



# FLEXIBILITY IN MENTAL CALCULATION JEAN-MARIE KRAEMER<sup>1</sup>, JOANA BROCARDO<sup>2</sup>, FÁTIMA MENDES<sup>2</sup> CATARINA DELGADO<sup>2</sup>



<sup>2</sup>Escola Superior de Educação do Instituto Politécnico de Setúbal, Portugal

<sup>1</sup>CITO, The Netherlands,

**PROJECT - NUMERICAL THINKING AND FLEXIBLE CALCULATION: CRITICAL ISSUES** 

# **OBJECTIVES**

To identify and describe students' conceptual knowledge associated with the different levels of understanding of numbers and multiplication / division and analyze if and how this knowledge facilitates adaptive thinking and flexible calculation

#### **METHODOLOGY**

Methodological **Options:** Design research (Gravemeijer & Cobb, 2013)

**Participants:** Primary grade students and their teachers

**Data collection:** Participant observation (videotaped classes), clinical interviews and students' written work

### TEACHING EXPERIMENT

To prepare teaching

experiments the project team:

- designs adequate tasks to develop students' flexible and adaptive mental calculation (Threlfall, 2002, 2009)
- analyzes how children use their knowledge about numbers and operations to solve the tasks (interviews)

#### THE TASK WALKING



The approach and real calculation used by Miguel (11 years old) to solve the task Walking (Threlfall's model, 2002; 2009)



Fig. 1. Data recording used by Miguel

minutes Kn	m Roscom
100 90 6	Roboer
	yoto
	Amor

Fig. 2. Table with the distance

that Pedro walked in 90

minutes





Fig. 4. Distance that Ana walks in 90 minutes

MIGUEL'S PERSONAL KNOWLEDGE **NOTICING AND TRANSIENT THOUGHTS** 

Additive and multiplicative facts

40 = 20 + 20; 80 = 2 × 40; 90 = 2 × 45; 20 : 2=10; 2×30= 60

Proportional relationship

### **MIGUEL'S CALCULATION PRACTICE EXPLORATIVE PARTIAL CALCULATION**

walks in 10 minutes

Use of personal symbolic notation of proportional *relationship* (2 Km-40 min) to construct a table that allows him to envision the three relations between time and distance (90 as the total time).

- Miguel's own symbolization (Fig1).
- Miguel's own verbalization:

#### First approach thought:

"Now I'm going to see, for instance, in 80 mins how much it is ... to see a number that is easier for these two" (João and Pedro).

#### Second approach thought:

"I'm going to do, for instance, in 90 mins, how much each one walks".

#### **APPLIED RELATIONSHIPS**

#### Proportional relationship

To calculate the distance walked by Pedro, informal use of the proportional relationship underlying the scalar method (fig 2).

Miguel knows that he can use this reasoning with both, natural numbers and decimals (fig 3).

He connects 90 with 6, doubling (mentally) both numbers of the initial relation:  $3 - 45 \sqrt{26 - 90}$ 

#### **APPLIED CALCULATION METHOD/PROCEDURE**

Application of the same scalar method to find, in the other situation of the task, the number (quantity of km) that has to be associated with 90 (quantity of time)

Uses, in both cases, the same stepwise strategy, proportionally increasing both quantities (or decreasing).

### Unique, local adaptations

João's walk (2 - 40): Use of doubling both numbers in combination with breaking the total distance.

Ana's walk (1,5 - 40) - Use of repeated doubling

### Comparison reasoning

Derives the quicker child from the biggest number of km walked in the same time (90).

From the point of view of the used scheme of thinking and calculating, it looks as if Miguel is less flexible than the "ideal" expert (Hatano, 2003).

He uses similar reasoning for the case of Ana (fig. 4)

He answers "Who walks faster is Ana"- multiplicative comparison in the context of proportional relationships in two fields of measure.

O The previous analysis suggests that Miguel has developed certain flexibility.

O Data suggests that his ways of symbolizing allows him to think and calculate flexibly, adaptively and efficiently (Hatano, 2003; Threlfall, 2002).

However, from the point of view of the unique personal calculation, it is evident that Miguel has already developed important building stones for flexible mental calculation.

## REFERENCES

Gravemeijer, K., & Cobb, P. (2013). Design research from the learning design perspective. In T. Plomp, & N. Nieveen (Edits.), *Educational design research* (pp. 72-113). Enschede, The Netherlands: SLO.

Hatano, G. (2003). Forward. In A. J. Baroody & A. Dowker (Eds.), The development of arithmetic concepts and skills: Constructing adaptive expertise (pp. xi-xiii). Mahwah,NJ: Erlbaum.

Threlfall, J. (2009). Strategies and flexibility in mental calculation. *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 41(5), 541-555.

Threlfall, J. (2002). Flexible mental calculation. *Educational Studies in Mathematics*, 50(1), 29-47.