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#### Abstract

Decaborane halogenated in the 6-position has been synthesized in high yields via the super-acid induced cageopening reactions of closo- $\mathrm{B} 10 \mathrm{H} 10(2-)$ salts. These 6 -halogenated compounds were then isomerized to their 5 -substituted isomers through base catalysis. The isomerization was driven by the energy differences between the anionic-forms of each respective isomer. These reactions provided 5-halodeboranes in high yields. The bridging-hydrogens of the halodecaboranyl anions were fluxional at a range of temperatures. Variabletemperature NMR studies supported computationally proposed fluxional mechanisms. Both 5- and 6-halodecaboranes were reacted with alcohols yielding boranyl ethers. The mechanisms of substitution, where reactions with 6-and 5-halodecaboranes yielded 5- and 6-boranyl ethers, respectively, were explained computationally and confirmed through isotopic-labeling studies.

The regeneration of the polymeric products of ammonia-borane dehydrogenation was carried out through a process that included digestion of the polymer, complexation of the digestate with a base, reduction of B-X bonds to B-H bonds, and finally displacement of the base with ammonia. While digestion schemes proved unable to digest all forms of the dehydrogenated materials, portions of the polymer digested to borontrihalides were quantitatively regenerated to ammonia borane, with complete separation and collection of byproducts.
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#### Abstract

\title{ 6- AND 5-HALODECABORANES: SELECTIVE SYNTHESES FROM closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ AND USE AS POLYBORANE BUILDING BLOCKS }

William C. Ewing<br>Larry G. Sneddon

Decaborane halogenated at the 6-position (6-X-B $\left.\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}\right)$ was synthesized through cage-opening reactions of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ induced by treatment with hydrogen halides absorbed in ionic liquid mixtures known to greatly enhance HX acidity. The previously unknown member of the 6-halogenated series, $6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, was synthesized in excellent yields by reaction of the closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with triflic acid in the presence of 1-fluoropentane. Triflic acid also induced the cage-opening of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ to $6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ when performed in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$.

The 6-halogenated isomers were used as starting materials in the syntheses of 5-$\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$. Base catalyzed isomerization reactions yielded equilibrium mixtures of $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ with ratios dictated by the free energy difference between the respective anions, $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$, strongly favoring the 5substituted isomer. Pure $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ was isolated in good yields by selective crystallization or by chromatography. These syntheses represent a significant step forward in the chemistry of this isomer, and provide the first path by which these compounds may be synthesized in useful quantities.


Calculations on the 5- and 6-halogenated anions identified fluxional processes by which bridging hydrogens move about the open face of the cage, explaining the results of variable-temperature NMR studies on these compounds. Variable-temperature NMR was also used to validate similar processes previously proposed for the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$.

As proof of the utility of $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ as starting materials for further functionalization a new class of boranyl ether compounds, selectively substituted at the 6- or 5-position, were synthesized using the reaction of the halogenated species with alcohols. A range of alcohols, with various pendant functional groups, were tethered to the cage via a rare B-O-C organic/inorganic ether linkage. The regiochemistry of the reaction was unique in that reaction of $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ with alcohols selectively yielded 6-RO- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ethers, and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ with the same alcohols yielded 5-RO- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ compounds. A plausible reaction mechanism explaining this regiochemistry was found using DFT calculations, which subsequent experiments with deuterated starting materials supported.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction and Outline of the Dissertation

### 1.1 Outline of the Dissertation

The major goal of the research presented in this dissertation was to develop new synthetic routes to functionalized decaborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ derivatives. These new synthetic pathways now allow the cage to be used as a building block by the wider chemistry community.

Chapter 2 details the use of strongly acidic conditions to affect the cage-opening reactions of closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salts, selectively yielding nido-6-X $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 X})$ compounds ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I}$ ). Halodecaboranes have been previously synthesized, but never regioselectively in high yields. In contrast, the methods presented in Chapter 2 provide a pathway whereby the $\mathbf{6 X}$ cages can be synthesized as single isomers in high yields. The synthesis of the previously unknown $6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ is presented and discussed, as well as the syntheses and mechanistic implications of two $6-\mathrm{X}-9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ compounds $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$, I).

The work presented in Chapter 3 demonstrates that the $\mathbf{6 X}$ compounds synthesized in Chapter 2 may be used as starting materials in the syntheses of the 5-X$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 X}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ isomer. Treatment of $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{X}$ with catalytic quantities of base promoted movement of the halide, resulting in the formation of $\mathbf{5 X}$. These reactions provided 5X in yields far surpassing those found in the literature, and represent a major step forward in the availability of these compounds. Reactions with Proton Sponge, a strong Bronsted- but weak Lewis-base, provided evidence that the isomerization
proceeded via the $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$anion, to an equilibrium mixture of the two anions, favoring $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$. DFT calculations indicated that the free energy $\left(\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}\right)$ differences between the $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$and $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$drove the isomerization reactions, and the predicted equilibrium constants, based on calculated values of $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{0}$, were a good match for the observed ratios of compounds at equilibrium.

Chapter 4 describes computational (DFT) and spectroscopic (variabletemperature NMR) studies of the fluxional behavior of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$and its halogenated derivatives (5-Cl-, 6-Cl-, and 6-F-B $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$). The results of the VT-NMR examination of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$provided evidence for a previously proposed mechanism whereby the three bridging-protons on the anion rapidly move about the open face of the cage, producing apparent $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry on the NMR time scale at elevated temperatures. Similar VTNMR studies of $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}^{-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{F})$ indicated similar fluxional pathways, which were also explained with DFT calculations.

The work presented in Chapter 5 demonstrated that both $\mathbf{5 X}$ and $\mathbf{6 X}$ can be used as starting points in the syntheses of functionalized decaboranes. The syntheses of 6-and 5-RO- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ mixed organic/inorganic ethers via nucleophilic substitution reactions are described and represent an expansion of the known chemistry of decaborane. Prior to this work, examples of decaboranyl ethers were limited, with organic groups limited to short alkyl chains. The robust chemistry in Chapter 5 allowed for the syntheses of decaboranyl-cages tethered to a wide variety of functional groups. While in textbook substitution reactions the incoming nucleophile takes the place of the exiting leavinggroup, the substitution of the halogen on 6- and 5-X- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ yielded 5-RO- and 6-RO$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ decaboranyl ethers, respectively. A mechanism was computationally identified
that involves attack of alcohol at the B5/B6 site adjacent to the halogenated vertex, movement of the terminal-hydrogen at this vertex into a bridging-position, and movement of the bridging-hydrogen at this spot into the position of the vacating halogen. This mechanism explained the regiochemistry, contained no steps with energies unattainable under reaction conditions, and is supported by the results of studies with isotopically labeled halodecaboranes.

Chapter 6 describes efforts aimed at the rehydrogenation of the polymeric product of the dehydrogenation of ammonia borane. Ammonia borane is considered a potentially useful chemical hydrogen storage material; however, its eventual utility may ultimately be determined by whether or not efficient methods can be found to rehydrogenate spent products. Chapter 6 details a process by which polymeric spent-fuel was digested into monomeric units by strong acids, followed by complexation of boron to Lewis bases, rehydrogenation using metal hydrides, and final displacement of the Lewis base by ammonia. While digestion steps were unable to completely degrade the polymeric spent fuels into monomeric units, regeneration of ammonia borane from the halogenated intermediate $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ was quantitative. The system promoted near-quantitative separation and recovery of all products and by-products.

Polyhedral boranes are fascinating molecular systems, but their chemistry is not as widely followed in the general chemical community as perhaps they warrant. As such, a brief introduction to the types of compounds this dissertation describes is offered here.

### 1.2 Introduction: Decadecaborate and Decaborane.

The decaborate anion $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}\right)$ and neutral decaborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ are the two most important parent boron hydride species to the work presented in this dissertation. As such, the basics of their structure and chemistry will be reviewed briefly.

### 1.2.1 closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$

The $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ anion, depicted in Figure 1.1, was first reported by Hawthorne and Pitochelli in 1959, ${ }^{1}$ a year before the discovery of the related, and more frequently studied, $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ icosahedron. ${ }^{2}$ The bicapped square antiprism $\left(\mathrm{D}_{4 \mathrm{~d}}\right)$ consists of two staggered 4-membered rings of boron atoms (Figure 1.1, B2-B9) and two capping boron vertices (B1, B10). Each boron vertex bears one bond to a terminal-hydrogen atom. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of the anion reflects the two different boron environments, displaying an intensity-2 peak at $\sim-1 \mathrm{ppm}$, and an intensity- 8 peak at $\sim-31 \mathrm{ppm}$.

The chemistry of the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ anion has recently been reviewed. ${ }^{3}$ For this reason, the treatment of the chemistry of the anion here is not exhaustive, as the details not included in this dissertation are already compiled and available. A brief overview of the synthesis of the anion and known substitution reaction is provided here, in hopes that future work combining chemistry in this dissertation with substituted-closo-cages might lead to useful nido-products. The electronic structure of the compound is also discussed, as the three dimensional delocalization of charge (3-dimensional aromaticity) was the reason that our cage-opening reactions required the forcing conditions we employed. A general discussion of the cage-opening reactions of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ is saved for Chapter 2.


Figure 1.1. The $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ deltahedron.

### 1.2.1.1 Syntheses

The most common synthesis of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ is from the 6,9-bis-adducts of decaborane (Section 1.2.2.4). For example, the reaction of the bis-acetonitrile adduct of nido-decaborane with triethylamine, or the bis-dimethylsulfide adduct with liquid ammonia yielded $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}\right]^{4}$ and $\left[\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}\right]^{5}$, respectively (Eq. 1). However, due to the fact that this synthesis requires the use of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$, a compound generally synthesized through a hazardous diborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ pyrolysis, the usefulness of these syntheses is limited.


As will be discussed in Chapter 2, there are known routes to $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ compounds via the controlled pyrolysis of the cheap and readily available borohydride $\left[\mathrm{NEt}_{4}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{BH}_{4}{ }^{-}\right]$ that have the potential to make these cages more readily available. These methods are of particular importance to this work, as our syntheses of open nido-halodecaboranes start from closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$. The borohydride-based syntheses, combined with the chemistry in this dissertation, provide safe, convenient routes to halodecaboranes.

### 1.2.1.2 Electronic structure and bonding

The bonding in $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$, as with many similar deltahedral boranes, has been the focus of a good deal of theoretical speculation. At the very least it can be said that the basic notions of valence-bond theory fail to explain the three-dimensional delocalization of electrons throughout the cage.

Wade's generalization regarding the electron counts in polyhedral boranes dictate that closo-boranes, such as $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$, must have $\mathrm{n}+1$ pairs of skeletal bonding electrons, where n is the number of boron vertices in the cage (e.g. if $\mathrm{n}=10,11$ skeletal pairs). ${ }^{6}$ Boron, having 3 valence electrons, contributes 2 of these to cage skeletal bonding, since one electron is used in forming a conventional 2-center, 2-electron bond with the terminal hydrogen. In $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ the 20 skeletal electrons provided by the cage-borons, plus the extra 2 electrons accounting for the dianionic charge, sum to 22 electrons, or 11 pairs, in accordance with Wade's rule on these architectures.

While Wade's initial formulation was empirical, he justified it with molecular orbital theory. ${ }^{7}$ Each boron on the surface of the deltahedron was assumed to be $s p$ hybridized with the $s p$-hybrid orbital radially oriented on the surface of the cage, and the two unhybridized $p$-orbitals laying tangential on the surface of the deltahedron. The 2 n tangential orbitals combined to form 2 n surface-molecular orbitals, n of which were bonding and $n$ of which were anti-bonding. The mixing of the radial orbitals produces one strongly bonding molecular orbital, and hence $n+1$ total bonding orbitals, requiring $\mathrm{n}+1$ electron pairs.

Molecular orbital studies to more explicitly describe the orbital interactions have been carried out by Jemmis and Hoffmann. ${ }^{8}$ Using the "Ring and Cap" formulation, first employed by Jemmis and Schleyer to describe aromaticity in three dimensions, ${ }^{9}$ the authors depicted the molecular orbital interactions of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}$ species as the interaction between ring-like fragments (in $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$, the 4-membered equatorial rings in Figure 1.1) and capping atoms (apical borons). The authors constructed an interaction diagram between two nido- $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}{ }^{-}$fragments explicitly depicting the 11 bonding
molecular orbitals of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-5}$. The bonding depicted was slightly more complicated than Wade's generalized counting scheme predicted, as there were two (instead of one) filled, radial bonding orbitals on account of second-order stabilizing interactions from higher energy fragment MOs. However, the end result was in agreement with Wade's rules.

Several years after the initial publication of his rules, Wade published a study on closo- $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}$ anions, using extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations to predict relative stabilities. These calculations predicted, in agreement with experimentally known trends in stability, $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}, \mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}{ }^{2-}$ to be of higher stability than the remainder of the closo $-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}$ family $(\mathrm{n}=5-12)$. Recent thermochemical calculations have reiterated this stability, predicting closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ to have the second most negative heat of formation, more positive than only $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$, among the closo- $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}$ series $(\mathrm{n}=$ $5-12) .{ }^{10}$

When thinking of the three dimensionally electronically-delocalized structures of the closo- $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}$ anions, questions regarding whether or not these structures can be termed "aromatic" are often raised. The limited reactivity of the closo-cages in general, and $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ in particular, points to aromatic stabilization. Hückel's familiar $4 n+2$ rule detailed the electron counts necessary for aromatic stabilization in 2dimensions, rather than the 3-dimensions of deltahedral boranes. Jemmis and Schleyer extended Hückel's $4 n+2$ rule to 3 -dimensions, terming it the $4 n+2$ interstitial electron rule, ${ }^{11}$ putting into play orbitals from capping interactions over planar rings, not unlike those found in closo-boranes. Aihara published an oft-cited study of the aromaticity of boranes in 3-dimensions in which he envisioned the bonding in polyhedral structures as
combinations, not of single atomic orbitals as might be used in an LCAO calculation, but of 3-center bonding orbitals that composed the triangular faces of the deltahedron. Using linear combinations of these orbitals he was able to compute a metric he termed "resonance energy", defined as "the extra stabilization energy gained by a circular migration of bonding electrons from face to face through successive resonance integrals". ${ }^{12}$ His calculations indicated that all closo $-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{n}=6-12)$ were aromatic (ie. had positive resonance energies), and that, of the bunch, $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ had the largest total resonance energies.

Nucleus Independent Chemical Shift (NCIS) has been used to gauge aromaticity in planar molecules ${ }^{13}$ and is easily applied to three dimensional structures as well. Not surprisingly, the largest negative values of NCIS (most aromatic character) within the closo $-\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{n}}{ }^{2-}$ group $(\mathrm{n}=5-12)$ are for $\mathrm{n}=12,10$, and $6 .{ }^{14}$

### 1.2.1.3. Substitution reactions.

A number of derivatives of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with boron-carbon bonds are known, many of which are derived from the carbonyl derivative $2-(\mathrm{OC})-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{-}$. This compound was synthesized in high yields through the reaction of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with oxalyl chloride (Eq. 2). ${ }^{15}$ The $1,10-(\mathrm{OC})_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18}$ neutral dicarbonyl species was similarly prepared. ${ }^{16}$


Carbonyl groups on both the mono- and di-substituted cages were capable of further functionalization, yielding a large number of functionalized cages (carboxylic
acid, amino, ester, alkyl, etc.), including bio-relevant compounds of interest in Boron Nuetron Capture Therapy. ${ }^{3}$

Of the numerous derivatives of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ bearing a $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ bond perhaps the most intriguing is the diazonium derivatives made available through the reaction shown in Eq. 3. ${ }^{16}$

(3)

This compound is an important starting material for other syntheses, as the apical $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ groups are easily displaced by a number of nucleophiles, leading to several disubstituted derivatives. ${ }^{3}$ Non-diazo routes to several cages bearing amines, nitriles, and isothiocyanate have been utilized and recently reviewed. ${ }^{3}$

The syntheses of the 2-hydroxylated derivatives of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ have been achieved through the hydrolysis of the solvent-adducts formed when $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ was treated with HCl or trifluoroacetic acid in 1-methylpyrrolidin-2-one (NMP) or $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$ '-dimethylformamide (DMF). ${ }^{17}$ Other successful routes to $2-\mathrm{HO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$ include the formation, and subsequent hydrolysis of the ester formed by treatment of the cage with carboxylic acids (Eq. 4). ${ }^{3}$ Treatment of the hydroxyl derivatives with alkyl halides led to the formation of a range of alkoxide substituted $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{OR}^{2-}$ derivatives. ${ }^{3}$


Cyclic oxonium derivatives are available through the treatment of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with acids in cyclic ethers (THF, dioxane, tetrahydropyran, Eq. 5). These compounds may then be ring-opened by nucleophiles to provide linkers to other molecular structures. ${ }^{3}$


Halogenated species, $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{(10-\mathrm{y})} \mathrm{X}_{(\mathrm{y})}$, were produced primarily as a mixture of mono- and poly-halogenated isomers. ${ }^{3}$ Reactions of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with elemental $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ (at room temperature) yielded mixtures which could be chromatographically separated. ${ }^{3}$ A single isomer, 2- $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br})$, was produced through the in-situ reaction of carbocations with $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ in 1,2- $\mathrm{X}_{2} \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ solvents. ${ }^{18}$ The specifically iodinated 2-I- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}{ }^{2-}$ was formed in the reaction of $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ in ethanol at -70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{19}$

### 1.2.2. nido- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$.

The structure of the compound commonly referred to as simply decaborane, nido$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$, is shown in Figure 1.2. The structure has $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry, and shows 4 peaks in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR in a ratio of $2(\sim-12 \mathrm{ppm}): 2(\sim-10 \mathrm{ppm}): 4(\sim-1 \mathrm{ppm}): 2(\sim 40 \mathrm{ppm})$. It is a white crystalline solid that melts at $\sim 99^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and sublimes under vacuum.

The recent use of decaborane is dominated by those seeking to incorporate orthocarborane moieties into bioactive agents for Boron Neutron Capture Therapy. Just a few recent examples of these chemistries are shown in ref. 20. These applications, though important, and a potential driving force for the functionalization of decaborane, are much more biochemical than synthetic in nature, and will not be covered, in depth, in this introduction.

The list of chemistries herein described is not meant to be comprehensive, but rather is written as a set of reactions that either yield products similar to the substituted decaboranes presented in this dissertation, or, perhaps more importantly, employ the decaborane cage as a starting point for other chemistries.

### 1.2.2.1 Synthesis

As mentioned previously, the most common synthesis of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ is through the thermal pyrolysis of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6} .{ }^{21}$ A laser driven thermal pyrolysis of $\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ has been reported to yield $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ in $\sim 65 \%$ yield. ${ }^{22}$ Shore synthesized $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ through the action of Lewis acids on $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{14}{ }^{-23}$ while Dunks and Ordonez reported a synthesis based on the oxidation of $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{14}{ }^{-}$, which they synthesized from $\mathrm{NaBH}_{4} .{ }^{24}$


Figure 1.2. The structure and numbering scheme for decaborane (nido $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ).

### 1.2.2.2 Electronic structure and bonding

Decaborane, with 10 boron vertices donating 2 electrons each to the bonding skeleton, and 4 bridging hydrogens donating 4 electrons, has 24 total skeletal electrons, or 12 pairs. This is in accord with Wade's rules, which state that a nido-structure will have $n+2$ pairs of skeletal bonding electrons, where $n$ is the number of vertices in the deltahedron. ${ }^{6}$

Wade viewed nido-structures as equivalent to closo-structures with one boronvertex removed. ${ }^{6}$ In this respect $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ is intrinsically related to $\mathrm{B}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2-}$ (also $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}, \mathbf{E q}$ 6.).


Since the locations of the new bridging hydrogens are symmetry-related to the original closo-structure, Wade postulated that the two structures had the same number of skeletal bonding orbitals and hence needed an identical electron count. The two electrons which would have been donated by the now-removed vertex needed to be replaced, meaning the nido-structure required $\mathrm{n}+2$ pairs of bonding skeletal electrons. ${ }^{6}$

Wade's EHMO calculations predicted that the extra electron pair, formerly of the removed vertex, was situated in the HOMO of the new nido-structure, which rings the open face of the molecule. ${ }^{25}$ This result confirmed a prediction made through the use of tensor surface harmonic theory, suggesting that the extra pair of electrons was stabilized by residing on the largest possible ring. ${ }^{26}$

### 1.2.2.3 Substitution of a terminal B-H

From the late-1950s to the mid-1970s there was intense interest in the synthesis of substituted decaboranes $\left(\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$. Since that time, the focus has shifted into its use as a starting material for carborane, and other heteroborane syntheses.

The hydridic nature of the terminal-hydrogens on the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ skeleton makes them amenable to substitution via Friedel-Crafts chemistry. This will be discussed in the following chapters as it pertains to cage-halogenation, however a small number of other derivatives was achieved by these methods. Specifically, cages were alkylated at one or more positions through treatment of ethyl- or methyhalides and aluminum chloride. ${ }^{27}$ As described by Lipscomb, these electrophilic substitutions, both alkylations and halogenations, tended to occur at boron vertices $1-4$, as these are the most electron-rich boron atoms in the cage. ${ }^{28}$

The bridging hydrogens on decaborane, on the other hand, are Bronsted-acidic, with $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{s}$, measured in water/ethanolic mixures, of 2.4-3.2. ${ }^{29}$ Deprotonation of the bridging hydrogen in decaborane yielded $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$, the nucleophilic character of which was employed to affect substitution chemistry and salt elimination. Similarly, the reaction of decaborane with methyl Grignard reagents formed a decaboranyl Grignard reagent, capable of nucleophilic activity (Eq. 7). ${ }^{30}$


The reaction of the either the sodium salt $\mathrm{Na}^{+}\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]^{31}$ or the Grignard $\left(\mathrm{MgIB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)^{9,32}$ with benzyl halides yielded 6-benzyldecaborane $\left(6-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$. The benzyl product was deprotonated and reacted again with another equivalent of benzyl halide to yield decaborane benzylated at both the 6- and 9-positions $\left(6,9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}\right.$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ ). The same benzylation reaction between the sodium salt and 3-fluorobenzyl chloride yielded a mixture of 6- and 5-(3-F-C64 $\left.\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \cdot{ }^{33}$ Allyl- ${ }^{34}$ and alkyldecaboranes, ${ }^{35}$ with unknown regiochemistry were the products of the reactions of allyl- and alkylhalides and $\mathrm{MgBrB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. Interestingly, Gaines found that the treatment of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$with an equivalent of alkyllithium followed by acidification yielded 6-R- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ selectively. ${ }^{36}$

Non-carbon electrophiles have been substituted on the borane skeleton through salt elimination reactions using either the sodium salt or the Grignard. The tridecaboranyl phosphine, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{3}$, was synthesized through the addition of 3 equivalents of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]$to $\mathrm{PCl}_{3} .{ }^{37}$ Similar salt eliminations yielded $\left(\mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{P}\right)$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, from reaction with $\mathrm{POCl}_{3}$, and phosphazine derivatives $\mathrm{Br}_{5} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$, $\mathrm{Br}_{4} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}, \mathrm{Br}_{3} \mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{3}$, and $\mathrm{P}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{6}$ but again without information on the regiochemistry of cage-substitution. ${ }^{38}$ The silylated derivative $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ was also synthesized by the reaction of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ with $\mathrm{Na}^{+}\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right] .{ }^{39}$

Both $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{P}\right) \mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $\left(\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}\right) \mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ have been synthesized through salt elimination reactions of $\mathrm{EPh}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}(\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{As})$ with the decaboranyl Grignard or sodium salt. ${ }^{40}$ The crystallographically determined structure of the phosphino-derivative was surprising in that the phosphine was not terminally situated on a cage boron, but instead bridged the B5-B6 bond, much like a bridging hydrogen. ${ }^{41}$

Hydroboration of unsaturated organics with terminal B-H vertices is another way in which decaborane may be functionalized through C-substitution of the terminal hydrides. Transition metal hydroboration of alkenes on decaborane using platinum catalysts yielded symmetrically substituted $6,9-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathrm{R}=$ alkyl $)$ compounds, ${ }^{42}$ whereas the use of $\mathrm{Cp}_{2} \mathrm{TiCl}_{2}$ as catalyst stopped after only one hydroboration, yielding 6-$\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} .{ }^{43}$ Hydroboration was found to proceed smoothly when run in ionic liquids, yielding a number of new functionalized decaboranyl cages with a range of pendant functionalities mono-substituted at B6. ${ }^{44}$ Hydroboration of terminal alkynes yielded 6,9-bisalkenyl-decaborane, substituted at either the terminal or internal carbon of the alkyne, depending on which catalyst system was employed. ${ }^{45}$

### 1.2.2.4 6,9- $\mathrm{L}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

nido-Decaborane is known to form adducts with 2-electon donors, forming formally arachno-structures (10 vertices, 13 pairs of cage electrons) with the formula 6,9-$\mathrm{L}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ (for instance, Eq. 8). A wide range of adducts including sulfides, nitriles, phosphines, amines, anilines, arsines, pyridines has been made and characterized, with sulfides and nitriles forming the strongest adducts. ${ }^{46}$


While reaction of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ with pyridine under normal conditions was found to form $6,9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ complex, when run at low temperature (pyridine condensed at $-196{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and slowly warmed to room temperature) the formation of $\left[\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]$ was observed followed by the eventual formation of $6,6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{~N}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{E q . 9})$ in high purity and good yield. ${ }^{47}$


### 1.2.2.5 Incorporation of Heteroatoms

Though there are numerous methods for the preparation of $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ and C substituted derivatives, the most frequently employed method involves the addition of acetylenes to the 6,9-bis-adduct (Eq. 10). ${ }^{48}$ Sneddon and Li reported a convenient, highyield ionic liquid based route to ortho-carboranes from $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}{ }^{49}$


The Brellochs reaction ${ }^{50}$ (Eq. 11), deboronation of decaborane and carbon insertion, has made available a variety of functionalized $\mathrm{RCB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ monocarbaboranes. ${ }^{51}$ While this reaction is efficient, and gives high yields, for the parent decaborane, the strongly basic reaction conditions may prohibit its use with some functionalized or more highly acidic decaborane derivatives.


Carbon has also been inserted into the decaborane skeleton to form 7- $\mathrm{RNH}_{2}-7-\mathrm{CB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ through the addition of isocyanides or the cyanide ion (Eq. 12). ${ }^{52}$


When the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$anion was treated with acetonitrile the cage incorporated the $\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ triple bond, yielding the azacarborane arachno-7- $\mathrm{CH}_{3}-7,12-\mathrm{CNB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-53}$.

Azaboranes have been synthesized from decaborane in a number of ways. The reaction of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ with $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$, followed by acidification yielded the neutral arachno-4$\mathrm{NB}_{8} \mathrm{H}_{14} .{ }^{54}$ When the addition of $\mathrm{NaNO}_{2}$ was followed by $\mathrm{I}_{2}$-oxidation nido- $6-\mathrm{NB}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ was produced in good yield. ${ }^{55}$ Phosphorous has been inserted into the $\mathrm{B}_{10}$-framework through the reaction of decaborane with $\mathrm{PCl}_{3}$ to give closo-1,2- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$, which underwent a carborane-like isomerization to closo-1,7- $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}$ at elevated temperatures. ${ }^{56}$ Sneddon and Shedlow found that a similar reaction of $\mathrm{RPCl}_{2}$ with Proton Sponge and decaborane gave phosphorous insertion to nido-7- $\mathrm{RPB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$, which could then be acidified to the neutral nido-7- $\mathrm{RPB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}$, all in near quantitative yields (Eq. 13). ${ }^{57}$ Group-V trihalides were also used to synthesize closo-1,2- $\mathrm{Sb}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{58}$ and closo-1,2- $\mathrm{As}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10} .{ }^{59}$


Muetterties found that the reaction of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ with polyammonium sulfide led to the near-quantitative conversion to arachno- $\mathrm{B}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{~S}^{-} .{ }^{60}$ Much more recently, it was found that the reaction of decaborane with elemental sulfur, in the presence of
triethylamine yielded the triethylammonium salt of nido-7- $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$, which when treated with triethylammonium borane $\left(\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}\right)$ incorporated another boron to yield closo-1$\mathrm{SB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11} \cdot{ }^{61}$ Sneddon and Shedlow were again able to improve on these syntheses through the reaction of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ with $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ and Proton Sponge, producing both nido-7- $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$and protonated nido-7- $\mathrm{SB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ in excellent yields. In the chalcogen family both $\mathrm{SeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ and $\mathrm{TeB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ were formed through the reactions of decaborane with polysodium selenide and polysodium telluride. ${ }^{61}$

### 1.3 Conclusion.

The preceding discussion on the chemistry of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ provides an overview of the kinds of systems that will be presented in this dissertation. Functionalization of the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$, in combination with the chemistry to be presented, may yield many interesting functionalized decaboranyl derivatives. Likewise, the functionalization of the decaborane derivatives discussed in the coming chapters, in conjunction with the reactions of decaborane just discussed, may yield a number of functionalized, useful products.
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## Chapter 2

## Crystallographic Characterizations and New High Yield Synthetic

 Routes via Super Acid Induced Cage-Opening Reactions of closo$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ Salts for the Complete Series of 6-X-B $\mathbf{1 0}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ Halodecaboranes ( X $=\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{C l}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$
#### Abstract

The high yield syntheses of $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}, \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}(88 \%), \mathrm{Br}(96 \%)$ and $\mathrm{I}(84 \%)$ resulted from the cage-opening reactions of the $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salt with ionic-liquid based superacidic hydrogen halides, while both the previously unknown 6-F- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ derivative $(77 \%)$ and $6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(92 \%)$ were synthesized in high yields via the reactions of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with triflic acid in the presence of 1-fluoropentane and dichloromethane, respectively. Structural characterizations of the halogenated cages confirm the predicted structures and indicate strong halogen backbonding interactions with the B6-boron. Reactions of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with triflic acid in bromo- and iodoethane yielded mixtures of $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $6-\mathrm{X}-9-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$. This result is at odds with a previously proposed mechanism of the reaction of closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with strong acids.


### 2.1 Introduction

Decaborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$, when compared to lighter, neutral polyhedral boranes, is widely available and relatively stable. As discussed in Chapter 1, there exist a number
of potential applications for the $\mathrm{B}_{10}$-skeleton; however, in order to fulfill this potential, systematic routes toward its functionalization must be discovered and optimized.

Halogenation of decaborane is one way to provide a functional handle, useful in further selective functionalization of the nido-B10 skeleton. In organic chemistry, carbon-halogen bonds serve as reactive starting points for many reactions $\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 1, \mathrm{~S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2\right.$, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2_{\mathrm{Ar}}$, Pd-coupling, etc.). There are several examples of Pd-coupling reactions utilizing B-I bonds on carboranes, yielding useful functionalized carboranyl-derivatives. ${ }^{1}$ Metallocarboranes have also been functionalized at boron via transition-metal coupling reactions at boron-halide bonds. ${ }^{2}$ Halodecaboranes are not currently utilized as starting points for similar borane functionalization, primarily on account of the absence of efficient methods for their high-yield, selective syntheses.

Since the 1960 's, Friedel-Crafts ${ }^{3}$ and direct halogenation ${ }^{4}$ reactions of decaborane have been known, producing mixtures of halodecaboranes substituted at B1 and/or B2, off of the open face (for the numbering scheme see Eq. 1). Alternatively, the reactions of anhydrous $\mathrm{HX}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ with $6,9-\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, or $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ in the presence of $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{Et})$, have been shown to yield mixtures of $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ from which additional purification is required to give discreet products (Eq. 2). ${ }^{5-7}$



Aside from the problematic lack of regioselectivity in these reactions, all of the syntheses require $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$, a compound most commonly produced by a hazardous diborane-pyrolysis reaction. ${ }^{8}$ However, $6-(\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ have also been obtained in moderate yields (Cl, 45\%; Br, 45\%; I, 30\%) via the hydrolysis of $\left(\mathrm{AlX}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{n}}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ adducts. ${ }^{9,10}$ Since closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ anions can be synthesized from the thermolysis of borohydrides instead of diborane,,$^{11,12}$ synthetic routes based on the use of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ anions as starting points could have significant advantages over $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ based schemes. This possibility stimulated the study described in this chapter of closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ cageopening reactions.

### 2.2. Experimental

Materials. $\mathrm{AlX}_{3}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ was purchased from Aldrich and either sublimed prior to use $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ or used as received $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$. 1-Butyl-3-methylimidazolium halides $(\mathrm{Cl}$, $\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ were purchased from Aldrich and azeotropically dried with toluene prior to use. Anhydrous HBr and HCl , tributyltin hydride, ethyl bromide and ethyl iodide (Aldrich) were used as received. Ampules of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid (Aldrich) were opened in air and immediately loaded into a nitrogen purged Schlenk-flask with a Teflon screwtop, and stored under dry $\mathrm{N}_{2} . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, hexanes, and pentane (Fisher) were used as received. Silica gel (Fisher) was acidified prior to use as described elsewhere. ${ }^{6}$
$\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ from stock was dried prior to use by heating to $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum for 20 h.

Physical Methods. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR at 128.3 MHz and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 400.1 MHz spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 spectrometer equipped with appropriate decoupling accessories. All ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ chemical shifts were referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All proton chemical shifts were measured relative to internal residual protons from the lock solvents $\left(99.9 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and then referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$. High- and low-resolution mass spectra employing chemical ionization with negative ion detection were obtained on a Micromass AutoSpec high-resolution mass spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer.

6-Fluorodecaborane, 6-F- $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ (1). Under flowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, a 3-neck flask equipped with an addition funnel was charged with $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}(0.30 \mathrm{~g}, 1.94 \mathrm{mmol})$. Pentane (10.0 mL ) and 1-fluoropentane ( $0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.85 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added and the resulting suspension was stirred at room temperature while trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( $0.57 \mathrm{~mL}, 6.27$ mmol) was added dropwise through the addition funnel. The reaction mixture gradually turned yellow while it was being stirred at room temperature for 5 h . Pentane ( 20.0 mL ) was added and the solution was filtered. The volatiles were vacuum evaporated through U-traps cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the product and solvent collecting at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Vacuum evaporation of the solvent from this trap at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ then left behind $0.21 \mathrm{~g}(1.50$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%$ yield) of white solid $\mathbf{1}, \mathrm{mp}=48-49^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown by slow evaporation of hexane. Exact mass for ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ : (obs./calc.): $142.1913 / 142.1931 .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.4 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), (mult, assign, $J$ in Hz ):
$20.7(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~B} 6), 6.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 9, J \mathrm{BH}=153), 4.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 1,3, J \mathrm{BH}=148), 1.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 8,10, J \mathrm{BH}=$ $164),-11.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 5,7, J \mathrm{BH}=161),-35.3(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 2, J \mathrm{BH}=154),-44.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 4, J \mathrm{BH}=158)$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right) 3.96(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.33(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $1.38(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.37(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.48(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}, J \mathrm{FH}=21.0),-1.71(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) .{ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR (282.4 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl} 3$ ), $\delta$ (mult): -141 (m).

## 6-Chlorodecaborane, 6-Cl- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (2).

Method 1. Under inert conditions, $6.00 \mathrm{~g}(45.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ was mixed with 6.00 g $(34.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of BmimCl to create a monophasic ionic liquid, to which was added 0.20 g $(1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$. The inhomogenous mixture was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a slow flow of HCl metered through a bubbler. After 2 h , the solution was removed from heat and vacuum was applied for 10 min to remove excess HCl . The ionic liquid was then extracted several times with hexanes until the extracts showed no traces of product by ${ }^{11}$ B NMR. The extracts were filtered to remove any solids and solvent was vacuum evaporated at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a pale-yellow solid. No attempts were made to recycle the ionic liquid. The solid was sublimed at room temperature for 3 h onto a $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cold finger. After sublimation, the cold finger was warmed to room temperature and the collected white solid washed with pentane into a tared flask. Following vacuum evaporation of the pentane at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.18 \mathrm{~g}(1.14 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%)$ of white, crystalline solid 2 was obtained, $\mathrm{mp}=30-32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. 28-29). ${ }^{7}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.4 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ): $\delta$ (mult, assign, $J$ in Hz): $17.5(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~B} 6), 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 9,1,3, J \mathrm{BH}=\sim 150), 0.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 8,10, J \mathrm{BH}=158)$, $-3.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 5,7, J \mathrm{BH}=161),-33.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 2, J \mathrm{BH}=160),-40.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 4, J \mathrm{BH}=160) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR (400 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.56(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 2.94(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33$ $(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.57(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-1.79(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

Method 2. Under flowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, a 3-neck flask was charged with 0.50 g ( 3.25 mmol ) of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ and 30.0 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. Under vigorous stirring, 1.15 mL of trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( 12.9 mmol ) was added dropwise at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature, filtered to remove solids and then the solvent vacuum evaporated at $-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The remaining crude yellow oil was dissolved in pentane, transferred to a sublimer, and the pentane vacuum evaporated at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Sublimation from the remaining oil at room temperature for 3 h onto a $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cold finger gave $0.46 \mathrm{~g}(2.94 \mathrm{mmol}, 90 \%$ yield $)$ of white flakey solid $\mathbf{2}, \mathrm{mp}=30-32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\left(\right.$ lit. 28-29),${ }^{7}$ which was identified by its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (listed above).

6-Bromodecaborane, $\mathbf{6 - B r}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ (3). Under inert conditions, $15.0 \mathrm{~g}(56.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ was mixed with $10.0 \mathrm{~g}(45.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of BmimBr , to which was added $0.75 \mathrm{~g}(4.87$ mmol) of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$. The inhomogenous mixture was heated at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a slow flow of HBr metered through a bubbler. After 2 h , the solution had become monophasic and was removed from heat. Vacuum was applied for 10 min to remove excess HBr . The ionic liquid was then extracted several times with hexanes until the extracts showed no traces of product by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. The extracts were filtered to remove any solids and solvent was vacuum evaporated at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a pale-yellow solid. No attempts were made to recycle the ionic liquid. The solid was sublimed at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ onto a $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cold finger. After sublimation, the cold finger was warmed to room temperature and the collected white solid washed with pentane into a tared flask. Following vacuum evaporation of the pentane at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.93 \mathrm{~g}(4.63 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%)$ of white, crystalline solid 3 was obtained, $\mathrm{mp}=34-35^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. 32-34). ${ }^{711} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.4 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) $\delta$ (mult, assign, $J$ in Hz): $9.6(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~B} 6), 9.6(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 9, J \mathrm{BH}=\sim 175), 8.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 1,3, J \mathrm{BH}=\sim 145), 0.0(\mathrm{~d}$,
$\mathrm{B} 8,10, J \mathrm{BH}=170),-2.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 5,7, J \mathrm{BH}=178),-34.1(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 2, J \mathrm{BH}=158),-39.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 4$, $J \mathrm{BH}=157) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.03(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.65(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.23(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.08(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.34(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 0.74(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.77(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-1.86(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.

6-Iododecaborane, $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{I}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ (4). Under inert conditions, $6.10 \mathrm{~g}(15.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{AlI}_{3}$ was mixed with $3.00 \mathrm{~g}(11.3 \mathrm{~mol})$ of BmimI , to which was added $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$. The inhomogenous mixture was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under a slow flow of HCl metered through a bubbler. After 2 h , all starting material had been consumed and the mixture was removed from heat. Vacuum was applied for 10 min to remove excess HCl . The ionic liquid was then extracted several times with hexanes until the extracts showed no traces of product by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. The extracts were filtered to remove any solids and the solvent was vacuum evaporated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a pale-yellow solid. No attempts were made to recycle the ionic liquid. The solid was sublimed at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ onto a 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ cold finger. After sublimation, the cold finger was warmed to room temperature and the collected yellow solid washed with pentane into a tared flask. Following vacuum evaporation of the pentane at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 0.27 \mathrm{~g}(1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$ of pale yellow, crystalline solid 4 was obtained, $\mathrm{mp}=70-72{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}($ lit. $75-76) .{ }^{711} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}\left(128.4 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (mult, assign, $J$ in Hz): $10.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 1,3, J B H=\sim 145), 9.9(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 9, J B H=\sim 170), 1.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 5,7 \mathrm{JBH}$ $=\sim 145), 0.5(d, B 8,10, J B H=\sim 190),-6.8(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{~B} 6),-34.0(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 2, J \mathrm{BH}=163),-37.7(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 4$, $J \mathrm{BH}=157) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 4.04(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.72(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.25(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$, $3.22(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.33(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 1.00(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-0.83(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}),-1.99(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s})$.
$\mathbf{6 - B r}-\mathbf{9}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}} \mathbf{( 5 )}$. Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a rapidly stirring suspension of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}(200 \mathrm{mg}$, 1.30 mmol ) in ethylbromide ( 5 mL ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2
$h$, at which point analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR indicated conversion of the starting material into a mixture of 4 and 6-Br-9- $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{5})$ with in ratio of $\sim 1: 3(\mathbf{4 : 5}) . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture and it was then extracted with water. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, filtered, concentrated in vacuo, and chromatographed on acidified silica gel using a $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes eluent yielding $5(57 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%)$ as a clear oil. Exact mass for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{81} \mathrm{Br}$ : (obs./calc.): 232.1422/232.1428. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.4 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta$ (mult, assign, $J$ in Hz ): 26.0 (s, B9), 8.5 (s, B6), 7.5 (d, B1,3 JBH = 159), $-0.8(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 5,7, J \mathrm{BH}=\sim 190),-2.5(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 8,10 \mathrm{JBH}=\sim 180),-36.4(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 2, J \mathrm{BH}=\sim 125),-$ $37.2(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~B} 4, J \mathrm{BH}=\sim 140) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}$, $2 \mathrm{BH}), 2.99(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.44\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.22\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, J=7.2\right), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.89(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-$ 0.67 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), -1.42 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2965 (m), 2935 (w), 2911 (w), 2878 (w), 2579 (vs), 1970 (w), 1913 (w), 1524 (m), 1461 (s), 1414 (s), 1379 (w), 1287 (w), 1122 (w), 1095 (m), 1035 (w), 980 (s), 952 (w), 939 (m), 912 (w), 887 (m), 854 (w), 838 (w), 819 (m), 800 (w), 775 (w), 721 (m), 701 (m), 674 (m), 596 (w).
$\mathbf{6 - I}-9-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$ (6). Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid ( $0.52 \mathrm{~mL}, 5.84 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added dropwise via syringe to a rapidly stirring suspension of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.30$ mmol ) in ethyliodide ( 5 mL ). The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2 h , at which point analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR indicated conversion of the starting material into a mixture of 4 and $6-\mathrm{I}-9-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{6})$ with in ratio of $\sim 2: 3(\mathbf{4 : 6}) . \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added to the mixture and it was then extracted with water. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and filtered. The solvent was removed in vacuo, and the resultant oil was dried for several hours on under high-vaccuum. The oil was redissolved in pentane and
recrystallized twice from pentane. The second crystallization yielded crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Further purification and characterization were not performed. Collection and Reduction of the Data. Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are summarized in Table 2.2.1. The data for 6-F-B ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (1, Penn3320), 6-Cl- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (2, Penn3322), 6-Br- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (3, Penn3321), 6-I-B ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(4$, Penn3327), and 6-I-9-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(6$, Penn3341) were collected on a Rigaku Mercury CCD area detector employing graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Rotation frames were integrated using CrystalClear, ${ }^{13}$ producing a list of unaveraged $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)$ values which were then passed to the CrystalStructure ${ }^{14}$ program package for further processing and structure solution on a Dell Pentium 4 computer. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using REQAB. ${ }^{15}$

Solution and Refinement of the Structures. The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR97 ${ }^{16}$ ). Refinement was by full-matrix least squares based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ using SHELXL-97. ${ }^{17}$ All reflections were used during refinement (values of $F^{2}$ that were experimentally negative were replaced with $\mathrm{F}^{2}=0$ ). For 1-4 and 6 all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically except for H 4 and H 4 'in $\mathbf{1}$ which were included as constant contributions to the structure factors and were not refined. Cartesian coordinates, bond lengths, and bond angles for the solved structures are provided in Tables 2.2.2-2.2.11.

Table 2.2.1. Crystallographic and refinement data for compounds 1-4.

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~F}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{I}$ |
| Formula weight | 140.20 | 156.65 | 201.11 | 248.10 | 276.16 |
| Crystal class | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| space group | P2/n | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{n}$ | $\mathrm{P} 21 / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Z | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| $a$, $\AA$ | 12.639(3) | 12.444(2) | 12.391(2) | 7.1901 | 11.760(2) |
| b, $\AA$ | 5.6456(11) | 7.6026(10) | 7.7367(9) | 14.5748(14) | 13.025(2) |
| $c, \AA$ | 12.645(3) | 11.147(2) | 11.203(2) | $9.7529(11)$ | 8.315(2) |
| $\beta$, deg | 107.966(5) | 115.766(4) | 114.947(3) | 103.429(3) | 108.561(4) |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 858.3(3) | 949.7(3) | 973.8(3) | 994.2(2) | 1207.4 |
| $D_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.085 | 1.096 | 1.372 | 1.658 | 1.519 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 0.59 | 3.17 | 41.38 | 31.4 | 25.94 |
| $\lambda, \AA\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)$ | 0.71070 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal size, mm | $\begin{gathered} 0.35 \mathrm{x} 0.10 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.04 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.44 \times 0.15 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.45 \times 0.12 \times \\ 0.05 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.32 \times 0.28 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.46 \times 0.35 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.10 \end{gathered}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 288 | 320 | 392 | 464 | 528 |
| $2 \theta$ angle, deg | 5.48-50.02 | 6.48-50.04 | 6.4-54.92 | 5.12-54.9 | 6.04-55.02 |
| Temperature, K | 143(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) |
| $h k l$ collected | $\begin{gathered} -15 \leq h \leq 10 \\ -6 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 6 ; \\ -15 \leq 1 \leq 14 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14 \leq h \leq 13 \\ -8 \leq k \leq 9 ; \\ -11 \leq 1 \leq 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} -16 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 15 \\ -9 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 8 \\ -14 \leq 1 \leq 9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} -9 & \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 9 \\ -16 & \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 18 \\ -9 & \leq 1 \leq 12 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -14 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 15 \\ -16 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 16 \\ -8 \leq 1 \leq 10 \end{gathered}$ |
| No. meas reflns | 6460 | 5753 | 6052 | 7060 | 15697 |
| No. of unique reflns | $\begin{gathered} 1513 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0214\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1661 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0184\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2200 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0291\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2259 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0204\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2742 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0268\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. parameters | 159 | 153 | 153 | 153 | 187 |
| $\begin{aligned} & R^{\mathrm{a} \text { indices }} \\ & (F>2 \sigma) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0405 \\ w R_{2}=0.1092 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0333 \\ w R_{2}=0.0864 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0370 \\ w R_{2}=0.0989 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0290 \\ w R_{2}=0.0698 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0241 \\ w R_{2}=0.0585 \end{gathered}$ |
| $R^{\text {a }}$ indices (all data) | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0432 \\ w R_{2}=0.1124 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0373 \\ w R_{2}=0.0908 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0494 \\ w R_{2}=0.1483 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0315 \\ w R_{2}=0.0725 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0253 \\ w R_{2}=0.0592 \end{gathered}$ |
| GOF $^{\text {b }}$ | 1.122 | 1.041 | 1.117 | 0.927 | 1.100 |
| final difference peaks, e/ $\AA^{3}$ | $+0.155,-0.153$ | +0.199, -0.204 | +0.686, -1.127 | +0.574, -1.576 | +1.314, -1.255 |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{{ }^{\mathrm{a}}} R_{1}=\Sigma\left\\|F_{o}\left\|-\left\|F_{c} \\| / \Sigma\right\| F_{o}\right\| ; w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma w\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right. \\ & { }^{\mathrm{b}} \text { GOF }=\left\{\Sigma w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2} /(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})\right\}^{1 / 2} \text { where } \mathrm{n}=\text { no. of reflns; } \mathrm{p}=\text { no. of params refined } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.2. Bond lengths for $\mathbf{1}$.

| B1-B7\#1 | $1.746(3)$ | B1-B5 | $1.757(3)$ | B1-B2\#1 | $1.778(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B1\#1 | $1.782(3)$ | B1-B2 | $1.783(3)$ | B1-H1 | $1.10(2)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.718(2)$ | B2-B1\#1 | $1.778(3)$ | B2-B5 | $1.800(3)$ |
| B2-B7 | $1.800(3)$ | B2-H2 | $1.02(3)$ | B5-B6 | $1.784(3)$ |
| B5-B7\#1 | $1.994(4)$ | B5-H5 | $1.04(2)$ | B5-H56 | $1.24(2)$ |
| B6-F1 | $1.279(3)$ | B6-B7 | $1.803(3)$ | B6-H56 | $1.30(2)$ |
| B6-H67 | $1.25(2)$ | B6-H6 | 1.0962 | B7-B1\#1 | $1.746(3)$ |
| B7-B5\#1 | $1.994(4)$ | B7-H7 | $1.02(2)$ | B7-H67 | $1.28(2)$ |
| B1'-B7'\#2 | $1.750(3)$ | B1'-B5' | $1.757(3)$ | B1'-B2' | $1.770(3)$ |
| B1'-B1'\#2 | $1.771(3)$ | B1'-B2'\#2 | $1.783(3)$ | B1'-H1' | $1.11(2)$ |
| B2'-B6' | $1.720(3)$ | B2'-B1'\#2 | $1.783(3)$ | B2'-B5' | $1.789(3)$ |
| B2'-B7' | $1.799(3)$ | B2'-H2' | $1.12(2)$ | B5'-B6' | $1.784(3)$ |
| B5'-B7'\#2 | $1.990(4)$ | B5'-H5' | $1.11(2)$ | B5'-H56' | $1.24(2)$ |
| B6'-F1' | $1.278(3)$ | B6'-B7' | $1.798(3)$ | B6'-H56' | $1.32(2)$ |
| B6'-H67' | $1.32(2)$ | B6'-H6' $^{\prime}$ | 1.0991 | B7'-B1'\#2 | $1.750(3)$ |
| B7'-B5'\#2 | $1.990(4)$ | B7'-H7' | $1.11(2)$ | B7'-H67' | $1.25(2)$ |

Table 2.2.3 Bond angles for $\mathbf{1}$.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { B5 } \end{aligned}$ | 69.38(14) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { B2\#1 } \end{aligned}$ | 61.41(12) | B5-B1-B2\#1 | 118.42(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { B1\#1 } \end{aligned}$ | 108.22(13) | B5-B1-B1\#1 | 107.69(12) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { B1\#1 } \end{aligned}$ | 60.12(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | 118.53(14) | B5-B1-B2 | 61.12(11) | B2\#1-B1-B2 | 114.53(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | 59.83(12) | B7\#1-B1-H1 | 113.2(10) | B5-B1-H1 | 113.0(10) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2\#1-B1- } \\ & \text { H1 } \end{aligned}$ | 118.8(9) | B1\#1-B1-H1 | 129.5(10) | B2-B1-H1 | 118.1(9) |
| B6-B2- <br> B1\#1 | 110.73(13) | B6-B2-B1 | 110.49(12) | B1\#1-B2-B1 | 60.05(12) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 60.91(10) | B1\#1-B2-B5 | 106.00(14) | B1-B2-B5 | 58.73(10) |
| B6-B2-B7 | 61.62(11) | B1\#1-B2-B7 | 58.44(11) | B1-B2-B7 | 105.8(2) |
| B5-B2-B7 | 105.30(14) | B6-B2-H2 | 118.2(14) | B1\#1-B2-H2 | 123.1(13) |


| B1-B2-H2 | 121.0(13) | B5-B2-H2 | 121.9(12) | B7-B2-H2 | 125.3(12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B5-B6 | 108.6(2) | B1-B5-B2 | 60.14(11) | B6-B5-B2 | 57.27(11) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1-B5- } \\ & \text { B7\#1 } \end{aligned}$ | 55.05(10) | B6-B5-B7\#1 | 117.1(2) | B2-B5-B7\#1 | 106.06(14) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 125.6(11) | B6-B5-H5 | 113.1(11) | B2-B5-H5 | 119.7(11) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B7\#1-B5- } \\ \text { H5 } \end{gathered}$ | 124.8(11) | B1-B5-H56 | 127.5(10) | B6-B5-H56 | 47.0(9) |
| B2-B5-H56 | 101.4(8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7\#1-B5- } \\ & \text { H56 } \end{aligned}$ | 92.0(10) | H5-B5-H56 | 106.4(14) |
| F1-B6-B2 | 130.1(2) | F1-B6-B5 | 124.1(2) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.82(10) |
| F1-B6-B7 | 128.2(2) | B2-B6-B7 | 61.42(10) | B5-B6-B7 | 105.8(2) |
| F1-B6-H56 | 108.5(9) | B2-B6-H56 | 103.1(8) | B5-B6-H56 | 44.1(8) |
| B7-B6-H56 | 117.1(10) | F1-B6-H67 | 110.3(9) | B2-B6-H67 | 104.3(9) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 118.1(9) | B7-B6-H67 | 45.4(9) | H56-B6-H67 | 94.7(13) |
| F1-B6-H6 | 5.9 | B2-B6-H6 | 125.3 | B5-B6-H6 | 126.7 |
| B7-B6-H6 | 123.9 | H56-B6-H6 | 114.2 | H67-B6-H6 | 110.6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1\#1-B7- } \\ & \text { B2 } \end{aligned}$ | 60.15(11) | B1\#1-B7-B6 | 108.26(14) | B2-B7-B6 | 56.96(11) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1\#1-B7- } \\ & \text { B5\#1 } \end{aligned}$ | 55.57(11) | B2-B7-B5\#1 | 106.23(13) | B6-B7-B5\#1 | 116.42(14) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B1\#1-B7- } \\ \text { H7 } \end{gathered}$ | 121.2(11) | B2-B7-H7 | 126.2(12) | B6-B7-H7 | 121.9(13) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5\#1-B7- } \\ & \text { H7 } \end{aligned}$ | 115.9(13) | $\begin{gathered} \text { B1\#1-B7- } \\ \text { H67 } \end{gathered}$ | 127.7(9) | B2-B7-H67 | 98.8(8) |
| B6-B7-H67 | 44.1(8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5\#1-B7- } \\ & \text { H67 } \end{aligned}$ | 93.6(9) | H7-B7-H67 | 109.9(14) |
| B5-H56-B6 | 88.9(12) | B6-H67-B7 | 90.4(13) | B7'\#2-B1'-B5' | 69.15(13) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B7'\#2-B1'- } \\ \text { B2' } \end{gathered}$ | 118.25(13) | B5'-B1'-B2' | 60.96(11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7'\#2-B1'- } \\ & \text { B1'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 107.73(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5'-B1'- } \\ & \text { B1'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 107.82(13) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2'-B1'- } \\ & \text { B1'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 60.45(13) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7'\#2-B1'- } \\ & \text { B2'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 61.23(11) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5'-B1'- } \\ & \text { B2'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 118.11(13) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2'-B1'- } \\ & \text { B2'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 114.71(12) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1'\#2-B1'- } \\ & \text { B2'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 59.75(11) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B7'\#2-B1'- } \\ \text { H1' } \end{gathered}$ | 114.7(8) | B5'-B1'-H1' | 115.0(8) | B2'-B1'-H1' | 117.9(8) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B1'\#2-B1'- } \\ \text { H1' } \end{gathered}$ | 127.5(8) | $\begin{gathered} \text { B2'\#2-B1'- } \\ \text { H1' } \end{gathered}$ | 117.8(8) | B6'-B2'-B1' | 111.28(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6'-B2'- } \\ & \text { B1'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 111.02(12) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1'-B2'- } \\ & \text { B1'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 59.79(12) | B6'-B2'-B5' | 61.08(11) |
| B1'-B2'-B5' | 59.16(11) | $\begin{gathered} \text { B1'\#2-B2'- } \\ \text { B5' } \end{gathered}$ | 105.9(2) | B6'-B2'-B7' | 61.39(11) |
| B1'-B2'-B7' | 105.64(14) | $\begin{gathered} \text { B1'\#2-B2'- } \\ \text { B7' } \end{gathered}$ | 58.51(10) | B5'-B2'-B7' | 104.72(14) |
| B6'-B2'-H2' | 120.3(11) | B1'-B2'-H2' | 120.0(11) | B1'\#2-B2'-H2' | 119.9(11) |
| B5'-B2'-H2' | 124.7(11) | B7'-B2'-H2' | 124.5(10) | B1'-B5'-B6' | 108.9(2) |


| B1'-B5'-B2' | 59.89(11) | B6'-B5'-B2' | 57.56(11) | B1'-B5'-B7'\#2 | 55.27(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6'-B5'- } \\ & \text { B7'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 117.55(14) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2'-B5'- } \\ & \text { B7'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 106.04(13) | B1'-B5'-H5' | 120.8(11) |
| B6'-B5'-H5' | 120.0(13) | B2'-B5'-H5' | 123.8(12) | B7'\#2-B5'-H5' | 117.6(14) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1'-B5'- } \\ & \text { H56' } \end{aligned}$ | 127.7(9) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6'-B5'- } \\ & \text { H56' } \end{aligned}$ | 47.6(10) | B2'-B5'-H56' | 102.0(10) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7'\#2-B5'- } \\ & \text { H56' } \end{aligned}$ | 91.6(10) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H5'-B5'- } \\ & \text { H56' } \end{aligned}$ | 110.0(14) | F1'-B6'-B2' | 129.2(2) |
| F1'-B6'-B5' | 126.1(2) | B2'-B6'-B5' | 61.36(10) | F1'-B6'-B7' | 126.8(2) |
| B2'-B6'-B7' | 61.47(10) | B5'-B6'-B7' | 105.0(2) | F1'-B6'-H56' | 111.5(9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H56' } \end{aligned}$ | 102.3(9) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H56' } \end{aligned}$ | 44.0(9) | B7'-B6'-H56' | 115.6(8) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { F1'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 112.4(10) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 102.4(9) | B5'-B6'-H67' | 115.1(10) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B7'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 44.2(8) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H56'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 92.6(12) | F1'-B6'-H6' | 1.8 |
| B2'-B6'-H6' | 127.5 | B5'-B6'-H6' | 125.1 | B7'-B6'-H6' | 127.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { H56'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H6' } \end{aligned}$ | 112.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H67'-B6'- } \\ & \text { H6' } \end{aligned}$ | 114.0 | B1'\#2-B7'-B6' | 108.91(14) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B1'\#2-B7'- } \\ \text { B2' } \end{gathered}$ | 60.27(10) | B6'-B7'-B2' | 57.13(11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1'\#2-B7'- } \\ & \text { B5'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 55.58(10) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6'-B7'- } \\ & \text { B5'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 117.20(14) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2'-B7'- } \\ & \text { B5'\#2 } \end{aligned}$ | 106.35(14) | B1'\#2-B7'-H7' | 119.9(8) |
| B6'-B7'-H7' | 123.4(9) | B2'-B7'-H7' | 127.8(8) | B5'\#2-B7'-H7' | 113.3(9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1'\#2-B7'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 126.9(11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6'-B7'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 47.3(9) | B2'-B7'-H67' | 101.2(9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5'\#2-B7'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 90.8(11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H7'-B7'- } \\ & \text { H67' } \end{aligned}$ | 110.5(13) | B5'-H56'-B6' | 88.5(13) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6'-H67'- } \\ & \text { B7' } \end{aligned}$ | 88.5(12) |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.4. Bond lengths for 2 .

| C11-B6 | $1.764(2)$ | B1-B10 | $1.747(2)$ | B1-B5 | $1.757(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B3 | $1.774(2)$ | B1-B4 | $1.777(2)$ | B1-B2 | $1.785(2)$ |
| B1-H1 | $1.07(2)$ | B2-B6 | $1.723(2)$ | B2-B3 | $1.779(2)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.797(2)$ | B2-B7 | $1.803(2)$ | B2-H2 | $1.07(2)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.744(2)$ | B3-B8 | $1.750(2)$ | B3-B4 | $1.774(2)$ |
| B3-H3 | $1.07(2)$ | B4-B9 | $1.729(2)$ | B4-B8 | $1.791(2)$ |
| B4-B10 | $1.791(2)$ | B4-H4 | $1.07(2)$ | B5-B6 | $1.797(2)$ |
| B5-B10 | $1.987(2)$ | B5-H5 | $1.05(2)$ | B5-H56 | $1.27(2)$ |
| B6-B7 | $1.786(2)$ | B6-H56 | $1.29(2)$ | B6-H67 | $1.26(2)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.992(2)$ | B7-H7 | $1.07(2)$ | B7-H67 | $1.20(2)$ |


| B8-B9 | $1.792(2)$ | B8-H8 | $1.04(2)$ | B8-H89 | $1.25(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B9-B10 | $1.794(2)$ | B9-H9 | $1.11(2)$ | B9-H89 | $1.29(2)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.27(2)$ | B10-H10 | $1.07(2)$ | B10-H910 | $1.30(2)$ |

Table 2.2.5. Bond angles for 2.

| B10-B1-B5 | 69.07(10) | B10-B1-B3 | 107.35(11) | B5-B1-B3 | 107.49(11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B4 | 61.08(9) | B5-B1-B4 | 118.14(11) | B3-B1-B4 | 59.93(9) |
| B10-B1-B2 | 117.81(11) | B5-B1-B2 | 60.98(9) | B3-B1-B2 | 59.97(9) |
| B4-B1-B2 | 114.47(11) | B10-B1-H1 | 115.2(10) | B5-B1-H1 | 114.6(10) |
| B3-B1-H1 | 127.9(9) | B4-B1-H1 | 118.3(10) | B2-B1-H1 | 117.8(10) |
| B6-B2-B3 | 109.78(11) | B6-B2-B1 | 110.48(11) | B3-B2-B1 | 59.71(9) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 61.34(9) | B3-B2-B5 | 105.55(11) | B1-B2-B5 | 58.74(9) |
| B6-B2-B7 | 60.81(9) | B3-B2-B7 | 58.29(9) | B1-B2-B7 | 105.54(11) |
| B5-B2-B7 | 105.07(10) | B6-B2-H2 | 118.2(8) | B3-B2-H2 | 123.1(8) |
| B1-B2-H2 | 122.1(8) | B5-B2-H2 | 122.9(8) | B7-B2-H2 | 124.3(8) |
| B7-B3-B8 | 69.51(9) | B7-B3-B4 | 118.57(11) | B8-B3-B4 | 61.10(9) |
| B7-B3-B1 | 108.55(11) | B8-B3-B1 | 108.28(11) | B4-B3-B1 | 60.13(9) |
| B7-B3-B2 | 61.54(9) | B8-B3-B2 | 118.99(11) | B4-B3-B2 | 114.96(11) |
| B1-B3-B2 | 60.32(9) | B7-B3-H3 | 112.8(9) | B8-B3-H3 | 113.8(9) |
| B4-B3-H3 | 118.9(9) | B1-B3-H3 | 128.6(9) | B2-B3-H3 | 117.2(9) |
| B9-B4-B3 | 110.59(11) | B9-B4-B1 | 110.96(11) | B3-B4-B1 | 59.94(9) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.19(9) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.79(9) | B1-B4-B8 | 106.33(11) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 61.25(9) | B3-B4-B10 | 105.46(11) | B1-B4-B10 | 58.63(9) |
| B8-B4-B10 | 105.11(11) | B9-B4-H4 | 119.9(10) | B3-B4-H4 | 121.4(10) |
| B1-B4-H4 | 119.7(10) | B8-B4-H4 | 125.0(10) | B10-B4-H4 | 123.3(10) |
| B1-B5-B6 | 108.40(12) | B1-B5-B2 | 60.28(9) | B6-B5-B2 | 57.30(8) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 55.24(8) | B6-B5-B10 | 115.95(11) | B2-B5-B10 | 106.04(10) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 123.7(9) | B6-B5-H5 | 119.6(9) | B2-B5-H5 | 126.0(9) |
| B10-B5-H5 | 117.9(9) | B1-B5-H56 | 126.7(8) | B6-B5-H56 | 46.1(7) |
| B2-B5-H56 | 100.6(7) | B10-B5-H56 | 91.2(8) | H5-B5-H56 | 108.0(12) |
| B2-B6-Cl1 | 130.81(11) | B2-B6-B7 | 61.80(9) | C11-B6-B7 | 125.55(11) |
| B2-B6-B5 | 61.36(9) | C11-B6-B5 | 126.99(11) | B7-B6-B5 | 105.80(11) |
| B2-B6-H56 | 103.3(7) | Cl1-B6-H56 | 110.1(7) | B7-B6-H56 | 117.0(8) |
| B5-B6-H56 | 44.8(7) | B2-B6-H67 | 100.7(8) | Cl1-B6-H67 | 111.7(8) |


| B7-B6-H67 | $42.3(8)$ | B5-B6-H67 | $115.2(8)$ | H56-B6- | H67 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B3-B7-B6 | $108.48(11)$ | B3-B7-B2 | $60.17(9)$ | B6-B7-B2 | $57.39(8)$ |
| B3-B7-B8 | $55.38(8)$ | B6-B7-B8 | $116.67(10)$ | B2-B7-B8 | $106.38(10)$ |
| B3-B7-H7 | $125.3(9)$ | B6-B7-H7 | $118.7(8)$ | B2-B7-H7 | $127.3(9)$ |
| B8-B7-H7 | $117.2(8)$ | B3-B7-H67 | $124.9(8)$ | B6-B7-H67 | $45.0(8)$ |
| B2-B7-H67 | $99.0(8)$ | B8-B7-H67 | $90.8(8)$ | H7-B7-H67 | $108.3(12)$ |
| B3-B8-B4 | $60.11(9)$ | B3-B8-B9 | $108.76(11)$ | B4-B8-B9 | $57.69(9)$ |
| B3-B8-B7 | $55.11(8)$ | B4-B8-B7 | $106.05(11)$ | B9-B8-B7 | $116.68(11)$ |
| B3-B8-H8 | $122.6(8)$ | B4-B8-H8 | $127.4(8)$ | B9-B8-H8 | $121.1(8)$ |
| B7-B8-H8 | $115.5(9)$ | B3-B8-H89 | $128.9(8)$ | B4-B8-H89 | $101.4(8)$ |
| B9-B8-H89 | $46.0(8)$ | B7-B8-H89 | $93.4(8)$ | H8-B8-H89 | $106.6(11)$ |
| B4-B9-B8 | $61.12(9)$ | B4-B9-B10 | $61.09(9)$ | B8-B9-B10 | $104.94(11)$ |
| B4-B9-H9 | $130.6(8)$ | B8-B9-H9 | $127.7(8)$ | B10-B9-H9 | $125.4(8)$ |
| B4-B9-H89 | $103.1(8)$ | B8-B9-H89 | $44.3(8)$ | B10-B9- | $118.4(8)$ |
| H9-B9-H89 | $109.8(11)$ | B4-B9-H910 | $104.5(7)$ | B89-B9- | $117.2(7)$ |
| B10-B9-H910 | $46.3(7)$ | H9-B9-H910 | $108.1(10)$ | H89-B9- | $95.1(11)$ |
| B1-B10-B4 | $60.28(9)$ | B1-B10-B9 | $109.33(11)$ | B4-B10-B9 | $57.66(9)$ |
| B1-B10-B5 | $55.69(8)$ | B4-B10-B5 | $106.62(11)$ | B9-B10-B5 | $117.39(11)$ |
| B1-B10-H10 | $123.7(9)$ | B4-B10-H10 | $125.6(9)$ | B9-B10- | $118.1(10)$ |
| B5-B10-H10 | $117.8(10)$ | B1-B10-H910 | $128.2(7)$ | H40 | H910 |
| B9-B10-H910 | $45.0(7)$ | B5-B10-H910 | $93.2(7)$ | H10-B10- | $100.0(7)$ |
| B910 | H970 | $107.0(11)$ |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.6. Bond lengths for 3 .

