

Aalborg Universitet

Regionalized sensitivity analysis with respect to multiple outputs - and an application for real-time building space exploration

Østergård, Torben

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link to publication from Aalborg University

Citation for published version (APA):

Østergård, T. (2016). Regionalized sensitivity analysis with respect to multiple outputs - and an application for real-time building space exploration. Poster presented at Eighth International Conference on Sensitivity Analysis of Model Output, Le Tampon, Réunion.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

? Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
? You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
? You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at vbn@aub.aau.dk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Regionalized sensitivity analysis with respect to multiple outputs - and an application for real-time building space exploration

Torben Østergård[#]*, Rasmus L. Jensen^{#,} Steffen E. Maagaard*

[#] Department of Civil Engineering, Aalborg University, Denmark * MOE, Consulting Engineers, Aarhus, Denmark

Background

Building design involves a large number of design parameters and performance indicators. The Monte Carlo method to perform enables the modeler thousands of building performance simulations representing a global design space. To explore such multivariate data (Factor Mapping [1]), the parallel coordinate plot (PCP) is a popular tool, because it is easy to use in "real-time" – even for multiple decision-makers. the PCP becomes However, unmanageable if it contains many variables, e.g. more than 10–15. Since building simulations typically involve a lot more parameters, we would like to reduce the number of variable inputs (Factor Fixing [1]) while considering their influence towards multiple outputs. Moreover, we would like a method to highlight changes in the PCP, which would allow us to use more variables in the PCP.

Sensitivity towards multiple outputs (TOM)

Here, we present a method to assess inputs' sensitivity towards multiple outputs [2]. The method relies on Monte Carlo Filtering and therefore belongs to the category of Regionalized Sensitivity Analysis. The idea is to apply random filters to all outputs in order to split a set of simulations, S_A , into a "behavioural" subset, S_B , and "nonbehavioural" subset, S_N . Using Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample statistics, we then calculate the maximum distances, D_i , between the cumulative distributions of S_N and S_{A} for each input *i*. These steps are repeated J number of times, i.e. until we reach convergence of the average values of D_i 's (inspired by [3]).

Test models (TOM)

To assess the accuracy of TOM, we apply it to three test models from literature.

A) Highly skewed, non-linear (from [3]) $y = x_1/x_2$ (χ^2 distributions)



Ideas

The ideas are to apply the Kolmogorov-Smirnov two-sample statistics (KS2) to:

- 1) rank inputs with respect to multiple outputs (denoted TOM)
- 2) highlight changes in the PCP in realtime (denoted TOR)

Figure 2 illustrates how we randomly split 10 simulations J times. First, we assign an index to each simulation. Then, we sort each output while keeping a reference to the simulations' indices. For each output, we obtain a random subset, S_{vi} , by taking a random starting point and selecting Q simulations above this value. The intersecting indices from these subsets, S_{vi} , constitute the jth behavioural subset, $S_{B,i}$. After J repetitions, we calculate the average D_i 's for all inputs. From these, we define a relative sensitivity measure, SA_{TOR} , as in equation (1), which is used to rank inputs according to multiple outputs.

(1)
$$SA_{TOR,i} = \frac{D_{i,av}}{\sum_{i} D_{i,av}}$$
 (2) $Q = N \cdot 0.5^{1/m}$ $N =$ number of simulations $m =$ number of outputs



Figure 2. Applying random filters to split simulations into subsets, $S_{\rm B}$ and $S_{\rm N}$, J times (from [2])

We set the size of the random subsets according to equation (2). This causes the behavioural set and non-behavioural sets to be roughly of the same size, which results in more accurate D_i measures. For our case study, the average D_i 's converges after ~100 repetitions. In addition, the *average* D_i values provides more stable ranking when compared to the *median* and *maximum* values of D_i . Finally, the ranking depends on the number of simulations available, N. Here, the ranking converged after ~ 1.000 simulations [2].

B) Non-monotonic, non-linear (from [4]) $y = sinX_1 + A sin^2X_2 + B X_3^4 sin X_1$ $X_i \sim U(-\pi, \pi)$ and A = 7, B = 0.1



C) Non-additive (from [1]) $Y = \sum_{i=1}^{4} W_i Z_i$ $Z_i \sim N(z_i, \sigma_{Z_i}), W_i \sim N(w_i, \sigma_{W_i}), z_i = 0, w_i = ic$



Building case study

the proposed sensitivity test То measures, TOM and TOR, we consider the design of a 15.000 m² educational institution. The "variability" of 10 design parameters are described by uniform distributions (Table 1). Quasi-random sampling (Sobol's LP_{τ}) is used to sample 5.000 simulations. The simulation software consists of a normative model (ISO 13790) to assess energy demand and "overtemperature". In addition, a regression model is used to assess daylight factor in lecture rooms.

