



University of Pennsylvania
ScholarlyCommons

Departmental Papers (MSE)

Department of Materials Science & Engineering

7-14-2009

Defect-Mediated Adsorption of Methanol and Carbon Dioxide on BaTiO₃(001)

J. Garra

University of Pennsylvania

John M. Vohs

University of Pennsylvania, vohs@seas.upenn.edu

Dawn A. Bonnell

University of Pennsylvania, BONNELL@SEAS.UPENN.EDU

Follow this and additional works at: http://repository.upenn.edu/mse_papers

 Part of the [Materials Science and Engineering Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Garra, J., Vohs, J. M., & Bonnell, D. A. (2009). Defect-Mediated Adsorption of Methanol and Carbon Dioxide on BaTiO₃(001). Retrieved from http://repository.upenn.edu/mse_papers/195

Suggested Citation:

Garra, J., Vohs, J.M. and Bonnell, D.A. (2009). "Defect-mediated adsorption of methanol and carbon dioxide on BaTiO₃(001)." *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*. Vol. 27(6). pp. L13 - 17.

© 2009 American Vacuum Society. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Vacuum Society. The following article appeared in *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology* and may be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1/3168563>.

This paper is posted at ScholarlyCommons. http://repository.upenn.edu/mse_papers/195
For more information, please contact libraryrepository@pobox.upenn.edu.

Defect-Mediated Adsorption of Methanol and Carbon Dioxide on BaTiO₃(001)

Abstract

The surface chemistry of single crystal barium titanate (BaTiO₃) has been studied using temperature programmed desorption (TPD). TPD measurements were performed with several probe molecules, including methanol and carbon dioxide. The role of oxygen vacancies in the adsorption and reaction of these molecules was examined by annealing the crystal under oxidizing or reducing conditions prior to performing TPD. It is shown that the adsorption and reaction of methanol and carbon dioxide are enhanced on BaTiO₃(001) by annealing the crystal under reducing conditions.

Disciplines

Engineering | Materials Science and Engineering

Comments

Suggested Citation:

Garra, J., Vohs, J.M. and Bonnell, D.A. (2009). "Defect-mediated adsorption of methanol and carbon dioxide on BaTiO₃(001)." *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology A*. Vol. 27(6). pp. L13 - 17.

© 2009 American Vacuum Society. This article may be downloaded for personal use only. Any other use requires prior permission of the author and the American Vacuum Society. The following article appeared in *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology* and may be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1116/1/3168563>.

Defect-mediated adsorption of methanol and carbon dioxide on BaTiO₃(001)

J. Garra

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

J. M. Vohs

Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

D. A. Bonnell^{a)}

Department of Materials Science and Engineering, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

(Received 20 April 2009; accepted 8 June 2009; published 14 July 2009)

The surface chemistry of single crystal barium titanate (BaTiO₃) has been studied using temperature programmed desorption (TPD). TPD measurements were performed with several probe molecules, including methanol and carbon dioxide. The role of oxygen vacancies in the adsorption and reaction of these molecules was examined by annealing the crystal under oxidizing or reducing conditions prior to performing TPD. It is shown that the adsorption and reaction of methanol and carbon dioxide are enhanced on BaTiO₃(001) by annealing the crystal under reducing conditions. © 2009 American Vacuum Society. [DOI: 10.1116/1.3168563]

I. INTRODUCTION

Ferroelectric materials naturally exhibit a spontaneous polarization that can be reversed under the influence of an applied electric field. The surface behavior of ferroelectric materials is currently of significant interest due in part to proposals for new approaches to catalysis,¹ sensing,² and nanodevices.³ These applications are all based on the same underlying principle: oppositely poled surfaces of a ferroelectric material exhibit different chemical and physical properties. Asymmetric surface properties have been observed, for example, in experiments involving chemical etching,^{4,5} thermally induced and photoinduced electron emission,^{6,7} measurements of polarization-dependent surface conductivity,⁸ and solution-based deposition of particles onto ferroelectric substrates.^{9,10} Recently, it has also been found that the adsorption and desorption energetics of various molecules (e.g., alcohols, acetic acid, carbon dioxide, and water) on ferroelectric substrates [e.g., LiNbO₃, BaTiO₃, and Pb(Zr_{0.52}Ti_{0.48})O₃ or PZT] are affected by the substrate polarization.^{11–18}

