

UNIVERSIDAD DE SANTIAGO DE COMPOSTELA FACULTAD DE BIOLOGÍA DEPARTAMENTO DE GENÉTICA

Study of genetic factors and temperature influence on sex determination and differentiation in turbot

Diego Robledo Sánchez

Ph.D. thesis 2015



ANA MARÍA VIÑAS DÍAZ, Associate Professor of Genetics at the University of Santiago de Compostela (USC, Spain), and PAULINO MARTÍNEZ PORTELA, Professor of Genetics at the University of Santiago de Compostela (USC, Spain)

CERTIFY THAT

The manuscript entitled "Study of genetic factors and temperature influence on sex determination and differentiation in turbot", presented by Diego Robledo Sánchez to opt for the Ph.D. degree, has been realized under their supervision, it is considered concluded and they authorize the presentation to the competent Committee.

And for the record, they hereby sign.

Dra. ANA MARÍA VIÑAS DÍAZ

Dr. PAULINO MARTÍNEZ PORTELA

DIEGO ROBLEDO SÁNCHEZ

Santiago de Compostela, 14 September 2015





This study was funded by a four year Formación del Profesorado Universitario 2012 grant from the Spanish Government, and by the following research projects:

 Determinación de los efectos genéticos y su interacción con la temperatura de cultivo sobre la proporción de sexos en rodaballo (2011-2014) (AGL2010-22326-C02-01)

Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación, Spanish Government

- Análise estrutural e funcional de xenes candidatos relacionados coa diferenciación sexual e a puberdade no rodaballo (*Scophthalmus maximus*) (2010-2013) (10 MMA200027PR) Xunta de Galicia



Agradecimientos (Acknowledgements)

Estos casi seis años dedicados a la tesis y a la investigación han pasado volando. Han sido seis buenos años y os lo debo a todos los que me habéis acompañado, espero haberos hecho sentir mi agradecimiento en el día a día. Aunque haya sido así, ciertas personas merecen un reconocimiento especial.

Agradecer a Rosa Cal y a Jorge Hernández-Urcera su inestimable colaboración, sin la cual no podríamos ni haber empezado esta tesis. Gracias también por vuestra siempre buena disposición.

Gracias a Francesc Piferrer y a Laia Ribas. Gracias no sólo por vuestras contribuciones, fundamentales para completar esta tesis, si no también por vuestra paciencia y por haberme ayudado a mejorar y aprender siempre un poquito más.

A mi familia, porque siempre he vivido en un ambiente de felicidad y eso lo hace todo mucho más fácil. Por todo vuestro cariño, porque siempre estáis ahí.

Gracias también a todo el grupo Acuigen al completo, por vuestro apoyo y ayuda siempre que he necesitado algo. Pero sobretodo por ser como sois, siempre alegres y con una sonrisa. Es un placer trabajar con vosotros.

Gracias a mis amigos. A los que venís ya de atrás y seguís por aquí después de más de una década y también a los que os habéis ido haciendo importantes durante estos años de tesis, que no sois pocos. Dos de vosotros merecéis un reconocimiento especial ya que tengo mucho que agradeceros. Xoana, Luis, no sólo me enseñásteis a trabajar (bueno, seamos francos, Xoana contribuyó bastante más), sino que me acogisteis mucho mejor de lo que yo podía imaginar, en el laboratorio y fuera de él. Hemos compartido muchas sonrisas estos años y estoy seguro de que nos queden muchas más por compartir.

A mis directores, Ana y Paulino, porque sois los mejores directores de tesis de todo el universo conocido (y probablemente también del desconocido, pero hay que ser cauto en las conclusiones). Gracias por haber confiado en mí desde el primer instante, me he sentido apoyado y valorado en todo momento. Mi agradecimiento va mucho más allá de estas palabras, pero el papel es así de cruel. Se cierra un capítulo pero espero que el libro tenga muchas más páginas. iNo os vais a librar de mí tan fácilmente! Gracias a ti Bea, por tantas cosas que necesitaría toda una tesis para ponerlas por escrito. Has sido y serás siempre mi mayor motivación para seguir esforzándome y mejorando cada día. Los dos sabemos que lo mejor aún está por llegar, hoy lo veo un poquito más cerca. Por supuesto me refiero al rebaño de llamas ⁽³⁾ Tú también vas a tener que seguir aguantándome unas cuantas décadas más, ino te queda nada!

Por último, a mis padres. Lo que soy, lo que he conseguido, es gracias a vosotros que me lo habéis dado todo. No hay palabras suficientes para expresar todo mi agradecimiento y cariño. Esta tesis es tan mérito vuestro como mío y espero que estéis orgullosos, no de la tesis ni de mí, sino de lo que vosotros mismos habéis conseguido. Yo no podría estar más orgulloso de vosotros. Gracias por todo.

Santiago de Compostela, 21 de mayo de 2015





Abstract

Sex, as intuitive and simple as it may seem to us, poses some of the most interesting and complex questions when studying life. Sex is an intrinsic characteristic of most eukaryote species which eventually has led to the appearance of two differentiated adult phenotypes or sexes, males and females. This distinction rules a huge part of our lives and is the origin of important evolutionary processes based on intra-sex competition or inter-sex conflict due to sexual antagonism. Furthermore, sex is an important character for a plethora of species involved in human activities, for example in aquaculture many fish species present sex size dimorphisms where one sex grows faster than the other, and so knowing how sex is determined in each species is of the outmost interest. Traditionally, sex determination has been considered a cascade process with a master gene at the top, but recent findings have suggested that, instead, it might be a network process where different genetic and environmental factors can alter gonad fate, which in turn would be connected with a huge number of different sex determination mechanisms in vertebrates, especially in poikiloterms. In this new view of sex, the different players involved in sex differentiation gain relevance and their study may help us understanding how the fate of the gonad is determined. In this work, we have studied sex differentiation in turbot, a flatfish with a marked sex dimorphism where females grow faster than males. This species presents genetic sex determination, but also temperature effects on sex ratios have been reported, which seem to be family-dependent. Our aim was to study sex differentiation in turbot to gain knowledge about how sex is determined in this species and also in a broader sense in fish. This work consists of expression studies in turbot gonads using two different techniques: real-time PCR and microarrays. First of all, the real time PCR technique was setup for gonad development studies in turbot. The different methods available for reference gene stability calculation and efficiency determination were assessed. Then, using this information we performed an extensive expression study on turbot sex differentiation ranging from undifferentiated to differentiated gonads at three different temperatures. We found that the first molecular signs of sex differentiation are observed at 90 days post fertilization and that three genes, cyp19a1a, amh and vasa, can be used to sex turbot at this stage. Furthermore, the expression of genes involved in germ cell development pointed towards their involvement in early sex differentiation and possibly sex determination. Temperature effects on sex differentiation were also assessed in this study. A higher proportion of females was obtained at cold temperatures and several genes showed temperature dependant expression changes. Finally, to complete our study, we also performed a microarray analysis in turbot gonad samples from undifferentiated individuals to male and female juveniles. Female gonads were found to be more different from undifferentiated gonads than those of males, requiring the regulation of a large number of genes and the involvement of different processes including epigenetic mechanisms. Furthermore, the involvement of known sex differentiation genes and previously unrelated genes in sex differentiation was observed. This study has widened our knowledge on sex differentiation in turbot in particular and in fish in general, helping to understand the role of many genes involved in sex differentiation across the whole vertebrate taxa and pointing towards other genes which have been connected with sex for the first time. Our data suggest that a network model might be more accurate to explain sex determination in turbot, where the environment can interact with genetic factors and modify gonad fate.



Resumen

El sexo, aunque parezca un concepto intuitivo y simple, presenta algunas de las cuestiones biológicas más interesantes y complejas. El sexo es una característica intrínseca de la mayoría de eukariotas que eventualmente condujo a la aparición de dos fenotipos adultos diferenciados conocidos como sexos, machos y hembras. Esta distinción gobierna buena parte de nuestras vidas y es el origen de importantes procesos evolutivos basados en la competición entre individuos del mismo sexo o en el conflicto entre sexos debido a fenómenos de antagonismo sexual. El sexo es un carácter importante para diversas actividades humanas. Por ejemplo, muchos peces cultivados presentan dimirfismo sexual en el que uno de los sexos crece más rápido que el otro, y por lo tanto es interesante conocer como es determinado el sexo en cada especie. Tradicionalmente, la determinación sexual ha sido considerada un proceso en cascada con un gen maestro en la cima, pero a partir de descubrimientos recientes se ha sugerido que este proceso puede responder a un modelo en red en el que diferentes factores genéticos y ambientales interaccionan para determinar el destino de la gónada, lo cual estaría conectado con el gran número de mecanismos de determinación sexual en vertebrados, sobretodo en organismos poikilotermos. En este nuevo escenario, los diferentes factores involucrados en la diferenciación gonadal cobran importancia y su estudio puede ayudar a entender cómo se decide el destino de la gónada. En este trabajo hemos estudiado la diferenciación sexual en el rodaballo, un pez plano con un marcado dimorfismo sexual en el que las hembras crecen más rápido que los machos. Esta especie presenta determinación sexual genética, pero también se han detectado efectados de la temperatura sobre las proporciones sexuales en ciertas familias. Nuestro objetivo era estudiar la diferenciación sexul del rodaballo para conocer cómo se establece el sexo en esta especie y contribuir al conocimiento de cómo se produce la diferenciación sexual en peces. Este trabajo consiste en estudios de expresión en gónada de rodaballo utilizando dos técnicas distintas: PCR en tiempo real y microarrays. Primero, se puso a punto la técnica de PCR en tiempo real para estudios de desarrollo gonadal en rodaballo. Los distintos métodos para determinar los genes de referencia y el cálculo de la eficiencia fueron analizados. Después, utilizando esta información se desarrolló un amplio estudio de la diferenciación sexual en rodaballo, utilizando gónadas desde undiferenciadas hasta diferenciadas tomadas a tres temperaturas diferentes. Los primeros signos de diferenciación sexual fueron encontrados a 90 días post fertilización y tres genes, cyp19a1a, amh y vasa, pueden utilizarse para establecer el sexo de los rodaballos en este estadio. Además, la expresión de genes relacionados con las células germinales apunta a que deben tener un papel en la diferenciación sexual y posiblemente también en la determinación. También se estudiaron los efectos de la temperatura, encontrándose una mayor proporción de hembras a bajas temperatura y efectos en al expresión de varios genes. Finalmente, para completar nuestro estudio, se analizaron muestras de gónada de rodaballo desde estadios indiferenciados hasta machos y hembras juveniles mediante microarrays. Se encontró que la gónada femenina se diferencia más de la gónada indiferenciada que la masculina, requiriendo la regulación de un mayor número de genes y la acción de diferentes procesos incluyendo mecanismos epigenéticos. Este estudio ha ampliado nuestro conocimiento sobre la diferenciación sexual en el rodaballo en particular y en peces en general, ayudándonos a entender el papel de muchos genes involucrados en la diferenciación sexual en los vertebrados y apuntando a otros genes conectados con el sexo por primera vez. Nuestros datos sugieren que un modelo de red sería más preciso para explicar la determinación sexual en el rodaballo, donde el ambiente puede interactuar con factores genéticos y modificar el destino de la gónada.



Table of contents

INTRODUCTION	1
1. Sex	3
1.1. Origin and maintenance of sex	3
1.2. Important concepts related to sex	4
1.3. Sex determination genes and sex chromosomes	6
2. Sex determination in vertebrates	7
2.1. Sex determination in tetrapods	7
2.2. Sex in fish	10
2.2.1. Genetic sex determination in fish	11
2.2.1.1. Medaka	12
2.2.1.2. Patagonian pejerrey	12
2.2.1.3. Japanese puffer	12
2.2.1.4. Rainbow trout	13
2.2.1.5. Sablefish and Tongue sole	13
2.2.2. Environmental sex determination in fish	13
2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15
2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates3. Sex differentiation	15 15
2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates3. Sex differentiation	15 15 16
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15 15 16 16
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15 15 16 16 16
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15 15 16 16 16 17
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15 15 16 16 16 17 17
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15 16 16 16 17 17
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates 3. Sex differentiation 3.1. Sex differentiation in mammals 3.1.1. Bipotential gonad formation 3.1.2. Sex determination: sry 3.1.3. Male gonad differentiation 3.1.4. Female gonad differentiation 3.1.5. Mammalian sex differentiation: conclusions 3.2. Sex differentiation in other vertebrates 	15 15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates 3. Sex differentiation. 3.1. Sex differentiation in mammals 3.1.1. Bipotential gonad formation. 3.1.2. Sex determination: sry. 3.1.3. Male gonad differentiation 3.1.4. Female gonad differentiation. 3.1.5. Mammalian sex differentiation: conclusions 3.2. Sex differentiation in other vertebrates 4. Turbot. 	15 15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 18
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates	15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19
 2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates 3. Sex differentiation. 3.1. Sex differentiation in mammals 3.1.1. Bipotential gonad formation. 3.1.2. Sex determination: sry. 3.1.3. Male gonad differentiation 3.1.4. Female gonad differentiation. 3.1.5. Mammalian sex differentiation: conclusions 3.2. Sex differentiation in other vertebrates 4. Turbot. 4.1. Taxonomy. 4.2. Biology . 	15 16 16 16 17 17 18 18 18 19 19 20

4.4. Sex related studies in turbot	21
4.4.1. Cytogenetic studies	21
4.4.2. Sex ratio studies	21
4.4.3. Sex-association marker studies	22
4.4.4. Genetic mapping and QTLs	22
4.4.5. Candidate genes	23
4.4.6. Genomic resources	23
4.4.7. Environmental influences	23
AIMS	25
CHAPTER I: ANALYSIS OF QPCR REFERENCE GENE STABILITY DETERMINATION METHODS AND A PRACTICAL APPROACH FOR EFFICIENCY CALCULATION ON A TURBOT (SCOPTHALMUS MAXIMUS) GONAD DATASET	29
Abstract	31
1. Introduction	32
2. Methods	34
2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling	34
2.2. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis	35
2.3. Real-time PCR	35
2.4. Reference gene analysis	36
2.5. Efficiency analysis	37
2.6. Normalization and efficiency correction on target genes	37
3. Results	37
3.1. Amplification	37
3.2. Analysis of the reference genes	40
3.3. Efficiency determination analysis	44
3.4. Normalization and efficiency correction on target genes	47

4. Discussion	47
4.1. Reference gene analysis	47
4.2. Efficiency determination analysis	51
4.3. Normalization and efficiency correction on target genes	53
4.4. Concluding remarks	53
Acknowledgements	53
Supplementary material	54

1. Introduction 60 2. Methods 62 2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling 62 2.2. Histology 62 2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis 62 2.4. Quantitative PCR 63 2.5. Statistical analysis 63 2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 64 2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis 64 3. Results 64 3.1. Sampling and sexing 64 3.2. Gene selection and primer design 65 3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 65 3.4. Global expression patterns 66 3.5. Sex differences 70 3.6. Discriminant analysis 75	Abstract	59
2. Methods 62 2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling 62 2.2. Histology 62 2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis 62 2.4. Quantitative PCR 63 2.5. Statistical analysis 63 2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 64 2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis 64 3. Results 64 3.1. Sampling and sexing 64 3.2. Gene selection and primer design 65 3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 65 3.4. Global expression patterns 66 3.5. Sex differences 70 3.6. Discriminant analysis 75	1. Introduction	60
2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling 62 2.2. Histology 62 2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis 62 2.4. Quantitative PCR 63 2.5. Statistical analysis 63 2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 64 2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis 64 3. Results 64 3.1. Sampling and sexing 64 3.2. Gene selection and primer design 65 3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 65 3.4. Global expression patterns 66 3.5. Sex differences 70 3.6. Discriminant analysis 75	2. Methods	
2.2. Histology 62 2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis 62 2.4. Quantitative PCR 63 2.5. Statistical analysis 63 2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 64 2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis 64 3. Results 64 3.1. Sampling and sexing 64 3.2. Gene selection and primer design 65 3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 65 3.4. Global expression patterns 66 3.5. Sex differences 70 3.6. Discriminant analysis 75	2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling	
2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis 62 2.4. Quantitative PCR 63 2.5. Statistical analysis 63 2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 64 2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis 64 3. Results 64 3.1. Sampling and sexing 64 3.2. Gene selection and primer design 65 3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs 65 3.4. Global expression patterns 66 3.5. Sex differences 70 3.6. Discriminant analysis 75	2.2. Histology	
2.4. Quantitative PCR	2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis	
2.5. Statistical analysis632.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs642.7. Weighted correlation network analysis643. Results643.1. Sampling and sexing643.2. Gene selection and primer design653.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	2.4. Quantitative PCR.	
2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs642.7. Weighted correlation network analysis643. Results643.1. Sampling and sexing643.2. Gene selection and primer design653.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	2.5. Statistical analysis	63
2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis643. Results643.1. Sampling and sexing643.2. Gene selection and primer design653.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs	64
3. Results.643.1. Sampling and sexing643.2. Gene selection and primer design653.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis	64
3.1. Sampling and sexing643.2. Gene selection and primer design653.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	3. Results	64
3.2. Gene selection and primer design653.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	3.1. Sampling and sexing	64
3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs653.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	3.2. Gene selection and primer design	65
3.4. Global expression patterns663.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs	65
3.5. Sex differences703.6. Discriminant analysis75	3.4. Global expression patterns	66
3.6. Discriminant analysis75	3.5. Sex differences	70
	3.6. Discriminant analysis	75

3.7. Network analysis	75
3.8. Temperature effects on gene expression	77
4. Discussion	
4.1. Early sex differentiation	83
4.2. Primordial germ cells	83
4.3. Female sex differentiation	86
4.4. Male-like genes	
4.5. Genes in the main SD region	
4.6. Temperature effects	89
4.7. Concluding remarks	91
Acknowledgements	91
Supplementary material	92

CHAPTER 3: TRANSCRIPTOMIC ANALYSIS OF THE PROCESS OF GONADAL SEX DIFFERENTIATION IN THE TURBOT (*SCOPHTHALMUS MAXIMUS*).......103

Abstract	
1. Introduction	
2. Methods	
2.1. Turbot gonad sampling	
2.2. Sex verification	
2.3. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and library construction	
2.4. Microarray hybridization and scanning	
2.5. Data analysis	
2.6. GO terms and Kegg pathway analysis	
2.7. Gene network analysis	110
2.8. Mapping genes to QTLs	
2.9. Microarray validation by real-time PCR	

3. Results
3.1. Microarray validation
3.2. Morphometric and transcriptomic overview111
3.3. Comparative analysis between different gonadal developmental stages
3.4. Gene expression differences at early stages of gonadal development
3.5. Expression of canonical reproduction-related genes
3.6. Identification of novel genes related to turbot sex differentiation
3.7. Sexual differences in juvenile fish
3.8. Localization of reproduction-related genes in the sex- and growth-QTL markers 127
4. Discussion
4.1. Transcriptomic overview during gonadal development
4.2. Identification of <i>cyp19a1a</i> and <i>dmrt3</i> as good early sex markers
4.3. Identification of novel genes associated with ovarian or testis development
4.4. Relationship between reproduction-related genes and sex- and growth-QTL markers
4.5. Concluding remarks
DAVID
Acknowledgments 134
Supplementary material
DISCUSSION157
1. qPCR setup in turbot gonads
1.1. Primer validation
1.2. Efficiency determination
1.3. Reference genes
2 Evenession studies in turbet sensels
2. Expression studies in turbot gonads
2.1. Searching for sex determination genes
2.2. The start of sex differentiation: length, age and genetic components
2.3. Aromatase
2.4. <i>Cyp19a1a-amh</i> relationship164

2.5. Genes involved in early sex differentiation
2.6. Sox genes
2.7. Wnt / β-catenin pathway167
2.8. Germ line
2.9. Epigenetic mechanisms169
2.10. Splicing
2.11. Temperature effects on gene expression170
3. Concluding remarks
4. Future prospects
CONCLUSIONS175
REFERENCES
ANEXO: RESUMEN EN CASTELLANO









1. Sex

The distinction between sexes, males and females, becomes obvious to everyone very soon after childbirth and accompanies us throughout our lifetime. This distinction is present in every human culture and that is very clearly seen in our languages. Furthermore, maleness and femaleness have been present as fundamental symbolic elements in several civilizations, for example in the Greek myth of creation through Gaia and Uranus, or in the Taoism as yin (female) and yang (male). Great thinkers along our history have spent their time thinking about sex and sexes. Sex is important and is intrinsic to our existence.

From a scientific point of view, sex is a biological phenomenon where two specialized cells, called gametes, which come from two adult individuals, combine their genetic material to produce off-spring. Sex usually involves the fusion of two haploid gametes from two individuals with differential reproductive roles, males and females. In this process, the genetic material of both parental individuals merges in the resulting zygote, appearing new genetic combinations which were not present in none of the progenitors. Even in those species which do not present differentiated sexes (isogamy) complementary gametes must still meet. Difficulties finding suitable partners have been connected with the origin of hermaphrodites, species where both male and female reproductive organs are present in a single individual. Sex is exclusive of eukaryotes and is widespread all along the phylum. An estimated 99% of eukaryote species reproduce sexually (Otto, 2009).

The sex of an organism is defined by the gametes produced in specialized organs, the gonads. We speak of males and females when their gametes are different (anisogamy). Males are characterized by small and mobil gametes called spermatozoa, while females present large and motionless gametes called eggs or ova. The existence of separate sexes implies different reproductive roles for males and females, which in turn is the origin of an evolutionary mechanism, sexual selection, which consists in the competence to reproduce between individuals of the same sex (Scharer et al. 2012).

Traditionally a two-fold cost of sex when compared to asexual reproduction has been hypothesized since a male and a female are required to produce offspring, while asexual reproduction only requires one individual. So, why did sex arise and why has it been maintained along the evolution of eukaryotes?

1.1. Origin and maintenance of sex

To dive into the depths of the origin of life and evolution is to enter the fields of speculation, and so sex origin is still today a matter of debate. The origin of sex must have occurred very soon during the first stages of life on the Earth, in parallel to the origin of eukaryotes, since sex is present exclusively in this group and spread through all its branches, its mechanisms being conserved throughout the whole group. These facts suggest that sex is likely to have appeared only once around 1.5 billion years ago (Javaux et al. 2001). It is not a simple task to explain the origins of sex, however it must be irremediably linked to the origin

of meiosis. However, what happened first? Were there cell fusions occurring when meiosis appeared as a mechanism to reduce ploidy (Cavalier-Smith, 2010)? Or was meiosis present already as a mechanism of DNA repair using other DNA from other cells (Bernstein et al. 2011)? Are the mechanisms of meiosis and bacterial conjugation somewhat connected? These, as many other questions regarding the very beginning of life, are difficult to answer and so the origin of sex is far from being resolved. None of the hypothesis is supported by enough empirical evidence to rise above the others.

Furthermore, the maintainance of sex in the vast majority of eukaryotes also poses some questions, since sex costs largely outweight those of asexual reproduction: sex is slower, requires outcrossing, two different sexes and may break beneficial gene combinations (Lehtonen et al. 2012). However, this cost might not have been such when sex first arised, for example in isogamy ancestors the two-fold cost of producing males would not be present. At the origin sex could have been an almost cost-free action and, since very few lineages have renounced to sex, it must have very strong evolutionary benefits.

One of the oldest explanations for sex maintenance in eukaryotes was Weismann's at the end of the 19th century: sex creates variation on which selection can act (Weismann, 1886). However, sex and recombination destroy well adapted genetic combinations created by evolution during the adaptation to an environment, so reducing the overall fitness of the offspring when compared to the well adapted parents. The most extended hypothesis to explain why sex has been maintained and expanded in eukaryotes is that sex would be favored, in general terms, when individuals are not adapted to their environments, or, said in a different way, in unfavorable environmental conditions (Otto, 2009). An experiment in a rotifer species with populations which favor sex or asexual reproduction showed that sex is preferred when the environment is changed. In this situation the offspring produced by sex reproduction would present a higher fitness variation, producing some very well-fitted individuals which would disproportionately contribute to future generations, carrying with them also alleles which favor sex reproduction (Becks and Agrawal, 2012). Sex was probably facultative during the first steps of eukaryote evolution and, as a result of rapid changing environments and strong selection in small populations, it probably became constitutive and, eventually, asexual reproduction was lost. At that point, sexual reproduction was the only option, and all the later appearing disadvantages were irrelevant. We were stuck with sex.

1.2. Important concepts related to sex

Sex can be very different between distinct eukaryote groups: plants, fungus or animals present their own peculiarities. Reproductive strategies are diverse, ranging from unisexuality, only one sex and reproductions occurs by parthenogenesis; to hermaphroditism, male and female gonads are present in the same individuals, either sequential or simultaneously, with or without autofecundation; and gonochorism, separate sexes.

We will mainly focus on sex in vertebrate animals along this thesis, which present anisogamy with differentiated male and female gametes produced in differentiated male and female gonads, named testis and ovaries respectively. Testis and ovaries usually develop from a bipotential undifferentiated gonad, although in sequencial hermaphrodites a testis might develop from an ovary and the other way around. The process leading to the development of male or female phenotype, including gonad development and the secondary sex characteristics related to morphology, physiology and behavior is known as **sex differentiation** (Penman and Piferrer, 2008). However, prior to sex differentiation, a decision has to be made on whether to develop as male or as female. The process which establishes sex in an individual is known as **sex determination**. The sex determination mechanisms vary, and they have been classically divided into two main categories: genetic sex determination (GSD) and environmental sex determination (ESD). These represent the extremes among a range of intermediate sex determination options where genotype and environment might have different weights (Penman and Piferrer, 2008; Heule et al. 2014).

Figure 1. Two different models for sex determination and differentiation

Bottom-up scenario fitting the classic view: retrograde evolution after Wilkins (1995, 2005)



Developmental perspective on the evolution of the SD network after (Crews and Bull 2009) and (Uller and Helanterä 2011)



Modified from Heule et al. (2014).

Traditionally, sex studies have considered sex determination and sex differentiation as two different processes. However, this vision has been questioned in the last years. The classic vision is that sex determination mechanisms are placed on the top of a sex differentiation cascade controlling wether a male or female differentiation cascade occurs. However, lately the whole process of sex determination and differentiation has started to be seen as a network structure instead of a lineal cascade (Figure 1) (Heule et al. 2014). In this sense, some authors have suggested that sex determination and the initial steps of sex differentiation should not be viewed as two separate processes, but as a continuous one where several factors interact and control the development of a male or a female depending on expression thresholds of particular genes, cell proliferation, hormonal levels and environmental cues (Crews and Bull, 2009; Uller and Helanterä, 2011; Schwanz et al. 2013; Heule et al. 2014).

1.3. Sex determination genes and sex chromosomes

As a consequence of the traditional view of sex with a determinant factor atop of the differentiation cascade, sex studies have usually been focused in finding a factor acting as a "switch", leading sex development towards the formation of a male or a female phenotype (Wilson and Makova, 2009; Martínez et al, 2014). If sex is determined genetically, this factor would be a gene, which is known as the sex determination gene. We also speak of sex chromosomes as those which are involved in sex determination. In a genetic sex determination system with a single sex determination gene, there would be only a pair of sex chromosomes, the ones harboring that gene. Commonly, the sex determination gene is present (or absent) in one of the chromosomes of the sexual pair, determining the absence or presence of this chromosome the sex of the individual. Usually, recombination is suppressed between sex chromosomes, which leads to their differentiation and eventually may result in heteromorphic chromosomes.

The appearance of recombination suppression and heteromorphic sex chromosomes might be explained by sexual antagonism. If the sex determination gene is next to another gene or allele favorable to one sex but detrimental to the other, natural selection will act to suppress recombination (Bull, 1983; Rice, 1987). Recombination suppression would be the first and critical step in the evolution of differentiated sex chromosomes: only through this process can emerging sex chromosomes keep favorable genetic combinations to solve the sexual conflict (Schartl, 2004). Some sexual antagonisms can lead to morphologic sex dimorphic phenotypes, involving different colors, shapes or sizes for example, which in turn may make one of the sexes more appealing for some human activities.

The most common sex chromosome systems are XX/XY and ZZ/ZW, where males and females, respectively, are the heterogametic sex. But other type of chromosome systems exist, for example XX/X0, where females have two sex chromosomes and males only one, or also multiple sex chromosome systems with more than two sex chromosomes per individual, like platypus, the loach fish (Saitoh, 1989) and several neotropical fish species (Almeida-Toledo

and Foresti, 2001), or the tiger beetles (Galián et al. 2002). In any case, XX/XY and ZZ/ZW systems are largely majority (Bachtrog et al. 2011).

The supression of recombination affects mainly to the sex chromosome specific of the heterogametic sex (Y or W), since the other chromosome (X or Z) still maintains recombination in homozygous state (XX or ZZ individuals). This suppression of recombination does not usually affect the whole chromosome, but the region of variable length surrounding the sex determination gene. Currently, the most accepted hypothesis is that the suppression of recombination is initially achieved by chromosomal inversions (Wimmer et al. 2005; Lemaitre et al. 2009). In the absence of recombination, the sex differential region is always in heterozygotic state which causes deleterious mutations, deletions, insertions, transposable elements and repeated sequences to accumulate in the Y and W chromosomes in a process called "asexual decay". This process is also characterized by the accumulation of heterochromatin and length reduction due to the loss of genetic material (Vallender and Lahn, 2004), which in turn reinforces the suppression of recombination. In this situation, sex chromosome heteromorphisms are expected to become visible at cytological level. However, some species with strong genetic sex determination systems do not show cytogenetically heteromorphic chromosomes. For example in some bird species, with clearly ancient sex determination genes, sex chromosomes are indistinguishable (Charlesworth and Mank, 2010). Supression of recombination should always lead to chromosomal degeneration, so the absence of heteromorphism can be either because the sex chromosomes are young or because there is not suppression of recombination. The fact is that suppression of recombination is not observed in every sex chromosome system (Charlesworth and Mank, 2010).

2. Sex determination in vertebrates

Vertebrates currently present around 64,000 species which can be divided in two big groups: fish and tetrapods, which diverged ~410 million years ago and present quite different sex determination systems (Figure 2).

2.1. Sex in tetrapods

There are four big groups of tetrapods: mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians. While mammals and birds present highly conserved sex determination systems, the other two groups do not.

Mammalian sex chromosomes, and especially the human ones, are the most studied and represent the model which has been used to study other vertebrates and to draw hypothesis about the evolution of sex determination. Placental mammals have a XX/XY sex determination system originated 165 million years ago (MYA), with a sex determination gene





named *sry* (*sox* region in Y) which arose by shuffling of the HMG box of the transcription factor SOX3 (*sox3*) and another gene linked to the X chromosome, microprocessor complex subunit DGCR8 (*dgcr8*) (Sato et al. 2010). Marsupial mammals have the same *sry*-XY sex determination system but their chromosomes lack a region added 105 MYA to placental mammals' sex chromosomes (Veyrunes et al. 2008). On the contrary monotremes, platypus and echidna, have a multiple chromosome sex determination system, composed of five X chromosomes and five or four Y chromosomes respectively (Grützner et al. 2004). These chromosomes do not show homology with the sex chromosomes of other mammals nor do they bear the *sry* gene (Ferguson-Smith and Rens, 2010).

All birds share the same ZZ/ZW genetic determination system which appeared around 120 MYA (van Tuinen and Hedges, 2001). The sex determination gene is *dmrt1*, which is absent in the W chromosome and works in a dosage-dependant manner, two *dmrt1* copies are necessary for the development of a male gonad (Smith et al. 2009). Most birds present heteromorphic sex chromosomes but some groups, like the ratites, have homomorphic sex

chromosomes with a single small differential region (Ellegren, 2000; Shetty et al. 2002). Interestingly, the avian Z chromosome shares homology with the monotreme sex chromosomes, which may suggest that the common mammalian ancestor had a ZW sex determination system similar to that of birds (Veyrunes et al. 2008). *Dmrt1*, the sex determination gene in birds, is present in the monotreme sex chromosomes, but it does not seem to be the sex determination gene, which is still unknown (Ferguson-Smith and Rens, 2010).

Reptiles exhibit a great variation of sex determining systems. Environmental sex determination is predominant and probably the ancestral state from which ZW and XY systems have evolved repeatedly (Janzen and Phillips, 2006; Organ and Janes, 2008). Temperature sex determination is mostly conserved in crocodiles and turtles, where incubation temperature determines sex. In crocodiles high temperatures usually promotes the development of males, while in turtles high temperatures produce females. In lizards, temperature and genetic (ZW and XY) sex determination systems are present and in several species sex determination is controlled both by genetic signals and temperature effects (Quinn et al. 2007; Radder et al. 2008). There are around five thousand species of lizards (Uetz and Hošek, 2014) and the karyotype of approximately a thousand has been obtained of which less than two hundred present heteromorphic sex chromosomes (Ezaz et al. 2009). Yet, highly conserved old sex determining systems exist in some reptile lineages. For example iguanas present a broadly conserved XY sex determination system with homologous sex chromosomes originated between 123 and 73 MYA (Rovatsos et al. 2014). Also snakes present a conserved ZZ/ZW genetic sex determination system originated 40 MYA with sex chromosomes ranging from completely homomorphic to strongly heteromorphic depending on the family (Vicoso et al. 2013). Recently, a small lizard was discovered, Gekko hokouensis, which presents a ZZ/ZW system and the gene content of the Z chromosome is homologous to that of the Z chromosome of birds, included *dmrt1* (Kawai et al. 2009). However, since Gekko hokouensis chromosomes seem to be of recent origin it is thought that both systems do not share a common origin, but rather that the same chromosome pair was independently selected for sex determination in both lineages (Kawai et al. 2009). The ZZ/ZW bird sex determination system is believed to have evolved independently to that of reptiles (Kawai et al. 2007).

Most amphibians present genetic sex determination (Eggert, 2004) and usually homomorphic sex chromosomes, but the type and gene content differs significantly between the different species (Uller and Helanterä, 2011; Mawaribuchi et al. 2012). Frogs and toads mainly show ZW sex determination systems, while XY systems are the most common in salamanders (Schmid et al. 1991, Smith and Voss, 2009). The different chromosomal systems of the present amphibians are believed to have arisen independently along evolution (Nakamura, 2009). *Xenopus laevis* shows a ZZ/ZW sex determination system and its sex determining gene is the only one known in amphibians, *dm-w*, which is homologous to the avian *dmrt1* and acts as an antagonist inhibiting the formation of a male gonad, causing instead ovary development (Yoshimoto et al. 2008). *Dm-w* must have evolved recently, since

it is not present in other nearby species like *Xenopus tropicalis* (Uno et al. 2008). Several changes between ZW and XY systems have occurred in amphibians (Hillis and Green, 1990). One of the most extreme cases was observed in *Rana rugosa*, where sex determination has evolved twice, and both XY and ZW populations exist inhabiting different Japanese islands with an inter-breeding area harboring a mixture of all sex chromosomes (Ogata et al. 2003). It has been shown that the X chromosome is homologous to the W and the Y is homologous to the Z (Uno et al. 2008).

Both mammalian and avian sex determination systems are consistent with a cascade model, with *sry* and *dmrt1* on the top as sex determination master switches. Mammals and birds are endotherms, maintaining constant body temperatures throughout all their lives. On the contrary, reptiles and amphibians body temperature depends on the environment being more variable during their life and also more diverse between species. This is also true for their sex determination systems which contrast with the high conservation of the other two groups. However, as we mentioned, there are also some groups of reptiles with conserved sex determination systems, but also reptiles try to maintain their body temperature constant through external heat sources, while amphibians do not, and also conserved sex determination systems have not been discovered among them. There seems to be a connection between body temperature control and sex. Homeotermy appeared twice independently in birds and mammals and this might be critical for the fixation of their sex determination systems. Fish live in the widest range of environmental conditions and are poikilotherms as amphibians so, what is the situation in the last group of vertebrates?

2.2. Sex in fish

Fish is a paraphyletic group which comprises three different groups: jawless fish, cartilagous fish and bony fish (Figure 3). Among these, bony fish or teleosts form the largest group with over 26.000 species (Nelson, 2006) and also the best studied. Teleosts present a great variety of sex determination mechanisms. Sex can be determined by genetic or environmental factors, or by a combination of both (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002). Genetic sex determination includes single gene sex determination systems and polyfactorial systems with several genes and different chromosomes (Penman and Piferrer, 2008). Furthermore, fish also present every type of reproductive strategy, ranging from hermaphroditism with two reproductive organs in the same individual to gonochorism or unisexual species which reproduce by parthenogenesis (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002). The common fish ancestor is thought to have presented separate sexes (Smith, 1975), since hermaphroditism is rare in this group (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002) and restricted to the extremes of the fish phylogeny, which suggests a polyphyletic origin (Mank et al. 2006). However, it is difficult to discriminate between an ancestor with genetic or environmental sex determination since nowadays the sex determination mechanism of only a few species is well known. Also, many changes in sex determination have occurred along evolution, even between closely related species (Volff et al. 2007).



Figure 3. The fish group is paraphyletic as shown by the vertebrate phylogeny

Johnson and Losos, 2006

The control of sex determination and differentiation is very important for aquaculture industry. Nowadays, over 350 fish species are harvested in the world (FAO, 2014). There are several reasons to obtain single sex stocks for industry (Martínez et al. 2014). In some species growth sex dimorphisms exist, with either higher growth in males (tilapias) or, more frequently, females (flatfish, sea bass). In other species, sex maturation affects the organoleptic properties preferently in one sex (Piferrer et al. 2009). Furthermore, sex can be connected to characteristics like color or shape, associated with commercial value. Another particular case is that of the sturgeon since, in order to produce caviar, only females are productive.

2.2.1. Genetic sex determination in fish

Heteromorphic sex chromosomes have only been found in a 7% of the fish species studied (Penman and Piferrer, 2008; Oliveira et al. 2009). However, for most gonochoristic fish species, sex is genetically determined, either by one or several loci (Kikuchi and Hamaguchi, 2013). The most common sex determination systems are XX/XY and ZZ/ZW, but, as previously mentioned, other chromosome systems like XX/XO or XX/XY₁Y₂ have also been reported (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002). Given the economic importance of sex and

reproduction for aquaculture a big effort has been applied for understanding sex determination in fish. Yet, sex determination genes have only been identified in six species or groups of species: Oryzias latipes (dmy), Oryzias luzonensis (gsdf), Oryzias dancena (sox3) Odonthesthes microlepidotus (amhY), Takifugu rubripes (amhr2) and Oncorhynchus mykiss (sdY).

2.2.1.1. Medaka

The first sex determination gene discovered in fish was *dmy* in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Medaka is a freshwater fish from East of Asia popular in aquariums, which has been a pet in Japan since the 17th century. Medaka shows a XX/XY sex determination system with a sex determining gene *dmy*, a copy of *dmrt1*, present in chromosome Y. This Y chromosome arose around 10 MYA, being the youngest sex chromosome system discovered to date (Kondo et al. 2004). Sex chromosomes have been identified in eight *Oryzias* species. Surprisingly, seven different sex chromosome pairs have been found, five XX/XY and two ZZ/ZW (Tanaka et al. 2007). *Dmy*, besides *Oryzias latipes*, is the sex determining gene only in *Oryzias curvinotus* (Takehana et al. 2008). The *Oryzias* genus seems to offer a unique opportunity to study rapid transitions between different sex determination systems.

Recently, the sex determination gene was detected in other two species of this genus. *Oryzias luzonensis* shows a XX/XY system and the sex determining gene is gsdf, present in both chromosomes but with different alleles. A higher expression of the $gsdf^{X}$ allele has been found and it has been associated to a mutation in its promoter for a steroidogenic factor 1 binding site, the gene responsible for the induction of *sry* in male mammals (Myosho et al. 2012). However, in another medaka species, *Oryzias dancena*, also XX/XY, *sox3* seems to be the sex determination gene and initiates testicular differentiation by upregulating the expression of gsdf (Takehana et al. 2014).

2.2.1.2. Patagonian pejerrey

Patagonian pejerrey (*Odonthesthes microlepidotus*) is an Argentinean freshwater fish with an XX/XY sex determination system (Strüssmann et al. 1997). Recently, an additional copy of the anti-müllerian hormone has been found in the male specific Y chromosome: *amhy* (Hattori et al. 2012). This additional *amh* copy drives male development. This was the first discovered case of a non transcription factor sex determining gene in fish.

2.2.1.3. Japanese puffer

Japanese puffer (*Takifugu rubripes*) is an Asian marine fish whose genome was the 2^{nd} vertebrate one to be published (Aparicio et al. 2002). This fish has a XX/XY sex determination system (Kikuchi et al. 2007) and sex determination occurs due to a single SNP in the anti-müllerian hormone receptor 2 gene (*amhr2*) (Kamiya et al. 2012), which is conserved in other two closely related species (Kamiya et al. 2012). The X-associated *amhr2* codifies for a receptor variant with a reduced function, and so in XX individuals the *amh* cascade is not efficiently triggered, leading to female development. The sex determining region of *Takifugu rubripes* does not show reduction of recombination (Kamiya et al. 2012),

which might be more common than expected due to the low differentiation of sex chromosomes in fish (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002).

2.2.1.4. Rainbow trout

Rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) is a salmonid fish inhabiting the North of the Pacific Ocean. This species has been cultured since the 19^{th} century and its aquaculture production in 2012 was of 850,000 tones (FAO, 2014). Its sex determination system is XX/XY and the sex determining gene has been recently discovered and named *sdY* (Yano et al. 2012). This gene presents homology with the interferon regulatory factor 9 (*irf9*) and is the first sex determining gene discovered apparently not related to the sex differentiation network. *Irf9* is involved in the type I interferon response in mammals and, so, implicated in the immune response (Takaoka and Yanai, 2006). This study reveals that new genes, at first not obviously connected with sex differentiation, may be recruited as sex determining genes, further complicating the search for candidate sex determination genes in fish. The presence of *sdY* has been confirmed in fifteen species of salmonids, and associated with male sex in thirteen of them, but, surprisingly, the Y chromosomes in these salmonid species are not homologous, thus suggesting some kind of jumping or transposition of the SD gene (Yano et al. 2013).

2.2.1.5. Sablefish and Tongue sole

Two other strong candidates have been proposed as sex determining genes in teleost species. In the sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*) male specific insertions have been detected in the *gsdf* promoter, which suggests an XX/XY system and *gsdf* as the sex determination gene (Rondeau et al. 2013). On the other hand, the tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*) shows a ZZ/ZW sex determination system and *dmrt1* has been suggested as the sex determining gene, because it is found associated to sex and pseudogenized in the W chromosome (Chen et al. 2014). This sex determination system would be analogous to that of birds, where *dmrt1* determines the sex depending on its dosage.

2.2.2. Environmental sex determination in fish

Environmental sex determination has been traditionally assumed to be widely distributed in fish. As in amphibians or reptiles, the main sex determining environmental factor in fish is temperature. However, thermosensitivity in fish differs from that observed in reptiles, particularly because in fish monosexual populations are rare, even under extreme circumstances (Baroiller and D'Cotta, 2001). Furthermore, the influence of temperature on species with a genetically determined system have been largely reported in teleosts (Baroiller et al. 1999; Baroiller and D'Cotta, 2001; Baroiller and Guiguen, 2001) and most fish sex determination systems can be described as genetic with environmental influences. In most thermosensitive fish species, male-biased offsprings are obtained at high temperatures, and development of ovaries is induced at lower temperatures (Baroiller et al. 1999). However, it has been argued that the detected temperature effects on sex ratios in fish might not be realistic since the used temperature ranges do not fit to the species natural habitat in many cases (Ospina-Álvarez and Piferrer, 2008). Other environmental factors like pH, hypoxia, population density or social interactions have also been reported to affect sex determination in some species (Guerrero-Estévez and Moreno-Mendoza, 2010).

Sex steroids seem to be heavily involved in the sex determination response to environmental conditions (Nakamura, 2010). Most studies point towards the enzyme complex aromatase, which is associated with the production of estrogens (Ramsey and Crews, 2009) and plays a central role in the sex differentiation of all non-mammalian vertebrates (Guiguen et al. 2010). Aromatase has also been suggested as a primary target for temperature sex determination in reptiles (Pieau and Dorizzi, 2004). Although its implication has not been demontrated in all the species with temperature sex determination and, consequently, other alternative target molecules may exist (Uller and Helanterä, 2011). Nonetheless, the most recurrent hypothesis is that high temperature inhibits the enzyme aromatase, possibly through its activators, the genes *foxl2* and *ftr1*, although the implication of heat-shock proteins has also been suggested to temperature sex ratio shifts in European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*; Navarro-Martín et al. 2011), pointing towards an epigenetic mechanism controlling the expression of aromatase and sex proportions.

On the other hand, accumulating evidences suggest that the endocrine stress-axis may play a critical role in environmental sex determination. High temperatures have been reported to produce male-biased offsprings and to raise cortisol levels in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*; Hayashi et al. 2010), pejerrey (*Odonthesthes bonariensis*; Hattori et al. 2009) and Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*; Yamaguchi et al. 2010). Furthermore, a male-skewed sex ratio has recently been found related to background color in southern flounder (*Paralichthys lethostigma*), and it has also been associated with higher cortisol levels (Mankiewicz et al. 2013). So, various environmental factors affecting sex determination might be acting through a common stress mechanism.

Yet, other mechanisms have been suggested to explain temperature effects on sex differentiation. Hayashi et al. (2010) proposed that the follicle stimulating hormone receptor (fshr) would be directly regulated by temperature and would be as well connected to germ cell proliferation. Fernandino et al. (2013) suggested a different temperature-mediated mechanism which would imply the over-expression of the steroidogenic enzyme hydroxysteroid (11-beta) dehydrogenase 2 (*hsd11b2*), which is involved in the synthesis of androgens like the 11-ketosterone.

In many species, environmental factors have effects on sexual determination which depend on the genetic background, and interactions between family and temperatura have been documented in several species (Vandeputte et al. 2007; Martínez et al. 2014). Temperature sensitivity can thus be a hereditary trait (Baroiller et al. 1999). In *Poeciliopsis lucida* exposure to high temperatures can alter the sexual proportion towards male only in sensitive lines (Schultz, 1993).
2.3. Sex determination systems: insights from vertebrates

Fish live in a great variety of habitats and their sex determination systems are highly heterogeneous. This variability is also shown by amphibians and reptiles to an extent (Valenzuela, 2008), and contrasts with the very conserved sex determination systems of mammals and birds, in parallel with a higher homeostasis along development, especially regarding temperature (Barske and Capel, 2008). Furthermore, in some reptiles, amphibians and fish, sex determination systems seem to evolve rapidly, leading to closely related species showing different sex determination mechanisms, even within species (Ogata et al. 2003; Lee et al. 2004).

In fish, amphibians and reptiles, key environmental cues cannot be predicted and may change between parents and offspring. This is the case for many teleost fish, particularly in the marine environment, where habitats ultimately occupied by juveniles often are related to the long-range dispersal associated to the planktonic larval stage (Mankiewicz et al. 2013). In this situation, adjusting sex ratios to environmental variation can be advantageous. For example, temperature fluctuations in the different habitats where fish dwell may alter biochemical pathways of sexual determination determining male or female development (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002). Furthermore, fish gonad development flexibility, which allows environmental factors to change gonadal fate, would offer a high number of opportunities along evolution for new sex determination mechanisms and environmental interactions to appear (Piferrer et al. 2012), which can help to explain the huge sex determination variation and the rapid transition between different systems in closely related fish species.

In this sense, sex determination in fish cannot be considered a cascade process but a network where different factors, both genetic and environmental, interact to determine sex. We have been studying sex determination from a mammalian point of view in every organism, looking for a sex "switch" in the top of a sex differentiation cascade. While most fish species present some sort of major genetic component in sex determination and finding this gene is still important, we should also aim to understand early gonad development and how the several genetic and environmental factors contribute to the fate of the gonad if we want to completely understand how sex is determined in fish, and so be able to control it.

3. Sex differentiation

Although it has been commonly assumed that downstream elements in the sex differentiation network are conserved among the different vertebrate lineages, this claim is based mainly in sequence homology and in a few studies on gene expression along gonad development. However, not only changes in the sex determination genes have been observed in fish, but also in other downstream elements of the sex differentiation network (Böhne et al. 2013; Herpin et al. 2013). Even genes with a very important sex-associated role in some species (male-like or female-like genes) have been found playing other relevant functions in

the opposite sex (Böhne et al. 2013). Mammalian sex differentiation is by far the most studied.

3.1. Sex differentiation in mammals

The process which leads to the development of a male or a female gonad can be divided in three steps: 1) Bipotential primordium formation, 2) sex determination, and 3) gonad differentiation (male or female pathways). The aim of this section is to summarize the role of the different genes involved in sex differentiation in mammals, which represent the best studied vertebrate group and a key reference for all other vertebrates.

3.1.1. Bipotential gonad formation

The genital ridge, which is composed of somatic cell lineages and germ cells, is the precursor of gonad primordium in both sexes. There are some key transcription factors involved in the formation and development of genital ridges (Tanaka and Nishinakamura, 2014). Among them, two genes are critical for the formation of the bipotential primordium. The first one is GATA-binding protein 4 (*gata4*), required for the initiation of genital ridge formation after its expression in the coelomic epithelium (Hu et al. 2013). *Gata4* is also responsible for the expression of the nuclear receptor subfamily 5, group A, member 1 (*nr5a1*) or steroidogenic factor 1 (*sf1*), the second critical gene in genital ridge formation, involved in the formation, development and proliferation of gonadal precursor cells, but also in the activation of a set of genes involved in steroidogenesis, such as *cyp17a1* or *3β-hsd* (Tanaka and Nishinakamura, 2014). *Nr5a1* or *sf1* has been proposed to act dose dependently and its expression depends on several other genes like Lim homeobox 9 (*Lhx9*; Birk et al. 2000) or the zinc finger transcription factor wilms tumor 1 (*wt1*; Kreidberg et al. 1993). Furthermore, *wnt* genes like *wnt4* or *wnt7*a are necessary for the formation of Müllerian ducts and its absence causes defects in both sexes (Parr and McMahon, 1998; Vainio et al. 1999).

After the formation of the undifferentiated gonad primordium, members of the insulin receptor family, *gata4*, *wt1* and *sf1*, promote the expression of *sry* in males (Park and Jameson, 2005).

3.1.2. Sex determination: sry

Sry is the sex determining gene in mammals. This gene is the founder of the *sox* family, which codifies for transcription factors with a DNA binding domain, similar to the chromatin high mobility group domain (HMG). It has only one exon which codifies a 204 amino acids protein. *Sry* is necessary and sufficient to initiate the differentiation of a male gonad. If *sry* is not active, a female gonad will develop. Nowadays, a single target is known for *sry*, *sox9*, which would be responsible for promoting the male gonad development cascade (Kashimada and Koopman, 2010).

3.1.3. Male gonad differentiation

Sry, together with sf1, activates directly sox9 expression in the sertoli cells (Sekido and Lovell-badge, 2008), which is sufficient to induce testis formation and can replace sry if its expression is artificially induced. There are other genes regulating sox9 expression. Sry starts a feedback loop between sox9 and fgf9, increasing the expression of both genes which at the same time suppress the ovarian pathway through the inhibition of the wnt/ β -catenin signaling pathway (Kim et al. 2006). Sox9 is involved in another feedback loop with ptgds, which synthesizes prostaglandine D2, a signaling molecule which enhances sox9 activity in Sertoli cells, inducing the expression of other genes like amh (Wilhelm et al. 2007a, Moniot et al. 2009). This gene belongs to the transforming growth factor β superfamily and is responsible for the regression of Müller ducts in the XY gonad (Shen et al. 1994), but also works as a negative regulator of aromatase, a key female enzyme responsible of conversion of androgens into estrogens (Le Page et al. 2010). Mice amh^{-/-} present Müller ducts but develop normal testis, so amh does not seem to have an essential role in male gonad development in mammals (Behringer et al. 1994). Sfl, gata4 or wtl are also involved in the regulation of amh (Wilhelm et al. 2007b). Sertoli cells also regulate the differentiation of Leydig cells, through the signaling activity of Desert Hedgehog gene (dhh; Yao et al. 2002) and the growth factor pdgfa (Brennan et al. 2003). Levdig cells produce testosterone, the male sex steroid hormone, which is synthesized by steroidogenic enzymes many of which are regulated by sf1 as previously mentioned.

Other two *sox* genes are involved in male gonad development, *sox8* and *sox10*, which are structurally very similar to *sox9* and seem to reinforce and compensate its action if needed (Chaboissier et al. 2004). The DM gene family is also involved in sexual differentiation in a very wide range of species from corals or insects to fish and mammals (Miller et al. 2003, Raymond et al. 2000) and *dmrt1*, sex determining gene in birds and medaka, belongs to this family. In mammals, *dmrt1^{-/-}* mutants presented gonad defects only after birth and so it is not critical for gonad development (Raymond et al. 2000).

3.1.4. Female gonad differentiation

 Wnt/β -catenin signaling is the key female differentiation pathway which drives gonad differentiation when it is not repressed by sox9/fgf9. Mutations affecting this pathway lead to the masculinization of XX gonads and the overexpression of some of its genes can modify the fate of an XY gonad by promoting ovarian development (Maatouk et al. 2008). One of the tasks of the *wnt* pathway is to inhibit *sox9*, which has to be constantly repressed in an XX gonad. So, sex determination seems to be governed by a balance between two antagonistic pathways, *sox9/fgf9* for male development and *wnt/β*-catenin for female development (Kim et al. 2006).

Wnt4 is a member of the wingless family (*wnt*) which activates signaling in gonadal development (Maatouk et al. 2008) and so, it is important for sex determination (Kim et al. 2006) and female development (Vainio et al. 1999). *Wnt4* is also necessary for the initial

development of Müller ducts in both sexes (Vainio et al. 1999). It is also responsible for the inhibition of male specific processes in the XX gonad, working as an antagonist signal to *fgf9* and *sox9* (Kim et al. 2006). *Wnt4* works together with R-spondin 1 (*rspo1*) to regulate *ctnnb1*, which codifies for β -catenin, the final effector of the *wnt* pathway (Capel, 2006). β -catenin produces sex reversal in XY gonads, inhibiting the expression of male specific genes like *sox9* or *amh* and promoting the expression of specific female genes. On the contrary, if β -catenin is not expressed in XX gonads, ovary inducing genes are inhibited, but the expression of testis specific genes does not happen and so there is no sex reversal (Manuylov et al. 2008).

Another important gene in female differentiation is *foxl2*, which is a transcription factor exclusively expressed in the ovary. *Foxl2* acts directly, together with estrogen receptors α and β , to repress *sox9* during adulthood (Uhlenhaut et al. 2009). *Foxl2* is also implicated in estrogen synthesis regulation through the activation of aromatase (*cyp19a1*; Pannetier et al. 2006), which catalyzes the last steps of estrogen biosynthesis from androgens. The loss of *foxl2* does not impair sex determination or gonad differentiation until the perinatal stage (Ottolenghi et al. 2005).

3.1.5. Mammalian sex differentiation: conclusions

Despite mammalian sex determination relies upon a conserved mechanism with *sry* as a central element, the antagonism between *sox9/fgf9* and *wnt/β-catenin* pathways works as a threshold mechanism which can alter the fate of the gonad. However, in mammals the expression of these genes is so controlled, probably due to both tight genetic regulation and mammalian homeostasis, that when their expression is abnormal, in humans, we usually speak of disorders. Yet, the threshold mechanism is present.

3.2. Sex differentiation in other vertebrates

All described genes (*sox9*, *wt1*, *dmrt1*, *amh*, *sf1*, *fox12*, ...) have homologues in a wide spectrum of vertebrate species and they are apparently also involved in gonad differentiation, however, its function and importance might vary from one species to another.

For instance, *amh* expression precedes that of *sox9* both in chicken and alligator during early sex differentiation (Shoemaker and Crews, 2009; Smith and Sinclair, 2004), and in medaka *sox9* is not necessary for the formation of testis (Nakamura et al. 2008, 2012). Another example, despite *wnt4* antagonizes the expression of *fgf9* in mammals (Kim et al. 2006, Matson et al. 2011), this gene does not exist in fish (Forconi et al. 2013) and in birds it does not show a dimorphic expression pattern (Cutting et al. 2013). These observations question not only the importance of *fgf9* in sex determination in other vertebrates, but also the antagonism of *wnt4* and its signaling pathway. For example, *rspo2* is not expressed during medaka sex determination (Herpin et al. 2013) and *wnt4* is not expressed in a sexually dimorphic fashion in the early stages of gonad development in the same species (Oshima et al. 2005). An even more striking observation is the role of aromatase in East African cichlids. In

this group of fish, *cyp19a1* pattern is not consistent with its female role, since its expression was also detected in testis (Böhne et al. 2013). *Cyp19a1* expression has also been detected in rainbow trout testis, suggesting a role in male gonad (Kotula-Balak et al. 2008).

The examples of genes which belong to the sex differentiation cascade whose expression does not match that of mammals are numerous. Here, a few have been cited to illustrate that sex differentiation is not as conserved as traditionally assumed and so, its study in the different species can help us understand how gonad fate is determined.

4. Turbot

Turbot is the target species of this study; it is a flatfish with aquaculture importance.

4.1. Taxonomy

Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*, Linnaeus 1758) is a marine flatfish of the *Scophthalmidae* family (Order *Pleuronectiformes*). Nowadays, two classifications are accepted for turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus* and *Psetta maxima* (Froese and Pauly, 2006), so the use of one or other depends on the preferences of the author. Taking into account the small genetic distance based on allozymes (Bouza et al. 1997), the high karyotypic similarity (Pardo et al. 2001), and the hybridization observed between *S. maximus* and *S. rhombus*, we shall use the specific name *Scophthalmus maximus* as suggested by Hermida et al. (2013). Turbot complete taxonomic classification is as follows:

Superclass: Gnathostomata

Class: Osteichthyes Subclass: Actinopterygii Infraclass: Actinopteri Superdivision: Neopterygii Division: Halecostomi Subdivision: Teleostei Order: Pleuronectiformes Suborder: Pleuronectoidei Family: Scophthalmidae Genus: Scophthalmus

4.2. Biology

Turbot is a marine benthic species which inhabits sandy or rocky sea floors in a range of 20 to 100 meters depth. It is characterized by a flat body, almost circular, without bilateral symmetry. Both eyes are protruding on the left side of the fish. The skin does not present scales; instead it has rough bone bulges irregularly distributed on its dorsal part. The ventral part of the fish is white while the dorsal color is regulable, ranging from gray to brownish depending on the floor in order to provide camouflage. Turbot growth rate is one of the highest among flatfish, growing approximately 30 cm every three years. Turbot can live up to 25 years reaching one meter length and twelve kilograms weight (Froese and Pauly, 2006).



Figure 4. Turbot

Turbot distribution goes from the Baltic Sea to the Arctic Ocean all along the West coast of Europe (Blanquer et al. 1992). Turbot are carnivore, adult fish diet is based only in other teleost fish and cephalopods, however younger fish fed of small crustaceans and mollusks (Jones, 1970).

Reproductive season goes from May to July in the Atlantic coasts of the Iberia peninsula, in response to an increasing photoperiod and a raise of water temperatures. During this period turbot migrate from deep waters (50-100 m) to zones nearer to the coast (5-25 m). Females present very high fecundities, with up to a million eggs per kilogram of weight. Larvae hatch after an incubation period of five to seven days; they present bilateral symmetry and have a pelagic life style. Around 40 days later they go through metamorphosis and gain adult-like characteristics (Person-Le Ruyet, 1990).

4.3. Turbot aquaculture

Turbot is a very important commercial species in Europe and recently in China (FAO, 2014). Total turbot aquaculture production in the European Union in 2013 was of 7.721 tons, 14.5% lower than in 2012. The main European producer is Spain with a 88.3% of the production, and particularly Galicia with a 99.2% of Spanish production (APROMAR, 2014). In China turbot production started in 1992 and it is nowadays a very important aquaculture industry with 64000 tons in 2013 (FAO, 2014).

Turbot shows one of the most accentuated growth sex dimorphism among harvested fish species (Imsland et al. 1997). Females largely outgrow males and reach commercial size between four and six months earlier. Besides, males mature around 15-18 months old while females around 24 months (Purdom et al. 1972; Bye and Jones, 1981; Imsland et al. 1997). These data explain the interest of turbot industry in producing all female stocks, since they show higher growth rates, better energy conversion and a later sexual maturation (Penman and Piferrer, 2008).

