

University of Windsor

## Scholarship at UWindsor

---

OSSA Conference Archive

OSSA 11

---

May 18th, 9:00 AM - May 21st, 5:00 PM

### Reply to Commentary on “Argumentation Mining in Parliamentary Discourse”

Nona Naderi  
*University of Toronto*

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive>



Part of the [Philosophy Commons](#)

---

Naderi, Nona, "Reply to Commentary on “Argumentation Mining in Parliamentary Discourse”" (2016).  
*OSSA Conference Archive*. 157.

<https://scholar.uwindsor.ca/ossaarchive/OSSA11/papersandcommentaries/157>

This Reply is brought to you for free and open access by the Conferences and Conference Proceedings at Scholarship at UWindsor. It has been accepted for inclusion in OSSA Conference Archive by an authorized conference organizer of Scholarship at UWindsor. For more information, please contact [scholarship@uwindsor.ca](mailto:scholarship@uwindsor.ca).

# Response to Commentary on “Argumentation Mining in Parliamentary Discourse”

NONA NADERI

*Department of Computer Science  
University of Toronto  
10 King's College Rd., Toronto, Ontario M5S 3G4  
Canada  
nona@cs.toronto.edu*

The analysis of change of framing over time, although an important goal, is not an immediate goal of this research; rather, we focus on argumentation mining, and providing the means for political scientists to perform such analyses.

While we appreciate that the importance of computational analysis of framing is recognized, we stress that this computational analysis has relied on previously defined frames that were drawn from manual content analysis; the automatic identification of new frames is still well beyond the state of the art. Furthermore, as mentioned in the paper, frames may be thought to be located in several places—communicator, text, receiver, and culture—and taking into account these locations to find and study frames is not a trivial task by any means. In this work, we have seen that even expert annotators often disagree in identifying frames. So, it would be rather optimistic to suppose that computers can be trained to replace humans in this level of argumentation analysis any time soon.