Predicting the effect of polarization reversal on molecule-surface interactions is challenging because there are several factors to consider, including electrostatic effects due to surface polarization charge and screening charge¹⁹ as well as possible differences in the stoichiometry and arrangement of atoms at oppositely poled surfaces.^{20,21} However, molecular

adsorption on surfaces is often site specific, so one way to address this challenge is to identify the adsorption sites for molecules on a given surface and then to examine how polarization reversal affects the electronic and geometric structure of these sites, for example, through the use of scanning probe microscopy techniques. This article is concerned with the first part of this strategy, identifying the nature of molecular adsorption sites on a ferroelectric material, which in this case is barium titanate (BaTiO₃). Recent adsorption studies on barium titanate surfaces have indicated that several molecules (carbon dioxide, methanol, ethanol, and 2-fluoroethanol) adsorb at oxygen vacancy sites at room temperature through a precursor-mediated mechanism.^{15–17} In the present work, temperature programmed desorption (TPD) is used to further examine some of these interactions, as well as how they are affected by changes in the crystal surface stoichiometry. The TPD results indicate that oxygen vacancies are the active sites for the room temperature adsorption of methanol and carbon dioxide on BaTiO₃(001).

The formation and electronic structure of bulk and surface oxygen vacancies in BaTiO₃ have been studied previously. In general, it has been found that single crystal BaTiO₃ can be chemically reduced by annealing in vacuum ($T = 900–1200$ K), as indicated by a progressive change in the sample color from light yellow to green, and eventually to blue and black.^{22–26} The reduction in BaTiO₃ occurs through the loss of oxygen atoms, which makes the material n -type and pins the Fermi level near the bottom edge of the conduction band.²⁴ It has also been shown that surface oxygen va-

^{a)}Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; electronic mail: bonnell@lrs.m.upenn.edu

cancies can be generated by Ar-ion bombardment.^{22,23} These defects can be detected by surface sensitive techniques such as photoelectron spectroscopy. For example, Courths used photoemission, low energy electron diffraction (LEED), and Auger electron spectroscopy (AES) to study the BaTiO₃(001) surface under various conditions.²² A stable 1 × 1 structure with a low intensity state in the band gap could be produced by Ar- or O-ion sputtering followed by annealing in ultrahigh vacuum (UHV) to 1100 K. The intensity of the band gap emission state is sensitive to sample annealing conditions: it is greatest when the surface is freshly sputtered or after prolonged vacuum annealing, and it is suppressed upon annealing in 5 × 10⁻⁵ torr O₂ or exposure to O₂ at room temperature. Based on these observations, the band gap state is attributed to Ti³⁺-O vacancy complexes on the surface. These defects have also been imaged by scanning tunneling microscopy and spectroscopy (STM/S) on UHV-annealed BaTiO₃(100).²⁷

The relatively small number of BaTiO₃ surface studies have also provided insight into the arrangement of atoms at single crystal surfaces. The perovskite crystal structure of BaTiO₃ has two possible bulk {001} surface terminations. STM images of BaTiO₃ (100) and (001) annealed in vacuum at high temperatures ($T=1270\text{--}1570$ K) have revealed flat terraces with step edges of unit cell height.^{25,28,29} These observations suggest that one of the two bulk terminations (BaO or TiO₂) is stable at high temperatures. There is some evidence in these studies that the TiO₂ termination is favored, but it is not entirely clear. Calculations indicate that the thermodynamic stabilities of the two surfaces are comparable.³⁰ Other studies have provided evidence for surface reconstructions and the decomposition of BaTiO₃ at the crystal surface. For example, core-level photoemission spectroscopy suggests that several Ba-O phases exist in the (100) surface layer after annealing in UHV.^{22,24} Ba surface enrichment has also been observed by AES and ion scattering spectroscopy on surfaces annealed to high temperatures with oxygen.²⁹ In addition, high resolution electron microscopy shows the presence of separate Ti-O and Ba-O phases on the surface, as a result of BaTiO₃ decomposition under electron irradiation.³¹ Most recently, first principles calculations combined with LEED, atomic force microscopy, and STM have identified the conditions under which Ti-O based reconstructions are stable and those that result in surface decomposition into Ba-O and Ti-O phases.²⁸ It is clear from these observations that the surface structure of BaTiO₃ is highly sensitive to processing conditions.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