| Br1-B6 | $1.929(4)$ | B1-B5 | $1.754(5)$ | B1-B10 | $1.763(6)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1-B3 | $1.772(6)$ | B1-B2 | $1.783(5)$ | B1-B4 | $1.787(5)$ |
| B1-H1 | $1.14(4)$ | B2-B6 | $1.710(5)$ | B2-B3 | $1.782(5)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.785(5)$ | B2-B7 | $1.794(5)$ | B2-H2 | $1.08(4)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.739(5)$ | B3-B8 | $1.746(5)$ | B3-B4 | $1.769(6)$ |
| B3-H3 | $0.97(4)$ | B4-B9 | $1.716(6)$ | B4-B8 | $1.783(5)$ |
| B4-B10 | $1.807(6)$ | B4-H4 | $1.08(4)$ | B5-B6 | $1.789(5)$ |
| B5-B10 | $1.994(6)$ | B5-H5 | $1.09(5)$ | B5-H56 | $1.26(4)$ |


| B6-B7 | $1.783(5)$ | B6-H56 | $1.34(4)$ | B6-H67 | $1.20(4)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B7-B8 | $2.000(5)$ | B7-H7 | $0.96(5)$ | B7-H67 | $1.21(5)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.792(5)$ | B8-H8 | $1.00(4)$ | B8-H89 | $1.12(5)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.795(5)$ | B9-H9 | $1.07(4)$ | B9-H89 | $1.25(5)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.28(3)$ | B10-H10 | $1.11(4)$ | B10- | $1.43(4)$ |

Table 2.2.7. Bond angles for 3 .


| B1-B5-H5 | 123(2) | B2-B5-H5 | 121(2) | B6-B5-H5 | 117(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B5-H5 | 122(2) | B1-B5-H56 | 128(2) | B2-B5-H56 | 103(2) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 48(2) | B10-B5-H56 | 90(2) | H5-B5-H56 | 108(3) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 61.8(2) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.3(2) | B7-B6-B5 | 106.1(3 |
| B2-B6-Br 1 | 130.7(2) | B7-B6-Br1 | $125.2(2$ | B5-B6-Br1 | $126.9(2$ |
| B2-B6-H56 | 103(2) | B7-B6-H56 | 118(2) | B5-B6-H56 | 44(2) |
| Br1-B6-H56 | 110(2) | B2-B6-H67 | 101(2) | B7-B6-H67 | 43(2) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 116(2) | Br1-B6-H67 | 111(2) | H56-B6-H67 | 95(3) |
| B3-B7-B6 | 108.4(3) | B3-B7-B2 | 60.6(2) | B6-B7-B2 | 57.1(2) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.1(2) | B6-B7-B8 | $116.4(3$ | B2-B7-B8 | $106.5(2$ |
| B3-B7-H7 | 126(3) | B6-B7-H7 | 118(2) | B2-B7-H7 | 127(2) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 118(2) | B3-B7-H67 | 125(2) | B6-B7-H67 | 42(2) |
| B2-B7-H67 | 96(2) | B8-B7-H67 | 93(2) | H7-B7-H67 | 109(4) |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.2(2) | B3-B8-B9 | 108.4(3 | B4-B8-B9 | 57.4(2) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 54.8(2) | B4-B8-B7 | 105.9(3 | B9-B8-B7 | $116.5(3$ |
| B3-B8-H8 | 127(2) | B4-B8-H8 | 125(2) | B9-B8-H8 | 116(2) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 121(2) | B3-B8-H89 | 130(3) | B4-B8-H89 | 100(3) |
| B9-B8-H89 | 44(3) | B7-B8-H89 | 96(3) | H8-B8-H89 | 103(3) |
| B4-B9-B8 | 61.1(2) | B4-B9-B10 | 61.9(2) | B8-B9-B10 | 105.7(3 |
| B4-B9-H9 | 132(2) | B8-B9-H9 | 125(2) | B10-B9-H9 | 127(2) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 98(2) | B8-B9-H89 | 38(2) | B10-B9-H89 | 119(2) |
| H9-B9-H89 | 109(3) | B4-B9-H910 | 110(2) | B8-B9-H910 | 116(2) |
| B10-B9-H910 | 52(2) | H9-B9-H910 | 106(3) | H89-B9-H910 | 95(3) |
| B1-B10-B9 | 108.5(3) | B1-B10-B4 | 60.1(2) | B9-B10-B4 | 56.9(2) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 55.2(2) | B9-B10-B5 | $117.1(3$ | B4-B10-B5 | $105.9(3$ |
| B1-B10-H10 | 124(2) | B9-B10-H10 | 120(2) | B4-B10-H10 | 128(2) |
| B5-B10-H10 | 116(2) | B1-B10-H910 | 126(2) | B9-B10-H910 | 45.1(14 |
| B4-B10-H910 | 99(2) | B5-B10-H910 | $\begin{gathered} 92.5(13 \\ ) \end{gathered}$ | H10-B10-H910 | 108(3) |

Table 2.2.8. Bond lengths for 4.

| $\mathrm{I} 1-\mathrm{B} 6$ | $2.143(3)$ | $\mathrm{B} 1-\mathrm{B} 5$ | $1.749(5)$ | B1-B10 | $1.756(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| B1-B3 | $1.773(5)$ | B1-B4 | $1.774(5)$ | B1-B2 | $1.780(5)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-H1 | $1.07(4)$ | B2-B6 | $1.723(4)$ | B2-B3 | $1.785(5)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.791(5)$ | B2-B7 | $1.796(5)$ | B2-H2 | $1.06(4)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.755(5)$ | B3-B8 | $1.759(5)$ | B3-B4 | $1.774(5)$ |
| B3-H3 | $1.11(3)$ | B4-B9 | $1.722(5)$ | B4-B8 | $1.789(5)$ |
| B4-B10 | $1.790(5)$ | B4-H4 | $1.08(4)$ | B5-B6 | $1.789(5)$ |
| B5-B10 | $1.981(5)$ | B5-H5 | $1.06(4)$ | B5-H56 | $1.26(3)$ |
| B6-B7 | $1.791(5)$ | B6-H56 | $1.33(4)$ | B6-H67 | $1.29(3)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.984(5)$ | B7-H7 | $1.05(4)$ | B7-H67 | $1.26(3)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.791(5)$ | B8-H8 | $1.09(3)$ | B8-H89 | $1.19(4)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.793(5)$ | B9-H13 | $1.06(4)$ | B9-H89 | $1.25(5)$ |
| B9- | $1.29(4)$ | B10-H10 | $1.10(4)$ | B10- | $1.21(4)$ |
| H910 |  |  | H910 |  |  |

Table 2.2.9. Bond angles for 4 .

| B5-B1-B10 | $68.8(2)$ | B5-B1-B3 | $108.0(2)$ | B10-B1-B3 | $108.0(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5-B1-B4 | $117.6(2)$ | B10-B1-B4 | $60.9(2)$ | B3-B1-B4 | $60.0(2)$ |
| B5-B1-B2 | $61.0(2)$ | B10-B1-B2 | $117.9(2)$ | B3-B1-B2 | $60.3(2)$ |
| B4-B1-B2 | $114.4(2)$ | B5-B1-H1 | $117(2)$ | B10-B1-H1 | $116(2)$ |
| B3-B1-H1 | $126(2)$ | B4-B1-H1 | $117(2)$ | B2-B1-H1 | $118(2)$ |
| B6-B2-B1 | $110.4(2)$ | B6-B2-B3 | $110.4(2)$ | B1-B2-B3 | $59.6(2)$ |
| B6-B2-B5 | $61.2(2)$ | B1-B2-B5 | $58.6(2)$ | B3-B2-B5 | $105.6(2)$ |
| B6-B2-B7 | $61.2(2)$ | B1-B2-B7 | $105.7(2)$ | B3-B2-B7 | $58.7(2)$ |
| B5-B2-B7 | $105.2(2)$ | B6-B2-H2 | $119(2)$ | B1-B2-H2 | $123(2)$ |
| B3-B2-H2 | $121(2)$ | B5-B2-H2 | $126(2)$ | B7-B2-H2 | $122(2)$ |
| B7-B3-B8 | $68.7(2)$ | B7-B3-B4 | $117.6(2)$ | B8-B3-B4 | $60.8(2)$ |
| B7-B3-B1 | $107.8(2)$ | B8-B3-B1 | $107.6(2)$ | B4-B3-B1 | $60.0(2)$ |
| B7-B3-B2 | $61.0(2)$ | B8-B3-B2 | $117.4(2)$ | B4-B3-B2 | $114.2(2)$ |
| B1-B3-B2 | $60.0(2)$ | B7-B3-H3 | $114(2)$ | B8-B3-H3 | $114(2)$ |
| B4-B3-H3 | $118(2)$ | B1-B3-H3 | $128(2)$ | B2-B3-H3 | $119(2)$ |
| B9-B4-B3 | $111.5(2)$ | B9-B4-B1 | $111.3(2)$ | B3-B4-B1 | $59.9(2)$ |
| B9-B4-B8 | $61.3(2)$ | B3-B4-B8 | $59.2(2)$ | B1-B4-B8 | $106.2(2)$ |
| B9-B4-B10 | $61.4(2)$ | B3-B4-B10 | $106.4(2)$ | B1-B4-B10 | $59.0(2)$ |
| B8-B4-B10 | $105.3(2)$ | B9-B4-H4 | $116(2)$ | B3-B4-H4 | $120(2)$ |


| B1-B4-H4 | 126(2) | B8-B4-H4 | 119(2) | B10-B4-H4 | 127(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B5-B2 | 60.4(2) | B1-B5-B6 | 108.8(2) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.5(2) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 55.8(2) | B2-B5-B10 | 106.7(2) | B6-B5-B10 | 116.5(2) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 125(2) | B2-B5-H5 | 126(2) | B6-B5-H5 | 118(2) |
| B10-B5-H5 | 118(2) | B1-B5-H56 | 127(2) | B2-B5-H56 | 102(2) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 48(2) | B10-B5-H56 | 90(2) | H5-B5-H56 | 106(3) |
| B2-B6-B5 | 61.3(2) | B2-B6-B7 | 61.4(2) | B5-B6-B7 | 105.5(2) |
| B2-B6-I1 | 128.7(2) | B5-B6-I1 | 125.4(2) | B7-B6-I1 | 126.6(2) |
| B2-B6-H56 | 103(2) | B5-B6-H56 | 44.7(14) | B7-B6-H56 | 117(2) |
| I1-B6-H56 | 111(2) | B2-B6-H67 | 103(2) | B5-B6-H67 | 116(2) |
| B7-B6-H67 | 45(2) | I1-B6-H67 | 112(2) | H56-B6-H67 | 93(2) |
| B3-B7-B6 | 108.7(2) | B3-B7-B2 | 60.4(2) | B6-B7-B2 | 57.4(2) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.7(2) | B6-B7-B8 | 115.9(2) | B2-B7-B8 | 106.4(2) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 121(3) | B6-B7-H7 | 123(3) | B2-B7-H7 | 128(3) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 114(2) | B3-B7-H67 | 126(2) | B6-B7-H67 | 46(2) |
| B2-B7-H67 | 101(2) | B8-B7-H67 | 90(2) | H7-B7-H67 | 110(3) |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.0(2) | B3-B8-B9 | 109.0(2) | B4-B8-B9 | 57.5(2) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.5(2) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.2(2) | B9-B8-B7 | 117.2(2) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 124(2) | B4-B8-H8 | 127(2) | B9-B8-H8 | 119(2) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 117(2) | B3-B8-H89 | 132(2) | B4-B8-H89 | 100(2) |
| B9-B8-H89 | 44(2) | B7-B8-H89 | 97(2) | H8-B8-H89 | 103(3) |
| B4-B9-B8 | 61.2(2) | B4-B9-B10 | 61.2(2) | B8-B9-B10 | 105.2(2) |
| B4-B9-H13 | 132(2) | B8-B9-H13 | 123(2) | B10-B9-H13 | 130(2) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 101(2) | B8-B9-H89 | 42(2) | B10-B9-H89 | 121(2) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { H13-B9- } \\ \text { H89 } \end{gathered}$ | 104(3) | B4-B9-H910 | 101(2) | B8-B9-H910 | 118(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B10-B9- } \\ & \text { H910 } \end{aligned}$ | 42(2) | H13-B9-H910 | 113(2) | H89-B9-H910 | 101(3) |
| B1-B10-B4 | 60.0(2) | B1-B10-B9 | 108.8(2) | B4-B10-B9 | 57.4(2) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 55.4(2) | B4-B10-B5 | 105.9(2) | B9-B10-B5 | 116.7(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1-B10- } \\ & \text { H10 } \end{aligned}$ | 122(2) | B4-B10-H10 | 127(2) | B9-B10-H10 | 121(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5-B10- } \\ & \text { H10 } \end{aligned}$ | 116(2) | B1-B10-H910 | 129(2) | B4-B10-H910 | 101(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B9-B10- } \\ & \text { H910 } \end{aligned}$ | 46(2) | B5-B10-H910 | 93(2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H10-B10- } \\ & \text { H910 } \end{aligned}$ | 108(3) |
| B5-H56-B6 | 87(2) | B6-H67-B7 | 89(2) | B8-H89-B9 | 95(3) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B9-H910- } \\ \text { B10 } \end{gathered}$ | 91(2) |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.2.10 Bond lengths for 6 .

| I1-B6 | $2.156(2)$ | B1-B5 | $1.749(3)$ | B1-B10 | $1.755(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B4 | $1.782(3)$ | B1-B3 | $1.785(3)$ | B1-B2 | $1.789(3)$ |
| B1-H1 | $1.03(2)$ | B2-B6 | $1.720(3)$ | B2-B5 | $1.785(3)$ |
| B2-B3 | $1.788(3)$ | B2-B7 | $1.790(3)$ | B2-H2 | $1.09(2)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.749(3)$ | B3-B8 | $1.764(3)$ | B3-B4 | $1.782(3)$ |
| B3-H3 | $1.07(2)$ | B4-B9 | $1.743(3)$ | B4-B8 | $1.793(3)$ |
| B4-B10 | $1.797(3)$ | B4-H4 | $1.09(2)$ | B5-B6 | $1.794(3)$ |
| B5-B10 | $1.978(3)$ | B5-H5 | $1.09(2)$ | B5-H56 | $1.29(2)$ |
| B6-B7 | $1.786(3)$ | B6-H56 | $1.34(2)$ | B6-H67 | $1.26(3)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.990(3)$ | B7-H7 | $1.07(2)$ | B7-H67 | $1.26(3)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.796(3)$ | B8-H8 | $1.04(2)$ | B8-H89 | $1.27(2)$ |
| B9-C11 | $1.581(3)$ | B9-B10 | $1.815(3)$ | B9-H89 | $1.32(2)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.34(2)$ | B10-H10 | $1.03(2)$ | B10-H910 | $1.25(2)$ |
| C11-C12 | $1.523(3)$ | C11-H11a | $0.97(2)$ | C11-H11b | $0.87(3)$ |
| C12-H12a | $0.94(4)$ | C12-H12b | $0.95(4)$ | C12-H12c | $0.89(3)$ |

Table 2.2.11. Bond angles for 6 .

| B5-B1-B10 | $68.73(13)$ | B5-B1-B4 | $117.4(2)$ | B10-B1-B4 | $61.04(13)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5-B1-B3 | $107.3(2)$ | B10-B1-B3 | $107.6(2)$ | B4-B1-B3 | $59.94(13)$ |
| B5-B1-B2 | $60.60(12)$ | B10-B1-B2 | $117.4(2)$ | B4-B1-B2 | $114.1(2)$ |
| B3-B1-B2 | $60.02(13)$ | B5-B1-H1 | $112.0(13)$ | B10-B1-H1 | $117.0(12)$ |
| B4-B1-H1 | $122.6(13)$ | B3-B1-H1 | $128.4(13)$ | B2-B1-H1 | $114.5(12)$ |
| B6-B2-B5 | $61.52(12)$ | B6-B2-B1 | $110.8(2)$ | B5-B2-B1 | $58.59(12)$ |
| B6-B2-B3 | $110.2(2)$ | B5-B2-B3 | $105.6(2)$ | B1-B2-B3 | $59.89(13)$ |
| B6-B2-B7 | $61.15(13)$ | B5-B2-B7 | $105.5(2)$ | B1-B2-B7 | $106.1(2)$ |
| B3-B2-B7 | $58.54(12)$ | B6-B2-H2 | $117.5(13)$ | B5-B2-H2 | $123.9(13)$ |
| B1-B2-H2 | $122.8(13)$ | B3-B2-H2 | $122.6(12)$ | B7-B2-H2 | $122.7(13)$ |
| B7-B3-B8 | $69.01(14)$ | B7-B3-B4 | $118.2(2)$ | B8-B3-B4 | $60.74(12)$ |
| B7-B3-B1 | $108.0(2)$ | B8-B3-B1 | $107.1(2)$ | B4-B3-B1 | $59.94(13)$ |
| B7-B3-B2 | $60.80(13)$ | B8-B3-B2 | $116.9(2)$ | B4-B3-B2 | $114.2(2)$ |


| B1-B3-B2 | 60.09(13) | B7-B3-H3 | 113.7(10) | B8-B3-H3 | 118.3(12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B4-B3-H3 | 120.3(11) | B1-B3-H3 | 126.4(11) | B2-B3-H3 | 115.5(12) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 111.8(2) | B9-B4-B3 | 111.8(2) | B1-B4-B3 | 60.11(13) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.01(12) | B1-B4-B8 | 105.9(2) | B3-B4-B8 | 59.13(13) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 61.65(12) | B1-B4-B10 | 58.74(13) | B3-B4-B10 | 106.0(2) |
| B8-B4-B10 | 104.3(2) | B9-B4-H4 | 119.1(11) | B1-B4-H4 | 117.8(11) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 122.3(11) | B8-B4-H4 | 128.6(11) | B10-B4-H4 | 120.6(11) |
| B1-B5-B2 | 60.81(13) | B1-B5-B6 | 109.3(2) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.46(12) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 55.80(12) | B2-B5-B10 | 107.1(2) | B6-B5-B10 | 116.7(2) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 124.5(12) | B2-B5-H5 | 124.3(12) | B6-B5-H5 | 117.0(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B10-B5- } \\ & \text { H5 } \end{aligned}$ | 119.4(12) | B1-B5-H56 | 130.2(10) | B2-B5-H56 | 103.7(10) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B6-B5- } \\ & \text { H56 } \end{aligned}$ | 48.4(10) | B10-B5-H56 | 91.9(10) | H5-B5-H56 | 104(2) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 61.35(13) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.02(12) | B7-B6-B5 | 105.3(2) |
| B2-B6-I1 | 129.57(14) | B7-B6-I1 | 126.64(13) | B5-B6-I1 | 125.82(14) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2-B6- } \\ & \text { H56 } \end{aligned}$ | 104.6(9) | B7-B6-H56 | 119.5(10) | B5-B6-H56 | 45.7(9) |
| I1-B6-H56 | 107.7(10) | B2-B6-H67 | 103.3(11) | B7-B6-H67 | 44.7(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5-B6- } \\ & \text { H67 } \end{aligned}$ | 117.5(8) | I1-B6-H67 | 110.5(9) | H56-B6-H67 | 96.1(14) |
| B3-B7-B6 | 109.0(2) | B3-B7-B2 | 60.66(13) | B6-B7-B2 | 57.51(13) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.85(12) | B6-B7-B8 | 115.1(2) | B2-B7-B8 | 106.28(14) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 122.6(12) | B6-B7-H7 | 121.2(12) | B2-B7-H7 | 127.6(12) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 116.3(12) | B3-B7-H67 | 127.2(8) | B6-B7-H67 | 44.9(12) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B2-B7- } \\ & \text { H67 } \end{aligned}$ | 99.8(11) | B8-B7-H67 | 91.0(11) | H7-B7-H67 | 108.3(14) |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.13(12) | B3-B8-B9 | 110.2(2) | B4-B8-B9 | 58.13(12) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.14(12) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.3(2) | B9-B8-B7 | 119.1(2) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 124.7(13) | B4-B8-H8 | 127.0(12) | B9-B8-H8 | 116.9(12) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 116.8(12) | B3-B8-H89 | 128.3(9) | B4-B8-H89 | 102.0(9) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B9-B8- } \\ & \text { H89 } \end{aligned}$ | 47.3(9) | B7-B8-H89 | 92.5(9) | H8-B8-H89 | 105(2) |
| C11-B9-B4 | 131.8(2) | C11-B9-B8 | 127.2(2) | B4-B9-B8 | 60.86(13) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11-B9- } \\ & \text { B10 } \end{aligned}$ | 127.9(2) | B4-B9-B10 | 60.63(13) | B8-B9-B10 | 103.5(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11-B9- } \\ & \text { H89 } \end{aligned}$ | 110.5(9) | B4-B9-H89 | 102.6(9) | B8-B9-H89 | 45.1(9) |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { B10-B9- } \\ \text { H89 } \end{gathered}$ | 114.0(9) | C11-B9-H910 | 114.7(10) | B4-B9-H910 | 99.6(10) |
| B8-B9- | 110.8(10) | B10-B9-H910 | 43.4(10) | H89-B9- | 88.8(14) |


| H910 |  | H910 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B10-B4 | 60.21(13) | B1-B10-B9 | 109.8(2) | B4-B10-B9 | 57.72(12) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 55.48(12) | B4-B10-B5 | 106.01(14) | B9-B10-B5 | 117.6(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1-B10- } \\ & \text { H10 } \end{aligned}$ | 121.1(11) | B4-B10-H10 | 129.0(12) | B9-B10-H10 | 122.0(11) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B5-B10- } \\ & \text { H10 } \end{aligned}$ | 113.5(12) | B1-B10-H910 | 124.6(11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B4-B10- } \\ & \text { H910 } \end{aligned}$ | 100.7(11) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { B9-B10- } \\ & \text { H910 } \end{aligned}$ | 47.5(11) | B5-B10-H910 | 88.5(11) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H10-B10- } \\ & \text { H910 } \end{aligned}$ | 111(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C12-C11- } \\ & \text { B9 } \end{aligned}$ | 113.8(2) | C12-C11- <br> H11a | 110.4(13) | B9-C11- <br> H11a | 106.3(14) |
| C12-C11- <br> H11b | 108(2) | B9-C11-H11b | 109(2) | H11a-C11- <br> H11b | 110(2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11-C12- } \\ & \text { H12a } \end{aligned}$ | 108(2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { C11-C12- } \\ & \text { H12b } \end{aligned}$ | 120(2) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 12- \\ & \mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{~b} \end{aligned}$ | 100(3) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{C} 11-\mathrm{C} 12- \\ & \mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{c} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 112(2) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{C} 12- \\ & \mathrm{H} 12 \mathrm{c} \end{aligned}$ | 111(3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { H12b-C12- } \\ & \text { H12c } \end{aligned}$ | 105(3) |

Computational Methods. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 package. ${ }^{18}$ The optimized ground-state, transition-state and intermediate geometries and both the electronic and free energy values were obtained at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level without constraints for all H, C, B and F atoms. The NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level using the GIAO option within Gaussian 03 and are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ using an absolute shielding constant of 102.24 ppm . Harmonic vibrational analyses were carried out on the optimized geometries at the same level to establish the nature of stationary points. The optimized Cartesian coordinates for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{+}$are given in Tables 2.2.12 and 2.2.13.

Table 2.2.12. DFT optimized Cartesian coordinates for $\mathbf{1}$ (B3LYP/6-311G(d))

| Atom | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | 2.9444 | -0.0000 | -0.6604 |
| B1 | -0.6780 | -0.8914 | 1.1181 |
| B2 | 0.8547 | 0.0000 | 1.2077 |
| B3 | -0.6780 | 0.8914 | 1.1181 |
| B4 | -2.0111 | 0.0000 | 0.3360 |
| B5 | 0.6614 | -1.4320 | 0.1252 |
| B6 | 1.6528 | 0.0000 | -0.3095 |
| B7 | 0.6614 | 1.4320 | 0.1252 |
| B8 | -1.2521 | -0.0000 | -0.4449 |
| B9 | -1.8305 | -1.4233 | -1.3748 |
| B10 | -1.2521 | -1.6243 | -0.4448 |
| H1 | -0.9369 | -0.0000 | 2.0136 |
| H2 | 1.5031 | 1.6243 | 2.1985 |
| H3 | -0.9369 | 0.0000 | 2.0135 |
| H4 | -3.0895 | -2.4738 | 0.8239 |
| H5 | 1.2049 | 2.4738 | 0.2599 |
| H7 | 1.2050 | 2.4765 | 0.2599 |
| H8 | -1.7547 | -0.0000 | -0.6379 |
| H9 | -2.6492 | -2.4765 | -2.2278 |
| H10 | -1.7547 | -0.9802 | -0.6378 |
| H56 | 0.9978 | 0.9802 | -1.0415 |
| H67 | 0.9978 | 0.9667 | -1.0415 |
| H89 | -0.9642 | -0.9667 | -1.6604 |
| H910 | -0.9643 | -1.6604 |  |

Table 2.2.13. DFT optimized Cartesian coordinates for Hawthorne's cationic intermediate $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{+}$(B3LYP/6-311G(d))

| Atom | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| B1 | 1.653692 | 0.000000 | 0.471572 |
| B2 | -1.329305 | 0.000000 | 0.851122 |
| B3 | 0.183546 | -0.896345 | 1.050930 |
| B4 | 0.183546 | 0.896345 | 1.050930 |
| B5 | 1.039811 | 1.500860 | -0.358656 |
| B6 | -0.978888 | 1.435661 | -0.159800 |
| B7 | -0.978888 | -1.435660 | -0.159800 |
| B8 | 1.039811 | -1.500860 | -0.358656 |
| B9 | -1.859634 | 0.000000 | -0.794337 |
| B10 | 1.409101 | 0.000000 | -1.146328 |
| H11 | -1.165187 | -0.993915 | -1.387385 |
| H12 | -1.165187 | 0.993914 | -1.387385 |
| H13 | 0.969910 | 1.001196 | -1.764552 |
| H14 | 0.969909 | -1.001197 | -1.764551 |
| H15 | -2.929957 | 0.000000 | -1.287042 |
| H16 | -1.505976 | 2.489498 | -0.123991 |
| H17 | -1.505976 | -2.489498 | -0.123991 |
| H18 | -2.096258 | 0.000000 | 1.751406 |
| H19 | 1.612064 | 2.514520 | -0.527383 |
| H20 | 1.612064 | -2.514521 | -0.527383 |
| H21 | 2.701979 | 0.000000 | 1.023006 |
| H22 | 0.344332 | -1.659487 | 1.942181 |
| H23 | 0.344332 | 1.659487 | 1.942181 |

### 2.3 Results and Discussion

### 2.3.1 Super-acidic Ionic Liquid Synthesis and Characterization of 6-X-B $\mathbf{1 0}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}$

Acid-induced opening of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ was first achieved by the reaction of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with HCl in the presence of $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ to produce 6,9-( $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{19}$ Of more interest was Hawthorne's report that closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salts could be opened to selectively form 6-R- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{R}=$ triflate, phenyl, cyclohexyl) compounds if treated with triflic acid (Eqs. 3 and 4). ${ }^{20}$ Similar strongly acidic conditions (conc. sulfuric acid in hexanes), were used to synthesize 6-(HO) $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ from the $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salt. ${ }^{21}$



We found that $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ was unreactive with anhydrous haloacids $(\mathrm{HCl}$, $\mathrm{HBr})$ in non-coordinating solvents such as $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ or in ionic liquids, such as 1-butyl-3methylimidazolium chloride (Bmim. Cl ), thus indicating that a higher reactivity is required to induce cage opening. It has been previously shown that an ionic liquid formed by the addition of $55 \mathrm{~mol} \% \mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ to $\mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{Cl}$ greatly enhances the acidity and the reactivity of dissolved $\mathrm{HCl}(\mathbf{E q .}$ 5) through the Cl -scavenging action of the Lewisacidic $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{7}{ }^{-}$anion. ${ }^{22}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{7}^{-}+\mathrm{HCl} \longrightarrow 2 \mathrm{AlCl}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Treatment of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with hydrogen halides in such an ionic liquid mixture yielded the cage-opened compounds nido-6-X- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. The products were selectively halogenated at B6 (Eq. 6) and were stable in the highly acidic medium at temperatures below $\sim 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

In the synthesis of $\mathbf{2}, 0.20 \mathrm{~g}(1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ was added to 6.00 g ( 34.3 mmol ) of Bmim. Cl and $6.00 \mathrm{~g}(45.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$. The excess of ionic liquid, specifically the $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ component of the ionic liquid, is essential, as a buildup of $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right.$in Eq. 6) from the protonation of the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ decreases the acidity of the ionic liquid mixture. ${ }^{23}$ After the mixture was stirred at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h under flowing HCl , the excess HCl was removed in vacuo and the product was extracted out of the ionic liquid with hexanes, followed by purification via sublimation. An analogous 2 h reaction and workup of $0.75 \mathrm{~g}(4.87 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ in an $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}(15.0 \mathrm{~g}, 56.2$ $\mathrm{mmol}) / \mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{Br}(10.0 \mathrm{~g}, 45.6 \mathrm{mmol}) / \mathrm{HBr}$ (flowing) system produced 0.93 g of $(4.68$ mmol, $96 \%$ ) of 3.


The iodinated derivative (4) was initially synthesized using an $\mathrm{AlI}_{3} / \mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{I} / \mathrm{HI}$ system, but it was then found that substantially improved yields were obtained when HCl was utilized in place of HI (Eq. 7). Thus, the 2 h reaction of $0.20 \mathrm{~g}(1.30 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ in $\mathrm{AlI}_{3}(6.10 \mathrm{~g}, 1.10 \mathrm{mmol}) / \mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{I}(3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol}) / \mathrm{HCl}$ (flowing)
at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced $0.27 \mathrm{~g}(1.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$ of 4 . The reaction of HCl with $\mathrm{AlI}_{3}$ should produce $\mathrm{H}^{+}$and nucleophilic complex anions, e.g. $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-22,23}$ Owing to the stronger $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{Cl}$ versus $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{I}$ bonds in these anions, cage-iodation should be favored and, indeed, no formation of $6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ was experimentally observed in this reaction.


The NMR and IR spectra of the isolated products 2-4 match literature values, as do their melting points. ${ }^{5,7}$ As shown in the ORTEP drawings in Figures 2.3.1-2.3.3, crystallographic determinations of compounds 2-4 confirmed their previously proposed structures, where the halogens are bonded at the terminal-position of the B6 on the decaborane open-face. The B-B intracage bond lengths in these compounds do not significantly vary between the three halogenated cages. The observed B-X bond lengths $2(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Cl}, 1.764(2) \AA), 3(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{Br}, 1.929(4) \AA$ ) and $4(\mathrm{~B}-\mathrm{I}, 2.143(3) \mathrm{A})$ are consistent with those found in other halo-polyboranes and indicate significant multiple bond character.

Table 2.3.1 shows a comparison of the B-X bond lengths in 2-4 with B-X bond lengths in $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ compounds, where significant $\pi$-backbonding is known to exist, and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds on Lewis acid-base adducts which lack the unhybridized p-orbital necessary for backbonding. As expected, the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds on $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized boron atoms are shorter than those on $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized boron atoms. The B-X bonds in compounds 2-4 are intermediate between the two extremes, but in each case much more closely resemble the lengths in $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized compounds, suggesting strong X to $\mathrm{B}, \pi$-backbonding.


Figure 2.3.1. The crystallographically determined structure of 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B6-Cl, 1.764(2); B5-B6, 1.797(2); B6-B7, 1.786(2) ; B7-B8, 1.992(2) ; B8-B9, 1.792(2) ; B9-B10, 1.794(2); B10-B5, 1.987(2): B6-B2, 1.723(2); B9B4, 1.729(2); Cl-B6-B2, 130.81(11); B7-B6-B5, 105.80(11); B8-B9-B10, 104.94(11).


Figure 2.3.2. The crystallographically determined structure of $\mathbf{3}$. Selected bond lengths (Å) and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right):$ B6-Br, 1.929(4); B5-B6, 1.789(5); B6-B7, 1.783(5); B7-B8, 2.000(5); B8-B9, 1.792(5); B9-B10, 1.795(5); B10-B5, 1.994(6); B6-B2, 1.710(5); B9B4, 1.716(6); Br-B6-B2, 130.7(2); B7-B6-B5, 106.1(3); B8-B9-B10, 105.7(3).


Figure 2.3.3. The crystallographically determined structure of 4 . Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B6-I, 2.143(3); B5-B6, 1.789(5); B6-B7, 1.791(5); B7-B8, 1.984(5); B8-B9, 1.791(5); B9-B10, 1.793(5); B10-B5, 1.981(5); B6-B2, 1.723(4); B9B4, 1.722(5); I-B6-B2, 128.7(2); B7-B6-B5, 105.5(2); B8-B9-B10, 105.2(2).

Table 2.3.1. A comparison of boron-halogen bond lengths for compounds $\mathbf{2 - 4}$ with $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$ hybridized $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds. For: $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{NH}_{3} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{E}=\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{n} \text {-propyl) })_{3} ; \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{E}$ $=\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)_{3}$.

|  | Cl | Br | I |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $6-\mathrm{X}^{-} \mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $1.764(2)$ | $1.929(4)$ | $2.143(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ | $1.75(2)^{24}$ | $1.8985(5)^{25}$ | $2.1251(3)^{26}$ |
| $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ | $1.837(\mathrm{avg})^{27}$ | $2.009(3)^{28}$ | $2.228(\mathrm{avg})^{29}$ |

### 2.3.2 Triflic-acid Based Synthesis and Characterization of 6-X-B $\mathbf{1 0}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}$

The previously unknown final compound of the series, $6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{1})$, could not be synthesized by a similar ionic liquid route, as the combination of $\mathrm{AlF}_{3}, \mathrm{BmimF}$ and HF does not form a superacidic ionic liquid. Instead, the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$ was achieved by the dropwise addition of triflic acid ( $0.69 \mathrm{~mL}, 7.76 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to a rapidly stirred suspension of $0.30 \mathrm{~g}(1.94 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ and 1-fluoropentane $(0.44 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.88 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 25 mL of pentane, followed by 3 h reaction at room temperature. Filtration of the reaction mixture, solvent evaporation at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and sublimation of the remaining residue 1 in $77 \%$ yield.

The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ (Figure 2.3.4) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{1}$ exhibit the characteristic patterns observed for 2-4. Specifically, the ${ }^{11}$ B spectrum resembles the 1:1:2:2:2:1:1 peak pattern seen in the spectrum of $\mathbf{2}$. The low field shift ( 20.7 ppm ) of the singlet resonance of the fluoride-substituted B6-boron is consistent with the trend observed in 24 where the B6 resonance shifts to progressively lower field as the electronegativity of the halogen increases ( $\mathbf{2}, 18.2 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathbf{3}, 10.8 \mathrm{ppm} ; \mathbf{4},-5.6 \mathrm{ppm}) .{ }^{7}$ The DFT/GIAO calculated ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ chemical shifts for $\mathbf{1}$ are in excellent agreement with the experimental values (Figure 2.3.4, caption).


Figure 2.3.4. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra $\left(128.4 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ) of $\mathbf{1}$ (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled and (b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ decoupled. Assignments and chemical shifts (exp./calc. ppm): B6 (20.7/18.7), B9 (6.7/3.5), B1,3 (4.2/6.2), B8,10 (2.1/1.5), B5,7 (-11.3/-11.7), B2 (-35.3/-37.1), B4 (-44.2/-45.7). DFT/GIAO calculations were performed at the B3LYP/311G* level.

A single-crystal x-ray determination of a twinned crystal of 1 (Figure 2.3.5) confirmed the proposed structure, but because of disorder the bond-distances are averaged and cannot be used for comparisons. Nevertheless, the calculated value for the B-F bond length $\left(1.337 \AA\right.$ ) in the DFT optimized geometry is closer to that of $\mathrm{BF}_{3}$ $(1.313(1) \AA)^{29}$ than $\mathrm{BF}_{4}^{-}(1.386(2) \AA)^{30}$ again suggesting strong multiple bond character.

An analogous 2 h reaction of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with triflic acid in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ also gave excellent yields ( $92 \%$ ) of 2. Reactions with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ likewise produced $\mathbf{3}$ and 4, but owing to the low volatility of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{I}_{2}$ and the corresponding R-OTf byproducts, product isolation was difficult making these reactions less useful than their ionic-liquid based syntheses.
a.

b.


Figure 2.3.5. (a) An ORTEP drawing showing one of the two independent molecules in the crystallographically determined structure of 1. (b) DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) geometry of $\mathbf{1}$. Selected bond lengths $\left(\AA\right.$ A ) and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B6-F, 1.338; B5-B6, 1.795 ; B6-B7, 1.795; B7-B8, 1.997; B8-B9, 1.796; B9-B10, 1.796; B10-B5, 1.997; B6B2, 1.714; B9-B4, 1.720; F-B6-B2, 132.9; B7-B6-B5, 105.8; B8-B9-B10, 104.8.

### 2.3.3 Is Hawthorne's Cage-Opening Mechanism Valid?

When initially reporting the triflic acid-induced cage opening of closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ to nido-6-R-B $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, Hawthorne postulated that the reaction in Eq. 2 goes through a pathway wherein closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ is triply protonated to form the transient, highly electrophilic $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{+}$cationic species, with a lobe of positive-charge localized at the naked B 6 vertex (Eq. 7). ${ }^{14}$ Following the proposed mechanism, this cation can affect electrophilic substitution on aromatics, activate $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds on some alkanes, or add surrounding anions. The distinguishing, and controversial, feature of Hawthorne's proposed mechanism is the decaboranyl cation. In recent, unpublished results, Shore and Meyers reported the syntheses of closo-[1,7,9-( $\left.\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3}-\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{9}\right]^{+}$and closo-[1,2,10-( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{3^{-}}$ $\left.\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right]^{+}$both as $\mathrm{BF}_{4}{ }^{-}$salts, ${ }^{31}$ but this is the only reported case where borane cages have been shown capable of taking a positive charge. Aside from these compounds, cationic polyhedral boranes are unknown. With this in mind, if the cation is formed, it is likely very highly reactive, and able to perform energetically challenging tasks such as C-H activation.


This mechanism can be used to explain the abstraction of $\mathrm{F}^{-}$from fluoroalkanes in the synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$. The reaction of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with triflic acid in cyclohexane led to C-H activation and addition of the cyclohexyl ring to B 6 (Eq. 3), ${ }^{20}$ but when presented
with the electron-rich fluoride in 1-fluoropentane the electrophilic intermediate might activate the C-F bond, adding the electron rich fluorine at B6 (Eq. 8).


The DFT-calculated LUMO of the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{+}$cation is centered at B6 (Figure
2.3.6), positioned for regiospecific electrophilic attack, consistent with Hawthorne's mechanism. However, efforts to computationally identify relevant steps along the mechanistic pathway, from the protonated closo-structure to the cationic nido-structure, were unsuccessful.

A result inconsistent with Hawthorne's mechanism was also found in the reaction of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with triflic acid in the presence of non-fluorinated haloalkanes. When the cage-opening reaction was run using ethylbromide as solvent, a mixture of two products was formed (Eq. 9).


As expected, $\mathbf{3}$ was identified in the reaction mixture, but along with this was found $6-\mathrm{Br}-$ 9-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{5})$ with a ratio of $\sim 1: 3(\mathbf{3}: 5)$. The identity 5 was confirmed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$
(Figure 2.3.7) and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR as well as high-resolution mass spec. The 1:1:2:2:2:1:1 ratio
of peaks is seen in other 6-substituted compounds (for example: 1, Figure 2.3.4) and is indicative of the same $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}\right)$ symmetry. The existence of the two low-field singlets supports the assignment as a 6,9-asymetrically substituted decaborane compound. The same reaction run with ethyliodide as solvent yielded a $\sim 2: 3$ mixture of 3:6-I-9-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{6})$. The crystallographic determination of the strructure of $\mathbf{6}$ (Figure 2.3.8) confirmed the proposed structure.

The reaction to form compounds $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$, where non-hydrogen substituents are added to two positions of the product decaborane, is not explained by Hawthorne's mechanism. The cationic intermediate, formed through triple protonation, is unable to add 2 separate groups at vertices on opposite sides of the compound. Instead, the formation of $\mathbf{5}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ suggests a route where C-X is broken with both fragments (alkyl and halide) being added at once as part of the cage-opening process. A mechanism such as this, where the two electrons from the $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{X}$ bond are part of the closo to nido transformation not only avoids exotic cationic species, but also conforms to Wade's electron counting rules. ${ }^{32}$


Figure 2.3.6. The structure and visualized LUMO of Hawthorne's $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{+}$electrophilic intermediate. ${ }^{20}$


Figure 2.3.7. (a) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR and (b) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of $6-\mathrm{Br}-9-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(\mathbf{5})$.
Peak assignments were confirmed with ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ COSY 2D NMR.


Figure 2.3.8. An ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically determined structure of $\mathbf{6}$. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$ and bond angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B6-I, 2.156(2); B5-B6, 1.794(3); B10-B5, 1.978(3); B9-B10, 1.815(3); C11-B9, 1.581(3); B6-B2, 1.720(3); B9-B4, 1.743(3); B5B1, 1.749(3); B10-B1, 1.755(3); I-B6-B2, 129.57(14); C11-B9-B4, 131.8(2); B7-B6-B5, 105.3(2); B8-B9-B10, 103.5(2); B6-B5-B10, 116.7(2); B9-B10-B5, 117.6(2).

### 2.4. Conclusions

This chapter has reported the high yield, selective syntheses of 6-halogenated decaboranes 1-4. Easy accessibility to these molecules should now allow for extensive investigations of their chemistry and possible applications in polyborane and carborane transformations. A number of possible pathways for the functionalization of the B-X bond are possible. Likewise, the application of super-acidic ionic liquids to affect cageopening reactions, like those previously found to occur with triflic acid, indicates the generality of cage-opening reactions of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ with very strong acids. Many new decaborane derivatives are potentially available through the use of strongly acidic conditions.

The mechanism of the cage opening reaction was explored computationally but, at the current time, is still unknown. Reactions which yield products substituted with both alkyl- and halo-portions of alkylhalides cast doubt on Hawthorne's proposed mechanism, and its exotic cationic intermediate. The actual process by which closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ is protonated, before accepting the two electrons necessary to go from closo- to nido-, is an interesting problem worthy of further investigation.

With these methods for the selective synthesis of the 6-substituted compounds now in hand, the next chapter will describe their use as starting materials for the highyield syntheses of the 5-halogenated isomers.
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## Chapter 3

# Efficient Syntheses of $\mathbf{5 - X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ Halodecaboranes via the 

Photochemical<br>( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$ ) and/or Base-Catalyzed ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathbf{C l}, \mathbf{B r}, \mathrm{I}$ ) Isomerization Reactions of 6-X-B $\mathbf{1 0} \mathrm{H}_{13}$


#### Abstract

High yield syntheses of the $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 X})$ halodecaboranes have been achieved through the photochemical $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ or base-catalyzed $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ isomerization reactions of their $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 X})$ isomers. 5I was obtained in $80 \%$ isolated yield upon the UV photolysis of $\mathbf{6 I}$. Treatment of $\mathbf{6 X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ with catalytic amounts of triethylamine at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the formation of 78:22 (Cl), 82:18 (Br) and 86:14 (I) ratio 5X:6X equilibrium mixtures. The $\mathbf{5 X}$ isomers were then separated from these mixtures by selective crystallization ( Br and I ) or column chromatography $(\mathrm{Cl})$, with the supernatant mixtures in each case then subjected to another round of isomerization/separation to harvest a second crop of $\mathbf{5 X}$. The combined isolated yields of pure products after two cycles were $71 \% 5-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}, 83 \% 5-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $68 \% 5-\mathrm{I}-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. The previously proposed structures of $5-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $5-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ were crystallographically confirmed. Deprotonation of $\mathbf{6 X}$ and $\mathbf{5 X}$ with bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (PS) resulted in the formation of $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathbf{X}^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5 \mathbf{X}^{-}\right]$. DFT/GIAO calculations and crystallographic determinations of $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$confirmed bridge-deprotonation at a site adjacent to the


halogen-substituted borons. NMR studies of the $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ isomerization induced by stoichiometric amounts of PS showed that following initial deprotonation to form $6-\mathrm{Br}-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$, isomerization occurred at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to form an equilibrium mixture of $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$ and 5-Br- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$. DFT calculations also showed that the observed 5-X- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} / 6-\mathrm{X}-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ equilibrium ratios in the triethylamine-catalyzed reactions were consistent with the energetic differences of the $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$anions. These results strongly support a mechanistic pathway for the base-catalyzed $\mathbf{6 X}$ to $\mathbf{5 X}$ conversions involving the formation and subsequent isomerizations of the $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{X}^{-}$anions. While triethylamine did not catalyze the isomerization reactions of either 6- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ or 6,9-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$, it catalyzed the isomerization of 6-X-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ to 5-X-9$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ resulting from halo, but not alkyl rearrangement.

### 3.1 Introduction

Decaborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ is the most widely available neutral polyborane and is a key starting material for the production of numerous polyborane compounds having applications in fields ranging from materials to medicine. ${ }^{1,2}$ The incorporation of decaborane into a wider range of more complex molecules with tuned properties will depend upon the development of new efficient methods for its selective functionalization. Chapter 2 detailed the syntheses of $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 X})(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})^{3}$ halodecaboranes by the cage-opening reactions of closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salts. Since closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ can be prepared through the pyrolysis of borohydrides, ${ }^{4}$ rather than from the hazardous diborane pyrolysis generally employed for the synthesis of the parent decaborane, halodecaboranes
prepared by this route could prove attractive alternative starting materials for decaboranebased syntheses.

The $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 X})(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ halodecaboranes have previously ${ }^{5,6}$ been produced in low yields as mixtures with their $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ isomers. For example, the reactions of 6,9-( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ with anhydrous HCl and HBr yielded 5:95 5Cl/6Cl and 20:80 5Br/6Br mixtures in $60 \%$ and $96 \%$ yields, respectively. Separation of the minor 5-$\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ products in these mixtures was then achieved by preparative thin layer chromatography, but isolated yields of the pure products were not reported. ${ }^{6}$ An earlier paper reported $\mathbf{5 B r}$ yields of $\sim 30 \%$ following column chromatographic separation of a 43:57 ratio $\mathbf{5 B r} / \mathbf{6 B r}$ mixture generated using the same HBr reaction. ${ }^{7}$ The reaction of 6,9-( $\left.\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{~S}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ with HI gave a much more favorable, $63: 37, \mathbf{5 I} / \mathbf{6 I}$ ratio, but with only a low $16 \%$ total yield of the monoiododecaborane mixture. ${ }^{6}$ This chapter reports the simple photochemical and/or base-catalyzed isomerization reactions of $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ that provide the first efficient synthetic routes to the $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ halodecaboranes, making these chiral, functionalized boranes readily available for use in the construction of decaborane-based compounds and materials.

### 3.2 Experimental

General Synthetic Procedures and Materials. The decaborane-derivatives, 6-F-B $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ $(6 F),{ }^{3} 6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 C l}),{ }^{3} 6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 B r}),{ }^{3} 6-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 I}),{ }^{3} 6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{8}$ and 6,9-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{9}$, were prepared by the literature methods or as described in Chapter 2. Tetrabutylammonium chloride (Fluka) was azeotropically dried with toluene and stored in an inert environment. Proton Sponge (1,8-bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene,

Aldrich) was sublimed prior to use and stored away from light. Triethylamine and pentane (Fisher) were dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$ and distilled prior to use. Dichlorobenzene and chlorobenzene (Fisher) were dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, filtered and stored in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled dry box. Toluene was dried by passing through an activated alumina column prior to use. Propylamine, diisopropylethylamine, dibutylsulfide (Aldrich), triphenylphosphine, $\mathrm{PtBr}_{2}$ (Strem), and 1-hexene (Acros) were used as received. All other solvents were used as received unless noted otherwise. Silica gel (Fisher) was pretreated with acetic acid vapors and dried in vacuo as described elsewhere. ${ }^{7}$

Physical Methods. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR at 128.3 MHz and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 400.1 MHz spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 spectrometer equipped with appropriate decoupling accessories. All ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ chemical shifts are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All proton chemical shifts were measured relative to internal residual protons from the lock solvents $\left(99.9 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and then referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$. High- and low-resolution mass spectra employing chemical ionization with negative ion detection were obtained on a Micromass AutoSpec high-resolution mass spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were determined using a standard melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Ultraviolet irradiation was performed with a water-cooled 450 W medium-pressure Hanovia lamp.

## Photolytic Reactions

5-I-B $\mathbf{1 0}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}$. In a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled dry-box, $\mathbf{6 I}(30.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.12 \mathrm{mmol})$ was dissolved in dry, degassed pentane ( 3 mL ) in a 10 mL quartz tube equipped with a stirbar and Schlenk adapter. The stirred, room temperature solution was then subjected to UV-irradiation for

12 h . The solution turned slightly pink and a small amount of white precipitate appeared. Analysis by ${ }^{11}$ B NMR showed quantitative conversion to 5I. The solution was filtered, concentrated and the product recrystallized from pentane ( 2 mL ) at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give 24 mg $(0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%)$ of pure 5I. For 5I: $\mathrm{mp} 56-58{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $\left.56.5-57.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) .{ }^{6}$ The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ${ }^{6}$ and $\mathrm{IR}^{10}$ spectra of $\mathbf{5 I}$ were consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.08(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.51(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.39(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}),-1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. Photolysis of 6 Br and 6 Cl . No isomerization was observed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR when separate solutions of $\mathbf{6 B r}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{6 C l}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry, degassed pentane ( 3 mL ) were UV-irradiated for 24 h .

## Base-Catalyzed Reactions

$\mathbf{5 - I}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{( 5 I )}$. A 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a side arm and stirbar was charged with $\mathbf{6 I}(785 \mathrm{mg}, 3.16 \mathrm{mmol})$ and dry toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ under dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ on a Schlenk line. The solution was rapidly stirred while triethylamine ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) was added. The flask was sealed and the solution stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h at which point
${ }^{11}$ B NMR analysis showed $86 \%$ conversion to $\mathbf{5 I}$. The solution was cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the toluene was removed in vacuo. The addition of hexanes ( 20 mL ) to the remaining material caused the separation of a yellow oil from the hexanes layer. The yellow oil was washed 2 times with hexanes ( 10 mL ). The hexanes layers were collected, filtered and concentrated to give a yellowish solid ( 704 mg ). This solid was recrystallized twice from hexanes ( 5 mL ) at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give pure $\mathbf{5 I}(468 \mathrm{mg}, 1.89 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a pale yellow solid. The supernatant solution from the crystallization, which was shown by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis to contain a mixture of $\mathbf{5 I}$ and $\mathbf{6 I}$, was held at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and concentrated in vacuo. The
resulting yellow solid was dissolved in dry toluene ( 10 mL ) and subjected to a second isomerization by reaction with triethylamine ( $3-4 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . Workup as described above yielded a second crop of $\mathbf{5 I}(70 \mathrm{mg}, 0.28 \mathrm{mmol})$. The total yield of the pure pale yellow solid $\mathbf{5 I}$, isolated after 2 isomerizations was 538 mg ( 2.33 mmol, 68\%).

An alternative synthesis of 5I employed a combined TEA-catalyzed/photolytic method. A solution of $\mathbf{6 I}(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was reacted with triethylamine ( $8 \mu \mathrm{l}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h , and worked up as in the first step of the TEA catalyzed synthesis of 5I described above to give an initial yield of 309 mg $(1.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ of pure $\mathbf{5 I}$. The supernatant solution from the recrystallization, which was shown by ${ }^{11}$ B NMR to be a mixture of $\mathbf{6 I}$ and $\mathbf{5 I}$, was then transferred to a 50 mL quartz tube and photolyzed for 24 h . An additional crop of $\mathbf{5 I}(101 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ was then collected. The combined yield from the two-step TEA/photolytic reaction was 410 mg $(1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 82 \%)$ of pure $\mathbf{5 I}$.
$\left.\mathbf{5 - B r}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{( 5 B r}\right)$. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed $82 \%$ conversion to $\mathbf{5 B r}$ after a solution of $\mathbf{6 B r}(400 \mathrm{mg}, 2.00 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ was reacted with triethylamine ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.06 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ ) for 6 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was cooled at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the toluene was removed in vacuo. The addition of pentane $(20 \mathrm{~mL})$ caused the formation of a small amount of white precipitate. The pentane solution was filtered and concentrated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielding a clear oil. The oil was recrystallized twice from pentane $(5.0 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ yielding $\mathbf{5 B r}(232 \mathrm{mg}, 1.15 \mathrm{mmol})$ as a white solid. The supernatant solution from the recrystallization was concentrated at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The resulting solid was dissolved in dry toluene ( 10 mL ) and subjected to a second isomerization reaction with
triethylamine ( $\sim 2-3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 6 h at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Workup and recrystallization as described above yielded a second crop of $\mathbf{5 B r}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.5 \mathrm{mmol})$. The combined yield of pure $\mathbf{5 B r}, \mathrm{mp} 46-47^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. $46-48^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ), ${ }^{6}$ from the two isomerization reactions was 332 $\mathrm{mg}(1.65 \mathrm{mmol}, 83 \%)$. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}^{6}$ and $\mathrm{IR}^{10}$ spectra of $\mathbf{5 B r}$ were consistent with those previously reported. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.96(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.17(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.18(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.77(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.10(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$, $1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.
$\mathbf{5 - C l}-\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}(\mathbf{5 C l})$. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed $78 \%$ conversion to $\mathbf{5 C l}$ after a solution of $\mathbf{6 C l}(242 \mathrm{mg}, 1.57 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ was reacted with triethylamine ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ ) for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The solution was cooled at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while the toluene was removed in vacuo. After the remaining yellow oil was dissolved in a minimal amount of a $2 \%-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution, it was chromatographed on a column containing acetic-acid treated silica gel with a $2 \%-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ hexanes eluent. Fractions containing only $\mathbf{5 C l}$, as determined by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR, were collected and concentrated in vacuo at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yielding white solid $\mathbf{5 C l}(109 \mathrm{mg}, 0.71 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%)$, which then melted into a clear oil above $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Other fractions which contained $\mathbf{6 C l}$ and/or $\mathbf{5 C l} / \mathbf{6 C l}$ were combined and concentrated in vacuo at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give $100 \mathrm{mg}(0.65$ $\mathbf{m m o l}$ ) of a $\mathbf{5 C l} / \mathbf{6 C l}$ mixture. This material was subjected to a second isomerization with triethylamine $(\sim 2-3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol})$ for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Workup and chromatographic separation yielded a second crop of $\mathbf{5 C l}(62 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$. The total combined yield of $\mathbf{5 C l}$ from both isomerizations was $171 \mathrm{mg}(1.11 \mathrm{mmol}, 71 \%)$. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}^{6}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{5 C l}$ was consistent with that previously reported. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR (400.1 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 3.09(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.14$
$(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-2.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2581 (s), 1890 (w), 1558 (w), 1497 (m), 1438 (w), 1098 (w), 1043 (w), 1012 (w), 992 (w), 960 (m), 923 (s), 880 (m), 851 (m), 808 (m), 777 (m), 740 (w), 710 (m), 654 (w), 623 (w), 599 (w), 573 (w).

Attempted Base-Promoted Isomerization of $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{F}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed no evidence of isomerization after a solution of $6-\mathrm{F}^{-\mathrm{B}_{10}} \mathrm{H}_{13}(150 \mathrm{mg}, 1.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ in dry toluene ( 10 mL ) was reacted with triethylamine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 3 \%$ ) at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . Even after the solution was then stirred for 15 h at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, only trace ( $<2 \%$ ) isomerization to $5-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ was observed.

Isomerization of $6 \mathbf{I}$ with other Bases. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of separate reactions of $\mathbf{6 I}$ ( $200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in dry toluene $\left(10 \mathrm{~mL}\right.$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ showed: (a) only trace isomerization to $\mathbf{5 I}$ ( $<3 \%$ ) after reaction with dibutylsulfide ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ ) for 3 days, (b) conversion to a 60:40 5I:6I mixture after 12 h and a 85:15 5I:6I mixture after 20 h of reaction with triphenylphosphine ( $11 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ ), (c) conversion to 86:14 and 85:15 5I/6I mixtures when reacted with diisopropylethylamine ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.04$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ ) and propylamine ( $8 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.10 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ ) for 4 h , (d) conversion to a $87: 13$ 5I:6I mixture when reacted with tetrabutylammonium chloride ( $42.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.15 \mathrm{mmol}, 4$ $\mathrm{mol} \%$ ) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h.

TEA-Catalyzed Isomerization of 5I. Analysis by ${ }^{11}$ B NMR showed the formation of an 86:14 ratio 5I/6I mixture after $\mathbf{5 I}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with triethylamine ( 5 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \%)$ for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry toluene ( 15 mL ).

TEA-Catalyzed Isomerization of 5Br. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed the formation of an 83:17 ratio $\mathbf{5 B r} \mathbf{6 B r}$ mixture after $\mathbf{5 B r}(180 \mathrm{mg}, 0.90 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with triethylamine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \%$ ) for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry toluene $(15 \mathrm{~mL})$. TEA-Catalyzed Isomerization of 5Cl. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed the formation of a 78:22 ratio $\mathbf{5 C l} / \mathbf{6 C l}$ mixture after $\mathbf{5 C l}(130 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with triethylamine ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 4 \%$ ) for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dry toluene ( 10 mL ).

Isomerization of $\mathbf{6 - B r}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}{ }^{-}\left(6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right)$to $\mathbf{5 - B r}-\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}{ }^{-}\left(5 \mathrm{Br}^{-}\right)$. In an $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled dry box, $\mathbf{6 B r}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with PS ( $105 \mathrm{mg}, 0.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 4 mL of dry dichlorobenzene to form the soluble $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathbf{6 B r}^{-}\right]$salt. An aliquot of this solution was transferred to a resealable thick-walled, high-pressure NMR tube, with the isomerization of $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{B r}^{-}$to $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{B r}^{-}$then followed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR with the NMR probe heated at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. After 130 min , no further changes in the relative concentrations of the two anions were observed. The tube was opened, cooled at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and acidified with conc. $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ( 1 drop). The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum of the acidified mixture showed an $81: 19 \mathbf{5 B r} / \mathbf{6 B r}$ ratio.

## Attempted Base Isomerizations of 6-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $\mathbf{6 , 9}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed that no isomerization had occurred when separate samples of $6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.97 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $6,9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 0.69 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted in dry toluene $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h with ( $7 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.05 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ ) and ( 5 $\mu \mathrm{L}, 0.03 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ of triethylamine, respectively.

6-X-9-( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{13}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}(\mathbf{X}=\mathbf{C l}, \mathbf{I})$ Syntheses. A stirred mixture of $\mathbf{6 C l}(115 \mathrm{mg}, 0.74)$, 1hexene ( 15 mL ), and $\mathrm{PtBr}_{2}(13.0 \mathrm{mg}, 0.04 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted for 4 days at room temperature under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The 1-hexene was removed in vacuo and the resulting oil was dissolved in a minimal amount of hexanes. After purification by column chromatography
on acetic acid treated silica gel using a $5 \%-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes eluent, the eluent was removed in vacuo to give 6-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ as a light-brown oil $(97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$, $53 \%$ ). For 6-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ : HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{25}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl}$ 244.2545, found 244.2563. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.3$ (s, 1B), 16.4 (s, 1B), $6.8(\mathrm{~d}, 152$, 2B), $-1.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 100,4 \mathrm{~B}),-35.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=164,1 \mathrm{~B}),-37.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=148,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400.1 MHz, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.45(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.98(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.35(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-0.61(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}),-1.34(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2957 (m), 2926 (s), 2857 (m), 2581 (s), 1971 (vw), 1920 (vw), 1869 (vw), 1523 (w), 1466 (m), 1412 (m), 1096 (w), 1058 (w), 1036 (m), 984 (s), 913 (vw), 898 (m), 859 (vw), 828 (w), 721 (w), 703 (w), 676 (w), 594 (vw). .

An analogous reaction of $\mathbf{6 I}(300 \mathrm{mg}, 1.21 \mathrm{mmol})$, 1-hexene ( 15 mL ), and $\mathrm{PtBr}_{2}$ (24.0 mg, 0.06 mmol$)$ gave 6-I-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ as a light-brown oil ( $112 \mathrm{mg}, 0.34$ mmol, 28\%). For 6-I-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{25}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{127} \mathrm{I}$ 334.1931, found 334.1948. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 26.5$ (s, 1B), 9.4 (d, $J=147,2 \mathrm{~B}$ ), 2.4 $(\mathrm{d}, J=162,2 \mathrm{~B}),-2.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=148,2 \mathrm{~B}),-8.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}),-35.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=150,1 \mathrm{~B}),-36.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ 154, 1B). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 0.93(\mathrm{t}, J=6.6,3 \mathrm{H}),-0.76(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H), -1.54 (s, 2H). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2957 (m), 2926 ( s ), 2856 (m), 2579 (s), 1965 (vw), 1906 (vw), 1865 (vw), 1520 (w), 1463 (m), 1455 (m), 1411 (m), 1262 (vw), 1213 (vw), 1096 (w), 974 (m), 942 (w), 910 (vw), 887 (w), 814 (w), 720 (w), 698 (w), 674 (w), 581 (w).

TEA-Catalyzed Isomerizations of 6-X-9-( $\left(\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{I})$. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed $\sim 70 \%$ conversion to 5-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ after reaction of 6-Cl-9-
$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(92 \mathrm{mg}, 0.38 \mathrm{mmol})$ with triethylamine ( $3 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 0.02 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%$ ) in dry toluene ( 8 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 18 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\sim 93 \%$ conversion to 5-I-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ after reaction of 6-I-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(84 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ with triethylamine $(\sim 2 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, $0.01 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \%)$ in dry toluene ( 6 mL ) under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ for 4 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In both cases, the toluene was removed in vacuo and the remaining light-brown oil was dissolved in a minimal amount of $2 \%-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes. Chromatographic separations on acetic acid treated silica gel with $2 \%-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes elution gave $5-\mathrm{Cl}-9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(40 \mathrm{mg}$, $0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 43 \%)$ and 5-I-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.13 \mathrm{mmol}, 51 \%)$ as a light-brown oils. For 5-Cl-6- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ : HRMS $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{25}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl} 244.2545$, found 244.2655. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 27.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 11.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 11.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 110$, B), $10.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=154,1 \mathrm{~B}), 6.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=158,1 \mathrm{~B}), 0.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=145,1 \mathrm{~B}),-3.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 115,1 \mathrm{~B})$, $-4.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 125,1 \mathrm{~B}),-34.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,1 \mathrm{~B}),-37.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=159,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.80(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.58(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.01(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.59(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 1.43(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.34(\mathrm{bm}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1,3 \mathrm{H}), 0.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.00$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ), -1.59 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2957(\mathrm{~m}), 2927(\mathrm{~s}), 2857(\mathrm{~s}), 2579$ (s), 1963 (bw), 1542 (w), 1466 (m), 1414 (w), 1098 (w), 1033 (vw), 972 (w), 955 (w), $923(\mathrm{~m}), 880(\mathrm{w}), 845(\mathrm{~m}), 793(\mathrm{w}), 708(\mathrm{w})$. For 5-I-6-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ : HRMS m/z calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{25}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{127} \mathrm{I} 334.1931$, found 334.1924. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.9$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 12.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=160,1 \mathrm{~B}), 10.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 165,1 \mathrm{~B}), 9.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,1 \mathrm{~B}), 0.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $151,1 \mathrm{~B}),-0.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=144,1 \mathrm{~B}),-3.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=139,1 \mathrm{~B}),-14.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}),-33.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=156,1 \mathrm{~B})$, -37.0 (d, $J=159,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.07(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.38(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 2.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.58(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.33(\mathrm{bm}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 1.08$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}), 0.92(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4,3 \mathrm{H}),-0.28(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.03(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}),-1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$

2957 (m), 2926 (s), 2856 (m), 2579 (s), 1891 (bw), 1532 (w), 1463 (m), 1411 (w), 1378 (w), 1261 (w), 1213 (vw), 1096 (m), 1008 (w), 962 (w), 972 (w), 908 (m), 866 (w), 827 (m), 806 (w), 787 (w) 704 (m), 640 (w), 603 (w), 569 (w).