4.4. Sex related studies in turbot

Sex determination and gonad differentiation define the number of males and females in a population and, so, sex ratios. Due to the mentioned growth sex dimorphism and its commercial interest, knowing the mechanisms of sex determination and differentiation are of the outmost importance in turbot, so an important effort have been devoted to understand sex determination mechanisms in this species.

4.4.1. Cytogenetic studies

Cytogenetic studies in turbot revealed that chromosome number is 2n=44, without any heteromorphic chromosome pair (Bouza et al. 1994; Pardo et al. 2001). Synaptonemal complexes were studied in spermatocytes and oocytes of diploid and triploid turbot (Cuñado et al. 2001), a phase of meiosis where chromosomes are more than 10 fold longer than mitotic chromosomes, and hence, being more resolute to detect unpaired regions associated with the putative sex differential region. No unpaired regions were observed in pachytene, which suggest that the sex determining region in this species is small as occurs in most marine species (Cuñado et al. 2001).

4.4.2. Sex ratio studies

Two gynogenetic families were obtained by UV irradiated sperm fertilization and cold shock, rendering a 1:3 male:female ratio in one of the families and 100% females in the other. Additionally, sex ratio of several triploid turbot families showed a female bias (3:1 female:male; Cal et al. 2006). These results moved these authors to suggest a XX/XY sex

determination system, since all female populations in gynogenetics and a female bias in triploid would be expected with such sytem (Cal et al. 2002, 2006).

Another analysis in 33 turbot families produced by crosses between regular females and genetic females hormonally reversed revealed that 26 families would adjust better to a ZZ/ZW system, although 15 families would fit both ZW and XY systems. In this study also a minor temperature effect on sex ratios was suggested (Haffray et al. 2009).

4.4.3. Sex-association marker studies

A first study using 2050 RAPD primers found four sex-associated markers in turbot. None of these markers allowed to correctly classifying 100% of the fish, but their combined use correctly assigned 90% of the males and 83% of the females (Casas et al. 2011).

Another study using cDNA-AFLPs in gonad, brain and liver was the first approximation to the study of comparative gene expression between sexes in this species. Several sex specific transcripts were found in the three organs. Significant expression differences were found for three genes, two in the gonads (meiosis-specific nuclear structural protein 1 and serie/threonine-protein kinase nek10) and another one in liver (complement component C9), the three being overexpressed in males (Taboada et al. 2012).

A final study using 540 RAPD primers detected a female specific marker. The sequencing and mapping of this marker revealed its linkage with *foxl2* and *wnt4* genes, related to sex development in females (Vale et al. 2014).

4.4.4. Genetic mapping and QTLs

The first turbot genetic map was obtained from 248 anonymous microsatellite markers (Pardo et al. 2007; Bouza et al. 2008). Later centromeres were located in most linkage groups (LG) using half-tetrad meiosis analysis with diploid gynogenetics (Martínez et al. 2008) and the exploitation of the first turbot expressed sequence tags (EST) database (Pardo et al. 2008) enabled to incorporate 31 microsatellite markers associated to ESTs. The integrated genetic map was used to identify chromosome regions associated with sex in several turbot families, a main sex determination region being located in the proximal end of LG5 (Martínez et al. 2009). The highest association was detected with Sma-USCE30 microsatellite and the distance with the sex determining locus was estimated in 1.4 million base pairs (Mb). This marker allowed correctly sexing 98.4% of the offspring of four out of the five analyzed families. Another three minor sex determination QTLs were also found in linkage groups 6, 8 and 21, suggesting that other minor genetic factors may be involved in sex determination. The number of markers in the genetic map was later increased to 496 (Bouza et al. 2012; Hermida et al. 2013) and the number of linkage groups reduced from 24 to 22, matching to the number of chromosomes (Taboada et al. 2014). Suppression of recombination was not found in the sex determination region, indicating that the sex determination system has a recent origin (Taboada et al. 2014).

4.4.5. Candidate genes

Linkage desequilibrium analyses were used to determine the position in the genetic map of several candidate genes related to sex differentiation in order to study their colocalization with sex-related QTLs. Among them, *sox9* and *sox17* were placed in LG21 and colocalize with a minor sex-related QTL. However, no candidate gene was found in the main sex determining region at LG5, despite two important sex differentiation genes, *amh* and *dmrta2*, are placed in LG5 (Viñas et al. 2012). Recently, six genes closely linked to SmaUSC-E30 sex associated marker were identified: *dnaj19*, *atp11b*, *sox2*, *ncbp2*, *dlg1* and *fxr1*. These genes were tested for sex association in a natural turbot population with negative results, suggesting that likely none of them is the sex determining gene (Taboada et al. 2014).

4.4.6. Genomic resources

A 454 sequencing run of tissues belonging to the brain-pituitary-gonad axis identified 1,410 genes related to reproduction, including for example *amh*, *cyp19a* or several *sox* genes. These sequences, together with those related to immune genes coming from Sanger (Pardo et al. 2008) and 454 (Pereiro et al. 2012) sequencing, were integrated in a turbot database and used for the design of a new version of the turbot microarray (Ribas et al. 2013).

Furthermore, turbot genome has been recently sequenced and assembled (Figueras et al, in preparation) and new transcriptome data from RNAseq (Robledo et al. 2014a) have been obtained. The genome is an essential tool for screening candidate regions and is currently being used to further study the sex determining region at LG5 and to refine the turbot transcriptome.

4.4.7. Environmental influences

The only environmental influence studied in turbot regarding sex determination was temperature. Haffray et al. (2009) analyzed six turbot families at three different temperatures (15, 18 and 23°C) finding that two families presented a higher proportion of females than expected at 23°C while another family presented more females at 15°C. Despite the small number of families analyzed, temperature effects seem to be limited and family dependent in turbot and the study of these interactions might be advantageous for aquaculture.







The main objective of this work was to study sex determination and gonad differentiation through gene expression at the critical stages where sex differentiation begins in turbot. Special attention was paid to those genes involved in sex differentiation in other vertebrate species and to their role in turbot and fish in general, in order to assess their functional conservation. Furthermore, due to the great relevance of environmental factors and their interaction with genetic ones on sex determination in fish, another main objective was to study the effect of rearing temperature on the sex differentiation genes in relation to sex ratio. All data obtained in this work will also be framed in the practical application fo obtaining allfemale populations by aquaculture industry.

The specific objectives were:

- 1) To setup the real-time PCR technique in turbot gonads, focusing on reference genes for the precise estimation of gene expression during sex differentiation and also for the validation of microarray experiments.
- 2) To evaluate the expression profile of several genes along turbot gonad differentiation and their degree of conservation when compared to other vertebrate species. Special attention will be paid to those genes present in the main sex determination region at LG5 or to those with a role in sex determination in other species.
- 3) To study the global differentiation process leading to the development of the undifferentiated gonad as testis or ovaries through the use of a turbot microarray. This will increase the available information on gonad differentiation in teleosts and also will help to understand the role of several genes during sex differentiation in turbot.
- 4) To investigate the effect of temperature on the expression of genes involved in sex differentiation. Our aim was to explore the sex ratio differences observed in some families when reared at different temperatures and to evaluate the influence of temperature on gene expression at relevant genes associated with the initial steps of gonad differentiation.





Chapter 1. Analysis of qPCR reference gene stability determination methods and a practical approach for efficiency calculation on a turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) gonad dataset.

Robledo D, Hernández-Urcera J, Cal RM, Pardo BG, Sánchez L, Martínez P, Viñas A. BMC Genomics 2014, 15: 648.



Analysis of qPCR reference gene stability determination methods and a practical approach for efficiency calculation on a turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) gonad dataset.

Diego Robledo¹, Jorge Hernández-Urcera², Rosa M Cal², Belén G Pardo³, Laura Sánchez³, Paulino Martínez³, Ana Viñas¹

¹ Departamento de Genética, Facultad de Biología (CIBUS, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Spain

² Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Centro Oceanográfico de Vigo, Vigo, 36390, Spain

³ Departamento de Genética. Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Campus de Lugo, Lugo, 27002, Spain

Abstract

Gene expression analysis by reverse transcription quantitative PCR (qPCR) is the most widely used method for analyzing the expression of a moderate number of genes and also for the validation of microarray results. Several issues are crucial for a successful qPCR study, particularly the selection of internal reference genes for normalization and efficiency determination. There is no agreement on which method is the best to detect the most stable genes neither on how to perform efficiency determination. In this study we offer a comprehensive evaluation of the characteristics of reference gene selection methods and how to decide which one is more reliable when they show discordant outcomes. Also, we analyze the current efficiency calculation controversy. Our dataset is composed by gonad samples of turbot at different development times reared at different temperatures. Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) is a relevant marine aquaculture European species with increasing production in the incoming years. Since females largely outgrow males, identification of genes related to sex determination, gonad development and reproductive behavior, and analysis of their expression profiles are of primary importance for turbot industry. We analyzed gene stability of six reference genes: rps4, rpl17, gapdh, actb, ubq and b2m using the comparative delta-CT method, Bestkeeper, NormFinder and GeNorm approaches in gonad samples of turbot. Supported by descriptive statistics, we found NormFinder to be the best method, while on the other side, GeNorm results proved to be unreliable. According to our analysis, *ubq* and *rps4* were the most stable genes, while b2m was the least stable gene. We also analyzed the efficiency calculation softwares LinRegPCR, LREanalyzer, DART and PCR-Miner and we recommend LinRegPCR for research purposes since it does not systematically overestimate efficiency. Our results indicate that NormFinder and LinRegPCR are the best approaches for reference gene selection and efficiency determination, respectively. We also recommend the use of *ubq* and *rps4* for normalization of gonad development samples in turbot.

1. Introduction

The main quantitative method for the study of gene expression is reverse transcription real-time quantitative PCR (qPCR), which is considered a highly sensitive technique. In qPCR, the amount of amplified product is monitored during the course of the reaction by measuring the fluorescence during the annealing phase of each amplification cycle. Fluorescence is produced by dyes or probes which bind to DNA, and so it is proportional to the amount of synthesized product. The DNA intercalating dye SYBR green I is one of the most widely applied systems, since the fluorescence readings can be obtained from any PCR amplicon, irrespective of its sequence (Spiess et al. 2008). Two types of qPCR can be performed: the expression levels of the genes can represent either an absolute quantification that relates the PCR signal to the initial copy number using a calibration curve or, as in our work, a relative quantification which measures the relative change in RNA expression level. A number of technical parameters such as RNA and cDNA quality, primer specificity, PCR efficiency and the genes used for normalization heavily condition the quality of qPCR results. Despite the widespread popularity of qPCR, there is a worrying lack of consensus on how it should be performed and how its results should be analyzed. The publication of the MIQE guidelines (Bustin et al. 2009) represented a landmark towards qPCR standardization, but not only are there many publications which still ignore the MIQE guidelines, but also new controversies have arisen which require further discussion.

Due to the quantitative nature of qPCR, an appropriate normalization method is critical to achieve reliable results. The purpose of normalization is to remove sampling noise (such as RNA differences in concentration and its quality) in order to estimate gene expression accurately (Vandesompele et al. 2002). Ideally, reference genes used for this purpose should show the same level of expression in all cells and tissues, and remain stable under different experimental conditions. As pointed out in several publications, there is no universal reference gene, and housekeeping gene expression can vary considerably (Glare et al. 2002), the best reference gene probably varying in the same species according to the tissue and the experimental conditions (Dang and Sun, 2011). So, as mentioned in the MIQE guidelines, normalization against a single reference gene is not recommended unless a clear evidence of its invariant expression is described for the specific experimental conditions of the study. The optimal number and choice of reference genes should be experimentally determined (Bustin et al. 2009), yet many publications employ a single normalization gene without appropriate validation. Several methods and software have been described to determine the optimum reference genes, however which method is the most suitable has still not been addressed.

Four reference gene determination methods are commonly used in qPCR studies: the comparative delta-Ct method (Silver et al. 2006), BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al. 2004), Genorm (Vandesompele et al. 2002) and NormFinder (Andersen et al. 2004). Gene expression stability is evaluated differently in each of the four methods. Briefly, the comparative delta-Ct method calculates the stability of each gene by obtaining the standard deviation of Cq differences (Cq or quantification cycle is the number of amplification cycles required to reach a selected fluorescence threshold) within each sample for each pairwise comparison with the other genes

and averaging them. NormFinder takes into account both intra-group and inter-group gene variation to evaluate its stability. BestKeeper ranks the genes according to the standard deviation (SD) of their Cqs, but the output includes more information, for example the coefficient of variation (CV), which was proposed as a validation method for the results offered by NormFinder and GeNorm (Caradec et al. 2010). GeNorm determines the pairwise standard deviation of Cq values of all genes, and then excludes the one with the lowest stability, repeating the process until only two genes remain, which are then considered the most stable ones.

Another topic, which has recently focused the attention of specialist on this ground, is the kinetics of qPCR and the efficiency determination associated to it. Traditionally, standard curves have been the gold standard to calculate qPCR efficiency. However, pipetting errors or poorly calibrated pipettes can greatly affect the accuracy of the standard curves due to the cumulative nature of error (Peirson et al. 2003; Rutledge and Côte, 2003). Also, cDNA may include PCR inhibitors which diminish the efficiency of the qPCR reaction. These inhibitors often remain in the samples from steps prior to qPCR amplification. The dilution steps involved in standard curve construction, which also dilute inhibitors, might lead to efficiency overestimation (Peirson et al. 2003). This can be easily confirmed by the existence of efficiencies above 100% and the usual practice of accepting a pair of primers as valid if its efficiency is between 90-110%. Theoretically, it is impossible to obtain qPCR efficiencies above 100%. More recently, several mathematical models have been published describing the kinetics of the qPCR reaction and trying to estimate qPCR efficiency from a single reaction. Many different models have been proposed, ranging from exponential (Peirson et al. 2003; Ramakers et al. 2003) to logistic ones employing up to five parameters (Spiess et al. 2008); even more complex models, which take into account the efficiency of each of the steps of the qPCR reaction, have been tackled (Booth et al. 2010). Here we analyzed four methods which allow an easy determination of efficiency for each reaction and amplicon: i) LinRegPCR (Ruijter et al. 2009), ii) LREanalyzer (Rutledge, 2011), iii) DART (Peirson et al. 2003) and iv) PCR-Miner (Zhao and Fernald, 2005), all publicly available and implemented in userfriendly software or online applications.

Marine flatfish represent a valuable group of teleosts because of their highly appreciated white flesh (Cerdà and Manchado, 2013). Turbot is a marine flatfish species with a notable aquaculture projection in Europe. It is predicted that by 2014 its production will duplicate that of 2009 (9142 t) (FEAP). Also, since turbot was introduced in China in 1992, the farming industry of this species has developed into one of the main mariculture industries with a production of 50000 tons per year (FAO, 2010). The main trait targets for genetic breeding programs in this species are growth rate, sex ratio and disease resistance (Bouza et al. 2012). Turbot shows one of the largest sex-dependent size dimorphism in marine aquaculture (Piferrer et al. 1995): females outgrow males by 50% when they reach commercial size. Some studies have demonstrated a ZZ/ZW system in turbot (Haffray et al. 2009; Martínez et al. 2009) and identified the main sex determining region in linkage group (LG) 5 (Martínez et al. 2009), but these authors also suggest the existence of other minor genetic and environmental

factors, for example temperature, which might affect sex determination. However, expression analyses have only been carried out in immune tissues so far (Millán et al. 2011; Pardo et al. 2012; Domínguez et al. 2013). Reference genes for qPCR have been characterized in different tissues of turbot (Dang and Sun, 2011) and in other flatfish (Øvergård et al. 2010; Infante et al. 2008), but gonads have not been included in these studies.

In this study, we evaluated the main factors which might compromise qPCR results, reference gene choice and qPCR efficiency determination, using gonads of turbot reared at different temperatures and along the development process. Our results suggest that for research purposes, NormFinder and LinRegPCR implement the best approaches for reference gene selection and efficiency determination, respectively, ant that *ubq* and *rps4* would be the best reference genes for the normalization of gonad development in turbot from 30 up to 135 days post fertilization. To our knowledge, this is the first qPCR evaluation in turbot gonads and no similar studies have been carried out in fish to date. Our approach, although applied in a particular tissue in turbot could be used as a guideline for qPCR development in other tissues or species.

2. Methods

2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling

Turbot fertilized eggs were obtained by crossing one female with two males and reared in tanks at the Instituto Oceanográfico de Vigo at three different temperatures (15°C, 18°C and 23°C). The samples were taken at the following stages: 30, 45, 60, 75, 90, 105, 120 and 135 days post fertilization (dpf). At each sampling point 10 individuals were taken per temperature (3x10) and their gonads excised as accurately as possible. The final number of samples tested was 240: eight different developmental stages, thirty gonad samples per stage (ten per each temperature). Samples were immediately embedded in RNAlater for preservation (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). Male and female gonads can be differentiated at 90dpf by histology (Cal R, Lluch N, Martínez P. Gonadal sex differentiation in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Also, *cyp19a1a* raw expression values by qPCR can perfectly distinguish females from males starting at 105 dpf (Supplementary Figure 1).

Animals were treated according to the Directive 2010/63/UE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for experimentation and other scientific purposes. All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain).

2.2. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis.

Total RNA was extracted by homogenization in TRIZOL (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol. Total RNA was treated with RNase-free Recombinant DNase I(Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, DE) and RNA concentration was assessed by spectrophotometry and its quality checked using an Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, US). Total RNA (1.2 μ g) was reverse transcribed by random primers using AffinityScript Multiple Temperature cDNA Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol and then diluted 1:2 with nuclease-free water.

2.3. Real-time PCR

Real-time PCR was performed on a Stratagene Mx3005P (Agilent Technologies) thermocycler using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix in a final volume of 12.5 µL following the manufacturer's protocol with 1µL of cDNA per reaction. Genespecific primers for the reference genes rpl17 (Ribosomal Protein L17), b2m (Beta-2microglobulin) and actb (beta-actin) were obtained from (Dang and Sun, 2011) and primers for ubq (Ubiquitin), rps4 (Ribosomal Protein S4) and gapdh (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) were designed in our laboratory (Table 1). Specificity for each primer pair was first checked by melting curve profile and then confirmed by PCR product sequencing. rpl17, b2m and actb were chosen as putative reference genes because they were among the most stable genes in a previous study using different tissues in turbot (Dang and Sun, 2011), while *ubq*, *rps4* and gapdh were chosen because of their general use in many studies in other species and proved to be stably expressed in a microarray study carried out in our laboratory (unpublished data). Gene specific primers were also designed in our laboratory for six target genes involved in sex differentiation (cyp19a1a, amh, sox9, sox19, sox17 and vasa) (Table 1), and amplification was performed following the same procedure. Primer concentration was 300nM and each sample was run in duplicate. The cycling parameters were: 50°C for 2min, 95°C for 10min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 15sec and 60°C for 1min. Finally, a dissociation step was performed after amplification to ensure the presence of a single amplification product. All the samples (240) were assayed for each gene. A sample maximization strategy was carried out, meaning that as many samples as possible were run in a single plate, and so, each gene was tested in the minimum amount of plates as possible. In every PCR plate, non-template controls were included to confirm the absence of contamination. In addition, three samples (interplate calibrators) were run in triplicate in every plate in order to correct inter-assay variation, each Cq value in a plate was corrected by adding or subtracting the difference between interplate calibrators mean value in the plate and their overall mean value for all the plates (Kubista et al. 2007). Real-time PCR data were obtained by the MxPro software (Agilent Technologies) and quantification cycle values (Cq) calculated for each replicate and then averaged to obtain the final Cq value. Cq determination fluorescence threshold was the same for the six genes, a background-based threshold was determined for the six genes separately and the highest one applied for the six genes.

Gene	Accession	Primer F (5' -> 3')	Primer R (5' -> 3')	Product
name	ID			Length (bp)
rps4	FE943956	CAACATCTTCGTCAT CGGCAAGG	ATTGAACCAGCCTCAG TGTTTAGC	143
rpl17	DQ848879	ACCAGTGCGTCCCCT TCA	CTCATCTTCGGAGCCT TGTTC	214
gapdh	FE950888	CGCCCATAGCCCAGT CATAGC	TGGCAGAGGGAGGTG GAGAG	167
actb	EU686692	GTAGGTGATGAAGCC CAGAGCA	CTGGGTCATCTTCTCCC TGT	204
ubq	FE946708	GCGTGGTGGCATCAT TGAGC	CTTCTTCTTGCGGCAGT TGACAG	124
b2m	DQ848854	CTCTGGCTGTTTTCGT CTGCT	TCCTTTCCGTTCTCTCC CG	86
cyp19a1a	JQ403643	CAGCGAGGAAGCTG GCAAACA	ACACGCAGACTCGGCT TTTTACATC	148
amh	JQ403642	CCAGGGCGGACCCCG ATAAC	TGGCTGTGTTTGGACC CACGAG	99
sox9	JQ300535	ATCAGTACCCACACC TGCATAAC	TCAGCCTCCTCCACGA ACG	103
sox19	JQ403639	ACCGAGCGGTTTGTG CCTTG	TCCTCTGGATGCAGTG	122
sox17	JQ403638	TGTTCGGGAAGCAGG TGAAAGGT	CTTGTTGCCATTTTAGG GGACAGT	92
vasa	JX235364	CTTAGCTGTGGGGCGT GGTGGG	ACGTTCTCCTGGCACA TCAACG	190

Table 1: Primer table

Gene name, accession number, primer sequences and amplicon size of the reference genes (*rps4, rpl17, gapdh, actb, ubq, b2m*) and the target genes (*cyp19a1a, amh, sox9, sox19, sox17, vasa*) are shown.

2.4. Reference gene analysis

A total of six reference genes were selected for gene expression analysis in turbot gonad (Table 2). Their stability was analyzed with the comparative delta-Ct method (Silver et al. 2006), BestKeeper (Pfaffl et al. 2004), GeNorm (Vandesompele et al. 2002) and NormFinder (Andersen et al. 2004), which use different approaches to establish gene stability, but in all of them, the lower the value the more stable the gene is. R program v. 3.0.2 (http://www.r-project.org) with the packages "psych", "gclus" and "fBasics" was used for other statistic operations and graphic generation. Comparisons between methods were performed with the whole data set and also with subsets of samples. We compare 25 subsets with 3 samples per

experimental group (72 samples in a total of 24 groups) and 25 subsets with 2 samples per experimental group (48 samples in a total of 24 groups) to assess robustness of each method. Furthermore, six target genes involved in sex differentiation were subjected to normalization by different reference gene combinations.

2.5. Efficiency analysis

Efficiency of each primer pair was checked for each reference gene by four different methods: LinRegPCR (Ruijter et al. 2009), LREanalyzer (Rutledge, 2011), DART (Peirson et al. 2003) and PCR-Miner (Zhao and Fernald, 2005). Each method calculates individual efficiency values for each qPCR reaction and then, these are averaged to obtained mean efficiency values for each gene. Raw fluorescence values (without baseline correction) were used as input for each efficiency determination method.

2.6. Normalization and efficiency correction on target genes

Efficiency corrected Cq values by LinRegPCR and PCR-Miner were obtained for the six target genes, following the formula "efficiency-corrected Cq = Cq * $(\log(E) / \log(2))$ " (Kubista et al. 2007). These corrected Cqs were then normalized by ubq+rps4 and b2m and then mean centered. This produced four datasets. Mean and standard deviation were obtained for temperature (high, normal and low) and sex (male and female) groups for each gene in each dataset.

3. Results

3.1. Amplification

Amplification of each reference gene in 240 samples (two replicates per sample) produced a 480 Cq values dataset. Samples with missing Cq values or inconsistencies between replicates (Cq differences >1 cycle) in any of the reference genes were removed from the analysis. After averaging duplicates a total of 212 samples were kept (28 samples were removed) and we obtained descriptive statistics and Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests to check for normality for each of the assayed genes (Table 2). A single amplification product for each primer pair was confirmed by a single peak in the melting curve analysis and also by PCR product sequencing.

actb showed the highest expression (Cq mean = 15.87), amplification being more than two cycles earlier than any other gene. On the other side, *rps4* showed the lowest expression (Cq mean = 21.21). *ubq* standard deviation (SD) was the lowest (1.12) while *b2m* presented the largest variation between Cq values (SD=1.70). Also, reference gene Cq distributions were normal in every case but that of *b2m* (Kolmogov-Smirnov test p=0.009).





Boxplots of the Cq values in each experimental group (fish age /temperature) for each of the six reference genes. Each group is named with a number, which indicates age in days post fertilization, and either "High", "Normal" or "Low" which indicates rearing temperature.

Gene	Ν	Mean	SD	Min Cq	Max Cq	KS-test p
actb	212	15.87	1.21	13.52	19.02	0.197
b2m	212	19.50	1.70	16.51	24.44	0.009
gapdh	212	20.18	1.65	16.81	24.72	0.477
rpl17	212	18.44	1.46	15.67	22.70	0.130
rps4	212	21.21	1.65	17.82	25.43	0.739
ubq	212	18.34	1.12	15.68	21.09	0.108

 Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the reference genes Cq values

Number of samples (N), mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum Cq value (Min Cq), maximum Cq value (Max Cq) and p value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KS-test p) are shown for each candidate reference gene.

According to the experimental design, samples were divided in groups according to fish age in days post fertilization (dpf) and rearing temperature. This produced a total of 24 groups (8 age groups x 3 temperatures), with a minimum of six samples per group and a maximum of ten. A boxplot of all the groups for the six reference genes can be observed in figure 1. We also considered grouping our samples by degree-days, however, since groups remained basically the same (only two age-temperature groups would merge, so the number of groups would change from 24 to 23), which did not alter neither the results nor the discussion, we decided to name the groups by their age and rearing temperature since we considered it clearer (see Supplementary Tables 1 and 2).

A similar dataset of Cq values for six sex differentiation related genes was obtained and their descriptive statistics are presented in table 3. These genes are involved in gonad differentiation and were used to check normalization and efficiency correction effects.

Gene	Ν	Mean	SD	Min Cq	Max Cq	KS-test p
cyp19a1a	224	31.87	5.35	20.44	40	0.003
amh	224	26.34	2.84	19.75	40	0.000
sox19	224	26.58	3.58	16.75	38.73	0.000
sox9	224	24.77	1.98	21.24	30.89	0.000
vasa	224	26.17	4.25	16.78	35.90	0.000
sox17	224	29.39	2.78	20.34	36.24	0.001

 Table 3. Descriptive statistics of the genes involved in gonad

 differentiation Cq values

Number of samples (N), mean, standard deviation (SD), minimum Cq value (Min Cq), maximum Cq value (Max Cq) and p value of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test (KS-test p) are shown for each candidate reference gene

3.2. Analysis of the reference genes

We analyzed the 212 Cq values obtained for each of the reference genes with comparative delta Ct method, Bestkeeper, NormFinder and GeNorm. For each method and gene a ranking of stability values is shown with the most stable gene at the top and the least stable at the bottom (Table 4). Due to the importance of gene-to-gene correlations for comparative delta-Ct method and GeNorm, correlations were graphically represented (Figure 2). Finally, the average intergroup and intragroup variation for each gene is shown in table 5 as reported by NormFinder. Groups were formed as specified above according to fish age and rearing temperature.



Figure 2. Correlation between reference genes

Correlation between reference genes Cq values. The highest correlations are colored in red, medium correlations in green and the lowest in yellow. Correlation coefficient (r) values are shown, p value < 0.001.

Rank	Comparative Delta-Ct	BestKeeper (SD)	BestKeeper (CV %)	NormFinder	GeNorm
1	<i>ubq</i> (1.267)	<i>ubq</i> (1.12)	ubq (4.96)	<i>rps4</i> (0.613)	ubq / rps4
2	<i>rps4</i> (1.278)	<i>actb</i> (1.21)	<i>actb</i> (6.16)	<i>ubq</i> (0.713)	
3	<i>rpl17</i> (1.323)	<i>rpl17</i> (1.46)	<i>rpl17</i> (6.34)	<i>rpl17</i> (0.721)	<i>rpl17</i> (1.154)
4	<i>actb</i> (1.381)	rps4 (1.65)	<i>rps4</i> (6.43)	<i>actb</i> (0.785)	<i>actb</i> (1.202)
5	<i>gapdh</i> (1.431)	gapdh (1.66)	<i>gapdh</i> (6.66)	<i>gapdh</i> (0.85)	gapdh (1.290)
6	<i>b2m</i> (1.52)	<i>b2m</i> (1.70)	<i>b2m</i> (7.43)	<i>b2m</i> (0.851)	<i>b2m</i> (1.367)

Table 4. Stability rankings obtained with the different reference gene determination methods

Stability values obtained by each method are shown in parenthesis for each candidate reference gene. Both standard deviation (SD) and coefficient of variation (CV) rankings are shown for BestKeeper. The genes are ranked from most stable (1) to least stable (6).

In our analysis, *ubq* appears ranked first by BestKeeper, GeNorm and comparative delta-Ct method, and ranked second by NormFinder. b2m, a frequently used reference gene, is consistently ranked the last by all methods and does not show a strong correlation with any of the other genes (highest correlation 0.619 with *gapdh*) (Table 4, Fig. 2). This gene Cq distribution also deviated from normality. The inconsistency of b2m as reference gene has also been previously reported in human tissues (Silver et al. 2006; Sorby et al. 2010). The ranking between these two extremes varies depending on the method.

Variation	actb	b2m	gapdh	rpl17	rps4	ubq
Intra-group	0.491	0.983	0.476	0.631	0.466	0.362
Inter-group	0.553	0.635	0.624	0.485	0.392	0.504

Table 5. Intra-group and inter-group variation estimates byNormFinder

Average intra-group and inter-group Cq variation estimates obtained by NormFinder. Groups were constituted by fish of the same age and rearing temperature.

BestKeeper ranked ubq as the most stable gene with 1.12, a value above the recommended cutoff of 1 (Pfaffl et al. 2004). However, considering that our dataset includes samples coming from different tissues (ovary and testes), experimental conditions and development stages, a low standard deviation was not expected. ubq also shows the lowest CV and a high correlation with rps4 (r=0.831). Actb is ranked as the second best reference gene by BestKeeper (SD=1.21), however, it does not show a high correlation with any of the other genes and it is ranked 4th by other methods (Table 4, Fig. 2). A possible explanation for this discrepancy might be the high expression shown by *actb* (mean Cq 15.87, more than two cycles higher than any other gene) (Table 2). This renders a lower error when measuring the fluorescence values and a lower copy number difference between samples, which does not imply higher stability between the different experimental conditions. This should be taken into account when choosing a reference gene since they are usually highly expressed genes. The most important characteristic of a reference gene is that its sample-to-sample variation must be representative of the technical error produced by the sampling, extraction and retrotranscription steps in order to reduce target gene Cq values error. Bestkeeper ranks rpl17 third (SD=1.46), rps4 fourth (SD=1.65) and gapdh fifth (1.66) (Table 4). An interesting fact is that only rps4 shows high correlations with other genes (ubq: r=0.831, gapdh: r=0.741 and rpl17: r=0.677) (Fig. 2). Like actb but at the other extreme, rps4 shows the lowest amplification cycle (Table 2), which can result in higher technical error. So, according to BestKeeper, *ubq* is the most stable gene, followed by *actb* and *rpl17*. As mentioned, probably actb is not a good reference gene and it seems that rps4 might deserve a better ranking given its correlation with other genes.

NormFinder, which assesses inter-group variation (systematic differences due to age/temperature in our case) in order to discard regulated genes, ranked *rps4* as the most stable gene (0.613), *ubq* appears second (0.713) and *rpl17* third (0.721) (Table 4). *rps4* showed the lowest inter-group variation (0.392), which explains its ranking (Table 5). *gapdh* is clearly pointed as less stable than *rps4* and *rpl17* by NormFinder, with an overall score of 0.835 and an inter-group variation of 0.624. Surprisingly, NormFinder suggests *actb* and *rps4* as the most stable two gene combination. *actb* is ranked 4th by NormFinder (0.785) (Table 4, Fig. 2). The information provided by BestKeeper and NormFinder should be enough to decide among the most stable genes, to say, those with an acceptable low level of overall variation, low inter-group variation and high correlation between them.

The last two methods, comparative delta-Ct method and GeNorm, both follow pairwise approaches but with different procedures and outcomes; the first one ranks the genes following an average pairwise SD while the second follows a progressive exclusion of the least stable gene after pairwise comparison. Both methods agree with the results obtained by NormFinder. GeNorm recommends the couple of reference genes *ubq/rps4* with a value of 0.952 (the generally accepted cutoff value is 1.50), and points that adding another reference gene (*rpl17*) would not improve normalization (1.154 stability value for *ubq/rps4/rpl17*). Pairwise comparison methods tend to select those genes with the highest degree of similarity across the sample set, implying that the candidates with minimal expression variation do not

necessarily become top ranked (Andersen et al. 2004). While both approaches are based in pairwise comparisons, the progressive exclusion of genes by GeNorm increases the tendency to select the most correlated genes.

Since we have obtained inter-group variation estimates by NormFinder (Table 5) which points toward rps4, ubq, rpl17 and possibly actb not being differentially expressed between groups, and also due to the unexpected presence of *actb* in the best normalization indexes calculated by NormFinder, we checked how the use of different normalization factors, geometric mean of different reference genes, affected six sex-differentiation related genes (cyp19a1a, amh, sox19, sox9, vasa, sox17) (Table 6). We normalized the samples by [ubq], [ubq+rps4],[rps4+actb], [ubq+rps4+rpl17],[ubq+rps4+rpl17] [*rps4*], and [ubq+rpl17+rps4+actb]. We checked the intragroup and intergroup standard deviation for each of the six target genes and, since the samples were genetically sexed using the SmaUSC-E30 marker according to (Martínez et al. 2009), we also checked the standard deviation of male and female groups (Table 6). This way, we can have an independent measure out of the fish age / rearing temperature groups we have used to check the stability of the reference genes. Interestingly, ubq and rps4 seem to behave differently. While rps4 renders lower SD values for fish age / rearing temperature groups, *ubq* normalizes male and female groups better. However, the lowest SD estimates were obtained when both [ubg+rps4] were used for normalization, except for average intergroup SD where rps4 alone performed better. The addition of *rpl17* or *actb* in the index for normalization did not yield lower SD estimates. The use of just [ubq+rps4] for normalization is in agreement with the results of GeNorm and also with the rankings produced by NormFinder and comparative delta-Ct method. The use of [*rps4+actb*] as suggested by NormFinder does not perform better.

Gene combination for normalization	Average intragroup SD	Average intergroup SD	Average male group SD	Average female group SD
ubq	1.53	2.83	2.48	2.57
rps4	1.49	2.69	2.50	2.63
ubq+rps4	1.47	2.71	2.47	2.57
actb+rps4	1.53	2.79	2.63	2.8
ubq+rps4+rpl17	1.50	2.73	2.53	2.66
ubq+rps4+actb	1.48	2.78	2.54	2.68
ubq+rps4+rpl17+actb	1.50	2.74	2.61	2.78

Table 6. Standard deviation for target genes when normalized by different gene combinations

Intragroup and intergroup normalized Cq standard deviations (SD) averaging the results for the six target genes are shown for Fish age + Rearing temperature groups when normalized by different candidate reference gene combinations. Standard deviations (SD) for males and females when normalized by the same combinations are also shown.

To assess the robustness of each method, we repeated the stability calculations in fifty subsets of the samples (Table 7), 25 subsets include 3 samples of each experimental group (fish age / rearing temperature) and another 25 include 2 samples of each experimental group (a total of 72 and 48 samples per subset respectively). We evaluated the 50 subsets together since the results show similar trends both with three and two samples per group. Since in many studies three genes are used for normalization, we compared not only the whole ranking but also the top3 genes. The most robust method is clearly BestKeeper SD, which renders an identical ranking as that obtained with the whole data set for a 44% of the subsets and, in 40% of the remaining subsets, it ranks the top three genes correctly (a total of 88%). NormFinder selected the same top3 genes also in 88% of the subsets, however the rank order was altered most of the times. On the contrary, the pairwise approaches showed a higher degree of variation, the top3 genes were different from those in the full dataset in 60% of the subsets for comparative delta Ct method and in 66% for GeNorm.

	Full dataset ranking comparison	Comparative delta-Ct	Bestkeeper (SD)	NormFinder	GeNorm
3 samples	Identical ranking	4	11	1	8
per experiment	Top 3 genes in different order	13	11	21	1
al group	Different ranking	8	3	2	16
2 samples	identical ranking		11	4	4
per experiment	Top 3 genes in different order	11	9	18	4
al group	Different ranking	12	5	3	17
	Identical ranking	12%	44%	10%	24%
Total	Top 3 genes in different order	48%	40%	78%	10%
	Different ranking	60%	16%	12%	66%

Table 7. Robustness of the gene stability determination method

Similarity of 50 subsets stability rankings by each method and the ranking obtained with the whole dataset. 25 subsets are formed by 3 samples per group (age/temperature) and another 25 subsets have 2 samples per group.

3.3. Efficiency determination analysis

We obtained mean gene efficiencies by LingRegPCR, LREanalyzer, Dart and PCR-Miner for each primer pair (Table 8) and correlations between mean efficiencies by each method for each gene (Table 9). There is around a 10% difference between the efficiencies calculated by linear fit methods (LinRegPCR, DART) and non linear fit models (LREanalyzer, PCR-Miner), meaning that exponential methods might be underestimating efficiency or non linear methods overestimating it (or both). Two LREanalyzer efficiency estimates are over 100% (*rps4* and *ubq*), which is theoretically impossible for a PCR reaction, so LREanalyzer is likely overestimating qPCR efficiency. However, despite this 10% efficiency difference, mean efficiencies calculated by the four methods are correlated for each gene, indicating that although they are using different algorithms they are rendering similar relative results. Best correlation coefficient and p value are observed between LinRegPCR and PCR-Miner, which might be highlighting the importance of baseline correction based on the average fluorescence of the first qPCR cycles. Also, LinRegPCR and PCR-Miner include several functions to remove outliers, so filtering the reactions before efficiency calculation might also be important to obtain more precise efficiency estimations.

	actb	b2m	gapdh	rpl17	rps4	ubq
LREanalyzer	97.82%	98.00%	99.32%	94.46%	100.45%	101.78%
LinRegPCR	87.12%	90.27%	89.24	82.82%	88.61%	89.63%
DART	88.72%	92.62%	89.09%	86.04%	89.39%	90.84%
PCR-Miner	94.42%	99.72%	99.68%	92.23%	98.78%	99.69%

 Table 8. Efficiency values for each gene with each efficiency determination method

Mean efficiency values for each reference gene with LingRegPCR, LREanalyzer, DART and PCR-Miner.

	LREanalyzer	LinRegPCR	DART
LinRegPCR	0.81		
	(0.052)		
	0.6	0.91	
DAKI	(0.205)	(0.013)	
PCR-Miner	0.82	0.94	0.82
	(0.047)	(0.005)	(0.047)

 Table 9. Correlation between efficiency determination

 methods

Pearson correlation coefficients and p values (in parenthesis) for mean gene efficiencies with each of the four efficiency determination methods are shown.

	High T		Normal			
	Cq	High T	T Cq	Normal	Low T	Low T
	Mean	Cq SD	Mean	T Cq SD	Cq Mean	Cq SD
cyp19a1a ubq+rps4						
LinRegPCR	1.11	4.33	-0.35	3.54	-0.74	5.41
cyp19a1a ubq+rps4 PCR-	1 10	1.65	0.29	2.01	0.9	5 01
Miner	1.19	4.65	-0.38	3.81	-0.8	5.81
Cyp19a1a D2m L in RegPCR	0.78	5.26	0.80	4	0.24	58
cvn19a1a b2m PCR.	0.78	5.20	-0.89	4	0.24	5.8
Miner	0.83	5 66	-0.96	43	0.26	6 24
amh uba+rps4	0.05	2.00	0.70		0.20	0.21
LinRegPCR	0.07	3.39	1.02	4.47	-1.27	1.48
amh ubq+rps4 PCR-						
Miner	0.07	3.65	1.09	4.8	-1.36	1.57
amh b2m LinRegPCR	-0.26	3.67	0.5	4.23	-0.32	2.16
amh b2m PCR-Miner	-0.28	3.94	0.54	4.54	-0.34	2.32
sox19 ubq+rps4						
LinRegPCR	-0.24	3.99	-0.92	3.83	1.33	2.39
sox19 ubq+rps4 PCR-						
Miner	-0.26	4.28	-0.98	4.11	1.42	2.56
sox19 b2m LinRegPCR	-0.57	5.19	-1.46	3.97	2.31	3.08
sox19 b2m PCR-Miner	-0.62	5.57	-1.56	4.26	2.48	3.31
sox9 ubq+rps4						
LinRegPCR	0.38	1.82	0.17	1.44	-0.59	1.13
sox9 ubq+rps4 PCR-	0.4		P	1.55	0.64	1.01
Miner	0.4	1.96	0.18	1.55	-0.64	1.21
sox9 b2m LinRegPCR	0.04	2 1/	-0.37	1.75	0.39	2.05
sox9 b2m PCR-Miner	0.05	2.15	-0.4	1.88	0.42	2.21
sox17 ubq+rps4	0.50	0.10		1.54	0.00	1.50
LinkegPCR	0.58	2.12	-0.6	1.54	0.09	1.59
SOX17 UDQ+rps4 PCK- Minor	0.62	2.26	0.63	1.65	0.00	17
winer	0.02	2.20	-0.03	2.06	1.07	2.20
Sox17 02m LIIRegPCK	0.25	5.47 2.72	-1.13	2.00	1.07	2.39
sox1/ b2m PCR-Miner	0.26	3.72	-1.21	2.21	1.15	2.56
vasa avq+1ps4 LinRegPCR	1 26	1 46	-0.96	4 04	-0 19	2.6
vasa uba+rps4 PCR-	1.20	1.10	0.20		0.17	2.0
Miner	1.35	1.57	-1.03	4.34	-0.21	2.79
vasa b2m LinRegPCR	0.93	1.91	-1.5	3.8	0.79	2.46
vasa b2m PCR-Miner	0.99	2.05	-1.61	4.08	0.85	2.64

 Table 10. Efficiency-corrected delta Cqs by temperature group with each efficiency + reference gene combination

Mean efficiency-corrected delta Cqs and SD values for the three rearing temperatures (T): high, normal and low; in the four datasets produced after efficiency correction with LinRegPCR or PCR-Miner and later normalization with ubq+rps4 or b2m.

3.4. Normalization and efficiency correction on target genes

Six target genes (cyp19a1a, amh, sox19, sox9, vasa, sox17) involved in gonad differentiation were efficiency corrected and normalized by four different combinations of efficiency determination methods and reference gene combinations (LinRegPCR-ubq+rps4, LinRegPCR-b2m, PCR-Miner-ubq+rps4 and PCR-Miner-b2m). For each combination, first, efficiency correction was performed on every Cq value of both reference and target genes. Afterwards, each target efficiency-corrected Cq value was normalized by the reference gene/s efficiency-corrected Cq values, obtaining efficiency-corrected delta Cq values. We computed mean efficiency-corrected delta Cq values and standard deviations for the three temperature groups (high, normal and low temperature) (Table 10) and also for males and females (Table 11). Two different patterns are shown in the tables, one caused by normalization and the other by efficiency correction. A higher standard deviation is obtained in most of the b2mnormalized dataset compared to the ubq+rps4 normalized ones, which is expected when a gene is not stable. However, this is not true for the *amh* normal temperature group neither for the gene vasa, suggesting some type of co-regulation. The other trend is observed when comparing the LinRegPCR efficiency corrected datasets with the PCR-Miner corrected ones. PCR-Miner produces higher mean Cqs (absolute value) increasing the difference between groups.

Furthermore, the use of a gene which presents systematic differences between groups for normalization can lead to changes in the mean Cq values of some genes. For example, *amh* gene expression in each temperature group is severely affected by normalization with b2m, varying from 0.07 to -0.26 at high temperature (when compared to normalization by ubq+rps4), from 1.02 to 0.5 at normal temperature and from -1.27 to -0.32 at low temperature (LinRegPCR values).

4. Discussion

4.1. Reference gene analysis

The four methods commonly used to check the stability of reference genes, comparative delta-Ct method, NormFinder, BestKeeper and GeNorm, represent viable strategies, although none of them is currently considered the best one and some problems can arise in certain experimental scenarios. The BestKeeper method is apparently the "common sense" solution to measure stability since standard deviation is a direct measure of variation. However, a gene might show a low standard deviation but still not be a good reference gene if its variation does not reflect the errors produced by sampling, RNA extraction and retrotranscription steps. This problem could be circumvented by analyzing the correlations between genes, assuming that the reference genes are not co-regulated. This means that sampling point differences (time and temperature in our experiment) affecting one of the genes should not affect the others, and so the correlations between them would reflect the inter-sample variation produced by the sample processing steps and not by co-regulation due to the experimental conditions.

	Female Cq	Female	Male Cq	Male Cq
	Mean	Cq SD	Mean	SD
cyp19a1a ubq+rps4 LinRegPCR	-2.82	2.39	4.37	3.17
cyp19a1a ubq+rps4 PCR-Miner	-3.03	2.57	4.7	3.41
cyp19a1a b2m LinRegPCR	-3.05	2.67	4.74	3.99
<i>cyp19a1a b2m</i> PCR-Miner	-3.28	2.87	5.1	4.29
amh ubq+rps4 LinRegPCR	0.85	3.24	-1.32	3.54
amh ubq+rps4 PCR-Miner	0.92	3.49	-1.42	3.8
amh b2m LinRegPCR	0.59	3.26	-0.91	3.69
amh b2m PCR-Miner	0.63	3.51	-0.97	3.95
sox19 ubq+rps4 LinRegPCR	-2.31	2.54	3.58	1.25
sox19 ubq+rps4 PCR-Miner	-2.47	2.71	3.84	1.34
sox19 b2m LinRegPCR	-2.54	3.73	3.95	1.59
sox19 b2m PCR-Miner	-2.73	4	4.23	1.72
sox9 ubq+rps4 LinRegPCR	0.67	1.46	-1.04	0.95
sox9 ubq+rps4 PCR-Miner	0.72	1.57	-1.12	1.01
sox9 b2m LinRegPCR	0.44	1.72	-0.68	2.07
sox9 b2m PCR-Miner	0.47	1.85	-0.73	2.23
<pre>sox17 ubq+rps4 LinRegPCR</pre>	-0.98	1.1	1.53	1.62
<pre>sox17 ubq+rps4 PCR-Miner</pre>	-1.05	1.17	1.63	1.73
sox17 b2m LinRegPCR	-1.22	2.35	1.89	2.39
sox17 b2m PCR-Miner	-1.31	2.52	2.03	2.57
vasa ubq+rps4 LinRegPCR	-0.17	3.2	0.27	2.87
vasa ubq+rps4 PCR-Miner	(-0.19	3.44	0.29	3.08
vasa b2m LinRegPCR	-0.41	3.09	0.63	2.98
vasa h2m PCR-Miner	-0.44	3 32	0.68	32

 Table 11. Efficiency-corrected delta Cqs by sex group with each efficiency + reference gene combination

Mean efficiency-corrected delta Cqs and standard deviation (SD) values for males and females in the four datasets produced after efficiency correction with LinRegPCR or PCR-Miner and later normalization with ubq+rps4 or b2m.

Nevertheless, it is risky to assume that genes are not co-regulated because this cannot be easily demonstrated. The GeNorm and the comparative delta-Ct method approaches present the same problem but in addition these methods rank genes mainly by their correlations, to say, GeNorm establishes the most stable genes by assuming "that the control reference genes are not co-regulated" (Vandesompele et al. 2002), and the same happens to the comparative delta-Ct method which follows a very similar approach. As a consequence, two co-regulated genes could fully spoil the analysis leading to wrong reference genes. Finally, NormFinder is not affected by the co-regulated gene drawback since it takes into account intergroup variation (finding genes which do not vary depending on time or temperature in our case), which should be as lower as possible for a good reference gene; however, similarly to BestKeeper, a low overall intergroup and intragroup variation does not necessarily mean that it is a good

reference gene. The advantages and disadvantages of each strategy should be taken into account when analyzing putative reference genes according to the experimental scenario.

NormFinder and GeNorm are the most extended methodologies to find the optimum reference genes. In many cases, NormFinder and GeNorm algorithms render very similar results, however, discrepancies between the output of NormFinder and GeNorm have been previously described (Caradec et al. 2010; Chen et al. 2011; Zhang et al. 2012). In these works, the CV has been used to decide which genes should be used for normalization, confirming NormFinder results in every case. While NormFinder results are non-biased, GeNorm stepwise exclusion can lead to awkward results by selecting reference genes which in fact are not the most stable. NormFinder, BestKeeper and comparative delta-Ct stability method results have also been reported to be more consistent among them than with those of GeNorm (Chen et al. 2011), although in other study BestKeeper was reported as the least consistent method (Zhang et al. 2012). Our results with the whole dataset support the high consistency between NormFinder and comparative delta-Ct method, while BestKeeper results seem to be the least consistent and only correlation values between reference genes seem to suggest a similar ranking.

However, when working with different subsets which include a lower number of samples, the pairwise approaches results vary significantly between subsets. This lack of robustness has been described previously: it was shown that the exclusion of a single sample could change the status of one gene from unstable to 2nd most stable gene by GeNorm (Silberberg et al. 2009). GeNorm lack of robustness can most likely be explained by the removal of the least correlated gene by pairwise comparison with all the others until only two genes are left, which can lead to stable genes being removed of the analysis early on. Robustness is a critical parameter. Since experiments are budget limited, it is important to be able to determine correct reference genes with a low number of qPCR reactions. BestKeeper and NormFinder appear to be more robust than comparative delta-Ct method and GeNorm in our study.

There is not a method to check how much normalization has improved our gene expression data. In principle, a reduction in the Cq variability of the gene of interest should be expected, however the highest reduction of this variability would also occur if the gene of interest and the reference gene(s) are co-regulated, so this is a risky strategy. An example of this is observed in *vasa* (and one *amh* group) standard deviation after normalization, obtaining a lower SD when normalized by a clearly not stable gene (b2m) than when normalized by ubq+rps4, suggesting co-regulation between *vasa* and b2m. The same applies to detecting significant/non-significant results depending on the reference(s) gene(s) used for normalization. This is only useful to stress the importance of choosing a good reference gene, not to choose between one or another since a co-regulated reference gene would lead to non-significant results even if there are expression differences between groups.

The fact that there is not a post-control which enables us to check if we have chosen the correct reference gene makes the choice even more critical. Every experiment and dataset is different, so the analysis has to be done carefully. Given the huge importance of

normalization and its great impact on the conclusions, it would be recommended to analyze each case separately, paying attention to details; using any method as a black box can lead up to low confident results. Several studies have solved the disagreement between the four methods by ranking them according to the geometric mean of the four ranking numbers for each gene, the lower the mean a gene gets the most stable it is (Chen et al. 2012). However, attributing the same weight to every method is arguable, especially because some of these methods include redundant information. This is a practical option without any biological meaning. If the four methods disagree, we recommend instead relying on the ranking provided by NormFinder, while ignoring its suggested combination, supported by descriptive statistics like mean, standard deviation and correlations, information offered by BestKeeper or any common statistical package. This approach would enable to assess the two most important and complementary issues: absence of inter-group variation and correlation between reference genes. This approach does not make any previous assumption and has proven to be robust when only a few samples are assayed.

To our knowledge this is the first experiment to analyze the stability of reference genes during the gonad development in fish. Even in mature organs, there is only one study carried out in zebrafish were testis and ovaries were analyzed separately (McCurley and Callard, 2008). However, studies have been carried out in other organs. The stability of several genes was studied in the liver, spleen, kidney, heart, brain, gill and muscle of turbot subjected to Edwardsiella tarda infection (Dang and Sun, 2011). Gene stability was checked before infection in all the organs together by NormFinder and GeNorm. In that study, out of eight genes, NormFinder ranked RPSD as the most stable one, followed by actb, rpl17, b2m and gapdh among those genes shared with our study, although primer pairs for gapdh were different. We tried to develop primers for RPSD but they were discarded due to late amplification cycle in gonad (>25). ubg and rps4, were not assayed in that work. gapdh, which has been classically used as a reference gene but recently classified as unstable in several studies (Vandesompele et al. 2002; Glare et al. 2002; Caradec et al. 2010; Sorby et al. 2010), performed badly in both our study and Dang and Sun (2011). However, gapdh is ranked as the most stable gene in heart and liver in Dang and Sun (2011), which emphasizes the importance of checking reference gene stability in each study separately, reference genes cannot be "exported". There are two gapdh isoforms in diploid teleost fish as a result of the fish-specific genome duplication event, however the same variant has been analyzed in both studies (gapdh-2).

There are two similar qPCR studies carried out in flatfish. The first one studied six reference genes during *Hippoglossus hippoglossus* development in sixteen different tissues using BestKeeper, NormFinder and GeNorm (Øvergård et al. 2010). Gonads were not included. They assayed *actb* which was found as one of the least stable genes. The most stable genes found were *EF1a1* and *RPL7*. The second study was carried out during larval development in *Solea senegalensis* and *Hippoglossus hippoglossus*. The stability of twelve genes, including *ubq*, *rps4*, *actb* and *gapdh-2*, was checked by GeNorm and NormFinder (Infante et al. 2008). The combined stability index of the two species ranked *ubq*, *rps4* and
eEF1a1 as the three best normalization genes by both methods, while *actb* appears in 5th and 6th place, respectively. Interestingly, in *Solea senegalensis*, *gapdh-2* appears ranked 3rd and 1st by GeNorm and NormFinder, respectively.

4.2. Efficiency determination analysis

Efficiency determination is an essential step in qPCR. Constant amplification efficiency in all compared samples is a very important criterion for reliable comparison between samples. It is also crucial for an accurate quantification of gene expression. Ideally, the efficiency of an assay should be 100%, which means that during the logarithmic phase of the reaction the PCR product is doubling each cycle.

Each of the four tested efficiency determination methods differ in their baseline fluorescence determination, type of fit to the log-linear phase of the qPCR reaction, and preprocessing steps to remove outliers. DART (Peirson et al. 2003) is based on a linear regression of the exponential phase of the qPCR reaction. Baseline subtraction is determined by fitting a saturation function to the first 2-10 cycles of the qPCR reaction. Then, a linear regression is performed in a 10-fold range around the middle point of the exponential phase, which is calculated using the maximum fluorescence and standard deviation of the fluorescence in the first 10 cycles. LinRegPCR (Ruijter et al. 2009) is also based on a linear regression fit to the log-linear phase of the amplification curve. LinRegPCR determines baseline fluorescence through an iterative algorithm to get the best fit of the linear regression to 4-6 points in the log-linear phase of the reaction. Then, after baseline subtraction, these points are used for efficiency determination. PCR-Miner (Zhao and Fernald, 2005) uses a non-linear regression fit. As LinRegPCR, baseline fluorescence is determined by an iterative fit to a four-parameter logistic model which also determines the exponential phase of the reaction. Then, a three-parameter exponential model is fitted to the exponential phase to determine efficiency. Finally, LREanalyzer (linear regression of efficiency) (Rutledge, 2011) uses a sigmoidal fit approach. Baseline subtraction is determined by averaging 6-12 cycles fluorescence values. Then, efficiency estimates are calculated for each cycle of the qPCR reaction. An LRE window is selected with those cycle efficiencies which fit to a linear regression. Finally, a derivative of the Boltzmann sigmoidal function is used for the calculation of the maximum efficiency of the reaction.

Logistic models are pure empirical models not designed to be kinetically realistic (Lievens et al. 2011) and rely purely in their good fit to the real-time PCR curve. As previously reported by other study, qPCR curves are not symmetric since they do not have the same curvature at both sides of the inflection point, implying the existence of two or more different mechanisms affecting the efficiency of the reaction (Spiess et al. 2008) and so, making this good-fit models hardly reliable. Furthermore, in some reactions SYBR green depletion might be the main mechanism leading to the plateau phase of the curve (Rutledge and Stewart, 2008). While SYBR green has an impact in the visualization of the real-time reaction, it does not have a connection with the kinetics of the PCR reaction.

A recent qPCR study has tried a new approach to assess qPCR efficiency, defining the global efficiency as the sum of denaturing efficiency, annealing efficiency, polymerase binding efficiency and elongation efficiency (Booth et al. 2010). The polymerase binding efficiency and the elongation efficiency can be constant provided that there is an excess of polymerase and a long elongation time. However, the denaturing efficiency is constantly decreasing each cycle at the same rate due to thermal damage in both the DNA and the polymerase. The annealing efficiency is also decreasing and depends on the proportion of ssDNA bound to the primers during this step and total ssDNA present. Some ssDNA chains might bind to its complementary strand instead of to the primers (Booth et al. 2010). This efficiency varies from cycle to cycle. This theoretical study was validated in (Louw et al. 2011). So, at first, a constant efficiency should not be assumed. Still, the qPCR curve shows a large exponential component, since, as confirmed by a previous study, in most cases the best fit to the log-linear region of the qPCR reaction is exponential (Spiess et al. 2008), suggesting that before and at the log-linear region the qPCR efficiency reduction is low.

A recent study analyzed all publicly available efficiency determination methods (Ruijter et al. 2013) in a large dataset, included four-point 10-fold dilution series, which allows calculation of the bias of each method. Similarly to our results, they report LinRegPCR and DART to produce an underestimation of efficiency and PCR-Miner and LRE analyzer an overestimation. They also analyze different parameters and find LinRegPCR and PCR-Miner amongst the best methods for most of the evaluated characteristics, for example precision and resolution, performing better than LREanalyzer and DART. The reader is encouraged to consult (Ruijter et al. 2013) to learn more about the different efficiency determination methods, their characteristics and performance differences. This study (Ruijter et al. 2013) is the most complete on qPCR efficiency determination methods done so far.

Both LinRegPCR and PCR-Miner performed similarly and produced highly correlated efficiency estimates in our study. The main difference was that while LinRegPCR underestimates efficiency, PCR-Miner overestimated it. Knowing this, LinRegPCR is probably the best choice for the average qPCR researcher since it will not produce erroneous significant differences between groups (false positives) or an overestimation of the fold change. However, LinRegPCR might not be the best option for clinical purposes, where the method of choice should be considered depending on the consequences of a false positive / overestimation or a false negative / underestimation. There are a good number of alternative efficiency estimation algorithms, however they are implemented as extensions to the open source statistical programming environment R (http://www.r-project.org) and probably not available for most researchers, so they have not been analyzed here. Still, LinRegPCR and PCR-Miner perform as well or better than all other methods, as shown in (Ruijter et al. 2013).

As a final remark, although (Ruijter et al. 2013) clearly improved our understanding of the different efficiency determination methods available, currently there is not a clear best method for estimating qPCR efficiencies. However, the publication of a theoretical study based on the PCR kinetics which defines the overall PCR efficiency as the product of the efficiency of each of the separate steps (Booth et al. 2010) and its experimental validation (Louw et al. 2011) is a good step towards finding a biologically meaningful solution. Similar approaches will be likely applied in more studies in the near future provided they are implemented in appropriate user friendly softwares for the whole research community.

4.3. Normalization and efficiency correction on target genes

The effects of normalization with wrong genes are important; a high standard deviation will produce higher p values and, so, possibly lead to missing biologically relevant differences. Even worse, the use of a regulated gene (which shows systematical differences between experimental groups) for normalization, will lead to changes in gene values which can end in misguided results. The effect of efficiency correction, though not so dramatic, is also important since it can lead to overestimation (or underestimation) of differences between groups.

4.4 Concluding remarks

We found the ranking produced by NormFinder method as the most reliable one to choose reference genes for qPCR analysis when results differ between gene stability determination methods. NormFinder information should be complemented by the descriptive statistics offered by BestKeeper, especially the correlation coefficient. Accordingly, we found that *ubq* and *rps4* should be used as reference genes to study turbot gonad development from 30 up to 135 days post fertilization. We found pair-wise methods to be less robust than NormFinder and BestKeeper and also the suggested NormFinder two genes combination not reliable. We also recommend the use of LinRegPCR for efficiency determination for research purposes, however, efficiency determination is still a matter of discussion and probably new improved models will be published in the upcoming years.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by a project from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (AFL2020-22326-C02-01). Diego Robledo was supported by a FPU fellowship from the Ministerio de Educación Cultura y Deporte of Spanish Governement. We are indebted to Lucía Insua, Susana Sánchez and Sonia Gómez for technical assistance.

