

The average Minnesota cattle feeder lost money feeding cattle during the past decade. Many quit feeding--especially after the losses of the 1974-77 period, and again after the losses of 1980 and 1981. Timing of purchases and sales has been a significant factor affecting returns. In general, cattle feeders who marketed most of their cattle in the second or third quarter of the year have survived; while those who normally sold in the lower priced fall-winter period suffered severe losses.

Looking to the future, cattle feeding will likely continue to show very low returns to average or below average feeders (see long-run budgets). Therefore, cattle feeding can't compete with other enterprises for resources on Minnesota farms unless the following location, resource and management conditions are met:

LOCATION - In areas wit	h surplus feedgrains.
-------------------------	-----------------------

- RESOURCES Where the farmer is in a strong capital position.
  Where feedgrain supplies are large while labor available for livestock is small.
- MANAGEMENT Able to limit feed conversion to 650 pounds of dry matter per cwt. of gain on calves and 675 pounds per cwt. of gain on yearlings.
  - Have above average marketing ability. Unless the buy-upgrade-sell ability is average or better, as evidenced by the value produced per cwt. of gain, the cattle feeding enterprise could well be a money loser because of continued strong competition from commercial feedlots.

Paul R. Hasbargen Extension Economist Dept. of Ag & Applied Economics Erlin J. Weness Area Extension Agent Farm Management Steven D. Plegge Extension Specialist Department of Animal Science

Agricultural Extension Service UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

It is the policy of the Agricultural Extension Service of the University of Minnesota that all persons shall have equal opportunity and access to its programs and facilities without regard to race, creed, color, sex or national origin.

This archival publication may not reflect current scientific knowledge or recommendations. Current information available from University of Minnesota Extension: <u>http://www.extension.umn.edu</u>.

## INSTRUCTIONS

VALUE PRODUCED FOR CWT. OF GAIN is a very important marketing skill measure in cattle feeding. To analyze past performance in buying, upgrading and selling, use tax and production records to determine the average value produced per hundredweight of beef produced. For each feeding year, subtract the cost of the feeders from the total value of sales (see line 4 of schedule F of your farm tax return) plus the value of any butchered animals to determine the total value of beef produced. Then determine the total hundredweight of beef produced from purchase and sale records and divide this into the total value produced to obtain the value produced per cwt. of gain. This margin will vary each year with cattle prices (also, a larger one is needed when production costs are higher, so an individual must compare his figures with those obtained by other cattle feeders in farm record keeping groups--see extension agent, ag instructor or creditor).

With corn at \$2.60 to \$2.75 per bushel, we are suggesting a long run planning value produced figure of \$60 to \$64 for calves and \$65 to \$67 for yearlings. These margins must increase a dollar for each 10¢ increase in corn prices to cover added costs.

VARIABLE CASH COSTS PER HEAD - Use lot records and copies of income tax returns to estimate cash costs incurred by the cattle feeding operation.

RETURN OVER VARIABLE CASH COSTS is a figure that can be useful in financial planning when combined with cash flow projections from other enterprises.

COST OF HOME PRODUCED RESOURCES - Bedding requirements vary greatly by type of housing and management practices. Fertility value of manure will range from 50¢ to \$1 per cwt. of beef produced depending upon manure management systems. The feed requirements below are based on feed--if actually weighed--to the cattle and assume an effective implant (growth promotant) is maintained in the cattle throughout the feeding period and an ionophore (Bovatec or Rumensin) is fed continuously to steers and either an ionophore or MGA fed continuously to heifers. If implants and feed additives are not part of cattle management at least 15 percent more feed would be required per unit of gain.

	450-1	Calf 450-1050# Steer 3h Forage To 700#) 700-1100#			Heifer Calf 400-950#	Heifer Yearling 600-1000#
Corn equivalent, bu.	41	56	42	46	36	47
Corn silage, 35% DM/ton	2.3	يست خيته	• 6		2.6	.62
Hay, tons		.52		.15		
Protein, 1bs.	250	250	130	130	280	154
Feed/cwt.ofgain, 1bs. DM	630	635	640	<b>6</b> 45	690	710

Note: In calculating the budget costs shown on the next page, a 5 percent wastagespoilage factor is added to corn and about 15 percent to forage needs.

FEED COSTS PER CWT. OF GAIN is the important production efficiency measure in cattle feeding. Use the market value of home produced feeds plus all purchased feeds when figuring this. This figure can become too high because of poor feed conversion, wastage and spoilage, or high feed prices. An annual comparison should be made with the feed costs of other cattle feeders with similar feeding programs.

