(x, z_{n-1})}, d(x, z_{n-1})\right\} \\ &= d(x, z_{n-1}). \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Thus,

$$\phi(d(x, z_n)) \leq \phi(d(x, z_{n-1})) - \psi(d(x, z_{n-1})) < \phi(d(x, z_n)),$$

which is a contradiction. This ends the proof. □

**Remark**

- Corollary 5 is a generalization of Theorem 2.1 of Luong and Thuan [4].
- Corollary 7 (with  $L = 0$ ) corresponds to Theorem 2.2 and Theorem 2.3 of Harjani, López and Sadarangani [3].
- Theorem 2.28 generalizes Theorem 2.4 of Luong and Thuan [4].

Now, we give some examples illustrating our results.



**Example 9** Let  $X = \{4, 5, 6\}$  be endowed with the usual metric  $d(x, y) = |x - y|$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , and  $\preceq := \{(4, 4), (5, 5), (6, 6), (6, 4)\}$ . Consider the mapping

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 4 & 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define the functions  $\phi, \psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by  $\phi(t) = 2t$  and  $\psi(t) = \frac{3}{2}t$ . Now, we will check that all the hypotheses required by Theorem 4 (Theorem 3 with  $L = 0$ ) are satisfied.

First,  $X$  has the property: if  $\{x_n\}$  is a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x = \sup\{x_n\}$ . Indeed, let  $\{z_n\}$  be a non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  with respect to  $\preceq$  such that  $z_n \rightarrow z \in X$  as  $n \rightarrow +\infty$ . We have  $z_n \preceq z_{n+1}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- If  $z_0 = 4$ , then  $z_0 = 4 \preceq z_1$ . From the definition of  $\preceq$ , we have  $z_1 = 4$ . By induction, we get  $z_n = 4$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z = 4$ . Then  $z_n \preceq z$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z = \sup\{z_n\}$ .

- If  $z_0 = 5$ , then  $z_0 = 5 \preceq z_1$ . From the definition of  $\preceq$ , we have  $z_1 = 5$ . By induction, we get  $z_n = 5$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z = 5$ . Then  $z_n \preceq z$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z = \sup\{z_n\}$ .

- If  $z_0 = 6$ , then  $z_0 = 6 \preceq z_1$ . From the definition of  $\preceq$ , we have  $z_1 \in \{6, 4\}$ . By induction, we get  $z_n \in \{6, 4\}$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose that there exists  $p \geq 1$  such that  $z_p = 4$ . From the definition of  $\preceq$ , we get  $z_n = z_p = 4$  for all  $n \geq p$ . Thus, we have  $z = 4$  and  $z_n \preceq z$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, suppose that  $z_n = 6$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case, we get  $z = 6$  and  $z_n \preceq z$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $z = \sup\{z_n\}$ .

Thus, we proved that in all cases, we have  $z = \sup\{z_n\}$ .

Let  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \preceq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , so we have only  $x = 6$  and  $y = 4$ . In particular

$$d(T6, T4) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M(6, 4) = 2,$$

so (2.23) holds easily. On the other hand, it is obvious that  $T$  is a non-decreasing mapping with respect to  $\preceq$  and there exists  $x_0 = 6$  such that  $x_0 \preceq Tx_0$ . All the hypotheses of Theorem 4 are verified and  $u = 4$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Note that Theorem 1 is not applicable. Indeed, taking  $x = 4$  and  $y = 5$

$$d(T4, T5) = 2 > \beta = \alpha \frac{d(4, T4)d(5, T5)}{d(4, 5)} + \beta d(4, 5),$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . Also, we could not apply Theorem 2 in this example. Indeed, for  $x = 6$  and  $y = 4$  (that is,  $x \neq y$  and  $x \preceq y$ ), we have

$$0 = d(T6, T4) > M(T6, T4) - \psi(M(T6, T4)) = -1.$$

**Example 10** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  be endowed with the Euclidean metric and the order  $\preceq$  given as follows:

$$x \preceq y \iff (x = y) \text{ or } (x, y \geq 1, x \leq y).$$

Define  $T : X \rightarrow X$  by  $Tx = x$  if  $0 \leq x < 1$  and  $Tx = 0$  if  $x \geq 1$ . Define the functions  $\phi, \psi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by  $\phi(t) = 4t$  and  $\psi(t) = 3t$ .