Supplementary material



Supplementary Figure 1. *Cyp19a1a* **expression levels at 105 dpf.** *Cyp19a1a* mean centered Cq values in the gonads of turbot at 105 days post fertilization. High expression is observed in females (F) and low expression in males (M).

	-					
	-	Temperature				
		15	18	23		
Days post fertilization	30	450	540	690		
	45	675	810	1035		
	60	900	1080	1380		
	75	1125	1350	1725		
	90	1350	1620	2070		
	105	1575	1890	2415		
	120	1800	2160	2760		
	135	2025	2430	3105		

Supplementary Table 1. The equivalence between Age+Temperature groups and degree-days is shown. Two groups (75dpf+18°C and 90dpf+15°C) would merge if we followed the "degree days" criterion, going from 24 to 23 groups.

Rank	NormFinder	NormFinder (Degree days)	
1	rps4	rps4	
	(0.613)	(0.619)	
2	ubq	ubq	
	(0.713)	(0.698)	
3	rpl17	rpl17	
	(0.721)	(0.707)	
4	actb	actb	
	(0.785)	(0.781)	
5	gapdh	gapdh	
	(0.85)	(0.844)	
6	b2m	b2m	
	(0.851)	(0.856)	

Supplementary Table 2. Normfinder results for days post fertilization - temperature and degreedays groups are shown. We repeated NormFinder calculations for reference gene stability determination merging the two groups which would be grouped according degree-days (75dpf+18°C to and 90dpf+15°C) and compared them to the results shown in the manuscript for gene stability by NormFinder (the other methods for reference gene stability or are determination independent efficiency of grouping). The best suggested combination of two genes was actb and rps4 for both. As can be seen in the table, the ciphers vary but the classification remains the same and so the conclusions of the manuscript would be unaffected if we changed grouping.







Gene expression analysis at the onset of sex differentiation in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) at different rearing temperatures

Diego Robledo¹, Laia Ribas², Rosa Cal³, Laura Sánchez⁴, Francesc Piferrer², Paulino Martínez⁴, Ana Viñas¹

¹ Departamento de Genética, Facultad de Biología (CIBUS, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Spain

² Institut de Ciències del Mar, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta, 37-49, Barcelona, 08003, Spain

³ Instituto Español de Oceanografía, Centro Oceanográfico de Vigo, Vigo, 36390, Spain

⁴ Departamento de Genética. Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Campus de Lugo, Lugo, 27002, Spain

Abstract

Controlling sex ratios is essential for the aquaculture industry, especially in those species with sex dimorphism for relevant productive traits, hence the importance of knowing how the sexual phenotype is established in fish. Turbot, a very important fish for the aquaculture industry in Europe, shows one of the largest sexual growth dimorphisms amongst marine cultured species, being all-female stocks a desirable goal for the industry. Although an important knowledge has been achieved on the genetic basis of sex determination (SD) in this species, the master SD gene remains unknown. Recently, information has been gathered on gene expression profiles along gonad development by microarray analysis, but precise information on some key genes at the critical stage of sex differentiation is lacking. In the present work, we examined the expression profiles of 29 relevant genes related to sex differentiation, from the first larval stages up to 135 days post fertilization (dpf), when male and female gonads are differentiating. Also we considered the influence of three temperature regimes on the process of sex differentiation. The first sex-related differences in molecular markers could be observed at 90 dpf and so we have called that time the onset of sex differentiation. Three genes were the first to show differential expression between males and females and also allowed us to sex turbot accurately at the onset of sex differentiation (90 dpf) in 5-6 cm length fish: cyp19a1a, amh and vasa. The expression of genes related to primordial germ cell (vasa, gsdf, tdrd1) development starts to increase between 75–90 dpf and vasa and tdrd1 later presented higher expression in females (105 dpf). Expression analysis on two genes placed on the SD region of turbot (sox2, fxr1) suggest that sox2 could be discarded as sex determining gene and that fxrl does not show an expression pattern which clearly points towards a function as sex determinant, though it still cannot be ruled out. We also detected changes in the expression level of several genes (ctnnb1, cyp11a, dmrt2 or sox6) depending on culture temperature. Our results enabled us to identify the first sex-associated genetic cues (cyp19a1a, vasa and amh) at the initial stages of gonad development in turbot (90 dpf) and to accurately sex turbot at this age, establishing the correspondence between gene expression profiles and histological sex. Furthermore, we profiled several genes involved in sex differentiation and found specific temperature effects on their expression.

1. Introduction

Sex is thought to have arisen in a single evolutive event in the last common ancestor of all eukaryotes, since sexual reproduction is almost universal and exclusive of this group (Javaux et al. 2001). Considering its consequences over the lifespan of an organism and its influence on population demography, it is thought that the sex-determination (SD) mechanism should be under strong selection forces (van Doorn, 2014). However, sex can be established by many different and fast-evolving mechanisms (Bull, 1983), indicating that SD triggers have emerged several times along evolution (Graves, 2008). Within vertebrates, different sex determining systems have been described. In therian mammals, with a XX/XY SD chromosome system, sex depends on the presence of the *Sry* gene, a paralogue of *sox3*, on the Y chromosome (Sinclair et al. 1990), while in birds with a ZZ/ZW SD system, the *dmrt1* gene with a double dosage is required for testis development (Smith et al, 2009). Also, in *Xenopus laevis* the *dm-w* gene, a paralogue of *dmrt1*, is responsible for SD (Yoshimoto et al. 2008). These SD genes encode for transcription factors belonging to DM and *Sox* families, thus suggesting a biased and recurrent recruitment of specific SD genes or families along evolution (Graves and Peichel, 2010).

Fish, with approximately 30.000 species (Nelson, 2006), is the most diverse group of vertebrates and its study has broaden our knowledge on SD. Fish diversity is also reflected by the variety of reproductive strategies: unisexuality, different types of hermaphroditism and gonochorism; and also by the diversity of SD systems (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002). In the last years, an important effort has been made in order to identify the SD gene in several model and aquaculture fish species. Different productive traits are sex-associated in farm fish such as growth rate, color, taste and flesh quality, hence, the interest of industry in producing monosex populations (Martínez et al. 2014). Nonetheless, detailed information at gene level is available for only a limited number of fish species. Five different master SD genes have been identified so far: dmY/dmrt1by in Oryzias latipes and in O. curvinotus (Matsuda et al. 2002), gsdf in O. luzonensis (Myosho et al. 2012), amhy in Odontesthes hatchery (Hattori et al. 2012), amhr2 in Takifugu rubripes, T. pardalis and T. poecilonotus (Kamiya et al. 2012), and sdY in salmonid family (Yano et al. 2013). Recently, a distant cis-regulatory element of sox3 necessary for male determination in O. dancena, a species with a XX/XY SD system, has also been identified (Takehana et al. 2014), and *dmrt1* has been suggested as the SD master gene in Cynoglossus semilaevis (Chen et al. 2014). However, little information is available, not only on the SD genes, but also on the initial molecular pathways related to sexual differentiation.

Traditionally, SD has been related to the switching mechanism of a hierarchical genetic network that causes the activation of downstream genes involved in gonad differentiation

(GD) leading to the differentiation of testes or ovaries (Schartl, 2004). Thus, concerning whether the first difference between future males and females is a difference in the expression of a gene or group of genes or the stength of an environmental factor, SD can be genetic (GSD) or environmental (ESD), although both ways can coexist (Penman and Piferrer 2008; Martínez et al., 2014). In the classical view of SD and GD, the downstream genetic cascade was assumed to be highly conserved, and only the genes at the top of the cascade would change by gene duplication (and by the recruitment of a downstream gene) or by allelic diversification, establishing a new SD mechanism (Schartl, 2004). Nowadays, the conservation of the downstream cascade has been questioned (Böhne et al. 2013; Herpin et al. 2013) and a new vision which considers sex as a threshold phenotype in which both genetic and environmental factors can act alone or in combination and, importantly, in different times during the period of GD is gaining support (Uller and Helantera, 2011; Heule et al., 2014). In this new view, different factors such as cell proliferation and hormone levels would be involved in determining a threshold which would give rise to a testis or an ovary, thus fitting to a threshold quantitative trait (Uller and Helanterä, 2011; Martínez et al., 2014).

Turbot is one of the most important species cultured in Europe, being Galicia (North-west of Spain) the main production region since the eighties. Production and quality of farmed fish rely on a deep knowledge of biological functions, especially those related to reproduction, growth and disease resistance. Being able to adjust the reproductive biology of cultured species allows exploiting sex-associated dimorphisms related to productive traits (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002). Turbot shows one of the strongest sexual growth dimorphisms amongst marine species and females can reach up to 50% bigger size than males (Imsland et al. 1997), thus industry is interested in the production of all-female populations. In the last years, an important effort has been devoted to understanding SD and GD in this species. Analysis on mitotic and meiotic chromosomes revealed the absence of an heteromorphic sex chromosome pair related to sex (Bouza et al. 1994; Cuñado et al. 2002). The major SD region was located on the LG5 at 2.6 cM of Sma-USCE30 marker (Hermida et al. 2013), but other minor sexrelated QTLs were detected at LG6, LG8 and LG21 (Martínez et al. 2009). In that study a ZZ/ZW SD system was established in accordance with the sex ratios of progenies obtained from hormonally sex reversed parents (Haffray et al. 2009). Temperature also showed a minor influence on sex ratios in this species (Haffray et al. 2009). Close to the sex-associated marker several candidate genes were identified (sox2, dnaj19, fxr1), but apparently discarded because no association to sex was detected at the species level, so the SD gene remains unidentified in this species (Taboada et al. 2014). Considering the lack of information on the SD mechanism and the new scenario highlighting the relevance of downstream genes in gonad development, we decided to address an expression analysis on 29 relevant genes involved in GD at the initial critical stages of sex differentiation using a large amount of fish and sampling times in turbot. We also evaluated the effect of temperature along this period to ascertain its role on SD and its interaction with genetic factors. Our results enabled us to establish the correspondence between gene expression profiles and histological sex and to identify the first sex-associated genetic cues at the initial stages of gonad development in turbot.

2. Methods

2.1. Rearing conditions and sampling

Turbot fertilized eggs were obtained at the IEO (Instituto Oceanográfico de Vigo, Spain). Fish were reared in tanks at three different temperatures, (15°C, 18°C and 23°C) with two replicates per temperature for a total of six tanks. Gonad samples were taken following a time series at the beginning of development embracing the critical period where the onset of GD takes place: 60, 75, 90, 105, 120 and 135 days post fertilization (dpf). This period was chosen based on a preliminary analysis on the expression GD key genes (*cyp19a1a, amh, sox9a, vasa, fox12*) and on previous histological analyses of turbot gonads sampled every five days from 5 dpf, which did not show any change signals either in gene expression or GD before than 60 dpf. Ten fish per temperature and developmental stage were sampled and gonads dissected as accurately as possible considering the size of the fish. A total of 180 samples were used in this study: 6 stages x 3 temperatures/stage x 10 fish/stage-temperature. In fish of 105 dpf and above, gonads were split into two samples, one used for quantitative PCR (qPCR) and the other one for histological sexing. Samples for qPCR were immediately embedded in RNAlater for preservation (Qiagen, Valencia, CA).

Animals were treated according to the Directive 2010/63/UE of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 September 2010 on the protection of animals used for experimentation and other scientific purposes. All experimental protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Santiago de Compostela (Spain).

2.2. Histology

Samples for histological analysis were kept in 4% paraformaldehyde buffer overnight. The next day, samples were cleaned with PBS and kept in 70% ethanol, and then gonads were dehydrated and embedded in paraffin, cut at 7 µm thick and stained with hematoxylin-eosin. These histological preparations allowed us to certify the sex of the dissected individuals from 105 dpf, where gonads were differentiated (see Results). Additionally, all samples were genetically sexed using the SmaUSC-E30 marker, which demonstrated a ~98% accuracy for sexing in turbot (Martínez et al. 2009). To establish the association between sex and alleles at this marker, parents and grandparents of each family were genotyped, and the expected genotypes of male and female offspring obtained following Taboada et al. (2014).

2.3. RNA isolation and cDNA synthesis

Total RNA was extracted by homogenization in TRIzol (Invitrogen, Paisley, UK) following the manufacturer's protocol. Extracted RNA was treated with RNase-free Recombinant *DNaseI* (Roche Diagnostics, Mannheim, DE) and RNA concentration was assessed by spectrophotometry and its quality checked using an Agilent 2100 bionalyzer

(Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, US). RNA (1.2 μ g) was reverse transcribed by random primers using Affinity Script Multiple Temperature cDNA Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol and then diluted 1:2 with nuclease-free water.

2.4. Quantitative PCR

qPCR was performed on a Stratagene Mx3005P thermocycler (Agilent Technologies) using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green QPCR Master Mix in a final volume of 12.5 µL following the manufacturer's protocol with 1µL of cDNA per reaction. Specific primers for targeted genes were designed using Primer3 (Untergasser et al. 2012) from sequences obtained from the turbot EST database enriched with sex differentiation-related organs (gonad and brain; Ribas et al. 2013). When possible, primers were designed spanning different exons (Supplementary Table 1). Primer concentration was 300 nM and each sample was run in duplicate. The cycling parameters were: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. After amplification, a dissociation step was performed to ensure the presence of a single amplification product. Specificity for each primer pair was also confirmed by PCR product sequencing. In every PCR plate, non-template controls were included to confirm the absence of contamination. In addition, the same three samples were run in triplicate in every plate in order to correct interassay variation. qPCR data were obtained by the MxPro software (Agilent Technologies) and quantification cycle values (Cq) calculated for each replicate and then averaged to obtain the final Cq value. Three reference genes (ubq, rps4, rpl17) were used for normalization and LinRegPCR software (Ruijter et al. 2009) was used for efficiency determination following the recommendations by Robledo et al. (2014b) (Chapter 1). qPCR was performed in all the 180 samples for every gene. Samples with missing Cq values or inconsistencies between replicates (Cq difference > 1 cycle) were removed. Raw Cq values were transformed to the final fold difference values (FD) following the equations present in Kubista et al. (2007). Briefly, Cq values were normalized using the reference genes, efficiency corrected, log transformed and finally mean centered to obtain mean centered fold change values which were used for statistical analysis.

2.5. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using R (version 3.0.2) (R Development Core Team, 2008). Pearson correlations for the heatmap were obtained using the "cor" function. Principal component analysis (PCA) was computed by the "prcomp" function. Length and gene expression differences between sexes and stages were checked by Mann-Whitney tests (P < 0.05) since our data mostly did not conform to a normal distribution. Discriminant analysis was performed using the "lda" function on the "MASS" package (Venables and Ripley, 2002). Multiple regression (p<0.05) was used to assess temperature effects on sex ratio,

introducing temperature and length in the model. The gvlma function of the gvlma R package was used to check if our dataset met the assumptions of the multiple regression. Furthermore, we performed two additional tests for every temperature significant effect on gene expression: i) a moderation analysis, to check if length was modulated by the temperature, a temperature-length interaction term was added to our model checking if the new model improved the previous one; and ii) a mediation analysis by Sobel test, to explore if the detected temperature effect on gene expression is partially or fully explained by size differences between individuals.

2.6. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs

Several SD related QTLs were previously reported in turbot (Martínez et al. 2009), and therefore, we considered relevant to establish the mapping position of the targeted genes regarding these QTLs in the last turbot map (Hermida et al. 2013). For this, we established the correspondence between the turbot linkage groups and the scaffolds of the recently sequenced turbot genome (Figueras et al. unpublished) using the mapped markers and their sequences. Target gene sequences were located in the turbot genome using local blast (Altschul et al. 1990) and then placed in the linkage map using the correspondence between linkage groups and scaffolds as far as accurately depending on the availability of markers in the vicinity.

2.7. Weighted correlation network analysis

Weighted correlation network analysis was performed in R (version 3.0.2) (R Development Core Team, 2008) using the WGCNA package (Langfelder and Horvath, 2008) following the author's tutorial. Co-expression networks were built for our genes and Cytoscape 3.0.2 was used to visualize the network (Shannon et al. 2003). This allowed us to obtain information about the functional relationships between the target genes.

3. Results

3.1. Sampling and sexing

All the 180 turbot samples were genetically sexed using the Sma-USC30 marker and, additionally, the 105, 120 and 135 dpf samples were histologically sexed. Eighty-nine females and eighty-five males could be genetically sexed because the Sma-USC30 marker was informative, the remaining six samples being removed from this analysis since they could not be sexed. A 7% sexing discrepancy was observed between the genetic and histological information in the samples obtained at 105, 120 and 135 dpf, which provides an estimation of the error for sex-genotyping, a value close to that previously reported by Martínez et al. (2009). Given the reasonable accuracy of genetic sexing, the sex of samples below 105 dpf obtained through SmaUSC-E30 genotyping was considered for further analyses.

Males and females did not show length differences both in the whole dataset and at each development stage (Mann-Whitney test, P < 0.05) (Figure 1). However, significant differences were found at every stage between the three temperatures except at 90 and 120 dpf, where 18°C reared animals did not differ in length from those reared at 23°C (Table 1).



Figure 1. Male and female length by age

Mean length (centimeters) by age (days post fertilization) is shown in a boxplot for males and females separately. Females are represented in magenta and males in blue.

3.2. Gene selection and primer design

The 29 target genes were selected by: i) their importance for GD in other fish species; ii) previous data from our group in turbot (Viñas et al. 2012; Taboada et al. 2012); and iii) previous results from Ribas et al. (submitted) (Supplementary Table 2).

3.3. Co-localization of targeted genes with sex-related QTLs

A main SD QTL in linkage group 5 (LG 5) and three minor ones in LG6, LG8 and LG21 were previously reported in turbot (Martínez et al. 2009; Hermida et al. 2013). After establishing the relationship between the turbot map (linkage groups) and the turbot genome (scaffolds; Figueras et al. unpublished), 11 of our genes could be located in LGs harboring a

SD QTL (Figure 2). Five genes were found in LG5 and two of them, *sox2* and *fxr1*, colocalized with the main SD QTL; *ar1* co-localized with the sex QTL in LG8; four genes were placed in LG21 and two of them, *sox9* and *sox17*, within the confidence interval of the SD QTL.

Age (dpf)	Temperature (°C)	Mean length \pm SD (cm)	Percentage (%) / 18°C	P value / 18°C
60	15	3.14 ± 0.27	91.2	0.020
	18	3.44 ± 0.26	100	-
	23	3.72 ± 0.18	108.8	0.013
75	15	3.63 ± 0.43	87.8	0.044
	18	4.13 ± 0.45	100	-
	23	4.73 ± 0.38	114.6	0.006
90	15	4.95 ± 0.44	83.05	0.001
	18	5.90 ± 0.42	100	-
	23	6.02 ± 0.52	101.7	0.622
105	15	7.21 ± 0.68	73.5	0.000
	18	9.76 ± 0.51	100	-
	23	10.75 ± 0.47	109.2	0.000
120	15	8.33 ± 0.37	73.5	0.000
	18	11.26 ± 0.70	100	-
	23	11.85 ± 0.68	104.4	0.103
135	15	9.57 ± 0.33	78.1	0.000
	18	12.34 ± 0.36	100	-
	23	13.28 ± 0.71	108.1	0.003

Table 1. Length comparison between temperatures for each age group

Mean length in cm and standard deviation (SD) for each turbot stage-temperature group. The percentage length difference for 15 and 23°C groups referenced to the 18°C group and the p value of 15 and 23°C temperature lengths compared to18°C are also shown.

3.4. Global expression patterns

Samples and genes were hierarchically clustered in a heatmap using the Pearson correlation coefficient as distance measure (Figure 3). For each sample, sex, age and rearing temperature are shown in the heatmap. Some samples are grouped according to sex or age and can be associated with particular groups of coexpressed genes. The samples of 60 and 75 dpf are clustered in two groups (labelled in grey) and they are characterized by the high

expression of *sox6*, *fxr1*, *wnt4*, *hsp27*, *ptges3*, *lhx8* and *dmrt2* (yellow circles on the right), but also by the nearly null expression of *tdrd1*, *vasa*, *cyp19a1a*, *foxl2* and *gsdf*,



Figure 2. Turbot sex QTLs and target genes

Four turbot linkage groups are shown. Estimated location of the target genes is shown in red. Grey shaded LG areas represent the position of the SD QTLs.

involved in gonad maturation and female differentiation (yellow circle on the left). These samples are grouped by age independently of temperature or sex, which do not seem to represent relevant factors on the diagnostic genes expressed at these stages. Two different groups of older fish, one related to females and another one with males, can be identified. The female group (red circle on the right) is mainly associated with the overexpression of two different clusters of genes, one containing *cyp19a1a*, *foxl2*, *vasa*, *tdrd1* and *gsdf*, a group of genes not expressed in undifferentiated individuals as outlined before, and another one containing *dnmt1*, *dact1*, *sox19*, *rdh3* and *ctnnb1* (red circle on the left). The male group (blue circles) is associated with the expression of *sox9*, *amh*, *ar1*, *fshb*, *cyp11a* (blue circle at the bottom). These "male" genes are also highly expressed in a mix of males and females of around 90 dpf and mostly reared at low temperatures (blue circle at the top). Some "female" genes (*foxl2*, *gsdf*, *vasa*, *tdrd1*) are also expressed to a lower extent in the male samples, suggesting a role in gonad development irrespective of sex (next to the blue circle at the bottom). As previously mentioned, some blocks connected to rearing temperature can also be seen, but, in general, it does not seem to be a determining factor for sample clustering.



Figure 3. Genes and samples heatmap

Heatmap of target genes and all gonad samples. Gene names are shown in the bottom of the figure while gene hierarchical cluster is shown in the top. Log fold change expression values representation ranges from purple (highest expression) to light green (lowest expression). Sample names are not shown, instead each sample is represented by the three colors at the left of the figure which indicate sex (magenta for females, and blue for males), age (ranging from 60 to 135 dpf corresponding to a scale going from grey to dark green) and temperature (light blue for 15°C, yellow for 18°C and red for 23°C). Yellow, red or blue circles highlight expression patterns characteristic of undifferentiated, females or males individuals respectively.



Figure 4. Principal component analysis

Samples were grouped according to the fold change expression values of the target genes by a principal component analysis. Labeled as "Female" and "Male" and colored in red and purple respectively are gonad samples which have been histologically sexed, while labeler as "GenFemale" and "GenMale" and colored in olive green and light blue are gonad samples which have been genetically sexed. The circles for each group color represent a 66% probability that a sample belonging to that group will be placed inside the circle. The arrows with the name of the genes at the end represent how each gene contributes to the two principal analysis components represented in the figure.

PCA analysis on the 180 samples (Figure 4) revealed that histologically sexed males and females (\geq 105 dpf; males/females in the figure), can be mostly discriminated by their differential expression. However, younger individuals (only genetically sexed), appeared fully overlapped in the PCA, likely because they are still undifferentiated. A 66% prediction ellipse for each group is shown in Figure 4 indicating that if new individuals were added to our analysis from a certain group, 66% of them would expect to be placed inside the corresponding ellipse. Some of the genetically sexed individuals (60, 75 and 90 dpf) are also found in the ellipses for males or females which, also considering the previous heatmap results, indicates that turbot GD might start before 105 dpf. Interestingly, several genetic males are included in the female circle. This is very likely due to genotype sexing errors, as mentioned before (error rate around 7%), although any genetic male developing as female cannot be fully discarded. The arrows indicate the weight of each gene on the two first

principal components. Clearly, there is a large group of genes related to female differentiation (e.g., *cyp19a1a*, *sox19*, *tdrd1*, *dact1*), while the presumed male-related genes are fewer and not so markedly pointing towards male differentiation (*sox9*, *amh*, *sox2*, *hh1*). Apparently, the up-regulation of several female-like genes determines a female phenotype, while male development is characterized by the expression of very few specific genes. Also, as suggested in the heatmap, some genes are clearly related to undifferentiated individuals (*sox6*, *fxr1*, *wnt4*, *hsp27*, *ptges*).

3.5. Sex differences

Fold change expression values for those differentially expressed genes between males and females were analyzed gene by gene related to growth and age along development (Mann-whitney test; P < 0.05) (Figure 5).

Sex differences were first observed at 90 dpf when cyp19a1a (FC_{F/M} = 2.0) and *vasa* (FC_{F/M} = 1.2) are over expressed in females, and *amh* (FC_{M/F} = 1.1) in males (Figure 5). *Amh* expression was already higher at 75 dpf in males (FC_{M/F} = 0.5), although not significant (p = 0.11). These three genes presented an expression increase from 75 to 90 dpf in both sexes, also observed for *foxl2*, *tdrd1*, *gsdf* or even for *sox19* and *rdh3* (Figure 5). *Gsdf* expression increased very quickly from 60 to 75 dpf (FC_{75/60} = 4.3). *Foxl2* showed a higher expression in females at 90 dpf (FC_{F/M} = 1.2) although not significant (p = 0.12). *Foxl2* expression resembled that of *cyp19a1a*, although its expression increases in males at 105 dpf versus 90 dpf and also decreases in males from 105 dpf onwards (Figure 5). *Tdrd1* presented a pattern similar to *vasa* being more expressed in females at 105 dpf and onwards.

Other genes increased their expression at 105 dpf in females, while their expression remained at the undifferentiated stage level in males or even slowly decreased. FC values of females vs. males at 105 dpf were above 1 for *sox19* (FC_{F/M} = 3.8), *dnmt1* (FC_{F/M} = 3.1), *dact1* (FC_{F/M} = 2.4), *rdh3* (FC_{F/M} = 1.8), *ctnnb1* (FC_{F/M} = 1.3), *sf1* (FC_{F/M} = 1.1) and *piwi2* (FC_{F/M} = 2.3) (Figure 5) and these differences increased between 35%-75% from 105 to 135 dpf.

Fxr1 was also over expressed in females at 105 dpf (FC_{F/M} = 1.2) and showed a 47% increase at 135 dpf (Figure 5), but presented higher expression levels in undifferentiated individuals, irrespective of sex. A similar pattern was also observed for *wnt4*, *dmrt2* and *zar1*, genes which at some point during sex differentiation, 105-135 dpf, showed a higher expression in females, but its expression decreased from 75 to 90 dpf (Figure 5).

In contrast to that observed in females, in our study there were very few genes whose expression were higher in males and, even in these cases, the differences between males and females were low (Figure 5). One of them was *sox9a* for which sex differences increased from 105 dpf (FC_{M/F} = 1.2) up to 135 dpf (FC_{M/F}=2.0). Another sox family gene, *sox8*, highly expressed in undifferentiated individuals in both sexes, was over expressed in males at 105 dpf (FC_{M/F} = 0.8), but the difference remained constant at 135 dpf. Two additional genes, *fshb*

and *cyp11a*, showed mean expression values a little bit higher in males, but not significant (Supplementary figure 1).

Some genes like *ptges3*, *hh1*, *hsp27* or *lhx8* did not show sex differences (Supplementary figure 1). Among these, a gene of the sox family, *sox17*, showed some groups of outliers whose expression was not explained either by sex or by length/age. Other two genes of this family, *sox2* and *sox6*, did not present any clear expression pattern along development or by sex, and androgen receptor 1, *ar1*, showed two differentially expressed groups of samples from 105 dpf onwards, but irrespective of sex, did not show dimorphic expression either. Finally, it is worth noting the high expression of *ptges3*, *zar1* and especially by *wnt4* at the beginning of gonad development.



Figure 5. Gene expression along gonad development









Gene fold change values for each sample plotted according to both its length, in cm, and its age, in days post fertilization. Female samples are shown in magenta and male samples in blue. In the FC/length figure for each gene non-linear trend lines were calculated by loess regression and genes with significative differences between sexes at any age point present a pink background if the gene is overexpressed in females or a blue one if it is overexpressed in males. Genes without sex differences have a white background. In the FC/age figure, error bars represent the standard error of the mean, also an asterisk marks those age points were the differences in expression between males and females are significant.

3.6. Discriminant analysis

A discriminant analysis considering the earlier dimorphic expressed genes (*cyp19a1a*, *amh* and *vasa*) enabled us to sex correctly 100% of the genetic males at 90 dpf and 82% of the genetic females, representing as a whole 91% of individuals correctly sexed (Figure 6). This difference was very close to the error observed for genetic sexing in our study, as outlined before. Furthermore, from 105 dpf onwards (sexed by histology), the expression of *cyp19a1a* alone is capable to perfectly discriminate males and females without error, additionally supporting the discrepancy due to sex genotyping error before this time.

3.7. Network analysis

To further understand the functional relationships between genes we performed a network analysis based on gene-to-gene correlations (Figure 7). A tight cluster with several female over-expressed genes (e.g., *cyp19a1a*, *foxl2*, *vasa*, *sox19*, *ctnnb1*) was found with all their genes inter-connected. Also, sox9 and amh constituted a small male cluster together with *fshb*



Figure 6. Discriminant analysis 3D plot

90 days post fertilization samples were plotted in a three dimensions graphaccordin to their fold change values for cyp19a1a, amh and vasa. Female samples are colored in magenta and males in blue.

and cyp11a. The two clusters are connected through two genes: fxr1 and gsdf. Fxr1 is located at the main sex determining region of turbot (Martínez et al. 2009), so its position connecting female and male genes may be relevant. Furthermore, fxr1 is also related to wnt4, another gene with higher expression at undifferentiated stages.

The absence of some genes in the network (*sox2*, *sox8*, *sox17*, *ar1*) suggests that they do not show significant relationships with any other gene, at least in our analysis and for the chosen correlation threshold. This does not mean that they do not have any role in sex differentiation, since our study analyzed the expression of a limited number of genes (29). If more genes were added, it is possible that these genes showed connection to the network through them.





Weighed correlation network performed with the fold change expression values of the genes is shown. Genes are represented as blue circles if they are overexpressed in males at any age, magenta if they are overexpressed in females, or dark green if no differences were found. Lines connecting genes indicate significant correlations, red lines are positive correlations and blue lines are negative correlations.

3.8. Temperature effects on gene expression

We found a higher proportion of females at both 15°C and 18°C than at 23°C, where the male:female proportion is close to 1:1 (Figure 8). Genotyping of the SD marker strongly suggested that some genetic males developed as females, a total of 16 genetic males were classified as females by histology, so male-to-female sex reversal mechanism seems to be operating especially, at 15°C. The detected 7% genetic sexing error might be the result of temperature effects on sex differentiation.

We analyzed the effects of temperature on gene expression in males and females separately and, since turbot length was different between temperatures in almost every development stage, we checked if the detected temperature differences were independent of length (Figure 9) or not (Supplementary Figure 2). Among those genes with length-independent temperature effects on expression (Figure 9), only *sox2* showed temperature effects which are not sex dependent. This gene showed higher expression at 15°C and 23°C,

although the difference between 18 and 23°C was not significant in females. Among those genes showing sex-specific temperature effects *amh*, *sox9a* and *cyp11a* were found to be more expressed at low temperatures in females, while *sox17* and *dmrt2* showed the opposite pattern with higher expression at 23°C in females. It should be noted that these were previously identified as male-related genes. On the other side, *ctnnb1*, *piwi2*, *sf1* and *sox6* were overexpressed at low temperatures in males, and the four genes showed a very similar pattern.



Figure 8. Sex proportions and temperature

Percentage of male and female turbot, histologically sexed, at 15, 18 and 23°C. Also, the percentage of phenotypic females which are genetic males is shown. No genetic females developed as males. Thirty fish per temperature.



Figure 9. Temperature effects on gene expression





Mean fold change gene expression values at 15, 18 and 23°C in the whole dataset are shown for males (light blue background) and females (pink background). Error bars represent standard deviation. Significate differences between temperatures are indicated by * (0.01 , ** <math>(0.001 , *** <math>(0.001 or **** <math>(p < 0.0001). Black asterisks indicate that the detected difference is independent of fish length, while red asterisks indicate that fish length has an influence on the temperature differences.

Among the genes which showed expression differences between temperatures also influenced by growth (Supplementary figure 2), *dact, dnmt1, rdh3, sox8 and sox19* showed a similar pattern in females, presenting higher expression at higher temperatures; while in males the most interesting result was the higher expression of fxr1 at lower temperatures. Expression analysis for genes related to chromatin remodelation showed differences depending on both size and temperature (*dnmt1, hh1, tdrd1*) (Supplementary figure 2), with the exception of *piwi2* which showed significant differences between 15 and 23°C in males (Figure 9).

Finally, considering 90 dpf as the nearest stage to sex determination among the assayed stages, we decided to test for temperature differences at this stage which were independent of both length and sex, since most genes did not show dimorphic gene expression at this stage. Due to relative small sample size (only ten samples per temperature) a single gene showed significant different between temperatures at 90 dpf: *ctnnb1* (Figure 10). *Ctnnb1* highest expression was observed at 18°C and at 23°C showed the lowest expression.



Figure 10: Temperature effects on ctnnb1 expression at 90 dpf

Mean fold change gene expression values at 15, 18 and 23°C at 90 dpf are shown for *ctnnb1*. Error bars represent standard deviation. Significate differences between temperatures are indicated by * (0.01 or ** <math>(0.001 .

4. Discussion

Recently, sex determination and differentiation has begun to be seen as a modular process rather than a cascade, where sex determination behaves as a threshold like character (Heule et al. 2014). In this model, gonadal fate depends on several factors acting coordinately, among them several genes and environmental variables. In this sense, and given the interest in obtaining single sex stocks in many aquaculture species, understanding the different gene patterns during early sex differentiation, supposedly the moment where gonad fate can be more easily controlled or altered, is of great importance to control sex ratios and manipulate sex determination. In this study we have analyzed the expression of 29 genes during turbot early sex differentiation. Several genes have been studied regarding sex differentiation in fish for the first time and a total of 21 genes were found to show dimorphic expression at some point during early sex differentiation in turbot. Furthermore, the influence of temperature was also assessed finding differences for 10 genes between temperatures. This study has broadened our knowledge of gene expression patterns during early sex determination in turbot in particular and in fish in general.

4.1. Early sex differentiation

Here it has been shown that turbot could be accurately sexed by gene expression at 90 dpf and 5-6 cm length. Although morphological gonad differences between sexes were not detected at this developmental stage, the first molecular signals of sex differentiation were observed between 75 and 90 dpf. One of the first genetic signatures is the differential expression of cyp19a1a, amh and vasa, which allowed discriminating males (high amh expression) and females (high cyp19a1a and vasa expression) at this development stage. Amh and cyp19a1a are genes involved in sex differentiation across all vertebrate taxa (Cutting et al. 2013; Valenzuela et al. 2013). Amh is a member of the transforming growth factor β superfamily of glycoproteins and is expressed exclusively in gonads, and cyp19a1a is the enzyme responsible for the conversion of androgens into estrogens and is one of the most functionally conserved sex-related genes along evolution (Valenzuela et al. 2013). Although the Müllerian ducts are not present in modern teleosts (Miura et al. 2002), amh orthologs have been described in several species and characterized as key factors for gonad sex differentiation (Rodríguez-Marí et al. 2005, Klüver et al. 2007, Halm et al. 2007) and sex determination (Hattori et al. 2012). Amh and cyp19a1a have been reported as male and female-like genes, respectively, in several fish species (Callard et al. 2001, Poonlaphdecha et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Johnsen et al. 2013), and our results in turbot are in agreement with their reported roles.

4.2. Primordial germ cells

Vasa, tdrd1 and *gsdf* are genes related to primordial germ cell development whose expression increased from 75 to 90 dpf, being among the first cues of GD in turbot. *Vasa* increased its expression in both sexes from 75 to 90 dpf, but 14-fold in females and 5-fold in males, while *tdrd1* and *gsdf* expression increased at 90 dpf, although *tdrd1* pro-female expression was not detected until 105 dpf and *gsdf* did not present any dimorphic pattern between sexes at all.

Trdrd are proteins which can associate with *piwil1* and *piwil2* both involved in the piRNA (piwi interacting small RNAs) pathway. This is a small RNA silencing system, which functions in germline specification, gametogenesis, transposon silencing, genome integrity, and stem cell maintenance across the animal phylogeny (Yi et al. 2014). *Tdrd1* has been found to bind to the piwi pathway proteins *ziwi* and *zili* (Huang et al. 2011) and also to interact with *vasa* (Kirino et al. 2010). *Tdrd1* proteins were detected in the primordial germ cells of zebrafish (*Danio rerio*) at 4 dpf and are involved in both oocyte and sperm development (Huang et al. 2011). *Vasa* gene is a highly specific marker of germ cells (Lasko and Ashburner, 1988; Hay et al. 1988; Komiya et al. 1994; Castrillon et al. 2000) required for

their development (Johnstone and Lasko, 2004) and conserved along several invertebrate and vertebrate taxa (Ephrussi and Lehman, 1992). It has been suggested that vasa promotes translation of target mRNAs involved in the development of the germ cell line (Johnstone and Lasko, 2004; Styhler et al. 2002; Liu et al. 2003) and it has also been connected with the piwi pathway (Tanaka et al. 2000), which, in turn, is essential for germline development (Aravin et al. 2007). Finally, gsdf is a highly conserved teleost-specific cytokine member of the TGF-B superfamily which has been reported to be expressed in the somatic cells surrounding the primordial germ cells in rainbow trout and promoting their proliferation (Sawatari et al. 2007). A copy of gsdf, named $gsdf^{Y}$, has been found to be the sex determinant gene in Oryzias luzonensis with a higher expression in males 10 days after hatching (Myosho et al. 2012). Gsdf has also shown higher expression in testis and co-localization with dmy expression during the early stages of GD in medaka (Oryzias latipes) (Shibata et al. 2010). In Oryzias dancena sox3, the SD gene, initiates testicular differentiation by upregulating gsdf, and it has been proposed that sox3 is epistatic over gsdf in the SD pathway (Takehana et al. 2014). This gene has also shown higher levels of expression in testis in zebrafish, three-spot wrasse (Halichoeres trimaculatus) and coelacanth (Latimeria menadoensis) (Gautier et al. 2011, Horiguchi et al, 2013, Forconi et al. 2013). Also, recently, gsdf has been proposed as the sex determining gene in Anoploma fimbria (Rondeau et al. 2013). Gsdf does not seem to have such a male-like function in turbot, since it did not present a dimorphic expression at the critical period of GD, however it seems to be important for gonad development in both sexes since its expression greatly increased between 75 and 90 dpf. Even more, this is the only gene which shows a significant expression increase from 60 to 75 dpf in our study, which is consistent with a function as germ cell inductor, since its expression precedes that of vasa or tdrd1, germ cell genetic markers.

The expression pattern of *vasa*, *tdrd1* and *gsdf* in turbot suggests that primordial germ cells start proliferating between 75 and 90 dpf in both sexes, either faster in females or suffering a certain delay in males, as suggested by *vasa* and *tdrd1* expression levels. Another gene related to the *piwi* pathway and germ-line specific, *piwi2*, was investigated in our study. The expression pattern of this gene was slightly different from that of *vasa* or *tdrd1* since its activation was delayed until 105 dpf and only took place in females. In zebrafish, *piwi2* has been found to play a crucial role in meiosis (Houwing et al. 2008) and perhaps its different pattern of expression in turbot may be related to the start of meiosis in female germ cells.

The amount of primordial cells is recognized as one of the initial differences between male and female gonads in some fish species like zebrafish (Siegfried and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2008), medaka (Kurokawa et al. 2007) and stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*) (Lewis et al. 2008), although not in others like loach (*Misgurnus anguillicaudatus*) (Fujimoto et al. 2010) and goldfish (*Carassius auratus*) (Goto et al. 2012). Germ cell proliferation has been found to be associated with sex differentiation and even SD in several fish species. In medaka, germ cell proliferation is inhibited in males when the sex determining gene, *dmY*, is expressed at the SD stage before testis differentiation (Herpin et al. 2007). When *dmy* is not active in XY embryos, germ cells proliferate and enter meiosis like in XX embryos. Surprisingly, *amh* and

amhrII do not present a dimorphic expression in medaka during GD (Klüver et al. 2007), but amh has been found to control germ cell proliferation in this species, and mutations on its receptor (amhrII) lead to excessive proliferation of germ cells which caused male-to-female sex reversal (Morinaga et al. 2007), although female XY gonads still expressed dmY. Furthermore, if these *amhrII* mutants are depleted of germ cells, testis development takes place (Nakamura et al. 2012). So, amh seems to be a repressor of germ cell proliferation in medaka necessary for SD. This is also de case in fugu (Fugu rubripes), where a single SNP in the coding region of amhrII is likely responsible for SD (Kamiya et al. 2012). This SNP encodes a protein with a reduced function and is fixed in females, which are not sensitive to amh. On the other hand, fugu males are heterozygous and a fully functional amhrII allele mediates amh signaling, decreasing the number of germ cells (Kamiya et al. 2012). Also, in the Patagonian pejerrey, a copy of amh, amhY, has been found to be the sex determining gene and its action has been suggested to regulate germ cell proliferation and SD, being upstream to the autosomal *amh* and relegating the former to a function in testicular maturation and/or spermatogenesis (Hattori et al. 2012). If amh is indeed a germ cell inhibitor in turbot, its action could explain why gsdf expression is not dimorphic in turbot while germ cell markers like vasa and tdrd1 show higher expression in females.

Interestingly, in the female gonad of zebrafish the maintenance of *cyp19a1a* expression, but not its activation, has been related to the presence of the primordial germ cells (Siegfried and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2008), pointing towards a model where *amh* is responsible of the control of germ cell proliferation while germ cells aid to maintain *cyp19a1a* expression. In our experiment, both *cyp19a1a* and *amh* showed higher expression in females and males, respectively, at 90 dpf. This pattern of *amh* expression was also reported in goldfish (Goto et al. 2012). According to information from other species, a threshold expression of *amh* could be controlling sexual fate. If *amh* does not reach the required expression level, primordial germ cells will proliferate and maintain *cyp19a1a* levels while *amh* levels decrease. On the contrary, if *amh* expression reaches a certain threshold, germ cells stop proliferating and *cyp19a1a* expression decreases.

Foxl2 pattern is similar to that previously described for *cyp19a1a*, *vasa*, *tdrd1* and *gsdf*. In fact, these five genes are clustered together in the heatmap. In this study *foxl2* increases its expression at 90 dpf, slightly more in females, though the difference is not significative; only after this stage it shows significant higher expression in females, although the severe down-regulation observed for cyp19a1a in males at the last stages was not observed for *foxl2*. *Foxl2* is a transcription factor that activates *cyp19a1a* transcription by binding to its promoter region (Wang et al. 2007) and both genes are strictly co-expressed in mammals (Pannetier et al. 2006). Its expression in turbot is consistent with an activation of *cyp19a1a*, however, the later decrease of *cyp19a1a* while *foxl2* expression is still high in males suggests other roles for *foxl2* not related to *cyp19a1a* activation at early stages of development. *Foxl2* expression has also been described in the male gonad of tilapia (*Oerochromis niloticus*), southern catfish (*Silurus meridionalis*) and goldfish (Wang et al. 2007; Liu et al. 2007; Goto et al. 2012). Also, cells with *cyp19a1a* expression without *foxl2* expression have been reported in medaka

(Herpin et al. 2013), so, apparently, foxl2 is not essential for cyp19a1a expression maintenance in that species, although the authors did not exclude that those cells had earlier foxl2 expression (Herpin et al. 2013). As previously suggested, foxl2 might be a general regulator of steroidogenesis in gonads also involved in the initiation of the female gonad development cascade (Wang et al. 2007), however foxl2 function seems to be more complex than in mammals and more factors appear to be involved in cyp19a1a regulation in fish.

Growth-related factors have also been associated to cell proliferation and gonad differentiation (Piferrer et al, 2012). In our study, we could not detect length differences between sexes at these initial stages of GD, which is consistent with previous reports which found the first signs of growth dimorphism at 240 dph in turbot (Imsland et al. 1997). So, the mechanism associated with SD and early GD does not produce sex dimorphic growth. However, we cannot rule out differences in gonad size between sexes that could be related to SD. Indeed, it would be interesting to explore the possible connections between differential growth and gonad size in species with growth dimorphism like turbot.

4.3. Female sex differentiation

Samples already started GD before 105-135 dpf either towards males or females, and the sex is easily identified by *cyp19a1a* expression alone at these developmental stages. Several other turbot female-like genes were also detected when during this period of GD (*foxl2*, *vasa*, *tdrd1*, *sox19*, *dnmt1*, *dact1*, *rdh3*) and, overall, female differentiation involved a larger number of expressed genes than in males, where gene expression was very similar to undifferentiated fish, excluding a few classical male-like genes (*amh*, *sox9*, *sox8*). Female development seems to involve more complex genetic machinery. Preliminary results from turbot gonad microarray have led to the same conclusion (Ribas et al. submitted).

There are six genes, dnmt1, rdh3, sox19, dact1, ctnnb1 and sf1 which showed a similar increasing expression in females at 105 dpf. These genes showed high pair-wise correlation values within 105-135 dpf, and also the highest negative correlations with amh amongst all the assayed genes. So, amh down-regulation may be required for the activation of some genes important for female gonad development. Dnmt1 is a DNA methylase which preferentially methylates hemimethylated DNA and is expressed in mouse non-proliferating growing oocytes where it plays a crucial role in maintaining imprinted genes during early embryogenesis (Howell et al. 2001). Conversely, *dnmt1* expression was found to be correlated with the proliferative state of male germ cells in mouse and down-regulated during arrest (Sakai et al. 2001). Dnmtl had been previously reported to be highly expressed in proliferating cells (Szyf et al. 1991). The expression of *dnmt1* in turbot started later than that of vasa, tdrd1 or gsdf, so we do not expect this gene to be connected with the proliferation of germ cells. Instead, it might be related to the gonad somatic cells, which is in agreement with other results in mice, where *dnmt1* was found to be indispensable for the survival of these cells (Sakai et al. 2001). Rdh3 is a retinol dehydrogenase involved in retinol metabolism and has been recently linked with meiosis in zebrafish, suggesting that retinoic acid may promote
the entrance in meiosis (Rodríguez-Marí et al. 2013). Retinol metabolism has also been associated with ovary differentiation in Acanthopagrus schlegelii (Lau et al. 2013). Sox19, although it has orthologous in other vertebrates, has undergone a rapid change and its function apparently differs between the different taxa. In fact, sox15, the sox19 orthologous in mammals, is pseudogenized (Yamada et al. 2008). Sox19 has not been studied in many fish species, but it has shown a conserved function in ovary development in fish (Navarro-Martín et al. 2012). Dact1 is connected to the *wnt* signaling pathway and has been proposed to stabilize *ctnnb1*, and so, to modulate the transcriptional activation of target genes of this pathway (Waxman et al. 2004). Ctnnb1 is the key downstream component of the canonical wnt signaling pathway, which antagonizes sox9 and blocks testis development in mammals, thus promoting ovarian development (Maatouk et al. 2008). Our results are in agreement with a conserved female development function of this pathway, which has also been shown in zebrafish (Sreenivasan et al. 2014) and rainbow trout (Nicol et al. 2013). However, in turbot, the *wnt* pathway seems to be at least partially independent of *wnt4* since the expression of this gene was higher at undifferentiated stages and dropped at 90 dpf irrespective of sex, although later it showed higher expression in females. Wnt4 is highly expressed in the undifferentiated gonads of turbot at 60 and 75 dpf, which is consistent with a role in early gonad development also observed in mammals, where it is responsible for the development of Müller ducts in both sexes (Vainio et al. 1999), but needless to say its function has to be different in fish. Wnt4 is also a key female gene in mammals which antagonizes fgf9 and down-regulates sox9 expression (Kim et al. 2006). Wnt4 not so clear female-pattern during GD in turbot is likely related to the absence of fgf9 in teleosts (Forconi et al. 2013). No dimorphic wnt4 expression has been observed in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) (Nicol et al. 2011), zebrafish (Sreenivasan et al. 2014) or the more distant Rana rugosa (Oshima et al. 2005). Wnt4 does not show a conserved function in female SD along evolution, and the results in our study suggest that it is not involved in the expression of *ctnnb1* in the female gonad development and so, other wnt proteins should be responsible for activating the wnt pathway, which seems to have a conserved female prominent function. Sf1 or splicing factor 1 is involved in the assembly of the spliceosome and so, it is related to RNA splicing (Neubauer et al. 1998). There are several examples of differential splicing connected to sex development in insects (Nissen et al. 2012, Wang et al. 2014, Suzuki et al. 2014) and it is reiteratively found controlling the expression of key SD genes for example in Drosophila and other dipteran and hymenopteran insects (Salz, 2011). Alternative splicing of sex related genes has been found in fish too (von Schalburg et al. 2011; Miyake et al. 2012), but sex dimorphism has not been described for this gene. We found sfl overexpression in females, which suggests another layer of complexity to sex differentiation in fish involving dimorphic alternative splicing.

The coactivation of these six gene genes in female differentiation from 105 dpf, except for *ctnnb1* and *dact1*, does not seem to be related to a specific pathway considering their functional diversity, but with the activation of several concomitant pathways at the beginning of ovary development.

4.4. Male-like genes

Besides amh, we found two overexpressed genes in males from 105 dpf onwards: sox9a and sox8. Furthermore, the heatmap and network analyses suggested that cyp11a and fshb are related to male development as well. Sox9a is an essential player in sex differentiation and its male-like nature seems to be rather conserved along evolution, but its relevance seems to be variable (Smith and Sinclair, 2004; Shoemaker and Crews, 2009). In mammals, this gene is directly activated by sry and is responsible and sufficient for fating the male gonad (Ramkissoon and Goodfellow, 1996), also activating amh transcription which is responsible for Müllerian duct regression (Knower et al. 2003). In birds, sox9a is co-expressed with amh and induced by the SD gene dmrt1 (Lambeth et al. 2014). However, in medaka, sox9 is not required for testis development (Nakamura et al. 2008, 2012). In turbot, sox9a dimorphic expression is found later than that of *amh*, and its expression is more stable along the assayed stages, suggesting a less important role in GD in this species. The role of sox8 is very similar to that of sox9 in mammals. They belong to the sox family E group and sox8 has been suggested to reinforce the action of sox9 in male GD (Chaboissier et al. 2004). Cyp11a and *fshb* showed a positive correlation with the male genes in our study, but they did not display a dimorphic expression pattern at any stage. Cyp11a catalyzes the first step of steroidogenesis, the conversion of cholesterol to pregnenolone, a steroid hormone, precursor of androgens and estrogens (Miller and Auchus, 2011), while fshb is believed to control both vitellogenesis and spermatogenesis in adult fish (Swanson et al. 2003), but it has also been reported to be expressed before or during sex differentiation (Fan et al. 2003; Wu et al. 2009) in agreement with our results. However, we did not find any dimorphic expression of this gene, so it does not seem to drive gonad fating, which is in agreement with previous findings in tilapia (Yan et al. 2012).

4.5. Genes in the main SD region

Fxr1 is located in the turbot genome very close to Sma-USCE30 (Taboada et al. 2014), the highest sex-associated marker in this species, within the main SD region at linkage group 5 (LG5) (Martínez et al. 2009), thus representing a potential SD candidate gene. In this experiment, fxr1 is highly expressed before the first GD signs and precedes the expression of cyp19a1a and amh, although at this time the expression is not sexually dimorphic. Also, fxr1position in the functional network is quite intriguing, connecting male and female clusters through its negative correlation with amh and positive with sf1. Fxr1 is a RNA-binding protein and together with Fxr2 is an autosomal paralogue of fmrp (fragil X mental retardation 1), important for normal female reproductive function and cognition development in humans. It should be noted at this point that turbot shows a ZZ/ZW SD system (Martínez et al. 2009), so the SD gene should be likely related to the activation of the GD pathway. Fxr1 is involved in miRNA-mediated post-transcriptional gene regulation, and has been related to female gametogenesis in pigs (Yang et al. 2012) and *Xenopus laevis* (Mortensen et al. 2011). This gene regulates intracellular transport and local translation of certain mRNAs in mouse (Mientjes et al. 2004), and presents several mRNA variants and protein isoforms in mammals (Huot et al. 2001). The function of this gene as a post-transcriptional regulator, its expression pattern at the very beginning of GD in turbot, and its co-localization with the main SD region support fxr1 as a SD candidate in turbot. Also fxr1, fxr22 and fmrp have Tudor domains (Adams-Cioaba et al. 2010) which recently have been associated with effector proteins involved in histone methylation. Several tudor domain containing proteins were found to interact with methylated lysine in histones and also with methylated arginine residues in non-histone proteins involved in the regulation of RNA metabolism, small RNA pathways or germ cell development. It is also intriguing the higher expression level of fxr1 at low temperature which is associated with an increase of females proportion (see next subsection). However, further analysis will be required for examining expression of this gene in younger individuals looking for a putative dimorphic pattern between sexes. Finally sox2, also located in the main SD region of turbot (Viñas et al. 2012), did not present a dimorphic expression pattern and also showed a relatively steady expression along all the assayed stages, suggesting no role of this gene in turbot SD.

4.6. Temperature effects

Cold temperatures (15°C and 18°C) rendered a higher proportion of phenotypic females in the studied fish. This effect was due to the influence of the temperature itself and not to genetic segregation distortion, since genotyping of the SD marker strongly suggested that some genetic males developed as females. This is very interesting for aquaculture, especially if turbot were to show compensatory growth when changed to warmer temperatures for faster growth after being reared at 15°C for higher female proportion during early sexual differentiation. Similar results showing low-temperature-induced feminization have been reported in a tilapia species (*Oreochromis mossambicus*) (Wang and Tsai, 2000). Haffray et al. (2009) also reported the influence of temperature on sex ratio in turbot, but it was familydependent and not always in the same way: two families presented a higher proportion of females than expected at 23°C while another family presented more females at 15°C. Despite the small number of families analyzed, temperature effects seem to be limited and family dependent in turbot, but further work should be done to evaluate this issue.

We were able to validate temperature effects which were not influenced by length for some of the genes assayed: *amh*, *ctnnb1*, *cyp11a*, *dmrt2*, *piwi2*, *sf1*, *sox2*, *sox6*, *sox9a* and *sox17*. Among these, only *sox2* effects seem to be sex independent. This gene showed higher expression both at 15 and 23°C, which may indicate some kind of stress response. *Sox2* is a transcription factor regulating several genes and it is also involved in the maintenance of stem-cell identity (Andreu-Agullo et al. 2012). Its expression pattern during sex differentiation process does not suggest a sex-dependent function in GD of turbot despite being located in the main SD region (Taboada et al. 2014).

Among the genes which presented sex dependent temperature effects, *ctnnb1*, *piwi2*, *sf1* and *sox6* showed higher expression at low temperatures in males. This group is particularly

interesting since we found genetic males developing as females at both 15 and 18°C. Among these, *ctnnb1* is remarkable because it shows a downstream position in the *wnt* signaling pathway (Capel, 2006) and it also showed expression differences between temperatures at 90 dpf, the earliest stage assayed where SD has already started. *Wnt* signalling pathway needs to be upregulated for developing an ovary in zebrafish (Sreenivasan et al. 2014). So, it is likely that genes involved in the *wnt* signalling pathway machinery are regulated by temperature and responsible for this increase in *ctnnb1* expression and, possibly, responsible for the higher proportion of females. Consistently with our results, elevated *ctnnb1* expression has been reported connected to low temperatures in rats (Zhang et al. 2008) and tilapia (Tsai et al. 2007) although in other tissues. A recent study in oyster also found a biased sex ratio towards females related to higher *ctnnb1* expression at lower rearing temperatures (Santerre et al. 2013). Therefore, gene or genes related to the *wnt* pathway and to *ctnnb1* are candidates for the detected temperature effect producing a higher proportion of females at cold temperatures and this pathway is interesting for future studies on sex-temperature interaction.

For females, some of the genes with temperature influences are not easy to explain. We found *amh*, *sox9a* and *cyp11a*, male-related genes, more expressed at 15°C where a higher proportion of females was observed. A possible explanation is that the mechanism causing male-to-female sex reversion at this temperature produces an over-expression of certain female genes (i.e. *ctnnb1*) which drive GD towards an ovary, while male genes are not affected until later in development. So, the observed higher expression at 15°C would be due to genetic males expressing male related genes (*amh*, *sox9a*, *cyp11a*), even though they were differentiating as females.

Other two genes showed the opposite pattern in females, with higher expression at higher temperatures: *dmrt2* and *sox17*. *Dmrt2* has been shown to be upregulated during gonad development and also expressed in germ cells in the swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*) (Sheng et al. 2014), while in *Rana rugosa* it was found expressed in the developing gonad during SD without any dimorphic pattern, suggesting a function both in testicular and ovarian differentiation (Matsushita et al. 2007). Sox17 has been associated with ovarian development in *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Navarro-Martín et al. 2009), although it did not present dimorphic expression in turbot and so, apparently, it is not related to female differentiation in this species. Yet, this gene seemed to have a peak of expression at 90 dpf in both sexes, so it could have some function in early gonad development in turbot for both males and females. In the swamp eel, *sox17* was also expressed both in testis and ovary (Wang et al. 2003).

Finally, among those significant temperature effects which we could not separate from length effects, we detected a decrease of fxr1 expression in males at low temperature, which may be related to the role of this gene on SD in this species; however this has yet to be proved. Furthermore, cyp19a1a, showed higher expression levels at low temperatures as occurred in sea bass (Navarro-Martín et al. 2009).

Temperature effects are gene and sex specific. Given the labile nature of SD in fish related to specific morphogenetic thresholds, several genes could be responsible for sex ratio

shifts. As seen in this study, several genes involved in sex show expression differences due to temperature, and so these genes are potential candidates for sex ratio alterations.

4.7. Concluding remarks

Turbot sex differentiation is ongoing at 90 dpf and sex can be distinguished by the expression levels of three genes when fish are 5-6 cm length: *cyp19a1a, amh* and *vasa*; while later females are easily discriminated by the expression of *cyp19a1a*. The first molecular signs of sex differentiation are the dimorphic expression of these three genes and an increase in the expression of *vasa, gsdf* and *tdrd1*, connected with primordial germ cells, suggesting their proliferation from 75 to 90 dpf and an important role in sex differentiation Expression analysis on genes placed on the SD region of turbot suggest that *sox2* could be discarded as sex determining gene and that *fxr1* has an expression pattern consistent with a candidate sex determinant. Our data suggest that female development has more complex machinery and is strongly regulated, suggesting the involvement of both methylation and splicing mechanisms. Furthermore, we have observed that temperature affects the expression of several genes and suggest that the *Wnt/β-catenin* pathway is a likely candidate for feminization at low temperatures, since *ctnnb1* expression is higher at low temperatures and we also observed a higher proportion of females at 15 and 18°C.

Turbot sex differentiation seems to involve several genes and mechanisms, leading to a complex process with many factors involved. These results are more compatible with a view of sex determination as a network where the activation or repression of several genes can affect gonad fate. This view of sex determination as a threshold character could help us to understand temperature effects during sex differentiation.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by a project from the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (AFL2020-22326-C02-01). Diego Robledo was supported by a FPU fellowship from the Ministerio de Educación Cultura y Deporte of Spanish Governement. We are indebted to Lucía Insua, Susana Sánchez and Sonia Gómez for technical assistance.