A single crystal BaTiO₃ sample with ⟨001⟩ orientation was purchased from MTI Corporation. The sample was 5 × 5 × 1 mm, polished on one side and designated as *substrate grade* by the manufacturer. TPD measurements were performed in a UHV chamber operating at a base pressure of approximately 2 × 10⁻¹⁰ torr or lower. The chamber is equipped with a UTI-100C quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS), a variable leak valve for introducing adsorbate mol-

ecules into the system, and instruments for Auger electron spectroscopy and Ar-ion sputtering. The BaTiO₃ sample was mounted at the end of a differentially pumped UHV translator. The sample holder was constructed from tantalum foil (0.025 mm thick), and it consisted of a square section to wrap around the back and edges of the sample and four parallel arms extending from the corners. The sample holder was spot welded across two power leads at the end of the translator, and the sample was heated by sending dc current through the sample holder from a computer-controlled power supply. The sample temperature was monitored with a K-type thermocouple attached to the back of the crystal with a ceramic adhesive (Aremco Products). A 3 mm hole was cut into the back of the sample holder to allow for the attachment of the thermocouple. In order to minimize the desorption signal from the support hardware during TPD measurements, the sample was positioned in front of a sample-sized hole in a quartz shield surrounding the QMS analyzer head.

Three different sets of annealing conditions were used to prepare the crystal surface prior to TPD measurements: (1) $T=1000$ K with 8 × 10⁻⁸ torr oxygen for 30 min; (2) $T=800\text{--}950$ K for 4 h in UHV ($p=5 \times 10^{-9}$ torr or lower while annealing); (3) $T=800$ K with 5 × 10⁻⁷ torr oxygen for 1 h. After each annealing step, TPD measurements were performed separately with methanol (Alfa Aesar, Semiconductor Grade) and carbon dioxide (Matheson, 99.99%). Additional TPD measurements were performed on the vacuum-annealed surface with ammonia (Matheson, 99.99%), methylamine (Sigma-Aldrich, ≥98%), and pyridine (Fisher Chemical, Certified ACS). Adsorbate exposure was measured in Langmuir (L) units, where 1 L is equivalent to 10⁻⁶ torr s. Before leaking the adsorbate molecules into the chamber, the gas supply line was purged repeatedly to minimize contamination. In addition, the methanol and pyridine were purified by several freeze-pump-thaw cycles. In the present study, TPD data were recorded over a temperature range of 300–850 K while heating the sample at a rate of 1 K/s.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table I lists the species present in the desorption spectra of each probe molecule, along with desorption peak temperatures (T_p). The estimated desorption activation energies (E_d) have been calculated from the Redhead equation,³² assuming first-order desorption kinetics and a pre-exponential factor of 10¹³ s⁻¹. Desorption peaks were not observed in the ammonia, methylamine, or pyridine TPD data, indicating that detectable amounts of these molecules did not adsorb on the vacuum-annealed surface at room temperature. The exposures for these three molecules ranged from 10 to 30 L.

The three annealing steps were accompanied by changes in the color of the BaTiO₃ sample from light yellow after annealing step 1, to green after step 2, and back to light yellow again after step 3. We can infer from these color changes that the sample was reduced by annealing in UHV and then partially reoxidized when annealed with O₂.