Crystallographic Data. Single crystals of 5Br and 5I were grown via slow solvent evaporation from heptane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Crystals of $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$grew from chlorobenzene solutions at $10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Collection and Reduction of the Data. Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are summarized in Table 3.2.1. X-ray intensity data for 5Br (Penn3340), 5I (Penn3334), $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right](\mathrm{Penn3358})$, and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$(Penn3359) were collected on a Rigaku R-AXIS IIC area detector employing graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Indexing was performed from a series of twelve $0.5^{\circ}$ rotation images with exposures of 30 seconds and a 36 mm crystal-to-detector distance. Oscillation images were processed using CrystalClear, ${ }^{11}$ producing a list of unaveraged $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)$ values which were then passed to the CrystalStructure ${ }^{12}$ program package for further processing and structure solution on a Dell Pentium III computer. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption.

Solution and Refinement of the Structures. The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR97 ${ }^{13}$ ). Refinement was by full-matrix least squares based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ using SHELXL-97. ${ }^{14}$ All reflections were used during refinement (values of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ that were experimentally negative were replaced with $\mathrm{F}^{2}=0$ ). In the case of $\mathbf{5 I}$, $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically. In the case of $\mathbf{5 B r}$, non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were included as constant contributions to the
structure factors and were not refined. The crystallographically determined bond lengths and angles for $\mathbf{5 B r}, \mathbf{5 I}, \mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathbf{5 C l}{ }^{-}$are given in Tables 3.2.2-3.2.9.

Table 3.2.1. Crystallographic data for $\mathbf{5 I}, \mathbf{5 B r}, \mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathbf{5 C l}{ }^{-}$.

|  | 51 | 5 Br | $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$ | $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right][5 \mathrm{Cl}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{I}$ | $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{31} \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ |
| formula weight | 248.10 | 201.11 | 370.96 | 370.96 |
| Crystal class | Monoclinic | Orthorhombic | Monoclinic | Monoclinic |
| space group | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | Pca2 ${ }_{1}$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ | $P 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ |
| Z | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| a, $\AA$ | 12.803(3) | 11.214(7) | $9.1706(15)$ | 9.4491 (11) |
| $b, \AA$ | 7.2932(15) | 12.815(14) | 23.403(4) | 9.9107(9) |
| $c, \AA$ | 10.874(2) | 13.507(7) | 10.1822(17) | 23.784(3) |
| $\beta$, deg | $92.308(5)$ |  | 98.755(5) | 97.446(3) |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 1014.5(4) | 1941(3) | 2159.8(6) | 2208.5(4) |
| $D_{\text {call }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.624 | 1.376 | 1.141 | 1.116 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 30.77 | 40.15 | 1.78 | 1.75 |
| $\lambda, \AA\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{a}\right)$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal size, mm | $0.40 \times 0.18 \times 0.06$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.38 \times 0.26 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.35 \times 0.30 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.06 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.22 \times 0.22 \mathrm{x} \\ 0.12 \end{gathered}$ |
| $F(000)$ | 464 | 784 | 784 | 784 |
| $2 \theta$ angle, deg | 3.18-27.49 | 2.85-27.48 | 2.67-25.04 | 2.68-25.04 |
| temperature, K | 160(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) |
| $h k l$ collected No. meas reflns | $\begin{aligned} &-16 \leq h \leq 14 ; \\ &-9 \leq k \leq 7 ; \\ &-14 \leq l \leq 14 \\ & 9143 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \leq h \leq 14 ; \\ -13 \leq k \leq 16 ; \\ -17 \leq l \leq 17 \\ 13039 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -10 \leq h \leq 10 ; \\ -27 \leq k \leq 25 ; \\ -12 \leq l \leq 12 \\ 21783 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} &-11 \leq h \leq 11 ; \\ &-11 \leq k \leq 11 ; \\ &-28 \leq l \leq 28 \\ & 31640 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. of unique reflns | $\begin{gathered} 2314 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0272\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4389 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0224\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3800 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0364\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3895 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0329\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. parameters | 153 | 200 | 369 | 369 |
| $R^{\text {a }}$ indices ( $F>2 \sigma$ ) | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0354 \\ w R_{2}=0.0945 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0379 \\ w R_{2}=0.0870 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0478 \\ w R_{2}=0.1238 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0479 \\ w R_{2}=0.1252 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} R^{\mathrm{a}} \text { indices (all } \\ \text { data) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0389 \\ w R_{2}=0.0962 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0446 \\ w R_{2}=0.0901 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0569 \\ w R_{2}=0.1320 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0550 \\ w R_{2}=0.1320 \end{gathered}$ |
| GOF $^{\text {b }}$ | 1.156 | 1.150 | 1.054 | 1.085 |
| final difference peaks, e/ $\AA^{3}$ | +0.963, -1.245 | +1.135, -1.381 | +0.179, -0.381 | +0.201, -0.289 |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\mathrm{a}} R_{1}=\Sigma\left\|\\| F_{o}\right\|-\left\|F_{c}\right\| / \Sigma\left\|F_{o}\right\| ; w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma w\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2} \\ & { }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{GOF}=\left\{\Sigma w\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} /(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})\right\}^{1 / 2} \text { where } \mathrm{n}=\text { no. of reflns; } \mathrm{p}=\text { no. of params refined } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.2 Bond lengths in $5 \mathrm{Br}(\mathrm{A})$.

| Br1-B5 | 1.958(4) | B1-B5 | 1.728(6) | B1-B10 | 1.754(6) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B2 | 1.775(6) | B1-B3 | 1.784(6) | B1-B4 | 1.791(7) |
| B1-H1 | 1.10 | B2-B6 | 1.724(6) | B2-B3 | 1.769(6) |
| B2-B7 | 1.774(6) | B2-B5 | $1.778(5)$ | B2-H2 | 1.10 |
| B3-B8 | 1.743(6) | B3-B7 | 1.754(6) | B3-B4 | 1.789(7) |
| B3-H3 | 1.10 | B4-B9 | 1.729(7) | B4-B10 | 1.794(6) |
| B4-B8 | 1.796(7) | B4-H4 | 1.10 | B5-B6 | 1.787(6) |
| B5-B10 | 1.978(7) | B5-H56 | 1.18 | B6-B7 | 1.766(6) |
| B6-H6 | 0.98 | B6-H56 | 1.20 | B6-H67 | 1.22 |
| B7-B8 | 1.986(6) | B7-H7 | 1.10 | B7-H67 | 1.23 |
| B8-B9 | 1.806(7) | B8-H8 | 1.10 | B8-H89 | 1.23 |
| B9-B10 | 1.768(7) | B9-H9 | 1.07 | B9-H89 | 1.24 |
| B9-H910 | 1.29 | B10-H10 | 1.10 | B10-H910 | 1.12 |
| Br1'-B5' | 1.945(4) | B1'-B5' | 1.740(6) | B1'-B10' | 1.749(6) |
| B1'-B3' | 1.769(6) | B1'-B4' | 1.774(7) | B1'-B2' | 1.790(7) |
| B1'-H1' | 1.10 | B2'-B6' | 1.729(7) | B2'-B3' | 1.781(7) |
| B2'-B5' | 1.781(6) | B2'-B7' | 1.785(6) | B2'-H2' | 1.10 |
| B3'-B8' | 1.752(6) | B3'-B7' | 1.755(7) | B3'-B4' | 1.771(7) |
| B3'-H3' | 1.10 | B4'-B9' | 1.708(7) | B4'-B10' | 1.782(7) |
| B4'-B8' | 1.798(7) | B4'-H4' | 1.10 | B5'-B6' | 1.804(6) |
| B5'-B10' | 1.975(7) | B5'-H56' | 1.24 | B6'-B7' | 1.790(6) |
| B6'-H6' | 1.06 | B6'-H56' | 1.30 | B6'-H67' | 1.19 |
| B7'-B8' | 1.972(7) | B7'-H7' | 1.10 | B7'-H67' | 1.39 |
| B8'-B9' | 1.792(7) | B8'-H8' | 1.10 | B8'-H89' | 1.32 |
| B9'-B10' | 1.787(7) | B9'-H9' | 1.02 | B9'-H89' | 1.29 |
| B9'-H910' | 1.28 | B10'-H10' | 1.10 | B10'-H910' | 1.17 |

Table 3.2.3 Bond angles in $\mathbf{5 B r}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| B5-B1-B10 | 69.2(3) | B5-B1-B2 | 61.0(2) | B10-B1-B2 | 117.6(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5-B1-B3 | 107.5(3) | B10-B1-B3 | 107.2(3) | B2-B1-B3 | 59.6(2) |
| B5-B1-B4 | 118.1(3) | B10-B1-B4 | 60.8(3) | B2-B1-B4 | 114.1(3) |
| B3-B1-B4 | 60.1(3) | B5-B1-H1 | 116.4 | B10-B1-H1 | 116.9 |
| B2-B1-H1 | 117.6 | B3-B1-H1 | 125.8 | B4-B1-H1 | 117.5 |
| B6-B2-B3 | 111.3(3) | B6-B2-B7 | 60.6(3) | B3-B2-B7 | 59.3(2) |
| B6-B2-B1 | 110.8(3) | B3-B2-B1 | 60.5(2) | B7-B2-B1 | 106.2(3) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 61.3(2) | B3-B2-B5 | 106.0(3) | B7-B2-B5 | 104.4(3) |
| B1-B2-B5 | 58.2(2) | B6-B2-H2 | 118.4 | B3-B2-H2 | 121.2 |
| B7-B2-H2 | 124.0 | B1-B2-H2 | 121.7 | B5-B2-H2 | 124.2 |
| B8-B3-B7 | 69.2(3) | B8-B3-B2 | 118.4(3) | B7-B3-B2 | 60.5(3) |
| B8-B3-B1 | 108.1(3) | B7-B3-B1 | 106.7(3) | B2-B3-B1 | 59.9(2) |
| B8-B3-B4 | 61.1(3) | B7-B3-B4 | 117.3(3) | B2-B3-B4 | 114.5(3) |
| B1-B3-B4 | 60.1(3) | B8-B3-H3 | 115.9 | B7-B3-H3 | 117.3 |
| B2-B3-H3 | 117.4 | B1-B3-H3 | 125.8 | B4-B3-H3 | 117.4 |
| B9-B4-B3 | 110.0(3) | B9-B4-B1 | 109.7(3) | B3-B4-B1 | 59.8(3) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 60.2(3) | B3-B4-B10 | 105.3(3) | B1-B4-B10 | 58.6(3) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.6(3) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.2(2) | B1-B4-B8 | 105.5(3) |
| B10-B4-B8 | 104.8(3) | B9-B4-H4 | 119.0 | B3-B4-H4 | 122.3 |
| B1-B4-H4 | 122.1 | B10-B4-H4 | 124.1 | B8-B4-H4 | 123.7 |
| B1-B5-B2 | 60.8(2) | B1-B5-B6 | 110.0(3) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.8(2) |
| B1-B5-Br1 | 120.9(3) | B2-B5-Br 1 | 125.6(3) | B6-B5-Br 1 | 120.4(3) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 56.0(2) | B2-B5-B10 | 106.8(3) | B6-B5-B10 | 116.8(3) |
| Br1-B5-B10 | 116.4(2) | B1-B5-H56 | 130.0 | B2-B5-H56 | 98.0 |
| B6-B5-H56 | 42.0 | Br1-B5-H56 | 108.4 | B10-B5-H56 | 95.9 |
| B2-B6-B7 | 61.1(2) | B2-B6-B5 | 60.8(2) | B7-B6-B5 | 104.3(3) |
| B2-B6-H6 | 130.8 | B7-B6-H6 | 123.0 | B5-B6-H6 | 130.9 |
| B2-B6-H56 | 99.8 | B7-B6-H56 | 117.9 | B5-B6-H56 | 40.9 |
| H6-B6-H56 | 113.5 | B2-B6-H67 | 95.9 | B7-B6-H67 | 43.9 |
| B5-B6-H67 | 102.8 | H6-B6-H67 | 119.5 | H56-B6-H67 | 87.8 |
| B3-B7-B6 | 110.0(3) | B3-B7-B2 | 60.2(3) | B6-B7-B2 | 58.3(2) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.1(2) | B6-B7-B8 | 118.6(4) | B2-B7-B8 | 106.5(3) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 140.6 | B6-B7-H7 | 108.4 | B2-B7-H7 | 141.0 |


| B8-B7-H7 | 111.3 | B3-B7-H67 | 115.2 | B6-B7-H67 | 43.4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2-B7-H67 | 93.0 | B8-B7-H67 | 85.8 | H7-B7-H67 | 98.5 |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.7(3) | B3-B8-B9 | 108.6(3) | B4-B8-B9 | 57.4(3) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.7(2) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.2(3) | B9-B8-B7 | 114.6(3) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 139.5 | B4-B8-H8 | 140.2 | B9-B8-H8 | 111.0 |
| B7-B8-H8 | 112.6 | B3-B8-H89 | 126.8 | B4-B8-H89 | 98.3 |
| B9-B8-H89 | 43.3 | B7-B8-H89 | 92.0 | H8-B8-H89 | 89.1 |
| B4-B9-B10 | 61.7(3) | B4-B9-B8 | 61.0(3) | B10-B9-B8 | 105.4(3) |
| B4-B9-H9 | 132.0 | B10-B9-H9 | 125.7 | B8-B9-H9 | 127.4 |
| B4-B9-H89 | 101.5 | B10-B9-H89 | 117.6 | B8-B9-H89 | 42.9 |
| H9-B9-H89 | 109.9 | B4-B9-H910 | 95.6 | B10-B9-H910 | 39.1 |
| B8-B9-H910 | 109.9 | H9-B9-H910 | 116.9 | H89-B9-H910 | 93.7 |
| B1-B10-B9 | 109.6(3) | B1-B10-B4 | 60.6(3) | B9-B10-B4 | 58.1(3) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 54.8(2) | B9-B10-B5 | 117.0(3) | B4-B10-B5 | 106.2(3) |
| B1-B10-H10 | 140.2 | B9-B10-H10 | 109.4 | B4-B10-H10 | 140.5 |
| B5-B10-H10 | 112.2 | B1-B10-H910 | 120.1 | B9-B10-H910 | 46.9 |
| B4-B10-H910 | 99.0 | B5-B10-H910 | 85.7 | H10-B10-H910 | 92.9 |
| B5-H56-B6 | 97.1 | B6-H67-B7 | 92.7 | B8-H89-B9 | 93.8 |
| B9-H910-B10 | 93.9 | B5'-B1'-B10' | 69.0(3) | B5'-B1'-B3' | 107.6(3) |
| B10'-B1'-B3' | 107.4(3) | B5'-B1'-B4' | 117.7(3) | B10'-B1'-B4' | 60.8(3) |
| B3'-B1'-B4' | 60.0(3) | B5'-B1'-B2' | 60.6(3) | B10'-B1'-B2' | 117.1(3) |
| B3'-B1'-B2' | 60.1(3) | B4'-B1'-B2' | 114.1(3) | B5'-B1'-H1' | 116.5 |
| B10'-B1'-H1' | 117.0 | B3'-B1'-H1' | 125.5 | B4'-B1'-H1' | 117.7 |
| B2'-B1'-H1' | 117.8 | B6'-B2'-B3' | 111.1(3) | B6'-B2'-B5' | 61.8(2) |
| B3'-B2'-B5' | 105.3(3) | B6'-B2'-B7' | 61.2(3) | B3'-B2'-B7' | 59.0(2) |
| B5'-B2'-B7' | 105.1(3) | B6'-B2'-B1' | 111.0(3) | B3'-B2'-B1' | 59.4(3) |
| B5'-B2'-B1' | 58.3(2) | B7'-B2'-B1' | 105.5(3) | B6'-B2'-H2' | 117.9 |
| B3'-B2'-H2' | 122.0 | B5'-B2'-H2' | 123.9 | B7'-B2'-H2' | 123.7 |
| B1'-B2'-H2' | 122.2 | B8'-B3'-B7' | 68.4(3) | B8'-B3'-B1' | 108.5(3) |
| B7'-B3'-B1' | 107.7(3) | B8'-B3'-B4' | 61.4(3) | B7'-B3'-B4' | 117.2(3) |
| B1'-B3'-B4' | 60.1(3) | B8'-B3'-B2' | 117.8(3) | B7'-B3'-B2' | 60.6(3) |
| B1'-B3'-B2' | 60.6(3) | B4'-B3'-B2' | 114.7(3) | B8'-B3'-H3' | 116.5 |
| B7'-B3'-H3' | 117.3 | B1'-B3'-H3' | 124.7 | B4'-B3'-H3' | 117.4 |
| B2'-B3'-H3' | 117.3 | B9'-B4'-B3' | 111.1(3) | B9'-B4'-B1' | 111.5(3) |
| B3'-B4'-B1' | 59.9(3) | B9'-B4'-B10' | 61.6(3) | B3'-B4'-B10' | 105.9(3) |


| B1'-B4'-B10' | 58.9(3) | B9'-B4'-B8' | 61.4(3) | B3'-B4'-B8' | 58.8(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1'-B4'-B8' | 106.3(3) | B10'-B4'-B8' | 105.4(3) | B9'-B4'-H4' | 117.9 |
| B3'-B4'-H4' | 121.9 | B1'-B4'-H4' | 121.4 | B10'-B4'-H4' | 123.6 |
| B8'-B4'-H4' | 123.6 | B1'-B5'-B2' | 61.1(2) | B1'-B5'-B6' | 109.8(3) |
| B2'-B5'-B6' | 57.7(2) | B1'-B5'-Br1' | 121.0(3) | B2'-B5'-Br1' | 124.0(3) |
| B6'-B5'-Br1' | 119.8(3) | B1'-B5'-B10' | 55.7(2) | B2'-B5'-B10' | 106.9(3) |
| B6'-B5'-B10' | 116.4(3) | Br1'-B5'-B10' | 117.9(2) | B1'-B5'-H56' | 121.0 |
| B2'-B5'-H56' | 98.3 | B6'-B5'-H56' | 46.2 | Br1'-B5'-H56' | 116.0 |
| B10'-B5'-H56' | 85.7 | B2'-B6'-B7' | 60.9(3) | B2'-B6'-B5' | 60.5(2) |
| B7'-B6'-B5' | 104.0(3) | B2'-B6'-H6' | 129.9 | B7'-B6'-H6' | 125.9 |
| B5'-B6'-H6' | 127.9 | B2'-B6'-H56' | 98.7 | B7'-B6'-H56' | 109.2 |
| B5'-B6'-H56' | 43.6 | H6'-B6'-H56' | 118.1 | B2'-B6'-H67' | 106.7 |
| B7'-B6'-H67' | 50.8 | B5'-B6'-H67' | 112.1 | H6'-B6'-H67' | 110.7 |
| H56'-B6'-H67' | 82.2 | B3'-B7'-B2' | 60.4(3) | B3'-B7'-B6' | 109.5(3) |
| B2'-B7'-B6' | 57.9(3) | B3'-B7'-B8' | 55.7(2) | B2'-B7'-B8' | 107.1(3) |
| B6'-B7'-B8' | 117.9(3) | B3'-B7'-H7' | 140.4 | B2'-B7'-H7' | 140.9 |
| B6'-B7'-H7' | 109.2 | B8'-B7'-H7' | 110.9 | B3'-B7'-H67' | 123.4 |
| B2'-B7'-H67' | 95.6 | B6'-B7'-H67' | 41.7 | B8'-B7'-H67' | 92.1 |
| H7'-B7'-H67' | 91.7 | B3'-B8'-B9' | 108.1(3) | B3'-B8'-B4' | 59.8(3) |
| B9'-B8'-B4' | 56.8(3) | B3'-B8'-B7' | 55.9(3) | B9'-B8'-B7' | 116.2(3) |
| B4'-B8'-B7' | 105.9(3) | B3'-B8'-H8' | 140.2 | B9'-B8'-H8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 110.7 |
| B4'-B8'-H8' | 141.2 | B7'-B8'-H8' | 111.9 | B3'-B8'-H89' | 129.3 |
| B9'-B8'-H89' | 45.8 | B4'-B8'-H89' | 100.5 | B7'-B8'-H89' | 93.6 |
| H8'-B8'-H89' | 85.8 | B4'-B9'-B10' | 61.3(3) | B4'-B9'-B8' | 61.8(3) |
| B10'-B9'-B8' | 105.4(3) | B4'-B9'-H9' | 130.4 | B10'-B9'-H9' | 126.2 |
| B8'-B9'-H9' | 126.6 | B4'-B9'-H89' | 106.7 | B10'-B9'-H89' | 119.2 |
| B88'-B9'-H89' | 47.3 | H9'-B9'-H89' | 106.9 | B4'-B9'-H910' | 98.9 |
| B10'-B9'-H910' | 40.6 | B8'-B9'-H910' | 115.3 | H9'-B9'-H910' | 112.7 |
| H89'-B9'-H910' | 95.8 | B1'-B10'-B4' | 60.3(3) | B1'-B10'-B9' | 109.0(3) |
| B4'-B10'-B9' | 57.2(3) | B1'-B10'-B5' | 55.3(2) | B4'-B10'-B5' | 106.2(3) |
| B9'-B10'-B5' | 117.4(3) | B1'-B10'-H10' | 140.3 | B4'-B10'-H10' | 141.1 |
| B9'-B10'-H10' | 109.7 | B5'-B10'-H10' | 111.7 | B1'-B10'-H910' | 126.8 |
| B4'-B10'-H910' | 99.7 | B9'-B10'-H910' | 45.7 | B5'-B10'-H910' | 92.1 |
| H10'-B10'-H910' | 87.6 | B5'-H56'-B6' | 90.1 | B6'-H67'-B7' | 87.4 |
| B88'-H89'-B9' | 87.0 | B9'-H910'-B10' | 93.6 |  |  |

Table 3.2.4. Bond lengths in $\mathbf{5 I}(\AA)$.

| I1-B5 | $2.166(5)$ | B1-B5 | $1.742(7)$ | B1-B10 | $1.755(6)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| B1-B4 | $1.774(7)$ | B1-B3 | $1.780(6)$ | B1-B2 | $1.782(7)$ |
| B1-H1 | $1.08(5)$ | B2-B6 | $1.715(7)$ | B2-B5 | $1.781(7)$ |
| B2-B3 | $1.787(8)$ | B2-B7 | $1.791(7)$ | B2-H2 | $1.08(5)$ |
| B3-B8 | $1.747(8)$ | B3-B7 | $1.759(8)$ | B3-B4 | $1.775(7)$ |
| B3-H3 | $1.27(6)$ | B4-B9 | $1.729(7)$ | B4-B8 | $1.780(7)$ |
| B4-B10 | $1.783(7)$ | B4-H4 | $1.07(6)$ | B5-B6 | $1.788(7)$ |
| B5-B10 | $1.968(7)$ | B5-H56 | $1.19(5)$ | B6-B7 | $1.781(9)$ |
| B6-H6 | $1.06(6)$ | B6-H56 | $1.38(5)$ | B6-H67 | $1.28(5)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.986(9)$ | B7-H7 | $1.16(7)$ | B7-H67 | $1.27(6)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.784(8)$ | B8-H8 | $1.03(6)$ | B8-H89 | $1.26(6)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.789(7)$ | B9-H9 | $1.07(5)$ | B9-H89 | $1.27(6)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.27(6)$ | B10-H10 | $1.10(5)$ | B10-H910 | $1.16(6)$ |

Table 3.2.5. Bond angles in $\mathbf{5 I}\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| B5-B1-B10 | $68.5(3)$ | B5-B1-B4 | $116.8(3)$ | B10-B1-B4 | $60.7(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5-B1-B3 | $107.3(3)$ | B10-B1-B3 | $107.5(3)$ | B4-B1-B3 | $59.9(3)$ |
| B5-B1-B2 | $60.7(3)$ | B10-B1-B2 | $117.4(3)$ | B4-B1-B2 | $114.2(3)$ |
| B3-B1-B2 | $60.2(3)$ | B5-B1-H1 | $112(3)$ | B10-B1-H1 | $114(3)$ |
| B4-B1-H1 | $121(3)$ | B3-B1-H1 | $130(3)$ | B2-B1-H1 | $117(3)$ |
| B6-B2-B5 | $61.5(3)$ | B6-B2-B1 | $111.2(3)$ | B5-B2-B1 | $58.5(3)$ |
| B6-B2-B3 | $110.9(4)$ | B5-B2-B3 | $105.3(3)$ | B1-B2-B3 | $59.8(3)$ |
| B6-B2-B7 | $61.0(3)$ | B5-B2-B7 | $104.7(3)$ | B1-B2-B7 | $106.0(4)$ |
| B3-B2-B7 | $58.9(3)$ | B6-B2-H2 | $122(3)$ | B5-B2-H2 | $126(3)$ |
| B1-B2-H2 | $119(3)$ | B3-B2-H2 | $118(3)$ | B7-B2-H2 | $124(3)$ |
| B8-B3-B7 | $69.0(3)$ | B8-B3-B4 | $60.7(3)$ | B7-B3-B4 | $117.4(4)$ |
| B8-B3-B1 | $107.4(3)$ | B7-B3-B1 | $107.5(4)$ | B4-B3-B1 | $59.9(3)$ |
| B8-B3-B2 | $117.3(4)$ | B7-B3-B2 | $60.7(3)$ | B4-B3-B2 | $113.9(3)$ |
| B1-B3-B2 | $60.0(3)$ | B8-B3-H3 | $122(3)$ | B7-B3-H3 | $111(3)$ |
| B4-B3-H3 | $126(3)$ | B1-B3-H3 | $125(3)$ | B2-B3-H3 | $109(3)$ |
| B9-B4-B1 | $111.2(3)$ | B9-B4-B3 | $111.0(4)$ | B1-B4-B3 | $60.2(3)$ |
| B9-B4-B8 | $61.1(3)$ | B1-B4-B8 | $106.2(4)$ | B3-B4-B8 | $58.9(3)$ |


| B9-B4-B10 | 61.2(3) | B1-B4-B10 | 59.1(3) | B3-B4-B10 | 106.4(3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B8-B4-B10 | 105.2(4) | B9-B4-H4 | 119(3) | B1-B4-H4 | 122(3) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 120(3) | B8-B4-H4 | 123(3) | B10-B4-H4 | 125(3) |
| B1-B5-B2 | 60.8(3) | B1-B5-B6 | 109.7(3) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.4(3) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 56.1(2) | B2-B5-B10 | 107.3(3) | B6-B5-B10 | 117.7(3) |
| B1-B5-I1 | 122.0(3) | B2-B5-I1 | 124.4(3) | B6-B5-I1 | 118.7(3) |
| B10-B5-I1 | 117.5(3) | B1-B5-H56 | 130(2) | B2-B5-H56 | 105(3) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 51(2) | B10-B5-H56 | 90(2) | I1-B5-H56 | 106(2) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 61.6(3) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.1(3) | B7-B6-B5 | 104.8(4) |
| B2-B6-H6 | 133(3) | B7-B6-H6 | 138(3) | B5-B6-H6 | 117(3) |
| B2-B6-H56 | 100(2) | B7-B6-H56 | 117(2) | B5-B6-H56 | 42(2) |
| H6-B6-H56 | 100(4) | B2-B6-H67 | 104(3) | B7-B6-H67 | 45(3) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 115(3) | H6-B6-H67 | 116(4) | H56-B6-H67 | 95(3) |
| B3-B7-B6 | 109.1(4) | B3-B7-B2 | 60.4(3) | B6-B7-B2 | 57.4(3) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.2(3) | B6-B7-B8 | 116.7(4) | B2-B7-B8 | 106.0(3) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 121(3) | B6-B7-H7 | 121(3) | B2-B7-H7 | 125(3) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 117(3) | B3-B7-H67 | 127(3) | B6-B7-H67 | 46(3) |
| B2-B7-H67 | 100(3) | B8-B7-H67 | 91(3) | H7-B7-H67 | 110(4) |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.4(3) | B3-B8-B9 | 109.7(4) | B4-B8-B9 | 58.0(3) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.8(3) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.5(3) | B9-B8-B7 | 116.9(4) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 126(3) | B4-B8-H8 | 127(3) | B9-B8-H8 | 116(3) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 119(3) | B3-B8-H89 | 129(3) | B4-B8-H89 | 101(3) |
| B9-B8-H89 | 45(3) | B7-B8-H89 | 93(3) | H8-B8-H89 | 104(4) |
| B4-B9-B8 | 60.9(3) | B4-B9-B10 | 60.9(3) | B8-B9-B10 | 104.8(3) |
| B4-B9-H9 | 129(3) | B8-B9-H9 | 124(3) | B10-B9-H9 | 128(3) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 103(3) | B8-B9-H89 | 45(3) | B10-B9-H89 | 118(2) |
| H9-B9-H89 | 109(4) | B4-B9-H910 | 97(3) | B8-B9-H910 | 113(3) |
| B10-B9-H910 | 40(3) | H9-B9-H910 | 118(4) | H89-B9-H910 | 95(4) |
| B1-B10-B4 | 60.2(3) | B1-B10-B9 | 109.3(3) | B4-B10-B9 | 57.9(3) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 55.4(2) | B4-B10-B5 | 105.9(3) | B9-B10-B5 | 116.3(3) |
| B1-B10-H10 | 124(3) | B4-B10-H10 | 125(3) | B9-B10-H10 | 118(3) |
| B5-B10-H10 | 119(3) | B1-B10-H910 | 125(3) | B4-B10-H910 | 99(3) |
| B9-B10-H910 | 45(3) | B5-B10-H910 | 90(3) | H10-B10-H910 | 110(4) |
| B5-H56-B6 | 88(3) | B6-H67-B7 | 89(4) | B8-H89-B9 | 90(4) |
| B9-H910-B10 | 95(4) |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.6. Bond lengths for $\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right](\AA)$.

| B1-B5 | $1.738(3)$ | B1-B2 | $1.748(3)$ | B1-B10 | $1.783(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B3 | $1.793(3)$ | B1-B4 | $1.805(3)$ | B1-H1 | $1.11(2)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.752(3)$ | B2-B3 | $1.761(3)$ | B2-B7 | $1.764(3)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.798(3)$ | B2-H2 | $1.09(2)$ | B3-B8 | $1.742(3)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.761(3)$ | B3-B4 | $1.785(3)$ | B3-H3 | $1.13(2)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.709(3)$ | B4-B8 | $1.769(3)$ | B4-B10 | $1.810(3)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.08(2)$ | B5-B6 | $1.631(3)$ | B5-B10 | $1.862(3)$ |
| B5-H5 | $1.11(2)$ | B6-B7 | $1.781(3)$ | B6-Cl1 | $1.811(2)$ |
| B6-H67 | $1.33(2)$ | B7-B8 | $2.019(3)$ | B7-H7 | $1.06(2)$ |
| B7-H67 | $1.26(2)$ | B8-B9 | $1.772(4)$ | B8-H8 | $1.13(2)$ |
| B8-H89 | $1.25(2)$ | B9-B10 | $1.787(3)$ | B9-H9 | $1.09(2)$ |
| B9-H89 | $1.27(2)$ | B9-H910 | $1.23(2)$ | B10-H10 | $1.10(2)$ |
| B10-H910 | $1.29(2)$ | N21-C11 | $1.474(2)$ | N21-C23 | $1.490(2)$ |
| N21-C24 | $1.495(2)$ | N21-H21 | $1.06(3)$ | N22-C19 | $1.458(2)$ |
| N22-C25 | $1.473(2)$ | N22-C26 | $1.482(2)$ | N22-H21 | $1.58(3)$ |
| C11-C12 | $1.364(3)$ | C11-C20 | $1.427(2)$ | C12-C13 | $1.403(3)$ |
| C12-H12 | $0.96(2)$ | C13-C14 | $1.358(3)$ | C13-H13 | $0.90(2)$ |
| C14-C15 | $1.413(3)$ | C14-H14 | $0.98(2)$ | C15-C16 | $1.411(3)$ |
| C15-C20 | $1.431(2)$ | C16-C17 | $1.357(3)$ | C16-H16 | $0.95(2)$ |
| C17-C18 | $1.404(3)$ | C17-H17 | $0.97(2)$ | C18-C19 | $1.371(2)$ |
| C18-H18 | $0.94(2)$ | C19-C20 | $1.426(2)$ | C23-H23a | $0.96(2)$ |
| C23-H23b | $0.95(2)$ | C23-H23c | $1.04(2)$ | C24-H24a | $0.96(2)$ |
| C24-H24b | $0.96(3)$ | C24-H24c | $0.96(3)$ | C25-H25a | $0.98(3)$ |
| C25-H25b | $0.92(3)$ | C25-H25c | $1.00(3)$ | C26-H26a | $0.95(3)$ |
| C26-H26b | $0.94(3)$ | C26-H26c | $1.04(3)$ |  |  |

Table 3.2.7. Bond angles in $\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.


| B4-B8-B7 | 104.46(14) | B9-B8-B7 | 112.95(15) | B3-B8-H8 | 119.4(11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B4-B8-H8 | 125.6(11) | B9-B8-H8 | 122.5(11) | B7-B8-H8 | 118.7(11) |
| B3-B8-H89 | 130.3(10) | B4-B8-H89 | 101.7(11) | B9-B8-H89 | 46.0(11) |
| B7-B8-H89 | 91.3(11) | H8-B8-H89 | 108.4(15) | B4-B9-B8 | 61.06(14) |
| B4-B9-B10 | 62.32(13) | B8-B9-B10 | 103.65(15) | B4-B9-H9 | 128.4(12) |
| B8-B9-H9 | 128.1(12) | B10-B9-H9 | 126.3(12) | B4-B9-H89 | 103.9(11) |
| B8-B9-H89 | 44.8(11) | B10-B9-H89 | 116.4(10) | H9-B9-H89 | 110.6(15) |
| B4-B9-H910 | 104.9(10) | B8-B9-H910 | 113.3(10) | B10-B9-H910 | 46.0(10) |
| H9-B9-H910 | 111.3(16) | H89-B9-H910 | 91.1(14) | B1-B10-B9 | 110.58(16) |
| B1-B10-B4 | 60.33(12) | B9-B10-B4 | 56.72(12) | B1-B10-B5 | 56.93(12) |
| B9-B10-B5 | 123.83(16) | B4-B10-B5 | 109.05(15) | B1-B10-H10 | 124.3(10) |
| B9-B10-H10 | 114.4(10) | B4-B10-H10 | 125.6(11) | B5-B10-H10 | 115.3(10) |
| B1-B10-H910 | 130.1(10) | B9-B10-H910 | 43.3(10) | B4-B10-H910 | 97.1(10) |
| B5-B10-H910 | 98.9(10) | H10-B10-H910 | 105.2(14) | C11-N21-C23 | 113.43(15) |
| C11-N21-C24 | 111.61(15) | C23-N21-C24 | 110.71(16) | C11-N21-H21 | 100.8(13) |
| C23-N21-H21 | 111.5(13) | C24-N21-H21 | 108.3(13) | C19-N22-C25 | 112.89(15) |
| C19-N22-C26 | 111.02(15) | C25-N22-C26 | 111.16(18) | C12-C11-C20 | 122.24(17) |
| C12-C11-N21 | 119.56(16) | C20-C11-N21 | 118.19(15) | C11-C12-C13 | 120.0(2) |
| C11-C12-H12 | 118.6(14) | C13-C12-H12 | 121.4(14) | C14-C13-C12 | 120.13(19) |
| C14-C13-H13 | 121.2(14) | C12-C13-H13 | 118.6(14) | C13-C14-C15 | 121.47(19) |
| C13-C14-H14 | 120.5(12) | C15-C14-H14 | 118.0(12) | C16-C15-C14 | 121.12(17) |
| C16-C15-C20 | 119.41(16) | C14-C15-C20 | 119.47(17) | C17-C16-C15 | 121.21(17) |
| C17-C16-H16 | 121.6(12) | C15-C16-H16 | 117.2(12) | C16-C17-C18 | 120.09(18) |
| C16-C17-H17 | 122.9(12) | C18-C17-H17 | 117.0(12) | C19-C18-C17 | 120.87(18) |
| C19-C18-H18 | 119.0(12) | C17-C18-H18 | 120.1(12) | C18-C19-C20 | 120.70(16) |
| C18-C19-N22 | 120.59(15) | C20-C19-N22 | 118.70(14) | C19-C20-C11 | 125.63(15) |
| C19-C20-C15 | 117.71(15) | C11-C20-C15 | 116.66(15) | N21-C23-H23a | 110.5(14) |
| N21-C23-H23b | 108.5(13) | H23a-C23-H23b | 110(2) | N21-C23-H23c | 108.0(13) |
| H23a-C23-H23c | 108.2(19) | H23b-C23-H23c | 111.2(18) | N21-C24-H24a | 107.6(13) |
| N21-C24-H24b | 105.5(14) | H24a-C24-H24b | 109.4(19) | N21-C24-H24c | 109.6(15) |
| H24a-C24-H24c | 114(2) | H24b-C24-H24c | 110(2) | N22-C25-H25a | 108.9(15) |
| N22-C25-H25b | 108.8(14) | H25a-C25-H25b | 110(2) | N22-C25-H25c | 112.1(14) |
| H25a-C25-H25c | 108(2) | H25b-C25-H25c | 108(2) | N22-C26-H26a | 110.6(15) |
| N22-C26-H26b | 107.2(15) | H26a-C26-H26b | 107(2) | N22-C26-H26c | 108.6(16) |
| H26a-C26-H26c | 112(2) | H26b-C26-H26c | 112(2) | B6-H67-B7 | 86.8(13) |
|  |  | 94 |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.8. Bond lengths for $\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right](\AA)$.

| B1-B5 | $1.737(3)$ | B1-B2 | $1.755(3)$ | B1-B3 | $1.787(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B10 | $1.796(3)$ | B1-B4 | $1.804(3)$ | B1-H1 | $1.11(2)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.754(3)$ | B2-B7 | $1.764(3)$ | B2-B3 | $1.767(3)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.790(3)$ | B2-H2 | $1.16(2)$ | B3-B8 | $1.737(3)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.770(3)$ | B3-B4 | $1.784(3)$ | B3-H3 | $1.13(2)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.702(3)$ | B4-B8 | $1.766(3)$ | B4-B10 | $1.800(3)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.11(2)$ | B5-B6 | $1.644(3)$ | B5-B10 | $1.844(3)$ |
| B5-C11 | $1.844(2)$ | B6-B7 | $1.775(3)$ | B6-H6 | $1.12(2)$ |
| B6-H67 | $1.27(2)$ | B7-B8 | $2.028(3)$ | B7-H7 | $1.08(2)$ |
| B7-H67 | $1.24(2)$ | B8-B9 | $1.773(3)$ | B8-H8 | $1.12(2)$ |
| B8-H89 | $1.293(19)$ | B9-B10 | $1.791(3)$ | B9-H9 | $1.05(2)$ |
| B9-H89 | $1.279(19)$ | B9-H910 | $1.235(18)$ | B10-H10 | $1.09(2)$ |
| B10-H910 | $1.280(18)$ | N21-C11 | $1.470(2)$ | N21-C23 | $1.488(3)$ |
| N21-C24 | $1.491(2)$ | N21-H21 | $1.06(2)$ | N22-C19 | $1.462(2)$ |
| N22-C26 | $1.474(3)$ | N22-C25 | $1.479(2)$ | N22-H21 | $1.58(2)$ |
| C11-C12 | $1.370(3)$ | C11-C20 | $1.424(2)$ | C12-C13 | $1.405(4)$ |
| C12-H12 | $0.92(2)$ | C13-C14 | $1.348(4)$ | C13-H13 | $0.91(3)$ |
| C14-C15 | $1.413(3)$ | C14-H14 | $1.03(3)$ | C15-C16 | $1.410(3)$ |
| C15-C20 | $1.431(2)$ | C16-C17 | $1.348(4)$ | C16-H16 | $0.94(2)$ |
| C17-C18 | $1.398(3)$ | C17-H17 | $0.94(3)$ | C18-C19 | $1.362(3)$ |
| C18-H18 | $0.91(2)$ | C19-C20 | $1.422(2)$ | C23-H23a | $0.96(3)$ |
| C23-H23b | $0.97(2)$ | C23-H23c | $0.95(3)$ | C24-H24a | $0.97(3)$ |
| C24-H24b | $0.96(3)$ | C24-H24c | $0.95(3)$ | C25-H25a | $1.07(3)$ |
| C25-H25b | $0.93(2)$ | C25-H25c | $0.99(3)$ | C26-H26a | $0.92(3)$ |
| C26-H26b | $1.03(2)$ | C26-H26c | $0.98(3)$ |  |  |

Table 3.2.9. Bond angles for $\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$.

| B5-B1-B2 | 61.67(13) | B5-B1-B3 | 106.26(15) | B2-B1-B3 | 59.85(13) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5-B1-B10 | 62.90(11) | B2-B1-B10 | 111.69(15) | B3-B1-B10 | 103.49(14) |
| B5-B1-B4 | 113.26(14) | B2-B1-B4 | 113.03(15) | B3-B1-B4 | 59.57(12) |
| B10-B1-B4 | 60.00(12) | B5-B1-H1 | 122.1(10) | B2-B1-H1 | 124.1(10) |
| B3-B1-H1 | 126.3(10) | B10-B1-H1 | 117.7(10) | B4-B1-H1 | 113.2(10) |
| B6-B2-B1 | 106.22(16) | B6-B2-B7 | 60.61(13) | B1-B2-B7 | 107.08(16) |
| B6-B2-B3 | 109.47(15) | B1-B2-B3 | 60.99(13) | B7-B2-B3 | 60.18(12) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 55.25(13) | B1-B2-B5 | 58.66(12) | B7-B2-B5 | 101.16(15) |
| B3-B2-B5 | 104.86(15) | B6-B2-H2 | 123.4(11) | B1-B2-H2 | 120.9(11) |
| B7-B2-H2 | 123.5(11) | B3-B2-H2 | 119.5(11) | B5-B2-H2 | 127.8(11) |
| B8-B3-B2 | 120.26(16) | B8-B3-B7 | 70.63(13) | B2-B3-B7 | 59.82(13) |
| B8-B3-B4 | 60.20(13) | B2-B3-B4 | 113.40(15) | B7-B3-B4 | 115.11(16) |
| B8-B3-B1 | 109.93(15) | B2-B3-B1 | 59.16(13) | B7-B3-B1 | 105.40(15) |
| B4-B3-B1 | 60.68(12) | B8-B3-H3 | 111.5(10) | B2-B3-H3 | 118.0(10) |
| B7-B3-H3 | 114.7(10) | B4-B3-H3 | 120.9(10) | B1-B3-H3 | 129.4(10) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.43(13) | B9-B4-B3 | 110.66(15) | B8-B4-B3 | 58.57(12) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 61.44(12) | B8-B4-B10 | 103.83(14) | B3-B4-B10 | 103.44(15) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 114.11(14) | B8-B4-B1 | 107.84(15) | B3-B4-B1 | 59.74(12) |
| B10-B4-B1 | 59.77(11) | B9-B4-H4 | 118.7(10) | B8-B4-H4 | 125.2(10) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 122.6(10) | B10-B4-H4 | 124.5(11) | B1-B4-H4 | 117.5(10) |
| B6-B5-B1 | 112.22(16) | B6-B5-B2 | 61.28(14) | B1-B5-B2 | 59.66(12) |
| B6-B5-B10 | 113.82(15) | B1-B5-B10 | 60.12(12) | B2-B5-B10 | 107.92(14) |
| B6-B5-Cl1 | 121.75(14) | B1-B5-Cl1 | 117.67(13) | B2-B5-Cl1 | 124.80(13) |
| B10-B5-Cl1 | 115.92(13) | B5-B6-B2 | 63.47(13) | B5-B6-B7 | 106.75(16) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 59.96(13) | B5-B6-H6 | 127.2(11) | B2-B6-H6 | 130.2(11) |
| B7-B6-H6 | 124.1(12) | B5-B6-H67 | 117.8(9) | B2-B6-H67 | 101.5(10) |
| B7-B6-H67 | 44.3(10) | H6-B6-H67 | 108.7(14) | B2-B7-B3 | 60.00(13) |
| B2-B7-B6 | 59.42(13) | B3-B7-B6 | 108.37(16) | B2-B7-B8 | 106.32(15) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 53.91(12) | B6-B7-B8 | 116.19(15) | B2-B7-H7 | 131.3(11) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 123.9(11) | B6-B7-H7 | 123.7(11) | B8-B7-H7 | 111.1(11) |
| B2-B7-H67 | 102.5(10) | B3-B7-H67 | 125.0(10) | B6-B7-H67 | 45.9(10) |
| B8-B7-H67 | 90.9(10) | H7-B7-H67 | 106.9(15) | B3-B8-B4 | 61.23(13) |
| B3-B8-B9 | 109.59(15) | B4-B8-B9 | 57.49(12) | B3-B8-B7 | 55.45(12) |
| 96 |  |  |  |  |  |


| B4-B8-B7 | $104.27(14)$ | B9-B8-B7 | $112.06(14)$ | B3-B8-H8 | $121.2(10)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B4-B8-H8 | $127.3(10)$ | B9-B8-H8 | $121.9(10)$ | B7-B8-H8 | $118.8(10)$ |
| B3-B8-H89 | $129.7(9)$ | B4-B8-H89 | $101.5(9)$ | B9-B8-H89 | $46.1(8)$ |
| B7-B8-H89 | $90.0(9)$ | H8-B8-H89 | $106.9(13)$ | B4-B9-B8 | $61.08(13)$ |
| B4-B9-B10 | $61.98(12)$ | B8-B9-B10 | $103.96(15)$ | B4-B9-H9 | $127.8(11)$ |
| B8-B9-H9 | $129.3(12)$ | B10-B9-H9 | $124.5(12)$ | B4-B9-H89 | $105.6(9)$ |
| B8-B9-H89 | $46.8(9)$ | B10-B9-H89 | $117.0(9)$ | H9-B9-H89 | $111.2(14)$ |
| B4-B9-H910 | $104.7(8)$ | B8-B9-H910 | $115.1(8)$ | B10-B9-H910 | $45.6(8)$ |
| H9-B9-H910 | $109.6(15)$ | H89-B9-H910 | $91.8(12)$ | B9-B10-B1 | $110.26(15)$ |
| B9-B10-B4 | $56.58(12)$ | B1-B10-B4 | $60.23(12)$ | B9-B10-B5 | $122.59(15)$ |
| B1-B10-B5 | $56.97(11)$ | B4-B10-B5 | $108.49(15)$ | B9-B10-H10 | $117.4(11)$ |
| B1-B10-H10 | $121.6(11)$ | B4-B10-H10 | $125.7(11)$ | B5-B10-H10 | $114.4(11)$ |
| B9-B10-H910 | $43.6(8)$ | B1-B10-H910 | $131.0(8)$ | B4-B10-H910 | $97.6(8)$ |
| B5-B10-H910 | $98.7(8)$ | H10-B10-H910 | $107.0(13)$ | B6-H67-B7 | $89.9(14)$ |
| B8-H89-B9 | $87.1(12)$ | B9-H910-B10 | $90.8(12)$ | C11-N21-C23 | $113.47(17)$ |
| C11-N21-C24 | $111.14(15)$ | C23-N21-C24 | $111.78(19)$ | C11-N21-H21 | $102.4(12)$ |
| N22-C26-H26b | $105.9(13)$ | H26a-C26-H26b | $113(2)$ | N22-C26-H26c | $104.4(15)$ |

```
H26a-C26-H26c 112(2) H26b-C26-H26c 107(2)
```

Computational Methods. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 package. ${ }^{15}$ All ground state, transition state, and intermediate geometries and both electronic and free energies were obtained using the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level without constraints for all $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ and Cl atoms. Both the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level and B3LYP/SDD pseudopotential were used for Br atoms, and only the B3LYP/SDD pseudopotential was used for I atoms. The NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level using the GIAO option within Gaussian 03 and are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ using an absolute shielding constant of 102.24 ppm. Harmonic vibrational analyses were carried out on the optimized geometries at the same level to establish the nature of stationary points. True first-order saddle points possessed only one imaginary frequency. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were carried out in both the forward and reverse directions to confirm the reaction pathways from the located transition states. All optimized geometries and energies (free and electronic) are given in Tables 3.2.10-3.2.40.

Table 3.2.10. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 6-F- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.67787 | -0.89149 | 1.118304 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.854866 | 0.00000 | 1.207452 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.67787 | 0.891492 | 1.118304 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.01124 | 0.00000 | 0.336083 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.661412 | -1.43197 | 0.125147 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.6527 | 0.00000 | -0.30981 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.661412 | 1.431972 | 0.125147 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.25238 | 1.423166 | -0.44482 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.83035 | 0.00000 | -1.37485 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.25238 | -1.42317 | -0.44482 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.93685 | -1.62445 | 2.013636 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.503167 | 0.00000 | 2.198292 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.93685 | 1.624449 | 2.013636 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.08972 | 0.00000 | 0.823643 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.204796 | -2.47383 | 0.26006 |
| 16 | 9 | 2.944321 | 0.00000 | -0.66024 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.204796 | 2.473834 | 0.26006 |
| 18 | 1 | -1.75472 | 2.476514 | -0.6378 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.64878 | 0.00000 | -2.22813 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.75472 | -2.47651 | -0.6378 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.998208 | -0.98051 | -1.04182 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.998208 | 0.98051 | -1.04182 |
| 23 | 1 | -0.96400 | 0.966908 | -1.66024 |
| 24 | 1 | -0.96400 | -0.96691 | -1.66024 |

Table 3.2.11. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 6-F-B $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.620579 | 0.995232 | 1.111407 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.82533 | 0.019874 | 1.27489 |
| 3 | 5 | 0.734841 | -0.79844 | 1.169212 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.963785 | 0.128275 | 0.256011 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.73473 | 1.407616 | 0.097162 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.57763 | 0.060835 | -0.33021 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.63187 | -1.39497 | 0.212033 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.314572 | -1.4058 | -0.35373 |


| 9 | 5 | 1.734097 | -0.02871 | -1.43004 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 | 0.97192 | 1.3906 | -0.60762 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.004326 | 1.734109 | 1.967813 |
| 12 | 1 | -1.46042 | -0.01312 | 2.28524 |
| 13 | 1 | 1.080627 | -1.47647 | 2.088805 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.075024 | 0.251555 | 0.669709 |
| 15 | 1 | -1.26167 | 2.466012 | 0.296631 |
| 16 | 9 | -2.87906 | -0.11319 | -0.7352 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.13401 | -2.4674 | 0.346615 |
| 18 | 1 | 1.899642 | -2.43886 | -0.44614 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.585097 | -0.01303 | -2.26158 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.42376 | 2.435649 | -0.9648 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.88301 | -0.95679 | -0.96403 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.993625 | -1.10942 | -1.61986 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.73741 | 0.73384 | -1.77723 |

Table 3.2.12. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 5-F-B ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.223092 | -0.53149 | 1.143129 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.703583 | 1.160501 | 0.75064 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.9991 | 0.764554 | 1.046815 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.46191 | -0.92745 | 0.696692 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.397433 | -0.25198 | -0.11319 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.027836 | 1.311908 | -0.93907 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.58717 | 1.787693 | -0.3086 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.02186 | 0.427683 | -0.33886 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.59212 | -1.18135 | -1.00093 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.08556 | -1.6441 | -0.17052 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.688066 | -1.02597 | 2.115278 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.315743 | 1.803382 | 1.533753 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.55551 | 1.314514 | 1.937411 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.15702 | -1.51944 | 1.449754 |
| 15 | 9 | 2.661628 | -0.71668 | -0.08884 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.809325 | 2.009685 | -1.4863 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.90925 | 2.921369 | -0.40883 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.13731 | 0.807259 | -0.44276 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.2895 | -1.89704 | -1.63242 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.273553 | -2.77125 | -0.17389 |
| 21 | 1 | 1.153393 | 0.093363 | -1.41424 |


| 22 | 1 | -0.15731 | 1.457645 | -1.52297 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23 | 1 | -1.67477 | 0.039481 | -1.54902 |
| 24 | 1 | -0.3352 | -1.36278 | -1.43682 |

Table 3.2.13. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 5-F-B $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.314412 | -0.44139 | 1.154861 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.676366 | 1.221081 | 0.704046 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.00269 | 0.783727 | 1.02481 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.36832 | -0.95227 | 0.728093 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.397357 | -0.18549 | -0.20818 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.983106 | 1.182609 | -1.0411 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.58723 | 1.750447 | -0.40244 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.0715 | 0.330686 | -0.27316 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.57044 | -1.24584 | -0.9417 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.046266 | -1.5273 | -0.23075 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.732576 | -0.93156 | 2.160062 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.254813 | 1.95727 | 1.44441 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.57863 | 1.329959 | 1.916354 |
| 14 | 1 | -1.99789 | -1.59132 | 1.512635 |
| 15 | 9 | 2.702383 | -0.66405 | -0.07729 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.634564 | 1.928511 | -1.71148 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.00671 | 2.847444 | -0.60239 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.21413 | 0.662022 | -0.3129 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.26534 | -2.04541 | -1.48198 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.431235 | -2.65438 | -0.26964 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.2495 | 1.20705 | -1.54897 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.79626 | -0.05864 | -1.51079 |
| 1 | -0.35278 | -1.25581 | -1.47203 |  |

Table 3.2.14. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 6-Cl- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 1.1874 | 0.891222 | 1.09301 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.33695 | 0.00000 | 1.333693 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.1874 | -0.89122 | 1.09301 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.427581 | 0.00000 | 0.171192 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.24755 | 1.428066 | 0.246486 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.27574 | 0.00000 | -0.10928 |
|  | 101 |  |  |  |


| 7 | 5 | -0.24755 | -1.42807 | 0.246486 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 5 | 1.590971 | -1.42373 | -0.52496 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.060003 | 0.00000 | -1.51028 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.590971 | 1.423731 | -0.52496 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.539759 | 1.625049 | 1.955031 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.88442 | 0.00000 | 2.382911 |
| 13 | 1 | 1.539759 | -1.62505 | 1.955031 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.552726 | 0.00000 | 0.538626 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.77682 | 2.471363 | 0.416098 |
| 16 | 17 | -3.03864 | 0.00000 | -0.35779 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.77682 | -2.47136 | 0.416098 |
| 18 | 1 | 2.069281 | -2.4769 | -0.77173 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.775132 | 0.00000 | -2.4516 |
| 20 | 1 | 2.069281 | 2.476897 | -0.77173 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.730100 | 0.96620 | -0.88394 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.730100 | -0.96620 | -0.88394 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.163242 | -0.96857 | -1.69526 |
| 24 | 1.163242 | 0.968572 | -1.69526 |  |

Table 3.2.15. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 6-Cl- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 1.171144 | 1.032119 | 1.026345 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.27597 | 0.115545 | 1.4073 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.237551 | -0.76626 | 1.156681 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.384992 | 0.081397 | 0.073785 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.2887 | 1.43511 | 0.154376 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.19811 | 0.086968 | -0.0913 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.25724 | -1.35013 | 0.407547 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.619622 | -1.44561 | -0.4006 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.950616 | -0.12904 | -1.5673 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.356584 | 1.345773 | -0.72418 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.668741 | 1.798843 | 1.794375 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.78662 | 0.150778 | 2.4843 |
| 13 | 1 | 1.670928 | -1.41699 | 2.058133 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.538242 | 0.176294 | 0.3579 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.75936 | 2.519268 | 0.341846 |
| 16 | 17 | -3.00657 | -0.06369 | -0.38134 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.76044 | -2.40581 | 0.625056 |


| 18 | 1 | 2.145263 | -2.50603 | -0.52458 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 19 | 1 | 2.672428 | -0.18099 | -2.5106 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.792836 | 2.363215 | -1.16709 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.64797 | -0.93594 | -0.76563 |
| 22 | 1 | 1.138525 | -1.17978 | -1.61612 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.936591 | 0.670513 | -1.80807 |

Table 3.2.16. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 5-Cl- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.06697 | -0.43561 | 1.153392 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.132044 | 1.301767 | 0.752015 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.49085 | 0.634304 | 1.0356 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.65693 | -1.11087 | 0.696849 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.048315 | 0.022363 | -0.11363 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.431143 | 1.499631 | -0.93396 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.24007 | 1.706595 | -0.32171 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.43174 | 0.121072 | -0.35178 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.73353 | -1.39679 | -0.9998 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.16839 | -1.58993 | -0.16055 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.461162 | -0.84239 | 2.132648 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.63021 | 2.0392 | 1.531775 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.13501 | 1.092798 | 1.918973 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.2421 | -1.80982 | 1.451615 |
| 15 | 17 | 2.812903 | -0.28734 | -0.0429 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.090589 | 2.294782 | -1.5068 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.74614 | 2.769688 | -0.43415 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.593 | 0.313433 | -0.46655 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.29905 | -2.22772 | -1.62183 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.372 | -2.64123 | -0.15011 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.769756 | 0.291513 | -1.3881 |
| 23 | 1 | -0.75809 | 1.435418 | -1.52889 |
| 24 | -2.019 | -0.21825 | -1.56107 |  |
| 2 | -0.46582 | -1.37541 | -1.43044 |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.17. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 5-Cl- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.00268 | -0.34679 | 1.172942 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.125029 | 1.342927 | 0.694124 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.47928 | 0.67366 | 1.02104 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.58737 | -1.09461 | 0.730587 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.006042 | 0.039194 | -0.19041 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.422485 | 1.325677 | -1.04736 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.21042 | 1.682295 | -0.41443 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.47247 | 0.070462 | -0.27283 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.75297 | -1.42268 | -0.93917 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.09858 | -1.45661 | -0.2375 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.465928 | -0.76355 | 2.186276 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.603853 | 2.156952 | 1.421276 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.12194 | 1.146037 | 1.908394 |
| 14 | 17 | -2.11621 | -1.81761 | 1.515806 |
| 15 | 1 | 2.841062 | -0.27223 | -0.03922 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.98049 | 2.111918 | -1.75053 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.77753 | 2.710207 | -0.61548 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.64976 | 0.238469 | -0.31138 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.33645 | -2.32451 | -1.44869 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.432884 | -2.52118 | -0.26514 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.81137 | 1.203502 | -1.55968 |
| 23 | -2.15295 | -0.29447 | -1.51449 |  |
| 1 | -0.56397 | -1.2854 | -1.48462 |  |

Table 3.2.18. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for $\mathrm{Br}, 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}$ ) coordinates for $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.78035 | -1.20927 | 0.891772 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.41014 | 0.291344 | 0.00000 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.78035 | -1.20927 | -0.89177 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.41029 | -2.70987 | 0.00000 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.44466 | -0.21532 | 1.428076 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.284038 | 0.586579 | 0.00000 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.44466 | -0.21532 | -1.42808 |
| 8 | 5 | -0.44466 | -2.2076 | -1.42335 |


| 9 | 5 | 0.282458 | -3.02129 | 0.0000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 | -0.44466 | -2.2076 | 1.423349 |
| 11 | 1 | -2.71119 | -1.2013 | 1.62605 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.16652 | 1.201238 | 0.00000 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.71119 | -1.2013 | -1.62605 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.18395 | -3.60568 | 0.00000 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.39157 | 0.336251 | 2.471979 |
| 16 | 35 | 1.293567 | 2.26247 | 0.000000 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.39157 | 0.336251 | -2.47198 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.40213 | -2.74345 | -2.47688 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.875877 | -4.04365 | 0.00000 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.40213 | -2.74345 | 2.476881 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.788726 | -0.20207 | 0.96591 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.788726 | -0.20207 | -0.96591 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.799217 | -2.26458 | -0.96945 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.799217 | -2.26458 | 0.969445 |

Table 3.2.19. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for $\mathrm{Br} 6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}$ ) coordinates for 6-Br- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 1.864559 | 1.058905 | 0.969464 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.430649 | 0.17383 | 1.463838 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.918521 | -0.7353 | 1.154745 |
| 4 | 5 | 3.009267 | 0.063259 | -0.02141 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.359074 | 1.454442 | 0.171517 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.56508 | 0.106364 | 0.020499 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.37602 | -1.32284 | 0.51523 |
| 8 | 5 | 2.201222 | -1.46894 | -0.40027 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.474203 | -0.19316 | -1.62471 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.952693 | 1.315274 | -0.79845 |
| 11 | 1 | 2.413542 | 1.844085 | 1.681514 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.01532 | 0.24851 | 2.566688 |
| 13 | 1 | 2.397595 | -1.36318 | 2.048979 |
| 14 | 1 | 4.178097 | 0.151465 | 0.191398 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.08779 | 2.549306 | 0.349313 |
| 16 | 35 | -2.57423 | -0.03565 | -0.18235 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.12199 | -2.36624 | 0.791938 |
| 18 | 1 | 2.707028 | -2.53893 | -0.52164 |


| 19 | 1 | 3.134727 | -0.28739 | -2.60856 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 2.371988 | 2.312625 | -1.29882 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.08984 | -0.94128 | -0.65085 |
| 22 | 1 | 1.64651 | -1.23443 | -1.58877 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.457725 | 0.613947 | -1.83125 |

Table 3.2.20. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for Br, 6-311G(d) for B, H) coordinates for 5- $\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.63126 | -0.40238 | 1.161812 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.51881 | 1.339052 | 0.75458 |
| 3 | 5 | -2.10947 | 0.589344 | 1.029474 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.17808 | -1.16292 | 0.695048 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.458938 | 0.1069 | -0.10627 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.22375 | 1.548987 | -0.9299 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.90607 | 1.671036 | -0.3288 |
| 8 | 5 | -3.01055 | 0.022201 | -0.36283 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.22837 | -1.45744 | -1.00132 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.65814 | -1.56258 | -0.15264 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.09071 | -0.78012 | 2.145439 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.06454 | 2.10453 | 1.533776 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.7834 | 1.017848 | 1.905604 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.72994 | -1.89116 | 1.447136 |
| 15 | 35 | 2.414585 | -0.12389 | -0.02084 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.396612 | 2.371368 | -1.50742 |
| 17 | 1 | -2.46805 | 2.705044 | -0.44726 |
| 18 | 1 | -4.17919 | 0.152727 | -0.48864 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.74607 | -2.31989 | -1.62205 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.06644 | -2.58532 | -0.12942 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.185611 | 0.353404 | -1.37699 |
| 22 | 1 | -1.4049 | 1.422703 | -1.53258 |
| 23 | 1 | -2.57099 | -0.29922 | -1.56883 |
| 24 | 1 | -0.9607 | -1.37666 | -1.42506 |

Table 3.2.21. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for Br, 6-311G(d) for B, H) coordinates for $5-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.58406 | -0.31328 | 1.180185 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.53186 | 1.377649 | 0.694968 |
| 3 | 5 | -2.1061 | 0.636061 | 1.016813 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.12847 | -1.13605 | 0.729946 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.397986 | 0.112984 | -0.18377 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.22865 | 1.371718 | -1.04578 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.87893 | 1.653408 | -0.42039 |
| 8 | 5 | -3.06422 | -0.01679 | -0.27905 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.27323 | -1.47609 | -0.94019 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.61931 | -1.4294 | -0.23358 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.10321 | -0.70521 | 2.196884 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.09153 | 2.214005 | 1.420203 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.77256 | 1.081585 | 1.900217 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.62547 | -1.88131 | 1.514811 |
| 15 | 35 | 2.435653 | -0.11982 | -0.01894 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.296412 | 2.176344 | -1.75213 |
| 17 | 1 | -2.49196 | 2.653752 | -0.62493 |
| 18 | 1 | -4.24753 | 0.097252 | -0.32314 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.8136 | -2.40634 | -1.44575 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.04237 | -2.46963 | -0.25334 |
| 22 | 1 | -1.45589 | 1.192934 | -1.56268 |
| 23 | 1 | -2.72352 | -0.37084 | -1.51965 |
| 1.09239 | -1.28971 | -1.48333 |  |  |