Take  $x \preceq y$  and  $x \neq y$ . It means that  $1 \leq x < y$ . In particular,  $d(Tx, Ty) = 0$  and  $M(x, y) = y - x$ . This implies that (2.23) holds. It is easy that  $X$  satisfies the property: if  $\{x_n\}$  is a

non-decreasing sequence in  $X$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $x_n \leq x$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Also, the other conditions of Theorem 4 are satisfied and  $u = 0$  is a fixed point of  $T$ .

Notice that we cannot apply Theorem 1 (since  $T$  is not continuous) nor Theorem 2 to this example. Indeed, letting  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$  (that is,  $1 \leq x < y$ ), we have

$$d(Tx, Ty) = 0 > M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y)) = -2(y - x).$$

**Example 11** Let  $X = \{(0, 1), (1, 0), (1, 1)\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$  with the Euclidean distance  $d_2$ .  $(X, d_2)$  is, obviously, a complete metric space. Moreover, we consider the order  $\leq$  in  $X$  given by  $R = \{(x, x), x \in X\} \cup \{(0, 1), (1, 1)\}$ . We also consider  $T : X \rightarrow X$  given by  $T((0, 1)) = (0, 1)$ ,  $T((1, 0)) = (1, 0)$  and  $T((1, 1)) = (0, 1)$ . Take  $\phi(t) = 3t$  and  $\psi(t) = 2t$ . Obviously,  $T$  is a continuous and non-decreasing mapping since  $(0, 1) \leq (1, 1)$  and  $T(0, 1) = (0, 1) \leq T(1, 1) = (0, 1)$ . Let  $x \leq y$  and  $x \neq y$ , then necessarily  $x = (0, 1)$  and  $y = (1, 1)$ . Then

$$d_2(Tx, Ty) = d_2((0, 1), (0, 1)) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad M(x, y) = \sqrt{2},$$

so (2.23) holds. Also,  $(0, 1) \leq T((0, 1))$ , therefore all conditions in Theorem 4 hold and there are two fixed points which are  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$ . The non-uniqueness follows from the fact that the partial order  $\leq$  is not total.

Note that Theorem 1 is not applicable. Indeed, taking  $x = (0, 1)$  and  $y = (1, 0)$

$$d_2(Tx, Ty) = \sqrt{2} > (\alpha + \beta)\sqrt{2} = \alpha \frac{d_2(x, Tx)d_2(y, Ty)}{d_2(x, y)} + \beta d_2(x, y),$$

for any  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  such that  $\alpha + \beta < 1$ . Also, we could not apply Theorem 2 in this example. Indeed, for  $x = (0, 1)$  and  $y = (1, 1)$  we have

$$0 = d_2(Tx, Ty) > \sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{2} = M(x, y) - \psi(M(x, y)).$$

#### Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Authors' contributions

All authors contributed equally and significantly in writing this article. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Physics, Qatar University, Doha, Qatar. <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Atilim University, Incek, Ankara 06836, Turkey. <sup>3</sup>Nonlinear Analysis and Applied Mathematics Research Group (NAAM), King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia. <sup>4</sup>Permanent address: Institut Supérieur d'Informatique et des Technologies de Communication de Hammam Sousse, Université de Sousse, Route GP1-4011, Hammam Sousse, Tunisie. <sup>5</sup>Present address: Department of Mathematics, College of Education of Jubail, P.O. Box 12020, Industrial Jubail, 31961, Saudi Arabia.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors express their gratitude to the referees for constructive and useful remarks and suggestions.

Received: 9 October 2013 Accepted: 15 May 2014 Published: 02 Jun 2014

#### References

1. Banach, S: Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales. *Fundam. Math.* **3**, 133-181 (1922)
2. Jaggi, DS: Some unique fixed point theorems. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **8**(2), 223-230 (1977)
3. Harjani, J, López, B, Sadarangani, K: A fixed point theorem for mappings satisfying a contractive condition of rational type on a partially ordered metric space. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2010**, Article ID 190701 (2010)
4. Luong, NV, Thuan, NX: Fixed point theorem for generalized weak contractions satisfying rational expressions in ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2011**, Article ID 46 (2011)