Table 1. Distributions for 10 design parameters

	Input parameters	Unit	Uniform min – max	Discrete					
	Window-facade-ratio	%	40 - 80						
	Solar panels	т²		0; 100; 200					
	Reflectance, room mean	-	0.4 - 0.6						
	Solar Heat Gain Coef.	-		0.25; 0.32; 0.41;0.5					
	Side fins (louvres)	0	0 – 45						
	U-value, windows	W/m² K		0.75; 0.8; 0.85; 0.9					
	Heat capacity, building	Wh/m²		60; 70; 80; 90; 100					
	Venting	l/s m²	0.9 - 1.8						
	U-value, facade	W/m² K	0.12 - 0.20						
	Infiltration	l/s m²		0.06; 0.07; 1.0					

Table 1 shows how TOM (J=200, N=5.000) compares with Standardized Regression Coefficients (SRC, with R²-values of 0.96, 0.42, and 0.96), and Morris Elementary Effects (EE, with 450 trajectories). For the two rightmost columns, we duplicated the daylight output 5 times to see what happens if outputs are highly correlated. For comparison, we simply summed the SRC percentages for each output, and calculated their relative sensitivity. We notice that TOM is less influenced by Daylight than compared to 'Multi-SRC', and thus gives less weighting to correlated outputs.

Table 1. Sensitivity measures when considering outputs separately or at the same time

Parameter	E,	E, O, D Energy Demand (E)					Overtemperature (O)						Daylight Factor (D)							E, O, 5 x D							
	ТОМ		TOM		,	SRC		EE	Τ	TOM	5	SRC		EE	Г	ОМ		SRC		EE	Τ	ГОМ		J	TOM	'Mu	lti-SRC
Win-fac-ratio	1	23%	2	27%	2	28%	2	24%	2	31%	2	33%	2	27%	1	34%	1	35%	1	34%		1	26%	1	33%		
Solar panels	2	19%	1	31%	1	29%	1	36%	-	0%	-	0%	10	1%	-	0%	-	0%	10	1%		3	11%	5	4%		
Reflectance	3	17%	9	2%	9	2%	8	3%	7	1%	-	0%	5	5%	2	34%	2	35%	2	32%		2	22%	2	25%		
SHGC	4	13%	7	4%	4	8%	5	6%	1	40%	1	42%	1	40%	4	13%	4	11%	4	9%		5	10%	3	15%		
Side fins	5	9%	10	1%	10	2%	10	2%	5	4%	5	4%	6	4%	3	18%	3	19%	3	15%		4	11%	4	14%		
U-value win.	6	5%	3	13%	3	11%	3	9%	6	3%	6	2%	7	4%	-	0%	-	0%	9	1%		7	4%	7	2%		
Heat capacity	7	5%	4	9%	5	8%	4	6%	4	8%	4	7%	4	6%	-	0%	-	0%	5	3%		8	4%	6	3%		
Venting	8	4%	8	3%	8	3%	9	3%	3	12%	3	12%	3	10%	-	0%	-	0%	6	3%		6	5%	8	2%		
U-value fac.	9	3%	6	5%	7	4%	7	4%	-	0%	7	1%	8	1%	-	0%	-	0%	7	1%		9	4%	10	1%		
Infiltration	10	3%	5	6%	6	5%	6	5%	-	0%	-	0%	9	1%	-	0%	-	0%	8	1%		10	3%	9	1%		

Real-time highlight of changes in the PCP (TOR)

With the TOR approach, we suggest using KS2 to highlight the coordinates that changes the most when users apply filters in the parallel coordinate plot [2]. The user-defined filters splits the entire set of simulations, S_A , into a behavioural set S_R and non-behavioural set S_N . Each time a filter is applied, we calculate and compare the relative sizes of the maximum distances D_i between the cumulative distributions of the $S_{\rm B}$ and $S_{\rm A}$ for every (non-filtered) parameter. The results are illustrated with bar plots just below the PCP's on Figure 3. It works with both inputs and outputs.

SDP	0.00	0.03	0.16	0.48	0.00	0.01	0.08	0.23	
EE	0.02	0.08	0.18	0.28	0.01	0.06	0.15	0.22	
■ Saltelli's ST	0.00	0.03	0.13	0.40	0.00	0.02	0.10	0.32	

Discussion

methods seem promising The for different applications. Future work includes more testing. This includes:

More test models (non-linear)

Outputs

- Tests with more inputs and outputs
- Threshold values to avoid Type I errors
- Assess choice of sets for KS2 tests
- Other statistical tests (e.g. Anderson-Darling)

Thanks to Thierry Mara for valuable feedback!

Try it yourself (TOR)

Upload your own data (tab-separated txt) http://buildingdesign.moe.dk/phd2/html/SAMO.html

References

[1] A. Saltelli et al. (2008) *Global sensitivity analysis: the* primer. Wiley.



Design parameters (ranked right-to-left using TOM)





[2] T. Østergård et al (2017), Interactive building design space exploration using regionalized sensitivity analysis, in: Proceedings of the 15th International IBPSA Conference. (submitted)

[3] F. Pianosi, T. Wagener (2015) *A simple and efficient* method for global sensitivity analysis based on cumulative distribution functions. Environmental Modelling & Software.

[4] A. Saltelli et al (2000) *Sensitivity analysis*. Wiley.

Authors



Torben

Rasmus

Steffen

Figure 1. Case building (EFFEKT architects)

(here at SAMO) Figure 3. Screenshots of the PCP with user-defined filters (red rectangles). Grey bar plots indicate the relative sizes of the D_i 's and thus highlight the parameters affected the most