Table 3.2.22. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, 6-311G(d) for B, H) coordinates for 6-I-B ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -2.3907 | -0.89049 | 1.062495 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.8831 | 0.00000 | 1.416587 |
| 3 | 5 | -2.3907 | 0.890493 | 1.062495 |
| 4 | 5 | -3.5559 | 0.000000 | 0.047133 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.8971 | -1.42667 | 0.328184 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.15071 | 0.000000 | 0.038783 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.8971 | 1.426669 | 0.328184 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.6688 | 1.422609 | -0.58272 |
|  | 107 |  |  |  |


| 9 | 5 | -3.0566 | 0.000000 | -1.60202 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 5 | -2.6688 | -1.42261 | -0.58272 |
| 11 | 1 | -2.8091 | -1.62417 | 1.894557 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.4203 | 0.00000 | 2.505457 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.8091 | 1.624168 | 1.894557 |
| 14 | 1 | -4.7064 | 0.00000 | 0.325119 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.385 | -2.47273 | 0.527927 |
| 16 | 53 | 2.31439 | 0.00000 | -0.11272 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.385 | 2.472727 | 0.527926 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.1257 | 2.476128 | -0.86598 |
| 19 | 1 | -3.6929 | 0.00000 | -2.5982 |
| 20 | 1 | -3.1257 | -2.47613 | -0.86598 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.3101 | -0.96404 | -0.76431 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.3101 | 0.964036 | -0.76431 |
| 23 | 1 | -2.1463 | 0.968947 | -1.71236 |
| 24 | 1 | -2.1463 | -0.96895 | -1.71236 |

Table 3.2.23. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}$ ) coordinates for 6-$\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 2.39128 | 1.07279 | 0.936281 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.96292 | 0.202964 | 1.479312 |
| 3 | 5 | 2.44129 | -0.71826 | 1.152277 |
| 4 | 5 | 3.50775 | 0.0551 | -0.06269 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.86762 | 1.463218 | 0.169228 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.0592 | 0.112846 | 0.059574 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.88112 | -1.30934 | 0.560914 |
| 8 | 5 | 2.68661 | -1.48063 | -0.39571 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.932 | -0.22771 | -1.6474 |
| 10 | 5 | 2.44093 | 1.297691 | -0.83778 |
| 11 | 1 | 2.95928 | 1.868414 | 1.620979 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.54509 | 0.298919 | 2.590987 |
| 13 | 1 | 2.93922 | -1.33144 | 2.046232 |
| 14 | 1 | 4.68143 | 0.141632 | 0.121297 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.43128 | 2.563238 | 0.33594 |
| 16 | 53 | -2.2868 | -0.02446 | -0.11515 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.38791 | -2.34829 | 0.859367 |
| 18 | 1 | 3.18412 | -2.55507 | -0.50941 |


| 19 | 1 | 3.56353 | -0.34241 | -2.64773 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1 | 2.84953 | 2.283744 | -1.36775 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.3856 | -0.94049 | -0.6064 |
| 22 | 1 | 2.10057 | -1.26572 | -1.57075 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.91179 | 0.580527 | -1.8397 |

Table 3.2.24. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, 6-311G(d) for B, H) coordinates for 5-$\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.0967 | -0.39272 | 1.162824 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.0139 | 1.348496 | 0.754627 |
| 3 | 5 | -2.5939 | 0.569705 | 1.026752 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.6284 | -1.18322 | 0.694156 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.0155 | 0.135152 | -0.10727 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.7251 | 1.563605 | -0.93002 |
| 7 | 5 | -2.4089 | 1.65643 | -0.32969 |
| 8 | 5 | -3.481 | -0.01562 | -0.36577 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.6696 | -1.48047 | -1.00224 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.0979 | -1.55542 | -0.15019 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.5558 | -0.75992 | 2.150011 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.5782 | 2.122662 | 1.535607 |
| 13 | 1 | -3.2753 | 0.986478 | 1.902847 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.1657 | -1.92115 | 1.447338 |
| 15 | 53 | 2.15634 | -0.07505 | -0.01313 |
| 16 | 1 | -0.1236 | 2.393579 | -1.51637 |
| 17 | 1 | -2.9912 | 2.679238 | -0.44808 |
| 18 | 1 | -4.6512 | 0.093784 | -0.49681 |
| 19 | 1 | -3.1701 | -2.35368 | -1.62204 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.4914 | -2.5691 | -0.12218 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.288 | 0.371075 | -1.37346 |
| 24 | 1 | -1.9059 | 1.413748 | -1.53202 |
| 23 | -3.0321 | -0.33044 | -1.57133 |  |
| 21 | -1.4034 | -1.37831 | -1.42374 |  |

Table 3.2.25. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for B, H) coordinates for 5-
I- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.0564 | -0.30568 | 1.182796 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.029 | 1.385667 | 0.693929 |
| 3 | 5 | -2.5929 | 0.620231 | 1.016855 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.588 | -1.15114 | 0.729633 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.0886 | 0.133167 | -0.18429 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.7284 | 1.384163 | -1.04734 |
| 7 | 5 | -2.3825 | 1.64072 | -0.42123 |
| 8 | 5 | -3.5406 | -0.04598 | -0.27927 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.7293 | -1.49449 | -0.94085 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.0739 | -1.42248 | -0.23554 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.5753 | -0.68955 | 2.201615 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.6012 | 2.228638 | 1.418074 |
| 13 | 1 | -3.2654 | 1.057485 | 1.899478 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.0731 | -1.90396 | 1.514387 |
| 15 | 53 | 2.16917 | -0.07344 | -0.012 |
| 16 | 1 | -0.2171 | 2.192016 | -1.7588 |
| 17 | 1 | -3.0102 | 2.631965 | -0.62484 |
| 18 | 1 | -4.7253 | 0.051117 | -0.32282 |
| 19 | 1 | -3.2579 | -2.43374 | -1.44161 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.4865 | -2.45637 | -0.25325 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.9555 | 1.187628 | -1.56312 |
| 22 | 1 | -3.1971 | -0.39786 | -1.52068 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.5537 | -1.2959 | -1.48615 |

Table 3.2.26. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 6-Cl-9-Et- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.2045 | -1.83777 | 0.890598 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.6483 | -1.28947 | 0.000176 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.2046 | -1.83795 | -0.89033 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.32745 | -1.65951 | 0.000047 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.0277 | -0.39104 | 1.427148 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.7451 | 0.428694 | 0.000035 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.0278 | -0.39128 | -1.42703 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.95144 | -0.63568 | -1.42019 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.88686 | -0.0202 | -0.00013 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.9516 | -0.63543 | 1.420136 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.3246 | -2.76183 | 1.624085 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.6441 | -1.92909 | 0.000257 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.3248 | -2.76216 | -1.62361 |
| 14 | 1 | 2.11826 | -2.54202 | 0.000128 |
| 15 | 1 | -1.5706 | -0.27567 | -2.47098 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.49117 | -0.67212 | -2.47329 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.49143 | -0.67174 | 2.473188 |
| 18 | 1 | 3.87697 | 0.230778 | -0.873 |
| 19 | 1 | 3.87737 | 0.230299 | 0.871985 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.3344 | 0.612021 | -0.00028 |
| 21 | 1 | 2.89006 | 2.571503 | 0.882656 |
| 22 | 1 | 4.41202 | 2.517514 | 0.000001 |
| 23 | 6 | 3.38265 | 2.150745 | 0.000125 |
| 24 | 1 | 2.88967 | 2.57198 | -0.88196 |
| 25 | 1 | -0.89137 | 0.832405 | 0.96398 |
| 26 | 1 | 1.145212 | 0.576913 | 0.969066 |
| 27 | 1 | 1.145154 | 0.576736 | -0.96936 |
| 28 | 1 | -0.89139 | 0.832194 | -0.96409 |
| 29 | 17 | -3.15993 | 1.513003 | -9.2E-05 |
| 30 | 1 | -1.57034 | -0.27519 | 2.471141 |

Table 3.2.27. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) coordinates for 5-Cl-9-Et- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.9332 | 0.527455 | -1.24737 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.7129 | 1.345939 | 0.144711 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.2465 | 2.0359 | -0.58884 |
| 4 | 5 | 0.81397 | 0.855406 | -1.40081 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.4885 | -0.43557 | 0.101645 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.3398 | 0.529608 | 1.614171 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.3677 | 1.963382 | 1.151393 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.30368 | 1.644884 | 0.132675 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.74325 | -0.06137 | -0.26364 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.21725 | -0.75472 | -0.92401 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.5689 | 0.386911 | -2.23721 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.7641 | 1.872867 | 0.01144 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.3109 | 3.128212 | -1.0457 |
| 14 | 1 | 1.27687 | 1.107082 | -2.46214 |
| 15 | 17 | -2.7692 | -1.68582 | -0.03137 |
| 16 | 1 | -1.9900 | 0.383507 | 2.589747 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.4037 | 2.911693 | 1.85776 |
| 18 | 1 | 2.17946 | 2.43134 | 0.259103 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.2622 | -1.75282 | -1.55789 |
| 20 | 1 | 3.91287 | 0.112096 | -0.4724 |
| 21 | 1 | 3.25032 | -1.30821 | -1.23989 |
| 22 | 6 | 3.18689 | -0.69706 | -0.33222 |
| 23 | 1 | 2.92341 | -2.40032 | 1.024694 |
| 24 | 1 | 4.60500 | -1.94839 | 0.768457 |
| 25 | 6 | 3.595088 | -1.54746 | 0.884351 |
| 26 | 1 | 3.583558 | -0.96445 | 1.810934 |
| 27 | 1 | -0.86661 | -0.66364 | 1.259816 |
| 28 | 1 | 0.862434 | -1.0066 | 0.18091 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.614449 | 0.636379 | 0.899358 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.12971 | 0.945724 | 1.973905 |

Table 3.2.28. DFT optimized coordinates (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) for 6-Cl-9-Et-B $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.2995 | -1.8636 | 0.923275 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.688 | -1.34147 | -0.01634 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.2333 | -1.86338 | -0.8791 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.27422 | -1.62956 | 0.057196 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.0922 | -0.38411 | 1.411501 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.6748 | 0.413825 | 0.095339 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.0773 | -0.39234 | -1.38407 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.94621 | -0.69826 | -1.41252 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.81229 | -0.00284 | 0.002947 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.77411 | -0.51255 | 1.394123 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.3174 | -2.83678 | 1.614722 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.691 | -1.98327 | -0.07715 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.3113 | -2.80157 | -1.61242 |
| 14 | 1 | 2.09802 | -2.49039 | 0.117424 |
| 15 | 1 | -1.5696 | -0.22561 | -2.45402 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.51007 | -0.79315 | -2.4573 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.33856 | -0.45919 | 2.444195 |
| 18 | 1 | 3.8671 | 0.129209 | -0.79525 |
| 19 | 1 | 3.79024 | 0.185001 | 0.9479 |
| 20 | 6 | 3.30302 | 0.561978 | 0.040438 |
| 21 | 1 | 2.91794 | 2.562439 | 0.843947 |
| 22 | 1 | 4.47788 | 2.421029 | 0.030349 |
| 23 | 6 | 3.43204 | 2.093892 | -0.00181 |
| 24 | 1 | 2.9909 | 2.509317 | -0.91455 |
| 25 | 1 | 1.010816 | 0.66665 | 0.824477 |
| 26 | 1 | 1.153035 | 0.549614 | -1.03304 |
| 27 | 1 | -0.80984 | 0.775471 | -0.86072 |
| 28 | 17 | -3.05511 | 1.623985 | -0.01699 |
| 29 | 1 | -1.63797 | -0.38022 | 2.47661 |

Table 3.2.29. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 5-Cl-9-Et- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.002 | 0.515747 | -1.21275 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.713 | 1.375768 | 0.149023 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.2444 | 2.026725 | -0.58905 |
| 4 | 5 | 0.7795 | 0.776076 | -1.3669 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.4692 | -0.41328 | 0.181739 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.2852 | 0.440414 | 1.583987 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.3857 | 1.930154 | 1.176041 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.32309 | 1.655712 | 0.070026 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.72348 | -0.07247 | -0.21341 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.13355 | -0.77769 | -0.70206 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.5642 | 0.38625 | -2.25553 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.752 | 1.949644 | 0.040532 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.2858 | 3.11174 | -1.08373 |
| 14 | 1 | 1.24096 | 0.944384 | -2.45382 |
| 15 | 17 | -2.843 | -1.66227 | -0.02309 |
| 16 | 1 | -1.836 | 0.342166 | 2.638137 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.3357 | 2.878001 | 1.89568 |
| 18 | 1 | 2.20023 | 2.459475 | 0.124525 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.22023 | -1.82422 | -1.26418 |
| 20 | 1 | 3.88826 | 0.070022 | -0.62766 |
| 21 | 1 | 3.14774 | -1.37842 | -1.26106 |
| 22 | 6 | 3.16928 | -0.72174 | -0.38291 |
| 23 | 1 | 3.01418 | -2.34783 | 1.075273 |
| 24 | 1 | 4.67818 | -1.94506 | 0.64763 |
| 25 | 6 | 3.684669 | -1.51825 | 0.827713 |
| 26 | 1 | 3.759949 | -0.88908 | 1.72165 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.880792 | -0.90912 | 0.38853 |
| 28 | 1 | 1.696589 | 0.694007 | 0.893765 |
| 29 | 1 | -0.04761 | 0.870743 | 1.860716 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.2.30. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, $6-311 G(d)$ for B, H, C) coordinates for $5-\mathrm{I}-9-\mathrm{Et}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.3467 | 0.622851 | 1.318614 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.13249 | 1.995063 | 0.272394 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.5329 | 1.886942 | 0.896882 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.0859 | 0.232456 | 1.259487 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.61879 | 0.310934 | -0.10507 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.2004 | 1.507247 | -1.37658 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.2841 | 2.311501 | -0.78045 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.7566 | 1.154791 | -0.12145 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.5098 | -0.63486 | -0.18087 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.8707 | -0.86031 | 0.540718 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.2234 | 0.474222 | 2.345823 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.87666 | 2.821233 | 0.676509 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.9308 | 2.771265 | 1.579219 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.67 | 0.009888 | 2.266254 |
| 15 | 53 | 2.64403 | -0.50914 | -0.03323 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.90425 | 1.859746 | -2.25707 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.5829 | 3.352048 | -1.25771 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.8566 | 1.581071 | -0.20672 |
| 19 | 1 | -0.5662 | -1.93262 | 0.935746 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.23259 | 0.16659 | -1.35774 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.0542 | 1.518137 | -1.82088 |
| 22 | 1 | -2.6094 | 0.337598 | -1.12838 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.3025 | -1.03677 | -0.67535 |
| 24 | 6 | -3.5673 | -1.7759 | -0.46795 |
| 25 | 1 | -3.30869 | -2.63487 | 0.162719 |
| 26 | 1 | -3.46141 | -2.14002 | -1.49844 |
| 27 | 6 | -5.03378 | -1.38199 | -0.22254 |
| 28 | 1 | -5.35281 | -0.57061 | -0.88204 |
| 29 | 1 | -5.69964 | -2.23013 | -0.40188 |
| 30 | 1 | -5.19314 | -1.04793 | 0.805641 |

Table 3.2.31. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for B, H, C) coordinates for $5-\mathrm{I}-9-\mathrm{Et}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.31852 | 0.623533 | 1.283883 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.116869 | 2.005003 | 0.281624 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.54429 | 1.881603 | 0.879875 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.07159 | 0.194999 | 1.175456 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.553839 | 0.32371 | -0.18188 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.191151 | 1.40099 | -1.37598 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.23799 | 2.315423 | -0.81469 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.79537 | 1.180009 | -0.1051 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.52998 | -0.58887 | -0.28237 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.83024 | -0.79948 | 0.303386 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.158916 | 0.426831 | 2.356427 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.847546 | 2.852613 | 0.688808 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.95486 | 2.741252 | 1.597489 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.64234 | -0.10383 | 2.17886 |
| 15 | 53 | 2.66611 | -0.50412 | -0.01767 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.817399 | 1.777479 | -2.3176 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.58706 | 3.343089 | -1.30493 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.89882 | 1.623577 | -0.12862 |
| 19 | 1 | -0.56533 | -1.91986 | 0.604529 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.09267 | 1.436529 | -1.76616 |
| 21 | 1 | -2.71291 | 0.407691 | -1.17066 |
| 22 | 1 | -1.39084 | -0.88888 | -0.89747 |
| 23 | 6 | -3.60653 | -1.74513 | -0.51402 |
| 24 | 1 | -3.25053 | -2.63793 | 0.01482 |
| 25 | 1 | -3.63408 | -2.03535 | -1.57381 |
| 26 | 6 | -5.03486 | -1.42008 | -0.0499 |
| 27 | 1 | -5.45058 | -0.56615 | -0.593 |
| 28 | 1 | -5.71239 | -2.26838 | -0.2028 |
| 29 | 1 | -5.05621 | -1.16368 | 1.012728 |

Table 3.2.32. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, 6 -311G(d) for B, H, C) coordinates for $6-\mathrm{I}-9-\mathrm{Et}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 1.408372 | -2.03289 | 0.890198 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.13634 | -1.91399 | 0.000344 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.408251 | -2.03324 | -0.88967 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.825081 | -1.42592 | 0.000053 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.210002 | -0.88012 | 1.426251 |
| 6 | 5 | -0.70437 | -0.28917 | 0.000055 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.20979 | -0.88067 | -1.42607 |
| 8 | 5 | 2.174311 | -0.5508 | -1.41999 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.89401 | 0.304857 | -0.00026 |
| 10 | 5 | 2.174482 | -0.55023 | 1.419763 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.556162 | -2.95239 | 1.624361 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.9071 | -2.81173 | 0.000482 |
| 13 | 1 | 1.555961 | -2.95312 | -1.62338 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.835103 | -2.0456 | 0.000115 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.3386 | -0.91517 | -2.47254 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.701825 | -0.43219 | -2.47317 |
| 17 | 1 | 2.702171 | -0.43116 | 2.472791 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.02705 | 0.338793 | -0.96127 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.012255 | 0.666348 | -0.96963 |
| 20 | 1 | 2.012092 | 0.666627 | 0.968917 |
| 21 | 6 | 4.112204 | 1.309938 | -0.00035 |
| 22 | 1 | 4.737745 | 1.088872 | -0.87287 |
| 23 | 1 | 4.738038 | 1.088642 | 0.871918 |
| 24 | 6 | 3.744927 | 2.804599 | 0.000094 |
| 25 | 1 | 3.158601 | 3.078243 | 0.883089 |
| 26 | 1 | 4.63862 | 3.433558 | -0.00063 |
| 27 | 1 | 3.157024 | 3.078443 | -0.88178 |
| 28 | 53 | -2.72883 | 0.49529 | -4.2E-05 |
| 29 | 1 | -0.33824 | -0.91414 | 2.472822 |
| 30 | 1 | -0.02724 | 0.339201 | 0.961159 |

Table 3.2.33. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, $6-311 \mathrm{G}(\mathrm{d})$ for $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}$ ) coordinates for $6-\mathrm{I}-9-\mathrm{Et}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.43825 | 1.710732 | 1.142081 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.1077 | 1.89798 | 0.323727 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.37788 | 2.069056 | -0.62482 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.76338 | 1.152867 | 0.042903 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.20108 | 0.51458 | 1.469568 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.698779 | 0.261608 | 0.120777 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.0288 | 1.113513 | -1.25778 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.02562 | 0.709351 | -1.50377 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.67985 | -0.513 | -0.35966 |
| 10 | 5 | -2.00096 | 0.018329 | 1.225524 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.80956 | 2.462687 | 1.991423 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.813714 | 2.838463 | 0.514931 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.59515 | 3.109208 | -1.16721 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.83879 | 1.660494 | 0.135993 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.550639 | 1.343667 | -2.26928 |
| 16 | 1 | -2.51386 | 0.821043 | -2.58387 |
| 17 | 1 | -2.56531 | -0.4292 | 2.174522 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.117511 | -0.17262 | -0.98274 |
| 19 | 1 | -1.78652 | -0.57703 | -1.37147 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.74682 | -1.02291 | 0.447241 |
| 21 | 6 | -3.85577 | -1.55181 | -0.65929 |
| 22 | 1 | -3.44099 | -2.54132 | -0.89763 |
| 23 | 1 | -4.37145 | -1.22913 | -1.5727 |
| 24 | 6 | -4.88729 | -1.7082 | 0.469748 |
| 25 | 1 | -5.34476 | -0.74784 | 0.722256 |
| 26 | 1 | -5.6907 | -2.39906 | 0.188296 |
| 27 | 1 | -4.42665 | -2.09209 | 1.383995 |
| 28 | 53 | 2.800412 | -0.50194 | -0.01984 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.231885 | 0.463468 | 2.582483 |

Table 3.2.34. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for the isomerization of 6-F- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Free Energy ( $\mathbf{\Delta G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{G}$ (in | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |  | $\mathbf{G}$ (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |  |
| $\mathbf{6 F}$ | -356.218740 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{6 F}$ | -355.724520 | 0.00 |
| $\mathbf{5 F}$ | -356.218539 | 0.13 | $\mathbf{5 F}^{-}$ | -355.722225 | 1.44 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\mathbf{\Delta E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{E}$ (in Hartrees) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6 F}$ | -356.181139 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{6 F}^{-}$ | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| $\mathbf{5 F}$ | -356.181056 | 0.05 | $\mathbf{5 F}^{-}$ | -355.686966 | 0.00 |

Table 3.2.35. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for the isomerization of 6-$\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G (in | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |
| 6 Cl | -716.562203 | 0.00 | $6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -716.075427 | 0.00 |
| 5Cl | -716.561951 | 0.16 | $5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -716.076238 | -0.51 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| 6 Cl | -716.523473 | 0.00 | $6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -716.036704 | 0.00 |
| 5Cl | -716.523347 | 0.08 | $5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -716.037670 | -0.61 |

Table 3.2.36. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for B and H atoms, and the SDD psuedopotential for Br , for the isomerization of $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Free Energy ( $\mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G (in | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |
| 6 Br | -269.710274 | 0.00 | $6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | -269.225964 | 0.00 |
| 5 Br | -269.710066 | 0.13 | 5Br ${ }^{-}$ | -269.227775 | -1.14 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| 6 Br | -269.670158 | 0.00 | 6Br ${ }^{-}$ | -269.185890 | 0.00 |
| 5 Br | -269.670197 | -0.02 | 5Br ${ }^{-}$ | -269.187876 | -1.25 |

Table 3.2.27. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for the isomerization of 6-$\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G (in | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |
| 6 Br | -2830.480242 | 0.00 | $6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | -2829.994781 | 0.00 |
| 5 Br | -2830.479833 | 0.25 | ${ }^{5} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | -2829.996097 | -0.83 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ |
| 6 Br | -2830.440131 | 0.00 | $6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$ | -2829.954739 | 0.00 |
| 5 Br | -2830.439962 | 0.11 | 5Br ${ }^{-}$ | -2829.956202 | -0.92 |

Table 3.2.38. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for B and H atoms, and the SDD psuedopotential for I, for the isomerization of 6-I- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G (in | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |
| 6 I | -267.742269 | 0.00 | $\mathbf{6 I}^{-}$ | -267.260231 | 0.00 |
| 5I | -267.742367 | -0.06 | $5 I^{-}$ | -267.262667 | -1.53 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\mathbf{\Delta E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| 6 I | -267.701465 | 0.00 | $6{ }^{-}$ | -267.219251 | 0.00 |
| 5I | -267.701618 | -0.10 | $5 \mathrm{I}^{-}$ | -267.221864 | -1.64 |

Table 3.2.39. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for the isomerization of 6-Cl-9-Et-B ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |
| 6-Cl-9-Et | -795.158218 | 0.00 | 6-Cl-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -794.669352 | 0.00 |
| 5-Cl-9-Et | -795.159263 | -0.66 | 5-Cl-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -794.669447 | -0.06 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| 6-Cl-9-Et | -795.120428 | 0.00 | 6-Cl-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -794.631196 | 0.00 |
| 5-Cl-9-Et | -795.121399 | -0.61 | $5-\mathrm{Cl}-9-\mathrm{Et}^{-}$ | -794.631332 | -0.09 |

Table 3.2.40. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 333 \mathrm{~K}\left(60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ ) at B3LYP/6-311G(d) for B, C and H atoms, and the SDD psuedopotential for I , for the isomerization of 6-I-9-Et- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$.

| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | G (in | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |
| 6-I-9-Et | -346.345708 | 0.00 | 6-I-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -345.859158 | 0.00 |
| 5-I-9-Et | -346.345105 | 0.38 | 5-I-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -345.862464 | -2.07 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |
| 6-I-9-Et | -346.299631 | 0.00 | 6-I-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -345.812887 | 0.00 |
| 5-I-9-Et | -346.298866 | 0.48 | 5-I-9-Et ${ }^{-}$ | -345.815891 | -1.89 |

### 3.3 Results and Discussion

3.3.1 Photochemical Isomerization of 6I to 5I. UV-vis spectroscopy revealed that the 6X and $\mathbf{5 X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ derivatives and the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ had absorption maxima between 250 and 350 nm . However, while neither $\mathbf{6 B r}$ nor $\mathbf{6 C l}$ were photochemically reactive, ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis showed that ultraviolet irradiation of pentane solutions of $\sim 30-50 \mathrm{mg}$ samples of $\mathbf{6 I}$ for 24 h at room temperature gave quantitative conversions to 5 I (Eq. 1). Reaction workup with product recrystallization from cold pentane gave $\sim 80 \%$ isolated yields of pure $\mathbf{5 I}$.

(1)

Although small scale $\mathbf{6 I}$ photolysis reactions were quite suitable for $\mathbf{5 I}$ syntheses, larger scale reactions proved to be less satisfactory, requiring substantially longer times and giving lower $\mathbf{5 I}$ yields as a result of the formation of other unidentified side-products.
3.3.2 Base Catalyzed Isomerizations of $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ and $\mathbf{5 - X}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. The syntheses of the $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ halodecaboranes were readily achieved by treatment of their corresponding $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ isomers with catalytic amounts (3\%) of triethylamine (TEA) at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Eq. 2).


The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra in Figure 3.3.1 monitored the progress of the isomerization of $\mathbf{6 I}$ to 5I. Figure 3.3.1a shows the spectrum of pure $\mathbf{6 I}$ immediately after the addition of TEA. The reaction can be followed by the appearance of the B5 singlet resonance of 5I ( -15.2 ppm ) and the corresponding decrease of the B6 singlet resonance of $\mathbf{6 I}(-8.2$ ppm). After 20 min , (Figure 3.3.1b) the -15.2 ppm resonance was clearly evident and after 40 min (Figure 3.3.1c) there were nearly equal amounts of $\mathbf{6 I}$ and 5I. No change in the ratio of the two isomers ( $\sim 86: 14 \mathbf{5 I} / \mathbf{6 I}$ ) was observed after 80 min (Figure 3.3.1e). Recrystallization of the mixture yielded pure 5I, the spectrum of which is shown in Figure 3.3.1f. The supernatant solution from the recrystallization, a solution enriched in 6I, was subjected to a second isomerization reaction with TEA and workup. The total isolated yield of $\mathbf{5 I}$ after two isomerizations was $68 \%$.

A second photolytic-step could also be used to drive the TEA-catalyzed isomerization of $\mathbf{6 I}$ to completion. For example, in one experiment 500 mg of $\mathbf{6 I}$ was
initially isomerized with $3 \%$ TEA to yield $309 \mathrm{mg}(62 \%)$ of recrystallized 5I. When the supernatant material from the recrystallization, which contained a mixture of $\mathbf{6 I}$ and $\mathbf{5 I}$, was then irradiated for 24 h in dry, degassed pentane, near quantitative conversion to 5I was observed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. Recrystallization from this solution then gave an additional 101 mg of $\mathbf{5 I}$, for a total isolated yield from the two steps of $410 \mathrm{mg}(82 \%)$ of pure $\mathbf{5 I}$.

Both $\mathbf{6 B r}$ and $\mathbf{6 C l}$ were also found to undergo TEA-catalyzed isomerizations to their $\mathbf{5 B r}$ and $\mathbf{5 C l}$ isomers. After 6 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis of the $\mathbf{6 B r}$ reaction indicated the formation of an $\sim 82: 18$ ratio $\mathbf{5 B r} / \mathbf{6 B r}$ mixture. Separation of $\mathbf{5 B r}$ by selective crystallization, followed by a second round of isomerization and crystallization of the supernatant mixture, gave a combined $83 \%$ isolated yield of $\mathbf{5 B r}$. Reaction of $\mathbf{6 C l}$ with TEA for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ produced a $\sim 78: 22$ ratio $\mathbf{5 C l} / \mathbf{C l}$ mixture. $\mathbf{5 C l}$ was most easily separated from this mixture by column chromatography. After isolation of pure $\mathbf{5 C l}$, fractions from the column containing $\mathbf{6 C l}$ and mixtures of $\mathbf{5 C l}$ and $\mathbf{6 C l}$ were combined and subjected to a second isomerization and chromatographic separation to ultimately give a $71 \%$ total yield of pure $\mathbf{5 C l}$.


Figure 3.3.1. Isomerization of $\mathbf{6 I}$ with $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ TEA in toluene at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ monitored by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR after: (a) $0 \mathrm{~min},(\mathbf{b}) 20 \mathrm{~min},(\mathbf{c}) 40 \mathrm{~min},(\mathbf{d}) 60 \mathrm{~min},(\mathbf{e}) 80 \mathrm{~min}$, and (f) recrystallized, pure 5I. * indicates 6-boron resonance in 6I; \# indicates 5-boron resonance in 5I.

The melting points and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}^{6}$ and $\mathrm{IR}^{10}$ spectra of $\mathbf{5 I}, \mathbf{5 B r}$, and $\mathbf{5 C l}$ match their reported values. COSY ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ 2D NMR allowed for the assignment of ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ resonances. Figure 3.3.2 allows for definitive assignment of all resonances in 5Br. The B5 resonance, a singlet in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum, is unambiguously assigned as the peak at $\sim 2.0 \mathrm{ppm}$. This peak shows the expected cross-peaks with B2, B1 and weak cross-peaks with B6 and B10. The weakness of the cross-peak with B6 is not unusual as cross-peaks between neighboring boron atoms are weak, or often absent, when the two are bridged by hydrogen. ${ }^{16}$ For this reason, the B6 resonance lacks the expected cross-peak with B7, and the B9 resonance lacks cross-peaks with anything, save B4. The weakness of the cross-peak between B5 and B10 is on account of the longer length of the B5-B10 bond (1.978(7) Å, discussed later). Cross-peaks between B8 and B7 are weak for this reason as well (B8-B7, 1.986(6) A). The coincident B1/B3 peak shows cross-peaks with all resonances except B6 and B9, and the B4 and B2 resonances each show the appropriate cross-peaks to boron atoms on their respective side of the molecule.

In the COSY ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} 2 \mathrm{D}$ spectrum of $\mathbf{5 I}$ (Figure 3.3.3), the halogenated B 5 has moved upfield, as found in the series of 6-halodecaboranes. ${ }^{3}$ The B5-B6 cross-peak is not evident in this spectrum, on account of the bridging-hydrogen between them; however, the B5 resonance still shows the expected cross-peaks with B1, B2 and B10. In this spectrum, the B6 and B9 resonances, differentiated by cross-peaks with B2 and B4, respectively, have swapped relative position with the B6 resonance coming at lower field. Likewise, the B1 and B3 peaks, coincident in the spectrum of $\mathbf{5 B r}$, are separate in the $\mathbf{5 I}$ spectrum, with the B1 resonance occupying lower field.


Figure 3.3.2. $\operatorname{COSY}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ 2D NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 B r}$.


Figure 3.3.3. $\operatorname{COSY}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ 2D NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{5 I}$.

The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of the $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{X}$ compounds are consistent with $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry, displaying 9 terminal B-H resonances (the two furthest downfield are coincident) and 4 individual bridging hydrogens, with 3 upfield and one downfield of 0.0 ppm . As shown in Figure 3.3.4, ${ }^{11}$ B- ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HCOR 2D NMR allowes for the assignment of these bridging protons, as well as the other proton resonances. The downfield bridging resonance is the bridge between the substituted B5 and B6. The intermediate two bridging resonances are each connected to a neighbor of B5, and the highest field resonance ( $\mu \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{B} 8-\mathrm{B} 9$ ) is the furthest from the halogenated vertex.

The proposed structures of $\mathbf{5 I}$ and $\mathbf{5 B r}$ were crystallographically confirmed, as shown in the ORTEP drawings in Figure 3.3.5. The halogen identity and position seem to have little effect on the B-B bonding within the cage, as evidenced by the fact the corresponding cage distances and angles in $\mathbf{5 I}, \mathbf{5 B r}, \mathbf{6 I}$ and $\mathbf{6 B r}$ are all quite similar. However, the B-X distances in $\mathbf{5 B r}(1.958(4) \AA$ and $1.945(4) \AA$ for the two independent molecules) and 5I (2.166(5) $\AA$ ) are longer (either greater than, or just under $3 \sigma$ ) than those of $\mathbf{6 B r}(1.929(4) \AA$ ) and $\mathbf{6 I}(2.143(3) \AA)$, respectively, suggesting less halogen $\pi$ backbonding to the cage ${ }^{3}$ and potentially greater reactivity for $\mathbf{5 I}$ and $\mathbf{5 B r}$. Analysis of populated molecular orbitals confirm the existence of $\pi$-character in the B-X bond (Figure 3.3.6).


Figure 3.3.4. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ HCOR 2D NMR of $\mathbf{6 B r}$. The vertical axis shows the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum; the horizontal axis shows the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure 3.3.5. ORTEP drawings of the crystallographically determined structure of 5I (top) and one of the two independent structures of $\mathbf{5 B r}$ (bottom). Selected bond lengths (A) and bond angles (deg): 5I: B5-I, 2.166(5); B5-B6, 1.788(7); B6-B7, 1.781(9); B7-B8, 1.986(9); B8-B9, 1.784(8); B9-B10, 1.789(7); B10-B5, 1.968(7); B6-B2, 1.715(7); B9B4, 1.729(7); I-B5-B6, 118.7(3); B2-B5-B6, 57.4(3); B4-B10-B9, 57.9(3); B5-B6-B7, 104.8(4); B8-B9-B10, 104.8(3). 5Br: B5-Br, 1.958(4); B5-B6, 1.787(6); B6-B7, $1.766(6) ; \mathrm{B} 7-\mathrm{B} 8,1.986(6)$; B8-B9, 1.806(7); B9-B10, 1.768(7); B10-B5, 1.978(7); B6B2, 1.724(6); B9-B4, 1.729(7); Br-B5-B6, 120.4(3); B2-B5-B6, 57.8(2); B4-B10-B9, 58.1(3); B5-B6-B7, 104.3(3); B8-B9-B10, 105.4(3).


Figure 3.3.6. One molecular orbital $(M O=47)$ contributing to $B-X \pi$-backbonding in $\mathbf{5 B r}$ and $\mathbf{6 B r}$, calculated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level of theory.

When pure samples of $\mathbf{5 C l}, \mathbf{5 B r}$ and $\mathbf{5 I}$ were reacted for 12 h with $4 \mathrm{~mol} \%$ of TEA in toluene at $60^{\circ} \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{5 X} / \mathbf{6 X}$ mixtures were again produced (Eq. 3) with the observed isomer ratios identical to those obtained in the reactions starting with the 6-X-isomer (Eq. 2). This result suggests that these ratios correspond to thermodynamic equilibrium mixtures of the two isomers.


However, as can be seen in Figure 3.3.7, DFT calculations of the relative free energy of the $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ isomers, show that isomerization is nearly energetically neutral for these compounds, with the largest energy difference of +0.25 $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ for the 6 Br reaction in fact favoring the 6 Br isomer. Based on these calculations, an equilibrium ratio near 1:1 would have been expected rather than the observed ratios favoring the $\mathbf{5 X}$ isomers.


Figure 3.3.7. DFT optimized geometries of $6-\mathrm{X}-$ and $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and the calculated free energy changes for the isomerization of $6-\mathrm{X}-$ to $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Optimization and free energy calculation utilized the B3LYP/6-311G(d) basis set. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Optimization and free energy calculation utilized the B3LYP/6-311G(d) basis set for all H and B atoms, and the SDD pseudopotential for all halogen electrons

The questions that then arise are: (1) What is the activating role of the bases in these isomerization reactions? and (2) What determines the equilibrium isomer ratio? Decaborane is known to form adducts at the B6 and B9 positions with strong Lewis bases. ${ }^{17}$ On the other hand, strong Brønsted bases readily abstract an acidic bridginghydrogen to produce the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$anion. ${ }^{18}$ A reaction of $6 \mathbf{I}$ with a catalytic amount of dibutylsulfide, a strong Lewis but weak Brønsted base, ${ }^{19}$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days gave only a trace of 5I. A reaction of $\mathbf{6 I}$ with triphenylphosphine, also a strong Lewis base but a somewhat better Brønsted base than dibutylsulfide, ${ }^{20}$ reached $60 \% \mathbf{5 I}$ after 12 h at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathbf{8 5 \%} \mathbf{5 I}$ after 20 h . This reaction was substantially slower than the TEA (stronger Brønsted base, $\mathrm{pK}_{\mathrm{a}}=10.68^{21}$ ) catalyzed isomerization, which was complete after only 80 min. Amines with greater (diisopropylethylamine) or lesser (propylamine) steric bulk but comparable Brønsted basicity ${ }^{19,22}$ showed nearly identical rates and yields as TEA, again providing evidence that adduct formation (i.e. Lewis basicity) is not a driving force in the isomerization. Further support for this hypothesis was found by the observation that $\mathbf{6 I}$ also isomerized in the presence of catalytic amounts of tetrabutylammonium chloride to form the $\sim 87: 13 \mathbf{5 I} / 6 \mathrm{I}$ ratio after 10 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. While HCl is a strong acid in water, it is only partially disassociated in many organic solvents (for example in dichloroethane: pKa $=10.8, \mathrm{HCl})^{23}$ and it has been previously demonstrated that the Brønsted basicity of chloride ion is sufficient to deprotonate decaborane in organic solvents. ${ }^{8}$ It is also significant that no halogen exchange was seen in the $6 \mathbf{I}$ isomerizations with the chloride ion, providing evidence that halo dissociation/association is not a step in the halo isomerization reaction.

When the $\mathbf{6 X}$ and $\mathbf{5 X}$ compounds were each reacted with stoichiometric amounts of the non-nucleophilic, strong Brønsted base ( $\mathrm{pKa} \sim 12$ ) bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene, Proton Sponge (PS), ${ }^{24}$ immediate deprotonation to form their 6-X- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\left(6 \mathbf{X}^{-}\right)$and 5-$\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\left(\mathbf{5} \mathrm{X}^{-}\right)$anions resulted. DFT calculations showed that the structures shown in Figure 3.3.8a for $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and Figure 3.3.9a for $\mathbf{5 C l}{ }^{-}$, where deprotonation occurred at a site adjacent to the halogen-substituted borons, are the energetically favored isomers for these anions. As can be seen in Figures 3.3.8b and 3.3.9b, crystallographic determinations of the $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$salts confirmed these predictions. The intracage distances and angles in both anions are similar to those found in the crystallographic determinations of the parent $\left[\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{NH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]^{25}$ and $\left[\mathrm{PhCH}_{2} \mathrm{NMe}_{3}{ }^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]^{26}$ salts with the unbridged B5-B6 distances ( $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}, 1.631(3) \AA{ }^{\circ} ; \mathbf{5 C l}^{-}, 1.644(3) \AA$ ) significantly shortened relative to those of the hydrogen-bridged B6-B7, B8-B9 and B9-B10 borons. The B5-B10 distance in $\mathbf{5 C l}^{-}(1.844(3) \AA)$ is also considerably shortened relative to its corresponding B7-B8 distance (2.208(3) $\AA$ ). The B5-Cl distance in $5^{-1}(1.844(2) \AA)$ is significantly longer than the $\mathrm{B} 6-\mathrm{Cl}$ distance in $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}(1.811(2) \AA)$ with both of the distances being longer than the B6-Cl distance of $\mathbf{6 C l}(1.764(2) \AA)^{3}$ suggesting reduced Cl to $\mathrm{B} \pi$ back-donation in the more electron-rich anions.


Figure 3.3.8. (top) DFT (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) optimized geometry and (bottom) crystallographically determined structure of $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): (top) B6-Cl, 1.838; B5-B6, 1.645; B6-B7, 1.789; B7-B8, 2.046; B8-B9, 1.790; B9-B10, 1.800; B10-B5, 1.867; B6-B2, 1.760; B9-B4, 1.711; B2-B5, 1.820; B1-B5, 1.748; B1-B10, 1.788; B1-B4, 1.812; B3-B7, 1.771; B3-B8, 1.741; B1-B3, 1.804; Cl-B6B2, 130.65; B7-B6-B5, 109.03; B8-B9-B10, 103.67; Cl-B6-B5, 129.41; Cl-B6-B7, 119.72; B6-B5-B10, 112.19; B6-B7-B8, 114.20; B7-B8-B9, 113.13; B5-B10-B9, 123.40. (bottom) B6-Cl, 1.811(2); B5-B6, 1.631(3); B6-B7, 1.781(3); B7-B8, 2.019(3); B8-B9, $1.772(4) ; \mathrm{B} 9-\mathrm{B} 10,1.787(3)$; B10-B5, 1.862(3): B6-B2, 1.752(3); B9-B4, 1.709(3); B2B5, 1.798(3); B1-B5, 1.738(3); B1-B10, 1.783(3); B1-B4, 1.805(3); B3-B7, 1.761(3); B3-B8, 1.742(3); B1-B3, 1.793(3); Cl-B6-B2, 129.80(14); B7-B6-B5, 108.46(16); B8-B9-B10, 103.65(15); Cl-B6-B5, 128.63(15); Cl-B6-B7, 120.70(14); B6-B5-B10, 111.47(15); B6-B7-B8, 114.84(15); B7-B8-B9, 112.95(15); B5-B10-B9, 123.83(16).


Figure 3.3.9. (top) DFT (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) optimized geometry and (bottom) crystallographically determined structure of $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{C l}^{-}$. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ) and bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ): (top) B5-Cl, 1.867; B5-B6, 1.652; B6-B7, 1.787; B7-B8, 2.052; B8-B9, 1.786; B9-B10, 1.797; B10-B5, 1.860; B6-B2, 1.767; B9-B4, 1.710; B2-B5, 1.805; B2-B7, 1.768; B1-B5, 1.739; B1-B10, 1.797; B1-B4, 1.807; B3-B7, 1.775; B3-B8, 1.739; B1-B3, 1.801; Cl-B5-B2, 124.08; B7-B6-B5, 107.12; B8-B9-B10, 103.94; Cl-B5-B1, 118.00; Cl-B5-B6, 121.26; B6-B5-B10, 113.78; B6-B7-B8, 115.44; B7-B8-B9, 112.52; B5-B10-B9, 122.77. (bottom) B5-Cl, 1.844(2); B5-B6, 1.644(3); B6-B7, 1.775(3); B7-B8, 2.028(3); B8-B9, 1.773(3); B9-B10, 1.791(3); B10-B5, 1.844(3): B6-B2, 1.754(3); B9-B4, $1.702(3) ;$ B2-B5, $1.790(3) ;$ B2-B7, 1.764(3); B1-B5, 1.737(3); B1-B10, 1.796(3); B1-B4, 1.804(3); B3-B7, 1.770(3); B3-B8, 1.737(3); B1-B3, 1.787(3); Cl-B5-B2, 124.80(13); B7-B6-B5, 106.75(16); B8-B9-B10, 103.96(15); Cl-B5-B1, 117.67(13); Cl-B5-B6, 121.75(14); B6-B5-B10, 113.82(15); B6-B7-B8, 116.19(15); B7-B8-B9, 112.06(14); B5-B10-B9, 122.59(15).

Figure 3.3.10a shows the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6 B r}$, while Figure 3.3.10b is that of $6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$resulting from its reaction with one equivalent of PS . The $\mathbf{6 B r}{ }^{-}$solution was held at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichlorobenzene and its isomerization to $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{B r}^{-}$monitored over time. Since the 6 B resonance in $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$and the 5 B resonance in $\mathbf{5} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$are coincident ( $\sim 25 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), the progress of the isomerization can be most easily followed through the appearance of the 4.5 ppm resonance of $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{B r}^{-}$along with the corresponding disappearance of the -2.0 ppm resonance of $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Br}^{-}$. After 90 min at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, equal amounts of the two anions were present (Figure 3.3.10d), and after 130 min , no further change in their relative amounts was observed (Figure 3.3.10e). Acidification at this point yielded same $\sim 82: 18 \mathbf{5 B r}: \mathbf{6 B r}$ ratio mixture that was found for the $\mathbf{6 B r}$ isomerizations catalyzed with TEA (Figure
3.3.10f).


Figure 3.3.10. Deprotonation of $\mathbf{6 B r}$ and isomerization of resultant $6 \mathrm{Br}^{-}$at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in dichlorobenzene monitored by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR. (a) 6Br, (b) $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$, 0 min ; (c) 60 $\min ;(\mathbf{d}) 90 \mathrm{~min} ;(\mathbf{e}) 130 \mathrm{~min} ;(\mathbf{f})$ acidified mixture after 130 min .

The DFT calculated free energies for $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$isomerization to $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 3.3.11) range from a positive value for $\mathbf{6 F}$, to progressively more negative values as the halogen is changed from Cl to Br to I . This trend is consistent with the experimental results, in that the TEA-catalyzed reaction of $\mathbf{6 F}$ gave only trace isomerization, while the reactions of $\mathbf{6 C l}, \mathbf{6 B r}$ and $\mathbf{6 I}$ gave progressively higher equilibrium 5X:6X ratios. In fact, as indicated in Table 3.3.1, the equilibrium constant values obtained from the calculated free energies of isomerization of these anions agree quite well with the experimentally observed values both in scale and trend $\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{I}}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Br}}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{Cl}}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{F}}\right)$. Thus, both these calculations and the NMR study in Figure 3.3.10 strongly support a mechanistic pathway (Figure 3.3.12) for the base-catalyzed $\mathbf{6 X}$ to $\mathbf{5 X}$ conversions involving formation and subsequent isomerization of the $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{X}^{-}$anions with the final 6X:5X equilibrium ratios determined by the energetic differences of their corresponding $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$and $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$anions.


$$
\begin{aligned}
6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}^{-} & \longrightarrow 5-\mathrm{Cl}_{10} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12} \\
\Delta \mathrm{G}_{333 \mathrm{~K}}^{\mathrm{o}} & =-0.51^{\mathrm{a}}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\Delta G_{333 \mathrm{~K}}^{0}=-0.83^{\mathrm{a}},-1.14^{\mathrm{b}}
$$



Figure 3.3.11. DFT optimized geometries of $6-\mathrm{X}-$ and $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$and calculated free energy changes in their isomerizations at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Optimization and free energy calculation at B3LYP/6-311G(d). ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Optimization and free energy calculation used B3LYP/6-311G(d) for all H and B atoms, and the SDD pseudopotential for Br and I .

Table 3.3.1. Calculated and observed equilibrium constants for the isomerization of $6-\mathrm{X}-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$to $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Calculated K values are derived from the DFT calculated $\Delta \mathrm{G}^{\mathrm{o}}$ of reaction at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

| $\mathrm{X}^{-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {calc }}$ <br> $\left[5 \mathrm{X}^{-}\right] /\left[6 \mathrm{X}^{-}\right]$ | $\mathrm{K}_{\text {obs }}$ <br> $[5 \mathrm{X}] /[6 \mathrm{X}]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F | $0.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $<0.05$ |
| Cl | $2.2^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 3.5 |
| Br | $3.4^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4.9 |
|  | $5.6^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| I | $10.1^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 6.1 |
| $6-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ |  |  |
| Cl | $2.2^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 2.9 |
| I | $23.2^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 6.9 |
| $6-\mathrm{R}^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $3.9 \times 10^{-2 \mathrm{a}}$ | 0 |
| $6,9-\mathrm{R}_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | $0.1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ B3LYP/6-311G(d) level for all atoms. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ B3LYP/6-311G(d) level for H and B atoms and the SDD pseudopotential for I . ${ }^{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}$ in calculated values, $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ in observed values.


Figure 3.3.12. Proposed pathway for the base-catalyzed isomerization of 6X compounds.
3.3.3 Isomerization of $\mathbf{6}-\mathbf{X}-\mathbf{9}-\mathbf{R}-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 2}}$. In agreement with the DFT calculations of the relative energies of 6 and 5-substituted alkyl-isomers (Table 2), neither 6-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ nor 6,9-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ isomerized when reacted with $5 \%$ TEA at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Eq. 4).

However, when either 6-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ or $6-\mathrm{I}-9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ were treated with $4 \%$ TEA in toluene at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Eq. 5), their ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (for $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}$, Figure 3.3.13) spectra showed the emergence of new $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric species.

(4)



Figure 3.3.13. Isomerization of 6-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ in toluene monitored by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR. (a) $6-\mathrm{Cl}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ before base addition. (b) reaction mixture of 6-Cl-9$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ and 5-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ produced after 4 h at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. (c) $5-\mathrm{Cl}-9-$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ isomer after column purification (spectrum taken in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ). Substituted boron peaks are labeled (singlet at 11.4 ppm is coincident with another resonance in (c)). DFT/GIAO calculated ${ }^{11}$ B NMR shifts for the 5-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ model compound: 25.0 (B9), 14.5 (B5), 13.0 (B3), 11.0 (B1), 3.0 (B6), 1.9 (B10), -4.0 (B8), -4.5 (B7), -37.8 (B4), -39.5 (B2)

In principle, several different isomers resulting from either halo or alkyl migration could have formed, but DFT optimizations of the possible isomers showed that 5-X-9-R$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ products ( $\mathbf{5 X} \mathbf{- 9 R}$ ) were energetically favored with the DFT/GIAO calculated chemical shifts for the model compound $5-\mathrm{Cl}-9-\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ being in excellent agreement with the experimentally observed shifts for 5-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$. In both reactions, equilibrium mixtures of the 5X-9R and $\mathbf{6 X - 9 R}$ isomers were formed with the experimentally observed $\sim 3: 1(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ and $\sim 7: 1(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ 5X-9R:6X-9R equilibrium ratios again consistent with the DFT calculated differences in the free energies of the 5X-9Et ${ }^{-}$ and $\mathbf{6 X - 9 E t}{ }^{-}$model compounds (Figure 3.3.14).


Figure 3.3.14. DFT optimized geometries and calculated free energy changes at for the isomerizations at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of (top) 6-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ and 5-Cl-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}$ (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) and (bottom) 6-I-9- $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$and 5-I-9-( $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$ (B3LYP/6-311G(d) for C,B, and H; B3LYP/SDD for I).

Our computational investigations of their rearrangement mechanisms have not yet yielded isomerization pathways from $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{X}^{-}$to $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{X}^{-}$or from $\mathbf{6 X} \mathbf{- 9} \mathbf{R}^{-}$to $\mathbf{5 X} \mathbf{- 9 R ^ { - }}$ that would be energetically feasible at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The usual mechanisms postulated to account for haloor alkyl-isomerizations in polyhedral boranes and carboranes have involved skeletal rearrangements where the halo- or alkyl-substituent remains attached to its skeletal-boron during the isomerization. However, our computational investigations of the standard ${ }^{27}$ skeletal-based rearrangement mechanisms, including trigonal face rotation (TFR), pentagonal face rotation (PFR), and diamond-square-diamond (DSD) transformations, have not been successful in identifying viable pathways for skeletal-rearrangement . Furthermore, energy calculations predict that a distribution of isomers, where the alkyl or halogen had migrated to other cage positions, would be produced by these skeletalrearrangements, but these isomers were not observed experimentally. The fact that no I to Cl exchange was observed when the isomerization of $\mathbf{6 I}$ to $\mathbf{5 I}$ was carried out in the presence of $\mathrm{Bu}_{4} \mathrm{~N}^{+} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$would also seem to exclude any halo-dissociative mechanism. At this point, the combined computational and experimental results suggest a mechanism for the $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{X}^{-}$to $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{X}^{-}$and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{X}-\mathbf{9} \mathbf{R}^{-}$to $\mathbf{5 X} \mathbf{- 9} \mathbf{R}^{-}$isomerizations with direct transfer of the halogen from B6 to B5, perhaps involving a halogen bridging the deprotonated B5-B6 edge, may be possible.

### 3.4 Conclusions

In conclusion, the new methods reported herein for the syntheses of the 5-X$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ halodecaboranes from their $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ isomers, coupled with our previous development of high yield routes to the $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ compounds from the cage-opening
reactions of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salts, now provide the first efficient routes to these synthetically useful decaborane derivatives. These syntheses are now enabling the first systematic investigations of halodecaborane reactivities. Subsequent chapters will demonstrate that halodecaboranes readily undergo high yield transformations to a wide variety of functional decaborane derivatives of potential interest for either biomedical or materials applications.
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## Chapter 4

# Probing the Fluxional Behavior of $\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$and Halogenated Derivatives by Variable Temperature ${ }^{11}$ B NMR 


#### Abstract

Variable-temperature NMR studies were carried out on the Proton Sponge salt of decaborane $\left(\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]\right)$in order to observe the fluxional processes proposed for the bridging-hydrogens in the anion. While low temperature experiments failed to observe a static $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric ground-state, high temperature NMR revealed a spectrum indicative of $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry on the NMR time scale, in accordance with earlier computationally proposed fluxional processes. Similar studies were carried out the halogenated decaborate salts $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\right]$, and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right][5-$ $\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}^{-}$], each of which revealed a $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric ground state structure in agreement with DFT calcuations. While $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\right]$showed no fluxional behavior across the range of temperatures studied, both $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\right]$ displayed apparent $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetry in their respective high temperature ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra, in accordance with a DFT predicted high-energy fluxional mechanism.


### 4.1 Introduction

The bridging hydrogens of decaborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ are known to be acidic $\left(\mathrm{Pk}_{\mathrm{a}}=\right.$ $\sim 2.4-3.2$ in $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ /ethanol mixtures), ${ }^{1}$ and may be deprotonated to give $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$by bases such as amines or anilines. ${ }^{2}$ The positions of the bridging hydrogens on the anion have
been a subject of debate. The two postulated isomers of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$are shown in Figure

### 4.1.1.

Crystallographic studies of the anion have shown it to take the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ form in the solid state. ${ }^{3}$ However, the room-temperature ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum of the anion does not display the correct number of peaks required of $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry, instead showing only 4 peaks, in 2:1:5:2 ratios, which led the authors to propose the static $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ isomer. ${ }^{4}$ Heřmánek and co-workers agreed with this assessment, assigning the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ structure as the static, solution phase form of the anion. ${ }^{1}$

This apparent conflict was resolved by Hofmann and Schleyer's computational studies (MP2/6-31G(d) level), ${ }^{5}$ which showed that while the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure is $4.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ lower in energy than the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ structure, the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ symmetric pattern observed in the NMR could be explained by a fluxional hydrogen rearrangement that interconverts the two enantiomeric forms of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure Figure 4.1.2. Averaging the chemical shifts for the boron atoms in the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure that become equivalent in the fluxional structure then gave good agreement with the experimental spectrum (calculated and averaged values are given in Table 4.3.1).


Figure 4.1.1. Drawings of the proposed $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ structures of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$.


Figure 4.1.2. The fluxional form of the $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$anion proposed by Hofmann and Schleyer. ${ }^{5}$ Listed electronic energies are in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.

The transition states linking the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ forms of the anion were calculated to be $5.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above the starting material, and therefore accessible at room temperature. Another transition state was located in which the bridging-hydrogen adjacent to the vacant bridging-position had moved into a, endo-position on B6/9 (Figure 4.3.2, $\mathbf{T S 3}_{\mathbf{H}}{ }^{-}$). However, this transition state was of significantly higher energy ( $15.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ above starting material), and hence did not play a role in the observed solution-state structure at room temperature. ${ }^{5}$

Prior to the work described here, no variable-temperature (VT) NMR studies had been carried out to explore the possibility of observing either the static $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ground state, or a more highly fluxional compound in which the higher of the two proposed transition states might be achieved. This chapter details such VT-NMR studies on $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$as well as on several halogenated, anionic derivates.

### 4.2 Experimental

General Synthetic Procedures and Materials. All manipulations were carried out in a nitrogen-filled glove-box. Decaborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}\right)$ from stock was sublimed prior to use. Halodecaboranes 6-F-B ${ }_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 F}),{ }^{6} 6-\mathrm{Cl}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 C l}),{ }^{6} 5-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 C l}),{ }^{7}$ were prepared by the literature methods, described in Chapter 2. Proton Sponge (1,8bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene, Aldrich) was sublimed prior to use and stored away from light. Dichlorobenzene and chlorobenzene (Fisher) were dried over $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$, filtered and stored in a $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ filled dry box. Deuterated chloroform (99.6 atom \% D, ampules, Aldrich) was used as received.

Physical Methods. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR at 128.3 MHz and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 400.1 MHz spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 spectrometer equipped with appropriate decoupling accessories and variable-temperature capabilities. All ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ chemical shifts are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift.

NMR studies of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}, \mathbf{6 - X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{F})$ and 5-Cl-B $\mathbf{B l}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}\left(5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right)$. For lower temperature studies, $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}(20 \mathrm{mg}, 0.17 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{6 C l}(30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{5 C l}(30$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.19 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{6 F}(25 \mathrm{mg}, 0.18 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with 1 equivalent of 1,8 bis(dimethylamino)naphthalene (Proton Sponge, PS) ( $35 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mathrm{mg}, 40 \mathrm{mg}$ and 38 mg , respectively) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}(3 \mathrm{~mL})$ to form $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right],\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[5 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}\right]$and $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[6 \mathrm{~F}^{-}\right]$, respectively, as bright yellow solutions. The NMR spectra of an aliquot of each sample were then recorded over the $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ range allowing at least 5 min for the sample to equilibrate at each new temperature. For the higher temperature studies, the same amounts of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}, \mathbf{6 C l}, \mathbf{5 C l}, \mathbf{6 F}$, and PS were reacted in dichlorobenzene (3 mL ) and the aliquots loaded into resealable thick-walled, high-pressure NMR tubes, with their spectra then obtained from $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with the same 5 min equilibration time. Computational Methods. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 package. ${ }^{8}$ All ground state, transition state, and intermediate geometries and both electronic and free energies were obtained using the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level without constraints for all $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ and Cl atoms. The NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level using the GIAO option within Gaussian 03 and are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ using an absolute shielding constant of 102.24 ppm . Harmonic vibrational analyses were carried out on the optimized geometries at the same level to establish the nature of stationary points. True
first-order saddle points possessed only one imaginary frequency. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were carried out in both the forward and reverse directions to confirm the reaction pathways from the located transition states. All optimized geometries and energies (free and electronic) are given in Tables 4.2.1-4.2.14.

