EPJ Web of Conferences **113**,05004 (2016) DOI: 10.1051/epjconf/201611305004 © Owned by the authors, published by EDP Sciences, 2016

Quark model with chiral-symmetry breaking and confinement in the Covariant Spectator Theory

Elmar P. Biernat^{1,a}, M. T. Peña^{1,2}, J. E. Ribeiro³, A. Stadler^{4,1}, and F. Gross⁵

¹*CFTP, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal*

²Departamento de Física, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

³CeFEMA, Instituto Superior Técnico, Universidade de Lisboa, 1049-001 Lisboa, Portugal

⁴Departamento de Física, Universidade de Évora, 7000-671 Évora, Portugal

⁵Thomas Jefferson National Accelerator Facility (JLab), Newport News, Virginia 23606, USA

Abstract. We propose a model for the quark-antiquark interaction in Minkowski space using the Covariant Spectator Theory. We show that with an equal-weighted scalarpseudoscalar structure for the confining part of our interaction kernel the axial-vector Ward-Takahashi identity is preserved and our model complies with the Adler-zero constraint for π - π -scattering imposed by chiral symmetry.

1 Introduction

As the lightest quark-antiquark bound state the pion is of particular importance for our understanding of confinement and spontaneous chiral-symmetry breaking (S χ SB). It emerges non-perturbatively from the strong interaction and it is at the same time identified with the Goldstone boson associated with $S_{\chi}SB$. Various modern approaches have addressed the non-perturbative dynamics underlying such hadronic systems. For instance, lattice-QCD simulations [1, 2], light-front quantum field theory [3, 4], as well as models based on the Dyson-Schwinger-Bethe-Salpeter (DSBS) approach and the mass gap equation [5-10] have significantly contributed to an understanding of a wide range of hadronic phenomena. The framework we use is the Covariant Spectator Theory (CST) [11–16] another modern field-theoretic approach that implements S_XSB through the famous Nambu–Jona-Lasinio mechanism, similarly to DSBS. Whereas the latter is usually treated in a Euclidean formulation, CST is established in Minkowski space, an advantage for instance when computing form factors in the timelike region. Another distinct feature of CST is its capability of accommodating a Lorentz-scalar confining interaction kernel without destroying chiral symmetry, which is of particular importance in view of the approaches [17–19] suggesting the existence of a scalar component for the quark-antiquark interaction. In the present work we study to what extent such confining forces can be made consistent with $S\chi SB$. Our strategy is to start from the most general Lorentz structure for the interaction kernel and then determine the constraints imposed by chiral symmetry, similarly to what has been done in Ref. [20] for a different formalism. It turns out that a CST model with scalar confinement, together with an equal-weighted pseudoscalar counterpart satisfies the S_XSB condition of the Adler consistency zero [21] in π - π scattering in the chiral limit.

^ae-mail: elmar.biernat@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

2 Axial-vector Ward-Takahashi identity

Explicit and spontaneous chiral-symmetry breaking is expressed in quantum field theory through the axial-vector Ward-Takahashi identity (AV-WTI) involving the dressed quark propagators and the dressed axial-vector and pseudoscalar vertices. When dealing with strong quark form factors according to Gross and Riska [22–24] the AV-WTI reads

$$P_{\mu}\Gamma_{R}^{5\mu}(p',p) + 2m_{0}\Gamma_{R}^{5}(p',p) = \tilde{S}^{-1}(p')\gamma^{5} + \gamma^{5}\tilde{S}^{-1}(p), \qquad (1)$$

where $\Gamma_R^{5\mu}(p', p)$ and $\Gamma_R^5(p', p)$ are the dressed axial-vector and pseudoscalar vertices, respectively, m_0 is the bare quark mass, p and p' are the incoming and outgoing quark momenta, respectively, P = p' - p is the momentum flowing into the vertex, and $\tilde{S}(p)$ is the dressed quark propagator as introduced in Ref. [25]. The combination on the LHS of Eq. (1) is sometimes called the dressed axial vertex $\Gamma_R^4(p', p)$, which is the solution of an inhomogeneous CST Bethe-Salpeter equation (CST-BSE),

$$\Gamma_R^A(p',p) = \gamma_R^A(p',p) + i \int_{k0} \mathcal{V}_R(p-k)\tilde{S}(k')\Gamma_R^A(k',k)\tilde{S}(k) , \qquad (2)$$