RETURN TO LABOR AND FACILITIES over direct operating costs can be used in making cash flow projections. RETURNS over the <u>market value of feed</u> show how much farm earnings would decline if cattle feeding is discontinued, the feed is sold at prices used, and facilities and labor are not used for anything else. This is the amount available for facility payments and other overhead costs, including pay to the operator.

## COSTS AND RETURNS PER HEAD - LONG RANGE

	STEER CALVES		STEERS	MY ESTIMATES	
GROSS RETURNS PER HEAD BOUGHT	Typical Management	Good Management	Typical	Per Head	Total
Buy-sell weights (pounds)		450 - 1,050			
Sales value Feeder cost		variable variable			<u> </u>
Value produced per cwt of gain	\$ 60	\$ 63	\$ 66		
Value produced per head sold (line 4, schedule F, from 1040 + head bought)	360	378	264		
VARIABLE CASH COSTS PER HEAD - Number i ( ) is the line number, schedule F, IRS from 1040 (1984)					
Labor hired (32, 51, 52)	\$ 8	\$ 8	\$5 7	<u> </u>	
Repairs, maintenance (33) Interest on cattle (34)	10 42	10 42	7 30	·····	
Interest on operating (34)	8	8	7		
Feed purchased - protein (36) - salt & mineral (36)	32 5	30 5	20 4		
Machine hire & supplies (39, 40)	4	4	3	<u>_</u>	
Veterinary and medicine (42)	7	8	4		
Fuel and oil (43) Insurance (46)	6 4	6. 4	5 3		
Utilities (47)	7	7	5		
Freight and trucking (48) Other (54)	15 2	16 2	18	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Total cash costs	$\frac{2}{150}$	$\frac{2}{150}$	$\frac{1}{112}$		<u> </u>
RETURN OVER VARIABLE CASH COSTS	216	228	152		
SALES VALUE OF HOME PRODUCED FEED			<u> </u>		
Hay (.6 ton & .17 ton @ \$60 per ton)	\$ 36	\$	\$ 10		
Grain (59, 43 & 48 bu of corn@\$2.65/bu)		114	1 27		
Corn silage (2.5 ton @ \$22 per ton)		56			
Total value of home raised feed	192	170	137	····	
Feed costs per cwt of gain	38	34	40		······································
Return over feed costs per cwt of gain	22	29	26		
Cash nonfeed costs per cwt of gain	19	19	22		
RETURN TO LABOR AND FACILITIES PER HEAD	)				
Over variable livestock costs & sales value of crops	18	58	15		

COMPUTER DECISION AIDS EXTENSION FARM MANAGEMENT (c) AGRI. EXTENSION SERVICE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	CATTLE FE	JRAL ECONOMIC EDING BUDGET FOR:1985 Stee			
BUDGET FOR STEER CALF USING STANDARD RATION NUMBER	1				
PERFORMANCE: PURCHASE WEIGHT, LBS SELLING WEIGHT, LBS TOTAL GAIN, LBS AVERAGE DAILY GAIN, LBS DAYS ON FEED		HEAD 450. 1050. 600. 2.20 273.	CWT GAIN		
VALUE PRODUCED: SALE VALUE AT \$ 65.00 /CWT PURCHASE COST AT \$ 70.00 /CW GROSS MARGIN	Γ	682.50 315.00 367.50	61.25		
FEED REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS: CORN 64.00 BU AT \$ 2.60 . HAY 0.50 TON AT \$ 66.00 PROTSUP 270 LBS AT \$ 10.00/CO MINERAL 40 LBS AT \$ 12.00/CO TOTAL FEED COST	₩Т ₩Т	166.40 33.00 27.00 4.80 231.20	27.73 5.50 4.50 0.80 38.53		
OPERATING COSTS: INTEREST ON ANIMALS (14.0 PED DEATH LOSS ( 1.8 PERCENT) SELLING AND BUYING COSTS VET. & MEDICINE SUPPLIES REPAIRS AND MAINTANENCE UTILITIES, FUEL, HIRED LABOR, INTEREST ON FEED AND OPERATING TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	INS G COST <b>S</b> .	32.95 6.35 16.00 7.00 4.00 10.00 17.00 8.00 101.30	5.49 1.06 2.67 1.17 0.67 1.67 2.83 1.33 16.89		
TOTAL FEED & OPERATING COS		332.50	55.42		
BUDGETED RETURN TO LABOR & FACI RETURN PER HEAD FOR LABOR & FAC					
SELLING WHEN PRICE/CWT 62.00 66.		.00 74.0			
63.00 54.48 34. 65.00 75.48 55. 67.00 96.48 76.	24 1 24 3 24 5	5.00 14 6.00 <b>35</b>	.25 -47.49 .25 -26.49 .75 -5.49 .75 15.51 .75 36.51		
BREAK EVEN SELLING PRICES THAT WILL COVER FEED, OPERATING AND \$ 45.00 RETURN FOR LABOR AND FACILITIES					