Table 4.2.1. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 1.171144 | 1.032119 | 1.026345 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.27597 | 0.115545 | 1.4073 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.237551 | -0.76626 | 1.156681 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.384992 | 0.081397 | 0.073785 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.2887 | 1.43511 | 0.154376 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.19811 | 0.086968 | -0.0913 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.25724 | -1.35013 | 0.407547 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.619622 | -1.44561 | -0.4006 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.950616 | -0.12904 | -1.5673 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.356584 | 1.345773 | -0.72418 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.668741 | 1.798843 | 1.794375 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.78662 | 0.150778 | 2.4843 |
| 13 | 1 | 1.670928 | -1.41699 | 2.058133 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.538242 | 0.176294 | 0.3579 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.75936 | 2.519268 | 0.341846 |
| 16 | 17 | -3.00657 | -0.06369 | -0.38134 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.76044 | -2.40581 | 0.625056 |
| 18 | 1 | 2.145263 | -2.50603 | -0.52458 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.672428 | -0.18099 | -2.5106 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.792836 | 2.363215 | -1.16709 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.64797 | -0.93594 | -0.76563 |
| 22 | 1 | 1.138525 | -1.17978 | -1.61612 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.936591 | 0.670513 | -1.80807 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.2. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{5 C l}^{-}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.00268 | -0.34679 | 1.172942 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.125029 | 1.342927 | 0.694124 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.47928 | 0.67366 | 1.02104 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.58737 | -1.09461 | 0.730587 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.006042 | 0.039194 | -0.19041 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.422485 | 1.325677 | -1.04736 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.21042 | 1.682295 | -0.41443 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.47247 | 0.070462 | -0.27283 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.75297 | -1.42268 | -0.93917 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.09858 | -1.45661 | -0.2375 |
| 11 | 1 | 0.465928 | -0.76355 | 2.186276 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.603853 | 2.156952 | 1.421276 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.12194 | 1.146037 | 1.908394 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.11621 | -1.81761 | 1.515806 |
| 15 | 17 | 2.841062 | -0.27223 | -0.03922 |
| 16 | 1 | 0.98049 | 2.111918 | -1.75053 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.77753 | 2.710207 | -0.61548 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.64976 | 0.238469 | -0.31138 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.33645 | -2.32451 | -1.44869 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.432884 | -2.52118 | -0.26514 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.81137 | 1.203502 | -1.55968 |
| 23 | 1 | -2.15295 | -0.29447 | -1.51449 |
| 22 | -0.56397 | -1.2854 | -1.48462 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.3. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.620579 | 0.995232 | 1.111407 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.82533 | 0.019874 | 1.27489 |
| 3 | 5 | 0.734841 | -0.79844 | 1.169212 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.963785 | 0.128275 | 0.256011 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.73473 | 1.407616 | 0.097162 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.57763 | 0.060835 | -0.33021 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.63187 | -1.39497 | 0.212033 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.314572 | -1.4058 | -0.35373 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.734097 | -0.02871 | -1.43004 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.97192 | 1.3906 | -0.60762 |


| 11 | 1 | 1.004326 | 1.734109 | 1.967813 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | -1.46042 | -0.01312 | 2.28524 |
| 13 | 1 | 1.080627 | -1.47647 | 2.088805 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.075024 | 0.251555 | 0.669709 |
| 15 | 1 | -1.26167 | 2.466012 | 0.296631 |
| 16 | 9 | -2.87906 | -0.11319 | -0.7352 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.13401 | -2.4674 | 0.346615 |
| 18 | 1 | 1.899642 | -2.43886 | -0.44614 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.585097 | -0.01303 | -2.26158 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.42376 | 2.435649 | -0.9648 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.88301 | -0.95679 | -0.96403 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.993625 | -1.10942 | -1.61986 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.73741 | 0.73384 | -1.77723 |

Table 4.2.4. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{T S 1}^{-}{ }_{\mathbf{C l}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.18730 | -0.9108 | 1.111617 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.292121 | 0.012728 | 1.353719 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.19300 | 0.922843 | 1.049497 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.4159 | -0.00948 | 0.149364 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.247676 | -1.38034 | 0.155507 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.232839 | -0.05809 | -0.11499 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.323103 | 1.388903 | 0.267893 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.6500 | 1.388711 | -0.59248 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.05460 | -0.03766 | -1.51389 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.54560 | -1.40627 | -0.55369 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.61280 | -1.55807 | 2.018327 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.820072 | 0.012996 | 2.42328 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.58080 | 1.675624 | 1.891571 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.55210 | -0.0608 | 0.506223 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.682161 | -2.47866 | 0.329001 |
| 16 | 17 | 3.054522 | -0.01342 | -0.35701 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.799374 | 2.472821 | 0.375647 |
| 18 | 1 | -2.05932 | 2.471159 | -0.86879 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.69475 | -0.08148 | -2.5156 |
| 20 | 1 | -2.00512 | -2.48242 | -0.77998 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.55711 | -1.06182 | -1.27078 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.70955 | 0.870377 | -0.89561 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.12272 | 0.895664 | -1.7069 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.5. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for Int1 $^{-}{ }^{\mathbf{C l}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.2021 | -0.92588 | 1.100582 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.292725 | -0.0148 | 1.331791 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.19000 | 0.914462 | 1.053035 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.41750 | -0.01834 | 0.177799 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.244308 | -1.38020 | 0.124558 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.252567 | -0.02804 | -0.10404 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.325441 | 1.388855 | 0.277961 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.68860 | 1.3827 | -0.58518 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.09900 | -0.02900 | -1.51198 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.54400 | -1.38698 | -0.60164 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.59030 | -1.57321 | 2.023195 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.836454 | -0.06564 | 2.391656 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.55400 | 1.66115 | 1.911542 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.54820 | -0.08071 | 0.553655 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.692925 | -2.4728 | 0.285978 |
| 16 | 17 | 3.064788 | -0.00428 | -0.35643 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.795816 | 2.475026 | 0.386797 |
| 18 | 1 | -2.07220 | 2.481845 | -0.83226 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.798400 | -0.00565 | -2.47649 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.972000 | -2.48361 | -0.79361 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.324200 | -1.14288 | -1.11035 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.715837 | 0.881971 | -0.89831 |
| 2 | -1.15160 | 0.883411 | -1.697 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.6. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{T S}^{-}{ }_{\mathbf{C l}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.2339 | -0.92149 | 1.079935 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.279648 | 0.019956 | 1.346693 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.2365 | 0.910734 | 1.036964 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.4304 | -0.03201 | 0.136756 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.246563 | -1.38001 | 0.216586 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.239856 | 0.018898 | -0.05667 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.263561 | 1.425697 | 0.28134 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.6828 | 1.35458 | -0.62504 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.992 | -0.10843 | -1.55534 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.5023 | -1.40674 | -0.60956 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.5865 | -1.57836 | 2.011156 |


| 12 | 1 | 0.803561 | -0.00633 | 2.415971 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 | -1.6143 | 1.655309 | 1.89083 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.57 | -0.05436 | 0.492935 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.781808 | -2.42867 | 0.393222 |
| 16 | 17 | 3.031764 | -0.00834 | -0.35908 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.746241 | 2.504292 | 0.408083 |
| 18 | 1 | -2.0919 | 2.441062 | -0.88975 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.6752 | -0.07105 | -2.53463 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.8901 | -2.52353 | -0.78753 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.168136 | -1.00154 | -0.98659 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.72176 | 0.939346 | -0.86859 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.0918 | 0.859797 | -1.69913 |

Table 4.2.7. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{I n t}^{-}{ }^{-} \mathbf{C l}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.301496 | 0.030859 | 1.272917 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.4527 | 0.029365 | 0.154594 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.276 | -0.84361 | 1.119884 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.2108 | 0.955501 | 1.01228 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.246462 | 1.447702 | 0.206065 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.6121 | 1.346553 | -0.67616 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.6197 | -1.43871 | -0.48515 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.126421 | -1.3868 | 0.139991 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.9701 | -0.19237 | -1.52424 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.231121 | 0.001771 | -0.15298 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.0489 | 0.775902 | -1.69974 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.78205 | 0.987977 | -0.9264 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.679958 | -0.86338 | -0.97182 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.6067 | -0.17023 | -2.53591 |
| 15 | 1 | -2.0199 | 2.411353 | -1.02164 |
| 16 | 1 | -2.1373 | -2.51664 | -0.5647 |
| 17 | 1 | -3.592 | 0.112456 | 0.501786 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.703323 | -2.41644 | 0.297843 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.876547 | 0.031131 | 2.31587 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.55868 | -1.46466 | 2.099502 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.54915 | 1.74016 | 1.845229 |
| 22 | 17 | 3.051248 | -0.05151 | -0.29735 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.777957 | 2.496629 | 0.378897 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.8. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for TS3 $^{-}{ }_{\mathbf{C l}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.16269 | -0.92459 | 1.095139 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.339267 | -0.00016 | 1.260317 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.16228 | 0.924526 | 1.095534 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.420867 | 0.000496 | 0.185549 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.287618 | -1.41202 | 0.242549 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.20231 | $-5.6 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -0.23521 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.28767 | 1.411863 | 0.242294 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.6097 | 1.416826 | -0.52313 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.0576 | 0.000245 | -1.48272 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.6114 | -1.41647 | -0.52303 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.5954 | -1.61961 | 1.964563 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.9895 | -0.00057 | 2.259368 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.5951 | 1.619305 | 1.965101 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.5502 | 0.001012 | 0.562657 |
| 15 | 1 | 0.740185 | -2.50812 | 0.343246 |
| 16 | 17 | 3.074009 | $-6.8 \mathrm{E}-05$ | -0.33103 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.740808 | 2.507632 | 0.344514 |
| 18 | 1 | -2.11039 | 2.463525 | -0.78726 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.72 | 0.000528 | -2.46884 |
| 20 | 1 | -2.1124 | -2.46308 | -0.78695 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.0923 | -0.96589 | -1.62379 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.67631 | -0.0023 | -1.3078 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.091 | 0.965374 | -1.62371 |

Table 4.2.9. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{T S 1}^{-}{ }_{\mathbf{F}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.6683 | -0.91291 | 1.136438 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.82111 | 0.016027 | 1.230298 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.6862 | 0.918168 | 1.080637 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.9959 | -0.0081 | 0.307946 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.65432 | -1.38737 | 0.042392 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.62368 | -0.07925 | -0.32838 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.73967 | 1.386861 | 0.141904 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.3063 | 1.391836 | -0.50009 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.8108 | -0.03233 | -1.38273 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.1987 | -1.40247 | -0.48811 |


| 11 | 1 | -1.0014 | -1.56435 | 2.07888 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 1 | 1.45009 | 0.012487 | 2.245539 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.9806 | 1.668699 | 1.962213 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.0875 | -0.06131 | 0.78456 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.09615 | -2.48892 | 0.190371 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.23292 | 2.467415 | 0.229394 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.746 | 2.474584 | -0.72699 |
| 18 | 1 | -2.5663 | -0.07024 | -2.30194 |
| 19 | 1 | -1.6828 | -2.47753 | -0.66757 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.2971 | -1.05355 | -1.30843 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.993661 | 0.901516 | -1.03961 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.9105 | 0.898759 | -1.67384 |
| 23 | 9 | 2.95958 | -0.01776 | -0.66379 |

Table 4.2.10. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for Int1 ${ }^{-}$F

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.6884 | -0.92381 | 1.126742 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.81667 | -0.00474 | 1.207819 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.6875 | 0.913679 | 1.076796 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.9952 | -0.01755 | 0.333005 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.65072 | -1.38531 | 0.022536 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.64672 | -0.03825 | -0.30864 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.73943 | 1.391826 | 0.146571 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.3472 | 1.379869 | -0.50028 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.8481 | -0.03797 | -1.38335 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.1997 | -1.38517 | -0.53827 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.9784 | -1.5727 | 2.084445 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.463108 | -0.05953 | 2.209732 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.9555 | 1.660432 | 1.970286 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.079231 | -0.07735 | 0.828651 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.111299 | -2.47856 | 0.155071 |
| 16 | 9 | 2.973512 | -0.00371 | -0.6564 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.228039 | 2.473672 | 0.238822 |
| 18 | 1 | -1.7601 | 2.477875 | -0.70459 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.6608 | -0.00488 | -2.25613 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.6404 | -2.48605 | -0.67591 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.003035 | -1.15091 | -1.14734 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.002315 | 0.91476 | -1.04393 |
| 2 | 1 | -0.9321 | 0.873784 | -1.66611 |

Table 4.2.11. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for Int2 ${ }^{-}{ }_{\mathbf{F}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.78635 | -0.85662 | 1.130044 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.80144 | 0.002374 | 1.18189 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.709 | 0.946411 | 1.048953 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.0354 | 0.051209 | 0.291382 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.53035 | -1.40151 | 0.04535 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.62592 | -0.02928 | -0.30449 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.6918 | 1.428658 | 0.129244 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.2561 | 1.364334 | -0.59043 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.7172 | -0.15227 | -1.42721 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.2822 | -1.41891 | -0.44932 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.995 | -1.4981 | 2.115869 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.449415 | -0.01902 | 2.182629 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.962562 | 1.719024 | 1.922897 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.13495 | 0.140003 | 0.749144 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.100311 | -2.44295 | 0.155122 |
| 16 | 9 | 2.966446 | -0.08872 | -0.57274 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.247604 | 2.468534 | 0.291442 |
| 18 | 1 | -1.6726 | 2.442214 | -0.88258 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.4602 | -0.0942 | -2.36323 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.8138 | -2.49283 | -0.48971 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.940732 | -0.88089 | -1.09265 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.082456 | 0.992636 | -1.04024 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.7957 | 0.792034 | -1.67112 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.12. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for TS2 $^{-}{ }_{\mathbf{F}}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.7173 | -0.92557 | 1.110144 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.8088 | 0.01311 | 1.217135 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.722 | 0.907815 | 1.071793 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.0121 | -0.02717 | 0.309049 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.65714 | -1.3874 | 0.090869 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.637011 | 0.003445 | -0.26896 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.69503 | 1.42022 | 0.157473 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.3527 | 1.360722 | -0.52262 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.7758 | -0.0942 | -1.42126 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.1749 | -1.39785 | -0.54921 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.9746 | -1.5854 | 2.070531 |
|  |  | 167 |  |  |


| 12 | 1 | 1.44095 | -0.02303 | 2.227674 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 | 1 | -0.9951 | 1.647351 | 1.969432 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.1019 | -0.05185 | 0.797103 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.19349 | -2.44447 | 0.220801 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.19346 | 2.495798 | 0.264811 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.78398 | 2.450976 | -0.73314 |
| 18 | 1 | -2.5779 | -0.04811 | -2.30636 |
| 19 | 1 | -1.583 | -2.51391 | -0.68438 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.40441 | -1.04001 | -1.0964 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.99849 | 0.958994 | -1.02319 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.8918 | 0.860729 | -1.66493 |
| 23 | 9 | 2.94024 | -0.00808 | -0.66823 |

Table 4.2.13. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for $\mathbf{T S 3}_{\mathbf{F}}^{-}$

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.6537 | -0.92227 | 1.11583 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.85686 | $-3.5 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 1.150186 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.6536 | 0.922228 | 1.115878 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.9977 | 0.000051 | 0.334662 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.70615 | -1.40687 | 0.127264 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.60076 | 0.000004 | -0.41291 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.70606 | 1.406864 | 0.127233 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.2547 | 1.41402 | -0.45284 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.8098 | 0.00004 | -1.36049 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.2549 | -1.41399 | -0.45283 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.0066 | -1.62174 | 2.018303 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.57842 | $-8.3 \mathrm{E}-05$ | 2.101062 |
| 13 | 1 | -1.0066 | 1.621745 | 2.018331 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.0844 | 0.000089 | 0.822057 |
| 15 | 9 | 1.1594 | -2.50791 | 0.194908 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.99166 | 0.000015 | -0.62219 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.15937 | 2.507854 | 0.195258 |
| 18 | 1 | -1.7771 | 2.462992 | -0.66392 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.5775 | 0.000111 | -2.26785 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.7775 | -2.4629 | -0.66372 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.8638 | -0.95987 | -1.60566 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.90743 | -0.00041 | -1.4034 |
| 23 | -0.8635 | 0.959786 | -1.60557 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |

Table 4.2.14. Calculated free energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}, 393.15 \mathrm{~K}$ ) and electronic energies ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, 393.15) at B3LYP/6-311G* for fluxional processes in Figure
4.3.6.

| Reactions |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Energy ( $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{G}$ |  | G (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{G}$ |
| $6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -716.070123 | 0.0 | 6F ${ }^{-}$ | -355.719379 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{TS1}^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ | -716.060370 | 6.1 | $\mathrm{TS1}^{-}{ }_{\text {F }}$ | -355.708287 | 7.0 |
| Int1 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{Cl}$ | -716.061215 | 5.6 | Int1 ${ }^{-}$F | -355.709915 | 5.9 |
| TS2 ${ }^{-}{ }_{\text {cl }}$ | -716.057416 | 8.0 | TS2 ${ }^{-}$ | -355.708427 | 6.9 |
| Int2 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{Cl}$ | -716.063493 | 4.2 | Int2 ${ }^{-}$ | -355.714399 | 3.1 |
| $\mathrm{TS3}^{-} \mathrm{Cl}$ | -716.050402 | 12.4 | $\mathrm{TS3}^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{F}}$ | -355.693196 | 16.4 |
| Electronic Energy ( $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathbf{E}$ |  | E (in Hartrees) | $\Delta \mathrm{E}$ |
| $6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$ | -716.036700 | 0.0 | $6 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$ | -355.686958 | 0.0 |
| $\mathrm{TS1}^{-}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -716.027251 | 5.9 | $\mathrm{TS1}^{-}{ }_{\text {F }}$ | -355.675929 | 6.9 |
| Int1 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{Cl}$ | -716.027751 | 5.6 | Int1 ${ }^{-}{ }_{\text {F }}$ | -355.677476 | 5.9 |
| TS2 ${ }^{-}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | -716.024064 | 7.9 | TS2 ${ }^{-}$ | -355.676062 | 6.8 |
| Int2 ${ }^{-} \mathrm{Cl}$ | -716.030059 | 4.2 | Int2 ${ }^{-}$ | -355.677476 | 3.2 |
| $\mathrm{TS3}^{-}{ }_{\mathrm{Cl}}$ | -716.016705 | 12.5 | $\mathrm{TS3}^{-}{ }_{\text {F }}$ | -355.660396 | 16.7 |

### 4.3 Results and Discussion

4.3.1 Fluxional Properties of the $\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$anion. A selection of ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]$at various temperatures is shown in Figure 4.3.1. Table 4.3.1 gives the
${ }^{11}$ B NMR shifts calculated by Schleyer, ${ }^{5}$ along with the values of peaks obtained by averaging ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ resonances made equivalent by a mirror plane bisecting the $\mathrm{B} 5-\mathrm{B} 10$ and B7-B8 bonds ( $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ avg.), and values assuming $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry of the boron skeleton (the identities of the averaged peaks are listed in the caption). The table also gives the chemical shifts observed at low temperature $\left(24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and high temperature $\left(93{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$


Figure 4.3.1. Variable temperature ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]$.

Table 4.3.1. Comparisons of the DFT predicted peaks for the ground state, $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ structure of $\left.\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right]^{-}\right]^{5}$ and the expected chemical shifts assuming $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry with the observed peaks at low and high temperature. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - Averaged resonances: $\mathrm{B} 2, \mathrm{~B} 4$; B5,B10; B7,B8. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ - Averaged resonances: B1,B3; B2,B4; B5,B10,B7,B8; B6,B9.

|  | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | B8 | B9 | B10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ calc. | 7.3 | -46.2 | -4.5 | -31 | -8.5 | -9.2 | -2.5 | -6.5 | 21.9 | -5.9 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ avg. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7.3 | -38.6 | -4.5 | -38.6 | -7.2 | 6.4 | -4.5 | -4.5 | 6.4 | -7.2 |
| Obs. $24{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | 2.2 | -35.8 | -5.2 | -35.8 | -5.2 | 6.5 | -5.2 | -5.2 | 6.5 | -5.2 |
| $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ avg. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 1.4 | -38.6 | 1.4 | -38.6 | -5.9 | 6.4 | -5.9 | -5.9 | 6.4 | -5.9 |
| Obs. $92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -0.8 | -35.1 | -0.8 | -35.1 | -4.8 | 6.8 | -4.8 | -4.8 | 6.8 | -4.8 |

Even at very low temperatures (as low as $-53^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) no peaks indicative of the calculated static ground state structure were observed. Instead, the 2:1:5:2 pattern, seen at $24^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, persisted, consistent with Schleyer's proposal of the rapid movement of a bridging proton between the two enantiomeric forms of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ground state, via the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ intermediate (Figure 4.1.2).

At increased temperature, the peak at 2.2 ppm disappeared, and the large, broad peak at -5.2 ppm sharpened. Around $92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ a new resonance emerged at -0.8 ppm . This new peak is a result of another, higher-energy, fluxional process depicted in Figure 4.3.2, where the fluxional proton is no long sequestered to one side of the molecule, but instead can achieve $\mathbf{T S 3}_{\mathbf{H}}{ }^{-}$. This process, in conjunction with the lower-energy fluxional pathway, allowed for free movement of the three bridging hydrogens around the open face of the cage, and hence apparent $\mathrm{C}_{2 v}$ symmetry (much like neutral $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ ) on the NMR time scale.


Figure 4.3.2 Depiction of the high-temperature fluxional process interchanging enantiomeric forms of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$. The energies shown ( $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) were calculated by Hofmann and Schleyer. ${ }^{5}$

Apparent $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry requires the averaging of the calculated ground-state shifts of $B 5, B 7, B 8$ and $B 10$. Likewise, in the $C_{2 v}$ structure $B 1$ became equivalent to $B 3$, and hence their predicted shifts were averaged. Similar to the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ structure, the B 6 and B 9 resonances were averaged, as were the resonances for B 2 and B 4 . Comparison of the averaged resonance assuming $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry in Table 4.3 .1 showed excellent agreement with the observed shifts at $92{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, indicating that at this temperature the high-energy fluxional mechanism is available.

### 4.3.2 Fluxional Properties of the 5- and 6-X-B $\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$anions. The DFT/GIAO

 calculated ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR chemical shifts for the lowest energy structure of $\mathbf{5 C l}{ }^{-}$(Figure 4.3.3a) match well the experimental chemical shifts observed over the $-53{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $102{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 4.3.3b). This was unsurprising, as the asymmetry of the $\mathbf{5 C l}^{-}$structure precludes any fluxionality leading to averaged structures. There exists only one low-energy isomer, with no isoenergetic enantiomers accessible through movement of bridging-hydrogen, as was seen in $\left[\mathrm{PSH}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]$.

Figure 4.3.3. (a) The lowest energy structure of $\mathbf{5 C l}{ }^{-}$(B3LYP/6-311G(d)). (b) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR of 5C1 ${ }^{-}$(obs/cal): B6 (19.0/18.6), B5 (10.5/14.8), B1 (4.0/5.5), B3 (-3.8/-5.4), B8 (-4.8/-5.6), B10 (-7.1/-7.4), B7 (-7.9/-12.4), B9 (-10.1/-14.3), B2 (-27.6/-28.7) and B4 (-42.9/-47.5).

At lower temperatures, the spectra observed for $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}\left(12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{F}^{-}\left(27{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ likewise match the GIAO calculated chemical shifts (Tables 4.3.2 and 4.3.3) for their DFT-optimized $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric structures. However, the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of both $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}$ and $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}$changed as the temperature was increased, with the spectra observed at higher temperatures consistent with $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetric structures. Thus, the spectrum of $\mathbf{6 \mathrm { Cl } ^ { - }}$ at 32 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Figure 4.3.4) showed only the four sharp intensity-one resonances arising from the B2, B4, B6 and B9 borons, along with a single broad resonance of intensity 6 centered near -1 ppm . Upon raising the temperature to $67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the broad resonance narrowed and resolved into three new intensity-two sharp peaks. A similar dynamic behavior was observed in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}$(Figure 4.3.5) where at $67{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the spectrum began to broaden and then at $97^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 6$ of the original 10 sharp peaks were replaced by two new broad peaks centered at -6.1 ppm (intensity 4) and -15.0 ppm (intensity 2 ).

Table 4.3.2. Comparisons of the DFT/GIAO (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) calculated and experimentally observed chemical shifts in the ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 C l}$. Colors indicate which boron resonances are averaged.

| Observed $12{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Calculated $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-Structure | Observed $67^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-Averaged $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-Structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27.6 | 30.1 (B6) | 27.9 | 30.1 (B6) |
| 0.6 | 1.9 (B1) | -2.4(2) | -2.1(B1,3) |
| -1.7 | -3.4 (B5) |  |  |
| -4.0 | -3.5 (B8) | -4.6(2) | -7.4 (B5,7) |
| -5.6 | -6.1 (B3) |  |  |
| -8.1(2) | -8.4 (B10) | -6.3(2) | -6.0 (B8,10) |
|  | -11.4 (B7) |  |  |
| -9.9 | -14.0 (B9) | -8.1 | -14.0 (B9) |
| -26.6 | -27.5 (B2) | -26.3 | -27.5 (B2) |
| -43.6 | -46.4 (B4) | -43.5 | -46.4 (B4) |

Table 4.3.3. Comparisons of the DFT/GIAO (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) calculated and experimentally observed chemical shifts in the ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{F}^{-}$. Colors indicate which boron resonances are averaged.

| Observed $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Calculated $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-Structure | $\begin{gathered} \text { Observed } \\ 97^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-Averaged $\mathrm{C}_{5}$-Structure |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 34.9 | 34.5 (B6) | 34.9 | 34.5 (B6) |
| -1.2 | -3.2 (B8) |  |  |
| -4.4 | -3.5 (B1) | -5.9(4) | -5.0 (B8,10) |
| -6.9 | -6.8 (B10) |  | -5.7 (B1,3) |
| -7.9 | -7.9 (B3) |  |  |
| -11.8 | -13.8 (B5) | -11.8 | -18.1 (B9) |
| -12.6 | -18.1 (B9) | -15.0(2) | -17.0 (B5,7) |
| -17.4 | -20.2 (B7) |  |  |
| -25.6 | -26.3 (B2) | -25.4 | -26.3 (B2) |
| -46.6 | -49.3 (B4) | -46.5 | -49.3 (B4) |



Figure 4.3.4. Variable temperature ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 C l}$.


Figure 4.3.5. Variable temperature ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}$.

The temperature dependent spectra observed for $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}$and $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}$suggest fluxional behavior, akin to that observed in the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$. DFT calculations identified the two pathways shown in Figure 4.3.6 for hydrogen-migration in $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}$and $\mathbf{6 F}$. The top pathway is similar to that proposed by Schleyer ${ }^{5}$ involving hydrogen-migration along only one side of the cage by a process in which a single bridge-hydrogen migrates across the B6-B5, B5-B10 and B10-B9 edges via the endo-B5-H (TS1) and endo-B10-H (TS2) transition states and the B5-H-B10 intermediate (Int1). The low barrier for this process supports its occurrence in $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}(7.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and $6 \mathrm{~F}^{-}(6.8 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$; however, owing to the lower symmetry of the $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}$anions, such a process, unlike in the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$, will not average to give a $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetric ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum.


Figure 4.3.6. Calculated relative electronic energies (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) at 293.15 K for two hydrogen migration pathways in $\mathbf{6 X}^{-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{F})$.

A second pathway for hydrogen migration, involving the movement of one bridging-hydrogen from the B6-B7 edge to its enantiomeric position on the B5-B6 edge is shown at the bottom of Figure 4.3.6. While the barrier going through the TS3 transition state structure, which has an endo-hydrogen at B6, is higher in $\mathbf{6 C l}^{-}(12.5 \mathrm{kcal})$ and $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}(16.7 \mathrm{kcal})$ than that of the first process, this barrier should still be accessible at the experimentally observed temperatures of fluxionality and, in the fast exchange limit, this process would produce a $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetric ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum. As shown in Tables 4.3.2 and 4.3.3, averaging the calculated shifts of the B5-B7, B10-B8 and B1-B3 pairs of boron atoms that would become equivalent in this process does indeed give excellent agreement with the values observed in the higher temperature spectra of $6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $6 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$.

The relative barriers calculated for this process for $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}$and $\mathbf{6 F}$ - are likewise in agreement with the lower temperature required for $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$to reach the fast exchange limit. The parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$reaches the fast exchange limit at temperatures between those required for $\mathbf{6} \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathbf{6} \mathbf{F}^{-}$, again in accordance with mechanism as $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{3}_{\mathbf{H}}(15.1 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})^{5}$ is intermediate between $\mathbf{T S 3}_{\mathbf{C l}}(12.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$ and $\mathbf{T S 3}_{\mathbf{F}}(16.7 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol})$. Likewise, the transition state for the conversion of the $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-ground state to the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$ intermediate in $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$ ( $5.4 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ ) is slightly lower than $\mathbf{T S}_{\mathbf{F}}$ or $\mathbf{T S} \mathbf{2}_{\mathbf{C l}}$ perhaps explaining the difficulties observing the static $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ ground state in the parent anion.

### 4.4 Conclusions

In this chapter, the fluxional behavior of the $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{-}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl})$ anions have been examined both computationally and by variable-temperature ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. Although the predicted static ground-state isomer was not observed at low temperature
for the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$, VT- ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR provided the first evidence for the computationally predicted high-temperature fluxional process, ${ }^{5}$ by which rapid movement of 3 bridginghydrogens across the 4 bridging-positions leads to apparent $\mathrm{C}_{2 \mathrm{v}}$ symmetry on the NMR time scale.

Similar fluxional processes were computationally identified and experimentally observed for the halogenated $\mathbf{6 F}{ }^{-}$and $\mathbf{6 C l}{ }^{-}$derivatives. Low temperature NMR showed compounds with static $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetry; however, as the temperature was increased a more dynamic spectrum was observed. At intermediate temperatures $6 \mathrm{~F}^{-}$and $6 \mathrm{Cl}^{-}$undergo fluxional processes much like those observed for the parent $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}$at room temperature, where hydrogen migration across one side of the cage is fast, but there isn't sufficient energy to overcome the higher activation energy (TS3). At the high temperature limit this transition state is achieved, and both compounds displayed average $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetry as predicted computationally.
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## Chapter 5

## An Inorganic Analog of the $\mathbf{S}_{\mathbf{N}} \mathbf{2}$ ' Reaction: Nucleophilic Attack of Alcohols on Haloboranes to Yield Boranyl Ethers


#### Abstract

The selective syntheses of new classes of decaboranyl ethers containing a range of functional groups substituted at the B5 or B6 positions were achieved through the reaction of alcohols with halodecaboranes. The surprising regioselectivity of the reaction, where the reaction of the 6-halodecaboranes $\left(6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$ with alcohols yielded the 5-substituted decaboranyl ethers $\left(5-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$ and the reaction with 5halodecaboranes $\left(5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$ gave the 6 -substituted decaboranyl ethers $\left(6-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)$, was confirmed by NMR and X-ray crystallographic analyses. The crystallographic determinations also showed that the decaboranyl ethers had shortened B-O bonds and apparent $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization at oxygen indicating significant $\pi$-backbonding from oxygen to the cage boron. A possible substitution mechanism was computationally identified involving: (1) initial nucleophilic attack by the alcohol-oxygen at a site adjacent to the 5 or 6-halo-substituted boron, (2) movement of the terminal-hydrogen at the point of attack to a bridging-position, (3) formation of a 5-membered (B-O-H-Cl-B) cyclic transition state allowing the acidic methanolic-hydrogen to bond to the halogen, (4) release of HX, and finally (5) movement of a bridging-hydrogen into the vacated terminal-position. Deuterium labeling studies confirmed the movement of hydrogen from a bridgingposition of the halodecaborane into the halogen-vacated terminal-position on the


decaboranyl ether product. The relative reaction rates of the $6-X-B_{10} H_{13}$ compounds $(X=$ $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ with alcohol were likewise found to be consistent with this mechanism.

### 5.1 Introduction

The selective, high yield syntheses of both $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})^{1}$ and 5-X- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})^{2}$ from closo $-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salts that were described in Chapters 2 and 3 have now made these isomerically pure, monohalogenated compounds readily available. This chapter describes an example of the use of these monohalodecaboranes as reactive starting points for the syntheses of larger, more highly functionalized boranes, i.e. decaboranyl ethers.

In organic chemistry, the carbon-halogen bond is commonly utilized as a point of reactivity in substitution reactions. Whether it's the textbook $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ reaction (Eq. 1) with alkyl halides, or nucleophilic aromatic substitution ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2_{\mathrm{Ar}}$, Eq. 2), the reactivity toward nucleophilic attack imparted to a molecule by the presence of an electronegative halogen can be used to functionalize a substrate toward a number of ends.



The $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ mechanism describes another type of substitution reaction that utilizes a halogen as a leaving group (Eq. 3), with the difference being that attack occurs at a
position away from the leaving group. Nucleophilic attack at one end of a $\pi$-system leads to the movement of $\pi$-electrons, culminating in a relocation of the double bond, and expulsion of the leaving group.


While not as nucleophilic as their deprotonated alkoxy counterparts, alcohols are known to attack highly electrophilic centers, although those reaction often require the aid of catalysts, and affect substitution chemistry. ${ }^{3}$ These reactions, when carried out on carbon-electrophiles, yield organic ethers, eg. Eq. 4. Utilizing a B-X bond on the face of a polyhedral borane in a similar fashion could provide a new route to boranyl-ethers, bearing a B-O-R linkage.


Recently, $p$-carboranes with ether linkages to a number of different alkyl and aryl organic groups have been synthesized in good yields through the palladium mediated coupling of alkoxides and aryloxides with 2-iodo-p-carborane. ${ }^{4}$ Substitutions on closo$\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12}{ }^{2-}$ and $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ salts have been carried out through a variety of reactions employing electrophilic substitution of terminal hydrides yielding closo- $\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{(12-\mathrm{x})}(\mathrm{OR})_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2-}$ and closo$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{(10-\mathrm{x})}(\mathrm{OR})_{\mathrm{x}}{ }^{2-5,6}$ Metalloboranes bearing the B-O-R $(\mathrm{R}=$ methyl, ethyl, isopropyl) moiety have also been synthesized in good overall yield through the cage-opening
platination of closo- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{10}{ }^{2-}$ in alcoholic solutions yielding several isomers with the formula $\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{PtB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{OR}(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Et}, \mathrm{Me}, \mathrm{iPr}) .{ }^{7}$

Examples of organic/inorganic ethers containing neutral polyhedral boranes are rare. A limited number of decaboranyl ethers $\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{OR}\right)$ were synthesized in low yield ( $<26 \%$ ) and unconfirmed regiochemistry as a result of the reaction of sodium decaborate $\left(\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}\right]\left[\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}{ }^{-}\right]\right)$with $\mathrm{I}_{2}$ in organic ethers. ${ }^{8}$ A mix of 5- and $6-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(15: 85$;

5OR:6OR), in unreported yields, was also formed as a result of the oxidation of sodium decaborate with stannic chloride in diethyl ether. ${ }^{9}$

The strength of the B-O bond, and the tendency to form trialkoxyborates have limited the use of alcohols in reactions with neutral polyboranes such as decaborane. The only published account of the reaction of decaborane with alcohols reported degradation of the cage to $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OR})_{3}$ compounds. ${ }^{10}$

This chapter reports the synthesis of new classes of decaboranyl ethers, i.e. 5-ROand $6-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, via the nucleophilic substitution reactions of alcohols with halodecaboranes. In both the 5- and 6-halogenated systems, the reactivity imparted by the B-X bond allowed for nucleophilic attack by the alcohol, substituting alkoxide for halide. However, much like the organic $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2^{\prime}$ reaction, the site of the leaving group was not the site of the initial attack. Instead, attack occured away from the leaving group, inducing the movement of electrons which, in turn, moved toward and expelled the leaving group from the substrate. In the organic reaction, the electrons are contained in a $\pi$-system, but in boron hydrides they travel bound to hydrogen. The chemistry is robust, allowing for the syntheses of several potentially useful substituted decaboranes.

### 5.2 Experimental

## Materials and Methods

Materials. The $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 X})$ and $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 X})(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$ compounds were synthesized according to literature procedures. ${ }^{3,4}$ All alcohols, phenols, thiols, phenylthiols and deuterated alcohols (Aldrich) were used as received. Toluene, $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, hexanes, pentane, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (Fisher) were used as received. Silica gel (Fisher) was acidified according to literature prior to use. ${ }^{11}$

Physical Methods. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR at 128.3 MHz and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 400.1 MHz spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 spectrometer equipped with appropriate decoupling accessories. All ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ chemical shifts were referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All proton chemical shifts were measured relative to internal residual protons from the lock solvents $\left(99.9 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and then referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$. High- and low-resolution mass spectra employing chemical ionization with negative ion detection were obtained on a Micromass AutoSpec high-resolution mass spectrometer. IR spectra were obtained on a Perkin-Elmer Spectrum 100 FT-IR spectrometer. Melting points were determined using a standard melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Elemental analyses were carried out by Robertson Microlit Laboratories, Madison, NJ.

General Reaction Methods. Reactions were carried out in sealable 100 mL flasks equipped with a stir bar, side arm and Teflon stopcock (without a rubber o-ring), and were stirred after being sealed under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ at atmospheric pressure. In cases where chromatography was employed, 3 materials were isolated: (1) residual starting material, (2) the desired isomer (5- or $6-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ ) as the major product, and (3) the other isomer
as a minor product. The order of elution was always the starting material, then 6-RO$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, and lastly 5-RO- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. $\left.\left.\mathbf{6 - (} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{( 6 O M e}\right)$. A mixture containing methanol ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{5 B r}$ ( $150 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 h . Additional methanol ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 0.26 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added, and the reaction stirred another 12 h at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a clear oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. For 6OMe: $58 \mathrm{mg}(0.38$ mmol, $51 \%$ ); clear oil; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}_{16}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 154.2131, found 154.2152. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \operatorname{NMR}\left(128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 125,3 \mathrm{~B}), 2.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $\sim 125,2 \mathrm{~B}),-16.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=150,2 \mathrm{~B}),-32.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=158,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=160,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, $\left.J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.91$ (s, $\left.1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 1 \mathrm{BH}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH})$, $2.15(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}) . \operatorname{IR}(\mathrm{KBr}$, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 3004$ (w), 2951 (w), 2858 (w), 2579 (vs), 1556 (w), 1466 (s), 1327 (s), 1292 (s), 1265 (s), 1173 (w), 1114 (w), 1037 (w), 1004 (m), 994 (m), 959 (w), 927 (w), 913 (w), 881 (w), 841 (w), 805 (w), 734 (w), 718 (w), 703 (w), 684 (w), 639 (w), 578 (w).
$\left.\left.\mathbf{5 - (} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}} \mathbf{( 5 O M e}\right)$. A mixture containing methanol ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 0.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 B r}$ ( 80 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred for 12 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a yellowish oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered through a plug of acidic silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give a clear oil
that was then recrystallized from $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For 50Me: $54 \mathrm{mg}(0.36$ mmol, $90 \%$ ); white solid; $\mathrm{mp} 57-59{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}^{1} \mathrm{H}_{16}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O} 154.2131$, found $154.2312 .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 12.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=149$, 1B), 10.5 (d, $J=162,1 B), 2.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 115,1 B), 2.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 150,1 \mathrm{~B}),-3.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=172$, 1B), $-6.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=161,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=150,1 \mathrm{~B}),-38.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.76\left(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.31(\mathrm{~s}$, 3BH), $2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.34(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.98$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), $-2.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.38(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB})$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $2995(\mathrm{~m}), 2944(\mathrm{~m}), 2849$ (m), 2579 (vs), 1894 (br,w), 1549 (w), 1461 (s), 1258 (vs), 1170 (s), 1104 (w), 1068 (w), 1047 (w), 1012 (s), 980 (m), 932 (w), 913 (w), 859 (w), 816 (m), 781 (m), 716 (m), 682 (w), 620 (w).
$\mathbf{6 -}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture of ethanol ( $\left.23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}\right), \mathbf{5 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . The mixture was then diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give an oil that was then dissolved in a minimal amount of a $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. For 6-( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ : $45 \mathrm{mg}(0.27 \mathrm{mmol}, 61 \%)$; clear oil; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{18}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 168.2287, found 168.2290. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 125,3 \mathrm{~B}), 2.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 125,2 \mathrm{~B}),-16.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=145$, 2B), $-32.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.16\left(\mathrm{q}, J=7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.12(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.40(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}, J$ $\left.=7.0, \mathrm{CH}_{3}, 1 \mathrm{BH}\right), 0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.81(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}) . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2983$ (m), 2937 (w), 2901 (w), 2573 (vs), 2079 (br,w), 1900 (br,w), 1712 (w), 1556 (w), 1484
(m), 1443 (w), 1406 (m), 1375 (s), 1324 (s), 1359 (s), 1114 (w), 1094 (w), 1042 (m), 1017 (m), 1001 (s), 959 (m), 913 (w), 897 (w), 860 (w), 838 (w), 805 (w), 734 (w), 717 (m), 703 (m), 684 (m), 639 (w), 577(w).
$\left.\mathbf{6 - (} \mathbf{(} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture of cyclohexanol ( $\left.50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}\right), \mathbf{5 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a clear oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $40 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered through a small plug of acidified silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated and the resulting oily solid was recrystallized from $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathbf{6}-\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 42 \mathrm{mg}(0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$; white solid; mp 66-68 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. Calcd.: C, $32.72 \%$, H, $10.91 \%$. Found: C, $32.73 \%$, H, 11.09\%; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O} 222.2757$, found 222.2782. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 25.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=134,5 \mathrm{~B}),-16.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=121,2 \mathrm{~B})$, $-31.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=145,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=163,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta$ 4.19 (m, CH), 3.79 (s, 1BH), 3.21 (s, 4BH), 2.06 (s, 2BH), 2.00 (m, 2CH), 1.79 (m, $2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.56(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{CH}), 1.34(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.41(\mathrm{~s}, 2 B H B),-1.81(\mathrm{~s}$, 2BHB). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2937 (s), 2859 (w), 2573 (vs), 1558 (w), 1489 (w), 1449 (w), 1392 (w), 1356 (m), 1330 (m), 1290 (m), 1258 (s), 1236 (m), 1112 (w), 1041 (w), 999 (m), 959 (m), 925 (w), 890 (w), 846 (w), 802 (w), 702 (w), 684 (w).
$\mathbf{5 -}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture of cyclohexanol ( $\left.63 \mathrm{mg}, 0.63 \mathrm{mmol}\right), \mathbf{6 B r}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(43 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature for 24 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a pale yellow oil that was then taken up in
a minimal amount of a $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. For $\mathbf{5}-\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 1}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 89 \mathrm{mg}(0.41 \mathrm{mmol}, 77 \%)$; white solid; mp 37-38 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O} 222.2757$, found 222.2728. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.3$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}\right), 12.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,1 \mathrm{~B})$, $10.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=163,1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=148,1 \mathrm{~B}), 0.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=144,1 \mathrm{~B}),-3.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=145,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.9$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=128,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=156,1 \mathrm{~B}),-38.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=139,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(400.1$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.99(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}, \mathrm{BH}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH})$, $2.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 1.92(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.77(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.55(\mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{CH}), 1.46(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.29(\mathrm{~m}$, $3 \mathrm{CH}), 0.97$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}$ ), 0.49 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), -1.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), -2.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), -2.41 ( s , 1BHB). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2935 (s), 2858 (w), 2578 ( s ), 1452 (m), 1353 (w), 1328 (w), 1257 (vs), 1240 (w), 1151 (w), 1125 (w), 1102 (w), 1039 (m), 1019 (m), 1001 (s), 955 (w), 933 (w), 891 (w), 861 (w), 816 (w), 797 (w), 778 (w), 717 (w).
$\left.\mathbf{6 - (}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing tert-butanol ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{5 B r}(80$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give an oil that was then dissolved in a minimal amount of a $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. For $\left.\mathbf{6 - (}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 32 \mathrm{mg}(0.17 \mathrm{mmol}, 42 \%)$; white solid; mp $63{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{22}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 196.2601, found 196.2607. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\left.J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 4.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 135,5 \mathrm{~B}),-14.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=146,2 \mathrm{~B})$, $-30.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=154,1 \mathrm{~B}),-43.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=156,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.11(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.49\left(\mathrm{~s}, 1\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 1.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH})$, $0.20(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.82(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB})$. IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2994$ (w), 2584 (s),

2565 (s), 2543 (m), 2518 (s), 1489 (w), 1396 (w), 1369 (m), 1338 (m), 1253 (w), 1169 (w), 1104 (w), 999 (w), 960 (w), 857 (w), 705 (w), 684 (w).
$\left.\mathbf{5 - (}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing tert-butanol ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 B r}(80$ $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred for 14 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give an off-white solid that was then dissolved in $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane and filtered again. Pure $5-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ was recrystallized from the filtrate at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\left.\mathbf{5 - (}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ : $58 \mathrm{mg}(0.30 \mathrm{mmol}, 75 \%)$; white solid; mp 78-79 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{22}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 196.2601, found 196.2604. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 20.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 13.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=149,1 \mathrm{~B}), 10.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=$ $155,1 \mathrm{~B}), 6.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=170,1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=143,1 \mathrm{~B}),-1.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=147,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=157$, 1B), $-11.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=145,1 \mathrm{~B}),-37.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 3.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.57(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.60(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 1.42$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s},\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}\right), 0.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.21(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.37$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2983 (m), 2934 (w), 2588 ( s$), 2567$ ( s$), 2531$ ( s$), 1457$ (w), 1389 (w), 1369 (m), 1281 (m), 1246 (m), 1179 (w), 1101 (w), 1162 (w), 1046 (w), 1000 (w), 970 (w), 923 (w), 873 (w), 856 (w), 826 (w), 782 (w), 741 (w), 718 (w).

5-( $\left.\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C C} \equiv \mathbf{C C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-butyn-1-ol ( $27 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 B r}$ ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $25 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered through a plug of acidic silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a white solid that was
recrystallized from $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of pentane at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For $\mathbf{5}-\left(\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C C} \equiv \mathbf{C C H} \mathbf{2} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 55 \mathrm{mg}$ ( $0.28 \mathrm{mmol}, 72 \%$ ); white solid; mp 53-55 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{18}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 192.2287, found 192.2275. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \operatorname{NMR}\left(128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 19.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 12.1$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=151,1 \mathrm{~B}), 10.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=162,1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=142,2 \mathrm{~B}),-2.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.4(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=163,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,1 \mathrm{~B}),-39.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.37(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 1.89\left(\mathrm{t}, J=2.2,1 \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH})$, $0.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.91(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.36(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB})$. IR ( $\left.\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2919$ (vw), 2864 (vw), 2573 (vs), 2234 (w), 1556 (w), 1455 (w), 1382 (w), 1214 (s), 1154 (w), 1109 (w), 1045 (w), 1005 (m), 972 (m), 914 (w), 860 (w), 816 (w), 779 (w), 717 (w), 618 (w).
$\left.\mathbf{6 - (} \mathbf{H C} \equiv \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 4-pentynol ( $40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.48 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{5 B r}$ $(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of pentane and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a clear oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $15 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidified silica gel with the same eluent. For $\mathbf{6}-\left(\mathbf{H C} \equiv \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ : $54 \mathrm{mg}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 66 \%)$; clear oil; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{7}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 206.2444, found 206.2433. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 130,3 \mathrm{~B}), 2.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 115,2 \mathrm{~B}),-16.4$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=144,2 \mathrm{~B}),-32.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=158,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=152,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}(400.1$ $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.22\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.82(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.37(\mathrm{td}, J=$ $\left.6.8,2.6, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.14(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 2.00(\mathrm{t}, J=2.6, \mathrm{CH}), 1.95\left(\mathrm{qn}, J=6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.42(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH})$, 0.24 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}$ ), -0.47 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.81$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB})$. IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) $3305(\mathrm{~m}), 2959$ (w),

2575 (s), 1713 (w), 1558 (w), 1495 (w), 1432 (w), 1404 (w), 1361 (w), 1263 (br, s), 1115 (w), 1041 (w), 1002 (m), 960 (w), 910 (w), 878 (w), 842 (w), 805 (w), 704 (w), 684 (w), 638 (m).
$\left.\mathbf{5 - (} \mathbf{H C} \equiv \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 4-pentynol ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 B r}$ ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a clear oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $15 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. For $\mathbf{5}-\left(\mathbf{H C} \equiv \mathbf{C}\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 31 \mathrm{mg}(0.15 \mathrm{mmol}$, $38 \%$ ); clear oil; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{7}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O} 206.2444$, found 206.2436. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 20.7$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}\right), 11.9$ (d, $\left.J=155,1 \mathrm{~B}\right), 9.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=162$, $1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 125,1 \mathrm{~B}), 1.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 130,1 \mathrm{~B}),-4.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=163,1 \mathrm{~B}),-7.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=148$, 1B), $-12.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=154,1 \mathrm{~B}),-39.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.04\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.1, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.28(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.74(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.35\left(\mathrm{td}, J=6.9,2.5, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 1.98(\mathrm{t}, J=2.5, \equiv \mathrm{CH}), 1.90(\mathrm{qn}, J=6.9$, $\mathrm{CH}_{2}$ ), 1.29 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}$ ), 0.52 ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}\right), 0.38$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}\right),-1.95(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.21$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}\right),-$ 2.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3304 (m), 2957 (w), 2888 (w), 2579 (s), 1471 (m), 1359 (w), 1251 (s), 1035 (w), 1002 (m), 965 (w), 934 (w), 914 (w), 858 (w), 816 (w), 781 (w), 717 (w), 639 (m).

6-( $\left.\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{C H C H}\right)_{2} \mathbf{H C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 1,6-heptadiene-4-ol ( 48 mg , $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{5 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days. The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a yellow oil that was
then taken up in a minimal amount of a $5 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. For 6$\left(\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{C H C H}\right)_{2} \mathbf{H C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}: 60 \mathrm{mg}(0.26 \mathrm{mmol}, 62 \%)$; clear oil; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{7}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O} 234.2757$, found 234.2751. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}\left(128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right.$ ): $\delta 24.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=149,5 \mathrm{~B}),-17.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=146,2 \mathrm{~B}),-32.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=152,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.5$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=161,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 5.82(\mathrm{~m}, 2-\mathrm{CH}=), 5.15$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.27(\mathrm{qn}, J=5.9, \mathrm{CH}), 3.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.43\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.06$ $(\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.45(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.22(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.94(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}) . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ 3079 (w), 2980 (w), 2940 (w), 2912 (w), 2575 (s), 1642 (w), 1557 (w), 1489 (w), 1435 (w), 1385 (w), 1327 (s), 1300 (m), 1260 (m), 1113 (w), 1069 (w), 1001 (m), 960 (m), 922 (m), 854 (w), 806 (w), 703 (w), 684 (w)

5-( $\left.\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}}=\mathbf{C H C H}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A solution containing 1,6-heptadiene-4-ol (48 mg, $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{6 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature for 48 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a yellow oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $10 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidic silica using the same eluent. For $\left.\mathbf{5 - (}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{5}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H C O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ : $45 \mathrm{mg}(0.19 \mathrm{mmol}, 48 \%)$; clear oil; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{7}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{24}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}$ 234.2757, found 234.2753. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.4$ (s, 1B), $12.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=150$, 1B), $10.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=146,1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 150,1 \mathrm{~B}), 1.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=151,1 \mathrm{~B}),-3.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=136$, 1B), $-6.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=160,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=154,1 \mathrm{~B}),-38.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=144,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR ( $400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 5.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2-\mathrm{CH}=), 5.12\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.11(\mathrm{qn}, J=6.0$, CH), 3.96 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}$ ), $3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.72(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.64(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.38(\mathrm{~m}$,
$\left.2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.49(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.96(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.25(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.41(\mathrm{~s}$, 1BHB). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 3079 (m), 3007 (w), 2980 (m), 2937 (m), 2911 (m), 2852 (w), 2580 (vs), 1642 (m), 1548 (w), 1455 (m), 1249 (vs), 1103 (w), 1065 (w), 1046 (w), 1002 (s), 974 (w), 919 (s), 860 (w), 816 (m), 780 (w), 717 (m), 619 (w).

6-( $\left.\mathrm{ClC}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-(2-chloroethoxy)-ethanol) ( 62 mg , $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{5 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h . The reaction was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered though a small plug of acidic silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give an oil that was then recrystallized from pentane at $-30{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 24 h . For 6-( $\left.\mathbf{C l C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 61 \mathrm{mg}(0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 63 \%)$; clear crystalline solid; mp 42-43 ${ }^{\circ}$ C; Anal. Calcd.: C, 19.63\%, H, 8.58\%. Found: C, $19.77 \%, H, 8.63 \%$; HRMS: m/z calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl} 248.2130$, found 248.2128 . ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 25.1(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.9(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 140,1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 130,2 \mathrm{~B}), 2.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 115,2 \mathrm{H})$, $-16.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=148,2 \mathrm{~B}),-32.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=159,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400.1 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.27\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.73\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, $3.61\left(\mathrm{t}, J=4.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.20(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.40(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.23(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.30$ (s, 2BHB), -1.79 (s, 2BHB). IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2961$ (w), 2900 (w), 2869 (w), 2573 (s), 1914 (w), 1557 (w), 1494 (w), 1464 (w), 1430 (w), 1392 (w), 1367 (m), 1302 (s), 1262 (m), 1235 (s), 1199 (w), 1127 (m), 1070 (w), 1043 (w), 1019 (w), 1004 (m), 960 (w), 931 (w), 911 (w), 862 (w), 805 (w), 735 (w), 719 (w), 704 (w), 685 (w), 671 (w), 640 (w), 581 (w).

5-( $\left.\mathbf{C l C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}-\mathbf{C}_{2} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-(2-chloroethoxy)-ethanol ( 62 mg , $0.50 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{6 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature for 30 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish oil that was then dissolved in a minimal amount of a $50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered through a short plug of acidic silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give an oily solid that was then recrystallized from pentane at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ over 24 h . For 5-( $\left.\mathbf{C l C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}-\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 78 \mathrm{mg}(0.32 \mathrm{mmol}, 80 \%)$; clear crystalline solid; mp $44-46^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; Anal. Calcd.: C, $19.63 \%, \mathrm{H}, 8.58 \%$. Found: C, $19.68 \%, \mathrm{H}, 8.69 \%$; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}{ }^{37} \mathrm{Cl} 248.2130$, found 248.2133 . ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J$ $\left.=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 20.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 12.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=146,1 \mathrm{~B}), 10.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=167,1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=130$, 2B), $-3.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=138,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=141,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=163,1 \mathrm{~B}),-39.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=156$, 2B). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{J}=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.11\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.97(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.76$ $\left(\mathrm{m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.65\left(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{s}, J=5.1,2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{BH}\right), 3.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.76(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH})$, 0.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.61(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.51(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.84(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.38(\mathrm{~s}$, 1BHB). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2962 (w), 2939 (w), 2892 (w), 2868 (w), 2579 (s), 1916 (w), 1552 (w), 1456 (w), 1387 (w), 1362 (w), 1297 (m), 1254 (s), 1231 (s), 1129 (m), 1040 (m), 1001 (m), 966 (w), 914 (w), 877 (w), 858 (w), 815 (w), 782 (w), 717 (w), 667 (w), 620 (w).

6-( $\left.\mathbf{I} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-iodoethanol ( 86 mg 0.50 mmol ), $\mathbf{5 B r}$ ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 30 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a yellowish oil that was then taken up in a
minimal amount of a $4 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and chromatographed on acidified silica gel using the same eluent. For 6-( $\left.\mathbf{I} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 52 \mathrm{mg}(0.18 \mathrm{mmol}, 45 \%)$; clear oil; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}^{127} \mathrm{I} 294.1253$, found 294.1258. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\left.J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 4.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 140,1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=137$, 2B), $2.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=138,2 \mathrm{~B}),-15.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=140,2 \mathrm{~B}),-32.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=156,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=156$, 1B). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.37\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.85(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.41\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.24(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.18(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.44(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.27(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH})$, -0.41 (s, 2BHB), -1.81 (s, 2BHB). IR (KBr, $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2964 ( vw ), 2928 (vw), 2876 (vw), 2573 (s), 1552 (w), 1491 (w), 1467 (w), 1389 (w), 1300 (m), 1244 (m), 1187 (w), 1170 (w), 1114 (w), 1062 (w), 1003 (m), 971 (w), 923 (w), 883 (vw), 842 (w), 805 (w), 734 (vw), 719 (w), 703 (w), 684 (w), 636 (vw), 579 (vw), 507 (vw).

5-( $\left.\mathbf{I C H} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-iodoethanol ( 86 mg 0.50 mmol ), $\mathbf{6 B r}$ ( $80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature for 20 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of $50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes and quickly filtered through a small plug of acidic silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a clear oil that was then recrystallized from pentane at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$. For $\mathbf{5}-\left(\mathbf{I C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 82 \mathrm{mg}(0.26$ mmol, $69 \%$ ); clear oil; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{4}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{17}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}^{127} \mathrm{I}$ 294.1253, found 294.1244. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR ( $128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 20.7$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}\right), 12.6$ (d, $\left.J=152,1 \mathrm{~B}\right)$, $10.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 180,1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=141,2 \mathrm{~B}),-2.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=136,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=156,1 \mathrm{~B})$, $-10.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=166,1 \mathrm{~B}),-38.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.17\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 4.01(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.65(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.36\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.8, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$,
$3.31(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.78(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 2.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.99(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.54(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.43(\mathrm{~s}$, 1BHB), -1.91 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), -2.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.36$ ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}$ ). IR ( $\left.\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2963$ (w), 2930 (w), 2867 (w), 2578 (s), 1893 (w), 1549 (w), 1463 (m), 1385 (w), 1286 (m), 1236 (s), 1184 (m), 1104 (w), 1059 (w), 1002 (m), 974 (w), 932 (w), 913 (w), 858 (w), 815 (m), 779 (w), 715 (m), 681 (w), $618(\mathrm{w})$.

5-( $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathrm{N}\right) \mathrm{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. A mixture containing N -(2-hydroxyethyl)-succinimide ( 72 mg 0.50 mmol ), $\mathbf{6 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature for 30 h . The reaction mixture was diluted with 3 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a clear oil that was then dissolved in a minimal amount of $60 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes and quickly filtered through a plug of acidic silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give pure $5-\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{~N}\right) \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. For $\left.\mathbf{5 - (}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{N}\right) \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$ : $78 \mathrm{mg}(0.30$ mmol, $74 \%$ ); clear oil; HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{21}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N} 265.2451$, found 265.2462. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 19.9$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}\right), 12.1$ (d, $\left.J=146,1 \mathrm{~B}\right)$, $10.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=158,1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=146,2 \mathrm{~B}),-3.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=133,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=151,1 \mathrm{~B})$, $-11.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=145,1 \mathrm{~B}),-39.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=158,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.11(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.80(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 3.62(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH})$, 3.17 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}$ ), $2.75\left(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.47$ ( $\left.\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}\right),-1.82$ (s, 1BHB), -2.14 (s, 1BHB), -2.36 (s, 1BHB). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2959 (w), 2933 (w), 2874 (w), 2577 (s), 1777 (w), 1699 (s), 1464 (w), 1428 (w), 1402 (m), 1367 (w), 1330 (w), 1285 (m), 1237 (m), 1185 (m), 1111 (w), 1075 (w), 1052 (w), 1002 (w), 974 (w), 860 (w), 817 (w), 786 (w), 717 (w).

6-( $\mathbf{H S C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}$ )- $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-mercaptoethanol ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{5 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 20 h . The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give an oil that was taken up in a minimal amount of $20 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes and chromatographed on acidified silica gel using the same eluent. For 6-( $\left.\mathbf{H S C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 35 \mathrm{mg}(0.2 \mathrm{mmol}, 49 \%)$; white solid; mp 33-34 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{18}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{32} \mathrm{~S}$ 200.2009, found 200.2020. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $\left.J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 24.5(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 130,3 \mathrm{~B}), 2.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 115,2 \mathrm{~B})$, $-16.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=151,2 \mathrm{~B}),-33.1(\mathrm{~d}, J=155,1 \mathrm{~B}),-44.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=154,1 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}$ (400.1 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.22\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.2, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 3.83(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.23(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.85$ (m, CH2 $), 2.16(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 1.56(\mathrm{t}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{SH}), 1.43(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.26(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}),-0.42(\mathrm{~s}$, 2BHB), -1.82 (s, 2BHB). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2940 (w), 2884 (w), 2573 (s), 1557 (w), 1494 (w), 1470 (w), 1395 (w), 1310 (m), 1264 (m), 1235 (m), 1201 (w), 1115 (w), 1040 (w), 1017 (w), 1002 (m), 959 (w), 929 (w), 908 (w), 879 (w), 856 (w), 841 (w), 805 (w), 718 (w), 704 (w), 685 (w), 638 (w), 579 (w).

5-( $\left.\mathbf{H S C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. A mixture containing 2-mercaptoethanol ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.50 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), $\mathbf{6 B r}(80 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred for 30 h at room temperature. The mixture was diluted with 7 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish oil that was taken up in a minimal amount of $40 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes and quickly filtered through a small plug of acidified silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give pure 5$\left(\mathrm{HSC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. For 5-( $\left.\mathbf{H S C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}: 61 \mathrm{mg}(0.35 \mathrm{mmol}, 88 \%)$; clear oil;

HRMS: $m / z$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{2}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{18}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{10}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{3}{ }^{32} \mathrm{~S} 200.2009$, found 200.2005. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3
$\left.\mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 20.4(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 12.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,1 \mathrm{~B}), 10.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 170,1 \mathrm{~B}), 2.0$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=138,2 \mathrm{~B}),-3.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=156,1 \mathrm{~B}),-6.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=157,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=157,1 \mathrm{~B}),-39.3$ $(\mathrm{d}, J=155,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \mathrm{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.05\left(\mathrm{t}, J=6.4, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)$, 4.00 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}$ ), 3.64 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 3.32(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{BH}), 2.81\left(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}, 1 \mathrm{BH}\right), 2.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 1.57(\mathrm{t}, J$ $=8.4, \mathrm{SH}), 0.98(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.53(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BH}), 0.41(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{BHB}),-2.20(\mathrm{~s}$, 1BHB), -2.37 (s, 1BHB). IR (KBr, cm ${ }^{-1}$ ) 2937 (w), 2878 (w), 2573 (s), 1894 (w), 1550 (w), 1464 (m), 1416 (w), 1388 (w), 1299 (m), 1254 (s), 1233 (s), 1196 (m), 1104 (w), 1046 (w), 1028 (w), 1002 (m), 966 (w), 932 (w), 914 (w), 859 (w), 815 (m), 783 (w), 715 (m), $682(\mathrm{w}), 620(\mathrm{w})$.
$\mathbf{6 , 6} \mathbf{' P}^{-}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}$. A mixture of 1,4-cyclohexandiol (mix of cis and trans, 46 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{5 B r}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 h . The mixture was diluted with 3 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to give a yellowish solid that was then dissolved in a minimal amount of a $50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered through a small plug of acidified silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a white solid that was then washed twice with cold hexanes. The product is isolated as a mix of cis- and trans-isomers. For $\mathbf{6 , 6} \mathbf{6}^{\boldsymbol{-}}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}: 36 \mathrm{mg}(0.10$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 25 \%$ ); white solid. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} \mathrm{NMR}\left(128.3 \mathrm{MHz}, J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 26.4$ (s, 1B), 4.8 (d, $J$ $=\sim 140,5 B),-15.2(\mathrm{~d}, J=148,2 \mathrm{~B}),-31.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,1 \mathrm{~B}),-43.0(\mathrm{~d}, J=151,1 \mathrm{~B})$.
${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\} \operatorname{NMR}\left(400.1 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 4.38(\mathrm{br}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 3.86(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 3.27(\mathrm{~s}, 8 \mathrm{BH})$, $2.15(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}, 4 \mathrm{BH}), 2.06(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.86(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 1.47(\mathrm{~s}, 2 B H), 0.28$ (s, 2BH), -0.38 (s, 4BHB), -1.75 (s, 4BHB). IR (KBr, $\left.\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right) 2946$ (w), $2572(\mathrm{~s}), 1522$
(w), 1493 (w), 1444 (w), 1367 (w), 1259 (m), 1108 (w), 1044 (w), 1018 (w), 1000 (m), 958 (m), 923 (w), 911 (w), 883 (w), 842 (w), 800 (w), 703 (w), 683 (w), 639 (w). $\mathbf{5 , 5} \boldsymbol{-}\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}$. A mixture of 1,4-cyclohexandiol (mix of cis and trans, 46 $\mathrm{mg}, 0.40 \mathrm{mmol}), \mathbf{6 B r}(160 \mathrm{mg}, 0.80 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 7 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was stirred at room temperature for 14 h . The mixture was diluted with 3 mL of hexanes and filtered. The filtrate was vacuum evaporated to give a yellow oily-solid that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $50 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes solution and quickly filtered through a small plug of acidified silica gel. The filtrate solvent was vacuum evaporated to give a white, oily solid that was then cooled at $-40^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and washed with cold hexanes. The product is isolated as a mix of cis- and trans-isomers. For $\mathbf{5 , 5} \boldsymbol{\mathbf { 5 }}-\left(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)$ $\left(\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}\right)_{2}: 24 \mathrm{mg}(0.07 \mathrm{mmol}, 19 \%) ;$ HRMS: $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ calcd for ${ }^{12} \mathrm{C}_{6}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}_{36}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}_{20}{ }^{16} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ 360.4575, found 360.4574. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, $J=\mathrm{Hz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 21.2(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{~B}), 12.8(\mathrm{~d}, J=148$, 1B), $10.7(\mathrm{~d}, J=\sim 165,1 \mathrm{~B}), 3.5(\mathrm{~d}, J=150,1 \mathrm{~B}), 1.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=149,1 \mathrm{~B}),-3.4(\mathrm{~d}, J=165$, 1B), $-6.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=170,1 \mathrm{~B}),-11.3(\mathrm{~d}, J=146,1 \mathrm{~B}),-38.6(\mathrm{~d}, J=153,2 \mathrm{~B}) .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR (400.1 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 4.13(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{CH}), 3.98(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 3.63(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 3.25(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{BH}), 2.72$ (s, 4BH), 1.94 (m, 4CH), 1.71 (m, 4CH), 0.97 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}), 0.50(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{BH}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}),-1.94$ ( s , 2BHB), -2.22 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}$ ), -2.39 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2 \mathrm{BHB}$ ). IR ( $\mathrm{KBr}, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ ) 2944 (w), 2576 (s), 1446 (m), 1361 (w), 1328 (w), 1244 (s), 1102 (w), 1039 (w), 1022 (w), 1101 (m), 935 (w), 913 (w), 858 (w), 815 (w), 779 (w), 743 (w), 716 (w).

Reactions of $6 \mathrm{~F}, \mathbf{6 C l}$ and 6 I with $\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}$. In three separate reactions, $\mathbf{6 F}, \mathbf{6 C l}$ and $\mathbf{6 I}$ ( 50 mg each, $0.36,0.32$ and 0.20 mmol , respectively) were reacted with methanol ( 1.3 equiv.) at room temperature while being monitored by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. The reaction with $\mathbf{6 F}$
showed no change after 2 days. The reaction with $\mathbf{6 C l}$ was $25 \%$ complete after 2 days. The reaction with 6 I was complete after $\sim 12 \mathrm{~h}$.

Reactions of 6 Br with phenol, 4-methoxyphenol, thiophenol and 1-octanethiol. 6Br ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was separately reacted with phenol ( $28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 4methoxyphenol ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), thiophenol ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.30 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and 1-octanethiol (44 mg, 0.30 mmol ) at both room temperature and at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ for at least 20 h . No reaction, other than trace isomerization from $\mathbf{6 B r}$ to $\mathbf{5 B r}$, was observed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR for any of the reactions at either temperature.

Syntheses of $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{4}-5-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}\left(\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathrm{D}_{4}-5 \mathrm{Br}\right)$ and $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathrm{D}_{4}-6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}\left(\mu-\mathrm{D}_{4}-6 \mathrm{Br}\right)$. In separate reactions, $\mathbf{5 B r}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathbf{6 B r}(50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.25 \mathrm{mmol})$ were stirred in a biphasic mixture of 2 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and 0.5 mL of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ at room temperature. After 4 h , the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectra of the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ layers showed the disappearance of the high-field signals for all 4 bridging positions (Figures 5.3.18 and 5.3.19). Neither ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum showed any change. The phases were separated, and the $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ layers containing the deuterated-decaborane products were then used without further workup in the subsequent experiments with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$.

Reaction of $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ - $\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{6 B r}$ with $\mathrm{CD}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{OD}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O H}$. In two separate experiments, $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ $(\sim 12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(\sim 10 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ were added to a solution of $\sim 50$ mg of $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 6 B r}$ in $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature. After the solution was stirred at room temperature for $10 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis indicated $>90 \%$ conversion to $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{3}$-6-D-5- $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ and $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{3}-6-\mathrm{D}-5-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}$, respectively. The $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was vacuum evaporated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The products were then purified by recrystallization from pentane at $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Reaction of $6 \mathbf{B r}$ with $\mathbf{C D}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{O D} . \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(\sim 12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\sim 50 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\mathbf{6 B r}$ in 2 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. After the solution was stirred at room temperature for $10 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis indicated $>90 \%$ conversion to $5-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. The $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was vacuum evaporated at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The product was then purified by recrystallization from pentane at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Reaction of $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 5 B r}$ with $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{5}} \mathbf{O D} . \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{OD}(\sim 12 \mathrm{mg}, 0.33 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $\sim 50 \mathrm{mg}$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 5 B r}$ in $\sim 3 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. After the solution was stirred at 70 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $10 \mathrm{~h},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis indicated near quantitative conversion to $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{3}-5$-D-6$\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}$. The $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was vacuum evaporated at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to give an oil that was then taken up in a minimal amount of a $10 \%$ solution of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexanes and chromatographed on acidic silica gel using the same eluent. The solvent from the fractions containing the $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{3}-5-\mathrm{D}-6-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ product was vacuum evaporated at 0 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Crystallographic Data. All crystals were grown from cold pentane or by slow evaporation from heptane solution at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Collection and Reduction of the Data. Crystallographic data and structure refinement information are summarized in Table 5.2.1. X-ray intensity data for 6 - $\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{Penn3371})$ and 5-( $\left.\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{Penn3367}), 5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (5OMe, Penn3364), and 5-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ (Penn3369) were collected on a Bruker APEXII CCD area detector employing graphite-monochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Rotation frames were integrated using SAINT, ${ }^{12}$ producing a list of unaveraged $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)$ values which were then passed to the SHELXTL ${ }^{13}$ program package for further processing and structure solution on a Dell Pentium 4 computer. The
intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using SADABS. ${ }^{14}$

The data for 6- $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathrm{Penn3349})$ and $6,6^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}$ (Penn3351) were collected on a Rigaku Mercury CCD area detector employing graphitemonochromated $\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}$ radiation. Rotation frames were integrated using CrystalClear, ${ }^{15}$ producing a list of unaveraged $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ and $\sigma\left(\mathrm{F}^{2}\right)$ values which were then passed to the CrystalStructure ${ }^{16}$ program package for further processing and structure solution on a Dell Pentium 4 computer. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using REQAB. ${ }^{17}$

Solution and Refinement of the Structures. The structures were solved by direct methods (SIR97 ${ }^{18}$ ). Refinement was by full-matrix least squares based on $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ using SHELXL-97. ${ }^{19}$ All reflections were used during refinement (values of $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ that were experimentally negative were replaced with $F^{2}=0$ ). For structures Penn3349, Penn3351, Penn3371, Penn3364, and Penn3367, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically. For structure Penn3369, all non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were refined isotropically, except for the methyl hydrogens which were refined using a riding model. Bond lengths and angles for all the crystallographically studies structures are provided in Tables 5.2.2-5.2.13.