where $\gamma_R^A(p', p)$ is the bare axial vertex, $\mathcal{W}_R(p-k)$ is the covariant $q\bar{q}$ interaction kernel depending only on the four-momentum transfer p - k = p' - k', and "k0" indicates the charge-conjugation invariant CST prescription for performing the k_0 contour integration [15]. The most general structure of the CST generalization of the linear-confining potential, together with a vector-axial-vector remainder, is given by

$$\mathcal{V}_{R}(p-k) = V_{LR}(p-k) \Big[\lambda_{S}(\mathbf{1} \otimes \mathbf{1}) + \lambda_{P}(\gamma^{5} \otimes \gamma^{5}) + \lambda_{V}(\gamma^{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu}) + \lambda_{A}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu} \otimes \gamma^{5}\gamma_{\mu}) \\ + \frac{\lambda_{T}}{2} (\sigma^{\mu\nu} \otimes \sigma_{\mu\nu}) \Big] + V_{CR}(p-k) \Big[\kappa_{V}(\gamma^{\mu} \otimes \gamma_{\mu}) + \kappa_{A}(\gamma^{5}\gamma^{\mu} \otimes \gamma^{5}\gamma_{\mu}) \Big],$$
(3)

where V_{LR} and V_{CR} are the Lorentz-invariant momentum-dependent parts of the linear-confining and remaining kernels, respectively. The corresponding weight parameters λ_i and κ_i [with i = S (scalar), P (pseudoscalar), V (vector), A (axial-vector), and T (tensor)] are arbitrary constants. Further, V_{LR} satisfies the CST generalization of the non-relativistic condition $V_L(r = 0) = 0$, given by

$$\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^3 k}{E_k} V_{LR}(p \pm \hat{k}) = 0, \qquad (4)$$

where $E_k = \sqrt{m^2 + \vec{k}^2}$, $\hat{k} = (E_k, \vec{k})$, and *m* is the dressed quark mass. For this kernel with $\lambda_S = \lambda_P$ it has been shown [25] that the AV-WTI (1) together with the CST-BSE (2) implies that $\tilde{S}(p)$ is the solution of the CST-Dyson Equation (CST-DE),

$$\tilde{S}^{-1}(p) = \tilde{S}_0^{-1}(p) - i \int_{k0} \mathcal{V}_R(p-k)\tilde{S}(k) ,$$
(5)

where \tilde{S}_0 is the bare quark propagator, which obeys an AV-WTI involving $\gamma_R^A(p', p)$. It turns out that $\gamma_R^A(p', p)$ vanishes in the chiral limit of vanishing bare quark mass, $m_0 \to 0$, and vanishing vertex momentum, $P \to 0$. In this limit, the CST-BSE (2) becomes homogeneous and identical to the zero-mass pion CST equation for the pion vertex function in the chiral limit, $\Gamma_{R_Y}^{\pi}$, which implies the relation

$$\Gamma^{A}_{R\chi}(p,p) \propto \Gamma^{\pi}_{R\chi}(p,p) \,. \tag{6}$$

Because of the condition (4), and imposing equal weights to the scalar and pseudoscalar terms in the interaction kernel only V_{CR} contributes to the chiral-limit pion equation and to the scalar part of the CST-DE (5). This corresponds to dynamical quark mass generation. Therefore, the linear-confinement part V_{LR} that includes the scalar, pseudoscalar and tensor structures in our model, entirely decouples from these equations [13].



Figure 1. The O contributions to π - π scattering. The orange boxes denote the unamputated quark-quark scattering amplitudes.



Figure 2. The T_O term. A purple blob denotes a γ^5 and $\mathcal{I}(P)$ is a non-vanishing normalization integral.