Figure 1 shows TPD spectra obtained from the BaTiO₃(001) surface after each of the three annealing steps




FIG. 1. TPD spectra for BaTiO₃(001) exposed to 25 L methanol after annealing the crystal under the following conditions: (1) $T=1000$ K with 8×10^{-8} torr O₂ for 30 min; (2) $T=800-950$ K in UHV for 4 h; (3) $T=800$ K with 5×10^{-7} torr O₂ for 1 h. The four charts show the desorption signals of methanol ($m/e=31$), formaldehyde ($m/e=29$), water ($m/e=18$), and carbon monoxide ($m/e=28$). The formaldehyde desorption curve was calculated by subtracting the contribution of methanol from the total $m/e=29$ signal.

for methanol exposures of 25 L. The desorption signals of CH₃OH ($m/e=31$) and three reaction products, which are identified as CH₂O ($m/e=29$), H₂O ($m/e=18$), and CO ($m/e=28$), are plotted separately. On all three surfaces, the CH₃OH signal produces a broad desorption feature with two major peaks at 380 and 560 K (labeled A and B, respectively). However, the amplitude of these peaks is greatest in curve 2, indicating that more methanol adsorbed on the reduced surface as compared with either of the oxidized surfaces. One explanation for this effect is that the reduced surface contains a higher concentration of oxygen vacancies,

which serve as adsorption sites for methanol at room temperature. Similar changes in peak amplitude are also observed in the desorption signals of CH₂O, H₂O, and CO, indicating that oxygen vacancies are also active sites for methanol decomposition.

In previous methanol TPD studies on related oxide surfaces (TiO₂, SrTiO₃, and polycrystalline BaTiO₃), methanol desorption above room temperature, as observed here on BaTiO₃(001), has generally been attributed to the recombinative desorption of methoxide species.^{14,33-36} For example, Kim and Barteau studied methanol decomposition on various

TABLE I. Summary of TPD products and peak temperatures for various probe molecules on BaTiO₃(001).

Probe molecule	Desorbing species	T_p (K)	E_d (kJ mol ⁻¹)
Methanol (CH ₃ OH)	CH ₃ OH	380	102
		560	152
	CO	590	160
	CH ₂ O	625	170
	H ₂ O	625	170
Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	CO ₂	360	96
		720	197
Ammonia (NH ₃)	None
Methylamine (CH ₃ N)	None
Pyridine (C ₅ H ₅ N)	None

single crystal TiO₂(001) surfaces, including the Ar-ion sputtered surface and {011}- and {114}-faceted surfaces, using TPD and XPS.³³ Low-temperature (365–375 K) and high-temperature (580–625 K) CH₃OH desorption peaks (analogous to peaks A and B in Fig. 1) were observed on all three surfaces. The low-temperature peak on the Ar-ion sputtered surface was attributed to molecularly adsorbed methanol, but in all other cases both peaks were due to the recombinative desorption of methoxides. Therefore, we believe that methanol adsorbs dissociatively at oxygen vacancy sites on the BaTiO₃(001) surface at room temperature and forms methoxide species. Peaks A and B in the CH₃OH desorption signal in Fig. 1 are assigned to the recombinative desorption of methoxides. The desorption of CH₂O, H₂O, and CO shown in Fig. 1 is attributed to the decomposition of methoxides at higher temperatures. Peak B may also be a by-product of methoxide decomposition since C–H bond cleavage results in additional H atoms that can react with remaining methoxides to produce methanol. Based on this mechanism one would expect the methanol peak to be at a slightly lower temperature than the formaldehyde peak, which is in agreement with the TPD data. Methoxide decomposition at temperatures similar to those reported here has also been observed on other oxide surfaces, including TiO₂(001) (Ref. 33) and (110),^{35,37} reduced SrTiO₃(100),³⁶ and reduced CeO₂(100).³⁸