Table 5.2.1: Crystallographic data for structurally characterized compounds

|  | $\begin{gathered} 6-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\right. \\ \left.\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}$ | $\mathrm{CB}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{O}$ |
| Formula weight | 244.76 | 244.76 | 152.24 |
| Crystal class | Monoclinic | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| space group | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{n}$ | P1 | $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Z | 4 | 2 | 4 |
| $a$, $\AA$ | 8.2214(10) | 7.6495(15) | 8.373(6) |
| b, Å | 19.411(2) | $9.7633(19)$ | 9.848(9) |
| c, $\AA$ | $9.2205(11)$ | 11.127(3) | 12.388(9) |
| $\alpha$, deg |  | 104.353(12) |  |
| $\beta$, deg | 103.768(5) | 106.187(13) | 102.34(4) |
| $\gamma$, deg |  | 109.288(9) |  |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 1429.2(3) | 698.3(3) | 997.9(14) |
| $D_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.138 | 1.164 | 1.013 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 2.43 | 2.49 | 0.48 |
| $\lambda, \AA\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal size, mm | $0.28 \times 0.25 \times 0.08$ | $0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.08$ | $0.44 \times 0.35 \times 0.08$ |
| $F(000)$ | 512 | 256 | 320 |
| $2 \theta$ angle, deg | 4.20-50.18 | 4.12-54.50 | 4.98-50.26 |
| temperature, K | 143(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) |
| $h k l$ collected | $\begin{gathered} -9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 9 ; \\ -23 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq .23 ; \\ -10 \leq 1 \leq 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq 8 \\ -11 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11 ; \\ -13 \leq 1 \leq 13 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & -9 \leq \mathrm{h} \leq .8 \\ & -8 \leq \mathrm{k} \leq 11 ; \\ & -14 \leq 1 \leq 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| No. meas reflns | 23645 | 12464 | 3795 |
| No. of unique reflns | $\begin{gathered} 2533 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0250\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2455 \\ \left(R_{\text {ini }}=0.0222\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1736 \\ \left(R_{\text {int }}=0.0479\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. parameters | 239 | 239 | 174 |
| $R^{\text {a }}$ indices $(F>2 \sigma$ ) | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0243 \\ w R_{2}=0.0682 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0346 \\ w R_{2}=0.0889 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0471 \\ w R_{2}=0.1143 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & R^{\mathrm{a}} \text { indices (all } \\ & \text { data) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0265 \\ w R_{2}=0.0703 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{I}=0.0383 \\ w R_{2}=0.0928 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{l}=0.0686 \\ w R_{2}=0.1299 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{GOF}^{\text {b }}$ | 1.058 | 1.041 | 0.974 |
| final difference peaks, e/Å | +0.166, -0.202 | +0.444, -0.581 | +0.206, -0.202 |


|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)- \\ \mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)- \\ \mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6,6' }-\left(\mathbf{C}_{6} \mathbf{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)- \\ \left(\mathbf{B}_{10} \mathbf{H}_{13}\right)_{2} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Empirical formula | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{O}$ | $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{~B}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{36} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ |
| formula weight | 194.32 | 190.28 | 356.55 |
| Crystal class space group | Monoclinic P2 1 | Triclinic P1 | Monoclinic $P 2{ }_{1} / \mathrm{c}$ |
| Z | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| $a, ~ \AA$ | 5.8342(4) | 7.094(3) | 16.600(2) |
| $b$, $\AA$ | 10.4766(7) | 12.518(5) | 6.6881(9) |
| $c$, $\AA$ | 10.5591(7) | 14.907(6) | 10.1106(14) |
| $\alpha$, deg |  | 68.796(15) |  |
| $\beta$, deg | 96.879(2) | 86.318(18) | 91.691(3) |
| $\gamma$, deg |  | $77.129(15)$ |  |
| $\mathrm{V}, \AA^{3}$ | 640.75(7) | 1202.9(9) | 1122.0(3) |
| $D_{\text {calc }}, \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{cm}^{3}$ | 1.007 | 1.051 | 1.055 |
| $\mu, \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ | 0.49 | 0.52 | 0.51 |
| $\lambda, \AA\left(\mathrm{Mo}-\mathrm{K}_{\alpha}\right)$ | 0.71073 | 0.71073 | 0.71073 |
| Crystal size, mm | $0.30 \times 0.22 \times 0.08$ | $0.42 \times 0.25 \times 0.15$ | $0.38 \times 0.32 \times 0.03$ |
| $F(000)$ | 208 | 400 | 376 |
| $2 \theta$ angle, deg | 5.25-50.08 | 3.72-50.26 | 6.56-50.00 |
| temperature, K | 143(1) | 143(1) | 143(1) |
| $h k l$ collected | $\begin{gathered} 0 \leq h \leq 6 ; \\ 0 \leq k \leq .12 ; \\ -12 \leq l \leq .12 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} -8 & \leq h \leq 8 ; \\ -14 & \leq k \leq 14 ; \\ -17 & \leq l \leq 17 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} -19 \leq h \leq 19 ; \\ -7 \leq k \leq 7 ; \\ -11 \leq l \leq 12 \end{gathered}$ |
| No. meas reflns | 10744 | 30153 | 11522 |
| No. of unique reflns | $\begin{gathered} 2374 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{in}}=0.0242\right. \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4260 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0215\right) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1968 \\ \left(R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.0340\right) \end{gathered}$ |
| No. parameters | 226 | 394 | 200 |
| $R^{\text {a }}$ indices ( $F>2 \sigma$ ) | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0346 \\ w R_{2}=0.0929 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0416 \\ w R_{2}=0.1176 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0462 \\ w R_{2}=0.1063 \end{gathered}$ |
| $R^{\text {a }}$ indices (all data) | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0361 \\ w R_{2}=0.0937 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0464 \\ w R_{2}=0.1210 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} R_{1}=0.0580 \\ w R_{2}=0.1141 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathrm{GOF}^{\text {b }}$ | 1.113 | 1.042 | 1.053 |
| final difference peaks, e/A ${ }^{3}$ | +0.115, -0.145 | +0.294, -0.252 | +0.161, -0.168 |
| $\begin{aligned} & { }^{\mathrm{a}} R_{1}=\Sigma\left\\|F_{o}\left\|-\left\|F_{c} \\| / \Sigma\right\| F_{o}\right\| ; w R_{2}=\left\{\Sigma w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}{ }^{2}\right)^{2} / \Sigma w\left(F_{o}^{2}\right)^{2}\right\}^{1 / 2}\right. \\ & { }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{GOF}=\left\{\Sigma w\left(F_{o}^{2}-F_{c}^{2}\right)^{2} /(\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{p})\right\}^{1 / 2} \text { where } \mathrm{n}=\text { no. of reflns; } \mathrm{p}=\text { no. of params refined } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.2. Bond lengths for $6-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\AA)$

| B1-B10 | $1.7519(17)$ | B1-B5 | $1.7534(17)$ | B1-B2 | $1.7659(17)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B4 | $1.7701(17)$ | B1-B3 | $1.7706(17)$ | B1-H1 | $1.065(13)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.7327(16)$ | B2-B3 | $1.7681(17)$ | B2-B5 | $1.8021(17)$ |
| B2-B7 | $1.8045(17)$ | B2-H2 | $1.077(14)$ | B3-B8 | $1.7468(18)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.7581(16)$ | B3-B4 | $1.7820(17)$ | B3-H3 | $1.087(14)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.7180(17)$ | B4-B10 | $1.7881(17)$ | B4-B8 | $1.7905(17)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.069(13)$ | B5-B6 | $1.8025(17)$ | B5-B10 | $1.9631(18)$ |
| B5-H5 | $1.054(13)$ | B5-H56 | $1.217(13)$ | B6-O1 | $1.3548(13)$ |
| B6-B7 | $1.8045(16)$ | B6-H56 | $1.339(13)$ | B6-H67 | $1.374(12)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.9776(18)$ | B7-H7 | $1.067(13)$ | B7-H67 | $1.182(12)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.7862(19)$ | B8-H8 | $1.067(14)$ | B8-H89 | $1.234(14)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.7924(19)$ | B9-H9 | $1.064(13)$ | B9-H89 | $1.257(14)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.255(13)$ | B10-H10 | $1.062(13)$ | B10-H910 | $1.271(13)$ |
| C1-O1 | $1.4364(13)$ | C1-C2 | $1.4976(15)$ | C1-H1a | $0.933(13)$ |
| C1-H1b | $0.943(13)$ | C2-O2 | $1.4231(12)$ | C2-H2a | $0.967(13)$ |
| C2-H2b | $0.979(13)$ | C3-O2 | $1.4210(12)$ | C3-C4 | $1.4930(15)$ |
| C3-H3a | $0.960(13)$ | C3-H3b | $0.950(13)$ | C4-C11 | $1.7944(11)$ |
| C4-H4a | $0.957(13)$ | C4-H4b | $0.947(14)$ |  |  |

Table 5.2.3. Bond angles for $6-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} .\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| B10-B1-B5 | $68.12(7)$ | B10-B1-B2 | $117.68(8)$ | B5-B1-B2 | $61.60(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B4 | $61.02(7)$ | B5-B1-B4 | $117.51(9)$ | B2-B1-B4 | $114.74(9)$ |
| B10-B1-B3 | $107.85(8)$ | B5-B1-B3 | $108.01(8)$ | B2-B1-B3 | $59.99(7)$ |
| B4-B1-B3 | $60.44(7)$ | B10-B1-H1 | $114.9(7)$ | B5-B1-H1 | $114.6(7)$ |
| B2-B1-H1 | $118.0(7)$ | B4-B1-H1 | $118.1(7)$ | B3-B1-H1 | $127.8(7)$ |
| B6-B2-B1 | $111.52(8)$ | B6-B2-B3 | $111.54(8)$ | B1-B2-B3 | $60.13(7)$ |
| B6-B2-B5 | $61.28(7)$ | B1-B2-B5 | $58.86(7)$ | B3-B2-B5 | $105.99(8)$ |
| B6-B2-B7 | $61.31(7)$ | B1-B2-B7 | $106.12(8)$ | B3-B2-B7 | $58.95(7)$ |
| B5-B2-B7 | $104.65(8)$ | B6-B2-H2 | $119.6(7)$ | B1-B2-H2 | $120.1(7)$ |
| B3-B2-H2 | $119.9(7)$ | B5-B2-H2 | $124.7(7)$ | B7-B2-H2 | $124.3(7)$ |


| B8-B3-B7 | 68.70(7) | B8-B3-B2 | 117.86(8) | B7-B3-B2 | 61.56(7) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B8-B3-B1 | 107.46(9) | B7-B3-B1 | 107.95(8) | B2-B3-B1 | 59.87(7) |
| B8-B3-B4 | 60.97(7) | B7-B3-B4 | 117.67(9) | B2-B3-B4 | 114.03(8) |
| B1-B3-B4 | 59.77(7) | B8-B3-H3 | 114.1(7) | B7-B3-H3 | 115.2(7) |
| B2-B3-H3 | 119.2(7) | B1-B3-H3 | 128.0(7) | B4-B3-H3 | 117.4(7) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 111.50(9) | B9-B4-B3 | 111.03(9) | B1-B4-B3 | 59.79(7) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 61.45(7) | B1-B4-B10 | 58.99(7) | B3-B4-B10 | 105.77(8) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.17(7) | B1-B4-B8 | 105.59(8) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.54(7) |
| B10-B4-B8 | 104.61(8) | B9-B4-H4 | 119.3(7) | B1-B4-H4 | 120.0(7) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 121.2(7) | B10-B4-H4 | 123.5(7) | B8-B4-H4 | 125.3(7) |
| B1-B5-B2 | 59.54(7) | B1-B5-B6 | 108.85(8) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.46(6) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 55.91(6) | B2-B5-B10 | 106.09(8) | B6-B5-B10 | 117.65(8) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 123.2(7) | B2-B5-H5 | 126.1(7) | B6-B5-H5 | 118.8(7) |
| B10-B5-H5 | 117.2(7) | B1-B5-H56 | 126.0(6) | B2-B5-H56 | 101.5(6) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 48.0(6) | B10-B5-H56 | 89.7(6) | H5-B5-H56 | 108.9(9) |
| O1-B6-B2 | 131.82(9) | O1-B6-B5 | 129.07(9) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.26(7) |
| O1-B6-B7 | 124.97(9) | B2-B6-B7 | 61.31(7) | B5-B6-B7 | 104.63(8) |
| O1-B6-H56 | 114.4(5) | B2-B6-H56 | 100.0(5) | B5-B6-H56 | 42.5(5) |
| B7-B6-H56 | 113.2(6) | O1-B6-H67 | 111.7(5) | B2-B6-H67 | 98.8(5) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 113.3(5) | B7-B6-H67 | 40.9(5) | H56-B6-H67 | 92.4(7) |
| B3-B7-B2 | 59.49(7) | B3-B7-B6 | 108.67(8) | B2-B7-B6 | 57.38(6) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.38(6) | B2-B7-B8 | 105.41(8) | B6-B7-B8 | 117.19(8) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 121.6(7) | B2-B7-H7 | 125.0(7) | B6-B7-H7 | 119.8(7) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 117.7(7) | B3-B7-H67 | 126.3(6) | B2-B7-H67 | 103.1(6) |
| B6-B7-H67 | 49.6(6) | B8-B7-H67 | 88.9(6) | H7-B7-H67 | 109.9(9) |
| B3-B8-B9 | 109.49(9) | B3-B8-B4 | 60.49(7) | B9-B8-B4 | 57.41(7) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.92(6) | B9-B8-B7 | 117.68(8) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.91(8) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 122.1(7) | B9-B8-H8 | 120.0(7) | B4-B8-H8 | 126.6(7) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 115.7(7) | B3-B8-H89 | 129.8(6) | B9-B8-H89 | 44.7(6) |
| B4-B8-H89 | 99.9(6) | B7-B8-H89 | 94.7(6) | H8-B8-H89 | 106.8(10) |
| B4-B9-B8 | 61.42(7) | B4-B9-B10 | 61.20(7) | B8-B9-B10 | 104.60(8) |
| B4-B9-H9 | 128.9(7) | B8-B9-H9 | 127.7(7) | B10-B9-H9 | 125.5(7) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 102.8(6) | B8-B9-H89 | 43.7(6) | B10-B9-H89 | 117.5(6) |
| H9-B9-H89 | 111.2(9) | B4-B9-H910 | 103.8(6) | B8-B9-H910 | 117.0(6) |
| B10-B9-H910 | 45.2(6) | H9-B9-H910 | 109.3(9) | H89-B9-H910 | 95.6(9) |


| B1-B10-B4 | $59.99(7)$ | B1-B10-B9 | $108.88(9)$ | B4-B10-B9 | $57.35(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B10-B5 | $55.98(6)$ | B4-B10-B5 | $106.75(8)$ | B9-B10-B5 | $117.67(8)$ |
| B1-B10-H10 | $124.0(7)$ | B4-B10-H10 | $126.3(7)$ | B9-B10-H10 | $118.5(7)$ |
| B5-B10-H10 | $117.0(7)$ | B1-B10-H910 | $128.7(6)$ | B4-B10-H910 | $99.5(6)$ |
| B9-B10-H910 | $44.5(6)$ | B5-B10-H910 | $94.4(6)$ | H10-B10-H910 | $106.3(9)$ |
| B5-H56-B6 | $89.6(8)$ | B6-H67-B7 | $89.5(8)$ | B8-H89-B9 | $91.6(9)$ |
| B9-H910-B10 | $90.4(8)$ | O1-C1-C2 | $110.17(9)$ | O1-C1-H1a | $109.8(7)$ |
| C2-C1-H1a | $110.6(7)$ | O1-C1-H1b | $106.6(8)$ | C2-C1-H1b | $109.7(8)$ |
| H1a-C1-H1b | $109.9(10)$ | O2-C2-C1 | $107.68(8)$ | O2-C2-H2a | $110.1(7)$ |
| C1-C2-H2a | $109.7(8)$ | O2-C2-H2b | $109.1(7)$ | C1-C2-H2b | $111.6(7)$ |
| H2a-C2-H2b | $108.6(10)$ | O2-C3-C4 | $109.03(9)$ | O2-C3-H3a | $109.1(7)$ |
| C4-C3-H3a | $109.3(7)$ | O2-C3-H3b | $110.0(7)$ | C4-C3-H3b | $111.2(7)$ |
| H3a-C3-H3b | $108.2(10)$ | C3-C4-Cl1 | $111.62(8)$ | C3-C4-H4a | $113.1(7)$ |
| C11-C4-H4a | $104.0(7)$ | C3-C4-H4b | $109.6(8)$ | C11-C4-H4b | $105.7(8)$ |
| H4a-C4-H4b | $112.5(11)$ | B6-O1-C1 | $120.74(8)$ | C3-O2-C2 | $111.80(8)$ |

Table 5.2.4. Bond lengths for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. ( A$)$

| B1-B10 | $1.739(2)$ | B1-B5 | $1.770(2)$ | B1-B3 | $1.777(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B4 | $1.790(2)$ | B1-B2 | $1.799(2)$ | B1-H1 | $1.077(18)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.733(2)$ | B2-B3 | $1.765(2)$ | B2-B7 | $1.775(2)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.826(2)$ | B2-H2 | $1.065(18)$ | B3-B7 | $1.747(2)$ |
| B3-B8 | $1.757(2)$ | B3-B4 | $1.780(2)$ | B3-H3 | $1.099(16)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.723(2)$ | B4-B10 | $1.767(2)$ | B4-B8 | $1.792(2)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.080(17)$ | B5-O1 | $1.3604(19)$ | B5-B6 | $1.810(2)$ |
| B5-B10 | $2.031(2)$ | B5-H56 | $1.342(17)$ | B6-B7 | $1.798(2)$ |
| B6-H6 | $1.072(18)$ | B6-H56 | $1.210(16)$ | B6-H67 | $1.261(19)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.939(2)$ | B7-H7 | $1.072(18)$ | B7-H67 | $1.242(17)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.794(3)$ | B8-H8 | $1.06(2)$ | B8-H89 | $1.261(18)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.763(3)$ | B9-H9 | $1.077(19)$ | B9-H89 | $1.279(18)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.281(19)$ | B10-H10 | $1.075(17)$ | B10-H910 | $1.240(19)$ |
| C1-O1 | $1.4372(17)$ | C1-C2 | $1.499(2)$ | C1-H1a | $0.945(18)$ |
| C1-H1b | $0.961(17)$ | C2-O2 | $1.4214(18)$ | C2-H2a | $0.981(18)$ |
| C2-H2b | $0.958(17)$ | C3-O2 | $1.4184(17)$ | C3-C4 | $1.490(2)$ |


| $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{a}$ | $0.963(19)$ | $\mathrm{C} 3-\mathrm{H} 3 \mathrm{~b}$ | $1.00(2)$ | C4-Cl1 | $1.7873(15)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{a}$ | $0.950(17)$ | $\mathrm{C} 4-\mathrm{H} 4 \mathrm{~b}$ | $0.943(18)$ |  |  |

Table 5.2.5. Bond angles for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} .\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| B10-B1-B5 | 70.73(10) | B10-B1-B3 | 107.60(12) | B5-B1-B3 | 108.26(11) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B4 | 60.05(9) | B5-B1-B4 | 118.35(11) | B3-B1-B4 | 59.84(9) |
| B10-B1-B2 | 118.79(11) | B5-B1-B2 | 61.53(9) | B3-B1-B2 | 59.15(9) |
| B4-B1-B2 | 113.18(12) | B10-B1-H1 | 115.5(9) | B5-B1-H1 | 113.7(10) |
| B3-B1-H1 | 127.1(9) | B4-B1-H1 | 119.5(10) | B2-B1-H1 | 117.4(9) |
| B6-B2-B3 | 111.42(12) | B6-B2-B7 | 61.62(10) | B3-B2-B7 | 59.14(9) |
| B6-B2-B1 | 110.13(11) | B3-B2-B1 | 59.81(9) | B7-B2-B1 | 105.74(11) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 61.08(9) | B3-B2-B5 | 106.33(11) | B7-B2-B5 | 105.63(11) |
| B1-B2-B5 | 58.45(8) | B6-B2-H2 | 120.9(10) | B3-B2-H2 | 119.5(10) |
| B7-B2-H2 | 124.5(10) | B1-B2-H2 | 120.0(9) | B5-B2-H2 | 123.8(10) |
| B7-B3-B8 | 67.19(10) | B7-B3-B2 | 60.72(9) | B8-B3-B2 | 116.26(12) |
| B7-B3-B1 | 107.90(11) | B8-B3-B1 | 107.25(11) | B2-B3-B1 | 61.04(9) |
| B7-B3-B4 | 116.93(12) | B8-B3-B4 | 60.89(10) | B2-B3-B4 | 115.40(12) |
| B1-B3-B4 | 60.44(9) | B7-B3-H3 | 115.7(8) | B8-B3-H3 | 114.7(9) |
| B2-B3-H3 | 119.3(9) | B1-B3-H3 | 128.0(8) | B4-B3-H3 | 116.9(8) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 60.67(10) | B9-B4-B3 | 110.98(12) | B10-B4-B3 | 106.31(11) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 109.59(12) | B10-B4-B1 | 58.54(9) | B3-B4-B1 | 59.72(9) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.35(10) | B10-B4-B8 | 105.11(11) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.92(9) |
| B1-B4-B8 | 105.18(11) | B9-B4-H4 | 121.7(9) | B10-B4-H4 | 125.1(9) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 118.6(9) | B1-B4-H4 | 120.5(10) | B8-B4-H4 | 124.0(10) |
| O1-B5-B1 | 121.13(12) | O1-B5-B6 | 125.81(12) | B1-B5-B6 | 107.99(11) |
| O1-B5-B2 | 132.56(13) | B1-B5-B2 | 60.02(9) | B6-B5-B2 | 56.94(9) |
| O1-B5-B10 | 111.63(11) | B1-B5-B10 | 53.93(8) | B6-B5-B10 | 115.19(11) |
| B2-B5-B10 | 104.18(10) | O1-B5-H56 | 110.3(7) | B1-B5-H56 | 126.2(8) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 41.9(7) | B2-B5-H56 | 96.4(7) | B10-B5-H56 | 94.4(7) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 60.34(10) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.98(9) | B7-B6-B5 | 105.36(11) |
| B2-B6-H6 | 127.8(10) | B7-B6-H6 | 124.7(10) | B5-B6-H6 | 127.0(10) |
| B2-B6-H56 | 106.8(8) | B7-B6-H56 | 118.2(8) | B5-B6-H56 | 47.8(8) |


| H6-B6-H56 | 110.3(13) | B2-B6-H67 | 101.9(8) | B7-B6-H67 | 43.7(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B5-B6-H67 | 118.8(8) | H6-B6-H67 | 109.7(13) | H56-B6-H67 | 95.3(11) |
| B3-B7-B2 | 60.14(9) | B3-B7-B6 | 109.25(12) | B2-B7-B6 | 58.04(9) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 56.63(9) | B2-B7-B8 | 107.18(11) | B6-B7-B8 | 116.56(11) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 122.3(10) | B2-B7-H7 | 123.8(10) | B6-B7-H7 | 118.7(10) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 118.4(10) | B3-B7-H67 | 129.4(8) | B2-B7-H67 | 100.5(9) |
| B6-B7-H67 | 44.5(9) | B8-B7-H67 | 93.4(8) | H7-B7-H67 | 107.5(13) |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.19(9) | B3-B8-B9 | 108.74(12) | B4-B8-B9 | 57.43(9) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 56.18(9) | B4-B8-B7 | 107.30(12) | B9-B8-B7 | 117.41(12) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 122.5(10) | B4-B8-H8 | 123.9(10) | B9-B8-H8 | 119.0(10) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 117.8(10) | B3-B8-H89 | 128.5(9) | B4-B8-H89 | 100.5(8) |
| B9-B8-H89 | 45.4(8) | B7-B8-H89 | 93.2(8) | H8-B8-H89 | 108.1(13) |
| B4-B9-B10 | 60.90(10) | B4-B9-B8 | 61.22(10) | B10-B9-B8 | 105.18(12) |
| B4-B9-H9 | 131.4(10) | B10-B9-H9 | 126.5(10) | B8-B9-H9 | 126.6(10) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 103.4(8) | B10-B9-H89 | 118.4(9) | B8-B9-H89 | 44.6(8) |
| H9-B9-H89 | 108.4(13) | B4-B9-H910 | 102.8(9) | B10-B9-H910 | 44.7(9) |
| B8-B9-H910 | 117.3(8) | H9-B9-H910 | 109.3(13) | H89-B9-H910 | 95.8(12) |
| B1-B10-B9 | 110.12(12) | B1-B10-B4 | 61.41(9) | B9-B10-B4 | 58.44(10) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 55.34(8) | B9-B10-B5 | 115.75(11) | B4-B10-B5 | 107.01(10) |
| B1-B10-H10 | 122.7(9) | B9-B10-H10 | 121.5(9) | B4-B10-H10 | 129.0(9) |
| B5-B10-H10 | 114.1(9) | B1-B10-H910 | 127.3(9) | B9-B10-H910 | 46.6(9) |
| B4-B10-H910 | 102.2(9) | B5-B10-H910 | 89.8(9) | H10-B10-H910 | 106.6(13) |
| O1-C1-C2 | 111.27(12) | O1-C1-H1a | 105.4(10) | C2-C1-H1a | 109.1(10) |
| O1-C1-H1b | 109.7(10) | C2-C1-H1b | 110.1(10) | H1a-C1-H1b | 111.2(14) |
| $\mathrm{O} 2-\mathrm{C} 2-\mathrm{C} 1$ | 107.99(12) | O2-C2-H2a | 112.1(10) | C1-C2-H2a | 109.0(9) |
| O2-C2-H2b | 110.1(10) | C1-C2-H2b | 110.7(10) | H2a-C2-H2b | 107.0(14) |
| O2-C3-C4 | 108.60(12) | O2-C3-H3a | 111.2(11) | C4-C3-H3a | 112.2(12) |
| O2-C3-H3b | 110.3(11) | C4-C3-H3b | 109.8(11) | H3a-C3-H3b | 104.7(15) |
| C3-C4-Cl1 | 111.26(10) | C3-C4-H4a | 112.1(10) | Cl1-C4-H4a | 104.6(10) |
| C3-C4-H4b | 112.1(11) | C11-C4-H4b | 105.8(11) | H4a-C4-H4b | 110.4(14) |
| B5-O1-C1 | 122.93(11) | C3-O2-C2 | 113.67(11) | B5 H56 B6 | 90.2(11) |
| B6 H67 B7 | 91.8(12) | B8-H89-B9 | 89.9(12) | B9 H910 B10 | 88.7(12) |

Table 5.2.6. Bond lengths for $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. ( A$)$

| B1-B10 | $1.746(3)$ | B1-B5 | $1.761(3)$ | B1-B3 | $1.785(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B4 | $1.794(3)$ | B1-B2 | $1.810(3)$ | B1-H1 | $1.096(16)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.732(3)$ | B2-B7 | $1.775(3)$ | B2-B3 | $1.780(3)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.809(3)$ | B2-H2 | $1.097(17)$ | B3-B7 | $1.756(2)$ |
| B3-B8 | $1.757(3)$ | B3-B4 | $1.791(3)$ | B3-H3 | $1.104(17)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.718(3)$ | B4-B10 | $1.776(3)$ | B4-B8 | $1.798(3)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.111(15)$ | B5-O1 | $1.370(3)$ | B5-B6 | $1.826(3)$ |
| B5-B10 | $2.046(3)$ | B5-H56 | $1.376(17)$ | B6-B7 | $1.803(3)$ |
| B6-H6 | $1.078(15)$ | B6-H56 | $1.222(17)$ | B6-H67 | $1.312(18)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.968(3)$ | B7-H7 | $1.083(15)$ | B7-H67 | $1.298(17)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.788(3)$ | B8-H8 | $1.111(18)$ | B8-H89 | $1.277(17)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.784(3)$ | B9-H9 | $1.042(17)$ | B9-H89 | $1.339(17)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.288(18)$ | B10-H10 | $1.117(15)$ | B10-H910 | $1.245(17)$ |
| C1-O1 | $1.434(2)$ | C1-H1a | $0.96(3)$ | C1-H1b | $0.96(3)$ |
| C1-H1c | $0.96(2)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.7. Bond angles for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| B10-B1-B5 | $71.38(11)$ | B10-B1-B3 | $107.29(15)$ | B5-B1-B3 | $108.92(13)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B4 | $60.22(11)$ | B5-B1-B4 | $120.12(14)$ | B3-B1-B4 | $60.07(11)$ |
| B10-B1-B2 | $117.68(13)$ | B5-B1-B2 | $60.83(11)$ | B3-B1-B2 | $59.37(11)$ |
| B4-B1-B2 | $113.53(15)$ | B10-B1-H1 | $114.2(8)$ | B5-B1-H1 | $112.2(8)$ |
| B3-B1-H1 | $128.5(9)$ | B4-B1-H1 | $118.2(8)$ | B2-B1-H1 | $119.4(8)$ |
| B6-B2-B7 | $61.84(11)$ | B6-B2-B3 | $111.94(15)$ | B7-B2-B3 | $59.19(11)$ |
| B6-B2-B5 | $62.03(11)$ | B7-B2-B5 | $106.77(13)$ | B3-B2-B5 | $107.01(12)$ |
| B6-B2-B1 | $110.16(13)$ | B7-B2-B1 | $105.27(13)$ | B3-B2-B1 | $59.60(11)$ |
| B5-B2-B1 | $58.24(10)$ | B6-B2-H2 | $120.2(8)$ | B7-B2-H2 | $126.0(9)$ |
| B3-B2-H2 | $120.4(8)$ | B5-B2-H2 | $121.3(9)$ | B1-B2-H2 | $119.8(8)$ |
| B7-B3-B8 | $68.14(11)$ | B7-B3-B2 | $60.27(11)$ | B8-B3-B2 | $117.60(13)$ |
| B7-B3-B1 | $107.20(13)$ | B8-B3-B1 | $108.07(14)$ | B2-B3-B1 | $61.03(11)$ |
| B7-B3-B4 | $116.43(13)$ | B8-B3-B4 | $60.90(11)$ | B2-B3-B4 | $115.14(14)$ |
| B1-B3-B4 | $60.22(11)$ | B7-B3-H3 | $115.9(7)$ | B8-B3-H3 | $113.9(9)$ |
| B2-B3-H3 | $118.6(8)$ | B1-B3-H3 | $128.0(8)$ | B4-B3-H3 | $117.8(8)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |


| B9-B4-B10 | 61.38(13) | B9-B4-B3 | 110.07(13) | B10-B4-B3 | 105.71(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B9-B4-B1 | 110.30(14) | B10-B4-B1 | 58.56(12) | B3-B4-B1 | 59.72(11) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.07(11) | B10-B4-B8 | 105.66(13) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.63(11) |
| B1-B4-B8 | 105.90(13) | B9-B4-H4 | 121.2(9) | B10-B4-H4 | 125.3(9) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 119.5(9) | B1-B4-H4 | 120.7(9) | B8-B4-H4 | 123.1(9) |
| O1-B5-B1 | 121.56(15) | O1-B5-B2 | 131.29(15) | B1-B5-B2 | 60.93(10) |
| O1-B5-B6 | 125.15(15) | B1-B5-B6 | 108.17(14) | B2-B5-B6 | 56.93(10) |
| O1-B5-B10 | 114.16(13) | B1-B5-B10 | 53.98(10) | B2-B5-B10 | 104.13(13) |
| B6-B5-B10 | 112.99(14) | O1-B5-H56 | 110.2(8) | B1-B5-H56 | 125.9(8) |
| B2-B5-H56 | 96.7(7) | B6-B5-H56 | 42.0(7) | B10-B5-H56 | 92.3(7) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 60.26(11) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.04(11) | B7-B6-B5 | 104.90(13) |
| B2-B6-H6 | 127.3(9) | B7-B6-H6 | 125.8(9) | B5-B6-H6 | 125.8(9) |
| B2-B6-H56 | 107.2(8) | B7-B6-H56 | 118.9(9) | B5-B6-H56 | 48.9(8) |
| H6-B6-H56 | 109.1(12) | B2-B6-H67 | 103.8(7) | B7-B6-H67 | 46.0(7) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 119.0(7) | H6-B6-H67 | 110.3(11) | H56-B6-H67 | 94.1(12) |
| B3-B7-B2 | 60.55(11) | B3-B7-B6 | 109.76(15) | B2-B7-B6 | 57.90(11) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.96(11) | B2-B7-B8 | 107.76(12) | B6-B7-B8 | 118.68(13) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 123.8(7) | B2-B7-H7 | 125.2(9) | B6-B7-H7 | 117.6(8) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 116.8(9) | B3-B7-H67 | 129.8(7) | B2-B7-H67 | 102.2(8) |
| B6-B7-H67 | 46.6(8) | B8-B7-H67 | 93.7(7) | H7-B7-H67 | 105.0(10) |
| B3-B8-B9 | 108.42(16) | B3-B8-B4 | 60.48(11) | B9-B8-B4 | 57.24(11) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.90(10) | B9-B8-B7 | 114.95(14) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.27(14) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 122.9(9) | B9-B8-H8 | 120.4(9) | B4-B8-H8 | 125.8(8) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 118.0(8) | B3-B8-H89 | 127.3(9) | B9-B8-H89 | 48.3(8) |
| B4-B8-H89 | 102.8(8) | B7-B8-H89 | 88.9(8) | H8-B8-H89 | 107.6(12) |
| B4-B9-B10 | 60.93(12) | B4-B9-B8 | 61.69(12) | B10-B9-B8 | 105.79(14) |
| B4-B9-H9 | 130.8(8) | B10-B9-H9 | 126.6(10) | B8-B9-H9 | 125.7(10) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 104.4(7) | B10-B9-H89 | 118.1(8) | B8-B9-H89 | 45.5(7) |
| H9-B9-H89 | 108.3(12) | B4-B9-H910 | 101.4(8) | B10-B9-H910 | 44.2(8) |
| B8-B9-H910 | 114.8(8) | H9-B9-H910 | 112.5(12) | H89-B9-H910 | 92.8(10) |
| B1-B10-B4 | 61.21(12) | B1-B10-B9 | 109.44(14) | B4-B10-B9 | 57.69(12) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 54.64(9) | B4-B10-B5 | 107.17(13) | B9-B10-B5 | 117.61(13) |
| B1-B10-H10 | 124.3(8) | B4-B10-H10 | 130.2(7) | B9-B10-H10 | 120.7(8) |
| B5-B10-H10 | 113.0(8) | B1-B10-H910 | 124.2(8) | B4-B10-H910 | 100.1(9) |
| B9-B10-H910 | 46.2(8) | B5-B10-H910 | 89.9(8) | H10-B10-H910 | 108.0(11) |


| B5-H56-B6 | $89.1(10)$ | B6-H67-B7 | $87.3(11)$ | B8-H89-B9 | $86.4(9)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B9-H910-B10 | $89.5(9)$ | O1-C1-H1a | $109.3(15)$ | O1-C1-H1b | $113.4(14)$ |
| H1a-C1-H1b | $111(2)$ | O1-C1-H1c | $112.5(13)$ | H1a-C1-H1c | $109(2)$ |
| H1b-C1-H1c | $101.4(18)$ | B5-O1-C1 | $120.82(15)$ |  |  |

Table 5.2.8. Bond lengths for $6-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. $(\mathrm{A})$

| B1-B10 | $1.755(3)$ | B1-B5 | $1.764(3)$ | B1-B2 | $1.775(3)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B4 | $1.778(3)$ | B1-B3 | $1.780(3)$ | B1-H1 | $1.10(2)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.739(3)$ | B2-B3 | $1.774(3)$ | B2-B7 | $1.807(3)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.806(3)$ | B2-H2 | $1.14(2)$ | B3-B8 | $1.748(3)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.759(3)$ | B3-B4 | $1.785(3)$ | B3-H3 | $1.03(2)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.718(3)$ | B4-B10 | $1.796(3)$ | B4-B8 | $1.795(3)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.10(2)$ | B5-B6 | $1.826(3)$ | B5-B10 | $1.994(3)$ |
| B5-H5 | $1.04(2)$ | B5-H56 | $1.205(19)$ | B6-O11 | $1.335(2)$ |
| B6-B7 | $1.827(3)$ | B6-H56 | $1.44(2)$ | B6-H67 | $1.41(2)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.977(3)$ | B7-H7 | $1.11(2)$ | B7-H67 | $1.25(2)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.799(4)$ | B8-H8 | $1.04(2)$ | B8-H89 | $1.29(2)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.793(3)$ | B9-H9 | $1.11(3)$ | B9-H89 | $1.26(3)$ |
| B9-H910 | $1.29(2)$ | B10-H10 | $1.07(2)$ | B10-H910 | $1.17(2)$ |
| O11-C12 | $1.470(2)$ | C12-C13 | $1.514(3)$ | C12-C15 | $1.516(3)$ |
| C12-C14 | $1.516(3)$ | C13-H13a | $0.99(3)$ | C13-H13b | $0.93(3)$ |
| C13-H13c | $0.95(2)$ | C14-H14a | $0.98(2)$ | C14-H14b | $1.00(2)$ |
| C14-H14c | $0.94(3)$ | C15-H15a | $0.99(3)$ | C15-H15b | $1.04(3)$ |
| C15-H15c | $0.94(2)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.9. Bond angles for 6-(( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| B10-B1-B5 | $69.04(13)$ | B10-B1-B2 | $118.06(15)$ | B5-B1-B2 | $61.37(12)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B4 | $61.13(13)$ | B5-B1-B4 | $118.36(16)$ | B2-B1-B4 | $114.60(15)$ |
| B10-B1-B3 | $107.83(15)$ | B5-B1-B3 | $108.04(15)$ | B2-B1-B3 | $59.89(12)$ |
| B4-B1-B3 | $60.22(12)$ | B10-B1-H1 | $115.7(13)$ | B5-B1-H1 | $113.1(12)$ |
| B2-B1-H1 | $116.4(12)$ | B4-B1-H1 | $119.7(12)$ | B3-B1-H1 | $127.9(13)$ |
| B6-B2-B3 | $112.97(14)$ | B6-B2-B1 | $112.80(15)$ | B3-B2-B1 | $60.21(12)$ |


| B6-B2-B7 | 61.97(12) | B3-B2-B7 | 58.83(11) | B1-B2-B7 | 105.80(14) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B6-B2-B5 | 61.96(11) | B3-B2-B5 | 106.47(15) | B1-B2-B5 | 59.03(12) |
| B7-B2-B5 | 104.65(14) | B6-B2-H2 | 114.7(11) | B3-B2-H2 | 122.6(12) |
| B1-B2-H2 | 122.7(12) | B7-B2-H2 | 123.8(13) | B5-B2-H2 | 123.1(13) |
| B8-B3-B7 | 68.62(12) | B8-B3-B2 | 118.05(15) | B7-B3-B2 | 61.52(11) |
| B8-B3-B1 | 107.49(17) | B7-B3-B1 | 107.64(15) | B2-B3-B1 | 59.90(12) |
| B8-B3-B4 | 61.06(13) | B7-B3-B4 | 117.56(16) | B2-B3-B4 | 114.26(17) |
| B1-B3-B4 | 59.82(13) | B8-B3-H3 | 116.3(10) | B7-B3-H3 | 114.5(11) |
| B2-B3-H3 | 116.4(11) | B1-B3-H3 | 127.3(11) | B4-B3-H3 | 119.4(11) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 111.35(16) | B9-B4-B3 | 111.32(16) | B1-B4-B3 | 59.95(13) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 61.31(14) | B1-B4-B10 | 58.79(13) | B3-B4-B10 | 105.80(15) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.55(14) | B1-B4-B8 | 105.56(15) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.45(12) |
| B10-B4-B8 | 104.65(16) | B9-B4-H4 | 120.3(12) | B1-B4-H4 | 121.2(12) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 118.0(13) | B10-B4-H4 | 127.3(14) | B8-B4-H4 | 122.2(13) |
| B1-B5-B2 | 59.60(12) | B1-B5-B6 | 109.23(16) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.23(11) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 55.25(12) | B2-B5-B10 | 105.46(14) | B6-B5-B10 | 118.50(15) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 120.6(12) | B2-B5-H5 | 123.5(12) | B6-B5-H5 | 118.8(12) |
| B10-B5-H5 | 118.3(12) | B1-B5-H56 | 125.7(10) | B2-B5-H56 | 104.2(11) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 51.8(11) | B10-B5-H56 | 87.5(10) | H5-B5-H56 | 111.3(15) |
| O11-B6-B2 | 136.39(16) | O11-B6-B5 | 133.69(17) | B2-B6-B5 | 60.81(12) |
| O11-B6-B7 | 122.91(16) | B2-B6-B7 | 60.84(11) | B5-B6-B7 | 103.07(14) |
| O11-B6-H56 | 116.3(8) | B2-B6-H56 | 97.9(8) | B5-B6-H56 | 41.2(8) |
| B7-B6-H56 | 110.3(8) | O11-B6-H67 | 107.5(8) | B2-B6-H67 | 99.6(8) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 110.0(9) | B7-B6-H67 | 43.2(8) | H56-B6-H67 | 87.7(13) |
| B3-B7-B2 | 59.65(12) | B3-B7-B6 | 109.55(15) | B2-B7-B6 | 57.19(11) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 55.43(11) | B2-B7-B8 | 105.81(15) | B6-B7-B8 | 119.42(15) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 121.6(10) | B2-B7-H7 | 121.1(10) | B6-B7-H7 | 115.5(10) |
| B8-B7-H7 | 121.0(10) | B3-B7-H67 | 125.9(10) | B2-B7-H67 | 102.9(10) |
| B6-B7-H67 | 50.6(9) | B8-B7-H67 | 88.7(9) | H7-B7-H67 | 111.3(15) |
| B3-B8-B4 | 60.48(13) | B3-B8-B9 | 109.27(17) | B4-B8-B9 | 57.11(13) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.96(11) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.84(14) | B9-B8-B7 | 117.60(15) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 120.3(11) | B4-B8-H8 | 126.5(11) | B9-B8-H8 | 121.8(12) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 114.6(12) | B3-B8-H89 | 131.1(11) | B4-B8-H89 | 99.9(12) |
| B9-B8-H89 | 44.5(12) | B7-B8-H89 | 96.1(11) | H8-B8-H89 | 107.4(16) |
| B4-B9-B10 | 61.50(13) | B4-B9-B8 | 61.33(13) | B10-B9-B8 | 104.63(15) |


| B4-B9-H9 | $128.6(11)$ | B10-B9-H9 | $125.9(14)$ | B8-B9-H9 | $127.3(14)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B4-B9-H89 | $105.2(11)$ | B10-B9-H89 | $119.1(11)$ | B8-B9-H89 | $45.7(11)$ |
| H9-B9-H89 | $108.8(17)$ | B4-B9-H910 | $100.1(10)$ | B10-B9-H910 | $40.8(10)$ |
| B8-B9-H910 | $116.7(11)$ | H9-B9-H910 | $111.6(18)$ | H89-B9-H910 | $98.3(16)$ |
| B1-B10-B9 | $108.95(17)$ | B1-B10-B4 | $60.08(13)$ | B9-B10-B4 | $57.19(13)$ |
| B1-B10-B5 | $55.71(11)$ | B9-B10-B5 | $118.03(15)$ | B4-B10-B5 | $106.63(16)$ |
| B1-B10-H10 | $123.5(12)$ | B9-B10-H10 | $117.4(11)$ | B4-B10-H10 | $124.1(11)$ |
| B5-B10-H10 | $118.8(11)$ | B1-B10-H910 | $129.6(12)$ | B9-B10-H910 | $45.8(11)$ |
| B4-B10-H910 | $100.7(12)$ | B5-B10-H910 | $94.5(11)$ | H10-B10-H910 | $106.1(17)$ |
| B5-H56-B6 | $86.9(13)$ | B6-H67-B7 | $86.2(11)$ | B8-H89-B9 | $89.8(15)$ |
| B9-H910-B10 | $93.4(15)$ | B6-O11-C12 | $129.10(15)$ | O11-C12-C13 | $109.28(15)$ |
| O11-C12-C15 | $109.05(14)$ | C13-C12-C15 | $112.16(18)$ | O11-C12-C14 | $103.92(14)$ |
| C13-C12-C14 | $111.37(16)$ | C15-C12-C14 | $110.72(16)$ | C12-C13-H13a | $112.9(14)$ |
| C12-C13-H13b | $107.8(16)$ | H13a-C13-H13b | $104(2)$ | C12-C13-H13c | $109.4(14)$ |
| H13a-C13-H13c | $112.1(18)$ | H13b-C13-H13c | $110.2(19)$ | C12-C14-H14a | $111.0(12)$ |
| C12-C14-H14b | $107.3(14)$ | H14a-C14-H14b | $112.1(17)$ | C12-C14-H14c | $109.6(16)$ |
| H14a-C14-H14c | $109(2)$ | H14b-C14-H14c | $107(2)$ | C12-C15-H15a | $107.5(14)$ |
| C12-C15-H15b | $116.1(14)$ | H15a-C15-H15b | $107(2)$ | C12-C15-H15c | $112.3(13)$ |
| H15a-C15-H15c | $110.5(19)$ | H15b-C15-H15c | $103(2)$ |  |  |

Table 5.2.10. Bond lengths for $6,6^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}$. $(\AA$ A $)$

| B1-B10 | $1.748(2)$ | B1-B5 | $1.749(2)$ | B1-B2 | $1.768(2)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B3 | $1.770(2)$ | B1-B4 | $1.775(2)$ | B1-H1 | $1.111(16)$ |
| B2-B6 | $1.733(2)$ | B2-B3 | $1.769(2)$ | B2-B7 | $1.798(2)$ |
| B2-B5 | $1.799(2)$ | B2-H2 | $1.118(16)$ | B3-B8 | $1.746(3)$ |
| B3-B7 | $1.760(2)$ | B3-B4 | $1.778(2)$ | B3-H3 | $1.083(16)$ |
| B4-B9 | $1.717(3)$ | B4-B10 | $1.789(2)$ | B4-B8 | $1.790(2)$ |
| B4-H4 | $1.094(16)$ | B5-B6 | $1.804(2)$ | B5-B10 | $1.980(2)$ |
| B5-H5 | $1.094(16)$ | B5-H56 | $1.202(16)$ | B6-O11 | $1.3549(19)$ |
| B6-B7 | $1.816(2)$ | B6-H56 | $1.353(15)$ | B6-H67 | $1.382(16)$ |
| B7-B8 | $1.991(2)$ | B7-H6 | $1.071(16)$ | B7-H67 | $1.221(17)$ |
| B8-B9 | $1.787(3)$ | B8-H7 | $1.088(17)$ | B8-H89 | $1.262(16)$ |
| B9-B10 | $1.794(3)$ | B9-H8 | $1.071(17)$ | B9-H89 | $1.274(16)$ |


| B9-H910 | $1.269(16)$ | B10-H9 | $1.099(16)$ | B10-H910 | $1.248(16)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| O11-C12 | $1.4506(17)$ | C12-C13 | $1.517(2)$ | C12-C14 | $1.517(2)$ |
| C12-H12 | $0.993(15)$ | C13-C14 | $1.528(2)$ | C13-H13a | $0.968(16)$ |
| C13-H13b | $0.986(17)$ | C14-C12 | $1.517(2)$ | C14-H14a | $0.983(17)$ |
| C14-H14b | $1.002(17)$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.11. Bond angles for $6,6^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2} .\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| B10-B1-B5 | 68.98(10) | B10-B1-B2 | 118.21(12) | B5-B1-B2 | 61.52(9) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B3 | 107.81(12) | B5-B1-B3 | 108.14(11) | B2-B1-B3 | 59.98(9) |
| B10-B1-B4 | 61.01(10) | B5-B1-B4 | 118.21(12) | B2-B1-B4 | 114.68(12) |
| B3-B1-B4 | 60.21(9) | B10-B1-H1 | 115.8(8) | B5-B1-H1 | 113.9(8) |
| B2-B1-H1 | 116.6(8) | B3-B1-H1 | 127.3(8) | B4-B1-H1 | 119.0(8) |
| B6-B2-B1 | 111.71(12) | B6-B2-B3 | 112.22(12) | B1-B2-B3 | 60.07(9) |
| B6-B2-B7 | 61.90(10) | B1-B2-B7 | 106.31(11) | B3-B2-B7 | 59.12(9) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 61.41(10) | B1-B2-B5 | 58.70(9) | B3-B2-B5 | 106.02(11) |
| B7-B2-B5 | 105.11(12) | B6-B2-H2 | 118.0(8) | B1-B2-H2 | 120.0(8) |
| B3-B2-H2 | 121.8(8) | B7-B2-H2 | 125.4(8) | B5-B2-H2 | 122.5(8) |
| B8-B3-B7 | 69.21(10) | B8-B3-B2 | 118.17(12) | B7-B3-B2 | 61.25(9) |
| B8-B3-B1 | 107.69(12) | B7-B3-B1 | 107.88(12) | B2-B3-B1 | 59.95(9) |
| B8-B3-B4 | 61.04(10) | B7-B3-B4 | 118.24(12) | B2-B3-B4 | 114.49(12) |
| B1-B3-B4 | 60.03(9) | B8-B3-H3 | 114.5(8) | B7-B3-H3 | 114.0(8) |
| B2-B3-H3 | 117.9(8) | B1-B3-H3 | 128.3(8) | B4-B3-H3 | 118.4(8) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 111.20(12) | B9-B4-B3 | 110.95(12) | B1-B4-B3 | 59.76(9) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 61.50(11) | B1-B4-B10 | 58.74(9) | B3-B4-B10 | 105.69(11) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.20(11) | B1-B4-B8 | 105.56(11) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.58(9) |
| B10-B4-B8 | 104.80(12) | B9-B4-H4 | 121.0(8) | B1-B4-H4 | 119.3(8) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 119.5(9) | B10-B4-H4 | 124.6(9) | B8-B4-H4 | 125.0(8) |
| B1-B5-B2 | 59.78(9) | B1-B5-B6 | 109.28(12) | B2-B5-B6 | 57.49(9) |
| B1-B5-B10 | 55.49(9) | B2-B5-B10 | 105.87(11) | B6-B5-B10 | 118.00(12) |
| B1-B5-H5 | 122.2(8) | B2-B5-H5 | 125.0(8) | B6-B5-H5 | 118.7(8) |
| B10-B5-H5 | 117.7(8) | B1-B5-H56 | 126.7(7) | B2-B5-H56 | 102.2(8) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 48.6(7) | B10-B5-H56 | 90.0(7) | H5-B5-H56 | 109.3(11) |
| O11-B6-B2 | 135.12(14) | O11-B6-B5 | 123.37(13) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.10(9) |
| O11-B6-B7 | 131.87(13) | B2-B6-B7 | 60.80(9) | B5-B6-B7 | 104.11(11) |


| O11-B6-H56 | $107.8(7)$ | B2-B6-H56 | $99.3(7)$ | B5-B6-H56 | $41.8(7)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B7-B6-H56 | $113.2(6)$ | O11-B6-H67 | $113.7(7)$ | B2-B6-H67 | $99.7(7)$ |
| B5-B6-H67 | $113.7(7)$ | B7-B6-H67 | $42.2(7)$ | H56-B6-H67 | $92.6(9)$ |
| B3-B7-B2 | $59.63(9)$ | B3-B7-B6 | $108.74(12)$ | B2-B7-B6 | $57.29(9)$ |
| B3-B7-B8 | $55.07(9)$ | B2-B7-B8 | $105.38(11)$ | B6-B7-B8 | $117.52(12)$ |
| B3-B7-H6 | $120.7(8)$ | B2-B7-H6 | $124.3(8)$ | B6-B7-H6 | $120.2(8)$ |
| B8-B7-H6 | $117.6(8)$ | B3-B7-H67 | $126.9(8)$ | B2-B7-H67 | $103.1(8)$ |
| B6-B7-H67 | $49.5(8)$ | B8-B7-H67 | $89.8(7)$ | H6-B7-H67 | $110.3(11)$ |
| B3-B8-B9 | $109.25(13)$ | B3-B8-B4 | $60.38(10)$ | B9-B8-B4 | $57.39(10)$ |
| B3-B8-B7 | $55.72(9)$ | B9-B8-B7 | $117.57(12)$ | B4-B8-B7 | $106.74(11)$ |
| B3-B8-H7 | $122.3(9)$ | B9-B8-H7 | $119.9(9)$ | B4-B8-H7 | $126.3(9)$ |
| B7-B8-H7 | $116.1(9)$ | B3-B8-H89 | $129.4(7)$ | B9-B8-H89 | $45.5(7)$ |
| B4-B8-H89 | $100.6(7)$ | B7-B8-H89 | $94.0(7)$ | H7-B8-H89 | $107.0(11)$ |
| B4-B9-B8 | $61.40(10)$ | B4-B9-B10 | $61.20(10)$ | B8-B9-B10 | $104.73(12)$ |
| B4-B9-H8 | $127.9(9)$ | B8-B9-H8 | $126.1(9)$ | B10-B9-H8 | $126.6(9)$ |
| B4-B9-H89 | $103.9(7)$ | B8-B9-H89 | $44.9(7)$ | B10-B9-H89 | $117.6(7)$ |
| H8-B9-H89 | $110.3(12)$ | B4-B9-H910 | $103.2(7)$ | B8-B9-H910 | $118.2(7)$ |
| B10-B9-H910 | $44.1(7)$ | H8-B9-H910 | $110.5(12)$ | H89-B9-H910 | $96.4(10)$ |
| B1-B10-B4 | $60.25(10)$ | B1-B10-B9 | $108.92(13)$ | B4-B10-B9 | $57.29(10)$ |
| B1-B10-B5 | $55.53(9)$ | B4-B10-B5 | $106.61(11)$ | B9-B10-B5 | $117.57(12)$ |
| B1-B10-H9 | $123.1(8)$ | B4-B10-H9 | $127.6(8)$ | B9-B10-H9 | $120.2(8)$ |
| B5-B10-H9 | $115.3(8)$ | B1-B10-H910 | $129.8(7)$ | B4-B10-H910 | $100.3(8)$ |
| B9-B10-H910 | $45.0(7)$ | B5-B10-H910 | $95.1(7)$ | H9-B10-H910 | $105.6(11)$ |
| B6-O11-C12 | $122.22(12)$ | O11-C12-C13 | $107.08(12)$ | O11-C12-C14' | $110.62(12)$ |
| C13-C12-C14 | $111.07(12)$ | O11-C12-H12 | $105.9(8)$ | C13-C12-H12 | $110.8(9)$ |
| C14'-C12-H12 | $111.2(9)$ | C12-C13-C14 | $110.60(13)$ | C12-C13-H13a | $108.4(9)$ |
| C14-C13-H13a | $109.3(9)$ | C12-C13-H13b | $110.1(9)$ | C14-C13-H13b | $110.0(9)$ |
| H13a-C13-H13b | $108.5(13)$ | C12'-C14-C13 | $109.90(13)$ | C12'-C14-H14a | $109.8(9)$ |
| C13-C14-H14a | $111.6(9)$ | C12'-C14-H14b | $109.6(9)$ | C13-C14-H14b | $109.3(9)$ |
| H14a-C14-H14b | $106.6(13)$ |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.12. Bond lengths for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. $(\AA)$

| B1-B10 | 1.747(2) | B1-B5 | 1.7605(19) | B1-B3 | 1.774(2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B1-B4 | 1.787(2) | B1-B2 | 1.791(2) | B1-H1 | 1.082(15) |
| B2-B6 | 1.726(2) | B2-B3 | 1.764(2) | B2-B7 | 1.782(2) |
| B2-B5 | 1.8118(19) | B2-H2 | 1.086(16) | B3-B7 | 1.743(2) |
| B3-B8 | 1.755(2) | B3-B4 | 1.782(2) | B3-H3 | 1.073(16) |
| B4-B9 | 1.720(2) | B4-B10 | 1.765(2) | B4-B8 | 1.791(2) |
| B4-H4 | 1.080(16) | B5-O1 | 1.3828(17) | B5-B6 | 1.793(2) |
| B5-B10 | 2.044(2) | B5-H56 | $1.305(14)$ | B6-B7 | 1.792(2) |
| B6-H5 | 1.064(16) | B6-H56 | 1.286(14) | B6-H67 | 1.245(17) |
| B7-B8 | 1.954(2) | B7-H7 | 1.089(15) | B7-H67 | 1.273(17) |
| B8-B9 | 1.787(2) | B8-H8 | 1.084(17) | B8-H89 | 1.276(17) |
| B9-B10 | 1.761(2) | B9-H9 | 1.052(16) | B9-H89 | 1.319(17) |
| B9-H910 | 1.293(14) | B10-H10 | 1.089(15) | B10-H910 | 1.240(14) |
| O1-C1 | 1.4336(15) | C1-C2 | 1.4586(18) | C1-H1a | 0.953(15) |
| C1-H1b | 0.957(16) | C2-C3 | 1.1889(19) | C3-C4 | 1.4585(19) |
| C4-H4a | 0.9600 | C4-H4b | 0.9600 | C4-H4c | 0.9600 |
| B1'-B5' | 1.754(2) | B1'-B10' | 1.755(2) | B1'-B3' | 1.769(2) |
| B1'-B4' | 1.787(2) | B1'-B2' | 1.791(2) | B1'-H1' | 1.069(15) |
| B2'-B6' | 1.728(2) | B2'-B3' | 1.765(2) | B2'-B7' | $1.776(2)$ |
| B2'-B5' | 1.807(2) | B2'-H2' | 1.090(17) | B3'-B7' | 1.743(2) |
| B3'-B8' | 1.748(2) | B3'-B4' | 1.783(3) | B3'-H3' | 1.052(19) |
| B4'-B9' | 1.717(2) | B4'-B10' | 1.767(2) | B4'-B8' | 1.791(2) |
| B4'-H4' | 1.083(17) | B5'-O1' | 1.3814(17) | B5'-B6' | 1.794(2) |
| B5'-B10' | 2.038(2) | B5'-H56' | 1.301(15) | B6'-B7' | 1.792(2) |
| B6'-H6' | 1.076(16) | B6'-H56' | 1.280(15) | B6'-H67' | 1.258(16) |
| B7'-B8' | 1.949(3) | B7'-H7' | 1.098(16) | B7'-H67' | 1.244(16) |
| B8'-B9' | 1.790(3) | B8'-H8' | 1.092(18) | B8'-H89' | 1.251(16) |
| B9'-B10' | 1.761(2) | B9'-H9' | 1.067(19) | B9'-H89' | 1.302(16) |
| B9'-H910' | 1.293(16) | B10'-H10' | 1.105(16) | B10'-H910' | 1.216(16) |
| O1'-C1' | 1.4316(15) | C1'-C2' | $1.4593(18)$ | C1'-H1a' | 0.970(16) |
| C1'-H1b' | 0.992(17) | C2'-C3' | 1.1894(19) | C3'-C4' | 1.4590 (19) |
| C4'-H4a' | 0.9600 | C4'-H4b' | 0.9600 | C4'-H4' | 0.9600 |