3 π - π scattering and Adler zero

Consistency with chiral symmetry implies the vanishing of the π - π scattering amplitude in the chiral limit [21]. This property, known as the Adler zero, is due to remarkable cancellations, which occur between different scattering diagrams that go beyond the (lowest-order) impulse approximation. In these diagrams intermediate-state interactions to all orders are included through the complete quark-quark ladder sum [26, 27], which involves nine different types of contributions. The proof of the cancellations between these contributions is rather lengthy. To illustrate the technical procedure we discuss here only the diagrams shown in Fig. 1. The same treatment applies to the other diagrams that have to be taken into account. The full proof is given in detail in Ref. [25]. In order to show that the sum of these diagrams vanishes in the chiral limit, one inserts an additional ladder sum at one pion vertex by using the spectral decomposition of the ladder sum. Then, by Eq. (6), $\Gamma_{R\chi}^{\pi}$ is replaced by $\Gamma_{R\chi}^{A}$, allowing the application of the AV-WTI (1) between two ladder sums. For T_O this results in 4 terms as depicted in Fig. 2. Because the sum $T'_{O3} + T''_{O3}$ is proportional to the anticommutator { V_R, γ^5 }, all vector and axial-vector contributions cancel. The scalar, pseudoscalar, and tensor structures from the

confining potential integrate to zero in the chiral limit because of the decoupling property discussed in the previous section. The T_{O2} term vanishes as consequence of the fact that the pion does not couple to the scalar channel. Finally, the T_{O1} term, together with S_O cancel exactly the D_O term by applying again the AV-WTI.

Acknowledgements

This work received financial support from Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT) under Grants No. PTDC/FIS/113940/2009 and No. CFTP-FCT (PEst-OE/FIS/U/0777/2013). The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme FP7/2007-2013 under Grant Agreement No. 283286. This work was also partially supported by Jefferson Science Associates, LLC, under U.S. DOE Contract No. DE-AC05-06OR23177.

References

- [1] R.G. Edwards, N. Mathur, D.G. Richards, S.J. Wallace, Phys. Rev. D 87, 054506 (2013)
- [2] P. Guo, J.J. Dudek, R.G. Edwards, A.P. Szczepaniak, Phys. Rev. D 88, 014501 (2013)
- [3] S.J. Brodsky, H.C. Pauli, S.S. Pinsky, Phys. Rept. 301, 299 (1998)
- [4] J. Carbonell, B. Desplanques, V. Karmanov, J. Mathiot, Phys. Rept. 300, 215 (1998)
- [5] P.J. de A. Bicudo, J.E.F.T. Ribeiro, Phys. Rev. D 42, 1635 (1990)
- [6] A.V. Nefediev, J.E.F.T. Ribeiro, Phys. Rev. D 70, 094020 (2004)
- [7] R. Alkofer, L. von Smekal, Phys. Rept. 353, 281 (2001)
- [8] P. Maris, C.D. Roberts, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E 12, 297 (2003)
- [9] C.S. Fischer, J. Phys. G 32, R253 (2006)
- [10] E. Rojas, J. de Melo, B. El-Bennich, O. Oliveira, T. Frederico, J. High Energy Phys. 1310, 193 (2013)
- [11] F. Gross, Phys. Rev. 186, 1448 (1969)
- [12] F. Gross, J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D 43, 2401 (1991)
- [13] F. Gross, J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D 45, 969 (1992)
- [14] F. Gross, J. Milana, Phys. Rev. D 50, 3332 (1994)
- [15] C. Savkli, F. Gross, Phys. Rev. C 63, 035208 (2001)
- [16] E.P. Biernat, F. Gross, M.T. Peña, A. Stadler, Phys. Rev. D 89, 016005 (2014)
- [17] C. Michael, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1219 (1986)
- [18] T.J. Allen, M.G. Olsson, S. Veseli, Phys. Rev. D 62, 094021 (2000)
- [19] G.S. Bali, K. Schilling, A. Wachter, Phys. Rev. D 56, 2566 (1997)
- [20] J.E. Villate, D.S. Liu, J.E. Ribeiro, P.J. de A. Bicudo, Phys. Rev. D 47, 1145 (1993)
- [21] S.L. Adler, Phys. Rev. 137, B1022 (1965)
- [22] F. Gross, D.O. Riska, Phys. Rev. C 36, 1928 (1987)
- [23] F. Gross, Y. Surya, Phys. Rev. C 47, 703 (1993)
- [24] Y. Surya, F. Gross, Phys. Rev. C 53, 2422 (1996)
- [25] E.P. Biernat, M.T. Peña, J.E. Ribeiro, A. Stadler, F. Gross, Phys. Rev. D 90, 096008 (2014)
- [26] P. Bicudo, S. Cotanch, F. Llanes-Estrada, P. Maris, E. Ribeiro, A. Szczepaniak, Phys. Rev. D 65, 076008 (2002)
- [27] P. Bicudo, Phys. Rev. C 67, 035201 (2003)