Supplementary material

Primer F (5' -> 3') Gene Primer R $(5' \rightarrow 3')$ Product length (bp) CCAGGGCGGACCCCGATAAC TGGCTGTGTTTTGGACCCACGAG 99 amh TCGGATGCACGTCTCCACCA GGAGGGAGTCCAGGAGTCGGG ar1 275 AGCTGTGTCGGGTCGCGGTTT GCCAGCCTCTGGACGTTGGTG ctnnb1 404 TCTGGGTTTGATGCTGGACT ACCTTGGTTGAAGATCCCGTC cyp11a 178 CAGCGAGGAAGCTGGCAAACA ACACGCAGACTCGGCTTTTTACATC cyp19a1a 148 TCAGAGGGCAAAAATGGGCT ACTTCAGTGGGCTTCCTGTG 144 dact dmrt2 GACTTTCTGTCCAAGCCCCT GGGCGTGGGTCTTTTCAGTA 91 GGAGTACGCGCCCATCTTT GTCCTCCGTGAAGCAGTTGA dnmt1 169 ATCCGGCGGCGTCTCCTGTA GGCGGGGGAGAGGAAGGGGAAT foxl2 89 TGCAAACTGGCCAACATCAC CCGTTAATGTGCTTCGCCTC fshb 179 AGGTGCCCTTCAGTGATGTC TCTCGTTGTAGGTGGCATCA fxr1 200 CTGGGCTGGAACAACTGGAT GGCACCATTTCCTGGGAGTT 173 gsdf AGAGAGCCAAGTATCGGAGG ATCCTTCAGCCTTCAGAGCC hh1 132 TTGTTGTCGGCGGTGACGGG AGGAGAGGAAGGATGAGCACGGC hsp27 191 TTCACCAGCGTTCATTCGTC CACCGAACTACAAGCAGA lhx8 280 GGCATACTTGCATGGTGCTG ACAACACAGCGAACCTCACA piwi2 113 TCTACGACCGCACCATCAAC TCATGCTCCCAGTCTCTCCA 133 ptges3 GCGACTCCAGCATTGTTCAC rdh3 CTGACGACCACACACCTTGA 119 ACCAGTGCGTCCCCTTCA CTCATCTTCGGAGCCTTGTTC 214 rpl17 CAACATCTTCGTCATCGGCAAGG ATTGAACCAGCCTCAGTGTTTAGC rps4 143 CCATGAGGGACAGGTACTCC TCACCAACACCACCCTCTGT 155 sf1 CCGGCTCCTCTCGAGCTTCCT ACAGACAAATGTCGGGGTTGGGGA 147 sox2 CCCATTTCTCCCTCCTCTCT CCTTTCCGAGGAGACTGTTG 193 sox6 AAGACGCTGGGGAAACTGT CGGGGCTGGTACTTGTAGTC 138 sox8 ATCAGTACCCACACCTGCATAAC TCAGCCTCCTCCACGAACG sox9a 103 TGTTCGGGAAGCAGGTGAAAGGT CTTGTTGCCATTTTAGGGGACAGT sox17 92 ACCGAGCGGTTTGTGCCTTG TCCTCTGGATGCAGTGCTGATTGT sox19 122 TGAGCCTTTGGTGTGGTCTT ACCATAGCCCGATACCATGC tdrd1 254 CTTCTTCTTGCGGCAGTTGACAG GCGTGGTGGCATCATTGAGC 124 ubq vasa ACGTTCTCCTGGCACATCAACG 190 TTGGCAAGGTGGTCACGCAGG AACGCCACACTGGCTGCTGAG wnt4 76 ACGCCTTCAACAACCAGCAG GATGTCCTCCCACGCGATATG zar1 118

Supplementary Table 1: Primer table

Forward and reverse primers for each gene and the length of the amplified fragment are shown.

Gene Svmbol	Annotation	Function/Relevance			
amh	Müllerian-inhibiting factor	Belongs to the TGF (transforming growth factor) super family. Regression of the Müllerian ducts in male mammals (Cate et al. 1986)			
arl	Androgen receptor 1	Male differentiation (Walters et al. 2010)			
ctnnb1	Catenin β-1	Downstream effector of the canonical <i>Wnt</i> signaling pathway (Kühl and Wedlich, 1997)			
cyp11a1	Cytochrome P450 11A1	Testosteron biosynthetic process (Strushkevich et al. 2011)			
cyp19a1a	Aromatase	Catalyzes the formation of estrogens from androgens (Corbin et al. 1988), in fish is essential for female development (Piferrer and Guiguen, 2008).			
dact1	Dapper homolog 1	Function in stabilizing CTNNB1 (catenin □-1). Promotes the membrane localization of CTNNB1 (Sensiate et al. 2014)			
dmrt2	Doublesex- and mab-3- related transcription factor 2	Expressed in testis. Not required for sex differentiation in mice (Kim et al. 2003)			
dnmt1	DNA (cytosine-5)- methyltransferase 1	Methylates CpG residues. Preferentially methylates hemimethylated DNA (Pradhan et al. 2008)			
foxl2	Forkhead box protein L2	Critical factor essential for ovary differentiation and maintenance (Ottolenghi et al. 2005)			
fshb	Follitropin subunit β	Stimulates development of follicle and spermatogenesis in the reproductive organs (Wreford et al. 2001)			
fxr1	Fragile X mental retardation syndrome-related protein 1	Located at the main SD turbot QTL (Martinez et al. 2009)			
gsdf	Gonadal soma derived factor	Belongs to the (transforming growth factor) TGF $-\beta$ super family, gonad specific expression (Gautier et al. 2011), in medaka has been proposed as the sex determining gene (Myosho et al. 2012)			
hh1	Histone H1	Chromatin structure protein (Th'ng et al. 2005)			
hsp27	Heat shock 27 kDa protein	Regulates androgen receptor levels (Stope et al. 2012)			
lhx8	LIM homeobox 8	Involved in ovarian formation and folliculogenesis in mouse (Choi et al. 2008; Jagarlamudi and Rajkovic 2012)			
piwi2	Piwi-like protein 2	Germ cell differentiation. Essential for the germline integrity, repressing transposable elements (Aravin et al. 2007)			
ptges3	Prostaglandin E synthase 2	Prostaglandin biosynthesis (Murakami et al. 2003)			
rdh3	Retinol dehydrogenase 3	Acts on retinol bound on cellular retinol-binding protein (CRBP) (Chai et al. 1995). Retinoic acid is involved in germ cell meiosis entry (Bowles and Koopman, 2010)			
sf1	S factor 1	Necessary for spliceosome assembly (Wang et al. 1999)			
sox2	Transcription factor SOX-2	Negative regulation of canonical Wnt signaling pathway (Mansukhani et al. 2005)			
soxб	Transcription factor SOX-6	Cellular response to transforming growth factor beta stimulus (Kim and Im, 2011)			

Supplementary Table 2: Brief description of the studied genes

sox8	Transcription factor SOX-8	Involved in male SD, reinforcement of <i>sox9</i> action (Barrionuevo et al. 2009)
sox9a	Transcription factor SOX-9	Male sex differentiation (Cameron and Sinclair, 1997)
sox17	Transcription factor SOX- 17	Involved in spermatogenesis (Wang et al. 2003) also is related to ovarian development (Navarro-Martín et al., 2009). Inhibits Wnt signaling, promotes degradation of activated CTNNB1 (Liu et al. 2010)
sox19	Transcription factor Sox-19	Transcriptional activator belonging to the sox B1 group (Okuda et al. 2006), involved in ovarian differentiation (Navarro-Martín et al. 2012)
tdrd1	Tudor domain-containing protein 1	Participating in the repression transposable elements preventing their mobilization in humans has a central role in spermatogenesis (Reuter et al. 2009)
vasa	Probable ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX4	Germ cell marker (Castrillon et al. 2000)
wnt4	Protein Wnt-4	Gonad development and female SD (Jordan et al. 2001).
zar1	Zygote arrest protein 1	Essential for female fertility (Wu et al. 2003)

Supplementary Figure 1: Expression of non-dimorphic genes along gonad development







Gene fold change values for each sample plotted according to both its length, in cm, and its age, in days post fertilization. Female samples are shown in magenta and male samples in blue. In the FC/length figure for each gene non-linear trend lines were calculated by loess regression. In the FC/age figure, error bars represent the standard error of the mean



Supplementary Figure 2: Temperature+length effects on gene expression





Mean fold change gene expression values at 15, 18 and 23°C in the whole dataset are shown for males (light blue background) and females (pink background). Error bars represent standard deviation. Significate differences between temperatures are indicated by * (0.01<p<0.05), ** (0.001<p<0.01), *** (0.0001<p<0.001) or **** (p<0.0001), however red asterisks indicate that fish length has an influence on the temperature differences.



Supplementary Figure 3: Gene expression insensitive to temperatures

Mean fold change gene expression values at 15, 18 and 23°C in the whole dataset are shown for males (light blue background) and females (pink background). Error bars represent standard deviation.









Transcriptomic analysis of the process of gonadal sex differentiation in the turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*)

Laia Ribas^{1*}, Diego Robledo^{2*}, Antonio Gómez Tato³, Ana Viñas², Paulino Martínez⁴, Francesc Piferrer¹

¹ Institut de Ciències del Mar, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Passeig Marítim de la Barceloneta, 37-49, Barcelona, 08003, Spain

² Departamento de Genética, Facultad de Biología (CIBUS), Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, 15782, Spain

³ Departamento de Matemática Aplicada, Facultad de Matemáticas, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela 15781, Spain

⁴ Departamento de Genética. Facultad de Veterinaria, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Campus de Lugo, Lugo, 27002, Spain

*These authors contributed equally to this work

Abstract

Turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) is a flatfish species with one of the most remarkable sexual size dimorphism among cultured fish. The interest of turbot industry in getting allfemale populations has promoted a big research effort to understand the processes of sex determination and gonad differentiation. Gonad primordium is a bipotential tissue where complex genetic and environmental processes determine its fate either as a testis or as an ovary. To better understand the complexity of gonad plasticity throughout development in turbot we explored the gonadal transcriptomic landscape from undifferentiated to juvenile fish using a custom microarray enriched in reproduction-related genes. Gene expression profiles revealed that females started sex differentiation sooner than males (at ~5 cm total length (TL) compared to ~10 cm TL), which suggested that gonads developed slower in males. Some genes proved to be female (cyp19a1a) and male (dmrt3) early sex markers; cyp19a1a expression starting at 90 dpf and *dmrt3* at 140 dpf. The expression profiles of 18 canonic reproduction-related genes were studied along gonad development, verifying the key role of cyp19a1a in female development. Furthermore, 45 differentially expressed genes (DEG) were identified as pro-female genes during ovarian differentiation (140 dpf onwards) and 12 promale DEG were related for the first time to testis development. The global transcriptome analysis revealed that a larger number of DEG were found in ovaries than in testes when compared to differentiating gonads. However, when juvenile testis and ovaries were compared, there were more DEG upregulated in males than in females. To identify sex determining candidate genes, ~4.000 DEG between male and female juvenile gonads were located in the turbot genetic map and its position compared with that of previously identified sex- and growth-related quantitative trait loci (OTL). Only two (foxl2 and 17β hsd) of the canonical reproduction-related genes mapped to growth-QTLs in linkage group (LG) 15 and LG6, respectively, but none to sex-related QTLs. Sex-related QTLs showed a larger (but not significant) amount of male-biased DEG in LG8, while transcripts mapping near growth-related QTLs were only found in females but not in males. Overall results can aid to better understand sex differentiation specifically in this cultured fish species, but also contribute towards understanding the high sex determination and sex differentiation diversity observed in teleosts.

1. Introduction

Reproduction is one of the major concerns for fish aquaculture industry. Understanding the genetic basis of reproduction is essential to control the onset of puberty, sex ratios or egg spawning cycles (Piferrer et al. 2005; Taranger et al. 2010). Fish show diverse reproduction strategies likely as a consequence of the high diversity of environments where they live (Penman and Piferrer, 2009). One of the most important aspects of fish reproduction is gonad development. Gonad development relies on two basic interacting processes: sex determination (SD) and gonad differentiation (GD). SD is the process that establishes the sex of individuals, and it can be switched by the action of a single master gene, the interaction of several minor loci and/or by the actions of environmental factors (such as temperature). On the other hand, GD is the process leading to the formation of an ovary or a testis once the fate of the undifferentiated gonad has been determined (Piferrer, 2009). SD and GD have been traditionally seen as two independent consecutive processes, but new data on cold-blooded vertebrates, especially fish, has opened a debate and both processes have been proposed to be part of a single continuous development network where genetic factors and environmental cues interact to determine sex (Heule et al. 2014). This new model would aid to better understand the high evolutionary turnover of SD in fish (Uller and Helanterä 2011). The undifferentiated gonad is a bipotential tissue with high plasticity which makes it unique for studying the transcription architecture responsible of its fate (Munger and Capel, 2012). Recently, major advances on the description of genes involved in SD and GD in fish and their interactions have been reported (Bachtrog et al. 2014; Shen and Wang, 2014), however there is yet much to be discovered in this field due to the complexity and diversity of reproduction systems in fish.

Turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) is a marine flatfish species with a highly appreciated white flesh that has been traditionally farmed in Europe and particularly in Spain with a production over 10,000 tones (T) in 2012 (FAO, 2014). Its increasing worldwide demand has determined its introduction in the Chinese market (Wang et al. 2010) and a production exceeding 60,000 T has been reported in China (FAO, 2014). Turbot shows one of the largest sex size dimorphisms in marine aquaculture species, females largely outgrowing males and thus reaching commercial size several months earlier (Piferrer et al. 1995; Imslad et al. 1997). Obtaining all-female progenies is one of the main interests for turbot industry. Turbot shows a ZZ/ZW sex determination system (Haffray et al. 2009; Martínez et al. 2009) and the main sex determining region was identified in linkage group (LG) 5, although three other minor sex-

related QTLs were located in LG6, LG8, and LG21 (Martínez et al. 2009; Taboada et al. 2014). Although the main sex determining gene(s) still remain(s) unknown, several sexassociated markers have been developed so far (Casas et al. 2011; Viñas et al. 2012; Taboada et al. 2014), which are being applied by turbot industry for precocious sexing. Recently, two female-associated random amplified polymorphism DNA (RAPD) marker were identified and placed on the turbot genetic map close to the transcription factor forkhead box L2 (*foxl2*), an important gene related to initial steps of sex differentiation, and close to the wingless-type MMTV integration site family member (*wnt*) 1, a gene involved in ovarian development (Vale et al. 2014). Other genes related to sex differentiation have also been localized in the turbot genetic map: the anti-Müllerian hormone (*amh*) and doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor 2 (*dmrt2*) in LG5; the SRY (sex determining region Y)-box 9a (*sox9a*) and *sox17* in LG21; and the cytochrome P450, family 19, subfamily B (*cyp19b*) in LG6 (Viñas et al. 2012).

Little is known about gene expression during sex differentiation in turbot. A first transcriptomic analysis using cDNA-AFLPs was performed in gonad, brain and liver of male and female turbot (Taboada et al. 2011), enabling the identification of some sex-related expressed genes in adult tissues. With the development of new sequencing technologies, flatfish genomic resources have increased significantly (Cerdà et al. 2010; Cerdà and Manchado, 2013). Specifically in turbot, two 454 pirosequencing runs, one related to immune (Pereiro et al. 2012) and another to reproduction related-organs (Ribas et al. 2013) have greatly increase the number of publicly available turbot expressed sequence tags (EST). The reproduction run was based on brain-hypophysis-gonadal axis mRNA obtained at different development stages. A total of 34,400 novel turbot sequences, including 1,410 related to reproduction, were identified for the first time in turbot. With all these genomic resources it was possible to generate a reproduction-related enriched microarray including a total of 43,803 turbot-specific probes.

The objective of this study was to identify genes driving testis or ovary development. The turbot reproduction-enriched microarray was used to evaluate gene expression profiles in undifferentiated, differentiating and juvenile turbot. Results obtained led to the identification of sex-specific marker genes and novel genes related to testis or ovary development, which will help to understand GD processes in both turbot and other teleost species and might be helpful for sex control strategies in turbot.

2. Methods

2.1. Turbot gonad sampling

Fish were obtained at the facilities of Centro Tecnológico Gallego de Acuicultura (CETGA; Ribeira, NW Spain). Fish were treated in agreement with the European convention for the protection of animals used for experimental and scientific purposes (ETS Nu 123, 01/01/91). A total of 30 turbot gonad samples were collected from a mixture of unrelated genetic families. In order to obtain the widest representation of expressed transcripts, fish with

gonads at different stages of development were used: i) early sexually undifferentiated gonads (PU), at 75 days post-fertilization (dpf); ii) late sexually undifferentiated gonads (U; 90 dpf); iii) sexually differentiating gonads (D; at 135, 137 and 151 dpf; for simplicity, an average value of 140 dpf will be used from now on); and iv) gonads from female (FJ) and male (MJ) juveniles, sexually differentiated, collected at 309, 315 and 485 dpf (average used value of 400 dpf). PU and U stage gonads were very small and their complete isolation was very difficult likely including a small portion of the surrounding tissues. Similarly, D stage gonads may contain a bit of attached epithelium, sometimes difficult to remove. FJ and MJ samples contained exclusively gonadal tissue. Weight and length of each fish was recorded for each sample and sex was visually assessed and classified as undifferentiated, males or females. In some fish, gonads were divided into two parts. One was rapidly flash frozen in liquid nitrogen for RNA extraction and molecular analysis and the other was used for histological sex verification (see below).

2.2. Sex verification

For histological analysis, samples were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde buffer overnight, rinsed in phosphate buffer saline the next day and stored in 70% ethanol. Samples were then dehydrated in a series of alcohols and embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 7 μ m thick and stained with hematoxylin-eosin and observed under the microscope. Some samples at the beginning of gonadal development (75–140 dpf) were genetically sexed using the SmaUSC-E30 marker which demonstrated a high accuracy for offspring sexing in turbot families (Martínez et al. 2009).

2.3. RNA isolation, cDNA synthesis and library construction

RNA was individually extracted by RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Germany) following the manufacturer's instructions. Quantity was determined using a Nanodrop spectrophotometer (Nanodrop Technologies, USA) and quality (RNA integrity number, RIN) using a Bioanalizer (Agilent Technologies, USA). RNA samples with RIN > 8.1 were further processed for microarray analysis. Briefly, 50 ng of total RNA were labeled using the Low Input Quick Amp Labeling Kit, One-Color (Cy3; Agilent Technologies).

2.4. Microarray hybridization and scanning

Samples were hybridized individually in a custom 4x44 K Agilent platform at the Universidad of Santiago de Compostela (USC) Genomics Platform. This custom-microarray was based on the last version of the turbot database, particularly enriched in reproduction and immune organs, and previously validated (Pereiro et al. 2013; Ribas et al. 2013). The microarray platform consists on a total of 45,200 spots, 43,803 corresponding to gene transcripts and 1,417 to Agilent controls. Copy RNA (cRNA) was prepared by overnight hybridization with the corresponding buffers during 17 h at 65°C and washed on the

following day. Hybridized slides were scanned using an Agilent G2565B microarray scanner (Agilent Technologies). The scanner images were segmented with the Agilent Feature and the Agilent software was applied to avoid saturation in the highest intensity range. Agilent Feature Extraction produced the raw data for further pre-processing. The processed signal (gProcessed-Signal) value was the chosen parameter for the absolute hybridization signal as recommended (Millán et al. 2010). Microarray data was submitted to Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) with accession number GSE64280.

2.5. Data analysis

Normalization using all microarray data was done by the Aquantile method implemented in the Limma R package (R version 3.0.2). Samples were normalized together for all the microarray analysis. We used the microarray analysis software Multiple Experiment Viewer (MeV) version 4.8.1 to statistically analyze the data previous log_2 transformation of the fluorescence values. Samples were *a priori* assigned to specific groups by two different ways, one based on their transcriptomic profiles considering both sampling time and the results of Principal Component Analysis (PCA), and the other using sex-marker gene profiles on considering gonadal aromatase (*cy19a1a*) as a female marker and doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor 3 (*dmrt3*) as a male marker along gonadal development.

Differentially expressed genes (DEG) between the groups were discovered by Significance Analysis of Microarrays test (SAM) (Tusher et al. 2001) with a False Discovery Rate (FDR) adjusted q value < 0.01. Scatter plots showed the microarray \log_{10} transformed intensity distribution for all genes relating two different gonad development stages. Heatmaps were constructed by hierarchical clustering of samples and selected genes using Pearson correlation. diagrams built Venn were by Venny online software (http://bioinfogp.cnb.csic.es/tools/venny/). The SOTA program (Self Organizing Tree Algorithm; Dopazo and Carazo, 1997; Yin et al. 2006) was used to identify groups of genes with similar expression patterns. A linear model for microarray data (Limma; p value < 0.05; Wettenhall and Smyth, 2004) was applied to identify genes with similar expression patterns, specifically to gonadal aromatase (cyp19a1a). Putative transcription factor binding sites in the promotor of sequences of genes of interest were analyzed by MatInspector (Genomatix Software).

2.6. GO terms and Kegg pathway analysis

We used the software Blast2GO (Conesa et al. 2005) to analyze the enriched GO terms in the DEG between groups. A Fisher exact test (P < 0.05) FDR corrected for multiple testing was performed using all genes in our microarray as background and the DEG of each comparison as query. KEGG pathway enrichment was assessed by DAVID Bioinformatic Database (Huang and Lempicki, 2009) using *Danio rerio* as background (P < 0.05).

2.7. Gene network analysis

Weighted correlation network analysis (WGCNA) was performed in R (version 3.0.2) (R Development Core Team, 2008) using the WGCNA package following the tutorial written by Langfelder and Horvath (2008). Briefly, the microarray expression file was first pre-processed by removing those genes or samples with excessive missing data as recommended. Co-expression networks were then built and clusters of genes were grouped into different color modules, allowing a minimum of 30 genes per module. These modules were checked for association with male or female phenotypes (r > 0.5, P < 0.01). Gene lists for the modules significantly associated with these traits were obtained, keeping only those module genes that showed a correlation with the trait of interest (r > 0.6). Thereafter, GO and KEGG enrichment analyses were performed for these gene lists. At the same time, co-expression networks were built for a selection of relevant genes (a total of 54) using a high threshold power to keep only the most relevant connections. To visualize the network, Cytoscape 3.0.2 software was used (Shannon et al. 2003).

2.8. Mapping genes to QTLs

The identified DEG between FJ and MJ in the microarray analysis were mapped to the turbot genome (Figueras et al. in preparation) using local BLAST in order to assess DEG colocalized with sex- and growth-QTL markers. Sequences corresponding to each DEG were blasted and the best hit for each sequence selected. Blast results showed the reliability of the analysis since different probes for the same DEG were localized in exactly the same region of the genome. Turbot QTL positions were obtained from Hermida et al. (2013) and a range of \pm 1 Mb around the highest associated QTL marker was checked for over or under-representation of male and female genes by chi-square tests with Yates correction (P < 0.05). Microarray transcript distribution along the genome was used as reference to study the male and female representation. Visual representations of the linkage groups (LG) and the genes localized on them were produced by the script genetic-mapper.pl (http://code.google.com/p/geneticmapper/).

2.9. Microarray validation by real-time PCR

RNA was reverse transcribed by random primers using AffinityScript Multiple Temperature cDNA Synthesis Kit (Agilent Technologies) following the manufacturer's protocol. Real-time PCR (qPCR) was performed on a Stratagene Mx3005P using Brilliant III Ultra-Fast SYBR Green qPCR Master Mix (Agilent Technologies) in a final volume of 20 µL following the manufacturer's protocol. Gene-specific primers for 20 DEG were designed in spanning exons when possible. Primer concentration was 300 nM and each sample was run in duplicate. The cycling parameters were: 50°C for 2 min, 95°C for 10 min, followed by 40 cycles of amplification at 95°C for 15 sec and 60°C for 1 min. Finally, a dissociation step was performed after amplification to ensure the presence of a single amplification product.

Specificity for each primer pair was also confirmed by PCR product sequencing. qPCR data were obtained by the MxPro software (Agilent Technologies) and quantification cycle values (Cq) calculated for each replicate and then averaged to obtain the final Cq value. Raw Cq values were transformed to the final fold change difference (FC) values following the guidelines present in Kubista et al. (2007). Three reference genes (ubiquitin; *ubq*, ribosomal protein S4; *rps4* and glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase; *gapdh*) were used for normalization and LinRegPCR software (Ruijter et al. 2009) was used for efficiency determination. References genes and efficiency determination were previously validated for turbot gonad samples by Robledo et al. (2014b) (Chapter 1). Primer sequences used for qPCR validation are presented in Supplementary Table 1.

3. Results

3.1. Microarray validation

Microarray results were validated by qPCR using 16 differentially expressed genes (see below) in different comparisons, totaling 34 gene expression differences. Results obtained with the two methods were highly correlated (r = 0.914, P = 4.53e-14; Supplementary Figure 1). Furthermore, a two-tail Mann-Whitney test was performed to ensure that microarray and qPCR mean values were not significantly different (P = 0.103). Thus, results obtained with the microarray were fully validated.

3.2. Morphometric and transcriptomic overview

As illustrated in Figure 1A (and in more detail in Supplementary Figure 2) total length (TL) and body weight (BW) of the 30 fish used in this study ranged from 3.8 ± 0.05 cm and 0.828 ± 0.02 g (mean \pm SEM; average of n = 5 fish) in the PU group to 23.4 ± 1.81 cm and 264.100 ± 46.70 g (mean \pm SEM; average of n = 6 fish) in the FJ group. Based on length and weight, significant differences (P < 0.05) existed among PU+U, D and MJ+FJ (Supplementary Figure 2A and 2B, respectively). The relationship between age and length, a better proxy than weight for assessing gonadal development in fish, and also between length and weight, is shown in Supplementary Figures 2C and 2D, respectively.

The genetic relationship among samples was represented in a Euclidean space based on a Principal Component Analysis, which aims to explain a large proportion of the total variance in a few non-correlated variables by re-dimensioning the expression values of all the probes. The component 1 alone already explained 42.2% of the variation and the first three components reached up to 82.5% of the total genetic variation. PCA classified samples into three main clusters (Figure 1B). Cluster I included all U+PU fish (TL ~5 cm), which had a uniform gonadal transcriptome regardless of size. Cluster II included most D stage fish (TL ~10 cm) as well as all MJ fish (~21 cm TL). Cluster III comprised all FJ fish (TL ~20 cm) and two D fish (~12 cm TL), which also had a uniform gonadal transcriptome. To better classify the samples, we also performed a complementary hierarchical clustering on gene expression profiles (Supplementary Figure 3A). Based on both grouping methods (PCA and hierarchical

clustering), we decided to aggregate the samples in five groups and the final adscription of the sampled fish was as follows: 1) PU: PU1, PU2, PU3, PU4; 2) U: U1, U2, U3,U4, U5; 3) D: U6, U7, D1, D2, D3, D4, D5, D7, MJ3, MJ4; 4) MJ: MJ1, MJ5, MJ6; 5) FJ: D6, D8, FJ1, FJ2, FJ3, FJ4, FJ5, FJ6.



Figure 1. Overview of transcriptomic groups during sex differentiation of turbot

A) Information of turbot fish used in this study with gonads at different stages of development: prior appearing as undifferentiated gonads (PU) at 75 days post-fertilization (dpf), undifferentiated gonads (U; 90 dpf), differentiating gonads (D; at 135, 137 and 151 dpf; for simplicity an average value of 140 dpf is plotted), and gonads from female (FJ) and male (MJ) juveniles collected at 309, 315 and 485 dpf (for simplicity an average value of 400 dpf is plotted. Male and female ages are represented by a blue and pink dots respectively). Each fish is represented by one line that relates its age, length and weight with its transcriptomic group. Circles show the mean value for a given variable. Short dashed lines show pro-female fish, long dashed lines show pro-male fish based on *cyp19a1a* expression levels. In the line above ("Transcriptome") distances among circles are proportional to the Euclidean distance in the PCA (see below) using D as a centroid. B) Clustering turbot fish based on their gonadal transcriptomic profiles by PCA analysis. Percent refer to total variance. Circles correspond to each transcriptomic group which individuals were classified.

To additionally verify that our groups were consistent for studying sex differentiation in turbot we took a total of 39 genes with well-established functions in reproduction and, using the average expression values for each of the five groups, a heatmap was constructed hierarchically clustering both genes and groups (Supplementary Figure 3B). These genes were steroidogenic enzymes (e.g. cyp19a1a, 17-beta hydroxy steroid dehydrogenase, $17\beta hsd$, 11-beta-hydroxylase, $cyp11\beta$), transcription factors (e.g. sox9b, sox19, foxl2, dmrt3, folliculogenesis specific basic helix-loop-helix, $fig\alpha$), sex steroid receptors (e.g. androgen receptor, ar), growth factors (e.g. gonadal somatic cell derived factor, gsdf, insulin-like growth factor 2, ifg2) and other relevant genes related to gonadal development (e.g. steroidogenic acute regulatory protein; star, wnt1, fanconi anemia (fanc1), follicle stimulating hormone receptor; fshr, progesterone receptor, pgr). This subset of genes produced a similar result to that observed in the PCA, PU and U groups were found tightly clustered, same as D and MJ groups, and FJ grouped far apart from the other groups.

3.3. Comparative analysis between different gonadal developmental stages

A SOTA analysis (Herrero and Dopazo, 2002; Yin et al. 2006) was performed, grouping genes by their expression values, in order to identify genes with similar expression patterns along turbot gonad development. PU and U groups could not be discriminated since we did not find gene clusters specific for any of them. Thus, using SOTA we identified four clusters of genes with higher expression in PU+U (1,313 genes), D (1,187), MJ (175) or FJ (2,370) groups (Figure 2 A, C, E, G). As remarkable examples, PU+U over-expressed prostaglandin 3 (*ptge3*), a gene which, together with other prostaglandins, is required for ovary development in fish (Pradhan and Olsson, 2014) and spermatogenesis associated 1 (*spata1*), a gene involved in spermatogenesis (Giesecke et al. 2009); the D cluster showed specific expression of *star* and the luteinizing hormone receptor (*lhr*), both genes are involved in gonadal development in fish (Maugars and Schmitz, 2008; Levavi-Sivan et al. 2010; Yu et al. 2014); MJ over-expressed *spata22* and sperm associated antigen (*spag*), both involved in spermatogenesis (Schroter et al. 1999; Buchold, 2012); and finally the FJ cluster presented genes involved in ovarogenesis like vitellogenin (*vtg*) and choriogenin (*chg*) genes (Lee et al. 2002; Wheeler et al. 2005).

The four SOTA clusters were subjected to GO enrichment analysis using all the genes represented in the microarray as background. We identified a total of 14, 2, 8 and 12 significantly overrepresented GO terms for the PU+U, D, MJ and FJ, respectively (Figures 2 B, D, F and H). For the PU+U cluster, several GO terms were related to protein complex, nucleoside-triphosphatase activity, pyphosphatase and hydrolase activity as well as GO terms related to cytoskeleton (acting binding and myosin complex). The D cluster only showed two enriched GO terms, related to phospholipase and lipase inhibitor activity. The MJ cluster GO terms were related to meiosis, synapsis and chromosomal organization (condensed nuclear chromosome, synaptonemal complex) while those in the FJ cluster were associated with



Figure 2. SOTA analysis for different gonadal developmental stages in turbot

A) Boxplot of the PU+U cluster, showing a total of 1,313 genes. B) GO enriched terms of the PU+U cluster. C) Boxplot of the D cluster, showing a total of 1,187 genes. D) GO enriched terms of the D cluster. E) Boxplot of the MJ cluster, showing a total of 175 genes. F) GO enriched terms of the MJ cluster. G) Boxplot of the FJ cluster, showing a total of 2,370 genes. H) GO enriched terms of the FJ cluster. Abbreviations as in Figure 1. In the boxplot, the solid and dashed lines indicate the median and mean, respectively; the lower and upper edges indicate the 25th and 75th percentiles, respectively; and the lower and upper whiskers indicate the 5th and 95th percentiles, respectively.

intracellular, membrane-bounded organelle, nucleic acid binding and organelle organization among others.

To further analyze the process of gonad development in turbot, we identified statistically significant gene expression differences between the four groups (five comparisons) by SAM (FDR corrected p value < 0.01) (Figure 3A). The 20 most up-regulated and down-regulated DEG observed in each pair-wise comparison are listed in Supplementary Table 2. In all but the D *vs.* U comparison the downregulated genes outnumbered the upregulated ones and this was particularly evident in the MJ *vs.* D and U *vs.* PU. Despite the large number of DEG in the PU *vs.* U and D *vs.* MJ comparisons, these groups were previously found to be clustered very close in the PCA analysis, so either these DEG are not so relevant for the transcriptomic classification of the gonad samples or the FC differences are not relevant enough to cluster them in different groups.

The number of DEG exclusive of a given comparison and those shared by two or more comparisons is shown using Venn diagrams (Figures 3B and 3C for up- and downregulated genes, respectively). Three common genes were found to be downregulated in all comparisons (plectin domain containing protein, plec, tetratricopeptide repeat protein 36, ttc36, and uncharacterized protein), while there were no upregulated genes common to all of them. A group of 377 upregulated genes common to the D vs. U and FJ vs. D comparisons was identified, constituting a group of female-related genes whose expression might start at undifferentiating stage and keep increasing until ovary formation. Among them, there were several genes related to female development such as *fancl* or nuclear factor of kappa light polypeptide gene enhancer in B-cells 1 (Nf- $k\beta$), but also genes related to immune system such as caspase 8 (casp8), to methylation such as histone-lysine N-methyltransferase (ehmt) or to cell division such as cell division cycle 2 (cdc2) were found. At the same time, a set of 293 genes were down-regulated during ovarian development. These included heat shock protein 70 (hsp70) and also genes related to immune system (tumor necrosis factor alpha, tnfa, major histocompatibility complex I, mhci). In contrast, during testis development (D vs. U and MJ vs. D) we found very few common upregulated genes (4) and also not many downregulated (71). An important group of 3,767 genes was downregulated in both FJ and MJ when compared to D group, genes expressed in the differentiating gonads but repressed when gonads were differentiated. This large number of genes contrasted with the few number of common upregulated genes upregulated (19).

We performed a GO term enrichment analysis in the DEG lists, using the whole microarray data as background, to obtain a global view of the gonad differentiation processes. The overrepresented GO terms for the three GO categories and their fold enrichment for each of the comparisons are detailed in Supplementary Table 3. We also identified, when possible, significantly KEGG pathways involved in each set of DEG comparisons using DAVID (FDR P < 0.05; Supplementary Table 3). The largest number of enriched GO terms appeared in the FJ vs. D comparison, among the GO terms overrepresented in the upregulated



Figure 3. Description of the number of DEG in different comparisons based on transcriptomic groups

A) Number of DEG in different comparisons throughout gonadal development. B) Venn diagram showing the number of upregulated genes in different comparisons. C) Venn diagram showing the number of downregulated genes in different comparisons. D) Venn diagram showing DEG with expression patterns similar to genes with a well-established role in ovary differentiation (Female-related genes). E) Venn diagram showing DEG with expression patterns similar to genes with a well-established role in testis differentiation (Male-related genes). Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

DEG there were several connected to transcription regulation (chromatin, protein-DNA complex or transcription from RNA polymerase II promoter) and also to histone modifications, while in the downregulated DEG calcium ion binding and metabolic-related GO terms (glycolysis/gluconeogenesis) were overrepresented. We also identified an enrichment of pathways related to spliceosome in the FJ group and oxidative phosphorylation and fructose and mannose metabolism in the D group.

3.4. Gene expression differences at early stages of gonadal development

We were interested in exploring genes involved in early turbot sex differentiation. For this purpose, we used two complementary strategies. First, we used the transcriptomic D group according to the PCA and, based on cyp19a1a expression levels, a key gene responsible for estrogen synthesis and conserved in nearly all teleost fish (Guiguen et al. 2009), we compared D-pro-females, expressing cyp19a1a (U6, U7, D1 and D4) vs. D-pro-males (D5, D7, D2, D3, MJ3 and MJ4) by Limma statistical analysis. We found 16 genes upregulated in the cyp19a1a expressing samples, while another group of 8 genes was upregulated in promales which did not show cyp19a1a expression (Table 1). The gene with the largest expression difference between D-pro-females and D-pro-males was, as expected, cyp19a1a, which was 8.2 times higher in D-pro-females. Other genes greatly upregulated in D-profemales were *foxl2*, extracellular matrix protein 1 (*ecm1*), collagen alpha-1(XVIII) chain (col18) and gap junction Cx32.7 protein (cx32.7), but we also identified other genes related to female development as sox11 and genes related to methylation (spalt-like transcription factor 1, sall1), immune system (interferon regulatory factor 5, irf5), cell division (structural maintenance of chromosomes 2, smc2) and cell matrix (sushi nidogen and EGF-like domains 1, sned1). Among the genes upregulated in D-pro-males, collagen type VI alpha 3 (col6a3) showed the largest difference, followed by *dmrt3* (FC = -3.02), which belongs to the gene family (doublesex/mab-3 related) of the well-known male determining gene *dmrt1*. Also some immune-related genes (complement c1q tumor necrosis factor-related protein 4, clqtnf4 and ccr4-not transcription complex subunit 6, cnot6) together with actin binding (tropomyosin 4, *tpm4*) or cell catalytic activity (cwf19-like 1 cell cycle control, *cwf19l1*) genes that were more expressed in the D-pro-male group.

The relevance of the doublesex/mab-3 related family in sex differentiation is well-known and widespread through the vertebrate phylogeny, hence we analysed in more detail the expression pattern of *dmrt3* regarding *cyp19a1a*. *Cyp19a1a* expression was first detected at 90 dpf samples, whereas *dmrt3* was only detected at 140 dpf. The expression of these two genes was negatively correlated (r = -82.74, P < 0.0001; Supplementary Figure 4A), strongly suggesting opposite roles of these two sex genes in turbot sex differentiation. Therefore these two genes could be potential sex markers for femaleness and maleness at early stages of gonad development in turbot. For additional confirmation, we sexed each of the 30 fish used in this study, representing different stages of gonad development, based on the expression profiles of these two markers (Supplementary Figures 5A and 5B). The sex of a subsample of 21 of these fish was further verified either genetically (using the SmaUSC-E30 marker) or histologically (Supplementary Table 4). A perfect match between assigned sex based on *cyp191a1* and *dmrt3* levels and actual sex was observed in all cases, indicating that the expression levels of these two genes are reliable sex markers in turbot.

Gene	Annotation	Fold change	
cyp19a1a	Cytochrome P450 aromatase A	8.2	
foxl2	Forkhead box protein L2	5.5	
ecm1	Extracellular matrix protein 1	5.0	
col18a1	Collagen alpha-1(XVIII) chain	4.6	
<i>cx32.7</i>	Gap junction Cx32.7 protein	4.3	
scg5	Neuroendocrine protein 7B2	3.4	
aadacl4	Arylacetamide deacetylase-like 4	3.4	
smc2	Structural maintenance of chromosomes 2	3.3	
hs3st1l2	Heparan sulfate 3-O-sulfotransferase 5	3.2	
sned1	Sushi, nidogen and EGF-like domain-containing protein 1	3.0	
sall1	Sal-like protein 1	3.0	
irf5	Interferon regulatory factor 5	3.0	
ube2w	Ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2 W	2.76	
slc26a6	Solute carrier family 26 member 6	2.6	
sox11	Transcription factor SOX-11	2.4	
fam213a	Redox-regulatory protein FAM213A	1.9	
syndig1	Synapse differentiation-inducing gene protein 1	-1.6	
cwf19l1	CWF19-like protein 1	-1.7	
mkl1	Myocardin-like protein 1	-2.0	
tpm4	Tropomyosin alpha-4	-2.2	
cnot6	CCR4-NOT transcription complex subunit 6	-2.2	
c1qtnf4	Complement C1q tumor necrosis factor-related protein 4	-2.5	
dmrt3	Doublesex- and mab-3-related transcription factor 3	-3.02	
col6a3	Collagen alpha-3(VI) chain	-5.2	

Table 1. Early development pro-females vs pro-males

List of the DEG (P < 0.01) between pro-female fish (U6, U7, D1 and D4) and pro-male fish (D5, D7, D2, D3, MJ3 and MJ4) belonging to the transcriptomic group D.

3.5. Expression of canonical reproduction-related genes

Scatter plots in Figure 4 show the intensity of all the expressed genes for the different comparisons in the transcriptomic groups, with particular attention to a total of 18 canonical reproduction-related genes selected for their importance for reproduction and sex differentiation in fish (Baroiller et al. 1999; Gardner et al. 2005; Arukwe, 2008; Piferrer and Guiguen 2008; Hsu et al. 2009; Guiguen et al. 2010; Rodríguez-Marí and Postlethwait, 2011; Navarro-Martín et al. 2012). Eight of these genes belong to the steroidogenic pathway (*cyp19a1a*, 17 β hsd, 3 β hsd, 11 β hsd, *cyp11\beta*, star, ar α , fshr), six are transcription factors

involved in sex differentiation (*foxl2, sox19, figa; dmrt3, sox9b* and splicing factor, *sf1*) and four present different reproduction-related functions (wilms tumor 1b, *wt1b, fancl, wnt1* and *spata1*). In the first comparison U *vs.* PU (Figure 4A), two key genes in the female pathway (*cyp19a1a* and *foxl2*) were already expressed in the U group (although not in all individuals) and their activity continued along development as observed in D group (D *vs.* U, Figure 4B), in the D pro-female group (D pro-female *vs.* D pro-male comparison, Figure 4C) and in the FJ group (FJ *vs.* MJ comparison, Figure 4F). In the FJ *vs.* D comparison, although both *cyp19a1a* and *foxl2* were expressed in both groups, their expression was higher in the D group. A high positive correlation between these two key female sex differentiation related genes was found (r = 0.9837, P < 0.0001; Supplementary Figure 4B), indicating that both genes are co-expressed during turbot sex differentiation process.

Figure 4. Expression scatterplots between different development stages and canonical reproduction-related genes





Figure 4. Variation in the expression of all genes in the turbot microarray based on their log₁₀ transformed intensity in different comparisons, with 18 canonical reproduction-related genes highlighted. Genes were considered to be expressed in a given sample if they had raw fluorescence values over 200 and a gene was considered to be expressed in a certain group if it was detected in at least two individuals of that group. Comparisons included are between the U *vs.* PU (A), D *vs.* U (B), D pro-females *vs.* D pro-males (C), MJ *vs.* D (D), FJ *vs.* D (E), and FJ *vs.* MJ (F) groups. Intensity values were log₁₀-transformed. Grey dots represent genes not expressed in a given group are also color coded (red color belongs to PU group; orange color belongs to U group; green color belongs to D group; pink color to D pro-females or FJ groups; blue color to D pro-males or MJ groups). Grey lines indicate 2 and -2 fold differences between groups. Abbreviations as in Figure 1. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.

We also studied if these 18 canonical genes presented significant differences (FDR corrected *P* value < 0.01) in the studied comparisons (Table 2). The steroidogenic gene $3\beta hsd$ was over-expressed in PU than in U (FC = 1.1); *wnt1* and *cyp11b* over-expressed in U vs. D, but *sox9b*, *star* and *fshr* were over-expressed in D vs. U. As expected, the largest number of DEG among these 18 canonical was found between FJ vs. MJ comparison when the gonads were already differentiated.

We finally studied the expression pattern of these 18 canonical reproduction-related genes along gonad development based on the expression of the two identified sex markers (*cyp19a1a* and *dmrt3*; Supplementary Figure 5). The intensity observed for each of the seven studied genes of the steroidogenic pathway, except for *cyp19a1a*, did not show clear differences between sexes, confirming this gene as a prominent female marker among steroidogenic genes. Only 17β hsd showed an association with sex but in more advanced stages of development (Figure 5A). Of the six transcription factors studied, three showed a clear female-like pattern (Figure 5B): *foxl2*, *sox19* and *figa*. *Foxl2* expression, clearly female-specific, began at 90 dpf in females. The transcription factors *dmrt3* and *sox9b* showed a male bias although only at 140 dpf and 400 dpf respectively, and *sf1* was expressed at early stages in both sexes and later higher in males. Finally, the expression pattern of the four genes with a reproduction-related function was studied (Supplementary Figure 6); *wt1* β did not show any

sex bias, *fancl* presented higher expression in juvenile females, *wnt1* did not show clear differences between sexes and *spata1*, as previously observed by SOTA analysis, showed higher expression at early stages of development and was later more downregulated in females than in males during gonad development.

Sex-related	Gene name	U vs. PU	D vs. U	Df vs. Dm	MJ vs. D	FJ vs. D	FJ vs. MJ
Female	cyp191a1	-	-	8.2	-	-	5.2
	foxl2	-	-	5.5	-	-	
	sox19	-	-	-	-	-	6.9
	sf1	-	-	-	-	-	-
	wnt1		-1.1	-	-	-	-
	fancl	-	-	-	-	-	-
	fig α	-	-	-	-	-	1.13
	sox9b	-	2.4	-	-	-	-3.3
	dmrt3	-	-	-3.0	-	-	-
Male	wt1	-	-	_	-	-	-
	spata1	-	-	-	-	-	-2.2
	arα	-	-	-	-	-	-
	cyp11 eta	-	-1.6	_	-	-	-
	star	- ,	5.1	-	-	-	-3.4
	17βhsd	<u>,</u> ()		-		-	-
	fshr		4.8	-	-	-	-3.2
Both	11βhsd	<u>`_```</u> `	1.40	-	_	1.0	-
	3βhsd	-1.1	1/2/		-2.3	-3.5	-

Table 2. Canonical reproduction-relates genes along gonad development

Differential expression of 18 canonical reproduction-related genes (P < 0.01) during gonadal development across the different comparisons. Numbers indicate fold change. Abbreviation as in Figure 1 and in Supplementary Table 7.

3.6. Identification of novel genes related to turbot sex differentiation

Another objective was to identify novel genes with expression patterns correlated to genes with a well-established role in sex differentiation. First, we looked in the scatter plot lists for female-related and male-related genes. We selected those genes with a FC > 2 between D-pro-female *vs.* FJ (244 *vs.* 4,452), on one hand, and between D-pro-male *vs.* MJ (131 *vs.* 712), on the other. A total of 119 female-related and 22 male-related genes were identified, although not DEG. Next, we looked in these gene lists for common DEG either upregulated in FJ *vs.* D and *vs.* MJ for female-related genes (Figure 3C), and between MJ *vs.* D and *vs.* FJ to find male related genes (Figure 3D). As a result, a total of 12 and 45 DEG related to testis and ovary differentiation, respectively, were obtained. The former were DEG in females at 140 dpf (Figure 6A, Supplementary Figure 7 and Supplementary Table 5).



Figure 5. Sex-specific gene expression patterns during gonadal development of turbot

Expression levels of: A) genes involved in the steroidogenic pathway (*cyp191a1*, 17 β hsd, 3 β hsd, 11 β hsd, *cyp11\beta*, star), gonadotrophin and androgen action (*fshr*, ar α), and B) transcription factors known to be involved in GD process (*foxl2*, sox19, fish α , dmrt3, sox9b, sf1). Data shown as mean ± SEM of fold change (log₂) using the PU mean as a reference. * = P < 0.05, ** = P < 0.01 based on the Kruskal-Wallis non-parametric test. Age in days post fertilization (dpf) refers to average age values of fish sampled at each group. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.
These included 14 genes with known reproduction-related function such as alveolin (alv), zona pelucida sperm binding proteins (zp), growth derived factor (gdf) 9 and LIM homeobox (lhx) 8. The remaining genes included eight cell component genes (e.g. transmembrane protein 144-like, *tmem144a*, peroxisomal membrane protein, *pex*, component of oligomeric golgi complex 3, *cog3*), five genes with immune-related functions (e.g. *cd98*, V-set and immunoglobulin domain-containing protein, *vsig*, 10 cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector, *ccidec*), four metabolic-related genes (e.g. glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1, *gpd1*, insulin receptor substrate 2-B-like, *isr2*, or glutathione S-transferase, *gstal*), three related to DNA machinery (e.g. general transcription factor IIIC), two related to cell-cell adhesion (e.g. protocadherin 15a, *pcdh15a*) one related to circadian cycle (i.e. cryptochrome, *cry2*), and nine non-annotated which had not previously been connected to gonad development or reproduction.

Regarding the 12 DEG related to testis development, we found that only one gene was previously known to be involved in reproduction (angiotensin converting enzyme, *ace*), while two were cell component members (i.e. calpain, *capn8* and *type4-ice*), one had a role in cell-cell adhesion (neurexophilin, *nxph*) and eight genes were not annotated.

While the 45 genes involved in ovary development presented similar expression patterns, the 12 testis-related ones could be classified in three different groups based on their expression pattern along gonad development (Figure 6, Supplementary Figure 8 and Supplementary Table 5). Pattern 1 (Figure 6B) consisted of genes which exhibited either no major expression change or downregulation as gonad development progresses, attaining lower expression values in females than in males (*ace* and R4_8750). Pattern 2 (Figure 6C) consisted of genes with no expression changes in females along gonad development but variable levels of upregulation in males from 140 dpf onwards (*capn8*, R4_6635, R4_27229, R4_12716r and R4_1679r). Finally, pattern 3 (Figure 6D) consisted of genes upregulated in males from 140 dpf onwards and likewise downregulated in females (*nxph*, type4-ice, R4_18369, R4_66731r and R4_68497).

To further explore the role of these genes during gonad development and their interaction with other genes in the reproduction cascade, the putative transcription factor binding sites in the promotor of some of these genes were explored. Three genes, *alv*, *zp* and *gdf*9, out of the 45 female genes identified as involved in ovary development and three, *type- ice*, *ace*, *nxph1*, out of the twelve in testis development were studied. Results showed that a total of 15 and 22 putative transcription factor binding sites where overrepresented with a Z-score value above 1.5 (Z-score values below -2 or above 2 can be considered statistically significant, corresponding to a *P* value of about 0.05, Sui et al. 2005) among the female- and male-related gene promoters, respectively. Among them, in *zp* and *gdf*9 two putative binding sites related to reproduction related genes were identified: the estrogen response elements (ERE) binding site (*P* > 0.05) and heterodimer binding site retinoid receptor (RXR, *P* < 0.05); in the *type-ice*, *ace*, and *nxph1* genes also two interesting binding sites were predicted: steroidogenic factor (SF) 1 binding site (*P* > 0.05) and specificity protein (SP) 1 binding site (*P* < 0.05; Supplementary Table 6).



Figure 6. Expression of new sex-related genes

Figure 6. Identified genes with similar behaviour to female-and male-related genes with a well-established role in sex differentiation in turbot. A) Expression of 45 genes associated with ovarian development. B) Pattern 1: expression of genes that exhibit either no major change or ion with development, attaining lower expression values in females than in males. C) Pattern 2: expression of genes that exhibit no major change in females but different levels of upregulation in males. D) Pattern 3: expression of genes that are upregulated in males and downregulated in females during gonadal development. Data is shown as fold change (log₂) using the PU group values as a reference. Age in days post fertilization (dpf) refers to average age values of fish sampled at each group. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.

3.7. Sexual differences in juvenile fish

We also studied the expression differences between ovary and testis in juvenile fish. A total of 4,087 DEG where found in the FJ *vs.* MJ comparison (Figure 3A), being larger the number of genes found upregulated in testes (2,266) than in ovaries (1,821). When looking specifically at the previously studied 18 canonical reproduction-related genes (Table 2) we found significant (P < 0.01) sex differences between FJ and MJ for some genes, either upregulated in the ovary (i.e. *cyp19a1a* FC = 5.2, *sox19* FC = 6.9, *fig* α FC = 1.13) or in testis (i.e. *sox9b* FC = -3.3, *spata1* FC = -2.2, *star* FC = -3.4 and *fshr* FC = -3.2).



Figure 7. MJ vs FJ Network analysis

Network analysis with genes involved in sex differentiation and reproduction. The genes found upregulated in the FJ *vs.* MJ comparison are represented in magenta in females and in blue in males. Lines connecting gene symbols indicate a positive correlation of their expression if depicted in red and a negative correlation if depicted in blue. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.

The total number of DEG (5,565 genes) between MJ and FJ found by SAM analysis (Figure 3A) were selected for a visual network representation (Figure 7). Results showed two main gene clusters, one related to females and another to males. A larger group of genes mainly connected to female phenotype in the centre of the network was observed. These genes were involved in ovarian development (*gsd9*, *zar1*), germ cell line maintenance (*piwil2*) and, as already observed in the heatmap and GO analysis, methylation (*metl6*, *metl9*), histone

deacetylation (*hdca3*, *hdac1*) and splicing (SURP and G patch domain containing, *sugp1*, and serine/arginine-rich splicing factor 1, *srsf1*). In agreement with previous analysis we found *cyp19a1a* connected with *foxl2* and *ecm1*. The female and male-related gene groups were connected mainly by three genes: *sox9b*, *irf7* and histone H3.3 (*h3f3a*). These three genes showed a positive correlation with other male-related genes (*ar1*, *fsh*, *gsdf*, *star1*, *pgr*, *wt1* β), histone desacethylation (suppressor of defective silencing 3 homolog, *suds3*) and transcription factors (*lhx9*, dachshund family transcription factor 1, *dach1*).



Heatmap analysis of epigenetic regulatory mechanisms-related genes during gonadal development. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.

Among the DEG in the FJ vs. MJ comparison, we found several genes involved in epigenetic regulatory mechanisms (Figure 8). There were 13 upregulated genes in the MJ group, e.g. methyltransferase-like protein 9 (*metl9*), *metl10*, euchromatic histone-lysine N-methyltransferase 2 (*ehmt2*) or anti-silencing function 1A *histone chaperone (asf1a)*; and 23 upregulated genes in the FJ group, e.g. *dnmt1*, *metl6*, histone H1-beta (*H1b*) or ribosomal RNA methyltransferase 2-like (*rnmtl2*).

3.8. Localization of reproduction-related genes in the sex- and growth-QTL markers

With the purpose of identifying putative candidate sex determining genes in turbot, we localized in the turbot genome the 18 studied canonical reproduction-related genes and those DEG in MJ (2,266) and FJ (1,821). None of the 18 canonical genes mapped to the reported sex- or growth-related QTLs with the exception of *foxl2* and *17* β *hsd*, which mapped close to the growth-related QTLs in LG15 and LG6, respectively (Sánchez-Molano et al. 2011).

The DEG between FJ and MJ were located in the turbot genetic map to assess their colocalization with the previously reported sex- and growth-related QTLs (Martínez et al. 2009; Sánchez-Molano et al. 2011) considering ± 1 Mb around the most significant sex- and growthrelated QTL markers (Figure 7C and 7D; Supplementary Figures 9 and 10). Microarray IDs were used to represent unannotated genes. LG5 holds the main sex determination QTL in turbot (Martínez et al. 2009), unfortunately the markers associated to the sex determining region are located in a small scaffold of the turbot genome (scaffold 83) and very few DEG were placed on it, only two for each sex. The two female genes were non-annotated and the two male genes were the fragile X mental retardation syndrome-related protein 1 (fxr1) and the RNA-binding protein 8A (*rbm8a*). In the region surrounding a minor sex-QTL in LG6 (scaffold 15), a total of 14 female upregulated genes were localized, while 21 upregulated male genes were found, although none with a remarkable sex-related function. Yet, in males, some interesting genes were found just above the sex-QTL expected position and inside the +-1Mb interval: two genes related to the immune system (*mhci*, immunoglobulin superfamily containing leucine-rich repeat 2, isrl2), one involved in histone acetylation (mortality factor 4 like 1 gene, morf4ll) and two transcription factors (DNA-j-like, leucine rich repeat containing, lrrc6). In LG8 (scaffold 14) we also found more genes upregulated in MJ (14 genes) than in FJ (4 genes). We also found a larger number of male DEG mapped to LG21 (12 vs. 9), again some genes related to immune system (Fc receptor, fcr) or histone modification (H3 histone family, h3.3).

Differences were also found for upregulated genes in MJ and FJ around growth-QTL markers (Supplementary Figure 10) in LG5 (scaffold 34) and LG16 (scaffold 40). No MJ upregulated genes were placed near LG5 and LG16 growth-QTLs, while two FJ genes were found next to the LG5 growth-QTL marker (aldehyde dehydrogenase 9, *aldh9a1*, and glutamine synthetase, *glul*) and three more next to the LG16 growth-QTL marker (R4_48196, tRNA 5-methylaminomethyl-2-thiouridylate and transmembrane protein 209, *tmem209*). However none of them showed an obvious relationship with growth processes.





Genes upregulated (A) or downregulated (B) in FJ vs. MJ mapped to the LG8, indicating the position of the turbot sex-associated QTL marker. A region of ± 1 Mb around the highest associated genetic marker to the sex-related QTL is shown in square. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.

Finally, we performed an enrichment analysis for each QTL to check if the region was particularly enriched in either FJ or MJ DEG, using the genome position of all the microarray genes as background. Only an almost significant enrichment (P = 0.056) in male DE genes was detected in the sex-QTL region of LG8 (Figures 9A and 9B).

4. Discussion

To our knowledge, this is the second study, after that previously reported in tilapia (Tao et al. 2013), where a deep transcriptomic analysis from undifferentiated to differentiated gonad development has been performed in fish. The work presented here aimed to identify sex markers and novel genes that may help to improve turbot production through sex control and maturation, but also to gain knowledge about the gonad differentiation process in turbot.

4.1. Transcriptomic overview during gonadal development

We classified individuals into different transcriptomic groups showing that, for example, females started sex differentiation at ~5 cm of total length (TL), earlier than males (~10 cm of TL). Once the groups were defined, we used SOTA analysis to find groups of genes with expression patterns specific of each development period. At early steps of gonadal development (PU+U group) genes related to both female and male pathways were already expressed (e.g. *ptge3, spata1*) as well as in D group (e.g. *star* and *lhr*). MJ group over-expressed genes involved in spertamotegenesis like *spata22* and *spag*, while FJ group genes involved in ovarogenesis like *vtg* and *chg*.

A differential expression analysis was performed between the different developmental groups, finding the highest differentiation between FJ and D. Similar observations were reported in tilapia, where a higher number DEG was found at 30 days post hatching (Tao et al. 2013) coinciding with the formation of the future ovarian cavity and the first meiotic divisions (D'Cotta et al. 2001). With the exception of the U vs. D comparison, more genes were found to be downregulated than upregulated in a certain stage when compared to the previous developmental stage, showing that gene repression seems to be critical for gonad development. A higher number of genes was found upregulated in testis when compared to ovaries (2,266 in MJ vs. 1,821 in FJ), as previously documented in other fish species like zebrafish (Small et al. 2009) or tilapia (Tao et al. 2013) but also in mammals (i.e. mice; Munger et al. 2009) and invertebrates (i.e. Drosophila; Brown et al. 2014). In contrast, a much higher number of genes (6,228) were required for ovary development than for testis development (39) from D stage. In fact, D was the most heterogeneous group, formed by ~90 and ~135 dpf individuals but also by ~400 dpf males, and therefore the influence of these samples on the expression of pro-male genes should not be underestimated. Some D individuals (pro-male) and MJ expressed male-related genes such as sox9b, involved in sex determination and testicular development (Nakamoto et al. 2005), the gonadotropin fsh, required for spermatogenesis (Zohar et al. 2010), or ar, required for male sex differentiation (Blázquez and Piferrer, 2005). These genes together with other male-related genes like

spata1, *wt1* and *star* involved in testis maturation (Huffman et al. 2012) were also positively correlated in the gene network analysis.

Due to the emerging importance of epigenetics in fish gonad development (Piferrer, 2013; Shao et al. 2014), we identified a group of epigenetic-related DEG that showed a dimorphic gene pattern between testes and ovaries. Since the first epigenetic mechanism described in fish, which showed the influence of temperature during early stages of development in the methylation levels of the *cyp19a1a* promoter in the European sea bass gonads (Navarro-Martín et al. 2011), several studies have revealed the importance of this cellular mechanisms for controlling sex differentiation in fish. For example, hypermethylation of cyp19a1a promoter was observed in the ovotestis and testis of the hermaphroditic ricefield eel (Monopterus albus) when compared to the ovary (Zhang et al. 2013), and also higher methylation levels of this promoter during sex differentiation in carps (Gobiocypris rarus) subjected to bisphenols during fish development have been reported (Liu et al. 2014). Turbot microarray results showed genes involved in epigenetic regulation throughout gonad development (13 and 23 genes upregulated in males and females, respectively). Females showed up-regulation of *dnmt1*, which plays a crucial role in the maintenance of methylation patterns (Pradhan et al. 1999); some methyltransferase proteins such as metl6, which also presented a positive correlation in the gene network analysis with other female-related genes; and histones like *h1b*, responsible for chromatin condensation with other histones (Ohe et al. 1989). In MJ, methyltransferase proteins such as metl9, metl10 and ehmt2 or the histone chaperone asf1 were upregulated regarding FJ. However, it is clear that further efforts are required to understand the epigenetic mechanisms involved during sex differentiation in turbot.