Table 5.2.13. Bond angles for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} .\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$

| B10-B1-B5 | 71.28(8) | B10-B1-B3 | 107.25(10) | B5-B1-B3 | 108.24(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B10-B1-B4 | 59.90(8) | B5-B1-B4 | 119.16(10) | B3-B1-B4 | 60.05(8) |
| B10-B1-B2 | 118.95(10) | B5-B1-B2 | 61.33(8) | B3-B1-B2 | 59.32(8) |
| B4-B1-B2 | 113.85(10) | B10-B1-H1 | 116.4(8) | B5-B1-H1 | 113.4(8) |
| B3-B1-H1 | 126.8(8) | B4-B1-H1 | 119.4(8) | B2-B1-H1 | 116.5(8) |
| B6-B2-B3 | 111.33(11) | B6-B2-B7 | 61.43(9) | B3-B2-B7 | 58.88(9) |
| B6-B2-B1 | 109.89(10) | B3-B2-B1 | 59.86(8) | B7-B2-B1 | 105.10(10) |
| B6-B2-B5 | 60.84(8) | B3-B2-B5 | 106.42(10) | B7-B2-B5 | 104.97(10) |
| B1-B2-B5 | 58.50(7) | B6-B2-H2 | 120.7(8) | B3-B2-H2 | 119.8(8) |
| B7-B2-H2 | 125.1(8) | B1-B2-H2 | 120.4(8) | B5-B2-H2 | 123.7(8) |
| B7-B3-B8 | 67.91(9) | B7-B3-B2 | 61.05(9) | B8-B3-B2 | 117.78(11) |
| B7-B3-B1 | 107.50(10) | B8-B3-B1 | 107.62(10) | B2-B3-B1 | 60.82(8) |
| B7-B3-B4 | 116.83(11) | B8-B3-B4 | 60.83(9) | B2-B3-B4 | 115.43(10) |
| B1-B3-B4 | 60.32(8) | B7-B3-H3 | 117.8(9) | B8-B3-H3 | 115.5(8) |
| B2-B3-H3 | 118.3(8) | B1-B3-H3 | 125.9(9) | B4-B3-H3 | 116.1(9) |
| B9-B4-B10 | 60.71(9) | B9-B4-B3 | 110.57(11) | B10-B4-B3 | 106.17(10) |
| B9-B4-B1 | 110.04(10) | B10-B4-B1 | 58.95(8) | B3-B4-B1 | 59.63(8) |
| B9-B4-B8 | 61.17(9) | B10-B4-B8 | 105.16(10) | B3-B4-B8 | 58.84(9) |
| B1-B4-B8 | 105.54(10) | B9-B4-H4 | 121.7(8) | B10-B4-H4 | 124.6(8) |
| B3-B4-H4 | 119.3(8) | B1-B4-H4 | 120.0(8) | B8-B4-H4 | 124.3(8) |
| O1-B5-B1 | 126.77(10) | O1-B5-B6 | 119.65(10) | B1-B5-B6 | 108.27(10) |
| O1-B5-B2 | 131.45(11) | B1-B5-B2 | 60.17(8) | B6-B5-B2 | 57.22(8) |
| O1-B5-B10 | 116.37(10) | B1-B5-B10 | 54.06(7) | B6-B5-B10 | 114.93(10) |
| B2-B5-B10 | 104.32(9) | O1-B5-H56 | 104.4(6) | B1-B5-H56 | 126.1(6) |
| B6-B5-H56 | 45.8(6) | B2-B5-H56 | 100.0(6) | B10-B5-H56 | 91.0(6) |
| B2-B6-B7 | 60.81(8) | B2-B6-B5 | 61.94(8) | B7-B6-B5 | 105.31(10) |
| B2-B6-H5 | 129.0(8) | B7-B6-H5 | 127.1(8) | B5-B6-H5 | 125.3(8) |
| B2-B6-H56 | 105.4(6) | B7-B6-H56 | 116.6(7) | B5-B6-H56 | 46.7(6) |
| H5-B6-H56 | 109.5(10) | B2-B6-H67 | 103.9(8) | B7-B6-H67 | 45.2(8) |
| B5-B6-H67 | 118.8(8) | H5-B6-H67 | 109.6(11) | H56-B6-H67 | 93.7(10) |
| B3-B7-B2 | 60.07(8) | B3-B7-B6 | 109.23(10) | B2-B7-B6 | 57.76(8) |
| B3-B7-B8 | 56.34(8) | B2-B7-B8 | 107.45(10) | B6-B7-B8 | 118.14(10) |
| B3-B7-H7 | 122.1(8) | B2-B7-H7 | 126.6(8) | B6-B7-H7 | 120.4(8) |


| B8-B7-H7 | 114.7(8) | B3-B7-H67 | 129.8(8) | B2-B7-H67 | 99.7(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B6-B7-H67 | 44.0(8) | B8-B7-H67 | 95.5(8) | H7-B7-H67 | 107.0(11) |
| B3-B8-B9 | 108.71(11) | B3-B8-B4 | 60.32(8) | B9-B8-B4 | 57.46(8) |
| B3-B8-B7 | 55.75(8) | B9-B8-B7 | 116.23(10) | B4-B8-B7 | 106.54(10) |
| B3-B8-H8 | 123.0(9) | B9-B8-H8 | 119.0(9) | B4-B8-H8 | 124.5(9) |
| B7-B8-H8 | 118.6(9) | B3-B8-H89 | 127.5(8) | B9-B8-H89 | 47.5(8) |
| B4-B8-H89 | 102.1(8) | B7-B8-H89 | 90.4(8) | H8-B8-H89 | 107.8(12) |
| B4-B9-B10 | 60.90(9) | B4-B9-B8 | 61.37(9) | B10-B9-B8 | 105.45(11) |
| B4-B9-H9 | 131.2(9) | B10-B9-H9 | 125.8(8) | B8-B9-H9 | 126.9(8) |
| B4-B9-H89 | 104.0(8) | B10-B9-H89 | 117.8(8) | B8-B9-H89 | 45.5(8) |
| H9-B9-H89 | 109.2(11) | B4-B9-H910 | 102.5(6) | B10-B9-H910 | 44.7(6) |
| B8-B9-H910 | 116.7(6) | H9-B9-H910 | 109.6(11) | H89-B9-H910 | 94.0(10) |
| B1-B10-B9 | 109.95(10) | B1-B10-B4 | 61.15(8) | B9-B10-B4 | 58.39(9) |
| B1-B10-B5 | 54.66(7) | B9-B10-B5 | 116.61(10) | B4-B10-B5 | 106.63(9) |
| B1-B10-H10 | 122.1(8) | B9-B10-H10 | 122.3(8) | B4-B10-H10 | 129.5(8) |
| B5-B10-H10 | 113.0(8) | B1-B10-H910 | 126.7(7) | B9-B10-H910 | 47.2(7) |
| B4-B10-H910 | 102.5(7) | B5-B10-H910 | 90.0(7) | H10-B10-H910 | 107.4(10) |
| B5-O1-C1 | 117.61(10) | O1-C1-C2 | 111.30(10) | O1-C1-H1a | 108.6(9) |
| C2-C1-H1a | 109.1(8) | O1-C1-H1b | 109.7(9) | C2-C1-H1b | 110.1(9) |
| H1a-C1-H1b | 108.0(12) | C3-C2-C1 | 171.61(13) | C2-C3-C4 | 179.10(14) |
| C3-C4-H4a | 109.5 | C3-C4-H4b | 109.5 | H4a-C4-H4b | 109.5 |
| C3-C4-H4c | 109.5 | H4a-C4-H4c | 109.5 | H4b-C4-H4c | 109.5 |
| B5-H56-B6 | 87.6(9) | B6-H67-B7 | 90.8(11) | B8-H89-B9 | 87.1(11) |
| B9-H910-B10 | 88.1(9) | B5'-B1'-B10' | 71.00(9) | B5'-B1'-B3' | 108.47(10) |
| B10'-B1'-B3' | 107.15(11) | B5'-B1'-B4' | 119.18(11) | B10'-B1'-B4' | 59.84(9) |
| B3'-B1'-B4' | 60.18(9) | B5'-B1'-B2' | 61.30(8) | B10'-B1'-B2' | 118.47(10) |
| B3'-B1'-B2' | 59.42(9) | B4'-B1'-B2' | 113.94(11) | B5'-B1'-H1' | 113.7(8) |
| B10'-B1'-H1' | 116.9(8) | B3'-B1'-H1' | 126.3(8) | B4'-B1'-H1' | 119.0(8) |
| B2'-B1'-H1' | 116.6(8) | B6'-B2'-B3' | 111.18(11) | B6'-B2'-B7' | 61.48(9) |
| B3'-B2'-B7' | 58.99(9) | B6'-B2'-B1' | 109.62(10) | B3'-B2'-B1' | 59.68(9) |
| B7'-B2'-B1' | 105.18(11) | B6'-B2'-B5' | 60.95(8) | B3'-B2'-B5' | 106.31(11) |
| B7'-B2'-B5' | 105.41(10) | B1'-B2'-B5' | 58.33(8) | B6'-B2'-H2' | 121.6(9) |
| B3'-B2'-H2' | 119.1(8) | B7'-B2'-H2' | 124.7(8) | B1'-B2'-H2' | 120.1(8) |
| B5'-B2'-H2' | 124.2(8) | B7'-B3'-B8' | 67.88(10) | B7'-B3'-B2' | 60.83(9) |
| B8'-B3'-B2' | 117.63(11) | B7'-B3'-B1' | 107.53(11) | B8'-B3'-B1' | 107.87(11) |


| B2'-B3'-B1' | 60.90(9) | B7'-B3'-B4' | 116.83(12) | B8'-B3'-B4' | 60.96(10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B2'-B3'-B4' | 115.44(11) | B1'-B3'-B4' | 60.39(9) | B7'-B3'-H3' | 114.4(10) |
| B8'-B3'-H3' | 115.2(10) | B2'-B3'-H3' | 116.7(10) | B1'-B3'-H3' | 128.1(10) |
| B4'-B3'-H3' | 119.3(10) | B9'-B4'-B10' | 60.72(9) | B9'-B4'-B3' | 110.54(12) |
| B10'-B4'-B3' | 106.05(11) | B9'-B4'-B1' | 110.33(11) | B10'-B4'-B1' | 59.20(9) |
| B3'-B4'-B1' | 59.43(9) | B9'-B4'-B8' | 61.31(10) | B10'-B4'-B8' | 105.03(11) |
| B3'-B4'-B8' | 58.55(10) | B1'-B4'-B8' | 105.25(11) | B9'-B4'-H4' | 119.5(9) |
| B10'-B4'-H4' | 122.8(9) | B3'-B4'-H4' | 121.8(9) | B1'-B4'-H4' | 120.9(9) |
| B8'-B4'-H4' | 125.1(9) | O1'-B5'-B1' | 126.89(11) | O1'-B5'-B6' | 119.01(10) |
| B1'-B5'-B6' | 108.32(10) | O1'-B5'-B2' | 130.02(11) | B1'-B5'-B2' | 60.37(8) |
| B6'-B5'-B2' | 57.34(8) | O1'-B5'-B10' | 117.75(10) | B1'-B5'-B10' | 54.53(8) |
| B6'-B5'-B10' | 114.20(10) | B2'-B5'-B10' | 104.68(10) | O1'-B5'-H56 | 105.4(6) |
| B1'-B5'-H56' | 125.3(6) | B6'-B5'-H56' | 45.5(6) | B2'-B5'-H56' | 99.7(6) |
| B10'-B5'-H56' | 89.8(6) | B2'-B6'-B7' | 60.57(9) | B2'-B6'-B5' | 61.71(8) |
| B7'-B6'-B5' | 105.30(10) | B2'-B6'-H6' | 127.5(8) | B7'-B6'-H6' | 126.0(8) |
| B5'-B6'-H6' | 125.7(8) | B2'-B6'-H56' | 104.8(7) | B7'-B6'-H56' | 116.5(7) |
| B5'-B6'-H56' | 46.5(7) | H6'-B6'-H56' | 111.5(11) | B2'-B6'-H67' | 102.2(7) |
| B7'-B6'-H67' | 44.0(7) | B5'-B6'-H67' | 118.3(7) | H6'-B6'-H67' | 111.2(11) |
| H56'-B6'-H67' | 94.2(10) | B3'-B7'-B2' | 60.18(9) | B3'-B7'-B6' | 109.20(10) |
| B2'-B7'-B6' | 57.94(8) | B3'-B7'-B8' | 56.17(9) | B2'-B7'-B8' | 107.45(11) |
| B6'-B7'-B8' | 117.74(10) | B3'-B7'-H7' | 124.5(9) | B2'-B7'-H7' | 125.8(8) |
| B6'-B7'-H7' | 117.9(9) | B8'-B7'-H7' | 117.0(8) | B3'-B7'-H67' | 128.8(7) |
| B2'-B7'-H67' | 100.3(7) | B6'-B7'-H67' | 44.6(7) | B8'-B7'-H67' | 94.0(7) |
| H7'-B7'-H67' | 105.6(11) | B3'-B8'-B9' | 108.79(11) | B3'-B8'-B4' | 60.48(10) |
| B9'-B8'-B4' | 57.29(10) | B3'-B8'-B7' | 55.95(9) | B9'-B8'-B7' | 116.31(11) |
| B4'-B8'-B7' | 106.78(11) | B3'-B8'-H8' | 124.4(9) | B9'-B8'-H8' | 118.0(9) |
| B4'-B8 ${ }^{\prime}$ - 8 $^{\prime}$ | 125.1(9) | B7'-B8'-H8' | 118.8(9) | B3'-B8'-H89' | 128.1(7) |
| B9'-B8'-H89' | 46.7(7) | B4'-B8'-H89' | 101.4(8) | B7'-B8'-H89' | 91.4(7) |
| H8'-B8'-H89' | 106.1(12) | B4'-B9'-B10' | 61.04(10) | B4'-B9'-B8' | 61.40(10) |
| B10'-B9'-B8' | 105.33(12) | B4'-B9'-H9' | 131.8(10) | B10'-B9'-H9' | 127.3(10) |
| B8'-B9'-H9' | 125.8(9) | B4'-B9'-H89' | 103.2(7) | B10'-B9'-H89' | 117.9(7) |
| B88'-B9'-H89' | 44.3(7) | H9'-B9'-H89' | 108.0(12) | B4'-B9'-H910' | 102.4(7) |
| B10'-B9'-H910' | 43.6(7) | B8'-B9'-H910' | 118.6(7) | H9'-B9'-H910' | 108.9(12) |
| H89'-B9'-H910' | 97.2(10) | B1'-B10'-B9' | 109.74(11) | B1'-B10'-B4' | 60.97(9) |
| B9'-B10'-B4' | 58.24(9) | B1'-B10'-B5' | 54.47(8) | B9'-B10'-B5' | 117.11(10) |


| B4'-B10'-B5' | 106.53(10) | B1'-B10'-H10' | 123.3(8) | B9'-B10'-H10' | 121.3(8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B4'-B10'-H10' | 129.7(8) | B5'-B10'-H10' | 113.4(8) | B1'-B10'-H910' | 129.1(8) |
| B9'-B10'-H910' | 47.2(8) | B4'-B10'-H910' | 103.1(8) | B5'-B10'-H910' | 92.7(8) |
| H10'-B10'-H910' | 104.2(11) | B5'-O1'-C1' | 118.07(10) | O1'-C1'-C2' | 110.43(10) |
| O1'-C1'-H1a' | 108.3(9) | C2'-C1'-H1a' | 110.1(9) | O1'-C1'-H1b' | 107.8(9) |
| C2'-C1'-H1b' | 109.2(9) | H1a'-C1'-H1b' | 111.0(13) | C3'-C2'-C1' | 173.74(13) |
| C2'-C3'-C4' | 179.46(15) | C3'-C4'-H4a' | 109.5 | C3'-C4'-H4b' | 109.5 |
| H4a'-C4'-H4b' | 109.5 | C3'-C4'-H4c' | 109.5 | H4a'-C4'-H4c' | 109.5 |
| H4b'-C4'-H4c' | 109.5 | B5'-H56'-B6' | 88.1(9) | B6'-H67'-B7' | 91.5(10) |
| B8'-H89'-B9' | 89.0(10) | B9'-H910'-B10' | 89.2(11) |  |  |

Computational Methods. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 package. ${ }^{20}$ All ground state, transition state, and intermediate geometries and both electronic and free energies were obtained at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level without constraints for all $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}$ and Cl atoms. Both the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level and B3LYP/SDD pseudopotential were used for Br atoms (separate calculations), and only the B3LYP/SDD pseudopotential was used for I atoms. The NMR chemical shifts were calculated at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level using the GIAO option within Gaussian 03 and are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ using an absolute shielding constant of 102.24 ppm . Harmonic vibrational analyses were carried out on the optimized geometries at the same level to establish the nature of stationary points. True first-order saddle points possessed only one imaginary frequency. Intrinsic reaction coordinate (IRC) calculations were carried out in both the forward and reverse directions to confirm the reaction pathways from the located transition states.

The DFT optimized geometries and relevant energies of studied compounds, intermediates and transition states are provided in Tables 5.2.14-5.2.35.

Table 5.2.14. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 5- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.1989 | 1.177376 | 0.82709 |
| 2 | 5 | 1.909589 | -0.95604 | 0.606089 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.507095 | 0.741345 | 0.997847 |
| 4 | 5 | 0.270978 | -0.52843 | 1.190195 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.01908 | -0.23039 | 0.042592 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.456115 | -1.65252 | -0.13093 |
| 7 | 5 | 2.404772 | 0.393455 | -0.47176 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.023251 | 1.778614 | -0.31941 |
| 9 | 5 | 1.883965 | -1.20992 | -1.09418 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.64942 | 1.33167 | -0.83686 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.948756 | 0.009141 | -1.64646 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.498522 | 1.47071 | -1.49686 |
| 13 | 1 | 2.507396 | -1.93889 | -1.78602 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.074512 | -2.77174 | -0.10589 |
| 15 | 1 | 3.520224 | 0.737366 | -0.66713 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.653517 | -1.56239 | 1.299668 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.354008 | 2.910372 | -0.42077 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.72785 | 1.840988 | 1.65468 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.13998 | 1.270414 | 1.849791 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.1156 | -1.00214 | 2.206136 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.4365 | 2.090587 | -1.2904 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.587793 | -1.3598 | -1.41586 |
| 23 | 1 | -0.84106 | 0.159954 | -1.32711 |
| 24 | 8 | -2.26901 | -0.7629 | 0.160011 |
| 25 | 6 | -3.44584 | -0.05507 | -0.21169 |
| 26 | 1 | -4.29721 | -0.67212 | 0.071886 |
| 27 | 1 | -3.50706 | 0.907926 | 0.304002 |
| 28 | 1 | -3.4742 | 0.117408 | -1.29298 |

Table 5.2.15. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 6- $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.110119 | 0.094183 | -1.48486 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.451 | -0.00851 | 0.06954 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.3532 | 0.952024 | -0.96199 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.3487 | -0.82961 | -1.07112 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.19321 | -1.40373 | -0.47506 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.5213 | -1.46156 | 0.547473 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.5279 | 1.37965 | 0.722238 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.18715 | 1.457483 | -0.30106 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.8475 | -0.10616 | 1.676823 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.30089 | 0.019522 | -0.24757 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.9362 | 0.85601 | 1.791771 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.81846 | 0.949614 | 0.701141 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.4258 | -0.17142 | 2.706645 |
| 14 | 1 | -1.9611 | -2.5313 | 0.797937 |
| 15 | 1 | -1.9725 | 2.408422 | 1.1024 |
| 16 | 1 | -3.6173 | 0.000957 | -0.13463 |
| 17 | 1 | 0.66359 | 2.520832 | -0.50955 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.47404 | 0.165369 | -2.60981 |
| 19 | 1 | -1.8317 | 1.72723 | -1.72173 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.8225 | -1.5098 | -1.91961 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.9314 | -1.07152 | 1.671867 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.82388 | -1.01427 | 0.581266 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.67868 | -2.42785 | -0.81677 |
| 24 | 8 | 2.65899 | 0.026272 | -0.30999 |
| 25 | 6 | 3.48592 | -0.05715 | 0.842972 |
| 26 | 1 | 3.29953 | -0.98462 | 1.396466 |
| 27 | 1 | 4.52089 | -0.05028 | 0.505017 |
| 28 | 1 | 3.32329 | 0.79887 | 1.507624 |

Table 5.2.16. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for INT1.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.3199 | -0.05167 | 0.753903 |
| 2 | 5 | 2.62789 | 0.28475 | 0.337273 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.05515 | 1.120197 | 0.508716 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.34557 | -0.36087 | 1.400802 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.35228 | -1.70755 | 0.901254 |
| 6 | 5 | 2.21957 | -1.45612 | 0.363821 |
| 7 | 5 | 1.81325 | 1.049118 | -1.03891 |
| 8 | 5 | -0.2106 | 1.008813 | -0.67311 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.5985 | -0.55343 | -1.16777 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.8162 | -1.30494 | -0.45405 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.62024 | 0.006755 | -1.86615 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.91442 | -1.65825 | -0.92368 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.4502 | -1.34902 | -1.58483 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.47474 | -0.8163 | -1.91839 |
| 15 | 1 | 2.98328 | -2.31039 | 0.662418 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.2119 | 1.943446 | -1.70531 |
| 17 | 1 | 3.60966 | 0.739644 | 0.81983 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.4105 | 0.756761 | -1.8132 |
| 19 | 1 | -1.134 | 0.249036 | 1.569389 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.01344 | 2.165537 | 1.075773 |
| 21 | 1 | 1.55116 | -0.37433 | 2.568165 |
| 22 | 17 | -2.5404 | -1.87577 | -0.26853 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.00787 | -2.3789 | 1.816686 |
| 24 | 1 | 0.12797 | -2.36812 | -0.13825 |
| 25 | 8 | -1.1053 | 2.345892 | -0.53947 |
| 26 | 1 | -1.7156 | 2.378069 | -1.28555 |
| 27 | 6 | -1.7454 | 2.848346 | 0.679544 |
| 28 | 1 | -0.9808 | 2.853201 | 1.445914 |
| 29 | 1 | -2.08194 | 3.860524 | 0.464323 |
| 30 | 1 | -2.56678 | 2.191672 | 0.956707 |

Table 5.2.17. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for TS1.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.267054 | 0.132671 | 0.792744 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.275 | -1.34733 | 0.22204 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.4918 | -1.4317 | 0.279777 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.3499 | -0.36725 | 1.397394 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.0398 | 1.323454 | 1.083732 |
| 6 | 5 | -2.6705 | 0.373936 | 0.526374 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.297 | -1.42844 | -1.25193 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.47081 | -0.49784 | -0.81354 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.7227 | -0.36676 | -1.12362 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.13995 | 1.51036 | -0.32653 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.6108 | -0.31123 | -1.8902 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.5998 | 0.885713 | -0.69661 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.2844 | 1.383387 | -1.44165 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.6546 | -0.39848 | -1.85091 |
| 15 | 1 | -3.6968 | 0.761631 | 0.97094 |
| 16 | 1 | -1.2695 | -2.29224 | -2.05861 |
| 17 | 1 | -2.9295 | -2.25658 | 0.604396 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.96712 | -0.34899 | -1.86667 |
| 19 | 1 | 1.18597 | 0.125766 | 1.545636 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.03038 | -2.44794 | 0.594701 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.501 | -0.5928 | 2.550785 |
| 22 | 17 | 1.44809 | 2.767395 | -0.22694 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.0019 | 2.03338 | 2.030433 |
| 24 | 1 | -1.096 | 2.07352 | 0.063729 |
| 25 | 8 | 2.4227 | -1.52003 | -0.50814 |
| 26 | 1 | 2.94795 | -0.71233 | -0.4466 |
| 27 | 6 | 2.78834 | -2.39809 | 0.566648 |
| 28 | 1 | 2.27311 | -3.33916 | 0.391245 |
| 29 | 1 | 3.86714 | -2.57368 | 0.554386 |
| 30 | 1 | 2.487218 | -1.99239 | 1.535905 |

Table 5.2.18. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for INT2.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.84753 | -0.5188 | -1.47821 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.7677 | 0.8966 | 0.358746 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.305 | 1.02868 | -0.67086 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.5269 | -0.22897 | -1.01056 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.8063 | -1.77791 | -0.65739 |
| 6 | 5 | -3.0732 | -0.86258 | 0.534882 |
| 7 | 5 | -1.1701 | 1.175525 | 1.065084 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.18522 | 0.234504 | -0.20203 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.3726 | 0.135131 | 1.850944 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.0439 | -1.54983 | -0.35083 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.0293 | 0.191993 | 1.935193 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.3596 | -1.18101 | 1.594399 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.81376 | -2.33764 | -0.55048 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.8024 | 0.275843 | 2.943084 |
| 15 | 1 | -4.1321 | -1.36069 | 0.70696 |
| 16 | 1 | -0.7632 | 2.138624 | 1.620578 |
| 17 | 1 | -3.6153 | 1.720866 | 0.301164 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.30137 | -0.8079 | 0.675494 |
| 19 | 1 | -0.5396 | -0.52548 | -2.62194 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.1422 | 2.037151 | -1.27518 |
| 21 | 1 | -3.3557 | -0.23417 | -1.85827 |
| 22 | 17 | 4.10458 | -0.78545 | 0.486452 |
| 23 | 1 | -2.1486 | -2.80314 | -1.13747 |
| 24 | 1 | -1.0052 | -2.13036 | 0.350592 |
| 25 | 8 | 1.47142 | 0.746482 | -0.26875 |
| 26 | 1 | 2.98366 | -0.19237 | 0.137886 |
| 27 | 6 | 1.73786 | 2.100819 | -0.65086 |
| 28 | 1 | 1.16639 | 2.79603 | -0.03262 |
| 29 | 1 | 2.80309 | 2.270103 | -0.50072 |
| 30 | 1 | 1.487926 | 2.256313 | -1.70204 |

Table 5.2.19. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for TS2.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.050367 | -0.78187 | 0.942919 |
| 2 | 5 | 2.55189 | 0.781775 | 0.442244 |
| 3 | 5 | 0.85621 | 0.79616 | 0.909617 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.80452 | -0.67325 | 1.226787 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.20958 | -1.97063 | 0.198005 |
| 6 | 5 | 2.89799 | -0.88682 | -0.10798 |
| 7 | 5 | 1.30996 | 1.396045 | -0.69814 |
| 8 | 5 | -0.312 | 0.468974 | -0.33021 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.7814 | 0.491352 | -1.23814 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.5773 | -1.33975 | -0.5471 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.58733 | 0.725572 | -1.80165 |
| 12 | 1 | 2.69614 | -0.77621 | -1.45704 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.854 | -2.09986 | -1.39694 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.61901 | 0.938746 | -1.94289 |
| 15 | 1 | 3.91649 | -1.48582 | -0.04869 |
| 16 | 1 | 1.19804 | 2.530253 | -1.02677 |
| 17 | 1 | 3.30594 | 1.469805 | 1.042783 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.3226 | -0.20053 | -1.41369 |
| 19 | 1 | -0.644 | -1.01567 | 1.873306 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.50446 | 1.618711 | 1.689373 |
| 21 | 1 | 2.181 | -0.96802 | 2.311085 |
| 22 | 17 | -2.9565 | -1.15888 | -0.16205 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.27087 | -3.06253 | 0.660989 |
| 24 | 1 | 1.22093 | -2.0025 | -1.00706 |
| 25 | 8 | -1.5979 | 1.227867 | -0.37669 |
| 26 | 1 | -2.347 | 0.466087 | -0.30268 |
| 27 | 6 | -1.8822 | 2.389539 | 0.451014 |
| 28 | 1 | -1.0935 | 3.116677 | 0.282829 |
| 29 | 1 | -2.8393 | 2.777185 | 0.11041 |
| 30 | 1 | -1.9255 | 2.098928 | 1.498959 |

Table 5.2.20. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for INT3.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.025651 | -0.39253 | -0.9844 |
| 2 | 5 | 2.710471 | 0.501692 | -0.21002 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.770765 | -0.59791 | -1.24289 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.124836 | 1.002282 | -0.82467 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.30292 | 0.889979 | 0.231386 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.525724 | 1.371693 | 0.826213 |
| 7 | 5 | 2.546401 | -1.25944 | 0.168563 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.841211 | -1.92983 | -0.51546 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.600976 | 0.038784 | 1.447759 |
| 10 | 1 | 2.160101 | -1.16966 | 1.493576 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.512627 | 0.543707 | 1.924987 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.34011 | 0.720172 | 1.414528 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.921198 | -2.37968 | 0.597299 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.451214 | 0.163896 | 2.262282 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.64959 | 2.455023 | 1.284231 |
| 16 | 1 | 3.434955 | -2.04086 | 0.180423 |
| 17 | 1 | 3.694501 | 1.001932 | -0.64123 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.718917 | -2.81863 | -1.3000 |
| 19 | 1 | -0.68798 | -0.39822 | -1.94874 |
| 20 | 1 | 2.161716 | -0.81916 | -2.33954 |
| 21 | 1 | 1.043834 | 1.870347 | -1.62661 |
| 22 | 17 | -1.68546 | 2.057239 | -0.20249 |
| 23 | 8 | -2.18442 | -1.40909 | -0.17239 |
| 24 | 1 | -2.31092 | -1.01039 | -1.05087 |
| 25 | 6 | -3.35327 | -1.25597 | 0.698641 |
| 26 | 1 | -4.205 | -1.67773 | 0.170448 |
| 27 | 1 | -3.13465 | -1.8296 | 1.593182 |
| 28 | 1 | -3.49549 | -0.20117 | 0.925044 |
| 29 | 1 | -0.74988 | -1.37184 | 1.485923 |
| 30 | 5 | -0.75847 | -1.06526 | 0.34446 |

Table 5.2.21. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for TS3.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.06729 | -0.67748 | 0.985359 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.75064 | 0.46861 | 0.402024 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.81696 | -0.89007 | 1.124611 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.1194 | 0.745388 | 1.016844 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.222111 | 0.734122 | -0.11173 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.55315 | 1.406552 | -0.55445 |
| 7 | 5 | -2.73956 | -1.16765 | -0.32672 |
| 8 | 5 | -0.92169 | -1.95989 | 0.03822 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.79455 | 0.329871 | -1.31226 |
| 10 | 1 | -2.48142 | -0.88112 | -1.63483 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.63989 | 0.811997 | -1.74752 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.327372 | 0.245185 | -1.2604 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.85658 | -1.85903 | -1.16393 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.6517 | 0.693397 | -2.04226 |
| 15 | 1 | -1.59865 | 2.56553 | -0.78856 |
| 16 | 1 | -3.63087 | -1.94235 | -0.4046 |
| 17 | 1 | -3.66396 | 0.941642 | 0.989614 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.8235 | -3.0951 | 0.375795 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.589235 | -0.90942 | 1.947699 |
| 20 | 1 | -2.21236 | -1.36847 | 2.13476 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.96485 | 1.519287 | 1.900733 |
| 22 | 17 | 1.573543 | 1.959869 | 0.109614 |
| 23 | 8 | 2.530434 | -1.09783 | 0.287046 |
| 24 | 1 | 2.589579 | -0.44325 | 0.995866 |
| 25 | 6 | 3.684011 | -1.02188 | -0.56999 |
| 26 | 1 | 4.569941 | -1.33072 | -0.01299 |
| 27 | 1 | 3.510727 | -1.7217 | -1.38458 |
| 28 | 1 | 3.812561 | -0.01326 | -0.96702 |
| 29 | 1 | 1.170353 | -1.51318 | -1.46948 |
| 30 | 5 | 0.712376 | -1.01011 | -0.50354 |

Table 5.2.22. DFT optimized (B3LYP/ 6-311G*) coordinates for INT4.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.857248 | 0.717585 | 1.286508 |
| 2 | 5 | 3.20741 | -0.64828 | 0.036004 |
| 3 | 5 | 2.480644 | 0.885056 | 0.592233 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.975417 | -0.65823 | 1.322096 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.305595 | -0.94899 | 0.873028 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.869749 | -1.84674 | 0.042293 |
| 7 | 5 | 2.69177 | 0.616398 | -1.12377 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.11649 | 1.52875 | -0.30332 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.535924 | -1.13843 | -1.47038 |
| 10 | 1 | 1.944722 | -0.07162 | -1.98744 |
| 11 | 1 | 1.382175 | -1.73459 | -1.19004 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.44500 | -1.6000 | 1.514541 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.360108 | 0.900092 | -1.12234 |
| 14 | 1 | 3.036093 | -1.73018 | -2.36346 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.970861 | -3.01202 | 0.217569 |
| 16 | 1 | 3.390458 | 1.279487 | -1.81072 |
| 17 | 1 | 4.334804 | -0.89843 | 0.296042 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.976227 | 2.688339 | -0.49911 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.561004 | 1.289975 | 2.281205 |
| 20 | 1 | 3.190488 | 1.71363 | 1.056667 |
| 21 | 1 | 2.26498 | -1.09867 | 2.384193 |
| 22 | 17 | -4.15095 | -1.13496 | -0.1552 |
| 23 | 8 | -1.66964 | 0.843733 | -0.05765 |
| 24 | 1 | -3.08619 | -0.37737 | -0.05649 |
| 25 | 6 | -2.09721 | 2.207063 | -0.19805 |
| 26 | 1 | -1.73749 | 2.626213 | -1.1406 |
| 27 | 1 | -3.18556 | 2.200872 | -0.19601 |
| 28 | 1 | -1.73043 | 2.809382 | 0.635006 |
| 29 | 1 | -0.2278 | -0.81027 | -0.30221 |
| 30 | 5 | -0.33884 | 0.518317 | 0.059521 |

Table 5.2.23. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for TS4.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.12056 | -0.14329 | -1.02858 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.88773 | -0.55017 | -0.08652 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.82964 | 0.091953 | -1.35315 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.30477 | -1.36666 | -0.46463 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.101809 | -0.9494 | 0.491145 |
| 6 | 5 | -1.86996 | -1.23157 | 1.16872 |
| 7 | 5 | -2.54055 | 1.220124 | -0.21584 |
| 8 | 5 | -0.83485 | 1.497851 | -1.01993 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.66212 | 0.336447 | 1.383092 |
| 10 | 1 | -2.06138 | 1.466856 | 0.991748 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.5593 | -0.16893 | 1.930973 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.54931 | -1.11772 | 1.561484 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.29008 | 2.088435 | -0.02552 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.40168 | 0.611482 | 2.26425 |
| 15 | 1 | -2.06941 | -2.12622 | 1.913748 |
| 16 | 1 | -3.39871 | 2.011971 | -0.41303 |
| 17 | 1 | -3.91613 | -1.04632 | -0.39795 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.63141 | 2.216274 | -1.94084 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.636655 | -0.40512 | -1.89599 |
| 20 | 1 | -2.21753 | 0.094249 | -2.47187 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.28344 | -2.45061 | -0.94736 |
| 22 | 17 | 2.466219 | -1.66057 | -0.1444 |
| 23 | 8 | 1.973855 | 1.110484 | -0.15971 |
| 24 | 1 | 2.333339 | 0.065907 | -0.24948 |
| 25 | 6 | 2.852892 | 1.899951 | 0.683679 |
| 26 | 1 | 2.806834 | 1.540293 | 1.711823 |
| 27 | 1 | 3.857664 | 1.793046 | 0.282165 |
| 28 | 1 | 2.531341 | 2.936935 | 0.620511 |
| 29 | 1 | 0.336447 | 1.116513 | 1.363779 |
| 30 | 5 | 0.537078 | 0.958522 | 0.19207 |

Table 5.2.24. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)$ - $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.160797 | 1.178376 | 0.895158 |
| 2 | 5 | 2.177105 | -1.01622 | 0.541956 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.859475 | 0.671552 | 1.028621 |
| 4 | 5 | 0.565055 | -0.54805 | 1.158241 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.69204 | -0.13807 | -0.00199 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.685751 | -1.59956 | -0.23665 |
| 7 | 5 | 2.732912 | 0.365998 | -0.46094 |
| 8 | 5 | 1.408026 | 1.804595 | -0.21988 |
| 9 | 5 | 2.146917 | -1.17578 | -1.17149 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.27394 | 1.447735 | -0.75397 |
| 11 | 1 | 2.262435 | 0.061956 | -1.65834 |
| 12 | 1 | 0.882077 | 1.570127 | -1.41131 |
| 13 | 1 | 2.746789 | -1.89641 | -1.89184 |
| 14 | 1 | 0.270546 | -2.70641 | -0.25887 |
| 15 | 1 | 3.85965 | 0.676876 | -0.6456 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.888905 | -1.69862 | 1.197229 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.785476 | 2.925191 | -0.26478 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.34557 | 1.813033 | 1.757653 |
| 19 | 1 | 2.514947 | 1.128972 | 1.904633 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.166961 | -1.06727 | 2.145835 |
| 21 | 1 | -1.03175 | 2.236718 | -1.2023 |
| 22 | 1 | 0.854725 | -1.26802 | -1.50843 |
| 23 | 1 | -0.50996 | 0.28116 | -1.29385 |
| 24 | 6 | -3.47145 | 0.586817 | -0.32696 |
| 25 | 1 | -4.49631 | 0.255636 | -0.16341 |
| 26 | 1 | -3.27487 | 1.460424 | 0.293655 |
| 27 | 1 | -3.34872 | 0.84328 | -1.37886 |
| 28 | 16 | -2.39043 | -0.81815 | 0.152811 |

Table 5.2.25. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 5-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 1.541644 | -1.44265 | 0.015984 |
| 2 | 5 | 3.628201 | 0.450527 | -1.03962 |
| 3 | 5 | 3.241142 | -1.17259 | -0.40248 |
| 4 | 5 | 1.987378 | -0.23642 | -1.25313 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.707708 | 0.155904 | -0.11675 |
| 6 | 5 | 2.174783 | 1.435998 | -0.80001 |
| 7 | 5 | 4.151287 | -0.0559 | 0.602582 |
| 8 | 5 | 2.788755 | -1.29657 | 1.277812 |
| 9 | 5 | 3.616905 | 1.618204 | 0.223259 |
| 10 | 5 | 1.119494 | -0.63603 | 1.490944 |
| 11 | 1 | 3.702037 | 0.921052 | 1.363519 |
| 12 | 1 | 2.283121 | -0.38437 | 2.090985 |
| 13 | 1 | 4.238647 | 2.612668 | 0.376037 |
| 14 | 1 | 1.779781 | 2.34736 | -1.44218 |
| 15 | 1 | 5.273536 | -0.22443 | 0.938967 |
| 16 | 1 | 4.356082 | 0.564441 | -1.96681 |
| 17 | 1 | 3.135953 | -2.18072 | 1.983897 |
| 18 | 1 | 1.013545 | -2.45999 | -0.28669 |
| 19 | 1 | 3.868067 | -2.08752 | -0.82275 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.582788 | -0.41909 | -2.35288 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.361066 | -1.02074 | 2.314941 |
| 22 | 1 | 2.32232 | 1.911186 | 0.427755 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.924881 | 0.602186 | 1.251358 |
| 24 | 8 | -0.53626 | 0.551101 | -0.49425 |
| 25 | 6 | -1.78034 | 0.090019 | 0.073466 |
| 26 | 6 | -2.63248 | 1.307168 | 0.430246 |
| 27 | 6 | -2.48224 | -0.81393 | -0.94083 |
| 28 | 1 | -1.56953 | -0.48401 | 0.983673 |
| 29 | 6 | -4.02342 | 0.8884 | 0.929928 |
| 30 | 1 | -2.72495 | 1.93144 | -0.46608 |
| 31 | 1 | -2.1157 | 1.911864 | 1.18279 |
| 32 | 6 | -3.87196 | -1.23673 | -0.4415 |
| 33 | 1 | -2.57175 | -0.26136 | -1.8832 |
| 34 | 1 | -1.85885 | -1.68942 | -1.1453 |
| 35 | 6 | -4.73591 | -0.02115 | -0.08043 |
| 36 | 1 | -4.62518 | 1.779384 | 1.134839 |
| 37 | 1 | -3.92498 | 0.359787 | 1.887131 |


| 38 | 1 | -4.36741 | -1.84543 | -1.20424 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 39 | 1 | -3.76432 | -1.88135 | 0.440598 |
| 40 | 1 | -5.70115 | -0.34763 | 0.320226 |
| 41 | 1 | -4.95627 | 0.550858 | -0.99085 |

Table 5.2.26. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 6-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -2.00105 | -0.23371 | -1.54581 |
| 2 | 5 | -4.13364 | -0.00822 | 0.550255 |
| 3 | 5 | -3.35854 | 0.70594 | -0.89252 |
| 4 | 5 | -3.26695 | -1.05337 | -0.60914 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.5966 | -1.46088 | -0.28313 |
| 6 | 5 | -3.02951 | -1.30547 | 1.100881 |
| 7 | 5 | -3.17759 | 1.500704 | 0.651602 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.74501 | 1.365021 | -0.73852 |
| 9 | 5 | -3.17831 | 0.266119 | 1.954009 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.55245 | -0.01063 | -0.64099 |
| 11 | 1 | -2.32813 | 1.236992 | 1.640505 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.88131 | 1.107037 | 0.175046 |
| 13 | 1 | -3.50417 | 0.428185 | 3.079579 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.33361 | -2.29535 | 1.674207 |
| 15 | 1 | -3.58772 | 2.584683 | 0.89146 |
| 16 | 1 | -5.31438 | -0.05765 | 0.625914 |
| 17 | 1 | -1.39847 | 2.357247 | -1.28361 |
| 18 | 1 | -1.91103 | -0.41714 | -2.71268 |
| 19 | 1 | -4.04378 | 1.28344 | -1.67 |
| 20 | 1 | -3.87687 | -1.91305 | -1.15363 |
| 21 | 1 | -2.22616 | -0.66263 | 1.941333 |
| 22 | 1 | -0.76828 | -0.83625 | 0.483666 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.13967 | -2.53282 | -0.49217 |
| 24 | 8 | 0.751788 | 0.003949 | -1.01415 |
| 25 | 6 | 1.867142 | 0.000179 | -0.09761 |
| 26 | 6 | 2.714675 | -1.2478 | -0.33762 |
| 27 | 6 | 2.679138 | 1.279777 | -0.29407 |
| 28 | 1 | 1.480275 | -0.02413 | 0.932426 |
| 29 | 6 | 3.964392 | -1.24803 | 0.555757 |
| 30 | 1 | 3.003333 | -1.26332 | -1.39474 |
| 31 | 1 | 2.109337 | -2.14267 | -0.16393 |


| 32 | 6 | 3.929038 | 1.28806 | 0.598545 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 33 | 1 | 2.967595 | 1.336818 | -1.34969 |
| 34 | 1 | 2.05004 | 2.152845 | -0.0939 |
| 35 | 6 | 4.78864 | 0.035088 | 0.378582 |
| 36 | 1 | 4.575048 | -2.12829 | 0.333073 |
| 37 | 1 | 3.663503 | -1.34455 | 1.607218 |
| 38 | 1 | 4.513979 | 2.192281 | 0.404651 |
| 39 | 1 | 3.626624 | 1.341465 | 1.652671 |
| 40 | 1 | 5.640652 | 0.035866 | 1.065887 |
| 41 | 1 | 5.208923 | 0.058161 | -0.63482 |

Table 5.2.27. DFT optimized (6-311G*) coordinates for TS2 (X=F).

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.049749 | 0.94508 | 0.894236 |
| 2 | 5 | -2.02526 | -1.16621 | 0.468471 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.36693 | -0.78063 | 0.89819 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.62384 | 0.446018 | 1.220093 |
| 5 | 5 | -1.40111 | 1.832903 | 0.177722 |
| 6 | 5 | -2.78115 | 0.359755 | -0.07207 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.7007 | -1.4843 | -0.69631 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.704459 | -0.23967 | -0.34623 |
| 9 | 5 | -2.34737 | -0.93937 | -1.20603 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.635626 | 1.588917 | -0.57312 |
| 11 | 1 | -1.12477 | -0.86712 | -1.77846 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.56728 | 0.319511 | -1.41278 |
| 13 | 1 | 0.640901 | 2.450392 | -1.37518 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.05756 | -1.56745 | -1.91335 |
| 15 | 1 | -3.91796 | 0.679179 | 0.01107 |
| 16 | 1 | -0.35142 | -2.56605 | -1.03526 |
| 17 | 1 | -2.58218 | -2.00915 | 1.08715 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.582312 | 0.459596 | -1.43459 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.683483 | 1.329027 | 1.825947 |
| 20 | 1 | 0.169552 | -1.49587 | 1.678797 |
| 21 | 1 | -2.02688 | 0.651522 | 2.31616 |
| 22 | 1 | -1.69855 | 2.869691 | 0.679595 |
| 23 | 1 | -1.40408 | 1.891792 | -1.02045 |
| 24 | 8 | 2.11856 | -0.61517 | -0.36783 |
| 25 | 1 | 2.57895 | 0.593475 | -0.29458 |
| 26 | 6 | 2.671652 | -1.65443 | 0.458186 |


| 27 | 1 | 2.121523 | -2.57857 | 0.287793 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 28 | 1 | 3.708666 | -1.78034 | 0.151796 |
| 29 | 1 | 2.617655 | -1.3803 | 1.512503 |
| 30 | 9 | 2.436869 | 1.670674 | -0.32415 |

Table 5.2.28. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for Br, 6-311G* for B, H) coordinates for TS2 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br}$ ).

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.45332 | -0.62907 | 0.963162 |
| 2 | 5 | 3.186423 | 0.44834 | 0.403592 |
| 3 | 5 | 1.52911 | 0.779037 | 0.89212 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.206096 | -0.82375 | 1.239352 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.375847 | -2.0319 | 0.261924 |
| 6 | 5 | 3.20907 | -1.27012 | -0.1095 |
| 7 | 5 | 2.055519 | 1.254426 | -0.73405 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.305182 | 0.635287 | -0.33196 |
| 9 | 5 | 3.331336 | 0.086001 | -1.27252 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.26129 | -1.11286 | -0.51029 |
| 11 | 1 | 2.204732 | 0.530586 | -1.83402 |
| 12 | 1 | 3.009142 | -1.1436 | -1.46466 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.6473 | -1.79456 | -1.38293 |
| 14 | 1 | 4.232906 | 0.358578 | -1.98763 |
| 15 | 1 | 4.103181 | -2.04288 | -0.05627 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.143324 | 2.384971 | -1.08237 |
| 17 | 1 | 4.063901 | 0.998736 | 0.97768 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.188328 | -0.02007 | -1.40456 |
| 19 | 1 | -0.25971 | -0.71579 | 1.903716 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.339195 | 1.662365 | 1.66024 |
| 21 | 1 | 2.536895 | -1.14945 | 2.329396 |
| 22 | 1 | 1.24246 | -3.09241 | 0.779608 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.402208 | -2.1378 | -0.93782 |
| 24 | 8 | -0.82811 | 1.624833 | -0.37285 |
| 25 | 1 | -1.69858 | 1.056716 | -0.29807 |
| 26 | 6 | -0.88647 | 2.816817 | 0.466912 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.019401 | 3.386319 | 0.286103 |
| 28 | 1 | -1.76258 | 3.372117 | 0.141482 |
| 29 | 1 | -0.96571 | 2.52863 | 1.513008 |
| 30 | 35 | -2.74746 | -0.59312 | -0.08488 |
| 241 |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.29. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, 6-311G* for B, H) coordinates for TS2 ( $\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I}$ ).

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | 0.857905 | -0.57687 | 0.962918 |
| 2 | 5 | 3.669714 | 0.264328 | 0.394468 |
| 3 | 5 | 2.049041 | 0.736545 | 0.892301 |
| 4 | 5 | 2.590795 | -0.91316 | 1.242708 |
| 5 | 5 | 1.657659 | -2.05572 | 0.277121 |
| 6 | 5 | 3.534384 | -1.4525 | -0.11515 |
| 7 | 5 | 2.606981 | 1.169107 | -0.73547 |
| 8 | 5 | 0.813332 | 0.693921 | -0.33032 |
| 9 | 5 | 3.774621 | -0.10907 | -1.28145 |
| 10 | 5 | 0.120963 | -1.01046 | -0.5161 |
| 11 | 1 | 2.699004 | 0.440099 | -1.84248 |
| 12 | 1 | 3.344064 | -1.30126 | -1.47612 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.29102 | -1.63619 | -1.41824 |
| 14 | 1 | 4.700065 | 0.08334 | -1.99229 |
| 15 | 1 | 4.358465 | -2.29983 | -0.06994 |
| 16 | 1 | 2.790091 | 2.289416 | -1.08011 |
| 17 | 1 | 4.594789 | 0.735877 | 0.964228 |
| 18 | 1 | 0.660537 | 0.0631 | -1.40622 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.144709 | -0.60049 | 1.905872 |
| 20 | 1 | 1.938007 | 1.628292 | 1.666861 |
| 21 | 1 | 2.895347 | -1.25715 | 2.334641 |
| 22 | 1 | 1.433344 | -3.09201 | 0.812207 |
| 23 | 1 | 1.704765 | -2.19477 | -0.9183 |
| 24 | 8 | -0.23395 | 1.780337 | -0.37002 |
| 25 | 1 | -1.15312 | 1.315341 | -0.30229 |
| 26 | 6 | -0.18472 | 2.982392 | 0.45876 |
| 27 | 1 | 0.772521 | 3.459847 | 0.277451 |
| 28 | 1 | -1.00242 | 3.614029 | 0.120921 |
| 29 | 1 | -0.29757 | 2.712293 | 1.506349 |
| 30 | 53 | -2.5413 | -0.37411 | -0.0534 |

Table 5.2.30. DFT optimized (B3LYP/6-311G*) coordinates for 6-F- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -0.67787 | -0.89149 | 1.118304 |
| 2 | 5 | 0.854866 | 0.0000 | 1.207452 |
| 3 | 5 | -0.67787 | 0.891492 | 1.118304 |
| 4 | 5 | -2.01124 | 0.0000 | 0.336083 |
| 5 | 5 | 0.661412 | -1.43197 | 0.125147 |
| 6 | 5 | 1.6527 | 0.00000 | -0.30981 |
| 7 | 5 | 0.661412 | 1.431972 | 0.125147 |
| 8 | 5 | -1.25238 | 1.423166 | -0.44482 |
| 9 | 5 | -1.83035 | 0.00000 | -1.37485 |
| 10 | 5 | -1.25238 | -1.42317 | -0.44482 |
| 11 | 1 | -0.93685 | -1.62445 | 2.013636 |
| 12 | 1 | 1.503167 | 0.00000 | 2.198292 |
| 13 | 1 | -0.93685 | 1.624449 | 2.013636 |
| 14 | 1 | -3.08972 | 0.00000 | 0.823643 |
| 15 | 1 | 1.204796 | -2.47383 | 0.26006 |
| 16 | 9 | 2.944321 | 0.00000 | -0.66024 |
| 17 | 1 | 1.204796 | 2.473834 | 0.26006 |
| 18 | 1 | -1.75472 | 2.476514 | -0.6378 |
| 19 | 1 | -2.64878 | 0.00000 | -2.22813 |
| 20 | 1 | -1.75472 | -2.47651 | -0.6378 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.998208 | -0.98051 | -1.04182 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.998208 | 0.98051 | -1.04182 |
| 24 | -0.96400 | 0.966908 | -1.66024 |  |
| 22 | -0.96400 | -0.96691 | -1.66024 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.31. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for Br, 6-311G* for B, H) coordinates for 6-
$\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -1.78035 | -1.20927 | 0.891772 |
| 2 | 5 | -1.41014 | 0.291344 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 5 | -1.78035 | -1.20927 | -0.89177 |
| 4 | 5 | -1.41029 | -2.70987 | 0.00000 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.44466 | -0.21532 | 1.428076 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.284038 | 0.586579 | 0.00000 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.44466 | -0.21532 | -1.42808 |
| 8 | 5 | -0.44466 | -2.2076 | -1.42335 |
| 9 | 5 | 0.282458 | -3.02129 | 0.00000 |
| 10 | 5 | -0.44466 | -2.2076 | 1.423349 |
| 11 | 1 | -2.71119 | -1.2013 | 1.62605 |
| 12 | 1 | -2.16652 | 1.201238 | 0.00000 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.71119 | -1.2013 | -1.62605 |
| 14 | 1 | -2.18395 | -3.60568 | 0.00000 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.39157 | 0.336251 | 2.471979 |
| 16 | 35 | 1.293567 | 2.26247 | 0.00000 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.39157 | 0.336251 | -2.47198 |
| 18 | 1 | -0.40213 | -2.74345 | -2.47688 |
| 19 | 1 | 0.875877 | -4.04365 | 0.00000 |
| 20 | 1 | -0.40213 | -2.74345 | 2.476881 |
| 21 | 1 | 0.788726 | -0.20207 | 0.96591 |
| 23 | 1 | 0.788726 | -0.20207 | -0.96591 |
| 24 | 0.799217 | -2.26458 | -0.96945 |  |
| 23 | 0.799217 | -2.26458 | 0.969445 |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |

Table 5.2.32. DFT optimized (B3LYP/SDD for I, 6-311G* for B, H) coordinates for 6-I-
$\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$.

| Center Number | Atomic Number | X | Y | Z |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 5 | -2.3907 | -0.89049 | 1.062495 |
| 2 | 5 | -0.8831 | 0.00000 | 1.416587 |
| 3 | 5 | -2.3907 | 0.890493 | 1.062495 |
| 4 | 5 | -3.5559 | 0.00000 | 0.047133 |
| 5 | 5 | -0.8971 | -1.42667 | 0.328184 |
| 6 | 5 | 0.15071 | 0.00000 | 0.038783 |
| 7 | 5 | -0.8971 | 1.426669 | 0.328184 |
| 8 | 5 | -2.6688 | 1.422609 | -0.58272 |
| 9 | 5 | -3.0566 | 0.00000 | -1.60202 |
| 10 | 5 | -2.6688 | -1.42261 | -0.58272 |
| 11 | 1 | -2.8091 | -1.62417 | 1.894557 |
| 12 | 1 | -0.4203 | 0.00000 | 2.505457 |
| 13 | 1 | -2.8091 | 1.624168 | 1.894557 |
| 14 | 1 | -4.7064 | 0.00000 | 0.325119 |
| 15 | 1 | -0.385 | -2.47273 | 0.527927 |
| 16 | 53 | 2.31439 | 0.00000 | -0.11272 |
| 17 | 1 | -0.385 | 2.472727 | 0.527926 |
| 18 | 1 | -3.1257 | 2.476128 | -0.86598 |
| 19 | 1 | -3.6929 | 0.00000 | -2.5982 |
| 20 | 1 | -3.1257 | -2.47613 | -0.86598 |
| 21 | 1 | -0.3101 | -0.96404 | -0.76431 |
| 23 | 1 | -0.3101 | 0.964036 | -0.76431 |
| 24 | -2.1463 | 0.968947 | -1.71236 |  |
| 23 | -2.1463 | -0.96895 | -1.71236 |  |

Table 5.2.33. Calculated free energies and electronic energies (298K) at B3LYP/6311G* for starting materials, intermediates, transition states, and products of the reactions of $\mathbf{6 C l}$ to $\mathbf{5 0 M e}$ and $\mathbf{5 C l}$ to $\mathbf{6 0 M e}$.

| Reactions |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Free Energy |  |  |  |
|  | G (in Hartrees) |  | G (in Hartrees) |
| 6 Cl | -716.556894 | 5Cl | -716.556697 |
| MeOH | -115.717905 | HCl | -460.837449 |
| TS1 | -832.237981 | TS3 | -832.235336 |
| INT1 | -832.245029 | INT3 | -832.245796 |
| TS2 | -832.226957 | TS4 | -832.216440 |
| INT2 | -832.279627 | INT4 | -832.280961 |
| 50Me | -371.448947 | 60Me | -371.448731 |
| Electronic Energy |  |  |  |
|  | E (in Hartrees) |  | E (in Hartrees) |
| 6 Cl | -716.523471 | 5CI | -716.523384 |
| MeOH | -115.695198 | HCl | -460.819552 |
| TS1 | -832.198346 | TS3 | -832.196428 |
| INT1 | -832.206435 | INT3 | -832.206778 |
| TS2 | -832.189451 | TS4 | -832.179111 |
| INT2 | -832.238786 | INT4 | -832.239520 |
| 50Me | -371.413763 | 60Me | -371.411611 |

Table 5.2.34. Calculated free energies and electronic energies (298K) at B3LYP/6$311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ for $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SH}$.

|  | G (in Hartrees) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -694.417600 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SH}$ | -438.711916 |
|  | E (in Hartrees) |
| $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{~S}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -694.381374 |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{SH}$ | -438.687692 |

Table 5.2.35. Calculated free energies and electronic energies (298K) for $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ at B3LYP/6-311G* $(X=F)$ or B3LYP/SDD $(X=B r, I)$.

|  | G (in Hartrees) |
| :---: | :---: |
| $6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -356.213582 |
| $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -269.552521 |
| $6-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -267.736717 |
| TS2 $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F})$ | -471.871328 |
| TS2 $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ | -385.382605 |
| $\mathrm{TS} 2(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ | -383.417938 |
| HF | -100.465885 |
| HBr | -13.986600 |
| HI | -12.025099 |
|  | E (in Hartrees) |
| $6-\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -356.181130 |
| $6-\mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -269.517752 |
| $6-\mathrm{I}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | -267.701465 |
| $\mathrm{TS} 2(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F})$ | -471.834649 |
| TS2 $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ | -385.344033 |
| TS2 $(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{I})$ | -383.378340 |
| HF | -100.449474 |
| HBr | -13.967331 |
| HI | -12.004940 |

### 5.3 Results and Discussion

### 5.3.1 Syntheses of 6OR and 5OR

The reaction of 5- and 6-halodecaboranes with alcohols led to the formation of decaboranyl ethers, with the loss of hydrogen halide. However, the observed regiochemistry was surprising, as the reaction of $6-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 X})$ with an alcohol yielded 5-RO- $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 O R})$, while the reaction of $5-\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{5 X})$ produced the $6-\mathrm{RO}-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}(\mathbf{6 O R})$ isomer (Eq. 5 and 6).


A variety of alkyl alcohols were employed as nucleophiles, resulting in the production of a range of boranyl ether compounds (Table 5.3.1).

Table 5.3.1. Isolated yields for a number of $6-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and $5-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ compounds.
*N.I. indicates that the compound was not isolated due to complications in workup.

|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -OR | \% yield | -OR | \% yield |
| $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 51 | $-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ | 90 |
| $-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 48 | $-\mathrm{OC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | 77 |
| $-\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 42 | - $\mathrm{OC}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3}$ | 75 |
| $-\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | 66 | - $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CH}$ | 38 |
| - $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$ | N.I.* | - $\mathrm{O}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{3}\right)$ | 72 |
| $-\mathrm{OCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 62 | $-\mathrm{OCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{2}$ | 48 |
| $-\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | 49 | - $\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{SH}$ | 88 |
| $-\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ | 45 | - $\mathrm{OC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{I}$ | 69 |
| $-\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | N.I.* | - $\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\left(\mathrm{NC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ | 74 |
| $-\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 62 | $-\mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ | 80 |

In general, the syntheses of the $5-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ compounds were faster and required less purification than their $6-\mathrm{RO}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ counterparts. Reactions starting with $\mathbf{6 B r}$ proceeded quickly at room temperature, while those starting with $\mathbf{5 B r}$ required heating $\left(70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ to go to completion. Since alcohols are only mildly basic, the rate of the basecatalyzed isomerization between $\mathbf{5 X}$ and $\mathbf{6 X}$, described in Chapter 3, ${ }^{2}$ was slow at room temperature compared to the rate of substitution. However, at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the rate of isomerization from $\mathbf{5 X}$ to $\mathbf{6 X}$ was competitive with the substitution rate. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of the reactions of $\mathbf{5 B r}$ with alcohols at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ displayed small resonances for $\mathbf{6 B r}$ after $\sim 1 \mathrm{~h}$. Accordingly, the room temperature reactions with $\mathbf{6 B r}$ yielded comparatively pure $\mathbf{5 O R}$ products, while the isomerization that occurred at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ with $\mathbf{5 B r}$ resulted in a mix of product isomers that, although favoring 60R, required more extensive purification resulting in decreased isolated yields.

In agreement with a previous report, ${ }^{9}$ chromatographic separations resulted in greatly decreased yields, especially when used to isolate 50R, as these compounds were somewhat less stable and had longer retention times on the acidified silica gel columns than the 60R isomers. If the silica gel was not acidified prior to use, the 50R compounds degraded completely on the column. Best yields were found when the products were purified via crystallization, or simple filtration through a small plug of silica. Both 5OR and 60R slowly degraded when left exposed to air for prolonged periods of time.

No substitution was seen in the reactions of phenol with $\mathbf{6 B r}$ at temperatures up to $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, likely as a result of the decreased basicity (ie. nucleophilicity) of the phenolic oxygen when compared to the oxygen on the alcohols. Even when the more strongly

Lewis-basic p-methoxyphenol was employed, still no reaction was observed. The role of the relative basicity of the nucleophilic oxygen was examined through comparisons of the reaction rates of $\beta$-halogenated alcohols with $\mathbf{6 B r}$. The presence of halogens on alcohols inductively alters the electron density, and Lewis basicity, at oxygen according to the electronegativity of the halogen. ${ }^{21}$ In order of decreasing basicity, the tested alcohols rank: $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}>\mathrm{IC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}>\mathrm{BrC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}>\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}>\mathrm{FC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$. Accordingly, the reaction of 6 Br with ethanol was largely complete after 12 h at room temperature, but reactions with 2-iodoethanol ( $\sim 20 \mathrm{~h}$ ), 2-bromoethanol ( $\sim 40 \mathrm{~h}$ ), 2-chloroethanol ( $\sim 100 \mathrm{~h}$ ) and 2-fluoroethanol ( $\sim 125 \mathrm{~h}$ ), all took significantly longer, in line with the predicted trend.

Mercapto-compounds also failed to react, despite their greater Lewis basicity than phenols. This result may be explained thermodynamically, as the formation of a nondative B-S bond $(85-90 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}),{ }^{22}$ which is comparatively weaker than a similar B-O bond (117-119 kcal/mol), ${ }^{23}$ fails to provide a sufficient driving force for halogen substitution. DFT calculations showed that the reaction of methanol with $\mathbf{6 B r}$ to yield 5OMe was $-10.0 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ downhill, while the reaction employing methylthiol was instead $+5.6 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ uphill. This selectivity for oxygen allowed the synthesis of the potentially useful compounds 6- and 5-( $\left.\mathrm{HSC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ through the reaction of $\mathbf{5 B r}$ or 6Br with 2-mercaptoethanol (Eq. 7 and 8). The free thiol group on these products could find utility as a pendant nucleophile, or as a way of tethering the polyborane to metallic surfaces.

Additionally, the pendant thiol group on a 10-boron cage may be a useful tool in anti-cancer research. Therapeutic agents for Boron Neutron Capture Therapy (BNCT)
must be of both of high boron content ${ }^{24}$ and be preferentially taken up in tumor cells, rather than healthy cells, once administered in vivo. To date, one of only two potential BNCT agents promising enough to undergo clinical trials is a thiolated polyborate $\left(\left[\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{SH}\right]^{2-}\left[\mathrm{Na}^{+}{ }^{+}\right) .{ }^{25}\right.$



Particularly high-boron content can be achieved through the reactions of halodecaboranes with alcohols bearing more than one hydroxyl. The reaction of 1,4cyclohexyldiol with both $\mathbf{5 B r}$ and $\mathbf{6 B r}$ yielded the high-boron content compounds 6,6'$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}$ (Eq. 9) and 5,5'-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}$ respectively.


### 5.3.2 Characterization of 60 OR and 5OR

Regardless of the identity of the alkyl ether unit, the ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra of all of the 50R compounds looked similar, as did the spectra of the 60R ethers. Spectra of the 5OR compounds, as illustrated by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-decoupled and coupled ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of 5$\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ in Figs. 5.3.1a and 5.3.1b, displayed 9 peaks (B2 and B4 are coincident
at $\sim 40 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) consistent with the predicted $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ symmetry, with the low-field singlet arising from the ether-substituted B5 vertex. When the cages were substituted with primary alcohols, the separation between the 2 resonances between 0.0 and +5.0 ppm decreased, and in some cases these peaks were coincident, but the shifts of the other resonances were nearly identical regardless of the alcohol employed. The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra of the $\mathbf{6 O R}$ compounds showed only 5 resonances in 1:5:2:1:1 ratios, as can be seen in the spectra of 6-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ in Fig. 5.3.1c and 5.3.1d, in line with the predicted $\mathrm{C}_{5}$ symmetry of this isomer. Again, the resonance for the ether-substituted vertex (B6) is at lowest-field.


Figure 5.3.1. (a) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $5-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$; (b) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ coupled) of 5-( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$; (c) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$; (d) ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $6-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. The spectra are typical of all compounds synthesized with similar regiochemistry.

Table 5.3.2 gives the calculated ${ }^{11}$ B NMR shifts for $\mathbf{6 O M e}$ and $\mathbf{5 0 M e}$, along with the observed shifts for the assigned peaks. In contrast to the chemical shifts calculated for the 5-and 6-halodecaboranes, which showed excellent agreement with the observed experimental values, a number of the calculated decaboranylether resonances showed higher than normal discrepancies ( $>4 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) between the computationally predicted and experimentally observed shifts. Most notably, the calculated shifts for the ether-substituted B6 vertex of $\mathbf{6 0 M e}$ and its immediate neighbor borons (B2, B5, and B7) showed the poorest agreement. Nevertheless, the assignment of these resonances via 2D COSY ${ }^{11} B-{ }^{11} B$ NMR spectroscopy, discussed below, was found to be consistent the DFT assignments.

Table 5.3.2. DFT/GIAO (B3LYP/6-311G(d)) calculated and observed ${ }^{11}$ B NMR shifts (ppm) of 60Me and 50Me. Assignments are based on the combination of DFT calculated values and 2D COSY ${ }^{11}$ B- ${ }^{11}$ B NMR in Figs. 5.3.2 and 5.3.3.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Calc. | Assign. |  | Calc. | Assign. |
| B1,3 | 6.6 | 3.7 | B1 | 2.6 | 2.0 |
| B2 | -38.4 | -32.6 | B2 | -41.9 | -38.9 |
| B4 | -45.8 | -44.3 | B3 | 16.2 | 12.6 |
| B5,7 | -8.9 | -16.2 | B4 | -42.0 | -38.9 |
| B6 | 19.1 | 25.8 | B5 | 21.6 | 21.8 |
| B8,10 | 1.4 | 2.9 | B6 | -8.6 | -3.4 |
| B9 | 3.9 | 3.7 | B7 | -12.9 | -11.3 |
|  |  |  | B8 | -6.4 | -6.5 |
|  |  |  | B9 | 6.4 | 10.5 |
|  |  |  | B10 | 4.8 | 2.5 |

Fig. 5.3.2 shows the 2D COSY ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{6 O M e}$ along with the DFT assignment of peaks (for numbering scheme see Table 5.3.2). The downfield singlet in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum, and can be unambiguously identified as B6. This peak showed a cross-peak with the resonance at -32.6 that, in agreement with the DFT prediction, identified this peak as B2. Accordingly, the B2 resonance showed cross-peaks with a resonance representing B1,3. Both B6 and B2 show cross-peaks with the resonance at -16.2 ppm , which identified this resonance as B5,7. In this instance, the DFT predicted value ( $\sim-9 \mathrm{ppm}$ ) was not in strong agreement with the observed spectrum, but integration of the peak (intensity-2), and the observed cross-peaks with B2 and B6, along with the lack of a cross-peak with B4 supported the assignment as B5,7. The weakness of the cross-peak observed between $\mathrm{B} 5,7$ and B 6 was unsurprising, as ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ COSY cross-peaks are often weak, or even absent, when the two boron atoms are bridged with hydrogen. ${ }^{26}$ The set of peaks ranging from ~2-5 ppm were comprised of one intensity-2 peak and another resonance comprised of a coincident intensity- 1 and intensity- 2 peaks. From the symmetry of the compound, these resonances represented B8,10, B1,3 and B9. The upfield portion of the set, an intensity-2 peak, was assigned as B8,10 due to a cross-peak with B4, but not B2. The B8,10 resonance also showed weak cross-peaks with the B5,7 resonance, which was expected, as DFT calculations indicate that B5-B10 and B7-B8 bond distances are greater than $2 \AA$ in this compound. The remaining resonance accounts for $\mathrm{B} 1,3$ and B 9 .


Figure 5.3.2. The 2D COSY ${ }^{11} B-{ }^{11} B$ NMR spectrum of 60 Me . Observed cross-peaks: B6-B2; B6-B5,7 (weak); B1,3/B9 -B4; B1,3/B9-B2; B8,10-B4; B8,10-B5,7 (weak); B5,B7-B2; B5,7-B1,3/B9.

The 2D COSY ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}-{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of 5OMe is shown in Fig. 5.3.3
The coincident upfield peaks were easily identified as B2 and B4 based on the DFT predictions along with their observed cross-peaks to all other boron atoms present. The most downfield resonance, a singlet in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum, was unambiguously identified as B5. The B5 resonance showed cross-peaks with B1 and B10, and a weak cross-peak with B6, due to the presence of the bridging hydrogen between the B5 and B6. This weak cross-peak, and the stronger cross-peaks between the B2/4 resonance and B6 were the only cross-peaks found for B6, on account of the hydrogen-bridge between B6 and B7. The peak at 10.5 ppm was assigned as B9, as it only shows cross-peaks to the upfield B2/4 peak, and all other neighbors were hydrogenbridged. The resonance assigned to B3 showed the expected cross-peaks with B7, B8 and B1. Unambiguous assignment of B7 and B8 could not be made based on the data in Fig. 5.3.3. Both showed the expected cross-peaks with one another, no cross-peaks with B1, B5, or B10; however, the telltale sign of a B8 cross-peak with B9 or a B7 cross-peak with B6 wasn't readily apparent, on account of bridging hydrogens. Calculations predicted the B7 peak to be the more upfield of the two, and the calculated value of -12.9 was in good agreement with the observed peak at -11.3 ppm . Likewise, the lower field resonance at -6.5 agreed with the predicted value for B8 (-6.4).


Figure 5.3.3. The 2D COSY ${ }^{11} B-{ }^{11} B$ NMR spectrum of 50Me. Observed cross-peaks: B5-B2/B4; B5-B6 (weak), B5-B1; B5-B10 (weak); B3-B2/B4; B3-B7, B3-B8; B3-B1; B9-B2/B4; B10-B2/B4; B1-B2/B4; B8-B2/B4, B8-B7; B7-B2/B4.

Figs. 5.3.4 and 5.3.5 show the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra of 6$\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HCO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and 5-( $\left.\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HCO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ respectively. The two intensity-2 bridging-hydrogen singlets above 0.0 ppm in Fig. 5.3.4a indicate a plane of symmetry, in line with the $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{s}}$-symmetry assigned to this isomer. In addition to the resonances originating from the organic portion of the ether, the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$-decoupled spectrum for the 6 -substituted isomer shows 5 broad singlets in 1:4:2:1:1 ratios corresponding to the 9 terminal B-H protons. These resonances show coupling to boron in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$-coupled ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum displayed shown in Fig. 5.3.4b with coupling constants ( $\mathrm{J}>\sim 100 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ) typical of terminal-hydrogen on polyboranes. The $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetric 5OR isomer shows 4 broad, intensity-1 bridging-protons (Fig. 5.3.5a) in the 3-upfield/1-downfield pattern seen in the proton spectra of $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{X}$ compounds. ${ }^{2}$ The terminal B-H resonances display the lack of symmetry predicted for $\mathrm{C}_{1}$-symmetry, as five intensity- 1 singlets, an intensity 3 -singlet ( 3 coincident resonances), and another intensity- 1 singlet (coincident with the downfield bridging-hydrogen) all display coupling to boron. In both isomers, the two sets of vinyl multiplets, one allyl multiplet, and an intensity-1 quintet confirm the identity of the pendant R-groups.