PURCHASE PRICE/CWT	2.08	WHEN CC 2.34	RN PRICE PE	R BU IS: 2.86	3.12
62.00	58.93	60.51	62.10	63.68	65.27
66.00	60.86	62.44	64.03	65.61	67.19
70.00	62.78	64.37	65.95	67.54	69.12
74.00	64.71	66.30	67.88	69.47	71.05
78.00	66.64	68.22	67.81	71.39	72.98

NOTE: TO COVER ONLY FEED AND OPERATING COSTS SUBTRACT \$ 4.29

COMPUTER DECISION AIDS EXTENSION FARM MANAGEMENT (c) AGRI. EXTENSION SERVICE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA	AGRICULTURAL ECONOMI CATTLE FEEDING BUDGE RESULTS FOR:1985 YEA 01-10-1985 FEEDLOT	T RLINGS
BUDGET FOR STEER YEARLING USING STANDARD RATION NUMBER	3	
PERFORMANCE: FURCHASE WEIGHT, LBS SELLING WEIGHT, LBS TOTAL GAIN, LBS AVERAGE DAILY GAIN, LBS DAYS ON FEED	1150. 450. 2.40	CWT GAIN
VALUE PRODUCED: SALE VALUE AT ≉ 67.00 /CWT PURCHASE COST AT ≉ 65.00 /CWT GROSS MARGIN	455.00	70.11
FEED REQUIREMENTS AND COSTS: CORN 60.00 BU AT \$ 2.60 HAY 0.30 TON AT \$ 66.00 . PROTSUP 180 LBS AT \$ 10.00/CW MINERAL 30 LBS AT \$ 12.00/CW TOTAL FEED COST	17.80       VT     18.00       VT     3.60	34.67 4.40 4.00 0.80 43.87
OPERATING COSTS: INTEREST ON ANIMALS (14.0 PER DEATH LOSS ( 0.8 PERCENT) SELLING AND BUYING COSTS VET. & MEDICINE SUPPLIES REPAIRS AND MAINTANENCE UTILITIES, FUEL, HIRED LABOR,I INTEREST ON FEED AND OPERATING TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	3.96       19.00       4.00       3.00       7.00       NS       13.00       COSTS.	<b>3 1951 D03 292698</b> 0.67 1.56 1.56 19.93
TOTAL FEED & OPERATING COST BUDGETED RETURN TO LABOR & FACIL		63.80 C
		5.02

## RETURN PER HEAD FOR LABOR & FACILITIES WITH DIFFERENT PRICES

SELLING			HASE COST PE		
PRICE/CWT	57.00	61.00	65.00	69.00	73.00
63.00	42.93	12.67	-17.58	-47.83	-78.09
65.00	65.93	35.67	5.42	-24.83	~55,09
67.00	88.93	58.67	28,42	-1.83	-32.09
69.00	111.93	81.67	51,42	21.17	~9.09
71.00	134.93	104.67	74.42	44.17	13.91

## BREAK EVEN SELLING PRICES THAT WILL COVER FEED, OPERATING AND \$ 25.00 RETURN FOR LABOR AND FACILITIES

PURCHASE PRICE/CWT	2.08	WH <b>EN</b> 2.34	CORN PRICE	PER BU IS: 2.86	3.12
57.00	58.73	60.08	61.44	62.80	64.15
61.00	61.36	62.72	64.07	65.43	66.78
65.00	63.99	65.35	66.70	68.06	69.42
69.00	66.62	67.98	69.33	70.69	72.05
73.00	69 <b>.2</b> 5	70.61	71.96	73.32	74.68

NOTE: TO COVER ONLY FEED AND OPERATING COSTS SUBTRACT \$ 2.17