4.2. Identification of *cyp19a1a* and *dmrt3* as good early sex markers

Cyp19a1a is a key enzyme responsible for converting androgen to estrogen in the fish ovary during sex differentiation (Devlin and Nagahama, 2002; Guiguen et al. 2010). This process requires high expression of *cyp19a1a* in the developing ovary (Guiguen et al. 1999) and, therefore, *cyp19a1a* is considered an early marker of ovarian differentiation in several fish species such as sea bass (Blázquez et al. 2008), rainbow trout (Guiguen et al. 1999), half-smooth tongue-sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*; Deng et al. 2009), Southern flounder (*Paralichthys lethostigma*; Luckenbach et al. 2005) and Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*; Matsuoka et al. 2006). During early gonad development in turbot, at 90 dpf when fish were ~5 cm of TL, *cyp19a1a* over-expression was already observed in some individuals and it continued during ovary differentiation and maturation. In contrast, fish with low *cyp191a1a* levels exhibited high levels of *dmrt3* at ~140 dpf (~10 cm TL). *Dmrt3* belongs to the doublesex/mab-3 related family of transcription factors, involved in sex-specific differentiation in all animals studied so far (Kopp, 2012). The most notorious member of this family is *dmrt1*, sex determining gene in *Oryzias latipes* (Nanda et al. 2002), *Xenopus laevis* (Yoshimoto et al. 2008), birds (Smith et al. 2009) and probably also in *Cyanoglossus*

semilaevis (Chen et al. 2014). Dmrt1was not present in the microarray, however similar expression patterns between dmrt1 and dmrt3 have been observed in some fish species, for example in Japanese pufferfish (*Takifugu rubripes*; Yamaguchi et al. 2006). In swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*), dmrt3, together with dmrt2 and dmrt2b, were also detected in testis but not in ovaries (Sheng et al. 2014). In zebrafish, dmrt3 expression was detected in the developing germ cells of both gonads, mainly in spermatogonia and spermatocytes but also in developing oocytes (Li et al. 2008). So far, data suggest that dmrt3 is expressed in the developing testis of most fish species, as in turbot, and therefore dmrt3 can be considered a male marker as its well-known paralog dmrt1. Genetic or histological sexed fish always matched with the previously assigned sex based on cyp19a1a and dmrt3 expression levels. The observation that the expression of these two genes was negatively correlated supports their opposite roles in turbot sex differentiation. Together, these results show that cyp19a1a and dmrt3 are reliable markers of ovarian and testicular development, respectively, for sex assignment in the turbot.

To further explore the genetic basis of gonad differentiation, the behavior of 18 canonical genes, including cyp19a1a and dmrt3, selected by their importance during sex differentiation in fish, was studied. First, we analysed the expression pattern of eight genes related to the steroidogenic pathway for their important role during gonad differentiation: cyp191a1, 17 β hsd, 3 β hsd, 11 β hsd, cyp11 β , star, ar α and fshr. None of these genes, excluding cyp19a1a, showed a clear sex dimorphic expression, which reinforced the relevance of cyp191a1 as an ovarian development sex marker in turbot. Secondly, we studied the expression pattern of six canonical transcription factors along gonadal development. As expected, foxl2, fig α and sox19 were expressed in females, while *dmrt3* and *sox9b* were expressed in males. Foxl2 is expressed in the somatic cells of the ovary and is critical for ovarian determination, its deletion in mice increased the expression of testis differentiation markers such as sox9 leading to testis development (Uhlenhaut et al. 2009; García-Ortiz et al. 2009). Fig α is expressed at the primordial follicle stage and its expression persists during oocyte growth. Figa also suppresses male specific genes, being crucial for ovarian fate (Joshi et al. 2007). Sox19 is a fish specific expressed gene since its orthologue in other vertebrate lineages, termed sox15, is pseudogenized (Okuda et al. 2006). Sox19 is expressed in many adult tissues in European sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) but mostly in gonads and brains suggesting a role in ovarian differentiation (Navarro-Martín et al. 2012). So far, sox19 has been found in rice field eel (Liu and Zhou, 2001), fugu (Koopman et al. 2004), sturgeon (Hett and Ludwig 2005), zebrafish (Okuda et al. 2006) and European sea bass (Navarro-Martín et al. 2012). In turbot sox19 was previously mapped to LG2 (Viñas et al. 2012), but its female-specific expression pattern is reported here for the first time. Sox9 is involved in sex determination and testicular development in all vertebrates (Nakamoto et al. 2005; Bagheri-Fam et al. 2010). This transcription factor is expressed in Sertoli cells sharing functions with sox8 during testis differentiation in mammals (Chaboissier et al. 2004). The last studied transcription factor, sf1 (nr5a1), exerts several functions in the reproduction system by regulating the expression of several genes such as *star*, *cyp19a1a* or *amh* (Brennan and Capel, 2004; Kuo et al. 2005). In teleosts, members of the *nr5a* family have been identified in a number of species, like zebrafish (von Hofsten and Olsson, 2005) or European sea bass (Crespo et al. 2013). The highest *sf1* expression in turbot was found at early stages of gonadal development when several factors are required for the growth of the early bipotential gonad (Brennan and Capel 2004). Among the other canonical reproduction-related genes analysed, *fancl* and *spata1* showed different patterns between the two sexes. *Fancl*, which guarantees the survival of female germ cells (Rodriguez-Marí and Postlethwait, 2011), was more expressed in juvenile ovaries although the difference was not statistically significant; and *spata1* showed higher expression at early stages of development. *Spata1* has been reported to play a role in testis maturation (Huffman et al. 2012), so it is unclear why higher expression of this gene was found at early stages of development in turbot when the gonads are still undifferentiated.

4.3. Identification of novel genes associated with ovarian or testis development

Forty-five DEG were identified as pro-female genes involved in ovarian differentiation and all of them were differentially expressed in females at 140 dpf onwards. Among them we found a total of twelve genes with known reproduction-related functions. Two of them, alv and zps, are expressed in egg envelop. Alv is a protease that helps to trigger the egg hardening after fertilization (Shibata et al. 2012). Alv has also been identified in other fish species like medaka (Shibata et al. 2000), coho salmon (Oncorhynchus kisutch; Luckenbach et al. 2008) or Atlantic cod (Gadus morhua; Kleppe et al. 2014). Zps are glycoproteins that are accumulated in oocytes during oogenesis and play an important role during fertilization (Ringuette et al. 1988). They have also been identified in a large number of fish species like Atlantic bluefin tuna (Thunnus thynnus; Gardner et al. 2012) or sturgeon (Acipenser transmontanus; Murata et al. 2014). Lhx8 is a transcriptional regulator involved in ovarian formation and folliculogenesis in mouse (Choi et al. 2008; Jagarlamudi and Rajkovic 2012) and its deficient transcription in *lhx8* -/- ovaries downregulated the expression of germ cell specific genes like gdf9, bone morphogenic protein (*bmp15*) or figa, generating infertile mice (Pangas et al. 2006). Gdf9 is required during folliculogenesis for granulosa cell proliferation (Wu et al. 2004) and in fish it has been identified in ovaries in several fish species like European sea bass (Halm et al. 2008), rainbow trout (Bobe et al. 2008), eel (Lokman et al. 2010), zebrafish (Clelland and Kelly, 2011) and ricefield eel (Monopterus albus; He et al. 2012).

Among pro-female genes, we also identified some not previously related to sex differentiation. Eight were cell components, for example membrane proteins (*tmem144a, pex*) or Golgi complex components (*cog3*). Five genes were related to the immune system: *cd98*, *vs.ig10*, *ldlr*, *cidec* and cell-surface antigen heavy chain-like. The activation of the immune system during gonadal development has been described in several fish species like in carp (Xu et al. 2011), Atlantic cod (Sundaram et al. 2012), eel (Sower et al. 2009) or zebrafish, where the immune system is required to initiate female cell apoptosis during male sex

differentiation, leaving space for male cells to form the developing testes (Uchida et al. 2002; Maack and Segner, 2003). We also identified four metabolism-related genes, like *gpd1*, *irs2* or *gstal*, which were upregulated in ovaries. Finally, three DEG related to transcription machinery (*rex1* and *gtf3c1*) and histone DNA binding (*h1f5*), two genes involved in cell adhesion (*pcdh15a* and *pcdh15*) and one in circadian rhytm (*cry2*) were also preferably expressed in developing ovaries. The influence of the circadian system in reproduction has been described in mice, where knockout *cry2* males showed increased expression of several cytochrome P450 enzymes in the liver reaching levels typically found in females (Bur et al. 2009). In zebrafish, alterations of the circadian clock at early stages of development resulted in female bias in the final population (Villamizar et al. 2012).

On the other hand, a group of 12 novel genes were identified as pro-male genes involved in testis development and they were classified in three different expression patterns. Pattern 1, characterized by a lower expression in females than in males, included genes such as *ace* and one non annotated (R4_8750). Ace is an enzyme with higher activity in testes than ovaries in mice (Lim et al. 2002) and plays an important role in the regulation of male fertility (Hagaman et al. 1998). Although its function in male gonads has been studied in other vertebrates, like frogs (Bramucci et al. 2004), no data was available in fish on this regard. Pattern 2, characterized by up-regulation in males from 140 dpf onwards, included four non annotated genes and *capn8*. Calpain system is formed by various proteins involved in various physiological functions like remodeling the cytoskeletal/membrane attachments, different signal transduction pathways or apoptosis (Goll et al. 2003). In mice, calp8 has been related to muscle (Sorimachi et al. 1993), while *calp5* and *11* were detected in testis (Dear et al. 1999; Dear and Boehm, 1999). This system is believed to be not only conserved, but even expanded in the teleost lineage. However, more effort is required to understand the role of each member of this family. Pattern 3, composed by genes downregulated in females during gonadal development, includes *nxph*, a member of the neurexophilin family which promotes the adhesion between dendrites and axons (Missler and Sudhof, 1998). Studies of nxph1 during zebrafish embryonic development indicate that this gene is expressed in the central nervous system (Thomas-Jinu and Houart, 2013), but its role in gonadal development is described here for the first time. The study of the transcription binding sites on the promoters of some of these pro-male and pro-female genes suggests that some of these genes could be regulated by transcription factors involved in gonad differentiation, like estrogens or sfl, which reinforces our hypothesis that these genes might have a role in sex differentiation.

4.4. Relationship between reproduction-related genes and sex- and growth-QTL markers

We localized in the turbot genetic map (Hermida et al. 2013) the 18 canonical reproduction-related genes and the DEG between MJ (2,266) and FJ (1,821). None of the 18 canonical genes mapped near sex- or growth-related QTL markers with the exception of *foxl2* and 17β hsd, close to growth-QTL markers in LG15 and LG6 respectively. The mapping of FJ vs. MJ DEG revealed an almost significant enrichment in male transcripts in the sex-QTL

region of LG8. However, the main sex determination QTL is in LG5 (Martinez et al. 2009) where we found fxrl, a gene already described as relevant for sex determination in turbot (Taboada et al. 2014).

4.5. Concluding remarks

Our results revealed the complexity of the gene expression patterns underlying gonad development in turbot. The study of gene expression along gonadal development provided new insights on the importance of specific sets of genes for each gonad developmental stage from undifferentiated gonads up to the formation of testes or ovaries. Gonadal transcriptome showed that ovarian differentiation in turbot started at ~5 cm of TL while testis differentiated gonads. Two early sex markers were identified: *cyp191a1* in females from 90 dpf and *dmrt3* in males from 140 dpf. Furthermore, a group of 45 pro-female and 12 pro-male genes, with clear sex biased patterns, were identified during ovary or testis development for the first time. The functions of these genes were not exclusively related to reproduction but also to metabolism, immune system or circadian clock processes, and it will be interesting to refine their role in sex differentiation in the future. Finally, DEG between male and female juveniles were mapped to sex- and growth-QTLs, although no sex determination candidates were found and no QTL showed a significantly bias in male or female genes.

Acknowledgments

Work supported by Spanish Government Consolider-Ingenio 2010 grant "Aquagenomics" (ref. CDS2007-0002) to PM and FP. LR was supported by Aquagenomics and EpigenAqua postdoctoral contract; DR was supported by a FPU fellowship from the Ministerio de Educación Cultura y Deporte of the Spanish Government. We would like to acknowledge the support of the Centro de Supercomputación de Galicia (CESGA) in the completion of this work.

Supplementary material

Supplementary Table 1. Genes for microarray validation

Gene name	Gene symbol	Forward primer	Reverse primer
Follitropin subunit beta Tudor domain-containing protein 1	fshb tdrd1	TGCAAACTGGCCAACATCAC TGAGCCTTTGGTGTGGTCTT	CCGTTAATGTGCTTCGCCTC ACCATAGCCCGATACCATGC
Retinol dehydrogenase 3	rdh3	CTGACGACCACACACCTTGA	GCGACTCCAGCATTGTTCAC
Flg-hepta	flg-h	TGGTCTTTGGGAGTGGGGA	TGAATCGAGCAGTGTCCCAAA
Piwi-like protein 2	piwil2	ACAACACAGCGAACCTCACA	GGCATACTTGCATGGTGCTG
DNA (cytosine-5)-	dnmt1	GGAGTACGCGCCCATCTTT	GTCCTCCGTGAAGCAGTTGA
methyltransferase 1 SRY-box containing transcription factor 6	soxб	CCCATTTCTCCCTCCTCTCT	CCTTTCCGAGGAGACTGTTG
Gonadal soma derived factor	gsdf	CTGGGCTGGAACAACTGGAT	GGCACCATTTCCTGGGAGTT
Prostaglandin synthase E3	ptges3	TCTACGACCGCACCATCAAC	TCATGCTCCCAGTCTCTCCA
Lim homeobox 8	lhx8	TTCACCAGCGTTCATTCGTC	CACCGAACTACACAAGCAGA
SRY-box containing transcription factor 19	sox19	ACCGAGCGGTTTGTGCCTTG	TCCTCTGGATGCAGTGCTGATTGT
Aromatase	cyp19a1a	CAGCGAGGAAGCTGGCAAACA	ACACGCAGACTCGGCTTTTTACAT
Catenin beta-1	ctnnb1	AGCTGTGTCGGGTCGCGGTTT	GCCAGCCTCTGGACGTTGGTG
Doublesex- and mab-3-related transcription factor 2	dmrt2	GACTTTCTGTCCAAGCCCCT	GGGCGTGGGTCTTTTCAGTA
Alcohol dehvdrogenase Class VI	adh6	GCTTATCGCTGGACGCACTTG	TGGCTTCACTGACAACAACGC
UTPglucose-1-phosphate uridylyltransferase	ugp1	CAGGAGTTTCTGTCCAGGTTTGAG	ATTGGCGATGATGATGACGGTTC
c-X-C motif chemokine 14	cxcl14	CACACGCACCCTCCAAATA	CCGAGTGTTTACAGCAGCAC
Similar to WAF-1/CIP1 stabilizing protein	fkbpl	CGACAGCACAGATGATGG	CTTCGTAGTAACCGTTCTCC
Interferon-related developmental	ifrd1	CGCTGAGAAGAAGAACATC	CAAGTCACGATGGGTAAAG
regulator 1 S-phase kinase-associated protein 1	skp1	TCACAGAGGAGGAGGAAGC	CAACCAGTTAGCAGAGACAATC

Gene names, gene symbols and primer sequences of the genes used for microarray validation by qPCR

U vs PU					
Up-regulated Down-regulated					
Anotation	Fold change	Anotation	Fold change		
Period 4	3.07	Annexin A1	-3.14		
Cytochrome oxidase subunit Via	2.08	Trypsin domain	-3.15		
Calsequestrin	0.96	Sperm acrosome membrane-associated protein 4	-3.15		
Zgc:103752	0.82	Periplakin	-3.17		
Enolase	0.61	Envoplakin	-3.26		
		Envoplakin	-3.29		
		Nucleolar protein Nop52	-3.32		
		Herpes_gp2	-3.35		
		RNA_capsid	-3.41		
		Herpes_gp2	-3.46		
		ATP-binding cassette, subfamily A	-3.54		
		Guanine nucleotide binding protein-like 3	-3.73		
		TT_ORF1 domain	-3.78		
		Keratin 1	-3.81		
		Trypsin domain	-4.63		
		Secreted trypsin-like serine protease	-4.95		
		Elongation factor 1-alpha, oocyte form	-5.22		
		Gastric chitinase	-5.90		
		Metalloproteinase	-6.10		
		Chitinase 1	-7.02		
	D	vs U			

Supplementary Table 2. DEG in the different comparisons

Up-regulated		Down-regulated	
Anotation	Fold change	Anotation	Fold change
Steroidogenic acute regulatory protein	5.08	DNA-directed RNA polymerase II subunit A	-7.77
Gonadal soma derived factor	4.88	Myhz2 protein	-7.81
Follicle stimulating hormone receptor	4.79	I-set multi-domain protein	-7.91
Inhibin	4.70	Desmin	-7.98
Isoform 3 of Cell division protein kinase 14	4.65	Titin a	-7.99
solute carrier family 43, member 3	4.58	Nucleoside diphosphate kinase B	-7.99
Cardiac myosin light chain1	4.49	eEF1A2 binding protein	-8.05
Nipsnap homolog 3A	4.38	SET and MYND domain containing 1a	-8.08
Polyprotein	4.30	Kelch repeat and BTB (POZ) domain containing 10	-8.24
WD repeat domain 20	4.28	Myosin, heavy polypeptide 1, skeletal muscle	-8.25
Serine hydrolaselike protein	4.22	Myosin light chain 3 (Fragment)	-8.25
7tm_7 domain	4.15	Myosin heavy chain, striated muscle	-8.29
Transcription termination factor, RNA polymerase I	4.11	Myosin heavy chain	-8.33

Creatine kinase, brain a	3.86	Adenosine monophosphate deaminase	-8.33
Carbonyl reductaselike 20betahydroxysteroid dehydrogenase	3.77	Myozenin 1b	-8.48
Tropomyosin1 alpha chain	3.75	Myosin binding protein C	-8.66
HydroxyacylCoenzyme A dehydrogenase	3.66	Myhz2 protein	-8.70
Zgc:154009	3.65	FYDLN_acid	-8.73
Smoothelinlike	3.64	Aspartic acid-rich protein aspolin2	-8.81
Vascular cell adhesion molecule 1	3.55	Calsequestrin	-9.29

Up-regulated		Down-regulated	
Anotation	Fold	Anotation	Fold
	change		cnange
Zona pellucida spermbinding protein 2	7.99	7tm_7 domain	-4.61
Zona pellucida protein X	7.92	Zonadhesin	-4.64
Zygote arrest 1like	7.87	Novel protein	-4.66
RNA binding motif protein 4.1	7.86	Similar to $3(2)$, 5-bisphosphate nucleotidase 1	-4.67
ATPbinding cassette, subfamily A (ABC1)	7.74	Fast muscle troponin I	-4.69
Creatine kinase, muscle	7.70	Myo-inositol monophosphatase	-4.75
Zona pellucida protein X	7.62	Es1 protein	-4.75
Mucin 1	7.56	Fumarylacetoacetase	-4.78
Lge1 domain containing protein	7.42	mucin-5B	-4.82
Alveolin	7.26	nipsnap homolog 3A	-4.88
Kinesin family member 20/23	7.09	HRAS-like suppressor 2	-4.97
Egg envelope component ZPAX	6.98	Replication factor C (Activator 1) 3	-5.01
Cyclin A2	6.92	C1orf123 homolog	-5.05
ELOVL family member 6	6.82	Trypsin	-5.05
CTH1 protein	6.80	Isoform 3 of Cell division protein kinase 14	-5.08
Rho GTPase activating protein 11A	6.70	DNA mismatch repair protein MSH6	-5.09
Cathepsin Z	6.68	Aquaporin 1a	-5.25
Lowdensity lipoprotein receptors domain class A	6.65	Solute carrier family 12 member 3	-5.44
Choriogenin L	6.65	Collagen, type VI, alpha 3	-5.53
Protooncogene tyrosineprotein kinase Yes	6.60	SSU rRNA	-5.63

FJ vs D

MJ vs D

Up-regulated		Down-regulated	
Anotation	Fold change	Anotation	Fold change
UPF0575 protein	2.67	Zonadhesin	-3.41
Hyaluronoglucosaminidase	2.62	ATP-synt_B	-3.41
Stromal antigen 1like	2.61	TRAM_LAG1_CLN8 domain	-3.41
U6 snRNAassociated Smlike protein LSm6	2.44	Adducin 3	-3.43
Binding protein 2 (liprin beta 2)	2.38	Fibrinogen-like protein 1	-3.45
Novel protein (Zgc:92501)	2.14	SelP_N domain	-3.46
Exonuc_XT domain	2.08	UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 2A1	-3.52
Small nuclear Ribonucleoproteinassociated protein B	2.00	Collagen, type IV, alpha	-3.52

TMEM9 domain family, member B	1.98	AFG3 ATPase family gene 3-like 2	-3.54
UracilDNA glycosylase	1.94	Neurofascin isoform 7 precursor	-3.54
U6 snRNAassociated Smlike protein LSm6	1.89	Transglutaminase 2	-3.58
ZPC domain containing protein 5	1.89	Zinc finger protein 560	-3.59
Serine dehydrataselike	1.87	Cytoglobin-1	-3.62
Solute carrier family 25 member 19	1.86	Phosphatidylinositol-4-phosphate 5-kinase- like protein 1	-3.64
LEDGF/p75 transcription factor	1.84	Ras-related protein Rab-25	-3.69
Ribonuclease H2 subunit C	1.77	Protein kinase C and casein kinase substrate in neurons protein 1	-3.73
eIF_4EBP domain	1.73	Leucine rich repeat containing 32	-3.80
Histone H2A	1.70	Adducin 3 (gamma) b	-3.81
Checkpoint protein HUS1	1.66	Histone-lysine N-methyltransferase SETD7	-3.83
Mortality factor 4 like 1	1.64	6-pyruvoyl tetrahydrobiopterin synthase	-4.21

FJ vs MJ

Up-regulated		Down-regulated	
Anotation	Fold	Anotation	Fold
D'L du latin l'accordin	- T 22	C 20, 01	
Riboflavinbinding protein	1.23	wu:f130e01	-7.53
Proenkephalin	6.67	CTH1 protein	-7.58
Collagen, type VI, alpha 3	5.29	Alveolin	-7.81
Ribosomal protein S27	5.08	Creatine kinase, muscle	-7.88
Adaptorrelated protein complex 3, beta 1 subunit	4.52	Glutathione S-transferas	-8.08
UPF0575 protein	4.48	Early nodulin-75	-8.14
Dynein light chain Tctex type 1	4.46	Zgc:92083	-8.25
cell adhesion molecule 1a	× 4.41	Zona pellucida sperm-binding protein 2	-8.26
Luteinizing hormone receptor	4.29	Mucin 1	-8.39
Si:ch211199g17.1	4.27	Kinesin family member 20/23	-8.57
Prostaglandin E synthase 3	4.26	Rho GTPase activating protein 11A	-8.58
Brainspecific polypeptide PEP19	4.26	Cathepsin Z	-8.58
Primaryamine oxidase	4.10	low-density lipoprotein receptor	-8.65
Sideroflexin 2	4.07	ATP-binding cassette, subfamily A, member 5	-8.77
Nonclathrin coat protein zeta1COP	4.06	ELOVL family member 6	-8.95
leucyltRNA synthetase	4.01	GH05993p	-9.16
Acyl carrier protein	3.97	Quinone reductase	-9.28
Binding protein 15	3.96	Choriogenin L	-9.34
SEC23 interacting protein	3.94	Choriogenin L	-9.48
NADHubiquinone oxidoreductase chain 3	3.83	NAD(P)H dehydrogenase quinone 1	-9.57

Top 20 up-regulated and down-regulated DEG obtained by SAM for each comparison during turbot gonad development.

U vs PU Downregulated Biological Process Pore complex assembly Hemolysis in other organism involved in symbiotic interaction 1.30E-02 31.01 Molecular Function Actin binding 3.10E-02 28.95 Downregulated Cellular component Myosin filament 1.81E-06 12.67 Cellular component Myosin filament 1.32E-02 17.99 Biological Process Actin cytoskeleton organization 3.25E-02 3.74 Glycolysis Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading 6.07E-06 4.89 Molecular Function Motor activity 5.97E-05 4.21 Actin binding 3.25E-02 4.1 Upregulated Motor activity 5.97E-05 4.21 Molecular Function Double-stranded RNA binding 1.34E-04 4.95 FJ vs D Downregulated 2.39E-03 2.69 Oxidative phosphorylation 3.59E-03 2.11 Fructose and mannose metabolism 4.04E-03 2.73 Upregulated Cellular component Nucleoplasm part 6.51E-03 2.06		GO term	FDR P value	Enrichment
Downregulated Biological Process Pore complex assembly Hemolysis in other organism involved in symbiotic interaction 1.30E-02 31.01 Molecular Function Actin binding 3.10E-02 4.33 Molecular Function Actin binding 3.10E-02 4.33 Downregulated Cellular component Myosin filament 1.81E-06 12.67 Biological Process Actin cytoskeleton organization 3.25E-02 3.74 Glycolysis 3.25E-02 2.44 Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading 6.07E-06 4.89 Molecular Function Motor activity 5.97E-05 4.21 Actin binding 3.25E-02 4.1 Upregulated Motor activity 5.97E-05 4.21 Actin binding 1.34E-04 4.95 FJ vs D Downregulated Molecular Function Double-stranded RNA binding 1.24E-03 1.56 Kegg pathways Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis 2.39E-03 2.69 Oxidative phosphorylation 3.59E-03 2.11 Fructos and manose metabolism 4.04E-03 2.73 Upregulated	U vs PU			
Biological ProcessPore complex assembly Hemolysis in other organism involved in symbiotic interaction1.30E-0231.01Molecular FunctionActin binding3.10E-0228.95D vs UDownregulated Cellular componentMyosin filament F-actin capping protein complex1.23E-0217.99Biological ProcessActin cytoskeleton organization Glycolysis3.25E-023.74Molecular FunctionMotor activity Actin binding5.97E-054.21Upregulated Molecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs D1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis Oxidative phosphorylation Diological Process3.59E-032.11FructionCalcium ion binding Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis3.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation Biological Process3.59E-032.11Fructose and manose metabolism4.04E-032.73Upregulated Cludiar componentNucleoplasm part Protein-DNA complex Cludiar Signer Cludiar Signer Cludiar3.36E-022.09Molecular FunctionNucleoplasm part 	Downregulated			
Hemolysis in other organism involved in symbiotic interaction3.10E-024.33Molecular FunctionActin binding3.10E-0228.95D vs UDownregulatedCellular componentMyosin filament F-actin capping protein complex1.23E-0217.99Biological ProcessActin cytoskeleton organization3.25E-023.74 Glycolysis3.25E-0222.44Molecular FunctionMotor activity5.97E-054.21 Actin binding4.15Molecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDownregulatedMolecular FunctionCalcium ion binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69 Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11 Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.062.092.53 Cell division2.39E-032.25 Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53 Cell division2.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03 Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53 Mitosis2.25E-041.45 ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliccosome1.18E-022.892.941.45Molecular FunctionNucleoplase activity4.70E-032.23Molecular FunctionDNA binding2.25E-023.78Molecular FunctionDNA binding3.25E-041.56Kegg pathways	Biological Process	Pore complex assembly	1.30E-02	31.01
Molecular Function Actin binding 3.10E-02 28.95 D vs U Downregulated Cellular component Myosin filament 1.81E-06 12.67 Cellular component Myosin filament 1.23E-02 17.99 Biological Process Actin cytoskeleton organization 3.25E-02 2.74 Glycolysis 3.25E-02 2.44 Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading 6.07E-06 4.89 Molecular Function Motor activity 5.97E-05 4.21 Actin binding 3.25E-02 4.1 Upregulated Molecular Function Double-stranded RNA binding 1.34E-04 4.95 FJ vs D Downregulated 1.24E-03 1.56 Kegg pathways Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis 2.39E-03 2.69 Oxidative phosphorylation 3.59E-03 2.11 Fructose and mannose metabolism 4.04E-03 2.73 Upregulated Cellular component Nucleoplasm part 6.51E-03 2.06 Biological Process Chromatin 9.30E-03 2.39 DNA repair <		Hemolysis in other organism involved in symbiotic	3.10E-02	4.33
DirectionUnit curringDirectionDirectionDownregulated Cellular componentMyosin filament F-actin capping protein complex Glycolysis1.81E-06 3.25E-0212.67 17.99Biological ProcessActin cytoskeleton organization Glycolysis3.25E-02 3.74 Glycolysis3.25E-02 4.21 4.21 Actin binding2.244 4.21 4.21 Actin bindingMolecular FunctionMotor activity Motor activity5.97E-05 4.21 4.21 Actin binding4.95FJ vs DDownregulated Molecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.24E-03 2.39E-031.56 2.69Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconcogenesis Oxidative phosphorylation Fructose and mannose metabolism3.59E-03 4.04E-032.11 2.73Upregulated Cellular component Biological ProcessNucleoplasm part Chromatin 9.30E-032.56 2.062.09 2.53 Cell division1.08E-02 2.09 2.53 Cell division2.07E-02 2.53 Cell division2.378 2.07E-022.378 2.58 2.378Molecular FunctionNucleoplasm part Cell division0.28E-02 3.78 4.02E-022.378 2.58E-023.78 3.36E-022.94 2.94 Mitosis 3.35E-022.94 2.58E-023.78 4.02E-03Molecular FunctionDNA binding 2.37E-022.53 2.53 2.53 Cell division2.27E-02 2.53 2.53 2.58E-023.78 3.36E-022.94 2.94 4.70E-033.25E-04 2.23Molecular FunctionDNA binding Z.32E-043.25E-04 2.378 4.35E-043.36E-02 2.24 2.378 4.35E-042.378 4.35E-04 <td>Molecular Function</td> <td>Actin binding</td> <td>3.10E-02</td> <td>28.95</td>	Molecular Function	Actin binding	3.10E-02	28.95
Downregulated Cellular componentMyosin filament F-actin capping protein complex F-actin capping protein complex Actin cytoskeleton organization S125E-02 S25E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-05 S2E-05 S2E-05 S2E-05 S2E-02 S2E-05 S2E-02 S2E-02 S2E-05 S2E-02 S2E-05 S2E-02 S2E-03 S2E-04	D vs U			
Cellular componentMyosin filament1.81E-0612.67F-actin capping protein complex1.23E-0217.99Biological ProcessActin cytoskeleton organization3.25E-023.74Glycolysis3.25E-0222.44Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading6.07E-064.89Molecular FunctionMotor activity5.97E-054.21Actin binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDouble-stranded RNA binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and manose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCliuri component9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.53Molecular FunctionDNA binding2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.99DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.53Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.453.25E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.941.45Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.453.25E-041.45Mole	Downregulated			
Biological ProcessF-actin capping protein complex1.23E-0217.99Biological ProcessActin cytoskeleton organization3.25E-023.74Glycolysis3.25E-0222.44Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading6.07E-064.89Molecular FunctionMotor activity5.97E-054.21Actin binding3.25E-024.1UpregulatedMolecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDownregulated1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and manose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.532.69DNA repair1.09E-032.392.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.532.36Mitosis2.27E-022.361.86E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding3.25E-041.45ArP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.24E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome2.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome2.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome2.23Kegg pathwaysSplice	Cellular component	Myosin filament	1.81E-06	12.67
Biological ProcessActin cytoskeleton organization3.25E-023.74Glycolysis3.25E-0222.44Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading6.07E-064.89Molecular FunctionMotor activity5.97E-054.21Actin binding3.25E-024.1Upregulated1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDownregulated1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconcogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.532.69ONA repair1.09E-032.252.09Mitosis2.27E-022.632.69Muclecosome assembly2.07E-022.53Molecular FunctionNucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.292.09Molecular FunctionMolecular Group from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Mucleosome assembly2.77E-022.563.74Molecular FunctionDNA binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.88		F-actin capping protein complex	1.23E-02	17.99
Glycolysis3.25E-0222.44Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading6.07E-064.89Molecular FunctionActin binding3.25E-024.1UpregulatedDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDDouble-stranded RNA binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconcogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.00Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Mitosis2.27E-022.361.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.28Mu ve DDD1.80E-022.09Mu ve DDD1.80E-022.94	Biological Process	Actin cytoskeleton organization	3.25E-02	3.74
Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading6.07E-064.89Motor activity5.97E-054.21Actin binding3.25E-024.1Upregulated1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95DownregulatedCalcium ion binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconcogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.532.59ONA repair1.08E-022.092.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.533.36E-02Mitosis2.27E-022.361.86E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.88		Glycolysis	3.25E-02	22.44
Molecular FunctionMotor activity Actin binding5.97E-05 3.25E-024.21 4.1Upregulated0uble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDDownregulatedMolecular FunctionCalcium ion binding Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis1.24E-03 2.39E-031.56 2.69 2.11 Fructose and mannose metabolismUpregulatedCellular componentSpE-03 0.00002.11 Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-03 4.04E-032.73 2.73UpregulatedChromatin 9.30E-039.20E 2.25 Protein-DNA complex Cell division3.79E-02 2.53 2.53 Cell division2.09 1.09E-032.39 2.39 2.07E-022.03 2.53 2.53 Mitosis2.07E-02 2.53 2.53 2.55 2.53 2.55<		Substrate adhesion-dependent cell spreading	6.07E-06	4.89
Actin binding3.25E-024.1Upregulated Molecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDownregulated Molecular FunctionCalcium ion binding Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex DNA repair3.79E-022.53Mucleosome assembly Histone modification2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36Kegg pathwaysDNA binding ATP-dependent helicase activity3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82WI vs DD1.18E-022.82	Molecular Function	Motor activity	5.97E-05	4.21
Upregulated Molecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDownregulatedMolecular Function Kegg pathwaysCalcium ion binding Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis Oxidative phosphorylation Fructose and mannose metabolism1.24E-03 2.39E-03 2.69 2.11 Fructose and mannose metabolism1.24E-03 2.69 2.11 4.04E-031.56 2.69 2.11 2.73Upregulated Cellular component Biological ProcessNucleoplasm part Chromatin Protein-DNA complex 2.67 DNA repair6.51E-03 3.29E-02 2.53 2.13 2.06 2.09 2.73 2.10 2.03 Nucleosome assembly Mitosis 2.27E-02 2.36 diffusion 2.36E-022.07 2.378 2.378 4.165 3.36E-02 2.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding DNA binding Zinc ion binding ATP-dependent helicase activity3.25E-04 4.70E-03 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.23 2.242.82 2.24Mul vs DDDD2.82		Actin binding	3.25E-02	4.1
Molecular FunctionDouble-stranded RNA binding1.34E-044.95FJ vs DDownregulatedMolecular FunctionCalcium ion binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36TRNA processing3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DDDD	Upregulated			
FJ vs DDownregulatedMolecular FunctionCalcium ion binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DNuNu2.82	Molecular Function	Double-stranded RNA binding	1.34E-04	4.95
DownregulatedMolecular FunctionCalcium ion binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73Upregulated2.69Cellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DNu2.803.18E-022.82	FJ VS D			
Molecular FunctionCalcium ion binding1.24E-031.56Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73Upregulated2.73Cellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DD1.00E0.20	Downregulated			
Kegg pathwaysGlycolysis / Gluconeogenesis2.39E-032.69Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73Upregulated6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.35Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23MI vs DMvs DMvs D	Molecular Function	Calcium ion binding	1.24E-03	1.56
Oxidative phosphorylation3.59E-032.11Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73Upregulated6.51E-032.06Cellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DNu1.18E-022.82	Kegg pathways	Glycolysis / Gluconeogenesis	2.39E-03	2.69
Fructose and mannose metabolism4.04E-032.73UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Mu vs DSpliceosome1.18E-022.82		Oxidative phosphorylation	3.59E-03	2.11
UpregulatedCellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Mu vs DSpliceosome1.18E-022.82		Fructose and mannose metabolism	4.04E-03	2.73
Cellular componentNucleoplasm part6.51E-032.06Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Mu vs DSpliceosome1.18E-022.82	Upregulated			
Biological ProcessChromatin9.30E-032.25Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82	Cellular component	Nucleoplasm part	6.51E-03	2.06
Protein-DNA complex3.79E-022.53Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DNaSpliceosomeSpliceosome	Biological Process	Chromatin	9.30E-03	2.25
Cell division1.09E-032.39DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82MI vs DNa binding3.25E-043.25E-04		Protein-DNA complex	3.79E-02	2.53
DNA repair1.08E-022.09Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82		Cell division	1.09E-03	2.39
Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter1.67E-022.03Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82		DNA repair	1.08E-02	2.09
Nucleosome assembly2.07E-022.53Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82		Transcription from RNA Polymerase II promoter	1.67E-02	2.03
Mitosis2.27E-022.36tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82ML vs DD1.18E-021.18E-02		Nucleosome assembly	2.07E-02	2.53
tRNA processing2.58E-023.78Histone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82ML vs DDDD		Mitosis	2.27E-02	2.36
Molecular FunctionHistone modification3.36E-022.94Molecular FunctionDNA binding9.40E-051.56Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82ML vs D		tRNA processing	2.58E-02	3.78
Molecular FunctionDNA binding Zinc ion binding ATP-dependent helicase activity9.40E-05 3.25E-041.56 1.45 2.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.45 2.23MI vs D		Histone modification	3.36E-02	2.94
Zinc ion binding3.25E-041.45ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82ML vs D	Molecular Function	DNA binding	9.40E-05	1.56
ATP-dependent helicase activity4.70E-032.23Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82ML vs D		Zinc ion binding	3.25E-04	1.45
Kegg pathwaysSpliceosome1.18E-022.82ML vs D		ATP-dependent helicase activity	4.70E-03	2.23
MJ vs D	Kegg pathways	Spliceosome	1.18E-02	2.82
	MJ vs D			
Downregulated	Downregulated			
Biological Process Phosphorylation 1.29E-02 1.77	Biological Process	Phosphorylation	1.29E-02	1.77
Protein phosphorylation 2.10E-02 1.77		Protein phosphorylation	2.10E-02	1.77
FJ vs MJ	FJ vs MJ			
Upregulated	Upregulated			
Kegg pathwaysAminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis4.46E-025.52	Kegg pathways	Aminoacyl-tRNA biosynthesis	4.46E-02	5.52

Supplementary Table 3. Enriched GO terms

Supplementary Table 7. List of enriched GO terms among the differentially expressed genes between different gonad development stages.

Age (dpf)	Fish ID	<i>cyp191a1a</i> levels	dmrt3 levels	Assigned sex	Verified sex
75	PU1	low	low	none	male (G)
75	PU2	low	low	none	male (G)
75	PU3	low	low	none	female (G)
75	PU4	low	low	none	female (G)
90	U1	low	low	male	-
90	U2	high	low	female	-
90	U3	low	low	male	-
90	U4	low	low	male	-
90	U5	low	low	male	-
90	U6	high	low	female	female (G)
90	U7	high	low	female	female (G)
140	D1	high	low	female	-
140	D2	low	high	male	-
140	D3	low	high	male	-
140	D4	high	low	female	-
140	D5	low	high	male	male (G)
140	D6	high	low	female	female (G)
140	D7	low	medium	male	male (G)
140	D8	high	low	female	female (G)
400	MJ1	low	medium	male	male (P)
400	MJ3	low	high	male	male (P)
400	MJ4	low	high	male	male (P)
400	MJ5	low	medium	male	male (P)
400	MJ6	low	medium	male	male (P)
400	FJ1	high	low	female	female (P)
400	FJ2	high	low	female	female (P)
400	FJ3	high	low	female	female (P)
400	FJ4	high	low	female	female (P)
400	FJ5	high	low	female	female (P)
400	FJ6	high	low	female	female (P)

Supplementary Table 4. Samples sexed by cyp19a1a and dmrt3

For each one of the 30 fish gonadal sample used for microarray analysis of gene expression, fish age and ID, and the assigned sex based solely on the *cyp19a1a* and *dmrt3* expression levels. In a subsample of 21 fish sex was verified either by genotyping, using the turbot sex probe (G), or by histological analysis based on their phenotype (P). In all cases, there was coincidence between assigned sex and actual sex. Age in days post fertilization (dpf) refers to average age values of fish sampled at each group.

Sex-related	Function	Gene description	Gene symbol
Female	Reproduction	Alveolin	gstal
Female	Reproduction	zona pellucida protein Y1	zpy1
Female	Reproduction	kelch domain containing 1	kelch
Female	Reproduction	3-oxo-5-beta-steroid 4-dehydrogenase	ake1d1
Female	Reproduction	LIM homeobox 8a	lhx8
Female	Reproduction	Adrenodoxin-like protein	fdx11
Female	Reproduction	zona pellucida protein Y1	zpy1
Female	Reproduction	zona pellucida sperm-binding protein	zp
Female	Reproduction	rho guanine nucleotide exchange factor 19	gtf3c1
Female	Reproduction	similar to egg envelope component	zpax
Female	Reproduction	maternal B9.10 protein	-
Female	Reproduction	Growth differentiation factor 9	gdf9
Female	Cell component	transmembrane protein 144	tmem144a
Female	Cell component	neurabin-1-like isoform X6	nrb1
Female	Cell component	kinesin family member 16B	pcsk6
Female	Cell component	component of oligomeric golgi complex 3	cog3
Female	Cell component	proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 6	pcsk6
Female	Cell component	WASH complex subunit FAM21	wash1
Female	Cell component	peroxisomal membrane protein	pex
Female	Cell component	bolA family member 1	bola1
Female	Immunology	V-set and immunoglobulin domain-containing protein 10	vsig10
Female	Immunology	CD98 solute carrier family 3 member 2	cd98
Female	Immunology	LDL receptor-related protein	ldlr
Female	Immunology	cell death-inducing DFFA-like effector c	cidec
Female	Immunology	cell-surface antigen heavy chain	-
Female	Metabolism	glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase 1	gpd1
Female	Metabolism	insulin receptor substrate 2-B	irs2
Female	Metabolism	acyl-Coenzyme A dehydrogenase family member 11	acd11
Female	Metabolism	Glutathione S-transferase	gstal
Female	DNA machinery	RNA exonuclease 1 homolog	rex1
Female	DNA machinery	general transcription factor IIIC	gtf3c1
Female	DNA machinery	Spy1 domain containing protein	h1f5
Female	Cell-cell adhesion	Protocadherin 15a	pcdh15a
Female	Cell-cell adhesion	similar to protocadherin 15	pcdh15
Female	Circadian	cryptochrome-2	cry2
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-

Supplementary Table 5. Genes with similar expression to well-known reproduction genes

Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Female	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Reproduction	angiotensin-converting enzyme	ace
Male	Cell component	calpain-8 catalytic subunit	capn8
Male	Cell component	Type-4 ice-structuring protein	type4-ice
Male	Cell-cell adhesion	neurexophilin 1	nxph1
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-
Male	Unknown	Unknown	-

List of DEG with similar behaviour to genes with a well-established role in sex differentiation expressed in differentiating to differentiated ovary (a total of 45 genes) or testis (a total of 12 genes).



Sex-related	TF Families	Nr. of Matches in Input	Expected (promoters)	Std.dev.	Over representation (promoters)	Z-Score (promoters)
female	V\$PLAG	19	3.33	1.82	5.71	8.32
female	V\$CARE	3	0.99	1	3.02	1.51
female	V\$MEF3	6	2.26	1.5	2.65	2.15
female	V\$CTCF	8	3.13	1.77	2.56	2.47
female	V\$CP2F	8	3.21	1.79	2.49	2.39
female	V\$ZF02	10	4.04	2.01	2.47	2.72
female	V\$ZFHX	18	7.51	2.74	2.4	3.65
female	V\$EREF	9	4.6	2.14	1.96	1.82
female	V\$RXRF	24	12.81	3.58	1.87	2.99
female	V\$GRHL	20	11	3.31	1.82	2.56
female	V\$NEUR	11	6.69	2.59	1.64	1.47
female	V\$HESF	12	7.43	2.72	1.62	1.5
female	V\$IKRS	5	3.1	1.76	1.61	0.8
female	V\$CHRF	10	6.36	2.52	1.57	1.25
female	V\$KLFS	16	10.37	3.22	1.54	1.59
male	V\$LTFM	7	1.34	1.16	5.23	4.46
male	V\$ZF04	4	0.88	0.94	4.53	2.79
male	V\$INSM	6	1.37	1.17	4.38	3.53
male	V\$ZF57	6	1.48	1.22	4.05	3.3
male	V\$MOKF	10	3.28	1.81	3.05	3.44
male	V\$MITF	7	2.58	1.61	2.71	2.44
male	V\$SF1F	ંદ	1.95	1.4	2.57	1.83
male	V\$SP1F	13	5.28	2.3	2.46	3.14
male	V\$MYOD	-20	8.71	2.95	2.3	3.66
male	V\$NF1F	8	3.59	1.9	2.23	2.06
male	V\$PRDF	17	7.85	2.8	2.16	3.09
male	V\$EGRF	11	5.62	2.37	1.96	2.06
male	V\$SIXF	7	3.8	1.95	1.84	1.39
male	V\$AP1R	33	18.06	4.24	1.83	3.4
male	V\$MEF3	4	2.26	1.5	1.77	0.82
male	V\$NFAT	20	11.42	3.38	1.75	2.4
male	V\$NEUR	11	6.69	2.59	1.64	1.47
male	V\$RORA	12	7.38	2.72	1.63	1.52
male	V\$CTCF	5	3.13	1.77	1.6	0.78
male	V\$CHRF	10	6.36	2.52	1.57	1.25
male	V\$IRXF	17	11.09	3.33	1.53	1.63
male	V\$TALE	15	9.82	3.13	1.53	1.49

Supplementary Table 6. Transcription factor binding sites in male- and female-related genes

Putative promoter binding sites of three female- (*alv*, *zp* and *gdf*9) and three male- (*type4 ice, ace, nxph1*) related genes.

Gene symbol	Gene description	Gene symbol	Gene description
11bhsd	11-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1	lyrm5	LYR motif-containing protein 5
17bhsd	17-beta hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase 1	malt1	Mucosa associated lymphoid tissue lymphoma translocation gene 1
3bhsd	3-beta hydroxysteroid	mapk14a	Mitogen-activated protein kinase 14
ace	Angiotensin-converting enzyme	mapk4	Mitogen-activated protein kinase kinase 4
асис	Acetoin utilization protein AcuC	mat2	Methionine adenosyltransferase II
adprm	Manganese-dependent ADP-ribose/CDP- alcohol diphosphatase	maz	MYC-associated zinc finger protein
adss	Adenylosuccinate synthetase	mb3374	Methyltransferase Mb3374
aidb	Acyl-CoA dehydrogenase	met10	Methyltransferase 10 domain containing
aldh9a1	Aldehyde dehydrogenase 9 family member A1a	metl2	Methyltransferase 2 domain containing
ambp	Alpha-1-microglobulin	metl6	Methyltransferase-like protein 6
anks1b	Ankyrin repeat and sterile alpha motif domain-containing protein 1B	metl9	Methyltransferase-like protein 9
anm3	Arginine N-methyltransferase 3	mettl13	Methyltransferase-like protein 13
apip	APAF1 interacting protein	mettl16	Methyltransf_16 domain containing protein
ar1	Androgen receptor beta	mlst8	Target of rapamycin complex subunit 1st8
ar2	Androgen receptor alpha	mocs1	Molybdenum cofactor biosynthesis enzyme
arl5	ADP-ribosylation factor 5	morf4l1	Mortality factor 4 like 1
armc2	Armadillo repeat containing 2	mpp1	Membrane protein, palmitoylated 1, 55kDa
arpp	Cyclic AMP phosphoprotein	msl1	Male-specific lethal 1 homolog
as3mt	Arsenite methyltransferase	mthfd1	Bifunctional methylenetetrahydrofolate dehydrogenase/cyclohydrolase, mitochondrial precursor
asf1a	Anti-silencing function 1A histone chaperone	mtu1	tRNA (5-methylaminomethyl-2- thiouridylate)-methyltransferase 1
ats1	N-acetyltransferase ats1	mustn1	Musculoskeletal embryonic nuclear protein
B4GALNT3	Beta-1,4-N-acetyl-galactosaminyl transferase 3	myof	n Myoferlin
birc5a	Baculoviral IAP repeat containing 5	nap1	Nucleosome assembly protein 1
blm	Bloom syndrome helicase	nap1l4a	Nucleosome assembly protein 1-like 4
C17orf89	Chromosome 17 open reading frame 89	nasp	Nuclear autoantigenic sperm protein (histone-binding)
c1qbp	Complement component 1, q subcomponent binding protein	ndufa4	NADH dehydrogenase 1 alpha subcomplex subunit 4
caprin1b	Cell cycle associated protein 1	neil	Nei endonuclease VIII
carm1	Coactivator-associated arginine methyltransferase 1 Chibby homolog 1	nfrkb	Nuclear factor related to kappaB binding protein Nucleoside diphosphate kinase
CDC23	Cell division cycle 23	nt5c	5'-nucleotidase
cenn	Inner centromere protein	nun85	Nucleoporin NUP85
cen55l	Centrosomal protein 55kDa	nxnl?	Nucleoredoxin-like protein 2
cen72	Centrosomal protein of 72 kDa	nxph1	Neurexophilin 1
cnbp	Cellular nucleic acid-binding protein	patl2	Protein associated with topoisomerase II

Supplementary Table 7. Figure abbreviations

			homolog 2
commd5	COMM domain containing 5	pdap1	28 kDa heat-and acid-stable phosphoprotein
cox5a	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit 5A	pdp2	Pyruvate dehyrogenase phosphatase catalytic subunit 2
cox6b1	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIb	pgd2	Prostaglandin D2
cox7b	Cytochrome c oxidase subunit VIIb	pgr	Progesterone receptor
cpt1a	Carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1A (liver)	phf6	PHD finger protein 6
creld2	Cysteine-rich with EGT-like domain 2	phldb2	Pleckstrin homology-like domain, family B, member 2
cry2	Probable DNA photolyase	pig	Phosphatidylinositol glycan
cse11	Exportin-2	pin1	Peptidylprolyl cis/trans isomerase, NIMA- interacting 1
ctdspl2	CTD (carboxy-terminal domain, RNA polymerase II, polypeptide A) small phosphatase like 2	piwil2	Piwi-like protein 2
ctnnb1	Catenin (cadherin-associated protein), beta 1	plekhb1	Pleckstrin homology domain containing, family B (evectins) member 1
ctsh	Cathepsin H	pls3	Plastin 3 isoform 5
cyb5	Cytochrome b5	pnpla2	Patatin-like phospholipase domain containing 2
cyp11b	Steroid 11-beta-hydroxylase	ppefl	Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase
cyp19a1a	Gonadal aromatase	ppme1	Protein phosphatase methylesterase 1
cyp27a1	Cytochrome P450 family 27 subfamily A polypeptide 1	ppp1cb	Serine/threonine-protein phosphatase PP1- beta
dach1	Dachshund homolog 1	prc1	Protein regulator of cytokinesis 1
dagl	Diacylglycerol lipase	prkar1a	cAMP-dependent protein kinase type I- alpha regulatory subunit
ddb2	DNA damage-binding protein 2	prmt7	Protein arginine methyltransferase 7
ddr1	Discoidin domain receptor family member 1	prss8	Prostasin
dhtkd1	Dehydrogenase E1 and transketolase	psmd7	Proteasome (prosome, macropain) 26S
dio	Iodothyronine deiodinase	psmg1	Proteasome (prosome, macropain) assembly chaperone 1
djc18	DnaJ homolog subfamily C member 18	PTB domain	PTB domain containing protein
dlgap5	Discs, large (Drosophila) homolog- associated protein 5	ptges3	Prostaglandin E synthase 3
dmc1	Dosage suppressor of mck1 homolog	pts	6-pyruvoyl tetrahydrobiopterin synthase
dmrt2	DM-related transcriptional factor Dmrt2	pxn1	Jeltraxin
dmrt3	Doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor 3	Ras domain	Ras domain containing protein
dnaja4	DnaJ-like subfamily A member 4	rassf7	Ras association (RalGDS/AF-6) domain family (N-terminal) member 7
dnajb14	DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily B, member 14	rbm8a	Rna-binding protein 8a
dnajc18	DnaJ (Hsp40) homolog, subfamily C, member 18	rcn2	Reticulocalbin 2, EF-hand calcium binding domain
dnmt1	DNA (cytosine-5-)-methyltransferase 1	rdh3	Retinol dehydrogenase 3
dtx1	Deltex 1	rg9mtd1	Mitochondrial ribonuclease P protein 1 precursor
duf1421	DUF1421 multi-domain protein	rhot2	Mitochondrial Rho GTPase 2
dync1i1	Cytoplasmic dynein 1 intermediate chain	rnmtl1a	RNA methyltransferase like 1

	1		
dynl	Dynein light chain	rnmtl2	Ribosomal RNA methyltransferase 2
ecm1	Extracellular matrix protein 1	rps27	Ribosomal protein S27
ehmt2	Euchromatic histone-lysine N- methyltransferase 2	rrm1	Ribonucleotide reductase M1
eif2ak1	Heme-regulated initiation factor 2 alpha kinase	rrp9	Ribosomal RNA processing 9, small subunit (SSU) processome component, homolog
elof1	Translation elongation factor EF-1alpha	ryr1	Ryanodine receptor RyR1
eme l	Wu:fc30c07 isoform 2	saca4	Sperm acrosome membrane-associated protein 4
emx2	Homeobox protein EMX2	scaf1	SR-related CTD-associated factor 1
eps8l1	EPS8-like protein 1	scaper	S-phase cyclin A-associated protein in the ER
ergic2	ERGIC and golgi 2	sec23ip	SEC23 interacting protein
etfb	Electron-transfer-flavoprotein, beta polypeptide	sepsecs	Sep (O-phosphoserine) tRNA:Sec (selenocysteine) tRNA synthase
fam117	Family with sequence similarity 117	serpin	Serpin peptidase inhibitor
FAM76B	Family with sequence similarity 76, member B	sf1	zf-C4 multi-domain protein
fancl	Fanconi anemia, complementation group G-like	sfl	Splicing factor 1
fbxl	F-box and leucine-rich repeat	shmt2	Serine hydroxymethyltransferase
fbxl14b	F-box and leucine-rich repeat protein 14	sin3	Histone deacetylase complex, SIN3 component
fcr	Fc receptor	sirt	Sirtuin
fdxr	Ferredoxin reductase	skp1	S-phase kinase-associated protein 1
fgf7	Fibroblast growth factor 7	slc20a1	Solute carrier family 20 member 1b
fgl2	Fibrinogen 2	slc25a22	Mitochondrial glutamate carrier 1
fig7	FIg-Hepta	slc25a4	ADP-ATP translocase
figa	Factor in the germline alpha	slc35b1	Solute carrier family 35 member B1
filip1	Filamin A interacting protein 1	slc5	Solute carrier family 5
flnc	Filamin C	slc7a3	Solute carrier family 7
flot2	Flotillin 2	smg8	SMG8 nonsense mediated mRNA decay factor
foxl2	Forkhead box protein L2	sn4tdr	4SNc-Tudor domain protein
fshb	Follicle-stimulating hormone beta	snapc1	Small nuclear RNA activating complex,
fshr	subunit Follicle stimulating hormone receptor	sox11	Probable ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme E2
ftsjd2	S-adenosyl-L-methionine-dependent methyltransferase ftsid2	sox19	SRY-box containing gene 19
fxr1	Fragile X mental retardation, autosomal homolog 1	soxб	SRY-box containing gene 6
gamt	Guanidinoacetate N-methyltransferase	sox8	SRY-box containing gene 8
gdf9	Growth differentiation factor 9	sox9	SRY-box containing gene 9
gfm2	Ribosome-releasing factor 2	spata1	Spermatogenesis associated 1
ggnbp2	Gametogenetin-binding protein 2	spata13	Spermatogenesis associated 13
glul	Glutamine synthetase	spata22	Spermatogenesis-associated protein 22
gorasp1	Golgi reassembly stacking protein 1, 65kDa	spefl	Sperm flagellar protein 1
gpi	Glucose-6-phosphate isomerase	spon2	Spondin-2

gsdf	Gonadal soma derived factor	srd5a3	Steroid 5 alpha-reductase 3
gsta	Glutathione S-transferase	srsf1	Splicing factor. arginine/serine-rich 1
gtsf1	Gametocyte-specific factor 1	star	Steroidogenic acute regulatory protein
h1f0	Histone H1.0-like	star1	Steroidogenic acute regulatory protein 1
h2afy	H2A histone family, member Y	stom	Stomatin
h3.3	Replacement histore H3.3	suds3	Sin3 histone deacetylase corepressor complex component SDS3 Splicing factor 4
hbb	Replacement instone 115.5	sugp1	Histone lucine N mathultransferase
noo	Beta-type giobin	50057111	SUV39H1
hdac1	Histone deacetylase 1	suv39h1	Suppressor of variegation 3-9 homolog 1
hdac3	Histone deacetylase 3	taldo1	Transaldolase
hemk1	HemK methyltransferase family member	tbc1d24	TBC1 domain family member 24
hh1	Histone H1	tbl2	Transducin (Beta)-like 2
hh1b	Histone H1-beta, late embryonic	tdrd1	Tudor domain-containing protein 1
hist1h1t	Histone H1t	tm4sf6	Transmembrane 4 L6
hla-a	MHC class IA antigen	tmem136	Transmembrane protein 136
hoxd9aa	Homeobox protein Hox-D9a	tmem138	Transmembrane protein 138
hsd17b7	Hydroxysteroid (17-beta) dehydrogenase	tmem209	Transmembrane protein 209
hspd1	Heat shock protein 60	tmem88	Transmembrane protein 88
igf2	Insulin growth factor 2	tmlhe	Trimethyllysine dioxygenase
il17re	Interleukin-17 receptor E	tob1	Transducer of ERBB2, 1
il1r	Interleukin 1 receptor	tomm70a	Translocase of outer mitochondrial
irf7	Interferon regulatory factor 7	trim14	Tripartite motif-containing 14
islr2	immunoglobulin superfamily containing	tspan18b	Tetraspanin 18
itfg3	Integrin alpha FG-GAP repeat containing	tspan3	Tetraspanin-3
itga3b	Integrin, alpha 3b	ttll12	Tubulin tyrosine ligase-like family member 12
jade3	Jade family PHD finger 3	txnrd1	Thioredoxin reductase TrxR1
kct2	KCT2 protein	ube2a	Ubiquitin-conjugating HR6A
kif22	Kinesin family member 22	usp19	Ubiquitin specific peptidase 19
kif23	Kinesin family member 23	vasa	Vasa rna helicase
kiss1	Vertebrate breast cancer metastasis-	wdr24	WD repeat-containing protein 24
klhdc10	suppressor 1 Kelch domain containing 10	wnt1	Wingless-type MMTV integration site family, member 1
knca	Potassium voltage-gated channel	wt1	Wilms tumor 1
lact	Lactamase	wt1b	Wilms' tumor suppressor 1b
lcmt1	Leucine carboxyl methyltransferase 1	zar1	Zygote arrest protein 1
ldha	L-lactate dehydrogenase A	zp3	Zona pellucida sperm-binding protein 3
lhx9	LIM/homeobox protein Lhx9	zp4	Zona pellucida sperm-binding protein 4
lmf2a	Lipase maturation factor 2	zwint	ZW10 kinetochore associated

Gene description for each gene abbreviation used in the figures of this chapter.



Supplementary Figure 1. Microarray validation

Validation of the microarray results was performed by qPCR in a total of 16 pairs of primers corresponding to 16 different genes and across the five comparisons (PU vs. U, D vs. U, MJ vs. D, FJ vs. D). A total of 34 microarray-qPCR pairs of expression values were compared. Data points in red indicate genes whose expression is presented with detail in this study.

Supplementary Figure 2. Turbot growth at different stages of gonadal development



A) Total length (TL). B) Body weight (n = 30 fish; range 4–7 fish/group). Groups with different letters have statistically significant differences (P < 0.05). C) Relationship between age (days post fertilization, dpf) and TL. D) Relationship between TL and body. Data point symbols are color-coded according to the transcriptomic group. Abbreviations regarding gonadal development: prior appearing as undifferentiated gonads (PU), undifferentiated gonads (U), differentiating gonads (D), and gonads from female (FJ) and male (MJ) juveniles. The lines below MSD and FSD in C indicate the duration of male and female sex differentiation, respectively.



Supplementary Figure 3. Transcriptomic grouping assessment

A) Hierarchical clustering analysis of all the samples hybridized in the microarray. B) Heatmap clustering of reproduction-related genes during gonadal development. Clustering was performed using mean gene expression for each group. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7. Abbreviations as in Supplementary Figure 2.