Figure 2 shows TPD spectra obtained from the BaTiO₃(001) surface with 50 L exposures of carbon dioxide after the second and third annealing steps only. CO₂ ($m/e=44$) was the only species that desorbed from the surface, producing two peaks at 360 and 720 K (labeled C and D, respectively). CO ($m/e=28$) was also detected by the mass spectrometer, but only as an ionization fragment of the parent CO₂ molecule. As indicated by the difference in the areas under the TPD curves, the total amount of CO₂ adsorbed on the reduced crystal surface (curve 2) is greater than that adsorbed on the oxidized surface (curve 3). However, the two desorption peaks are affected differently. The magnitude of the leading edge of peak C is similar for both surfaces, although the peak is broadened slightly to higher temperatures




Fig. 2. TPD spectra for BaTiO₃(001) exposed to 50 L carbon dioxide after annealing the crystal under the following conditions: (2) $T=800$ – 950 K in UHV for 4 h; (3) $T=800$ K with 5×10^{-7} torr O₂ for 1 h. A linear background subtraction was applied to these desorption curves.

on the oxygen-annealed surface. In contrast, peak D, which is the dominant peak on the reduced surface, is significantly smaller on the oxidized surface. This suggests that only the high-temperature peak should be attributed to CO₂ adsorbed at oxygen vacancies. The low-temperature peak may be due to CO₂ adsorbed at step edges or stoichiometric sites.

TPD measurements on single crystal TiO₂(110) (Refs. 39–41) and SrTiO₃(100) (Ref. 42) indicate that CO₂ interacts weakly with these surfaces, desorbing well below 300 K from both five-coordinate Ti⁴⁺ and oxygen vacancy sites on TiO₂ and from stoichiometric Ti⁴⁺ sites on SrTiO₃. Higher desorption temperatures have been observed, however, in TPD studies performed from room temperature on single crystal TiO₂(110) (Ref. 43) and the {011}-faceted surface of TiO₂(001).⁴⁴ Interestingly, in these two cases (Refs. 43 and 44) the CO₂ desorption activation energies were 96 and 92 kJ/mol, respectively, which is in agreement with the desorption energy associated with the low-temperature CO₂ peak on BaTiO₃(001) (see Table I). This agreement may be a coincidence, or it may indicate that CO₂ can have a similar adsorption mechanism on stoichiometric TiO₂ and BaTiO₃ surfaces at room temperature. [CO₂ can adsorb on stoichiometric oxide surfaces at cation or anion sites in a variety of bonding configurations, but theoretical studies have indicated that while CO₂ adsorbs preferentially at cation sites on various TiO₂ surfaces,^{45,46} it prefers oxygen anion sites on BaO(100),⁴⁷ BaTiO₃(001),⁴⁸ and both SrO- and TiO₂-terminated SrTiO₃(001) surfaces.⁴⁹] In addition, a dramatic increase in the chemisorption energy of CO₂ on SrTiO₃(100) is observed at oxygen vacancy sites induced by Ar-ion sputtering, resulting in a TPD peak at 650 K.⁴² This is consistent with the assignment of peak D in Fig. 2 to CO₂ adsorbed at oxygen vacancies. (It should be noted that CO

was also detected in CO₂ TPD on the reduced SrTiO₃ surface⁴² but has not been detected presently on BaTiO₃.) It is also interesting to compare the desorption energies corresponding to peaks C and D in Fig. 2 with chemisorption energies obtained by density functional theory (DFT) calculations for CO₂ adsorbed on SrTiO₃(001).⁴⁹ DFT calculations indicate values of ~1 eV (96 kJ/mol) for CO₂ adsorbed at oxygen surface sites and 2.1 eV (203 kJ/mol) for oxygen vacancy sites, which are in agreement with the corresponding values in Table I. This agreement with theory supports the assignment of the low- and high-temperature CO₂ desorption peaks for BaTiO₃(001) to CO₂ adsorbed at oxygen and oxygen vacancy sites, respectively.