Figure 5.3.4. (a) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of 6-(( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HCO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and (b) ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 6-(( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HCO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. $\boldsymbol{-}$ - terminal B-H, $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ - bridging B-HB.


Figure 5.3.5. (a) The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectrum of $5-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HCO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ and (b) the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of 5-(( $\left.\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHCH}_{2}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HCO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. - - terminal B-H, $\boldsymbol{\nabla}$ bridging $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{B}$

Figures 5.3.6 and 5.3.7 show the heteronuclear $\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H},{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right)$ correlated 2D NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 O M e}$ and $\mathbf{5 0 M e}$, along with assignments of the proton resonances. In both cases the bridging protons can be assigned definitely based on cross-peaks with assigned ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ resonances. Proton resonances at the lowest field in both spectra were those closest to the ether-substituted vertex. The only bridging-proton resonance to be downfield of 0.0 ppm is the proton between B 5 and B 6 in $\mathbf{5 O M e}$. As would be expected, the trend in the chemical shifts of the terminal hydrogens mirrors that seen in the boron atoms on which they sit. Lower-field (less shielded) boron atoms showed cross-peaks with lowerfield protons, and vice-versa.


Figure 5.3.6. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 2D NMR spectra of $6-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} .{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right.$ spectrum on the vertical axis, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectrum on the horizontal axis.


Figure 5.3.7. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B} /{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR 2D NMR spectra of $6-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13} \cdot{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\left\{{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right\}\right.$ spectrum on the vertical axis, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ spectrum on the horizontal axis. * - Assignment for H 2 and H 4 could not be made definitively.

### 5.3.3 Crystallographic Structure Determinations of 5- and 6-OR

Various 5OR and 6OR compounds were analyzed crystallographically. ORTEP drawings of the crystallographically determined structures of the structurally characterized ethers are shown in Figs. 5.3.8-5.3.12.

Comparisons of the B-B intracage bond distances in both the 5- and 6-substituted boranylethers with the $\mathbf{5 X}$ and $\mathbf{6 X}$ halodecaboranes respectively showed no consistently significant differences. Likewise, as was the case for the halodecaboranes, significant differences in the B-B bond lengths in 5OR and 60R were not observed.
a

b


Figure 5.3.8. ORTEP drawings of the crystallographically determined structures of (a) 6-( $\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, (b) 5-( $\left.\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. Selected bond distances (Å) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ for: (a) B6-O1, 1.3548(13); O1-C1, 1.4246(13); B6-B5, 1.8025(17); B5-B10, 1.9631(18); B5-B2, 1.8021(17); B6-B2, 1.7327(16); B9-B10, 1.7924(19); B9-

B4, 1.7180(17); C1-O1-B6, 120.74(8); O1-B6-B5, 129.07(9); B6-B5-B10, 117.65(8); O1-B6-B2, 131.82(9); B5-B6-B7, 104.63(8); B8-B9-B10, 104.60(8). (b) B5-O1, 1.3604(19); O1-C1, 1.4372(17); B5-B6, 1.810(2); B5-B10, 2.031(2); B8-B7, 1.939(2); B6-B7, 1.789(2); B5-B2, 1.826(2); B5-B1, 1.770(2); B6-B2, 1.733(2); B4-B9, 1.723(2); B2-B7, 1.775(2); C1-O1-B5, 122.93(11); B6-B5-B10, 115.19(11); O1-B5-B2, 132.56(13); O1-B5-B1, 121.13(12); B5-B10-B9, 115.75(11); B5-B1-B10, 70.73(10); O1-B5-B10, 111.63(11); O1-B5-B6, 125.81(12); B5-B6-B7, 105.36(11); B8-B9-B10, 105.18(12).


Figure 5.3.9. An ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically determined structure of 6$\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. Selected bond distances $(\AA$ A $)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B6-O11, 1.3364(12); O11-C12, 1.4692(11); B6-B5, 1.8280(15); B5-B10, 1.9882(15); B5-B1, 1.7612(15); B5B2, 1.8066(16); B6-B2, 1.7373(15); B9-B10, 1.7945(17); B9-B4, 1.7163(18); C12-O11B6, 129.29(7); O11-B6-B5, 133.54(9); O11-B6-B7, 123.05(8); B6-B5-B10, 118.56(8); O11-B6-B2, 136.24(8); B5-B10-B9, 117.97(8), B5-B6-B7, 103.06(7); B10-B9-B8, 104.75(8).


Figure 5.3.10. ORTEP drawings of the crystallographically determined structures of 50Me. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B5-O1, 1.370(3); O1-C1, 1.343(2); B5-B6, 1.828(3); B6-B7, 1.803(3); B5-B10, 2.046(3); B8-B7, 1.968(3); B5-B1, 1.761(3); B1-B10, 1.746(3); B8-B3, 1.757(3); B6-B2, 1.732(3); B2-B3, 1.780(3); B4-B9, 1.718(3); B7-B3, 1.756(2); C1-O1-B5, 120.82(15); B6-B5-B10, 112.99(14); O1-B5-B2, 131.29(15); O1-B5-B1, 121.56(15); B5-B10-B9, 117.61(13); B5-B1-B10, 71.38(11); B7-B3-B8, 68.14(11); B5-B6-B7, 104.90(13); B8-B9-B10, 105.79(14).


Figure 5.3.11. An ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically determined structure of 5$\left(\mathbf{C H}_{\mathbf{3}} \mathbf{C} \equiv \mathbf{C C H}_{\mathbf{2}} \mathbf{O}\right)-\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}$. Selected bond distances ( A ) and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B5-O1, 1.3828(17); O1-C1, 1.4336(15); B5-B6, 1.793(2); B5-B10, 2.044(2); B8-B7, 1.954(2); B6-B7, 1.792(2); B5-B1, 1.7605(19); B1-B10, 1.747(2); B6-B2, 1.726(2); B4-B9, 1.720(2); B7-B3, 1.782(2); C1-O1-B5, 117.61(10); B6-B5-B10, 114.93(10); O1-B5-B2, 131.45(11); O1-B5-B1, 126.77(10); B5-B10-B9, 116.61(10); B5-B1-B10, 71.28(8); B7-B3-B8, 67.91(9); B5-B6-B7, 105.31(10); B8-B9-B10, 105.45(11).


Figure 5.3.12. An ORTEP drawing of the crystallographically determined structure of 6,6'-( $\left.\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{6}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{O}_{\mathbf{2}}\right)-\left(\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{1 0}} \mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{1 3}}\right)_{\mathbf{2}}$. Selected bond distances $(\AA)$ and angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right)$ : B6-O1, 1.3549(19); O11-C1, 1.4506(17); B6-B5, 1.804(2); B5-B10, 1.980(2); B5-B1, 1.749(2); B1-B10, 1.748(2); B5-B2, 1.799(2); B6-B2, 1.733(2); B9-B4, 1.717(3); C1-O11-B6, 122.22(12); O11-B6-B5, 123.37(13); B6-B5-B10, 118.00(12); B5-B6-B7, 104.11(11); B8-B9-B10, 104.73(12).

Backbonding from $\pi$-donating substituents on decaborane cages has been proposed in halogenated and amino-substituted compounds. ${ }^{1,2,27}$ Backbonding from O to B in the boranyl ether compounds is evidenced in these compounds by short $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds, and an $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridized oxygen. Table 5.3.3 gives the lengths of the B-O bonds and the measured B-O-C angles in all the structurally characterized compounds as well as the BO length in $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{3},{ }^{28}$ where $\pi$-backbonding is strong, and the average of the B-O bonds of a few $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{-}$tetrahedral anions, ${ }^{29}$ where $\pi$-backbonding is impossible. The B-O bond lengths in both the 5- and 6-decabroanylethers range from $\sim 1.33 \AA$ to $\sim 1.37 \AA$, similar to bonds in $\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{OMe})_{3}$, and significantly shorter than the average $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{O}$ length for tetrahedral borates ( $\sim 1.46 \AA$ ). The B-O-C bond angles in each of the crystallographically characterized ethers were all near $120^{\circ}$ indicating $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$ hybridization of the cage-bound oxygen, as would be necessary for true $\pi$-backbonding. The B-O-C angle in trimethylborate is similar, at $119.7^{\circ}$, while the same angle in tetramethylborate is only $116^{\circ}$.

Also listed in Table 5.3.3 are the B-O bond lengths and B-O-C angles in alkoxysubstituted examples of closo-dodecaborate, monocarboncarborane, and p-carborane. In the alkoxy-bearing polyhedral cages, back-donation from O to B should be less prevalent in electron-rich systems. This predicted trend is confirmed in comparisons of the B-O length in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2-}(1.442(5) \AA), 2-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)-1-\mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}(1.409(3) \AA)$ and 2$\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)-1,12-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}(1.3884(16) \AA$ A $)$. With the exception of $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, which has a similar B-O bond length as the alkoxy $p$-carborane, the decaboranyl B-O bonds are shorter than any of these. The B-O-C angle in $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2-}$ is
$115.9(3)^{\mathrm{o}}$, in $2-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)-1-\mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}$is $118.4(2)^{\mathrm{o}}$, and is $119.76(10)^{\mathrm{o}}$ in $2-\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)-$ $1,12-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}$, again suggesting a decrease in backbonding with increase in electron density. With the exception of $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$, the B-O-C angles in the decaboranylethers are larger $\left(\sim 120^{\circ}\right.$ or greater) than any of these.

Table 5.3.3. Comparisons of the crystallographically determined B-O bonds lengths to trigonal and tetrahedral B-O bonds.

|  | B-O Length $(\AA)$ | C-O-B Angle ( $\left.{ }^{\circ}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{B}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{3}^{28}$ | $1.359(6)$ | 119.7 |
| $\left[\mathrm{~B}\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{4}{ }^{-}\right]^{29}$ | $1.46(\mathrm{avg})$ | $116(\mathrm{avg})$ |
| $6-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $1.3548(13)$ | $120.74(8)$ |
| $6-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{3} \mathrm{CO}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $1.3354(13)$ | $129.39(8)$ |
| $6,6{ }^{\prime}-\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)-\left(\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}$ | $1.3549(19)$ | $122.22(12)$ |
| $5-\left(\mathrm{ClC}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $1.3604(19)$ | $122.93(11)$ |
| $5-\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}\left(5 \mathbf{5 O M e}^{2}\right)\right.$ | $1.370(3)$ | $120.82(15)$ |
| $5-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{CCH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$ | $1.3828(17)$ | $117.61(10)$ |
| $\left[\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)-\mathrm{B}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{2-}\right]^{30}$ | $1.442(5)$ | $115.9(3)$ |
| $\left[2-\mathrm{ClC}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}-1-\mathrm{CB}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{-}\right]^{31}$ | $1.409(3)$ | $118.4(2)$ |
| $2-\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{O}\right)-1,12-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{~B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{11}{ }^{4}$ | $1.3884(16)$ | $119.76(10)$ |

### 5.3.4 Computational Exploration of the Reaction Mechanism.

As described earlier, the substitution reactions in Eqs. 5 and 6 proceeded with surprising regioselectivites. Nevertheless, it was possible to identify reasonable pathways for the transformations of $\mathbf{6 X}$ to 50Me and 5X to 60Me using DFT/IRC calculations. As can be seen in Figure 5.3.13 for the reaction of $\mathbf{6 C l}$ with methanol, nucleophilic attack of the alcohol-oxygen at B5 pushes its terminal-hydrogen upward to form the TS1 transition state. In TS1, the oxygen is still $2.23 \AA$ from B5 and the B-Cl bond has only slightly lengthened from 1.78 Å to $1.82 \AA$. As the oxygen moves closer to B5, three hydrogens (the B5 terminal-hydrogen and 2 bridging-hydrogens) move to endo-positions on B5, B6 and B7 to form INT1. In INT1, the B-O bond decrease to $1.61 \AA$ is accompanied by a corresponding increase in the B5-B6 distance from $1.81 \AA$ to $2.40 \AA$, but at this point there is no additional lengthening of the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bond. As the oxygen moves closer (1.49 $\AA$ ) to B 6 to form TS2, the chlorine begins to detach (B6-O, $2.42 \AA$ ) from the cage and a five-membered B-Cl-H-O-B ring structure (Figure 5.3.14) forms that allows the Cl to initially bond with the methanolic hydrogen $(1.74 \AA$ ). In the final step, the hydrogen is transferred from the oxygen to the chlorine ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}, 1.32 \AA$ ) with the elongated H-O bond length $\left(1.82 \AA\right.$ ) typical of those found for hydrogen-bonded ethers. ${ }^{32}$ As a result of the chlorine leaving the cage, the endo-B6-H moves to the vacated terminal B6-position and the endo-hydrogens on B5 and B7 move into bridging-positions. The hydrogen-bonded $\mathrm{HCl} /$ decaboranyl-ether adduct is not stable under the experimental reaction conditions, since the HCl is immediately neutralized by $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to liberate the final decaboranyl ether.


Figure 5.3.13. DFT calculated mechanism from $\mathbf{6 C l}$ to $\mathbf{5 O M e}$. Calculations performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level of theory at 298 K . Electronic energies are given in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 5.3.14. Bond distances and angles in the 5-membered ring portion of INT1, TS2 and the hydrogen-bonded product.

An analogous pathway was found for the reaction of methanol with $\mathbf{5 C l}$ (Figure 5.3.15). Nucleophilic attack of the alcohol at B6 occurs through TS3 to form INT2. The INT2 structure is similar to INT1, with a $1.61 \AA$ B6-O distance and three endohydrogens. As the oxygen moves closer to B6 (1.49 $\AA$ ), the TS4 transition state is formed, which, like TS2, has a cyclic five-membered configuration with an elongated $\mathrm{B} 6-\mathrm{Cl}(2.55 \AA$ Aㅇ) distance (Figure 5.3.16) that facilities the initial $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}$ bonding interaction ( $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}, 1.73 \AA$ ). In the final step, the hydrogen transfer from the oxygen $(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{O}, 1.87 \AA$ ) to the chlorine $(\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{Cl}, 1.31 \AA)$ is complete to again produce the hydrogen-bonded decaboranyl ether. The chlorine is no longer attached to the cage and the endo-B5-H has moved to the vacated terminal B5-position with the endo-hydrogens on B5 and B7 moving back into bridging-positions. Again, under the experimental reaction conditions the hydrogen-bonded HCl is neutralized by $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ to liberate $6 \mathbf{0 M e}$.


Figure 5.3.15. DFT calculated mechanism from 5 Cl to $\mathbf{6 O M e}$. Calculations performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level of theory at 298 K . Electronic energies are given in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$.


Figure 5.3.16. Bond distances and angles in the 5-membered ring portion of INT2, TS4 and the hydrogen-bonded product.

For computational ease $\mathbf{5 C l}$ and $\mathbf{6 C l}$ were used as model compounds; however, within the halogenated series reactions involving the chlorinated compounds reacted more slowly than did their brominated and iodinated relatives. When $\mathbf{6 C l}$ reacted with methanol at room temperature the reaction was found to be only $\sim 25 \%$ complete after 2 days. The same reaction with $\mathbf{6 B r}$ was complete after $\sim 12 \mathrm{~h}$. When $\mathbf{6 I}$ was employed, the starting material was consumed in $\sim 12 \mathrm{~h}$, but the relative rapidity of the isomerization of $\mathbf{6 I}$ to $\mathbf{5} \mathbf{I}^{2}$ resulted in a mixture of final isomers, so a direct rate comparison could not be made. When $\mathbf{6 F}$ was reacted with methanol no reaction was seen after 48 h at room temperature. A comparison of the DFT calculated activation energies (from starting materials to TS2, for each halogen) for the series is shown in Fig. 5.3.17. The trend in observed reaction rates is in agreement with the calculated activation energies, which show a relatively low activation energy for the reaction with $\mathbf{6 I}$ and $\mathbf{6 B r}$, followed by a greater activation energy for $\mathbf{6 C l}$ and finally a much higher activation energy for $\mathbf{6 F}$.


Figure 5.3.17. Comparison of the calculated activation energies for the reaction of methanol with $\mathbf{6 X}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{Cl}, \mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{I})$. Calculations of electronic energies were performed at the B3LYP/6-311G(d) level for 6F and 6Cl. For 6Br and 6I B3LYP/6-311G(d) was used for all $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{O}$ and H atoms, and the SDD psuedopotential was used for the halogens.

### 5.3.5 Substitution Reactions on Deuterated 6Br.

Reactions of alcohols with bridge-deuterated bromodecaboranes were carried out in order to test the computationally proposed mechanism. The complete deuteration of the bridging-hydrogens of $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ was previously achieved through stirring in a biphasic mixture of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dioxane. ${ }^{33}$ While bridging-hydrogens were observed to undergo quick deuterium exchange, the terminal B-H bonds exchanged at a much slower rate. When 6 Br was stirred in a biphasic solution of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$, the disappearance of the upfield ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR resonances indicated the exchange of the four bridging-hydrogens for deuterium, while ${ }^{11}$ B NMR indicated no exchange at terminal B-H sites. Figs. 5.3.18 and 5.3.19 show the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectra of $\mathbf{6 B r}$ and $\mathbf{5 B r}$ before and after deuteration. Interestingly, while the parent compound $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$ was not found to undergo $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ exchange when stirred in mixtures of $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and non-ethereal solvents, ${ }^{11} \mathbf{6 B r}$ quickly underwent bridge-deuteration with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$. This is likely an indication of the enhanced acidity of the halogenated cages relative to $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{14}$.

According to the mechanisms shown in Figs. 5.3.13 and 5.3.15, reaction of the bridge-deuterated, halodecaboranes with methanol should result in one of the bridgingdeuterons (specifically, the bridge-deuteron shown in bold) relocating to the position formerly occupied by the halogen (Eq. 10 and 11).



Figure 5.3.18. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectra of: (a) $\mathbf{6 B r}$ and (b) $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{6 B r}$


Figure 5.3.19. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\left\{{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}\right\}$ NMR spectra of: (a) $\mathbf{5 B r}$ and (b) $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{5 B r}$

Since coupling between boron and deuterium is small (e.g. for $\mathrm{BH}_{4}{ }^{-}: J_{B-D}=\sim 12$ $\left.\mathrm{Hz}, J_{B-H}=\sim 80 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)^{34}$ and not readily observed, evidence for terminal deuteration can be found in the absence of clear B-H coupling normally seen in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of each decaboranylether. The ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum of 50 Me , a product of the reaction of $\mathbf{6 B r}$ with methanol, is shown in Fig. 5.3.20a. All of the cage-boron resonances, excepting the expected B5 singlet, appear as doublets as a result of the coupling to their terminal hydrogens. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of the product of the reaction (Eq. 10, $\left.X=\mathrm{Br}\right)$ of $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 6} \mathbf{B r}$ with methanol (Fig. 5.3.20c) showed that the B6-resonance ( -3.68 ppm ) had changed from a doublet to a broadened singlet indicating deuterium incorporation at the B6 terminal-position, in accordance with the proposed mechanism. When $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 6 B r}$ was reacted with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}(\mathbf{E q . ~ 1 2})$, the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of the product showed a much sharpened singlet for 6B (Fig. 5.3.20d). Reaction of un-deuterated $\mathbf{6 B r}$ with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ gave a boranylether (Eq. 13) for which the ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum lacked the apparentsinglet indicative of a terminal B-D bond (Fig. 5.3.20b), indicating that deuterium incorporated into the terminal B6 position must come from the cage, and not from methanol.




Figure 5.3.20. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum of the products of the following reactions: (a) $\mathbf{6 B r}+$ $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, (b) $\mathbf{6 B r}+\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, (c) $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 6 B r}+\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$, (d) $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}} \mathbf{- 6 B r}+\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$. The * denotes the 6B resonance.

The broadness of the singlet in Fig. 5.3.20c and the doublet in Fig. 5.3.20b, relative to the singlet in Fig. 5.3.20d and the doublet in Fig. 5.3.20a, indicates incorporation of a small amount of hydrogen in the B 6 vertex of $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{3}-6-\mathrm{D}-5-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{O}-$ $\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}$, and deuterium in the B 6 vertex of $5-\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{13}$. When $\boldsymbol{\mu}$-D4-6Br was treated with standard methanol, H/D exchange between the deuterated bridging positions of the cage and the hydroxyl-hydrogen led to small amounts of hydrogen incorporation at B6 of the products. Likewise, when $\mathbf{6 B r}$ was treated with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, a small amount of deuterium was exchanged into the bridging positions of the cage and was incorporated at B6, resulting in a broadened doublet in the ${ }^{11} B$ NMR. Since fast $H / D$ exchange only occurs in the bridging positions, observed broadening in cases where exchange might occur supports the mechanism presented in Fig. 5.3.13.

When $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{5 B r}$ was reacted with $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{OD}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (Eq. 14) at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of the $\mu-\mathrm{D}_{3}-5-\mathrm{D}-6-\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{B}_{10} \mathrm{H}_{9}$ product showed a broadened resonance at $\sim-15.5 \mathrm{ppm}(\mathrm{B} 5,7)$ indicating the incorporation of deuterium into one of these vertices (Fig. 5.3.21b). The reactions of $\mathbf{5 B r}$ with $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$, and $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{5 B r}$ with $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}$ were carried out, but the increased rate of deuterium exchange between bridging H/D and alcohol $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{D}$ at the elevated temperatures necessary for the reaction resulted in products with a mix of H/D incorporation at B6. However, the observation of the inclusion of deuterium at B 5 of the $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{5 B r} / \mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ reaction supports the mechanism shown in Fig.

### 5.3.15.




Figure 5.3.21. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectrum of the products of the following reactions: (a) $\mathbf{5 B r}+$ $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, (b) $\boldsymbol{\mu}-\mathbf{D}_{\mathbf{4}}-\mathbf{5 B r}+\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{D}_{5} \mathrm{OD}$. The $*$ denotes the $\mathrm{B} 5,7$ coincident resonance.

### 5.4 Conclusions

A new method for the syntheses of a number of decaboranylether compounds has been described. A range of $1^{\circ}, 2^{\circ}$ and $3^{\circ}$ alcohols were successfully incorporated into the polyhedral cage, bearing polymerizable goups (alkenes, alkynes), nucleophiles (thiols), and electrophiles (alkyl halides, succinimide). The breadth of functional group inclusion shown in this chapter is only a small sampling of those that might be used, and may serve towards the incorporation of these neutral polyboranes into other chemistries.

The substitution mechanism displays a new type of reactivity in decaborane, in that, much like the $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{N}} 2$ ' reaction, the substitution occurs through the movements of equivalents of electrons across the molecule. In the organic reaction (Eq. 3) these electrons are contained in $\pi$-system. In boron hydride systems, the lower electronegativity of boron means that electrons are bound to hydrogen, so movement of hydrogen around the open face of the cage represents an equivalent transformation.
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## Chapter 6

## Regeneration of Spent Ammonia Borane Hydrogen Fuels


#### Abstract

Spent fuel materials resulting from ammonia borane $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-release were successfully digested in a number of strong acid systems to produce, according to ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis, materials containing tetrahedral boron atoms devoid of remaining hydrogen. The digestate from the reaction of spent fuel with trifluoroacetic acid was found to react with dimethylethylamine alane to form dimethylethylamine borane; however, the dimethylethylamine could not be displaced by ammonia to produce ammonia borane (AB). Digestion of spent fuels resulting from only $\sim 1$ equivalent of $A B H_{2}$-release with superacidic $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3} / \mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{CS}_{2}$ solutions yielded $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ which could be distilled out of the reaction mixtures; however, the digestion of more highly dehydrogenated spent fuels with $\sim 2$ equivalents of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$-release could not be attained. Boron-halide reduction studies demonstrated that complexes readily formed upon reaction of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ with dialkylsulfides and that these $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ adducts could be quantitatively reduced to $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ with either tin hydrides or silanes. The dialkylsulfides were then easily displaced from $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ by ammonia to yield ammonia borane.


### 6.1 Introduction.

The thermal decomposition of ammonia borane (AB) leads to the production of linked forms of $\mathrm{BNH}_{\mathrm{x}}$ compounds and free $\mathrm{H}_{2}$. Whereas transition metal catalyzed dehydrocoupling reactions may yield specific, defined architectures, ${ }^{1}$ solid state pyrolysis of AB leads to a number of products, as shown in Figure 6.1.1.


Figure 6.1.1. Possible end-products of the dehydrogenation of ammonia borane.

The exact polymeric form of the spent fuel, polyaminoborane ( PAB ), is not well defined, and depends on the degree of dehydrogenation achieved at the pyrolysis temperature. Thermochemical analysis showed that hydrogen was released from heated AB in 2 steps, with the first equivalent lost just under $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a second equivalent completely lost around $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{2}$ Solid state ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR data indicated that at $88^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the temperature regime wherein only 1 equivalent of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ was released, the nonvolatile products of decomposition were linear and/or cyclic oligomers (Eq. 1). ${ }^{3}$


Pyrolysis at higher temperatures resulted in further release of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ and a shift in the structure of the products from polymers containing largely $\mathrm{sp}^{3}$-hybridized boron to those with $\mathrm{sp}^{2}$-hybridized boron. Solid state ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of these products indicated the formation of polyborazylene, a linked network of borazine $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ monomers (Eq 2.). ${ }^{4}$ Pyrolysis of AB , or dehydrogenated products, under very high temperatures $\left(\sim 1000{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ results in the formation of ceramic hexagonal boron nitride. ${ }^{5}$
n



The decomposition of solid AB to an $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{BH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}$ polymer $(\mathrm{PAB})$ was exothermic by $\sim 5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at, and below, $90{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{6}$ High-level calculations indicate that nearly all possible dehydrogenation reactions of AB are exothermic. For example, the $\Delta \mathrm{H}$ for the reaction linking 2 molecules of AB as shown in the first step of Eq. $\mathbf{1}$ was calculated to be $-20.5 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, while the reaction of 3 molecules of AB to the cyclic trimer, cyclotriborazane (CTB), was calculated to be $-55.9 \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{7}$

This exothermic nature of the dehydrogenation of AB poses challenges for any scheme to rehydrogenate spent fuel. Any potential process involving AB seeks to utilize as much of the bound hydrogen on the molecule as possible, but dehydrogenation reactions resulting in high degrees of chain-linking and unsaturation are thermodynamically down-hill, and yield comparatively low-energy products. ${ }^{6}$

Regeneration of these products becomes increasingly challenging as the material is increasingly dehydrogenated.

Any viable regeneration process must be applicable to all spent-fuel materials and, in addition, avoid the formation of difficult to reduce intermediates. This chapter discusses an approach to AB regeneration from spent fuels involving: (1) the digestion of the spent AB fuels by strong acids to form $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ species; (2) base coordination of $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ and
subsequent reduction of the base- $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ adduct to base- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$; and finally (3) displacement of the base from the base- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct by ammonia to produce AB .

### 6.2 Experimental

Materials. Ammonia borane ( AB ) was purchased from Aviabor and milled to a fine powder in a commercial coffee grinder. Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was purchased from Fisher and used as received. Aluminum bromide, HBr (anhydrous), $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (neat), triethylsilane (TES), and tributyltin hydride (TTH) were purchased from Aldrich and used as received. Ammonia (anhydrous) was purchased from Air Gas and used as received. Triethylamine, $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ were purchased from Fisher and dried as described elsewhere. ${ }^{8}$

Computational Methods. Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations were performed using the Gaussian 03 package. ${ }^{9}$ Structures were optimized with the B3LYP method utilizing the $6-311 \mathrm{G}^{*}$ basis set for all $\mathrm{B}, \mathrm{H}$, and S atoms, and the SDD psuedopotential for all Br atoms.

Physical Methods. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR at 128.3 MHz and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR at 400.1 MHz spectra were obtained on a Bruker DMX-400 spectrometer equipped with appropriate decoupling accessories. All ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ chemical shifts are referenced to $\mathrm{BF}_{3} \cdot \mathrm{OEt}_{2}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$, with a negative sign indicating an upfield shift. All proton chemical shifts were measured relative to internal residual protons from the lock solvents $\left(99.9 \% \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right)$ and then referenced to $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}(0.0 \mathrm{ppm})$.

Synthesis of Polyaminoborane at $85{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ (PAB1). In a typical experiment AB (200 mg, 6.49 mmol ) was loaded into a round bottom flask equipped with a sidearm and Teflon
stopcock. The flask was evacuated on a high vacuum and sealed, at which point it was heated at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under static vacuum for 14 h . The flask was evacuated and left under dynamic vacuum on a high vacuum for 12 h , yielding a white solid (198 mg)
corresponding to the loss of 11 mg of $\mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( $5.50 \mathrm{mmol}, 0.85 \mathrm{eq}$ ).
Synthesis of Polyaminoborane at $120{ }^{\circ} \mathbf{C}$ (PAB2). After an identical setup to the $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ experiment the flask is heated at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under static vacuum for 12 h , evacuated, and held under dynamic vacuum on a high vacuum for 12 h . In a typical experiment 200 mg $\mathbf{A B}(6.49 \mathrm{mmol})$ was found yield 180 mg PAB2, corresponding to a loss of $20 \mathrm{mg} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ ( $9.74 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ).

Digestion of Spent Fuel with Trifluoroacetic Acid (TFA). (a) PAB1 (150 mg) was loaded into a 100 mL round bottom flask equipped with a sidearm and stir bar. The flask was connected to a high vacuum where TFA $(\sim 6 \mathrm{~mL})$ was vacuum transferred. The mixture was heated at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h , during which time the solid PAB1 dissolved giving a monophasic, pale yellow solution. The TFA was removed in vacuo, yielding 803 mg of a yellow solid. (b) In an identical setup, PAB2 $(100 \mathrm{mg})$ was stirred in $\sim 6 \mathrm{~mL}$ of TFA at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 12 h . All of the PAB2 dissolved giving products with identical ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra as those found in (a). The TFA was removed in vacuo yielding 538 mg of a yellow solid. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR (128.3 MHz, TFA): $\delta-0.40(\mathrm{~s}),-1.60(\mathrm{~s})$.

## Digestion of Spent Fuel with Other Oxyacids

PAB1 and PAB2 were digested in a number of other oxygen-containing acids of varying strength. The conditions and results of these experiments are summarized in Table 7.3.1.

Digestion Reactions with Anhydrous HCl. (a) In separate experiments, PAB1 (50 mg), PAB2 $(50 \mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{h}-\mathrm{BN}(50 \mathrm{mg})$ were stirred in liquid anhydrous $\mathrm{HCl}(\sim 7 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a closed Fischer and Porter thick-walled pressure vessel at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The HCl was removed in vacuo and the product was pumped to dryness through a trap held at $-90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In each case, no boron containing species could be observed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR in the material in the cold trap and gravimetric analysis of the solids remaining in the flasks indicated no reaction had occurred. (b) Polyborazylene ( 97 mg ) was stirred in liquid anhydrous HCl $(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ in a closed pressure vessel at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The HCl was removed in vacuo yielding 231 mg of a white material that was insoluble in common solvents. The observed gravimetric uptake of the solid corresponded to an approximate formula $\left(\mathrm{B}_{3} \mathrm{~N}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{Cl}_{3}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}$ which would be consistent with the addition of one HCl molecule to $91 \%$ of all the unsaturated B-N units in polyborazylene. The material slowly lost HCl when held at room temperature.

## Digestion Reactions with Superacidic $\mathbf{H C l}$ in Ionic Liquids. An acidic $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{BmimCl}$

 solution was prepared by the addition of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(473 \mathrm{mg}, 3.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 55 \mathrm{~mol} \%)$ to BmimCl $(500 \mathrm{mg}, 2.9 \mathrm{mmol})$ as described elsewhere. ${ }^{10}$ In two separate experiments, PAB1 (50 mg ) samples were added to $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{BmimCl}$ solutions in reaction vessels equipped with a gas inlet and outlet. The reactions were heated at (a) $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and (b) $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, respectively while anhydrous HCl was flowed through the reaction vessels with the exit gases being passed through $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ traps before being vented to the atmosphere through bubblers. After 2 h , the solutions were monophasic and all PAB1 had dissolved. No boron-species were found in the cold traps. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analyses of the $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{BmimCl}$ solutions diluted with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ showed new peaks at: (a) $\delta-31.2(\mathrm{~s}), 0.2(\mathrm{~s}), 0.0$ (s). (b) $\delta 1.3$ (s), 0.2(s), $-0.8(\mathrm{~s}),-1.3(\mathrm{~s})$. Attempts to separate the products from the $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{BmimCl}$ solutions by distillation and/or extraction were unsuccessful.

## Digestion Reactions with Superacidic $\mathbf{A l B r}_{3} / \mathbf{H B r} / \mathbf{C S}_{\mathbf{2}}{ }^{11}$. (a) PAB1 (50 mg) and $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$

 ( $2.5 \mathrm{~g}, 9.36 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were dissolved in $\sim 12 \mathrm{~mL}$ of dry $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ in a 100 mL Schlenk flask equipped with a gas inlet. The mixture was stirred while the flask was filled with gaseous HBr . Stirring was continued for 4 h , with fresh HBr being added to the system every 20 min . The white solid SF 1 gradually dissolved, yielding a dense, bubbling yellow oil. The ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of the clear $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ layer revealed the presence of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$. The mixture was fractionated on a high-vacuum line through consecutive $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and -198 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ traps. Dry triethylamine (TEA) ( $\sim 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was vacuum transferred to the $-95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ trap and the mixture allowed to warm to room temperature. The $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$-TEA adduct ${ }^{12}$ was concentrated in vacuo, yielding $228 \mathrm{mg}\left(0.65 \mathrm{mmol}\right.$, a $40 \%$ yield based on a $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{BH}_{2}$ formula for PAB 1 ) and was identified by its characteristic ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum (128.3 $\left.\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta-6.1(\mathrm{~s})$. The yellow oil that had separated from the $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ layer was analyzed by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (128.3 MHz, neat): $\delta-9.4$ (s). (b) PAB2 (50 mg) and $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}(2.5 \mathrm{~g}$, 9.36 mmol ) were dissolved in $\sim 12 \mathrm{~mL}$ of dry $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ and similarly treated with HBr . No formation of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ could be observed in the $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ solution by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR.Digestion Reactions with Superacidic $\mathbf{A l C l}_{3} / \mathbf{H C l} / \mathbf{C S}_{2}$. (a) PAB1 (50 mg) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(200 \mathrm{mg}, 1.50 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}(\sim 8 \mathrm{~mL})$. Anhydrous HCl was bubbled into the reaction mixture for 3 h at room temperature with the exit gases passing through a $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ trap before being vented to the atmosphere through a bubbler. No dissolution of PAB1 was observed and analysis of the $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ layer by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed no formation of soluble boron containing species. No boron containing products were found in the cold
trap. (b) Using an identical setup, PAB 1 and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ were treated with HCl in toluene instead of $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. Again, no dissolution of PAB1 was observed, and no new boron containing species were found by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. (c) Liquid anhydrous $\mathrm{HCl}(\sim 7 \mathrm{~mL})$ was condensed into a Fischer and Porter thick-walled pressure vessel containing PAB1 (50 $\mathrm{mg})$ and $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}(100 \mathrm{mg}, 0.75 \mathrm{mmol})$. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h . The volatiles were removed in vacuo, and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis of these volatiles showed no boron-containing species. Likewise, no soluble boron containing species were found in the remaining solids by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR.

## Triethylamine (TEA) BX $\mathbf{B}_{3}$ Complexation and B-X Reduction by Tributyltin Hydride

(TBTH). (a) The addition of TEA ( $1.3 \mathrm{~mL}, 9.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) to a stirred 1 M solution of $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ in heptane $(8 \mathrm{~mL}, 8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ resulted in the immediately formation of a white precipitate. Volatiles were removed in vacuo, and ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis of a $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solution of the white solid indicated the formation of the TEA- $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ adduct. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis indicated that the reaction of the solids in a stirred $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 15 \mathrm{~mL})$ solution with TBTH $(7.74 \mathrm{~mL}, 28.8 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 days resulted in only partial reduction. Addition of TBTH ( $10 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.1 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) with further reaction for 12 h at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ brought the reaction to $\sim 95 \%$ TEA $-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. Attempts to separate the adduct from the tributyltin chloride and residual TBTH were unsuccessful. For TEA- $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}:{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR: $\delta 7.7$; TEA- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}:-13.6(\mathrm{q}$, $J=87 \mathrm{~Hz})$. (b) TEA ( $0.43 \mathrm{~mL}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added to a solution of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(640 \mathrm{mg}, 2.64$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ and stirred for 5 min at room temperature at which point ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis showed the quantitative formation of TEA- $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}{ }^{12}$. For TEA- $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}:{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR: $\delta$-6.2. This mixture was then treated with additional TBTH ( $6.34 \mathrm{~mL}, 23.8$
mmol ) for 1 h at room temperature, but ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis showed no reaction. The reaction was then heated at $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2 h , leading to quantitative conversion to TEA- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$.

The TEA- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct was independently synthesized by the addition of TEA to an equimolar amount of $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$-THF. The solvent was removed in vacuo, at which point anhydrous liquid ammonia ( $\sim 8 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was condensed onto the adduct and the mixture stirred for 2 h at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ammonia was removed in vacuo, but analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed no displacement of TEA by $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$. N,N-Diethylaniline (DEA) $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ Complexation, $\mathbf{B}-\mathrm{Br}$ Reduction with Triethylsilane (TES) and $\mathbf{N H}_{3}$ Displacement to Produce Ammonia Borane. A sample of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ (1.21 $\mathrm{g}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ was reacted with $\mathrm{DEA}(0.84 \mathrm{~mL}, 4.7 \mathrm{mmol})$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL})$ at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed a new peak at -25.2 ppm , indicative of adduct formation. TES ( $6.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 37.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was then added and the mixture stirred at room temperature for 5 min. Analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed quantitative conversion to DEA- $\mathrm{BH}_{3} .{ }^{13}$ Ammonia was then bubbled though the reaction mixture for 40 min , causing the precipitation of a large amount of white solid. The reaction flask was closed and the mixture stirred for 2 additional hours under an ammonia atmosphere. The precipitate was filtered and washed 3 times with hexanes, and then extracted with ether until further ether washes showed no traces of products in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR. The combined ether washes were concentrated in vacuo to yield $\mathrm{AB}(121 \mathrm{mg}, 3.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 84 \%)$. Examination of the reaction solution by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR also revealed the formation of small amounts of para-bromodiethylaniline as a result of bromination of DEA.

General Complexation of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ with Dialkylsulfides and Reduction of Adducts. The reactions of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with equimolar amounts of a number of dialkylsulfides, including dimethyl, diethyl, dibutyl, dihexyl, diisopropyl and diisobutyl-sulfides and tetrahydrothiophene, resulted in the clean formation of their corresponding sulfide- $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ adducts. The adducts all showed a single ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR resonance near -12 ppm . Each adduct could then be reduced with just over 3 molar equivalents of TES yielding $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adducts with a single ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR resonance near -21 ppm . Complete reductions of the ( $n$ alkyl $)_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ adducts with TES at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ took $12-15 \mathrm{~h}$, while the TES reductions of the $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}\left(\mathrm{R}=\right.$ isopropyl, isobutyl) adducts took 4 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to complete. As presented in the following two sections, the dialkylsulfide properties were selected to give the appropriate vapor pressure for efficient vacuum fractionation.

Synthesis of $\mathbf{A B}$ from $\mathbf{B B r}_{3}$-Adducts with Triethylsilane (TES). $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(4.43 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\sim 8 \mathrm{~mL})$ were vacuum transferred into a 100 mL round-bottom flask equipped with a sidearm, stopcock and stirbar. This mixture was put under dry $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ on a Schlenk-line and held at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while dihexyl sulfide ( $21.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.06 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added. The mixture was brought to room temperature and triethylsilane ( $68.3 \mathrm{mmol}, 10.9 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) was added under flowing $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The vessel was sealed and heated with stirring at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 4 h at which point ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis showed complete reduction of the $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ to form the $\mathrm{Hex}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct. The mixture was fractionated on a high-vacuum line through consecutive $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C},-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ traps. The $\mathrm{Hex}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct was retained in the reaction flask ( $4.65 \mathrm{~g}, 17.7 \mathrm{mmol}, \sim 100 \%$ ), while triethylsilyl bromide ( $10.7 \mathrm{~g}, 5.2 \mathrm{mmol}$, $98 \%)$ was trapped at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and excess TES ( $1.6 \mathrm{~g}, 14.1 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%$ ) at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction flask was put back under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$ and held at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while anhydrous $\mathrm{NH}_{3}(8-10 \mathrm{~mL})$
was condensed in. The mixture was stirred at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 min , then the excess $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was removed in vacuo. The resulting slurry was taken up in hexanes ( $\sim 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ), filtered, washed 2 times with hexanes and dried in vacuo to yield $\mathrm{AB}(0.5 \mathrm{~g}, 16.9 \mathrm{mmol}, 96 \%)$. Synthesis of $\mathbf{A B}$ from $\mathbf{B B r}_{3}$-Adducts with Tributyltin Hydride (TBTH). $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}(1.41 \mathrm{~g}$, 5.6 mmol ) was vacuum transferred into a two-neck, round-bottom flask equipped with a septum, vacuum adapter and stirbar. The flask was held at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ while diethyl sulfide $(0.91 \mathrm{~mL}, 8.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ was added. The mixture was warmed to $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, then TBTH (4.75 $\mathrm{mL}, 17.6 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was added and the reaction stirred for 10 min . The mixture was fractionated on a high-vacuum line through consecutive - $78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $-198^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ traps. All tin products $(6.4 \mathrm{~g}, 16.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ tributyltin bromide $+0.8 \mathrm{mmol} \mathrm{TBTH})$ remained in the reaction vessel while the $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{-} \mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct $(0.6 \mathrm{~g})$ was collected at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The adduct was vacuum transferred back into a round-bottom flask, and anhydrous $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ was condensed into the flask at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The reaction was stirred for 10 min , then all excess $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ and diethyl sulfide were removed in vacuo, leaving behind $\mathrm{AB}(0.17 \mathrm{~g}, 5.6 \mathrm{mmol}$, $\sim 100 \%$ ).

### 6.3 Results and Discussion

At the outset of this project a possible general scheme, summarized in Figure
6.3.1, for the regeneration of ammonia borane from spent $\mathrm{BNH}_{\mathrm{x}}$ fuels was proposed. The two key steps in the process were: 1) initial digestion of the AB spent-fuel with strong acids to form $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$, followed by 2 ) a reduction-process to be carried out in one reaction vessel, involving coordination of the $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ to a base, reduction of the coordinated $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{X}$ bonds, and finally exchange of the base by ammonia to regenerate $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BH}_{3}$.

First Step: Acid Digestion of Spent Fuels

$$
\mathrm{BNH}_{\mathrm{x}}+4 \mathrm{HX} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BX}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{X}
$$

## Second Step: One-Pot Conversion of $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$ to AB

Coordination of $\mathrm{BX}_{3}$

$$
\mathrm{BX}_{3}+\text { Base } \longrightarrow \mathrm{BaseBX}_{3}
$$

BX Reduction

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{BaseBX}_{3}+3 \mathrm{HMR}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{BaseBH}_{3}+3 \mathrm{XMR}_{3} \\
\mathrm{M}=\mathrm{Sn}, \mathrm{Si}
\end{gathered}
$$

Base Displacement by $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ to Yield AB

$$
\mathrm{BaseBH}_{3}+\mathrm{NH}_{3} \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{NBH}_{3}+\text { Base }
$$

Figure 6.3.1 Overview of the proposed approach to AB regeneration.
6.3.1 Digestion of Spent Fuels with Acids. The first step envisioned in the regeneration process was the digestion of the polymeric spent fuel with acids, breaking $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds via protonolysis (Eq. 3).

(3)

Ideally the protonolysis would effect only $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{N}$ bonds within the backbone, conserving remaining hydrogen on the PAB polymer; however, the hydridic nature of the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ hydrogens resulted in the total dehydrogenation of boron yielding trigonal and/or tetrahedral species free of boron-bound hydrogen $\left(\mathrm{BA}_{3}, \mathrm{BA}_{4}{ }^{-}, \mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BA}_{3}\right.$, etc.). The oxophilic nature of boron, and the intrinsic strength of boron-halogen bonds, aided in the thermodynamics of digestion with oxyacids and hydrogen halides.

A number of acids/acidic systems with a range of strengths were tested (Table 6.3.1). Also listed are the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR resonances found in the reaction mixture for each. All resonances were singlets in the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-coupled ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum and, save the downfield resonances in the superacidic ionic liquid and the $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ systems, fell within the normal range for tetrahedral boron.

Table 6.3.1. List of acids and conditions employed in the digestion of PAB1 and PAB2, and the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR resonances found in the digested mixture.

| Acid | Spent Fuel Digested | Conditions | ${ }^{11}$ B NMR <br> resonances |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Superacidic Ionic Liquid | PAB1 and PAB2 | $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ | 31.2, 0.2, 0.0 |
| Superacidic Ionic Liquid | PAB1 and PAB2 | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~h}$ | 1.3, 0.2, -0.8, -1.3 |
| TFA | PAB1 and PAB2 | Neat, $55{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 4-5 \mathrm{~h}$ | -0.4, -1.6 |
| Triflic Acid | PAB1 and PAB2 | Neat, $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, mins | -3.88 |
| Glacial Acetic Acid | PAB1 | 4:1 pyridine: acetic acid, 4 days | 2.81, -0.06, -0.57 |
| Glacial Acetic Acid | PAB1 | neat, $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, not fully solubilized | -0.06, -0.59 |
| Formic Acid | PAB1 | THF, excess formic acid | 1.5 |
| Chlorosulfonic | PAB2 | Neat, $1 \mathrm{~h}, 50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | -3.1, -4.6 |
| $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ | PAB1 | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}, \sim 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{HBr}$ | 38, -9.5 |
| $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ | PAB2 | $\mathrm{CS}_{2}, \sim 1 \mathrm{~atm} \mathrm{HBr}$ | No digestion |

### 6.3.1.1 Digestion with Oxyacids.

The reaction of both PAB1 and PAB2 in neat TFA at $55-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ led to the complete dissolution of the white polymer giving a monophasic solution that displayed a set of new
${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR resonances $(-0.4,-1.6)$ indicating tetrahedrally coordinated boron (Figure

### 6.3.2).

The supernatant TFA was removed in vacuo, leaving a bubbly yellow oil that eventually solidified into a yellow solid. The product had gained mass, going from 150 mg initially, to 803 mg . Assuming the initial formulation as roughly $\left(\mathrm{BH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{PAB}$ took up just over 1 equivalent of TFA per B-N unit, though this assumes all retention of nitrogen in the sample, which is not necessarily the case. This lower than theoretical weight uptake precludes the formation of a simple boron-triester or triester-adduct. The products could not be identified further.

Both PAB1 and PAB2 were completely digested in neat triflic acid, giving an ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum with one sharp singlet at -3.9 ppm . The formation of a single product was attractive, but unlike TFA, triflic acid isn't volatile, and could not be removed in vacuo. Reactions of PAB1 and PAB2 in which stoichiometric amounts of triflic acid (1 equiv., 2 equiv., 3 equiv., and 4 equiv.) in hexanes gave products with peaks near 0.0 and a large broad peak near 20 ppm , diagnosed as trigonal $\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{-}} \mathrm{OTf}_{3}$. As the amount of triflic acid added was increased, the resonances near 20 ppm decreased in intensity, and the singlets near 0.0 dominated indicating the conversion from trigonal to tetrahedrally coordinated boron.


Figure 6.3.2 ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis of the digestion of spent-fuel PAB1 with trifluoroacetic acid

When heated in neat glacial acetic acid the dissolution of the polymer was minimal, giving dilute ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra with similar peaks to those seen in the digestion with TFA. However, when PAB1 was treated with a $4: 1$ mixture of pyridine:acetic acid, the polymer dissolved, giving a spectrum with 4 peaks ranging from 2.8 ppm to -0.6 ppm . Digestion in this mixture, which cannot be considered strongly acidic, points to the strength of the B-O bond as a driving force in polymer digestion, rather than simple protonolysis.

In hopes of yielding boron formate species which might be decomposed to B-H bonds and carbon dioxide, PAB1 was reacted with formic acid in THF. The product was a monophasic solution with one singlet in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra at 1.5 ppm . The mixture was then heated to reflux, but analysis by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed no signs of THF-BH3. The volatiles were removed in vacuo, leaving a clear oil. This oil was then heated at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum, with volatiles collected at $-198^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. No boron was found in the cold trap, and the resulting viscous oil was no longer soluble in a range of normal organic solvents. After this experiment was carried out, calculations by collaborators showed that the degradation of $\mathrm{B}-(\mathrm{OCOH})_{3}$ to $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ was energetically uphill, and as such efforts along these lines were halted.

Polymers PAB1 and PAB2 were both digested by neat chlorosulfonic acid $\left(\mathrm{ClSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}\right)$, in hopes of promoting the reaction seen in Eq. 6.


When dissolved in neat CSA the polymers gave ${ }^{11}$ B NMR spectra with singlets slightly upfield of the other digestates ( $-3.1 \mathrm{ppm},-4.7 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), but isolation in vacuo followed by heating both in the presence and absence complexing agents, produced no decomposition to any identifiable boron-halide species.

### 6.3.1.2. Digestion with Haloacids.

As summarized in Figure 6.3.2, neither the spent-fuels (PAB1 and PAB2), nor commercial h-BN could be digested with anhydrous HCl . Polyborazylene did react with anhydrous HCl , but was not converted to molecular species. The observed HCl -uptake (mass balance) in the polyborazylene reaction instead suggested the production of a chlorinated cyclotriborazane polymer, such as shown in Figure 6.3.2, resulting from HCl -addition to the polyborazylene $\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{N}$ units.

### 6.3.1.2 1 Digestion in Superacidic Ionic Liquid.

Ionic liquids constitute a set of organic salts with low melting points. One common class of ionic liquids are the 1-butyl-3-methylimadazolium halides (Bmim.X), that have melting points ranging from $\mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{Cl}\left(\sim 70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, to $\mathrm{Bmim} . \operatorname{Br}\left(\sim 60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$, and Bmim.I which melts just below room temperature. It is known that the combination of aluminum halides and Bmim. X forms room temperature melts via Eq. 4, which, when combined with hydrogen halide (HX), become superacidic as a result of the equilibrium in Eq. 5. ${ }^{10}$


PAB1 or PAB2


## Boron Nitride

Figure 6.3.2. Summary of the results of the reactions of anhydrous HCl with spent-fuels, polyborazylene and boron nitride.

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{Bmim} \cdot \mathrm{X} & +2 \mathrm{AlX}_{3} \rightleftharpoons\left[\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{X}_{7}^{-}\right]\left[\mathrm{Bmim}^{+}\right] \\
\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{7}^{-}+\mathrm{HX} & \rightleftharpoons 2 \mathrm{AlCl}_{4}^{-}+\mathrm{H}^{+} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

When either PAB 1 or PAB 2 were stirred in a mixture of $\mathrm{AlCl}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{Cl}$ under flowing HCl the white solid polymer dissolved with a good deal of bubbling. After a few minutes the bubbling stopped and the solution was monophasic.

When the reaction was run at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}{ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR analysis of the ionic liquid layer diluted with a small amount of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave the spectrum shown in Figure 6.3.3. The two upfield singlets, just downfield of 0.0 , are likely $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{NBCl}_{2}\right)_{3}$ and $\mathrm{NH}_{3} \mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ as these ${ }^{11}$ B NMR resonances have been reported as $3.7^{14}$ and $3.28^{15}$ respectively. The downfield resonance near $\sim 30$ falls in the range for trigonal boron, and is similar to the reported shifts for B-trichloroborazine (30.3). ${ }^{16}$

When the digestion was performed at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the downfield resonance disappeared, indicating the degradation of B-trichloroborazine. The four singlets present were centered around 0.0 ppm , but their identity could not be ascertained. In neither experiment were any boron-containing species separable from the ionic liquid.


Figure 6.3.3. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum of the products of the digestion of PAB at $60{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in superacidic ionic liquid ( $\mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{Cl} / \mathrm{AlCl}_{3} / \mathrm{HCl}$ )

### 6.3.1.2.2. Digestion in Mixtures of $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3} / \mathbf{H B r}$.

In similar fashion to the superacidity of the $\mathrm{Bmim} . \mathrm{X} / \mathrm{AlX}_{3} / \mathrm{HX}$ ionic liquid system, superacidity has also been reported when HBr was used in the presence of $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ in conventional solvents. ${ }^{14}$ When 50 mg of PAB 1 was added to a solution of $2.5 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ under $\sim 1 \mathrm{~atm}$ of HBr the white polymeric solid bubbled slowly, eventually forming a yellow, immiscible oil at the bottom of the reaction vessel. Analysis of the supernatant $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ layer by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR (Figure 6.3.4) showed the presence of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, which was removed in vacuo and complexed to triethylamine for quantification. A total of 228 mg of the $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$-TEA adduct was isolated, which accounts for $\sim 40 \%$ of the boron in the sample of PAB1.

The remainder of the boron was contained in the viscous yellow oil. Analysis of the neat oil, insoluble in ethereal or chlorinated solvents, by ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR showed one singlet at -9.4 ppm . This peak was assigned, although not entirely definiteively, as hexabromocyclotriborazane, $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BBr}_{2}\right)_{3}$. This assignment fits the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR trend found in brominated and chlorinated boron species, where brominated compounds show resonances somewhat upfield of their chlorinated analogues (Table 6.3.2). Even in the case of a mixed brominated and chlorinated species, $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BClBr}$, the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ resonance is between $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BCl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BBr}_{2}$. A resonance of -9.5 ppm for $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BBr}_{2}\right)_{3}$ is reasonable as its chlorinated counterpart shows a resonance downfield of this, at 3.7 ppm .


Figure 6.3.4. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR of the reactants and products of the digestion of PAB1 in the $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ acidic system.

Table 6.3.2. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR chemical shift comparison between some relevant chlorinated and brominated species. Values were taken from Noth and Wrackmeyer. ${ }^{17}$

| Compound | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ | Compound | $\delta(\mathrm{ppm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ | 41.9-48.0 | $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ | 38.5-44.0 |
| $(\mathrm{HN}-\mathrm{BCl})_{3}$ | 30.3-30.6 | $(\mathrm{HN}-\mathrm{BBr})_{3}$ | 28.6 |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ | 10.0 | $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ | -5.1 |
| $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BCl}_{3}$ | 9.4-10.2 | $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ | -3.1 |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BCl}_{2}$ | 30.3-30.8 | $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BBr}_{2}$ | 25.6-26.7 |
| $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{BClBr}$ | 29.4 |  |  |
| $\left(\mathrm{BCl}_{2}-\mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}$ | 3.7 |  |  |

This hexabrominated species has been reported only once, as the product of the treatment of borazine with $\mathrm{Br}_{2} .{ }^{18}$ The product, identified by characteristic IR stretches, is reported as a orange-yellow solid. This obviously differs from the yellow oil found in the degradation of PAB 1, but this crude material likely contains a large amount of $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$. Attempts to reduce the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds to $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds with tin hydrides or alanes, as will be described for other products of digestion later, were unsuccessful, most likely due to the presence of this $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$.

When PAB2 was subjected to the same system no obvious decomposition was observed, and only very slight traces of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ were found in the $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ layer. It was clear that while this superacidic system was somewhat successful in digesting the less dehydrogenated, higher energy polymer, it was not successful with the more highly crosslinked, highly dehydrogenated material.

### 6.3.2 Recuction B-X and B-O Bonds.

Once the polymer is digested into monomeric units in the acidic system, the next step is to re-convert B-X bonds to B-H bonds (Eq. 7).


Collaborators have employed transition metal hydrides to this end with some success and have constructed a scale of hydride donor abilities to predict which hydride donors will energetically be able to displace which boron-bound species. ${ }^{19}$ Highly energetic alkali metal hydrides are known to swap $\mathrm{H}^{-}$for $\mathrm{X}^{-}$as well. ${ }^{20}$ Main group
hydride metathesis is another route for re-hydrogenating digested polymer. Collaborators at Los Alamos have used tin-hydrides to displace boron-bound phenylthiols. ${ }^{21}$ The use of tin, ${ }^{22}$ aluminum ${ }^{23}$ and silicon ${ }^{24}$ hydrides for the metathesis of boron-halide bonds is likewise well known. We utilized these metathesis reactions in the treatment of spent fuels digested by TFA or in the $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ system.
6.3.2.1 Reduction of TFA-digestate with Alane. The room-temperature reaction of the TFA-digestate of PAB1 or PAB2 with an excess of a dimethylethylamine-alane adduct in toluene led to the reduction of all B-O bonds, yielding a product showing a quadruplet at -9.6 ppm in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum (Figure 6.3.5). Aside from the multiplicity of the peak indicating the presence of 3 boron-bound hydrogens, the assignment is further confirmed by the similarity in shift to other trialkylamino-boranes (eg. $\mathrm{NMe}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}, \delta=\sim$ $\left.-9 ; \mathrm{NEt}_{3}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}, \delta=\sim-13\right) .{ }^{17}$


Figure 6.3.5. ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra of the reactants and products of the reduction of TFAdigestate with dimethylethylamine-alane.

The amine-borane adduct is volatile, with a similar vapor pressure to the toluene solvent. This made isolation in vacuo difficult, as the temperatures used to trap the borane also trapped toluene. Ammonia borane is largely insoluble in toluene, so anhydrous ammonia was bubble through the system, but no displacement of the morebasic dimethylethylamine was found.

The TFA-digestate was not reduced when treated with either silicon or tin hydrides. This is likely due to the thermodynamic strength of the B-O bond. While the alane reduction took place under mild conditions with the TFA-digestate, the same treatment was unsuccessful in treatment of polymer digested in glacial acetic, or formic acids. The strength of the B-O bond is likely lower in the TFA-borate ester than in the non-fluorinated esters, as trifluoroacetate is significantly less basic than either acetate or formate.
6.3.2.2 Reduction of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ to $\mathbf{A B}$. The release of boron as $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ in the $\mathrm{AlBr}_{3} / \mathrm{HBr}$ system exposed the need to find a pathway from $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ to AB ; however, complete reduction of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ would result in the formation and release of the dangerous, pyrophoric gas diborane $\left(\mathrm{B}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$. Complexation of Lewis-acidic $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ followed by reduction by hydrides would yield $\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ species, circumventing the formation of diborane and enhancing the practical applicability of the process.

The ideal Lewis-base for this purpose is ammonia, as subsequent reduction would yield the target AB , however ammonia is not known to form adducts with $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, and repeated attempts to synthesize $\mathrm{NH}_{3}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ under a number of conditions only yielded intractable solids. Other bases employed for the sequestration of $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ must form a sufficiently weak L-B bond so as to be displaced by ammonia downstream. This
precludes the use of alkylamines, as they are more basic than ammonia, and impossible to displace. Two possible candidates were $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-diethylaniline and dialkylsulfides. Each of these are Lewis-basic enough to complex both $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, but form a bond sufficiently weak so as to be displaced by ammonia post-reduction.

The first attempts were made with N,N-diethylaniline (Figure 6.3.6), and while complexation, reduction with silane and displacement yielded AB , the overall reaction was hindered by unwanted Friedel-Crafts electrophilic aromatic substitution of bromine from $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ on the aniline. Since recovery of starting materials is an important aspect of the overall regeneration process, attention was shifted toward reduction to sulfide-bound $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$.

It was found that $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ formed stable complexes with every dialkylsulfide tested (eg. dimethyl, diethyl, dibutyl, dihexyl, diisopropyl, dicyclohexyl, diisobutyl, tetrahydrothiophene). These complexes were all soluble in a range of organic solvents, and all showed singlets in their respective ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectra around -12 ppm .


Figure 6.3.6. ${ }^{11}$ B NMR analysis of the diethylaniline-based synthesis of ammonia borane from $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ via coordination/reduction/displacement reactions.

The $\mathrm{RS}_{2}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ adducts, when treated with 3.85 equivalents of triethylsilane (TES) at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in a closed system for $12-15 \mathrm{~h}$, gave a new boron species with a quartet around - 23 ppm in its ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR spectrum. By comparison to similar compounds ${ }^{17}$ this peak was assigned as $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$. The kinetics of the silane reduction differed depending on the steric bulk present near sulfur. In cases where $\mathrm{R}=n$-alkyl (dimethyl, dibutyl, etc.), more than 12 h at $55^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ were needed for complete reduction. When diisopropyl sulfide was used as the Lewis base, the reaction was notably more vigorous (bubbling upon addition of the silane), and finished around 3-4 h. These kinetic differences point to a mechanism wherein the sulfide dissociates from the boron prior to B-X reduction, shown in Eq. 8.


The bulk of the diispropylsulfide lengthens, and weakens, the B-S bond. Ground state DFT calculations of $(\mathrm{i}-\mathrm{Pr})_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ show that the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{S}$ bond is $2.106 \AA$ long, notably longer than that calculated for $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{-} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}(2.076 \AA$ ). The weakness of the B-S bond in (i$\mathrm{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ was experimentally observed, as the compound was found to separate into its constitutive borane and sulfide under high-vacuum.

Tributyltin hydride (TBTH) was more reactive than TES in the reduction of the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds, as this reduction was carried out at $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and was complete in minutes. As
opposed to the silane, where $\sim 3.85$ equivalents were needed for efficient reduction, reduction with TBTH went to completion with almost exactly 3 equivalents, and could be run neat, simplifying the separation of products down the line. In the absence of solvent, reduction with TES was very slow.
6.3.2.3 Separation of Products from the Reduction of $\mathbf{B B r}_{3}$. Once the tin or silane reduction was completed the products were separated via fractionation on a high-vacuum. Dialkylsufide- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adducts have a range of volatilities, depending on the size of the alkyl chain employed. Adducts of large sulfides, such as dihexylsulfide, have negligible vapor pressure, where as smaller sulfides are volatile under high vacuum.

The setup for the separation of the products of the reaction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{-} \mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ with 3.85 equivalents of TES is depicted in Figure 6.3.7. The dihexylsulfide- $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct is not volatile, and remained in the reaction flask. The majority of the initial TES reacted to give triethylsilyl bromide, which is volatile at room temperature, but is stopped by a trap held at $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The remainder of the unreacted TES is sufficiently volatile to bypass the $-25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ trap, and is stopped at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

As shown in Figure 6.3.7, the separation was very efficient, as greater than $90 \%$ of each of the products was isolated. From an engineering point of view, this is an important feature of the process. In the hydrogen economy, by-products such as silylbromide or excess TES need to be separated and sent to regeneration or back into the front end of the process. Physical separation eliminates waste streams that a chemical separation process (chromatography, etc.) would produce.


Figure 6.3.7. Reaction and product separation in the silane reduction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$

Neither TBTH nor tributyltinbromide have any significant vapor pressure at room temperature. In order to separate the sulfido-borane product from the tin by-products a Lewis-base was chosen to facilitate its removal by vacuum. The $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct was sufficiently volatile and the products were separated as shown in Figure 6.3.8.

Again, full separation of the product borane from the tin by-products was achieved. While the tin hydride/bromide mixture could not be separated in vacuo, the efficiency of the reaction allowed for the use of just 3 equivalents of tin hydride, limiting the amount of residual hydride in the byproduct mixture.
6.3.2.4 Displacement of Sulfide with Ammonia. For both $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{-}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ and $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{13}\right)_{2-}$ $\mathrm{BH}_{3}$, stirring in liquid ammonia at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ gave quantitative displacement of the sulfide and yielded AB (Eq. 9).


After the $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}-\mathrm{BH}_{3}$ adduct was stirred in ammonia for 10 min the volatiles were vacuum-evaporated leaving pure AB . Unfortunately, dihexylsulfide is not volatile at room temperature, but the insolubility of AB in non-polar solvents such as hexanes allowed for the precipitation and filtration of $A B$. In both cases the yield of $A B$ from initial $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ was quantitative.


Figure 6.3.8. Reaction and separation method for the tin hydride reduction of $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{~S}$ $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$

### 6.4 Conclusions

The work presented here can be grouped into two areas, digestion and regeneration. The PAB polymer can be digested by a number of acids or varying strengths into monomeric units. The identity and composition of these units are illdefined, but in most cases they bear sharp singlets around 0.0 ppm in the ${ }^{11} \mathrm{~B}$ NMR indicative of tetracoordinate species.

The use of the $\mathrm{HBr} / \mathrm{AlBr}_{3}$ system in $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$ allowed for the collection of some digested boron as $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$, but most of the original boron was contained in a thick, insoluble oil (designated as $\left.\left(\mathrm{BBr}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2}\right)_{3}\right)$. Digestion of the polymer into $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ was attractive as there are a number of ways to reduce boron halide bonds. Complexing $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ to dialkyl sulfides allowed for the reduction of the $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds without the formation of diborane by both silicon and tin hydrides. Sulfides were easily displaced by ammonia, and the production of AB from $\mathrm{BBr}_{3}$ is quantitative. The acidic system, however, failed to digest more highly dehydrogenated spent fuels (PAB2).

The use of the strong reducing agent alane successfully reduced all B-O linkages in PAB/TFA digestate to B-H bonds. This reaction, though an important proof of concept, is less important in practical use. Aluminum-oxygen bonds, like boron-oxygen bonds, are strong and would need to be regenerated back to $\mathrm{Al}-\mathrm{H}$ bonds if the process was to be cycled. Currently there is no energy efficient way to do this chemistry, and this problem would need solving before reduction with alanes can be seen as practical.
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