Supplementary Figure 4. Cyp19a1a correlation with dmrt3 and foxl2

Pearson correlation between two antagonistic, *cyp19a1a-dmrt3* (A), and two agonistic, *cyp19a1a-foxl2* (B), gene pairs. Abbreviations as in Supplementary Figure 2.



Supplementary Figure 5. Cyp19a1a and dmrt3 expression for each sample

Gene expression patterns of two early markers of phenotypic sex in turbot in developing males (blue line) and females (pink line). A) *Cyp191a1* mRNA expression levels. B) *Dmrt3* mRNA expression levels. Each individual is colored based on their assigned transcriptomic group. Abbreviations as in Supplementary Figure 2.



Supplementary Figure 6. Sex-specific expression patterns of reproductionrelated genes during gonadal development in turbot

Expression of A) *wt1*, B) *fancl*, D) *wnt1* and D) *spata1*. Age in days post fertilization (dpf) refers to average age values of fish sampled at each group. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.



Supplementary Figure 7. Pro-female genes

Sex-specific expression patterns of ten out of 45 pro-female genes found during gonadal development in turbot. A) alv, B) zp, C)gdf9, D) vs.ig10, E) cd98, F) kelch, G) cry2, H) lhx8, I) *ldlr* and J) *cidec*. Age in days post fertilization (dpf) refers to average age values of fish sampled at each group. Full gene names shown are in Supplementary Table 7.



Supplementary Figure 8. Pro-male genes

Sex-specific expression patterns of 12 pro-male genes found during gonadal development in turbot. Three different patterns can be observed. Pattern 1, no changes or downregulated in males, always downregulated in females: A) *ace* and B) R4_8750. Pattern 2, no changes in females, upregulated in males: C) *capn8*, D) R4_6635, E) R4_27229, F) R4_12716r and G) R4_1679r). Pattern 3, downregulated in females, upregulated in males: I) *nxph*, J) *type4-ice*, K) R4_18369, L) R4_66731r and M) R4_68497. Age in days post fertilization (dpf) refers to average age values of fish sampled at each group. Full gene names are shown in Supplementary Table 7.



Supplementary Figure 9. MJ vs FJ DEG mapped to sex determination QTLs

Mapping in different linkage groups of genes upregulated in female juveniles vs. male juveniles (A, C, E) or genes upregulated in males juveniles when compared to female juveniles (B, D, F) indicating the position of the turbot sex-associated QTL marker. Genes that map within a region of ± 1 Mb around the highest associated genetic marker to the sex-related QTL are shown inside a square.

Mapping in different linkage groups (LG5 and LG16) upregulated genes in female juveniles when compared to male juveniles (A, C) or genes upregulated in male juveniles when compared to female juveniles (B, D) indicating the position of the turbot growth-QTL marker. Genes that map within a region of ± 1 Mb around the highest associated genetic marker to the growth-related QTL are shown inside a square.

One of the objectives of studying sex is to understand the evolution of sex determination and its implications. However, the study of sex in fish is also related to practical issues for those aquaculture species with sex dimorphism. Several flatfish species are harvested worldwide and growth differences between males and females are usual, however, very few studies on sex differentiation have been carried out on this group. Turbot is a relevant flatfish aquaculture species with a notable growth sex dimorphism. The main objective of this thesis was to study gene expression during sex differentiation in turbot to gain knowledge about this process in general and about sex determination in turbot in particular. Each study has been detailed in the previous chapters. However, below all the work is discussed in an integrative way aiming to obtain a deeper understanding of sex differentiation in turbot and fish.

1. qPCR setup in turbot gonads

The real-time PCR (qPCR) is considered a highly sensitive technique to quantify gene expression; however, several parameters deeply condition the quality of the results. One of the aims of this thesis, showed in chapter 1, was to evaluate those parameters for real-time experiments along gonad development in turbot.

1.1. Primer validation

Though not strictly a component of the qPCR setup, we sequenced the qPCR product for every pair of primers used to ensure that they were amplifying the target gene. Researchers usually rely on the presence of a single peak in the melting curve of the qPCR reaction, or, at most, use a gel electrophoresis of the PCR product to confirm the expected size, but these approaches do not fully confirm that the target gene is being amplified. In our study, we found one pair of primers, originally designed for fxr1, which presented a single melting curve peak and a single electrophoresis band but it was amplifying a different transcript. This might be especially problematic for species which have suffered recent whole genome duplications, as is the case of teleosts (Glasauer and Neuhauss, 2014).

1.2. Efficiency determination

Efficiency can alter the final fold change (FC), the estimator commonly used to evaluate differential expression regarding controls. Efficientcy may increase or decrease FC depending on the chosen method, and so, over or underestimate differences between groups. The efficiency of the qPCR reaction has been traditionally determined by calibration curves, and efficiencies between 90 and 110% have been considered appropriate. However, it is a non-sense to speak about efficiencies above 100% in a PCR reaction, so we looked for alternatives to the standard curve. We tested several available methods for efficiency determination, finding LinRegPCR (Ruijter et al. 2009) and PCR-Miner (Zhao and Fernald, 2005) to be the most accurate ones. LingRegPCR results underestimate efficiency, while PCR-Miner

overestimates. Finally, we decided to use LinRegPCR efficiency estimations for our qPCR experiments since PCR-Miner would lead to FC overestimation, while LinRegPCR results are more conservative, as we mentioned before. Similar results investigating a larger number of efficiency determination methods were found by Ruijter et al. (2013). These new methods of efficiency determination have not yet been applied into fish expression studies. Among 25 qPCR studies perfomed in fish in 2013 and 2014, efficiency calculation by standard curve was performed in 7 of them (Salmerón et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Luo et al. 2013, 2014; Gomes et al. 2014; Tian et al. 2014;; Walock et al. 2014;), while no method for efficiency determination is mentioned in the remaining ones (Jones et al. 2013; Wang TT et al. 2013; Wang Y et al. 2013; Jang et al. 2013; Takeuchi and Okubo, 2013; Chen et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2013; Mu et al. 2013; Doyle et al. 2013; Liu et al. 2013; Bellaïche et al. 2014; Umasuthan et al. 2014a, 2014b; Tomaszkiewicz et al. 2014; Ravi et al. 2014; Malandrakis et al. 2014). This does not mean that efficiencies were not calculated in these studies, since several of them mention them or use relative quantification methods which require efficiencies. The only noteworthy exception is the work by Sundström et al. (2013) which used LinRegPCR (Ruijter et al. 2009).

1.3. Reference genes

One of the most critical issues of a qPCR is the normalization of the results. Genes of interest quantitation cycle (Cq) values are normalized by reference genes in order to remove technical sample-specific variation. So, it is important to use reference genes that are relatively stable through the experimental conditions tested. We tested six different candidate reference genes in our whole dataset and found ribosomal protein s4 (rps4) and ubiquitin (ubq) to be the most stable ones. The 60S ribosomal protein L17 (rpl17) was found to be the third most stable gene. It is also worth highlighting that β -actin (*actb*) and glyceraldehydes 3phosphate dehydrogenase (gapdh) genes, two of the most commonly used genes for normalization, were found to be unstable, along with beta-2-microglobulin (b2m). Appropriate reference genes for gonad development studies were recently studied in another teleost fish, Monopterus albus (Hu et al. 2014). Five genes were assayed, among them gapdh and actb, which were found not stable, but also rpl17, which was found to be stable along with EF1a. These results are in accordance with our findings in turbot gonad. Gene stability is clearly tissue- and condition-dependent, and gapdh and actb were found to be the most stable genes in turbot kidney infected with Edwardsiella tarda (Dang and Sun, 2011). So, it would probably be more consistent to use reference genes obtained in other species but in the same experimental conditions, than those from other tissues in the same species.

We also tested how the use of "wrong" reference genes would affect our results, and found higher standard deviations. Furthermore, if the reference genes used for normalization are regulated in the tested conditions it would lead to misguided results due to changes in gene expression estimates. However, even though the great impact of normalization has been posed in the literature for several years, many studies disregarded reference gene validation and instead used a house-keeping gene, assuming its stability. Over 25 articles published in teleost fish in 2013 and 2014 using real-time PCR, normalization was performed using a single gene with no validation in 14 of them (Tian et al. 2013; Li et al. 2013; Jones et al. 2013; Wang TT et al. 2013; Wang Y et al. 2013; Jang et al. 2013; Takeuchi and Okubo, 2013; Chen et al. 2013; Lin et al. 2013; Gao et al. 2013; Mu et al. 2013; Bellaïchi et al. 2014; Umasuthan et al. 2014a, 2014b), while other two more used a single gene validated in different species and experimental conditions (Luo et al. 2013; Tomaszkiewicz et al. 2014). Only three of the 25 studies used more than one gene for normalization (Doyle et al. 2013; Ravi et al. 2014; Luo et al. 2014).

Finally, we also assessed the current methods available for reference gene stability determination, concluding that NormFinder method is the best one for this task and GeNorm the least suitable one, while Bestkeeper and comparative delta-ct method ranked in-between offering some useful information.

As outlined before, there is a worrying lack of consensus when performing real-time PCR but also a lack of minimum validation in many studies, which can seriously compromise the reliability of expression estimates. This is an important problem in the scientific community which should be addressed as soon as possible, however, it does not have an straightforward solution.

2. Expression studies in turbot gonads

Gene expression along turbot gonad development has been assayed both by real-time PCR and microarrays in this study. In this section we discuss the results of both studies in an integrated manner.

2.1. Searching for sex determination genes

Several genes located at the major sex determining region in the linkage group 5 (LG5) of the turbot genetic map were included in the turbot microarray and their expression evaluated in gonads of both males and females at different developmental stages. However, most of them either did not show significant expression over the background (*atp11b*, *dlg1*, *pik3ca*, *cp*) or did not show dimorphic expression (*dnajc19*, *fam102b*, *ttc14*). *Tmem69* (Transmembrane protein 69) showed a higher expression in female juveniles, but this dimorphic pattern was not observed in differentiating females. Overall, none of the sex determination candidates assayed in the microarray study presented an expression pattern consistent with a sex determining role.

Two of the more suggestive candidates were also assayed by qPCR: sox2 and fxr1. The presence of a gene belonging to the sox family in the major sex determining region of turbot was an exciting discovery (Taboada et al. 2014). However, sox2 expression analysis both by microarray and qPCR did not show any significant difference between sexes along gonad

development. *Sox2* is associated with pluripotency in mammals (Wang et al. 2012) and it is required for germline specification in mouse (Yabuta et al. 2006). However, that is not the case of humans, where *sox2* is not expressed in the gonad (Perrett et al. 2008) and, moreover, its repression is necessary for germline differentiation. Additionally, when overexpressed, it changes the cell fate from the germline to the neural lineage (Lin et al. 2014). *Sox2* has also been detected in chicken primordial germ cells of both sexes (Motono et al. 2008). Gonadal expression of *sox2* has not been studied in other fish, but the results in turbot suggest that *sox2* is not involved in gonad differentiation.

Fxr1, in the qPCR study, was found to be highly expressed in undifferentiated individuals (60-75 days post fertilization (dpf)) irrespective of sex, dropping to low levels at the onset of gonad differentiation (90 dpf) and later showing female-specific expression (105-135 dpf). However, in the microarray fxr1 was found to be over-expressed in male juvenile gonads (~400 dpf). Fxr1 has been involved in female gametogenesis in pigs (Yang et al. 2012) and Xenopus laevis (Mortensen et al. 2011). In fish, it has only been partially studied in the gonad of adult zebrafish, where it is expressed in immature spermatogenic cells (Engels et al. 2004) in agreement with its pattern in mouse (Huot et al. 2001) and human (Tamanini et al. 1997). Fxr1 has been poorly studied in gonads and its function is not well understood. Our results point to different functions of this gene depending on the developmental stage and sex of the fish.

According to these results, *fxr1* would be the most promising candidate sex determining gene in turbot. *Fxr1* had also been previously proposed as a possible sex determination gene given its location close to Sma-USC30 (Taboada et al. 2014), the marker with the highest sex association in the main turbot sex determination region (Martínez et al. 2009). None of the other studied genes presented expression patterns which suggested they could be the sex determining gene in turbot.

2.2. The start of sex differentiation: length, age and genetic components

In the qPCR study, turbot gonad differentiation started at 90 dpf and dimorphic sex expression of some genes was found at this stage (~5.5 cm length), however, our microarray results show that for the same age and size there are differences between individuals: some of the 90 dpf and ~5.5 cm samples used in the microarray were undifferentiated while others were differentiating; and the same is true for 135 dpf samples (12.5 cm length) which can be either differentiating fish or female juveniles. These results suggest a genetic component related to sexual differentiation timing and, perhaps, also to sexual maturation, although environmental factors could also be involved. If so, this could have interesting implications for aquaculture industry since sexual maturation delays growth, disperse sizes, and determines higher susceptibility to diseases (Martinez et al. 2014). In Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), sexual maturation variability is enormous, especially in males, which might reach maturity from 1 up to 7 years (Simpson, 1992), with an underlying genetic component associated at least to one QTL (Gutierrez et al. 2014). Sex maturation QTLs have also been described in

rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*; Easton et al. 2011) and Arctic charr (*Salvelinus alpines*; Küttner et al. 2011). Turbot male and female size differences begin at one year age (Imsland et al. 1997), reaching commercial size at ~2 years. Finding a genetic component for sexual maturation which allowed delaying the onset of sex size dimorphism would be really appealing for the aquaculture industry.

2.3 Aromatase

The enzyme cytochrome P450 aromatase, encoded by the gene cyp19a1, is responsible for the synthesis of estrogens (Ryan, 1982). In fish, there are two different genes encoding the aromatase: cyp19a1a, encoding a gonad aromatase, and cyp19a1b, encoding a brain aromatase. Here we have assayed cyp19a1a. Aromatase seems to have one of the most conserved roles on gonad differentiation along vertebrate evolution and, consequently, in its sex dimorphic expression pattern, among those genes involved in sex differentiation. Aromatase inhibition has been shown to cause sex reversal in fish (medaka), reptiles (American alligator and red-eared slider turtle), amphibians (Bufo bufo and Xenopus laevis), birds (chicken) and mammals (mouse) (Ditewig and Yao, 2005). Turbot cyp19a1a expression pattern is very similar to that of cyp19a1/cyp19a1a in other vertebrates, with a female-specific expression. Specific over-expression of cyp19a1a during ovarian differentiation has been observed in many fish species including southern flounder (Paralichthys olivaceus; Luckenbach et al. 2005), Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*; van Nes et al. 2005), zebrafish (Danio rerio; Sawyer et al. 2006), Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus; Esterhuyse et al. 2008), rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss; Vizziano et al. 2007), European seabass (Dicentrarchus labrax; Blázquez et al. 2008) and Japanese medaka (Oryzias latipes; Patil and Gunasekera, 2008).

However, a transient peak of *cyp19a1a* expression was also observed in males at the start of gonad differentiation in turbot. In zebrafish, gonads develop initially as "juvenile ovaries" and, so, *cyp19a1a* is expressed, but this gene is then down-regulated in animals undergoing testicular differentiation (Wang and Orban, 2007). A peak in *cyp19a1a* levels has also been described in European sea bass (Blázquez et al. 2008), which suggests a role of *cyp19a1a* for the development of the undifferentiated gonad, and perhaps also required for later testis development. In *Rana rugosa cyp19a1* is also expressed in the undifferentiated gonads prior to sex determination (Nakamura, 2013), and in mammals both testicular somatic cells and germ cells are a source of estrogens in immature and mature males (Carreau et al. 2012).

Cyp19a1a gene expression was proposed as an early marker of sex differentiation in the Southern flounder (Luckenbach et al. 2005), Atlantic halibut (Matsuoka et al. 2006) and rainbow trout (Vizziano et al. 2007). That is also the case in turbot, where *cyp19a1a* expression can reliably discriminate females at 105 dpf onwards with an accuracy of the 100%, which allowed us to sex some of the undifferentiated and differentiating individuals for microarray analysis.

2.4. Cyp19a1a-amh relationship

Amh is a member of the Transforming Growth Factor β family and its function in mammals, birds, reptiles and amphibians is to repress the Müllerian ducts (Josso et al. 2001). Among fish, only early evolved ray-finned species present Müllerian ducts (Wrobel, 2003), so, amh role in sexual differentiation is not well understood in teleosts, though it has been linked with the inhibition of germ cell proliferation in several fish species (Nakamura et al. 2012; Kamiya et al. 2012; Hattori et al. 2012). Amh is initially expressed in the undifferentiated gonad of both sexes and then at higher levels in males during gonad differentiation in japanese flounder (Yoshinaga et al. 2004), zebrafih (Rodríguez-Mari et al. 2005, Wang and Orban, 2007), Nile tilapia (Ijiri et al. 2008; Poonlaphdecha et al. 2013) and rainbow trout (Baron et al. 2005). However, in medaka, amh does not show dimorphic gene expression and seems to contribute to both male and female gonad development (Klüver et al. 2007). In this species, dmY, the sex determination gene, is expressed before gonad differentiation and inhibits the proliferation of germ cells in males. It seems that dmY has superseded *amh*'s role in medaka as regulator of germ cell proliferation, and so, *amh* has lost its dimorphic expression in this species. This germ cell inhibition seems to be critical for male development, since not only dmY in medaka but also gsdf in Oryzias luzonensis (Myosho et al. 2012), amhrII in Fugu rubripes (Kamiya et al. 2012) and amhY in the Patagonian pejerrey (Odontestes hatcheri; Hattori et al. 2012), four sex determining genes out of the six described in fish, are directly connected with germ cell proliferation control.

In mammals, *amh* has been reported to directly down-regulate the expression of *cyp19a1a* (di Clemente et al. 1992; Josso et al. 1998). However, such direct regulation has not been observed in our study, where *amh* and *cyp19a1a* are co-expressed at the onset of gonad sex differentiation. Instead, *amh* and *cyp19a1a* seem to be regulated by the same mechanism but causing opposite effects according to sex (up-regulation or down-regulation), which leads to the observed opposite pattern of expression of these two genes in later stages in turbot and in other fish species like zebrafish (Rodríguez-Mari et al. 2005; Wang and Orban, 2007), pejerrey (Fernandino et al. 2008) or rainbow trout (Vizziano et al. 2007). The one-way regulation of *cyp19a1a* by *amh* might be a mammal-specific mechanism since that observation has not been reported in birds (Vaillant et al. 2001) and other fish species like zebrafish (Schulz et al. 2007) or pejerrey (Fernandino et al. 2007). The species like zebrafish (Schulz et al. 2007) or pejerrey (Fernandino et al. 2007). The one-way regulation has not been reported in birds (Vaillant et al. 2008). In Nile tilapia *cyp19a1a* expression was found to precede that of *amh* by at least four days (Poonlaphdecha et al. 2013). These authors proposed that *amh* would be a target of aromatase/estrogen rather than the opposite.

Amh dimorphic expression is among the first genetic cues related to gonad differentiation in turbot. Amh early expression, its relation with cyp19a1a and its documented connection with germ cells, along with its direct involvement in sex determination in two fish species, make amh a very good candidate to understand the mechanisms of sex determination and the onset of gonad differentiation.

2.5. Genes involved in early sex differentiation

Aromatase expression allowed us to discriminate putative females and males in the microarray for undifferentiated and differentiating individuals, which in turn led to the discovery of sets of genes differentially expressed in the two groups: 15 genes up-regulated in aromatase-expressing fish and 8 in non-aromatase-expressing ones. Among the "early female genes", co-expressed with aromatase, we found *foxl2*, which is expected to act as *cyp19a1a* activator (Pannetier et al. 2006); structural maintenance of chromosomes protein 2 (scg5), required for the formation of mitotic-like chromosomes (Mbikay et al. 2001); Sushi, nidogen and EGF-like domain-containing protein 1 (*sned1*), which shows an epidermal growth factor domain (Leimeister et al. 2004); sal-like protein 1 (sall1), a transcriptional repressor involved in organogenesis of ureteric tissues (Sweetman and Münsterberg, 2006); interferon regulatory factor 5 (*irf*5), transcription factor involved in the response to virus infection (Barnes et al. 2001); and sox11, important in the developing nervous system (Haslinger et al. 2009). Among the "early male genes" the most interesting gene found was doublesex- and mab-3-related transcription factor 3 (*dmrt3*), which has been hypothesized to regulate transcription during sexual development in mouse and with higher expression in males (Kim et al. 2003). Furthermore, *dmrt3* was found to be expressed in zebrafish undifferentiated gonad at 17 dpf, and in adults it was expressed in germ cells of both sexes (Li et al. 2008). All these genes are candidates to try to unravel the mysteries behind cyp19a1a/amh regulation in turbot and perhaps in other fish species, but especially interesting are *sox11* and *dmrt3* since they belong to gene families previously involved in sex determination and gonad differentiation along the whole animal world.

2.6. Sox genes

The sox family of transcription factors takes its name from the mammalian sex determination gene (Sry-related HMG box) and the presence of both sry and sox9 makes this family an interesting target for sex determination and differentiation studies. Besides sox2, whose role has been previously discussed, several other sox genes were assayed by qPCR in our study: sox6, sox8, sox9a, sox17 and sox19; and some others using microarrays: sox3, sox9b, sox11 and sox14.

In turbot *sox9a/sox9b*, *sox11* and *sox19* showed the most interesting results. *Sox9* is expressed directly downstream of *sry* in mammals (Kim et al. 2006) triggering the male development cascade. In our studies, *sox9a* male-biased dimorphic expression was only observed after the first signs of sex differentiation and after the dimorphic expression of *amh*. However, *sox9b*, studied in the microarray, showed a higher expression in females at 90dpf, but later its expression became male specific at 140 and 400 dpf. The differential expression pattern of these paralogs is a classic example of neo-functionalization due to gene duplication: since both genes originally accomplished the same task, one of them evolved independently to gain a new function. Consistent with the mammalian *sox9* expression, *sox9a* showed a male specific pattern in turbot, but also in cod (*Gadus morrhua*; Yokoi et al. 2002) and zebrafish

(*Danio rerio*; Klüver et al. 2005). Neo-functionalization of the *sox9b* paralog was also supported in these species, although *sox9b* expression pattern was different in each case (Klüver et al. 2005; Nakamura et al. 2012). *Sox9* is critical for male sex determination in mammals but, for example in chicken and alligator, *amh* expression precedes that of *sox9* during early sex differentiation (Smith and Sinclair, 2004; Shoemaker and Crews, 2009). Furthermore, in medaka *sox9* is not necessary for male gonad formation (Nakamura et al. 2008, 2012). The importance of *sox9* in male sex differentiation varies from one species to another, but it does not seem to be critical in fish as in mammals or even as important as in birds, where it is expressed in both sexes but higher in males, consistent with a function in testis development (Caetano et al. 2014).

Sox19 is orthologous to the mammalian sox15 and Xenopus laevis soxD, however they show very divergent sequences, even belonging to different sox groups (Okuda et al. 2006; Ito, 2010). Sox19 expression is strongly female biased in turbot. These results are consistent with those in sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax), the only other species where the gonad expression of this gene has been studied to date (Navarro-Martín et al. 2012). Sox11, despite only being assayed in the microarray, shows one of the most interesting patterns. As previously mentioned, sox11 is expressed in aromatase-expressing undifferentiated and differentiating individuals and, unlike foxl2 and cyp19a1, sox11 is not strongly expressed in any other development stage, even neither in female juveniles. Sox11 has been proposed to be involved in oogenesis and sex change in the orange-spotted grouper, showing higher expression in the ovary (Zhang et al. 2008). This gene has not been assayed in any other vertebrate species related to gonad differentiation. Both sox11 and sox19 are interesting gonad differentiation-female related genes which have not been extensively studied so far and might play important roles in sex differentiation in fish.

Sox6 did not show any dimorphic expression nor by qPCR neither by microarray in turbot, but in the latter, its expression decreases in juvenile fish, which may indicate a role in undifferentiated and differentiating gonads. However, in mouse the pattern is completely different, being expressed in adult testis and also slightly in the ovary (Narahara et al. 2002). Sox6 has not been studied in the gonad of other species, so it is risky to make assumptions about its function or conservation. Sox3, sox8, sox14 and sox17 hardly showed expression in the microarray in all samples, however sox8 was found to be over-expressed at 105 dpf onwards in males (FC = \sim 1) by qPCR and *sox17* expression was sex-independent at the onset of sex differentiation. Sox8 was also found to be over-expressed in testis in Epinephelus coioides (Liu et al. 2012), but it does not present dimorphic expression in chicken (Takada et al. 2005) or turtles (Takada et al. 2004). In mammals sox8 is thought to reinforce sox9 action in the gonad differentiation process (Chaboissier et al. 2004), so, if sox9 is less important in other vertebrates, it makes sense that sox8 expression is not conserved. Sox17 was found to be a transcriptional activator in the premeiotic germ cells of mouse during germ cell maturation (Kanai et al. 1996), while in the rice field eel (Monopterus albus) it is expressed in both ovary and testis during sex reversal (Wang et al. 2003), and in sea bass its expression pattern during spermatogenesis was consistent with a role in the proliferative events of premeiotic germ cells

(Viñas and Piferrer, 2008). *Sox17* expression in turbot turned up at the onset of sex differentiation in both sexes, coincident with a raise in the expression of germ cell markers like *vasa* and *tdrd1*, so a role for *sox17* in germ cell proliferation would be consistent with the results of our study.

2.7. Wnt/ β -catenin pathway

The *wnt*/ β -catenin signaling pathway controls various steps in mammalian organogenesis (Niehrs, 2012; de Lau et al. 2014) and is key for female differentiation. The final effector protein of this pathway is the β -catenin (encoded by the *ctnnb1* gene), which activates target gene expression in the nucleus and promotes female determination (Maatouk et al. 2008). β -catenin is synthesized constitutively in the cytoplasm, however, in the absence of activators of the *wnt*/ β -catenin pathway it is degraded before reaching the nucleus (MacDonald et al. 2009). In XX mammals, *wnt4* and *rspo1* are the genes responsible for activating the *wnt*/ β -catenin pathway, although there could be other positive regulators (Chassot et al. 2012).

Of these three genes (ctnnb1, wnt4, rspo1), we assayed ctnnb1 both by qPCR and microarray and wnt4 by qPCR. Ctnnb1 expression was higher in females with both methods, consistent with its role in female development which seems to be conserved over the whole vertebrate taxa. However, we found that wnt4 expression was higher at undifferentiated stages but rapidly decreased at the onset of sex differentiation, showing after 105 dpf a small overexpression in females. Wnt4 is predominantly expressed in the ovary in mammals (Vainio et al. 1999) and the same expression pattern has been described in chicken (Smith et al. 2008). In the red-eared slider turtle (Trachemys scripta elegans), wnt4 expression only becomes dimorphic at late ovarian differentiation (Shoemaker et al. 2007), while in Rana rugosa wnt4 is not expressed in a sexually dimorphic fashion; instead it is transcribed in the embryos at the late gastrula stage and its expression maintained until the undifferentiated gonad develops into a testis or an ovary (Oshima et al. 2005). In the teleost fish black porgy (Acanthopagrus schlegelii), wnt4 expression remains unchanged during ovarian differentiation (Wu and Chang, 2009) and in rainbow trout it is not expressed in the ovary during early gonadal differentiation, but other wnt genes have shown sex-specific expression (Nicol and Guiguen, 2011). Besides wnt4, other wnt genes are expressed in a sex-specific manner in mice: wnt5a, wnt6 and wnt9a in the ovary (Bouma et al. 2004; Cederroth et al. 2007) and wnt1, wnt3 and wnt7a in the testis (Bouma et al. 2004, Visel et al. 2004). Wnt5a, wnt7b and wnt10a were assayed in our microarray. Wnt5a showed very low expression in differentiated fish of both sexes; wnt7b also showed low expression but in undifferentiated individuals; and wnt10a was not expressed at all. Turbot wnt4 pattern is similar to that found in Rana rugosa, which suggests wnt4 is not important for sex differentiation in fish and amphibians but plays a role in undifferentiated gonad development. Wnt4 seems to have gained importance in sex differentiation along evolution as suggested by these results and those in reptiles, birds and mammals. It will be very interesting to test rspol expression and other possible inductors of the *wnt* / β -catenin pathway along gonad development. *Ctnnb1* turbot expression is in agreement with a conserved role in female gonad differentiation along vertebrate evolution.

2.8. Germ line

We assayed the expression of *vasa*, *tdrd1*, *gsdf*, *dmrt2* and *piwil2* by qPCR, a set of genes connected in one way or another to germ cells. All of them, except gsdf, presented dimorphic female biased expression. Vasa, tdrd1 and gsdf levels increased at the onset of gonad differentiation; however dmrt2 and piwil2 expression rose later in gonad development. Vasa sex dimorphic expression was one of the first detected, along with *cyp19a1a* and *amh*. This suggests that germ cell number may be directly involved in sex determination in turbot, as is the case for example of medaka, where the absence of germ cells determines the formation of a male gonad, and overproliferation of germ cells leads to female development (Nakamura et al. 2012). Similarly, in zebrafish the germ line is essential for ovarian differentiation (Siegfried and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2008). However, in mammals, germ cells are not essential for testicular differentiation although they participate in several aspects of ovarian differentiation (Choi and Rajkovic, 2006). Vasa was the first molecular marker discovered for germ cells in teleost, and in the European sea bass vasa levels increased between 45 and 72 dpf, concomitant with the start of PGC divisions and proliferation (Blázquez et al. 2011). Dimorphic expression pattern of this gene has been found in tilapia (Kobayashi et al. 2000), gibel carp (Xu et al. 2005) and catfish (Raghuveer and Senthilkumaran, 2010). Tdrd1 expression pattern is very similar to that of vasa, and so it seems a promising marker for germ cells as well. The expression of these two germ cell markers becomes higher in females at 90 to 105 dpf and onwards, meaning that probably there is a higher number of germ cells than in males. Interestingly, gsdf, which regulates the proliferation of the primordial germ cells (Gautier et al. 2011), does not show any dimorphic pattern, suggesting that its effects are different depending on sex or that there are other factors in play promoting germ cell proliferation.

Dmrt2 and *piwil2* expression is different to those of *vasa*, *tdrd1* and *gsdf*. The expression pattern of another gene, retinol dehydrogenase 3 (*rdh3*), is also similar to that of *dmrt2* and *piwil2*. One of the first recognizable differences between male and female gonad development is the onset of meiosis. In mice, retinol acid promotes germ cells in the ovary to enter meiosis, while retinol acid is degradated in male gonads by the action of *cyp26* (Bowles et al. 2006; Koubova et al. 2006). Perhaps these three genes are indicating the entry in meiosis of germ cells, which would explain the that they present a different pattern than *vasa* and *tdrd1*, markers of germ cell number, or even than *gsdf*, a germ cell proliferation factor, which seems to be somehow ineffective in turbot males at the onset of sex differentiation.

The onset of gonad differentiation seems to coincide with germ cell proliferation in turbot and the involvement of these cells in sex determination has been reported in other fish species (Herpin et al. 2007; Siegfried and Nüsslein-Volhard, 2008; Kamiya et al. 2012). The study of these cells during early sex differentiation might help understanding the sex determination mechanism and is indeed a very interesting topic of research, in connection with *cyp19a1a* and *amh* gene expression.

2.9. Epigenetic mechanisms

Epigenetic mechanisms are involved in gene expression and they are fundamental for the execution of developmental transcriptional programs leading to the different cells, tissues, organs (Morgan et al. 2005). Undifferentiated gonad can develop as an ovary or testis and differential epigenetic regulation is expected to be critical in this process.

We found a gene involved in methylation, DNA (cytosine-5)-methyltransferase 1 (dnmt1), to be up-regulated in females in our qPCR study, but also several other genes involved with epigenetic mechanisms connected with female or male differentiation in the microarray study; for example methyltransferase-like protein 13 (mettl13), histone (hdac1) or arginine N-methyltransferase 3 (prmt7). We identified more deacetylase 1 epigenetic-related genes involved in female than in male development, approximately in a 2:1 relationship. This suggests that ovary development is subjected to extensive chromatin modifications of the undifferentiated gonad, while male fate may be closer to the default gonad development in turbot. In zebrafish, the knock-down of *dnmt1* caused defects in the differentiation of the intestine, pancreas and retina (Rai et al. 2006), so this gene is indeed involved in organogenesis in fish. Methylation has been linked to sex differentiation in the European sea bass, so fish reared at masculinization temperatures showed hypermethylation of the cyp19a1a promoter, determining a higher proportion of males (Navarro-Martín et al. 2011). Haffray et al. (2009) have shown the influence of temperature in turbot sex determination in some families, so these genes involved in epigenetic mechanisms might help to understand the link between temperature and sex in turbot.

2.10. Splicing

Several genes related to alternative splicing which are more expressed in female gonads were identified: splicing factor 1 (*sf1*), serine-arginine-rich splicing factor 1 (*srsf1*), splicing factor proline/glutamine rich (*sfpq*), pre-mRNA-splicing factor ATP-dependent RNA helicase PRP16 (*dhx38*), splicing factor 3a, subunit 3 (*sf3a3*), pre-mRNA-splicing factor 38A (*prpf38a*), splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 3 (*sfrs3b*), splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 4 (*sfrs4*) and splicing factor, arginine/serine-rich 1 (*sfas1*). Splicing has been characterized as a key sex differentiation factor in insects like drosophilids (Salz, 2011), lepidopterans (Wang et al. 2014) or silkworms (Suzuki et al. 2014), but there are also studies in vertebrates, for example on *dmrt1* in a crocodile species (Anand et al. 2008) and in chicken (Zhao et al. 2007), or about *sox17* in *Dicentrarchus labrax* (Navarro-Martín et al. 2009) suggesting a similar implication. Splicing is poorly characterized during sex differentiation, but the raise of the new deep sequencing technologies will surely contribute to this matter. Nonetheless, it is interesting that so many splicing related genes are over expressed in turbot female gonads.

2.11. Temperature effects on gene expression

Temperature affects sex ratios in turbot in a family-dependent manner (Haffray et al. 2009). The qPCR study was performed in a single family showing a higher proportion of females at low temperatures. Several genes demonstrated expression profiles related to rearing temperatures, sexes and their interaction.

A decrease of *cyp19a1a* expression at high temperatures has been described in several fish species like zebrafish (Uchida et al. 2004), tilapia (D'Cotta et al. 2001) and Japanese flounder (Kitano et al. 2007), and usually has been associated with higher male proportions at high temperatures. This is similar to what happens in most crocodile species where high temperatures produce male offspring (Western et al. 1999). We did not detect a *cyp19a1a* expression decrease at high temperatures in turbot, however no sex ratio bias was observed at 23°C, the male:female proportion being close to 1:1. *Foxl2* expression at high temperatures was also found to be suppressed in Japanese flounder (Kitano et al. 2007) but not in turbot where *foxl2* did not show any temperature effects at all. So, we could not find clear temperature effects on the expression of *cyp19a1a* or *foxl2* in turbot.

Still, we did find another female related gene, *ctnnb1*, more expressed at low temperatures in the male gonad of developing turbot, which might help to explain why genetic males develop as phenotypic females. Elevated *ctnnb1* levels have been reported connected to low temperatures in rats (Zhang et al. 2008) and tilapia (Tsai et al. 2007) in other tissues. A recent study in oyster also found a biased sex ratio towards females associated with higher *ctnnb1* expression at lower rearing temperatures (Santerre et al. 2013). *Ctnnb1* and the *wnt*/ β -catenin pathway are good candidates to explain temperature effects in those species with sex ratios shifted towards females at cold temperatures. So, the untangling of the gene or genes controlling this signaling pathway in fish gonad appears to be relevant regarding this aim.

Furthermore, fxrI, a female biased gene located in the sex determining region of turbot, also presented temperature effects on gene expression. This gene has been outlined before as a possible sex determinant gene in turbot; if that were the case, an over-expression of this gene at cold temperatures, as observed, could directly explain a higher proportion of females. There are no previous studies testing the effect of temperature on fxrI expression in other organisms.

Effects on two genes related to germ cells have also been observed; *piwil2* and *dmrt2* show lower expression at high temperatures. This might mean that germ cells are not proliferating, that germ cells are dying, or that the entry in meiosis is being altered. There are only two *dmrt2* studies in fish. In medaka, *dmrt2* expression was not found in the developing gonad but it was expressed on adult testis (Winkler et al. 2004). On the contrary, in the swamp eel (*Monopterus albus*) *dmrt2* expression was found in developing germ cells and suggested its involvement in gonad differentiation (Sheng et al. 2014). *Dmrt2* expression is widespread at gonad development in mammals, being expressed both in ovary and testis, although seemingly higher in males (Bratus and Slota, 2009); however, it has been usually linked more to somite than to sexual development (Seo et al. 2006). In *Rana rugosa, dmrt2* was found expressing in the developing gonad during sex determination with no dimorphism,

suggesting it has a general function in gonad differentiation (Matsushita et al. 2007). *Piwil2* role as an important regulator of germ cell division seems to be more clear and conserved, with studies in mouse, platypus and chicken (Lim et al. 2013) or the half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*; Zhang et al. 2014). The effects of temperature on these genes have not been previously studied in any species. Further clarification of the function of these genes regarding germ cells in turbot and in fish is required before drawing any conclusions.

3. Concluding remarks

In our study, we have deepened on turbot sex differentiation, a complex process with many genes involved. Thousands of genes are implicated in the development of testis or ovaries following a rather hierarchical network, however the sex determination switching gene remains still elusive, although fxr1 is still an interesting candidate. We have found temperature effects on gene expression, which offer a molecular explanation for the sex ratio shifts observed in some families. The expression profile of some genes, like *ctnnb1*, might help to explain why some families show sex ratio shifts and others do not.

From the data reported here and those in other fish species, we think that sex differentiation is organized following a network pathway. In turbot, we observed dimorphic expression of many genes during early sex differentiation (90-105 dpf) and also the effects of temperature on gene expression, which even led to biased sex ratios. Our results fit to a model where several factors affect the fate of the gonad. In fact, the artificial alteration of important genes, like cyp19a1a, is a common way to produce all-female or all-male stocks, proving that changes in gene expression during early sex differentiation can avoid the effect of a possible sex-master gene. The huge variation in sex determination systems among fish can also be more easily explained under this network model. There are several genes increasing or decreasing their expression by mutations that can drive the gonad development towards testis or ovaries, and so there are plenty of options for the arousal of new sex determination genes. In our opinion, this network model does not invalidate the concept of a master sex determination gene, since most fish species still present a major locus responsible for establishing sex in most individuals. However, we think that the definition of sex determination as a process which establishes sex by a single gene can be misleading, since the process controlling gonad fate overlaps with the early gonad differentiation, and so, other genes and environmental factors can influence the expression of key morphogenetic facts approaching sex to a threshold-like complex trait. Phenotypic sex is mainly determined by gonad development and the outcome depends on the variation at several loci in the genome and their interaction with the environment.

Both mammalian and avian sex determination are special cases, where the environment does not vary, not only due to endothermia but also internal fecundation, and so there is no need to adjust sex ratios to environmental variation, which allowed the fixation of strong genetic sex determination systems. Reptiles also present higher conservation of their sex determination systems than amphibians or fish, which might be related to internal fecundation and/or better environmental regulation. It would be interesting to check if iguanas or snakes live in more stable environments or have better regulatory mechanisms than other reptiles with more variable sex determination systems.

In short, environment appears to be relevant in the evolution of the sex determination systems. The rapid change between different systems is facilitated by the threshold-like architecture of sex determination where the expression of many genes, which depends both on several genes and environmental variables, determines gonad fate.

4. Future prospects

There are new ongoing approaches in order to gain more information about sex determination and differentiation in turbot.

The first experiment consists in a RAD sequencing analysis in a large number of turbots belonging to a high number of families (>50) involving a factorial mating design. Offspring will be sexed and genotyped for a high number of markers (10,000 SNPs) which will allow us to refine the main sex determining region at LG5, narrowing the region to mine for candidate sex determination genes. Furthermore, the factorial mating design and the large number of families will also make possible to obtain information about the three minor sex-related QTLs, their interaction with the main LG5 QTL and how they affect to sex ratios. Finally, the factorial design will also provide information about maternal and paternal effects, and their interaction.

The second experiment consists in sequencing the main sex determinining region at LG5 both in turbot males and females to find sequence differences between sexes. For this experiment, we have available ZZ males and WW superfemales for a more efficient comparison on the differential sex determining region. Two different approaches have been considered. A first option would be to isolate the Z and the W chromosomes and fully sequence them apart from the rest of the genome. However, the isolation of single chromosomes is not an easy task, especially considering the small fish chromosomes and the low morpholical differences existing between chromosomes which make chromosome identification difficult. The other possibility is to sequence the whole genome but enriching the sex determination region in LG5 through specific probes such as in the protocol of Sureselect (Agilent Technologies).

The third and final approach consists in analyzing female and male skin microarrays in order to find genes differentially expressed between sexes. Those genes will be analyzed by real-time PCR in a larger number of individuals and at different development stages. With this strategy we aim to obtain a sex marker for precociously sexing of turbot. Though turbot sexing would be almost definitive if we finally discover the main sex determination gene, a phenotypic skin marker would still be useful for those cases where other genetic or environmental factors are influencing gonad fate.









- 1. There is a worrying lack of consensus on real-time PCR studies in fish. We setup the real-time PCR for expression studies along gonad development in turbot, validating three suitable reference genes and several pairs of primers for genes of interest involved in sex differentiation. We also studied different real-time PCR parameters in the process, recommending LinRegPCR and NormFinder methods for efficiency and stability calculation respectively.
- 2. We could not identify the sex determination gene of turbot. The expression profiles of the assayed genes located in the main sex determination region did not bring any clue about this issue. With the current information at hand, our best candidate is fxr1, but more studies are still need to find that gene.
- 3. Sex differentiation in turbot is first detected at 90 dpf and a discriminant analysis with three genes related to sex differentiation, *cyp19a1*, *amh* and *vasa*, is capable of correctly assigning sex to each fish.
- 4. Sex differentiation in turbot is a complex process which involves classical sex differentiation genes, germ cell related signals, new genes previously not related to sex, and epigenetic and splicing mechanisms, among others. We found genes clearly behaving as expected by studies in other organisms, i.e. *cyp19a1a, amh* or *sox9*, but also others like the *wnt* genes whose role in sex differentiation does not appear to be conserved. Less studied genes like *sox19, sox11, dmrt3* or *tdrd1* seem to be relevant for gonad development and further studies in turbot and other species should be addressed. Germ cells seem also to have a very important role in the process of gonad differentiation in turbot and possibly in sex determination.
- 5. Temperature effects on gene expression during gonad development point toward the wnt/ β -catenin pathway, and to some degree also to germ cells, as the mechanisms responsible of a higher proportion of females at cold temperatures, which could be interesting for turbot farms interested in obtaining female-biased sex ratios.







- Adams-Cioaba MA, Guo Y, Bian C, Amaya MF, Lam R, Wasney GA, Vedadi M, Xu C, Min J (2010). Structural studies of the tandem Tudor domains of fragile X mental retardation related proteins FXR1 and FXR2. *PLoS One*, 5(11):e13559.
- Almeida Toledo LF, Foresti F (2001). Morphologically differentiated sex chromosomes in neotropical freshwater fish. *Genetica*, 111(1-3):91-100.
- Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ (1990). Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215:403-410.
- Anand A, Patel M, Lalremruata A, Singh AP, Agrawal R, Singh L, Aggarwal RK (2008).
 Multiple alternative splicing of Dmrt1 during gonadogenesis in Indian mugger, a species exhibiting temperature-dependent sex determination. *Gene*, 425(1-2):56-63.
- Andersen CL, Jensen JL, Orntoft TF (2004). Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. *Cancer Research*, 64:5245-5250.
- Andreu-Agullo C, Marin T, Thompson CB, Lai EC (2012). Ars2 maintains neural stem-cell identity through direct transcriptional activation of Sox2. *Nature*, 481:195-198.
- Aparicio S, Chapman J, Stupka E, Putnam N, Chia JM, Dehal P, Christoffels A, Rash S, Hoon S, Smit A, Gelpke MD, Roach J, Oh T, Ho IY, Wong M, Detter C, Verhoef F, Predki P, Tay A, Lucas S, Richardson P, Smith SF, Clark MS, Edwards YJ, Doggett N, Zharkikh A, Tavtigian SV, Pruss D, Barnstead M, Evans C, Baden H, Powell J, Glusman G, Rowen L, Hood L, Tan YH, Elgar G, Hawkins T, Venkatesh B, Rokhsar D, Brenner S (2002). Whole-genome shotgun assembly and analysis of the genome of *Fugu rubripes*. Science, 297(5585):1301-1310.
- ☆ APROMAR (2014). La acuicultura en España 2014. http://www.apromar.es/content/informes-anuales. Accessed May 21, 2015.
- ✤ Aravin AA, Hannon GJ, Brennecke J (2007). The Piwi-piRNA pathway provides an adaptative defense in the transposon arms race. *Science*, 318:761-764.
- Arukwe A (2008). Steroidogenic acute regulatory (StAR) protein and cholesterol sidechain cleavage (P450scc)-regulated steroidogenesis as an organ-specific molecular and cellular target for endocrine disrupting chemicals in fish. Cell Biology and Toxicology, 24(6):527-540.
- Bachtrog D, Mank JE, Peichel CL, Kirkpatrick M, Otto SP, Ashman TL, Hahn MW, Kitano J, Mayrose L, Ming R, Perrin N, Ross L, Valenzuela N, Vamosi JC, Tree of Sex Consortium (2014). Sex Determination: Why So Many Ways of Doing It? *Plos Biology*, 12(7): e1001899.
- Bachtrog D, Kirkpatrick M, Mank JE, McDaniel SF, Pires JC, Rice W, Valenzuela N (2011).
 Are all chromosomes created equal? *Trends in Genetics*, 27(9):350-357.

- Bagheri-Fam S, Sinclair AH, Koopman P, Harley VR (2010). Conserved regulatory modules in the Sox9 testis-specific enhancer predict roles for SOX, TCF/LEF, Forkhead, DMRT, and GATA proteins in vertebrate sex determination. International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology, 42(3):472-477.
- Barnes BJ, Moore PA, Pitha PM (2001). Virus-specific activation of a novel interferon regulatory factor, IRF-5, results in the induction of distinct interferon alpha genes. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(26):23382-23390.
- Baroiller JF, D'Cotta H (2001). Environment and sex determination in farmed fish. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology part C: Toxicology & Pharmacology, 30(4):399– 409.
- Baroiller JF, Guiguen Y, Fostier A (1999). Endocrine and environmental aspects of sex differentiation in fish. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 55:910–931.
- Baroiller JF, Guiguen Y (2001). Endocrine and environmental aspects of sex differentiation in gonochoristic fish. Experimentia Supplementum, 91:177–201.
- Baron D, Houlgatte R, Fostier A, Guiguen Y (2005). Large-scale temporal gene expression profiling during gonadal differentiation and early gametogenesis in rainbow trout. Biology of Reproduction, 73(5):959-966.
- Barrionuevo F, Georg I, Scherthan H, Lecureuil C, Guillou F, Wegner M, Scherer G (2009).
 Testis cord differentiation after the sex determination stage is independent of Sox9 but fails in the combined absence of Sox9 and Sox8. Developmental Biology, 327:301-312.
- Barske LA, Capel B (2008). Blurring the edges in vertebrate sex determination. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 18(6):499-505.
- Becks L, Agrawal AF (2012). The evolution of sex is favoured during adaptation to new environments. *PloS Biology*, 10(5):e1001317.
- Behringer RR, Finegold MJ, Cate RL (1994). Müllerian-inhibiting substance function during mammalian sexual development. *Cell*, 79(3):415-425.
- Bellaïche J, Goupil AS, Sambroni E, Lareyre JJ, Le Gac F (2014). Gdnf-gfra1 pathway is expressed in a spermatogenetic-dependent manner and is regulated by fsh in a fish testis. Biology of Reproduction, 91: 94.
- Bernstein H, Bernstein C, Michod RE (2011). Meiosis as an evolutionary adaptation for DNA repair. In: DNA Repair. Kruman I, editor. InTech – Open Access Publisher, Slavka Krautzeka (Croatia), pp. 357-382.
- Birk OS, Casiano DE, Wassif CA, Cogliati T, Zhao L, Zhao Y, Grinberg A, Huang S, Kreidberg JA, Parker KL, Porter FD, Westphal H (2000). The LIM homeobox gene *Lhx9* is essential for mouse gonad formation. *Nature*, 403(6772):909-913.

- Blanquer A, Alayse JP, Rkhami BO, Berrebi P (1992). Allozyme variation in turbot (*Psetta maxima*) and brill (*Scophthalmus rhombus*) (Osteichthyes, Pleuronectoformes, Scophthalmidae) through their range in Europe. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 41:725-736.
- Blázquez M, Piferrer F (2005). Sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) androgen receptor: cDNA cloning, tissue-specific expression, and mRNA levels during early development and sex differentiation. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 237(1–2):37-48.
- Blázquez M, González A, Papadaki M, Mylonas C, Piferrer F (2008). Sex-related changes in estrogen receptors and aromatase gene expression and enzymatic activity during early development and sex differentiation in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 158(1):95-101.
- Blázquez M, Navarro-Martin L, Piferrer F (2009). Expression profiles of sex differentiationrelated genes during ontogenesis in the European sea bass acclimated to two different temperatures. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part B Molecular and Development Evolution, 312(7):686-700.
- Blázquez M, González A, Mylonas C, Piferrer F (2011). Cloning and sequence analysis of a vasa homolog in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*): tissue distribution and mRNA expression levels during early development and sex differentiation. General and Comparative Endrocrinology, 170(2):322-333.
- Bobe J, Nguyen T, Mahe S, Monget P (2008). In silico identification and molecular characterization of genes predominantly expressed in the fish oocyte. BMC Genomics, 9:499.
- Böhne A, Heule C, Boileau N, Salzburger W (2013). Expression and sequence evolution of aromatase cyp19a1 and other sexual development genes in East African cichlid fishes. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(10):2268-2285.
- Booth CS, Pienaar E, Termaat JR, Whitney SE, Louw TM, Viljoen HJ (2010). Efficiency of the polymerase chain reaction. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 65:4996-5006.
- Bouma GJ, Hart GT, Washburn LL, Recknagel AK, Eicher EM (2004). Using real time RT-PCR analysis to determine multiple gene expression patterns during XX and XY mouse fetal gonad development. *Gene Expression Patterns*, 5(1):141-149.
- Bouza C, Sanchez L, Martinez P (1994). Karyotypic characterization of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) with conventional fluorochrome and restriction endonucleasebanding techniques. Marine Biology, 120:609-613.
- Bouza C, Sanchez L, Martinez P (1997). Gene diversity analysis in natural populations and cultured stocks of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus L.). Animal genetics, 28:28-36.
- Bouza C, Hermida M, Millan A, Vilas R, Vera M, Fernandez C, Calaza M, Pardo BG, Martinez P (2008). Characterization of EST-derived microsatellites for gene mapping and evolutionary genomics in turbot. *Animal Genetics*, 39:666-670.

- Bouza C, Hermida M, Pardo BG, Vera M, Fernández C, de la Herrán R, Navajas-Pérez R, Álvarez-Dios J, Gómez-Tato A, Martínez P (2012). An expressed sequence tag (EST)enriched genetic map of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*): a useful framework for comparative genomics across model and farmed teleosts. *BMC Genetics*, 13:54.
- Bowles J, Knight D, Smith C, Wilhelm D, Richman J, Mamiya S, Yashiro K, Chawengsaksophak K, Wilson MJ, Rossant J, Hamada H, Koopman P (2006). Retinoid signaling determines germ cell fate in mice. *Science*, 312(5773):596-600.
- Bramucci M, Quassinti L, Maccari E, Murri O, Amici D (2004). Seasonal changes in angiotensin converting enzyme activity in male and female frogs (*Rana esculenta*). *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology*, 137(3):605-610.
- Bratus A, Slota E (2009). Comparative cytogenetic and molecular studies of DM domain genes in pig and cattle. Cytogenetic and Genome Research, 126:180-185.
- Brennan J, Tilmann C, Capel B (2003). Pdgfr-alpha mediates testis cord organization and fetal Leydig cell development in the XY gonad. *Genes & Development*, 17:800-810.
- Brennan J, Capel B (2004). One tissue, two fates: Molecular genetic events that underlie testis versus ovary development. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 5(7):509-521.
- Brown J B, Boley N, Eisman R, May GE, Stoiber MH, Duff MO, Booth BW, Wen JY, Park S, Suzuki AM, Wan KH, Yu C, Zhang DY, Carlson JW, Cherbas L, Eads BD, Miller D, Mockaitis K, Roberts J, Davis CA, Frise E, Hammonds AS, Olson S, Shenker S, Sturgill D, Samsonova AA, Weiszmann R, Robinson G, Hernandez J, Andrews J, Bickel PJ, Carninci P, Cherbas P, Gingeras TR, Hoskins RA, Kaufman TC, Lai EC, Oliver B, Perrimon N, Graveley BR, Celniker SE (2014). Diversity and dynamics of the *Drosophila* transcriptome. *Nature*, 512(7515):393-399.
- Buchold GM (2012). Meiotic genetics moves forward with SPATA22 (repro42). Biology of Reproduction, 86(2):42.
- Bull JJ (1983). Evolution of sex determining mechanisms. Benjamin/Cummings Publishing Company, Menlo Park (California).
- Bur IM, Cohen-Solal AM, Carmignac D, Abecassis PY, Chauvet N, Martin AO, van der Horst GTJ, Robinson I, Maurel P, Mollard P, Bonnefont X (2009). The circadian clock components CRY1 and CRY2 are necessary to sustain sex dimorphism in mouse liver metabolism. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 284(14):9066-9073.
- Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA, Hellemans J, Huggett J, Kubista M, Mueller R, Nolan T, Pfaffl MW, Shipley GL, Vandesompele J, Wittwer CT (2009). The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clinical Chemistry, 55(4):611-622.
- Bye VJ, Jones A (1981). Sex control- A method for improving productivity in turbot farming? Fish Farming International, 8:31-32.

- Caetano LC, Gennaro FG, Coelho K, Araújo FM, Villa RA, Araújo A, de Melo Bernardo A, Marcondes CR, Chuva de Sousa Lopes SM, Ramos ES (2014). Differential expression of the MHM region and sex-determining-related genes during gonadal development in chicken embryos. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 13(1):838-849.
- Cal RM, Gomez C, Castro J, Bouza C, Martinez P, Piferrer F (2002). Production of haploid and diploid gynogenetic turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus* L.). European Aquaculture Society, 32:169–170.
- Cal RM, Vidal S, Gomez C, Alvarez-Blázquez B, Martinez P, Piferrer F (2006). Growth and gonadal development of gynogenetic diploid *Scophthalmus maximus*. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 68:401-413.
- Callard GV, Tchoudakova AV, Kishida M, Wood E (2001). Differential tissue distribution, developmental programming, estrogen regulation and promoter characteristics of *cyp19* genes in teleost fish. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 79:305–314.
- Cameron FJ, Sinclair AH (1997). Mutations in SRY and SOX9: testis-determining genes. Human Mutation, 9:388-395.
- ☆ Capel B (2006). R-spondin1 tips the balance in sex determination. Nature Genetics, 38(11):1233-1234.
- Caradec J, Sirab N, Keumeugni C, Moutereau S, Chimingqi M, Matar C, Revaud D, Bah M, Manivet P, Conti M, Loric S (2010). Desperate house genes: the dramatic example of hypoxia. British Journal of Cancer, 102:1037-1043.
- Carreau S, Bouraima-Lelong H, Delalande C (2012). Role of estrogens in spermatogenesis. Frontiers in Bioscience, 4:1-11.
- Casas L, Sanchez L, Orban L (2011). Sex-associated DNA markers from turbot. Marine Biology Research, 7:378-387.
- Castrillon DH, Quade BJ, Wang TY, Quigley C, Crum CP (2000). The human VASA gene is specifically expressed in the germ cell lineage. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 97:9585-9590.
- Cate RL, Mattaliano RJ, Hession C, Tizard R, Farber NM, Cheung A, Ninfa EG, Frey AZ, Gash DJ, Chow EP, Fisher RA, Bertonis JM, Torres G, Wallner BP, Ramachandran KL, Ragin RC, Manganaro TF, McLaughlin DT, Donahoe PK (1986). Isolation of the bovine and human genes for Müllerian inhibiting substance and expression of the human gene in animal cells. *Cell*, 45:685-698.
- Cavalier-Smith T (2010). Origin of the cell nucleus, mitosis and sex: roles of intracellular coevolution. *Biology Direct*, 5:7.
- Cederroth CR, Pitetti JL, Papaioannou MD, Nef S (2007). Genetic programs that regulate testicular and ovarian development. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 265-266:3-9.

- ✤ Cerdá J, Douglas S, Reith M (2010). Genomic resources for flatfish research and their applications. *Journal of Fish Biology*, 77(5):1045-1070.
- Cerdá J, Manchado M (2013). Advances in genomics for flatfish aquaculture. Genes and Nutrition, 8(1):5-17.
- Chaboissier MC, Kobayashi A, Vidal VI, Lützkendorf S, van de Kant HJ, Wegner M, de Rooij DG, Behringer RR, Schedl A (2004). Functional analysis of Sox8 and Sox9 during sex determination in the mouse. *Development*, 131(9):1891-1901.
- Chai X, Boerman MHEM, Zhai Y, Napoli JL (1995). Cloning of a cDNA for liver microsomal retinol dehydrogenase. A tissue-specific, short-chain alcohol dehydrogenase. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 270:3900-3904.
- Charlesworth D, Mank JE (2010). The birds and the bees and the flowers and the trees: lessons from genetic mapping of sex determination in plants and animals. *Genetics*, 186(1):9-31.
- Chassot AA, Bradford ST, Auguste A, Gregoire EP, Pailhoux E, de Rooij DG, Schedl A, Chaboissier MC (2012). WNT4 and RSPO1 together are required for cell proliferation in the early mouse gonad. *Development*, 139(23):4461-4472.
- Chen C, Hu YH, Ziso ZZ, Sun L (2013). SmCCL19, a CC chemokine of turbot Scophthalmus maximus, induces leukocyte trafficking and promotes anti-viral and antibacterial defense. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 35:1677-1682.
- Chen D, Pan X, Xiao P, Farwell MA, Zhang B (2011). Evaluation and identification of reliable reference genes for pharmacogenomics, toxicogenomics, and small RNA expression analysis. *Journal of Cell Physiology*, 226:2469-2477.
- Chen S, Zhang G, Shao C, Huang Q, Liu G, Zhang P, Song W, An N, Chalopin D, Volff JN, Hong Y, Li Q, Sha Z, Zhou H, Xie M, Yu Q, Liu Y, Xiang H, Wang N, Wu K, Yang C, Zhou Q, Liao X, Yang L, Hu Q, Zhang J, Meng L, Jin L, Tian Y, Lian J, Yang J, Miao G, Liu S, Liang Z, Yan F, Li Y, Sun B, Zhang H, Zhang J, Zhu Y, Du M, Zhao Y, Schartl M, Tang Q, Wang J (2014). Whole-genome sequence of a flatfish provides insights into ZW sex chromosome evolution and adaptation to a benthic lifestyle. *Nature Genetics*, 46(3):253-260.
- Choi Y, Rajkovic A (2006). Genetics of early mammalian folliculogenesis. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 63(5):579-590.
- Choi Y, Ballow DJ, Xin Y, Rajkovic A (2008). Lim homeobox gene, Lhx8, is essential for mouse oocyte differentiation and survival. *Biology of Reproduction*, 79(3):442-449.
- Clelland ES, Kelly SP (2011). Exogenous GDF9 but not Activin A, BMP15 or TGF beta alters tight junction protein transcript abundance in zebrafish ovarian follicles. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 171(2):211-217.