IV. CONCLUSION

The interactions of various molecules with the single crystal BaTiO₃(001) surface have been characterized by temperature programmed desorption. The surface was exposed to each TPD probe molecule at room temperature after annealing the crystal under oxidizing or reducing conditions to change the concentration of surface oxygen vacancy defects. The TPD spectra of NH₃, CH₅N, and C₅H₅N were flat, indicating that detectable amounts of these molecules did not adsorb at room temperature. Methanol and carbon dioxide both produced repeatable TPD spectra, which showed multiple desorption states and reaction products for methanol and low- and high-temperature desorption states for CO₂. The amount of adsorbed methanol was greater for the reduced surface than for the oxidized surfaces, indicating that methanol adsorbs and reacts at oxygen vacancies. For carbon dioxide, only the high-temperature peak showed an increase in magnitude on the reduced surface, indicating that the high-temperature state corresponds to CO₂ adsorbed at oxygen vacancies. The low-temperature peak may be attributed to CO₂ adsorbed at oxygen anion sites or other nonvacancy defects such as step edges.

This TPD study confirms earlier indications that methanol and CO₂ adsorb on BaTiO₃ surfaces at oxygen vacancy sites, and it indicates the temperature range over which these adsorption interactions are stable. The information contained in Table I may be useful in guiding future studies involving adsorption on BaTiO₃ or similar materials. In addition, the correct identification of molecular adsorption sites is an important component of the current effort to understand the mechanism by which polarization affects the surface chemical reactivity of barium titanate and other ferroelectric materials.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support in this work by the NSF MRSEC program (DMR05-200-20) and the use of facilities supported by the Nano/Bio Interface Center. Support from the NSF IGERT program (DGE02-21664) is acknowledged by J.G.

¹Y. Inoue and Y. Watanabe, *Catal. Today* **16**, 487 (1993).

²Y. Inoue, K. Sato, and O. Hayashi, *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1* **83**,

3061 (1987).

³S. V. Kalinin, D. A. Bonnell, T. Alvarez, X. Lei, Z. Hu, R. Shao, and J. H. Ferris, *Adv. Mater. (Weinheim, Ger.)* **16**, 795 (2004).

⁴J. A. Hooton and W. J. Merz, *Phys. Rev.* **98**, 409 (1955).

⁵K. Nassau, H. J. Levinstein, and G. M. Loiacono, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **27**, 983 (1966).

⁶B. Rosenblum, P. Bräunlich, and J. P. Carrico, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **25**, 17 (1974).

⁷W.-C. Yang, B. J. Rodriguez, A. Gruverman, and R. J. Nemanich, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **85**, 2316 (2004).

⁸Y. Watanabe, M. Okano, and A. Masuda, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **86**, 332 (2001).

⁹J. L. Giocondi and G. S. Rohrer, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **105**, 8275 (2001).

¹⁰S. Habicht, R. J. Nemanich, and A. Gruverman, *Nanotechnology* **19**, 495303 (2008).

¹¹Y. Yun and E. I. Altman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **129**, 15684 (2007).

¹²Y. Yun, L. Kampschulte, M. Li, D. Liao, and E. I. Altman, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **111**, 13951 (2007).

¹³A. M. Kolpak, I. Grinberg, and A. M. Rappe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 166101 (2007).

¹⁴S. C. Bharath, K. R. Pimputkar, A. M. Pronschinske, and T. P. Pearl, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **254**, 2048 (2008).

¹⁵D. Li, M. H. Zhao, J. Garra, A. M. Kolpak, A. M. Rappe, D. A. Bonnell, and J. M. Vohs, *Nature Mater.* **7**, 473 (2008).

¹⁶M. H. Zhao, D. A. Bonnell, and J. M. Vohs, *Surf. Sci.* **602**, 2849 (2008).

¹⁷M. H. Zhao, D. A. Bonnell, and J. M. Vohs, *Surf. Sci.* **603**, 284 (2009).

¹⁸J. Garra, J. M. Vohs, and D. A. Bonnell, *Surf. Sci.* **603**, 1106 (2009).