5. Agarwal, RA, El-Gebeily, MA, O'Regan, D: Generalized contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Appl. Anal.* **87**, 109-116 (2008)
6. Amini-Harandi, A, Emami, H: A fixed point theorem for contraction type maps in partially ordered metric spaces and application to ordinary differential equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **72**, 2238-2242 (2010)
7. Altun, I, Simsek, H: Some fixed point theorems on ordered metric spaces and applications. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2010**, Article ID 621469 (2010)
8. Arshad, M, Karapinar, E, Jamshaid, A: Some unique fixed point theorems for rational contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 248 (2013)
9. Aydi, H: Fixed point results for weakly contractive mappings in ordered partial metric spaces. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **4**(2), 1-12 (2011)
10. Aydi, H: Common fixed point results for mappings satisfying  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weak contractions in ordered partial metric spaces. *Int. J. Math. Stat.* **12**(2), 63-64 (2012)
11. Aydi, H, Nashine, HK, Samet, B, Yazidi, H: Coincidence and common fixed point results in partially ordered cone metric spaces and applications to integral equations. *Nonlinear Anal.* **74**(17), 6814-6825 (2011)
12. Aydi, H, Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M, Mustafa, Z, Tahat, N: Theorems for Boyd-Wong type contractions in ordered metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 359054 (2012)
13. Aydi, H, Karapinar, E, Postolache, M: Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak  $f$ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 44 (2012)
14. Aydi, H, Postolache, M, Shatanawi, W: Coupled fixed point results for  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weakly contractive mappings in ordered  $G$ -metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **63**(1), 298-309 (2012)
15. Chandok, S, Karapinar, E: Common fixed point of generalized rational type contraction mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Thai J. Math.* **11**(2), 251-260 (2013)
16. Chandok, S, Postolache, M: Fixed point theorem for weakly Chatterjea-type cyclic contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 28 (2013)
17. Chandok, S, Mustafa, Z, Postolache, M: Coupled common fixed point theorems for mixed  $g$ -monotone mappings in partially ordered  $G$ -metric spaces. *Sci. Bull. 'Politeh.' Univ. Buchar, Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys.* **75**(4), 11-24 (2013)
18. Choudhury, BS, Metiya, N: Coincidence point and fixed point theorems in ordered cone metric spaces. *J. Adv. Math. Stud.* **5**(2), 20-31 (2012)
19. Choudhury, BS, Metiya, N, Postolache, M: A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 152 (2013)
20. Dey, D, Ganguly, A, Saha, M: Fixed point theorems for mappings under general contractive condition of integral type. *Bull. Math. Anal. Appl.* **3**, 27-34 (2011)
21. Đorić, D: Common fixed point for generalized  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weak contractions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 1896-1900 (2009)
22. Gnaa Bhaskar, T, Lakshmikantham, V: Fixed point theorems in partially ordered metric spaces and applications. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 1379-1393 (2006)
23. Harjani, J, Sadarangani, K: Fixed point theorems for weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered sets. *Nonlinear Anal.* **71**, 3403-3410 (2009)
24. Haghi, RH, Postolache, M, Rezapour, S: On  $T$ -stability of the Picard iteration for generalized  $f$ -contraction mappings. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 658971 (2012)
25. Ding, H-S, Li, L, Radenović, S: Coupled coincidence point theorems for generalized nonlinear contraction in partially ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 96 (2012)
26. Karapinar, E: Couple fixed point theorems for nonlinear contractions in cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 3656-3668 (2010)
27. Karapinar, E: Weak  $\phi$ -contraction on partial metric spaces and existence of fixed points in partially ordered sets. *Math. Aeterna* **1**, 237-244 (2011)
28. Karapinar, E, Marudai, M, Pragadeeswarar, AV: Fixed point theorems for generalized weak contractions satisfying rational expression on a ordered partial metric space. *Lobachevskii J. Math.* **34**(1), 116-123 (2013)
29. Nieto, JJ, Rodríguez-López, R: Contractive mapping theorems in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Order* **22**, 223-239 (2005)
30. Miandaragh, MA, Postolache, M, Rezapour, S: Some approximate fixed point results for generalized  $\alpha$ -contractive mappings. *Sci. Bull. 'Politeh.' Univ. Buchar, Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys.* **75**(2), 3-10 (2013)
31. Miandaragh, MA, Postolache, M, Rezapour, S: Approximate fixed points of generalized convex contractions. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 255 (2013)
32. Abbas, M, Nazir, T, Radenović, S: Common fixed points of four maps in partially ordered metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **24**, 1520-1526 (2011)
33. Abbas, M, Nazir, T, Radenović, S: Common coupled fixed points of generalized contractive mappings in partially ordered metric spaces. *Positivity* (2013). doi:10.1007/s11117-012-0219-z
34. Nieto, JJ, Rodríguez-López, R: Existence and uniqueness of fixed point in partially ordered sets and applications to ordinary differential equation. *Acta Math. Sin. Engl. Ser.* **23**(12), 2205-2212 (2007)
35. O'Regan, D, Petrusel, A: Fixed point theorems for generalized contractions in ordered metric spaces. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **341**, 1241-1252 (2008)
36. Olatinwo, MO, Postolache, M: Stability results for Jungck-type iterative processes in convex metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**(12), 6727-6732 (2012)
37. Petrusel, A, Rus, IA: Fixed point theorems in ordered  $L$ -spaces. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **134**, 411-418 (2006)
38. Ran, ACM, Reurings, MVB: A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **132**, 1435-1443 (2004)
39. Rhoades, BE: A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings. *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* **226**, 257-290 (1977)
40. Radenović, S, Kadelburg, Z, Jandrić, A: Some results on weakly contractive maps. *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.* **38**(3), 625-645 (2012)
41. Radenović, S, Kadelburg, Z: Generalized weak contractions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60**, 1776-1783 (2010)
42. Shobkolaei, N, Sedghi, S, Roshan, JR, Altun, I: Common fixed point of mappings satisfying almost generalized  $(S, T)$ -contractive condition in partially ordered partial metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **219**, 443-452 (2012)

43. Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M: Common fixed point results of mappings for nonlinear contractions of cyclic form in ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 60 (2013)
44. Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M: Some fixed point results for a  $G$ -weak contraction in  $G$ -metric spaces. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2012**, Article ID 815870 (2012)
45. Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M: Common fixed point theorems for dominating and weak annihilator mappings in ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 271 (2013)
46. Shatanawi, W, Postolache, M: Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 54 (2013)
47. Shatanawi, W, Pitea, A: Fixed and coupled fixed point theorems of omega-distance for nonlinear contraction. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 275 (2013)
48. Shatanawi, W, Pitea, A:  $\omega$ -distance and coupled fixed point in  $G$ -metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 208 (2013)
49. Shatanawi, W, Pitea, A: Some coupled fixed point theorems in quasi-partial metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Article ID 153 (2013)
50. Zamfirescu, T: Fix point theorems in metric spaces. *Arch. Math.* **23**, 292-298 (1972)
51. Zhang, Q, Song, Y: Fixed point theory for generalized  $\phi$ -weak contraction. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 75-78 (2009)
52. Golubović, Z, Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S: Common fixed points of ordered  $g$ -quasicontractions and weak contractions in ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2012**, Article ID 20 (2012)
53. Kadelburg, Z, Radenović, S, Pavlović, M: Common fixed point theorems for ordered contractions and quasicontractions in ordered cone metric spaces. *Comput. Math. Appl.* **59**, 3148-3159 (2010)
54. Khan, KS, Swaleh, M, Sessa, S: Fixed point theorems for altering distances between the points. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.* **30**(1), 1-9 (1984)
55. Berinde, V: Approximation fixed points of weak contractions using the Picard iteration. *Nonlinear Anal. Forum* **9**, 43-53 (2004)
56. Abbas, M, Vetro, P, Khan, SH: On fixed points of Berinde's contractive mappings in cone metric spaces. *Carpath. J. Math.* **26**(2), 121-133 (2010)
57. Aghajani, A, Radenović, S, Roshan, JR: Common fixed point results for four mappings satisfying almost generalized  $(S, T)$ -contractive condition in partially ordered metric spaces. *Appl. Math. Comput.* **218**, 5665-5670 (2012)
58. Babu, GVR, Sandhya, ML, Kameswari, MVR: A note on a fixed point theorem of Berinde on weak contraction. *Carpath. J. Math.* **24**(1), 8-12 (2008)
59. Berinde, V: General constructive fixed point theorem for Ćirić-type almost contractions in metric spaces. *Carpath. J. Math.* **24**(2), 10-19 (2008)

10.1186/1029-242X-2014-219

**Cite this article as:** Mustafa et al.: A discussion on generalized almost contractions via rational expressions in partially ordered metric spaces. *Journal of Inequalities and Applications* 2014, **2014**:219

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---