- Conesa A, Gotz S, Garcia-Gomez JM, Terol J, Talon M, Robles M (2005). Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics*, 21(18):3674-3676.
- Corbin CJ, Graham-Lorence S, McPhaul M, Mason JI, Mendelson CR, Simpson ER (1988).
 Isolation of a full-length cDNA insert encoding human aromatase system cytochrome P-450 and its expression in nonsteroidogenic cells. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 85:8948-8952.
- Crespo B, Gomez A, Mazon MJ, Carrillo M, Zanuy S (2013). Isolation and characterization of Ff1 and Gsdf family genes in European sea bass and identification of early gonadal markers of precocious puberty in males. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 191:155-167.
- ✤ Crews D, Bull JJ (2009). Mode and tempo in environmental sex determination in vertebrates. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 20(3):251-255.
- Cuñado N, Terrones J, Sánchez L, Martínez P, Santos JL (2001). Sex-dependent synaptic behavior in triploid turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus* (Pisces: *Scophathalmidae*). *Heredity*, 89:460-464.
- Cutting A, Chue J, Smith CA (2013). Just how conserved is vertebrate sex determination? Developmental Dynamics, 242(4):380-387.
- Dang W, Sun L (2011). Determination of internal controls for quantitative real time RT-PCR analysis of the effect of *Edwardsiella tarda* infection on gene expression in turbot (Scophthalmus maximus). Fish and Shellfish Inmunology, 30:720-728.
- D'Cotta H, Fostier A, Guiguen Y, Govoroun M, Baroiller JF (2001). Aromatase plays a key role during normal and temperature-induced sex differentiation of tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. Molecular Reproduction and Development, 59(3):265-276.
- De Lau W, Peng WC, Gros P, Clevers H (2014). The R-sponding/Lgr5/Rnf43 module: regulator of Wnt signal strength. Genes and Development, 28(4):305-316.
- Dear TN, Boehm T (1999). Diverse mRNA expression patterns of the mouse calpain genes Capn5, Capn6 and Capn11 during development. Mechanisms of Development, 89(1-2):201-209.
- Dear TN, Moller A, Boehm T (1999). CAPN11: A calpain with high mRNA levels in testis and located on chromosome 6. *Genomics*, 59(2):243-247.
- del Pozo A, Vera LM, Sanchez JA, Sanchez-Vazquez FJ (2012). Molecular cloning, tissue distribution and daily expression of cry1 and cry2 clock genes in European seabass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 163(3-4):364-371.
- Deng SP, Chen SL, Xu JY, Liu BW (2009). Molecular cloning, characterization and expression analysis of gonadal P450 aromatase in the half-smooth tongue-sole, *Cynoglossus semilaevis*. Aquaculture, 287(1-2):211-218.

- Devlin RH, Nagahama Y (2002). Sex determination and sex differentiation in fish: an overview of genetic, physiological, and environmental influences. Aquaculture, 208(3-4):191-364.
- di Clemente N, Ghaffari S, Pepinsky RB, Pieau C, Josso N, Cate RL, Vigier B (1992). A quantitative and interspecific test for biological activity of anti-müllerian hormone: the fetal ovary aromatase assay. *Development*, 114(3):721-727.
- Ditewig AC, Yao HH (2005). Organogenesis of the ovary: a comparative review on vertebrate ovary formation. Organogenesis, 2(2):36-41.
- Domínguez B, Pardo BG, Noia M, Millán A, Gómez-Tato A, Martínez P, Leiro J, Lamas J (2013). Microarray analysis of the inflammatory and immune responses in head kidney turbot leucocytes treated with resveratrol. International Immunopharmacology, 15:588-596.
- Dopazo J, Carazo JM (1997). Phylogenetic reconstruction using an unsupervised growing neural network that adopts the topology of a phylogenetic tree. Journal of Molecular Evolution, 44(2):226-233.
- Doyle MA, Bosker T, Martyniuk CJ, Maclatchy DL, Munkittrick KR (2013). The effects of 17-α-ethinylestradiol (EE2) on molecular signaling cascades in mummichog (*Fundulus heteroclitus*). Aquatic Toxicology, 134-135:34-46.
- Easton AA, Moghadam HK, Danzmann RG, ferguson MM (2011). The genetic architecture of embryonic developmental rate and genetic covariation with age at maturation in rainbow trout Oncohynchus mykiss. Journal of Fish Biology, 78:602-623.
- Eggert C (2004). Sex determination: the amphibian models. Reproduction Nutrition Development, 44(6):539-549.
- Ellegren H (2000). Evolution of the avian sex chromosomes and their role in sex determination. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 15(5):188-192.
- Engels B, van 't Padje S, Blonden L, Severijnen LA, Oostra BA, Willemsen R (2004). Characterization of Fxr1 in *Danio rerio*; a simple vertebrate model to study costamere development. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 207:3329-3338.
- Ephrussi A, Lehmann R (1992). Induction of germ cell formation by oskar. Nature, 358:387-392.
- Esterhuyse MM, Helbing CC, van Wyk JH (2008). Temporal expression of two cytochrome P450 aromatase isoforms during development in Oreochromis mossambicus, in association with histological development. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics, 3(4):297-306.
- Ezaz T, Sarre SD, O'Meally D, Graves JAM, Georges A (2009). Sex chromosome evolution in lizards: independent origins and rapid transitions. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, 127(2-4):249-260.

- Fan HG, Wang DS, Kobayashi T, Senthilkumaran B, Sudhakumari CC, Yoshikuni M, Nagahama Y (2003). Molecular cloning of the three gonadotropin subunits and early expression of FSH-β during sex differentiation in the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus*. *Fish Physiology Biochemistry*, 28:143-144.
- ✤ FAO (2010). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2010. http://www.fao.org/docrep/013/i1820e/i1820e00.pdf. Accessed May 21, 2015
- ✤ FAO (2014). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2014. http://www.fao.org/3/ai3720e.pdf. Accessed May 21, 2015
- Ferguson-Smith MA, Rens W (2010). The unique sex chromosome system in platypus and echidna. *Genetika*, 46(10):1314-1319.
- Fernandino JI, Hattori RS, Kimura H, Strüssmann CA, Somoza GM (2008). Expression profile and estrogenic regulation of anti-Müllerian hormone during gonadal development in pejerrey Odontesthes bonariensis, a teleost fish with strong temperaturedependent sex determination. Developmental Dynamics, 237(11):3192-3199.
- Fernandino JI, Hattori RS, Moreno Acosta OD, Strüssmann CA, Somoza GM (2013). Environmental stress-induced testis differentiation: androgen as a by-product of cortisol inactivation. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 192:36-44.
- Forconi M, Canapa A, Barucca M, Biscotti MA, Capriglione T, Buonocore F, Fausto AM, Makapedua DM, Pallavicini A, Gerdol M, De Moro G, Scapigliati G, Olmo E, Schartl M (2013). Characterization of sex determination and sex differentiation genes in *Latimeria*. *PLoS One*, 8(4):e56006.
- Froese R, Pauly D (2006). Fish base. www.fishbase.org. Accessed May 21, 2015.
- Fujimoto T, Nishimura T, Goto-Kazeto R, Kawakami Y, Yamaha E, Arai K (2010). Sexual dimorphism of gonadal structure and gene expression in germ cell-deficient loach, a teleost fish. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 107:17211-17216.
- Galián J, Hogan JE, Vogler AP (2002). The origin of multiple sex chromosomes in tiger beetles. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 19(10):1792-1796.
- Gao H, Wu L, Sun JS, Geng XY, Pan BP (2013). Molecular characterization and expression analysis of Toll-like receptor 21 cDNA from *Paralichthys olivaceus*. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 35:1138-1145.
- García-Ortiz JE, Pelosi E, Omari S, Nedorezov T, Piao Y, Karmazin J, Uda M, Cao A, Cole SW, Forabosco A, Schlessinger D, Ottolenghi C (2009). Foxl2 functions in sex determination and histogenesis throughout mouse ovary development. BMC Developmental Biology, 9:36.
- ✤ Gardner L, Anderson T, Place AR, Dixon B, Elizur A (2005). Sex change strategy and the aromatase genes. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 94(5):395-404.

- Gardner LD, Jayasundara N, Castilho PC, Block B (2012). Microarray gene expression profiles from mature gonad tissues of Atlantic bluefin tuna, *Thunnus thynnus* in the Gulf of Mexico. *BMC Genomics*, 13:530.
- Gautier A, Sohm F, Joly JS, Le Gac F, Lareyre JJ (2011). The proximal promoter region of the zebrafish gsdf gene is sufficient to mimic the spatio-temporal expression pattern of the endogenous gene in Sertoli and granulose cells. *Biology of Reproduction*, 85:1240-51.
- Giesecke K, Hamann H, Stock KF, Woehlke A, Sieme H, Distl O (2009). Evaluation of SPATA1-associated markers for stallion fertility. *Animal Genetics*, 40(4):359-365.
- ✤ Glare EM, Divjak M, Bailey MJ, Walters EH (2002). Beta-Actin and GAPDH housekeeping gene expression in asthmatic airways is variable and not suitable for normalizing mRNA levels. *Thorax*, 57:765-770.
- Glasauer SM, Neuhauss SC (2014). Whole-genome duplication in teleost fishes and its evolutionary consequences. *Molecular Genetics and Genomics*, 289(6):1045-1060.
- Goll DE, Thompson VF, Li HQ, Wei W, Cong JY (2003). The calpain system. *Physiological Reviews*, 83(3):731-801.
- Gomes AS, Kamisaka Y, Harboe T, Power DM, Rønnestad I (2014). Functional modifications associated with gastrointestinal tract organogenesis during metamorphosis in Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*). BMC Developmental Biology, 14:11.
- Goto R, Saito T, Takeda T, Fujimoto T, Takagi M, Arai K, Yamaha E (2012). Germ cells are not the primary factor for sexual fate determination in goldfish. *Developmental Biology*, 370:98-109.
- ✤ Graves JAM (2008). Weird animal genomes and the evolution of vertebrate sex and sex chromosomes. Annual Review of Genetics, 42:565-586.
- Graves JAM, Peichel CL (2010). Are homologies in vertebrate sex due to shared ancestry or limited options? *Genome Biology*, 11(4):205.
- ✤ Grützner F, Rens W, Tsend-Ayush E, El-Mogharbel N, O'Brien PC, Jones RC, Ferguson-Smith MA, Graves JAM (2004). In the platypus a meiotic chain of ten sex chromosomes shares genes with the bird Z and mammals X chromosomes. *Nature*, 432(7019):913-917.
- Guerrero-Estévez S, Moreno-Mendoza N (2010). Sexual determination and differentiation in teleost fish. *Reviews in Fish Biology and Fisheries*, 20:101-121.
- Guiguen Y, Baroiller JF, Ricordel MJ, Iseki K, McMeel OM, Martin SAM, Fostier A (1999).
 Involvement of estrogens in the process of sex differentiation in two fish species: The rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and a Tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Molecular Reproduction and Development, 54(2):154-162.
- Guiguen Y, Fostier A, Piferrer F, Chang CF (2010). Ovarian aromatase and estrogens: A pivotal role for gonadal sex differentiation and sex change in fish. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 165(3):352-366.

- Guiguen Y, Fostier A, Piferrer F, Chang CF (2010). Ovarian aromatase and estrogens: a pivotal role for gonadal sex differentiation and sex change in fish. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 165(3):352-366.
- Gutierrez AP, Lubieniecki KP, Fukui S, Withler RE, Swift B, Davidson WS (2014).
 Detection of quantitative trait loci (QTL) related to grilsing and late sexual maturation in Atlantic salmon (Salmo salar). Marine Biotechnology, 16:103-110.
- Haffray P, Lebegue E, Jeu S, Guennoc M, Guiguen Y, Baroiller JF, Fostier A (2009). Genetic determination and temperature effects on turbot *Scophthalmus maximus* sex differentiation: An investigation using steroid sex-inverted males and females. *Aquaculture*, 294(1-2):30-36.
- Hagaman JR, Moyer JS, Bachman ES, Sibony M, Magyar PL, Welch JE, Smithies O, Krege JH, O'Brien DA (1998). Angiotensin-converting enzyme and male fertility. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 95(5):2552-2557.
- Halm S, Rocha A, Miura T, Prat F, Zanuy S (2007). Anti-Müllerian hormone (AMH) in the European sea bass: its gene structure, regulatory elements, and the expression of alternatively-spliced isoforms. *Gene*, 388:148-158.
- Halm S, Ibanez AJ, Tyler CR, Prat F (2008). Molecular characterisation of growth differentiation factor 9 (gdf9) and bone morphogenetic protein 15 (bmp15) and their patterns of gene expression during the ovarian reproductive cycle in the European sea bass. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 291(1-2):95-103.
- Haslinger A, Schwarz TJ, Covic M, Lie DC (2009). Expression of Sox11 in adult neurogenic niches suggests a stage-specific role in adult neurogenesis. European Journal of Neuroscience, 29(11):2013-2014.
- Hattori RS, Fernandino JI, Kishii A, Kimura H, Kinno T, Oura M, Somoza GM, Yokota M, Strüssmann CA, Watanabe S (2009). Cortisol-induced masculinization: does thermal stress affect gonadal fate in pejerrey, a teleost fish with temperature-dependent sex determination? *PLoS One*, 4(8):e6548.
- Hattori RS, Murai Y, Oura M, Masuda S, Majhi SK, Sakamoto T, Fernandino JI, Somoza GM, Yokota M, Strüssmann CA (2012). A Y-linked anti-Müllerian hormone duplication takes over a critical role in sex determination. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 109(8):2955-2959.
- Hay B, Jan LY, Jan YN (1988). A protein component of Drosophila polar granules is encoded by vasa and has extensive sequence similarity to ATP-dependent helicases. Cell, 55:577-587.
- Hayashi Y, Kobira H, Yamaguchi T, Shiraishi E, Yazawa T, Hirai T, Kamei Y, Kitano T (2010). High temperature causes masculinization of genetically female medaka by elevation of cortisol. *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, 77(8):679-686.

- He Z, Wu YS, Xie J, Wang TX, Zhang LH, Zhang WM (2012). Growth differentiation factor 9 (Gdf9) was localized in the female as well as male germ cells in a protogynous hermaphroditic teleost fish, ricefield eel *Monopterus albus*. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 178(2):355-362.
- Hermida M, Bouza C, Fernández C, Sciara AA, Rodríguez-Ramilo ST, Fernández J, Martínez P (2013). Compilation of mapping resources in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*): A new integrated consensus genetic map. *Aquaculture*, 414-415:19-25.
- Herpin A, Schindler D, Kraiss A, Hornung U, Winkler C, Schartl M (2007) Inhibition of primordial germ cell proliferation by the medaka male determining gene Dmrt1bY. BMC Developmental Biology, 7:99.
- Herpin A, Adolfi MC, Nicol B, Hinzmann M, Schmidt C, Klughammer J, Engel M, Tanaka M, Guiguen Y, Schartl M (2013). Divergent expression regulation of gonad development genes in medaka shows incomplete conservation of the downstream regulatory network of vertebrate sex determination. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(10):2328-2346.
- Herrero J, Dopazo J (2002). Combining hierarchical clustering and self-organizing maps for exploratory analysis of gene expression patterns. *Journal of Proteome Research*, 1(5):467-470.
- Hett AK, Ludwig A (2005). SRY-related (Sox) genes in the genorne of European Atlantic sturgeon (*Acipenser sturio*). Genome, 48(2):181-186.
- Heule C, Salzburger W, Böhne A (2014). Genetics of sexual development: an evolutionary playground for fish. *Genetics*, 196(3):579-591.
- Hillis DM, Green DM (1990). Evolutionary changes of heterogametic sex in the phylogenetic history of amphibians. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 3:49-64.
- Horiguchi R, Nozu R, Hirai T, Kobayashi Y, Nagahama Y, Nakamura M (2013). Characterization of gonadal soma-derived factor expression during sex change in the protogynous wrasse, Halichoeres trimaculatus. Developmental Dynamics, 242(4):388-399.
- Houwing S, Berezikov E, Ketting RF (2008). Zili is required for germ cell differentiation and meiosis in zebrafish. *EMBO Journal*, 27:2702-2711.
- Howell CY, Bestor TH, Ding F, Latham KE, Mertineit C, Trasler JM, Chaillet JR (2001).
 Genomic imprinting disrupted by a maternal effect mutation in the *Dnmt1* gene. *Cell*, 104:829-838.
- Hsu HJ, Lin JC, Chung BC (2009). Zebrafish cyp11a1 and hsd3b genes: Structure, expression and steroidogenic development during embryogenesis. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 312(1-2):31-34.
- Hu Q, Guo W, Gao Y, Tang R, Li D (2014). Reference gene selection for real-time RT-PCR normalization in rice field eel (*Monopterus albus*) during gonad development. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 40(6):1721-1730.

- Hu YC, Okumura LM, Page DC (2013). Gata4 is required for formation of the genital ridge in mice. *PLoS Genetics*, 9:e1003629.
- Huang DW, Lempicki RA (2009). Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. *Nature Protocols*, 4(1):44-57.
- Huang H, Houwing S, Kaaij LJT, Meppelink A, Redl S, Gauci S, Vos H, Draper BW, Moens CB, Burgering BM, Ladurner P, Krijgsveld J, Berezikov E, Ketting RF (2011). Tdrd1 acts as a molecular scaffold for Piwi proteins and piRNA targets in zebrafish. *EMBO Journal*, 30:3298-3308.
- Huffman LS, Mitchell MM, O'Connell LA, Hofmann HA (2012). Rising StARs: Behavioral, hormonal, and molecular responses to social challenge and opportunity. *Hormones and Behavior*, 61(4):631-641.
- Huot ME, Mazroui R, Leclerc P, Khandjian EW (2001). Developmental expression of the fragile X-related 1 proteins in mouse testis: association with microtubule elements. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 10(24):2803-2811.
- Ijiri S, Kaneko H, Kobayashi T, Wang DS, Sakai F, Paul-Prasanth B, Nakamura M, Nagahama Y (2008). Sexual dimorphic expression of genes in gonads during early differentiation of a teleost fish, the Nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. Biology of Reproduction, 78(2):333-341.
- Imsland AK, Folkvord A, Grung GL, Stefansson SO, Taranger GL (1997). Sexual dimorphism in growth and maturation of turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus* (Rafinesque, 1810). Aquaculture Research, 28(2):101-114.
- Infante C, Matsuoka MP, Asensio E, Cañavate JP, Reith M, Manchado M (2008). Selection of housekeeping genes for gene expression studies in larvae from flatfish using real-time PCR. BMC Molecular Biology, 9:28.
- Ito M (2010). Function and molecular evolution of mammalian Sox15, a singleton in the SoxG group of transcription factors. International Journal of Biochemistry & Cell Biology, 42:449-452.
- Jagarlamudi K, Rajkovic A (2012). Oogenesis: Transcriptional regulators and mouse models. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 356(1-2):31-39.
- ✤ Jang JH, Kim H, Cho JH (2013). Rainbow trout peptidoglycan recognition protein has an anti-inflammatory function in liver cells. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology*, 35:1838-1847.
- ✤ Janzen FJ, Phillips PC (2006). Exploring the evolution of environmental sex determination, especially in reptiles. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 19(6):1775-1784.
- Javaux EJ, Knoll AH, Walter MR (2001). Morphological and ecological complexity in early eukaryotic ecosystems. *Nature*, 412:66-69.

- ✤ Johnsen H, Tveiten H, Torgersen JS, Andersen Ø (2013). Divergent and sex-dimorphic expression of the paralogs of the Sox9-Amh- cyp19a1 regulatory cascade in developing and adult atlantic cod (Gadus morhua L.). Molecular Reproduction and Development, 80:358-370.
- ✤ Johnson GB, Losos JB (2006). The living world (5th edition). McGraw-Hill Higher Education, Boston.
- Johnstone O, Lasko P (2004). Interaction with eIF5B is essential for Vasa function during development. *Development*, 131:4167-4178.
- ✤ Jones A (1970). The biology of turbot. PhD thesis, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom.
- Jones RA, Cohn WB, Miller TC, Jacques JT, Mackenzie DS (2013). Cyclic mRNA expression of thyrotropin subunits and deiodinases in red drum, *Sciaenops ocellatus*. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 194:248-256.
- ✤ Jordan BK, Mohammed M, Ching ST, Delot E, Chen XN, Dewing P, Swain A, Rao PN, Elejalde BR, Vilain E (2001). Up-regulation of wnt-4 signaling and dosage-sensitive sex reversal in humans. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, 68:1102-1109.
- Joshi S, Davies H, Sims LP, Levy SE, Dean J (2007). Ovarian gene expression in the absence of FIGLA, an oocyte-specific transcription factor. BMC Developmental Biology, 7:67.
- ✤ Josso N, Racine C, di Clemente N, Rey R, Xavier F (1998). The role of anti-Müllerian hormone in gonadal development. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 145:3-7.
- Josso N, di Clemente N, Gouédard L (2001). Anti-Müllerian hormone and its receptors. Molecular and Cellular endocrinology, 179:25-32.
- Kamiya T, Kai W, Tasumi S, Oka A, Matsunaga T, Mizuno N, Fujita M, Suetake H, Suzuki S, Hosoya S, Tohari S, Brenner S, Miyadai T, Venkatesh B, Suzuki Y, Kikuchi K (2012). A Trans-species missense SNP in Amhr2 is associated with sex determination in the tiger pufferfish, *Takifugu rubripes* (fugu). *PLoS Genetics*, 8(7):e1002798.
- Kanai Y, Kanai-Azuma M, Noce T, Saido TC, Shiroishi T, Hayashi Y, Yazaki K (1996). Identification of two Sox17 messenger RNA isoforms, with and without the high mobility group box region, and their differential expression in mouse spermatogenesis. *Journal of Cell Biology*, 133(3):667-681.
- ✤ Kashimada K, Koopman P (2010). Sry: the master switch in mammalian sex determination. Development, 137(23):3921-3930.
- ★ Kawai A, Nishida-Umehara C, Ishijima J, Tsuda Y, Ota H, Matsuda Y (2007). Different origins of bird and reptile sex chromosomes inferred from comparative mapping of chicken Z-linked genes. Cytogenetic and Genome Research, 117(1-4):92-102.
- Kawai A, Ishijima J, Nishida C, Kosaka A, Ota H, Kohno S, Matsuda Y (2009). The ZW sex chromosomes of *Gekko hokouensis* (Gekkonidae, Squamata) represent highly conserved homology with those of avian species. *Chromosoma*, 118(1):43-51.
- Kikuchi K, Kai W, Hosokawa A, Mizuno N, Suetake H, Asahina K, Suzuki Y (2007). The sex-determining locus in the tiger pufferfish, *Takifugu rubripes. Genetics*, 175(4):2039-2042.
- Kikuchi K, Hamaguchi S (2013). Novel sex-determining genes in fish and sex chromosome evolution. *Developmental Dynamics*, 242(4):339-353.
- Kim HJ, Im GI (2011). Electroporation-mediated transfer of SOX trio genes (SOX-5, SOX-6, and SOX-9) to enhance the chondrogenesis of mesenchymal stem cells. Stem Cells and Development, 20:2103-2114.
- Kim S, Kettlewell JR, Anderson RC, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D (2003). Sexually dimorphic expression of multiple doublesex-related genes in the embryonic mouse gonad. *Gene Expression Patterns*, 3(1):77-82.
- Kim Y, Kobayashi A, Sekido R, DiNapoli L, Brennan J, Chaboissier MC, Poulat F, Behringer RR, Lovell-Badge R, Capel B (2006). Fgf9 and Wnt4 act as antagonistic signals to regulate mammalian sex determination. *PLoS Biology*, 4(6):e187.
- Kirino Y, Vourekas A, Kim N, Alves FL, Rappsilber J, Klein PS, Jongens TA, Mourelatos Z (2010). Arginine methylation of vasa protein is conserved across phyla. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 285:8148-8154.
- Kitano T, Yoshinaga N, Shiraishi E, Koyanagi T, Abe S (2007). Tamoxifen induces masculinization of genetic females and regulates P450 aromatase and Müllerian inhibiting substance mRNA expression in Japanese flounder (*Paralichthys olivaceus*). *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, 74(9):1171-1177.
- Kleppe L, Edvardsen RB, Furmanek T, Taranger GL, Wargelius A (2014). Global transcriptome analysis identifies regulated transcripts and pathways activated during oogenesis and early embryogenesis in Atlantic cod. Molecular Reproduction and Development, 81(7):619-635.
- Klüver N, Kondo M, Herpin A, Mitani H, Schartl M (2005). Divergent expression patterns of Sox9 duplicates in teleosts indicate a lineage specific subfunctionalization. Development Genes and Evolution, 215:297-305.
- Klüver N, Pfennig F, Pala I, Storch K, Schlieder M, Froschauer A, Gutzeit HO, Schartl M (2007). Differential expression of anti-Müllerian hormone (amh) and anti-Müllerian hormone receptor type II (amhrII) in the teleost medaka. Developmental Dynamics, 236(1):271-281.
- Knower K, Kelly S, Harley V (2003). Turning on the male SRY, SOX9 and sex determination in mammals. Cytogenetic and Genome Research, 101:185-198.

- Kobayashi T, Kajiura-Kobayashi H, Nagahama Y (2000). Differential expression of vasa homologue gene in the germ cells during oogenesis and spermatogenesis in a teleost fish, tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus. Mechanisms of Development, 99(1-2):139-142.
- Komiya T, Itoh K, Ikenishi K, Furusawa M (1994). Isolation and characterization of a novel gene of the DEAD box protein family which is specifically expressed in germ cells of Xenopus laevis. Developmental Biology, 162:354-363.
- Kondo M, Nanda I, Hornung U, Schmid M, Schartl M (2004). Evolutionary origin of the medaka Y chromosome. *Current Biology*, 121(7-9):997-1005.
- Koopman P, Schepers G, Brenner S, Venkatesh B (2004). Origin and diversity of the Sox transcription factor gene family: genome-wide analysis in *Fugu rubripes*. *Gene*, 328:177-186.
- ✤ Kopp A (2012). Dmrt genes in the development and evolution of sexual dimorphism. Trends in Genetics, 28(4):175-184.
- Kotula-Balak M, Zeilinska R, Glogowski J, Kowalski RK, Sarosiek B, Bilinska B (2008).
 Aromatase expression in testes of XY, YY, and XX rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 148(2):188-96.
- Koubova J, Menke DB, Zhou Q, Capel B, Griswold MD, Page DC (2006). Retinoic acid regulates sex-specific timing of meiotic initiation in mice. Proceeding of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 103(8):2474-2479.
- Kreidberg JA, Sariola H, Loring JM, Maeda M, Pelletier J, Housman D, Jaenisch R (1993).
 WT-1 is required for early kidney development. *Cell*, 74(4):679-691.
- Kubista M, Sindelka R, Tichopad A, Besgkvist A, Lindh D, Forootan A (2007). The prime technique. Real-time PCR data analysis. G.I.T. Laboratory Journal, 9-10:33-35.
- Kuo MW, Postlethwait J, Lee WC, Lou SW, Chan WK, Chung BC (2005). Gene duplication, gene loss and evolution of expression domains in the vertebrate nuclear receptor NR5A (Ftz-F1) family. *Biochemical Journal*, 389:19-26.
- Kurokawa H, Saito D, Nakamura S, Katoh-Fukui Y, Ohta K, Baba T, Morohashi K, Tanaka M (2007). Germ cells are essential for sexual dimorphism in the medaka gonad. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104:16958-16963.
- Kühl M, Wedlich D (1997). Wnt signaling goes nuclear. *Bioessays*, 19:101-104.
- Küttner E, Moghadam H, Skúlason S, Danzmann R, Ferguson M (2011). Genetic architecture of body weight, condition factor and age of sexual maturation in Icelandic Arctic charr (Salvelinus alpinus). Molecular Genetics and Genomics, 286:67-79.
- Lambeth LS, Raymond CS, Roeszler KN, Kuroiwa A, Nakata T, Zarkower D, Smith CA (2014). Over-expression of DMRT1 induces the male pathway in embryonic chicken gonads. *Developmental Biology*, 389:160-172.

- Langfelder P, Horvath S (2008). WGCNA: an R package for weighted correlation network analysis. BMC Bioinformatics, 9:559.
- Lasko PF, Ashburner M (1988). The product of the *Drosophila* gene vasa is very similar to eukaryotic initiation factor-4A. *Nature*, 335:611-617.
- Lau EL, Lee MF, Chang CF (2013). Conserved sex-specific timing of meiotic initiation during sex differentiation in the protandrous black porgy Acanthopagrus schlegelii. Biology of Reproduction, 88:150.
- Le Page Y, Diotel N, Vaillant C, Pellegrini E, Anglade I, Mérot Y, Kah O (2010). Aromatase, brain sexualization and plasticity: the fish paradigm. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 32(12):2105-2115.
- Lee BY, Hulata G, Kocher TD (2004). Two unlinked loci controlling the sex of blue tilapia (*Oreochromis aureus*). *Heredity*, 92(6):543-549.
- Lee C, Na JG, Lee KC, Park K (2002). Choriogenin mRNA induction in male medaka, Oryzias latipes as a biomarker of endocrine disruption. Aquatic Toxicology, 61(3-4):233-241.
- Lehtonen J, Jennions MD, Kokko H (2012). The many costs of sex. Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 27:172-178.
- Leimeister C, Schumacher N, Diez H, Gessler M (2004). Cloning and expression of the mouse stroma marker *Snep* encoding a novel nidogen domain protein. *Developmental Dynamics*, 230(2):371-377.
- Lemaitre C, Braga MD, Gautier C, Sagot MF, Tannier E, Marais GA (2009). Footprints of inversions at present and past pseudoautosomal boundaries in human sex chromosomes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 1:56-66.
- Levavi-Sivan B, Bogerd J, Mananos EL, Gomez A, Lareyre JJ (2010). Perspectives on fish gonadotropins and their receptors. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 165(3): 412-437.
- Lewis ZR, McClellan MC, Postlethwait JH, Cresko WA, Kaplan RH (2008). Female-specific increase in primordial germ cells marks sex differentiation in threespine stickleback (*Gasterosteus aculeatus*). Journal of Morphology, 269:909-921.
- Li H, Cai Y, Xie P, Li G, Hao L, Xiong Q (2013). Identification and expression profiles of IL-8 in bighead carp (Aristichthys nobilis) in response to microcystin-LR. Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, 65: 537-545.
- Li M, Wang L, Wang H, Liang H, Zheng Y, Qin F, Liu S, Zhang Y, Wang Z (2013). Molecular cloning and characterization of *amh*, *dax1* and *cyp19a1a* genes and their response to 17α-methyltestosterone in Pengze crucian carp. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - Part C: Toxicology and Pharmacology, 157:372-381.

- Li Q, Zhou X, Guo YQ, Shang X, Chen H, Lu H, Cheng HH, Zhou RJ (2008). Nuclear localization, DNA binding and restricted expression in neural and germ cells of zebrafish Dmrt3. *Biology of the Cell*, 100(8):453-463.
- Lievens A, Van Aelst S, Van den Bulcke M, Goetghebeur E (2011). Enhance analysis of real-time PCR data by using a variable efficiency model: FPK-PCR. Nucleic Acids Research, 40:1-15.
- Lim SL, Tsend-Ayush E, Kortschak RD, Jacob R, Ricciardelli C, Oehler MK, Grützner F (2013). Conservation and expression of PIWI-interacting RNA pathway genes in male and female adult gonad of amniotes. *Biology of Reproduction*, 89: 136.
- Lim YK, Retnam L, Bhagavath B, Sethi SK, bin Ali A, Lim SK (2002). Gonadal effects on plasma ACE activity in mice. *Atherosclerosis*, 160(2):311-316.
- Lin F, Zhao CY, Xu SH, Ma DY, Xiao ZZ, Xiao YS, Xu CA, Liu QH, Li J (2013). Germlinespecific and sexually dimorphic expression of a dead end gene homologue in turbot (Scophthalmus maximus). Theriogenology, 80:665-672.
- Lin IY, Chiu FL, Yeang CH, Chen HF, Chuang CY, Yang SY, Hou PS, Sintupisut N, Ho HN, Kuo HC, Lin KI (2014). Suppression of the SOX2 neural effector gene by PRDM1 promotes human germ cell fate in embryonic stem cells. *Stem Cell Reports*, 2(2):189-204.
- Liu L, Zhou RJ (2001). Isolation of Sox11a, Sox11b and Sox19 genes from Rice field eel (Monopterus albus) using degenerate primers and nested PCR. Aquatic Sciences, 63(2):191-195.
- Liu N, Dansereau DA, Lasko P (2003). Fat facets interacts with vasa in the drosophila pole plasm and protects it from degradation. *Current Biology*, 13:1905-1909.
- Liu Q, Lu H, Zhang L, Xie J, Shen W, Zhang W (2012). Homologues of sox8 and sox10 in the orange-spotted grouper Epinephelus coioides: sequences, expression patterns, and their effects on cyp19a1a promoter activities in vitro. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 163(1):86-95.
- Liu Q, Basu N, Goetz G, Jiang N, Hutz RJ, Tonellato PJ, Carvan MJ 3rd (2013). Differential gene expression associated with dietary methylmercury (MeHg) exposure in rainbow trout (Oncorhynchus mykiss) and zebrafish (Danio rerio). Ecotoxicology, 22:740-451.
- Liu X, Luo M, Xie W, Wells JM, Goodheart MJ, Engelhardt JF (2010). Sox17 modulates Wnt3A/beta-catenin-mediated transcriptional activation of the Lef-1 promoter. American Journal of Physiology, 299:694-710.
- Liu Y, Yuan C, Chen S, Zheng Y, Zhang Y, Gao J, Wang Z (2014). Global and cyp19a1a gene specific DNA methylation in gonads of adult rare minnow *Gobiocypris rarus* under bisphenol A exposure. *Aquatic Toxicology*, 156:10-16.
- Liu Z, Wu F, Jiao B, Zhang X, Hu C, Huang B, Zhou L, Huang X, Wang Z, Zhang Y, Nagahama Y, Cheng CH, Wang D (2007). Molecular cloning of doublesex and mab-3-

related transcription factor 1, forkhead transcription factor gene 2, and two types of cytochrome P450 aromatase in Southern catfish and their possible roles in sex differentiation. *Journal of Endocrinology*, 194:223-241.

- Lokman PM, Kazeto Y, Ozaki Y, Ijiri S, Tosaka R, Kohara M, Divers SL, Matsubara H, Moore LG, Adachi S (2010). Effects of reproductive stage, GH, and 11-ketotestosterone on expression of growth differentiation factor-9 in the ovary of the eel, *Anguilla australis*. *Reproduction*, 139(1):71-83.
- Louw TM, Booth CS, Pienaar E, TerMaat JR, Whitney SE, Viljoen HJ (2011). Experimental validation of a fundamental model for PCR efficiency. *Chemical Engineering Science*, 66:1783-1789.
- Luckenbach JA, Early LW, Rowe AH, Borski RJ, Daniels HV, Godwin J (2005). Aromatase cytochrome P450: cloning, intron variation, and ontogeny of gene expression in southern flounder (*Paralichthys lethostigma*). Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Comparative Experimental Biology, 303(8):643-656.
- Luckenbach JA, Iliev DB, Goetz FW, Swanson P (2008). Identification of differentially expressed ovarian genes during primary and early secondary oocyte growth in coho salmon, Oncorhynchus kisutch. Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology, 6(1):2.
- Luckenbach JA, Borski RJ, Daniels HV, Godwin J (2009). Sex determination in flatfishes: Mechanisms and environmental influences. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 20(3):256-263.
- Luo W, Ito Y, Mizuta H, Massaki K, Hiramatsu N, Todo T, Reading BJ, Sullivan CV, Hara A (2013). Molecular cloning and partial characterization of an ovarian receptor with seven ligand binding repeats, an orthologue of low-density lipoprotein receptor, in the cutthroat trout (Oncorhynchus clarki). Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrtive Physiology, 166:263-271.
- Luo W, Zhang J, Wen JF, Liu H, Wang WM, Gao ZX (2014). Molecular cloning and expression analysis of major histocompatibility complex class I, IIA and IIB genes of blunt snout bream (Megalobrama amblycephala). Developmental & Comparative Immunology, 42:169-173.
- Maack G, Segner H (2003). Morphological development of the gonads in zebrafish. Journal of Fish Biology, 62(4):895-906.
- Maatouk DM, DiNapoli L, Alvers A, Parker KL, Taketo MM, Capel B (2008). Stabilization of beta-catenin in XY gonads causes male-to-female sex-reversal. *Human Molecular Genetics*, 17(19):2949-2955.
- MacDonald BT, Tamai K, He X (2009). Wnt/beta-catenin signaling: components, mechanisms, and diseases. Developmental Cell, 17(1):9-26.
- Malandrakis EE, Exadactylos A, Dadali O, Golomazou E, Klaoudatos S, Panagiotaki P (2014). Molecular cloning of four glutathione peroxidase (GPx) homologs and expression

analysis during stress exposure of the marine teleost *Sparus aurata*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 168:53-61.

- Mank JE, Promislow DEL, Avise JC (2006). Evolution of alternative sex-determining mechanisms in teleost fishes. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 87:83-93.
- Mankiewicz JL, Godwin J, Holler BL, Turner PM, Murashige R, Shamey R, Daniels HV, Borski RJ (2013). Masculinizing effect of background color and cortisol in a flatfish with environmental sex-determination. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, 53(4):755-765.
- Mansukhani A, Ambrosetti D, Holmes G, Cornivelli L, Basilico C (2005). Sox2 induction by FGF and FGFR2 activating mutations inhibits Wnt signaling and osteoblast differentiation. Journal of Cell Biology, 168:1065-1076.
- Manuylov NL, Smagulova FO, Leach L, Tevosian SG (2008). Ovarian development in mice requires the GATA4-FOG2 transcription complex. *Development*, 135(22):3731-3743.
- Martinez P, Hermida M, Pardo BG, Fernandez F, Castro J, Cal RM, Alvarez-Dios JM, Gomez-Tato A, Bouza C (2008). Centromere-linkage in the turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) through half-tetrade analysis in diploid meiogynogenetics. *Aquaculture*, 280:81-88.
- Martínez P, Bouza C, Hermida M, Fernández J, Toro MA, Vera M, Pardo B, Millán A, Fernández C, Vilas R, Viñas A, Sánchez L, Felip A, Piferrer F, Ferreiro I, Cabaleiro S (2009).
 Identification of the major sex-determining region of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Genetics*, 183(4):1443-1452.
- Martínez P, Viñas AM, Sánchez L, Díaz N, Ribas L, Piferrer F (2014). Genetic architecture of sex determination in fish: applications to sex ratio control in aquaculture. Frontiers in Genetics, 5:340.
- Matson CK, Murphy MW, Sarver AL, Griswold MD, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D (2011).
 DMRT1 prevents female reprogramming in the postnatal mammalian testis. *Nature*, 476(7358):101-104.
- Matsuda M, Nagahama Y, Shinomiya A, Sato T, Matsuda C, Kobayashi T, Morrey CE, Shibata N, Asakawa S, Shimizu N, Hori H, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M (2002). DMY is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in the medaka fish. *Nature*, 417(6888):559-563.
- Matsuoka MP, van Nes S, Andersen O, Benfev TJ, Reith M (2006). Real-time PCR analysis of ovary- and brain-type aromatase gene expression during Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*) development. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 144(1):128-135.
- Matsushita Y, Oshima Y, Nakamura M (2007). Expression of DMRT genes in the gonads of *Rana rugosa* during sex determination. *Zoological Science*, 24:95-99.

- Maugars G, Schmitz M (2008). Gene expression profiling during spermatogenesis in early maturing male Atlantic salmon parr testes. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 159(2-3):178-187.
- Mawaribuchi S, Yoshimoto S, Ohashi S, Takamatsu N, Ito M (2012). Molecular evolution of vertebrate sex-determining genes. *Chromosome Research*, 20(1):139-151.
- Mbikay M, Seidah NG, Chrétien M (2001). Neuroendocrine secretory protein 7B2: structure, expression and functions. *Biochemical Journal*, 357:329–342.
- McCurley AT, Callard GV (2008). Characterization of housekeeping genes in zebrafish: male-female differences and effects of tissue type, developmental stage and chemical treatment. *BMC Molecular Biology*, 9:102.
- Mientjes EJ, Willemsen R, Kirkpatrick LL, Nieuwenhuizen IM, Hoogeveen-Westerveld M, Verweij M, Reis S, Bardoni B, Hoogeveen AT, Oostra BA, Nelson DL (2004). Fxr1 knockout mice show a striated muscle phenotype: implications for Fxr1p function in vivo. Human Molecular Genetics, 13:1291-1302.
- Millán A, Gómez-Tato A, Pardo BG, Fernández C, Bouza C, Vera M, Álvarez-Dios JA, Cabaleiro S, Lamas J, Lemos ML, Martínez P (2011). Gene expression profiles of spleen, liver and head kidney in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) along the infection process with *Aeromonas salmonicida* using an immune-enriched oligo-microarray. *Marine Biotechnology*, 13:1099-1114.
- Miller SW, Hayward DC, Bunch TA, Miller DJ, Ball EE, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D, Brower DL (2003). A DM domain protein from a coral, Acropora millepora, homologous to proteins important for sex determination. Evolution & Development, 5(3):251-258.
- Miller WL, Auchus RJ (2011). The molecular biology, biochemistry, and physiology of human steroidogenesis and its disorders. *Endocrine Reviews*, 32:81-151.
- Missler M, Sudhof TC (1998). Neurexophilins form a conserved family of neuropeptidelike glycoproteins. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(10):3630-3638.
- Miura T, Miura C, Konda Y, Yamauchi K (2002). Spermatogenesis-preventing substance in Japanese eel. Development, 129:2689-2697.
- Miyake Y, Sakai Y, Kuniyoshi H (2012). Molecular cloning and expression of sex-specific genes, *Figla* and *Dmrt1*, in the protogynous hermaphroditic fish, *Halichoeres poecilopterus*. *Zoological Science*, 29:690-701.
- Moniot B, Declosmenil F, Barrionuevo F, Scherer G, Aritake K, Malki S, Marzi L, Cohen-Solal A, Georg I, Klattig J, Englert C, Kim Y, Capel B, Eguchi N, Urade Y, Boizet-Bonhoure B, Poulat F (2009). The PGD2 pathway, independently of FGF9, amplifies SOX9 activity in Sertoli cells during male sexual differentiation. *Development*, 136(11):1813-1821.

- Morgan HD, Santos F, Green K, Dean W, Reik W (2005). Epigenetic reprogramming in mammals. *Human Molecular genetics*, 14(S1):R47-R58.
- Morinaga C, Saito D, Nakamura S, Sasaki T, Asakawa S, Shimizu N, Mitani H, Furutani-Seiki M, Tanaka M, Kondoh H (2007). The *hotei* mutation of medaka in the anti-Müllerian hormone receptor causes the dysregulation of germ cell and sexual development. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104:9691-9696.
- Mortensen RD, Serra M, Steitz JA, Vasudevan S (2011). Posttranscriptional activation of gene expression in Xenopus laevis oocytes by microRNA-protein complexes (microRNPs). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108(20):8281-8286.
- Motono M, Ohashi T, Nishijima K, Iijima S (2008). Analysis of chicken primordial germ cells. Cytotechnology, 57(2):199-205.
- Mu WJ, Wen HS, Shi D, Yang YP (2013). Molecular cloning and expression analysis of estrogen receptor betas (ERβ1 and ERβ2) during gonad development in the Korean rockfish, Sebastes schlegeli. Gene, 523:39-49.
- Munger SC, Aylor DL, Syed HA, Magwene PM, Threadgill DW, Capel B (2009).
 Elucidation of the transcription network governing mammalian sex determination by exploiting strain-specific susceptibility to sex reversal. Genes & Development, 23(21):2521-2536.
- Munger SC, Capel B (2012). Sex and the circuitry: progress toward a systems-level understanding of vertebrate sex determination. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews-Systems Biology and Medicine, 4(4):401-412.
- Murakami M, Nakashima K, Kamei D, Masuda S, Ishikawa Y, Ishii T, Ohmiya Y, Watanabe K, Kudo I (2003). Cellular prostaglandin E2 production by membrane-bound prostaglandin E synthase-2 via both cyclooxygenases-1 and -2. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 278:37937-37947.
- Murata K, Conte FS, McInnis E, Fong TH, Cherr GN (2014). Identification of the origin and localization of chorion (egg envelope) proteins in an ancient fish, the white sturgeon, *Acipenser transmontanus*. *Biology of Reproduction*, 90(6):132.
- Myosho T, Otake H, Masuyama H, Matsuda M, Kuroki Y, Fujiyama A, Naruse K, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M (2012). Tracing the emergence of a novel sex-determining gene in medaka, *Oryzias luzonensis*. *Genetics*, 191(1):163-170.
- Nakamoto M, Suzuki A, Matsuda M, Nagahama T, Shibata N (2005). Testicular type Sox9 is not involved in sex determination but might be in the development of testicular structures in the medaka, Orydas latipes. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 333(3):729-736.

- Nakamura M (2009). Sex determination in amphibians. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 20(3):271-282.
- Nakamura M (2010). The mechanism of sex determination in vertebrates are sex steroids the key-factor? Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Ecologocial Genetics and Physiology, 313(7):381-398.
- Nakamura M (2013). Is a sex-determining gene(s) necessary for sex-determination in amphibians? Steroid hormones may be the key factor. Sexual Development, 7:104-114.
- Nakamura S, Watakabe I, Nishimura T, Picard JY, Toyoda A, Taniguchi Y, di Clemente N, Tanaka M (2012). Hyperproliferation of mitotically active germ cells due to defective anti-Müllerian hormone signaling mediates sex reversal in medaka. *Development*, 139: 2283-2287.
- Nakamura S, Watakabe I, Nishimura T, Toyoda A, Taniguchi Y, Tanaka M (2012). Analysis of medaka sox9 orthologue reveals a conserved role in germ cell maintenance. *PLoS One*, 7(1):e29982.
- Nanda I, Kondo M, Hornung U, Asakawa S, Winkler C, Shimizu A, Shan ZH, Haaf T, Shimizu N, Shima A, Schmid M, Schartl M (2002). A duplicated copy of DMRT1 in the sex-determining region of the Y chromosome of the medaka, Oryzias latipes. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 99(18):11778-11783.
- Narahara M, Yamada A, Hamada-Kanazawa M, Kawai I, Miyake M (2002). cDNA cloning of the Sry-related gene Sox6 from rat with tissue-specific expression. *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 25(6):705-709.
- Navarro-Martín L, Galay-Burgos M, Sweeney G, Piferrer F (2009). Different sox17 transcripts during sex differentiation in sea bass, Dicentrarchus labrax. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 299(2):240-251.
- Navarro-Martín L, Viñas J, Ribas L, Díaz N, Gutiérrez A, Di Croce L, Piferrer F (2011). DNA methylation of the gonadal aromatase (*cyp19a*) promoter is involved in temperaturedependent sex ratio shifts in the European sea bass. *PLoS Genetics*, 7(12):e1002447.
- Navarro-Martín L, Galay-Burgos M, Piferrer F, Sweeney G (2012). Characterisation and expression during sex differentiation of Sox19 from the sea bass Dicentrarchus labrax. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 163(3-4):316-323.
- ✤ Nelson JS (2006). Fishes of the world (4th edition). Wiley-Interscience, New York.
- Neubauer G, King A, Rappsilber J, Calvio C, Watson M, Ajuh P, Sleeman J, Lamond AI, Mann M (1998). Mass spectrometry and EST-database searching allows characterization of the multi-protein spliceosome complex. *Nature Genetics*, 20:45-50.

- Nicol B, Guiguen Y (2011). Expression profiling of Wnt signaling genes during gonadal differentiation and gametogenesis in rainbow trout. Sexual Development, 5(6):318-329.
- Nicol B, Yano A, Jouanno E, Guerin A, Fostier A, Guiguen Y (2013). Follistatin is an early player in rainbow trout ovarian differentiation and is both localized with aromatase and regulated by the Wnt pathway. Sexual Development, 7:267-276.
- Niehrs C (2012). The complex world of WNT receptor signaling. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 13(12):767-779.
- ✤ Nissen I, Müller M, Beye M (2012). The Am-tra2 gene in an essential regulator of female splice regulation at two levels of the sex determination hierarchy of the honeybee. Genetics, 192:1015-26.
- Ogata M, Ohtani H, Igarashi T, Hasegawa Y, Ichikawa Y, Miura I (2003). Change of the heterogametic sex from male to female in the frog. *Genetics*, 164(2):613-620.
- Ohe Y, Hayashi H, Iwai K (1989). Human spleen Histone-H1 isolation and amino-acid sequences of 3 minor variants, H1A, H1C, and H1D. Journal of Biochemistry, 106(5):844-857.
- Okuda Y, Yoda H, Uchikawa M, Furutani-Seiki M, Takeda H, Kondoh H, Kamachi Y (2006).
 Comparative genomic and expression analysis of group B1 sox genes in zebrafish indicates their diversification during vertebrate evolution. Developmental Dynamics, 235(3):811-825.
- Oliveira C, Foresti F, Hilsdorf AW (2009). Genetics of neotropical fish: from chromosomes to populations. *Fish Physiology and Biochemistry*, 35(1):81-100.
- Organ CL, Janes DE (2008). Evolution of sex chromosomes in Sauropsida. Integrative and Comparative Biology, 48(4):512-519.
- Oshima Y, Hayashi T, Tokunaga S, Nakamura M (2005). Wnt4 expression in the differentiating gonad of the frog Rana rugosa. Zoological Science, 22:689-693.
- Ospina-Álvarez N, Piferrer F (2008). Temperature-dependent sex determination in fish revisited: prevalence, a single sex ratio response pattern, and possible effects of climate change. *PLoS One*, 3(7):e2837.
- **Otto SP (2009).** The evolutionary enigma of sex. *The American Naturalist*, 174:S1-S14.
- Ottolenghi C, Omari S, García-Ortiz JE, Uda M, Crisponi L, Forabosco A, Pilia G, Schlessinger D (2005). *Foxl2* is required for commitment to ovary differentiation. *Human Molecular genetics*, 14(14):2053-2062.
- Øvergård A, Nerland AH, Patel S (2010). Evaluation of potential reference genes for real time RT-PCR studies in Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*); during development, in tissues of healthy and NNV-injected fish, and in anterior kidney leucocytes. *BMC Molecular Biology*, 11:36.

- Pangas SA, Choi Y, Ballow DJ, Zhao YG, Westphal H, Matzuk MM, Rajkovic A (2006). Oogenesis requires germ cell-specific transcriptional regulators Sohlh1 and Lhx8. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 103(21):8090-8095.
- Pannetier M, Fabre S, Batista F, Kocer A, Renault L, Jolivet G, Mandon-Pépin B, Cotinot C, Veitia R, Pailhoux E (2006). FOXL2 activates P450 aromatase gene transcription: towards a better characterization of the early steps of mammalian ovarian development. *Journal of Molecular Endocrinology*, 36(3):399-413.
- Pardo BG, Bouza C, Castro J, Martínez P, Sánchez L (2001). Localization of ribosomal genes in Pleuronectiformes using Ag- and CMA₃ banding and *in situ* hybridization. *Heredity*, 86:531-536.
- Pardo BG, Fernandez C, Hermida M, Vazquez-Lopez A, Perez M, Calaza M, Alvarez-Dios JA, Raposo-Guillan J, Bouza C, Martinez P (2007). Development and characterization of 248 novel microsatellite markers in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *Genome*, 50:329-332.
- Pardo BG, Fernández C, Millán A, Bouza C, Vázquez-López A, Vera M, Alvarez-Dios JA, Calaza M, Gómez-Tato A, Vázquez M, Cabaleiro S, Magariños B, Lemos ML, Leiro JM, Martínez P (2008). Expressed sequence tags (ESTs) from immune tissues of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) challenged with pathogens. BMC Veterinary Research, 4:37.
- Pardo BG, Millán A, Gómez-Tato A, Fernández C, Bouza C, Alvarez-Dios JA, Cabaleiro S, Lamas J, Leiro JM, Martínez P (2012). Gene expression profiles of spleen, liver and head kidney in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) along the infection process with *Philasterides dicentrarchi* using an immune-enriched oligo-microarray. *Marine Biotechnology*, 14:570-582.
- Park SY, Jameson JL (2005). Minireview: transcriptional regulation of gonadal development and differentiation. *Endocrinology*, 146(3):1035-1042.
- ✤ Parr BA, McMahon AP (1998). Sexually dimorphic development of the mammalian reproductive tract requires Wnt-7a. *Nature*, 395(6703):707-710.
- Patil JG, Gunasekera RM (2008). Tissue and sexually dimorphic expression of ovarian and brain aromatase mRNA in the Japanese medaka (*Oryzias latipes*): implications for their preferential roles in ovarian and neural differentiation and development. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 158(1):131-137.
- Peirson SN, Butler JN, Foster RG (2003). Experimental validation of novel and conventional approaches to quantitative real-time PCR data analysis. Nucleic Acids Research, 31(14):e73.
- Penman DJ, Piferrer (2008). Fish gonadogenesis. Part 1. Genetic and environmental mechanisms of sex determination. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 16(S1):14-32.

- Pereiro P, Balseiro P, Romero A, Dios S, Forn-Cuni G, Fuste B, Planas JV, Beltran S, Novoa B, Figueras A (2012). High-throughput sequence analysis of turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) transcriptome using 454-pyrosequencing for the discovery of antiviral immune genes. *PLoS One*, 7(5):e35369.
- Perrett RM, Turnpenny L, Eckert JJ, O'Shea M, Sonne SB, Cameron IT, Wilson DI, Rajpert-De Meyts E, Hanley NA (2008). The early human germ cell lineage does not express SOX2 during in vivo development or upon in vitro culture. *Biology of Reproduction*, 78(5):852-858.
- Person-Le Ruyet J (1990). Sole and turbot culture. In Aquaculture, volumen 2. Barnabé G editor. Ellis Horwood, Sussex, England, pp. 687-734.
- Pfaffl MW, Tichopad A, Prgomet C, Neuvians TP (2004). Determination of stable housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity: BestKeeper Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. *Biotechnology Letters*, 26:509-515.
- Pieau C, Dorizzi M (2004). Oestrogens and temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles: all is in the gonads. *Journal of Endocrinology*, 181(3):367-377.
- Piferrer F, Felip A, Blázquez M (1995). Control genético y fisiológico de las proporciones de sexos de los teleósteos y su aplicación en acuicultura. In Aulas del Mar, Acuicultura, Biología Marina. Edited by Zamora S, Agulleiro y García O. Murcia, pp 75-109.
- Piferrer F, Cal RM, Gomez C, Bouza C, Martinez P (2003). Induction of triploidy in the turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) II. Effects of cold shock timing and induction of triploidy in a large volume of eggs. *Aquaculture*, 220(1-4):821-831.
- Piferrer F, Blázquez M, Navarro L, Gonzalez A (2005). Genetic, endocrine, and environmental components of sex determination and differentiation in the European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax* L.). General and Comparative Endocrinology, 142(1-2):102-110.
- Piferrer F, Guiguen Y (2008). Fish gonadogenesis. Part II: Molecular biology and genomics of sex differentiation. *Reviews in Fisheries Science*, 16:35-55.
- Piferrer F (2009). Endocrine Control of Sex Differentiation in Fish. Encyclopedia of Fish Physiology: From Genome to Environment, 2:1490-1499
- Piferrer F, Martínez P, Ribas L, Viñas A, Díaz N (2012). Functional genomic analysis of sex determination and differentiation in teleost fish. In: Functional Genomics in Aquaculture. Saroglia M and Liu Z, editors. Wiley-Blackwell; Oxford, pp. 169-204.
- Piferrer F (2013). Epigenetics of sex determination and gonadogenesis. Developmental Dynamics, 242(4):360-370.
- Poonlaphdecha S, Pepey E, Canonne M, de Verdal H, Baroiller JF, D'Cotta H (2013).
 Temperature induced-masculinisation in the Nile tilapia causes rapid up-regulation of both dmrt1 and amh expressions. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 193:234-242.

- Pradhan S, Bacolla A, Wells RD, Roberts RJ (1999). Recombinant human DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferase I. Expression, purification, and comparison of de novo and maintenance methylation. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(46):33002-33010.
- Pradhan M, Esteve PO, Chin HG, Samaranayke M, Kim GD, Pradhan S (2008). CXXC domain of human DNMT1 is essential for enzymatic activity. *Biochemistry*, 47:10000-10009.
- Pradhan A, Olsson PE (2014). Juvenile ovary to testis transition in zebrafish involves inhibition of ptges. *Biology of Reproduction*, 91(2):33.
- Purdom CE, Jones A, Lincoln RF (1972). Cultivation trials with turbot (Scophthalmus maximus). Aquaculture, 1:213-230.
- Quinn AE, Georges A, Sarre SD, Guarino F, Ezaz T, Graves JA (2007). Temperature sex reversal implies sex gene dosage in a reptile. *Science*, 316(5823):411.
- R Development Core Team (2008). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org.
- Radder RS, Quinn AE, Georges A, Sarre SD, Shine R (2008). Genetic evidence for cooccurrence of chromosomal and themal sex-detemining systems in a lizard. *Biology Letters*, 4(2):176-178.
- Raghuveer K, Senthilkumaran B (2010). Cloning and differential expression pattern of vasa in the developing and recrudescing gonads of catfish, Clarias gariepinus. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 157(1):79-85.
- Rai K, Nadauld LD, Chidester S, Manos EJ, James SR, Karpf AR, Cairns BR, Jones DA (2006). Zebrafish Dnmt1 and Suv39h1 regulate organ-specific terminal differentiation during development. *Molecular and Cellular Biology*, 26(19):7077-7085.
- Ramakers C, Ruijter JM, Deprez RHL, Moorman AFM (2003). Assumption-free analysis of quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR) data. *Neuroscience Letters*, 339:62-66.
- Ramkissoon Y, Goodfellow P (1996). Early steps in mammalian sex determination. Current Opinion in Genetics and Development, 6:316-321.
- Ramsey M, Crews D (2009). Steroid signaling and temperature-dependent sex determination – reviewing the evidence for early action of estrogen during ovarian determination in turtles. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology, 20(3):283-292.
- Ravi P, Jiang JH, Liew WC, Orban L (2014). Small-scale transcriptomics reveals differences among gonadal stages in Asian seabass (*Lates calcarifer*). Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology, 12:5.

- Raymond CS, Murphy MW, O'Sullivan MG, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D (2000). Dmrt1, a gene related to worm and fly sexual regulators, is required for mammalian testis differentiation. Genes & Development, 14(20):2587-2595.
- Reuter M, Chuma S, Tanaka T, Franz T, Stark A, Pillai RS (2009). Loss of the Miliinteracting Tudor domain-containing protein-1 activates transposons and alters the Miliassociated small RNA profile. *Nature Structural & Molecular Biology*, 16:639-646.
- Ribas L, Pardo BG, Fernández C, Álvarez-Dios JA, Gómez-Tato A, Quiroga MI, Planas JV, Sitjà-Bobadilla A, Martínez P, Piferrer F (2013). A combined strategy involving Sanger and 454 pyrosequencing increases genomic resources to aid in the management of reproduction, disease control and genetic selection in the turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). BMC Genomics, 14:180.
- Rice WR (1987). Genetic hitchhiking and the evolution of reduced genetic activity of the Y sex chromosome. *Genetics*, 116(1):161-167.
- Ringuette MJ, Chamberlin ME, Baur AW, Sobieski DA, Dean J (1988). Molecular analysis of cDNA coding for ZP3, a sperm binding protein of the mouse zona pellucida. Developmental Biology, 127(2):287-295.
- Robledo D, Ronza P, Harrison PW, Losada AP, Bermúdez R, Pardo BG, Redondo MJ, Sitjà-Bobadilla A, Quiroga MI, Martínez P (2014a). RNA-seq analysis reveals significant transcriptome changes in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) suffering severe enteromyxosis. *BMC Genomics*, 15:1149.
- Robledo D, Hernández-Urcera J, Cal RM, Pardo BG, Sánchez L, Martínez P, Viñas A (2014b). Analysis of qPCR reference gene stability determination methods and a practical approach for efficiency calculation on a turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*) gonad dataset. *BMC Genomics*, 15:648.
- Rodríguez-Marí A, Yan YL, Bremiller RA, Wilson C, Cañestro C, Postlethwait JH (2005). Characterization and expression pattern of zebrafish Anti-Müllerian hormone (amh) relative to sox9a, sox9b, and cyp19a1a, during gonad development. Gene Expression Patterns, 5(5):655-667.
- Rodriguez-Marí A, Postlethwait JH (2011). The role of fanconi anemia/BRCA genes in zebrafish sex determination. In: The Zebrafish: Disease Models and Chemical Screens, 3rd Edition. Detrich HE, Westerfield M, Zon LI, editors. Academic Press, Methods in Cell Biology, Volumen 105 pp. 461-490.
- Rodríguez-Marí A, Cañestro C, BreMiller RA, Catchen JM, Yan Y, Postlethwait JH (2013).
 Retinoic acid metabolic genes, meiosis, and gonadal sex differentiation in zebrafish. *Plos One*, 8:e73951.
- Rodríguez-Ramilo ST, De La Herrán R, Ruiz-Rejón M, Fernández C, Pereiro P, Figueras A, Bouza C, Toro MA, Martínez P, Fernández J (2014). Identification of quantitative trait loci associated with resistance to viral haemorrhagic septicaemia (VHS in turbot

(Scophthalmus maximus): a comparison between bacterium, parasite and virus diseases. *Marine Biotechnology*, 16(3):265-276.