¹⁹S. V. Kalinin and D. A. Bonnell, *Phys. Rev. B* **63**, 125411 (2001).

²⁰Y. Yun, M. Li, D. Liao, L. Kampschulte, and E. I. Altman, *Surf. Sci.* **601**, 4636 (2007).

²¹S. V. Levchenko and A. M. Rappe, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 256101 (2008).

²²R. Courths, *Phys. Status Solidi B* **100**, 135 (1980).

²³B. Cord and R. Courths, *Surf. Sci.* **152-153**, 1141 (1985).

²⁴L. T. Hudson, R. L. Kurtz, S. W. Robey, D. Temple, and R. L. Stockbauer, *Phys. Rev. B* **47**, 1174 (1993).

²⁵T. Shimizu, H. Bando, Y. Aiura, Y. Haruyama, K. Oka, and Y. Nishihara, *Jpn. J. Appl. Phys., Part 2* **34**, L1305 (1995).

²⁶S. M. Mukhopadhyay and T. S. Chen, *J. Mater. Res.* **10**, 1502 (1995).

²⁷H. Bando, T. Shimizu, Y. Aiura, Y. Haruyama, K. Oka, and Y. Nishihara, *J. Vac. Sci. Technol. B* **14**, 1060 (1996).

²⁸A. M. Kolpak, D. Li, R. Shao, A. M. Rappe, and D. A. Bonnell, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101**, 036102 (2008).

²⁹Ch. Hagendorf, K.-M. Schindler, T. Doege, and H. Neddermeyer, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **142**, 106 (1999).

³⁰J. Padilla and D. Vanderbilt, *Phys. Rev. B* **56**, 1625 (1997).

³¹L. A. Bursill, J. Peng, and X. Fan, *Ferroelectrics* **97**, 71 (1989).

³²P. A. Redhead, *Vacuum* **12**, 203 (1962).

³³K. S. Kim and M. A. Barteau, *Surf. Sci.* **223**, 13 (1989).

³⁴E. Román, F. J. Bustillo, and J. L. de Segovia, *Vacuum* **41**, 40 (1990).

³⁵M. A. Henderson, S. Otero-Tapia, and M. E. Castro, *Faraday Discuss.* **114**, 313 (1999).

³⁶L.-Q. Wang, K. F. Ferris, S. Azad, and M. H. Engelhard, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **109**, 4507 (2005).

³⁷E. Farfan-Arribas and R. J. Madix, *Surf. Sci.* **544**, 241 (2003).

³⁸R. M. Ferrizz, G. S. Wong, T. Egami, and J. M. Vohs, *Langmuir* **17**, 2464 (2001).

³⁹S. Funk, B. Hokkanen, E. Johnson, and U. Burghaus, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **422**, 461 (2006).

⁴⁰M. A. Henderson, *Surf. Sci.* **400**, 203 (1998).

⁴¹T. L. Thompson, O. Diwald, and J. T. Yates, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **107**, 11700 (2003).

⁴²S. Azad, M. H. Engelhard, and L.-Q. Wang, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **109**, 10327 (2005).

⁴³W. Göpel, G. Rucker, and R. Feierabend, *Phys. Rev. B* **28**, 3427 (1983).

⁴⁴J. N. Wilson, S. D. Senanayake, and H. Idriss, *Surf. Sci.* **562**, L231 (2004).

⁴⁵A. Markovits, A. Fahmi, and C. Minot, *J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM* **371**, 219 (1996).

⁴⁶L.-F. Liao, C.-F. Lien, D.-L. Shieh, M.-T. Chen, and J.-L. Lin, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **106**, 11240 (2002).

⁴⁷M. Tutuianu, O. R. Inderwildi, W. G. Bessler, and J. Warnatz, *J. Phys. Chem. B* **110**, 17484 (2006).

⁴⁸A. M. Kolpak and A. M. Rappe, private communication (2004).

⁴⁹J. D. Baniecki *et al.*, *Phys. Rev. B* **78**, 195415 (2008).