- Rondeau EB, Messmer Am, Sanderson DS, Jantzen SG, von Schalburg KR, Minkley DR, Leong JS, Macdonald GM, Davidsen AE, Parker WA, Mazzola RS, Campbell B, Koop BF (2013). Genomics of sablefish (*Anoplopoma fimbria*): expressed genes, mitochondrial phylogeny, linkage map and identification of a putative sex gene. *BMC Genomics*, 14:452.
- Rovatsos M, Pokorná M, Altmanová M, Kratochvíl L (2014). Cretaceous park of sex determination: sex chromosomes are conserved across iguanas. *Biology Letters*, 10(3):20131093.
- Ruijter JM, Ramakers C, Hoogaars WMH, Karlen Y, Bakker O, van den Hoff MJB, Moorman AFM (2009). Amplification efficiency: linking baseline and bias in the analysis of quantitative PCR data. Nucleic Acids Research, 37:e45.
- Ruijter JM, Pfaffl MW, Zhao S, Spiess AN, Boggy G, Blom J, Rutledge RG, Sisti D, Lievens A, De Preter K, Derveaux S, Hellemans J, Vandesompele J (2013). Evaluation of qPCR curve analysis methods for reliable biomarker discovery: Bias, resolution, precision, and implications. *Methods*, 59:32-46.
- Rutledge RG, Côte C (2003). Mathematics of quantitative kinetic PCR and the application of standard curves. Nucleic Acids Research, 31:e93.
- Rutledge RG, Stewart D (2008). A kinetic-based sigmoidal model for the polymerase chain reaction and its application to high-capacity absolute quantitative real-time PCR. BMC Biotechnology, 8:47.
- Rutledge RG (2011). A java program for LRE-based real-time qPCR that enables largescale absolute quantification. *PLoS ONE*, 6:e17636.
- Ryan KJ (1982). Biochemistry of aromatase: significance to female reproductive physiology. Cancer Research, 42:3342-3344.
- Saitoh K (1989). Multiple sex-chromosome system in a loach fish. Cytogenetics and Cell Genetics, 52(1-2):62-64.
- Sakai Y, Suetake I, Itoh K, Mizugaki M, Tajima S, Yamashina S (2001). Expression of DNA methyltransferase (Dnmt1) in testicular germ cells during development of mouse embryo. Cell Structure and Function, 26:685-691.
- Salmerón C, García de la Serrana D, Jiménez-Amilburu V, Fontanillas R, Navarro I, Johnston IA, Gutiérrez J, Capilla E (2013). Characterisation and expression of calpain family members in relation to nutritional status, diet composition and flesh texture in gilthead sea bream (*Sparus aurata*). *PLoS One*, 8:e75349.
- Salz HK (2011). Sex determination in insects: a binary decision based on alternative splicing. Current Opinion in Genetics and Development, 21(4):395-400.

- Sánchez-Molano E, Cerna A, Toro MA, Bouza C, Hermida M, Pardo BG, Cabaleiro S, Fernandez J, Martinez P (2011). Detection of growth-related QTL in turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). *BMC Genomics*, 12:473.
- Santerre C, Sourdaine P, Marc N, Mingant C, Robert R, Martinez AS (2013). Oyster sex determination is influenced by temperature - first clues in spat during first gonadic differentiation and gametogenesis. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular & Integrative Physiology, 165(1):61-69.
- Sato Y, Shinka T, Sakamoto K, Ewis AA, Nakahori Y (2010). The male-determining gene SRY is a hybrid of DGCR8 and SOX3, and is regulated by the transcription factor CP2. *Molecullar and Cellular Biochemistry*, 337(1-2):267-275.
- Sawatari E, Shikina S, Takeuchi T, Yoshizaki G (2007). A novel transforming growth factor-β superfamily member expressed in gonadal somatic cells enhances primordial germ cell and spermatogonial proliferation in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*). Developmental Biology, 301(1):266-275.
- Sawyer SJ, Gerstner KA, Callard GV (2006). Real-time PCR analysis of cytochrome P450 aromatase expression in zebrafish: Gene specific tissue distribution, sex differences, developmental programming, and estrogen regulation. General and Comparative Endocrinology, 147(2):108-117.
- Scharer L, Rowe L, Arnqvist G (2012). Anisogamy, chance and the evolution of sex roles. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 27(5):260-264.
- Schartl M (2004). Sex chomosome evolution in non-mammalian vertebrates. Current Opinion in Genetics & Development, 14(6):634-641.
- Schmid M, Nanda I, Steinlein C, Kausch K, Epplen JT, Haaf T (1991). Sex determining mechanisms and sex chromosomes in amphibia. In: Amphibian Cytogenetics and Evolution. Green DM, Sessions SK, editors. Academic Press; New York, pp. 393–430.
- Schroter S, Osterhoff C, McArdle W, Ivell R (1999). The glycocalyx of the sperm surface. *Human Reproduction Update*, 5(4):302-313.
- Schultz RJ (1993) Genetic regulation of temperature-mediated sex ratios in the livebearing fish *Poeciliopsis lucida*. *Copeia*, 1993:1148–115
- Schulz RW, Bogerd J, Male R, Ball J, Fenske M, Olsen LC, Tyler CR (2007). Estrogeninduced alterations in amh and dmrt1 expression signal for disruption in male sexual development in the zebrafish. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 41(17):6305-6310.
- Schwanz LE, Ezaz T, Gruber B, Georges A (2013). Novel evolutionary pathways of sexdetermining mechanisms. *Journal of Evolutionary Biology*, 26(12):2544-2557.
- Sekido R, Lovell-Badge R (2008). Sex determination involves synergistic action of SRY and SF1 on a specific Sox9 enhancer. *Nature*, 453(7197):930-934.

- Seo KW, Wang Y, Kokubo H, Kettlewell JR, Zarkower DA, Johnson RL (2006). Targeted disruption of the DM domain containing transcription factor Dmrt2 reveals an essential role in somite patterning. Developmental Biology, 290: 200–210.
- Sensiate LA, Sobreira DR, Da Veiga FC, Peterlini DJ, Pedrosa AV, Rirsch T, Joazeiro PP, Schubert FR, Collares-Buzato CB, Xavier-Neto J, Dietrich S, Alvares LE (2014). Dact gene expression profiles suggest a role for this gene family in integrating Wnt and TGF-β signaling pathways during chicken limb development. *Developmental Dynamics*, 243:428-439.
- Shannon P, Markiel A, Ozier O, Baliga NS, Wang JT, Ramage D, Amin N, Schwikowski B, Ideker T (2003). Cytoscape: A software environment for integrated models of biomolecular interaction networks. *Genome Research*, 13(11):2498-2504.
- Shao CW, Li QY, Chen SL, Zhang P, Lian JM, Hu QM, Sun B, Jin LJ, Liu SS, Wang ZJ, Zhao HM, Jin ZH, Liang Z, Li YZ, Zheng QM, Zhang Y, Wang J, Zhang GJ (2014).
 Epigenetic modification and inheritance in sexual reversal of fish. *Genome Research*, 24(4):604-615.
- Shen WH, Moore CC, Ikeda Y, Parker KL, Ingraham HA (1994). Nuclear receptor steroidogenic factor 1 regulates the mullerian inhibiting substance gene: a link to the sex determination cascade. *Cell*, 77:651-661.
- Shen ZG, Wang HP (2014). Molecular players involved in temperature-dependent sex determination and sex differentiation in Teleost fish. Genetics Selection Evolution, 46(1):26.
- Sheng Y, Chen B, Zhang L, Luo MJ, Cheng HH, Zhou RJ (2014). Identification of Dmrt genes and their up-regulation during gonad transformation in the swamp eel (Monopterus albus). Molecular Biology Reports, 41(3):1237-1245.
- Shetty S, Kirby P, Zarkower D, Graves JA (2002). DMRT1 in a ratite bird: evidence for a role in sex determination and discovery of a putative regulatory element. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, 99(1-4):245-251.
- Shibata Y, Iwamatsu T, Oba Y, Kobayashi D, Tanaka M, Nagahama Y, Suzuki N, Yoshikuni M (2000). Identification and cDNA cloning of alveolin, an extracellular metalloproteinase, which induces chorion hardening of medaka (*Oryzias latipes*) eggs upon fertilization. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 275(12):8349-8354.
- Shibata Y, Paul-Prasanth B, Suzuki A, Usami T, Nakamoto M, Matsuda M, Nagahama Y (2010). Expression of gonadal soma derived factor (GSDF) is spatially and temporally correlated with early testicular differentiation in medaka. *Gene Expression Patterns*, 10(6):283-289.
- Shibata Y, Iwamatsu T, Suzuki N, Young G, Naruse K, Nagahama Y, Yoshikuni M (2012). An oocyte-specific astacin family protease, alveolin, is released from cortical granules to trigger egg envelope hardening during fertilization in medaka (*Oryzias latipes*). Developmental Biology, 372(2):239-248.

- Shoemaker CM, Queen J, Crews D (2007). Response of candidate sex-determining genes to changes in temperature reveals their involvement in the molecular network underlying temperature-dependent sex determination. *Molecular Endocrinology*, 21(11):2750-2763.
- Shoemaker CM, Crews D (2009). Analyzing the coordinated gene network underlying temperature-dependent sex determination in reptiles. Seminar in Cell & Developmental Biology, 20(3):293-303.
- Siegfried KR, Nüsslein-Volhard C (2008). Germ line control of female sex determination in zebrafish. Developmental Biology, 324:277-287.
- Silberberg G, Baruch K, Navon R (2009). Detection of stable reference genes for real-time PCR analysis in schizophrenia and bipolar disorder. *Analytic Biochemistry*, 391:91-97.
- Silver N, Best S, Jiang J, Thein SL (2006). Selection of housekeeping genes for gene expression studies in human reticulocytes using real-time PCR. BMC Molecular Biology, 7:33.
- Simpson AL (1992). Differences in body size and lipid reserves between maturing and nonmaturing Atlantic salmon parr, Salmo salar L. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 70: 1737-1742.
- Sinclair AH, Berta P, Palmer MS, Hawkins JR, Griffiths BL, Smith MJ, Foster JW, Frischauf AM, Lovell-Badge R, Goodfellow PN (1990). A gene from the human sex-determining region encodes a protein with homology to a conserved DNA-binding motif. *Nature*, 346:240-244.
- Small CM, Carney GE, Mo Q, Vannucci M, Jones AG (2009). A microarray analysis of sexand gonad-biased gene expression in the zebrafish: Evidence for masculinization of the transcriptome. *BMC Genomics*, 10:579.
- ✤ Smith CA, Sinclair AH (2004). Sex determination: insights from the chicken. *Bioessays*, 26(2):120-132.
- Smith CA, Shoemaker CM, Roeszler KN, Queen J, Crews D, Sinclair AH (2008). Cloning and expression of R-Spondin1 in different vertebrates suggests a conserved role in ovarian development. BMC Developmental Biology, 8:72.
- Smith CA, Roeszler KN, Ohnesorg T, Cummins DM, Farlie PG, Doran TJ, Sinclair AH (2009). The avian Z-linked gene DMRT1 is required for male sex determination in the chicken. *Nature*, 461(7261):267-271.
- Smith CL (1975). The evolution of hermaphroditism in fishes. In Intersexuality in the Animal Kingdom, ed R. Reinboth, Springer-Verlag, pp. 295-310.
- Smith JJ, Voss SR (2009). Amphibian sex determination: segregation and linkage analysis using members of the tiger salamander species complex (*Ambystoma mexicanum* and *A. t. tigrinum*). *Heredity*, 102(6):542-548.

- Sorby LA, Andersen SN, Bukholm IR, Jacobsen MB (2010). Evaluation of suitable reference genes for normalization of real-time reverse transcription PCR analysis in colon cancer. Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research, 29:144.
- Sorimachi H, Ishiura S, Suzuki K (1993). A novel tissue-specific calpain species expressed predominantly in the stomach comprises 2 alternative splicing products with and without Ca2+-binding domain. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 268(26):19476-19482.
- Sower SA, Freamat M, Kavanaugh SI (2009). The origins of the vertebrate hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal (HPG) and hypothalamic-pituitary-thyroid (HPT) endocrine systems: New insights from lampreys. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 161(1):20-29.
- Spiess AN, Feig C, Ritz C (2008). Highly accurate sigmoidal fitting of real-time PCR data by introducing a parameter for asymmetry. *BMC Bioinformatics*, 9:221.
- Sreenivasan R, Jiang J, Wang X, Bártfai R, Kwan HY, Chrostoffels A, Orbán L (2014).
 Gonad differentiation in zebrafish is regulated by the canonical wnt signaling pathway.
 Biology of Reproduction, 90:45.
- Stope MB, Schubert T, Staar D, Ronnau C, Streitborger A, Kroeger N, Kubisch C, Zimmermann U, Walther R, Burchardt M (2012). Effect of the heat shock protein HSP27 on androgen receptor expression and function in prostate cancer cells. World Journal of Urology, 30:327-331.
- Strushkevich N, MacKenzie F, Cherkesova T, Grabovec I, Usanov S, Park HW (2011). Structural basis for prenenolone biosynthesis by the mitochondrial monooxygenase system. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 108:10139-10143.
- Strüssmann CA, Saito T, Usui M, Yamada H, Takashima F (1997). Thermal thresholds and critical period of thermolabile sex determination in two atherinid fishes, Odontesthes bonariensis and Patagonina hatcheri. *Journal of Experimental Zoology*, 278:167-177.
- Styhler S, Nakamura A, Lasko P (2002). VASA localization requires the SPRY-domain and SOCS-box containing protein, GUSTAVUS. Developmental Cell, 3:865-876.
- Sui SJH, Mortimer JR, Arenillas DJ, Brumm J, Walsh CJ, Kennedy BP, Wasserman WW (2005). oPOSSUM: identification of over-represented transcription factor binding sites in co-expressed genes. Nucleic Acids Research, 33(10):3154-3164.
- Sundaram AYM, Kiron V, Dopazo J, Fernandes JMO (2012). Diversification of the expanded teleost-specific toll-like receptor family in Atlantic cod, *Gadus morhua*. BMC Evolutionary Biology, 12:256.
- Sundström G, Larsson TA, Xu B, Heldin J, Larhammer D (2013). Interactions of zebrafish peptide YYb with the neuropeptide Y-family receptors Y4, Y7, Y8a, and Y8b. Frontiers in Neuroscience, 7:29.

- Suzuki MG, Kobayashi S, Aoki F (2014). Male-specific splicing of the silkworm Imp gene is maintained by an autoregulatory mechanism. *Mechanisms of Development*, 131:47-56.
- Swanson P, Dickey JT, Campbell B (2003). Biochemistry and physiology of fish gonadotropins. *Fish Physiology Biochemistry*, 28:53-59.
- Sweetman D, Münsterberg A (2006). The vertebrate spalt genes in development and disease. *Developmental Biology*, 293(2):285–293.
- Szyf M, Bozovie V, Tanigawa G (1991). Growth regulation of mouse DNA methyltransferase gene expression. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 266:10027-10030.
- Taboada X, Robledo D, Del Palacio L, Rodeiro A, Felip A, Martínez P, Viñas A (2012).
 Comparative expression analysis in mature gonads, liver and brain of turbot (Scophthalmus maximus) by cDNA-AFLPS. Gene, 492(1):250-261.
- Taboada X, Hermida M, Pardo BG, Vera M, Piferrer F, Viñas A, Bouza C, Martínez P (2014).
 Fine mapping and evolution of the major sex determining region in turbot (Scophthalmus maximus). G3: Genes, Genomes, Genetics, 4(10):1871-1880.
- Takada S, DiNapoli L, Capel B, Koopman P (2004). Sox8 is expressed at similar levels in gonads of both sexes during the sex determining period in turtles. Developmental Dynamics, 231(2):387-395.
- Takada S, Mano H, Koopman P (2005). Regulation of Amh during sex determination in chickens: Sox gene expression in male and female gonads. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 62(18):2140-2146.
- Takaoka A, Yanai H (2006). Interferon signaling network in innate defence. Cellular Microbiology, 8(6):907-922.
- ✤ Takehana Y, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M (2008). Different origins of ZZ/ZW sex chromosomes in closely related medaka fishes, Oryzias javanicus and O. hubbsi. Chromosome research, 16(5):801-111.
- Takehana Y, Matsuda M, Myosho T, Suster ML, Kawakami K, Shin-I T, Kohara Y, Kuroki Y, Toyoda A, Fujiyama A, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M, Naruse K (2014). Co-option of Sox3 as the male-determining factor on the Y chromosome in the fish Oryzias dancena. Nature communications, 5:4157.
- ✤ Takeuchi A, Okubo K (2013). Post-proliferative immature radial glial cells femalespecifically express aromatase in the medaka optic tectum. *PLoS One*, 8:e73663.
- Tamanini F, Willemsen R, van Unen L, Bontekoe C, Galjaard H, Oostra BA, Hoogeveen AT (1997). Differential expression of FMR1, FXR1 and FXR2 proteins in human brain and testis. *Human molecular Genetics*, 6(8):1315-1322.
- Tanaka K, Takehana Y, Naruse K, Hamaguchi S, Sakaizumi M (2007). Evidence for different origins of sex chromosomes in closely related *Oryzias* fishes: substitution of the master sex-determining gene. *Genetics*, 177(4):2075-2081.

- Tanaka SS, Toyooka Y, Akasu R, Katoh-Fukui Y, Nakahara Y, Suzuki R, Yokoyama M, Noce T (2000). The mouse homolog of *Drosophila* Vasa is required for the development of male germ cells. *Genes and Development*, 14:841–853.
- Tanaka SS, Nishinakamura R (2014). Regulation of male sex determination: genital ridge formation and Sry activation in mice. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 71:4781-4802.
- Tao WJ, Yuan J, Zhou LY, Sun LN, Sun YL, Yang SJ, Li MH, Zeng S, Huang BF, Wang DH (2013). Characterization of gonadal transcriptomes from Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) reveals differentially expressed genes. *Plos One*, 8(5):e63604.
- Taranger GL, Carrillo M, Schulz RW, Fontaine P, Zanuy S, Felip A, Weltzien FA, Dufour S, Karlsen Ø, Norberg B, Andersson E, Hansen T (2010). Control of puberty in farmed fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 165(3):483-515.
- Thomas-Jinu S, Houart C (2013). Dynamic expression of neurexophilin1 during zebrafish embryonic development. *Gene Expression Patterns*, 13(8):395-401.
- Th'ng JP, Sung R, Ye M, Hendzel MJ (2005). H1 family histones in the nucleus. Control of binding and localization by the C-terminal domain. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 280:27809-27814.
- Tian JY, Qi ZT, Wu N, Chang MX, Nie P (2014). Complementary DNA sequences of the constant regions of T-cell antigen receptors α, β and γ in mandarin fish, *Siniperca chuatsi* Basilewsky, and their transcriptional changes after stimulation with *Flavobacterium columnare*. Journal of Fish Diseases, 37:89-101.
- Tomaszkiewicz M, Chalopin D, Schartl M, Galiana D, Volff JN (2014). A multicopy Ychromosomal SGNH hydrolase gene expressed in the testis of the platyfish has been captured and mobilized by a Helitron transposon. BMC Genetics, 15:44.
- Tsai CL, Wang LH, Shiue YL, Chao TY (2007). Influence of temperature on the ontogenetic expression of neural development-related genes from developing tilapia brain expressed sequence tags. *Marine Biotechnology*, 9(2):243-261.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G (2001). Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 98(9):5116-5121.
- Uchida D, Yamashita M, Kitano T, Iguchi T (2002). Oocyte apoptosis during the transition from ovary-like tissue to testes during sex differentiation of juvenile zebrafish. *Journal of Experimental Biology*, 205(6):711-718.
- Uchida D, Yamashita M, Kitano T, Iguchi T (2004). An aromatase inhibitor or high water temperature induce oocyte apoptosis and depletion of P450 aromatase activity in the gonads of genetic female zebrafish during sex-reversal. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology, 137(1):11-20.
- Uetz P, Hošek P (2014). The Reptile Database. http://www.reptile-database.org. Accessed December 8, 2014.

- Uhlenhaut NH, Jakob S, Anlag K, Eisenberger T, Sekido R, Kress J, Treier AC, Klugmann C, Klasen C, Holter NI, Riethmacher D, Schütz G, Cooney AJ, Lovell-Badge R, Treier M (2009).
 Somatic sex reprogramming of adult ovaries to testes by FOXL2 ablation. *Cell*, 139(6):1130-1142.
- Uller T, Helanterä (2011). From the origin of sex-determining factors to the evolution of sex-determining systems. *The Quarterly Review of Biology*, 86(3):163-180.
- Umasuthan N, Bathige SD, Thulasitha WS, Qiang W, Lim BS, Lee J (2014a). Characterization of rock bream (*Oplegnathus fasciatus*) cytosolic Cu/Zn superoxide dismutase in terms of molecular structure, genomic arrangement, stress-induced mRNA expression and antioxidant function. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 176:18-33.
- Umasuthan N, Wan Q, Revathy KS, Whang I, Noh JK, Kim S, Park MA, Lee J (2014b). Molecular aspects, genomic arrangement and immune responsive mRNA expression profiles of two CXC chemokine receptor homologs (CXCR1 and CXCR2) from rock bream, Oplegnathus fasciatus. Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 40:304-318.
- Uno Y, Nishida C, Yoshimoto S, Ito M, Oshima Y, Yokoyama S, Nakamura M, Matsuda Y (2008). Diversity in the origins of sex chromosomes in anurans inferred from comparative mapping of sexual differentiation genes for three species of the *Raninae* and *Xenopodinae*. *Chromosome Research*, 16(7):999-1011.
- Untergasser A, Cutcutache I, Koressaar T, Ye J, Faircloth BC, Remm M, Rozen SG (2012).
 Primer3 new capabilities and interfaces. Nucleic Acids Research, 40(15):e115.
- Vaillant S, Magre S, Dorizzi M, Pieau C, Richard-Mercier N (2001). Expression of AMH, SF1, and SOX9 in gonads of genetic female chickens during sex reversal induced by an aromatase inhibitor. *Developmental Dynamics*, 222(2):228-237.
- Vainio S, Heikkilä M, Kispert A, Chin N, McMahon AP (1999). Female development in mammals is regulated by Wnt-4 signalling. *Nature*, 397(6718):405-409.
- Vale L, Dieguez R, Sánchez L, Martínez P, Viñas A (2014). A sex-associated sequence identified by RAPD screening in gynogenetic individuals of turbot (*Scophthalmus* maximus). Molecular Biology Reports, 41(3):1501-1509.
- Valenzuela N (2008). Sexual development and the evolution of sex determination. Sexual Development, 2(2):64-72.
- ✤ Valenzuela N, Neuwald JL, Literman R (2013). Transcriptional evolution underlying vertebrate sexual development. Developmental Dynamics, 242:307-319.
- Vallender EJ, Lahn BT (2004). How mammalian sex chromosomes acquired their peculiar gene content. *Bioessays*, 26(2):159-169.
- van Doorn GS (2014). Evolutionary transitions between sex-determining mechanisms: a review of theory. Sex Development, 8(1-3):7-19.

- van Nes S, Moe M, Andersen Ø (2005). Molecular characterization and expression of two cyp19 (P450 aromatase) genes in embryos, larvae, and adults of Atlantic halibut (*Hippoglossus hippoglossus*). Molecular Reproduction and Development, 72(4):437-449.
- van Tuinen M, Hedges SB (2001). Calibration of avian molecular clocks. Molecular Biology and Evolution, 92(5):329-338.
- Vandeputte M, Dupont-Nivet M, Chavanne H, Chatain B (2007). A polygenic hypothesis for sex determination in the European sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax*. *Genetics*, 176(2):1049-1057.
- Vandesompele J, De Preter K, Pattyn F, Poppe B, Van Roy N, De paepe A, Speleman F (2002). Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Genome Biology*, 3:RESEARCH0034.
- Venables WN, Ripley BD (2002). Modern applied statistics with S (4th edition). Springer, New York.
- Veyrunes F, Waters PD, Miethke P, Rens W, McMillan D, Alsop AE, Grützner F, Deakin JE, Whittington CM, Schatzkamer K, Kremitzki CL, Graves T, Ferguson-Smith MA, Warren W, Graves JAM (2008). Bird-like sex chromosomes of platypus imply recent origin of mammal sex chromosomes. *Genome Research*, 18:965-973.
- Vicoso B, Emerson JJ, Zektser Y, Mahajan S, Bachtrog D (2013). Comparative sex chromosome genomics in snakes: differentiation, evolutionary strate, and lack of global dosage compensation. *PLoS Biology*, 11(8):e1001643.
- Villamizar N, Ribas L, Piferrer F, Vera LM, Sanchez-Vazquez FJ (2012). Impact of daily thermocycles on hatching rhythms, larval performance and sex differentiation of zebrafish. *Plos One*, 7(12):e52153.
- Viñas A, Taboada X, Vale L, Robledo D, Hermida M, Vera M, Martínez P (2012). Mapping of DNA sex-specific markers and genes related to sex differentiation in turbot (Scophthalmus maximus). Marine Biotechnology, 14(5):655-663.
- Viñas J, Piferrer F (2008). Stage-specific gene expression during fish spermatogenesis as determined by laser-capture microdissection and quantitative-PCR in sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) gonads. *Biology of Reproduction*, 79(4):738-747.
- ✤ Visel A, Thaller C, Eichele G (2004). GenePaint.org: an atlas of gene expression patterns in the mouse embryo. Nucleic Acids Research, 32:D552–D556.
- Vizziano D, Randuineau G, Baron D, Cauty C, Guiguen Y (2007). Characterization of early molecular sex differentiation in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Developmental Dynamics, 236(8):2198-2206.
- ✤ Volff JN, Nanda I, Schmid M, Schartl M (2007). Governing sex determination in fish: regulatory putsches and ephemeral dictators. Sexual Development, 236(11):2993-3006.

- von Hofsten J, Olsson PE (2005). Zebrafish sex determination and differentiation: Involvement of FTZ-F1 genes. *Reproductive Biology and Endocrinology*, 3:63.
- von Schalburg KR, Yasuike M, Yazawa R, de Boer JG, Reid L, So S, Robb A, Rondeau EB, Phillips RB, Davidson WS, Koop BF (2011). Regulation and expression of sexual differentiation factors in embryonic and extragonadal tissues of Atlantic salmon. BMC Genomics, 12:31.
- ✤ Walock CN, Kittilson JD, Sheridan MA (2014). Characterization of a novel growth hormone receptor-encoding cDNA in rainbow trout and regulation of its expression by nutritional state. *Gene*, 533: 286-294.
- Walters KA, Simanainen U, Handelsman DJ (2010). Molecular insights into androgen actions in male and female reproductive function from androgen receptor knockout models. *Human Reproduction Update*, 16:543-558.
- Wang DS, Kobayashi T, Zhou LY, Paul-Prasanth B, Ijiri S, Sakai F, Okubo K, Morohashi KI, Nagahama Y (2007). Foxl2 up-regulates aromatase gene transcription in a female-specific manner by binding to the promoter as well as interacting with Ad4 binding protein/steroidogenic factor 1. *Molecular Endocrinology*, 21(3):712-725.
- ✤ Wang LH, Tsai CL (2000). Effects of temperature on the deformity and sex differentiation of tilapia, Oreochromis mossambicus. Journal of Experimental Zoology, 286:534-537.
- Wang N, Wang XL, Sha ZX, Tian YS, Chen SL (2010). Development and characterization of a new marine fish cell line from turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Fish Physiology and Biochemistry, 36(4):1227-1234.
- ✤ Wang R, Cheng H, Xia L, Guo Y, Huang X, Zhou R (2003). Molecular cloning and expression of Sox17 in gonads during sex reversal in the rice field eel, a teleost fish with a characteristic of natural sex transformation. Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications, 303(2):452-457.
- Wang X, Bruderer S, Rafi Z, Xue J, Milburn PJ, Kraemer A, Robinson PJ (1999).
 Phosphorylation of splicing factor SF1 on Ser20 by cGMP-dependent protein kinase regulates spliceosome assembly. *EMBO Journal*, 18:4549-4559.
- Wang TT, Song XH, Bao GM, Zhao LX, Yu X, Zhao J (2013). Molecular characterization, expression analysis, and biological effects of interleukin-8 in grass carp *Ctenopharyngodon idellus*. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*, 35:1421-1432.
- ✤ Wang XG, Orban L (2007). Anti-Müllerian hormone and 11 beta-hydroxylase show reciprocal expression to that of aromatase in the transforming gonad of zebrafish males. Developmental Dynamics, 236(5):1329-1338.
- Wang XY, Zheng ZZ, Song HS, Xu YZ (2014). Conserved RNA cis-elements regulate alternative splicing of Lepidopteran doublesex. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, 44:1-11.

- Wang Y, Zhang M, Wang C, Ye B, Hua Z (2013). Molecular cloning of the alpha subunit of complement component C8 (CpC8α) of whitespotted bamboo shark (Chiloscyllium plagiosum). Fish & Shellfish Immunology, 35:1993-2000.
- Wang Z, Oron E, Nelson B, Razis S, Ivanova N (2012). Distinct lineage specification roles for NANOG, OCT4, and SOX2 in human embryonic stem cells. *Cell Stem Cell*, 10(4):440-454.
- Waxman JS, Hocking AM, Stoick CL, Moon RT (2004). Zebrafish Dapper1 and Dapper2 play distinct roles in Wnt-mediated developmental processes. *Development*, 131:5909-5921.
- Weismann A (1886). English translation 1891: The significance of sexual reproduction in the theory of natural selection. In: Poulton EB, Shönland S, Shipley AE (eds). Essays in Heredity and Kindred Problems, 1:257-305.
- Western PS, Harry JL, Graves JAM, Sinclair AH (1999). Temperature-dependent sex determination in the American alligator: AMH precedes SOX9 expression. Developmental Dynamics, 216:411-419.
- Wettenhall JM, Smyth GK (2004). LimmaGUI: A graphical user interface for linear modeling of microarray data. *Bioinformatics*, 20(18):3705-3706.
- Wheeler JR, Gimeno S, Crane M, Lopez-Juez E, Morritt D (2005). Vitellogenin: A review of analytical methods to detect (anti) estrogenic activity in fish. *Toxicology Mechanisms and Methods*, 15(4):293-306.
- Wilhelm D, Hiramatsu R, Mizusaki H, Widjaja L, Combes AN, Kanai Y, Koopman P (2007a). SOX9 regulates prostaglandin D synthase gene transcription in vivo to ensure testis development. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 282(14):10553-10560.
- Wilhelm D, Palmer S, Koopman P (2007b). Sex determination and gonadal development in mammals. *Physiological Reviews*, 87(1):1-28.
- Wilson MA, Makova KD (2009). Evolution and survival on eutherian sex chromosomes. *PloS Genetics*, 5(7):e1000568.
- Wimmer R, Kirsch S, Rappold GA, Schempp W (2005). Evolutionary breakpoint analysis on Y chromosomes of higher primates provides insight into human Y evolution. *Cytogenetic and Genome Research*, 108(1-3):204-210.
- Winkler C, Hornung U, Kondo M, Neuner C, Duschl J, Shima A, Shartl M (2004).
 Developmentally regulated and non-sex specific expression of autosomal dmrt genes in embryos of the Medaka fish (*Oryzias latipes*). *Mechanisms of Development*, 121:997-1005.
- ✤ Wrobel KH (2003). The genus Acipenser as a model for vertebrate urogenital development: the müllerian duct. Anatomy and Embriology, 206(4):255-271.

- Wreford NG, Kumar TR, Matzuk MM, de Kretser DM (2001). Analysis of the testicular phenotype of the follicle-stimulating hormone beta-subunit knockout and the activing type II receptor knockout mice by stereological analysis. *Endocrinology*, 142:2916-2920.
- Wu FR, Zhang XY, Zhang WL, Huang BF, Liu ZH, Hu CJ, Wang DS (2009). Expression of the three gonadotropin subunits in Southern catfish gonad and their possible roles during early gonadal development. Comparative Biochemistry and Phisiology Part A: Molecular and Integrative Physiology, 153:44-48.
- ✤ Wu GC, Chang CF (2009). Wnt4 is associated with the development of ovarian tissue in the protandrous black Porgy, Acanthopagrus schlegeli. Biology of Reproduction, 81(6):1073-1082.
- Wu X, Wang P, Brown CA, Zilinski CA, Matzuk MM (2003). Zygote arrest 1 (Zar1) is an evolutionarily conserved gene expressed in vertebrate ovaries. *Biology of Reproduction*, 69:861-867.
- Wu X, Chen L, Brown CA, Yan CN, Matzuk MM (2004). Interrelationship of growth differentiation factor 9 and inhibin in early folliculogenesis and ovarian tumorigenesis in mice. *Molecular Endocrinology*, 18(6):1509-1519.
- ★ Xu H, Gui J, Hong Y (2005). Differential expression of vasa RNA and protein during spermatogenesis and oogenesis in the gibel carp (*Carassius auratus gibelio*), a bisexually and gynogenetically reproducing vertebrate. *Developmental Dynamics*, 233(3):872-882.
- Xu J, Huang W, Zhong C, Luo D, Li S, Zhu Z, Hu W (2011). Defining global gene expression changes of the hypothalamic-pituitary-gonadal axis in female sGnRHantisense transgenic common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*). *PLoS ONE*, 6(6):e21057.
- Yabuta Y, Kurimoto K, Ohinata Y, Seki Y, Saitou M (2006). Gene expression dynamics during germline specification in mice identified by quantitative single-cell gene expression profiling. *Biology of Reproduction*, 75(5):705-716.
- Yamada K, Kanda H, Aihara T, Takamatsu N, Shiba T, Ito M (2008). Mammalian Sox15 gene: promoter analysis and implications for placental evolution. *Zoological Science*, 25:313-320.
- Yamaguchi A, Lee KH, Fujimoto H, Kadomura K, Yasumoto S, Matsuyama M (2006).
 Expression of the DMRT gene and its roles in early gonadal development of the Japanese pufferfish *Takifugu rubripes*. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part D: Genomics & Proteomics, 1(1):59-68.
- Yamaguchi T, Yoshinaga N, Yazawa T, Gen K, Kitano T (2010). Cortisol is involved in temperature-dependant sex determination in the Japanese flounder. *Endocrinology*, 151(8):3900-3908.
- Yan H, Ijiri S, Wu Q, Kobayashi T, Li S, Nakaseko T, Adachi S, Nagahama Y (2012).
 Expression patterns of gonadotropin hormones and their receptors during early sexual differentiation in nile tilapia Oreochromis niloticus. Biology of Reproduction, 87:116.

- Yang CX, Wright EC, Ross JW (2012). Expression of RNA-binding proteins DND1 and FXR1 in the porcine ovary, and during oocyte maturation and early embryo development. *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, 79(8):541-552.
- Yano A, Guyomard R, Nicol B, Jouanno E, Quillet E, Klopp C, Cabau C, Bouchez O, Fostier A, Guiguen Y (2012). An immune-related gene evolved into the master sex-determining gene in rainbow trout, *Oncorhynchus mykiss*. *Current Biology*, 22(15):1423-1428.
- Yano A, Nicol B, Jouanno E, Quillet E, Fostier A, Guyomard R, Guiguen Y (2013). The sexually dimorphic on the Y-chromosome gene (sdY) is a conserved male-specific Y-chromosome sequence in many salmonids. *Evolutionary Applications*, 6(3):486-496.
- Yao HH, Whoriskey W, Capel B (2002). Desert Hedgehog/Patched 1 signaling specifies fetal Leydig cell fate in testis organogenesis. Genes & Development, 16:1433-1440.
- Yi M, Chen F, Luo M, Cheng Y, Zhao H, Cheng H, Zhou R (2014). Rapid evolution of piRNA pathway in the teleost fish: implication for an adaptation to transposon diversity. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, 6(6):1393-1407.
- Yin LD, Huang CH, Ni J (2006). Clustering of gene expression data: performance and similarity analysis. BMC Bioinformatics, 7:S19.
- Yokoi H, Kobayashi T, Tanaka M, Nagahama Y, Wakamatsu Y, Takeda H, Araki K, Morohashi K, Ozato K (2002). Sox9 in a teleost fish, medaka (*Oryzias latipes*): Evidence for diversified function of Sox9 in gonad differentiation. *Molecular Reproduction and Development*, 63:5-16.
- Yoshimoto S, Okada E, Umemoto H, Tamura K, Uno Y, Nishida-Umehara C, Matsuda Y, Takamatsu N, Shiba T, Ito M (2008). A W-linked DM-domain gene, DM-W, participates in primary ovary development in Xenopus laevis. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105(7):2469-2474.
- Yoshinaga N, Shiraishi E, Yamamoto T, Iguchi T, Abe S, Kitano T (2004). Sexually dimorphic expression of a teleost homologue of Müllerian inhibiting substance during gonadal sex differentiation in Japanese flounder, *Paralichthys olivaceus*. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 322(2):508-513.
- Yu XG, Wu LM, Xie L, Yang SJ, Charkraborty T, Shi HJ, Wang DS, Zhou LY (2014). Characterization of two paralogous StAR genes in a teleost, Nile tilapia (Oreochromis niloticus). Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology, 392(1-2):152-162.
- Zhang H, Ren C, Gao X, Takahashi T, Sapolsky RM, Steinberg GK, Zhao H (2008). Hypothermia blocks beta-catenin degradation after focal ischemia in rats. Brain Research, 1198:182-187.
- Chang L, Lin D, Zhang Y, Ma G, Zhang W (2008). A homologue of Sox11 predominantly expressed in the ovary of the orange-spotted grouper *Epinephelus coioides*. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 149(2):345-353.

- Zhang L, Liu W, Shao C, Zhang N, Li H, Liu K, Dong Z, Qi Q, Zhao W, Chen S (2014).
 Cloning, expression and methylation analysis of piwil2 in half-smooth tongue sole (*Cynoglossus semilaevis*). *Marine Genomics*, 18 Pt A:45-54.
- Zhang Y, Chen D, Smith MA, Zhang B, Pan X (2012). Selection of reliable reference genes in *Caenorhabditis elegans* for analysis of nanotoxicity. *PLos ONE*, 7:e31849.
- Zhang Y, Zhang S, Liu ZX, Zhang LH, Zhang WM (2013). Epigenetic modifications during sex change repress gonadotropin stimulation of cyp19a1a in a teleost ricefield eel (*Monopterus albus*). Endocrinology, 154(8):2881-2890.
- Zhao S, Fernald RD (2005). Comprehensive Algorithm for quantitative Real-time polymesare chain reaction. *Journal of Computional Biology*, 12:1047-1064.
- Zhao Y, Lu H, Cheng H, Zhou R (2007). Multiple alternative splicing in gonads of chicken DMRT1. Development Genes and Evolution, 217(2):119-126.
- Zohar Y, Munoz-Cueto JA, Elizur A, Kah O (2010). Neuroendocrinology of reproduction in teleost fish. *General and Comparative Endocrinology*, 165(3):438-455.







Anexo: Resumen



El sexo es un proceso biológico característico de los organismos eucariotas. Este proceso consiste en la fusión de dos células especializadas que combinan su material genético para dar lugar a un único individuo. El sexo habitualmente implica la fusión de gametos haploides de dos individuos con roles reproductivos diferenciados, conocidos como machos y hembras. La característica más importante del sexo es la aparición de nuevas combinaciones génicas, debido tanto al proceso de formación de los gametos mediante meiosis en cada progenitor como a la unión del material genético de dos progenitores. Estas nuevas combinaciones génicas representan el sustrato sobre el que opera la evolución. Sin embargo, otras características del sexo producen efectos, a priori, evolutivamente desfavorables, por lo cual el origen del sexo es un asunto controvertido y es pertinente preguntarse cuál es su origen y por qué se ha mantenido y extendido en los organismos eucariotas.

El origen del sexo tuvo lugar en los primeros estadios de la vida en la Tierra y probablemente apareció por primera vez en el último antecesor común de los eucariotas. Existen distintas hipótesis para explicar el origen del sexo y, dada la dificultad para diseñar experimentos que reproduzcan las condiciones de tiempos evolutivamente tan lejanos, actualmente no hay ninguna que cuente con un mayor número de pruebas a favor. Parece que está mucho más claro el por qué, o más bien en qué circunstancias, ha sido mantenido el sexo a lo largo de la evolución de los eucariotas. Las nuevas combinaciones génicas producidas por la reproducción sexual pueden ser favorables en un ambiente cambiante, en el que los organismos deben adaptarse a condiciones ambientales impredecibles. En estas circunstancias, las ventajas del sexo superan a sus desventajas, seleccionándose y fijándose así la reproducción sexual.

El sexo implica la existencia de machos, habitualmente individuos con gametos pequeños y móviles, y hembras, con gametos más grandes y carentes de movilidad. Dos conceptos importantes en el estudio del sexo son la determinación sexual, que es el proceso que establece el sexo de un individuo, y la diferenciación sexual, que es el proceso por el que se desarrolla el fenotipo masculino o femenino. Tradicionalmente se ha considerado que los mecanismos de determinación sexual varían entre especies y grupos, mientras que la diferenciación sexual estaría mucho más conservada. Sin embargo, esta visión clásica separando ambos procesos está siendo cuestionada y últimamente se ha propuesto que la diferenciación y la diferenciación constituyen un único proceso en las primeras etapas de la diferenciación gonadal que conforma una red de desarrollo sexual en la que distintos factores interactúan entre si.

El sexo de un individuo puede ser determinado por los genes, el ambiente o una combinación de ambos; en este sentido, normalmente se habla de determinación sexual genética (GSD) o determinación sexual ambiental (ESD) como los extremos de un modelo en el que intervienen ambos factores. Los estudios sobre la determinación sexual se han centrado habitualmente en encontrar el gen que actuaría como interruptor, desencadenando el desarrollo de un macho o una hembra a partir de una gónada inicialmente indiferenciada. Ese sería el gen determinante del sexo, que puede funcionar mediante un mecanismo de presencia / ausencia o mediante un mecanismo de dosis génica. Los cromosomas en los que están

situados los genes determinantes del sexo se conocen como cromosomas sexuales. El par sexual presenta dos cromosomas sexuales distintos, uno contiene el gen determinante del sexo y el otro no, y habitualmente uno de los dos sólo aparece en heterozigosis. Según cuál sea el sexo heterogamético se habla de un sistema XX/XY, en el que el sexo heterogamético es el masculino; o ZZ/ZW, en el que el sexo heterogamético es el femenino. Existen otros tipos de sistemas de cromosomas sexuales, por ejemplo sistemas de cromosomas múltiples con más de dos cromosomas sexuales o sistemas XX/X0 en el que el sexo masculino sólo tiene un cromosoma sexual. Habitualmente el origen del gen determinante del sexo se asocia con alelos que proporcionan una ventaja a uno de los sexos pero son desfavorables para el otro, un fenómeno conocido como antagonismo sexual. En estos casos, la selección favorecerá la presencia de un gen determinante en la proximidad y suprimirá la recombinación provocando que los cromosomas sexuales se diferencien en contenido génico y, eventualmente, morfológicamente. La supresión de la recombinación es un paso crítico para la evolución de los cromosomas sexuales y afecta principalmente al cromosoma específico del sexo heterogamético (Y o W), ya que el otro cromosoma puede mantener la recombinación en el sexo homogamético (XX o ZZ). Sin embargo, el cromosoma heterogamético sufrirá un proceso de degeneración debido a la ausencia de recombinación, acumulándose mutaciones y secuencias repetidas.

Los sistemas de determinación sexual son muy variables en vertebrados. Sin embargo, dos de los grandes grupos, mamíferos y aves, presentan sistemas de determinación muy conservados en la mayoría de las especies analizadas. Los mamíferos, el grupo más estudiado, presentan un sistema de determinación sexual XX/XY en el que el gen determinante del sexo es el denominado sry (sex-determining region Y), localizado en el cromosoma Y, el cual determina el desarrollo de una gónada masculina. Las aves tienen un sistema de cromosomas sexuales ZZ/ZW y en este grupo el gen determinante del sexo es dmrt1 (Doublesex and mab-3 related transcription factor 1) que está presente en el cromosoma Z, determinando el sexo aparentemente por un sistema de dosis génica.

Estos cromosomas tienen un origen diferente a los cromosomas sexuales de los reptiles, que exhiben una gran variedad de sistemas de determinación sexual. Mayoritariamente presentan sistemas de determinación sexual ambiental por temperatura; es el caso de cocodrilos y tortugas. Sin embargo, las serpientes presentan determinación sexual genética con un sistema ZZ/ZW. Los anfibios también tienen diversos sistemas de determinación sexual, aunque generalmente presentan determinación sexual genética.

Los peces teleósteos muestran una gran variedad de mecanismos de determinación sexual y todos los tipos de estrategias reproductivas. Constituyen el grupo más numeroso de vertebrados con 27.000 especies que ocupan prácticamente todos los ambientes acuáticos del planeta. El control de la determinación y diferenciación sexual en este grupo es muy importante para la industria de la acuicultura (hoy en día se cultivan más de 350 especies de peces), ya que algunas especies presentan dimorfismo sexuales que convierten a uno de los sexos, machos o hembras, en más deseables para el cultivo. Por ejemplo, el caso más evidente es que muchas de las especies de acuicultura presentan mayores tasas de crecimiento en uno

de los sexos, pero el sexo también puede estar asociado a características como color o forma, relacionadas con el valor comercial. También existen casos particulares como el del esturión, en el que sólo las hembras producen caviar.

Debido a la importancia del sexo en este grupo, se han realizado diversos estudios destinados a identificar el gen determinante del sexo en varias especies de peces, con éxito en medaka (dmY), Oryzias luzonensis (gsdfY), Oryzias dancena (sox3), Takifugu rubripes (amhr2), trucha arcoíris (sdY) y pejerrey patagónico (amhy), mientras que en otras dos especies existen claros genes candidatos (Gsdf en Anoplopoma fimbria y dmrt1 en Cynoglossus semilaevis). Los peces son un grupo especial que exhibe rápidas transiciones entre diferentes sistemas de determinación sexual, lo cual se refleja en especies muy próximas con sistemas sexuales diferentes. Por ejemplo, en el género Oryzias se han detectado siete pares de cromosomas sexuales distintos en ocho especies en las que se ha encontrado par sexual. También se han detectado efectos ambientales en la determinación sexual. Aunque la determinación sexual ambiental pura es rara, la mayoría de las especies presentan efectos de la temperatura sobre la determinación sexual genética. En general, la determinación y diferenciación sexual en peces son procesos muy plásticos, lo cual puede representar un mecanismo para ajustar las proporciones sexuales a un hábitat particular.

Tradicionalmente, en oposición a lo observado con la determinación sexual, se ha considerado que la diferenciación sexual es un proceso conservado y que los genes que participan en la diferenciación sexual en mamíferos actúan de manera similar en el resto de vertebrados. Sin embargo, en los últimos años se ha visto que no siempre es así. En mamíferos sry, el gen determinante del sexo, está presente sólo en el cromosoma Y funcionando como interruptor. La expresión de sry activa la expresión de sox9, el cual desencadena el desarrollo masculino. Durante la diferenciación sexual y tras la expresión de sox9 se expresan distintos genes importantes para la correcta formación de la gónada masculina, por ejemplo amh, sf1, fgf9, otros genes de la familia sox o genes de la familia dmrt. Por el contrario, en los individuos XX, sry no está presente y por lo tanto sox9 no se expresa, produciéndose el desarrollo de una gónada femenina mediante la expresión de genes característicos como cyp19a, foxl2 o genes de la ruta wnt / β-catenina. La diferenciación sexual en mamíferos es la más estudiada y el modelo que se ha utilizado para estudiar el resto de especies. Aunque los genes implicados en la diferenciación sexual en mamíferos están presentes, por lo general, también en el resto de vertebrados, se han encontrado diferencias importantes lo cual parece indicar que la función de algunos de estos genes no está tan conservada como inicialmente se creía.

El rodaballo (Scophthalmus maximus) es un pez plano de gran importancia para la acuicultura, especialmente en Galicia (con una producción de casi 7000 toneladas en 2013), y un marcado dimorfismo sexual. Las hembras maduran sexualmente más tarde y crecen más rápido que los machos, alcanzan la talla comercial antes y presentan menor susceptibilidad a las enfermedades. Por ello, la industria está interesada en obtener stocks solo hembras, de ahí la importancia del estudio de la determinación y diferenciación sexual en esta especie. En este sentido, se han realizado distintos estudios previos, por ejemplo a nivel citogenético, que han

evidenciado la ausencia de heteromorfismos cromosómicos asociados con el sexo. Estudios sobre las proporciones sexuales en distintas familias de rodaballos llegaron a la conclusión de que debía presentar un sistema de determinación sexual genética ZZ/ZW, aunque con cierto efecto de la temperatura en algunas familias. Este sistema ZZ/ZW fue posteriormente confirmado por el desarrollo de un mapa genético del rodaballo, en el que se localizó la principal región determinante del sexo en el grupo de ligamiento 5 de esta especie y tres QTLs secundarios en otros grupos de ligamiento (LG6, LG8, LG21). Asimismo, se realizaron distintos análisis con marcadores y genes candidatos (implicados en determinante del sexo en el rodaballo) para intentar localizar el gen determinante del sexo, sin éxito hasta ahora. Sin embargo, hasta la fecha no se ha realizado ningún estudio de expresión en relación con la diferenciación sexual.

Los objetivos de esta tesis son cuatro: 1) Establecer y validar los parámetros técnicos para el estudio de la expresión génica en gónada de rodaballo mediante PCR en tiempo real (qPCR); 2) evaluar los niveles de expresión de genes relevantes durante la diferenciación sexual del rodaballo en comparación con otras especies; 3) estudiar el proceso global de diferenciación gonadal en el rodaballo; y 4) investigar el efecto de la temperatura en la diferenciación sexual del rodaballo.

La técnica que hemos empleado para analizar con precisión la expresión de diferentes genes en el desarrollo gonadal del rodaballo es la qPCR. Antes de realizar este análisis es necesario validar la estabilidad de la expresión de los genes de referencia que se van a utilizar para normalizar los resultados de los genes de interés. Nuestro primer trabajo consistió en valorar la estabilidad de seis posibles genes de referencia durante el desarrollo gonadal del rodaballo: rpl17 (Ribosomal Protein L17), b2m (Beta-2-microglobulin), actb (beta-actin), ubq (Ribosomal Protein S4) y gapdh (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (Ubiquitin), rps4 dehydrogenase). Revisando la bibliografía detectamos la falta de consenso existente en varios aspectos técnicos relacionados con la qPCR, los cuales afectaban directamente a la determinación de los genes de referencia para nuestro estudio. Es por ello que, previamente y utilizando los datos de nuestros genes de referencia, estudiamos dos de los aspectos en los que mayor discusión ha habido en la literatura sobre qPCR durante los últimos años: 1) los distintos métodos para determinar la estabilidad de los genes de referencia; y 2) los métodos para calcular la eficiencia de amplificación de cada pareja de cebadores.

Se han publicado cuatro métodos distintos para establecer la estabilidad de los genes de referencia: 1) comparative delta-Ct method, 2) BestKeeper, 3) GeNorm y 4) NormFinder. Encontramos que el método NormFinder, que valora la estabilidad mediante las desviaciones inter- e intra-grupales, proporcionó los resultados más fiables. NormFinder mostró los resultados más robustos cuando se redujo el número de muestras utilizadas para determinar la estabilidad de un gen de referencia. Además, comprobamos los efectos producidos por distintas combinaciones de genes de referencia al normalizar seis genes objetivo, encontrando que con la combinación ubq+rsp4, los dos genes más estables según NormFinder, obteníamos los mejores resultados.
Por otro lado, utilizamos también cuatro métodos distintos para calcular la eficiencia de cada par de cebadores: 1) LinRegPCR, 2) LREanalyzer, 3) Dart y 4) PCR-Miner. De los cuatro métodos analizados, encontramos que tanto LinRegPCR como PCR-Miner proporcionan estimas de eficiencia de fiabilidad similar. De hecho, las estimas de eficiencia de ambos métodos están correlacionadas. La principal diferencia radica en que las estimas de eficiencia de linRegPCR son más bajas que las de PCR-Miner, que en algunos casos superan el 100%. Por ello, decidimos utilizar LinRegPCR para evitar sobreestimar las diferencias de expresión.

Nuestro segundo trabajo consistió en estudiar la expresión de 29 genes relacionados con la diferenciación sexual durante los primeros estadios del desarrollo gonadal a tres temperaturas distintas. Los objetivos de este trabajo eran: 1) intentar identificar el gen y mecanismos implicados en la determinación del sexo en rodaballo; 2) estrechamente relacionado con lo anterior, estudiar la diferenciación gonadal desde el punto de vista de la expresión génica especialmente durante el período crítico de diferenciación gonadal; y 3) valorar los efectos de la temperatura en la expresión génica en relación con las proporciones sexuales como factor ambiental clave en los procesos de determinación sexual. La expresión de los 29 genes fue estudiada en seis estadios distintos (60, 75, 90, 105, 120 y 135 días post fertilización) y a tres temperaturas (15, 18 y 23°C) con 10 peces por cada estadio y temperatura, para un total de 180 peces. Todos los peces fueron sexados genéticamente (mediante una herramienta desarrollada y patentada por nuestro grupo) y, además, los peces de 105, 120 y 135 días post fertilización fueron también sexados histológicamente.

El análisis conjunto de los datos mediante dendrogramas a partir de las correlaciones, y mediante el análisis de componentes principales mostró una clara separación de las muestras sexadas por histología (105 a 135 días post fertilización) en dos grupos: machos y hembras; grupos en los que se incluyeron algunos individuos de estadios anteriores, básicamente de 90 días. A mayores, subconjuntos de genes también se asociaron según su pefil de expresión a uno de los grupos: individuos indiferenciados, machos o hembras.

El análisis individual de la expresión de cada gen confirmó lo apuntado por los análisis globales. A 90 días post fertilización se detectan las primeras diferencias entre machos y hembras: cyp19a1a y vasa muestran mayor expresión en hembras y amh mayor en machos. La expresión de estos tres genes permitió sexar correctamente casi un 90% de los individuos en este estadio. En estos individuos de 90 días también se observó un incremento en la expresión de genes relacionados con las células germinales con respecto a los individuos de 75 días, lo cual sugiere su proliferación entre 75 y 90 días post fertilización. A partir de 105 días la expresión de la aromatasa discriminó completamente los machos y las hembras, y a partir de este estadio varios genes mostraron sobreexpresión en hembras (dact1, ctnbb1, dnmt1, ...) y, en menor número, también en machos (sox9a, sox8).

En relación con la determinación sexual, se estudiaron dos genes mediante qPCR situados en la región determinante del sexo del grupo de ligamiento 5: fxr1 y sox2. El patrón de expresión mostrado por fxr1 podría ser consistente con este rol, ya que presentó alta expresión en estadios aún indiferenciados, descendiendo su expresión en el momento en el que se detectan los primeros signos de diferenciación a 90 días post fertilización para aumentar su expresión específicamente en hembras en estadios posteriores. Por el contrario, la expresión de sox2 no varió en el periodo estudiado en machos y hembras, lo cual no parece compatible con el gen determinante del sexo en el rodaballo ni que tenga un papel relevante en la diferenciación gonadal.

El estudio de los efectos de la temperatura reveló un aumento de la proporción de hembras con el descenso de la temperatura y también efectos sobre la expresión génica. El resultado más interesante fue la sobreexpresión de la β -catenina en machos a temperaturas frías, coincidente con una mayor proporción de hembras. Esto sugiere que la ruta wnt / β -catenina podría ser responsable o al menos estar relacionada con los efectos de la temperatura sobre las proporciones sexuales en esta especie.

El último estudio consistió en un análisis del transcriptoma de la gónada de rodaballo mediante un oligo-microarray a distintos estadios de desarrollo gonadal entre 75 y 485 días. Según la edad de los rodaballos muestreados, su sexo y su agrupamiento en función de su perfil de expresión mediante análisis de componentes principales se establecieron cinco grupos: preindiferenciados (PU), indiferenciados (U) y diferenciándose (D), en los que a priori se desconocía el sexo, y machos juveniles (MJ) y hembras juveniles (FJ). Análisis globales mediante componentes principales y agrupamiento jerárquico mostraron que las gónadas FJtienen un perfil transcriptómico más diferenciado que MJ respecto a D. Es más, estos dos grupos, D y MJ, así como PU y U, se agruparon prácticamente juntos en ambos análisis. Los distintos análisis de expresión diferencial entre grupos confirmaron la similitud entre estos grupos y las grandes diferencias de FJ con el grupo D y MJ.

Con la intención de estudiar la diferenciación sexual temprana y utilizando los resultados del anterior capítulo, utilizamos el gen cyp19a1a para sexar los individuos del grupo D y separarlo en dos subgrupos: individuos con y sin expresión de aromatasa, supuestas hembras y machos, respectivamente. El análisis de expresión diferencial entre estos dos grupos reveló 16 genes relacionados con estas hembras en desarrollo y 8 relacionados con los machos que, por tanto, podrían estar implicados en la diferenciación sexual temprana. Entre los genes más destacados, además de foxl2, que se expresa simultáneamente con cyp19a1a, encontramos sox11, sobre-expresado en hembras, y dmrt3, sobre-expresado en machos. Al estudiar la expresión de dmrt3 en el resto de muestras encontramos que es un gen específico de machos el cual puede ser utilizado, como cyp19a1a, para distinguir ambos sexos durante este período.

También se comprobó el comportamiento a lo largo del desarrollo de 18 genes considerados canónicos relacionados con la reproducción. Entre ellos, se estudió la expresión de siete genes relacionados con la esteroidogénesis, de los cuales solo cyp19a1a y 17 β hsd mostraron diferencias entre sexos. También se estudiaron siete factores de transcripción, de los cuales tres (fox12, sox19 and fig α) mostraron mayor expresión en hembras y otros tres (dmrt3, sox9b, sf1) en machos.

Además, identificamos un total de 45 y 12 genes relacionados con el desarrollo gonadal de hembras y machos, respectivamente, que no estaban relacionados a priori con ninguno de los dos sexos. Entre los genes relacionados con hembras detectamos gdf9 o lhx8 por ejemplo. También encontramos numerosos genes diferencialmente expresados entre machos y hembras juveniles relacionados con la metilación, mayoritariamente sobre-expresados en hembras.

En resumen, en este trabajo se ha puesto a punto la técnica de qPCR para el estudio del desarrollo gonadal en el rodaballo y se ha estudiado la expresión génica mediante esta técnica y mediante microarrays durante la diferenciación sexual. La utilización de ambas aproximaciones ha permitido obtener resultados similares y a la vez complementarios sobre la expresión génica durante el desarrollo gonadal en rodaballo, obteniendo una visión global del proceso y a la vez permitiéndonos conocer en detalle qué ocurre en el inicio de la diferenciación sexual. Uno de los objetivos principales era encontrar el gen determinante del sexo en esta especie, lo cual no se ha conseguido mediante ninguna de las dos aproximaciones. Sin embargo si se ha obtenido mucha información sobre la expresión génica a lo largo del desarrollo, tanto de genes implicados en la diferenciación sexual de los vertebrados como de nuevos genes previamente no relacionados con el sexo que parecen estar implicados en el desarrollo gonadal, por ejemplo genes implicados en mecanismos de metilación y splicing. Toda esta información es importante si consideramos que la determinación y la diferenciación sexual son procesos que siguen un modelo de red en el que varios factores, genéticos y ambientales, interactúan para definir el sexo. En este sentido, hemos podido relacionar efectos de la temperatura con efectos en la expresión de varios genes y, además, hemos encontrado que la ruta wnt / β-catenina podría estar directamente implicada en las desviaciones de las proporciones sexuales causadas por la temperatura.



